#### If you have issues viewing or accessing this file contact us at NCJRS.gov.

7/30/76

filmed

Date

# NCJRS

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFERENCE SERVICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20531 This handbook has been developed under sponsorship of LEAA's National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, as part of its "Exemplary Project Program." The Institute program publicizes criminal justice projects which have demonstrated a notable degree of success in operation over a period of time and which are suitable for replication. Projects are selected through the combined efforts of LEAA, the State Planning Agencies, and other major groups with an interest in criminal justice. Criteria for an "exemplary" designation include significant achievement in the reduction of crime or improvement in the quality of justice. Additional criteria include cost effectiveness, suitability for replication in other jurisdictions, and the willingness of project staff to provide information to other communities.

The objective of the handbook is to assist other communities in developing community-based correctional programs like those found within Polk County, demonstrating by the Department's successes and failures how such programs might be implemented.

### FOREWORD

Gerald M. Caplan Director NILECJ

# INTRODUCTION .

The Des Moines Community Corrections project was the first program designated "exemplary" by the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice. As part of its strategy of disseminating information about exemplary projects, NILECJ sponsored a series of regional training conferences on the Des Moines project in 1974-75. Nearly five hundred criminal justice system professionals attended those conferences.

This handbook was developed specifically for use-by-training conference participants. It was prepared by Urban-and Rural Systems Associated (URSA), the contractor responsible for conducting the training on the Des Moines project. In addition to providing a detailed description of the method in which the project operates, this handbook also explores the key elements in a community-based corrections approach. It presents evaluation data on the Des Moines project, describes the experience of several communities which undertook to replicate the Des Moines approach, and discusses the process by which other communities may explore the relevance of community-based corrections in meeting the needs of their local criminal justice system.

Thus, this handbook has been designated to serve as a practical tool for professionals concerned about correctional alternatives and their impact on the quality of justice at the local level.

# FORWARD TISTEODUCTION

# • Pre-Trial Release (ROR) o Supervised Release

- e Probation
- o Community Correctional Facility

# CHAPTER 2: DOES THE DES MOINES PROGRAM WORK?

- o Program Costs
- · o Program Effectiveness
- o Pre-Trial Release (ROR)
- o Supervised Release
- e Probation
- o Community Correctional Facility

- - --HOW TO DO IT

# Pre-Trial Release (ROR)

- · Purpose
- e Administration
- Process
- -- Identification of Detainees
- --Conducting ROR Interviews
- --Verification of Responses
- --Release --Follow-up

# Supervised Release

- o Purpose
- e Administration
- o Process
- --Selection
- --Release --Intake
- -- Testing
- --Counseling and Referral
- --Termination

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: SUMMARY OF THE DES MOINES COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PROGRAM

e The Des Moines Program Components--Are They New?

o Financial Effectiveness of the Des Moines Program

CHAPTER 3: A CLOSE-UP LOOK AT THE FOUR DES MOINES. COMPONENTS

-- Job Development and Placement

2 - 1 2 - 1 2 - 4 2 - 10 2 - 123 3 40 3 3 40 3 3 42 3 4 72 3 4 72 3 4 72 3 4 72 3 4 72 3 4 72 3 4 72 3 4 72 3 4 72 3 4 72 3 4 72 3 4 72 3 4 72 3 4 72 3 7 72 1 7 7 3-21 \$-24 3-25

	iv					
		Page				
		-				
	Probation/Pre-Sentence Investigation	3=28-	100 B			
	ø Purpose	8-28	Cuil	CHAPTER 5:	THE	"UNIVERSE" OF COR
	e Administration	8-28			Ι.	Pre-Trial Progr
	Process: Pre-Sentence Investigation	3-30	•			A. Diversion
	Intake Conducting the PSI Interview Verification of the Defendant's Responses Preparation of the PSI Report Follow-up	3-31 9-31 3-32 3-33 3635				1. Screeni 2. Deferre 3. Crisis 4. Diversi
•	<pre>ø Process: Probation Supervision</pre>	8-35		•1		B. Pre-Trial R
	Intake Assignment Supervision Developing and Implementing a Restitution Plan Revocation Discharge	3=36 3-37 3-37 3-39 3=40 3-41			II.	<ol> <li>Citatic</li> <li>Warrant</li> <li>10% Bai</li> <li>Release</li> <li>Supervi</li> </ol>
	Community Correctional Facility (Fort Des Moines)	3-43				A. Sentencing
	¢ Purpose	3-43	•			1. Pre-Sen
	a Administration	3-43				2. Sentenc 3. Classif
	The Physical Design and Setting of the Institution Organization and Staffing Rules and Regulations Governing Administration	\$-43 3<44 3-57	(* ``)			B. Probation 1. Differe
	o Process	3-53			· · · ·	2. Financi Local F
•	Sclection and Placement Intake Orientation	3=53 • 6=54 3=55			ана стала 2011 - Салана 10	3. Intensi C. Institution
	Treatment Planning	3-58			* 	1. Coeduca
	Counseling Job Development Maintenance of Relationships with the Courts and Law Enforcement Agencies Termination	3-60 3=62 3-68 3€70			•	2. Educati 3. Work Re 4. Home Fu 5. Pre-Rel 6. Resider
	Program Administration	3-73			III.	Post-Institutio
	Central Administration	G=Z3			•	A. Parole
	<ul> <li>Administrative Features of the Department of Court Services</li> </ul>	3-74				1. Parolee 2. Parole
	Appendix Forms-and-Legislation	3421	•			3. Caseloa
CHAPTER 4	: THE DES MOINES COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PHILOSOPHY	₹,				4. Parole 5. Financi
	e Coordination	4-21			ی ۱۹۰۰ ۱۹۰۱ میراد مار	6. Re-Entry Pr
	Individualized Treatment Planning	4				1. Prisone
	• One-to-One Counseling	423				2. Halfway
	• Employment Emphasis/Job Development	4-12		•	1. 	
	<ul> <li>Use of Existing Community Resources</li> </ul>	. 4-18		a da ang sa	• • •	
			and the second			

Page

574 5415

> 5-15 5-16

5-19 5-20 5-21 5-21

5-29

# RRECTIONS PROGRAMS

rams

ing Out ed Prosecution Intervention ionary Alcohol and Drug Programs,

Release

ion Release nt Release ail Deposit se on One's Own Recognizance (ROR) vised Release

grams

ntence Investigations (PSI) and Report cing Boards and Statutory Sentences fication of Sentences

ential Caseloads ial and Manpower Assistance for Probation Programs ive Probation/Intervention

ns

cational Reform tional Programs elease urlough lease ential Community-Based Programs

onal Programs

e Rights Reorganization ad Reform Aide Programs ial Assistance Programs

rograms

ners' Associations ny Houses (Post-Institutional)

HAPTER 6:	REPLICATIONHOW HAVE OTHER COMMUNITIES DONE IT?	£=
	• Clark County (Vancouver), Washington	45-
	ø San Mateo County, California	6-
	• Salt Lake County, Utah	6
	c St. Louis County (Duluth), Minnesota	6-
	o Orange County (Orlando), Florida	6
	• 'Replication Conclusions	6-
ан (1997) ал		$\langle$
CHAPTER 7:	REPLICATIONNEXT STEPS	7
UNAPIEN 7.	The Replication Planning Process	77
•	• Organize a Replication Planning Committee	73
	• Analyze the Present System	ý.
	e Identify Correctional Objectives	7-
	<ul> <li>Identify the "Key Actors" in Your Criminal Justice System</li> </ul>	2
	<ul> <li>Identify Structural Options and Potential "Turf Disputes"</li> </ul>	E
	<ul> <li>Identify Possible Funding Sources</li> </ul>	7=
	• Put the Package Together	2=
	The Implementation Process	75
	<ul> <li>Hiring a Replication Project Director</li> </ul>	G.
	e Training Project Staff	1=
en de la companya de La companya de la comp	• Setting Up an Evaluation Process	Ţ.
		_

vi

Page

( )

0

APPENDIX: FORMS AND LEGISLAMON USED IN THE DES MOINES PROGRAM

# CHAPTER 1: PROGRAM

The first chapter of this handbook summarizes the four components of the Des Moines Community Corrections program and identifies the program's basic approach. A more detailed description of the structure, policies and procedures of each of the four Des Moines components is presented in Chapter 3 of this handbook.

A comprehensive, community-based corrections program--commonly called "the Des Moines program"--was organized into a single administrative unit, the Fifth Judicial District Department of Court Services, by resolution of the Polk County (Iowa) Board of Supervisors in January, 1971. The Department of Court Services administers four separate corrections programs, two of which were in operation prior to the Department's creation, and two which have been added since. Each of the components of the Des Moines program has had a significant impact on the criminal justice system of the Fifth Judicial District, and the combination of the four components within a single administrative unit has produced a unique experiment in the coordination of community corrections. Because of its novelty and success, the Des Moines Community Corrections program was the first criminal justice project in the United States to be designated "exemplary" by the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice.

The four components of the Des Moines program provide correctional services to defendants and convicted offenders at different points in the criminal justice process. Two of the components provide services at the pre-trial stage, and two respond to the needs of post-trial offenders. The four components of the Des Moines Community Corrections program are:

- 2. Supervised Release

# SUMMARY OF THE DES MOINES COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

Mumber F

Consections Elig Grown

Start ha

Unish.

1. Pre-Trial Release (ROR).

3. Probation/Pre-sendence Investigation

4. Community Correctional Facility

# 1. Pre-Trial Relase (ROR)

The Des Hoines Community Corrections program is based on the recognition that the overwhelming majority of persons who penetrate to the last step of the criminal justice system--corrections--come from the uneducated, the unskilled, and the least affluent segments of the population. The first disability which such persons face occurs immediately following arrest. A defendant who is poor typically remains in jail prior to trial, despite the presumption of innocence, because he is unable to raise money for bond or bail. Because he is jailed prior to trial, he is less able to participate in his own defense and is, therefore, more likely to be convicted. If convicted, he is more likely to be incarcerated because he has been unable to demonstrate a post-arrest ability to behave in a constructive manner. In addition, pre-trial incarceration may result in the loss of the defendant's job, and may cause severe financial strains on his family.

The pre-trial release component of the Des Moines program is modeled on the Vera-Manhattan Bail Reform Project. It is a typical releaseon-own-recognizance program. Staff of the pre-trial release component are housed in the Municipal Court Building, site of the city jail and the Des Moines Police Department. Every defendant booked into the jail is interviewed immediately after processing. (Persons charged with simple intoxication and non-indictable traffic offenses are excluded, principally because their cases are disposed of almost immediately.) Pre-trial release staff interview the defendant to determine if he meets the criteria for release on his own recognizance. The release criteria are totally objective, and a point system is used to gauge the degree to which the defendant has stable roots in the community. Points are earned for length of residence in a particular location, stability of employment, and the presence of family ties. Points are lost as a result of the frequency and the recency of prior convictions, and because of past incidents of failure to appear for trial. If a defendant scores a total of five points, the staff recommends to the court that he be released on his own recognizance.

# $(\mathbf{e})$

Armat

# 2. Supervised Release

For those defendants who do not qualify for ROR, the options in most communities are typically stark. If the defendant is unable to secure a bond or usually post bail, in most-communities he must remain in jail pending trial. In Des Moines, however, there is another option. The supervised release component, perhaps the most innovative element of the Des Moines program, involves a form of "pre-trial probation". Indeed, one of the explicit goals of the supervised release component is to assist selected defendants to become qualified for probation as a final disposition in the event of conviction.

1-3-

X

Defendants who fail to score a sufficient number of points to qualify for release on their own recognizance, but who may be qualified for supervised release, are referred to the supervised release screening staff by ROR interviewers. A member of the supervised release staff then interviews the defendant. Unlike the ROR interview, however, the supervised release interview is open-ended and the decision as to whether the defendant qualifies for entry into the component is subjective.

Since this component has the clearly defined goal of preparing releasees for probation, the emphasis is on the client's disabilities and the task is to assist the client in solving very specific and practical problems. This approach begins during the selection process, where, contrary to general practice, the incarcerated defendant is evaluated largely on the basis of the negative aspects of his position. That is, the disabilities which mitigate against his being granted probation are identified and a judgment is made as to the likelihood that the staff can assist the defendant to overcome those disabilities. If the defendant is unemployed, that fact mitigates against probation. Thus, helping the defendant to find a job becomes part of his "treatment" program. If a contributing factor to unemployment is an inadequate educational background, remedial education becomes part of the treatment program.

If 1) the supervised release staff believes that the specific disabilities of the defendant can be overcome in a carefully structured program of supervision, counseling and treatment, and 2) the interviewer feels that the defendant is willing to participate actively in such supervision, the defendant is recommended for release into the custody of the supervised release staff. If the court approves the release, the defendant is assigned a counselor, is given a psychological, vocational and educational evaluation, and a specific treatment plan is developed with the defendant. Treatment typically involves job development assistence, and the defendant's participation in vocational and educational programs, marital counseling, or alcohol or drug abuse programs.

# 3. Probation / Pre-sentence Investigation

11

Although the probation component is the most traditional element in the Des Moines program, the consolidation of correctional programs in the Department of Court Services has made probation an important link in the chain of services provided to defendants and convicted offenders. Formerly, if granted probation, the convicted offender was transferred to the probationary supervision of the State Bureau of Adult Corrections. However, the probation function developed as a county responsibility in Polk County during the late 1960s, largely as a result of the increased utilization by the courts of probationary dispositions for indictable misdemeanor convictions. In 1971, responsibility for full probation supervision of felons and misdemeanants alike was transferred to the new Department of Court Services.

Probation officers in the Des Moines program are housed in the same building used by the supervised release staff. This physical proximity is also matched by a close working relationship between the staffs of the two components. Since supervised release is aimed in part at helping defendants build a "track record" which will qualify them for probation if they are convicted, the probation effort is structured so as to continue the treatment and counsling objectives of supervised release. Although the goal of the probation component is more generalized -- to help the client to lead a law abiding life-- the major thrust of the probation unit continues to be problem-solving rather than surveillance and control.

Two basic functions are performed in the probation component: 1) pre-sentence investigation and 2) probation supervision. As in other jurisdictions, the purpose of the pre-sentence investigation function is to provide data which will aid the court in determining an appropriate sentence for the convicted offender and to assist institutional and/or community supervision staff in developing an appropriate correctional plan for the offender. In Des Moines, pre-sentence investigations typically are conducted within a period of two to four weeks, and a report is submitted to the court which presents objective and attitudinal data about the offender and contains recommendations as to which of the time basic sentencing options seems most appropriate for the offender: (1, 1) a suspended sentence, (3) probation, (4) commitment to a community correctional facility, 4) commitment to county jail, or (5) commitment to state prison.

In the case of offenders assigned to probation supervision, a probation officer and the client develop a probation contract. Typically, this contract will be based on the client's earlier treatment plan if he participated in the supervised release component prior to conviction, and will emphasise realistic steps which the client can take to address practical problems.

# 4. Community Correctional Facility

a.

deferril

( )

Senserers

The fourth component of the Des Moines program is a community-based correctional facility for men (the fourth component also includes a small women's facility). The men's facility is a 50-bed, non-secure institution which is housed in a renovated barracks at Fort Des Moines, · a partially-deactivated Army base at the edge of the Des Moines city limits. The Fort Des Moines facility was originally developed in 1971 as one way of easing the chronic overcrowding which had led to the repeated condemnation of the Polk County jail. However, Fort Des Moines

1-4

1-5

now serves more felons than misdemeanants, and thus cases the burden on the state prison system as well.

Although Fort Des Moines is occasionally used for offenders on the way out of prison, it is not a conventional half-way house. Rather, it is, by statute, a jail and is used primarily to house sentenced offenders for the entire duration of their sentence. As in the supervised release and probation components, the emphasis at Fort Des Moines is on a problemsolving approach to the needs of each client. Based on an extremely low client/counselor ratio (there is approximately one staff person for every two clients), the facility features intensive interaction between clients and staff. After a client enters the facility, he is evaluated, a treatment plan is developed, and a performance contract is signed. Each Fort Des Moines client is expected to work while committed to the facility. and the staff includes a three-man job development unit.

The Fort Des Moines facility emphasizes helping the client within a community setting. Clients work at jobs in the community, and are referred for services to community agencies (for educational programs, family and marital counseling, health care, vocational training, psychiatric counseling, etc.). As clients increase their employment or educational achievement, they become qualified for rewards which include overnight or weekend furloughs.

Although physical security devices are minimal at the Fort--there are no bars or fences---both the number of staff present and the use of informal observation techniques diminish security problems. In addition, the local police and sheriff's departments receive a weekly listing of Fort Des Moines residents which indicates where each resident is to be at specified hours of each day. This information is available to patrol officers who may see a Fort Des Moines inmate in the community.

Other program procedures also fulfill a control function. Because of the location of Fort Des Moines and the inadequacy of local public transportation, the facility also has its own vans which are used to transport residents of the Fort to and from work.

The Des Moines Program Components--Are They New?

3

Although the Des Moines program has received a lot of attention and has been declared an "exemplary" program by the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, what's new about the four Des Moines components? Not much, really. Most of the components have been discussed for many years, and most communities have at least one of them. Pre-trial release (ROR), for example, was first developed in New York more than ten years ago as the Vera-Manhattan Bail Reform Project. After the Vera project proved successful, many communities throughout the country incorporated a form of ROR into their own correctional system. Probation has been around even longer, and nearly every jurisdiction has some form of probation program available for convicted offenders. Other communities have also experimented with community correctional facilities, and although Fort Des Moines has developed a number of original approaches, the basic idea is not really new. Of all the four components, the supervised release element is the most innovative but even in this area other jurisdictions have experimented with methods of providing pre-trial supervision as a condition of release.

In addition, there are many innovative correctional programs which are not available in Des Moines. Chapter 5 of this handbook briefly describes at least a portion of the "universe" of corrections programs which have been tried in various jurisdictions. Many of these programs-e.g., citation release and deferred prosecution--are not part of the Des Moines system but have become standard elements in other criminal justice systems.

What is new is the way in which the four Des Moines components have been pulled together under a single administrative agency--the Department of Court Services. The Des Moines program is based, in large part, on coordination. Coordination is a concept that is talked about often. but seldom accomplished. But in Des Moines, coordination happens. And both the Des Moines staff and the National Institute believe that it's

the coordination underlying the Des Moines approach that has made the program so successful.

The Des Moines program is coordinated in two basic ways: administratively and functionally. The program is administratively coordinated by its very structure. (An organizational chart of the Des Moines project may be found in Chapter 4 of this handbook, along with a more detailed discussion of the role which coordination plays in the program.) The Department of Court Services is the administrative unit responsible for all four of the Des Moines components. By having a single administrative focal point, the Des Moines program unites four solid correctional components into an integrated whole, and provides a unified structure for additional components which may be added in the future. It avoids the overlapping responsibilities which often fragment the delivery of correctional services in other jurisdictions.

In addition, the Des Moines program features functional coordination. The process by which each of the four components provides correctional services is coordinated with the procedures of the other components as well. Information sharing techniques and other methods are used to shape the program's components into a continuum, so that correctional services are provided to persons involved in different stages of the criminal justice process. This functional coordination enables the program to serve very different types of accused and convicted offenders--from providing simple pre-trial release for low-risk, relatively stable defendants to providing intensive counseling, supervision and treatment services to high-risk defendants or convicted offenders who may lack even the most basic elements of self-respect and self-discipline.

No one claims that the Des Moines program is a panacea for the correctional problems of any or all communities. Nor does it embody all of the corrections programs that have proven successful in other jurisdictions. But it does represent a careful attempt to pull four tested correctional components together into a well-structured administrative unit. It provides one effective model for organizing a number

of correctional programs, a model to which new components can easily be added.

The purpose of studying the Des Moines program, then, is not to suggest that it should be precisely duplicated by other communities. The correctional needs and problems of other communities are too varied for that to work. Instead, the Des Moines program is described in this handbook as an experience from which other communities can learn. By studying the Des Moines approach, it is hoped that other communities will take a fresh look at their criminal justice system and find new ways to upgrade the quality of correctional services.

()

#### DOES THE DES MOINES PROGRAM WORK? CHAPTER 2:

Chapter 1 presented a brief summary of the four components of the Des Moines Community Corrections program. A more detailed description of each of the components, together with a discussion of the forms and procedures used in the program, is presented in Chapter 3. But before looking at the Des Moines program in greater detail, it makes sense to ask: does it work? What are the costs of the program developed in Des Moines, and what benefits has it achieved? Chapter 2, therefore, identifies some of the costs and benefits associated with the program. In addition to describing some costs and benefits which are difficult to quantify, it summarizes the results of a major evaluation of the Des Moines program which was conducted by the Research Center of the National Council on Crime and Delinquency (NCCD). A-copy-of-the-complete-NCCD conduction-will be distributed at the training conference.

# Program Costs

 $\bigcirc$ 

0

In any field as complex as corrections, it is always easier to measure costs than benefits. Correctional programs are expensive, and the dollar costs associated with them are often high. Benefits, on the other hand-even dollar benefits -- are more difficult to trace and to measure. Nonetheless, this chapter of the handbook will attempt to describe both costs and benefits involved in the Des Moines Community Corrections program. One of the major cost implications of the Des Moines program is its empha-. sis on client "treatment" and problem-solving. This approach requires the program to have a large counseling staff to evaluate the individual problems of program clients and to work with the clients in developing individualized treatment plans. And, once a plan has been developed with a client, counselors are needed to monitor the client's progress in trying to carry out that plan. Much of the success of the Des Moines program has been attributable to the fact that, in most components, the ratio of clients to counselors has been kept low. But, just as this approach seems to be effective, it is also expensive.

The total cost of the four components of the Des Moines program is approximately \$766,000. Table 1 indicates the relative cost of each of the four program components.

# TABLE 1

Cost of Des Moines Program Components\*

Component	Annual Cost
Pre-Trial Release	\$ 58,756.00
Supervised Release	157,792.00
Probation**	161,551.00
Fort Des Moines	387,909.00
Total	\$766,008.00

\*Costs of the four components from January 1-December 31, 1973. Total does not include the cost of the women's residential facility and of two regional offices in other counties of the Fifth Judicial District.

\*\*Probation costs include both the pre-sentence investigation and probation supervision functions.

As the table indicates, the most expensive components of the Des Moines program are those which are treatment-oriented: supervised release, probation and the community correctional facility. The pre-trial release (ROR) component screens defendants and recommends to the court which ones meet the established criteria and should be released on their own recognizance before trial. The program does not provide counseling or other treatment-oriented services to such defendants, and, as a result, the costs of the ROR component are far less than those of the other three components--both in absolute terms and in per client costs. And although probation supervision does involve counseling, the fact that caseloads are higher in probation (approximately 65-78 clients for each counselor) than in supervised release (approximately 20-25 clients per counselor) or at the community correctional facility (approximately two clients per staff member) makes probation cheaper. (The probation cost indicated in Table 1 includes PSI costs as well as supervision. In terms of supervision alone, probation is less expensive than supervised release.)

In addition to dollar costs, however, there are other less quantifiable costs which are always involved in the development of a new program: organizational costs. In the case of a program which attempts to change the basic structure of correctional service delivery, these costs can be



 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\left( \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right)$ 

significant. At the most basic level, organizational costs may include "turf disputes"--opposition or constraints to the program caused by agencies or officials whose "turf", or operational jurisdiction, is threatened by the program. Any new program changes the structure by which services are provided, and structural changes often entail changes in the levels of political or bureaucratic power. Anyone who has tried to do something in a new way has encountered the institutional or individual inertia that can often impede what appears to be a rational change. And when changes are made, the sense that someone's turf has been encroached upon may lead to program costs which cannot be measured in dollars.

In addition, a program like the Des Moines project, which is grounded in a clear correctional philosophy, may also encounter organizational costs which occur because others involved in the correctional process do not share the program's philosophy. The Des Moines project was developed gradually, and the initial lack of competing correctional programs made it easier to introduce changes (since there was less organized turf to disrupt), but the program did encounter several types of organizational constraints:

- a report of the arrest). And in some cases this was true.
- by the Bureau, leading to some "turf-related" disputes.

e Although the concept of release on the defendant's own recognizance is not new, the pre-trial release component did encounter some opposition from policemen who objected to the quick release of. those arrested. Some officers complained that the defendant was back on the streets before the policeman (who was required to write

• The Iowa State Bureau of Adult Corrections had responsibility for conducting pre-sentence investigations and supervising felony probationers prior to the creation of the Des Moines program. As the program expanded, it absorbed the probationary functions performed

• The community-based nature of the Fort Des Moines facility led to some early conflicts with the local police and sheriff's departments. Officers would see a person whom they had arrested and who had been convicted and sentenced to jail (Fort Des Moines is technically a jail) out on the streets. This led to the re-arrest of some Fort Des Moines clients, and to a basic philosophical conflict between the program staff and clients (who felt that Fort Des Moines residents were (unfairly) being harassed by the police) and law enforcement officers (who felt that the Fort Des Moines facility was a "country club" rather than a jail). The conflict was resolved by providing local law enforcement agencies with a weekly list of where and when Fort Des Moines residents were to be in the community, but the philosophical objections of some law enforcement officers to the program continue to some extent.

# Program Effectiveness

Any attempt to gauge the benefits of the Des Moines program must be based on an analysis of the program's effectiveness in achieving its stated objectives. Although the specific objectives of the Des Moines program vary among components, the program as a whole had a set of four hierarchical objectives, ranging from the "immediate" to the "ultimate":

- e Immediate Objective: To protect the community from additional crime during the pre-trial or correction period. (This objective is referred to as "Community Safety" in the evaluation.)
- e Enabling Objective: To utilize community resources to the maximum extent possible. (This objective is referred to as "Resource Utilization" in the evaluation.)
- @ Intermediate Objective: To integrate the offender into society. (This objective is referred to as "Social Effectiveness" in the evaluation.)
- o Ultimate Objective: To assure that the accused appears for trial (pre-trial objective) and to reduce future criminal behavior (posttrial objective). (This objective is referred to as "Correctional Effectiveness" for the post-trial components.)

The Des Moines program has been evaluated several times, most comprehensively in February 1974. The evaluation of the program was conducted by the Research Center of the National Council on Crime and Delinquency. Following the definition of program objectives, data covering January-November 1973 were gathered and analyzed. The four objectives described above were measured in a number of different ways:

- period of assignment to each program component.
- no community services to its clients.
- viding services to its clients.
- 4. a) Long Range Objectives for Pre-Trial Programs:
  - Appearance for Trial
  - Conviction Rate

Incarceration Rate The ultimate objective of the pre-trial components is to assure that their clients appear for trial and attend all their court appearances. Thus, appearance rate is the primary criterion against which the success of the pre-trial components was measured. However, the ability of the two pre-trial components to screen out criminals and predict individuals who will be neither convicted nor incarcerated is also an objective. Thus, each component's conviction rate (the proportion of adjudicated persons in each component who are convicted) and incarceration rate (the proportion of convicted persons in each component who are eventually incarcerated) were determined. These rates were then compared with the conviction and incarceration rates for those persons who are detained prior to trial in jail and for those persons who are released after posting bail.

• Reducing Future Criminal Behavior The reduction of future criminal behavior (the lowering of the recidivism rate) is the ultimate objective of both the probation

2-4

C

()

 $\bigcirc$ 

-11

1. Community Safety: Community safety was measured by the number of new alleged offenses committed by program clients during their

2. Resource Utilization: This objective was measured by the degree to which each component utilized existing community resources and was complemented by other community programs. Pre-trial release. however, was not measured against this objective since it provides

3. Social Effectiveness: The integration of the offender into society was measured primarily by employment rates, occupational levels. and educational attainment during a client's participation in a particular component. Once again, pre-trial release was not measured against this objective, since it is not concerned with pro-

b) Long Range Objective for Post-Conviction Programs:

and community correctional facility components. The primary measurement of this objective was the number of alleged new offenses committed by persons who were terminated from each post-conviction component. New offenses include felonies, indictable misdemeanors. and non-indictable misdemeanors.

In general, the objectives of the four components reflect the competing goals of community safety and treatment. Obviously, community safety can best be achieved by isolating potential and convicted criminals from the rest of society and placing them in secure prisons or jails. On the other hand, treatment is considered to be a necessary ingredient in the prevention of future criminal activity, and thus correctional programs should provide for the reintegration of the offender into society. But effective social reintegration necessarily means the loss of some community safety. Though a trade-off does exist between these two competing goals, all four components of the Des Moines project are designed to treat the offender without permitting an intolerable increase in criminal activity.

Over and above the program objectives described above, each component must also be evaluated in terms of financial effectiveness. Even if a particular component does in fact achieve all of its stated goals, the costs of operating the program might not justify its benefits. Each component must therefore be evaluated in terms of its cost (either on a per day basis or per average-length-of-program basis) and then compared with the cost of alternative programs. Furthermore, the four components do not operate in a vacuum; to the extent that offenders and potential offenders are channeled into one of the four components, fewer resources need be expended in operating the Polk County Jail, the state prison, and other correctional institutions. Thus, the cost of operating all four Des Moines components must be compared with the increased cost of operating other state, county and local correctional programs were there no Des Moines project.

Finally, the financial benefits of the Des Moines project can not be completely analyzed unless one takes into account both the wages earned by clients in the various programs and also the money saved which otherwise would have been spent in the purchase of bail bonds. If there were no pre-trial release and supervised release components, many clients would not be able to post bail, would therefore be detained in jail prior to trial and would thus be deprived of the ability to earn income. Similarly, most of the clients in Fort Des Moines, had they been incarcerated in the Polk County jail or a state institution, would also have been prevented from earning any wages after their conviction. And, if there were no pre-trial programs, large sums of money would have been spent by clients who were forced to purchase bail bonds in order to gain release prior to trial.

# 1. Pre-Trial Release (ROR)

( )

<u>Community Safety</u>: The February 1974 evaluation of the Des Moines project analyzed the records of 633 clients who were released on their own recognizance and later adjudicated before the data collection period ended. The number and rate of alleged new offenses committed by these clients were then compared with the similar figures for clients released to the supervised release program and for clients released on bail during the same period. Table 2 indicates the results.

New Pre-Trial Release 50 26 Bail Supervised Release 45

As the table indicates, the pre-trial release component was successful in meeting its objective of maintaining public safety. The new offense slightly lower than the rate for pre-trial release clients was marginally lower than the rate for persons released on bail and significantly lower than that for persons released to the supervised release program.

An earlier evaluation of the pre-trial release program, summarizing the results of the program from its inception in 1964 through 1969, compared the new offense rate for persons released on their own recognizance with the rate for persons released on bail. The result was similar to that reached in the February 1974 evaluation. Specifically, only 6.45% of

2-6

### TABLE 2

Alleged New Offenses Committed During Pre-Trial Period

Offense	No New Offense	Total
(7.9%)	583	633
(8.8%)	268	· 294
(16.8%)	223	268

those persons who were "eleased on their own recognizance and later adjudicated during the period of evaluation were alleged to have committed a new offense during their pre-trial period (89 out of 1379). On the other hand, 10.83% of all persons released on bail committed new offenses prior to their adjudications (46 out of 426).

Appearance Rate: The 1974 evaluation compared the number of persons who were released on their own recognizance and who failed to appear for adjudication with the number for both the supervised release component and for those who were released on bail. The results appear in Table 3.

# TABLE 3

# Appearance Rates for Pre-Trial Groups

	Appeared	Failed to Appear	<u>Total</u>
Pre-Trial Release	625	8 (1.3%)	633
Bail	274	20 (6.8%)	294
Supervised Release	254	14 (5.2%)	268

As indicated in Table 3, the failure-to-appear rate for pre-trial releasees was lower than the rate for those released on bail and lower than the rate for those released to the supervised release program.

The success of pre-trial release in achieving a low failure-to-appear rate was also demonstrated in the earlier 1964-1969 evaluation. This evaluation found that the failure-to-appear rate for clients in the pre-trial release program was 1.68%, while the comparable rate for those released on bail was higher, 3.2%.

Conviction Rate: The 1974 evaluation compared the conviction rate for those released to the pre-trial release component with the comparable rates · for those released to the supervised release component, for those released on bail, and for those detained in jail prior to trial. The conviction rate was defined as the percent of adjudicated persons who were convicted of at least one offense. The results (as displayed in Table 4, below)



indicate that, although the highest conviction rate was for pre-trial releasees, there was no significant difference among any of the four categories.

TABLE 4

	Conviction	Rates	fo
•	<b></b>		

	Convicted	Not Convicted	<u>Total</u>
Pre-Trial Release	419 (66.2%)	214	633
Bail	179 (60.9%)	115	294
Supervised Release	157 (58.6%)	111	268
Jail	97 (62,2%)	59	156

The earlier, 1964-1969 evaluation compared the conviction rate of pretrial release with the comparable rate for those released on bail. In that study, the pre-trial release rate was actually lower (50.3%) than the bail rate (72.1%).

Incarceration Rate: The 1974 evaluation indicated that only 4.3% of those persons released on their own recognizance and later convicted were eventually incarcerated. This incarceration rate was far lower than the comparable rate for convicted persons who had previously been released to the supervised release component, released on bail, or detained in jail prior to trial. Table 5 displays the relative rates.

P

R

S

J.

()

Incarcerated	Not Incarcerated	<u>Total</u>
18 ( 4.3%)	401	419
25 (13.9%)	154	179
. 37 (23.6%)	120	157
59 (60.8%)	38	97
	18 ( 4.3%) 25 (13.9%) 37 (23.6%)	18 ( 4.3%)       401         25 (13.9%)       154         37 (23.6%)       120

or Pre-Trial Groups

TABLE 5

Incarceration Rates for Convicted Persons

As indicated in Table 5, the incarceration rates for persons who were released prior to trial (pre-trial release, supervised release and bail) were significantly lower than the rate for those persons detained in jail prior to trial. Although there may be many reasons for this substantial disparity in rates, one possible explanation is that pre-trial detention in and of itself is a factor which influences judges to incarcerate a convicted defendant.

### 2. Supervised Release

<u>Community Safety</u>: As indicated above in Table 2, 16.8% of all persons who were released to the supervised release component and who were adjudicated prior to the termination of the data collection period were alleged to have committed new offenses during their pre-trial period. This new offense rate was higher than the new offense rate for pre-trial releasees and for bail releasees.

Although supervised release cannot, therefore, be adjudged completely successful in meeting the community safety objective, it should be noted that over 40% of the new offenses allegedly committed by the supervised release group was committed by persons who either were initially rejected by the supervised release program or whose participation in bail release or pre-trial release was subsequently revoked. This fact indicates that initial judgments made in either rejecting clients or revoking their previous release status are generally better than subsequent decisions. If the initial judgments had been followed, the supervised release program would have achieved a far better community safety record.

The results of the more limited earlier evaluation, which was completed in May 1973 but which utilized different criteria and objectives than the February 1974 evaluation, generally support the results detailed in Table 2. The May 1973 evaluation showed that the new offense rate for clients released to the supervised release component was 23.3%, while the new offense rate for bail releasees was slightly lower (20.7%). But those defendants rejected by the project but later released on bail had a significantly higher re-arrest rate (34.4%).

<u>Resource Utilization</u>: The February 1974 evaluation revealed that the level and variety of utilization of community resources were extremely high for the supervised release component. During the 1973 data collection period, 415 community services were utilized by 269 clients; these services were focused primarily in the areas of psychological evaluation and treatment, employment and vocational upgrading, and education. These 415 services were provided by 42 outside community agencies.

Social Effectiveness: The February 1974 evaluation indicated that the supervised release program measurably increased the extent to which its clients functioned productively and lawfully within society. New educational diplomas or degrees were received by 6.4% of all clients for whom data was available during their period of assignment to the component. Moreover, while only 50% of the program's clients were employed at the time of arrest, 80.3% were employed during their period of assignment to supervised release. Finally, the average client earned \$1,433 in wages during his period of assignment.

<u>Appearance Rate</u>: As indicated in Table 3 above, supervised release was successful in achieving a low failure-to-appear rate. Only 5.2% of all persons assigned to the program failed to make their court appearances. Although this rate was higher than the rate for pre-trial release, it was lower than the failure-to-appear rate for bail releasees (6.8%).

The earlier evaluation of supervised release, which was completed in May 1973, corroborates the results listed in Table 3. That evaluation showed that the failure-to-appear rate for persons released to the supervised release component (1.8%) was approximately the same as the rate for those released on bail (1.1%). (Recent increases in failure to appear rates reflect an upgrading of data collection techniques.)

<u>Conviction Rate</u>: As indicated in Table 4, the supervised release program was effectively able to screen out some persons who were eventually convicted. The conviction rate for persons assigned to supervised release and subsequently adjudicated was actually slightly lower (58.6%) than the conviction rate for those assigned to pre-trial release (66.2%), for those released on bail (60.9%), and for those detained in jail prior to trial (62.2%).

Once again, the earlier evaluation of supervised release that was completed in May 1973 contained similar findings. The conviction rate for persons assigned to supervised release and later adjudicated was very slightly lower (63.8%) than the conviction rate both for persons released on bail (64.0%) and for persons detained in jail prior to trial (71.4%).

Incarceration Rate: As indicated in Table 5, the results of the February 1974 evaluation showed that 23.6% of the clients who had been assigned to the supervised release component and later convicted were eventually incarcerated. This incarceration rate is significantly higher than the incarceration rate for pre-trial releasees (4.3%) and bail releasees (13.9%). However, one must bear in mind that supervised release is a program designed for "higher-risk" defendants who do not quality for pre-trial release. Thus, it is logical that the incarceration rate for supervised releasees is fairly high. Further, as Table 5 indicates, the incarceration rate for supervised release is far below the rate for those detained prior to trial in jail (60.8%). As mentioned above, the extremely high incarceration rate for those detained in jail prior to trial indicates that pre-trial detention may itself be a factor which influences judges to incarcerate a convicted defendant. Thus, supervised release, by freeing a person prior to trial and letting him build a "track record", may reduce the sentencing inequities which result when a person awaiting trial is incarcerated.

The earlier evaluation of May 1973 reinforces the results of Table 5. In this earlier evaluation period, the incarceration rate for those assigned to supervised release (18.9%) was far below the rate for those detained in jail prior to trial (37.5%), but was higher than the rate for those released on bail (9.9%).

# 3. Probation

<u>Community Safety</u>: The February 1974 evaluation analyzed 232 clients who were released from probation during the evaluation period of 1973. During this period, 31.5% of these clients allegedly committed new offenses. Over one-third of these alleged offenses were felonies.

This number of alleged new offenses (73) is high, and it indicates that the probation program was not completely effective in meeting its community safety objective. However, it should be noted that a majority of the clients assigned to probation had been convicted of felonies, and, further, that these clients had contact with their supervising probation officers for only a short period of time. Because the opportunity to commit criminal offenses



is significantly greater for probation clients than for clients of more restrictive correctional programs, it is not surprising that the new offense rate for probation was so high.

<u>Resource Utilization</u>: One of the primary features of community-based corrections programs is the greater use of existing community resources which such programs permit. The probation program was extremely successful in placing its clients in educational, vocational, treatment, and counseling services.

Une hundred and twenty (120) or 52% of all persons terminated from the probation component during the evaluation period received one or more community services. A total of 305 services were provided by 50 different agencies and programs. Approximately one-third of the services were work-related (employment or vocational), and another one-third involved counseling or psychological, drug, or alcohol treatment.

<u>Social Effectiveness</u>: As indicated above, social effectiveness measures the successful integration of a client into the community and is measured primarily by means of examining the employment and educational achievement of the client while on probation. Clients whose probation is revoked or who are transferred to more restrictive correctional programs cannot be considered to have been successful in functioning legally and productively within society. Thus, the social effectiveness of the probation program was determined by comparing the pre-assignment and post-termination educational and employment characteristics of clients who were favorably terminated from probation.

A limited amount of educational upgrading occurred for the 169 clients who were favorably terminated from probation during the evaluation period. Twenty-two (22) service referrals were made to educational programs, and 16 new diplomas or degrees were received by these successful probation clients.

Increases in employment and occupational levels were more dramatic. The employment rate increased among program clients from 67% at the time of assignment to 83% at the time of termination. Moreover, a significant shift occurred in the movement of workers from unskilled occupational

2-12

( )

(

(]]

categories into the semi-skilled and skilled categories. Between the time of assignment and time of termination, the number of semi-skilled workers increased 68% and the number of skilled workers 43%.

<u>Correctional Effectiveness</u>: The ultimate objective of the probation component is to release to society clients who will commit no additional criminal acts. Thus, correctional effectiveness was measured by identifying new offenses committed by clients after they were terminated from the probation program. All new offenses which were alleged to have been committed by terminated clients between their date of termination (on or after January 1, 1973) and December 15, 1973, were included in the study. However, since the arrest records of the state of Iowa Bureau of Criminal Investigation were not made available, the Feburary 1974 evaluation included only those new offenses which were committed or alleged to have been

The evaluation indicates that the probation program was successful in achieving correctional effectiveness. During the evaluation period, only 26 of the 232 terminited clients (or 11.2%) allegedly committed an offense. Of these 26 clients, only 6 (or 2.5%) were charged with an indictable offense (4 were charged with felonies and 2 with indictable misdemeanors).

# 4. Community Correctional Facility

<u>Community Safety</u>: During the period of evaluation, 171 clients were assigned to Fort Des Moines, and 116 of them were terminated. Only 16 of these 116 clients (13.8%) allegedly committed offenses while assigned to Fort Des Moines. Of the 16 alleged new offenses, 14 were felonies, one was a misdemeanor and one was an indictable misdemeanor. Most of the offenses related to absconsion from the program, and none involved acts relating to property, six, public morals, children, or drug abuse.

The one alleged new misdemeanor offense occurred after 62 days of assignment to the program, while the one indictable misdemeanor was charged after 88 days in the program. The clients charged with new felonies committed the alleged offense an average of 124 days after entry into the program.

These statistics demonstrate that the community corrections facility

# 100 - <sup>1</sup>11

2-14

 $\bigcirc$ 

was very effective in achieving community safety, despite the fact that many of the clients exhibited "high risk" characteristics such as prior convictions, unemployment, and a history of drug or excessive alcohol use. A prior evaluation, prepared in July 1973 and covering an 18-month period, reached the same conclusion. Specifically, it also found that only 13% of the program's clients allegedly committed new offenses while assigned to the residential facility.

<u>Resource Utilization</u>: In 1973, the clients of Fort Des Moines received 195 services from a total of 37 community agencies. The typical client who participated in community service training or counseling received an average of 2.5 referrals from the facility. During this period, the program increasingly focused both upon maintaining or upgrading the employment of persons who were already employed at the time of their assignment to Fort Des Moines and also upon obtaining employment for those who were unemployed. A majority of the services (54%) dealt with employment, education, and vocational training. In general, it appears that the services provided through the community corrections facility were tailored to meet the special needs of the individual client and that a substantial number of outside resources were frequently utilized by the facility.

The earlier evaluation of the community corrections facility, completed in July 1973, also found that the program was effectively utilizing outside community resources. The typical client studied during this 18-month evaluation received an average of 3.25 outside referrals. Most of the referrals (53%) concerned employment, education, and vocational training, though a significant number (18%) in this earlier evaluation related to drug or alcohol treatment.

<u>Social Effectiveness</u>: The February 1974 evaluation found that the community corrections component was successful in increasing the educational and employment levels of its clients. The evaluation analyzed only those clients who were favorably terminated from the program. The 32 clients unfavorably terminated were transferred to other correctional institutions or to jail and were obviously not successfully integrated into the community. As a result of educational referrals, 14 clients received new diplomas or degrees, while 6 others were actively engaged as students at the time of termination. The community corrections facility was also extremely successful in increasing employment rates and occupational levels for its clients. The employment rate for clients rose from 63% (41 out of 65) at time of assignment to the facility to 95% (62 out of 65) at time of termination. Further, an appreciable employment upgrading into the semi-skilled category occurred during assignment to the facility; the number of workers at this level increased from 20 at time of assignment to the program to 30 at the time of termination. Moreover, a significantly larger proportion of clients relied on their own employment as their primary income source following favorable termination from the program than at the time of assignment.

Once again, the earlier evaluation of July 1973 confirms the finding that the community corrections facility achieved its objective of social effectiveness. During the 18-month evaluation period, 23 new degrees were earned by clients, and the number of students increased from seven at time of assignment to 24 at time of termination. Further, employment rates increased dramatically from 40% prior to commitment to 76% after release. And, finally, the earlier evaluation indicated that the number of clients whose own employment was the primary source of income increased significantly over the period extending from before commitment to that following release.

Correctional Effectiveness: The 1974 evaluation studied the records of the 116 clients terminated from Fort Des Moines during 1973. Of these 116, 23 (19.8%) were charged with new offenses. However, only 13 of these clients (11%) were charged with indictable offenses. The average length of time between termination from the program and the time of the alleged first offense committed by a former client was approximately 6 1/2 months.

The 1974 evaluation also analyzed the new offense rate for clients released prior to 1973. Of the 246 clients released by Fort Des Moines before 1973, 101 (41%) were charged with new offenses, and 53 (21%) were charged with indictable offenses. The average period of time between release and the

commission of an alleged offense was 19 months.

These statistics indicate that the rate of new offense allegations is likely to increase over time. However, pending the broadening of data collection to include all offenses committed within the state of Iowa. the February 1974 evaluation tentatively concluded that the community corrections facility was successful in achieving correctional effectiveness. Probably the most important conclusion of the evaluation was that the community correctional facility was effectively dealing with clients who had high-risk characteristics (such as prior convictions, unemployment, and drug or excessive alcohol use). High-risk clients were charged with no more new offenses subsequent to their period of assignment than were clients with no prior convictions, more substantial employment history, and a relatively minor history of drug or alcohol use.

The earlier evaluation conducted in July 1973 generally contained similar findings as to correctional effectiveness. However, the earlier study made no attempt to describe the new offense allegations on the basis of the most serious offense alleged against each client, and also did not distinguish between indictable and non-indictable offenses. The February 1974 evaluation avoided these limits and provided a more realistic appraisal of the correctional effectiveness of Fort Des Moines.

# Financial Effectiveness of the Des Moines Program

Evaluations of the Des Moines project indicate that it has been successful in achieving its programmatic objectives. But another test of any correctional program is its financial effectiveness: how much do various elements cost, and what costs would be incurred if the program did not exist?

Correctional cost is generally calculated in two ways. Many correctional projects calculate an average "cost per day" for each client assigned to . that project. Others estimate the average length or term of a client's participation in a component, multiply the average term by the cost per day, and arrive at an "average cost per term" for each client assigned to the component. The rationale behind using the average cost per term rather than the average cost per day is that many correctional programs

2-16

()

( )

provide intensive treatment which is very expensive on a daily basis. However, these same programs enable clients to be released into the community earlier than less intensive programs and, therefore, are less expensive over the entire term or length of the program.

The February 1974 evaluation estimated both the per day and per term cost of all four Des Moines components, as well as the cost of the Polk County jail and four state-operated correctional programs. Costs for central administration were allocated proportionately to all components of the Des Moines project and also to all state-operated programs. None of the cost estimates included capital expenditures. Table 6 displays the cost per day, the average length of terms, and the cost per term for each of the Des Moines components and for the other correctional alternatives.

# TABLE 6

<u>1973 Costs (Per Day and Per Term) for the Des Moines Project,</u> <u>for the Polk County Jail, and for Programs Run</u> by the State Bureau of Adult Correction Services

<u>Cc</u>	ost Per Day	Average Length of Terms (Days)	<u>Cost Per Term</u>
1. Des Moines Project			
Pre-Trial Release	\$ 0.44	51.7	\$ 23
Supervised Release	4.84	99.3	481
Probation	1.08	359.4	388
Men's Community Corrections Facility	20.16	107.9	2,175
2. Polk County Jail	\$10.49	47.8*	\$ 501
3. Bureau of Adult Corrections			
State Penitentiary	\$17.04	693.0	\$11,809
Men's Reformatory	18.07	693.0	12,523
Parole and Probation	1.09	468.0	510

\*This figure applies only to persons awaiting trial in the jail.

As indicated in Table 6, the per day costs for both pre-trial release and supervised release are far lower than the per day cost of detaining a person in the Polk County jail prior to trial. With respect to per term cost, the expense of operating the pre-trial release program over an average term is virtually negligible. Further, though the length of time spent in supervised release is more than double the length of time spent in jail by jail detainees, the per term cost of supervised release is still lower than the per term cost of pre-trial detention in the Polk County jail.

Among the post-conviction programs, it is apparent that the per day cost of the probation component of the Des Moines project is virtually identical to the per day cost of the state-operated parole and probation unit. However, because of the shorter average period of assignment to the Des Moines probation component, its per term cost is significantly lower than the per term cost of the state parole and probation unit. Finally, though the community corrections facility is more expensive than the state penitentiary and the men's reformatory on a per day basis, it is substantially less expensive on a per term basis.

Over and above the comparative financial effectiveness of the four Des Moines components, it is also important to calculate the additional funds which would have had to be expended by the county and state correctional systems were there no Des Moines project. The 1974 evaluation estimated that, if the four Des Moines components had not been available, the number of clients assigned to the state parole and probation unit would have been increased by 515 clients per day, population of the Polk County jail would have been increased by 56 inmates per day, and the population of all other men's correctional institutions in the state would have been increased by 133 inmates per day. The actual cost savings permitted by the Des Moines project are estimated in Table 7.

 $\left( \right)$ 

 $\left( \right)$ 

2-19

# TABLE 7

Cost Savings Resulting from Handling Clients Through the Des Moines Project Rather than Through Other Programs

Program	Add'1 <u>Clients</u>	Cost Per Day	Total Add'l Cost Per Day	Total Add'l Cost Per Year
Polk County Jail	56	\$10.49	\$ 587.44	\$214,415
Probation & Parole	515	1.09	561.35	204,893
Men's Institutions	133	17.55	2,334.15	851,965
	<b>C</b>	Total Additiona	1 Costs	\$1,271,273
		1973 Costs of t Moines Project;		817,044
*Costs include women's		Total Cost Savi	ngs	\$ 454,229

As indicated in Table 7, the Des Moines project saved the county and state correctional systems an estimated \$454,229 in 1973. In addition, the Des Moines program reduced the financial burden imposed on those accused of crimes. The evaluation report estimated that the pre-trial release and supervised release components enabled defendants to save a total of nearly \$154,000 which would normally have been spent for the purchase of bail bonds.

Beyond these quantifiable savings, the Des Moines program also had other benefits. Clients who would normally have remained in jail prior to trial, those who would have been sentenced to incarceration but for their successful participation in the program, and those who were assigned to probation or to Fort Des Moines were able to continue their existing employment or were helped to find new jobs. And, apart from the importance of jobs in changing client attitudes and behavior patterns, increased client employment led to a wide range of benefits:

- taxes on client wages provided support for local government;
- clients were enabled to continue supporting their families, thus reducing state welfare costs;
- by not removing clients from their spouses and children, marriages were saved, and fewer children became wards of the courts;

• wages carned by pre-trial clients were used to hire private defense counsel, thus reducing the burden on the public defender system.

But perhaps the best indication of the effectiveness of the Des Moines program is to be found at the most pragmatic level. The funding for the Des Moines project has, in the past, come primarily from the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. However, because of the demonstrated success of the Des Moines program in meeting the correctional needs of the community, the Iowa State Legislature committed itself to providing total funding for the project in future years. And in the 1973 session of the legislature, "community-based corrections" was adopted as the model for future correctional programs for the entire state of Iowa. The policy and financial commitment made by the state legislature to the Des Moines approach was not based on correctional philosophies or theories in the abstract. It was grounded in the simple recognition that the Des Moines program--in both correctional and financial terms--works.



 $\bigcirc$ 

# CHAPTER 3: A CLOSE-UP LOOK AT THE FOUR DES MOINES COMPONENTS -- HOW TO DO IT

Chapter 1 of this handbook provided a summary of the four components of the Hes Moines community corrections program. In Chapter 3, those components are examined in preater detail. It discusses each element of the Des Moines project in how-to-do-it terms. Each component--pre-trial release (KOR), supervised polease, probation, and the community correctional facility--is described in terms of its purpose, its administrative structure, and its procedures. Chapter 3 thus provides a step by step analysis of the Des Moines community corrections system. In addition, the final scation of Chapter 3 describes some of the general administrative features of the Des Moines project, including component cost breakdowns, staff salary levels, etc. Chapter 3-also includes an appendix which contains the various forms utilized in each of the four program components and in Supplements Then chapter the administration of the program as a whole, and selected legislation which affects the operation of the Des Moines program.

. 3-1

0

6. 12.

C.S. Marchill

id inclus

COLOR STATES 2

10

a literation is a literation

# PRE-TRIAL RELEASE (ROR)

### Purpose

The purpose of the pre-trial release-on-own-recognizance (ROR) component of the community corrections program is to release, without money bond, adult defendants whose stable roots in the community indicate that they will appear in court for trial.

### Administration

The pre-trial release component's office is located in the Des Moines Municipal Court building--the same building that houses the city jail. However, the component also serves defendants who are detained in both the West Des Moines lock-up and the Polk County jail.

The pre-trial release component is staffed by a supervisor, a secretary, and five interviewers. The supervisor is responsible for overall administration of the component including the hiring, firing, and supervision of staff. In addition, the pre-trial release component's supervisor serves as a member of the supervised release component's "selection team." (See discussion of supervised release component.). This arrangement helps to assure coordination between the two components.

The secretary is responsible for all office operations and the maintenance of all files and records. In addition, the secretary mails out reminders of court appearance dates or calls defendants to notify them of any changes in scheduled appearances.

The interviewers are all law students who work on a part-time basis. They are responsible for conducting and verifying all eligibility interviews with defendants and for preparing recommendations regarding release. From Interviewers are available on a twenty-four hour a day basis seven days a week on a 24 hour basis. The ROR supervisor is on Call at all these tor Exceptional

Interviewers are selected on the basis of their interest in the program, their understanding and competence, and their ability to work day or night shifts or on weekends. The employment of law students as part-time interviewers has proven successful in Des Moines. However, some other ROR programs utilize full-time paid professionals, while yet others are staffed by volunteer interviewers. ROR programs are, in general, highly structured and utilize objective testing procedures; therefore, ROR interviewers do not have to be experienced professionals in order to be effective.

# Process

There are five major steps involved in the ROR process. They are:

- -- Identification of detainees of --Conduct of ROR interviews
- --Verification of responses
- --Release and
- --Follow-up prior to trial

# --Identification of detainees

The interviewers on the staff of the pre-trial release component are responsible for identifying potentially eligible defendants. During court hours (8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.) there are two interviewers on duty in the pre-trial release component's office. They call the municipal jail every half hour and contact the West-Des-Moines-lock-up and the Polk County jail periodically throughout the day to obtain information about arrests and bookings.

One interviewer is on duty between 4:00 p.m. and 8:00 arms Defendants arrested during that period are identified when the interviewer makes periodic checks by telephone or, in some cases, when jailers at the various facilities call the pre-trail release office to inform them that someone has been arrested.

()

The telephone number of the pre-trial release component's office is also posted in a conspicuous location near the booking desk in each detention facility in the county, and a growing number of detainees now call the office directly to request assistance. In yet other cases, people wanted by the police contact the pre-trial release component and come to the office with their attorneys or relatives to be interviewed prior to surrendering themselves to the police.

Finally, to make certain that every potentially eligible defendant in pre-trial detention in the county has been interviewed, the pre-trial release component's staff compiles and reviews a Jail Inmate Status Sheet on a weekly basis (see Appendix, ROR Form 1).

Information about booking charges is used by the interviewers to determine whether a detainee is eligible to be considered for pre-trial release. Those detainees arrested for intoxication, together-with-those arrested for-non-indictable-traffic\_offenses, those arrested on a bench warrant issued for "failing to appear" for trial in the past, and those detainees subject to other specific "holds" or warrants issued by law enforcement or correctional agencies, are not eligible for ROR. Parole and probation violators are only considered for ROR if the parole or probation officer assigned to their case specifically approves.

All other people arrested and detained in municipal or county facilities for offenses that would otherwise be bailable are eligible to be considered for release. Those detainees subject to "holds" are also considered eligible if and when their "hold" is lifted by the agency that originally requested it. The two regional offices of the Department of Court Survices are responsible for assessing eligibility for both ROR and supervised release of all those people arrested and detained outside of Polk County but within the boundaries of the other 15 counties that comprise Iowa's Fifth Judicial District. Early in the history of pre-trial release, eligibility was more strictly defined. Before the judiciary became comfortable with the idea of formal release on recognizance only those defendants charged with lesser felonies or misdemeanors were eligible to be interviewed. Even then, the judiciary was reluctant to accept the recommendations of the project in questionable cases. As program data began to reveal that a greater number of defendants could be released without jeopardizing the objectives of the project, the judiciary became more comfortable with the idea of ROR. At present, only a small percentage of those arrested in the county are considered ineligible for ROR by the court. This evolutionary process has characterized much of the Des Moines program. As the program has demonstrated its effectiveness, it has increasingly tried to expand its concepts of eligibility and to serve those persone who were previously considered ineligible.

# --Conducting ROR Interviews

ROR interviews are conducted as soon as possible after defendants are booked. Those arrested and booked during court hours (8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.) and detained in either the municipal jail or the Polk County jail are usually interviewed within an hour or two after booking. Defendants arrested after 4:00 p.m. but before 8:00 a.m. of the next day are also usually interviewed within a few hours of their arrest and prior to arraignment the following morning. In some cases, however, defendants are not interviewed until after they are arraigned, since come defendants are detained in the West-Des-Moines lock-up: and arraigned prior to their transfer to the Polk County jail.

All pre-trial ROR interviewers make use of a structured interview form that is based on--and closely resembles--the form developed by the Vera Manhattan Bail Reform Project (see Appendix, ROR Form 2). The interview form is designed to measure five basic variables which experience has proven to be directly related to the likelihood that the person will appear in court for scheduled appearances. Those variables are: length of residence in the local community; the nature and extent of local family ties; time in the local area; stability of employment; and nature and extent of prior criminal record. Four of the five variables emphasize the relationship of the defendant to the local community.

miduaht.

The defendant's responses to the questions asked in the interview are scored on an objective scale. Points are awarded for length of residence, the existence of extensive family ties in the community, employment stability, etc.--the stronger the defendant's ties to the community, the more points awarded. Points are also awarded--or subtracted--on the basis of the defendant's record of prior convictions.

Although the interview form is basically the same as the one used when the . program began in 1964, there have been some changes in the scoring system. These changes were made to emphasize those variables which experience indicated to be the most critical in determining the likelihood that a defendant--if released--would abide by the conditions of release. For example, under the original scoring system, a detainee was awarded one point for extended residence if he or she had lived in Polk County for 10 or more years. However, the evaluation of the program subsequently revealed that extended residence as a particularly good indicator of successful performance after  $ROR_{*}$  Moreover, the evaluation also suggested that an even shorter period of continuous residence than 10 years was sufficient to indicate the type of stability that correlated with successful performance in the program. Accordingly, a revised scoring system was introduced in January 1974; it provides that two extra points are to be awarded for continuous local residence of five or more years.

( )

A second modification in the scoring system focused greater attention on the detainee's prior criminal record. Originally, points were awarded on the following basis:

No prior convictions	2 points
No prior convictions within the last year	
Convictions for mis- demeanors within the last year or one felory conviction	l point
Two or more felony convictions	Minus 1 point

Recent changes in the scoring system were made to stress the importance of a clean record and to distinguish between misdemeanant and felony convictions. The scoring system now in use awards points in the following manner:

> --No prior convictions --No convictions with the last year

> --Misdemeanant convict within the last year --One prior felony con

--Two or more felony convictions

Interviews with detainees are always conducted in secure areas. In the municipal jail, interviews are conducted through the bars of the main holding cell. In the Polk County jail, an interview room is used. Each interview takes an average of from five to fifteen minutes.

When the interview is completed, the interviewer returns to the pretrial release component's office to verify the detainee's responses and to complete various administrative tasks. The name of the interviewee is recorded on the daily log (see Appendix, ROR Form 3), and an individual client index card is filled out (see Appendix, ROR Form 4). These two forms provide current information on the component's caseload, help to assure that detainees are not interviewed more than once, and simplify the monitoring of the interviewers' daily work loads.

ROR interviews must be conducted through the bars of the central holding cell. As a result, interviews are characterised both by a lack of privacy (several persons may be held in the cell at the same time) and by a certain inconvenience (interviewers must write down responses while standing in the corridor outside the cell). Communities who wish to replicate all or part of the Des Moines program should try to insure that a more suitable interview environment is available.

S	3 points
in	2 points
tions	1 point
viction	0 points

Minus 1 point



# --Verification of Responses

Verification is aimed at testing the accuracy and the truthfulness of the detainee's responses to the questions posed during the ROR interview. If the unverified score is less than the five points needed to qualify for ROR, an abbreviated verification process is used. However, a full verification is required in all cases where the unverified score is five points or more.

Whenever possible, verification of the interview is the responsibility of the interviewer who conducted it. The standard procedure is for the verification process to begin as soon after the interview as possible. In the case of a morning or afternoon interview, verification begins immediately upon the return of the interviewer to the office. For late night and early morning interviews, verification may need to be deferred until the morning. However, the interviewer is expected, whenever possible, to complete verification before the 9:00 a.m. arraignment.

For the most part, verifications are conducted in the pre-trial release office, a small room with an accompanying reception area. The office contains three desks and enough telephones to accomodate the simultaneous verification of several interviews. The staff estimates that the verification process averages about one-half hour per questionnaire.

The process of verification involves a series of reference checks (usually with family and acquaintances identified in the interview). As a rule, employers are not contacted since the pre-trial release component is careful not to jeopardize the defendant's job if he or she is employed.

Beyond basic reference checks with families and friends, the prior criminal record of the interviewee is researched through local police records, the Iowa Bureau of Criminal Investigation, the National Crime Information Center hold file and, in certain cases, the FBI hold file. A copy of an interviewee's prior record is attached to the interview questionnaire and becomes a part of the interview file.

When the verification process is completed, the verified score is compared to the unverified score. If the verified score is significantly lower than the unverified score, the interviewer may admonish the defendant, but-no penalty is assessed against a detainee who is otherwise qualified for release.

The achievement of a score of five or more points is usually sufficient to warrant a recommendation of release. However, there are cases in which individuals who qualify on the basis of their point scores are not recommended for release because they are considered to be "bad risks" as a result of a past history of non-appearance or because their offense involved dangerous substance abuse.

Detainees who are interviewed by the pre-trial release staff fall into five groups. Each group is identified by a code (as noted on the first page of the ROR interview form):

The unverified interview did not produce a high enough R-1: community stability score to recommend release; The unverified interview produced an adequate score, R-2: but the verified interview did not; Sufficient verified points; but evaluated as bad risk R-3: and/or drug abuse; R-4NA: Recommended for release, rejected by court; R-4A:

# --Release

Once the interview answers have been verified, the ROR unit secretary or the interviewer fills out an evaluation codesheet (see Appendix, ROR Form 5) which is used in the overall evaluation of the program. Each component of the program is provided with a separate colored codesheet to be filled out for each client who receives services from that component. In the case of pre-trial ROR, a codesheet is filled out for every person interviewed. Completed evaluation codesheets are sent to the central administrative office of the program and then to the project's evaluators (NCCD staff). In addition to the codesheet; the secretary also completes a release evaluation form (see Appendix, ROR Form 6), which summarizes the

due to previous history of non-appearance or alcoholism

Recommended for release, accepted by court.



information gathered during the interview. This form is filed in the ROR office to serve as an information resource if any follow-up contacts become necessary. It also serves as a back-up record which is used if the client file is ultimately transferred to any other program component (e.g., supervised release or probation).

If the score attained in an interview qualifies a person for release, a pretrial ROR release order (see Appendix, ROR Form 7) must be filled out and signed by the defendant. Occasionally, the interviewer will explain the conditions of release and have the detainee sign a release order during the initial interview, with the understanding that, if he or she qualifies for release after verification, the order will be submitted to the court to obtain authorization for release. Usually, however, the interviewer will wait until the interview has been verified before securing the defendant's signature.

After it is signed, the release order is submitted to the judge who presided at the detainee's arraignment. This step can occur as part of a bail motion by counsel for the defense at the original arraignment. However, the ROR interviewer usually presents the order--which includes his signature attesting to the defendant's qualifications for release--to the judge in his chambers after the arraignment.

If the judge accepts the recommendation of the program and authorizes the release of the defendant, he signs and dates the order and returns it to the interviewer. The interviewer then presents the official release order to the District Court Clerk's office, which is located in the Municipal Court building, to obtain an Order of Discharge (see Appendix, ROR Form 8), ordering the sheriff or chief of police to release the defendant. The interviewer then presents this Order of Discharge to the jailer at the holding facility and secures the defendant's release.

As the ROR program gained credibility with the judiciary in Des Moines, the pre-trial release staff was authorized to release-without a court and indictable misdemeaners, 4:30 order--those defendants arrested for misdemeanors between 4:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. who qualify for release on the basis of their interviews. (For those offenses covered by this option see Appendix ROR Form 9). To effect release under these circumstances, the interviewer signs a Release Order form and submits it to the jailer who then releases the defendant.

The recommendation of release submitted to the judge does not reveal the defendant's actual point score nor does the interviewer verbally provide the judge with that information. When the court receives a release order, the judge knows only that the defendant has achieved a verified score of at least five points on the ROR interview form and is, therefore, qualified for release under the terms of the program. The question of whether the defendant's point total should be reported to the court was debated in Des Moines. It was eventually decided by project staff and the judiciary not to disclose the defendant's point score in the recommendation for release (implicit in the release order) since such disclosure might tend to restrict the granting of ROR<sub>A</sub>. This issue may be expected to arise in other communities which attempt to institute an ROR program.

# --Follow-up

Although Des Moines judges have accepted the pre-trial release component's recommendations in the overwhelming majority of cases, there are some defendants who either fall short of the score needed to qualify them for ROR or who are rejected by the judge. In both instances, the defendant's file--including interview data, rap sheet, etc.--is referred to the supervisor of the ROR program for consideration by the supervised release component's "screening team" (sce below).

Those defendants who are released on their own recognizance are, in the eyes of both the community and the judiciary, the program's responsibility. The component, therefore, follows up on defendants and attempt to insure that the conditions of release are respected. Dounds.



First, as soon as release has been effected, the ROR interviewer usually takes the defendant to the pre-trial release component's office and reviews the conditions of release. in detail. Second, the defendant is given a card with the telephone number of the ROR office and the date and time of the defendant's next court appearance. Third, the secretary sends out a reminder (see Appendix, ROR Form 10) one week before the scheduled appearance date or calls the defendant if schedules are changed on short notice.

In addition, the pre-trial release component must obtain judicial permission for the defendant to travel outside of the Fifth Judicial-Districtsince the release order specifically restricts travel. In the event that a defendant wants to leave the area, a travel permission order must be filled out (see Appendix ROR Form 11). The defendant is required to detail the duration, destination and purpose of the proposed trip. The requested order is then submitted to the judge for approval. If the order is approved, the defendant is free to leave the junisdiction so long as he or she abides by any limitations specified in the order.

Failure to abide by the conditions of release makes the defendant liable for bond revocation. Pre-trial release staff typically only admonish defendants for minor violations of conditions associated with the release bond. But when major conditions of release are violated, the pre-trial release component's recourse is to apply to the court for a revocation of the ROR bond. This application (see Appendix, ROR Form 12) is usually submitted to the court either when the defendant fails to appear in court or is re-arrested on another charge while free on ROR.

### Purpose

The purpose of the pre-trial supervised release component is to serve those defendants who, because of their relative lack of community ties and/or their more serious criminal backgrounds, are denied release on their own recognizance. Moreover, the component seeks to provide a range of services to assist these defendants in rearranging their lives. The purpose of the program is, therefore, not only to release the maximum number of persons consonant with public safety (the purpose of release. Convergently, but also to assist the released defendant to become qualified for probation if convicted.

# Administration

The offices of the supervised release component are in the same building that houses the probation component and the program's central administra-tive staff. The building--a series of storefronts is located near the downtown area, but it is in a neighborhood that has a relatively high incidence of crime. Normal operating hours are scheduled to coincide with Fridays. Ane. Councedor. In the area of the state of the state of the pixel of the Myits a week.

The supervised release unit is staffed by a supervisor, two selection team members (one is also the supervisor of the ROR component and the other is an ex-convict), four counselors, a three-man job development team and a secretary. The supervisor is responsible for the overall administration of the component. He also makes all counselor assignments, passes on all release bond revocations, and carries a counseling caseload as well.

The two-man selection team is responsible for selecting defendants to participate in the program. They review case files and conduct interviews with all candidates for the program, develop recommendations for release in those instances where their experience and judgement suggest that a defendant will utilize the program's resources, present their recommendations to the court and obtain the release of those defendants following the approval of the court.

# SUPERVISED RELEASE





Counselors are responsible for working with defendants on an on-going basis throughout the pre-trial period. They administer diagnostic tests and interview defendants to identify other areas of need or problems that might be obstacles to successful participation in the program. Counselors also draw up contracts between the defendant and the program that specify both what the program expects from the defendant in the way of participation, behavior and attitudes, and what the program can be expected to provide in return. In addition, counselors are responsible for developing a "plan for action"--or treatment plan--for each defendant that defines short and long term goals and identifies the resources the counselor proposes to utilize in helping the defendant reach those goals. Each defendant's "plan for action<sub>2</sub>" then a serves as the basis for on-going counseling and referral activities.

On the average, each counselor has a caseload of 20-25 defendants. Assignment of clients is made on a random basis with no special considerations given to the respective race or sex of the client or counselor. Problem cases are subject to reassignment, but in such instances revocation of the pre-trial release bond and reincarceration are alternatives also available to the supervisor. Some special assignments are made at the suggestion of the selection team. One selection team member also has, in recent months, been assigned to work with a small caseload consisting of those clients who are deemed to be such high risk defendants that they might otherwise be rejected by the program.

The supervised release component also has access to a consulting psychiatrist who is available to the program on a regular part-time basis. The psychiatrist screens all of the participants in the program through interviews, reviews scores on diagnostic tests and consults with and advises counselors on referrals and counseling procedures.

The job development team is made up of a job developer, a vocational rehabilitation specialist on loan from the Area Vocation/Rehabilitation Program, and an employment specialist on loan from the Iowa State Employment Service. Functionally, the job development team serves both the supervised release and the probation components.

(...)

The vocational rehabilitation specialist is responsible both for assessing the vocational interests, skills, and strengths of those defendants who need assistance in finding jobs or in obtaining better jobs, and for identifying any specific obstacles to employment that might be addressed by the state's Department of Vocational Rehabilitation--such as prosthetic devices, hearing aides, special tools or clothing (helmets, masks, etc.), or manpower training.

The job developer and the employment specialist, on the other hand, are responsible for identifying possible jobs, convincing employers to hire defendants, and for helping the defendant to prepare for the job interview and application process. Following placement, the job developer is responsible for conducting periodic checks with the employer regarding the defendant's attendance and performance.

Chart 1 depicts the organization of the supervised release component.







# 3-15

# Program Process

There are seven basic tasks involved in the supervised release process:

- --Selection
- --Release
- --Intake
- --Testing
- --Counseling and Referral
- -- Job Development and Placement Jane
- --Termination

Since pre-trial periods vary, the actual duration of defendants' involvement with the supervised release component also varies considerably. On the average, however, the length of time between pre-trial release from jail and sentencing after trial is 110 days in Des Moines.

In the description of the specific tasks involved in the administration and operation of the supervised release component that follows, care has been taken to include even the most pro forma administrative and reporting tasks in order to provide the reader with a full understanding of just how the supervised release component works.

# --Selection

The selection process is absolutely critical to the success of the entire supervised release component. Supervised release begins where ROR ends: the supervised release component's clients are selected from the pool of defendants who fail to qualify for release on their own recognizance. As a group, these defendants do not have established roots in the community and they often have more extensive or more serious records of prior criminal behavior. In many instances, defendants who fail to qualify for release on their own recognizance also exhibit a wide range of social disabilities like alcoholism and drug abuse, or employmentlated problems.

The supervised release component's selection team routinely reviews the files of all defendants who either failed to qualify for ROR (Code R=1 or R-21, or who were rejected by the pre-trial release ROR component (Code R-3), or were rejected by the court (Code R-4NA) despite the fact that they scored high enough on the ROR questionnaire to otherwise justify an ROR release. These files are maintained at the pre-trial release component's office in the city courthouse, and the supervised release component's selection team reviews them there--usually either on the same day the defendant is rejected for ROR release or the following day.

There are three kinds of defendants that the selection team usually screens out following file review. First are those defendants who are transients--non-residents of Des Moines--who are arrested for an offense committed while they are "passing through town." Second are those defendants subject to specific "holds" or warrants issued by local, state, interstate or federal law enforcement agencies. Third are those defendants who are mentally disturbed or addicted. In the latter instance, the selection team may recommend transfer of the defendant to appropriate service programs (psychiatric, substance abuse programs, etc.) that offer secure facilities and that have been designated as county jail"sites. Such recommendations and any subsequent action by the court in response to the selection team's recommendation are noted in the interview file.

Following the initial review of case files, one of the members of the supervised release component's selection team--usually the ex-prisoner on the team--conducts a "screening interview" with each defendant. "Screening interviews" are flexible, subjective, and open-ended. They are geared to provide the interviewer with basic information about and insights into the defendant's personality and characteristic modes of behaving and coping. The interviewer usually focuses on the kinds and extent of the defendant's ties to family and friends in the community, length of residence and mobility, the defendant's age and prior arrest and conviction record,

3-16

of the

S Machan Con

North Charles

lifted be

appropris

W Jack Me

hole, Na

the defendant's employment record, and the defendant's involvement in drugs or alcohol.

3-17

The selection team is noted for being "street wise" and thorough. During "screening interviews" it is not unusual for the interviewer to learn enough about a defendant's problems and needs to suggest specific conditions of release.

If the interviewer is satisfied that the defendant has honestly responded to his questions, understood the operations of the program and the tasks he or she will be expected to assume in addressing specific personal problems, and indicated an honest willingness to abide by the conditions of supervised release, he may select the defendant for entry into the program and recommend to the court that the defendant be released.

Unlike ROR release, then, supervised release utilizes a highly subjective selection process that is based on the judgement of experienced staff rather than on an objective point score. The subjective judgements of the supervised release component's selection team are, however, considered by many observers to be superior to any objective selection and screening criteria that has been devised. In general, the Subjective interview function

Communities contemplating the development of a supervised release project should consider the use of ex-prisoner staff in screening potential program clients. At the beginning of the supervised release project in Des Moines, there was considerable opposition from the law enforcement community to the use of an ex-prisoner for this purpose. The success of the program has been so startling, however, that such opposition has diminished to the point where ex-prisoners have been offered jobs in the other components of the program. In Des Moines, program administrators attribute much of the success of the overall supervised release program to the keen perceptions of the ex-prisoner staff members and their ability to relate effectively to defendants.

--Release

()

()

3

In those instances where a defendant is selected for participation in the supervised release program, the interviewer submits a

· the state defeater. MSERE Grand for in course Wollowing, released 2) frie defendent will be Kistell and that be win Host breeze involved With the police during The pre- 1 · Frank provided and 3) the defendent Van will With the Signation Caster parter ....

recommendation to the court and requests release of the defendant to the program. Releases to the program are subject to the provisions of the release bond (see Appendix, SR Form 1) and any special conditions which the court or the program--on the recommendation of the selection team--may see fit to impose.

The actual procedures followed in obtaining the release of the defendant to the program are similar to those followed in securing release under the ROR program, but there are some differences. Following the interview, the interviewer returns to the pre-trial release office and fills out a elimit summary sheet (see Appendix, SR Form 2) which is a basic information form used by the program on a continuous basis.

Next, the release order is prepared for submission to the court. At this time, the interviewer details any special conditions of release that he feels are important to a successful supervised release effort.

For example, defendants might be required to avoid certain personal associations, to undergo daily testing designed to uncover any illegal drug use, or to report to the supervised release component on a daily basis. Special conditions are prescribed on a case-by-case basis and are designed to fit the needs of particular clients.

Once the "release order" is completed, the defendant is required to review and sign it before it is submitted to the judge for approval. After the judge authorizes release and signs the release order, the procedures for securing actual release of the defendant are the same as those utilized in securing release-on-recognizance. That is, the signed "release order" is delivered to the court clerk who issues a "discharge order." The "discharge order" is delivered to the sheriff's deputy at the Polk County jail, and the defendant is released to the program.

At the inception of the program, there was some reluctance on the part of judges to actually release some of the higher risk defendants recommended for release by the program. However, as a result of the program's success, the court has become more willing to consider supervised release for higher risk defendants. One of the main reason of the first fill which is higher to the main reason of the program's provide the court has become more willing to consider supervised release for higher risk defendants. One of the main reason of the first fill the main of the main of the program's provide for higher risk defendants. One of the main reason of the program's fill the main of the main of the program of the fill the main of the main of the program of the fill the main of the program of the fill of the program of the fill the program of the program of the program of the fill of the program of the fill the fill of the program of the program of the fill of the program of the program



### -- Intake

()

Immediately following release, the defendant is taken--by the selection team--from the county jail to the supervised release office. Intake involves several different kinds of procedures. First, there are a number of administrative operations. The component's scretary opens a "master client file" which includes the interview materials and any other relevant information on the defendant. Each "master" file is logged by both case number and name. The secretary also completes an "incoming client summary" (see Appendix, SR Form 3) and a "supervised release evaluation codesheet" (see Appendix, SR Form 4) which is used for overall program evaluation.

At the same time, the selection team reviews the interview file with the supervised release component's supervisor; they discuss the content of the interview, any special conditions imposed by the program or the court, and any specific short-term problems or needs identified by the interviewer. Following this briefing, the supervisor assigns the client-or defendant--to a counselor. Assignments are usually based on caseload size, although the interviewer on the selection team can also recommend assignment to a particular counselor if, in his opinion, the match between that counselor and the client would be especially productive.

Once a counselor has been assigned to work with a particular client, he is briefed and introduced to the client. The initial meeting between the counselor and the client usually takes place on the day the client is released--or, at the very latest, the next day. During the first meeting, the client is asked to sign a waiver of privacy (see Appendix, SR Form 5) granting the program access to any information in the files of other agencies that might otherwise be confidential; the counselor reviews the general rules of the program and any special conditions imposed on the client; and the counselor goes over the provisions of the basic supervised release contract which the client has to make with the program (see Appendix, SR Form 6). The contract acknowledges the relationship between the program and the client, details the conditions of release, and specifies the consequences of any breach of the contract by the client. If the client is not willing to sign the contract, the release bond can be revoked immediately and the defendant returned to jail. Finally, during the first meeting with the client, the counselor establishes the schedule for further counseling sessions and for administration of the battery of diagnostic tests which the program utilizes.

The program promotes the idea of a written contract. It is felt that the signing of such a document is a first step in the client's overall program of accepting and maintaining responsibility for his or her actions. The great majority of the clients look upon the contract as a blucprint for action and use it as a means of establishing control over their lives. Communities interested in replicating the Des Moines program are advised to consider the potential benefits of a written Chient contract as they design their programs.

It should also be emphasized that at no time does the supervised release staff discuss any aspect of the crime the client is charged with or his defense. The purpose of the supervised release period is to assist the client in overcoming any problems through the provision of services. The program staff consciently maintains this neutral posture throughout the supervised release period.

# --Testing

A battery of diagnostic tests is administered to clients within a few days after entry into the program. Several kinds of tests are administered by the counselor: the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI-Short Form) is used to assess personality and to identify any serious deviance; the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test is used to measure basic comprehension; and, the Wide Range Achievement Test (WRAT) is used to assess phonetic skills.

In addition, in those'instances where employability is questionable or where work records are spotty, the vocational rehabilitation specialist may administer a Career Exploration Test in order to identify vocational interests and strengths.

The final diagnostic screening is performed by the consulting psychiatrist. The psychiatrist reviews test results, probes sensitive areas during an





interview with each client, and identifies any problems that should be addressed by the counselor during counseling sessions with the clients.

The psychiatrist's analysis of all test scores and any other analytic materials are incorporated into each client's file.

In the past, two other tests were also used by the program: the Beta Test, measuring IQ and basic aptitude, and the Cornell Index-A-1. Scale, measuring organic brain dysfunction. These tests were dropped from the overall battery to save time since, as a rule, they added little to the basic picture provided by the other tests. While other localities need not follow Des Moines procedures to the letter, they should consider adoption of psychological, educational, and vocational screening procedures to provide a clearer picture of the individual client and his or her strengths, weaknesses and needs.

# --Counseling and Referral

1

( )

Clients are only in the supervised release program for an average of 110 days prior to trial and during that period the main thrust of the program is aimed at helping clients develop the kind of "track record"--in terms of stability and accomplishment--that will qualify them for probation if they are convicted. To achieve this objective, the supervised release component's counselors utilize an approach to counseling that is realityoriented and directive.

Counselors establish both short-term and long-term counseling goals within the first few sessions with the client. Counseling goals and the approach and methods the counselor intends to utilize in reaching those goals are specified in a "plan for action"--a treatment plan--for each client. Long-term goals--including goals related to the development of educational and vocational skills--are frequently based on information about the client's needs, interests, and capabilities that is derived from an analysis of the client's diagnostic test scores. Short-term goals, on the other hand, are most often focused on addressing the kinds of immediate needs and problems that continually disrupt clients' lives on a day-to-day basis and that keep them from dealing with their long-term roods. (A Sample Client freatment plan May be found in the Application, State freatment plan May be found in the Application, State freatment plan May be found in Counselors, therefore, devote a great deal of initial attention to identifying those resources in the community that might be called upon to help address clients' pressing need for things like housing, subsistence food supplies, clothing, or family support. The kinds of agencies counselors most frequently contact on behalf of clients are agencies like the local public housing authority, sectarian agencies, public and private welfare agencies, food stamp distribution centers, agencies providing mental health services, family counseling services, or alcohol and drug abuse centers.

In helping clients deal with short term needs, then, counselors identify the appropriate resources, give the client basic information about those resources, initiate referrals, actively follow up on referrals, and act as the client's advocate in assuring that agencies respond to their request for services. All such agency contacts initiated on behalf of a client are noted in the client's file.

Counselors draw on a number of resources to help clients achieve long-term goals. Clients who do, not have a high school diploma or its equivalent are enrolled in the GED (General Educational Diploma) classes that are held at the supervised release component's office two nights a week. The GED exam--a high school equivalency examination--is administered at regular intervals so that the clients can learn at their own rate and take the exam whenever their achievement justifies it and they feel ready. Teachers from the local community college conduct classes as part of the college's regular program; thus, there is no cost involved for the community corrections program.

Clients who are interested in higher education or some kinds of special vocational training may be referred by the counselor to the local community college's Urban Education Center. Counselors can also call upon the state's Department of Vocational Rehabilitation to help pay the tuition of some clients, to assist them in enrolling in federally funded manpower training programs, or to provide assistance in dealing with job related disabilities or needs.

There are also a variety of evening activities at the supervised release

3-22



component's offices that counselors can require clients to attend as part of the treatment plan. Evening activities are frequently cultural or informational in character. For example, various public and private agencies were invited to make presentations and answer questions in a series of evening meetings with clients.

Man

Counselogs monitor attendance at the special evening sessions (see Appendix, SR Form 2). Extensive absence may result in either admonishment or, in extreme cases, in a decision to revoke the release bond and reincarcerate the client.

A substantial portion of the counselor's time is also spent in documenting the client's progress for use in the pre-sentence investigation conducted by the probation component if the defendant is convicted at trial. Each contact with a client is recorded on a contact sheet (see Appendix, SR Form (A). A summary of all contacts is recorded on the chronological record sheet (see Appendix, SR Form  $\tilde{A}$ ). Both of these forms are included in the individual client file.

Finally, counselors and the component's clerical support staff are also responsible for authorization and verification of requests for permission to travel (see Appendix, SR Form 10) and for reminding clients--via mail or telephone--about court appearances or appointments for diagnostic testing or psychiatric interviews (see Appendix, SR Form 11).

While cach counselor in time establishes relationships with the various community agencies and institutions that he or she makes use of, the program uses a service agency directory published by the local United Way social planning council. This source is invaluable to new and inexperienced counselors because it identifies those little known resources that are available to persons with special needs. Communities setting up a supervised release type program should make use of similar sources developed in their area. As a program is developing, such directories can also be used to identify advacted that the program should establish working relations with or to identify those agencies that should be kept informed about the operations of the community corrections program.

# --Job Development and Placement

If a client is unemployed at the time of entry into the supervised release program, the counselor consults with the program's job development staff. Consultation about a client or referral of an unemployed client to the job developer often occurs within one day after intake into the program. A job referrial form (see Appendix, sk form 13) is filled out by the counselor and quick to the job developer if the the To develop an overview of the client's employment history, the job developer reviews the material in the client's file that was collected during the ROR interview or the supervised release "screening interview". Next he interviews the client using the Iowa, State Employment Services Employment Record Form (see Appendix, SR Form 12) to obtain any additional information needed. During the interview, the job developer attempts to develop a realistic assessment of the client's experience, his or her interests and career aspirations, and any job related skill or competency levels. After the initial job development interview is completed, the vocational rehabilitation specialist (who often joins in the initial interview) administers and scores the Career Exploration Test, and develops a job placement and/or training plan for the client in conjunction with the job developer and the employment specialist.

The employment specialist is a staff member of the Iowa State Employment Service (ISES) who is on loan to the community corrections program. The employment specialist has full access to the resources of ISES, including access to the Iowa Job Bank (called SCOPE). SCOPE lists all current job openings in the state--or sub-sections of the state--and provides other relevant information such as the salary level and qualifications associated with each job. The listing of jobs is updated every day and supplied to the ISES employment specialist on microfiche sheets that can be used to display the information on a microfiche screen located at the supervised release component office. The employment specialist reviews the job list every day to identify jobs that might be suitable for clients of the program. The job developer then takes over, contacting the employer and discussing the client's situation as well as the client's qualifications. If the employer is willing to consider the client for the job, the job developer schedules an interview and notifies the client and his supervised release counselor. The employment specialist also provides an ISES

102

ind shuts

Gun is

(ko22)

lon ( a

Carlo

pration.

And some ....

Rein

introduction card (see Appendix, SR Form 13) that the client gives to the employer at the interview.

If the client is not successful in obtaining the job, the process is repeated until a successful placement is achieved. The same process is utilized to identify appropriate employment for clients who lose or leave their jobs during the pre-trial period or for clients who are under-employed and interested in obtaining better jobs.

When a client is hired, the job developer or the counselor monitors the client's on-the-job performance. If the client needs short-term assistance such as a subsistence allowance until the first paycheck is received, prosthetic devices (braces, eyeglasses, hearing aides, etc.), or special tools and equipment (welding mask, mechanics tools, etc.), the job developer requests assistance from the vocational rehabilitation specialist who has access to the resources of the state Department of Vocational Rehabilitation.

As noted above, the vocational rehabilitation specialist is also responsible for referring clients to local, state, and private educational and skill development programs or for matching them up with manpower training programs and apprenticeship programs whenever it is appropriate.

The vocational rchabilitation specialist is on loan to the program from the Area Vocational/Tehabilitation Program. This is also true of the employment specialist, who is on loan from the Iowa State Employment Service. The fact that personnel to fill these critical staff positions have been provided through coorcrative interagency agreements should suggest to communities interested in developing similar programs that they should attempt to establish comparable relationships when developing their own Des Moines-type programs.

# --Termination

There are two ways of terminating a client's relationship with the supervised release component--through revocation of the release bond, or through judicial disposition of the case against the client. Mathematical these alternatives involves a series of procedural actions. In theory, the release bond can be revoked for any breach of those conditions set forth in either the release bond itself or the contract between the client and the program. However, in practice, the release bond is usually only revoked in the event of re-arrest on another indictable charge or failure to appear in court. Counselors are allowed to exercise their discretion in most other instances such as: frequent, unexcused absences from scheduled meetings or program activities, prolonged unauthorized absence from the jurisdiction, repeated absence from the job, or a "general failure to assume those positive responsibilities required of a client."

In most cases, counselors and the component supervisor try to give the client the benefit of any doubt that exists and to avoid revocation of the bond. However, if the counselor and the supervisor decide revocation is necessary, the program initiates the legal procedures for revoking the release bond.

If revocation is based on the re-arrest of a client for an indictable offense and the defendant is in custody on that charge, the community corrections program simply requests that a "hold" be placed on the client until formal revocation of supervised release is accomplished. If the client is in the supervised release component's office when the decision to revoke the release bond is made, the client is returned to jail and a hold is requested. A request for hold form (see Appendix, SR Form [4]), signed by the Director of Community Services, is used for this purpose. In those cases where the client has failed to appear in court as scheduled, the judge may issue a bench warrant for the client pursuant to the conditions contained in the release order. If and when the client is re-arrested, the formal machinery of revocation proceeds.

Following submission of the request for hold form to the sheriff's office, the request for revocation and the grounds for it are noted in the client's file and an application for revocation of bond--the same form used by the pre-trial ROR component (see Appendix, ROR Form 12)--is filled out and submitted to the judge. The judge's signature concludes the formal revocation process.

> . .

In those cases where clients go to trial, the relationship between the program and the client ends as soon as a finding of not guilty is entered or as soon as the judge makes a dispositional decision. In the latter case, the release bond is usually continued during the period between conviction and sentencing (except for particularly serious offenses) while the presentence investigation is being conducted. During this period the supervised release counselor prepares a summary report and meets with both the client and the pre-sentence investigator from the community corrections program's probation component. The PSI investigator is also allowed free access to the basic client file, and the counselor is also often called upon to answer questions posed directly by the court. While the summaries themselves often include the opinions and perceptions of the counselor, no formal sentencing recommendations are made by supervised release staff. Rather, the counselor lets the client file, complete with the contact forms, summary sheets, and referral or placement notations intact, speak for itself.

()

When the court formally sentences the client, the client file is brought up to date, all specific records are completed, and the file is closed.

It must be recognized that only a small minority of supervised release cases are terminated by revocation. However, it is important that a formal revocation process be developed to insure the smooth running of the program. While the process developed in Des Moines responds to the judicial demands of that community and may not be wholly relevant to other locales, it is important that any duplication of the Des Moines concept be provided with a formal process of re-arrest and revocation in those cases which warrant it.

# PROBATION/PRE-SENTENCE INVESTIGATION

### Purpose

The purpose of the probation/pre-sentence investigation component is twofold: 1) to assist the court in arriving at the most appropriate sentence for defendants convicted of felonies and indictable misdemeanors, and 2) to supervise the conduct and activities of those defendants who are sentenced to probation.

### Administration

The probation/pre-sentence investigation (PSI) component was originally one unit and probation officers in the unit conducted pre-sentence investigations and supervised probation caseloads as well. However, recent legislation in the state of Iowa requires a pre-sentence investigation in all cases where the defendant is convicted of a felony or an indictable misdemeanor --except in those instances where the defendant specifically waives the investigation and requests immediate sentencing.

As a result of the new legislative provisions, the number of pre-sentence investigations requested by the court increased so sharply that the presentence investigation function was administratively separated from the probation supervision function and organized as a separate and distinct unit. Each of the two units--PSI and probation--is administered by a supervisor who reports to the Director of Community Services. Both units are located in the Department of Court Services building but each unit has separate offices. Chart 2 depicts the overall organization of the probation/pre-sentence investigation component.





( )

()

The supervisor of the pre-sentence investigation unit is responsible for the direction and supervision of the PSI staff--including PSI investigators and secretarial staff, and for the overall administration of the unit's efforts.

Each PSI investigator is responsible for gathering all of the background information needed for the PSI report, for actual preparation of the report, and if requested, for providing testimony regarding the information contained in the report. In addition, PSI investigators are also responsible for providing other components with information they request about particular defendants for maintenance of all records pertaining to cases. At any one time, each investi-gator may be conducting as many as five PSI's; A Normally, investigators have between two and four weeks to complete each PSI. The length of time allowed for each PSI is, at least in part, contingent on whether the defendant is free or incarcerated during the PSI period.

The PSI secretarial staff is responsible for maintaining all component records and for typing the completed PSI reports.

The probation supervision-unit supervisor is responsible for all caseload assignments, supervision of staff including hiring and firing, and monitoring of all restitution and revocation orders. The supervisor also has initial contact with many of the probationers and carries a limited caseload.

Each probation agent is responsible for the day to day supervision of his or her probationers. Activities include preparation and monitoring of individualized treatment plans, referral of probationers to available community services and the job. development team, and preparation and monitoring of restitution plans. Agents are responsible only for the supervision of adult criminal probationers. The average on-going agent caseload varies, but at present agents are responsible for between 65-75 probationers. (Act. agent as many as 150 pictoricular, but only class that a having Job qualifications for probation/PS1 component staff members in Des Moines are not as stringent as they are in many other jurisdictions. However, there are certain minimum skills applicants are expected to have. For example, since the ability to speak and write clearly is so important to effective performance on the job, these skills are emphasized. Most PSI investigators and probation agents are college graduates, although they do not necessarily have law enforcements contactions. related degrees. Since the program encourages a system of crossfertilization, any available openings in either the PSI or supervision units are first made available to other program staff members, after which the position, if unfilled, is made available to the general public.

# Process: Pre-Sentence Investigation

There are five major steps involved in the process of administering and conducting pre-sentence investigations: intake; inter-. viewing the defendant; verifying the defendant's responses; preparing the PSI report; and, performing follow up activities."

3-29

# --Intake

When a defendant is convicted, the county prosecutor's office notifies the Department of Court Services pre-sentence investigation unit. Defendants who are free pending sentencing are required to appear at the PSI office within 48 hours following conviction. If the defendant does not appear, counsel for the defense is notified. If the defendant still does not appear at the PSI office, the court is so informed. PSI investigators visit those defendants who are incarcerated during the period between conviction and sentencing in the Polk County jail and perform the intake function there.

During intake, the defendant has to sign a release of information form (see Appendix, PSI Form 1) that authorizes release of all information pertinent to the PSI. · A summary sheet is also completed (see Appendix, PSI Form 2). The summary sheet eventually becomes the front page of the PSI report. Defandants who are not incarcerated during the PSI period are given a reminder card with the office telephone number and asked to call the investigator the next day.

# --Conducting the PSI Interview

After intake is completed and the court record has been obtained from the county prosecutor's office, the case is assigned by the PSI unit's supervisor to an investigator.

As soon as an investigator is assigned to the case, he or she requests a copy of the defendant's "rap sheet" from the Iowa Bureau of Criminal Investigation. Within a day or two following intake, the investigator interviews the defendant using as a general guide the outline in The Pre-Sentence Investigation Report -- a booklet published by the federal · Division of Probation in 1965, and cited at the end of the "Selected The Interview Readings" section of this handbook. It is designed to obtain in-depth information about the defendant's life, The interview usually takes several hours to complete. At the conclusion of the interview, the defendant is instructed to prepare a statement--in his or her own words-about the particular charge on which the defendant has been convicted. The defendant's statement is included in the PSI report.

# ---Verification of the Defendant's Responses .

After the interview, the investigator begins to verify the defendant-s responses to interview questions. The information needed to verify responses is drawn from several different sources. First, the investigator contacts any sources that might have written records on some aspect of the defendant's life--military service records, school records, or records of any special training programs, for example. Second, the investigator contacts all sources directly cited by the defendant during the interview. As a rule, the investigator personally visits the defendant's parents, spouse, present employer, or clergyman. In addition, the victim of the crime--if any--is interviewed, as is the defense attorney.

The investigator also contacts the prosecutor, past employers, the arresting officer, and any other people who were either involved in the defendant's offense or influential in the defendant's past life. If the defendant was a client of the supervised release component during the pre-trial period, the PSI investigator consults with the supervised release counselor and reviews the supervised release component's case file to obtain information about the defendant's performance during the period between arrest and trial. In many cases, the treatment plan developed for the defendant during his supervised release is continued in effect during the PSI investigation.

During the verification process, the investigator may meet with the defendant again to check out any inconsistencies between the defen- of the defendant19 responses and the information received from other sources. Inconsistencies are sometimes due to memory lapses, lack of understanding on the part of the defendant, or other valid reasons. In any case, the PSI investigator identifies any such inconsistencies and raises them with the defendant. Officialar.

If, during the course of the PSI, the investigator feels that the contraction defendant should undergo psychological or psychiatric evaluation, or assessment by an alcoholism or drug abuse treatment agency, the investigator asks the court to order such an evaluation.

# Offendera

Several forms are used by the PSI unit to guide and record the investigator's activities in conducting the pre-sentence investigation. They include: the general official request for information form (see Appendix, PSI Form 3) used to request information from relevant institutions or agencies; a PSI case checklist (see Appendix, PSI Form 4) used to trace the progress of each individual case; a PSI investigation checklist (see Appendix, PSI Form 5) used to assist investigations in monitoring their active cases; and a PSI disposition record (see Appendix, PSI Form 6) used to provide an overall picture of the on-going deadlines of the component.

These forms are ultimately presented to the unit supervisor for both monthly reports and staff monitoring and supervision. In addition, special individual thumbnail checklists (see Appendix, PSI Form 7) are available to investigators for their own on-going records.

# --Preparation of the PSI Report

()

The primary purpose of the PSI report is to assist the court in arriving at an appropriate sentence. Through a thorough and exhaustive examination of the defendant--his life, family, education and work experiences; former criminal or institutional record; likes, dislikes, hobbies and avocations--the pre-sentence investigator tries to piece together a complete portrait of the man or woman on whom the court must pass sentence. In the Fifth Judicial District, the guiding philosophy behind this process is the desire to provide the judge with recommendations which make the broadest use of the sentencing alternatives available.

The general alternatives available to a court (depending of course on legislative limitations) are a deferred sentence, straight probation, # local municipal incarceration in a county jail, or a straight prison sentence. In the Fifth Judicial District of Iowa other alternatives exist, including: sentencing to the Fort Des Moines community correctional facility or to the women's facility, or placement in a therapeutic community--mental health, alcoholism, or drug treatment-as a condition of probation. Both the courts and the PSI unit are committed to an expansion of such alternatives to provide the most appropriate post-sentencing environment for the convicted defendant.



The PSI report, in general, follows the outline developed by the federal Division of Probation and set forth in The Pre-Sentence Investigation Report, although the PSI unit in Des Moines has modified the federal format in some instances to increase its relevance for use at the state level. In the report, the PSI investigator presents his or her findings; the defendant's written statement about the offenses and any circumstances surrounding the offense that the defendant wishes the court to consider; the summary of the defendant's performance during the pre-trial period if the defendant was in the supervised release component; and information about any on-going treatment plan initiated during the pre-trial period. In preparing recommendations for inclusion in the PSI report, the PSI investigator might also consult with the supervisor of the component's probation unit in order to establish any special conditions that it might be desireable to impose on the defendant if he or she is sentenced to probation. Similarly, the director of the residential correctional facility for men (at Fort Des Moines) or the facility for women, is consulted if there is a possibility that the defendant will be placed there so that proposed treatment plans can be included in the PSI report.

The PSI report is generally due three days before the date of sentencing. During the time between submission of the report and sentencing, the report is reviewed by both the judge and the defendant. If the court feels that there are areas of ambiguity in the PSI report or wants more background information on the defendant, the judge may ask the PSI investigator to testify or justify his or her recommendations.

Two recent issues have clouded the operations of the PSI unit. First, since the law mandating PSI reports for all indictable offenses went into effect, a significant number of defendants (approximately 25%) have chosen to waive the PSI and call for immediate sentencing. Typically, the court accedes to these requests and waives the need for a PSI. It appears that the majority of these defendants have had previous convictions and are unconvinced of the value of the PSI procedure. It also appears that defense attorneys suggest a waiver in the hope of securing a more favorable sentence for their clients.




This situation is the subject of some concern to Des Moines project staff.

offenders Second, some defendants have begun to question the objectivity of the individual investigator and have attacked the accuracy of PSI reports. The definition has been given the right to examine and comment upon the PSI report, but there is some concern over what the parameters of the defense review should be.

Both of these issues have avisen at a time when the PSI unit is itself in a state of flux, having expanded in response to the recent legislative requirements. The process involved in the PSI report preparation may therefore be subject to some modification in the future.

#### --Follow up

 $\langle \rangle$ 

Following sentencing, the PSI investigator may be contacted by agents from the probation unit or the residential services staff for background information on the defendant. The investigator makes the PSI report available to them, meets with them, and--if requested to do so--may assist them in formulating special conditions or treatment plans. The PSI report is also made available to the state Department of Corrections if the convicted defendant is sentenced to a state institution. Finally, PSI reports are also available for use by parole boards to aid them in their deliberations.

#### Process: Probation Supervision

offenders

The probation unit provides supervision for all defendants granted probation within the Fifth Judicial District. In Iowa, a-defendant can be placed on probation if the court either suspends or defers sentencing. In those cases where sentencing is deferred, the defendant has entered a plea of guilty and it has been accepted. The court, however, instead of pronouncing sentence, requires the defendant to submit to supervision by the probation unit. The period of probation in the case of deferred sen-tences is usually one to two years. If the defendant's performance during the probationary period is satisfactory, the original charge is ultimately

"dismissed with prejudice." In theory, this practice results in a clean record for the defendant officiales.

In those cases where the court suspends the sentence, the probationary period is usually not fixed. That is, it is indeterminate and continues until the probation agent and the court agree to termination. However, in some instances, the court specifically states in the sentencing order that the defendant has to serve out the balance of a set sentence on probation.

In addition to those defendants assigned to probation as a result of deferred or suspended sentences, the probation unit also provides supervision to one other group--those clients, or prisoners, who are "paroled" from the Department of Court Services residential facilities.

There are five basic elements in the probation supervision process: intake; assignment; supervision; revocation; and discharge.

#### --Intake

When sentencing is suspended or a deferred sentence given, and the defendant is referred to the probation unit, he or she is required to contact the unit by 10:00 a.m. the following morning. The sentencing order, which is transferred immediately to the unit, includes information about the charge; the case number; the term of the sentence, if it is specified; the name of the sentencing judge; and any conditions of probation stipulated by the court. probationer-

The probationer is required to sign a waiver of information form similar to that used by the supervised release and the PSI components. This allows the probation agent in charge of the case to secure any information on the probationer that may have been overlooked in the PSI. The intake agent also conducts an interview to obtain the initial statement of the probationer; to explain the court order and the terms and conditions of probation in detail; and to obtain the signature of the probationer

When the defendant appears at the probation unit office, the intake interview is conducted by the unit supervisor, or assigned by him to mother-probation-agent. day Cturo aquis have intake responsibility on any

on the special probation contract he or she will be expected to honor during the probationary period (see Appendix, PRO Form 1). The probation contract differs from the supervised release contract in several distinct ways. First, the probation contract is much more specific in detailing the duties and responsibilities of the pro-bationer. Second, the list of proscribed activities is expanded. Third, certain legal conditions (i.e., waiver of extradition in the event of arrest in another state) are specified. In general, the probation contract includes conditions very similar to terms and conditions of probation used by most probation departments throughout the nation.

After intake is completed, the information gathered is transferred to the post-trial evaluation codesheet (see Appendix, PRO Form 2) for use in the on-going Des Moines program evaluation. Much of this information is provided through the use of the initial intake interview (see Appendix, PRO Form 3).

#### --Assignment

After intake procedures are completed, the unit supervisor assigns the case to one of the probation agents in the unit. In assigning cases to the various agents in the unit, the supervisor considers the size of each agent's caseload, their relative skills, counseling styles and other relevant characteristics in order to match clients and agents as effectively as possible. Thus, for example, the age, race, and sex of an agent might be considered in assignment of a client, or information about a client's response to a particular style of counseling during the pre-trial period might be utilized in making the assignment.

#### --Supervision

The probation agent is expected to become familiar with each case prior to the initial meeting with the probationer following intake. This often entails discussing the case with the PSI investigator and--if the probationer was in the pre-trial supervised release program--the supervised release counselor.

The initial meeting between the agent and the probationer focuses on a general re-examination of the probationary contract. It is then signed by both the probationer and the agent. Then the agent begins the task of defining the probationer's needs and developing a suitable treatment

plan. The treatment plan, which is similar to the one used by the supervised release omponent, Tays out short-term and long-term goals and a tentative program for meeting the probationer's needs. (See description of treatment plans, above.)

The level of probationary supervision required varies from probationer to probationer according to the attitude, need, and deficiencies of the individual. Some probationers are only held to a minimum supervisory program that requires relatively few face to face contacts with the agent. Such cases are handled routinely through a basic short form monthly report (see Appendix, PRO Form 4) which is filled out with the probationer. Other probationers may need close supervision and extensive assistance in addressing the problems that led to their criminal behavior.

Employment is a key part of most treatment plans. Probationers who need assistance related to employment are referred to the job development and placement team that serves clients of both the supervised release component and the probation unit. The job development and placement team has the resources to assess the probationer's interests, skills, and abilities, and to refer clients to training or educational programs as well as to specific jobs. Unemployed probationers are helped to find suitable jobs and underemployed probationers are helped--if they are interested--in obtaining better jobs or in upgrading their skills (see above).

The probation agent is also responsible for identifying appropriate community resources to meet any other needs that the probationer might have and for initiating referrals to those services and agencies. In this regard, the probation agent's role is very similar to the role of the supervised release counselor. In fact, in those instances where one of the supervised release component's clients is subsequently convicted and placed on probation, the original treatment plan developed by the supervised release counselor is often incorporated into the probation contract and periodically updated during the probationary period.

The probation agent is also responsible both for monitoring the client's progress in obtaining and maintaining employment using other community







resources and for providing on-going counseling to the probationer.

( )

( )

Finally, in those cases where the court places defendants on probation but--as a condition of probation--commits them to a state hospital or other therapeutic environment, the probation unit is responsible for monitoring their progress and for participating in determining when they are ready to be released. After release, the agent in charge of the case provides continuing supervision to the probationer. As part of the supervision process, the agent may maintain regular contact with the treatment agency and build continuing care for the probationer (on an out-patient basis) into the treatment plan.

#### --Developing and Implementing a Restitution Plan

In Iowa, all probationers convicted of crimes where a victim is involved are responsible for paying restitution to the victim. The responsibility for developing and monitoring the implementation of restitution plans is, therefore, an important part of the probation unit's task.

All offenses alleged to have been committed by the probationer are subject to investigation during the development of a restitution plan-including offenses that never resulted in formal charges or trial. After the investigation is completed, the probation agent--with the assistance of the PSI investigator--computes the actual cost of the crime to the victim, determines the amount the probationer can reasonably be expected to contribute to restitution, and establishes a restitution payment plan that is signed first by the probationer and then submitted to the court for approval (see Appendix, PRO Form 5 for examples of restitution plans).

The probationer submits all restitution payments to his or her probation agent, who in turn deposits them in a special account. Interest earned on such deposits is treated as interest on the basic debt. If the financial situation of the probationer changes during the probationary period, the restitution plan may be altered to reflect such change, subject to court approval. If the loss incurred by the Victim is covered has an insurance company that has vights of Subtogation, but an insurance company that has vights of Subtogation, a formal plan is not written. Such cases are had that the paganeni plan. Toyman the device of the paganeni plan. Toyman the device of the paganeni plan. Toyman the device of the paganeni plan. Toyman the following the device of the paganeni plan. A special program, Restitution in Probation Experiment (RIPE), has been set up under the probation unit to test the purposes of restitution. This federally-funded program is designed to determine whether the receipt of restitution will influence the feelings of a victim about the crime and the criminal. One test group of probationers and victims will be selected to participate in the experiment. This group will become involved in an interesting process whereby the restitution plan will be drawn up during a face-to-face meeting between the probationer and the victim. The restitution process will then follow the normal pattern, with the probationer paying a certain amount to the unit each month and the money placed in escrow earning interest until either the end of the probationary period, or, if the court so directs, until the debt is paid in full. At the end of the restitution period, the participating victims will be compared with other victims receiving restitution to test the effects, if any, of the face-to-face negotiating process.

3-40

### --Revocation

Continued participation in the probation program is contingent upon the client's strict adherence to a probation contract which includes a set of conditions. Performance is monitored by the probation agent assigned to the case. The agent has the authority to revoke probation for cause if that is necessary. However, due to the nature of the Des Moines program, there are several remedies short of revocation available to the agent. In fact, while re-arrest normally is sufficient grounds for revocation, the agent is not bound to revoke a re-arrested probationer in all cases. During the summer of 1974, seven probationary sentences for two separate offenses. However, a re-arrest on an offense involving violence or injury to the victim usually warrants revocation.

Some of the alternatives available to the agent in lieu of revocation include incarceration in the county jail, placement at the Fort Des Moines facility, or commitment to the local mental health facility for observation. Use of Fort Des Moines is a frequently used alternative because it allows for continuance of the probationer's treatment and

restitution plans while, at the same time, providing a more controlled environment for the probationer. Such conditional placement is used as a "cooling off" device to encourage a probationer to abide by the conditions of his sentence; and requires court approval.

If lesser remedies fail to bring about an acceptable shift in the probationer's attitudes and behavior or if re-arrest on a subsequent charge warrants revocation, the agent may resort to the formal revocation of a ground of procedure. I formal revocation proceedings involve a hearing presided in formal over by a judge and the normal rules of due process apply. The probarevace 1 meaning tion agent is required to submit a report of violations (see Appendix, exist PRO Form 6) which details the sentence and charge, the probation history, the specific probation rules and conditions violated, a summary of the violations, any additional relevant information, and sometimes includes a recommendation for appropriate action.

Revocation is never automatic. The court is informed by the unit within 48 hours of any re-arrests of probationers (see Appendix, PRO Form 7). If the court considers that a revocation is warranted, a complete report of violations must still be prepared and submitted. The agent also confers with the judge about the individual case. If probation is revoked, the judge may or may not hand over the probationer to the state correctional authorities. If he does, the complete records of the probationary period and any other program contacts are made available to the Department of Corrections.

#### --Discharge

When the probationary term--in the case of probationers with deferred sentences--has been successfully completed or when the probation agent decides that a probationer with a suspended sentence is qualified for discharge, the case is discussed with the unit supervisor. If the supervisor concurs with the recommendation to discharge, the probationer's file is brought up to date, all restitution accounts are prepared for closure, and a discharge order is prepared for signing by the court, county attorney, sheriff's department, or probation department, etc., (the type of sentence dictates the signatures required). The order is

then submitted to the court and the other relevant law enforcement or correctional agencies for approval. Upon approval, the probationer is discharged from probation. (See Order of Final Discharge, Appendix, PRO Form 8.)

With regard to issues of authority, probation agents in the Des Moines program are not probation officers in the strict sense of the term. While they indeed have the power to revoke probation for cause (with court approval) and are bound to monitor and supervise the individual probationer during the probationary period, agents are not formal officers. Sradaps of the court with secial law enforcement powers. They do not cover Werpor S

The Des Moines unit evolved from a system that previously included both probation and parole functions at the state level, with local municipalities assuming only the general law enforcement function. As the need for local probation supervision arose, the present system developed and took its place in the Department of Court Services. In practice, there are no binding experience or training qualifications or civil service restrictions placed upon the probation agent position. Agents are trained on the job and arc provided with a continuing program of skill development and in-service training. For example, the program's staff was recently provided in-service training in revocation procedures through the use of mock revocation hearings presided over by actual judges and with defense attorneys and probation staff also participating.



O in

# COMMUNITY CORRECTIONAL FACILITY (FORT DES MOINES)

The following discussion describes the operations of the Fort Des Moines Men's Residential Correctional Facility. The Department of Court Services also operates a Women's Facility. However, Iowa state laws, which limit to one month the time in which a woman can be held in a local juil facility, have forced the Department of Court Services to structure its residential program for women in a manner which may not be relevant to other communities. Thus, the following discussion focuses only on the men's facility.

#### Purpose

()

The purpose of the Fort Des Moines residential correctional facility is to function as 1) a non-secure institution operating as an alternative to penal confinement, relieving the overcrowded Polk County jail and state prisons; 2) a correctional facility providing rehabilitation programs . to criminal offenders in the Fifth Judicial District; 3) a work and educational release center supervising clients engaged in employment, educational programs, and vocational rehabilitation programs outside the institution; and 4) a short-term pre-trial detention facility geared to providing detainees with services to help them qualify for pre-trial supervised release.

#### Administration

The Physical Design and Setting of the Institution

The Fort Des Moines facility is located in a remodelled two-story the edge of army barracks at Fort Des Moines, a military reservation located withinthe city of Des Moines. The institution uses none of the physical security devices usually associated with institutions which house convicted felons. That is, there are no bars or security screens, no fences, and windows are of ordinary glass with no special locking devices.

The first floor of the Fort Des Moines facility consists of staff offices, and one large room separated into two areas of equal size for community living. In one half of the community living area, the clients are provided with equipment for playing such games as cards, checkers, chess, pool and table tennis. A-juke-box\_has\_also-been-provided-for-the-clients' Contertainment. The other half of the community living area contains a

color television set, sofas, and easy chairs. Vending machines, located in the community living area, supply clients with cigarettes, soft drinks, candy and coffee. Pay telephones used by clients are also located on the first floor. In fact, the community living area is characterized by its "openness," which promotes and encourages communication between and among staff and clients. It is difficult for clients to isolate themselves from the staff or other clients in the community living area.

In contrast, the second floor of the facility, which consists of the client living quarters, was designed to provide individual clients with a degree of privacy. There are 32 private bedrooms--each housing one client--and several larger rooms which can house up to 18 clients in groups of threes or sixes. All rooms have locking doors, and clients carry the keys to their respective living quarters. Clients are allowed to furnish their own rooms. Stereos and radios are permitted in the rooms, but use of them is limited by the rules of the facility. In order to enjoy these privileges, clients must keep their rooms and effects in order. Inspections are conducted daily. Besides providing a sense of privacy not always present in an institutional setting, the room arrangement places a reasonable limitation on the number of persons who can be housed in the facility at any one time.

The overall facility plan purposely excluded space and equipment for such activities as recreation, education or vocational training, forcing the staff to make maximum use of existing community resources. The goal was to demonstrate that correctional facilities located in or adjacent to cities need not make huge capital investments in classrooms, shops, gymnasia and infirmaries. As a result, the Fort Des Moines facility operates as a community-based residential institution, highly dependent upon the resources and services of the surrounding community.

#### • Organization and Staffing

Fort Des Moines is under the control of the Director of the Residential Services Division of the Department of Court Services. The Director supervises the overall administration of the facility and, with the Assistant Director, ultimately approves all operating procedures adopted for the facility. (He also assumes the same responsibilities for the Women's Facility.)

accurate as of Sept 75

NINE There are twenty-seven salaried staff members at Fort Des Moines. This agent and includes, the director, two supervisons, three case counselors, and-an course liston, a lo lunger, and culturation coasdination, intake-counselor, ten desk officers, three drivers, a job developer, a voc-rehab specialist (on loan), an employment security specialist (on loan), a maintenance foreman, a purchasing agent and two secretaries.

()

The Assistant Director for Fort Des Moines is responsible for the day-today management of the facility. His duties include overall supervision, directing the maintenance and purchasing operations, and monitoring the activities of the in-house job development unit. Finally, together with a Volunteer Coordinator, he structures the volunteer program which provides support and assistance to the facility.

The lines of authority extend from the Assistant Director to the casework supervisor and the security supervisor who direct the activities of the two operating units of the program--treatment and security. The counselor supervisor is responsible for managing the counseling staff; consulting with individual counselors around major decisions affecting clients, including termination, discharge and parole; and all case assignments. i waive He also carries a counseling caseload.

BIV2 There are four staff counselors -- an intake counselor and three case counselors. The intake counselor is responsible for liaison with the court in matters involving individual clients including: placement at the corrections center; transfer to another facility (i-e- county jail); work release and work furlough authorization; and discharge, parole, or termination approval. He also conducts intake and orientation for all clients entering the facility, and he participates with the counselor supervisor in making counseling assignments. He also carries a limited caseload.

forer The three counselors are responsible for the clients assigned to them. This involves assisting them in the development of their treatment plans-the stated goals of a client in modifying his behavior while at Fort Des Moines--and in providing them with the services and resources to enable them to realize those plans. Counselors also are ultimately responsible for client discipline, including the granting of privileges and the imposition of restrictions. Finally, counselors are responsible for administering client files, and client budgets. UViling Vestituales plans and collecting and distributing prograds to victors. The desk supervisor is responsible for the general security of the facility including supervision of all desk staff, "floating" staff and drivers. He is responsible for scheduling security staff hours and the routing and maintenance of vehicles.

at the desk 24 hours.a day.

The floating staff person functions as an independent staff member circulating throughout the institution, observing client behavior, taking a count of all clients each hour entwo (called the "eye check"), and recording the count in the log (see Appendix, CCF Form 3). If the eye check results in a discrepancy between the number of clients present and . the number of clients supposed to be in the facility, an investigation is made to determine the reason for the discrepancy. If the missing client(s) cannot be located in the institution, the director of the Fort Des Moines facility is notified. At least one staff member is functioning on a "floating" basis 24 hours a day.

The drivers are responsible for transporting clients to and from all scheduled activities outside the facility including all work release, school release and community entertainment. Drivers also transport clients for any special purposes -- medical, mental health emergencies, etc. Drivers transport only those clients who are authorized to leave the facility.

The job development unit is comprised of three members: a job developer, a vocational rehabilitation specialist (on loan from the Vocational Rehabilitation Services Division of the Iowa State Department of Public Instruction), and an employment specialist (on loan from the Iowa State Employment Service). The job developer is responsible for assisting in the evaluation of client





vocational potential, for identifying jobs suitable for client placement. for placing clients in employment, for monitoring client performance during employment, and for fostering and maintaining the relationship of the program to business and organized labor.

()

The vocational rehabilitation specialist assists in the vocational diagnosis of clients, refers clients to educational and vocational diagnostic and rehabilitative services. He assists clients in enrolling in institutions of higher learning and makes use of local Department of Labor programs in those efforts.

The employment specialist is responsible for assisting in the identification of suitable job opportunities by making the resources of the state job bank available to the clients, and in the placement of clients on jobs through the introduction and referral services of ISES.

The maintenance foreman is responsible for the overall maintenance of the facility and the grounds. He also is responsible for the on-going renovation activities undertaken at the facility. He also supervises the work of those clients who are not on work-release. employed outside of the fulling.

The purchasing agent handles the requisition of equipment and supplies used at the facility, and the two secretaries handle the basic clerical functions carried on at the facility. For Des Moines.

In addition to this staff, the correctional facility has access to the services of a consulting psychiatrist who conducts psychological evaluations of clients during their orientation period and provides the counseling staff with advige and direction in their counseling and treatment activities.

All operations staff members are responsible for observing and recording the behavior of individual clients. The behavior observation reports are · placed in the appropriate counselor's file (see Appendix, CCF Form 4). · A record of all client phone calls and visitors is also kept and placed in the appropriate counselor's file. Although the paperwork involved in the process

"The volume and chicational conditator is perpetite forthe Volumber ordination of volunteers, and constinues volumber ordinates with client counselors. She also avery use client to GLD classes.

of behavior observation, recording, and evaluation increases the workload of both counselor and custodial staff, it is believed that the continuous feedback to counselors of information concerning the behavior of their clients aids in the entire treatment program. DOCESS,

The hiring and firing policies of the facility are geared to three innovative premises. First, the full-time staff of the facility is largely non-professional in terms of experience in corrections, although approximately one-half have college degrees. The non-degreed staff includes part-time students, former convicts, and persons with considerable knowledge of "the street". The program consciously seeks to maintain the balance between professional and non-professional staff.

Second, in keeping with the staffing philosophy of the Department of Court Services, an attempt is made to keep the racial composition of the staff in line with the racial composition of the client population. This is difficult because staff and client turnover are obviously unrelated; nevertheless, there is a conscious effort made to achieve racial balance on the staff.

Third, in a break with traditional concepts about correctional facility staffing, there have always been females on the staff at Fort Des Moines Medical care duties have usually been handled on-site by a female nurse, and there have been female desk officers and counselors as well. Although the majority of clients are convicted felons, there have been no significant incidents involving female staff. In fact, female staff members have had no more difficulty in relating to and controlling the clients than their male counterparts.

Fort Des Moines also makes use of volunteers. Tutoring, crafts training and supportive counseling are just a few of the many services that volunteers have provided. More importantly, volunteers have been involved in public relations efforts including a recent general community open house at the facility and other promotional efforts geared to give an honest picture of the program and its success. Local interest has been so strong that it has become necessary to hire a Volunteer Coordinator to structure and schedule the activities of the volunteers,

()

ing je b

The use of non-professional staff at Fort Des Moines does create some problems, but it is the judgement of the Department's director that it has brought about many positive results. Less experienced staff members, as a group, have tended to be more oriented toward serving people (problem solving) and less oriented toward system preservation. They also tend to promote innovation in program development. It is the opinion of the project director that the willingness of staff to experiment, to develop new concepts and to innovate is the primary. factor which distinguishes the Fort Des Moines corrections appreach from more traditional corrections methods. Communities interested in developing a corrections facility similar to Fort Des Moines should carefully weigh both the costs and benefits of using non-professional staff.

Chart 3 Th<del>e following char</del>t indicates the organizational structure of Fort Des Moines. (The organization of the Women's Facility is also included.)





FORT DES MOINES COMPONENT

**ि**)



0

#### Rules and Regulations Governing Administration

()

The clients at Fort Des Moines are expected to participate actively in their own rehabilitation, much like their counterparts in other treatment-oriented components of the Des Moines program. There is no room for trouble makers at Fort Des Moines, nor is the program designed to control the dangerous offender. It exists as an alternative to the more secure environments of county jail and state prison. As presently constituted, it does not attempt to replace those environments, but to complement them. It does so by providing a full program of training, educational release, work release, and counseling for the convicted offender who is neither stable enough to be granted probation nor dangerous enough to warrant placement in a state institution. Apart from their reasons for being there, the Fort Des Moines residents share some striking similarities. For one thing, clients are young. A random check of the facility last summer revealed that over 40% of the clients were 20 or younger, and 75% were under 25. And, while 80% of the clients are felons, they are normally first offenders or persons with relatively insignificant adult criminal records. Only a small minority of Fort Des Moines clients have ever served time in one of the state penal institutions.

Fort Des Moines is run like few correctional institutions in the United States. The approach taken toward behavior control at Fort Des Moines is perhaps best described in the contract signed by every client who is accepted into the facility (see Appendix, CCF Form 5). In that document the client learns that the program was designed for the man who is ready to "make a change in his lifestyle but may need some help." He is told that he will be expected to work and participate in the activities of Fort Des Moines. If he just wants "to put in his time," there will be no place for him at Fort Des Moines, and he will be returned to the Polk County jail, The contract goes on to recite the privileges available to clients and the duties and responsibilities that must be performed if the privileges are to be enjoyed.

The general provisions outlined in the contract are described in greater detail in the regularly distributed Rules and Repulations memo (see Appendix, CCF Form 6) which explains the "do's and don'ts" of life at the facility. The memo is often updated to reflect changes due to a relaxation or tightening of rules.

as er, 975

An examination of this document reveals that there are four activities which are not tolerated at Fort Des Moines--fighting, drinking, drug possession or use, and escape. Clients guilty of these offenses are subject to immediate return to the Polk County jail. (A client guilty of escape is also subject to a years term in the Iowa Men's Reformatory.) Apart from these and a number of other offenses, there are few proscribed activities at Fort Des Moines.

Clients are allowed free access to most of the facility and they are allowed to use the equipment in the community living area. However, in order to remain at Fort Des Moines and enjoy the benefits and services it provides, they must become actively involved in a program of rehabilitation and treatment. The failure to maintain a significant level of performance is one of the most serious offenses a client can commit. It, too, subjects him to immediate return to the Polk County jail.

Both staff and clients attribute the lack of serious incidents to the program itself. Since the facility exists only as an alternative to more severe institutional settings and since clients must actively cooperate or return to those settings, control is maintained primarily through the threat of revocation. If this threat is not enough to ensure adequate behavior on the part of the client, he is transferred to the county jail. In some cases, clients will be given a "second (or even a third or fourth) chance" to make it at Fort Des Moines. When returned to the facility, a previously troublesome client will often be ready to abide by its rules. In the case of serious or continued breaches, revocation of assignment to Fort Des Moines is final.

With regard to the issue of control, two things should be remembered. First, the majority of clients appreciate the opportunities the program

3-51.

provides and try to make use of them. (One client, who appeared to speak for this majority, said during an interview, "Fort Des Moines is the greatest thing that has ever happened to me, and I am not going to blow it.") Second, Fort Des Moines and facilities like it exist only as one part of the broader spectrum of corrections. Fort Des Moines succeeds in part because more rigorous penal settings exist to house and treat of the more dangerous offender. If there were no alternatives to Fort Des Moines, such as county jail and state prison, its effectiveness would likely be reduced.

#### Process

()

Fort Des Moines, as one of the program's treatment-oriented components, shares many of the procedures used in other components. There are eight elements of the Fort Des Moines process:

- --Selection and Placement
- --Intake
- --Orientation
- -Treatment Planning
- --Counseling
- .-. Job Development
- --Maintenance of Relationships with Courts and Law Enforcement
- --Termination

#### ---Selection and Placement

The court makes the ultimate decision to place a convicted offender in the Fort Des Moines facility. However, judges often involve the Department of Court Services in making this decision. It is not uncommon for any number of sources--the PSI investigator, the defense attorney, the court, or other interested parties--to request a sentencing recommendation from the program. In these cases, the residential correction center's intake counselor interviews the offender to assess his personality and his potential for success in the residential treatment program, and consults with the PSI investigator (if a PSI report is being prepared) to gain further insights into the background of the potential client. When he has finished his inquiry; the intake counseler submits a recommendation to the court analyzing the appropriateness of the offender's placement at Fort Des Moines.

The normal process used by the court to place a convicted offender at Fort Des Moines is to sentence the person on the charge and then to suspend the sentence on the condition that he will agree to a voluntary commitment. As in probation, the convicted offender is always aware that the suspension can be lifted at any time for cause. In addition, a small number of misdemeanants are also sentenced directly to Fort Des Moines.

In a few cases, the Fort Des Moines facility has also been utilized for pre-trial defendants who have been selected as potential supervised release clients, but who can qualify for that component only in the more structured environment provided at Fort Des Moines.

The actual logistics involved in a client's placement at the facility include: 1) the receipt by the program of an order directing the transfer of the client from the county jail to Fort Des Moines (see Appendix, CCF Form 7), 2) the obtaining of a certificate of release from the court clerk's office, 3) the presentation of this certificate and the order to the jailor to secure the client's release, and 4) the immediate transfer of the client to the facility.

#### --Intake

Arriving clients are referred to the intake counselor for processing. The counselor normally begins the process by setting up the client file and filling out the various reporting forms, including the evaluation codesheet (see Appendix, CCF Form 8) and file facesheet (see Appendix, CCF Form 9). He also begins the file checklist (see Appendix, CCF Form 10) which will ultimately note all of the forms and records which are included in the client's file during his tenure at Fort Des Moines. The average tenure of a client, barring revocation, is between three-and four months.

After the administrative procedures are completed, the counselor begins the process of integrating the client into the program. First, he carefully reviews with the client the rules and regulations which control

#### OPERAT



life at Fort Des Moines. He explains the privileges that are earned by compliance with those rules and regulations--weekend furloughs away from the facility, visitors, work release, permission to keep a radio or stereo in the facility--as well as the penalties that are imposed for non-compliance--general house restrictions, forfeiture of all privileges, temporary or permanent return to county jail, reinstitution of the original sentence order and transfer to state prison (revocation).

13

()

Next, the counselor goes over the basic performance contract with the client. Any stipulations imposed by the court or recommended by the PSI report are added. These may vary from a restitution order to a suggestion that the client refrain from any contact with a certain friend or relative. The contract represents the initial step in the development of an overall treatment plan for the client. It is updated by the client and his individual counselor throughout the course of treatment. Both the intake counselor and the client sign this original contract, and it is dated and placed in the client's file. The signing William (C) of the contract concludes the intake process. Method is for divides file Man the Statement of Course of Method is for divides file man the Statement of Course of Method is for divides file interaction of the client of the client of the divides file man the Statement of Course of Method is for divides file interaction of the client of the client of the divides file interaction of the client of the client of the divides file method of the interaction of the client of the divides file interaction of the client of the client of the interaction of the interaction of the client of the interaction of the interaction of the client of the interaction of the interaction of the client of the interaction of the interaction of the interaction of the client of the interaction of the interaction of the interaction of the client of the interaction of the interaction of the interaction of the client of the interaction of the interaction of the interaction of the client of the interaction of the interaction of the interaction of the client of the interaction of the client of the client of the client of the client of the interaction of the client of the client of the client of the c

The orientation period is part of the intake process with is unique to the Fort Des Moines operation and is considered to be a vital part of the residential treatment program. During orientation, the client is not allowed to look for work and he does not receive any of the normal privileges of the facility. Rather, he undergoes a general educational, vocational, attitudinal, and behavioral evaluation by the intake Visa Courselor, who conducts the orientation.

At the outset, the client is made to participate in his own orientation. First, he is directed to write a short autobiography. He is then expected to begin to develop his own treatment program and to indicate why he believes such a program would benefit him. Both of these preliminary exercises provide the program with valuable information about the client and his feelings of self-worth. They also tend to give insights into the relative levels of performance and achievement that can be expected of the client, thus helping to structure his eventual treatment plan.

The orientation process also involves the assessment of client needs and the commencement of the basic services program. To this end, the client is directed to fill out an employment application to be used in the job development effort. If necessary, the new client is interviewed by a vocational rehabilitation counselor (loaned to the Department by the state Vocational Rehabilitation Services) for the purpose of defining possible goals in the programs outside the institution. In this interview, the vocational rehabilitation counselor attempts both to evaluate the client's intellectual and vocational skills, and to define what type of program might be most beneficial to him--vocational training programs, educational programs, or direct employment placement. Clients are often referred to the local MDTA Career Exploration Center for a diagnostic analysis of their latent skills and interests.

The client is also interviewed by the job developer who handles most job placement activities at the Fort. Working with the client's employment history and any formal evaluations that are available, the job developer tries to develop a basic employment plan which is incorporated into the eventual client treatment program. Employment plans differ depending upon the intelligence, skills and experience of the individual client.

Another element of the orientation period is the psychiatric evaluation of each new client by the Des Moines program consulting psychiatrist. In interviewing clients, the psychiatrist focuses upon: the client's interests, his background--including any past psychological problems-his attitudes, his environmental stability, and his employment history. At the conclusion of the interview, the psychiatrist prepares an evaluation report identifying any potential danger areas of personality or behavioral disorder. The report may also recommend specific directions for treatment and counseling to take. The psychological evaluation is used by the intake counselor to further expand the development of the treatment program and to assist in the assignment of the defendant to the most appropriate counselor.



3-56

The orientation period usually lasts for a week to ten days. At the end of that period, the intere counselor meets with the counselor supervisor to discuss the client's background, the results of the various evaluations made of him, and his potential for success at Fort Des Moines. If they decide that a client is not likely to fit into the program and benefit from it, there are several alternatives that are available to them. They can request that the court transfer the client back to the county jail, to the state prison, or to a special treatment program. If they feel that the client needs intensive specialized treatment, they rely on the same mental health, alcoholism and drug abuse treatment resources that are used by the other components of the program. The process of completing such transfers is accomplished by petitioning the sentencing judge to transfer the defendant to the appropriate location and obtaining an order to that effect (see Appendix, CCF Form 11).

1. 1

 $\langle \hat{j} \rangle$ 

()

If a decision is made that a client can handle the demands of the Fort Des Moines program, the intake counselor and the supervisor of counselors discuss the development of his treatment plan and assign him to a case counselor. The decision to assign a client to a particular counselor is never an easy one. Care is taken to choose the counselor who can best serve the needs of the client. Moreover, since it is the policy of the residential services component that counselors be held accountable for the performance and behavior of their clients, the decision is a critical one for both client and counselor.

The program believes that if counselors are held accountable for their clients, they will have to take an active role both in assisting clients and in monitoring client performance. Thus, at Fort Des Moines, performance is required not only of clients but also of staff. Much of the success of Fort Des Moines has been attributed to this approach. In a sense, a counselor and client not only enter into a contract for future performance, but also establish a partnership for delivering that performance.

The strict requirements of accountability at For Des Moines operate to instill a sense of purpose in all who work and live there. Other communities should consider such an approach to correctional treatment in developing components similar to Fort Des Moines.



#### -- Treatment Planning

A treatment plan is developed for each client who resides at Fort Des Moines. If followed, this plan is expected to result in the rehabilitation of the client and his successful reintegration into society. As a result, much of the intake and orientation period is devoted to collecting enough information about the client to formulate an adequate plan. Once the client is assigned to a counselor, this process continues at an accelerated pace.

In one sense, the client treatment plan is similar to those developed for participants in the supervised release and probation components. However, because of the greater needs of the average Fort Des Moines resident and the increased control and authority over the client exercised by the facility and the case counselor, the Fort Des Moines treatment plan has become a more structured and directive rehabilitative tool.

In another sense, the treatment plan is a further extension of the original contract which the client makes with the program during intake. A client who fulfills his end of the agreements specified in the contract usually is ready for release. The emphasis is placed upon the client "doing more than time." He is expected to participate actively in what amounts to a restructuring of his life. Basically, the treatment plan consists of both a series of behavioral goals to be met by the client and an ordered plan of action which he must follow in meeting those goals. It is thus a blueprint for his rehabilitation and eventual reintegration into society.

Although the client and his counselor actually develop the treatment plan, they rely heavily upon the work of those who participated in assessing the client's vocational, educational, and behavioral development during the orientation process. Those assessments help to identify the changes which the client (and his family) must make in his life before he can properly function in society. They also indicate something about the client's capacity to make those changes. Both counselor and client use that information to tailor an individualized treatment plan which reflects his special needs and capabilities. Goals may relate specifically to the crime a client committed. For example, a client convicted of grand theft auto may have, as one long range goal, the purchase of a car. Or a goal may relate to a family or social relationship. For example, a client who is overly dependant on his mother or father and not used to accepting responsibility for his own decisions will work towards increased independance and altered family relationships. Or a goal may relate to the client's personal appearance: a goal of an obese client may be to lose weight. What matters is that the goal be realistic, desired, capable of being obtained, and client-specific.

While treatment plans are individualized, they all share certain common elements. First, everyone at Fort Des Moines must work. This means that a client must either hold a full-time job in the community on work release, or attend classes and work at the facility on a full-time or part-time basis. Typically, over 90% of the residents of Fort Des Moines are employed through its work release program. Second, everyone at Fort Des Moines must plan the use of his income. Priorities are spelled out in the client's treatment plan. Typically, they include family support, restitution to the victim of the crime, repayment of prior debts, payments of room and board to Fort Des Moines, and saving for a long-term goal, such as the purchase of a car. Third, everyone is required to abide by the rules and regulations governing life at Fort Des Moines. Failure to do so will result in punishment, regardless of any progress the client may be making in other areas of the treatment plan.

The treatment plan is developed and finalized during a client's first three weeks at the facility. From then on, all of his actions at Fort Des Moines are measured in terms of the plan. A client who faithfully works toward the established goals set out in the plan can expect early release. A client who fails to work toward those goals can expect to be terminated from the program and returned to county jail or, in some cases, sent to the state prison.

. The treatment plan approach is an important element in the Fort Des Moines correctional philosophy. By identifying realistic goals, it encourages each individual client to adjust his behavior to the actual demands of the broader

No. St

()

society. By stressing performance, it emphasizes actions over attitudes and, therefore, does not force the individual client to restructure his personality to fit into a certain institutional mold. By being individualized, it allows each client to proceed at his own pace while conforming his actions to the requirements of the institution.

--Counseling. After a treatment plan has been developed with the client, the counselor is responsible for working with the client to implement the plan and for monitoring the client's progress and achievement. To provide this kind of assistance to the client, the counselor calls upon both the resources within Fort Des Moines and those located in the community and available to the general public.

In identifying community resources and services that are appropriate for the needs of particular clients and initiating referrals, the Fort Des Moines counselor functions in the same way that the supervised release counselors and the probation agents function. That is, the counselor works with the client to define particular needs or problems that may be obstacles to effective functioning and rehabilitation, identifies a public or private agency that provides suitable services, initiates a referral, and monitors the client's progress by maintaining contact with the agency and, through counseling sessions, with the client.

Client counseling in the Fort Des Moines program takes two forms: "triad" or group counseling and individual one-to-one counseling.

Approximately twelve of the inmates-or clients-are involved in triad counseling at any one time. They are organized into four groups of three members each. The three man group-or triad-is the basic counseling unit. The members of each triad share a single sleeping room at the facility.

Triad counseling attempts to modify the behavior of individual clients through a system of collective responsibility and intensive peer pressure. Triads meet each week to discuss individual and collective problems and needs. The counselor assigned to work with the triad brings the clients' files to the meeting and draws actual examples of the clients' behavior from them to stimulate discussion. If a client has received negative reports from the staff of Fort Des Moines, his employer, or any other agency in the community, he is required to explain that behavior to the other members of the triad and to the counselor. Since the negative behavior of one member of the triad may result in the ioss of privileges for all three members, the other two members have a vested interest in their roommate's actions. The triad system is based on the assumption that the members of each triad will attempt to express and protect their individual interests by exerting peer pressure to conform. Such pressure is also encouraged by offering triad members group privileges, over and above those available to individual clients, when all three members of the triad behave positively and make significant progress towards the goals laid out in their treatment plans.

The majority of the clients at Fort Des Moines are involved in individual counseling, which places the primary emphasis upon the one-to-one relation-ship between client and counselor. It is characterized by the mutual responsibilities of each as outlined in the treatment plan.

Counselors meet with their clients on a regular basis--usually once or twice a week. These meetings consist of an evaluation of the on-going performance of the client both at and outside of the facility. Positive performance qualifies a client for the privileges available at Fort Des Moines. These include increased visitation privileges, increased participation in outside activities (e.g., movies, sports, concerts), special room privileges (e.g., bringing in a stereo or a radio), work or educational release, weekend furlough, and ultimately, discharge and parole. Negative performance can result in the loss of privileges earned previously, temporary or permanent transfer to county jail, or removal to a state institution.

Counselors also have a number of administrative responsibilities. Each counselor is expected to monitor the client's financial affairs while he is in the program. This includes working with the client to set up a general budget to take care of family support, restitution, debt payment, and room and board fees; collecting and disbursing funds to cover the client's

3

bills; and settling any other money issues. Counselors are also responsible for reviewing all staff observations of a client and summarizing them in the client file (see Appendix, CCF Form 12). They are further required to note and record all correspondence received by the client (see Appendix, CCF Form 13), and to note and record the reasons for any specific privileges granted or restrictions imposed. Finally, the counselor is responsible for informing the court of all significant changes in the client's status and for seeking court approval of various actions pertaining to the client. Certain privileges, for example--specifically those which enable the client to leave the facility without supervision, such as work release (see Appendix, CCF Form 14), school release (see Appendix, CCF Form 15), or furlough (see Appendix, CCF Form 16)--require a court order before they can be granted.

The counselor is the sole person responsible for keeping his clients' files in order and he is held accountable for them. At any point during a client's tenure at Fort Des Moines, it is possible to get a clear picture of what he has done since he entered the facility and what he is expected to do during the remainder of his stay by merely going through the client file.

ditter .

The times Fort Des Moines case counselors operate out of a single office located on the first floor of the facility. This arrangement encourages them to discuss their caseloads and their counseling techniques. Like their counterparts in supervised release and probation, most Fort Des Moines counselors are not professionally trained although many have college degrees. In the opinion of the program's administrators, the mixture of degreed and non-degreed counseling staff tends to promote an atmosphere of mutual appreciation, with counselors able to gain insights and expertise from each other. A certain <u>esprit de corps</u> has built up among counselors which further strengthens the overall program.

-Job Development. No client is paroled or discharged from Fort Des Moines unless he has a job, and no client remains at Fort Des Moines for long unless he works. Thus, if the client/counselor relationship provides the skeleton for the Des Moines treatment approach, job development is its backbone.

There are three key elements in job development: diagnosis, placement, and employer relations. Each, in a different way, involves the close cooperation of the job development team, the individual client and the counselor. Each is also geared to the ultimate goal of the program: the placement of the client in permanent employment which will continue after he leaves the facility.

Each client is evaluated by the job development team during his orientation to Fort Des Moines. This process begins with a basic employment interview with the job developer to learn of his employment history, his interests and his aspirations. He is instructed to fill out an employment application form (see Appendix, Sk Form 12) which is kept on file and used by the job development team for future reference.

Since one half of all clients enter Fort Des Moines without a high school diploma, the job developer also frequently encourages the client to enroll in the GED classes available through the program. Since almost all clients enter with a poor work record, the job developer explains the "graduated job placement" process that the program utilizes and describes how it works. This initial interview provides the job developer with a general sense of the client's skills and interests and where he might be placed.

After the initial interview, the client is interviewed by the vocational rehabilitation specialist. Depending on the client and his interests, the voc/rehab specialist may administer a series of tests to determine client vocational and intellectual skills, he may refer the client to the local Career Exploration Center for a complete vocational diagnosis which takes from one to three weeks; or he may enroll the client in one of the various technical training programs available in Des Moines. If a client shows interest in education, he may assist him in enrolling at the local community college classes either on a part-time basis at night or full time. Funds can be made available through the state vocational rehabilitation agency

()

1

to defray educational expenses. No training is provided at the Fort Des Moines facility itself. All referrals made by the vocational rehabilitation specialist are to programs or institutions which also serve the larger community.

The job developer and vocational rehabilitation specialist discuss the potential of each client with his counselor. Their diagnosis shapes the future employment activities of the client at the facility. This process of diagnosis continues after the orientation period. When a client finishes training or succeeds at an entry level job, the job developer and voc/rehab specialist will consult with the counselor about new directions which the client may take.

The job developer has the primary responsibility for placing clients in employment. However, individual clients are only placed in jobs outside of the Fort Des Moines facility when the counselors determine that they are ready for it. That is, work release (placement in a job in the community) is a privilege that must be earned by the client, and until he is ready for outside placement, the client will be expected to work at the facility. For this work, which includes the general maintenance and on-going renovation of the facility, clients are given credit toward the basic \$5.00 per day room and board fee charged to all clients who reside at Fort Des Moines. (Although the daily fee is \$5.00 per day, clients pay according to their ability. The amount paid by an average client is \$2.00-\$3.00 per day.)

Clients who work at the facility are expected to report to the maintenance foreman at 9:00 a.m. and to work during the day. Clients who sleep late, fail to show up, or perform badly on the job are denied the privileges of the facility. No one wakes a client up or orders him to work; clients are responsible for their own activities. If they shirk their responsibilities, they suffer the consequences. Clients who refuse to work are transferred back to the county jail on a temporary or permanent basis.

When the counselor feels that a client is ready for work release, the... counselor informs the job developer. The job developer identifies an



appropriate position for the client, either from his own employer files or through the job bank of the employment services specialist. Once a potential job is identified, the job developer contacts the employer, explains the client's situation, and asks to have the client considered for the position. If the job requires an interview, the job developer may accompany the client and, if necessary, discuss the situation further with the employer. If the client is hired, the job developer records this fact in his files, and begins to monitor the client's job performance. For his part, the client is expected to maintain a good attendance record, to do the work required of him, and to behave properly while on the job.

Clients on work release are taken to and from their jobs on a scheduled basis in correctional facility vans. The vans leave on time whether or not the clients are ready. In order to maintain a job for any length of time, a client must see to it that he is ready to go when the van leaves. If a client is fired for poor attendance, he loses his privileges.

Poor job attendance is considered one of the main employment problems faced by clients at lort Des Moines. By conditioning the enjoyment of privileges on good work attendance, the program tries to build incentives which will ultimately serve to assist the client to overcome this problem.

Before the job developer places a client in any employment position, he must be assured by the employer that the client will be treated as any other person hired for the job off the street. He relies on these assurances in monitoring the job performance of all clients on work release. Typically, the client decides whether he wants to tell his co-workers that he is a convicted offender.

The job developer regularly contacts employers to inquire about client job experiences. He checks on the client's performance and his attitude on the job. If it appears that a client, placed in an entry level job, can handle a job which requires more responsibility, the job developer may seek to place him in a higher level job. Or, after a client handles a series of short-term jobs well, he may be considered ready for full-time employment. In each case, the industrious client is moved through a series of jobs until he can hold his own at a position which complements and broadens his skills. As a client's work responsibilitics and salary increase, so do his chances for parole or discharge.

The graduated employment approach works both ways. A client who appears to be reluctant to work up to his potential may be kept at an entry level job for a longer period than his abilities warrant and may be denied those privileges which he could otherwise enjoy. At the same time, a client who has difficulty performing at one level is never moved to a higher position. The principle directing graduated placement is that no client should be allowed to move from a lower level to a higher level unless he has adequately performed in that lower level to the best of his abilities. In this way, no client's abilities are overextended, and no client is rewarded for mediocre performance.

Much of the success of Fort Des Moines is due to the relationship it enjoys with the local business community. Since over 90% of all clients are regularly employed on work release, jobs must continually be identified and generated. This would be impossible without the cooperation of local businessmen.

The person at Fort Des Moines who is responsible for fostering and maintaining this relationship is the job developer. He is the primary contact between the program and the business community. These contacts are actively maintained through outreach efforts (by identifying and contacting likely businesses for client employment); through placement efforts (by placing clients in jobs and monitoring their on-going performance); and through follow-up (by establishing and maintaining longer term cooperative arrangements between the program and the employer).

The job developer uses a variety of means to identify jobs. A primary source is the Iowa State Employment Service (ISES) Job Bank which is available at the facility through the ISES employment specialist. Each day the statewide microfische listing of available job openings is received at the facility. By examining this list, the employment specialist and the job developer can identify appropriate jobs for clients awaiting placement. However, since many businesses are reluctant to hire the ()

inmates of a correctional institution, the actual number of placements that can be made through the job bank is limited.

Another source used by the job developer is the program's own job bank, which consists of files on every establishment which has hired Fort Des Moines residents since the work release program began. Each file contains the firm name, the employer or contact person, the type of work, and a listing of the various jobs available by skill level. The job developer periodically updates a firm's file--noting eagerness or reluctance to accept placements, relative fairness to clients, general turnover rate, and seasonality of hiring. The job list has proven to be the source of most placements made by the job developer.

A third source of jobs is generated by the job developer through unsolicited contacts. He does this by regularly phoning or visiting businesses in the Des Moines area which may have a need for the type of employee available at the Fort. Generally, these are industrial concerns which maintain a number of semi-skilled or non-skilled positions. The approach he uses is to explain the program and his role in generating jobs. He then inquires if the business would consider hiring Fort Des Moines clients. In most cases, the employer or personnel manager will have heard of the Des Moines program. If he is receptive and willing "to take a chance," the job developer leaves his card or number and asks that he be contacted when there is an opening available. A considerable number of businesses that eventually accept client placements were originally solicited in this manner. The program has also been supported by the on-going efforts of local employer associations and labor unions, especially the United Auto Workers. In addition, as the program has grown, it has become its own best public relations agent. Satisfied employers are eager to accept more clients and often extoll the virtues of the program to their associates who, in turn, call the program in search of employees.

Although the program currently enjoys good relations with the business community, the job developer must work conscientiously to maintain those relations. To this end, care is taken to insure that relationships with individual employers are not jeopardized by improper placements. The job developer must, therefore, be very candid when making job Although the job development program at Fort Des Moines has been highly successful, certain important facts about the situation in Des Moines should be recognized and understood. First, Dos Moines is a highly industrialized area, and also supports a high level of agricultural production. Hence, there are a wide range of operations which require a large semi-skilled end unskilled labor force. Second, Iowa in general and Des Moines in particular, have had unemployment rates well below the national average in recent years. Third--probably due to the first two factors--the Fort Des Moines employment program has, from its outset, had a considerable amount of support from both business and organized labor. All of these facts tend to help the program. Not every community shares Des Moines-type program should first consider the employment climate in their area and then tailor their program to fit it.

### --Maintenance of Relationships with the Courts and Law Enforcement Agencies

Strong cooperative working relations exist between the Department of Court Services and the other elements of the local criminal justice system. These relationships must continue if the Department is to continue functioning effectively. The role of the Fort Des Moines facility is particularly critical in the maintenance of good relations between the community corrections program and the courts and law enforcement agencies of the Fifth Judicial District.

As a local corrections facility which complements the county jail and state prison systems, Fort Des Moines must maintain a unique partnership with the courts to sustain-its-existence. Both jails and state prisons are granted a level of autonomy over prisoners when they receive them. In most cases, when a court sentences an offender to a state prison, it transfers all jurisdiction over that offender to the state penal system. In the case of Fort Des Moines, however, an offender is placed in the facility by the court in lieu of more secure incarceration, either for a specified term or as a "voluntary" condition of probation. In doing, so, the court retains jurisdiction over each client sentenced to the facility and must be kept abreast of all activities in which the client participates. In a sense, Fort Des Moines operates as an arm of the court, much like the probation component, although within a much more controlled setting.

In practical terms, this fact has several implications. First, it means that specific requests must be made and specific orders granted for any client to leave the facility without staff supervision. As noted above, all work releases, school releases, and furloughs must be authorized by the court. It also means that the program is required to provide the court each Tuesday with a weekly roster of the scheduled daily activities of each client during the rest of the week (sce Appendix, CCF Form 17). This roster informs the court of the name and address of each client's work release or school placement and the times and days of that placement. Thus, the court is made aware of the location of every client who is authorized to leave the facility during the week. The same is true of weekend furloughs. In addition, all actions which tend to terminate the relationship between the client and the program in any way must first be authorized by the court. These actions include temporary or permanent commitment to the county jail or state prison, placement in a therapeutic treatment center, parole, and discharge.

As Fort Des Moines has established itself in the community, the courts have become more receptive to the placement of higher risk offenders in the facility. Like the other elements of the Des Moines project, the Fort Des Moines program is committed to the extension of its services to as many persons who can benefit from them as possible. At the same time, the program recognizes that Fort Des Moines is not a panacea, nor can it accommodate relatively high risk defendants in any appreciable number. As such, the program has resisted the placement of known troublemakers and is quick to revoke any clients who present significant control problems. It is in the interest of both the court and the facility to arrive at an acceptable selection process to insure security and safety while serving the maximum number of persons.

 $\bigcirc$ 

in the second second

The residential corrections center has also established a number of procedures that serve to foster and promote good working relationships with local law enforcement agencies.

First, the very existence of Fort Des Moines serves to reduce the overcrowded conditions at the Polk County jail which led to its condemnation twice in the past. Since that time, the facility has established a good working relationship with the Polk County Sheriff's Department which has facilitated the constant movement of clients to and from the jail.

Second, in order to elicit the support and assistance of local law enforcement in the outside placement of clients, the weekly roster of client placements is given to all enforcement agencies in the Fifth Judicial District. When an officer observes a Fort Des Moines client in the community, he can refer to this roster to determine whether his presence at that location and at that time is authorized. The roster is a part of the computer dispatch services of the Des Moines police force which serves the other local enforcement agencies.

Finally, since none of the staff at the facility are officers with law enforcement powers, the facility must rely on the local enforcement agencies for protection in the event of any serious emergency. To date, this service has been needed only in rare cases. But it is available, and forms an additional link between the facility and law enforcement agencies.

#### --Termination

Various methods of temporarily or permanently terminating the relationship between Fort Des Moines and the client have been mentioned, including removal, revocation, parole, and .ccharge. Each has distinct administrative procedures associated with it and each serves particular purposes in the program.

<u>Removal</u> is the temporary or permanent transfer of a client from the facility to the Polk County jail as a result of a general infraction of the rules and regulations governing the administration of the facility.



( )

These infractions may include the refusal to work or to participate in the treatment program, the repeated commission of a general infraction (i.e., gambling, possession of contraband) or the commission of a single serious infraction (escape, possession or use of drugs, drinking, fighting). The extent to which removal is temporary or permanent depends upon the nature of the offense, the circumstances surrounding the offense, and the prior performance of the client. The ultimate resolution of the issue is a decision of the counselor, his supervisor, and the facility director. A client can be removed only by a court order. Once the order is granted, the client is transferred to the jail in a correction facility ven. Removal is considered to be a valuable rehabilitative tool to "shock" an otherwise intransigent client into appropriate behavior by giving him a "taste of jail."

<u>Revocation</u> is the process of petitioning the court to vacate the standing order authorizing the placement of a client at the facility and to restore the original sentence which followed the client's conviction. It can be the result of the commission of a serious infraction at the facility or rearrest' for the commission of a serious criminal offense while away from the facility. The decision to revoke a client ultimately rests with the director of Fort Des Moines. The process of revocation is the equivalent of a probation revocation. A formal petition is filed with the court, accompanied by a list of particulars outlining the client's performance history, the original conviction, the grounds for the petition, and a full recitation of the facts which justify revocation.

Revocation requires a full adversary judicial hearing, including the taking of evidence, the cross-examination of witnesses (including the petitioner), and full oral argument and rebuttal.

<u>Parole</u> is the transfer of a client from the authority of Fort Des Moines to the probation component of the Department of Court Services. Parole is warranted when the client, operating under a suspended sentence, is considered by the staff of Fort Des Moines, in consultation with the probation component's supervisor, to have performed well enough to warrant release from the facility. The decision to petition for parole is made by the counselor, his supervisor, and the client. It involves a recognition

3-70

that the client has modified and improved his behavior to the extent that he is both capable and committed to maintaining the level of performance required of a probationer. As a rule, no client is paroled unless the program has been assured that he will be employed upon his release.

The parole process involves a petition to the court requesting parole and it also requires the acquiescence of both the Fort Des Moines and the probation component. A memorandum, reviewing the performance of the client, must accompany the petition. The petition must be signed by the Director of Residential Services, the supervisor of counselors, the judge and the county attorney (see Appendix, CCF Form 18). If the petition is granted, the client and his complete file are transferred to the probation unit. The client counselor normally assumes a consultant relationship with the probation agent to explain the treatment plan and to assure the client's smooth transition from one component to the next.

Discharge is the release of a client from the Fort Des Moines facility on the completion of his term. Convicted misdemeanants are often sentenced to the facility for a specified term. Upon completion of this term, the client is eligible for release. Discharge is accomplished by the client's formally petitioning the facility for release on the grounds that his term has ended (see Appendix, CCF Form 19). No formal court order is required on certain discharges because the authority of the court effectively ends on the day the client's term is completed.

In the case of a client who is sentenced for a specified term, but who demonstrates by his performance that he has effectively and adequately modified his behavior prior to the completion of his term, the Fort Des Moines staff may petition the court for an early discharge. If the court approves such a petition, the client is released from the facility on an early discharge order.



### PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION -

This chapter has included a series of detailed descriptions of the structure, administration, and operation of the four components of the Department of Court Services. In addition to these four components, however, the program also includes a number of administrative features and management, fiscal, and evaluation policies that shape its day-to-day operations.

#### Central Administration

In addition to the staff assigned to the four components, the Des Moines project also has an administrative unit which includes, in addition to secretarial support, three key posts: Director, Assistant to the Director, and Director of Training.

c <u>Director</u>. The Director of the Department of Court Services is the chief administrative officer of the Des Moines program. He reports to the Fifth Judicial District Court of Iowa on all policy matters and to the Polk County Board of Supervisors on all fiscal matters. He also serves as the program's liaison to other elements of the local criminal justice system. Internally, he assumes responsibility for overall policy direction, chairs all general staff meetings, approves all promotions to supervisory level, and sets the overall tone of the department. The director also is responsible for representing the program before the community at large. As such, he performs a critical public relations function in explaining program operations to citizens groups and government agencies at the local, state and national level. And, finally, the director is also responsible for program funding. As a result, a significant portion of his time is committed to lobbying the state legislature and dealing with federal, state and local agencies.

• Assistant to the Director. The Assistant to the Director is the chief fiscal officer of the department. She is responsible for budget management and fiscal control, and administers the central management information system of the department which analyzes and reports on the activities of all

components. Her other responsibilities include supervision of the central bookkeeping and clerical staff and screening of all prospective clerical staff hired by the department.

o Director of Training. The Director of Training is responsible for staff upgrading and skills development through training. His responsibilities include preparation of the introductory handbook which is used by new staff, development, together with supervisory staff, of component training plans, and the conducting of all major program training sessions. In addition, he has responsibility for supervision of the regional office activities conducted by the department.

### Administrative Features of the Department of Court Services

As in most experimental and innovative programs, the operational policies of the Des Moines project have evolved considerably since the program began. Although the "feel" of the Des Moines program has much to do with the attitudes and personal style of the people that developed the program, there are four basic policy areas that reflect the Des Moines approach:

- staffing policies
- o management policies
- o fiscal policies
- ø reporting and evaluation policies

© Staffing Policies. From its inception, the Des Moines project has been shaped by the attempt to develop a balanced staff. Although many correctional programs are staffed almost entirely by persons having degrees and/ or experience in the field of corrections, the Des Moines program takes a different approach. Since much of the focus of the treatment-oriented components is on working in a close counseling relationship with defendants or convicted persons who have special problems and needs, the program specifically seeks out persons who--whatever their backgrounds-can be effective in working with project clients. Thus, the staff of the Des Moines project includes degreed and non-degreed staff, and professionals and non-professionals (including "street people" and ex-offenders). In the supervised release and Fort Des Moines components, in particular, non-professionals have been found to be some f the most successful staff



; )

system confronts.

In addition, a conscious attempt is made in the Des Moines project to have the composition of the staff, in terms of sex and race, roughly comparable to the composition of project clients. All components of the program, including the men's correctional facility at Fort Des Moines, are staffed by women as well as men. As of June-1974, the staff breakdown of the Des Moines program was:

> 2118 Blacks 2 Spanish speaking 7758 Whites 100 (8 Total

In addition to these general staffing policies, there are three additional policies which control hiring and firing. First, potential staff are selected from a pool of applicants, and chosen according to the specific training, sex and race characteristics desired for a specific position. At the present time, the pool of job applicants is so large that applications for staff positions have been closed (see Appendix, ADM Form 1). An oral interview is required of all prospective staff. Second, all hiring and firing is done by each component, with the supervisor of the component given responsibility for staffing decisions. The director of the Department of Court Services reviews and passes on the decision of a supervisor to fire a staff member, but, under ordinary circumstances. he does not initiate the process of termination. Third, except in isolated circumstances, all operational staff--degreed or non-degreed, counselor or security--enter the program at the same salary level. Promotions are tied to merit and are not necessarily accompanied by major salary increases. Hence, a staff member may earn more than his or her supervisor, depending on length of service. (See Appendix, ADM Form 2, for program salary scales.) No component pays its staff higher salaries than any other component.

3-74

in dealing with clients who are the "hardest cases" any correctional

5549	Men
4529	Women
100 78	Total

The staffing policies of the program have evolved out of the actual needs of the program and the situation that exists in Des Moines. The treatment orientation of the program demands that a relatively low client/staff ratio be maintained. Yet, at the same time, program funding limitations translate into a relatively restricted salary scale. Forced to hire non-experienced staff to achieve the level of staffing needed to make the operation work, the program has turned an apparent liability into one of its abiding strengths by stressing the flexibility and commitment of the staff over its inexperience.

The unique staffing pattern of the Des Moines program also owes much to the governmental employment policies in Des Moines. First, the department was not tied to civil service regulations partly because of the fact that much of it evolved out of a.Model Cities project. Thus, exprisoners and "street people" were not excluded from employment because of academic deficiencies. Second, the evolution of the probation agent and counselor positions resulted in a situation in which no strict state or local regulations controlled hiring. Thus, although probation staff positions do not carry law enforcement officer status (as they do in many jurisdictions), they can be filled by persons who would not qualify for them in other states.

• <u>Management Policies</u>. Although program direction and policy setting is the ultimate responsibility of the director of the department, many of the policies which control program operation are developed through a participatory process. Each week, supervisory level staff meet to discuss program direction. Out of these meetings come the on-going policies which control overall program operations (for example, see Appendix, ADM Form 3). One of the decisions which came out of this process was the separation of the PSI and probation supervision functions into two operations, each headed by a separate supervisor. At the same time, regular staff meetings are held by the supervisors of each component to go over the day-to-day operations of the component. Both of these types of meetings are also used as training and peer review sessions. In addition, a number of training modes are used to maintain an appropriate level of program management. First, all new staff are introduced into the Department on a rotational basis. Thus the new staff person is assigned for a short period to each component to meet its staff and to learn, on-the-spot, how the component operates. Second, the Director of Training develops, with staff supervisors, methods of providing on-the-job-training for both new and experienced staff. Third, it is a policy of the Department to have general staff retreats which serve both to train and upgrade staff members and encourage the ventilation of staff grievances and complaints. In the past, these sessions have been used to sensitize all staff to the human issues which confronted the program. Plans for future retreats reveal that the emphasis has shifted to training in the more technical counseling and interviewing skills required of the staff. This shift in emphasis was the result of a staff request.

The use of a participatory process of decision making does present some problems for the Department. It takes longer to arrive at decisions, and it does lead to the generation and distribution of additional paperwork. Nowever, both the Director and the staff of the project feel that when people are allowed to participate in the decisions which touch their lives and work, they tend to follow-up on those decisions more readily. Also, since a great amount of hureaucratic red-tape is made unnecessary by the unified structure of the Department, (i.e., a number of diverse though interrelated programs are administered within one department), the extra time taken by staff involvement in decision making is not significant, and tendo mercly to offset the savinge of time caused by the program's unified structure.

In addition, the Director of the Des Moines project emphasizes that staff participation is simply the necessary reverse side of the flexibility of approach and commitment that underlie the program's success. If staff are to be creative and innovative in working with the specific needs of individual clients, they must be allowed to take an active part in shaping the policies and procedures of the program as a whole.

3-76

 $\left( \right)$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

e Fiscal Policies. Although all program funds flow through the Polk County budgetary apparatus, the fiscal administration and management of the Department is the responsibility of the Department staff itself. The budgetary affairs of the Department are administered through the office of the Assistant to the Director. All program components have separate operating budgets. Procedures have been established internally for recording most staff expenditures, including telephone calls, use of department vehicles, related business travel, etc., much as they would be for an independent operating entity. Budget expenditures are thus identified on a component basis (see Appendix, ADM Form 4 for a breakdown of 1973 component expenses). This permits on-going fiscal monitoring of the program. Components which are operating over budget are identified before problems arise. At the same time, components which have been underbudgeted in one year have their budgets adjusted, where possible, in the following year. In cities or counties which have a wide variety of departments, agencies or boards, it is often difficult for a centralized fiscal agent (e.g., the county auditor) to provide the type of current fiscal information needed for on-going planning. Local budget divisions are often understaffed, and are not usually familiar with the operations of the departments which they audit. Thus, the fact that the Department of Court Services includes its own fiscal capability adds to the flexibility of program planning.

• Reporting and Evaluation Policies. The various reporting forms and procedures controlling the operation of the Des Moines program have been discussed throughout this chapter. In each component, a specified set of forms and procedures is used. When completed, some forms are kept by the component, while others are sent for monttoring and evaluation purposes to the central office. The Assistant to the Director is ultimately responsible for the internal monitoring of the program and for complying with local and federal reporting requirements. In addition, central records are kept on each client who passes through the program. A basic filing system has been set up to organize all of the information generated . by the project (see Appendix, ADM Form 5). Monthly (see Appendix, ADM Form 6) and yearly (see Appendix, ADM Form 7), reports are prepared for

the project and are distributed not only to local and federal authorities month-to-month performance of his component.

1

In addition to these reporting activities, the Research Center of the National Council on Crime and Delinquency has evaluated the Des Moines project for several years. (Chapter 2 was devoted to a discussion of the results of that evaluation.) A number of significant program changes have been made because of the findings and recommendations of the evaluation. Among these have been the recommendation to locate the supervised release selection team at the pre-trial release office rather than at the supervised release office. (This seemingly innocuous suggestion has been instrumental in reducing the time from arrest to release from 3-5 days to 2 days.) The evaluation also led to the recommendation that the pre-trial release interview be adjusted to reflect the perceived importance of both a clean record and extended residence in the Des Moines area (this recommendation is expected to cause an increase of ROR release without an appreciable rise in the failure to appear rate).

but also internally to each component supervisor to help him monitor the

## CHAPTER 4: THE DES MOINES COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PHILOSOPHY

This metion of the handbook examines the philosophical or theoretical bases of the Des Moines Community Corrections Program. It discusses the consistent themes that run through all four of the program's components and which integrate those components into a single, coordinated program.

Although the various components of the Des Moines Community Corrections program are tailored to the needs of persons involved in different stages of the criminal justice process, there are several philosophic themes that underlie the program as a whole. These themes, in both implicit and explicit form, constitute the "Des Moines approach" to community corrections. Correctional programs in other communities also share many of the philosophic assumptions of the Des Moines program, but in Des Moines, a conscious attempt has been made to translate a series of correctional theories into an integrated program approach.

There are five key elements in the Des Moines Community Corrections philosophy:

- e Coordination
- One-to-One Counseling
- 0

#### Coordination

(

()

As in most other communities, correctional programs evolved gradually in Des Moines over a number of years. The development of the four Des Moines components took time, and the various components were originally administered by different public and private agencies. The pre-trial release (ROR) component began in 1964, and was originally administered and funded by a private organization, the Hawley Welfare Foundation. Supervised release was publicly funded through the Des Moines Model Cities Program, beginning in 1968, but the component was originally administered by the private National Council on Crime and Delinquency. The two elements of the

o Individualized Treatment Planning Employment Emphasis/Job Development e Use of Existing Community Resources

probation component--pre-sentence investigations and probation supervision --were incorporated into the program in 1971 from two separate public agencies, the Iowa State Bureau of Adult Corrections and the Polk County Probation Department (which supervised probationers convicted of indictable misdemeanors). Only the community correctional facilities (Fort Des Moines and the Women's Facility) were originally planned, implemented and administered by the Department of Court Services when it was established in 1971.

Although the four components of the Des Moines program took shape at different times and as different administrative units, a key factor in the program's success has been the gradual coordination of the original components. For the past several years, all four Des Moines components have been operated by a single administrative unit, the Fifth Judicial District Department of Court Services.

The <u>administrative coordination</u> of the Des Moines program is displayed in the following organizational chart (Chart  $\mathcal{A}$ ). The Director of Court Services has administrative responsibility for all four of the program components. Reporting to the Director are two Divisional Directors, one who monitors the operation of Community Services (ROR, Supervised Release, and Probation), and one who has responsibility for Residential Services (the community correctional facilities). Under these Division Directors are the supervisors of the four program components.

Although the Department of Court Services provides correctional programs to all 16 of the counties which make up Iowa's Fifth Judicial District, final administrative responsibility for the program rests with the Polk County Supervisors rather than with the judiciary. All funding for the Des Moines program is routed through the Board of Supervisors of Polk County (Des Moines is located in Polk County), and the Board also hires the Director of Court Services and sets salary levels for Department of Court Services employees.

Although the judges of the Fifth Judicial District do not have direct administrative control over the Department of Court Services, they do



participate--both formally and informally--in the development of policies for the Department. Since all of the four components of the program depend upon judicial cooperation (defendants can be released on their own recognizance or into the custody of local supervised release staff only with the approval of a judge; and only a judge can place a convict on probation or commit him to the Fort Des Moines facility), the staff of the Department of Court Services and the judiciary work closely together.

In addition to the administrative coordination of the Des Moines program which is achieved by having one administrative unit (the Department of Court Services) operate all four correctional components, the program is also <u>functionally coordinated</u>. This functional coordination takes place in a number of ways: <u>information sharing</u> between components is used to provide a continuum of correctional services to persons moving through the criminal justice system; <u>rotation of staff</u> from one component to another is used both to broaden the skills of staff members by introducing them to a wide range of correctional tasks and to make the staff of each component more sensitive to the needs of clients and staff alike in each of the program's four components; <u>weekly staff meetings</u> and a <u>departmental newsletter</u> are also used to bring the staff from all four components together and to inform them of the procedures, problems, and successes of other components.

On a day-to-day basis, the functional coordination of the Des Moines program goes to the heart of what an integrated community corrections program is all about. For example, when a defendant is interviewed for possible release on his own recognizance, an interview form (see Chapter 3) is filled out. This form contains considerable data about the defendant, his place of residence, his employment, the names of his closest friends or relatives, etc. If the defendant does not qualify for ROR, the interview form is given to the staff of the supervised release component. This sharing of information eliminates the need for the supervised release staff to ask the defendant the same questions asked earlier by the ROR staff. It thus gives the supervised release staff a base of information from which to develop a subjective interview.

An extension of this functional coordination can also be seen in the development of client "treatment plans." (Treatment plans are discussed in greater detail below.) When a defendant is released into the custody of the supervised release component, a treatment plan is drawn up with the defendant. It sets out specific pre-trial objectives: e.g., holding a job, receiving marriage counseling, participating in a drug or alcohol treatment program. If the defendant is convicted of the crime for which he is charged, the treatment plan is typically continued during the presentence investigation period. The plan itself, together with other information which program staff has gathered about the defendant, is given to the staff person who is conducting the PSI. A report on the progress which the defendant made during his supervised release period typically is included in the PSI report. After sentencing, this functional coordination continues. If the judge places the offender on probation, the supervised release treatment plan often continues in effect as the probation staff member begins to work with the probationer. The original treatment plan may be modified as the needs of the probationer change, but in each case the new treatment plan draws on information and plans developed by other component staff members. If the offender is sent to Fort Des Moines, the process works in a similar manner. Objective data and treatment plans developed by the staff of other components are sent to the counselor who will be working with the client at the Fort. Earlier treatment plans are modified as short term goals are achieved and new ones are identified.

Functional coordination of the type which takes place in Des Moines can, of course, exist in a correctional system which is not operated by a single administrative unit. And a program which is administratively coordinated may fail to achieve true functional coordination. But the Des Moines experience seems to indicate that a program which combines administrative and functional coordination simplifies the integration of individual correctional components into a unified whole. And the better the coordination, the greater the scope of service delivery. The Des Moines approach emphasises the provision of correctional services at all stages of the criminal justice process. By coordinating the "flow" of

4-4

services throughout that system, the Des Moines program is able not only to serve more clients, but to improve the quality of the correctional services which those clients receive.

#### Individualized Treatment Planning

Since the pre-trial release component of the Des Moines program is a release-on-own-recognizance project, the only requirement for defendants who are released from jail through the ROR component is that they return for trial; they are not supervised during the pre-trial period. But, with the exception of the pre-trial release component, the other three parts of the Des Moines program provide treatment services to their clients. Thus, the second key element of the Des Moines Community Corrections approach is the individualized treatment planning which takes place in the supervised release, probation and community correctional facility components.

Although different correctional programs mean different things by the word "treatment," the Des Moines program is based upon a very specific treatment philosphy: treatment should flow from the disabilities of the offender rather than from pre-conceived notions of how to change deviate behavior. As a result, the program makes use of treatment planning which focuses on the individual defendant or offender and his specific, identifiable problems: e.g., unemployment, educational underachievement, lack of vocational skills, health deficiencies, psychological problems, problems with finance management, or family and marital problems.

When a potential client is referred to one of the three treatment-oriented components, the first question thus becomes: Does the client have identifiable life-style problems which have resulted in a low level of selfesteem and which may lead to negative or criminal behavior in the future? To answer this initial question, project staff make use of a variety of evaluation techniques:

c Client Interview. The first stage in the evaluation of the treatment needs of each client involves an intensive. subjective interview. Unlike the ROR interview, which gathers objective data about the client's employment, residence and criminal justice history, this interview is used to probe the attitudes, problems and concerns of the client.

- ment of the treatment plan.
- vocational needs are isolated.

 $\left( \right)$ 

- staff.

4-6

Psychological Evaluation. Shortly after the client enters one of the program's three treatment-oriented components, the client is interviewed by a staff psychiatric consultant. The results of this interview are then forwarded to the client's counselor in narrative form. This psychological evaluation serves as one of the key elements in the develop-

e Vocational Evaluation. Each of the three "treatment" components of the Des Moines project also makes use of vocational rehabilitation counselors who are provided to the program on loan from the Vocational Rehabilitation Services Division of the Iowa State Department of Public Instruction. Through the use of these counselors and through access to other comprehensive vocational testing and evaluation services, the vocational skills of the client are evaluated and specific

 Educational Evaluation. The educational level of each client is evaluated in terms of academic aptitude, skills and potential for educational upgrading. In addition to the educational tests administered by staff counselors, the program makes use of the Des Moines Area Community College educational evaluation

e Testing. As part of the client evaluation process, the Des Moines project staff administers vocational and educational

4-7

tests, and makes use of three general tests: the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI-Short Form); the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test (basic comprehension skills); and the Wide Range Achievement Test (phonetic skills).

Each client who participates in the Des Moines supervised release, probation, or community correctional facilities components must sign a basic <u>performance</u> <u>contract</u> as a condition of participation in the program. The performance contract cutlines the minimum conditions which the client must fulfill to remain in the program. Failure to abide by contract conditions may result in probation or bond revocation, and may lead to the client's return to jail.

Although each performance contract contains a set of standard clauses, the most important part of the contract is the <u>individualized treatment plan</u> that becomes a part of the contract itself. On the basis of the client interview and the psychological, vocational and educational evaluations conducted after the client enters a project component, a treatment plan is designed by the client and his counselor. Each treatment plan sets out both short- and long-range goals which are specifically tailored to the individual client and which are aimed at helping the client to overcome those conditions and behavior patterns which may lead to anti-social or criminal activity in the future.

<u>Short-range goals</u> typically address the client's most immediate needs: e.g., health care, crisis psychiatric counseling, shelter, clothing, food. To achieve these short-range goals, the Des Moines project staff may refer the client to one or more of the community agencies in and around Des Moines which provide these basic services. <u>Long-range goals</u> typically focus on the need for educational upgrading, vocational training, and employment. As a result, the treatment plan may call for the client's participation in a wide variety of services: drug or alcohol treatment; marital and family counseling; finance management training; intensive; long-term psychiatric counseling; extended medical care, etc. To help the client achieve these long-range goals, the counselor may refer the client to local educational and vocational programs, to an alcohol or drug rehabilitation program, to medical and psychiatric treatment programs, to marital counselors, or to a wide range of other service agencies. And, most important, the program provides intensive in-house job referral and placement services to every client (see the discussion of job development activities below).

The purpose of the individualized treatment planning used in the Des Moines program is to avoid the preconceived rehabilitation formulae which have often been institutionalized in other correctional systems. By focusing on the specific problems and needs of each client and involving the client in the development of his own treatment plan, the Des Moines program has attempted to eliminate unreal expectations from its treatment approach. Each Des Moines project treatment plan is expected to set out specific actions which the client will take to address specific problems. In this way, the client and his counselor have an explicit set of shared treatment goals to work toward. Through individualized treatment planning, clients and counselors thus develop a specific, case-by-case definition of "rehabilitation," and set realistic goals in order to achieve it.

### One-to-One Counseling

The third key element in the Des Moines Community Corrections philosophy is the emphasis on one-to-one counseling. As with individualized treatment planning, three of the four Des Moines components -- supervised release, probation, and the community corrections facility--make extensive use of one-to-one counseling. When a client enters one of the three treatmentoriented components, he is immediately assigned a counselor. It is the counselor's responsibility to coordinate the psychological, vocational and educational evaluation of the client. The client and counselor, working together, then develop the client's individualized treatment plan. The client/counselor relationship is vitally important to the entire treatment effort. In working with a client, the counselor performs both structured and unstructured functions. The <u>structured</u> functions of the counselor include conducting client interviews, coordinating the testing and evaluation of the client, development of the client treatment plan, coordination of client referrals to local service agencies, the monitoring of the client's achievement of both short- and long-range treatment goals, and the preparation of reports on the client's progress as requested by the court.

In addition to these structured functions, the counselor is also expected to perform a number of <u>unstructured</u> functions as well. One of the elements in the anti-social or criminal behavior of many clients is the client's low level of self-esteem and respect, unwillingness to be responsible, and a general feeling that "no one cares." Thus, an effective client/ counselor relationship must be one in which the client comes to understand that someone does indeed "care."

In its most simple form, this means that the counselor should be available--not only during scheduled counseling sessions, not only during office hours--to help the client confront his own reality, his own problems. And it means hiring and training counselors--whether professionals or "street people"--who have the desire and skills to work with clients without assuming either an authoritarian or an "all-accepting" attitude. The goal for counselors in the Des Moines program is to say to the client, both explicity and implicity, "We care about you; now you take the responsibility to care about yourself."

Client/counselor contact is maximized in the Des Moines approach. The frequency with which each client meets with his counselor is determined by two basic factors: 1) the amount of supervision and assistance which the counselor feels the client needs; and 2) the size of the counselor's caseload. Clients in the supervised release component typically meet with their counselor about once a week, and counselor caseloads average about 20-25 clients, a level that the staff of the Des Joined Moines project feel allows for close monitoring and supervision. The caseloads in the probation component average about 65-75 clients, and the staff feels that this level is too high. Even though some probationers (especially those convicted of misdemeanors) require only minimal supervision, the high caseloads are felt to have limited the quality of counseling services. In the Fort Des Moines facility, the client/staff ratio is very low. The component has one staff member for every two clients, and the ability of the staff of the Fort to keep close track of clients is correspondingly high. In addition, the physical design of the Fort Des Moines facility promotes close client/counselor relationships. Counselors at the Fort do not have private offices, and this fact, combined with the lay-out of the facility, forces frequent client/counselor interaction.

4-11

To help achieve the honest interchange of ideas and concerns upon which effective counseling must be based, the Des Moines program also attempts to recruit and train counselors who share some of the clients' characteristics. An attempt is made, for instance, to have roughly the same percentage of minority members on the counseling staff as are found among the clients. In addition, the percentage of women on the counseling staff is roughly the same as the percentage of the clients who are women. The program does not, however, assign only minority staff to counsel minority clients, nor exclusively assign women counselors to women clients. But it does attempt to offer a counselor population which is generally similar in demographic terms to the client population.

Given the fact that many of the program's clients have more "street education" than formal education, the Des Moines program also attempts to keep a balance of both professionals and "street people" on its counseling staff. And, although the two types of staff bring different skills to counseling, the salary for professional and non-professional counselors is the same. Although this salary policy occasionally causes some friction among the counseling staff, it is felt overall to have strengthened the ability of the program to tailor its services to the actual needs of its clients. (The salary scale for the Des Moines project may be found in Appendix A-of-Chapter-3, ADA Form 2.) They have the salary for the program is the form 2.)

#### 4-10

()

The importance of the counseling function in the Des Moines program is underscored by one other administrative policy. Because a good counselor may not necessarily make a good administrator, the salary scale for the program has been constructed so that it is possible for some counselors to make a slightly higher salary than a program supervisor. Thus, good counselors do not need to be elevated to tasks which they do less well simply to achieve higher salaries. This salary policy appears to have worked well in the Des Moines program, and it is one more tangible indication of how much importance is placed on the one-to-one counseling function.

#### Employment Emphasis/Job Development

The fourth key element in the Des Moines Community Corrections philosphy is the program's emphasis on job development as a means of increasing the level of client employment. Although the Des Moines program attempts to avoid pre-conceived rehabilitation formulae, the exception to this rule is the emphasis on employment. The Des Moines program is based on the clear assumption that the maintenance of a good job is the single most important factor underlying positive changes in client attitudes and behavior. In this sense, the Des Moines program is grounded on a belief in work therapy, and considerable program energies are focused on helping clients to find, secure and maintain good jobs.

Job development services are integrated into the three treatmentoriented components of the Des Moines program. Two coordinated job development units are used--one located in the office which houses the supervised release and probation components, and one located at Fort Des Moines. Each of these two job development units is made up of three staff members: a job developer, a vocational rehabilitation counselor, and a representative of the Iowa State Employment Service. Although the three members of each job development unit work together as a team and strict separation of duties is avoided, the job developer generally is responsible for probing the client's employment history, determining the client's current job skills, identifying the client's own employment goais, assisting the client with job applications and interviews, and contacting local employers to generate new job openings for program clients. The vocational rehabilitation staff person assists in identifying client vocational constraints, and refers clients who need vocational training to the appropriate local vocational programs. And the staff person assigned to the program by the Iowa State Employment Services office uses a job bank--a list of available jobs which is listed on microfiche and updated each day--to locate those jobs for which program clients are qualified.

The two job development units work closely with the counseling staff of each of the treatment-oriented components. Given the emphasis in the Des Moines program on one-to-one counseling, the counselor serves as the primary contact person for each client. It is the counselor who coordinates the educational, vocational and psychological evaluation of the client, and develops the client treatment plan. Typically, the counselor will refer his client to the job development unit during this evaluation and treatment planning process.

The degree to which the job development unit works with each client is, of course, determined by the employment status of the client. If the client has a job with which he is satisfied when he is assigned to one of the program components, his use of the job development staff may be minimal. In these cases, the job development unit will be used by the counselor on a case-by-case referral basis in much the same manner as he makes use of other community resources. However, many program clients-especially those at Fort Des Moines--do not have jobs when they enter the program. Indeed, some have no employment experience at all, while mary others have had a series of unrewarding job experiences, holding a job for only a few weeks at a time. It is with these clients that the job development unit works most closely, often working as closely with the client as does his counselor.

The job development process used in the Des Moines program can best be described by focusing on a typical client entering Fort Des Moines, the type of client who generally needs the most employment counseling and job development assistance:

4-12

( )

After a brief orientation period during which the client works with his counselor to become adjusted to Fort Des Moines and to develop his performance contract, the client is referred to the job developer at the Fort. During an initial interview, the client is helped to fill out a standardized "employment record" form used by Iowa State Employment Services offices, and the client's presentence investigation report is reviewed. Through conversations with the client, the job developer begins to probe the problems and successes which the client has had with past employment. The employment aspirations of the client are discussed, and areas in which the client has actual skills are reviewed.

In many cases, clients will inflate their descriptions of past jobs or indicate that they have skills which they do not in fact possess. In these cases, the job developer's role is to help the client gradually to cut away unrealistic expectations and practiced excuses about the reasons for past employment failures. The goal is to help the client to take stock of his actual skills, and to determine whether those skills are adequate to qualify the client for the jobs which he would like to have. If the client has insufficient skills, the job developer helps the client to identify his two basic options: 1) to take a less attractive job for which he is qualified, or 2) to get the educational or vocational training needed to qualify for a more skilled job.

Often, the client will decide to combine these options, by taking a lower skilled job and also participating in educational or vocational training programs. To help the client upgrade his employment skills, the job developer refers the client to the rehabilitation counselor loaned to the Des Moines program by the Vocational Rehabilitation Services Division of the Iowa State Department of Public Instruction. The client may then be enrolled in the local Career Exploration Center, in vocational training programs, in a GED program, or in higher education courses.

Placement of the client in his initial job is coordinated by the job developer who first goes to his own list of employers and employment openings. Since one of the job developer's prime responsibilities is to truly <u>develop</u> jobs, he makes contacts with employers through a variety of means--everything from talking to members of bowling leagues about their firms and job openings, to contacts with employer associations, to "cold turkey" approaches in which the job developer walks into a company, asks to see the personnel director, and explains what the Des Moines project is all about. If the job developer's own list of employers and job openings does not produce an employment opportunity for the client, the job developer turns to the staff person loaned to the program by the Iowa State Employment Services division. The ISES staff person typically makes use of a job bank system that contains a list of jobs (updated daily) displayed on a microfiche system. The ISES staff person also maintains contact with other staff at the ISES offices to monitor turnover in listed jobs.

When a job is located in which the client is interested, the job development staff helps the client to fill out the necessary application and, in a few cases, attends the job interview. Employers are told that the client is an inmate at Fort Des Moines, but in most cases it is up to the client to decide if he wants to tell his co-workers that he is a convicted offender.

Typical first jobs for clients with little job experience may pay only \$2.00-2.50 per hour, but the job development staff at the Fort is also available to help a client who proves that he can handle a low skilled job move up to a higher skilled, better paying job. After a client is hired, the job development staff makes periodic phone and on-site checks with the employer to find out how the client is doing on the job; if the client is having problems at work, the job developer and the client's counselor often work together to try to find a solution.

Since many Fort Des Moines clients have had no positive job experiences, sore clients either refuse to work or seek employment with a half-hearted attitude. To these clients, the job development staff makes it clear that Fort Des Moines is intended only for those who want to work and/or receive additional education. (In a few cases, a counselor may refuse to let a client work if he has a special problem that is felt to require special treatment, but this is the exception to the general rule at Fort Des Moines.) A client at the Fort quickly learns that having a job is a prerequisite for privileges at the Fort. Clients who do not have a job typically do not qualify for such rewards as increased visitation, the ability to leave the building, participation in activities outside the Fort, overnight or weekend furloughs, and, of course, parole. For clients who eventually indicate that they are simply not interested in working, Fort Des Moines is not the appropriate remedy, and these clients are typically sent to another facility (county jail or state prison).

The emphasis on client employment and job development services is reflected in the high employment rates for Des Moines program clients. Since supervised release clients participate in that component for a relatively short period between their arrest and trial, the range of employment services

4-14

( )

which they can make use of in that period is limited. Nonetheless, although only approximately 50% of clients entering the supervised release program were employed at the time of their arrest, approximately 80% were employed during their period of assignment to that component. In the probation component, approximately 67% of all clients were employed at the beginning of their probationary period, while 83% were employed at the time of the termination of probation. The results at Fort Des Moines were the most dramatic. Approximately 63% of all clients assigned to Fort Des Moines were employed at the time of that assignment, but fully 95% were employed at the time of their termination. In addition, many clients in each component upgraded their employment--moving to higher paying and more skilled jobs--because of job development efforts. Still others received educational training which opened up a wide range of future employment opportunities.

Because the program provides ongoing job counseling and makes periodic followup contacts with employers after a program client has been employed, local employers who have hired Des Moines program clients are generally enthusiastic supporters of the Das Moines approach. Several local firms have committed a number of permanent job slots for program clients. From the employer's view, the counseling back-up services and the fact that Fort Des Moines employees are taken to and from work each day often means that program clients are more dependable workers than other employees.

Although Fort Des Moines clients are driven to and from work each day (because of the location of Fort Des Moines and the inadequacy of public transportation), the counseling and job development staff at the Fort make it clear to each client that it is up to him to take responsibility for keeping his job. Counselors do not wake clients up in the morning to make sure that they make it to work. If a client oversleeps; or misses the van which is to take him to work, he must take the consequences, whether it means a day's lost pay or the loss of the job itself. In each of the treatment-oriented components, the attitude taken toward jobs by the staff is that which underlies the program as a whole. Each client will receive the assistance he needs to get a job (or some other service), but the client is expected to take the responsibility which any employed worker must také to keep his job. Help is provided, but client responsibility is expected. With clients who have little self-discipline and/or little employment experience, the job development staff typically starts the client out in a lower paying job in a field where high turnover is expected by the employer (e.g., a janitorial job). There are two basic reasons for this approach: 1) clients with no job experience have to learn what working itself is all about before they are ready for a higher skilled job, and 2) if a client who has little job experience fails in a low level job, his failure will not endanger the continuing relationship between the program and local employers who provide better paying, more highly skilled jobs. Simply put, the job development staff attempts to place a client in a job which he can handle. Typically, the client's treatment plan or performance contract will indicate that when the client had shown that he can keep a low level job, the job development staff will help him find a higher paying, more skilled job. In each case, the counseling and job development staff attempt to give the client more and more responsibility through better jobs---but only as the client shows that he or she is ready to handle that level of responsibility.

Given the importance placed on client employment in the Des Moines project, it is fortunate that the unemployment rate in the Des Moines area is less than 4% a rate significantly below the national average. Clearly, communities which have higher unemployment rates will find it more difficult to develop jobs for clients of correctional programs. However, the Des Moines approach clearly indicates the importance of employment as a cornerstone of any rehabilitation effort. For those clients who have been convicted of a crime, a job becches a personal resource--providing both income and self respect -- which will help the client make the transition back into the community at the end of probation or incarceration. For those clients who are helped to find a job or to get vocational training before trial and then are found innocent, their job is no less a resource--a resource which may prevent a future arrest. The comprehensive evaluation of the Des Moines project indicates that of all the characteristics of the program's clients, the most important in terms of program success is employment. The program's emphasis on client employment and job development activities reflects the importance of that finding.

4-16

Star C

a a start a sta

(ŝ

Arean a mobile after the first

( )

#### Use of Existing Community Resources

The fifth basic element in the Des Moines Community Corrections philosophy is the program's emphasis on the use of existing community resources. In many communities, correctional services and programs are brought in to the institution itself. Recreational and educational programs are operated in jail, medical services and specialized counseling are brought in to the offender, and jails and prisons may include inmate shops and industries. . The Des Moines program takes the opposite approach. It attempts to make the maximum use of those programs, services and resources already existing in the local community. Rather than bring services and programs in to the client, it attempts to get the client out into the community, where the services and programs are offered.

There are two basic reasons for this emphasis on the use of existing community resources: 1) the cost of establishing new services is enormously expensive, and 2) the goal of the Des Moines program is not to help clients learn to function in correctional institutions but to help them gain the confidence and personal skills which will enable them to function more effectively in the community.

The Des Moines program, of course, does provide some services in-house. All three of the treatment-oriented components make use of the services of a consulting psychiatrist, who interviews clients when they enter the program, consults with counselors about specific client problems, and is available in crisis situations. In addition, the program does have two job development units (see above) which include on-loan staff having vocational rehabilitation and job placement skills. But with these exceptions, the majority of specialized client services are provided by agencies and institutions which are independent of the Des Moines program.

Counselors in the Des Moines program bear the primary responsibility for referring clients to the relevant service agencies. During the psychiatric, vocational and educational evaluation of the client and the development of an individualized treatment plan (see above), the counselor is expected to identify those services or programs in which the client will participate as part of his treatment. Each counselor in the Des Moines program has a

list of scores of local agencies and programs which provide services that may be needed by a client. But the counselor is expected to do more than refer to a listing of local community services. As part of his work with a client, the counselor is expected to maintain personal contact with the staff of agencies which are frequently used by program clients. And after a client has been referred to a local agency, the counselor's job is to monitor both the performance of the client in responding to assistance, and the performance of the service agency in providing the needed assistance. Thus, the counselor's job is to act as an on-going advocate for the client as he makes use of local resources, and to help cut through the red tape that may contrain flexible service delivery.

The list of agencies and services to which Des Moines program clients are referred is long and varied. The evaluation of the Des Moines project indicated that the level of resource utilization achieved in the treatmentoriented components was very high. A total of more than 50 separate resources provided over 1,000 services to clients during 1973. Examples of the community services which are most often used by the program are:

- e Employment counseling and job placement
- vocational rehabilitation and training
- college courses)

- Medical care
- c Logal Assistance
- 6 Family and/or marital counseling
- Welfare assistance
- e Housing assistance

The decision not to try to build a wide range of services into the Des Moines program was originally based on a simple financial reality: the program could not afford to include a variety of specialized counselors in

4-18

e Educational upgrading (GED, high school, or community

 Psychological diagnosis and specialized treatment • Drug and Alcohol de-toxification, counseling and treatment Financial counseling and finance management training

its budget. Underlying this fact was the awareness that even if the funds for such services were available, the Des Moines program would be providing specialized services on an intermittant basis, and would likely not provide the quality of services available from a full-time service agency. In addition, since local service agencies are funded to provide services for all community residents, program staff felt that those services should be used by clients of the Des Moines Community Corrections program as well as by other local residents.

The strong emphasis on using existing community resources is also based on a correctional philosophy which has shaped the Des Moines program from its inception. This philosophy is grounded on the recognition that stating all offenders eventually return to the community. As a result, the Des Moines program is aimed at answering the question: How can the offender (or defendant) be helped to gain the skills and attitudes that will make his return to the community more successful? And since the client's reintegration into the community is the goal, the program is based on the belief that client treatment should logically take place in the community itself.

Viewed from another perspective, the Des Moines approach is based on the belief that keeping a person in jail prepares that person only to be a prisoner. As a result, the Des Moines program works with each client in the community in an atlempt to prepare the client to cope with and live in that community. And, according to existing evaluations of the Des Moines program, it is an approach that pays off.

### CHAPTER 5: THE "UNIVERSE" OF CORRECTIONS PROGRAMS

Federal, state and local corrections agencies and governmental entities, as well as private organizations and foundations, are currently operating innovative correctional programs throughout the country. These programs run the country gamit from those which attempt to reform or treat law-breakers prior to trial (pre-trial), to those which deal with defendants only after conviction (posttrial), and finally to those which are designed to reintegrate into normal society prisoners who have been released from confinement (post-institutional). Some of these programs are truly experimental, and their ultimate value has yet to be conclusively determined. Others have been in existence for a number of years and have proven to be extremely successful. This chapter of the training handbook attempts to describe briefly many of the innovative and worthwhile programs which have been or are being operated throughout the country.1

As you read through this description of some of the correctional programs being tried by various communities, you will note that the Des Moines project does not include many of these programs. In fact, your community mau well have a number of correctional programs which Des Moines has not yet incorporated into its correctional approach. In addition, you may know of correctional experiments that are not discussed in this chapter. However, the purpose of this chapter is not to be exhaustive or definitive. 'Instead, it is intended to place the Des Moines program in the cortext of other correctional innovations and alternatives. Although you may already be familiar with many of the programs described in this chapter, it may provide some new perspectives as to the type of correctional programs which your community might institute in the future.

The following outline indicates the organization of this chapter of the handbook.



This section of the manual describes only those correctional programs which are designed for adults. Though there are, in fact, many worthwhile juvenile programs, a description of them is beyond the scope of this handbook.
#### CORRECTIONAL PROGRAMS

- I. PRE-TRIAL PROGRAMS
  - A. Diversion
    - 1) Screening-out
    - 2) Deferred Prosecution
    - 3) Crisis Intervention
    - 4) Diversionary Alcohol and Drug Programs
  - B. Pre-trial Release
    - Citation Release
      Warrant Release

    - 3) 10% Bail Deposit
    - 4) Release on One's own Recognizance (ROR)
    - 5) Supervised Release
- II. POST-TRIAL PROGRAMS
  - A. Sentencing
    - 1) Pre-Sentence Investigation (PSI) and Report
    - 2) Sentencing Boards and Statutory Sentences
    - 3) Classification of Sentences
  - B. Probation
    - 1) Differential Caseloads
    - 2) Financial and Manpower Assistance for Local Probation Programs
    - 3) Intensive Probation/Intervention
  - C. Institutions
    - 1) Coeducational Reform
    - 2) Educational Programs
    - 3) Work Release
    - 4) Home Furlough
    - 5) Pre-Release
    - 6) Residential Community-Based Programs

#### III POST-INSTITUTIONAL PROGRAMS

- A. Parole
  - 1) Parolee Rights
  - 2) Parole Reorganization
  - 3) Caseload Reform
  - Parole Aide Programs 4)
  - 5) Financial Assistance Programs
- B. Re-entry Programs
  - 1) Prisoners' Associations
  - 2) Halfway Houses (post-Institutional)

#### I. PRE-TRIAL PROGRAMS

#### A. Diversion

Two alternatives face a person who has committed an illegal act. He can be arrested and processed through the standard criminal justice system. or else he can be diverted and processed through non-criminal programs. The second alternative, pre-trial diversion, involves the halting or suspending of formal criminal proceedings against a person who has violated a statute, and it also often involves the placing of that person into a treatment program which is outside of the normal criminal justice process.

Part of the significance of pre-trial diversion lies in the role it plays in keeping the criminal justice system in operation. If all law violations were processed officially and completely, the criminal justice system would collapse because of huge caseloads and enormous costs. An example of the cost savings afforded by the diversion of accused persons is the Project Crossroads program in Washington, D.C. The per capita cost of Project Crossroads was estimated at \$6.00 per day, while at the same time the per capita. cost of institutionalization in D.C. correctional facilities was estimated to be approximately \$17.00 per day.

Over and above the practical significance of diversion there is the positive fact that diversion gives society the opportunity to reallocate existing resources to programs that promise greater success in lowering recidivism rates and in rehabilitating offenders. The formal processing of criminal defendants from arrest to trial and conviction and possible institutionalization unnecessarily stigmatizes large numbers of people, often needlessly removes them from society, and in fact rehabilitates very few.

#### 1. Screening-Out

A commonplace example of diversion is discretionary "screening-out" by the police and the prosecutors. Police commonly use alternatives to arrest, such as reprimanding a suspected offender, referring him to his family or other agencies, or requiring that he make restitution to his victim. These alternatives are used in situations in which

arrest is viewed as inappropriate, such as in many conflicts between husbands and wives, landlords and tenants, businessmen and customers, or management and labor. Similarly, prosecutors often decide not to prosecute a case, or to dismiss charges against a defendant. This prosecutorial discretion is often based on the realization that the offender would not benefit from further processing by the criminal justice system. Alternatively, this discretionary screening-dut may reflect the prosecutor's perception that the benefits derived from prosecuting the case would not justify the cost and expense of prosecution.

#### 2. Deferred Prosecution

A second and major example of pre-trial diversion is deferred prosecution. In deferred prosecution, persons who are accused of certain criminal offenses and who meet pre-established criteria have their prosecution suspended for a specific period of time and are placed in rehabilitation programs. If the conditions of the diversion referral are satisfied, the case will be dismissed. If the conditions are not satisfied, the accused person is returned for normal criminal processing and prosecution. Two of the pioneering pre-trial diversion programs of this nature are the Manhattan Court Employment Project in New York and Project Crossroads in Washington, D.C., both of which were started in 1967-1968. Today, similar deferred prosecution programs exist or are about to become operational in approximately 50 metropolitan areas.

There are two basic models for deferred prosecution. In one model, no formal charges are lodged against a person who has been arrested; instead, after the arrest, the individual is screened according to'a number of criteria in order to determine whether the person is eligible for participation in the diversion program. These screening criteria vary from project to project, but, in general, an accused who fails to meet any of the following criteria may be disqualified: :

Residency: The accused must be a resident of the city or county in which the program operates.

also include non-violent felons. offenders.

Unemployment: Some programs require participants to be under- or unemployed. However, this requirement is not consistently enforced. opplied. Admission of Guilt: Programs often require that participants admit that they committed the alleged act. (This requirement has resulted in some serious constitutional questions about the legitimacy of some deferred prosecution programs.)

If the individual meets the program criteria and if he agrees to waive his constitutional right to a speedy trial, the staff of the project and usually the district attorney will ask the court to defer formal charging. If the individual successfully completes the rehabilitation program, which generally involves regular participation in educational, vocational, and/or counseling activities, the prosecutor will dismiss the case. If the individual participant fails to adhere to the requirements of the program, the original charges will be reactivated and the defendant will be prosecuted.

In the second model, formal charges are first lodged before an individual is screened for eligibility in a particular intervention project. If the court and (in most cases) the district attorney agree, further criminal proceedings are suspended pending the outcome of the individual's participation in a rehabilitation program. Successful completion of the program results in a request that the original charges be dropped. Unsuccessful participation results in regular proceedings on the charges.

(

Age: There is usually a minimum age requirement of 16 or 17 years, and there may also be an upper limit of 25 to 45 years.

Charge: Some programs are limited to misdemeanants; others

Prior Arrests: Some programs admit only first offenders, while others restrict eligibility to persons who have no more than one prior misdemenor conviction or who are non-habitual

A specific type of deferred prosecution that is gaining popularity is the program designed to provide non-criminal alternatives for people accused of drunkenness or drug abuse. In these programs, the district attorney defers prosecuting persons charged with alcohol and drug violations if the offender agrees to enter a rehabilitation program. If an offender prematurely leaves or is dismissed from the program, criminal charges are brought.

An example of a drug abuse diversion program is California's Special Proceedings in Narcotics and Drug Abuse Cases (Chapter 2.5 of the California Penal Code). In this program, the district attorney first determines whether the defendant successfully meets all of the statutorily-required criteria. If the defendant satisfies the criteria, he is referred to the probation department, which then conducts an investigation into the defendant's background and prepares a report and a recommendation to the court. The court then determines, with the district attorney's consent, whether the defendant should be diverted and referred for education, treatment or rehabilitation. The diversion program lasts between 6 months and 2 years; when and if the defendant successfully completes his programs the charges are dismissed.

#### Crisis Intervention 3.

The third category of diversion is crisis intervention in interpersonal disputes. This category consists of those programs in which the police department or another agency intervenes in a conflict before arrest and entry into the criminal justice system becomes necessary. An example is the Family Crisis Intervention Project which originated in New York City. Officers are trained to intervene in family and other interpersonal disturbances and to resolve the conflict on the scene. If the police are unsuccessful, formal action may be necessary and the dispute is referred to the prosecutor.

The Family Crisis Intervention Project is a demonstration program based on outstanding research and the Night Prosecutor program has been designated an "exemplary project" by the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice. Printed information about both programs is available from the National Institute. Cremenal Justice Reference Service. the has Enforcement desistance adminittalion





Another example is the Night Prosecutor Program of Columbus, Ohio, Minor criminal cases arising from neighborhood and family disputes are screened and referred to trained hearing officers for mediation. For the convenience of the disputants, hearings are scheduled for evenings and weekends, normally within one week after the complaint is filed. Subsequent to the hearing, a student clerk assigned to the program telephones the parties involved and determines whether the dispute has in fact been resolved. If the conflict is still unresolvable, the hearing officer may recommend the filing of a criminal complaint.

#### 4. Diversionary Alcohol and Drug Programs

A fourth example of pre-trial diversion is alternative placement or treatment for drunks and drug addicts. In these programs, once a drunk or a drug-addicted person is picked up by the police, he is assigned to a treatment center for a specified length of time. In some programs, the person who is picked up is given the choice between treatment or criminal prosecution; in other programs he is mandatorily assigned to the treatment center. What these programs have in common is that offenders are removed from the criminal justice system and are placed into non-criminal treatment programs. These programs differ from deferred prosecution in the there is no threat that the offender will be prosecuted after he is assigned to a treatment center.

### B. Pre-Trial Release

The second type of pre-trial correctional reform is pre-trial release. Studies which have sought to isolate how pre-trial dentention affects the sentencing decision indicate that the mere fact that an accused person is incarcerated prior to trial may cause judges to hand down longer sentences. In addition, the financial and social costs of pre-trial detention for many accused persons far outweigh any benefit the public receives from total confinement. Pre-trial

detention prevents defendants, who are good risks to appear for trial but who can't raise the necessary bail, from earning money and unnecessarily imposes great financial and social hardships on them and their families. Finally, pre-trial detention is basically inconsistent with the presumption of innocence. Persons awaiting trial in many jurisdictions are, in effect, considered to be in the same class as persons already convicted and sentenced and are treated as though their guilt had already been established.

#### 1. Citation Release

One innovative pre-trial release program is California's Citation Release. Section 853.6 of the California Penal Code authorizes on-site police release of misdemeanor arrestees without removing the arrestee from the judicial process and without requiring the posting of bail. In citation release, the arresting officer assesses at the time of the violation the suspect's eligibility and the circumstances of the offense and then determines whether to cite and release the suspect prior to trial. Since the statute allows only misdemeanor suspects to be cited, felony suspects are precluded from consideration. If the officer determines that a citation is in order, the suspect is immediately released in the field. If the officer decides not to cite, the suspect is taken to the local detention facility and booked. However, the statute requires that the police department must then conduct a background investigation of all misdemeanors arrestees not given a citation release by the arresting officer. If the background investigation is favorable, the arrestee is given a station-house release.

A weakness of the citation release program is the absence of objective statutory release criteria. The statute leaves the decision whether to cite an arrestee in the field up to the discretion of the arresting officer. Further, though the statute does mandate that the stationhouse investigation include certain factors, there are no guidelines which assign weights to each factor. Consequently, each jurisdiction that establishes a citation system has the freedom to determine the standards for release. Nevertheless, the citation release program has achieved the expeditious release of many arrested persons without requiring bail and without creating significant increases in the rate of default in making court appearances. Statistics reveal that the rate of appearance for arrestees released by citation is substantially the same as for those who post bail. More importantly, citation release avoids depriving arrestees of liberty or property before they have had a trial.to determine guilt or innocence.

#### 2. Warrant Release

The Oakland-Piedmont (California) Municipal Court and the Oakland Police Department are currently operating a variant of citation release which is officially called Misdemeanor Direct Charge Citation Release. The program is designed to release prior to trial selected accused misdemeanants who have never been arrested but who have outstanding arrest warrants from one or more California jurisdictions.

Police often investigate an alleged crime and advise the complainant to go to the police station and sign a complaint. At the same time, a police investigation report is prepared on the alleged misdemeanant which in the great majority of cases recommends that the misdemeanant be released prior to trial. Subsequently, after the district attorney decides what crime to charge the misdemeanant with, a warrant is issued.

It is at this point in the criminal process that the Misdemeanor Direct Charge Citation or Warrant Release program operates. A notice is sent to the last known address of all non-traffic accused misdemeanants who have never been arrested but who have an outstanding arrest warrant. The notice requests that the misdemeanant appear at the police department within 7 days after date of mailing. If such persons do appear within the 7-day period, and if the police investigation report contains no negative recommendation, then a mutually satisfactory court date is agreed upon and a citation release is immediately issued. The citation requires that the misdemeanant appear within 48 hours of his

( )

٩

 $\bigcirc$ 

court appearance so that he can be fingerprinted and photographed.

The warrant release program also operates to release alleged misdemeanants who have several warrants outstanding. Such a misdemeanant who appears at the police department within the 7-day notice period may have as many citations issued as there are outstanding arrest warrants. For each citation that is issued, a different court appearance date is specified.

#### 3. 10% Bail Deposit

Another highly publicized pre-trial release project which has been instituted in the past decade is the 10% bail deposit program. As was the case with California's citation release, the impetus for this reform was dissatisfaction with the traditional "bail or iail" alternative facing pre-trial detainees. Under the 10% bail program, which first went into effect in Illinois in 1964, the defendant has the option of paying the bail clerk 10% of the bail set by the court. Only the defendant for whom bail has been set can execute the bond. No surety, fidelity company, or professional bail bondsman can act as a personal representative of the defendant. After depositing 10% of the bail amount, the defendant is released from custody upon his promise to appear in court for trial. Finally, unless the court orders otherwise, when the defendant appears in court as scheduled and the case has been resolved, he receives 90% of his original 10% deposit back. Thus, the defendant who appears as scheduled forfeits only 1% of the face value of his bail amount. Moreover, statistics reveal that the 10% cash bail program is at least as effective a guarantee of court appearance as is traditional bail bonding.

## 4. Release on One's Own Recognizance (ROR)

Perhaps the most popular of the innovative pre-trial release programs is release on one's own recognizance (ROR), which was pioneered by the Vera-Manhattan Bail Reform project in 1961. ROR is one of the four Des Moines components and is described in detail in Chapter 3 of this handbook.

In summary, the typical ROR project works as follows: persons arrested and booked for a crime (some programs exclude defendants charged with the most violent crimes) are interviewed by an ROR interviewer. Relying on pre-established criteria such as residence; employment, and family ties in the community, the ROR interviewer gathers objective data about the defendant. Subsequently, the interviewer must verify (usually by telephone) the information given to him by the defendant. If the defendant scores a requisite number of verified points, he is recommended for release on his own recognizance. Finally, if the presiding judge of the criminal court is in agreement with the ROR recommendation, the defendant is asked to sign a form in which he promises to keep all court appearances, and then he is released into the community on his own recognizance. In many programs, the district attorney has the authority to review ROR recommendations and to contest in court any recommendations with which he disagrees. As is the case with citation release and the 10% cash bail program, statistics from various ROR projects reveal no substantial difference between the default rate claimed by bail bondsmen and that recorded for ROR.

#### 5. Supervised Release

Yet another successful pre-trial release program which is also one of the components of the Des Moines Project is supervised release, a program which has been developed for moderate risk defendants who do not qualify for ROR. Supervised release provides individualized and often intensive community-based counseling and treatment to these high-risk releasees; it is described fully in Chapter 3 of this handbook.

#### II. POST-TRIAL PROGRAMS

#### A. Sentencing

After a defendant is found guilty, the first and perhaps most important decision made by the criminal justice system concerning that defendant is the sentencing decision. In most jurisdictions, judges having the responsibility for sentencing convicts rely on a number of correctional programs.

5-10

()

#### 1. Pre-Sentence Investigation (PSI) and Report

The first prerequisite for sentencing decisions in many cases is the pre-sentence investigation (PSI) and report--a report which is generally written by a probation officer or other officer of the crint and which is designed to inform the judge (or whoever makes the sentencing decision) of facts concerning the offender, his past, and his potential for the future. Such information is essential if the sentencing decision is to be a knowledgeable one. Federal courts appear to be the most consistent users of such reports, utilizing them in almost 90% of all criminal cases. State courts "ary considerably in the degree to which they utilize them; in states such as California, a report is required in all felony cases, while in some states the pre-sentence report is discretionary with the trial court. The National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals has proposed that a pre-sentence report be presented to the court in every case where there is a potential sentencing disposition involving incarceration and in all cases involving felonies or minors.

The amount of data contained in pre-sentence reports varies considerably from jurisdiction to jurisdiction and from probation department to probation department. The National Advisory Commission has recommended that gradations of reports should be developed between a full report and a shortform report and that full reports be prepared in every case where incarceration for more than 5 years is a possibility. A full report should contain a description of the offender's background, the details of the crime for which he was convicted, and a discussion of his prospects for reform. (See Chapter 3 for a description of the PSI process in Des Moines.)

#### 2. Sentencing Boards and Statutory Sentences

At present, debate focuses on whether the judge or some sentencing board should receive the pre-sentence report and make the actual sentencing decision. The only plan calling for total abandonment of judicial sentencing was proposed by the American Law Institute in its model Youth Correction Authority Act. However, the proposal was subjected to a great deal of criticism and was not adopted by any of the states enacting youth authority laws. "Board sentencing," as it exists today, means that if the defendant is committed to jail, the court automatically sets the maximum sentence provided by the statute, but a board subsequently has control ever the length of the convict's commitment. Only California and Washington have adopted this form of bcard sentencing. However, a number of other states have passed "automatic maximum" statutes which provide that, once the judge decides that the convicted offender should be committed to jail, the statutory maximum term is automatically imposed. In these states, sentencing boards do have the power to discharge the prisoner prior to the end of the term. However, most states have opted neither to create sentencing boards nor to pass "automatic-maximum" statutes, but rather to leave discretionary sentencing power in the hands of the judge\$.

#### 3. Classification of Sentences

A great deal of discussion and reform has also occurred in the area of sentencing equality. In order to reduce the likelihood of disparate sentences for similar offenses, some multi-judge jurisdictions have instituted the practice of having sentencing judges gather in councils to discuss the sentences meted out to individual offenders. The discussions act as a check on the attitudes and practices of the single sentencing judge. In other jurisdictions, all sentencing judges periodically conduct institutes in order to consider broad principles and approaches to sentencing.

The proposed new Federal Criminal Code (1971) attempted to reduce the number of disparate sentences given to offenders who have committed similar crimes by rationalizing the federal criminal classification system. Given the different combinations of maximum imprisonment, it has been estimated that Congress has differentiated at least 100 categories of federal offenses. The proposed Code reduces the variety of possible sentences into basic categories: Class A, B, and C felonies, whose maximum terms are thirty, fifteen, and seven years respectively; Class A and B misdemeanors, the maximum terms of which are thirty days to one year; and finally a category for petty infractions.

5-12

 $(\cdot)$ 

The sentences for the various offense categories reflect different correctional goals. The sentences for aggravated or Class A and B felonies reflect the predominant goal of incarcerating the most dangerous criminals for substantial periods of time. Though it is hoped that these criminals will be rehabilitated during their period of confinement, rehabilitation is not the primary purpose. On the other hand, rehabilitation is the primary goal for Class C or low-level felonies. The maximum 7-year sentence for Class C felonies reflects the belief that a greater period of time is not necessary in order to rehabilitate persons. Finally, the shorter sentences for misdemeanors are not generally long enough to rehabilitate convicted offenders. Rather, they are designed to provide a "shock effect" deterrent to further criminal activity. Moreover, no matter what the offense category is, none of the maximum sentences of the Proposed Federal Code are intended to be a norm from which variations must be justified by the sentencing judge. Rather, they are designed to define the outer boundary of a discretionary power entrusted by Congress to the judiciary.

The classification scheme of the proposed new Federal Code has received a wide degree of approval and comment. Recently, several states have adopted schemes which are identical or analagous to the proposed federal plan.

#### B. Probation

One possible sentencing alternative is, of course, to place the offender on probation. Under existing federal practice, probation is commonly employed for first offenders unless the offense is quite serious or the offender appears to present an exceptional threat to public safety. However, there are wide variations in the practice of individual judges, both in federal and in state courts, and few legislative policies have been developed to set limits on judicial discretion. Section 3101 of the proposed Federal Criminal Code enunciates a Congressional policy against unnecessary imprisonment and lists the factors which should be considered by the sentencing court.

#### 1. Differential Caseloads

Probation programs have traditionally operated on a caseload basis. A probation officer is assigned a certain number of probationers over whom he has supervision. Under this type of system; each probationer generally receives the same degree of supervision as does every other probationer. One reform which has been applied to parolees but which is just as applicable to probationers is the work-unit or differential caseload. Ever since 1965, California has been operating its Work Unit Program, which provides intensive supervision for selected high-risk parolees and less intensive supervision for parolees whose behavior indicates a greater potential for favorable adjustment. Parolees are assigned to one of three possible levels of supervision. A weighting system was devised in which a different weight is given to each case depending on which of the three levels of supervision is required. The sum of the weights assigned to all the cases supervised by any one agent is set at 120, which has resulted in an average caseload of thirty-five probationers.

The implications of research on differential or work-unit caseloads are clear. If offenders with a high potential for success on probation (or parole) can be assigned to large minimally-supervised caseloads, the probation or parole system will be more able to concentrate its efforts on medium- or high-risk clients. In addition, the probation system will also be capable of absorbing offenders who now may be sent to prison because correction officials believe that normal probation supervision will be insufficient.

#### 2. Financial and Manpower Assistance for Local Probation Programs

One of the major problem areas in probation is the size and professional ability of probation staffs in states where probation is a local function. Tremendous variations are likely to exist within a state in terms of the number and qualifications of the personnel and the relative emphasis on services to courts and probationers. County probation agencies are often small and lack resources for staff training and development, research and program planning, and services to the probationers. Some states have attempted to insure that local probation agencies supply a uniform and minimum level of services by providing revenue or manpower to the local agencies. For example, Michigan assigns state-paid probation officers to work alongside local probation officers. Other states, including New York, directly pay part of the cost of operating local probation services. California and Washington, on the other hand, have developed probation subsidy programs which provide economic incentives for counties to place offenders on probation rather than to commit them to state institutions. These subsidy programs reimburse counties in proportion to the number of individuals who remain in the community on probation. The California program was the result of a 1964 study which was undertaken in order to determine how state institutional costs could be reduced while county probation programs were improved. The study concluded that 25 percent of state correctional commitments could be maintained safely and effectively within county probation systems if probation services were upgraded.

Both the California and Washington programs are designed to counteract a problem which is inherent when probation is a local function. Local probation agencies are funded by local monies, while state prisons are, of course, funded by state revenues. Thus, there are great economic incentives for counties to place convicted offenders in state institutions and not on probation, thereby shifting the financial responsibility from the county to the state.

## 3. Intensive Probation/Intervention

Many corrections agencies are operating probation programs which offer more services and provide more supervision than does traditional probation. Such programs are usually classified either as being an example of intensive probation or intensive intervention. The distinction between these two categories is not clear and often reflects only differences of degree. Intensive probation programs are generally those which offer services such as group or family counseling, frequent sessions between probationers and probation officers. intensive iob development and assistance, and referral to other community agencies. An example is the probation component of the Des Moines project, which is described in Chapter 3 of this handbook. However, there are certain types of offenders who are likely to fail even on intensive probation. For these people, even more supervision, counseling, and behavior modification is necessary. These more rigorous and restrictive programs are generally classified as examples of "intensive intervention." Some intensive intervention programs are residential and require that the participants be housed in the program's facilities. This type of residential intensive intervention program is discussed below in the section of this chapter which deals with residential community-based programs.

In general, intensive intervention programs are distinguished by their coherent theoretical base or by their comprehensive approach to changing the life styles of the offenders assigned them. They usually rely on or combine one of two basic approaches to the rehabilitation and reintegration of the offender. One approach emphasizes attitudinal and behavior modification. The other focuses on the provision of services, such as vocational training, job-finding, medical care, and financial assistance or guidance.

A specific example of a non-residential intensive intervention program is the Community Treatment for Recidivist Offenders Project, established in Oakland County, Michigan, in 1971. This project was designed to demonstrate that second-felony adult offenders can be retained and treated in the community at no greater risk to public safety and with considerable savings on resources. The target group consisted of adult offenders who had been convicted of at least one prior felony, or whose prior conviction had been a misdemeanor that had been reduced from a felony charge. A special unit within the probation department was created to implement the project, and intensive casework and group services were provided to the offenders in caseloads not exceeding thirty-five cases per officer. Peer group pressure to change attitudes and modify behavior was used extensively by means of peer groups which met frequently to discuss and address mutual and individual problems. As of December, 1972, there had been only 9 failures in the 44 cases that had been assigned for project supervision.

5-16

( )

#### C. Institutions

Traditionally, prisons have functioned merely to isolate prisoners from the rest of society until their terms of commitment were completed. More recently, however, correction officials have realized that the ultimate objective of institutionalization is to motivate the offender by offering him the same incentives that motivate other citizens to lead socially acceptable lives. The institutional reforms which have been experimented with around the country are designed to provide links between the offender and the community into which he will eventually be released.

#### 1. Coeducational Reform

One possible area of reform lies in modifying the nearly universal practice of sexually segregating institutionalized prisoners. California and Mississippi, for example, have conjugal visiting programs which are obviously designed to reduce tensions caused by the sexual deprivation imposed on imprisoned inmates. However, conjugal visiting not only places the visiting spouse in an unnatural and uncomfortable position, but also (since it is currently limited to married inmates) discriminates against the unmarried convict. A more promising reform has been instituted by Massachusetts which, since 1973, has been operating a coeducational prison for minimum-security inmates. Nearly all of the prisoners are eligible for parole within one year to 18 months after being transferred to the institution. The prisoners live in sex-segregated cottages, have a 9:30 p.m. curfew, and are permitted no more sexual contact than hand-holding. Currently, there is one federal prison (in Fort Worth) which is also coed, and a coed state prison is scheduled to open in Connecticut in 1976.

#### 2. Educational Programs

Another category of post-trial institutional reform concerns educational programs offered to prisoners. Corrections officials are increasingly aware that prisoners have a far better chance to be . successfully reintegrated into society after they are released from confinement if educational training is provided while the prisoners

Newgate programs are located throughout the country in both state and federal institutions. Common to all of them is the use of education as the major rehabilitative and training tool. Many of these programs also arrange for the continuation of college training after the inmate is released. Another innovative program is in Minneapolis, Minnesota, where students of Augsberg College, as part of their regular curriculum, attend classes held in penal institutions with inmates and prison officers as fellow students. This co-learning atmosphere has proved beneficial for the inmates as well as for the Augsberg students.

#### 3. Work Release

{ }

An older but very promising area of correctional reform is work release. This country's first major work release legislation was Wisconsin's Huber Law, which was passed in 1913 and which originally allowed selected misdemeanants to work during the day in the community and to return to jail at night. The work release concept was not adopted by any other state for 40 years, and not until 1957 was the first work release program authorized for felons. Since 1957, however, work release has been adopted by a majority of states.

Work release programs are designed to move the prisoner out into the community and to reintegrate him into society instead of unnecessarily prolonging his isolation. As its name implies, work release always involves release from custody in order to work in the community, but the term "work release" also often includes release for educational and vocational purposes. For example, in 1967, Wisconsin amended its work release statute in order to authorize release both for education and training. Moreover, some state laws allow release from confinement in order to seek employment.

are institutionalized. One such educational program is the Newgate Model, in which mini-universities are established within prison walls in order to serve the higher educational needs of inmates.

The housing of work releasees typically presents a problem. State institutions usually incarcerate men from all areas of the state in a centralized and often isolated location; these institutions are generally far from urban areas and, therefore, far from most job opportunities. Also, releasees optimally should have jobs near their homes so as to allow them to maintain a continuity of job experience after they have finished serving their sentences. In order to solve this housing problem, many state correctional institutions contract with other political subdivisions for the housing of state prisoners engaged in work release programs. For example, county jails can provide a network of local facilities which house releasees during non-working hours. Other states have passed legislation which authorizes the development and use of halfway houses or work release centers which provide minimum security housing for releasees in various community facilities throughout a state. Finally, some states which house releasees in state prisons specify that the releasees are to be housed in a separate unit apart from the rest of the prison population.

In addition, most work release programs place strict control on the wages earned by the releasees. When an inmate is employed . in the community, his wages are turned over to the institution, and all items needed for the inmate's personal use are often purchased through a commissary with either chits or vouchers. The correctional institution usually channels a releasee's wages into specific purposes, such as the support of dependents, the payment of fines or debts, victim restitution, on the accumulation of savings, or to define the cost of institualization.

4. Home Furlough

Another area of institutional reform is the home furlough. The two states which are now operating extensive home furlough programs are Michigan and Mississippi. For example, in Mississippi inmates who have three years of good behavior and who are rated as trustworthy are permitted to go home for furlough periods of

up to ten days. These programs have experienced less than a one percent non-return rate. In addition to Michigan and Mississippi, the Federal Bureau of Prisons is now experimenting with unescorted furloughs for selected inmates under authority of the Prisoner Rehabilitation Act of 1965. Under this Act, a furlough may be granted to permit visiting a dying relative, attending the funeral of a relative, obtaining medical services not otherwise available, contacting prospective employers, or for any other compelling reason.

#### 5. Pre-Release

An area of prison reform related to work release is pre-release, which is designed to ease the transition of the incarcerated prisoner back into society by releasing him from traditional institutionalization just prior to the end of his term. Pre-release was pioneered by the federal prison system in the early 1960s. Small living units were organized in several cities, and individuals were transferred to these units during the final months of their sentences. In order to prepare the inmates for final release into the community, special orientation programs, employment assistance, and decision-making opportunities were provided.

States also have begun to experiment with pre-release programs. In California, for example, inmates within 90 days of final release may make unescorted trips home on 3-day passes, and temporary releases are permitted at any time in the last 60 days before the official release date. In Texas, a pre-release program began to operate in 1963. Inmates who were within six weeks of completing their sentence were transferred to a special facility and participated in a 5-week classroom study of 24 topics relating to employment, law, finances, family, and the community.

6. Residential Community-Based Programs Perhaps the most imaginative post-trial residential reforms are occurring in the area of community-based corrections. One such

post-trial residential program is the Des Moines community corrections facility, whose operation is described in Chapter 3 of this handbook. Another example (described earlier in this chapter) of the handbook) is the type of work release program in which the releasees live in the community. In general, residential posttrial community-based programs include all those correctional activities in which prisoners are housed in facilities located within the community. During the day, the participants often leave the residential facility in order to go to work, to gain vocational and educational skills, and to receive counseling and other social services. At night, the residents return to the community facility.

Many residential, post-trial, community-based programs are referred to as "halfway houses". In terms of theoretical correctional models, one type of halfway house is a "halfway-out" house, which is a facility for persons who have been released from traditional prison. This type of house helps to reintegrate the offender into society and can be used for treatment purposes, for the housing of prisoners prior to parole, or for the supervision of persons who are not doing well while on parole. The other model is a "halfway-in" house, one which is designed for offenders who have failed probation or who do not qualify for probation but are not in need of either maximum- or medium-security institutionalization. For such persons, the minimumsecurity or non-secure halfway house can provide the services and supervision considered necessary without removing the offender from society. This type of halfway house attempts to rehabilitate the offender within the community, thereby eliminating the need for later reintegration.

An example of a "halfway-in" house that bridges the gap between probation and traditional institutionalization is Probationed Offenders Rehabilitation and Training (PORT) of Rochester, Minnesota. As mentioned above, PORT is a residential intensive intervention program. It began operating in 1969 in a former nurses' residence and is a live-in, community-based, -supported, and -directed treatment program for both adult offenders and juvenile delinquents. Entrance into

PORT is voluntary. Referrals come primarily from the juvenile and district courts. The candidate spends a 3-week evaluation period in residence at PORT during which time he and a screening committee evaluate and determine if the program is the choice of both parties. The screening committee is composed of a psychiatrist, a probation officer, a lay person from the community, the executive director of PORT. and two resident counselors. The core of the program is a combination of group treatment and behavior modification. A point system is used to award participants increasing levels of freedom and is based on measured performance in tangible areas, such as weekly school or work reports, building clean-up, and managing a budget. Operationally, a newcomer must work his way up a classification system which ranges from 1 (minimum freedom) to 5 (freedom commensurate with that of an individual of the same age in the community). Through December 1971, PORT had accepted 60 male residents whose offenses ranged from truancy to armed robbery. All but three of these residents would have been incarcerated had they not been accepted into PORT. Of these 60 residents, only six were discharged as failures and sent to correctional institutions.

Another variant of the residential, post-trial, community-based facility is the community corrections center. As federal and state governments have begun to rely less and less on housing inmates in traditional prisons, they have increasingly turned to decentralized and smaller institutions located in the community. Though these community corrections centers are less secure than traditional prisons and do rely upon community interaction, they are more secure and provide more supervision and surveillance than do half-way houses. One of the strongest incentives for the creation of the community corrections center has come from the Federal Bureau of Prisons. The Bureau's building program is aimed at replacing existing prisons with smaller ones. It also operates more than a dozen community treatment centers which house selected short-sentence and female offenders, in addition to prisoners who have been assigned to pre-release status.

5-22

. . .

States also have begun to incorporate community correction centers into their overall corrections systems. For example, Illinois intends to build four new correctional institutions for adult male felons; these facilities will be located in the more densely populated areas of the state in order to facilitate community involvement and citizen participation as volunteers. In addition, the community corrections center is viewed by states such as Washington and Colorado as the model for future correctional facilities.

Ċ

#### POST-INSTITUTIONAL PROGRAMS III.

#### A. Parole

Probably the most important and certainly the most well-known postinstitutional correctional activity is parole. Most prisoners released from a correctional institution re-enter the community on parole; in 1970, for example, 72% of the 83,000 felons who left prison were released on parole. Parole has been defined as the discretionary release of an offender from a state or federal correctional institution 1) after he has served a portion of his sentence, and 2) under conditions that permit reincarceration in the event of misbehavior. Parole should be distinguished from mandatory or conditional release whereby adult prisoners are automatically released under supervision when they have served a portion of their sentence and have earned a specified amount of time off for "good behavior". In mandatory or conditional release, statutes specify how "time off" is calculated, and the parole authority exercises no discretion in the matter.

Parole must also be distinguished from probation. Parole is granted by state or federal penal authorities. Once a defendant has been convicted and sentenced to a state or federal corrections facility, jurisdiction over that person is transferred from the sentencing court to the state or federal authorities. Release prior to the completion of his sentence under specified conditions is called parole.

Probation, on the other hand, is within the jurisdiction of the sentencing court. As discussed earlier, the sentencing court can place a convicted offender on probation immediately after conviction. Alternatively, the sentencing court can still retain jurisdiction and sentence the offender to a county or district correctional facility. If the offender is then subsequently released subject to conditions that permit reincarceration in the event of misbehavior, he is still under the authority of the sentencing court. Such post-institutional release subject to the jurisdiction of the sentencing court is probation. Thus, a person can be placed on probation both immediately after his conviction, or he can be released on probation after serving a portion of his sentence in

a local facility (i.e. county jail). The innovative probation programs, whether post-trial or post-institutional, are discussed above in the earlier section of this chapter dealing with post-trial reforms.

#### 1. Parolee Rights

Traditionally, the inmate who is a candidate for parole has had the burden to make an affirmative case for his parole. However, both the Model Penal Code and the proposed Parole Improvement and Procedures Act of 1972 reverse this burden and propose that every inmate should be released on parole when he is first eligible, unless the inmate is disqualified by one or more specific, statutorily-enumerated conditions. The two disqualifying conditions proposed in the Parole Improvement and Procedures Act are that there is substantial reason to believe that the prisoner 1) will engage in further criminal conduct, or 2) will not conform to his conditions of parole.

In general, a rapidly changing area of corrections concerns the rights of the prisoner shroughout the entire parole process, including his rights during the parole grant hearing, while on parole, and during any possible revocation proceedings. The changes which are occurring are primarily the result of court decisions which have steadily expanded the rights and privileges available both to parolees and to prisoners who are being considered for parole. Courts are requiring parole agencies to provide a parolee or a prospective parolee with a hearing whenever his liberty is at stake. The hearing must comply with many of the constitutional requirements of due process, such as adequate notice to all concerned parties, adequately defined criteria and standards on which to base decisions, and perhaps even the right to counsel.

#### 2. Parole Reorganization

One of the clearest trends in parole reorganization in the last few years is the consolidation of formerly autonomous agencies or functionally related units into expanded departments of corrections. One clear indication of this trend is the number of states which have shifted administrative responsibility over parole officers



from independent parole departments to centralized correctional agencies. Recently, Georgia, New York and Oregon have made such transfers.

Practically every large state now has adult parole field staff reporting to the same administrative authority responsible for the personnel of state penal institutions. The National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals has stated that the emergence of strong and autonomous correctional agencies is crucial in removing a major stumbling block to needed correctional reform-fragmented and poorly coordinated programs and services.

#### 3. Caseload Reform

Another development in parole is the modification of the traditional caseload method for treating and supervising parolees. As discussed above, California has been operating a differential or work-unit caseload program for parolees since 1965. Parolees are assigned to one of three levels of supervision, with each level of supervision given a different "weight". Each parole officer supervises that number of cases whose sum of weights totals 120. This weighting system results in an average caseload of 35 and allows high-risk parolees to be given more intensive supervision than those parolees who need less help in adjusting to society.

Another departure from the traditional caseload method is the team supervision concept. A group of parole officers, sometimes augmented by volunteers and para-professionals, takes collective responsibility for a parolee group as large as their combined former caseloads. The decisions which are made are group decisions, and generally the parolees themselves are involved in the decision-making process. Team supervision allows greater flexibility and more specialization than the traditional caseload method. For example, various groups or organizations such as employers or schools may become the major target area of one parole officer's activities; the rest of his normal duties will then be reassigned to other officers participating on the team.

#### 4. Parole Aide Programs

Another area of innovation is the increasing utilization of exprisoners as parole aides. Surveys indicate that most parolees believe that ex-prisoners are easier to talk to, are more helpful in finding jobs, and are more understanding than are parole officers who have never been convicted or incarcerated. In short, ex-prisoners can make the process of adjusting to society far easier for many parolees.

An example of a successful project is the Parole Officer Aide Program, begun by the state of Ohio in 1972. This program is staffed solely by former convicts who have successfully completed parole (as set forth by Ohio regulations) and who have met all the other requirements for the program, such as age (22 or older), residency (resident of Ohio), and proper attitudinal and behavioral traits Prior to entering the field on a full-time basis, all of the parole officer aides are involved in a 2-week training seminar with their future parole supervisors. After the seminar is completed, each aide is assigned a caseload of 30 parolees and is required to provide "supervision parallel to supervision of professional officers." Aides are expected to publicize the program and organize community support by speaking regularly before high schools, service organizations, and pre-release institutional inmate groups. Aides are also expected to find jobs for the parolees, since they have a better understanding of the types of jobs parolees desire and since they generally have intimate knowledge of the neighborhoods in which the parolees work.

In general, the parole aide program is representative of a wide range of innovative corrections programs in which ex-prisoners are used to supervise and counsel either pre-trial releasees, or incarcerated offenders and/or those persons who have been released from confinement. For example, the Des Moines project uses ex-offenders in its supervised release and community corrections components. The successful programs that have hired ex-prisoners have discovered that the ex-prisoners are often more understanding and more helpful than are regular corrections officials. Participants in the various programs (be they pre-trial releasees, inmates, parolees, or probationers) and even program supervisors have often rated ex-prisoner aides as being superior to other corrections personnel for many tasks.

#### 5. Financial Assistance Programs

Perhaps the most common problem immediately confronting paroled convicts is the need for money. Most states provide new releasees with transportation, some clothes and a minimal amount of money. However, lack of sufficient funds is a problem which confronts most parolees and which often increases parole failure rates. A number of solutions have been explored during the past few years, the most common being a loan fund arrangement whereby the correctional facility loans the parolee a lump sum of money. A more promising solution was recently adopted by the state of Washington, which now provides funds for up to 26 weeks for unemployed parolees from the time of their release to the time that they are first gainfully employed. The theory behind this legislation is that the state should aid the unemployed parolee in much the same way that it aids the unemployed worker through unemployment compensation.

### B. <u>Re-entry Programs</u>

Ex-prisoners who have served their sentences and who have been released from institutional custody--whether from a maximum-, medium- or minimumsecurity facility or from a non-secure facility--often need help in bridging the gap between prison and the community. Prisoners' associations and post-institutional halfway houses are designed to fill this needed function.

#### 1. Prisoners' Associations

Ex-prisoners and people interested in correctional reform have often formed voluntary associations designed both to speed the re-entry and re-integration process and also to advocate additional correctional reforms. These associations also often fulfill a social function for recently released prisoners. Meetings are held and newsletters are circulated in which ex-prisoners discuss their adjustment

()

()

 $\bigcirc$ 

problems, employment opportunities are listed, and counseling and advice are given to those who need help.

An example of a prisoners' association which helps ease the re-entry of released prisoners into society is Transitions to Freedom, Inc., a 5-year old organization located in San Francisco. Transitions to Freedom is designed specifically to find jobs in San Francisco for men and women who have been released from penal institutions. The emphasis of the program is almost totally concentrated on finding jobs; it provides only referral services to other non-employment agencies and organizations.

Part of the program deals with vocational training classes. Such classes last from three to nine months and are held only if Transitions to Freedom has obtained commitments from prospective employers that they will in fact hire people who successfully complete a specific training class. In addition, Transitions to Freedom attempts to place in vocational classes prisoners who either still have a limited amount of time to serve before they are released from institutional confinement, or else prisoners who have already been placed in standard work release programs. In either case, the program takes responsibility for these two types of prisoners, ensures that lodging is found for them, and assigns them to a specific vocational training class. If the participants do not adhere to the requirements of the Transitions program, they are transferred immediately back to state custody.

In addition to vocational training, Transitions to Freedom operates a labor-service pool for persons who are looking for, but have not yet found, permanent employment. Every participant is put into a Tabor pool and is farmed out to paying jobs, such as housepainting, gardening, or cleaning. Currently, the program hopes to start its own construction company which, if successful, will both contribute funds necessary to operate the labor service and also will provide. employment to the participants.

#### 2. Halfway Houses (Post-Institutional)

 $(\cdot)$ 

In addition, post-institutional residential houses have often aided the ex-prisoner to adjust to non-prison life. These residential facilities are generally operated by private individuals, foundations or religious organizations and are outside of the federal, state, or local government correctional system. They are often located in downtown areas of urban centers and usually accommodate less than 20 residents. Food and shelter are provided free or on credit until the resident is able to find a job and receives a first paycheck. In some halfway houses, residents are taught such skills as how to approach a prospective employer and how to make use of the telephone to arrange interviews. Houses often run their own employment agencies and contact employers who are willing to hire ex-offenders; other houses run their own businesses and employ their own residents. Requlations are generally kept to a minimum, and few if any restrictions are placed on the freedom of residents.

Some of these post-institutional residential facilities accept only ex-prisoners and, more particularly, only ex-prisoners who have committed specific offenses, such as drug-related crimes. Others accept any person who is willing to live in the halfway house community and to abide by the rules and regulations of the community. The philosophy of most residential facilities is designed to teach the ex-prisoner as quickly as possible how to live in the community; in these houses, residents are expected to stay for only a limited time, until they have gained the tools necessary to live on their own. In other postinstitutional residences, the community is seen as an alternative and permanent lifestyle, and residents can opt to stay and live in the facility for the rémainder of their lives. The most prominent example of this type of halfway house is probably the much-publicized Synanon House.

A very successful program designed to reintegrate ex-prisoners as quickly as possible is the Delancey Street Foundation of San Francisco. Delancey Street is a private foundation, established in 1971, and is designed mainly to rehabilitate convicted drug addicts.

Residents are asked to stey for two years, and during that time they learn vocational and business skills which hopefully will sustain them in the outside world. The Foundation operates several , profit-making business ventures, such as a restaurant, a flower delivery service, a moving company, and an automobile repair shop. The Foundation also runs its own accredited, in-house high school which teaches such basic skills as reading and writing, as well as more advanced subjects. Other residents attend local "outside" colleges and trade schools. New residents are put to work washing dishes and clothes and sweeping floors, and gradually work up to more skilled positions in the Foundation's outside businesses.

Both Delancey Street and Synanon rely on group encounter sessions which are intended not only to provide residents with an opportunity to ventilate their frustrations, but also to give each resident a new self-image. Most post-institutional residences, however, do not include group therapy as part of the adjustment or reintegration process, but rely in tead on informal meetings and mutual assistance among the residents.  $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

CHAPTER 6: REPLICATION -- HOW HAVE OTHER COMMUNITIES DONE IT?

 $\left( \right)$ 

6-1

Chapter 5 of this handbook described some of the "universe" of correctional programs which exist in communities and which can serve as a reference point as you diagnose the criminal justice/corrections system of your community. If such a diagnosis indicates that the Des Moines Community Corrections approach--in whole or in part--seems to make sense for your community, the question becomes: Now can that approach best be replicated in your community? To help answer that question, Chapter 6 briefly describes the experience of several communities that received a grant from the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice to replicate the Des Moines project. And Chapter 7 presents an outline of the basic tasks involved in replication.

The Des Moines Community dorrections program was the first project designated as "exemplary" by the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice (NILECJ). The purpose of the Exemplary Project Program is to identify projects which have demonstrated a notable degree of success in operation over a period of time and which are suitable for replication. Specifically, the criteria for "exemplary" designation include;

...significant achievement in the reduction of crime or improvement in the quality of justice...cost effectiveness, suitability for replication incother jurisdictions, and the willingness of project staff to provide information to other communities.

In an effort to test whether the success of the Des Moines project was limited to the Fifth Judicial District in Iowa or capable of being replicated in other communities around the nation, the National Institute  $d_{c} \in d$  () provided \$1,500,000 for six formal replications of the Des Moines project. In addition, the National Institute contracted with Urban and Rural Systems Associates, to provide technical assistance to the replication sites during the pre-grant planning process and the postgrant implementation process) and also contracted with Florida State University to evaluate the replication process in five of the six target communities. The six communities selected to replicate the Des Moines project were:

- e Clark County (Vancouver), Washington
- San Mateo County, California
- G Salt Lake County, Utah
- o St. Louis County (Duluth), Minnesota
- c East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana
- e Orange County (Orlando), Florida

The goal of "replication" in these six communities was not to duplicate the Des Moines project. The National Institute recognized that the correctional needs of different communities vary considerably, and that difference in the structure and process of criminal justice at the local level affect the feasibility of even an "exemplary" project in different ways. The goal of the replication experiment was, therefore, based on an awareness of local differences. Each replication site was required to institute all four of the Des Moines components, but the structuring of those components, and the procedures established for each replication project were expected to be shaped by the realities of the local criminal justice system. Thus, the goal was replication rather than duplication.

The following discussion traces the pre-grant experiences of five of the six replication sites (URSA did not provide pre-grant technical assistance to East Baton Rouge Parish, and thus has no data about the planning process in that community). Since most of the replication sites were just beginning to move into the implementation stage in late 1974, this discussion is limited to the planning process that led up to the submission of a grant application in each community.

#### Clark County (Vancouver), Washington

The planning process which took place in Clark County was broadly-based from the beginning. Immediately after the possibility of receiving a replication grant was announced, a community meeting was held. All major participants in the local criminal justice process were asked to attend, together with representatives of community service agencies. Following a description of the Des Moines project; the County Commissioner

Washington nia

, Minnesota .ouisiana Torida

having functional responsibility for criminal justice programs took charge of organizing the planning process. An Ad Hoc Planning Committee was formed and the local (RPU) criminal justice planner provided the staff support for the committee. From the start, the Ad Hoc Committee included nearly every "key actor" in the Clark County criminal justice system: the County Commissioner, the County Administrator, the County Shariff, the Director of the County Jail, the Vancouver Chief of Police, the County Prosecutor, the Public Defender, a judge of the Superior . Court, a judge of the District Court, the Director of the county (misdemeanor) probation department, the District Supervisor of the state (felony) probation department, and a Councilwoman from the city of Vancouver. By forming a broadly-based committee at the start of the planning process, Clark County officials provided a mechanism that not only encouraged the sharing of information and concerns about the existing criminal justice system in the community, but also diminished the intensity of "turf disputes" that are typically involved in any project which alters existing administrative structures and jurisdictional lines.

()

( )

tio llago.

The existing criminal justice structure in Clark County was typical of many communities. The city and county jails had been consolidated under the jurisdiction of the County Sheriff. When the planning for the replication project began, Clark County had neither a formal ROR program (judges occasionally freed defendants on their own recognizance, but no staff was available to provide objective data on each defendant). nor a supervised release unit. The probation function was divided between the state Department of Probation and Parole, which provided local probation services to convicted felons, and the county District Court Office of Probation, which provided PSIs and probation supervision for misdemeanants. The community had no community correctional facility. although a small work release component did operate out of the city jail. A new deferred prosecution program had recently been instituted by the county prosecutor with LEAA funding, and treatment services were provided by an Offender Services Coordinator, who also was the Director of the Prevention-Habilitation Council of Clark County (Pre-Hab), a private agency which also operated a halfway house for released prisoners.

As a first step in planning a replication of the Des Moines project, several members of the Ad Hoc Planning Committee made a trip to Des Moines to see the program in action. An effective method of data gathering used in Des Moines was for one of the Clark County representatives to spend time with his counterpart in the Des Moines criminal justice system. Thus, the Clark County Superior Court Judge spent a morning sitting in court with a Des Moines judge, and was thus able to see the impact of the pre-trial release (ROR) and supervised release components on pre-trial judicial decisions, and the impact of PSIs and the availability of the Fort Des Moines facility on postconviction dispositional decisions.

Following the trip to Des Moines, the members of the Ad Hoc Planning Committee began a series of early morning planning meetings which continued over several weeks. These meetings were often scheduled at 7 a.m. to permit judges to attend before their courts were in session, and most of the members of the committee--despite the early hour and the major responsibilities of every committee member--attended the sessions. The device of using a breadly-based planning committee worked on a number of levels. It not only streamlined the planning of a replication project, but it brought the key actors in the criminal justice system together as a group for the first time. As a result, problems in the existing system were isolated, concerns and disagreements were ventilated, and new working relationships--both formal and informal--were built. The committee worked so well, in fact, that the County Commissioner indicated that even if the county were not to receive the replication money the planning process was a reward in itself, since it had isolated and dealt with issues that had never been recognized or confronted before.

Through a series of planning meetings, and through the data gathering efforts of the county probation department and the local criminal justice planner, the committee identified the local need for both pretrial and post-conviction correctional programs modeled on the Des Moines project. Existing system flow statistics were used to develop caseload projections for a replication program, and the committee developed both the procedures for the new project and a detailed

replication project budget. Since the state of Washington was moving in the direction of decentralized community corrections and the legislature had recently passed an act which subsidized local communities that relieved the state of the burden of incarcerating felons in state institutions, Clark County also received a commitment that the state would contribute funds to the replication effort.

The replication project developed by Clark County was shaped by local needs and realities. It established a new Department of Community Corrections within the county government, with a Director directly responsible to the County Commissioners. Within the new department, several existing criminal justice functions were combined with new replication components. Two new pre-trial components were established: an ROR and a supervised release component. Given the unmet need for intensive probation supervision, the new organizational structure absorbed the existing county misdemeanant probation office and added an Intensive Services Unit which would not only provide intensive probation supervision to the misdemeanants who needed it, but which would also be assigned probation responsibility for those felons whom judges felt needed intensive services (services not currently provided because of the high caseloads of the state probation unit). The replication project also absorbed the existing work release program, and established a residential treatment facility patterned on Fort Des Moines. (Chart/I) displays the organizational structure of the Clark County-replication project.)

#### San Mateo County, California

In San Mateo County, planning for the replication of the Des Moines project became the vehicle by which long-standing, but unresolved, conflicts within the county were addressed. The problem in San Mateo was not that the county lacked basic correctional programs. Even before it began planning for the replication project, San Mateo had three of the four Des Moines components in place. The county had an ROR program which was administered by the local Bar Association through a contract with the county. In addition, the county also had a probation department which was responsible for probation supervision

· 6-5



of both misdemeanants and felons, and which also ran an existing community corrections facility (Ellsworth House).

114

()

6-7

The major criminal justice problem in San Mateo County, however. was based on geography and the lack of adequate booking facilities. San Mateo is a large county running almost 30 miles from its northern border (where it abuts the city of San Francisco) to the southern border at the base of the San Francisco peninsula. The county jail is located in Redwood City, at the far southern end of the county, and transporting arrested persons from the temporary city lock-ups in the northern areas of the county to Redwood City and then back to courts in the north was expensive both in terms of transportation costs and in terms of lost patrol hours for county Sheriff's deputies. The problem was complicated by the fact that the County Manager was initially committed to using the replication money to cover extra transportation expenses while the major cities in the northern third of the county wanted to build a centralized booking facility in the north. Communication between the county and the northern cities was difficult to achieve, and considerable disagreement had built up over the conflict of objectives.

The planning process used in San Mateo paralleled that of Clark County, in that a broadly-based planning committee was developed early in the process. The planning committee, organized primarily by the Director of the local RPU and by an Executive Assistant to the County Manager, met throughout the planning process, and it was within the committee that the northern cities/county dispute was addressed and finally resolved. The planning committee consisted of the RPU Director, the Executive Assistant to the County Manager, senior representatives of the Sheriff's Office and the District Attorney's Office, the Director of the local ROR project, the Supervisor of Adult Probation, the Director of the local community corrections facility, and the project coordinator for the Select Police Service Coordination Project (an LEAA-funded coordination project in the four northern cities of the county). Over a series of long and often heated meetings, the planning committee decided that the resolution of the dispute over centralized booking procedures was a necessary precondition in any replication attempt. The replication grant thus provided the lever which led the key actors in the San Mateo criminal justice system to solve a basic problem in their system. Specifically, the replication project was used to reduce the number of city lock-ups in use from 5 to 3, and the largest city jail in the northern part of the county was designated for use as a central booking facility for defendants who were to be tried in northern district Municipal Court. In addition, the replication project provided for the facility to be staffed with "detention technicians" instead of city policemen or sheriff's deputies.

Beyond addressing the centralized booking problems of the county, the planning committee also emphasized the need to coordinate and expand existing correctional programs. The ROR project was enlarged to serve the northern area of the county more efficiently, a supervised release component was added, additional probation officer time WDS allocated to the completion of "informal" pre-sentence investigations which are often requested by the courts in less serious cases, and the direction of the program was tied to the County Manager's office. Although local political realities prevented the San Mateo replication project from uniting all the components in a single administrative structure, the project did represent an important step in the expansion of correctional services to all parts of the county.

In one sense, the task of replicating the Des Moiner project was less difficult in San Mateo County than in other jurisdictions given the relative sophistication of the local criminal justice system and the fact that three of the four Des Moines components were already in place. However, the political problems that can constrain any new program were more intense in San Mateo County than in communities that had fewer correctional programs and, therefore, fewer established interests in conflict. And although the resolution of the centralized booking issue was only tangential to the purpose of the Des Moines project in theoretical terms, it was of much greater importance as a correctional compromise which may lead to further program consolication in the future.

#### Salt Lake County, Utah

The need for a replication project in Salt Lake County grew out of some of the same problems which existed in Des Moines. The city/county jail was badly overcrowded; fully half of the jail population was composed of defendants awaiting trial; and only 6% of all defendants were being released on their own recognizance. No program of pretrial services was available to defendants. The post-trial options of judges having responsibility for sentencing convicted offenders were severely limited. And the services that were available were not coordinated in any meaningful way. Salt Lake County had a limited ROR project run by a private agency, but it was somewhat isolated from the rest of the criminal justice system and was releasing only a small minority of total defendants. No supervised release program, or other system of helping a defendant to build a track record prior to trial, existed in the community. Probation was a function of the State Department of Corrections, although the county operated a small alcohol and drug treatment program which offered counseling and probationary services. No community correctional facility on the order of Fort Des Moines existed, and the halfway houses administored by the Division of Corrections did not provide the intensive counseling and treatment-orientation of the Des Moines model. Finally, a major obstacle to replication was the different objectives and jurisdictional responsibilities of the Division of Corrections, the County, and the city of Salt Lake.

The planning process in Salt Lake County was organized primarily by the local RPD: As in the case of Clark County, the planners in Salt Lake County used a trip to Des Moines to build support for the replication project among the various key actors in the local criminal justice system: city and county law enforcement agencies, the local judiciary, the Division of Corrections, and the city and county governments. However, the main task was to develop an organizational structure for the program which would be acceptable to all of the major correctional interest groups in the community.

Drawing heavily on support from the judges who had been to Des Moines and supported the replication project, the RPU developed a city/county agreement by which a new administrative structure was developed. It took the name of its Des Moines counterpart--the Department of Court Services. This new Department was located in the county governmental structure, with the Director of the Department reporting directly to the Board of County Commissioners. A number of existing and new services were consolidated within the Department: an expanded pretrial release (ROR) program, a new pre-trial services (supervised release) component, a diversion component, an alcohol services unit (to be separately funded by the National Institute of Health), and an Adult Offender Rehabilitation Facility (the equivalent of Fort Des Moines). In addition, the county signed an agreement with the Division of Corrections by which the county used part of the replication funds to subsidize additional misdemeanant probation staff for the Division, staff which were to be given special training in the utilization of community resources for program clients. The county also contracted with the Utah State Department of Employment Security to hire one job developer and three employment counselors for assignment to the program (funding of the new slots was also provided by the replication grant). The state Division of Corrections continued to have responsibility for probation activities.

The Salt Lake County replication project accomplished a number of objectives. It established the principle that county government had the jurisdiction to provide pre-trial services and to operate a community correctional facility. It added a number of new correctional programs and consolidated and strengthened existing ones. And it worked out an administrative structure for correctional programs that increased coordination without causing major turf disputes between the various governmental agencies involved in corrections at the state and local level.

## . St. Louis County (Duluth), Minnesota

The replication planning process in St. Louis County was shaped not only by the need for new services, but by the growing regionalization of the criminal justice system in that area. No existing ROR or

 $\bigcirc$ 

supervised release services were available in St. Louis County, and the high caseloads of the county probation department ruled out intensive supervision services. A regional community correctional facility had been developed under the authority of a regional board, but the criminal justice system as a whole suffered from a fragmentation of services, a lack of pre-trial programs, and uncoordinated post-trial components. Superimposed on these problems were the strains of regionalization. Recent Minnesota legislation--the Community Corrections Subsidy Act, and the Human Services Act--indicated a statewide policy in favor of regionalizing corrections and other services, and the RPU serving St. Louis County also had jurisdiction over the vast seven-county Arrowhead Region in northeastern Minnesota.

()

Geography also played an important part in the planning process. St. Louis County alone is larger than the states of Rhode Island, Delaware and Connecticut combined, and the need for services in the other three counties of the 6th Judicial Circuit was also intense. The major minority group in the area consists of American Indians, and the specialized criminal justice needs of the Indian population added to the difficulties involved in providing adequate correctional services.

As in Clark and San Mateo Counties, the planning process in St. Louis County was broadly-based. Organized primarily by RPU staff, the head of the county probation department, and the director of the Northeast Regional Corrections Center (the local equivalent of Fort Des Moines), a planning committee was organized which included representatives from each of the major criminal justice agencies in the area (law enforcement, the judiciary, probation, local government) and which also included representatives of the Indian population. The planningcommittee was divided into a number of subcommittees, each of which had primary responsibility for the planning of an individual program component. In addition, the committee as a whole often met to address the difficult organizational and structural issues involved in replicating the Des Moines project in St. Louis County. To provide correctional services throughout the vast 6th Judicial Circuit, the planning committee tied the use of the \$250,000 in replication funding (which would pay for replication in the Duluth/southern St. Louis County area) to a separate grant of \$123,000 from the LEAA regional office to permit implementation of the replication project in the northern area of St. Louis County (the Iron Range area) and the remaining three counties of the 6th Judicial Circuit. Eventual expansion into all seven counties of the Arrowhead Region was planned, but only after state funds became available under the new regionalization legislation.

Thus, the expansion of correctional services in the entire Arrowhead Region was the long range goal, and the planning process focused on the steps by which that long range goal could be achieved. Formal replication of the Des Moines project in the southern St. Louis County/Duluth area (through NILECJ replication funds) was only a first step in a long range regionalization plan. In the first stage, new components were added to the criminal justice system. A formalized ROR program and a supervised release component were developed. To reduce the high probation caseloads, new probation staff were also hired to provide an intensive supervision capability in the county probation department. And to improve the ability of the program to emphasize employment as a vital part of client treatment, a job development specialist was built into the project design.

Although the organizational goal of the program was to move toward a single regional correctional board, the short term replication strategy involved the adoption of a structure by which the St. Louis County government was to establish a Department of Court Services and serve as the fiscal agent for the first stage of the replication effort. Day to day coordination of three of the project's components---ROR, supervised reipase, and probation--was to be the responsibility of the existing Probation Department, and the control over the community correctional center remained with the Northeast Regional Correctional Authority. As a long term goal, the replication plan called for the development of an expanded Regional Correctional

Authority which would incorporate the entire range of correctional programs for all of the counties in the Arrowhead Region.

In addition to developing a multiple-staged method of structuring the replication process, the planning committee also addressed the special correctional needs of the native population in the area. Recognizing that many Indians in the region neither own their own homes, nor have telephones, nor can be reached by mail, it was clear that the emphasis on verifying a stable history of residence in the standard ROR questionnaire would disqualify many Native Americans from being released on their own recognizance prior to trial. As a result, the design of the replication project also included a modification of the ROR point system which gave credit to Indian defendants for the total length of time spent in the county or general regional area. A similar system will also be used to test the eligibility of residents of other rural areas of the Arrowhead Region.

#### Orange County (Orlando), Florida

()

As it began to plan for the replication of the Des Moines project, the Orange County criminal justice system reflected two major forces which had transformed the correctional needs of the county. First, the development of Disney World had caused a massive economic boom in the county and had led to a very rapid increase both in population and in the demand for criminal justice services. Second, the appointment of a new Sheriff a few years before had, in the words of several key actors in the criminal justice system, "brought the county into the 20th century in terms of correctional programs." A number of new correctional programs recently had been implemented within the Sheriff's office, but no integrated correctional structure had yet evolved to coordinate existing and planned programs.

The Orange County system had a number of correctional components in place when replication planning began. A new unit had recently been established in the Sheriff's office which performed two basic functions: ROR interviewing and the classification of priseners. In addition, a Re-Entry Program which provided pre-trial diversion services and living accommodations for pre-trial defendants was in operation within the county government's Department of Community Affairs. Probation in Orange County was the responsibility of the local office of the Florida Probation and Parole Commission, and this office also performed a staff function for the courts in some cases in which ROR was requested by the defendant's lawyer. The county had no community correctional facility.

In addition to the need for new correctional programs, the major problem in Orange County was how to structure the Des Moines replication. Although the Sheriff's office was the major power center in the county, the Sheriff felt that it was not appropriate for pre-trial components to be located in a law enforcement agency. Indeed, the new ROR program did not logically belong in the Sheriff's office, and some defense attorneys were uncomfortable with the idea that defendants were being questioned for ROR purposes by staff being paid out of the Sheriff's budget. The Re-Entry Program was a logical focal point for new programs, but the program had had problems in the past, and it was feared that the entire replication project would fail if a more solid organizational structure could not be developed.

The replication planning process in Orange County was organized primarily by the Sheriff's office with the assistance of the Re-Entry Program director. An initial agreement was reached with the State Probation and Parole Office whereby the limited ROR functions of the probation staff would be transferred to the county, and thus additional probation staff time would be freed for more intensive supervision services. And the Department of Navy agreed to make a facility available for use as a community correctional facility at the partially deactivated McCoy Air Base which the Navy now controlled.

The structural issue was resolved by establishing the replication project under the local Court Executive. Fiscal control over the project was given to the Orange County Board of County Commissioners, and a policy Board of Directors was created which included the Sheriff, the State's Attorney for the County, the local State Probation and Parole Supervisor, the Chief Judge and one County Commissioner. The replication project consolidated a number of existing and new programs in Orange County. It absorbed the ROR unit formerly under the Sheriff's control, added a new supervised release component, absorbed the diversion and pre-trial halfway house services of the Re-Entry Program, and added a new community correctional facility. The diagnostic unit was left in the Sheriff's office, and the State Probation and Parole office continued to be responsible for probation services. (Charf 2 displays the Orange County organizational structure.)

The new structure devised for the replication project in Orange County had a number of advantages. It provided a strong administrative structure outside of the Sheriff's office so that correctional programs and law enforcement programs could be separated. It provided a shelter for the Re-Entry Program, which had been threatened by a lack of solid administrative backing. And it improved the coordination of the state probation function with the other elements of the local correctional system. As in Duluth, the Orange County replication process emphasized the development of an immediate organizational structure which represented a first step toward a longer range goal. In the case of Orange County, the long range goal was the development of a county Department of Corrections which will further coordinate the planning and implementation of correctional programs. Through the vehicle of the replication project, Orange County rationalized the administration of existing programs and provided a structure within which future programs can be developed.

( }





#### Replication Conclusions

( )

Although these brief descriptions of the replication process in five of the six communities selected by the National Institute do not provide a comprehensive view of the problems faced and strategies developed by those communities, they do suggest the variety of replication experiences. The approaches taken in the replication sites varied considerably, but is possible to draw some general conclusions about successful replication of the Des Moines project:

e Replication must be based on local realities. Although the terms of the replication process required each community to establish all four of the Des Moines components, the final program which was developed in each site was shaped by a number of local realities. Each community based its replication process on a recognition that the Des Moines project required tailoring to the specific criminal justice needs, political concerns, structural constraints and organizational issues at work in the community itself. Thus, each replication experience, in its own way, represented a balance between what was needed and what was possible in the community. In each case, the planners of the replication project analyzed existing problems in the criminal justice system, identified optional program structures and procedures in terms of probable local acceptance, anticipated likely "turf disputes" involved in replicating the Des Moines project, and took steps to mitigate those disputes in advance. At the most basic level, therefore, the experiences of the replication sites indicate that slavish adherance to a pre-conceived "Des Moines formula" would not have worked in any community. Each community had to be taken on its own terms, and a replication effort framed accordingly.

The replication planning process should be broadly-based. Unlike some programs which involve only a few of the "key actors" in the local criminal justice system, the Des Moines project is complex. Its four components touch upon the areas of

The replication planning process must focus on local "change agents." 0 One of the most important aspects of each replication planning process was not only to identify the "key actors" in a criminal justice system whose support would be needed to make the Des Moines project work in a community, but to identify those community leaders whose influence was essential to starting a replication process in the first place. Broadly-based support for a program like the Des Moines project is important, but in every community, there are a few major "change agents," those who have the power--either directly or indirectly to make a project happen. In Clark County, for instance, it is doubtful that the planning committee would have been organized and kept on track if the County Commissioner had not used his political and personal leverage to commit the community to a replication of the Des Moines project. Although change agents vary from community to community, the experience in the replication sites indicates that local government officials and judges are often the most important and effective advocates of the Des Moines concept at the local level. Whoever the change agents in a community are, however, the important thing is to identify them early in the replication planning process and to keep them involved in that process throughout the planning period.

© Replication should be shaped by both long- and short-range goals. tive control of the county government. In each case, the

6-17

()

()

6-19

Although the implementation of the Des Moines project in a community may often represent an important addition to the local corrections system, it is not a panacea. In St. Louis County, for instance, the long-range goal was to implement a comprehensive regionalized correctional system. In Orange County, it was to develop a Department of Corrections which would consolidate all correctional programs under the administraimplementation of the Des Moines project was a short-range goal--an important step in the right direction but not an end in itself. As indicated in earlier chapters, the Des Moines

project omits some very important correctional components, such as citation release and diversion. Thus, it is important, in any attempt to replicate the Des Moines project, to ask what the long-range goals of a community's criminal justice system are. What are the services, structures and procedures toward which the community should be moving? And how can replication of the Des Moines program--in whole or in part--be used as a vehicle for moving toward those long-range goals?

## CHAPTER 7: REPLICATION--NEXT STEPS

Chapter 5 of this handbook discussed the range of correctional programs which have been instituted in various communities around the country. And in Chapter 6, the replication planning process of five of the communities selected as formal replication sites was described. This chapter addresses the question: If your community decides that it wants to replicate all or part of the Des Moines project, what does that replication process involve? Chapter fourlines a rough work program for the replication process, and indicates some of the constraints that you are likely to face as you work your way through that process.

As indicated in earlier chapters of this training handbook, the Des Moines project is not a panacea for all of the corrections problems facing any community, nor is it a program that will fit the needs of every community. However, it is a program that has had a positive impact on the criminal justice system in the Des Moines area, and the four components of the project may make sense for your community as well. Ultimately, the decision as to whether the Des Moines project should be replicated--in whole or in part--in your community is one which can only be made by you and the other members of your local criminal justice system.

If you decide that replicating the Des Moines project in your community <u>does</u> make sense, the question remains: What is involved in replication? What tasks need to be addressed, and in what order? Chapter 3 presented a how-to-do-it description of the four Des Moines components in technical terms. In this chapter, however, the focus is on how-to-do-it in planning terms.

The following work tasks are only intended to be suggestive of the steps involved in a replication planning process. Some of them may not be necessary in your community, and you may well add others to this list. But as you begin to think about the "how" of replication, this checklist of tasks may help to get you started.

#### The Replication Planning Process

## Organize a Replication Planning Committee

the previous chapter The experience of the five replication sites discussed in Ghapter findicates the importance of organizing a broadly-based replication planning committee. Much of the success of the planning process in Clark County, Washington, San Mateo County, California and St. Louis County, Minnesota, can be traced to the fact that replication planners in those communities made an early effort to involve a wide range of criminal justice system "key actors", representatives of local service agencies, major employers, and others in the planning process. A broadly-based planning committee has several advantages. By involving a wide range of community representatives, the planning process is enriched by incorporating a number of different perspectives about correctional values and objectives. And by involving certain key actors at an early stage in the planning process, it may be possible to anticipate and defuse possible later opposition to the replication effort. It is not always possible to win over possible opponents of replication by involving them in the planning process, but it often helps.

Organizing a broadly-based planning committee is discussed here first because of the importance that it had in the formal replication sites. But that does not necessarily mean that you will want to make it your first task. As in other tasks discussed in the replication work program, you will have to decide on the appropriate order for planning tasks in your community.

In some communities, early organization of a planning committee will indeed be the logical first step. In others, however, it may make sense for you and others who favor replication of the Des Moines project to maintain a low profile for a while. In any case, you are the best judge of your community, and you will have to decide when the time is right to form a planning group.

#### • • Analyze the Present System

One of the first tasks in any replication planning process is to take a hard look at the existing criminal justice system and correctional programs in your community. One good way to begin is by reviewing the way in which your criminal justice system is formally organized. In terms of legal requirements, what state laws, court rules, or local ordinances dictate the structure and process of your criminal justice system? In some states, a decision to replicate the Des Moines project can be made at the local level. In others, replication will be possible only if state laws are changed, or if the Attorney General of the state interprets existing state laws in new ways.

After you have a good sense of the degree to which your local community has the legal authority to institute new correctional components, the next task is to review the structure of your existing criminal justice system. One technique you might use is to draw a rough organizational chart of that system. As you draw the chart, you probably will be asking yourself: Which agencies or departments of state or local government have responsibility for various elements of the criminal justice system? Who are the "key actors" in your criminal justice system, and to whom are they responsible? What role does the county commission play in the criminal justice system? The sheriff? The local prosecutor? The courts? Local community service agencies? Does your system have an ROR component or a communitybased correctional facility? Is probation a state or county responsibility?

In addition to reviewing the formal structure of the criminal justice system in your community, you should also focus on the many informal ways in which that system is structured. The way most criminal justice systems function on a day-to-day basis often varies considerably from the formalities of an organizational chart, so try to isolate the actual mechanisms and procedures which characterize the system in your community.

As you trace the method in which your criminal justice system really works, also review the fiscal basis of your system. How are local criminal justice programs funded and by whom? Does your state subsidize local communities which establish correctional components that relieve the burden on the state prison or some other agency or institution?

 $\left( \right)$ 

As part of your review of the existing criminal justice system, you will also need to conduct an informal needs analysis. After identifying the range of correctional programs that are currently available to accused and convicted offenders at the pre-trial, post-trial and postinstitutional stages in your community, the next questions that need to be asked are: What correctional needs are currently unmet? How does the existing lack of correctional programs affect the local jail population, the disposition of cases, recidivism rates, etc.? In this step you will be trying to identify those areas in which the present system fails to address the correctional needs of the community. -At this point you might want to review the "universe" of corrections programs discussed in Chapter 5 of the handbook, as well as the four Des-Hoines components. As you discuss your criminal justice system with other "key actors" in the community, what are the problems most often identified in that system? And to what degree would alternative correctional programs, structures, and procedures help to fill unmet needs in the system?

In some communities which have a number of existing correctional components, the main problem may prove to be a lack of coordination among various elements of the criminal justice system rather than a lack of programs themselves. One of the most important ingredients in the success of the Des Moines project is the degree to which all four correctional components are coordinated through such techniques as formal information sharing procedures and staff rotation. Thus, you should think about the linkages between the various elements of the correctional system in your community. Are those elements well coordinated so that the effectiveness of good correctional components is not diluted at a later point in the system? Or are the existing correctional components in your community fragmented either in terms of administrative control or functional operations?

In other communities the main problem may simply be that the existing criminal justice system lacks basic correctional components. Without a formal ROR component, for example, judges typically lack the objective information upon which to base a decision about whether a defendant should be released on his own recognizance. Or, if your community's jail is

# ation.

- 1

()

As you conduct a needs assessment for the criminal justice system in your community, you may discover a lack of data about the way in which the system currently works. For example, during the Clark County, Washington, replication effort there was a general recognition of the need for a formal ROR component to be added to the local system. Yet no organized data was available which indicated the number of pre-trial defendants who were released on bail, through informal ROR, through the 10% bail deposit system, or the number of defendants who remained in jail pending trial. Thus, one of the basic tasks in the Clark County planning process was to gather raw data from the courts, the jail, and the prosecutor, and to organize it to show the degree to which a formal ROR component was actually needed. As a practical matter, data gathering is not only an important planning task, but also a valuable method of building support for replication among the "key actors" of the criminal justice system. Statistics often are more persuasive than correctional theories in organizing a replication process.

#### • Identify Correctional Objectives

Although it is impossible to isolate problems in an existing criminal justice system without having a set of values which defines what "problems" are, it is important in any replication planning process to be explicit about objectives. In most communities, you will find no easy consensus about the objectives of correctional programs. Within every system there are typically many competing--and sometimes antagonistic--objectives. As discussed in Chapter 2, most correctional systems generally reflect a number of trade-offs between objectives. For example, in the Des Moines project, residents of Fort Des Moines are given more freedom than inmates of conventional county jails, because freedom and individual responsibility are viewed as essential ingredients in the rehabilitation process. Yet the freedom required for rehabilitation may conflict with another objective of the criminal justice system--preventing convicted offenders from committing new crimes while assigned to correctional programs. In Des Moines, a few residents of Fort Des Moines have gotten into trouble while assigned to

chronically overcrowded, the problem may simply be that there is no facility (such as Fort Des Moines) which provides an alternative to ordinary incarcerthe community correctional facility, but the rehabilitation benefits of the Fort Des Moines approach are judged important enough to justify an occasional problem with a resident of the facility.

One of the basic tasks in any replication planning process, therefore, is the definition of correctional objectives. If your community lacks pre-trial components, one objective might be to add new programs to increase the percentage of defendants released on their own recognizance by a specified amount. Objectives can range from the general (e.g., to reduce the future criminal behavior of convicted offenders) to the specific (e.g., to raise the employment rate among convicted offenders by an average of 25% during the time of their assignment to post-trial correctional programs). Whatever the objectives finally adopted in your community, it is important to keep returning to a discussion of correctional objectives throughout the planning process. The various key actors in your criminal justice system are likely to express a wide variety of objectives. The interplay of competing objectives and values will not only help to inject new ideas into the planning process; it will also help you to avoid the creation of unreal expectations about what the replication project can be expected to accomplish.

#### o Identify the "Key Actors" in Your Criminal Justice System

If you have not already identified the key actors in your criminal justice system, this is the time to start. Unlike criminal justice programs which involve a single component and involve only one or two of the individuals or agencies in your system (for instance, the exemplary "Citizen Dispute Settlement" program in Ohio is a program which typically involves only the prosecutor's office and the police department in a community), the Des Moines project is complex. Since the four components of the Des Moines project touch virtually every other agency and individual in a criminal justice system, you need to begin thinking about the concerns you are likely to uncover in dealing with those agencies and individuals. Thus, the question is: Who are the "key actors" in your criminal justice system?

It is not hard to imagine how the key actors in your system can affect a replication project. In the case of the four Des Moines components the list of key actors who are likely to have concerns about the replication project is a long one:

- developed early and subsided only gradually.
- cation planning.
- components will be assigned few clients.

7-6

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

• Law enforcement officials, such as the county sheriff and local police chiefs, are likely to be concerned about the procedures and criteria by which defendants are released on ROR or to the supervised release staff. If you plan to develop a facility like Fort Des Moines, they need to be consulted about the fact that clients will be returning to the community to work, go to school, or receive assistance from a range of community agencies. In the Des Moines project, law enforcement uneasiness about the program

• The local prosecutor is also likely to be concerned about pretrial release criteria, and the degree to which the prosecution process will be affected by ROR and supervised release.

• The public defender in the community will generally be interested in the degree to which the program's pre-trial components will release additional defendants and thus increase their ability to take part in the preparation of their own defense. As the individual in the criminal justice system who most often serves as an advocate for the potential clients of a community corrections project, the public defender may be expected to take an active interest in repli-

• Local judges are critically important. Even if your community were to replicate the entire Des Moines project, the effort would be useless if the judges did not support the program. For it is judges who must approve gP a defendant's release to an ROR or supervised release unit, and it is judges who have the responsibility for sentencing an offender after conviction. If a judge lacks confidence in one or more of the replication components, it is clear that those

- Existing <u>probation</u> agencies (whether state or local) are also likely to be directly affected by any replication project. Of the four Des Moines components, probation is the one which most communities already have. As a result, any attempt to modify existing correctional components or institute new ones will almost invariably involve the probation department's "turf".
- Local government officials are also important to any replication process. Since replication typically will involve changes in existing structural arrangements, the approval of the county board may well be required. In addition, local government officials may be expected to be quite interested in the costs and benefits which are likely to be associated with a replication project. As the Clark County replication experience indicates (see Chapter 6), the active support of county commissioners in the replication planning process can be a tremendous asset.
- The <u>local criminal justice planner</u> in your community may also be expected to play an important part in the planning of a Des Moines replication process. In addition to helping you as you identify your community's correctional needs and set correctional objectives, a planner can help to anticipate problems and constraints involved in any replication process. Perhaps most important, the local criminal justice planner will often have the best sense of the availability of regional, state or local funding for a replication effort. (A more detailed discussion of funding sources appears below.)
- Representatives of community agencies are important as well. The Des Moines project is a "community-based" correctional system, and that means that you will need to coordinate the planning of a replication project very closely with existing community agencies. A key element in the treatment orientation of three of the Des Moines components (supervised release, probation, and the community correctional facility) is the effective use of existing services, so it is important for you to know precisely what type of services each local agency can provide and how much (if anything) those services

will cost the replication program. It is equally important for local agencies to understand what it is that you are planning. The range of "key agencies" will, of course, vary from one community to the next. In identifying the agencies in your community that are important to the replication effort, it may help to remind yourself of the types of community services most often used in Des Moines: job development, vocational rehabilitation and training, educational upgrading, psychiatric treatment, family and marital counseling, drug and alcohol abuse treatment, fiscal management counseling, housing assistance, welfare assistance, and health care.

In your community, there may be even more key actors than those listed above. The important thing at this stage of the planning process is to identify <u>all</u> of the key actors in your community's criminal justice system. And, as the list above indicates, a key actor is any individual or agency that is likely to be involved in some aspect of the replication project if it becomes a reality.

#### Identify Structural Options and Potential "Turf Disputes"

One of the most difficult tasks in the planning process of all of the formal replication sites was to decide how to structure the replication project. In Des Moines, this problem was less severe because the existing criminal justice system had few components in place when the community corrections program began to evolve. Only the state probation component was on the scene, and the absorption of the probation function by the Des Moines program was accomplished gradually. Nonetheless, some friction was generated between the Des Moines project and the state Department of Corrections.

In many of the formal replication sites, however, the planning process became very complex as the issue of program structure was addressed. Simply put, the issues which eventually arise in any replication process are: How will the new project be organizationally structured? What functions will the project perform? And to what body will the project report?

7-8

The structural issue is highly charged because it--unlike theoretical discussions about correctional objectives--has very real "turf" implications. The criminal justice system in any community consists of a web of overlapping and interconnected functional responsibilities. The functioning of some systems actually parallels the process described on their organizational charts. Other systems function very differently in fact than on paper. And in some, day-to-day functioning is shaped more by politics and personalities than by any formal relationships. Any new program, by definition, requires a change in the existing system. It raises the possibility not only of functional changes in the system, but of shifts in the power and responsibilities of the "key actors" in that system.

Although anyone who has worked in a criminal justice system does not need to be told about the importance of "turf" as a planning constraint, it is important to remind yourself of possible turf disputes as you begin to think about the alternative ways in which the replication project might be structured. Thus, the questions which need to be asked at this point in the planning process are: What correctional functions will the replication project perform? Will it assume responsibility for functions (e.g., probation) now performed by other individuals or agencies? To what agency or governing body will the new project report? How will coordination be translated into specific structural changes in the existing criminal justice system? Of the "key actors" in the existing system, which ones are likely to lose "turf" or power if the replication project is implemented? Which ones stand to gain new "turf" or power?.

Speculating about politics and possible turf disputes can get out of hand, since it's often more fun than the hard tasks involved in planning a replication process. Therefore, it's just as important not to overestimate the importance of Quurf as a motivating force than it is to ignore turf entirely. In general, the best solution is to identify possible turf disputes as just one type of constraint which the replication project is likely to face, and then get back to the business of building support for the project.

#### • Identify Possible Funding Sources

)

5

After the Des Moines project was designated "exemplary", the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice (NILECJ) allocated \$1.5 million to test whether formal replication of the project would work in several sites around the country. A total of six replication sites (see Chapter 6) redeived \$250,000 each to replicate all four of the Des Moines components. Since no additional replication funds are available from NILECJ, the question, therefore, becomes: How can a replication project be funded?

As you might have expected, there is no easy answer to that question. To a large degree, it depends on what type of "replication" your community needs. As indicated throughout this handbook, there are many forms of replication. In communities which already have a full range of correctional programs, the real problem may be that those components are not well coordinated and thus are not fully effective. In such cases, "replication" may primarily involve the making of structural and procedural changes in your existing system. Although such changes are often among the most difficult to make, they generally do not involve majol expenditures of funds. As a result, the funds needed for replication will be few.

However, communities which have very few correctional components may need to replicate all, or a major portion, of the Des Moines project. And, as Chapter 2 of the handbook indicated, the dollar cost of the Des Moines project is high. In these communities, the appropriate strategy may be "incremental replication". For instance, the ROR component of the Des Moines project is the one component which is not "treatment-oriented" and, therefore, it does not require a large counseling staff. As a result, the ROR component is far cheaper than supervised release, probation, or the community correctional facility. Thus, communities which cannot afford the entire package of Des Moines components at one time may decide to start the replication process. by adding an ROR component to the criminal justice system. Then, as additional funds become available in the future, other Des Moines components can be added. However, if your community adopts this approach, you will have

7-10

to be especially careful to structure the project in such a way that it is able to absorb additional components without needing major structural overhaul in the future.

In some communities, incremental replication may be viewed as too slow a process. You or others in your community may feel that you want to replicate the entire Des Moines project all at once. In these cases the need for major funding will be obvious. (The method by which you can estimate the cost of replicating the Des Moines project in your community is discussed below.)

Although the formal replication sites did receive funding from the National Institute, none of the replication projects relied on NILECJ funding alone. In Clark County, funds from existing local programs were consolidated, the county government committed some new monies, and the program also received a commitment of state funding. In addition, the new Washington state adult probation subsidy legislation will provide funds for communities that reduce the burden on state institutions. In San Mateo County, the county government also contributed local funds to the replication effort. The Salt Lake County replication project included a discretionary grant from the Region and the State Planning Agency, funding provided by the state legislature, and funds from the RPU and both the city and county governments. In St. Louis County. the replication project relied on funding from both state and county sources and a discretionary grant from the LEAA Regional Office. In Orange County, the Navy gave the replication project the use of a building to house its community correctional facility, and the project also received county and SPA funding.

Thus, as you begin to look for possible funding for the replication of the Des Moines project in your community, try to make your search a wide one. For a start, you will probably find that your local criminal justice planner has a good sense of the funding priorities of the SPA and the LEAA Regional Office. After you find out whether discretionary funds are available at either the state or regional level of LEAA, the next place to look is your state government. Not every state has enacted legislation which provides financial assistance for local communities that develop new correctional programs, but new "community corrections" bills have brom introduced in a number of states, and you should check the status of such initiatives in your state. Discussions with a member of the state Department of Corrections staff might also turn up some funding suggestions.

Finally, you should explore the possibility of securing local funding for the replication project. Since many counties have chronically overcrowded jails, you should check the status of the jail in your community. Remember that in Des Moines, a major impetus behind the creation of the community corrections program was the condemnation of the Polk County jail. Polk County was faced with the option of building a new facility which could have cost \$3-5 million, or of finding a way to lower the jail population so that renovation of the old facility could begin. Community correctional programs clearly involve lower capital costs than do new jail construction, and even the higher operating costs may be at least partially offset by other savings. Therefore, you should try to make a careful analysis of the financial implications of the Various options facing your local government-including replication of the Des Moines project.

As you look at the possibility of local funding for a replication project, don't overlook the city governments located in your county. Although the correctional responsibilities of many cities have been transferred to the county, different communities have different methods of sharing both correctional responsibilities and costs. And, finally, you should also look at the possibility of tapping other local agencies, firms, or institutions for buildings, goods, or services that can help to defray the cost of the replication project. In almost every community, there is a building that is owned by a public or private agency that can be used for a community correctional facility at little or no cost. It may be a partially unused VA hospital, part of a military base, or even unused space owned by a private corporation. You won't always find free space, but it doesn't hurt to ask.

#### • Put the Package Together

Since, in most communities, you will be seeking new funds for the replication project, that means that you will probably be putting together a funding application at the end of your planning process. As part of that process, you will need to develop caseload projections and staffing patterns, an organizational chart, and a project budget.

Caseload Projections and Staffing Patterns. You won't be able to estimate the budget necessary for a replication of the Des. Moines project until you have decided how many project staff you will need. And you can't set staffing levels until you have a sense of the likely caseloads for each component of the replication project. Thus, as you near the end of your planning process, you will have to determine how many clients are likely to be served by the various components that will be added to the existing criminal justice system. For example, how many defendants will be interviewed for ROR each day? What are the peak times of the day and days of the week for new bookings of arrested persons? Will ROR staff be on call 24 hours a day, or only at certain times of the day? How long does it take to verify the information given on an ROR questionnaire? How many staff persons will the ROR component need?

These or similar questions will have to be answered for each of the replication components. And, in order to give reasonable answers to these questions, you will probably have to gather some additional data about the manner in which your criminal justice system currently works. You may have to review statistics on the number of persons who are arrested each year, identify trends in arrest rates, and discover how defendants are currently spending the pre-trial period (receiving ROR, posting bail, remaining in jail), before you will be ready to make caseload projections for a new ROR component. In Clark County, Washington, the planning committee scheduled an all-day session at which current statistics were presented about the criminal justice system, the procedures for each component were finalized (e.g., deciding to have ROR interviewers available on a 24-hour-a-day basis), and caseload projections were then made. Although there is no way to make perfect estimates of component caseloads; the Clark County process worked quite well. Since the planning committee included virtually every key actor in that community's criminal justice system, the protecutor, public defender, sheriff

and several judges discussed past arrest rates, and pre-trial disposition trends, and reached a general conclusion about how the institution of a formal ROR system would change current trends. The process was repeated for each of the four components until a complete set of caseload projections was developed.

Once you have established caseload projections for each component, you still may have to make additional project policy decisions in order to translate component caseloads into staffing patterns. For example, if you have projected that the community correctional facility will have a capacity of 40 residents, you must still decide how many staff you will need to work with those 40 clients. And that decision has implications about the entire approach which your community takes in replicating the Des Moines project.

In the Des Moines project, there is approximately one staff member at Fort Des Moines for every two clients (staff members who monitor the control desk are counted as counselors since they do work with clients). If the community corrections facility planned for your community is projected to have a 40-client capacity, and a total of 20 staff are assigned to the facility, your facility will obviously have the same ratio of staff to clients as Fort Des Moines. However, if a decision were to be made to assign only 8 staff to the facility, the nature of the client/staff relationship would be changed and your facility--whether successful or unsuccessful-would not involve replication of Fort Des Moines' emphasis on one-toone counseling. This is not to say that variations from the Des Moines approach are necessarily bad. It does, however, indicate that staffing pattern decisions may involve much larger decisions about the correctional philosophy to be embodied in your replication project. And it is important that these decisions be analyzed carefully as you approach the end of your planning process.

• <u>Organizational Chart</u>. By the time you reach this stage in the planning process, you will have reviewed the existing structure of your criminal justice system, identified the new correctional components

needed in that system, isolated key actors and potential turf disputes, and made some decisions about how the replication project will be structured. Now, as you prepare an organizational chart for the project, you can review the project structure one final time with the members of the planning committee. Functional lines of authority that some committee members have taken for granted may come as a surprise to others, and the development of a project organizational chart often is a useful way of getting such confusion out in the open where it can be resolved.

As you develop the organizational chart, try to include not only the formal lines of authority (e.g., component supervisors report to the replication project director who, in turn, reports to the county commission), but also the channels of coordination that are expected to tie components which are formally outside of the project to components which are part of the replication project. Coordination is easy to draw on a chart, but hard to achieve. Yet the process of indicating the type of coordination which is expected may help somewhat to bring it about.

e Project Budget. Once you have developed caseload projections and staffing patterns for the project, it is a fairly easy task to finalize the budget. First, the planning committee should set salary levels for every staff position. However, before salaries are established, it is generally a good idea to talk to the personnel director for your county. If your county has a civil service system, this will have an impact not only on the type of staff hired by the project, but on their salary level. For instance, it is often difficult to adopt the Des Moines policy of paying professional and non-professional counselors the same amount if your community has a civil service system. Some communities have talked of avoiding this problem by having the entire replication project operated as a private, nonprofit agency which contracts with the local government for the delivery of correctional services. This issue may not arise in your community, but it does make sense to check it out in advance.

As you begin to set salaries, there are a couple of points to keep In mind: 1) The Des Moines project is not a simple correctional program. If it is to be replicated successfully, you will need a very talented project director and a dedicated staff; 2) In hiring staff in general and project directors in particular, you usually get what you pay for.

Finally, when you prepare the final project budget, remember that all four components (if you are replicating all four) might not start at the same time. Most formal replication sites staggered the initiation of the four components over a period of several months. If you do stagger starting times, you will not be paying all of the project's staff for the same number of months, and your operating costs for the first year of project operation will be reduced accordingly.

#### The Implementation Process

(

 $\bigcirc$ 

After you have completed the replication planning process, put together an application for project funding, received the necessary approvals for the project and finally received funding assurances, you will probably be exhausted. But you'll have time for only a short breather, since it's now time to begin the process of implementing your replication project.

Although the experiences of the formal replication sites indicated some of the constraints which you are likely to face during the planning process, and identified some suggested strategies for dealing with those constraints, there are fewer guideposts to help you work your way through the implementation process. /As indicated in/ Chapter 6; the formal replication sites were just beginning to implement their replication projects at the end of 1974. Therefore, information about their implementation experiences is while -not yet available fusher this becautering war prepare

However, by the time you reach the implementation stage, several of the replication sites should be well into project execution. Thus, it will probably make sense for you to contact some of these communities us you begin to develop an implementation work program, -In-some-cases, your-

some of the replication sites discussed in the previous chapter.

replication efforts may even begin after the Florida State University evaluation of the six replication sites is completed. If so, you should definitely plan to read that evaluation as soon as it is available.

Although little experience about the other implementation processes is available so far (the experience in Des Moines is not directly relevant since that program evolved over a period of several years), there are some implementation tasks that will obviously need to be addressed. Some of the more important include:

#### • Hiring a Replication Project Director.

One of the first implementation tasks which you will have to address is the selection of a project director. Some of the replication sites have attempted to make the search for a project director as broadly-based as the planning process itself. Given the importance of the director's job, your selection of the person who will run the replication project will likely have a great deal to do with the project's eventual success. Thus, it may make sense to organize a selection committee that includes those key actors who have participated most directly in the replication planning process. Since they will have spent a lot of time discussing both the theoretical and practical objectives of the project, they are likely to be sensitive to the need to select a director who shares those objectives. It is obvious, but important to remember, that a project director who has a very conventional view of corrections will probably find it difficult to replicate faithfully the more innovative approach implicit in the replication project.

One other important issue is: Who will hire the project staff? In communities where the replication project will absorb components which already exist in the community, it is clear that most of the staff of the existing components will become staff members of the replication project. But what about the new staff that needs to be hired? If at all possible, give the new project director the chance to hire his own staff. As indicated above, the replication project will need a highly talented project director if it is to succeed, and it makes little sense to hire a good director and then tie his hands by selecting key members of the replication project

the staff members that work on the project.

#### Training Project Staff.

As the staff of the replication project is hired, it will be necessary to institute some method of training that staff. The Des Moines project components involve sensitive issues (e.g., the release of defendants accused of committing serious crimes; treatment of convicted offenders in the community itself) and mistakes early in the implementation process may generate considerable community opposition and endanger the future of the replication project. Thus, it is important to prepare replication project staff carefully before beginning to provide component services.

Although the method which the project director uses to train the staff will vary depending on the experience of the staff which is hired, the Des Moines project does use a system that seems to work well. When a new staff member joins the Des Moines program, he or she is assigned to each of the project's four components for a few days. This orientation process lasts for approximately one to two weeks. This method of providing new staff orientation not only familiarizes each staff member with the other components of the program, but also emphasizes the need for close coordination of the project's four components. In addition, the Des Moines project makes use of staff rotation among components, multi-component staff meetings, and a project newsletter, all of which help keep staff members aware of activities in other parts of the program.

# Setting up an Evaluation Process.

Although it's hard to think about evaluating a replication project at the beginning of the implementation process, that is the time when evaluation must begin. The concept of community corrections is a new one in many communities, and you can expect the replication project to receive a lot of attention. Public officials at both the state and local level are likely to be very interested in the degree to which your replication project meets its objectives, and the community as a whole may be concerned about the public safety implications of the project. And since continued funding

7-18

 $\left( \right)$ 

for the replication project will be a constant concern, it will be very important to develop an evaluation of the project after its first year or so of operation.

7-20

If you are going to evaluate your project at the end of its first year, you will need to start preparing for that evaluation as the project begins. Although the steps in an evaluation process would take another work program to describe fully, the basic things you will need are:

- e Statistics which show the way in which your criminal justice system worked before the replication project began (e.g., skip rates for defendants released on bail or on their own recognizance; recidivism rates for those sentenced to state prison or county jail, and for those placed on probation).
- A clear set of objectives which the replication project is expected to accomplish.
- Data which indicates the results actually achieved by the replication project (e.g., post-implementation skip rates, recidivism rates, increases in client employment or educational levels).

In thinking about the type of evaluation process which your community will adopt, you should go back and read the comprehensive evaluation of the Des Moines project which was prepared by The Research Center of the National Council on Crime and Delinquency. It provides a good description of the evaluation methodology used in Des Moines, and includes samples of the forms which were used to gather data about the project's operations on a day-to-day basis.

Sunda Jer 16

In addition to these basic tasks, the implementation process will inevitably involve a series of tasks which arise as you learn whether the coordination mechanisms and he organizational structure which you spent so much time planning reall ork. Since every replication project will develop in its own way, it's impossible to predict all of the problems, issues, and procedures that will have to be confronted as replication begins to happen in your community. It is certain that you will need ideas, help and advice from time to time. At those times, remember that you can always ask for help from a number of sources. The local Kegional Planning Unit which serves your community will probably be of considerable help throughout the replication process. In addition, you may also want to discuss implementation problems with the corrections specialist at your State Planning Agency or with correctional staff at the LEAA Regional Office. And since the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice will have helped a number of communities replicate the Des Moines project, NILECJ staff may be able to provide valuable suggestions. Finally, you can always go the the Des Moines project itself. Most of the problems, constraints, and issues which you think you are facing alone have probably occurred in Des Moines in the past. So if the going gets rough, call one of the staff members on the Des Moines project, and ask for ideas or advices

