National Criminal Justice Reference Service



This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



Microfilming, procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Depart cert of Justice.

National Institute of Justice United States Department of Sustice Washington, D.C. 20531





Criminal Victimization Surveys in Miami

A National Crime Survey Report

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been oranted by

| • | | | | | |
|---|--------|------|------------|------|--|
| | | DOMA | TX1/ | DTC | |
| PHE | 46.121 | DUMP | | مبوط | |
| and the search of the second se | | | , , | | |
| | | | **** | TOP | |

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Law Enforcement Assistance Administration National Criminal Justicd Information and Statistics Service

National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service Reports

Victimization Surveys:

- Criminal Victimization in the United States: A Comparison of 1974 and 1975 Findings Criminal Victimization in the United States: A Comparison of 1973 and 1974 Findings Criminal Victimization in the United States: 1973
- Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13 American Cities: National Crime Panel Surveys in Boston, Buffalo, Cincinnati, Houston, Miami, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, New Orleans, Oakland, Pittsburgh, San Diego, San Francisco, and Washington, D.C.
- Criminal Victimization Surveys in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia: A Comparison of 1972 and 1974 Findings
- Criminal Victimization Surveys in the Nation's Five Largest Cities: National Crime Panel Survey in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia, 1972
- **Criminal Victimization Surveys in Eight** American Cities: A Comparison of 1971/72 and 1974/75 Findings—National Crime Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St. Louis
- Crime in Eight American Cities: National Crime Panel Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St. Louis-Advance Report, 1971/72

Crimes and Victims: A Report on the Dayton-San Jose Pilot Survey of Victimization

National Prisoner Statistics:

Capital Punishment:

1976 Advance Report 1975

- Prisoners in State and Federal Institutions on December 31:
- 1976 Advance Report
- 1975 **Census of State Correctional Facilities, 1974:** Advance Report
- Survey of Inmates of Local Jails, 1972: Advance Report
- **Census of Prisopers in State Correctional** Facilities, 1973
- The Nation's Jails: A report on the census of jails from the 1972 Survey of Inmates of Local .lails

Survey of Inmates of Local Jails, 1972: Advance Report

Children in Custody:

Advance Report on the Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census of 1974 Advance Report on the Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census of 1972-73 Report on the Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census of 1971

National Survey of Court Organization:

1975 Supplement to State Judicial Systems 1977 Supplement to State Judicial Systems

- Trends in Expenditure and Employment Data for
- the Criminal Justice System, 1971-75

Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System: 1975 (annual) **Criminal Justice Agencies in Regions 1-10**

(10 volumes)

Dictionary of Criminal Justice Data Terminology: Terms and Definitions Proposed for Interstate and National Data Collection and Exchange

Program Plan for Statistics, 1977-81

Utilization of Criminal Justice Statistics Project:

- Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics 1976
- Public Opinion Regarding Crime, Criminal Justice, and Related Topics
- New Directions in Processing of Juvenile Offenders: The Denver Model Who Gets Detained? An Empirical Analysis of
- the Pre-Adjudicatory Detention of Juveniles in Denver
- Juvenile Dispositions: Social and Legal Factors Related to the Processing of Denver Delinquency Cases
- Offender-Based Transaction Statistics: New Directions in Data Collection and Reporting Sentencing of California Felony Offenders
- The Judicial Processing of Assault and **Burglary Offenders in Selected California** Counties
- Pre-Adjudicatory Detention in Three Juvenile Courts
- **Delinquency Dispositions:** An Empirical Analysis of Processing Decisions in Three **Juvenile Courts**
- The Patterns and Distribution of Assault **Incident Characteristics Among Social** Areas
- Patterns of Robbery Characteristics and Their Occurrence Among Social Areas

Single copies are available from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, P.O. Box 24036, S.W. Station, Washington, D.C. 20024. Multiple copies are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

ų.

D

Criminal Victimization Surveys in Miami

A National Crime Survey Report No. SD-NCS-C-11

July 1977

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Law Enforcement Assistance Administration National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Law Enforcement Assistance Administration

James M. H. Gregg, Acting Administrator

Harry Bratt, Assistant Administrator National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service

Benjamin H. Renshaw, Director Statistics Division

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report was prepared for the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration by the Bureau of the Census. In the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, general supervision was supplied by Charles R. Kindermann, assisted by Dawn D. Nelson. Collection and processing of data for the household surveys were conducted in the Bureau of the Census under the general supervision of Marvin M. Thompson, Demographic Surveys Division, assisted by Linda R. Murphy, Robert L. Goodson, Kenton R. Daniels, and Michael R. Rand. For the commercial surveys, the direction of data collection and processing activities was under the general supervision of Caesar Hill, Business Division, assisted by Chester E. Bowie. The report was prepared by the Crime Statistics Analysis Staff under the general supervision of Robert P. Parkinson. Adolfo L. Paez directed the project. The selected findings were written by Norman F. Howard. The report was reviewed for technical matters in the Statistical Methods Division under the general supervision of David V. Bateman, assisted by Louis E. Williams.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

- United States. National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service. Criminal victimization surveys in Miami.
- (National crime survey r/port; no. SD-NCP-C-11) Supt. of Docs. no.: J 1.42/3;SD-NCP-C-11 1. Victims of crimes—Florida—Miami. 2. Criminal statistics—Florida—Miami.
- I. Title. II. Series.

HV6795.M48U55 1977 364 76-608190

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20102 Stock No. 027-000-00605-1 The crime statistics and selected analytical findings presented in this report derive from victimization surveys conducted early in 1974 under the National Crime Survey program. Presenting more comprehensive survey results and additional technical information, the report succeeds *Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13 American Cities*, published in June 1975.

Since the early 1970's, victimization surveys have been designed and carried out for the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA) by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for the purpose of developing information that permits detailed assessment of the character and extent of selected types of criminal victimization. Based on representative samplings of households and commercial establishments, the program has had two main elements: a continuous national survey and surveys in various cities. Although the overall objective of the program is to provide insights into the impact of crimes that are of major concern to the general public and law enforcement authorities, it is anticipated that the scope of the surveys will be modified periodically in order to address other topics in the realm of criminal justice. In addition, continuing methodological studies are expected to yield refinements in survey questionnaires and procedures.

The victimization surveys conducted in Miami and 12 other central cities in 1974 enabled measurement of the extent to which city residents age 12 and over, households, and commercial establishments were victimized by selected crimes, whether completed or attempted. For those committed against individuals, the offenses covered were rape, robbery, assault, and personal larceny; for households they were burglary, household larceny, and motor vehicle theft; and for commercial establishments they were burglary and robbery. The chapter entitled "The City Surveys" includes a detailed discussion of the crimes and of classification procedures. In addition to gauging the extent to which the relevant crimes happened, the surveys have permitted examination of the characteristics of victims and the circumstances

PREFACE

surrounding criminal acts, exploring, as appropriate, such matters as the relationship between victim and offender, characteristics of offenders, extent of victim injuries, economic consequences to the victims, time and place of occurrence, use of weapons, whether the police were notified, and, if not, reasons advanced for not informing them.

The surveys in Miami were carried out in the first quarter of 1974 and covered criminal acts that took place during the 12 months prior to the month of interview, a reference period roughly comparable with calendar year 1973. Information was obtained from interviews with the occupants of 10,040 housing units (21,473 residents age 12 and over) and the operators of 1,566 businesses. Respondents furnished detailed personal and household data (or information about business firms) in addition to particulars on any criminal acts they incurred.

The 103 data tables in this publication are arranged by sectors, that is, by crimes against persons, households, and commercial establishments. Within each sector, the tables are further divided along topical lines. These topics are reflected in the analytical statements compiled in the section entitled "Selected Findings," which highlights certain basic survey results. The statements illustrate the types of empirical data being produced under the National Crime Survey program.

All statistical data in this report are estimates subject to errors arising both from the fact that they are based on information obtained from sample surveys rather than complete censuses, and from the fact that recording and processing mistakes invariably occur in the course of a large-scale data collection effort. As part of the discussion on reliability of estimates, these sources of error are treated in Appendixes II and III. It should be noted at the outset, however, that with respect to the effect of sampling errors, estimate variations can be determined rather precisely. In the report's selected findings, categorical statements involving analytical comparisons met statistical tests that the differences were equivalent to or greater than two standard errors, or, in other words, that the chances were at least 95 out of 100 that each difference described did not result solely from sampling variability. Qualified statements of comparison met significance tests that the differences were within the range of 1.6 and 2 standard errors, or that there was a likelihood equal to at least 90 (but less than 95) out of 100 that the difference did not result solely from sampling variability. These conditional statements are characterized by use of the term "some indication."

Four technical appendixes and a glossary of terms have been included to facilitate further analyses and other uses of survey results. The first appendix contains facsimiles of the questionnaires used for the household and commercial surveys, whereas the second and third have tables for determining estimate variances, as well as information concerning sample design and estimation procedures. The fourth appendix consists of a series of technical notes, paralleling the topics covered by the section on selected findings and designed as guides to the interpretation of survey results.

In relation to crimes against persons, survey results are based on either of two units of measurevictimizations or incidents. A victimization is a specific criminal act as it affects a single victim. An incident is a specific criminal act involving one or more victims and offenders. For reasons outlined in the technical notes, the number of personal victimizations is somewhat greater than that of personal incidents. As applied to crimes against households and commercial establishments, however, the terms "victimization" and "incident" are synonymous. Although "crimes against commercial establishments," "commercial crimes," and other similar terms refer chiefly to victimizations of businesses, a relatively small number of offenses committed against certain other organizations also are included in results of the commercial survey, usually under the category "other"; the types of entities concerned are discussed in the introduction to Appendix III.

Attempts to compare information in this publication with data collected from local police by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and published in its

report Crime in the United States, Uniform Crime Reports-1973 are inappropriate because of substantial differences in coverage between the surveys and police statistics. A major difference arises from the fact that police statistics on the incidence of crime are derived principally from reports that persons make to the police, whereas survey data include crimes not reported to the police, as well as those reported. Survey data reflect only those crimes experienced by residents and commercial establishments of Miami, even though some acts took place outside the city; they exclude criminal acts committed within the city against nonresidents, such as visitors and suburban commuters. On the other hand, police statistics for Miami include all reported crimes occurring within the city limits, irrespective of the victim's place of residence, and exclude crimes experienced by city residents in other jurisdictions. Personal crimes covered in the survey relate only to persons age 12 and over, whereas police statistics count crimes against persons of any age. The surveys did not measure some offenses, e.g., homicide, kidnaping, white-collar crimes, and commercial larceny (shoplifting and employee theft), that are included in police statistics, and the counting and classifying rules for the two programs are not fully compatible. Similarly, the correspondence between reference periods for results of the city surveys and published police statistics is not exact.

Unlike crime rates developed from police statistics, the personal rates cited in this report are based on victimizations rather than on incidents and are calculated on the basis of the resident population age 12 and over rather than on all residents. As indicated earlier, personal victimizations outnumber personal incidents. National Crime Survey rates of victimization for crimes against households and commercial establishments are based, respectively, on the number of households and businesses, whereas rates derived from police statistics for these crimes are based on the total population. A technical note entitled "Victim characteristics," Appendix IV, gives additional details on the manner in which the victimization survey rates were computed.

Preface The city s Selected Survey da Appendix Appendix

Appendix

Appendix Glossary

General 1. Pers

- rates 2. Pers
- ratic
- 3. Pers
- by t 4. Pers
- selec
- 5. Pers
- stran 6. Pers
- stran
- 7. Perso by r
- 8. Pers
- tion relat

Charact

- 9. Perso offen
- offend

CONTENTS

| | iii |
|--|-----|
| surveys | 1 |
| findings | 5 |
| ata tables | 9 |
| I. Survey instruments | 63 |
| II. Household survey: Technical information and standard error tables | 89 |
| III. Commercial survey: Technical information and relative error tables | 95 |
| IV. Technical notes | 99 |
| of terms1 | 03 |

TABLES

Crimes against persons

| onal crimes: Number of victimizations and victimization | |
|--|------|
| s for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime. | 9 |
| onal crimes: Number of incidents and victimizations and | |
| o of incidents to victimizations, by type of crime. | - 10 |
| sonal crimes of violence: Number and rate of victimizations, | |
| ype of crime and victim-offender relationship. | -11 |
| onal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by | |
| cted characteristics of victims and type of crime | 12 |
| onal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations involving | |
| igers, by type of crime and selected characteristics of victims. | 12 |
| onal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations involving | |
| ngers, by type of crime and sex and race of victims. | 13 |
| onal assault: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, | |
| ace and age of victims. | 13 |
| onal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of victimiza- | |
| s involving nonstrangers, by type of crime and nature of | |
| ionship. | 13 |
| teristics of offenders | |
| | |
| | |

| onal | crimes | of v | iolence: | Per | cent o | distri | bution | of | single- | • |
|------|-----------|--------|----------|------|--------|--------|---------|------|---------|---|
| der | victimiza | ations | , by typ | e of | crime | and | perceiv | ed r | ace of | |
| der | | | | | | | | | | |

Tables (continued)

Er.

Crimes against persons (continued)

Characteristics of offenders (continued)

| 10. | Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single- offender victimizations, by type of crime, race of victims, and |
|-------|---|
| | perceived race of offender. |
| 11. | Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple- |
| | offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived race of |
| | offenders. |
| 12. | Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple- |
| | offender victimizations, by type of crime, race of victims, and |
| | perceived race of offenders. |
| 13. | Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single- |
| | offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived age of |
| | offender. |
| 14. | |
| | offender victimizations, by type of crime, age of victims, and |
| | perceived age of offender. |
| 15. | Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple- |
| | offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived age of |
| | offenders. |
| 16. | Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple- |
| | offender victimizations, by type of crime, age of victims, and |
| | perceived age of offenders. |
| Ch | aracteristics of victims |
| 17. | Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age "12 and |
| | over, by type of crime and sex of victims. |
| 18. | Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and |
| ÷ | over, by type of crime and age of victims. |
| 19. | Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and |
| | over, by type of crime and race of victims. |
| 20. | Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and |
| | over, by type of crime and annual family income of victims |
| 21. | |
| - | over, by type of crime and marital status of victims. |
| 22. | Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and |
| | over, by sex and age of victims and type of crime. |
| 23. | Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and |
| - | over, by type of crime and sex and race 25 victims. |
| 24. | Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and |
| | over, by sex and marital status of victims and type of crime |
| 25. | Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and |
| 2.1 Z | over, by race and age of victims and type of crime. |
| 26. | |
| | over, by race and annual family income of victims and type of |
| | crime, |
| 27. | Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and |

over, by race, sex, and age of victims and type of crime.

vi

26

Tables (continued)

Number of offenders

- 28. Personal crim
- by type of c 29. Personal crit single offend ship. _____

Number of vic

30. Personal cri single victim

Physical injur

- 31. Personal rob victims susta ship and typ
- 32. Personal rob victims susta victims and
- 33. Personal crin victims sust incurred med
- 34. Personal crir victims rece
- victims and 35. Personal cri tions in whic

Place of occur

- 36. Personal crit crime and pl 37. Personal crin
- by victim-off of crime.
- 38. Personal crir crime and g

Reasons for no

39. Personal crir ing victimiza

Reporting to t

40. Personal crim by type of

2017

41. Personal cri police, by typ

Crimes against persons (continued)

| imes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, crime and number of offenders imes of violence: Percent of incidents involving a der, by type of crime and victim-offender relation- | 26 |
|---|----------|
| ctims | 27 |
| imes of violence: Percent of incidents involving a n, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship. | 27 |
| y | |
| bbery and assault: Percent of victimizations in which tained physical injury, by victim-offender relation- pe of crime. | 27 |
| bbery and assault: Percent of victimizations in which ained physical injury, by selected characteristics of type of crime. | 28 |
| imes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which tained physical injury, received hospital care, and edical expenses, by type of crime. mes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which sived bossitel care by selected observations of | 28 |
| eived hospital care, by selected characteristics of type of crime | 29 29 |
| rrence | |
| imes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of lace of occurrence | 30 |
| ffender relationship, place of occurrence, and type | 31 |
| mes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of geographic area of occurrence. | 31 |
| ot reporting to the police | |
| mes: Percent distribution of reasons for not report- ations to the police, by type of crime. | 32 |
| he police | |
| nes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, | |
| crime and victim-offender relationship. | 33 |
| pe of crime and sex and race of victims, | 33 |
| | |

| Tables (continued) | | Tables (continued) |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Crimes against persons (continued) | | |
| Reporting to the police (continued) | | Crimes against persons (continued) |
| 42. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and age of victims. Self-protective measures | | Use of weapons (continued) 58. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution weapons used by offenders, by type of crime offender relationship. |
| 43. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which | 1 | |
| victims took self-protective measures, by type of rime and victim-offender relationship. | 1 34 | Crimes against households General |
| 44. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims took self-protective measures, by selected characteristics | S | 59. Household crimes: Number and rate of victimizat of crime. 60. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victim |
| of victims and type of crime45. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of self-protec- tive measures employed by victims, by type of measure and | | selected household characteristics and type of crim |
| type of crime | . 35 | Characteristics of victimized households |
| 46. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of self-protec- tive measures employed by victims, by selected characteristics of victims. | S | 61. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type or age of head of household. 62. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type or age. |
| Theft and/or damage | | race of head of household |
| 47. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime. | <u> </u> | annual family income64. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of |
| 48. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime and value of loss. 49. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting | . 37 | of tenure, and race of head of household. 65. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of number of persons in household. |
| in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime, race of victims, and value of loss. | | 66. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type on number of units in structure occupied by household by h |
| 50. Personal robbery and larceny: Percent distribution of victimiza- tions resulting in theft loss by value of stolen property, includ- | | 67. Household burglary: Victimization rates, by race household and annual family income. |
| ing cash, and race of victims. 51. Personal robbery and larceny: Percent distribution of victimiza- | | Place of occurrence |
| tions resulting in theft loss, by proportion of loss recovered | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 68. Household crimes: Percent distribution of househ by place of occurrence and type of crime. |
| Time lost from work | | 69. Household crimes: Percent distribution of incident |
| 52. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by type of crime. | 39 | crime and geographic area of occurrence |
| 53. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by number of days lost and type of crime. | e | 70. Household crimes: Percent distribution of reason porting victimizations to the police, by type of cri |
| Time of occurrence | | 71. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected not reporting victimizations to the police, by rac |
| 54. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence. | | household and type of crime72. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected |
| 55. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by victim-offender relationship, type of crime, and time of occur- | | not reporting victimizations to the police, by a income. 73. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected |
| rence. Use of weapons | - 40 | not reporting victimizations to the police, by val property. |
| 56. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents in which | | Reporting to the police |
| offenders used weapons, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship. | 40 | 74. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations rep police, by type of crime and race of head of house |
| 57. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of types of weapons used by offenders, by type of crime. | | 75. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations rep police, by type of crime and annual family incom |

Tables (continued)

Crimes against households (continued)

Reporting to the police (continued)

- 76. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime, race of head of household, and form of -51 tenure. 77. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft loss reported to the police, by type of crime and value of loss. 52 Theft and/or damage 78. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime. 52 79. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by value of stolen property, including cash, and 52 type of crime. 80. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by race of head of household, 53 type of crime, and value of loss. 81. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations result-
- ing in theft loss, by proportion of loss recovered and type of crime.

-54

56

56

Time lost from work

- 82. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by type of crime. ____ -54
- 83. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by number of days lost and type of crime.

Time of occurrence

84. Household crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence. -55

Crimes against commercial establishments

General

Sec. Same

- 85. Commercial crimes: Number and rate of victimizations, by characteristics of victimized establishments and type of crime. 55 86. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by
- selected characteristics of commercial establishments. 56 87. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments that were vic-
- timized, by kind of establishment. 88. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of completed and
- attempted victimizations, by kind of establishment and type of crime.

Number of offenders

89. Commercial robbery: Percent distribution of incidents, by kind of establishment and number of offenders.

Tables (continued) Crimes against

Number of v

- 90. Commercia cial establi victimizatio
- Place of occu
- 91. Commercia of crime an

Reasons for r

92. Commercia reporting v

Reporting to

- 93. Commercia police, by
 - Security mea
 - 94. Commercia security m
- 95. Commercia
- types of se

Theft and/or

- 96. Commercia and/or dan
- 97. Commercia
- sulting in and value
- 98. Commercia damage los

Time lost fro

- 99. Commercia number of
- 100. Commercia number of

Time of occu

101. Commercia of crime a

Use of weap

- 102. Commercia used weap
- 103. Commerci
- of weapon

and the second

| commercial establishments (continued) |
|---|
| ictimizations |
| d crimes: Percent distribution of victimized commer- shments, by kind of establishment and number of ns incurred. |
| irrence |
| al robbery: Percent distribution of incidents, by type and place of occurrence. |
| not reporting to the police |
| al crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not rictimizations to the police. |
| the police |
| al crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the kind of establishment and type of crime, |
| sures |
| al crimes: Percent of establishments with one or more neasures |
| r damage |
| al crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft nage loss, by kind of establishment and type of crime. al crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations re- theft and/or damage loss, by kind of establishment of loss. al burglary: Percent of victimizations resulting in |
| ss to the premises, by kind of establishment |
| om work |
| al crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by employees losing time from work |
| irrence |
| al crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type nd time of occurrence. |
| ons |
| al robbery: Percent of incidents in which offenders ons, by kind of establishment |
| |

Tables (continued)

Appendix II

| I. | Standard of for approximations for estimated number of personal incidents, percenal victimizations, and household victimizations, by size of estimate. | 03 |
|-----|--|--------|
| II. | Standard error approximations for estimated personal vic- timization rates. | |
| | Standard error approximations for estimated household vic- timization rates. | ۰ م |

Appendix III

IV. Relative errors for estimated number of commercial victimizations, by characteristics of establishments and type of crime. 98 V. Relative errors for estimated commercial victimization rates, by characteristics of establishments and type of crime.

The National Crime Survey is a program designed to develop information not otherwise available on the nature of crime, and its impact on society by means of victimization surveys of the general population. Based on representative samplings of households and commercial Ostablishments, the surveys elicit information about experiences, if any, with selected crimes of violence and theit, including events that were reported to the police as well as those that were not. By focusing on the victim, the person likely to be most aware of details concerning criminal events, the surveys generate a variety of data, including information on the circumstances under which such acts occurred and on their effect. As one of the most ambitious efforts vet undertaken for filling some of the gaps in crime data, victimization surveys are expected to supply the criminal justice community with new insights into crime and its victims, complementing data resources already on hand for purposes of planning, evaluation, and analysis. The surveys cover many crimes that, for a variety of reasons, are never brought to police attention. They also furnish a means for developing victim profiles and, for identifiable sectors of society, yield information necessary to compute the relative risk of being victimized. Victimization surveys also have the capability of distinguishing between stranger-to-stranger and domestic violence and between armed and strong-arm assaults and robberies. They can tally some of the costs of crime in terms of injury or economic loss sustained, and they can provide greater understanding as to why certain criminal acts are not reported to police authorities. Conducted periodically in the same area, victimization surveys provide the data necessary for developing indicators sensitive to fluctuations in the levels of crime; conducted under the same procedures in different areas, they provide a basis for comparing the crime situation between two or more localities or

types of localities.

t) :

Victimization surveys, such as those conducted under the National Crime Survey program, are not without limitations, however. Although they pro-

THE CITY SURVEYS

wide information on crimes that are of major interest to the general public, they cannot measure all criminal scrivity, as a number of crimes are not amenable to agamination through the survey technique: Surveys have proved most successful in estimating crimes with specific victims who understand what happened to them and how it happened and who are willing to report while they know. More specifically, they have been shown to be most applicable to rape, robbery, assault, burglary, and both personal and household larceny, including motor vehicle their Accordingly, the survey program was designed to focus on these crimes. Murder and kidnaping are not covered. She so-called victimless crimes; such as drunkenness, using abuse, and prostitution, also are excluded, as are those rimes for which it is difficult to identify knowledgeable respondents or to locate comprehensive data records, as in offenses against government entities. 1 Examples of the latter are income tax wasion and the theft of office supplies. Crimes of which the victim may not be aware also cannot be measured effectively by the survey technique. Buying stolen producty may fall into this category, as may some instances of fraud and embezzlement. Attempted crimes of most types probably are underrecorded for this reason. Commercial Varcenies (e.g., employee theft and shoplifting) have to date not proved susceptible to measurement or study by means of the survey approach because of the limited documentation maintained by most commercial establishments on losses from these crimes. Finally, events in which the victim has shown a willingness to participate in Regal activity also are excluded. Examples of the latter, which are unlikely to be reported to interviewers. include gambling, various types of swindles, con games, and blackmail.

¹Other than government-operated liquor stores and transportation systems, which fall within the purview of the program's commercial sector, government institutions and offices are outside the scope of the program. Pretests have indicated that government organization records on crime generally are inadequate for survey purposes.

The success of any victimization survey is highly contingent on the degree of cooperation that interviewers receive from respondents. In the victimization surveys conducted in 13 central cities during 1974, interviews were obtained in an average of 96.6 percent of the housing units occupied by persons eligible for interview. In the commercial sector, the average response rate was 98.9 percent of eligible business establishments. Details concerning the size of the sample and response rates in Miami can be found in Appendixes II and III of this report.

Data from victimization surveys also are subject to limitations imposed by victim recall, i.e., the ability of respondents to remember incidents befalling them or their households, and by the phenomenon of telescoping, that is, the tendency of some respondents to recount incidents occurring outside (usually before) the referenced time frame. In continuous surveys, this tendency can be controlled by using a bounding technique, whereby the first interview serves as a benchmark, and summary records of each successive interview aid in avoiding duplicative reporting of criminal victimization experiences; such a technique is used in the National Crime Survey program's national sample. Because the city surveys have not been continuous, however, the data are subject to telescoping, and no assessment has been made concerning the magnitude of the problem.

Another of the issues related in part to victim recall ability involves the so-called series victimizations. Each series consists of three or more criminal events similar, if not identical, in nature and incurred by persons unable to identify separately the details of each act, or, in some cases, to recount accurately the total number of such acts. Because of this, no attempt is made to collect information on the specific month, or months, of occurrence of series victimizations; instead, such data are attributed to the season, or seasons, of occurrence. Had it been feasible to make a precise tally of victimizations that occurred in series and to determine their month of occurrence, inclusion of this information in the processing of survey results would have caused certain alterations in the portrayal of criminal victimization. Perhaps most importantly, rates of victimization would have been higher. Because of the inability of victims to furnish details concerning their experiences, however, it would have been impossible to analyze the characteristics and effects of these crimes. But, although the estimated number of series victimizations was appreciable, the number of victims who actually experienced such acts was small in relation to the total number of individuals who were victimized one or more times and who had firm recollections of each event. Approximately 900 series victimizations against persons and 1,300 against households, each encompassing at least three separate but undifferentiated events, were estimated to have occurred during the 12-month reference period. A table of these series victimizations, broken out by specific type of crime, appears in Appendix III of the preceding report, Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13 American Cities.

Although the survey-measured crimes and other terms used in this report are defined in the Glossary of Terms, the discussion that follows consists of a detailed description of the offenses and of the procedures followed in classifying victimization events. Definitions of the relevant crimes do not necessarily conform to any Federal or State statutes, which vary considerably. They are, however, compatible with conventional usage and with the definitions used by the Federal Bureau of Investigation in its annual publication *Crime in the United States*, *Uniform Crime Reports*.

CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS

In this study, a basic distinction is made between two types of offenses against persons: crimes of violence and crimes of theft. Personal crimes of violence (rape, personal robbery, and assault) all bring the victim into direct contact with the offender. Personal crimes of theft may or may not involve contact between the victim and offender.

Rape, one of the most serious and least common of all the crimes measured by the surveys, is carnal knowledge through the use of force or the threat of force, excluding statutory rape (without force). Both completed and attempted acts are included, and incidents of both homosexual and heterosexual rape are counted.

Personal robbery is a crime in which the object is to relieve a person of property by force or the threat of force. The force employed may be a weapon (armed robbery) or physical power (strongarm robbery). In either instance, the victim is

; ;- ;= placed in physical danger, and physical injury can and sometimes does result. The distinction between robbery with injury and robbery without injury turns solely on whether the victim sustained any injury, no matter how minor. The distinction between a completed robbery and an attempted robbery centers on whether the victim sustained any loss of cash or property. For example, an incident might be classified as an attempted robbery simply because the victim was not carrying anything of value when held up at gunpoint. Attempted robberies, however, can be quite serious and can result in severe physical injury to the victim.

The classic image of a robbery is that of a masked offender armed with a handgun and operating against lone pedestrians on a city street at night. Robbery can, of course, occur anywhere, on the street or in the home, and at any time. It may be an encounter as dramatic as the one described, or it may simply involve a child pinned briefly to a schoolyard fence while classmates make off with the victim's lunch money.

Assaults are crimes in which the object is to do physical harm to the victim. The conventional forms of assault are "aggravated" and "simple." An assault carried out with a weapon is considered to be an aggravated assault, irrespective of the degree of injury, if any. An assault carried out without a weapon is also an aggravated assault if the attack results in serious injury. Simple assault occurs when the injury, if any, is minor and no weapon is used. Within the general category of assault are incidents with results no more serious than a minor bruise and incidents that bring the victim near death—but only near, because death would turn the crime into homicide.

Attempted assaults differ from assaults carried out in that in the latter the victim is actually physically attacked and may incur bodily injury. An attempted assault could be the result of bad aim with a gun or it could be a nonspecific verbal threat to harm the victim. It is difficult to categorize attempted assault as either aggravated or simple because it is conjectural how much injury, if any, the victim would have sustained had the assault been carried out. In some instances, there may have been no intent to carry out the crime. Not all threats of harm are issued in earnest; a verbal threat or a menacing gesture may have been all the offender intended. The intent of the offender 3

obviously cannot be measured in a victimization survey. For purposes of this program, attempted assault with a weapon was classified as aggravated assault; attempted assault without a weapon was considered to be simple assault.

Although the most fearsome form of assault is the brutal, senseless attack by an unknown assailant, it is also the most rare. Much more common is the incident where the victim is involved in a minor scuffle or a domestic spat. There is reason to believe that incidents of assault stemming from domestic quarrels are underreported in victimization surveys because some victims do not consider such events crimes or are reluctant to implicate relatives or friends (see "Reliability of estimates," Appendix II).

Personal crimes of theft (i.e., personal larceny) involve the theft of cash or property by stealth. Such crimes may or may not bring the victim into direct contact with the offender. Personal larceny with contact encompasses purse snatching, attempted purse snatching, and pocket picking. Personal larceny without contact involves the theft by stealth of numerous kinds of items, which need not be strictly personal in nature. It is distinguished from household larceny solely by place of occurrence. Whereas the latter transpires only in the home or its immediate environs, the former can take place at any other location. Examples of personal larceny without contact include the theft of a briefcase or umbrella from a restaurant, a portable radio from the beach, clothing from an automobile parked in a shopping center, a bicycle from a schoolground, food from a shopping cart in front of a supermarket, etc. Lack of force is a major identifying element in personal larceny. Should, for example, a woman become aware of an attempt to snatch her purse and resist, and should the offender then use force, the crime would escalate to robbery.

In any criminal incident against a person, more than a single offense can take place. A rape may be associated with a robbery, for example. In classifying the survey-measured crimes, each criminal event has been counted only once, by the most serious act that took place during the incident and in accordance with the seriousness ranking system used by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The order of seriousness for crimes against persons is: rape, robbery, assault, and larceny. Consequently, if a person were both robbed and assaulted during the

same incident, the event would be classified as robbery: but, if the victim was harmed by the beating, the detailed characteristics would reveal that it was robbery with injury.

CRIMES AGAINST HOUSEHOLDS

All three of the measured crimes against households-burglary, household larceny, and motor vehicle theft-are crimes that do not involve personal confrontation. If there were such confrontation, the crime would be a personal crime, not a household crime, and the victim no longer would be the household itself, but the member of the household involved in the confrontation. For example, if members of the household surprised a burglar in their home and then were threatened or harmed by the intruder, the act would be classified as assault. If the intruder were to demand or take cash and/or property from the household members, the event would be classified as robbery.

The most serious of the crimes against households is burglary. Burglary is the illegal entry or attempted entry of a structure. The assumption is that the purpose of the entry was to commit a crime, usually theft, but no additional offense need take place for the act to be classified as burglary. The entry may be by force, such as picking a lock, breaking a window, or slashing a screen, or it may be through an unlocked door or an open window. As long as the person entering had no legal right to be present in the structure, a burglary has occurred. Furthermore, the structure need not be the house itself for a household burglary to take place. Illegal entry of a garage, shed, or any other structure on the premises also constitutes household burglary. In fact, burglary does not necessarily have to occur on the premises. If the breaking and entering occurred in a hotel or in a vacation residence, it would still be classified as a household burglary for the household whose member or members were involved.

As mentioned earlier, household larceny occurs when cash or property is removed from the home or its immediate vicinity by stealth. For a household larceny to occur within the home itself, the thief must be someone with a right to be there, such as a maid, a delivery man, or a guest. If the person has no right to be there, the crime is a burglary. Household larceny can consist of the theft of jewelry. clothes, lawn furniture, garden hoses, silverware, etc.

The theft or unauthorized use of motor vehicles. commonly regarded as a specialized form of household larceny, is treated separately in the National Crime Survey program. Completed as well as attempted acts involving automobiles, trucks, motorcycles, and other vehicles legally entitled to use public streets are included.

CRIMES AGAINST COMMERCIAL ESTABLISHMENTS

Although commercial crimes, as the term is used in this report, consist primarily of victimizations of business establishments; they also include a relatively small number of offenses committed against certain other organizations, described in the introduction to Appendix III.

Only two types of commercial crimes are measured by the National Crime Survey program: robbery and burglary. These crimes are comparable to robbery of persons and burglary of households except that they are carried out against places of business rather than individuals or households. Unlike household burglary, however, commercial burglaries can take place only on the premises of business firms. In a robbery of a commercial establishment, as in a personal robbery, there must be personal confrontation and the threat or use of force. Commercial robberies usually occur on the premises of places of business, but some can happen away from the premises, such as during the holdup of sales or delivery personnel away from the establishment.

The statements that follow are illustrative of the information that can be drawn from this report's data tables. As a guide to readers, table source citations are given parenthetically after each finding. Individuals wishing to perform additional analysis on the topics covered in the selected findings are referred to Appendix IV, Technical Notes, for guidance in the interpretation of survey results.

General

The household and commercial surveys determined that an estimated 48,700 criminal victimizations were committed against Miami residents and businesses in 1973.

Thirty-six percent involved individuals; 43 percent, households; and 21 percent, commercial establishments.

Personal crimes of theft outnumbered personal crimes of violence by about 2 to 1.

Victim characteristics

Residents of Miami were victimized by personal crimes of violence at a rate of 22 per 1,000 persons age 12 and over [Table 1].

Men were victimized at 13/4 times the rate for women [Table 17].

The rate for blacks was about twice that for whites [Table 19].

age group, about three times that of individuals age 50 and over, who had the lowest rate [Table 18].

Females were victims of rape at a rate of 2 per 1,000 [Table 17].

Blacks had higher burglary and household larceny rates than whites, but there was no significant dif-

SELECTED FINDINGS

Persons age 16-24 had the highest rate of any

ference between the motor vehicle theft rates for each of the races [Table 62].

Households headed by the elderly had the lowest burglary and household larceny rates of any age group [Table 61].

Households with annual family incomes of \$25,000 or more had the highest burglary rate of any income group [Table 63].

The household larceny rate for households having six or more members was about three times that of one-person households [Table 65].

Commercial establishments were burglarized at a rate of 292 and robbed at a rate of 104 per 1,000 [Table 85].

Twenty-two percent of all businesses were victimized at least once during the year; 18 percent of those affected were victimized two or more times [Tables 87, 90].

Reporting to the police

Two-fifths of all personal crimes were reported to the police [Table 40].

There was no significant difference between the percent of violent crimes reported by men and women; there was some indication that women reported crimes of theft relatively more than men [Table 41].

Whites were more likely than blacks to have reported crimes of violence, but there was no significant difference between the races in reporting crimes of theft [Table 41].

Apparent differences between the reporting rates for violent crimes attributed to strangers and nonstrangers were insignificant [Table 40].

Forty-six percent of all household crimes were reported to the police [Table 74].

Overall, there was no significant difference between the relative number of household crimes reported by whites and that by blacks [Table 741.

About three-quarters of all commercial burglaries and robberies were reported to the police [Table 93].

The most common reasons for not reporting personal, household, and commercial crimes were the victim's beliefs that nothing could be done and that the crime was not important enough [Tables 39, 70, 92].

Time and place of occurrence

Most personal crimes of theft (55 percent) took place in the daytime [Table 54].

There was no significant difference between the proportions of daytime and nighttime personal crimes of violence [Table 54].

As a group, household crimes were about equally divided between day and night [Table 84].

Burglaries occurred mainly (55 percent) during the day, whereas most motor vehicle thefts (67 percent) took place at night [Table 84].

Most commercial burglaries (87 percent) occurred at night; most commercial robberies (72 percent), during the day [Table 101].

Most personal crimes (58 percent) took place on the street and in other outdoor locations; 6 percent each took place either inside the victim's home or near the home [Table 36].

Fourteen percent of all personal robberies occurred inside the victim's home [Table 36].

Crimes of violence perpetrated by nonstrangers occurred inside the victim's home relatively more often than those involving strangers [Table 371.

Number of victims and offenders

Ninety-four percent of all crimes of violence involved a single victim [Table 30].

Because of the prevalence of single-offender assaults, most violent crimes (58 percent) were committed by lone offenders [Table 28].

Single-offender crimes were relatively more likely to have involved nonstrangers than strangers [Table 29].

Fifty-three percent of personal and 48 percent of commercial robberies were committed by two or more offenders [Tables 28, 89].

Perceived characteristics of offenders

Strangers committed about four-fifths of all personal crimes of violence [Table 5].

Strangers were somewhat more likely to have victimized men and whites, respectively, than women or blacks [Table 5].

Victims perceived blacks to have committed a maority of single- (63 percent) and multiple-offender (66 percent) crimes of violence [Tables 9, 11].

Victims indicated that two-thirds of single-offender crimes of violence were committed by persons age 21 and over [Table 13].

Blacks were more likely than whites to have been victimized by members of their own race.

Most single- (96 percent) and multiple-offender (92 percent) robberies of blacks were committed by blacks [Tables 10, 12].

Most single- (92 percent) and multiple-offender (79 percent) assaults against blacks were perpetrated by blacks [Tables 10, 12].

Most single-offender (73 percent) robberies of whites were carried out by blacks, and there was some indication that most multiple-offender robberies of whites also were perpetrated by blacks [Tables 10, 12].

Most single-offender assaults (71 percent) of whites were committed by whites [Table 10].

Multiple-offender assaults against whites were divided about equally between those involving all white and all black offenders [Table 12].

Weapons use by offenders

Offenders used weapons in 54 percent of all personal crimes of violence [Table 56].

There was no significant difference between stranger and nonstranger crimes with respect to weapons use [Table 56].

Firearms constituted 44 percent of the weapons types employed in crimes of violence [Table 57].

Offenders used weapons in three-fourths of all commercial robberies [Table 102].

Firearms were the most common type of weapon used-63 percent [Table 103].

Victim self-protection

Victims took self-protective measures in 56 percent of all personal crimes of violence [Table 43].

Victims used firearms or knives infrequently, but physical force or other weapons made up about one-quarter of all self-protective measures [Table 45].

Victim injury and economic loss

Victims were injured in one-third of all personal robberies and assaults [Table 31].

Robbery and assault victims of offenders who were not strangers were much more likely to have incurred injuries than were the victims of stranger-to-stranger crimes [Table 31].

In 11 percent of personal crimes of violence, the victim received care at a hospital [Table 33].

Selected Findings

7

About three-quarters of all personal crimes involved loss of money or property and/or property damage Table 471.

Personal larceny was more likely than robbery to have resulted in economic loss to the victim [Table 47].

Half of all personal crimes with loss involved losses of less than \$50, including items of no monetary value [Table 48].

Whites suffered a somewhat higher proportion of losses valued at \$50 or more than did blacks [Table 491.

In a majority of completed personal robberies (81 percent) and larcenies (82 percent), no losses were recovered [Table 51].

Ninety-one percent of all household crimes involved loss of money or property and/or property damage [Table 78].

Fifty-three percent of household crimes with loss involved losses of \$50 or more [Table 80].

Apparent differences between the relative losses sustained by whites and blacks were not significant [Table 80].

In four-fifths of all household crimes with theft, no losses were recovered; in three-fifths of all motor vehicle thefts, however, the losses were fully recovered [Table 81].

Ninety-two percent of commercial burglaries and 60 percent of commercial robberies resulted in economic loss [Table 96].

In about two-thirds of commercial crimes with loss, losses exceeded \$50 [Table 97].

SURVEY DATA TABLES

Table 1. Personal crimes: Number of victimizations and victimization ratesfor persons age 12 and over, by type of crime

| Type of crime | Number | | | | Rate | 11 |
|----------------------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|---------------------------------------|----|
| Crimes of violence | 5,900 | | | | 22 | |
| Rape | 300 | | | | 1 | |
| Robbery | 2,500 | | | | 10 | |
| Robbery and attempted robbery | | | | | | |
| with injury | 800 | | | | 3 | |
| From serious assault | 400 | | | | 5 | |
| From minor assault | 400 | | | | 2 | |
| Robbery without injury | 1,300 | | | | 5 | |
| Attempted robbery without injury | 500 | | | | . 5 | |
| Assault | 3,100 | | | | 12 | |
| Aggravated assault | 1,800 | | | | 77 | |
| With injury | 600 | | | | 5 | |
| Attempted assault with weapon | 1,100 | | | | i. | |
| Simple assault | 1,300 | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| With injury | 400 | | | | | |
| Attempted assault without weapon | 900 | | 1 | | , , | |
| | | | ·. | | 4 | |
| lrimes of theft | 11,700 | | | | 44 | |
| Personal larceny with contact | 1,400 | | | | 5 | |
| Purse snatching | 500 | 31.5 | | | 2 | |
| Attempted purse snatching | 200 | | | | - 1 | |
| Pocket picking | 600 | | | 1.1.1 | 2 | |
| Personal larceny without contact | 10,300 | | | | 39 | |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

and the second sec

.



| Table 3. Personal crimes o | | (Rate | per 1,000 |) reside | ent populatio | | | e ant | a victim-(| offender | relations |
|---|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--|--------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------|----------------|--------------|
| Type of crime | All victi Number | lizations | | | Populaci | | | | | | |
| rimes of violence Rape | 5,900 | Rate | | | | Involvin Number | g strangers Rate | | | Thursland | |
| Completed rape | 300 | 22 | | | | 1 700 | Tra De | | | Number | g nonstrange |
| Attempted range | 100 | 1Z | | | | 4,800 | 18 | | | | Ra |
| nobbery | 200 | 4 | | | | 200 100 | 1 | | | 1,100 | |
| Robbery and attempted robbery with injury | 2,500 | 10 | | | | 200 | 1Z 1 | | | 1 _Z | 1 |
| From minor assault | 800 400 | 3 | | | | | 9 | | | 1 Z 200 | 12 |
| WUDErv without 2. | 400 | 2 | 9 | | | 700 400 | 3 | | | | 1 |
| ssault | 1,300 500 | 5 | 12 | | | 300 1,200 | 2 | | | 1100 12 | 1Z 12 |
| Aggravated assault With injury | 3,100 1,800 | 12 | | | | 400 | 5 | | | 100 Z | 1Z 1Z |
| Attempted percents | 600 | 2 | | | • | 2,200 | 8 | | | 12 | 12 |
| | 1,100 | 4 | | | | 400 | 5 | | | 900 | <u> </u> |
| With injury | 1,300 | 5 | | | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 | 900 | 1 | | | 500 300 | 2 |
| Attempted assault without | 400 | 2 | | | | 900 | 1 | | | 300 | . 1 |
| weapon | 900 | | | | | 200 | 1 | | · °. | 400 | 1 |
| E: Detail may not add to 100 percen Fewer than 50 victimizations or le | 900 | 3 | | | | a | · 4 | | | 200 | 1 |

-

14

6

1.

 \odot

.

3 1

3.5

0

ð . .

| <u>Involving</u> no Number | nstrangers Rate |
|--|--|
| 1,100 ¹ Z 0 ¹ Z 200 | 4 12 0 12 1 |
| ¹ 100 ¹ Z ¹ 100 ² Z | 1Z 12 12 |
| 900 500 300 | 1 _Z 1 _Z 3 2 1 1 |
| 300 400 200 | 1 1 1 |
| 200 | 1 |

4

Verter and and a fait of the second

, F.

ς.

5

1

۰

- 052

12

| Characteristic | All | personal | crimes | | Crimes | of violence | Cartana | - 0 11 - 01 |
|---|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|
| Sex Male (45) Female (55) | | 53 48 | | · · · · · · · · · | | 59 | Grimes | of theft 49 |
| Race | | : 40 | | | | 41 | | 51 |
| White (79) Black (20) Other (1) | н 1 | 73 27 | | | | 64 36 1 Z | | 77 22 |
| ge | | . . | | | | 1Z | | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{ccccccc} 12-15 & (7) \\ 16-19 & (7) \\ 20-24 & (9) \\ 25-34 & (14) \\ 35-49 & (23) \\ 50-64 & (22) \\ 65 \text{ and over } (17) \end{array}$ | | 5 12 18 22 20 15 8 | | | | 7 13 18 17 21 14 10 | | 4 11 18 25 20 15 |

Table 4. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime

OTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to percent in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Z Less than 0.5 percent. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 5. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, by type of crime and selected characteristics of victims

| Type of crime | Both sexes | Sex | | Rac | e |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|-------|-------|
| 0.1. | | Male | Female | White | Black |
| Crimes of violence | 81 | 85 | 74 | | |
| Rape | 91 | ¹ 100 | 76 | 88 | 70 |
| Robbery | 93 | 92 | 90 | 192 | 189 |
| Robbery and attempted | | 74 | 95 | 95 | 88 |
| robbery with injury | 87 | | | | |
| From serious assault | | 83 | 95 | 90 | 77 |
| From minor assault | 95 | 94 | ¹ 100 | 96 | 177 |
| Robbery without injury | 78 | 165 | 94 | 84 | 189 |
| Attempted robbery without | 96 | 96 | <u> 97</u> | | 162 |
| injury | | | ~ | 97 | 95 |
| Assault | 94 | 96 | 02 | | |
| | 71 | 79 | 93 61 | 100 | °80 |
| Aggravated assault | 71 | 76 | | 81 | 54 |
| With injury | 59 | 10 | 64 | 80 | 60 |
| Attempted assault with | | 74 | 138 | 75 | 144 |
| weapon | 77 | | | | 44 |
| Simple assault | | 76 | 78 | 82 | 00 |
| With injury | 71 | 84 | 58 | 82 | 70 |
| Attempted assault | 50 | °64 | 136 | 70 | 42 |
| without weapon | | | 20 | γ | 0 |
| azonout weapon | 81 | 93 | 68 | 87 | 62 |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Type of crime Crimes of violence Rape Robbery With injury Without injury Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault

| Race and age |
|--|
| All races ¹ 12-15 16-19 20-24 25-34 35-49 50-64 65 and over |
| White 12-15 16-19 20-24 25-34 35-49 50-64 65 and over |
| Black 12-15 16-19 20-24 25-34 35-49 50-64 65 and over |
| ¹ Includes data on ¹ ² Estimate, based or ³ No assaults involu |
| Table 8. Perso |

Type of crime

Crimes of violence1 Robbery Assault

0

 $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$

Table 6. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, by type of crime and sex and race of victims

| Male | | Fen | ale |
|--------|-------|-------|------------------|
| White | Black | White | Black |
| 91 | 72 | 82 | 67 |
| 1100 · | 3 | 191 | 189 |
| 94 | 86 | 97 | 92 |
| 89 | 169 | 93 | ¹ 100 |
| 97 | 93 | 100 | 91 |
| 88 | 60 | 70 | 49 |
| 88 | 59 | 67 | 61 |
| 89 | 163 | 73 | * 29 |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable. ²No rapes of black males were recorded.

Table 7. Personal assault: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, by race and age of victims

| All assaults | Aggravated assault | Simple assault |
|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 60 | *36 | °83 |
| 60 69 65 65 | 75 | °61 |
| 65 | 75 70 | 255 |
| 65 | 71 | ² 52 |
| | 75 | 73 |
| 74 89 | ² 85 | ² 92 |
| .96 | °89 | 100 |
| a89 | 0 | ² 100 |
| 80 | 288 | \$73 |
| 74 72 | 81 | ² 73 262 |
| 72 | 73 | ² 70 |
| 87 | ² 89 | ² 85 |
| 87 | 279 | °91 |
| 96 | ² 89 | 100 |
| °42 | °40 | ² 48 |
| 58 | ² 66 | ² 42 |
| °53 | ² 57 | ² 41 |
| 246 | °63 | ^a 20 |
| ² 59 | °65 | °49 |
| ² 100 | ² 100 | ² 100 |
| 3 | з | 3 |

"other" races, not shown separately. on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable. lving blacks age 65 and over were recorded.

onal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of victimizations involving nonstrangers, by type of crime and nature of relationship

| | | Related | and/or 1 | well kr | nown | | | Casus | lly acquain | nted |
|---|---|-------------|-----------|---------|------|-----|---|-----------|-----------------------|------|
| | | | 64 ¤50 | 1 1 | | | | | 36 ⁹ 50 | |
| 1 | 1 | | 67 | 1 | | ÷., | 1 | | 33 | |

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

6.22

Ø. - **.**'

ંા

14

Table 9. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived race of offender

| | | | Percei | ved rac | e of o | ffender | |
|------------------------|------|-------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|---------------------|
| Type of crime | | White | Black | | Other | | known and available |
| Crimes of violence | | 34 | 63 | | ٦Z | | 12 |
| Rape | | 132 | 168 | | 0 | | 0 |
| Completed rape | | 120 | 1 80 | | 0 | | 0 |
| Attempted rape | | 136 | 16 <u>4</u> | | 0 | | 0 |
| Robbery | | 21 | 79 | | 0 | | 0 |
| Robbery with injury | | 128 | 73 | | 0 | | 0 |
| Robbery without injury | | 118 | 82 | | 0 | | 0 |
| Assault | | 41 | 54 | | 1Z | | 14 |
| Aggravated assault | بى 1 | 36 | 58 | | 11 | | 15 |
| Simple assault | | 49 | 49 | : | 0 | | 12 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. Z Less than 0.5 percent. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 10. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime, race of victims, and perceived race of offender

| | | Perceived race of offender | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|------------|----------|--|--------|--|-------|--------------------|--|
| Type of crime and race of | f victims | White | a ta At | Black | | Other | | Not 1 | cnown a availab | |
| Crimes of violence | | | | · . | | | | | | |
| White Black | | 53 13 | | 45 94 | | 11 | | | 12 12 | |
| Rape | | | | | | | | | | |
| White | | 1 55 | | 145 | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Black | | 0 | | 1100 | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Robbery | | | | | | | | | | |
| White | | 27 14 | | 73 | | e o | | | 0 | |
| Black | 1.1 | 14 | | 96 | | Ó | | | 0 | |
| Robbery with injury | | 25.0 | | | | | | | | |
| White | | 130 | | 70 | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Black | | . 0 | 1.1.1 | 100 | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Robbery without inju | ry | | | | | | | | | |
| White | | 125 | | 75 | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Black | | 14 | | 96 | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Assault | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| White | | 71 | | 25 | | 0 | | | 14 | |
| Black | | 13 | | 92 | | 11 | | | 13 | |
| Aggravated assault | | | | ð. | | | | | 1.1 | |
| White | | 68 | | 29 | | 0 | | | 14 | |
| Black | | 15 | | 88 | | 12 | | | 15 | |
| Simple assault | | | | 1 | | | | - 5 | | |
| White | | 74 | | 122 | | 0 | | | 13 | |
| Black | | 0 | | 100 | | . C | | | 0 | |

3

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

.

. . . .

Table 11. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multipleoffender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived race of offenders

Type of crime

Crimes of violence Rape Robbery Robbery with in Robbery without Assault

Aggravated assa Simple assault

Table 12. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multipleoffender victimizations, by type of crime, race of victims, and perceived race of offenders

Ē

| | | Perce | ived race of of | fenders | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| Type of crime and race of victims | All white | All black | All other | Mixed races | Not known and not available |
| Crimes of violence1 | | | | | |
| White | 32 | 57 | °3 | °4 | 24 |
| Black | 26 | 88 | C C | 21 | 24 |
| Robbery | | | | | |
| White | 20 22 | 66 | °3 | 27 | °3 |
| Black | °2 | 92 | 0 | °2 | 24 |
| Assault | | | | | |
| White | 47 | 46 | °1 | 0 | °6 |
| Black | 217° | 79 | 0 | 0 | °4 |

Type of crime

Crimes of violence

Rape Robbery Robbery with inj Robbery without Assault Aggravated assau Simple assault

| | Perceived race of offenders | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | All white | All black | All other | Mixed races | Not known and not available | | | | |
| 3 | 24 | 66 | 12 | 13 | 15 | | | | |
| | 1 14 | 171 | 0 | ¹ 14 | ó | | | | |
| | 13 | 76 | 12 | 15 | 14 | | | | |
| Injury | 18 | 85 | 0 | 23 | 15 | | | | |
| it injury | 16 | 72 | 13 | 16 | 13 | | | | |
| | 40 | 53 | 11 | 0 | 16 | | | | |
| sault | 40 | 56 | 0 | . 0 | 14 | | | | |
| | 40 | 48 | 12 | 0 | 110 | | | | |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 13. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of singleoffender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived age of offender

| | | and the second | |
|-------------------|---------------|--|--------------------------------|
| | | Perceived age of offender | |
| | Under 12 | 12-20 21 and over | Not known and not available |
| | 11 0 | 28 66 135 165 | 6 0 |
| njury t injury | 0 1 1 | 34 58 38 58 31 58 | 17 15 19 |
| ault | 1Z 11 0 | 24 70 26 67 20 1 75 | 15 16 15 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. Z Less than 0.5 percent. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

15

6:2





Table 15. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multipleoffender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived age of offenders

| | All under Perceived age of offenders | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| pe of crime | 12 | All 12-20 | All 21 and over | Mixed ages | Not known and not available | | | |
| Times of violence Rape Robbery Robbery with injury Robbery without injury Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault | ¹ Z 0 0 0 1 1 1 | 41 57 35 36 34 48 47 50 | 31 ¹ 43 33 36 32 27 31 ¹ 23 | 15 0 16 10 14 10 14 14 | 23 0 26 19 29 19 16 | | | |

0



NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 17. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and sex of victims

| Type | of | crime | 3 | | |
|------|----|-------|---|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Crimes of violence

Ardmes - Rays - Kobery Robery and attempted rot From mixor sesault - Prom mixor sesault - Prom without injury - hery with

Attempted robbery without sault

sault gravated assault sh injury Simple ssault with Simple ssault With injury Attempted ssault with

Crimes of theft

Personal liccony with cont Purse snatching Attempted purse snatchin Pocket picking Personal larceny without c

16

× f

A

China

Survey Data Tables

1

17

Table 16. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multipleoffender victimizations, by type of crime, age of victims, and perceived age of offenders

| | Perc | ceived age of | offenders | |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| All under | 172 10 00 | And 21 | | Not known and |
| 12 | All 12-20 | and over | Mixed ages | not available |
| | | | | |
| 0 | 69 | 27 | ² 2 | ² 22 |
| 1 | 29 | 42 | 24 | 25 |
| 0 | 36 36 | °32 | ² 2 | ² 30 |
| 0 | 36 | 38 | ° 29 | °18 |
| 0 | °35 | °43 | 29 | °13 |
| 0 | °64 | 0 | 0 | 236 |
| ŏ | 231 | °31 | 27 | °31 |
| ō | °32 | °35 | 23 | 329 |
| Ō | 231 | 43 | 26 | ² 20 |
| 0 | °27 | ² 55 | 29 | °9 |
| 0 | 70 | °10 | s3 | ² 17 |
| 3 | a25 | 53 | | °19 |
| õ | °56 | ² 11 | Õ · | 233 233 |
| Ö | °44 | ² 22 | °22 | °11 |
| ŏ | °44 | °33 | 28 | ² 17 |
| | 44 | | -0 | -17 |

(Rate per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over)

| | Male (118,000) | Female · (146,300) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| obbery with injury | 30 1 z 15 5 3 2 7 | 17 2 6 22 12 1 3 |
| ut injury h weapon hout weapon | 2 15 9 3 6 6 2 4 | 1 9 5 2 3 4 1 3 |
| tact ng contact | 49 4 12 0 4 45 | 41 6 3 2 2 34 |

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
Z Less than 0.5 per 1,000.
¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 18. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and age of victims

| Type of crime | 12–15 | 16–19 | 20–24 | 25-34 | 35-49 | 5064 | 65 and ove |
|---|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|------------|
| | (18,500) | (19,200) | (24,900) | (36,600) | (61,300) | (58,900) | (45,000) |
| Crimes of violence | 23 | 41 | 42 | 28 | 20 | 14 | 13 |
| Rape | 0 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | ¹ Z | 0 |
| Robbery | 17 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 7 |
| Robbery and attempted robbery with injury Robbery and attempted robbery | 1 1 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 3 | 4 | 13 |
| without injury | 15 | 16 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| Assault | 16 | 27 | 27 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 6 |
| Aggravated assault | 18 | 16 | 18 | 12 | 4 | 11 | 12 |
| Simple assault | 8 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 3 |
| rimes of theft | 26 | 65 | 86 | 79 | 37 | 30 | 19 |
| Personal larceny with contact | 0 | 15 | 14 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| Personal larceny without contact | 26 | 61 | 82 | 72 | 32 | 25 | 11 |

- 145 -

14. 1

3. S. 1.

 \sim

622

Z Less than 0.5 per 1,000. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

14.

-

~

.

10

£.

G

19

Table 19. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over,by type of crime and race of victims

White (209,400) Black (53,200) Type of crime Crimes of violence Rape Robbery Robbery and attempted robbery with injury From serious assault From minor assault Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury Assault Aggravated assault With injury Attempted assault with weapon Simple assault With injury Attempted assault without weapon rimes of theft Personal ------Type of crime 18 39 12 16 8 12 12 12 9 ٩2 22 15 12 5 Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Purse snatching Pocket picking Personal larceny without contact 49 7 13 42 -38

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not ¿dd to total shown because of rounding.
 ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

1

•

1.3

(Rate per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over)



23

A. 4. 4

Set a family state

| Table 20. Personal crimes | Victimization rates 1 | for persons age 1 | 2 and over, by | y type of |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|

ويلكم ا

- -

`a

| | (Rate per 1,0 | 00 resident populat | sion age 12 and | i over) | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Type of crime | Less than \$3,000 (36,400) | \$3,000 \$7,499 (83,700) | \$7,500 \$9,999 (37,400) | \$10,000- \$14,999 (53,500) | \$15,000 \$24,999 (27,100) | \$25,000 or more (9,700) | Not available (16,600) |
| Crimes of violence Rape Robbery | 28 12 12 | 23 11 10 | 16 11 7 | 22 11 9 | 19 0 9 | 29 0 113 | 22 1 10 |
| Robbery and attempted robbery with injury Robbery and attempted robbery | 5 | 4 | ¹ 2 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 |
| without injury Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault | 7 14 9 5 | 6 12 7 5 | 5 8 14 | 6 13 6 6 | 7 10 6 74 | 10 17 16 10 | 17 12 17 15 |
| rimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Purse snatching Pocket picking Personal larceny without contact | 36 11 7 5 25 | 36 5 2 3 31 | 40 14 12 11 36 | 50 3 12 11 47 | 59 12 11 11 57 | 87 0 0 0 87 | 42 18 13 15 34 |

-1

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

St.

of crime and annual family income of victims 8

Qar

| Table 2 | . Personal | crimes: | Victimization | rates fo | r persons a | ge 12 | 2 and c | over, by | y type o | f crime | an |
|---------|------------|---------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----|
|---------|------------|---------|---------------|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----|

مستدعة أأم

* .

E.

Ó.

| Type of crime | Never married (70,900) | Married (139,800) | Widowed (25,900) | Divorced and separated (26,300) | Not avɛil (1, |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Crimes of violence | 33 12 | 16 | 14 | 37 | 1 |
| Rape | 12 | 11 | 1 <u>1</u> | 11 | |
| Robbery | 11 | 8 | 7 | 17 | |
| Robbery and attempted robbery | | | | | 6 |
| with injury | . 4 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 10120 |
| Robbery without injury | .5 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 13. 4 |
| Attempted robbery without injury | 12 | 2 | 1 | 12 | - 1943) 1943 |
| Assault | 20 | 7 | 7 | 18 | 1 |
| Aggravated assault | 12 | 4 | 13 | 12 | ್ಷ |
| With injury | 4 | 1 | 12 | 14 | |
| Attempted assault with weapon | 8 | 2 | 11 | 8 | 1 |
| Simple assault | 8 | 3 | 14 | 6 | |
| With injury | . 3 | 11 | 12 | 13 | |
| Attempted assault without | | | | | |
| weapon | 6 | 3 | 12 | *3 | |
| Crimes of theft | 58 | 34 | 29 | 74 | 11 |
| Personal larceny with contact | 5 | 4 | 11 | 8 | ī |
| Purse snatching | 12 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 1 |
| Pocket picking | 3 | 2 | 11 | 15 | |
| Personal larceny without contact | 53 | 31 | 18 | 66 | 11 |

·. · · ويسوو را محاسمها در آسار داشت در آن

.

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
 ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

14



Table 22. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by sex and age of victims and type of crime

| | | | (Rate per 1,00 | 00 resident populat | tion in each gro | up) |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | Crimes of vic) | | | |
| Sex and a | ige | All personal crimes of violence | Rape | Robbery | Assault | All personal crimes of theft |
| 20–24 25–34 35–49 50–64 | 9,300) (8,500) (10,600) (16,500) (28,300) (26,000) over (18,800) | 28 52 53 34 27 18 22 | 0 0 0 1 2 0 0 | 18 16 18 15 16 14 14 | 20 36 35 19 11 33 8 | 25 65 107 85 48 29 16 |
| Female 12-15 16-19 20-24 25-34 35-49 50-64 65 and | (9,200) (10,600) (14,300) (20,100) (33,100) (32,900) over (26,100) | 17 32 34 23 13 11 6 | 0 15 13 11 12 0 | 15 17 17 14 7 6 12 | ¹ 11 20 22 15 5 14 14 | 28 65 71 74 28 30 21 |

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Z Less than 0.5 per 1,000. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Ð.

٦à.

Ð

~

ic.

Sec.

| Crimes of the | eft |
|----------------|---|
| Personal lar | ceny Personal larcen without contact |
| 0 | 25 |
| 14 13 18 | 61 |
| 13 | 104 |
| 18 | 76 |
| 4 | 44 27 13 |
| 12 13 | 27 |
| - , | 13 |
| 0 | 28 |
| 15 | 60 66 |
| 15 | 66 |
| 16 | 69 |
| 57 | 23 |
| | 23 |
| 11 | 10 |

22

14

15

Table 23. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and sex and race of victims

| | | le | Female | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|
| Type of crime | White (94,100) | Black (23,000) | White (115,300) | Blac (30,2 | |
| Crimes of violence | 25 | 47 | 12 | 34 | |
| Rape | 1Z | 0 | 11 | 14 | |
| Robbery | 13 | 22 | 4 | 11 | |
| With injury | 4 | 7 | 2 | 12 | |
| Without injury | 9 | 15 | 3 | . 9 | |
| Assault | 12 | 25 | 7 | 19 | |
| Aggravated assault | 6 | 19 | 3 | 12 | |
| Simple assault | 6 | 16 | 4 | 7 | |
| Crimes of theft Personal larceny with | 47 | 57 | 40 | 42 | |
| contact | 3 | 8 | 6 | 6 | |
| Personal larceny without | | | | | |
| contact | 44 | 50 | 34 | 37 | |

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
 Z Less than 0.5 per 1,000.
 ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

ی ایران در و میکرد و در در در در میکرد. از این از این میکرد در معرودی در است. از این از این مکرد در معرودی در است.

237

Se

 $\langle \gamma \rangle$

Se.

1 2

a friend a state and

the state of the state

Table 24. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by sex and marital status of victims and type of crime

| | (Hate per 1,000 resident population age 12 | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | | Crimes of violend | 2e | | | |
| Sex and marital status | All personal crimes of violence ¹ | Robbery | | Assault | | All personal crimes of theft |
| ale Never married (35,000) Married (69,600) Widowed (4,100) Divurced and separated (8,800) | 43 20 *30 51 | 15 12 ≥24 30 | | 27 8 36 21 | | 62 39 ≥21 91 |
| Yemale Never married (35,900) Married (70,200) Widowed (21,900) Divorced and separated (17,600) | 24 11 11 30 | 7 4 23 11 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 14 6 27 17 | | 55 30 30 66 |

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. ¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

14



: پيد

R

| mes of theft Personal larceny with contact | Personal larceny without contact |
|--|---|
| ²4 | 58 |
| 3 | 36 |
| 0 | 221 |
| ₽10 | 81 |
| 5 | 49 |
| 4 | 26 |
| 13 | 18 |
| 28 | 59 |

2

52

| | Cr | imes of violence | | Cris | mes of theft | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Race and age | All personal crimes of violence ¹ | Robbery | Assault | All personal crimes of theft | Personal larceny with contact | Personal larceny without contact |
| White 12-15 (12,400) 16-19 (13,900) 20-24 (17,700) 25-34 (26,200) 35-49 (48,500) 50-64 (50,500) 65 and over (40,300) | 14 29 36 28 15 12 13 | ² 5 ² 11 10 10 8 8 7 | 29 18 23 17 6 4 6 | 23 77 98 83 32 28 18 | 0 24 25 26 3 5 7 | 23 73 93 78 29 24 11 |
| Black 12-15 (6,000) 16-19 (5,200) 20-24 (6,900) 25-34 (10,000) 35-49 (12,300) 50-64 (8,200) 65 and over (4,500) | 41 74 61 26 41 29 9 | ² 11 ³ 12 ² 17 ³ 9 22 23 ² 9 | 31 54 39 17 18 24 0 | 35 35 58 70 57 37 22 | 0 25 23 210 210 25 28 | 35 30 55 60 47 33 214 |

Table 25. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by race and age of victims and type of crime

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. ¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

1

52

 \bigcirc

4

11

.

Table 26. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by race and annual family income of victims and type of crime

| | 1 | Crimes of violence | • | | Crimes of theft | |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| Race and income | All personal crimes of violence ¹ | Robbery | Assault | All personal crimes of theft | Personal larceny with contact | Persona lar(eny withdut contact |
| White Less than \$3,000 (23,900) \$3,000-\$7,499 (63,300) \$7,500-\$9,999 (31,600) \$10,000-\$14,999 (45,600) \$15,000-\$24,999 (23,300) \$25,000 or more (8,800) Not available (12,900) | 24 17 13 19 15 32 18 | 12 8 5 8 7 ² 14 ² 8 | 12 8 10 8 18 210 | 36 34 37 46 61 87 43 | 14 4 23 23 23 23 0 26 | 21 29 34 43 58 87 36 |
| Black Less than \$3,000 (12,200) \$3,000-\$7,499 (19,800) \$7,500-\$9,999 (5,600) \$10,000-\$14,999 (7,800) \$15,000-\$24,999 (3,400) \$25,000 or more (800) Not available (3,500) | 37 42 30 43 48 0 39 | 14 16 218 214 225 0 214 | 19 26 27 28 223 0 221 | 38 46 52 73 52 280 235 | ² 5 ⁸ ² 7 ² 5 0 0 ² 14 | 33 37 45 68 52 80 22 |

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. ¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

0

1

a a carante a secondar a second

1.1

ş Yev V Data Tables 25

 $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{x}}$

đ.

2.5

10

. .

26

| Table 27. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 1 | 2 and over, |
|--|-------------|
| by race, sex, and age of victims and type of crime | |

| Race, sex, | and age | Crimes of violence | Crimes of theft |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| White | | | |
| Male | | | |
| 12-15 | (6,300) | 122 | 119 |
| 16-19 | (6,200) | 39 | 77 |
| 20-24 | (7,800) | 49 | 125 |
| 25-34 | (11,900) | 36 | 91 |
| 35-49 | (22,600) | 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 2 | 40 27 |
| 50-64 65 and | (22,500) over (16,800) | 12 22 | 14 |
| | 0101 (10,000) | | |
| Female | (6.100) | 36 | 27 |
| 12-15 16-19 | (6,100) (7,600) | 21 | 27 |
| 20-24 | | 25 | 77 |
| 25-34 | (14,300) | 22 | 77 |
| 35-49 | (26,000) | 9 | 25 |
| 50-64 | | 9 7 | 30 21 |
| | over (23,500) | I , and I , | ~1 |
| Black | | 9 | |
| Male | | and the second secon | |
| 12-15 | (3,000) | ¹ 44 89 | *39 |
| 16-19 | (2,300) | 89 68 | ¹ 34 58 |
| 20-24 | (2,600) (4,400) | 08 129 | 73 |
| 25-34 35-49 | (5,400) | 53 53 | 81 |
| 50-64 | (3,300) | 53 137 | 47 |
| | over (1,900) | 121 | 131 |
| Female | | | |
| 12-15 | (3,000) | 1 <u>3</u> 9 | 1 30 |
| 16-19 | (2,900) | 62 | 1 36 |
| | (4.300) | 57 | 59 |
| 20-24 | (5,600) | 125 | 67 |
| 25-34 | 22,000 | | |
| | (6,900) | 31 1 ₂₄ | 39 31 115 |

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 28. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and number of offenders

| Type of crime | One | Тую | Three | Four or more | Not known and not available |
|----------------------------------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Crimes of violence | 58 | 20 | 12 | 7 | *3 |
| Rape | 73 | 19 | 14 | 14 | -Q |
| Robbery | 44 | 31 | 18 | 14 | 13 |
| Robbery and attempted robbery | | | | a su contra co | |
| with injury | 49 | o 26 | 19 | 15 | M |
| From serious assault | 38 | 128 | 126 | ¹ 10 | Q |
| From minor assault | 61 | ° 24 | 1 <u>1</u> 3 | 0 | 13 |
| Robbery without injury | 40 | 39 | 112 | 14 | 16 |
| Attempted robbery without injury | 47 | 117 | 1 ₃₁ | 13 | ¢ |
| Assault | 67 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 13 |
| Aggravated assault | 65 | 12 | 11 | 10 | •3 |
| With injury | 56 | 15 | 113 | 115 | 0 () (0 () (|
| Attempted assault with weapon | 70 | ¹ 10 | 19 | 17 | 19 |
| Simple assault | 69 | 12 | 17 | °10 | 12 |
| With injury | 68 | 115 | 1.5 | 113 | 0 |
| Attempted assault without weapon | 70 | 11 | 18 | 10 | 12 |

14.

6 a

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 29. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents involving a single offender, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

| Crimes of violence Rape Robbery Assault | |
|--|----|
| ³ Estimate, based o | n |
| | |
| Table 30. Perso | |
| victim | , |
| | - |
| Type of crime | • |
| Crimes of violence | |
| Rape | |
| Robbery | |
| Robbery and atte | m |
| robbery with in | |
| From serious a | |
| From minor ass | |
| Robbery without | |
| Attempted robber | |
| injury | 3 |
| Assault | |
| Aggravated assau | 14 |
| With injury | - |
| Attempted assa | บ่ |
| with weapon | |
| Simple assault | |
| With injury | |
| Attempted asna | 11 |
| without weapo | |
| 'Estimate, based o | n |
| 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - | |
| Table 21 Pere | ~ |

Type of crime

Table 31. Personal robbery and assault: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, by victim-offender relationship and type of crime

Relationship

ò

All victimizations Involving strangers Involving nonstrangers

| Involving strangers | Involving nonstrangers |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 51 75 42 57 | 84 150 163 89 |

about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

nal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents involving a single by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

| | All incidents | Involving strangers | Involving nonstrangers |
|------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 94 100 | 94 100 | 95 100 |
| pted | 96 | 97 | 188 |
| ury | 100 | 100 | 1100 |
| sault | 100 | 100 | ¹ 100 |
| ult | 100 | 100 | ¹ 100 |
| njury without | 94 | 95 | 1 67 |
| | 92 | 94 | 1 50 96 96 96 |
| | 92 | 91 | 96 |
| t | 90 | 87 | 96 |
| 1t | 87 | 79 | 96 |
| | 91 | 90 | 96 |
| | 91 96 | 95 | 97 |
| | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 1t | 94 | 94 | 94 |

about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

| | | | Robbery | and | assault | | Robbery | Assault |
|----|-----|--------------|---------|-----|---------|-----|-------------|---------|
| ns | | | | 33 | | | 33 | 33 |
| | | | | 28 | | 1.1 | 31 | 26 |
| s | . j | الأخير مسأين | | 52 | | | 1 60 | 51 |

'Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

27

0.22

28

Table 32. Personal robbery and assault: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime

| Characteristic | Robbery and assault | Robbery Assault |
|--|--|---|
| Sex Male Female | 33 33 | 34 32 31 34 |
| Race White Black | 33 33 | 36 31 26 38 |
| Age 12-15 16-19 20-24 25-34 35-49 50-64 65 and over | 37 36 29 29 31 41 34 | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |
| Annual family income Less than \$3,000 \$3,000-\$7,499 \$7,500-\$9,999 \$10,000-\$14,999 \$15,000-\$24,999 \$25,000 or more Not available | 43 37 125 31 125 131 120 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 33. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, received hospital care, and incurred medical expenses, by type of crime

| Item | Crimes | of violence | L | F | obbery | Assault |
|---|------------|-------------|---|------------------|----------|---------|
| Received hospital care Emergency room only | | 11 7 | | | 9 | 11 |
| Overnight or longer | | 3 | | | 23 25 | °4 |
| Incurred medical expenses ³ | | 6 | | a tana ta antara | 25 | |

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable. ³Includes only those victimizations in which the victims knew with certainty that medical expenses were incurred and also knew, or were able to estimate, the amount of such expenses.

Table 34. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims received hospital care, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime

| Characteristic | Crimes of violence ¹ | Robbery | Assaul | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|----------|--|
| Sex Male Female | 10 11 | 10 °5 | 10 13 | |
| Race White Black | 9 14 | 9 ≈8 | 8 17 | |
| Victim-offender relationship Involving strangers Involving nonstrangers | 9 16 | 9 ≈6 | 8 19 | |

| Amount ¹ | | Percent |
|-----------------------------|------|-----------------|
| | | ×37 |
| Less than \$50 | | a34 |
| \$50-\$249 \$250 or more | | ² 29 |

÷.,

63

. *

Table 35. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of victimizations in which victims incurred medical expenses, by amount

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

| All personal crimes | | 6 | | 6 | 3 | 18 | | | 8 | | 13 |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---------|----------------------|---|----------------------|--|---|------------------|--|---------------------|
| rimes of violence Rape Robbery | - | 17 144 14 | | 16 17 14 | | 9 14 14 | | 1 | 1 1 3 | | 6 0 14 |
| Robbery and attempted robbery with injury Robbery and attempted robbery | | ¹ 13 | | 19 | | 1 | | | 3 | | 13 |
| without injury Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault | | 15 17 17 17 | | 17 19 22 15 | | 16 14 19 21 | | 1 | 8 2 5 8 | | 15 8 18 19 |
| rimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Personal larceny without contact | | 1 ₂ 1 ₂ | 1. av 1 | 19 18 | | 21 28 21 | | | 2 5 3 | | 15 17 16 |

1

12

.

Table 36. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and place of occurrence

1

U

÷. ÷

1

30

ni al-

**

.

· .

Q22

Table 37. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of ine by victim-offender relationship, place of occurrence, and type of

| Relationship and place | Cri | Robbery | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|-----|--|
| Involving strangers | | ······································ | | | |
| Inside own home | · | 12 | | 11 | |
| Near own home | | 16 | | 15 | |
| Inside nonresidential building On street, or in park, playground, | | 9 | | 24 | |
| schoolground, or parking lot | | 57 | | 66 | |
| Elsewhere | | 5 | | *3 | |
| Involving nonstrangers | | | | | |
| Inside own home | | 36 | | °47 | |
| Near own home | | 17 | | 0 | |
| Inside nonresidential building | | °10 | | 0 | |
| On street, or in park, playground, | | | | | |
| schoolground, or parking lot | | 24 | | °33 | |
| Elsewhere | | ² 12 | | °20 | |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 38. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and geographic area of occurrence

| Type of crime | | <u>.</u> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Inside city of | residence | | Inside otl | ner central city | Elsewhere |
|---|-------------|----------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|---|------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| All personal crimes | | <u> </u> | 0 | 88 | | | | 4 | 8 |
| Crimes of violence ¹ Robbery Assault | | | | 91 94 88 | | | | ²5 ≈4 | 4 ² 1 8 |
| Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contac Personal larceny without cor | et ntact | | | 87 92 86 | | 2 | | 4 22 4 | 9 26 10 |

14

S

È

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

| lents, rime | |
|-----------------|--|
| Assault | |
| 9 18 15 | |
| 51 27 | |
| 34 21 °12 | |
| 22 211 | |

H.

Data

မ္မ

De la

15

A.

Table 39. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by type of crime

| | | Crimes of viol | ence | | | Crimes of theft | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------|----------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Reason | All personal crimes | All crimes of violence ¹ | Robbery | Assault | All crimes of theft | Personal larceny with contact | Personal larceny without contact | |
| Nothing could be done; lack of proof | 35 | 28 | 35 | 24 | 38 | 47 | 37 | |
| Not important enough | 26 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 28 | 23 | 28 | |
| Police would not want to be bothered | 8 | 9 | 27 | 9 | 7 | \$ 6 | 8 | |
| too inconvenient or time consuming | 8 | 5 | °5 | °6 | 9. | ² 10 | 8 | |
| rivate or personal matter | 6 | 15 | ² 11 | 18 | 4 | 24 | 4 | |
| ear of reprisal | 2 | 6 | 26 26 | 26 26 | °Z | 0 | ² Z | |
| Reported to someone else | 5 | 24 | 23 | 25 | 5 | °2 | 6 | |
| All other and not given | 10 | 13 | ² 12 | 12 | . 9 | 29 | . 9 | |

422<u>55</u>

14

0

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

0

0

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. Z Less than 0.5 percent. ¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

. in

(and

33

| Type of crime | All victimizations | Involving strangers | Involving nonstrangers |
|--|--|---|---|
| All personal crimes | 40 | • •.e | |
| Crimes of violence Rape Robbery | 57 758 65 | 58 154 65 | 53 100 158 |
| Robbery and attempted robbery with injury From serious assault From minor assault Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury Assault Aggravsted assault With injury Attempted assault with weapon Simple assault With injury Attempted assault without weapon | 65 70 60 71 48 51 55 69 47 45 61 38 | 67 71 61 70 49 50 57 78 48 42 42 162 36 | 155 150 156 180 133 51 49 156 142 54 160 147 |
| Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Purse snatching Pocket picking Personal larceny without contact | 32 38 41 34 31 | 38 41 34: | ¹ 50 150 |

Table 40. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

... Represents not applicable. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable. ²No attempted purse snatchings by nonstrangers were recorded.

Table 41. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police,by type of crime and sex and race of victims

| | | Sex | | Race | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----|---|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| Type of crime | Male | | Female | White | Black |
| All personal crimes | 39 | | 42 | 41 | 38 |
| | | | 50 | 62 | 48 142 |
| Crimes of violence | 56 0 | | 59 64 | 171 | *42 |
| Rape | 60 | | 76 | 73 | 51 |
| Robbery | -00 | | , - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Robbery and attempted | 66 | | 64 | 74 | 143 155 |
| robbery with injury | 76 | | ¹ 33 | 76 | 155 |
| From serious assault | 148 | | 174 | 72 | 130 |
| From minor assault | 64 | | 87 | 78 | 59 |
| Robbery without injury | 04 | | | | |
| Attempted robbery without | 136 | | 171 | 56 52 63 | °31 |
| injury | 53 | | 47 | 52 | 46 |
| Assault | 55 | | 54 65 | 63 | 46 46 47 |
| Aggravated assault | 71 | | 65 | 93 | 47 |
| With injury Attempted assault with | | | | | · · · · |
| | 46 | | 48 | 49 | 45 - |
| weapon | 51 | | 40 | 42 60 | 47 |
| Simple assault With injury | 51 167 | | 40 155 | 60 | 250 |
| Attempted assault | | | | | 112 |
| without weapon | 44 | | 133 | 34 | •46 |
| | | | • 35 | 32 | 30 |
| Crimes of theft | 29 | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | |
| Personal larceny with | 127 | | 12 | 41 | 123 |
| contact | -21 | | 12 | 39 | 143 |
| Purse snatching | 129 | | 42 42 143 | 43 | 1 10 |
| Pocket picking | 47 | | | | |
| Personal larceny without | 29 | | 34 | 31 | 31 |
| contact | | | | | |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.



34

| Table 42. | Personal crimes: | Percent of | victimizatio | ns reporte | d to the | police. |
|-----------|------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------|---------|
| | by type | e of crime a | and age of v | ictim | | F |

| Type of crime | 12-19 | 20-34 | 35-49 | 5064 | 65 and over |
|--|--|---|---|---|--------------------------------|
| All personal crimes | 32 | 25 | 46 | 44 | 48 |
| Crimes of violence ¹ Robbery Robbery and attempted robbery | 43 45 | 59 70 | 65 71 | 61 62 | 64 78 |
| with injury Robbery without injury Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault | ² 36 ² 50 40 36 45 | ² 82 65 52 55 44 | ² 63 73 65 68 63 | 64 61 ² 61 ² 89 ² 25 | 283 75 246 280 225 |
| Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Personal larceny without | 25 213 | 11 ² 39 | 36 229 | 36 243 | 36 44 |
| contact | 25 | 9 | 37 | 35 | 31 |

¹ Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 43. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims took self-protective measures, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

| Type of crime | All | Involving | Involving |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | victimizations | strangers | nonstrangers |
| Crimes of violence Rzpe Robbery Robbery and attempted robbery | 56 85 41 | 54 88 41 | 61 150 147 |
| with injury From serious assault From minor assault Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury Assault | 60 52 68 19 70 | 60 52 71 18 72 | 155 150 156 140 133 |
| Aggravated assault | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| With injury | 62 | 62 | 61 |
| Attempted assault with weapon | 61 | 64 | 156 |
| Simple assault | 63 | 62 | 65 |
| With injury | 69 | 68 | 70 |
| Attempted assault without weapon | 73 | 76 | 170 |

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.



| a | | | | Robbery | | | Assault | |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Characteristic | Crimes of violence | Rape | All robberies | With injury | Without injury | All assaults | Aggravated | Simple |
| Sex Male Female | 54 60 | 1 88 | 41 43 | 58 68 | 33 33 | 56 64 | 64 60 | 70 68 |
| Race White Black | 62 45 | 293 258 | 46 35 | 63 257 | 36 27 | 74 51 | 77 46 | 70 64 |
| Age 12-19 20-34 35-49 50-64 65 and over | 59 68 53 39 33 | 260 293 271 2100 1 | 58 52 40 34 225 | ² 64 88 268 248 225 | °55 39 °29 °24 °25 | 60 72 69 ² 48 ² 42 | 48 74 64 233 230 | 74 68 75 257 250 |

T_

. .

S.C. MAR

¹No rapes were recorded in this category. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 45. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of self-protective measures employed by victims, by type of measure and type of crime

| Self-protective measure | Crimes of violence ¹ | AIL | robberies | Robbery With injury | w Without injury | All |
|--|------------------------------------|-----|--|---|-------------------------------|-----|
| Used or brandished firearm or knife Used physical force or other weapon Tried to get help or frighten offender Threatened or reasoned with offender Nonviolent resistance, including evasion | 5 26 27 17 26 | | ² 5 27 36 14 17 | ² 3 41 37 ² 8 ² 11 | °7 °12 36 °21 °24 | |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 44. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims took self-protective measures, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime

1

| Assault assaults Aggravated | Simple |
|---|--|
| ² 5 ² 7 26 28 20 14 19 19 31 33 | ² 2 24 27 19 29 |
| 31 33 | 29 |
| | |
| | |
| | Survey Data Tables |
| | ita Tables |
| | ŝ |
| | |

 Table 46. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of self-protective measures employed by victims, by selected characteristics of victims

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Sex | | Ra | ce |
|--|---------------------------|------|--------|-------|-------|
| Self-protective measure | Both sexes | Male | Female | White | Black |
| Used or brandished firearm or knife | 5 | 16 | 12 | 14 | 17 |
| Used physical force or other weapon | 26 | 31 | 20 | 25 | 32 |
| Tried to get help or frighten offender | 27 | 19 | 38 | 29 | 19 |
| Threatened or reasoned with offender | 17 | 23 | 11 | 16 | 16 |
| Nonviolent resistance, including evasion | 26 | 22 | 30 | 26 | 26 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 47. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime

| Type of crime | | Percent | с. | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|----|---------------|
| All personal crimes | | 76 | | · · · · · |
| Crimes of violence Rape Robbery | | 43 148 77 | | |
| Robbery and attempted robbery with injury Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury | | 77 100 113 | | |
| Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault | | 15 19 19 | | |
| Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Purse snatching Pocket picking Personal larceny without contact | | 93 84 69 100 94 | | |

S. 1

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

36

0.

Milling and



| Type of crime | No monetary value | Less than \$10 | \$10-\$49 | \$50-\$249 | \$250 or more | Not known or not available |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| All personal crimes | 3 | 12 | 35 | 33 | 9 | 8 |
| Crimes of violence ¹ Robbery | 8 °2 | 8 10 | 28 29 | 27 29 | 15 19 | 14 11 |
| Robbery and attempted robbery with injury | °3 | 98 | 31 | 31 | °14 | ² 14 |
| Robbery and attempted robbery without injury Assault | 21 37 | 11 22 | 28 ² 20 | 29 217 | 22 0 | 29 224 |
| Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Personal larceny without contact | 2 ³ 1 2 | 13 13 13 | 37 31 37 | 34 37 34 | 8 26 8 | 6 ¹³ 11 6 |

Table 48. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss,by type of crime and value of loss

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 49. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss,by type of crime, race of victims, and value of loss

| Type of crime and race | No monetary value | Less than \$10 | \$10-\$49 | \$50-\$249 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| All personal crimes ¹ | 3 | 12 | 35 | 33 |
| White | 4 | 13 | 35 | 32 |
| Black | 22 | 8 | 50 | 28 |
| Crimes of violence ¹ | 8 | 8 | 28 | 27 |
| White | 10 | ≈9 | 27 | 25 |
| Black | ²3 | ≈3 | 67 | 15 |
| Crimes of theft ¹ | 2 | 13 | 37 | 34 |
| White | 2 | 13 | 36 | 33 |
| Black | ³ Z | 12 | 38 | 38 |

.

14

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately, ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

| \$250 or more | Not kno not ava | wn and ilable | |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|----|
| . 9 | | 8 | |
| 10 4 | | 7 8 | |
| 15 16 26 | e de A | 14 14 26 | |
| 8 9 3 | | 6 6 9 | |
| | 1 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| and a start of the | | | ·· |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

۰.

10

Carl.

-38

- 0

Table 50. Personal robbery and larceny: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by value of stolen property, including cash, and race of victims

| Type of crime and pro | perty value | All races ¹ | White | Black |
|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| Robbery No monetary value Less than \$10 \$10-\$49 \$50-\$99 \$100-\$249 \$250 or more | | ² 2 10 28 17 15 21 | ² 2 210 28 15 14 23 | ² 1 29 28 22 ² 18 ² 18 |
| Not available Personal larceny ³ No monetary value Less than \$10 \$10-\$49 \$50-\$99 \$100-\$249 \$250 or more Not available | | ² 8 13 38 20 15 7 5 | °8 ² 1 14 38 19 14 9 5 | ² 4 11 38 22 17 ² 3 7 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

³Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable. ³Includes both personal larceny with contact and personal larceny without contact.

Table 51. Personal robbery and larceny: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by proportion of loss recovered

| · · · · · · | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Personal larceny | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Proportion recovered | Robbery | All personal larcenies | With contact | Without contact |
| None All Some | 81 14 15 | 82 7 | 82 14 | 82 7 |
| Less than half Half or more Proportion unknown | 15 9 11 15 | 4 3 4 | 17 17 11 | 4 3 4 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Type of crime

All personal crimes Crimes of violation Rape Robbery With injury Without injury Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault Crimes of theft Personal larceny with Personal larceny witho

Table 53. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by number of days lost and type of crime

Ting Lost Leas than 1 day Juss than I day Juss days Swild days Over 10 days Amount unknown and not available

Table 54. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence

Type of crime

All personal crimes Crimes of violence Rape Robbery Robbery and attempted robbery with injury From serious assault From minor assault Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury Assault Aggravated assault With injury Attempted assault with weapon Simple assault With injury Attempted assault without weapon Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Purse snatching Pocket picking Personal larceny without/contact

0

Ð.

| | · | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------|------|---------|---------|---|--|------|--|
| | | | | Percent | · | | | |
| 5 | I. | | | 12 | | | | |
| | | | (k, k') | 15 | | | | |
| | | | | 19 | | | | |
| | | | | 33 | | | | |
| | | | | 14 | | | | |
| | | | | 16 | | | | |
| | ta at | | | 13 | | | | |
| out | tact contact | | | 12 | | | | |

Table 52. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by type of crime

³Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

| Ali personal crimes | Crimes of violence | Crimes of theft |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 30 48 15 16 | 113 52 18 26 | 53 44 12 12 |
| 11 | 11 | Ó Ó |

NOTE: Detail way not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Not known Nighttime Daytime 6 a.m.-6 p.m. 6 p.m.-midnight Not and not known available Midnight-Total 6 a.m. 52 42 12 27 3 5 52 56 56 1Z 0 1Z 47 39 137 40 13 19 16 ٦Z 141 44 1 Z 48 41 55 40 53 62 45 59 36 41 132 42 16 18 13 17 n 0 0 0 0 1 11 47 50 43 37 53 49 57 63 42 38 46 54 111 0 0 11 10 13 ٦Z 1Z 11 0 11 Ó 53 40 48 111 47 42 0 11 27 13 115 59 53 0 62 37 25 112 11 0 55 65 70 60 38 34 30 39 22 30 30 30 11 15 11 ٥ 12 111 0 21 38 12 8 54

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown, or to 100 percent, because of rounding. Z Less than 0.5 percent. Z Less than 0.5 percent. 'Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable. 39

Car

40

Table 55. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by victim-offender relationship, type of crime, and time of occurrence

| Relationship and type of crime | | Nighttime | | | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | Daytime 6 a.m6 p.m. | Total | 6 p.m midnight | Midnight- 6 a.m. | | known and available |
| Involving strangers Crimes of violence ¹ Robbery Assault | 46 43 50 | 53 56 50 | 39 39 39 | 14 16 11 | - | 2Z 5Z 0 |
| Involving nonstrangers Crimes of violence ¹ Robbery Assault | 49 244 51 | 49 256 48 | 39 ²50 37 | ² 11 26 212 | | 21 0 21 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown, or to 100 percent, because of rounding. Z Less than 0.5 percent. ¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 56. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents in which offenders used weapons, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

| Type of crime | All incidents | Involving strangers | Involving nonstrangers | |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Crimes of violence Rape Robbery | 54 19 57 | 54 117 59 | 51 150 131 | |
| Robbery and attempted robbery with injury Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury Assault ² | 44 65 61 55 | 48 65 62 54 | 118 167 150 55 | |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable. ²Includes data on simple assault, which by definition does not involve the use of a weapon.

Table 57. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of types of weapons used by offenders, by type of crime

| Type of crime | Firearm | Knife | Other | Type unknown |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Crimes of violence ¹ Robbery | 44 50 | 23 26 | 27 19 | 6 5 |
| Robbery and attempted robbery with injury Robbery and attempted robbery | ²29 | °20 | °34 | ² 17 |
| without injury Aggravated assault With injury | 59 39 222 | 28 21 220 | °13 35 50 | 21 26 28 |
| Attempted assault with weapon | 47 | 21 | 28 | 25 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.



· · · ·

 $\langle \rangle$

1

.
ويحدد بوطيها بالتوعات بالبار T geologia (* 191 na serie de la construcción de la La construcción de la construcción d NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable. ~ $\left\{ \zeta^{4} \right\}$ 1 . à 19 14 . 9

123

Table 58. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of types of weapons used by offenders, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

| | | | | Invol | ving strangers | | | Involvi | ng nonstrangers | 5 |
|--|--|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|---------------|
| | Type of crime | | Firearm | Knife | Other | Type unknown | Firearm | Knife | Other | Type unknown |
| | Crimes of violence ¹ Robbery Aggravated assault | | 45 50 40 | 24 26 22 | 25 19 33 | ² 5 26 25 | 42 260 38 | ² 15 ² 20 ² 15 | 36 ²20 38 | 28 0 29 |

51



4

аř.

Car

÷.

42

Table 59. Household crimes: Number and rate of victimizations,by type of crime

| (F | late per 1,000 | households) | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------|------|---|
| Type of crime | Number | | Rate | |
| Burglary | 10,500 | | 85 | |
| Forcible entry | 5,000 | | 40 | |
| Unlawful entry without force | 2,400 | | 19 | |
| Attempted forcible entry | 3,100 | | 25 | |
| Household larceny | 8,100 | | 66 | |
| Less than \$50 | 4,200 | | 34 | |
| \$50 or more | 3,200 | | 26 | |
| Amount not available | 200 | | 2 | |
| Attempted larceny | 500 | | . 4 | |
| Motor vehicle theft | 2,200 | | 18 | |
| Completed theft | 1,600 | | 13 | |
| Attempted theft | 600 | | 5 | 2 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

Table 60. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected household characteristics and type of crime

| Characteristic | All household crimes | Burglary | Household larceny | Motor vehicle theft |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Race of head of household White (79) Black (21) Other (1) | 66 34 1 z | 57 43 12 | 75 24 1 | 75 25 0 |
| Age of head of household 12-19 (1) 20-34 (22) 35-49 (28) 50-64 (28) 65 and over (22) | 2 35 31 21 11 | 2 35 29 22 12 | 2 34 34 20 10 | *1 38 30 25 *6 |
| Annual family income Less than \$3,000 (19) \$3,000-\$7,499 (34) \$7,500-\$9,999 (13) \$10,000-\$14,999 (17) \$15,000-\$24,999 (8) \$25,000 or more (3) Not available (6) | 13 28 13 20 12 6 7 | 16 30 13 17 10 6 8 | 12 27 14 23 13 6 7 | 15 26 16 25 14 17 8 |
| Tenure Owned or being bought (36) Rented (54) | 40 60 | 40 60 | 41 59 | 35 65 |
| Number of units in structure 1 ² (47) 2 (8) 3 (2) 4 (6) 5-9 (10) 10 or more (26) Other than housing units (2) | 51 8 2 6 10 24 12 | 50 10 2 6 10 22 0 | 53 7 11 5 9 24 12 | 47 14 12 15 11 30 0 |
| Number of persons in household 1 (27) 2-3 (50) 4-5 (18) 6 or more (5) | 23 47 22 9 | 28 45 19 7 | 18 47 25 10 | 16 51 25 7 |

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to percent of households in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
Z Less than 0.5 percent.
¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.
²Includes data on mobile homes, not shown separately.



| Table 61. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of | crime and | age of | he |
|---|-----------|--------|----|
|---|-----------|--------|----|

| | | (Rate per 1,000 household | is) | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Type of crime | 12-19 (1,200) | 20-34 (26,800) | 35–49 (34,000) | 50-64 (33,802) | 65 and over (27,300) |
| Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Less than \$50 \$50 or more Amount not available Attempted larceny Motor vehicle theft Completed theft Attempted theft | 197 108 108 139 160 190 160 190 100 0 120 0 | 135 65 34 37 101 53 39 12 8 32 22 22 10 | 89 46 17 26 82 38 38 13 13 13 20 15 5 | 68 34 14 21 47 26 15 11 14 15 11 14 17 13 13 14 | 46 18 10 18 31 17 12 12 12 12 13 15 13 12 |

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not add to tutaí shown because of rounding. Z Less than 0.5 percent. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

~

12

head of household

Survey Data Tables

\$

£.5

捦

E.

44

Criminal View 578 in Miami T. 62. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and race of head of household

| Type of crime | White (97,000) | | Black (25,400) | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|--|
| Burglary | 61 | - - - - | 177 | |
| Forcible entry | 25 | | 102 | |
| Unlawful entry without force | 17 | | 28 | |
| Attempted forcible entry | 19 | | 48 | |
| Household larceny | 63 | | 78 | |
| Less than \$50 | 32 | - A | 40 | |
| \$50 or more | 25 | 101 | 31 | |
| Amount not available | 2 | | 12 | |
| Attempted larceny | 4 | | 15 | |
| Motor vehicle theft | 17 | | 22 | |
| Completed theft | 12 | | 17 | |
| Attempted theft | 5 | | 14 | |

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

· • -

and the second sec

.



| Type of crime | Less than \$3,000 (23,200) | \$3,000-\$7,499 (41,600) | \$7,500-\$9,999 (15,800) | \$10,000-\$14,999 (21,100) | \$15,000-\$24,999 (10,200) | \$ |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|----|
| Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Less than \$50 \$50 or more Amount not available Attempted larceny Motor vehicle theft Completed theft Attempted theft | 74 37 17 21 40 20 17 12 12 12 15 14 | 75 37 16 22 52 28 21 12 12 12 14 11 13 | 83 41 17 25 71 45 21 0 15 22 15 17 | 85 41 19 26 87 48 34 12 12 14 26 19 7 | 106 49 28 29 102 46 43 14 10 30 18 12 | |

Table 63. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and annual family income (Rate per 1,000 households)

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

| | | (Rate per 1,000 | households) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Ow | ned or being bought | | · |
| Type of crime | All races ¹ (44,200) | White (37,600) | Black (6,500) | All races ¹ (78,900) |
| Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Less than \$50 \$50 or more Amount not available Attempted larceny Motor vehicle theft Completed thaft Attempted theft | 94 46 17 31 75 37 30 *2 6 18 18 14 4 | 74 31 16 26 72 37 28 *2 5 17 12 5 | 212 128 23 60 90 36 43 26 26 25 22 22 | 80 38 21 22 61 32 24 ² 2 3 18 13 5 |

Table 64. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime, form of tenure, and race of head of household

5)

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. ¹Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

١ą

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|--|--|
| 25,000 or more (3,600) | Not available (7,600) |
| 184 72 58 54 126 48 65 13 10 141 134 17 | 103 43 23 38 73 28 32 12 11 23 111 23 16 16 |

| Rented | |
|----------|----------------------|
| White | Black |
| (59,400) | (18,900) |
| 53 | 166 |
| 21 | 93 |
| 18 | 29 |
| 15 | 43 |
| 57 | 74 41 |
| 29 | 41 |
| 23 22 | 27 ⁹ 1 |
| | °1 °5 |
| 3 18 | 20 |
| | 15 |
| 13 5 | 12 |
| | |
| | |
| | |

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

Survey Date Tables

45

T.

Table 65. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and number of persons in household

| | (Rate per 1,00 | X) households) | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Type of crime | One (33,700) | Two or three Four or (61,100) (22,00) | |
| Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Less than \$50 \$50 or more Amount not available Attempted larceny Motor vehicle theft Completed theft Attempted theft | 86 40 20 27 43 22 15 12 5 11 7 34 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 124, 52 34, 39 133 58 61 12 12 25 23 12 |

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 66. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and number of units in structure occupied by household

| • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | | (Rate per | 1,000 househ | olds) | | |
|---|---|--|-----------|---|---|--|--|
| Type of crime | · | One ¹ (57,900) | | Two (10,200) | Three-four (9,800) | Five-nins (12,300) | Ten or more (32,000) |
| Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Less than \$50 \$50 or more Amount not available Attempted larceny Motor vehicle theft Completed theft Attempted theft | | 90 46 17 27 74 39 29 ² 1 5 18 15 4 | | 103 63 16 24 58 21 31 *5 *5 *1 *8 *3 *3 *5 | 77 32 210 35 58 33 21 21 21 *29 16 211 *5 | 5 86 41 26 19 59 35 21 *1 *2 21 15 *6 *6 | 73 26 26 22 60 29 24 *2 5 21 15 7 |

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. ¹Includes data on mobile homes, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

 $\langle \rangle$

*

. 💬

s - in sisters an an ann a bhaile an Airte a 5 1 **6** 1 يريده الأرب فالمحادثة Table 67. Household burglary: Victimization rates, by race of head of household and annual family income thout force Attempted forcible entry 11 17 22 18 22 51 29 43 36 43 69 178 195 177 NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable. 15 Data Tables 47 Sale Contraction the second s (a 1 0

| | (Rate p | er 1,000 households) | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Race and income | All burglaries | Forcible entry | Unlawful entry with |
| White Less than \$3,000 (15,800) \$3,000-\$7,499 (31,700) \$7,500-\$9,999 (13,400) \$10,000-\$14,999 (18,000) \$15,000-\$24,999 (8,900) \$25,000 or more (3,300) Not available (6,000) | 39 50 57 61 88 182 82 | 15 20 21 26 41 68 29 | 14 13 15 16 25 63 25 |
| Black Less than \$3,000 (7,200) \$3,000-\$7,499 (9,700) \$7,500-\$9,999 (2,400) \$10,000-\$14,999 (3,100) \$15,000-\$24,999 (1,200) \$25,000 or more (300) Not available (1,500) | 154 155 228 226 238 1231 193 | 87 91 155 125 108 108 136 99 | 24 28 1 1322 151 0 118 |

Y

AS

 $\begin{array}{c} f_{1}^{AB}(x,B_{1},x) = f_{1}^{AB}(x,B_{1}^{AB}(x,$

a tana a tana katana manakapanya kata tana manakatan kata ing mana Tana katana manakatan manakatan katana kat

0.

48

Table 68. Household crimes: Percent distribution of household incidents, by place of occurrence and type of crime

| Place | Burglary | Household | larceny | Motor vehicle theft |
|--|----------|---------------------------------------|---------|--|
| Inside own home Near own home | 98 | | | ¹ 2 33 |
| At vacation home, motel, or hotel | 2 | ••• | | (<u>`</u> , , 0 , ¹ , , |
| Inside nonresidential building | ••• | | | 7 |
| On street, or in park, playground, school- ground, or parking lot Elsewhere | ••• | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 56 12 |

••• Represents not applicable. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 69. Household crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and geographic area of occurrence

| Type of crime | Inside ci of reside | | : | 1 | de ot ral c | n de la composition de la composition de la composition de la composition de la comp | Elsewh | ere |
|--|------------------------|--|---|---|----------------|--|-------------|-----|
| All household crimes | 94 | | | | 3 | | 3 | , |
| Burglary Household larceny Motor vehicle theft | 94 95 89 | | | | 2 2 14 | • | 3 2 7 | |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 70. Household crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by type of crime

| Reason | All ho | usehold | crimes | Burglary | Household larceny | Motor vehicle | theft |
|-------------------------|--------|-------------|--------|----------|------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Nothing could be done; | | · · · · · · | | | a da an an an an an an | | |
| lack of proof | | 35 | | 39 | 34 | 24 | |
| Not important enough | | 32 | | 29 | 35 | 30 | |
| Police would not want | | | | | | | |
| to be bothered | | -9 | | 9 | 9 | 112 | |
| Too inconvenient or | | | | | | | |
| time consuming | | 5 | | 3 | 6 | ¹ 11 | |
| Private or personal | | | | | | | |
| matter | | 5 | | 6 | _5 | 13 | |
| Fear of reprisal | | 1 Z | | 1Z | 1 <u>2</u> | 0 | |
| Reported to someone | | | | | | | |
| else | | 2 | | 3 | 12 | 21 | 1.1 |
| All other and not given | | 10 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 119 | . Śv |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. Z Less than 0.5 percent. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Race and reason All White Nothing could be done; lack of proof Not important enough All other and not given Black Nothing could be done; lack of proof Not important enough All other and not given

4

Table 72. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by annual family income

| Income | Nothing could be done; lack of proof | Not important enough | All other and not given |
|-------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Less than \$3,000 | 41 | 25 | 34 |
| \$3,000-\$7,499 | 36 | 33 | 31 |
| \$7,500-\$9,999 | 32 | 34 | 35 |
| \$10,000-\$14,999 | 35 | 33 | 32 |
| \$15,000-\$24,999 | 30 | 43 | 27 |
| \$25,000 or more | 25 | 36 | 39 |
| Not available | 45 | 21 | 33 |

Table 71. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by race of head of household and type of crime

| 11 household crimes | Burglary | Household larceny | Motor vehicle theft |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 33 36 | 37 31 | 31 39 | 26 28 |
| 32 | 31 | 31 | 46 |
| 41 26 | 41 26 | 42 25 | ¹ 21 ¹ 32 |
| 34 | 33 | 33 | 147 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. *Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

49

Table 73. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by value of stolen property

| Value | Nothing c lack of p | ould be done; proof | Not important enough | All other and not given |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| No monetary value Less than \$10 \$10-\$49 \$50-\$99 | | 0 21 35 | 19 52 38 | ¹ 91 27 27 |
| \$100-\$249 \$250 or more Not evailable | | 47 42 40 ¹ 38 | 25 12 15 135 | 29 45 55 127 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 74. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and race of head of household

| Type of crime | All races ¹ | White | Black |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------|-------------|
| All household crimes | 46 | 45 | 48 |
| Burglary | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| Forcible entry | 80 | 79 | 80 |
| Unlawful entry without force | 47 | 52 | 34 |
| Attempted forcible entry | 33 | 36 | 26 |
| Household larceny | 23 | 25 | 18 |
| Less than \$50 | 12 | 12 | ≥1 <u>3</u> |
| \$50 or more | 36 | 38 | 31 |
| Amount not available | 223 | °31 | 0 |
| Attempted larceny | 34 | 47 | 0 |
| Motor vehicle theft | 73 | 74 | 71 |
| Completed theft | 89 | 90 | 89 |
| Attempted theft | 27 | 33 | Ó Ó |

N

Č., 4

¹Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

11-3

Ó



Table 75. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and annual family income

| Type of crime | Less than \$3,000 | \$3,000-\$7,499 | \$7,500-\$9,999 | \$10,000-\$14,999 | \$15,000-\$24,999 | \$25,000 or more | Not available |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| All household crimes | 44 | 47 | 45 | 42 | 47 | 54 | 52 |
| Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Motor vehicle theft | 54 77 41 23 20 182 | 56 75 40 35 25 80 | 61 80 148 38 19 69 | 52 74 41 25 23 73 | 69 92 61 138 17 71 | 67 100 162 126 129 173 | 65 84 153 152 35 153 |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 76. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime, race of head of household, and form of tenure

| | | Al | l races1 | | - · · · | White | | Blac | k |
|--|--|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Type of crime | | Owned or bein bought | g . | Rented | Owned or b bought | eing | Rented | Owned or being bought | Rented |
| All household crimes | | 50 | | 44 | 48 | • | 43 | 55 | 45 |
| Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Kotor vehicle theft | | 63 85 55 36 25 82 | | 55 77 42 30 22 68 | 63 87 60 38 26 79 | | 54 73 48 34 25 70 | 63 81 ² 47 ² 31 26 94 | 57 80 33 23 () 15 61 |

14

 \sim

 \mathbf{O}^{+}

Tad .

¹Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Data Tab

51

4

53

÷.

悊

| Table 77. | Household | crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in the | ft |
|-----------|-------------|--|----|
| loss | reported to | the police, by type of crime and value of loss | |

| Type of crime | Less than \$10 | \$10-\$49 | \$50-\$249 | \$250 or more |
|--|---|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| All household crimes | 14 | 18 | 47 | 85 |
| Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force | ¹ 35 ¹ 71 ¹ 21 | 36 53 123 | 62 77 43 | 87 89 76 |
| Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Motor vehicle theft | 19 | 0 13 | 133 32 | 100 60 |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable. ²No motor vehicle thefts involving losses in this category were recorded.

Table 78. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime

| Type of crime | | | Percent | |
|--|--|--|----------|--|
| All household crimes | | | 91 | |
| Burglary Forcible entry | | | 87 97 | |
| Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry | | | 89 69 | |
| Household larceny Motor vehicle theft | | | 96 90 | |

Table 79. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by value of stolen property, including cash, and type of crime

| Value | All household crimes | Burglary | Household larceny | Motar vehicle theft |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| No monetary value Less than \$10 \$1C-\$1.7 \$50-\$99 \$100-\$249 \$250-\$999 \$1,000 or more Not available | ¹ 1 8 24 16 16 19 13 3 | ¹ 2 4 13 13 19 30 17 3 | 14 40 21 15 4 2 3 | 0 0 1 12 42 45 0 |

. .

نۍ ا

.

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. Z Less than 0.5 percent. 'Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

i din en provingia Tra anti-transformation



| Race and type of crime | No monetary value | Less than \$10 | \$10-\$49 | \$50-\$249 | \$250 |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|----------------------|-------|
| All races ¹ All household crimes | 4 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without | 10 4 | 10 10 5 | 24 15 11 | 27 24 24 24 | |
| force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Kotor vehicle theft | 0 35 ≌2 ≈3 | 9 23 13 ² Z | 19 19 39 | 42 *5 35 13 | , |
| White | | | 8 | 13 | |
| All household crimes Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without | 5 10 25 | 11 10 24 | 26 17 13 | 27 23 21 | * |
| force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Motor vehicle theft | 0 31 22 24 | 26 25 15 0 | 20 21 37 11 | 42 4 35 | |
| Black | | | 11 - 11 - 11 | 12 | |
| All household crimes Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without | 7 10 ² 2 | 9 11 26 | 21 13 10 | 27 25 28 | |
| force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Motor vehicle theft | 0 39 32 22 | ⁹ 15 21 8 ⁹ 2 | ² 17 17 <u>44</u> 22 | 41 7 35 214 | 1 |

Table 80. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by race of headof household, type of crime, and value of loss

11

 $\langle \mathbb{C} \rangle$

-

J.

5

X I HEAT

Ö

5

| or mor | re | Not not | known end evailable |
|---------------------------------|----|------------|------------------------|
| 26 33 49 | ֥. | | 6 8 7 |
| 26 ² 3 7 70 | | | 4 14 24 24 |
| 25 34 52 | | | 5 7 ≌6 |
| 30 *5 70 70 | | | °3 14 4 °3 |
| 27 32 46 | | | 8 9 8 |
| 20 0 72 | | | 28 16 25 28 |

D

ģ Data Tables 53 *

6.22

- AN



54

Table 81. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by proportion of loss recovered and type of crime

| Proportion recovered | All household crimes | Burglary | Household larceny | Motor vehicle theft |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| None All Some Less than half Half or more Proportion unknown | 80 11 9 3 3 3 | 84 6 10 4 4 3 | 89 5 6 1 1 2 3 | 25 60 15 14 10 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 82. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by type of crime

| Type of crime | | Percent | |
|--|--|----------------------|--|
| fll household crimes | ······································ | 10 | |
| Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force | | 12 20 *5 | |
| Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Less than \$50 | | 14 3 12 | |
| \$50 or more Amount not available | | 5 15 | |
| Attempted larceny Motor vehicle theft Completed theft Attempted theft | | *2 25 33 17 | |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 83. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by number of days lost and type of crime

| Time lost | All household crimes | Burglary | Household larceny | Motor vehicle theft |
|--|-------------------------|----------------|---|------------------------|
| Less than 1 day 1-5 days Over 5 days | 43 48 9 | 50 44 15 | ¹ 41 ¹ 41 ¹ 19 | 28 58 14 |
| Amount unknown and not available | 17 | 11 | 0 | 0 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Z less than 0.5 percent. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 84. Househol by type

| | Nighttime | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-------|--|--------------------------------|----------|----------------|--|
| Type of crime | Daytime 6 a.m6 p.m. | Total | 6 p.m Midnight- Not Not Not tal midnight 6 a.m. known not 44 20 18 6 34 19 12 3 33 21 8 13 34 17 12 15 37 15 18 13 50 20 19 10 47 19 17 11 48 20 19 10 | Not known and not available | | | |
| All household crimes | 45 | 44 | 20 | 18 | 6 | 11 | |
| Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without | 55 61 | | | | •3 •3 | 11 6 | |
| force Attempted forcible entry | 52 49 | | 15 | | 15 13 | 14 14 | |
| Household larceny Less than \$50 | 37 36 | 47 | 20 19 | 17 | 11 | 14 18 | |
| \$50 or more Amount not available | 40 133 | 162 | 1 29 | 129 | 10 15 | 12 15 12 | |
| Attempted larceny Motor vehicle theft | 124 30 | 67 | 28 | 36 | 13 | 13 | |
| Completed theft Attempted theft | 31 27 | 71 | 31 | 39 | 12 | 32 | |

| Charact | eristic |
|---------|---------|
|---------|---------|

| | Bu | rglary | Rob | bery |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------------|-----------|
| Characteristic | Number | Rate | Number | Rate |
| All establishments (26,000) | 7,600 | 292 | 2,700 | 104 |
| (ind of establishment | | 1 R 1 | | |
| Retail (7,900) | 3,600 | 457 | 1.600 | 196 |
| Food group (1,200) | 500 | 444 | 300 | 278 |
| Eating and drinking places (1,700) | 1,000 | 574 | 500 | 267 |
| General merchandise (300) | 200 | 600 | 1 100 | 1 268 |
| Apparel group (1,200) | 500 | 391 | 400 | 348 |
| Furniture and appliance group (600) | 200 | 395 | 100 | 19 |
| Automotive group (500) | 500 | 932 | 0 | 90 |
| Other retail (2,600) | 900 | 327 | 300 | 90 |
| Wholesale (3,400) | 700 | 211 | 200 | 69 |
| Service (9,700) | 2,400 | 251 | 500 | . 5 |
| Manufacturing (1,400) | 400 | 309 | 200 | 160 |
| Other (3,700) | 400 | 113 | 200 | 50 |
| Gross annual receipts | | | | |
| Less than \$10,000 (4,300) | 1,500 | 342 | 200 | 39 |
| \$10,000-\$24,999 (4,200) | 1,400 | 333 | 300 | 61 |
| \$25,000-\$49,999 (3,600) | 900 | 258 | 400 | 99 19/ |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 (4,000) | 1,100 | 269 | 800 | |
| \$100,000-\$499,999 (5,000) | 1,200 | 239 | 900 | 170 |
| \$500,000-\$999,999 (1,700) | 500 | 310 | 1 100 | 12 |
| \$1,000,000 or more (1,900) | 700 | 396 | 200 | 8 |
| No sales (1,200) | 300 | 216 | ° 1,00 | 34 |
| verage number of paid employees | | | | |
| 1-3 (10,000) | 2,600 | 262 | 1,300 | 12 |
| 4-7 (4,300) | 1,300 | 289 | 500 | 12 |
| 8-19 (2,800) | 700 | 261 | 300 | 12 |
| 20 or more (2,300) | 1,300 | 539 | 300 | 10 |
| None (6,600) | 1,800 | 265 | 300 | 5 |

shown because of rounding. *Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

| bld | crimes: Per | cent di | stribution | of | incidents, |
|-----|-------------|---------|------------|----|------------|
| of | crime and | time of | occurrenc | e | |

Table 85. Commercial crimes: Number and rate of victimizations, by characteristics of victimized establishments and type of crime

(Rate per 1,000 establishments)

NOTE: Numbers in parintheses refer to establishments in the group. Detail may not add to total

55

1.0

Car

-56

Table 86. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected characteristics of commercial establishments

| Characteristic | | Percent of establishments Percent | of crimes |
|---|-----------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Kind of establishment Retail Wholesale Service Real estate Manufacturing Transportion Other | | 13 | 50 9 29 1 6 1 1 4 |
| Gross annual receipts Less than \$10,000 \$10,000-\$24,999 \$50,000-\$49,999 \$500,000-\$99,999 \$100,000-\$999,999 \$1,000,000 or more No sales Amount not available | | 16 14 15 | 16 16 12 18 20 6 9 3 0 |
| Average number of paid 1-3 4-7 8-19 20 or more None | employees | 17 11 9 | 38 17 10 15 20 |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 87. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments that were victimized, by kind of establishment

| Kind of establishment | | | Percent | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--------------------|--|
| All establishments | | | 22 | |
| Retail Wholesale | | | 33 17 | |
| Service Manufacturing Other | | | 19 21 12 | |

Table 88. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of completed and attempted victimizations, by kind of establishment and type of crime

| | Burgl | lary | Robhery | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Kind of establishment | Completed | Attempted | Completed | Attempte | |
| All establishments | 73 | 27 | 57 | 43 | |
| Retail Wholesale Service Other | 68 79 79 70 | 32 21 21 30 | 52 * 43 81 \54 | 48 157 19 46 | |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 89. Commercial robbery: Percent distribution of incidents, by kind of establishment and number of offenders.

Kind of establishment All establishments Retail Other

Table 90. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimized commercial establishments, by kind of establishment and number of victimizations incurred

Kird of establishment

All establishments Retail Service Other

Kind of establishment

All establishments Retail Wholesale Service Manufacturing Other

| Reason | | μ , ϵ | Percent | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------|--|
| Nothing could be done; | in the f | | | |
| lack of proof | | | 48 | |
| Not important enough | | | 30 | |
| Police would not want to | | | ÷ | |
| be bothered | | | 14 | |
| Too inconvenient or time consuming; | | | | |
| did not want to become involved | | | 7 | |
| Fear of reprisal | | | . Ó | |
| Reported to someone else | | | 13 | |
| All other and not given | | | 7 | |

| | One | Тую | Three or more | | Not available |
|-----|----------|----------|---------------|----|---------------|
| 1.1 | 43 | 23 | 25 | 1. | 9 |
| | 34 55 | 26 20 | 38 17 | | 12 18 |

^aEstimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

| | One | Тwo | | Three or more |
|---|----------------|---------------|---|---------------|
| | 82 | 12 | 1 | 6 |
| 4 | 77 86 86 | 15 8 19 | | 16 15 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ³Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 91. Commercial robbery: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and place of occurrence

| | بري | n premis | es | On delivery and elsewhere | | | |
|----------|-----|------------|----|---------------------------|--|----------------|----------|
| 1.4 J. 1 | | 96 | | | | ¹ 4 | <u>-</u> |
| | | 100 100 | | | | 0 | |
| | | 87 92 | | | | 113 18 | |
| | | 91 | | | | 19 | |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 92. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

58

-

| Table 93. | Commercial crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the |
|-----------|--|
| | police, by kind of establishment and type of crime |

| Kind of establishment | Burglary and robbery | Burglary | Robbery |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| All establishments | 76 | 79 | 69 |
| Retail Wholesale Service Manufacturing Other | 77 86 79 55 67 | 81 88 80 64 60 | 68 79 74 38 82 |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 94. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments with one or more security measures

| Kind of establishment | | | $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ | Percent | |
|---|------|--|------------------|--|--|
| All establishments | | | | 72 | |
| Retail Wholesale Service Real estate Manufacturing Transportation Other | | | | 78 90 65 54 77 47 64 | |

Table 95. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments with selected types of security measures, by kind of establishment

| Type of security measure | All estab- lishments | Retail | Wholesale | Service | Other |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------|----------------|-------|
| Building alarm | 16 | 23 | 22 | 10 | 10 |
| Central alarm - police | | | | | |
| or security service | 15 | 21 | 29 | 7 | 13 |
| Reinforcing device | 23 | 29 | 28 | 19 | 19 |
| Guard or watchman | 15 | 11 | 9 | 20 | 15 |
| Watchdog | 4 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 12 |
| Firearm | 5 | 9 | 12 | 4 | - 4 |
| Camera | 1 | 11 | 12 | 1 <u>2</u> | ó |
| Mirror | 1 | 2 | 11 | 1 ₂ | 1Z |
| Other | 9 | 8 | 17 | 7 | - 7 |

Z Less than 0.5 percent. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

All establishments Retail Wholesale Service Manufacturing Other Type of establishment Les All establishments

Kind of establishment

Retail Wholesale Service Other

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. ¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

| Kind | of | establ | ishment | |
|------|----|--------|---------|---|
| | | | | _ |
| | | | | |

| | All | estab | lisł | men | ts |
|---|---------|-------|------|-----|----|
| R | etail | | | | |
| W | holesal | в | | | |
| S | ervice | | | | |
| М | anufact | uring | | | |
| 0 | ther | | | | |

 $\langle \hat{\cdot} \rangle$

-59

Table 96. Commercial crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by kind of establishment and type of crime

| Burglary | Robbery |
|----------|------------|
| 92 | 60 |
| 92 98 | 54 143 |
| 96 84 | 146 173 |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 97. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by kind of establishment and value of loss

| ss than \$10 | \$10\$50 | \$51-\$250 | \$251 or more | Not available |
|----------------------|------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| 9 | 19 | 27 | 38 | 7 |
| 6 ¹ 10 | 16 1 12 | 31 21 | 42 50 | 15 15 |
| 11 11 12 | 23 25 | 26 20 | 33 30 | 7 112 |

Table 98. Commercial burglary: Percent of victimizations resulting in damage loss to the premises, by kind of establishment

| | | | Percent | |
|--|--|------|----------|--|
| | | | 83 | |
| | | | 86 | |
| | | | 84 80 | |
| | | | 80 | |
| | | | 80 | |

Table 99. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations,by number of employees losing time from work

| Number of employees who lost time | | | | | Percent | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---------|------|--|
| None | | | | | 82 | | |
| One employee | | 1 | | | 11 | | |
| Two employees | | | | | 4 | | |
| Three or more employees | | | | | 3 | | |

Table 100. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by numberof man-days lost from work

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------------------|--|
| Number of man-days lost | 1 | Percent | |
| None Less than 1 day 1-5 days | | 82 10 4 | |
| 6 or more days | | 2 | |

| | | | Nig | ittime | | Not know |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| Type of crime | Daytime 6 a.m6 p.m. | Total | 6 p.m midnight | Midnight- 6 a.m. | Not known | and not available |
| Burglary and robbery | 25 | 71 | 11 | 31 | 29 | 4 |
| Burglary Robbery | 8 72 | 87 28 | 8 20 | 40 7 | 39 1 | 1 ⁵ _Z |
| *Estimate, based on about 10 Table 102. Commerci used | | rcent o | f inciden | ts in whic | • | enders |
| Kind of establishment | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Percent | | |
| | | | | 75 | | |
| All establishments Retail Service Other | | | | 90 58 53 | | |
| Retail Service | | | • | 90 58 | | - - - |
| Retail Service | al crimes: Per of weapon us | ed by o | | 90 58 53 | | by type |

۴

C.S.

j)))

APPENDIX I SURVEY INSTRUMENTS

For the household survey, a basic screen questionnaire (Form NCS-3) and a crime incident report (Form NCS-4) were used to elicit information on the relevant crimes committed against the household as a whole and against any of its members age 12 and over. Form NCS-3 was designed to screen for all instances of victimization before details of any specific incident were collected. The screening form also was used for obtaining information on the characteristics of each household and of its members. Household screening questions were asked only once for each household, whereas individual screening questions were asked of all members age 12 and over. However, a knowledgeable adult member of the household served as a proxy respondent for 12- and 13-year-olds, incapacitated persons, and individuals absent during the interviewing period.

Once the screening process was completed, the interviewer obtained details of each revealed incident, if any. Form NCS-4 included questions concerning the extent of economic loss or injury, characteristics of offenders, whether or not the police were notified, and other pertinent details.

In the commercial survey, basically comparable techniques were used to screen for the occurrence of burglary and robbery incidents and to obtain details concerning those crimes. Form CVS-101 contained separate sections for screening and gathering information on the characteristics of business places, on the one hand, and for eliciting data on the relevant crimes, on the other.

63

| U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE | |
|--|---|
| SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMINISTRATION BUREAU OF THE CENSUS | Control number |
| NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY CENTRAL CITIES SAMPLE | PSU Serial Panei HH Segr |
| FORM NCS-3 - BASIC SCREEN QUIISTIONNAIRE FORM NCS-4 - CRIME INCIDENT REPORT | |
| 1. Interviewer identification | 6. Teaure (cc 7) |
| Code Name 10 | (022) t □ Owned or being bought z □ Rented for cash s □ No cash rent |
| 2. Record of Interview Line number of household respondent | 7. Type of living quarters (cc 1) Housing Unit 1 House, apartment, flat |
| 1) 3. Reason for noninterview (cc 26d) | 2 HU in nontransient hotel, motel, etc. 3 HU - Permanent in transient hotel, motel, etc. 4 HU in rooming house |
| TYPE A Reason 1 No one home | s Mobile home or trailer 6 HU not specified above - Describe 7 |
| 2 Temporarily absent - Return date 3 Refused 4 Other Occ Specify | OTHER Unit 7 Quarters not HU in rooming or boarding house |
| Race of head 1 White 2 Negro 3 Other | B Unit not permanent in transient hotel, motel, etc. 9 Vacant tent site or trailer site 10 Not specified above - Describe 7 |
| TYPE B 11 1 TVacant - Regular | 8. Number of housing units in structure (cc 23) |
| 2 Vacant - Storage of HH furniture 3 Temporarily occupied by persons with URI 4 Unfit or to be demolished 5 Under construction, not ready | 3 3 7 Mobile home or trailer |
| a Converted to temporary business or storag 7 Unoccupied tent site or trailer site a Permit granted, construction not started | 4 4 8 Only OTHER units ASK IN EACH HOUSEHOLD: 9. (Other than the business) does anyone in this househ operate a business from this address? |
| 9 🗋 Other – Specify 7 | 025 1 [] No 2 [] Yes - What kind of business is that? 7 |
| TYPE C 1 Unused line of listing sheet 2 Demolished | 10. Family income (cc 24) |
| Bouse or trailer moved Outside segment Converted to permanent business or storage | (076) 1 □ Under \$1,000 8 □ \$7,500 to 9,995 2 □ \$1,000 to 1,999 9 □ 10,000 to 11,999 |
| e Merged 7 Condemned 8 Built after April I, 1970 9 Other Specify 7 | 4 □ 3,000 to 3,999 11 □ 15,000 to 19,995 5 □ 4,000 to 4,999 12 □ 20,000 to 24,995 6 □ 5,000 to 5,999 13 □ 25,000 and over 7 □ 6,000 to 7,499 |
| TYPE Z | 11. Household members 12 years of age and OVER 7 |
| Interview not obtained for 7 Line number | 12. Household members UNDER 12 years of age -7 |
| | 0230 Total number |
| الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الله | 13. Crime Incident Reports filled 7 |
| 4. Household status 1 Same household as last enumeration 2 Replacement household since last enumera Previous poninterview or not to sample be | |
| 3 	Previous noninterview or not in sample be 5. Special place type code (cc 6c) 21) | |

Survey Instruments

65

Car.

FORM NCS-3 (8-23-7

64

| | | | | | | | | - | New week | The second | | ting and the second |
|---|-----------------------------|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| | Carlos Contractor | | <u> 1997 - 1998</u> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | NAL CHA | | | <u>िंक</u> ्र | <u></u> | 22 Wind 1 1 | n total | 8-03-04) 24 |
| LAME (of household respondent) EVER – USGIN NEW RECORD | 15. TYPE OF INTER- | 16. LINE HUMBER (cc8) | 17. RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD | 18. AGE LAST BIRTH- DAY (cc 13) | 19. MARITAL STATUS (cc 14) | 20a. RACE (cc 15) | 205. ORIGIM (cc 16) | 21. SEX (cc 17) | 22. ARMED FORCES MEMBER (cc 18) | 23.What is the h (or year) of re you have eve (ASK for pers Transcribe fo | egular school | 24. Did you complate that year (cc 20) |
| st | VIEW (034) | (035) | (cc9b) (036) | (037) | (038) | (027) | | (040) | (041) | (042) | | (043) |
| | | | 1 Head | | 1 DM. | UDW. | | | I Ves | 00 Never at | tended | 1 🗌 Yes |
| | 2 Tel | | 2 Wife of head | | 2 🗌 Wd. | 2 Neg | | 2 DF | s 🗌 Ko | or kinder Elem. (0 | | 2 🗆 No |
| st | 3 □ NI 7 Fill | { . | 3 Own child | | 3 🗌 D. 4 🗌 Sep. | 3 🗆 01. | | | | H.S. (09 | -12) | |
| | 16-21 | | s 🗌 Non-relative | | 5 🗌 N M | - in the second s | | L | يضيب | | (21-26+) | Ļ |
| HECK TEM A | househ | old as la s – SKIP | on cover page. It ist enumeration? to Check Item B on April 1, 1970? | (Box In | | | 1 🔲 1 | | No - Wi 2 3 | or work during ien did you la Up to 5 ye 5 or more y Never work | st work? ars ago — SKI /cars ago] Sk | |
| | - SKIP | | | 2 🗌 No | | 27 | Is the | | | you could not | | T WEEK |
| b. Where did U.S. posr | d you live session, e | on April atc.) | 1, 1970? (State, | toreign | country, | (052) | יםי | 10 | | Already ha Temporary | | |
| State, etc | c | | County | | | _ | | | - 4 | Going to s | chool | |
| | | e the limi | its of a city, tow | n, villag | e, etc.? | 1. | | | 5 | 🗌 Other – Sp | ecily 7 | |
|) 1 🗆 No | | | Name of city, tow | | | 280 | - Fer - | hom did v | ou (last) | work? (Name | of company | |
| | | ٦ | | | | | | | | or other employ | | |
| d. Were you | | | ces on April 1, 19 | 970? | | | | | | | | |
|) <u>1 </u> Yes | |] No | | 1 | | 633 | | | | KIP to 29 | | |
| EM B | | person i — SKIP t | 6 years old or ol to 29 | | | | | | | r industry is fl ice store, State | | |
| F | | | of LAST WEEK - | | 19, | - 69 | | \square | | | | |
| 4 C Kee 5 G Goi b. Did you around th | he house? It unpaid | se ool ork at all ' (Note: I) work.) | a Other (If Armed F LAST WEEK, no f farm or busines: | orces, S it countil s operati | KIP to 28 ng work or in HH, | | 3 🛄 4 🛄 ' 1. What | or local)? SELF-EM practice (Working V kind of w | PLOYED or farm? VITHOUT ork were | employee (Fed) in OWN busin PAY in familyou daing? (F | ness, professi y business cr | onal farm? |
| | | | | - SKIP t | | | engin | eer, stoci | k Clerk, t | ypist, (armer) | | |
| | | | ness from which woff LAST WEER | | 6.5 | (056) | . What | were you | r most im | portant activit | ies or duties? | (For |
|) 1□№ | | | ent - SKIP to 28 off - SKIP to 27 | a . | | | examp | ole; typir | ig, keepir | ig account boo | ks, selling co | rs, etc. |
| tes | 3 10 | | | | | | | | | | | <u></u> |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · | | - <u>-</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 4 - C. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | -5 pg- | | | | |
| 1. A. | | 1. A. | | | | | | in page | | | | |

Page 2

.....

and the second second

. 🕁



,

4

b

2

.....

.

1

66

Survey Instruments

67

ANALON COTTONES

.....

- 62

| | | The second second second |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| HOUSEHOLD SCR | and the second secon | |
| - I Yes - How many | to you or to any member of this household, from a place where you or they were | Yes How many times? |
| ,i[_]No | temporarily staying, such as a friend's or relative's home, a hotel or motel, or a vacation home? | |
| 9 | 33. What was the total number of motor vehicles (cars, trucks, etc.) awned by you or any other member of this household during the last 12 months? | 057 • [] None - |
| Yes How many times? | GULING INA 1021 IN MODIURE | SKIP to 36 |
| | | 3 3 3 4 3 4 or more |
| Yes - How many | 34. Did anyone steal, TRY to steal, or use (it/any of them) without permission? | Yes-How many times? |
| [_]No | 35. Did anyone steal or TRY to steal part of (it/any of them), such as a battery, hubcaps, tape-deck, etc.? | Yes How many times? |
| INDIVIDUAL SC | REEN QUESTIONS | |
| i [] Yes - How many | 46. Did you find any evidence that someone | Yes - How many |
| [_] No | ATTEMPTED to steal something that belonged to you? (other than any incidents already mentioned) | No |
| Yes - How many times? | 47. Did you call the police during the last 12 months to report something that happened | |
| No | to you which you thought was a crime? (Do not count any calls made to the police concerning the incidents you | |
| | have just told me about.) | |
| Yes - How many times? | Yes - What happened? | |
| [] No | La ico ~ maa nappenear | |
| Yes - How many times? | | |
| No | | |
| Yes - How many limes? | Look at 47. Was HH member 12 + attacked or threatened, or | Yes-How maxy times? |
| No | CHECK was something stolen or an attempt made to steal something that belonged to him? | C) No |
| Yes - How many | | |
| | 48. Did anything happen to you during the last 12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other | |
| Yes - How many | than any incidents already mentioned) | |
| limes? | Yes - What happened? | |
| <u> </u> | | (059) |
| Yes - How many or i Yes - How many | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| □ № | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Yes - How many times? | Look at 48. Was HH member 12 + attacked or threatened, or | Yes-How many times? |
| ⊡ No | CHECK was something stolen or an attempt made to steal something that belonged to him? | □ Nº |
| Yes - How many (imes? | Do any of the screen questions con for "How many times?" | tain any entries |
| □ N• | CHECK No - Interview next HH member ITEM E IN - Interview next HH member and fill item 13 on cover. | ondent, |
| | Yes - Fill Grime Incident Repo | rts. |
| Pa | fe 3 | |

- 🖌

| 14. NAME | | | 10 A 10 A | | EKAU | AL CUAL | RACTER | STICS | 11 | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| KEYER - | BEGIN | 15. TYPE OF INTER- | 16. LINE NUMBER (cc8) | 17. RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD | 18. AGE LAST BIRTH- DAY | MARITAL | 20a. RACE (cc 15) | 205. ORIGIN (cc 16) | 21. SEX (cc 17) | 22. ARMED FORCES MEMBER | 23.What is the highest (or year) of regular you have ever atte (ASK for persons 1 | nded? 2–24 yrs. | 24. Did you complete that year (cc 20) |
| NEW RE | CORD | VIEW | | (cc9b) | (cc 13) | | | | | (cc 18) | Transcribe for 25+ | yrs.)(cc 19) | |
| Last | | (034) | (035) | (036) | (037) | (038) | 039) | | (40) | (41) | (042) | | (043) |
| | | 1 Per | | 1 🔲 Head | | | 1 🔲 W. | | 1 🗆 M | 1 🗌 Yes | 00 🛄 Never attender or kindergarter | d · | 1 🛄 Yes |
| First | | 2 🗌 Tel 3 🗌 Ni-z | | 2 Wife of head | | | 2 Neg. | | 2 🗆 F | 2 🛄 No | Elem. (01-08) | | 2 🛄 No |
| - 11 21 | | | | 3 🔲 Own child 4 🛄 Other relative | | 3 🛄 D. 4 🛄 Sep. | 3 🗌 OL. | | | | H.S. (09-12) | ' | |
| | | 16-21 | Į | s Non-relative | | SONM | | | | | College (21-2 | 6+) | |
| ~ | | househ Yes ve in thi | old as la: SKIP | on cover page. Is st enumeration? (E to Check Item B on April 1, 1970? Item B | 3ox Im | | (65) 5 | ιΩΎ | es . | No — WI 2 [3 [4 [| or work during the en did you lost wo Up to 5 years a 5 or more years Never worked | ago - SKIF | ? to 28a IP to 36 |
| <u> </u> | | | | 1, 1970? (State, f | | | | | | | you could not take | | T WEEK |
| | | ession, e | | 1, 1970: (31014, 1 | oreiðu i | country, | (052) | י 🗆 א | 0 | | Already has a j | | |
| c . | | - | | Courses | | | | | | | Temporary illne Going to school | | |
| · | tate, etc | | | County | | | 4 | | | | Other - Specify | | |
| \sim | | ive inside | e the limi | ts of a city, town, | villag | e, etc.? | 1 | | | | | * | |
| Q45) 1 | □ No | 2 | ies – A | lame of city, town | , villag | e, eic'≯ | 280 | For wh | om did v | ou (last) | work? (Name of co | mpany. | |
| 046) [| | | 1 | | : | | | | | | r other employer) | | |
| | ere you | in the Ar | med Forc | es on April 1, 197 | 0? | | | | | | | | |
| ۱ (M | Tes Yes | 2 | No | | | | (053) | ×□N | lever wo | rked - St | IP to 36 | | |
| CHECK | A | Is this | person 10 | 6 years old or old | er? | | ~ | . What k | ind of bu | siness of | Industry is this? | (For exam | ple: TV |
| ITEM B | . 🕊 . | No No | - SKIP to | o 36 🔲 Yes | | | | | | | oe store, State Lab | | |
| | | | | LAST WEEK - | | | 654 | | | · . | | | |
| k: | eeping h | ouse, goi | ng to sch | ool) or something | else? | | | . Here y | | . <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | IP to 28d | ork 7 🗌 Unable t | o work- | SKIP to 26 | 4 (055) | | | | RIVATE company, s, salary or commi | | 01 |
| | | a job bu king for w | | 8 Other - | Specif | Y | 1 | | | | s, salary or commi imployee (Federal, | | unty |
| | | oing hous | | | | 1 | ľ | | r local)? | | mbioles (Lensigi' | 3101 0, C0 | |
| | | g to sche | | (If Armed For | ces, SI | (IP to 28a | j] | | | | in OWN business, | professio | nsl |
| b. D | id you d | | k at all I | LAST WEEK, not | | | 1 | P | ractice a | r form? | | · . | |
| at | round the | house? | (Note: If | form or business | | | | | | | PAY in family bus | | |
| - 05 | sk about | unpaid w | ork.) | hours? | | | l a | What k | ind of wo er. stork | clerk vere j | ou doing? (For exc plst, (armer) | ample: ele | ctrical |
| \sim _ | | | | | | 200 | 6 | | | 2,, ly | heart formert | | |
| | | | | ess from which yo off LAST WEEK? | न भवाद | | 056) | What w | ere your | most imp | ortant activities or | r duties? | (For |
| \sim | | - | | nt – SKIP to 28a | | | | | | | g account books, s | | |
| | | | | ff — SKIP to 27 | | · | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 | DIVID | UAL SCRE | EN QUE | STIONS | | | | | |
| | | | | nly to things that | Yes | - How many | 46. | | | | e that someone | Yes - | How man |
| •• | | · + | | 12 months - | D No | times? | 1 | | | o steal so ? (other | mething that than any | D No | times? |
| betwe you hi | ave vour | 1, 197 (pocket | ona picked/m | , 197, Did urse snatched)? | 1 | | 1 | | | ly mentio | | i | <u></u> |
| | | | | | | - How man | 47. | | | | uring the last 12 m | | |
| 37. Did | you by us | ing force | | s by a stickup, | 1 | times? | 1 | somethi | ing that I | happened | to you which you t | thought we | |
| | ing or the | | | | i 🔲 No | | | crime? | | | | | 15 0 |
| from y muggi | | | | | 1 | | 100 | | | | y calls made to the you have just told | police | |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar | | RY to rob | | ising force | 1 | - How many | , @ | No - | ing the - SKIP t | incidents o 48 | you have just told | police | |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or thre | eatening | RY to rob to horm | you? (oth | ising force er than any | 1 | - How man; times? | | No - | ing the - SKIP t | incidents | you have just told | police | |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or thre incide | eatening ents alre | RY to rob to horm ady ment | you? (oth ioned) | er than any | Ves No | times? | Έ | No - | ing the - SKIP t | incidents o 48 | you have just told | police | |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or thre incide 39. Did ar with s | eatening ents alre nyone be somethin | RY to rob to harm ady menti at you up g, such a | you? (oth ioned) o, attack is a rock | er than any you or hit you or bottle? | No Ves | - How man times? - How man times? | Έ | Concerr No Yes | ing the - SKIP t - Whot | incidents o 48 happened | you have just told ? | me about | • |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or thre incide 39. Did ar with s (other | eatening ents alre nyone be somethin r than an | RY to rob to harm ady ment at you up g, such a y inciden | you? (oth ioned) o, attack s a rock ts alread | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) | Ves No | times? - How man | | Concerr No Yes | ing the - SKIP t - Whot | incidents o 48 happened | you have just told ? | me about | • |
| from y muggii 38. Did ar or thra incide 39. Did ar with s (other 40. Were) | eatening ents alre nyone be somethin r than an you knife | RY to rob to harm ady ment at you up g, such a y inciden ad, shot c | you? (oth ioned) o, attack is a rock is alread it, or atta | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) icked with | Ves No Ves | times? | | Concerr No Yes | SKIP t - SKIP t - Whot Look at attacked | incidents o 48 happened 47 - Was or threat | you have just told ? | me about | • |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or thre incide 39. Did ar with s (other 40. Were) some | eatening ents alre- nyone be somethin r than an you knife other we | RY to rob to harm ady ment ad you up g, such a y inciden ad, shot c apon by | you? (oth ioned) o, attack is a rock is alread it, or atta | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) icked with t all? (other | Ves No Ves | times? - How man; times? | | Concerr No Yes | - SKIP t - What - What Look at attacked thing sto | incidents o 48 hoppened 47 - Was or threat len or an | you have just told ? | police me about | • |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or thre incide 39. Did ar with s (other 40. Were) some than a 41. Did ar | eatening ents alre- nyone be somethin r than an you knife other we any incid nyone Ti | RY to rob to horm ady ment at you up g, such a y inciden ed, shot c apon by lents alre HREATE | you? (oth ioned) o, attack s a rock ts alread at, or atta anyone of ady ment N to beat | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) scked with t all? (other ioned) you up ot | Ves No Ves No Ves No | times? - How many times? - How many times? - How many | CHEC | Concerr No Yes | SKIP to SKIP to What Look at attacked thing sto steal sor | incidents o 48 hoppened 47 - Was or threat len or an nething th ppen to y | you have just told ? | Yes - | .) How man times? which |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or thra incide 39. Did ar with s (other 40. Were) some than a 41. Did ar THRE | eatening ents alre- somethin- r than an you knife other we any incid nyone TI EATEN y | RY to rob to horm ady ment at you up g, such a y inciden d, shot c apon by lents aire HREATE ou with c | you? (oth ioned) o, attack s a rock its alread at, or atta anyone of ady ment N to beat s knife, g | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) tcked with t all? (other ioned) you up or un, or some | Ves No Ves No Ves No | How many times? - How many times? | CHEC | Concerr No Yes C Did any you tho | - SKIP t - What - What Look at attacked thing sto steal sor rthing ha | Arrian and a construction of the second seco | you have just told ? | Yes - | .) How man times? which. |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or thre incide 39. Did ar with s (other 40. Were) some than a 41. Did ar THRE other | eatening ents alre- nyone be somethin r than an you knife other we any incid nyone TI EATEN y weapon, | RY to rob to horm ady ment at you up g, such a y inciden ed, shot c into alre HREATE NOT inc | you? (oth ioned) o, attack is a rock is alread at, or atta anyone of ady ment N to beat a knife, g luding te | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) scked with t all? (other ioned) you up ot | Ves No Yes No Yes No Yes | times? - How many times? - How many times? - How many | CHEC ITEM | Concerr No Yes K C Did any you tho (other t | SKIP t - SKIP t - What Look at attacked thing sto steal sor rthing ha ught was han any | A7 - Was or threat or threat or threat len or an nething th ppen to y incidents | you have just told ? | Yes - | .) How man times? which. |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or threincide 39. Did ar with s (other 40. Were y some than a 41. Did ar THRE other (other | eatening ents alre- nyone be somethin r than an you knife other we any incid nyone TI EATEN y weapon, r than an | RY to rob to harm ady ment at you up g, such a y inciden ed, shot c hapon by ents alre HREATE ou with a NOT inc y inciden | you? (oth ioned) o, attack s a rock ts alread at, or atta anyone of ady ment N to beat a knife, g luding te its alread | er than any you or hit you or battle? y mentioned) icked with t all? (other ioned) you up or un, or some lephone threats? y mentioned) | No No No No No No No No | times? - How many times? - How many times? - How many times? | CHEC ITEM 48. 059 | Concerr No Yes C Did any you tho (other t | Sing the - SKIP t - What Look at attacked thing sto steal sor whing ha ught was han any - SKIP t | Arrian and a construction of the second seco | you have just told ? | Yes - | .) How man times? which |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or thri incide 39. Did ar with s (other 40. Were) some than a 41. Did ar other THRE other 42. Did ar other | eatening ents alre- nyone be somethin r than an you knife other we any incid nyone TI EATEN y weapon, r than an nyone TI way? (a | RY to rob to harm ady ment ady ment ady such a y inciden ad, shot c apon by lents aire HREATE ou with c NOT inc y inciden RY to ath ther than | you? (oth ioned) o, attack s a rock ts alread at, or atta anyone of ady ment N to beat a knife, g luding te its alread | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) to cli? (other ioned) you up or un, or some lephone threats? y mentioned) n some | Ves No No Ves No Ves No Ves | times? - How many times? - How many times? - How many | CHEC ITEM 48. 059 | Concerr No Yes C Did any you tho (other t | Sing the - SKIP t - What Look at attacked thing sto steal sor whing ha ught was han any - SKIP t | 47 - Was or threat len or an nething th ppen to y incidents o Check | you have just told ? | Yes - | .) How man times? which. |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or thri incide 39. Did ar with s (other 40. Were) some than a 41. Did ar other (other 42. Did ar other alread | eatening ents alre- nyone be somethin r than an you knife other we any incid nyone TI EATEN y weapon, r than an nyone TI way? (a dy mentic | RY to rob to harm ady ment ady ment ady such a y inciden ad, shot y lents alree HREATE y inciden NOT inc y inciden RY to att ther than aned) | you? (oth ioned) b, attack s o rock ts alread att, or atta anyone of ady ment N to beat b knife, g Iuding te ts alread ack you i | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) icked with t all? (other ioned) you up or un, or some lephone threats? y mentioned) n some dents | Ves No Yes No Yes No Ves | times? - How man; times? - How man; times? - How man; times? - How man; times? | | Concerr No Yes K C Did any you tho (other t No Yes | Look at - SKIP t - What Look at attacked thing sto steal sor rthing ho ught was hon any - SKIP t - What | incidents o 48 hoppened 47 Was or threat len or an nething th ppen to y i a crime, incidents o Check i happened | you have just told ? | <pre>> police me about > Yes - No 12 months rt to the p; }</pre> | How man times? which olice? |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or ther incide 39. Did ar with s (other 40. Were y some than a 41. Did ar THRE other (other alread 43. During | eatening ents alre nyone be somethin r than an you knife other we any incid nyone Ti EATEN y weapon, r than an nyone Ti way? (a dy mentio g the las | RY to rob to horm ady ment at you ug g, such a y inciden d, shot c apon by lents alree HREATE oou with c NOT inc y inciden RY to attr ther than oned) t 12 mon | you? (oth ioned) , attack s a rock ts alread at, or atta anyone of ady ment N to beat a knife, g luding te is any inci ack you i s any inci | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) totl? (other ioned) you up or uun, or some lephone threats? y mentioned) n some dents inyone steal | Ves No Yes No Yes No Ves | times? - How man times? - How man times? - How man times? - How man times? - How man | | Concerr No Yes C Did ony you the (other t No C T Pid ony Yes | Ing the - SKIP t - Whot Look at attacked thing sto steal sor thing ho ught was hon any - SKIP t - SKIP t - Whot Look at attacked | AT Was or threat len or an nething th ppen to y incidents o Check i happened 48 Was or threat | you have just told ? | <pre>police me about i Yes - i No 12 months rt to the p i)</pre> | .) How man times? which, olice? |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or three incide 39. Did ar with s some v than a 41. Did ar THRE other (other 42. Did ar other alread 43. During | eatening ents alre- nyone be somethin you kniff other we any incid nyone TI EATEN y weapon, r than an nyone TI way? (a dy mentic g the lass s that be | RY to rob to horm ; ady ment int you up g, such a y inciden ed, shot c apon by ents alice HREATE! NOT inc y inciden RY to attr there than oned) it 12 monitors longed to | you? (oth ioned) , attack s a rock ts alread at, or atta anyone of ady ment N to beat a knife, g luding te is any inci ack you i s any inci | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) icked with t all? (other ioned) you up or un, or some lephone threats? y mentioned) n some dents inyone steal i inside ony car | Ves No Yes No Yes No Ves | times? - How man; times? - How man; times? - How man; times? - How man; times? | | Concerr No Yes C Did any you tho (other t No Yes | Ing the SKIP t What Look at attacked thing sto steal sor thing he ught was han any SKIP t What Look at attacked thing sto | Arcidents o 48 happened 47 Was or threat len or an nething th ppen to y incidents o Check happened 48 Was or threat len or an | you have just told ? | Police me about Person No 212 months to the policy 12 months to the policy 12 months to the policy 12 months | How man times? which olice? |
| from y muggi 38. Did or or thri incide 39. Did or with s some - than a 40. Were y some - than a 41. Did or THRE other 42. Did ar other alread 43. During things or tru 44. Was a | eatening ents alre nyone be somethin than an you knift other we any incid nyone TI EATEN y weapon, r than an nyone TI dy mentic g the lass s that be ck, such | RY to rob to horm ; ady ment in you ug, such a y inciden y inciden d, shot c rapon by lents aire HREATE rou with c NOT inc y inciden NOT inc y inciden RY to attr ther than oned) it 12 mon longed to as pack stolen fr | you? (oth ioned) o, attack is a crack is a crack is a crack is a crack is a crack is a crack anyone of a dy ment of a dy ment N to beat a knife, g luding te is a crack is a cra | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) scked with t all? (other ioned) you up or un, or some lephone threats? y mentioned) n some dents inside any car lothing? hille you were | Ves No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes | Imes? How man Imes? How man times? - How man times? - How man times? - How man times? - How man times? | CHEC CHEC CHEC CHEC CHEC | Concerr No Yes C Did any you tho you tho (other t No Other t Yes | ing the - SKIP t - Whot Look at attacked thing sto steal sor thing ho ught was hon any - SKIP t - Whot Look at attacked thing sto steal sor | incidents o 48 happened 47 - Was or threat len or an nething th ppen to y is crime, incidents o Check t happened 48 - Was or threat len or an nething t | you have just told ? | Police me about | .) How man times? which olice? How man times? |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or three incide 39. Did ar with s some v than a 40. Ware 1 some v than a 41. Did ar THRE other dither other alread 43. During things or fruu 44. Was a away y | eatening ents aire nyone be somethin r than an you knife other we any incid nyone Ti EATEN y weapen, r than an nyone Ti way? (a dy mentic g the loss s that be ck, such inything from hon | RY to rob to harm ; ady ment and you up g, such a y inciden ed, shot c ients alree HREATE iou with c NOT inc y inciden RY to att ther than oned) it 12 moni longed to as packa stolen fix | you? (oth ioned) o, attack is a crack is a crack is a crack is a crack anyone of ady ment N to beat a knife, g Iuding te is alread ack you i any inci the you from sges or c om you will stance of the stance of the | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) ic cll? (other ioned) you up or un, or some lephone threats? y mentioned) n some dents inside any car lothing? hile you ware work, in a | Yes No | times? - How many times? - How many times? - How many times? - How many times? | | Concerr No Yes C Did ony you tho (other t No Other t Yes | ing the - SKIP t - What - What Look at attacked thing sto steal sor thing ha ught was han any - SKIP t - What Look at attacked thing sto steal sor - What - SKIP t - SKIP t | incidents o 48 happened 47 - Was or threat len or an nething th ppen to y incidents o Check happened 48 - Was or threat len or an nething the scription of the the scription of the f the scription of the scription of the f the scription of the scription of the f the scription of the scription of the scription of the f the scription of the scription of the scription of the f the scription of the scriptio | you have just told ? | Police me about | How man times? which olice? How even times? |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or three incide 39. Did ar with s some than a 40. Were 1 some than a 41. Did ar other dither dither alread 43. During things or true 44. Was a away s | eatening ents aire nyone be somethin r than an you knife other we any incid nyone Ti EATEN y weapon, r than an nyone Ti way? (a dy mention g the las s that be ck, such anything from hon er or rest | RY to rob to harm ; ady ment g, such a y inciden ed, shot c agon by i ents alree HREATE! ou with c NOT inc y inciden RY to att ther than aned) it 12 moni longed to as packa stolen fra es, for in taurant, o | you? (oth ioned) b, attack is a crock is a crock is a crock is a crock is a crock ady ment ady ment N to beat a knife, g iluding te is knife, g iluding te is knife, g iluding te is any inci ths, did c ony inci ths, did c ony sou from ages or c om you while to r while to | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) icked with tall? (other ioned) you up or un, or some lephone threats? y mentioned) n some dents inside ony car lothing? hile you were work, in a raveling? | Yes No Yes No | times? - How many times? - How many times? - How many times? - How many times? - How many times? | CHEC TEM TEM CHEC CHEC CHEC | Concerr No Yes C Did ony you tho (other t No C Yes | Ing the - SKIP t - Whot Look at attacked thing sto steal sor rthing ho ught was han any - SKIP t - What Look at attacked thing sto steal sor Do any c for "Hoo | incidents o 48 happened 47 - Was or threat len or an nething th joer to y incidents o Check happened 48 - Was or threat len or an nething th f the scr. v many ti | you have just told ? | Police me about Plant about Pl | How man times? which olice? How man times? |
| from y muggi 38. Did ar or thre incide 39. Did ar with s some than a 40. Were y some than a 41. Did ar 7 HRE (other (other (alread 43. During things or fruu 44. Was y away y theate 45. (Otheate | eatening ents aire nyone be somethin r than an you knife other we any incid nyone TI EATEN y weapon, r than an nyone TI way? (a dy mentic g the lass s that be ck, such s that be ck, such nyothing from hon er or rest | RY to rob to harm ; ady ment ady ment ady ment g, such a y inciden ed, shot c adpon by ents alree HREATE (NOT inc y inciden RY to att ther than aned) as pack stolen from e, for in taurant, o w inciden | you? (oth ioned) >, attack s o rock ts a rock ts alread of, or otto anyone of ady ment N to beet b knife, g luding te ts alread ack you i t any inci ack you i t any inci ths, did c you from sges or c om you wist stonce at r while t | er than any you or hit you or bottle? y mentioned) icked with tall? (other ioned) you up or un, or some lephone threats? y mentioned) n some dents inside ony car lothing? hile you were work, in a raveling? | Yes No Yes No | Imes? How man Imes? How man times? - How man times? - How man times? - How man times? - How man times? | CHEC TEM TEM CHEC CHEC CHEC | Concert No Yes Did any you that Yes X D Yes | Ing the - SKIP t - What Look at attacked thing sto steal sor - What - SKIP t - What Look at attacked thing sto steal sor - What - What | A providents o 48 heppened 47 - Was or threat len or an nething th pren to y incidents o Check is heppened 48 - Was or threat len or an nething the scrime, incidents o Check is heppened 48 - Was or threat len or an nething the len or an nething the len or an nething the len or an nething the len or an nethi | you have just told ? | Police me about Prese Pr | How man times? which olice? How man times? |



1.15

0

- Section and the section of the sec

68

Survey Instruments

| | | جينے ميشي | | | | | | |
|--------|--|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| T T | | NAL CHA | | | | 1 | | |
| | 18. AGE LAST BIRTH- DAY (cc 13) | 19. MARITAL STATUS (cc 14) | 20a. RACE (cc 15) | 205. ORIGIN (cc 16) | 21. SEX (cc 17) | 22. ARMED FORCES MEMBER (cc 18) | 23.What is the highest (or year) of regular you have ever atter (ASK for persons 1 Transcribe for 25+ | school Did you nded? complete 2-24 yrs, that year? |
| | | 33 1 | (039) 1 W. 2 Neg. 3 Ot. | | (€) 1 □ M 2 □ F | 04) , | 042 oo (Never attendee or kindergarter Elem, (01-08) K.S. (09-12) College (21-2) | 2 🗌 No 6+) |
| | this the 3o× / m [| | 1 | • Have y 1 🛄 Y | | No — W 2 3 [| or work during the ien did you last wo Up to 5 years a 5 or more years Never worked | rk? go SKIP to 28a |
| 1 | No oreign d | country, | 27. | Is then 1 🛄 N | | oson why Yes — 2 3 4 | you could not take Already has a j Temporary illne Going to school | ob ss |
| | , village , villag | e, etc.? e, etc.7 | 280 | . For wh | om did y | 5 You (last) | Other - Specify work? (Name of co | · |
| 7 | 0? | | (053) | | | rked - SI | | |
| 1 | er? | |]_, | . What k | ind of bu | siness of | industry is this? (oe store, State Lab | For example: TV or Dept., farm) |
| g | working else? owork- | sKIP to 2 | | . Were y | n employ | yee of a F | RIVATE company, | business or |
| d | Specify | 7 | | 2 🗌 🗛 | idividual GOVER r local)? | NMENT (| s, salary or commi imployee (Federal, | ssions? State, county, |
| D | ces, Sk | (IP to 280 | <u>)</u> | 3 🗋 S | ELF-EM ractice a | PLOYED | in OWN business, | professional |
| | counting | | | | | | PAY in family busi | iness or farm? |
| | operator SKIP to | ' in HH, 28a | b | . What k | ind of wo | ork were y | ou doing? (For exc pist, farmer) | |
| ? | u were | | ₿. | What w example | ere your e: typin, | most imp g, keepin | ortant activities or g account books, se | duties? (For eiling cars, etc.) |
| l | DIVID | UAL SCR | EEN QUE | STIONS | | | | |
| 1 | | - How mar times? | | Did you ATTEN belonge | find any IPTED to rd to you | y evidenc o steal sc ? (other ly mention | | Yes — How many times? |
| | ☐ Yes ☐ No | times? | - 658) | somethi crime? concerr | ing that (Do not ling the | hoppened count on incidents | using the last 12 m to you which you t y calls made to the you have just told | hought was a police |
| | Ves | times? | "田 | | - SKIP t - What | o 48 hoppened | ? | |
| | D No | - How mar times? - How mar | CHEC | :K 💽 : | attacked | or threat | HH member 12 + ened, or was some- | Yes - How many times? |
| | No Ves | times? | | ۲. | steal sor | nething th | attempt made to at belonged to him? | NO |
| | □ No | times? | 059 | (other t | han any - SKIP t | incidents o Check I | | t to the police?) |
| | Ves No | - How man times? | "世 | | | hoppened | HH member 12 + | |
| n | Ves No | times? | ITEM | D | attacked thing sto | or threat | attempt made to hat belonged to him? | Г ^П No |
| | No | t mest | CHE | :к 📐 | for "Hov | v many ti Interviev | next HH member. | End interview |
| | Ves No | - How man times? | | E | | if last re | spondent, and fill me Incident Report | Item 13 on cover. |
| | | . F | age 5 | | | | | |

1

. *

-

Page 5

69

| <u>14.</u> | ा <u>० : जिल</u> े 15. | 16. | 17. | 18. | IAL CHAI | 20a. | 205. | 21. | 22. | 23.What is the highest grade | 24. |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| NAME Keyer – Begin | TYPE OF Inter- | LINE NUMBER (cc8) | RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD | AGE LAST BIRTH- DAY | MARITAL | RACE (cc 15) | ORIGIN | | ARMED FORCES MEMBER | (or year) of regular school you have ever attended? (ASK for persons 12-24 yrs, Transcribe for 255yrs.)(cc19) | Did yes complete that year (cc 20) |
| NEW RECORD | VIEW | | (cc9b) | (cc 13) | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | (cc 18) | | |
| | (034) | (035) | (F.) | (037) | (038) | (039) | | (040) | (041) | (042) | (43) |
| 4 | 1 [] Per 2 [] Tel | 1 | 1 Siend 2 Wife of head | | 1 🗌 M. 2 🗋 Wd. | 1 | i | 1 🗆 M 2 🗆 F | 1 Yes 2 No | oo 🛄 Never attended or kindergarten | 1 🗌 Yes 2 🗌 No |
| First | 3 🗌 NI-2 | | 3 Own child | | | 3 🗍 OL. | | 1-0. | | Elem. (01-08) | [" |
| | • Fill 1621 | | 4 Other relative 5 Non-relative | | 4 ⊡ Sep. s ⊡ N M | | | | | H.S. (09-12) College (21-26+) | |
| CHECK ITEM A | househ | old as la s - SKIP | on cover page. Is st enumeration? (to Check Item B on April 1, 1970? | Box I m | | 26d (5) | . Hove y 1 🛄 Y | | No — W 2 3 | or work during the past 4 we hen did you last work? Up to 5 years ago - SKI 5 or more years ago Never worked | |
| 044) <u>1 □ Yes</u> | | | | □ No | | 27. | Is the | e any rea | | you could not take a job LAS | T WEEK |
| b. Where did U.S. poss | | | 1, 1970? (State, 1 | foreign | country, | 052 | 1 🗆 N | 0 | | Already has a job Temporary illness | |
| State, etc | | | County | | | | | | | Going to school | |
| | | e the limi | ts of a city, town | villag | etc.? | - | | | 5 | Other - Specify | |
| 045) 5 🗔 No | | | lame of city, town | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | 280 | | | | work? (Name of company, or other employer) | |
| | | | es on April 1, 192 | 70? | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6 years old or old | ar? | | _ <u>(053</u>) | | | | KIP to 36 | |
| ITEM B | | - SKIP t | | | | - P | and rai | dio mfg., | retail sh | r industry is this? (For exam oe store, State Labor Dept., | (arm) |
| | e yeu doi | ng most o | FLAST WEEK - | (working | 3, | - 654 | | \Box | | | |
| | | | nool) or something 5 6 🗌 Unable 1 | | SKIP to 26 | d (655) ⁶ | Were y | | | | |
| | | | ork 7 Retired | | | | י רי י ו | ndividual | for wage | PRIVATE company, business as, salary or commissions? | or |
| 3 🛄 Loo | | | a 🗌 Other – | - Specif | 7 | 1 | 2 | GOVER | NMENT | employee (Federal, State, ca | unty, |
| ₄ 🔛 Keej s 🛄 Goir | | | (If Armed Fo | | (ID 1- 10- | | | r local)? ELF-EM | PLOYED | in OWN business, professio | |
| | | | LAST WEEK, not | | | 4 | P | ractice a | r form? | in our positions, protessio | |
| around the | e house? | (Note: If | form or business | | | | | | | PAY in family business or i | |
| 049 0 No | | | y hours? | SKIP to | 28a | a a | | | | you doing? (For example: ele pist, farmer) | ctrical |
| <u> </u> | | | ess from which yo | | | 650 | | | | | |
| \frown | - | | off LAST WEEK? | | | | What w | ere your | most imp | ortant activities or duties? | (For |
| 050) 1 🗌 No | | | nt – SKIP to 28a ff – SKIP to 27 | | | | exampl | e; typin, | g, keepin | g account books, selling car | s, etc.) |
| | | | a sector 1 | NDIVID | UAL SCR | EEN QUE | STIONS | 83 | | a a star a s | |
| 36. The following | | | | Yes | - How man | 46. | | find on | y evidenc | • that someone Yes - | How man |
| hoppened to y between | | | 12 months - _, 197 Did | D No | times? | | | | o steal s ? (other | omething that No | times? |
| you have your | (pocket | picked/p | urse snotched)? | 1 | | | | | ly mentio | | . <u></u> |
| 37. Did anyone ta | | | | Ves | - How man | . 47. | | | | luring the last 12 months to to you which you thought w | |
| mugging or th | | e, such a | s by a stickup, | I No | times? | | crime? | (Do not | count an | y calls made to the police | |
| 38. Did anyone T | | | | Yes | - How man | . (058) | | | | you have just told me about | •) |
| or threatening incidents alre | | | er than any | D No | times? | | | | happened | ? | |
| 39. Did anyone be | | | you or hit you | Ves | - How man | 1 | | | | | <u></u> |
| with somethin | ig, such a | is a rock | or bottle? | | times? | ` | | Look at | 47 W | HH menter (2) . 1 | |
| (other than an 40. Were you knif some other we | ed, shot a | at, or atta | cked with | 1 Yes | - How man times? | CHEC | | attacked | or threat | HH member 12 + ened, or was some- attempt made to | How man times? |
| than any inclu | | | | | | | 7 | steal so | nething u | hat belonged to him? | |
| 41. Did anyone T THREATEN y other weapon, | you with a , NOT inc | a knife, g Juding te | un, or some lephone threats? | Ves No | - How man times? | 48. | you the | ught was | a crime, | ou during the last 12 months but did NOT report to the p already mentioned) | which olice? |
| (other than an | | | | 1 | | ┹┼┤ | | | o Check happened | | |
| 42. Did anyone T other way? (c already menti | other than | | | Ves No | - How man times? | 世 | | - 460 | nappered | | • |
| 43. During the las | st 12 mon longed to | you from | inside any car | Ves No | - How man times? | CHEC | D | attacked thing sto | or threat len or an | HH member 12 + Yes - tened, or was some- attempt made to No hat belonged to him? | How man times? |
| 44. Was anything away from hor theater or res | me, for in | stance at | work, in a | Yes | - How man times? | CHE | | Do any o for "How | of the scr w many ti | een questions contain any en mes?" | |
| 45. (Other than an Sentioned) Wa | ny incide as anythi | nts you'v ng (else) | e already at all stolen | - Internet | - How man times? | | | с | if last re | w next HH member. End inte espondent, and fill item 13 o ime incident Reports. | rview n cover |
| ORM NCS-3 (8-23-78 | - | | | | | age 6 | <u>-</u> | | | | ······ |

x + 4 *

4



0

70

Survey Instrumeters 71

(ind

| | | | | | | | | | uniena |
|--------|--|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|---|
| | PERSO | AL CHA | RACTER | ISTICS | (Sid | 8. | 4 | | |
| | 18. AGE LAST BIRTH- DAY (cc 13) | 19. MARITAL Status (cc 14) | 20a. RACE (cc 15) | 206. ORIGIN (cc 15) | 21. SEX (cc 17) | 22. ARMED FORCES MEMBER (cc 18) | 23.What is the highes (or year) of regula you have ever atte (ASK for persons Transcribe for 256 | r school mded? 1224 yrs. | 24. Did you complete that year? (cc 20) |
| | ₪ | 039 ↓ □ M. ₂ □ Wd. ₃ □ D. | 039 1 W. 2 Neg. 3 Ot. | | € 1 _ M 2 _ F | 04) 1 🗌 Yes 2 🗌 No | 042 00 Never attende Cr kindergarte Elem. (01-08 | 'n | 043 1 🗆 Yes 2 🗌 No |
| e | | 4 Sep. 5 N M | 1 24 | Have | | | H.S. (09–12) | | |
| (} | ⊴±histhe Box Im [| arked) | (65) | 1 🖂 Y | 'es | No – Wł | or work during the ten did you last w Up to 5 years a | ork? an — SKIF | 2 to 28a |
| 2 | □ No | | | · : | · · · | * L | | <u> </u> | |
| - | foreign d | country, | 27. @52 | ls îher 1 ── N | | | | jot ess | T WEEK? |
| | , village | | - | | | 5 | | , 77 | |
| ** | , villag | e, etc.7 | 289 | . For wh busine | iom did y ss. orgai | ou (last) nization o | work? (Name of co or other employer) | ompany, | · |
| 9 | 70? | | (053) | | | rked – SA | | | |
| | er? | | $\neg \smile$ | - | | | industry is this? oe store, State Lai | (For exam | ple: TV |
| | s (working 1 else? |),), | 654 | . Were y | | recuit sn | | | torm) |
| | to work— | SKIP to 2 | | 1 🗆 🗛 | n employ | ee of a F | RIVATE company s, salary or commi | , business | or |
| | - Specify | 7 | | 2 | | | imployee (Federal, | | unty, |
| - | | (IP to 28) | <u>.</u> | 3 🛄 SI | ELF-EM | PLOYED r form? | in OWN business, | professio | nal |
| | counting operator | | | 4 🗆 W | orking W | THOUT | PAY in family bus | | |
| _ | SKIP to | 28a | | engine | er, stock | clerk, ty | ou doing? (For ex pist, former) | omple: ele | ctrical |
| 2 | on Mete | | (056) | What w | ere your e: typin | most imp | ortant activities o g account books, s | r dutics? | (For |
| _ | | | | | | ai | , account books, s | citing cui | 3, 210.) |
| 1 | · · · · · · | | EEN QUE | | 1.3124 | <u>(1880)</u> | e that someone | | 2002 |
| 1 | I Yes | - How mar times? | | ATTEM belonge inciden | PTED to the you to stread | o steal so ? (other t ly mention | mething that han any hed) | I No | times? |
| | Ves | - How mar times? | | somethi crime? | ing that (Do not | happened count any | uring the last 12 m to you which you y calls made to the | thought wa police | is a |
| | Ves No | - How mar times? | | No - | - SKIP t | | you have just told ? | me about. | |
| | Ves | - How man times? | 世 | | ook at | 47 - War | HH member 12 + | <u> </u> | |
| | | - How man times? | CHEC | c d | attacked thing sto | or threate len or an | attempt made to attempt made to | No | How many times? |
| - | Ves | - How man times? | y 48. (059) | Did any you the | thing ha | ppen to y a crime, | ou during the last but did NOT repor already mentioned | 12 months t to the po | which plice? |
| - | C Yes | - How man | ,田 | 🔲 No - | - SKIP t | o Check I happened | tem E | | · · · |
| _ | DN0 | times? | | | Look at | 48 - Wae | HH member 12 + | | |
| | D No | - How man times? | ITEM | | attacked thing sto | or threat len or an | attempt made to attempt made to belonged to him | | How many times? |
| | Yes | - How man times? | | - 🔺 🗋 | Do any o for "How | f the scre many tir | en questions cont nes?" | ain any en | tries |
| - | Yes | - How man times? | CHEC | | No | Interview if last re | v next HH member, spondent, and fill me Incident Repor | item 13 or | rview 1 cover. |
| _ | <u>i – ""</u> | P | #20 7 | | | | increan repor | ••••• | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

- 's -

- x - P - **B**

| | 100 | 1.579 | | PERSO | AL CHA | RACTER | ISTICS | 2040 F | | a | * | e zak |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|
| 14. NAME KEYER - BEGIN NEW RECORD | 15. TYPE OF INTER- | 16. LINE NUMBER (cc8) | 17. RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD | 18. AGE LAST BIRTH- DAY | | 20a. RACE (cc 15) | 205. ORIGIN (cc 16) | 21. SEX (cc 17) | 22. ARMED FORCES WENDER | 23.What is the highest (or year) of regular you have ever atter (ASK for persons I Transcribe for 25+ | schoel def? 2-24 yrs. | 24. Did you complete that year? (cc 20) |
| Last | VIEW | | (0000) | (cc 13) | | | | | (cc 18) | | 13./(0013/ | |
| C44. | 64 | (035) | | (0)7) | (038) | (039) | | @ | (41) | (042) | | (43) |
| | 1 Per 2 Tel | 1 2 4 | 1 Head | | 1 🗌 M. 2 🗌 Wd. | 1 | i . | 1 🗌 🗰 - 2 🗋 F | 1 🗌 Yes 2 🗌 No | oo Never attended or kindergarter | 1 · | 1 Yes 2 No |
| First | 3 🗋 Ni 🗝 | } | 3 Own child | | 3 🗆 0. | 3 🗌 0L | | [-[]. | | Elem. (01-08) | | - 0 |
| | Fill' 16-21 | 1 | 4 Other relative | | 4 🗌 Sep. | | | (. | 1 | H.S. (09-12) | 641 | - |
| | <u> </u> | L | 5 Non-relative | L., | 5 🗌 N M | 1 24 | i | [| 1 | | | |
| CHECK ITEM A | househ | old as la: = SKIP | n cover page. Is st enumeration? (to Check Item B n April 1, 1970? | BoxIm | | (65) | 1 🛄 Y | | No — W 2 3 | or work during the hen did you last wo Up to 5 years a S or more years | rk? zo SKII | |
| (044) 1 🗖 Yes | | | | ⊡ No | | | la tha | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Never worked | ر ماما ۲۸۶ | TWEEV |
| · | | | 1, 1970? (State, | foreign | country, | 632 | 1 | | Yes - 2 | Already has a j | ob | I WEEK! |
| State, etc | • | | County | | | - <u> </u> - | | | 4 | Going to school | | |
| c. Did you l | ive insid | e the limi | ts of a city, town | , villag | e, etc.? | 1 | | | 5 | Other - Specify | 7 | |
| 645 I □ № | 5 |] Yes - N | lame of city, town | n, villag | e, etc.7 | 28. | . For wi | om did . | ou (las() | work? (Name of co | mbany | |
| d. Were you | in the Ar | med Forc | es on April 1, 19 | 70? | | - | | | | or other employer) | inpuny. | |
| 에 1 🗋 Yes | 2 | No | | | | (053) | ×□I | lever wo | rked - S | KIP to 36 | | |
| CHECK | | | 5 years old or old | | | · | | | | r industry is this? | | |
| ITEM B | | - SKIP to | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | retail sh | oe store, State Lab | or Dept., | (arm) |
| 26a. What were keeping b | e you doi: iouse, iio | ng most o ing to sch | f LAST WEEK - lool) or something | (working alse? | | 054 | . Were y | | | | | |
| (048) 1 🛄 Worl | king - SA | (IP to 280 | 6 🗍 Unable | to work - | SKIP to 2 | | _1 🗖 Å | n emplo | | PRIVATE company, | | or i |
| 2 🗌 With | | | ork 7 CRetired | | | | i | ndividuol | for wage | s, solary or commi | ssions? | |
| 3 🗌 Loo 4 🗌 Kee | | | a 🛄 Other - | - Specij | 7 | | | GOVER r local)? | | employee (Federal, | State, co | unty, |
| 5 🖸 Goir | | | (If Armed Fo | rces. Si | KIP to 28d | 5 | 3 🗖 \$ | ELF-EM | PLOYED | in OWN business, | professio | nal |
| b. Did you d | o any wo | rk at all I | LAST WEEK, not | | | 4 | P | ractice a | er form? | | | |
| around th | e house? | (Note: If | farm or business | | | | _ | | | PAY in family bus | | |
| (049) o 🗋 No | | | hours? | SKIP to | 28a | l ° | engine | er, stock | clerk, ty | you doing? (For exc pist, farmer) | impie: ele | cuical |
| c. Did you h | ave a job | or busin | ess from which y | ou were | | 656 | | [] | | | | |
| ~ | 2 🗌 Ye | s – Abse | off LAST WEEK nt SKIP to 28a ff SKIP to 27 | | | | | | | g account books, s | | |
| | | | | NDIVID | UAL SCR | EEN QU | ESTIONS | | 19.06.9 | | | 1994 |
| 36. The following | question | s refer o | nly to things that | 1 | - Hew man | | Did you | u find an | | e that someone | Yes - | How many |
| happened to y | ou during | the last | 12 menths - | | times? | | ATTE | APTED 1 | a steal s | emething that | | times? |
| between | | | , 197 Did (rse snatched)? | □ No | - | | | | ? (other dy mentio | | 1 | |
| 37. Did anyone to | | | | | - How stat | 47. | | | | luring the last 12 m | | |
| from you by u | sing forc | | by a stickup, | | times? | 1 | | | | to you which you t y calls made to the | | |
| mugging or th | | uni Lu | uine force | + | | (05) | concer | ning the | incidents | you have just told | | .) |
| 38. Did anyone T or threatening | to harm | you? (oth | | 1 | i — How mar timesT | ΥŤ | | - SKIP : - What | to 48 happened | 17 | | |
| incidents alre | ady ment | ioned) | | No No | | | و، ب | | | • | | |
| 39. Did anyone b with semethin | eat you u | p, attack 18 a rock | you or hit you or battle? | Ye | i — How mae times? | rμD | | | | | | |
| (other than an | y incider | nts alread | y mentioned) | D No | | CHE | | Look at | 47 - Was | HH member 12 + ened, or was some- | Yes - | How many |
| 40. Were you knif some other we than any inclu | eapon by | anyone at | all? (other | Ver No | - How man times? | ITEM | | mult 2rd | vieti ot at | ened, or was some- attempt made to hat belonged to him! | i Ll no | times? |
| 41. Did enyene T | | | | | - How man times? | | | | | eu during the last | | |
| THREATEN other weapon, (other than ar | NOT in | luding te | lephone threats? | No | | (059) | (ether t | - SKIP | incidents to Check | | | oitc∉l. |
| 42. Did anyone T other way? (already menti | other that | eck you i any inci | n some dents | Vel No | - How maa times? | "出 | T Yes | | happoned | | | |
| 43. During the la things that be or truck, such | elonged to | you from | inside any car | Ver | - How man times? | CHE | | attacked thing sto | or threat | HH member 12 + tened, or was some attempt made to hat belonged to him | | Hew many times? |
| 44. Was anything away from he | stolen fr me, for in | om you w stance at | hile you were work, in a | 1 | - How man times? | 7- | | Do any o | | een questions cont | 1 | ntries |
| theater or res | · · · | | | | | CHE | | | | mes: w next HH member. | End Inte | rview |
| 45. (Other then a mentioned) We from you duri | as anythi | ng (else) | at all stolen | | - How man times? | Y ITEN | 7 | | if lest n | espondent, and fill ime Incident Repor | item 13 o | |
| FORM NCS-2 (8-21-73 | _ | | | خنجبك | | are 8 | | - | | | | |



a start

0

9

72

Survey Instruments 73

•

4

62

•

| of crime). ii) i Customer a series of crimes? iii) i Customer a series of crimes? iii) i Customer a series of crimes? iii) i Customer iiii) i Customer iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii | | | |
|--|--|--|----------|
| From NES4 U.J. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SOCIAL AND ECONMENT ATION BURGAUL OF THE CANADISTRATION CRIME INCIDENT REPORT NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY Refer to of crime), mit happen? S. Were you a cutomer, employee, or owner? D 1 CUtomer a mith spepe? S. Were you a cutomer, employee, or owner? D 1 CUtomer a mith spep? S. Were you a cutomer, employee, or owner? D 1 CUtomer a mith spep? S. Were you a cutomer, employee, or owner? D 1 Cutomer a series of crimes? III III Cutomer a series of crimes? D 1 Yes S. KIP to Check liem B R R 1 Yes S. KIP to Check liem B R 1 Yes S. Don't know D D 1 Yes S. Don't know D D 1 No SC Don't know Sistabed screen scrifts for the offender(s) (forced his household, incident screen] SK/P 1 No SKIP to Go SKIP to Go SKIP to Go 1 No SKIP to Go SKIP to Go SKIP to Go 1 Staing or twinder(s | | NOTICE - Your report to the Census Bureau is confidential by law | |
| From NES4 U.J. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SOCIAL AND ECONMENT ATION BURGAUL OF THE CANADISTRATION CRIME INCIDENT REPORT NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY Refer to of crime), mit happen? S. Were you a cutomer, employee, or owner? D 1 CUtomer a mith spepe? S. Were you a cutomer, employee, or owner? D 1 CUtomer a mith spep? S. Were you a cutomer, employee, or owner? D 1 CUtomer a mith spep? S. Were you a cutomer, employee, or owner? D 1 Cutomer a series of crimes? III III Cutomer a series of crimes? D 1 Yes S. KIP to Check liem B R R 1 Yes S. KIP to Check liem B R 1 Yes S. Don't know D D 1 Yes S. Don't know D D 1 No SC Don't know Sistabed screen scrifts for the offender(s) (forced his household, incident screen] SK/P 1 No SKIP to Go SKIP to Go SKIP to Go 1 No SKIP to Go SKIP to Go SKIP to Go 1 Staing or twinder(s | | and may be used only for statistical purposes. | |
| SOCIAL AND EXPLOSED STATISTICS ADMINISTRATION | · – | Not a | |
| SOCIAL AND EXPLOSED STATISTICS ADMINISTRATION | 1.5 | DRIA (1034 | |
| CRIME INCIDENT REPORT NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY CENTRAL CITIES SAMPLE Refer to of crime). So. Were you a customer, employee, or owner? 0 crime). So. Were you a customer, employee, or owner? D 0 crime). So. Were you a customer, employee, or owner? D 0 crime). So. Were you a customer, employee, or owner? D 10 customer Customer No a series of crimes? No SkiP to Check Item B a customer or try to steal onything from the store, restatource, etc.? must hows 3 or incidents which trecoil separately) Col the offender(a) live there are have a right to be there, such as a guest or a workman? R disce? (1) 1 Criss SKIP to Check Item B P z No So Don't know Don't know C be off diredr(a) actually get in ar just TRY to get in the sulfding? T T questions refer (1) No SkiP SkiP (1) I Actually got in z Don't know SkiP SkiP SkiP (1) I Actually got in z Don't know SkiP SkiP SkiP (1) I Through unlocked door or window SkiP | | U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE | |
| CRIME INCIDENT REPORT NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY CENTRAL CITIES SAMPLE Refer to of crime). of crime). in happen? spondent to 5e. Wrer you a customer, employee, or owner? D 11 Customer ICUSTOMER E a series of crimes? 1 Customer N 12 Employee Secondary M a series of crimes? 1 Customer N 13 1 Customer N 14 Chemer - Specify N N 15 1 Yes SKIP to Check Item B R 16 10 1 Yes SKIP to Check Item B R 17 1 Yes SKIP to Check Item B R R 18 1 Yes SKIP to Check Item B R R 19 1 Yes SKIP to Check Item B R R 10 1 Yes SKIP to Check Item B R R 10 1 Acs stock acreen any widence, such as a broken lock ar broken windwe to the such acree any widence, such as a broken lock ar broken windwe to the such acree windwe to the such acree windwe to the such acree windwe to t | | SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS | N |
| NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY CENTRAL CITIES SAMPLE J Refer to of crime). In happen? spondent to Se. Were you a customer, employee, or awner? D 10 Customer 2 Employee so Owner E as series of crime? In a series of crime? D D 11 Customer 3 Owner N 12 Employee 3 Owner N 13 Other - Specify N N 14 Control of fender(s) treat or TRY to steal anything from the store, are store, are submarked, factory, etc.? N 15 10 Tes of space(s) steal or TRY to steal anything from the store, are submarked, factory, etc.? N 16 10 10 Yes SkiP to Check Item B R 17 1 Yes - SKIP to Check Item B R R 18 Don't know D D R 19 1 Actually pot in the building? T T 110 1 Actually pot in the output photoked door or window 3 SKIP SKIP 10 1 Through unlocked door or window 3 SKIP SKIP 10 1 Through hack or wi | | | |
| NATIONAL CRIME SURVET CENTRAL CITIES SAMPLE Refer to of crime; sepondent to 11 Customer 2 Employee 3 Owner - Specify 12 Customer 2 Employee 3 Owner - Specify 13 Customer 2 Employee 3 Owner - Specify 14 Customer 2 Employee 3 Owner - Specify 15 The stres, restaurant, office, factory, etc.? 16 The stres, restaurant, office, factory, etc.? 17 1 Pres 2 Don't know 18 Obs. Did the offender(s) live there or how a right to be there, such as a guest or a workman? 19 1 Yes - SKIP to Check litem B 2 No 2 Don't know 19 1 Yes - SKIP to Check litem B 2 Just trid to get in 7 10 1 Customer questions refer 11 110 No 1111 No 1112 No 112 No 113 No 114 Customer 115 1 Catheolegical stress 116 1 Customer 117 1 Catheolegical stress 118 1 Through unlocked door or window | | CRIME INCIDENT REPORT | 2 |
| CENTRAL CITIES SAMPLE Refer to d Crime), in thespen? So. Ware you a customer, employee, or awner? D 10 1 Customer Employee spondent to So. Ware you a customer, employee, or awner? D ascries of crimes? Did the person(s) steel or TRY to used anything from the store, restaurant, office, factory, etc.? N ascries of crimes? Don't know SKIP to Check Item B R incidents which Go. Did the offender(s) Itre there or have a right to be there, stock as a guest or a workman? R incidents which Go. Did the offender(s) Itre there or have a right to be there, stock as a guest or a workman? R incidents which Go. Did the offender(s) Itre there or have a right to be there, stock as a guest or a workman? R incident set which Stock as a guest or a workman? R int a stock as a guest or a workman? R int a factually got in a pust in the work and work or a workman? R int a factually got in a pust in the work index or a work and index? R int a cust work and work or index as a pust in the violance? R int a cust work and work or work and a backen lock or broken window, that the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? R int a cust or work and any work | | NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY | 6 |
| Refer to So. Were you a customer, employee, or awner? of crime), in happen? cspondent to a series of crimes? iii) iii) iii) iii) iii) iii) iii) iiii) iiii) iiii) iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii | | | |
| of crime), min happen? 11 1 □ Customer 2 □ Employee F a series of crimes? 11 1 □ Yes 2 □ No SK/P to Check Item B must have 3 or crichents whichly 11 1 □ Yes 3 □ Don't know SK/P to Check Item B series of crimes? 11 1 □ Yes 3 □ Don't know SK/P to Check Item B R series? 11 1 □ Yes 3 □ Don't know SK/P to Check Item B R series? 11 1 □ Yes 3 □ Don't know D D series? 11 1 □ Yes 3 □ Don't know D D series? 113 1 □ Tes of series, such as a broken lock or broken window, that the offender(s) forced his way in/TRIED to force his way in) the building? T mits of this d. How did the offender(s) (seried nor window 3 □ □ □ □ Vict work as the avidence? SK/P to Check fiber of this d. How did the offender(s) (seried in/try to get in)? SK/P to force his way in) the building? mits of this d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? SK/P to force his way ing bio window 3 □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ | | CENTRAL CITIES SAMPLE | 1 |
| of crime), min happen? 11 1 □ Customer 2 □ Employee F a series of crimes? 11 1 □ Yes 2 □ No SK/P to Check Item B must have 3 or crichents whichly 11 1 □ Yes 3 □ Don't know SK/P to Check Item B series of crimes? 11 1 □ Yes 3 □ Don't know SK/P to Check Item B R series? 11 1 □ Yes 3 □ Don't know SK/P to Check Item B R series? 11 1 □ Yes 3 □ Don't know D D series? 11 1 □ Yes 3 □ Don't know D D series? 113 1 □ Tes of series, such as a broken lock or broken window, that the offender(s) forced his way in/TRIED to force his way in) the building? T mits of this d. How did the offender(s) (seried nor window 3 □ □ □ □ Vict work as the avidence? SK/P to Check fiber of this d. How did the offender(s) (seried in/try to get in)? SK/P to force his way in) the building? mits of this d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? SK/P to force his way ing bio window 3 □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ | | | |
| mit happen? spondent to a series of crime? is other = Specify is other = Specify is series of crime? iii : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : | Refer to | | U |
| and happen? 2 Lipsipieve - | of crime). | | |
| spondent to s Owner | int hoppen r | 2 Employee | ۱Ľ. |
| a series of crimest a. bit the person(s) steal or TRY to steal carything from the store, restaturant, office, factory, etc.? T is series of crimest iii) Yes SKIP to Check liem B R iscalar a. Don't know SKIP to Check liem B R iscalar a. Don't know SKIP to Check liem B R iscalar a. Don't know Check liem B R actions refer (1) 1 (a. Check liem B) Check liem B Check liem B actions refer (1) A. Ske any evidence, such as a broken lock or broken window (or risk) SKIP SKIP actors refer (1) No SKIP SKIP SKIP is of this d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? SKIP SKIP SKIP | espondent to | | |
| scries of crimes 5. Did the person(1) steel or TRY to steel anything from the store, restaurant, office, factory, etc.? T a scries of crimes? 11 Yes SKIP to Check Item B R must have 3 or incidents which the offender(s) live there or have a right to be there, such as a guest or a workman? R face? 11 Yes = SKIP to Check Item B R incidents which the offender(s) live there or have a right to be there, such as a guest or a workman? R incidents which the offender(s) forced that way in the billing? 11 Yes = SKIP to Check Item B R incident screen 12 No 12 Don't know R series? 11 Actually pot in a just TRY to get in the billing? T inductions refer 10 Actually pot in a just ride to get in a just way in/TRIED to force his way in/TRIED to force his way in/t the billing? T mits of this d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? SKIP to Check Item B fill 1 Through unlocked door or window SKIP to Check Item B incident part of this 11 the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? SKIP to Check Item B incident part or the billing? 12 Don't know SKIP to Check Item B incident part or the billing? 12 Don't know SKIP to Check Item B <t< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>11</td></t<> | - | | 11 |
| a series of crimes? iii) iii) iii) iii) iiii) iiiii) iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii | | 4 JUner - Specify | ١÷ |
| a series of crimes? III Yes 2 No 3 Don't know 6 - Did the offender(s) live there or have a right to be there, such as a guest or a workman? 6 - Did the offender(s) actually get in or just TRY to get in the building? III Yes - SKIP to Check liem B 2 No 3 Don't know b Did the offender(s) actually get in or just TRY to get in the building? III Actually got in 2 Just tried to get in 3 Don't know c Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or broken window, that the offender(s) (forced his way in/TRIED to force his way in/the building? III No Yes - What was the evidence? Anything else? (Mark all that apply) 2 Broken lock or window 3 Forced door or window 3 Forced door or window 3 Don't know c Was there any evidence (get in/try to get in)? III Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know c weepon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using cc a weepon, such as a gun or knife, or something he was using cc a weepon? (Mark all that apply) 3 On't know Yes - What was the weepon? (Mark all that apply) 3 On't know c meench? SKIP to do SKIP | | 2. Did the person(s) steal or LKT to steal unything from | μ. |
| must have 3 or n:idents which firecell separately) 6c. Did the offender(s) live there or have a right to be there, such as a guest or a workman? filece? (ii) 1 □ Yes - SKIP to Check litem B 2 □ No 3 □ Don't know b. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just TRY to get in the building? 1 □ Actually pot in 2 □ Just tried to get in auestions refer (iii) (iii) (iii) 1 □ Actually pot in 2 □ Just tried to get in auestions refer (iii) (iii) (iii) 1 □ No (iiii) (iiiii) (iiiiii) (iiiiii) (iiiiiii) (iiiiiiiii) (iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii | and an af and man? | | <u>۱</u> |
| must have 3 or a | a series of crimes: | (114) 1 🗆 Yes | I. |
| and it is which trecall separately) S = Did it a offender(s) live there or have a right to be there, such as a guest or a workman? all ace? 113 1 = Yes - SKIP to Check Item B series? 113 1 = Yes - SKIP to Check Item B series? 113 1 = Yes - SKIP to Check Item B questions refer 113 1 = Actually got in 2 = Just tried to get in 3 = Don't know 1 questions refer 110 1 = Actually got in 2 = Just tried to get in 3 = Don't know 1 questions refer 110 1 = On't know 1 in the building? 1 1 1 110 1 = Actually got in 2 = Don't know 1 1 questions refer 100 Yes - What was the work of window 3 = Forced door or window 4 = Ditter - Specify SKIP 111 1 = Through unlocked door or window 1 SKIP 10 112 1 = Through unlocked door or window 1 1 1 113 1 = Through unlocked door or window 2 Yes 1 114 1 = Through unlocked door or window 2 Yes 1 118 1 = Through unlocked door or window 2 Yes | | 2 No SKIP to Check Item B | Þ |
| i recoil separately i acc? i acc? i acc? iii acc iii accc iii accc iiii accc iiii accc iiii accc iiii acccc acccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc | must have 3 or | 3 Don't know J | Jư |
| blace? ithere, such as a guest or a workman? iiii i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | ncidents which | An Did the offender(s) live there or have a right to be | ۱c |
| iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii | crecon separatery | there, such as a guest or a workman? | I. |
| (1)3 1 Yes - SKIP to Check Item B 2 No 3 Don't know b. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just TRY to get In the building? I auestions refer 1 Actually got in 2 Just tried to get in 3 Don't know I questions refer 1 Actually got in 2 Just tried to get in 3 Don't know I questions refer 1 No Yes - What was the evidence? Anything else? (Mark all that apply) 2 Backen lock or window 3 Forced door or window 3 Forced door or window 3 Forced door or window 3 Don't know SKIP to Check Item B 4 11 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key SkiP to Check Item B Image: steren 3 Don't know 4 0ther - Specify | olace? | | D |
| 2 □ No 3 □ Don't know b. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just TRY to get in the building? 113 113 114 2 □ just tried to get in 3 □ Don't know questions refer 117 118 118 119 110 110 111 112 113 114 115 115 116 117 118 118 119 1110 1111 | | (115) 1 TYes - SKIP to Check Item B | ٦ |
| series? series? 10 Series? 11 Catcually got in or just TRY to get in the building? 11 Catcually got in 2 Just tried to get in 3 Don't know c. Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or broken window, that the offender(s) (forced his way in/TRIED to force his way in) the building? 11 Catcually got in 2 Just tried to get in 3 Don't know c. Was there eany evidence? Anything else? (Mark all that apply) 2 Broken lock or window 3 Forced door or window 3 Forced door or window 3 Forced door or window 4 Started tot apply) 2 Had key 5 Other - Specify 11 Catcually got in/Try to get in/? 12 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify 13 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify 14 No - SKIP to 13a 2 Tes 5 SKIP to 6a 5 SKIP to 71 5 No 5 SKIP to 72 5 No 5 SKIP to 72 5 No 5 SKIP to 72 5 No 5 SKIP to 72 5 No 5 No 5 SKIP to 72 5 No 5 SKIP to 72 5 No 5 No 5 SKIP to 72 5 No 5 SKIP to 72 5 No 5 SKIP to 72 5 No 5 No 5 SKIP to 72 5 No 5 SKIP to 72 5 No 5 No 5 SKIP to 72 5 No 5 No 5 SKIP to 74 5 No 5 No 5 SKIP to 74 5 No 5 No | | | In |
| b. Did the offender(a) actually get in or just TRY to get in the building? aeries? 11 Actually got in 2 Just tried to get in 3 Don't know c. Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or broken window, that the offender(a) (forced his way in/TRIED to force his way in) the building? 11 No 2 Broced door or window 3 Forced door or window (or tried) 2 Broken lock or window 3 Forced door or window (or tried) 3 Forced door or window 3 Forced door or window 3 Forced door or window 3 Forced door or window 3 Forced door or window 4 Sisted screen 5 Other - Specify 4 Mew did the offender(a) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify Was any member of this household, incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 THEM B 1 No - SKIP to 13a 2 Tes 7a. Did the person(a) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a botile, or wrench? 5a 5a 5a 5a 5a 5a 5a 5b 5a 5a 5a 5a 5a 5b 5a 5b 5a 5a 5a 5b 5a 5b 5a 5a 5a 5b 5a 5b 5a 5a 5b 5a 5b 5a 5b <l< td=""><td>ł</td><td></td><td>JU</td></l<> | ł | | JU |
| series? It is the building? 110 1 111 1 2 Just tried to get in 3 111 2 112 Just tried to get in 3 113 1 114 1 115 1 116 1 117 1 118 1 119 1 110 No Yes - What was the evidence? Anything else? (Mark all that apply) 2 Broken lock or window 3 Don't know 4 Standards 117 1 118 1 119 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 2 Had key 119 CHECK Including respondent, present when this incident occurred? 118 I 119 CHECK ITEM B 1 110 CHECK Including respondent, present when this incident occurred? </td <td>. 1 a l</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> | . 1 a l | | 1 |
| series? in the building? in the building? in Actually got in augustions refer in the building? in the building? in the building? int the building? in the building? int the building? in the building? int the building? int the building? int the building? <td>, t</td> <td>b. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just TRY to get</td> <td>IK</td> | , t | b. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just TRY to get | IK |
| auestions refer 2 just tried to get in s indow, that the offender(s) (forced his way in/TRIED to force his way in) the building? iiii No Yes - What was the evidence? Anything else? (Mark all that apply) SKIP to Creced door or window s Forced door or window s Forced door or window s Forced door or window s Forced door or window iiiii d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii | | in the building? | 1- |
| 2 □ Just tried to get in 3 □ Don't know c. Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or broken window, that the offender(s) (forced his way in/TRIED to force his way in) the building? 11) No Yes - What was the evidence? Anything else? (Mark all that apply) 2 □ Broken lock or window 3 □ Forced door or window 3 □ Forced door or window 3 □ Forced door or window 3 □ Doter - Specify p SKIP to Check Item B 4 11) 1 □ Through unlocked door or window 2 □ Had key 3 □ Don't know SKIP to Check Item B 119 CHECK ITEM B Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this includent occurrent? (If not sure, ASK) ITEM B 119 CHECK So 1 □ No - SKIP to 13a 2 □ Yes 5x 7a. Did the person(s) have a weepon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using co a weepon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 5a SKIP to 6a 5a Strife 5a Strife 5a Strife 5a Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) 3 □ Gun 4 □ Knife 5a Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually ettack you in same other way? 12 10 131 Yes - SKIP to 71 2 □ No 1 □ No - SKIP to 72 10 1 □ No - | series? | (116) 1 Actually got in | 11 |
| auestions refer s Don't know questions refer • Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or broken window, that the offender(s) (forced his way in/TRIED to force his way in) the building? iiii • Was there any evidence? Anything else? (Mark all that apply) 2 Broken lock or window 2 Broken lock or window 3 Forced door or window 3 Broken lock or window 5 KIP 4 1 Dan't know 4 10 Dan't know 4 10 Dan't know 4 10 Check 10 1 Drough unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 3 Don't know 4 Don't know 4 0ther - Specify 119 CHECK 119 CHECK 119 CHECK 120 1 No 2 Yes 5 SKIP to óo 13 1 No 2 Yes - What was the wappon such as a gun or knife, or semethi | | | |
| questions refer c. Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or broken window, that the offender(s) (forced his way in/TRIED to force his way in/TRIED to force his way in/the building? 11) 1 No Yes - What was the evidence? Anything else? (Mark all that apply) 2 Broken lock or window 3 Forced door or window 3 Forced door or window 3 Forced door or window 4 Slashed screen 5 Other - Specify T mits of this d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 11) 1 11 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify 110 CHECK 1110 CHECK 1120 CHECK 1131 CHECK 114 No - SKIP to 13a 115 Check is a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a botle, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a botle, or something he was using as a weapon? (Mark all that apply) 115 No 12 Other - Specify 131 No | | | |
| questions refer window, that the offender(is) (torce in is way in/ Tritled to force his way in/ the building? 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) | | | |
| questions re[c] to force his way in) the building? 10 No Yes - What was the evidence? Anything else? (Mark all that apply) 2 Broken lock or window 3 Forced door or window 4 5 Isahed screen 5 Other - Specify 118 119 118 119 119 119 119 119 119 119 119 Check 110 No 1110 No 111 No 111 No 111 No 111 | | c. Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or broken | |
| 1 No Yes - What was the evidence? Anything else? (Mark all that apply) 2 Broken lock or window 3 Forced door or window 4 3 10 1 mits of this 4 4 3 11 Through unlocked screen 5 Other - Specify_7 118 1 119 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 0 ther - Specify was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this is or settle, or whenthis the | questions refer | window, that the ottender(s) (torced his way in the building? | - I- |
| Yes - What was the evidence? Anything else? (Mark all that apply) 2 Broken lock or window (or tried) 3 Forced door or window (or tried) 4 Broken lock or window (or tried) 5 Dohen sch or window 6 How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 113 113 113 113 113 113 113 113 114 115 115 116 117 118 118 119 CHECK 110 No SKIP to 6a shife, or wrench? 11 No | | | |
| (Mark all that apply) 2 Broken lock or window 3 Forced door or window 4 Slashed screen 5 Other - Specify 4 1 5 Other - Specify 6 How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | |
| 2 Broken lock or window Gor tried) SKIP a Stashed screen S Other - Specify Y 4 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 0 ther - Specify 113 1 113 1 114 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 0 ther - Specify 4 IIIP CHECK Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (if not sure, ASK) 119 CHECK 119 CHECK 119 CHECK 110 CHECK 1110 CHECK 1111 ICHECK 1112 ICHECK 112 No - SKIP to 13a 2 Yes 7c. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or wrench? 110 I 11 No 2 Don't know Yes Skife 11 No | | Yes - What was the evidence? Anything else? | |
| s SKIP to 6a SKIP to 6a SKIP to 6a SKIP to 6a SKIP to 6c SKIP to 7c SKIP to 7c SKIP to 7c | 1 | | |
| (or tried) SKIP a □ Siashed screen SKIP s □ Other - Specify Ito Check a □ Through unlocked door or window 2 □ Had key a □ Other - Specify a □ Other - Specify b □ Other - Specify a □ Other - Specify a □ Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this inclident occurred? a □ Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this inclident occurred? b □ Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this inclident occurred? c · p To. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? s · s SKIP to 6a s · s SKIP to 6a s · s On't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) a □ Gun a □ Check s □ Other - Specify b □ Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually ettack you in some other way? s □ Other s □ Other s □ Other - Specify b □ Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually ettack you in some other way? s □ Other <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | | | |
| 4 Stashed screen s Other - Specify to Check item B 4 11 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 0 11 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 0 0 11 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 0 0 0 11 Other - Specify | | | |
| s Other - Specify y Item B s Other - Specify y to ccur? to ccur? s SKIP to 6a s SKIP to 71 s No SKIP to 7e | | (or tried) SKIP | 1 |
| mits of this d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window Image: Image: Ima | | | |
| 4 4 III IIII 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 10 11 | | a Slashed screen to Chec | :k |
| 4 4 III IIII 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 10 11 | | a Slashed screen to Chec | * |
| 4 (11) 1 Through unlocked door or window CIDENT REPORT 2 Had key a Don't know a Don't know a Dother - Specify | | a Slashed screen to Chec | :* |
| CIDENT REPORT 2 Had key t occur? 2 Don't know a Other - Specify Base any member of this household, including respondent, present when this including respondent, present when the set including respondent, present when the is or set including respondent, present when the is or set including respondent, present when the set is or set including respondent, present when the set is or set including respondent, present when the is or set including respondent, present when the set is or set including respondent, present when the set is or set including respectify. <t< td=""><td>mits of this</td><td>a Siashed screen s Other - Specify</td><td>:k</td></t<> | mits of this | a Siashed screen s Other - Specify | :k |
| CIDENT REPORT 2 Had key t occur? 2 Don't know a Other - Specify Base any member of this household, including respondent, present when this including respondent, present when the set including respondent, present when the is or set including respondent, present when the is or set including respondent, present when the set is or set including respondent, present when the set is or set including respondent, present when the is or set including respondent, present when the set is or set including respondent, present when the set is or set including respectify. <t< td=""><td>mits of this</td><td>4 Siashed screen 5 Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)?</td><td>:k</td></t<> | mits of this | 4 Siashed screen 5 Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? | :k |
| s Don't know t occur? s Don't know a Other - Specify was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this including respondent, present when the including respondent weapon? s KIP i s Mine is i s Mine is i s Mine is i | mits of this 4 | 4 Siashed screen 5 Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? | :k |
| a Other - Specify a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, inclident occurred? (if not sure, ASK) 19 CHECK ITEM B 19 CHECK ITEM B 19 CHECK ITEM B 19 CHECK ITEM B 10 CHECK ITEM B 119 CHECK ITEM B 110 No 111 No 112 No 1130 1 1130 1 1130 1 1130 1 1130 1 1130 1 1130 1 1130 1 1130 1 1130 1 1130 1 1130 1 1130 1 | 4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? | :* |
| a Other - Specify a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this including respondent, present when the including respondent is present when the including respon | 4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 4 Siashed screen 5 Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? (118) 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key | :k |
| Image: star incidence inc | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT | 4 Siashed screen 5 Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 118 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know | :k |
| (19) CHECK Incident occurred? (If not sure, ASA) 1 No SKIP to 6a S SKIP to 6a 7c. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? S SKIP to 6a 1 No 2 Don't know S SKIP to 6a 1 No 2 Don't know Yes What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) S Gun ASK S S Don't know Yes What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) S Gun ASK S S Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually ettack you in some other way? b. Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually ettack you in some other way? Item B I Check I Item B I <tr< td=""><td>4 s ICIDENT REPORT</td><td>4 Siashed screen 5 Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify</td><td>:*</td></tr<> | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT | 4 Siashed screen 5 Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify | :* |
| itown, village, etc.? ITEM B 1 No - SKIP to 13a C. 7 7c. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? S SKIP to 6a S SKIP S SKIP <td>4 s ICIDENT REPORT</td> <td>4 Siashed screen 5 Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? (118) 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify Was any member of this household,</td> <td>:k</td> | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT | 4 Siashed screen 5 Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? (118) 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify Was any member of this household, | :k |
| 2 Yes 2 Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 5 6 6 7 6 7 7 <t< td=""><td>4 s ICIDENT REPORT</td><td> a Siashed screen b Other - Specify c How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? a Through unlocked door or window a Had key b Don't know c Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this </td><td>.k</td></t<> | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT | a Siashed screen b Other - Specify c How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? a Through unlocked door or window a Had key b Don't know c Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this | .k |
| 2 Yes 70. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 5 | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT | 4 Siashed screen 5 Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) | .k |
| SKIP 10 Y- 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? SKIP to 6a 10 SKIP to 6a 20 SKIP 100 SG 20 SG 20 SG 30 SKIP 10 Item B 10 SC 10 Item B 10 Co 10 SC 10 | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? | 4 Siashed screen 5 Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) | |
| 7c. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or wrench? 5 5 5 | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT it occur? | a Siashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window a Don't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to I3a | .k |
| <pre>or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? SKIP to 6a S SKIP to 6a S SKIP to 6a S SKIP S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S</pre> | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. | a Siashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window a Don't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to I3a | .k |
| bottle, or wrench? SKIP to 6a SKIP to 6a Constant of the person of the perso | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. | a Siashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this including respondent, present | .k |
| SKIP to 6a 1 IIN 0 SKIP to 6a 2 IDon't know Sa 3 Gun ASK a INF Sa 5 Other - Specify b. Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually attack you in some other way? b. Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually attack you in some other way? Item B 1 IYes - SKIP to 7f Item B c. Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way? 1 IN 0 - SKIP to 7e | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. | a Si ashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? a Through unlocked door or window a Dan't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) i DN - SKIP to 13a a Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weepon such as a gun or knife, | .k |
| SKIP to 6a SKIP to 6a SKIP to 6a SKIP to 6a SASK Sa Sa Sa SKIP Sa SKIP Sa SKIP Sa SKIP Sa SKIP Sa SKIP | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. | 4 Siashed screen 5 Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 118 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this inclident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to 13a 2 Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or semething he was using as a weapon, such as a | :k |
| SKIP 12 Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) SKIP 1 Kife Int SKIP 1 Yes SKIP 1 Yes SKIP 1 Item B C. Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually ettack you in some other way? Item B 2 No Item B C. Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way? Item B 1 No - SKIP to 7e | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. | a Siashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this including respondent, present | .k |
| s ASK S S S S S S S S S | 4 siCIDENT REPORT t accur? , tawn, village, etc. | a Si ashed screen b Other - Specify c How did the olfender(s) (get in/try to get in)? a Through unlocked door or window a Don't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this includent occurred? (if not sure, ASK) c HECK a Other person(s) have a weepon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weepon, such as a bottle, or wrench? a Other person(s) have a weepon, such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weepon, such as a gun or | .k |
| s ASK S S S S S S S S S | 4 siCIDENT REPORT t accur? , tawn, village, etc. | a Si ashed screen b Other - Specify c How did the olfender(s) (get in/try to get in)? a Through unlocked door or window a Don't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this includent occurred? (if not sure, ASK) c HECK a Other person(s) have a weepon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weepon, such as a bottle, or wrench? a Other person(s) have a weepon, such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weepon, such as a gun or | .k |
| ASK ASK Sa SKIP Iot SKIP Iot SKIP Iot Item B SKIP Item B SKIP SK SKIP SKIP SK SK SK SK SK SK SK SK SK SK SK SK SK S | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. c7 S SKIP to 6a | a Si ashed screen b Other - Specify c How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? a Through unlocked door or window a Don't know b Other - Specify c Had key b Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (if not sure, ASK) c HECK d How eas using co a weapon, such as a substle, or wrench? c How c Don't know | .k |
| s Chine Source And Sou | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. c. 7 s s SKIP to 6a | a Si ashed screen b Other - Specify c How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? a Through unlocked door or window a Don't know b Other - Specify c Had key b Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (if not sure, ASK) c HECK d How eas using ab a weapon, such as a gun or knife, or something he was using ab a weapon, such as a sottle, or wrench? c Don't know a Don't know b Don't know c Don't know c Was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) | .k |
| s Cher - Specify b. Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually attack you in same other way? is Check lem B c. Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way? (12) 1 No - SKIP to 7e | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t accur? , tawn, village, etc. C. 7 S S SKIP to 6a | a Si ashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to 13a 2 Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? (2) 1 No 2 Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) 3 Gun | .k |
| SKIP 10 b. Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually attack you in same other way? SKIP 12 1 TYes - SKIP to 71 to Check 2 No No Item B c. Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way? 123 1 Mo - SKIP to 7e | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. c. 7 s s s s n, SKIP to 6a | a Si ashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to 13a 2 Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? (2) 1 No 2 Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) 3 Gun | .k |
| y- Jot SKIP to Check Item B SKIP to Check Item B C. Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way? 1 D Yes - SKIP to 71 c. Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way? 1 D No - SKIP to 7e C. Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way? 1 D No - SKIP to 7e | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. c | a Si ashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to 13a 2 Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? (2) 1 No 2 Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) 3 Gun 4 Knife | .k |
| y- lot KIP lot Liem B (12) 1 [] Yes - SKIP to 7/ z [] No c. Did the person(s) threaton you with harm in any way? (12) 1 [] No - SKIP to 7e | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. c | a Si ashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to 13a 2 Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 1 No 2 Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) 3 Gun 4 Knife s Other - Specify | .k |
| y- lot liem B (12) 1 No - SKIP to 7e | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. c | a Siashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this including respondent, present when this includent occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to I3a 2 Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 1 No 2 Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) 3 Gun 4 Knife 5 Other - Specify b Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually | .k |
| y- lot liem B (12) 1 No - SKIP to 7e | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. c | a Siashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this including respondent, present when this includent occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to I3a 2 Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 1 No 2 Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) 3 Gun 4 Knife 5 Other - Specify b Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually | .k |
| iot (item B c. Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way? (12) 1 [] No - SKIP to 7e | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. c. 7 S S S KIP to 6a s S S S KIP to 6a s S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | a Si ashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to 13a 2 Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using os a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 1 No 2 Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) 3 Gun 4 Knife 5 Other - Specify b. Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually attack you in same other way? to Check to Check the method was the second weapon? | .k |
| c. Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way? (12) 1 [] No - SKIP to 7e | 4 sicident REPORT t occur? , town, village, etc. c. 7 s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s | a Si ashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to 13a 2 Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 1 No 2 Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) 3 Gun 4 Knife 5 Other - Specify b. Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually attack you in same other way? 1 Yes - SKIP to 7f | .k |
| 122 1 🛄 No - SKIP to 7e | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? t town, village, etc. c. 7 S SKIP to 6a s SKIP to 6a s S SKIP to 6a s S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | a Si ashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the olfender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 □ Through unlocked door or window 2 □ Had key 3 □ Don't know 4 □ Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this including respondent, present including respondent, present when this including respondent, present when this including respondent, present including respondent, present including | .k |
| | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? t town, village, etc. c. 7 S SKIP to 6a s SKIP to 6a s S SKIP to 6a s S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | a Si ashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the olfender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 □ Through unlocked door or window 2 □ Had key 3 □ Don't know 4 □ Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this including respondent, present including respondent, present when this including respondent, present when this including respondent, present including respondent, present including respondent, present including responden | .k |
|) 2 [] Yes | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT t occur? t town, village, etc. c. 7 S SKIP to 6a s SKIP to 6a s S SKIP to 6a s S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S | a Si ashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to 13a 2 Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 1 No 2 Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) 3 Gun 4 Knife s Other - Specify b. Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually attack you in same other way? 1 Yes - SKIP to 7f 2 No c. Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way? | .k |
| | 4 s ICIDENT REPORT it occur? , town, village, etc. c, 7 s s s s s s KIP to 6a el s n, s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s | a Si ashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to 13a 2 Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 1 No 2 Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) 3 Gun 4 Knife s Other - Specify b. Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually attack you in same other way? 1 Yes - SKIP to 7f 2 No c. Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way? | .k |
| | el n, se se se sy- to Check | a Si ashed screen s Other - Specify d. How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know a Other - Specify Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 No - SKIP to 13a 2 Yes 7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 1 No 2 Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) 3 Gun 4 Knife 5 Other - Specify b. Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually attack you in same other way? 1 Yes - SKIP to 7f 2 No c. Did the person(s) threaton you with harm in any way? 120 t No - SKIP to 7e | |

74

. .

| 10.00.000 | CRIME | INCI | DENT QUESTIONS - Continued | |
|-----------|--|----------|---|--|
| 7d. | How were you threatened? Any other way? | Γ | 9b. Did you file a claim with any o | f these insurance companies or programs |
| (12) | (Mark all that apply) 1 Verbal threat of rope 2 Verbal threat of attack other | 132 | in order to get part or all of you t 📋 No — <i>SKIP</i> to 10a z 🗀 Yes | ir medicai expenses paiar |
| | than rape | | and the second se | nefits program pay for all or part of |
| | With weapon SKIP | 13 | 1 Not yet settled | . 10- |
| | (for example, shot at) s Object thrown at person | | 2 None | |
| ÷ | 6 Sellowed, surrounded | | 4 Part d. How much did insurance or a he | |
| | | (134) | | btain an estimate, if necessary) yourself or your property during the incident? |
| * | What actually happened? Anything else? (Mark all that apply) 1 Something taken without | 133 | 1 No - SKIP to 11 2 Yes | |
| (124) | permission | Ŀ | b. What did you do? Anything else | |
| | take something 3] Harassed, argument, abusive | (136) | 1 Used/brandished gun or knife 2 Used/tried physical force (hi chased, threw object, used or | t, etc. with offender |
| | a Forcible entry or attempted | | weapon, etc.) 3 Tried to get help, attract atte | evasive action (ran/drove away, |
| | s Forcible entry of house to 10a | | scare offender away (screame yelled, called for help, turne | d, ducked, shielded self, etc.) |
| | entry of car 6 🛄 Damaged or destroyed property | | lights, etc.) 1. Was the crime committed by onl | |
| | 7 Attempted or threatened to damage or destroy property | ☞ | | Don't know - 3 More than one F SKIP to 12a |
| | a 🗋 Other – Specifyy | | a. Was this person male or female? | f. How many persons? (143) |
| f. | How did the person(s) attack you? Any | | s 🔲 Male 2 🦳 Female | g. Were they male or female? |
| * | other way? (Mark all that apply) | | 3 Don't know | 1 All male 2 All female |
| (125) | 2 Tried to rape 3 Hit with object held in hand, shot, knifed | | b. How old would you say the person was? | 3 🛄 Male and female 4 🛄 Don't know |
| | 4 Hit by thrown object s Hit, slapped, knocked down | (139) | 1 🛄 Under 12 | h. How old would you say the , youngest was? |
| | s Grabbed, held, tripped, jumped, pushed, etc. | | 2 2 12-14 | (145) j ☐ Under 12 s ☐ 21 or over - 2 ☐ 12-14 SKIP to j |
| | 7 Other - Specify | | 3 [] 15-17 4 [] 18-20 | 3 15-17 6 Don't know 4 18-20 |
| 8a. * | What were the injuries you suffered, if any? Anything else? (Mark all that apply) | . | s 21 or over | i. How old would you say the oldest was? |
| (126) | 1 Done - SKIP to 100 2 Raped | | 6 Don't know c. Was the person someone you | 146 1 Under 12 4 18-20 2 12-14 5 21 or over |
| | a 🛄 Attempted rape 4 🛄 Knife or gunshot wounds | | knew or was he a stranger? | 3 15-17 6 Don't know |
| | s 🛄 Broken bones or teeth knucked out 6 🛄 Internal Injuries, knocked unconscious | (140) | 2 🔲 Don't know | j. Were any of the persons known or related to you or were they all strangers? |
| | 7 Bruises, black eye, cuts, scratches, swelling 8 Other - Specify | | 3 Known by sight only to e | 1 All strangers } SKIP |
| ь. | Were you injured to the extent that you needed medical attention after the attack? | 1 | 4 Casual | 3 All relatives SKIP |
| 127 | 1 No - SKIP to 10a 2 Yes | | 5 🗍 Well known | s 🗋 All known |
| (128) ** | Did you receive any treatment at a hospital? | 1 | d. Was the person a relative of yours? | 6 Some known k. How well were they known? |
| | 2 Emergency room treatment only 3 Stayed overnight or longer - | 1 | t 🛄 No Var - What colorisonship? | (Mark all that apply) (14) 1 	By sight only |
| | How many days? 7 | | Yes - What relationship? 2 []] Spouse or ex-spouse | z Casual SKIP acquaintance(s) Com |
| 129 | What was the total amount of your medical | | 3 🔤 Parent 4 🔄 Own child | 3 Well known 1. How were they related to you? |
| d. | expenses resulting from this incident, INCLUD- ING anything paid by insurance? Include hospital | | s Gother or sister | * (Mark all that apply) (149 1 Spouse or 4 Brothers/ |
| | and doctor bills, medicine, therapy, braces, and any other injury related medical expenses. | | 6 🛄 Other relative - Specify y | ex-spouse sisters 2 Parents 5 Other - Spacify |
| | INTERVIEWER - If respondent does not know exact amount, encourage him to give an estimate. | 1 | , | s Own Specify children |
| (130) | o [] No cost - SKIP to 100 s | | was hadde | m. Were all of them - |
| 90. | x Don't know At the time of the incident, were you covered | 142 | e. Was he/she — 1 🔄 White? | (150) 1 🛄 White? 2 🛄 Negro? |
| | by any medical insurance, or were you eligible for benefits from any other type of health | | 2 Negro? | 3 Dther? - Specify |
| (11) | benefits program, such as Medicaid, Veterans' | 1 | 3 Other? -Specify to | 4 Combination - Specify |
| e . | 1 No | | • Don't know | s 🗂 Don't know |
| FORM NC | 3 Yes 5-4 (8-23-72) | بمستسباه | Page 10 | A |

 $\langle S \rangle$

| · · · | | |
|-------|--|--|
| | 120. | . Were you the only person there bes |
| | (5) | 1 TYes - SKIP to 13a |
| i | | 2 [] No |
| | Ь. | How many of these persons were re threatened? Do not include persor |
| | (152) | of age. o [] None - SKIP to 13a |
| | | |
| | c | Were any of these persons member |
| | (153) | Do not include household members o [] No |
| | | Yes - How many, not countin |
| | | (Also mark "Yes" in Check |
| | 130 | . Was something stolen or taken with belonged to you or others in the ho |
| | | INTERVIEWER - Include onything |
| | | unrecognizable business in respon Do not include anything stolen fro business in respondent's home or |
| | - | as merchandise or cash from a reg |
| | (154) | 1 [] Yes - SKIP to 13 |
| | | 2 No |
| | | Did the person(s) ATTEMPT to tal belonged to you or others in the ho |
| | (155) | t []] No - SKIP to 13e |
| | | 2 Tes |
| | . , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | . What did they try to take? Anythir (Mark all that apply) |
| | 156 | 1 [] Purse |
| | 1 | 2 [] Wallet or money 3 [] Car |
| | | 4 [] Other motor vehicle |
| | | s [] Part of car (hubcap, tape-dec |
| | | e 🔄 Don't know 7 门 Other - Specify |
| | ļ | |
| | | CHECK Did they try to tal or money? (Box / |
| | | ITEM C JNo - SKIP to |
| | d. | and the second |
| | | . Was the (purse/wallet/money) on y instance in a pocket or being held |
| | 157 | SKIP to 180 |
| | | 2 [] No J . What did happen? (Mark all that ap |
| | 158 | 1 [] Attacked |
| | | 2 []] Threatened with harm |
| | | 3 Attempted to break into hous |
| | ľ. · | 4] Attempted to break into car s] Harassed, argument, abusive |
| | | 6 🗋 Damaged or destroyed proper |
| | | 7 Attempted or threatened to date destroy property |
| | ļ | B [] Other - Specify |
| | l · | |
| | | What was taken? What else? |
| | (159) | 00 |
| | | Cash: S UU |
| | 1. 4 | Property: (Mark all that apply) |
| | 160 | o [] Only cash taken - SKIP to I |
| | {. · | 1 [] Purse 2 [] Wallet |
| | ľ i | 3 Car |
| |] | 4 Other motor vehicle |
| | | s [] Part of car (hubcap, tape-dec |
| | | 6 [] Other - Specify |
| | PORKIN | C#+4 (\$+23+72) |
| | | |

ġ

Survey Instruments

CRIME INCIDENT QUESTIONS - Continued Was a car or other motor vehicle taken? (Box 3 or 4 morked in 13f) esides the offender(s) CHECK BOX 3 or 4 marked in (3)) [] Yes robbed, harmed, or ons under 12 years 14a. Had permission to use the (car/motor vehicle) ever been given to the person who took it? 1 [] No } SKIP to Check Item E **(()** ers of your household? rrs under 12 years of age. з 门 Yes b. Did the person return the (car/motor vehicle)? ting yourself? 1 [] Yes 6 (Item I on page 12) 2 🛄 No ithout permission that household? Is Box I of 2 marked in 13f? nousehold? ling stolen from condent's home. from a recognizable or another business, such egister. CHECK T Yes c. Was the (purse/wallet/money) on your person, for instance, in a pocket or being held by you when it was taken? (163) 1 [] Yes take something that household? z [] No Was only cash taken? (Box 0 marked in (3() CHECK ing else? []No 15a. Altagether, what was the value of the PROPERTY that was taken? INTERVIEWER - Exclude stolen cash, and enter SO for stolen checks and credit cards, even if they were used. eck, etc.) . 00. 164 s b. How did you decide the value of the property that was stalen? (Mark all that apply) take a purse, wallet, 1 or 2 morked in 13c) 165 1 [] Original cost 2 🔲 Replacement cost 180 a] Personal estimate of current value 4 🛄 insurance report estimate your person, for 5 🛄 Police estimate e [] Don't know 7 Other - Specify. apply) 16a. Was all or part of the stolen money or property recovered, except for anything received from insurance? I □ None } SKIP to 17a (166) ise or garage 2 All SKIP 3 [] Part language to 18a b. What was recovered? rty damage or 60 00 Cash: \$____ and/or Property: (Mark all that apply) 168 o Cash only recovered - SKIP to 17a 1 [] Purse 2 🛄 Wallet 3 (🛄 Car 4 [] Other motor vehicle s] Part of car (hubcap, tape-deck, etc.) 4c 6 🛄 Other - Specify_ c. What was the value of the property recovered (excluding recovered cash)? eck, etc.) 00 169 \$ Page I

75





Survey Instruments

| | | and the second |
|--|----------------------------|---|
| | NOTIO (Title | CE — Your report to the Census Bureau is confidential by law a 13, U.S. code). It may be seen only by sworn Census employees any be used only for statistical purposes. |
| 1. A. A. A. | | the second s |
| | FORM NC (8-23-75) | U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Social and Economic Statistics administration Bureau of the census |
| | | CRIME INCIDENT REPORT |
| · | | NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY |
| 1. Sec. 1. Sec | | CENTRAL CITIES SAMPLE |
| 1.9 | | |
| nths - (Refer to cription of crime). | 50. | Were you a customer, employee, or owner? |
|) incident happen? | 1 | 1 Customer |
| urage respondent to | | 2 Employee |
| | | 3 🛄 Owner 4 🛄 Other Specify |
| | ь. | Did the person(s) steal or TRY to steal anything from |
| ort for a serier of min? | | the store, restaurant, office, factory, etc.? |
| ort for a series of crimes? 2 | 114 | |
| z series must have 3 or | _ | 2 No SKIP to Check Item B |
| milar incidents which | <u> </u> | 3 Don't know |
| ent can't recall separately) | 6a. | Did the offender(s) live there or have a right to be there, such as a great or a workman? |
| take place? | | |
| | ரு | 1 Tes - SKIP to Check Item B |
| | | 2 No |
| ember) | | 3 Don't know |
| bruary) | Ь. | Did the offender(s) actually get in or just TRY to get in the building? |
| n this series? | 116 | 1 Actually got in |
| | | 2 Just tried to get in |
| | | 3 🔲 Don't know |
| | с. | Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or broken |
| wing questions refer | | window, that the offender(s) (forced his way in/TRIED |
| | (iī) | to force his way in) the building? i 🗂 No |
| ecent) | l' | Yes — What was the evidence? Anything else? |
| | | (Mark all that apply) |
| n.) | | 2 Broken lock or window |
| | | 3 Forced door or window (or tried) SKIP |
| | | 4 Slashed screen > to Check |
| | | s Other - Specify Item B |
| the limits of this | | J |
| | | How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? |
| IP to 4 | (11) | 1 Through unlocked door or window |
| States ND INCIDENT REPORT | 0 | z 🔄 Had key |
| cident occur? | | 3 T Don't know |
| cioeni occuit | ł | 4 🗍 Other - Specify |
| | | |
| | | Was any member of this household |
| | 6 | Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this |
| city town village sta 2 | 119 | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) |
| city, town, village, etc.? | 119 | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 |
| | 119 | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) |
| | | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 |
| | | CHECK including respondent, present when this includent accurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 D No - SKIP to 13a 2 Yes Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a |
| n, etc. 7 | 70. | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 |
| e or Judes SKIP to 6a | | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 |
| n, etc. y | 70. | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 |
| i, etc. 7 ie or judes motel | 70. | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 \square No - SKIP to 130 2 \square Yes Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 1 \square No 2 \square Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) |
| r, etc. 7 re or udes motel th as | 70. | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {}$ | 70. | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {} {}$ | 7a. | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} 1, e^{\text{tc.}} \\ T \\ u^{\text{te or}} \\ u^{\text{tudes}} \\ \text{motel} \\ \text{th as} \\ \text{ation,} \\ \text{rouse} \\ C_{r} \end{array}\right\} SKIP to 6a$ | 7a. | CHECK ITEM B ITEM B I Gun CHECK I Gun CHECK I Check of the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) S Gun Check of the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually |
| n, etc. 7 te or ludes motel ch as ation, house k, all | 7a. | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident accurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c} n, etc. \\ rec or \\ ludes \\ rmotel \\ ation, \\ house \\ k, \\ all \\ sKIP \end{array}\right\}$ | 7a. | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident accurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 |
|) /motei tation, house k, jall |) 7₀. * (®) ⊢. | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident accurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 |
| m, etc. re or ludes motel sation, house k, all play- to Check | 70. * (2) 12) | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident accurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 |
| n, etc. te or ludes motel station, house k, all play- to Check | 70. * (2) 12) | CHECK including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 |
| n, etc. 7 te or ludes motel ch as ation, house k, all play- to Check | 70. *(2) 12) | CHECK ITEMB INCLUDING respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK) 1 \[No - SKIP to 13a 2 \[Yes Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 1 \[Na 2 \] Don't know Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) s \[Gun 4 \[Knife 5 \[Other - Specify Did the person(s) hit you, knack you down, or actually attack you in some other way? 1 \[Yes - SKIP to 7f 2 \] No Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way? |





78

Survey Instruments

÷.

÷ 11.

•

| ME INCIDENT Q | UESTIONS | - Continued | 144. A 144. |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|---|
| e offender(s) | | | Was a car or other motor vehicle taken? (Box 3 or 4 marked in 13f) |
| · · · | | HECK | |
| | | TEM D | No - SKIP to Check Item E |
| harmed, or r 12 years | | | |
| | 14a. H | lad permission | to use the (car/motor vehicle) ever been rson who took it? |
| | | No | ••• |
| | 2 | Don't kno | w } SKIP to Check Item E |
| ur household? 12 years of age. | 3 | Yes | |
| | ٥. ٢ |)id the person | return the (car/motor vehicle)? |
| self? | 162 1 | Yes 📋 | |
| on page (6) | 2 | 2 🗋 No | |
| rmission that Id? | - | <u> </u> | Is Box 1 or 2 marked in 13f? |
| n from | • | HECK | No - SKIP to 15a |
| home. .ognizable | I | ТЕМ Е | Yes |
| r business, such | l | Was she former | /wallet/money) on your person, for instance, |
| | | in a pocket or | being held by you when it was taken? |
| 413 | 63 | I 🔲 Yes | |
| ething that Id? | | 2 🛄 No | |
| | | 7 | Was only cash taken? (Box 0 morked in 13f) |
| | | | Tes - SKIP to 16a |
| ? | 1 | ···· 7 | □ No |
| | 150. | Altogether, wi | hat was the value of the PROPERTY |
| | 1. | that was take | n? R — Exclude stolen cash, and enter \$0 for |
| | | stolen checks | and credit cards, even if they were used. |
| .) | (164) | s | 00 |
| | | | decide the value of the property that was |
| urse, wallet, | 1 * 1 | ciolen? (Mari | all that apply) |
| norked in 13c) | (65) | 1 Original 2 Replace | |
| | | | l estimate of current value |
| | - | 4 🔄 Insuranc | e report astimate |
| erson, for | | 5 Police | |
| | | 6 Don't kn 7 Other - | |
| متر من مربوس | 4 | American and a second second | |
| | 14- | Was all as an | rt of the stolen money or property recovered, |
| | | | ything received from insurance? |
| arage | (166) | 1□None } | SKIP to 17a |
| SKIP | | 2 🗋 All 🚽 3 🗋 Part | |
| to | . | What was rec | overed? |
| or 180 | | | |
| | (167) | Cash: S | |
| | | and/or Property: (Mo | rk all that apply) |
| | 165 | | nly recovered - SKIP to 17a |
| | | 1 🛄 Purse 2 🛄 Wallet | |
| | | 3 Car | |
| | | 4 🛄 Other m | |
| | | 5 🗌 Part of | car (hubcap, tape-deck, etc.) |
| | | 6 🔲 Other – | Specify |
| | | | |
| | | | e value of the property recovered (excluding |
| ic.) | | recovered ca | sh)? |
| | 169 | s | |
| | | | |

80



| NOTICE - Your report to the Cen |
|--|
| NOTICE - Your report to the Centaw (Title 13, U.S. Code). It may be employees and may be used only for |
| 1. IDENTIFICAT |
| a. PSU b. Segment c. Line |
| |
| I. Interviewer |
| code (1) incidents |
| |
| |
| Good morning (atter |
| We are conducting a |
| burglaries and for rol to plan and administ |
| answering some ques |
| Part I - BUSINESS CHARACT |
| |
| 2a, is this establishment owned or business? |
| 1 [] Yes - SKIP 10 3 |
| 2 🛄 No |
| b. How is this business owned or |
| 1 [] Individual proprietorship |
| 2 Partnership |
| a En Covernment - Continue in |
| liquor store of transport |
| • Other - Specily |
| |
| |
| 3. Do you (the owner) operate more |
| 1 [] Yes 2 [] No |
| |
| this location during the entire 1 |
| ending? |
| 1 [" Yes |
| 2 No - How many months du the designated perio |
| E Engladier ver Oberensen Ober |
| Excluding you (the owner) (the pany paid employees did this e |
| during the 12 month period endi |
| 1 None |
| 2 \$*` 1-3 3 **` 4-7 |
| ····· |
| 6a. What do you consider your kind |
| to be at this location? |
| |
| b. Mark (X) one box |
| RETAIL |
| I Food |
| 2 C Eating and drinking |
| 3 **** General merchandise |
| 4 The Apparel |
| 5 Furniture and appliance |
| 6 T Lumber, hardware, |
| mobile home dealers |
| a Drug and proprietary |
| B [] Drug and proprietary |
| A ["] Gasoline service |
| stations |
| 0 Cher retail |
| • WHOLESALE |
| C Durable |
| D 🛄 Nondurable |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Survey Instruments

<u></u>

O.M.B. No. 41-R2662: Approval Expires March 31, 1977 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMIN BUREAU OF THE CENSUL FORM CVS-101 nsus Bureau is confidential by be seen only by sworn Census or statistical purposes. TION CODES le. DCC COMMERCIAL CRIME VICTIMIZATION SURVEY g. Total number CITY SAMPLE (2) Incident sheet INTRODUCTION stroon). I'm Mr(s.)_____(your name)_____from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. a survey in this area to measure the extent to which businesses are victims of obberies. The Government needs to know how much crime there is and where it is ster programs which will have an impact on the crime problem. You can help by estions for mé. TERISTICS Did anyone else operate any departments or concessions or some other business activity in this establishment during the 12 month operated as an incorporated period ending _____ 1 [, Yes - List each depariment, concession, or oth business activity on a separate line of Section V of the segment loder, if not already listed. Complete a separate questionnaire for each one that fails on a sample line. operated? Interview ONLY II re or any type rtation 2 [No DO NOT ASK ITEM 8 UNTIL PART II AND ANY INCIDENT REPORTS HAVE BEEN COMPLETED . What were your approximate sales of merchandise and/or receipts from services at this establishment for the previous 12 months ending (Estimate annual sales and/or receipts if not in business for entire 12 months.) ore than one establishment? 1 💭 None 2] Under \$10,000 3] \$10,000 to \$24,999 is establishment at 12 month period 4 525,000 to \$49,999 5 550,000 to \$99,999 6 [] \$100,000 to \$499,999 7 [\$500,000 to \$999,999 uring ed? 1 \$1,000,000 and over 9 Other - Specify partners) how establishment average INTERVIEWER USE ONLY 4 [] 8–19 a. Record of interview s [] 20 or more (1) Date (2) Name of responder d of business (3) Title of respondent OFFICE USE ONLY (4) Telephone Area code . Reason for non-interview MANUFACTURING TYPE A E Durable Present occupant in business at end of survey period but unable to contact. F Nondurable REAL ESTATE G Apartments H Dther real estate TYPE B * Present occupant not in business at end of survey period. I SERVICE 1 Vacant or closed J 📑 BANKS 6 Other Type B (Seasonal, etc.) - Specily K TRANSPORTATION L [] ALL OTHERS - Specify-TYPE C 7 Occupied by nonlistable activity Demolished 9 Other Type C - Specify

81



TRANSCRIBE THE IDENTIFICATION C OF THE COVER SHEET AND COMPLET INCIDENT REPORT FOR EACH INCID IDENTIFICATION COL Segment c. Line No. You said that during the 12 months beginned and enting ______ (refer to screen 10-15 for description of crime). In what month did this (did the first) in 1] Jan. 4 [April 7] Juh 2] Feb. 5] May 8] Au 3] Mar. 6] June 9] Sep . About what time did it happen? . recout what time did it lippen? 1 During the day (6 a.m. - 6 p.m.) At night (6 p.m. - 6 a.m.) 2 6 p.m. - Midnight 3 Midnight - 6 a.m. 4 7 Don't know what time at nigh 5 Don't know 3. Where did this incident take place? 1 At this place of business 2 On delivery I Enroute to bank I Other - Specify. . Were you, the owner, or any employee incident was occuring? 1 [] Yes 2 [] No - SKIP to 10 3 [] Don't know 5a. Did the person holding you up have a w that was used as a weapon, such as a t Yes 2 No 3 Don't know b, What was the weapon? t [--] Gun 2 --- Knife 3 --- Other -- Specity --62. How many persons were involved in con 1 i 1 One – Consince with 6b below 2 i 1 Two 3 i Three – SKIP Io 5e 3 i Don's know – SKIP Io 7a b. How old would you say the person was 1 Under 12 2 12-14 3 15-17 4 18-20 5 21 ar over 5 Don't know c. Was the person male or female? 1 Male 2 Female 3 Don't know d, Was he (she) r White? zf Black? e. How old would you say the youngest p 1 [] Under 12() 2 [] 12-14 3 [] 15-17 4 18-20 5 21 or over 5 Don't kno . How old would you say the oldest pers 1 ["] Under 12 2 ["] 12-14 3 ["] 15-17 4 [* 18-20 s [* 21 or over 6 [*] Don't kno g. Were they male or female? 1 [] All mate 2 [] All female 3 [Male, and 4 [Don't kno z ____ All remains _____ all__ Doin (know
 h. Were they ______
 T Only white?
 z ____ Only white?
 z ____ Only black?
 s _____ Only other? - Specify ______
 G Some combination? - Specify ______ S Don't know

3

0

0

100000

đ

÷

2

- ----

÷ -

82

Survey Instruments

| <i>u</i> , | O.M.B. No. 41-R2662; Approval Expires March 31, 1977 |
|---|--|
| ODES FROM ITEM 1 TE A SEPARATE ENT. | POINT CV5-101 17-11-73) SOCIAL AND ECONGING STATISTICS ADDIN SOCIAL AND ECONGING STATISTICS ADDIN SOCIAL AND ECONGING STATISTICS ADDIN INCIDENT REPORT COMMERCIAL CRIME - ICTIMIZATION SURVEY |
| d. Panel e. DCC | |
| | No. Record which incident (1, 2, etc.) is covered by this page |
| inning | 7a. Were you, the owner, or any employee injuted in this incident, seriously enough to require medical altention? |
| cident happen? | 1 ["" Yes - How many? Number 2 [] No - SKIP to 9a |
| Y A C. Qct. B Nov. bt. C Dec. | b. How many of them stayed in a Number hospital overnight or longer? |
| | Of those receiving treatment in or out of a hospital, did this business pay for any of the medical expenses not covered by a regular health benefits program? |
| ht | ; (`Yes — How much was paid? S, 00 |
| | 2 (*** No 3 (*** Don't know |
| | Sa, Did any deaths occur as a result of this incident? |
| present while this | 2 No - SKIP 10 15a |
| | b. Who was killed? c. How many? (Mark (X) all that apply) |
| veapon or something bottle or wrench? | 1 * * Owner(s) |
| DOLLIE OF WICHCH: | 3 Customer: |
| | 4 Innocent bystander(s) |
| | 5 Olfender(s) |
| | 7 Other - Specify |
| mmitting the crime? | |
| | SKIP to 15a 10, Did the offender enter, attempt to enter, or remain in this establishment lilegally? |
| ? | 1 Yes |
| r W | 2" No 7 Discontinuo use of Incident Report, Enter at the top of this sheet "Out of Scope-Larceny," enase incident |
| | Inits anext, Out of occupanciateny, entse interimiting number, change the answers to screening questions 10-15, change number of incidents in item 19(1), page 1, and go on to the next reported incidents. It no other incidents are reported, teturn to page 1 and complete items 19(2) 8, and 9 and ond the interview. |
| SKIP 10 74 | 11. Did the ollender(s) actually get in or just try to get in? r Actually got in |
| <u></u> | 2 Just tried to get in |
| r - SKIP lo 6g | 12. Was there a broken window, broken lock, alarm, or any other evidence that the offender(s) forced (tried to force) his (their) way in? |
| on was? | 1 Yes 2' No - SKIP 10 14 |
| би мез. Г | 13. What was the evidence? (Mark all that apply) 1. Broken lock or window |
| lemale | 2 Forced door 3 Alarm Security |
| .w | 4" Other ~ Specify |
| | t , Through unlocked door ur window 2 THad a key |
| | 3 Cither - Specify |
| Pa | fe] |

83

J.,

6-22





0

3

84

0

Survey Instruments

85

. .

.

| | O.M.B. No. 4)-R2662; Approval Expires March 31, 1977 |
|---|---|
| S FROM ITEM 1 | FORM CVS-101 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (7-11-73) SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMIN. BUREAU OF THE CENSUS |
| SEPARATE | INCIDENT REPORT |
| • | COMMERCIAL CRIME VICTIMIZATION SURVEY CITY SAMPLE |
| Panel e. DCC | I. Incident No. INCIDENT NUMBER Record which incident (1, 2, etc.) is covered by this page |
| questions | 7a. Were you, the owner, or any employee injured in this incident, seriously enough to require medical attention? |
| | 1 Yes - How many? Number |
| nt happen? | 2 No - SKIP to 9a |
| A _ Oct. B _ Nov. C _ Dec. | b. How many of them stayed in a Number hospital overnight or longer? |
| | |
| | Of those receiving treatment in or out of a hospital, did this business pay for any of the medical expenses not covered by a regular health benefits program? |
| | 1 Yes - How much |
| | 2 🗖 No |
| | |
| | Sa. Did any deaths occur as a result of this incident? |
| nt while this | 2 🛄 No - SKIP to 158 |
| | b. Who was killed? c. How many? (Mark (X) all that apply) |
| | 1 🗍 Owner(s) |
| on or something e or wrench? | 2 Employees |
| | 3 🗋 Customers |
| | 4 🔲 Innocent bystander(s) |
| | 5 [] Offender(s), |
| | 6 Police,, |
| ting the crime? | |
| | SKIP to 150 |
| | 10. Did the offender enter, attempt to enter, or remain in this |
| | establishment illegaily? t □ Yes |
| | 2 🔲 No 🚽 |
| · · · · · · | Discontinue use of incident Report. Enter at the top of this sheet "Out of Scope-Larceny," erase incident |
| | number, change the answers to screening questions 10-15, change number of incidents in item 19(1), page 1, and go on the next reported incident. It no other incidents |
| 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - 19 - | Discontinue use of incident Report. Enter at the top of this sheet "Out of Scope-Larceny," erase incident number, change the answers to screening questions 10-15, change number of incidents in item 19(1), page 1, and go on to the next reported incident. If no other incidents are reported, return to page 1 and complete items 19(2) 6, and 9 and end the interview. |
| | 11. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just try to get in? |
| KIP to 7s | 1 Actually got in |
| | 2 Just tried to get in |
| was? | 12. Was there a broken window, broken lock, alarm, of any other evidence that the offender(s) forced (tried to force) his (their) way in? |
| KIP to 5g | 1 🗍 Yez |
| 18? | 2 No SKIP to 14 |
| | 13. What was the evidence? (Kyrk all that apply) |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 2 Forced door |
| le | 3 Alarm SkiP 10 13a |
| | 14. How did the offender(s) get in (try to get in)? |
| | s Through unlocked door or window |
| | 2 Hed a key 3 Other - Specily |
| | 4 Don't know |
| | |

86

B



0

, 🗇 🔹 🦻

0



÷.

15

Survey Instruments

4

| | DMD No 41 036631 Americal Evolution and St. 1077 | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | O.M.B. No. 41-R2662; Approval Expires March 31, 1977 FORM CVS-101 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (7-11-73) SOCIAL AND ECONDUC STATISTICS ADMIN. | | | |
| FICATION CODES FROM ITEM 1 | BUREAU OF THE CENSUS | | | |
| EACH INCIDENT. | INCIDENT REPORT COMMERCIAL CRIME VICTIMIZATION SURVEY | | | |
| CATION CODE | CITY SAMPLE | | | |
| , Line No. d. Panel e. DCC | I. Incident No. INCIDENT NUMBER Record which incident (1, 2, etc.) is covered by this page | | | |
| 2 months beginning eter to screening questions time). | 7a. Were you, the owner, or any employee injured in this incident, seriously enough to require medical attention? | | | |
| the first) incident happen? | I Tes - How many? Number | | | |
| 7 July A Oct. 8 Aug. B Nov. | 2 🔲 No - SKIP to 9a | | | |
| s s Nov. s Sept. C Dec. | b. How many of them stayed in a Number hospital overnight or longer? | | | |
| n. – 6 p.m.) a.m.) | 8. Of those receiving treatment in or out of a hospital, did | | | |
| ht | this business pay for any of the medical expenses not | | | |
| m. It time at night | covered by a regular health benefits program? | | | |
| | was paid? S, L.2 | | | |
| ke place? ness | 2 🛄 No 3 🔲 Don't kna w | | | |
| | Sa. Did any deaths occur as a result of this incident? | | | |
| y employee present while this | | | | |
| it embiates breedit willie ruis | 2 No - SKIP to 15a | | | |
| | b. Who was killed? c. How many? (Mark (X) all that apply) | | | |
| u up have a weapon or something | 1 Owner(s) | | | |
| n, such as a bottle or wrench? | | | | |
| 0 68 | 3 Customers | | | |
| | 5 Offender(s), | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 6 Police, | | | |
| volved in committing the crime? | | | | |
| 6b below | | | | |
| 10 Ge | SKIP to 15a | | | |
| 0 7a | 10. Did the offender enter, attempt to enter, or remain in this establishment Hiegally? | | | |
| s person was? | 1 Yes | | | |
| 18-20 21 or over | 2 🗌 Ne 🛪 | | | |
| Don't know | Discontinue use of incident Report. Enter at the top of | | | |
| male? | number, change the enswers to screening questions 10-15, change number of incidents in item 19(1), page 1, and go | | | |
| • | number, change the answers to acreening questions 10-15, change number of incidents in item 19(1), page 1, and go on to the next reported incident. If no other incidents are reported, return to page 1 and complete items 19(2) 8, and 9 and end the interview. | | | |
| | 11. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just try to get in? | | | |
| P#10 10 70 | 1 Actually got in | | | |
| | 2 🛄 Just tried to get in | | | |
| J | 12. Was there a broken window, broken lock, alarm, or any | | | |
| e youngest person was? | other evidence that the offender(s) forced (tried to force) his (their) way in? | | | |
| 21 of over - SKIP 10 6g | 1 🗖 Yes | | | |
| e oldest person was? | 2 🔲 No - SKIP to 14 | | | |
| 18-20 | 13. What was the evidence? (Mark all that apply) * | | | |
| Don't know | 1 Broken lock or window | | | |
| | 2 Forced door | | | |
| Male and female | 4 Other - Specify | | | |
| | 14. How did the offender(s) get in (try to get in)? | | | |
| | t Through unlocked door or window | | | |
| y | 2 Had a key | | | |
| Specily | 3 🗋 Other Specily 4 🔲 Don't know | | | |
| | | | | |

Pase

87

3

| INCIDENT PEP | ORT - Continued |
|---|---|
| . Was anything damaged but not taken in this incident? For | 18a. Did you, the owner, or any employee here lose any time |
| example, a lock or window broken, damaged merchandise, etc. | |
| 1 🛄 Yes | 1 [] Yes - How many people? |
| 2 No - SKIP 10 16a | 2] No - SKIP to 19a |
| b. Was (were) the damaged item(s) repaired or replaced? | |
| 1 TYes - SKIP to 15d | b. How many work days were lost allogether? |
| 2 🗌 No | 1 🛄 Less than I day |
| . How much would it cost to repair or replace the damages? | 2 []] 1-5 days |
| (Estimate) | 3 [] 6-10 days Days |
| \$ \$\$KIP to 15e | 4 Over 10 days - How many? |
| x Don't know | 5 Don't know |
| . How much did it cost to repair or replace the damages? | 19a. Were any security measures taken after this incident to |
| s | protect the establishment from future incidents? |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1 [] Yes |
| v No cost - SKIP to 16a x 📑 Don't know | |
| . Who paid or will pay for the repairs or replacement? | 2 [] No - SKIP to 20a |
| (Mark (X) all that apply) | b, What measures were taken? |
| 1 This business | (Mark (X) all that apply) |
| 2 Insurance | 1 [] Alarm system - outside ringing |
| 3 Owner of Building (landlord) | 2 Central atarm |
| 4 Other - Specitys | J [] Reinforcing devices, grates, gates, bars on window, etc. |
| | |
| Did the offender(s) take any money? (Exclude money belonging to customers or store personnel) | 4 Guard, watchman |
| A Ver What was the | s Watch dog |
| lotal value? > | 6 Fireatms 7 Cameras |
| | a C Mircors |
| Did the offender(s) take any merchandise, equipment or supplies? (Exclude personal property belonging to | 9 Locks |
| customers or store personnel.) | A Dther - Specity |
| 1 Yes - What was the | 7 |
| | |
| z 🔄 No – SKIP to 17a il answer to 16a is yes; otherwise SKIP to 18a | |
| the main the main and the second second | - 20a. Was this incident reported to the police? |
| t. How was the value determined? | 1 [] Yes - SKIP 10 21 |
| 2 Replacement cost | 2 7 No |
| 3 Other - Specify | b. What was the reason this incident was not reported |
| . How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property | to the police? |
| was recovered by insurance? | (Mark (X) all that apply) |
| s, 🚺 | 1 [] Police already knew of the incident |
| v None - Why not? | 2 Nothing could be done - lack of proof |
| 1 Didn't report at | a 🚰 Did not dijnk it important enough |
| 2 Does not have insurance | 4 Did not want to bother police |
| 3 Not settled yet | 5 Did not want to take the time |
| A Policy has a deductible | |
| s Money and/or merchandise was recovered x Don't know | 7 Afraid of reprisal |
| | |
| . How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property was recovered by means other than insurance? | B Reported to someone else |
| | 9 Clother - Specify |
| • LE | |
| V None X Don't know SKIP to 18a | 21. INTERVIEWER Is this the fast incident |
| . By what means was the stolen money and/or | CHECK ITEM / Report to be completed? |
| property recovered? | Yes — Return to page 1 and complete items 1g(2), 8, 9, and end interview, |
| 1 🛄 Palice | B, 9, and end interview, |
| 2 Other - Specify | No - Fill the next incident Report. |
| res | |
| 흘러나는 이번 가지에 가는 것을 가지? | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

With respect to crimes against persons and households, survey results contained in this publication are based on data gathered during early 1974 from persons residing within the city limits of Miami, including those living in certain types of group quarters, such as dormitories, rooming houses, and religious group dwellings. Nonresidents of the city, including foreign visitors, did not fall within the scope of the survey. Similarly, crewmembers of merchant vessels, Armed Forces personnel living in military barracks, and institutionalized persons, such as correctional facility inmates, were not under consideration. With these exceptions, all persons age 12 and over living in units designated for the sample were eligible to be interviewed.

Each interviewer's first contact with a unit selected for the survey was in person, and, if it was not possible to secure interviews with all eligible members of the household during the initial visit, interviews by telephone were permissible thereafter. The only exemptions to the requirement for personal interview applied to 12- and 13-year-olds, incapacitated persons, and individuals who were absent from the household during the entire field interview period; for these persons, interviewers were required to obtain proxy responses from a knowledgeable adult member of the household. Survey records were processed and weighted, yielding results representative both of the city's population as a whole and of sectors within society. Because they are based on a sample survey rather than a complete enumeration, the results are estimates.

Sample design and size

0

The basic frame from which the sample was drawn for the National Crime Survey household survey in Miami was the complete housing inventory for the city, as determined by the 1970

APPENDIX II HOUSEHOLD SURVEY Technical information and standard error tables

Census of Population and Housing. For the purpose of sample selection, the city's housing units were distributed among 105 strata on the basis of various characteristics. Occupied units, which comprised the majority, were grouped into 100 strata defined by a combination of the following characteristics: type of tenure (owned or rented); number of household members (five categories); household income (five categories); and race of head of household (white or nonwhite). Housing units vacant at the time of the Census were assigned to an additional four strata, where they were distributed on the basis of rental or property value. Furthermore, a single stratum incorporated group quarters.

To account for units built after the 1970 Census, a sample was drawn, by means of an independent clerical operation, of permits issued for the construction of residential housing within the city. This enabled the proper representation in the survey of persons occupying housing built after 1970.

A total of 12,148 housing units in Miami was designated for the sample. Of these, 1,912 were visited by interviewers during the survey period but were found to be vacant, demolished, converted to nonresidential use, temporarily occupied by nonresidents, or otherwise ineligible for the survey. At an additional 196 units visited by interviewers it was impossible to conduct interviews because the occupants could not be reached after repeated calls, did not wish to participate in the survey, or were unavailable for other reasons. Thus, interviews were taken with the occupants of 10,040 housing units, and the rate of participation among units qualified for interviewing was 98.1 percent. Participating units were occupied by a total of 21,573 persons age 12 and over, or an average of 2.15 residents of the relevant ages per unit. Interviews were conducted with 21,473 of these persons, resulting in a response rate of 99.5 percent among eligible residents.

Estimation procedure

Data records generated by survey interviews were assigned two sets of final tabulation weightsone for crimes against persons and another for crimes against households. For interviews conducted at housing units selected from the Census housing inventory, the following elements determined the final weights: (1) a basic weight, reflecting the selected unit's probability of being included in the sample; (2) a factor to compensate for the subsampling of units, a situation which arose in instances where the interviewer discovered many more units at the sample address than had been listed in the decennial Census; (3) a within-household noninterview adjustment, applied solely in tabulating crimes against persons, to account for situations where at least one but not all eligible persons in a household were interviewed; (4) a household noninterview adjustment to account for households gualified to participate in the survey but from which an interview was not obtained; and (5) a household ratio estimate factor for bringing estimates developed from the sample of 1970 housing units into adjustment with the complete Census count of such units.

The household ratio estimation procedure was a key step, for it achieved a reduction in the extent of sampling variability, thereby reducing the margin of error in the tabulated survey results. It also compensated for the exclusion from each stratum of any households that already were included in samples for certain other Census Bureau programs. The procedure was not applied to interview records gathered from residents of group quarters or of units constructed after the Census.

In producing estimates of personal incidents (as opposed to those of personal victimizations), a further weighting adjustment was required in those cases where the basic unit of tabulation was an incident involving more than one person, thereby allowing for the probability that such incidents had more than one chance of coming into the sample. Thus, if two persons were victimized during the same incident, the weight assigned to the record for that incident (and associated characteristics) was reduced by one-half in order not to introduce double counts in the tabulated data. When a

personal crime was reported in the household survey as having occurred simultaneously with a commercial burglary or robbery, it was assumed that the incident was represented in the commercial survey, and, therefore, it was not counted as an incident of personal crime. However, the details of the outcome of the event as they related to the victimized individual would be reflected in the household survey results.

For household crimes, the final weight consisted of all steps described above except the third. In the household sector, victimizations and incidents are synonymous, since each distinctly separate criminal act was defined as having been experienced by a single household. Thus, the concept of multihousehold incidents was inapplicable, and an adjustment comparable to that made in the personal sector to account for multiperson incidents was unnecessary.

In performing the estimation procedure that yielded the results appearing in this publication, there was no adjustment for bringing the surveyderived estimates into accord with any independent. post-Census estimates of the city population. Subsequent to the initial processing of survey results, however, estimates were calculated of the size of the relevant population. These estimates indicate that an undercoverage amounting to about 15.0 percent of the relevant population occurred in the 1974 survey of Miami households. As a result, population figures that serve as bases for rates of victimization for crimes against persons understated the size of the population, and victimization and incident counts for crimes against persons also were too low. In order to bring estimates in this report into accord with this post-Census estimate, population control figures and levels of victimizations and incidents for crimes against persons should be increased (multiplied) by a ratio estimate factor of 1.150024. However, all relative figures-namely personal victimization rates and other data on personal crimes expressed in percentages-appearing on the data tables remain unaffected by the application of an independent population estimate, as the adjustment factor is applicable to both the numerators and denominators used in computing such figures. Furthermore, the adjustment is not applicable to data on household crimes.

Reliability of estimates

As previously noted, statistical data contained in this report are estimates. Despite the precautions taken to minimize sampling variability, the estimates are subject to errors arising from the fact that the sample employed in conducting the survey was only one of a large number of possible samples of equal size that could have been used applying the same sample design and selection procedures. Estimates derived from different samples may vary somewhat; they also may differ from figures obtainable if a complete census had been taken using the same schedules, instructions, and interviewers.

The standard error of a survey estimate is a interviewer. Taken together, these recall problems measure of the variation among estimates from all may result in a substantial understatement of the possible samples and is, therefore, a gauge of the "true" rate of victimization from assault. precision with which the estimate from a particular Another source of nonsampling error related to sample approximates the average result of all posthe recall capacity of respondents involves telescopsible samples. The estimate and its associated ing, or bringing within the appropriate 12-month standard error may be used to construct a confidence reference period victimizations that occurred earlier interval, that is, an interval having a prescribed -or, in a few instances, those that happened after probability that it would include the average result the close of the period. Unlike the national sample of all possible samples. The average value of all of the National Crime Survey program, the city possible samples may or may not be contained in any samples have not incorporated a bounding procedure particular computed interval. The chances are about to minimize this source of nonsampling error, and 68 out of 100 that the survey estimate would differ the magnitude of telescoping has not been defrom the average result of all possible samples by less than one standard error. Similarly, the chances termined. Methodological research undertaken in preparaare about 90 out of 100 that the difference would be tion for the National Crime Survey program indiless than 1.6 times the standard error; about 95 out cated that substantially fewer incidents of crime are of 100 that the difference would be 2.0 times the reported when one household member reports for standard error; and 99 out of 100 chances that it all persons residing in the household than when would be less than 2.5 times the standard error. The each household member is interviewed individually. 68 percent confidence interval is defined as the range Therefore, the self-response procedure was adopted of values given by the estimate minus the standard as a general rule; allowances for proxy response error and the estimate plus the standard error; the under the contingencies discussed earlier are the only chances are 68 in 100 that a figure from a complete census would fall within that range. Likewise, the exceptions to the rule. Additional nonsampling errors can result from 95 percent confidence interval is defined as the estiincomplete or erroneous responses, systematic mismate plus or minus two standard errors. Standard takes introduced by interviewers, and improper errors applicable to data on crimes against persons coding and processing of data. Many of these and households are presented at the end of this errors would also occur in a complete census. Appendix, preceded by instructions on their use. Quality control measures, such as interviewer obser. In addition to sampling error, the estimates vation, with retraining and reinterviewing, as appropresented in this report are subject to so-called nonpriate, as well as edit procedures in the field and at sampling error. Major sources of such error are the clerical and computer processing stages, were related to the ability of respondents to recall victimi-

zation experiences and associated details that occurred during the 12 months prior to the time of interview. Research on the capacity of victims to recall specific kinds of crime, based on interviewing persons who were victims of offenses drawn from police files, indicates that assault is the least well recalled of the crimes measured by the National Crime Survey program. This may stem in part from the observed tendency of victims not to report crimes committed by offenders known to them, especially if they are relatives. In addition, it is suspected that, among certain societal groups, crimes that contain the elements of assault are a part of everyday life and, thus, are simply forgotten or are not considered worth mentioning to a survey

utilized to keep such errors at an acceptably low level. As calculated for this survey, the standard errors partially measure only those nonsampling errors arising from random response and interviewer errors; they do not, however, take into account any systematic biases in the data.

Concerning the reliability of data from the household survey, it should be noted that estimates based on about 10 or fewer sample cases have been considered unreliable. Such estimates are qualified in footnotes to the data tables and were not used for purposes of analysis in the report's selected findings. The minimum estimate considered sufficiently reliable to serve as a base for statistics relevant to the personal and household sectors was 150.

As they appear in the report's data tables, all absolute values-including numbers of victimizations and incidents, as well as control figures (bases) shown parenthetically on rate tables---have been rounded to the nearest hundredth. Relative figures (whether rates, percentages, or ratios) were calculated from unrounded figures.

Standard error tables and calculations

For survey estimates relevant to the personal and household sectors, the standard errors displayed on tables at the end of this appendix can be used for gauging sampling variability. These errors are approximations and suggest an order of magnitude of the standard error rather than the precise error associated with any given estimate. Table I contains the standard error approximations applicable to the estimated levels, or numbers, of personal incidents, personal victimizations, and household victimizations. Standard errors pertaining to personal victimization rates are given in Table II, whereas Table III displays the standard error approximations for household victimization rates. For levels and rates not specifically listed on the tables, linear interpolation must be used to approximate the error.

To illustrate the application of standard errors in measuring sampling variability, assume that a data table in this report shows there were 1.500 personal robbery incidents in Miami. Linear interpolation of values in Table I of this appendix yields a standard error of about 143 for the estimated 1,500 incidents. The chances are 68 out of 100 that the estimate would have been a figure differing from a complete census figure by less than 143, i.e., the 68 percent confidence interval associated with that level of incidents would be from 1.357 to 1.643. The chances are 95 out of 100 that the estimate would have differed from a complete census figure by less than twice this standard error (286); i.e., the 95 percent confidence interval then would be from 1,214 to 1,786.

Assume further that, for a Miami population subgroup numbering 30,000, the recorded personal victimization rate was 20 per 1,000 persons age 12 and over. Two-way linear interpolation of data listed in Table II would yield a standard error of about 2.9. Consequently, chances are 68 out of 100 that the estimated rate of 20 would be within 2.9 of a complete census figure; i.e., the 68 percent confidence interval associated with the estimate would be from 17.1 to 22.9. And, the chances are 95 out of 100 that the estimated rate would be within roughly 5.8 of a complete enumeration; i.e., the 95 percent confidence interval would be about 14.2 to 25.8.

In comparing two sample estimates, the standard error of the difference between the two figures is approximately equal to the square root of the sum of the squares of the standard errors of each estimate considered separately. This formula represents the actual standard error quite accurately for the difference between uncorrelated sample estimates. If. however, there is a high positive correlation, the formula will overestimate the true standard error of the difference; and if there is a large negative correlation, the formula will underestimate the true standard error of the difference.



Household Survey

Table I. Standard error approximations for estimated number of personal incidents, personal victimizations, and household victimizations, by size of estimate

(68 chances out of 100)

| P | ersonal | |
|-----------|----------------|---------------------|
| Incidents | Victimizations | Household incidents |
| 25 | 25 | 26 |
| 35 | 36 | 37 |
| 56 | 57 | 58 |
| 80 | 82 | 82 |
| 116 | 120 | 116 |
| 196 | 206 | 186 |
| 302 | 433 | 266 |
| 493 | 553 | 388 |
| 1,026 | 1,203 | 663 |
| 1,896 | 2,272 | 1,045 |
| 3,625 | 4,404 | 1,741 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | sigitzininkan sunge |
|-----------|-----|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|------------------|---------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------------|-------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | з., |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | * | | 8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | : - 300a | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | د معیند میرد. در معیند میرد د | a age age to the state of the state of the | | | | | | | | |
| . , | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | • | | |
| - | Ta | ble II. | Stan | dard e | error a | pproxin | nations | for esti | mated p | ersonal | victimiza | tion rate | 25 | | 94 | | | | | | • | | a |
| | | | | | | ((| 68 chances | out of 10 | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | |
| ons | | 100 | 250 | 500 | 1,000 | 2,500 | 5,000 | | 25,000 | | 100,000 | 250,000 | 500,000 | 1,000,000 | Vrimin | | | | | · • | | | |
| | | 7.9 9.7 11.1 17.6 24.9 30.5 35.2 55.2 77.0 106.0 | 5.0 6.1 7.1 11.2 15.8 19.3 22.2 34.9 48.7 67.0 96.8 111.7 | 3.5 4.3 5.0 7.9 | 2.5 3.1 3.5 5.6 7.9 9.6 11.1 17.4 24.3 33.5 | 1.6 1.9 2.2 3.5 5.0 6.1 7.0 11.0 15.4 21.2 | 1.1 1.4 1.6 | 0.8 1.0 1.1 | 0.5 0.6 0.7 | 0,4 0.5 0.8 1.1 1.6 2.5 3.4 4.7 6.8 7.9 | 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.6 | 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.6 0.8 | al Vic | - - - - | | | | | | | |
| | | 17.6 24.9 30.5 | 11.2 15.8 19.3 | 7.9 11.1 13.6 | 7.9 9.6 | 3.5 5.0 6.1 | 2.4 3.5 4.3 5.0 7.8 10.9 15.0 21.6 25.0 | 1.0 1.1 1.8 2.5 3.0 3.5 5.5 7.7 10.6 | 0.7 1.1 1.6 1.9 2.2 3.5 4.9 6.7 | 1.1 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 | 0.2 0.4 0.4 0.5 | 0.2 0.2 0.3 | llmiza | | | | | | | | |
| | | 35.2 55.2 77.0 | 22.2 34.9 48.7 | 11.1 13.6 15.7 24.7 34.4 47.4 | 17.4 24.3 | 11.0 15.4 | 7.8 | 3.5 5.5 7.7 | 2.2 3.5 4.9 | 2.5 3.4 | 1.1 1.7 2.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 0.8 1.1 1.5 2.2 | 0.4 0.6 0.8 | tion S | | ۰. | | | | | | х. |
| • | - | 153.0 176.6 | 96.8 111.7 | 68.4 79.0 | 48.4 | 30.6 35.3 | 21.6 25.0 | 15.3 17.7 | 9.7 9.7 11.2 | 4.7 6.8 7.9 | 3.4 4.8 5.6 | 2.1 3.1 3.5 | 1.5 2.2 2.5 | 1.1 1.5 1.8 | urveys | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | : | | | | | | 5 | | · · · · | | | | | | |
| | Tab | le III. | Stand | lard e | rror a | | | for estir s out of 1 | · · | ousehold | l victimiz | ation rat | tes | | ami | | | | | | | | |
| per ds | - | 100 | 250 | 500 | 1,000 | 2,500 | 5,000 | , | of rate 25,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 | 250,000 | 500,000 | 1,000,000 | | | | 4 5 | | | * | | |
| | | | | | | | | C.8 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 0.1 | 0.1 0.1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 8.2 10.0 11.6 18.3 25.8 31.5 | 5.2 6.3 7.3 11.5 16.3 | 3.7 4.5 5.2 8.2 11.5 | 2.6 3.2 3.6 5.8 8.2 | 1.6 2.0 2.3 3.7 5.2 6.3 | 1.2 1.4 1.6 2.6 3.6 4.5 | 1.2 1.8 2.6 | 0.7 1.2 1.6 | 0.5 0.8 1.2 | 0.4 0.6 0.8 | 0.2 0./ ₃ 0.5 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.3 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 31.5 36.3 57.1 | 19.9 23.0 36.1 | 14.1 | 10.0 | 6.3 7.3 11.4 | 4.5 5.1 8.1 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.6 0.7 1.1 | 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.8 | 0.3 0.4 0.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 36.3 57.1 79.7 109.6 158.3 182.7 | 50.4 69.3 100.0 | 16.3 25.5 35.6 49.0 70.8 81.7 | 11.5 18.0 25.2 34.7 50.0 57.8 | 7.3 11.4 15.9 21.9 31.6 36.5 | 5.1 8.1 11.3 15.5 22.4 25.8 | 3.6 5.7 8.0 11.0 15.8 18.3 | 2.3 3.6 5.0 6.9 10.0 11.6 | 1.6 2.6 3.6 4.9 7.1 8.2 | 1.1 1.8 2.5 3.5 5.0 5.8 | 0.7 1.1 1.6 2.2 3.2 3.7 | 0.5 0.8 1.1 1.6 2.2 2.6 | 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.1 1.6 1.8 | | | | 5 | | | | | |
| | | 182.7 | 115.6 | 81.7 | 57.8 | 36.5 | 25.8 | 18.3 | 11.6 | 8.2 | 5.8 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 1.8 | | | | | | | | | 1.1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | ≉ 1. 14 | • | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 'a ** | . | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | a | | | | · · · | | |
| | | | N. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ~ | | | | | |
| | | 1 | Ω | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | | н 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1-a | | | | | | A | |
| an A | | | | | | | | | | е., | | terrent and starting straights | and Sector Coloring | | | | | | | | | 4. | n de la composition de la composition de la composition |
| | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | ~ (52 | |
| | | 1 | | 4 | | | | • | | | F | | | | | | | | | | • | | |
| . 4 | | | | | | | | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | |
| | | • | | | | | | هر | | | | | • | | 0 | | | 2 | | • | | | 1 1 - 1 - 1 1 - 1 - 1 1 - 1 - 1 1 - 1 - |
| | | | | | đ | | | | | | | | | | · | | | | | | | | |
| | | ۰ د را رئیسی اس | • • | 1000 - 100 1000 - 100 1000 - 100 | ید د 1 میلی سبب سیچ | | te de te | an di tang Manakaran Manakaran | n na star Richard Richard Roberts | | | | | | | | | 4 4 4 9 | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | , | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | |
| | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | - Xidayet W 1 and | -1 | |
| · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | · · · | | | این اور | n age nge strikker i kenje ogs | | | | | 1 · · · · · | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Table II. | Standar | d error a | | ations f | | | ersonal | victimiza | ation rate | BS | | 94 | | | | | | | |
| imated rate 1,000 persons | 100 | 250 50 | 00 1,000 | 2,500 | 5,000 | فيصفيه خيصنا وحد | e of rate 25,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 | 250,000 | 500,000 | 1,000,000 | Crin | - | · · · | | | | | |
| or 999.5 or 999.25 · 999 or 997.5 · 995 or 992.5 or 990 or 975 or 950 or 900 or 750 | 7.9 9.7 11.1 17.6 24.9 30.5 35.2 55.2 77.0 106.0 153.0 176.6 | 7.1 11.2 15.8 1 19.3 1 22.2 1 34.9 2 48.7 3 67.0 4 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 1.6 1.9 2.2 3.5 5.0 6.1 7.0 11.0 15.4 21.2 30.6 35.3 | 1.1 1.4 1.6 2.4 3.5 5.0 7.8 10.9 15.0 21.6 25.0 | 0.8 1.0 1.1 1.8 2.5 3.0 3.5 5.5 7.7 10.6 15.3 17.7 | 0.5 0.6 0.7 1.1 1.6 1.9 2.2 3.5 4.9 6.7 9.7 11.2 | 0,4 0.4 0.5 0.8 1.1 1.4 1.6 2.5 3.4 4.7 6.8 7.9 | 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.1 1.7 2.4 3.4 4.8 5.6 | 0.2 0.2 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 1.1 1.5 2.1 3.1 3.5 | 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.8 1.1 1.5 2.2 2.5 | 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.1 1.5 1.8 | inal Victimization Survey | | | | | | | |
| | Table IV | Chanden | d | | | | : · | | | | • • • | | s in Mia | | , 1., | н | | | | |
| - | Table III. | Standard | a error a | | 68 chances | | | Dusenoid | | zation ra | tes | - - - | <u>1</u> | े - है - ह - क | | | | | | |
| imated rate per O households | 100 | 250 500 | | 2,500 | 5,000 | Base 10,000 | <u>of rate</u> 25,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 | 250,000 | 500,000 | 1,000,000 | | | | • | | | | |
| or 999.5 i or 999.25 i or 997.5 i or 997.5 i or 997.5 or 990 or 975 or 950) or 950) or 950) or 750) | 8.2 10.0 11.6 18.3 25.8 31.5 36.3 57.1 79.7 109.6 158.3 182.7 | 7.3 5 11.5 8 16.3 11 19.9 14 | 3.7 2.6 4.5 3.2 5.2 3.6 3.2 5.8 1.5 8.2 4.1 10.0 5.3 11.5 5.5 18.0 5.5.5 25.2 9.0 34.7 0.8 50.0 1.7 57.8 | 1.6 2.0 2.3 3.7 5.2 6.3 7.3 11.4 15.9 21.9 31.6 36.5 | 1.2 1.4 1.6 2.6 3.6 4.5 5.1 8.1 11.3 15.5 22.4 25.8 | C.8 1.0 1.2 1.8 2.6 3.2 3.6 5.7 8.0 11.0 15.8 18.3 | 0.5 0.6 0.7 1.2 1.6 2.0 2.3 3.6 5.0 6.9 10.0 11.6 | 0.4 0.5 0.8 1.2 1.4 2.6 3.6 4.9 7.1 8.2 | 0.3 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.1 1.8 2.5 3.5 5.0 5.8 | 0.2 0.2 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 1.1 1.6 2.2 3.7 | 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.8 1.1 1.6 2.2 2.6 | 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.1 1.6 1.8 | | | | | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | and a second | j) No int | | | • | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | an a | • |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | · • • | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | and the second secon | | 0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | * | C |) | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | an she a sanzin a garageti | former and the second second second | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | ************************************** | a da an | | n series Series de la series Series de la series Series de la series | | | | | |
| | | | ά | | | \$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| | | | | | | | Q ▲ 1. | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | tin sin Arti∯ere | - |
| | | | đ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ید اور در ۱۹۹۹ کرد <u>سب</u> سیر او | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | an a generation of the second se |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|---------------------------|----------------|------|--------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | a • • | | | | | | | | | An Barranger An Barranger An B |
| | | | | | | | | 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | · · · · | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | n na serie na serie de la composition d Composition de la composition de la compos | age nacht 1966 - 1969 Anna 2013 B | | | | | • |
| | Table II | Standard er | ror appr | ovimatione | for actin | nated no | reonal | victimiza | tion rate | ae a | | ίΩ. | | | | | |
| | | Standard er | | | out of 100 | | SUIDI | VICUIIIIZA | | | | 94 | | | | | |
| timated rate r 1,000 persons | 100 | 250 500 | 1,000 2, | ,500 5,000 | Base 10,000 | of rate 25,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 | 250,000 | 500,000 | 1,000,000 | Crimi | · · · | | | | |
| or 999.5 5 or 999.25 or 999 | 7.9 9.7 11.1 17.6 | 5.0 3.5 6.1 4.3 7.1 5.0 | 3.1 | 1.6 1.1 1.9 1.4 2.2 1.6 | 0.8 1.0 1.1 | 0.5 0.6 0.7 | 0,4 0.4 0.5 | 0.2 0.3 0.4 | 0.2 0.2 0.2 | 0.1 0.1 0.2 | 0.1 0.1 0.1 | inal Vi | | | | | |
| or 999 5 or 997.5 or 995 5 or 992.5 | 17.6 24.9 30.5 | 11.2 7.9 15.8 11.1 19.3 13.6 22.2 15.7 | 5.6 7.9 9.6 | 3.5 2.4 5.0 3.5 6.1 4.3 7.0 5.0 11.0 7.8 15.4 10.9 21.2 15.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 0.8 1.0 | 0.4 0.5 0.6 | 0.2 0.4 0.4 | 0.2 0.2 0.3 | ctimiz | i e | | | | |
| •5 or 992.5 0 or 990 5 or 975 0 or 950 | 24.9 30.5 35.2 55.2 77.0 106.0 | 34.9 24.7 48.7 34.4 | 11.1 17.4 1 24.3 1 | 7.05.011.07.815.410.9 | 3.0 3.5 5.5 7.7 | 1.9 2.2 3.5 4.9 6.7 | 1.4 1.6 2.5 3.4 4.7 | 1.1 1.7 2.4 | 0.7 1.1 1.5 | 0.5 0.8 1.1 | 0.4 0.6 0.8 | ation | | ۰. | | | |
| 00 or 900 50 or 750 00 | 106.0 153.0 176.6 | 67.0 47.4 | 48•4 3 | 21.2 15.0 30.6 21.6 35.3 25.0 | 10.6 15.3 17.7 | 6.7 9.7 11.2 | 4.7 6.8 7.9 | 3.4 4.8 5.6 | 2.1 3.1 3.5 | 1.5 2.2 2.5 | 1.1 1.5 1.8 | ŝurvey | | | | | |
| | | | | | - | | | | | | | s in M | | | | | |
| Ť | able III. | Standard er | ror appro | | for estim | | usehold | victimiz | ation rat | tes | | | ्रः । रः ः ह | | | 4 5 | |
| timated rate per 000 households | 100 | 250 500 1 | ,000 2,5 | | Base | of rate | 50,000 | 100,000 | 250,000 | 500,000 | 1,000,000 | | | • • | •. • | | |
| 5 or 999.5 75 or 999.25 | 8.2 10.0 | 5.2 3.7 6.3 4.5 7.3 5.2 11.5 8.2 | 3.2 2 | 1.6 1.2 2.0 1.4 2.3 1.6 | 0.8 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 0.1 | 0.1 0.1 | | | | | | • |
| or 999 5 or 997.5 or 995 5 or 992.5 | 11.6 18.3 25.8 31.5 | 16.3 11 5 | 5.8 3 8.2 5 | 2.3 1.6 3.7 2.6 5.2 3.6 6.3 4.5 | 1.2 1.8 2.6 3.2 | 0.7 1.2 1.6 2.0 | 0.5 0.8 1.2 1.4 | 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 | 0.2 0./ ₅ 0.5 0.6 | 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.4 | 0.1 0.2 0.3 | | | | | | |
|) or 990 5 or 975 1 or 950 | 36.3 57.1 79.7 | 23.0 16.3 36.1 25.5 50.4 35.6 | 11.5 7 18.0 11 25.2 15 | 7.3 5.1 1.4 8.1 5.9 11.3 | 3.6 5.7 8.0 | 2.3 3.6 5.0 | 1.6 2.6 3.6 | 1.1 1.8 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 0.8 1.1 | 0.3 0.4 0.6 0.8 | | | | | | |
| 0 or 990 5 or 975 6 or 950 8 or 950 80 or 950 80 or 750 80 | 36.3 57.1 79.7 109,6 158.3 182.7 | 19.9 14.1 23.0 16.3 36.1 25.5 50.4 35.6 69.3 49.0 100.0 70.8 115.6 81.7 | 11.5 7 18.0 11 25.2 15 34.7 21 50.0 31 57.8 36 | 7.3 5.1 1.4 8.1 5.9 11.3 1.9 15.5 1.6 22.4 6.5 25.8 | 3.6 5.7 8.0 11.0 15.8 18.3 | 2.3 3.6 5.0 6.9 10.0 11.6 | 1.6 2.6 3.6 4.9 7.1 8.2 | 1.1 1.8 2.5 3.5 5.0 5.8 | 0.7 1.1 1.6 2.2 3.2 3.7 | 0.5 0.8 1.1 1.6 2.2 2.6 | 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.1 1.6 1.8 | | | * | 15 | | |
| | | | | <u></u> | | | | | | | · · · · · | | | | | | nan tra Tarina Q ¹⁰¹ ≜ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10 141 1 | | • | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | * | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | a | | | 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| | | V | | | | | | | | | | | | e | | | |
| | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | O | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | U | | |
| | | | | | | n transforma Statistica Statistica | | | | | | | k-0 | 6 | | | |
| | | | | | | | 2 - 2 - 2 8 - 2 - 2 - 8 - 8 | | ander and a start set of the same of | | | animalisa ang kang kang kang kang kang kang kang | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | × | | ла. • | | | | | | | |
| | | Δ. (Δ. | | | | | | | | | | na stalina anna Stalina anna Stalina | | | | | • |
| | | | | | | • | | | | • | | • • • | | 9 | | | ی اور |
| | | | đ | | 6 4 | | | • | | | | | | | | | • |
| | | | | | | | (Jaconsenter) | | | | | | | | | | ana ang sang sang sang sang sang sang sa |

APPENDIX III COMMERCIAL SURVEY Technical information and relative error tables

Commercial victimization surveys conducted in central cities have focused on business establishments, but coverage has extended to other organizations, such as those engaged in religious, political, and cultural activities. Units of Federal, State, and local government operating within the city limits generally have been excluded. In applicable cities, however, government-operated liquor stores and transportation systems were within the scope of the survey, these having been the only exceptions to the general exclusion of government entities. Organizations other than businesses have accounted for a relatively small part of each city sample. Survey data were personally gathered by interviewers from the operators (usually managers or owners) of businesses and other participating organizations. Because they are based on sample surveys rather than complete enumerations, all results are estimates.

Sample design and size

For the purposes of sample selection, Miami was segmented into geographical units known to have contained at least four but not more than six commercial establishments, whether retail, service, or a combination of the two kinds. Establishments of other types were not taken into consideration in designing the sample; nevertheless, visually recognizable establishments of all types and selected nonbusiness organizations located within each segment during the field survey were eligible for inclusion in the sample. Segments already being sampled in connection with the nationwide commercial victimization survey were excluded from the sample.

A total of 1,862 commercial establishments (including other organizations) was considered eligible for inclusion in the sample. Of these, 293 were found to be out of business at the time of the field interviews, no longer operating at the designated address, or otherwise unqualified to participate. At three other establishments it was impossible to conduct interviews because the operator could not be reached, declined to participate in the survey, or was otherwise not available. Therefore, interviews were taken in 1,566 establishments, and the overall rate of response among those qualified to participate was 99.8 percent.

Estimation procedure

Data records produced by the survey interviews were assigned final weights, applied to each usable data record, enabling the tabulation of city-wide estimates of victimization data. The final weight was the product of the following elements: (1) a basic weight, reflecting each selected establishment's probability of being in the sample; (2) an adjustment for noninterviews; and (3) a factor to account for establishments which were in operation during only part of the survey reference period.

The noninterview adjustment was equal to the total number of data records required for each particular kind of business divided by the number of usable records actually collected. The factor to account for establishments that were not in operation during the entire 12-month time frame was applied only to the number of incidents involving such businesses and not the complete inventory of those establishments. This factor was obtained by multiplying the basic weight of each part-year operator by 12 and dividing the resulting product by the number of months the establishment was active during the reference period. Then, the result was multiplied by the ratio of required records divided by the number of usable records, the result being applied to the record of each part-year operator.



Reliability of estimates

As indicated, statistical data presented in this publication concerning the criminal victimization of commercial establishments are estimates that were derived through probability sampling methods rather than from complete enumeration. The sample used was only one of many of equal size that could have been selected within the city, utilizing the same sample design. Although the results obtained from any two samples might differ markedly, the average of a number of different samples would be expected to be in near agreement with the results of a complete enumeration using the same data collection procedures and processing methods. Similarly, the results obtained by averaging data from a number of subsamples of the whole sample would be expected to give an order of magnitude of the variance between any single subsample and the grouping of subsamples. Such a technique, known as the random group method, was used for calculating the coefficients of variation, or relative errors, for estimates generated by the survey. Because the relative errors are the products of calculations involving estimates derived through sampling, each error in turn is subject to sampling variability.

As in the household survey, estimates on crimes against businesses are subject to nonsampling errors, principal among these being the problem of recalling victimizations applicable to the 12 months prior to interview. Because of a number of factors, however, these errors probably were less prevalent in the commercial survey than they were in the household survey. These factors include the greater likelihood of recordkeeping and of reporting to the police by businesses, as well as the concentration of the survey on two of the more serious crimes, burglary and robbery. Unlike the national sample of the commercial victimization surveys, the city samples have not incorporated a bounding procedure to minimize nonsampling errors attributable to telescoping.

In addition to those relating to victim recall ability, nonsampling errors may have arisen from deficient interviewing and from data processing mistakes. However, quality control measures comparable to those used in the household survey were adopted to minimize such errors.

Commercial survey estimates based on about 10 or fewer sample cases have been considered unreliable. Such estimates are qualified in footnotes to the data tables. The minimum estimate considered sufficiently reliable to serve as a base for statistics on commercial crimes was 150.

The numbers of commercial victimizations and the control figures (bases) shown parenthetically in Data Table 85 have been rounded to the nearest hundredth. However, all relative figures (whether rates or percentages) were calculated from unrounded figures.

Relative error tables and calculations

In order to measure sampling variability associated with selected results of the commercial survey, relative errors are presented on two tables in this appendix. Generalized standard errors, such as those developed in connection with the household survey, were not calculated. Instead, the tables display actual calculations of relative errors from the sample observations for estimated values pertaining to selected characteristics of business establishments. Table IV applies to the estimated level of victimizations, and Table V relates to victimization rates for each of the measured crimes. Although the relative errors listed on those tables partially gauge the effect of nonsampling error, they do not take into account any biases that may be inherent in the survey results. For estimated values not shown on Tables IV and V, rough approximations of relative errors may be made by utilizing the relative errors for similar figures having bases of comparable size.

When used in conjunction with the survey results, the relative error tables permit the construction of intervals containing the average results of all possible samples with a prescribed level of confidence. Chances are about 68 out of 100 that any given survey result would differ from results that would be obtained from a complete enumeration using the same procedures by less than the relative error displayed in the tables. Doubling the interval increases the confidence level to 95 chances out of 100 that the estimated value would differ from the results of a complete count by less than twice the relative error.

To illustrate the computation and significance of these ranges, assume that one wished to test the extent of sampling variability surrounding the 7,600 commercial burglaries estimated to have occurred in Miami. Referring to Table IV, it is found that the relative error associated with the unrounded form of that figure (7,603) is 18.8 percent. Multiplying 7,603 by .188 yields 1,429.¹ Therefore, the 68 percent confidence level for the estimated number of incidents would be 6,174 to 9,032. If similar confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size,

¹The calculated figure (1,429) is the standard error of the estimated 7,603 burglaries (shown as 7,600 on Data Table 85).

Commercial Survey

about two-thirds of these would contain the results of a complete enumeration using the same methodology. Alternatively, for a single sample, the confidence level would be about 68 out of 100 that the calculated interval would contain the results that would have been generated by a complete enumeration. If the interval were to be doubled, then the chances would be increased to 95 out of 100 that the resulting interval, in this case 4,745 to 10,461, would contain the total that would have been obtained from a complete tally.

Table IV. Relative errors for estimated number of commercial victimizations, by characteristics of establishments and type of crime

| | (68 chances out of 100) | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Type of crime | Estimated number of incidents | Relative error | | |
| Burglary | 7,603 | 18.8%. | | |
| Completed burglary | 5,534 | 19.7% | | |
| Attempted burglary | 2,069 | 19.1% | | |
| Robbery | 2,703 | 39.0% | | |
| Completed robbery | 1,536 | 35.9% | | |
| Attempted robbery | 1,167 | 44.7% | | |

Table V. Relative errors for estimated commercial victimization rates, by characteristics of establishments and type of crime

| | | lary | Robbery | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------|---|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Characteristic | Estimated rate per 1,000 establishments | Relative error | Estimated rate per 1,000 establishments | Relative error | | | |
| Kind of establishment | | | | | | | |
| All establishments | 292 | 5.8% | 104 | 31.3% | | | |
| Retail | 457 | 8.4% | 196 | 46.3% | | | |
| Wholesale | 211 | 14.1% | 68 | 15.8% | | | |
| Service | 251 | 15.0% | 53 | 36.0% | | | |
| Gross annual receipts | | | | | | | |
| Less than \$10,000 | 342 | 10.7% | 39 | 50.0% | | | |
| \$10,000-\$24,999 | 333 | 16.3% | 64 | 35.1% | | | |
| \$25,000-\$49,999 | 258 | 14.6% | 99 | 34.6% | | | |
| \$50,000-\$99,999 | 269 | 11.7% | 194 | 56.0% | | | |
| \$100,000-\$499,999 | 239 | 16.4% | 176 | 28.1% | | | |
| \$500,000-\$999,999 | 310 | 29.0% | 129 | 38.7% | | | |
| \$1,000,000 or more | 396 | 20.9% | 81 | 59.6% | | | |
| No sales | 216 | 37.6% | 141 | 45.3% | | | |
| Not available | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | | | |

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Information provided in this appendix is designed to aid in understanding the report's selected findings and, more broadly, to assist data users in interpreting statistics in the data tables. The notes address general concepts as well as potential problem areas, but do not purport to cover all data elements or problems. The Glossary of terms should be consulted for definitions of crime categories, variables, and other terms used in the data tables and selected findings.

General

. 0

Throughout this report, victimizations are the basic units of measure. A victimization is a specific criminal act as it affects a single victim, whether a person, household, or place of business. For crimes against persons, however, some survey results are presented on the basis of incidents, not victimizations. An incident is a specific criminal act involving one or more victims and one or more offenders. For many specific categories of personal crime, victimizations outnumber incidents, a difference that stems from two contingencies: (1) some crimes were simultaneously committed against more than one person, and (2) certain personal crimes may have occurred during the course of a commercial burglary or robbery. Thus, for each personal victimization reported to survey interviewers, it was determined whether others were victimized at the same time and place and whether the offense happened during a commercial crime. A weighting adjustment in the estimation procedure (see Appendix II) protected against the double counting of incidents. If, for example, two customers were assaulted during the course of a store holdup, the event would have been classified as a single commercial robbery, not as an incident of personal assault. With respect to crimes against households and businesses, there is no distinction between victimizations and incidents, as each criminal act against targets of

APPENDIX IV TECHNICAL NOTES

either type were assumed to have involved a single victim, the affected household or business. In fact, the terms "victimization" and "incident" can be used interchangeably in analyzing data on household and commercial crimes.

As indicated with respect to personal crimes, victimization data are more appropriate than incident data for the study of the effects, or consequences, of crime experiences upon the individual victim. They also are better suited for assessing victim reactions to criminal attack and for examining victim perceptions of offender attributes. Thus, in addition to serving as a key element in computing victimization rates, victimization counts are used for developing information on victim injury and medical care, economic losses, time lost from work, victim self-protection, offender characteristics, and reporting to police. On the other hand, incident data are more adequate for the examination of the circumstances surrounding the occurrence of personal crimes. Accordingly, data concerning the time and place of occurrence of such offenses, as well as the use of weapons and number of victims and offenders, are based on incidents. In the hypothetical case given above, therefore, the rate data for personal assault would reflect the attack on each customer, and other victimization tables would incorporate details concerning the outcome of the crime for each person, such as any injuries, damage to clothing, and loss of time from work.

For data tables on crimes against persons, the table titles stipulate whether victimizations or incidents are the relevant units of measure.

Victim characteristics

A variety of attributes of victimized persons, households, and commercial establishments appear on victimization rate tables. The rates, or measures of the occurrence of crime, are computed by dividing the number of victimizations associated with a speci-

ST III

fic crime, or grouping of crimes, by the number of persons, households, or businesses under consideration. For crimes against persons, the rates are based on the total number of individuals age 12 and over, or on a portion of that population sharing a particular characteristic or set of traits. Household crimes are regarded as being directed against the household as a unit rather than against the individual members; in calculating a rate, therefore, the denominator of the fraction consists of the number of households in question. Similarly, the rates for each of the two crimes against commercial establishments are related to the number of businesses being examined.

As indicated previously, victimizations of households and businesses, unlike those of persons, cannot involve more than one victim during a specific criminal act. However, repeated victimizations of individuals, households, and commercial establishments can and do occur. As general indicators of the danger of having been victimized during the reference period, the rates are not sufficiently refined to represent true measures of risk for specific individuals, households, and business places. In other words, they do not reflect variations in the degree of risk of repeated, or multiple, victimizations; and, because of the manner in which they are calculated. the rates in effect apportion multiple victimizations among the population at large, thereby distorting somewhat the risk that any single person, household, or business had of being victimized.

Reporting to the police

The police may have learned about criminal victimizations directly from the victim or from someone else, such as another household member or a bystander, or because they were on (or happened upon) the scene at the time of the crime. In the data tables, however, the means by which police learned of the crime are not distinguished, the overall proportion made known to them being of primary concern.

Interviewers recorded all reasons cited by respondents for not reporting crimes to the police. Data tables on this topic distribute all reasons for each non-report, and no determination has been made of the primary reason, if any, for not reporting the crime.

Time and place of occurrence

For each of the measured crimes against persons, households, and businesses, data on when the offenses occurred were obtained for three broad time intervals: the daytime hours (6 a.m. to 6 p.m.); the first half of nighttime (6 p.m. to midnight); and the second half of nighttime (midnight to 6 a.m.).

Regarding data from the household survey, tables on place of occurrence distinguish six kinds of sites, two of which cover the respondent's home and its immediate vicinity. For certain offenses not involving contact between victim and offender, the classification of crimes is determined on the basis of their place of occurrence. Thus, by definition, most household burglaries happen at principal residences, with a small percentage at second homes or at places occupied temporarily, such as hotels and motels. Personal larceny without contact and household larceny are differentiated from one another solely on the basis of where the crimes occur. Whereas the latter transpire only in the home and its immediate environs, the former can take place at any other location. In order to have been classified as a household larceny within the victim's own home, the offense had to have been committed by a person (or persons) admitted to the residence, or by someone having customary access to it, such as a deliveryman, servant, acquaintance, or relative. Otherwise, the crime would have been classified as a household burglary, or as a personal robbery if force or its threat were used. Commercial burglaries can take place only on the premises of business firms; however, commercial robberies can occur away from the premises, or even outside the city limits, such as during the holdup of sales or delivery personnel away from the establishment.

For personal and household crimes, and in addition to information on the sites of occurrence, data are presented on the "geographical area" of occurrence. The tables distinguish between offenses that happened within the city of residence; inside another central city; and elsewhere (suburbs and nonmetropolitan places). Entries under the last two categories reflect two circumstances: (1) crimes that took place when the victims were temporarily away from their residence, such as vacationing, visiting or shopping in the suburbs, or while away on business; and (2) crimes that took place within the reference period but at a time when the victim lived at a place other than the city being surveyed.

Number of victims and offenders

As noted previously, the number of individuals victimized in each personal crime is a key element for computing rates of victimization and other data on the impact of crime. However, the data table specifically concerning the number of individual victims per crime is based on incidents.

Two tables, also based on incidents, display data on the number of offenders involved in personal crimes of violence. In the sequence of survey questions on characteristics of offenders, the lead question concerned the number of offenders. If the victim did not know how many offenders took part in the incident, no further questions were asked about offender characteristics, and the crime was classified as having involved strangers. The terms "stranger" and "nonstranger" are defined in the Glossary.

Perceived characteristics of offenders

Some of the tables on this subject display data on the offenders only and others cover both victims and offenders. The characteristics examined are age and race. As with most information developed from this survey, offender attributes are based solely on the victim's perceptions and ability to recall the crime. Because the events often were stressful experiences, resulting in confusion or physical harm to the victim, it was likely that data concerning offender characteristics were more subject than other survey findings to distortion arising from erroneous responses. Many of the crimes probably occurred under somewhat vague circumstances, especially those at night. Furthermore, it is possible that victim preconceptions, or prejudices, at times may have influenced the attribution of offender characteristics. If victims tended to misidentify a particular trait (or a set of them) more than others, bias would have been introduced into the findings, and no method has been developed for determining the existence and effect of such bias.

Technical Notes

101

In the relevant data tables, a distinction is made between "single-offender" and "multiple-offender" crimes, with the latter classification applying to those committed by two or more persons. As applied to multiple-offender crimes, the category "mixed ages" refers to cases in which the offenders in any single incident were classifiable under more than one age group; similarly, the term "mixed races" applies to situations in which the offenders were members of more than a single racial group.

Weapons use by offenders

For personal crimes of violence and commercial robbery, information was gathered on whether or not the victims observed that the offenders were armed, and, if so, the types of weapons concerned. For purposes of tabulation and analysis, the mere presence of a weapon constituted "use." In other words, the term "weapons use" applies both to situations in which weapons served for purposes of intimidation, or threat, and to those in which they actually were employed as instruments of physical attack.

In addition to firearms and knives, the data tables distinguish "other" weapons and those of unknown types. The category "other" refers to such objects as clubs, stones, bricks, and bottles. A difference exists, however, in the manner in which the types of weapons were classified in the personal and commercial sectors. For each personal crime of violence by an armed offender, the type, or types, of weapons present were recorded, not the number of weapons. For instance, if offenders wielded two firearms and a knife during a personal robbery, the crime would have been classified as one in which weapons of each type were used. With respect to each robbery of a business in which weapons of more than one type were observed, only the most lethal type was recorded. Thus, for example, if offenders used two firearms and a knife in robbing a store, the crime would have been classified as one in which firearms were used; a single entry would have been made under the category "firearms."

Victim self-protection

With reference to personal crimes of violence, information was obtained on whether or not victims

tried to avoid or thwart attack, and, if so, the measures they took. The following reactions, ranging from nonviolent to forcible, were considered selfprotection measures: reasoning with the offender; fleeing from the offender: screaming or velling for help; hitting, kicking, or scratching the offender; and using or brandishing a weapon. The pertinent tables distribute all measures, if any, employed by victims in each crime, no determination having been made of the single most important measure.

Victim injury and economic loss

Information was gathered concerning the injuries sustained by the victims of each of the three personal crimes of violence. However, during the preparation of this report, the requisite data were not available for calculating the proportion of rape victimizations in which victims were injured. Therefore, information on the percent of crimes in which victims were harmed is confined to personal robbery and assault. For each of these crimes, the types of injuries concerned are described in the Glossary, under "Physical injury."

Victims who had been injured furnished data on hospitalization and on medical expenses. With regard to medical expenses, the data tables are based solely on information from victims who knew with certainty that such expenses were incurred and also knew, or were able to estimate, their amount. By excluding victims unaware of such outlays, and of their amount, the utility of the data is somewhat restricted. Although data were unavailable on the proportion of rapes attended by victim injury, information relating to hospitalization and medical

costs were available on that crime; these results are reflected in the appropriate data tables.

With respect to economic losses incurred by persons, households, and commercial establishments, the data tables make distinctions between crimes resulting in "theft and/or damage loss" and "theft loss" only. Table titles specify the applicable category of loss. The term "theft loss" refers to stolen cash, property, or both, whereas "damage" pertains to property only. Items categorized as having "no monetary value" could include losses of trivial, truly valueless objects, or of ones having considerable sentimental importance. References to losses "recovered" apply to compensation received by victims for theft losses, as well as to restoration of stolen property or cash, although no distinction is made as to the manner of recovery. For assault, information on economic losses relates solely to property damage, because assaults attended by theft are classified as robbery. Similarly, there was no attempt to measure attempted pocket picking; by definition, therefore, all pocket pickings had the outcome of theft loss, and there may have been some cases with property damage.

For all crimes reported to interviewers, the surveys determined whether persons lost time from work after the experience, and, if so, the length of time involved. With respect to crimes against persons and households, the survey did not record the identity of the household member (or members) who lost work time, although it may be assumed that, for most personal offenses, it probably was the victim who sustained the loss. For commercial burglary and robbery, data on loss of time from work was applicable to owners, operators, and employees of the entities concerned.

Age—The appropriate age category is determined by each respondent's age as of the last day of the month preceding the interview.

Aggravated assault-Attack with a weapon resulting in any injury and attack without a weapon resulting either in serious injury (e.g., broken bones, loss of teeth, internal injuries, loss of consciousness) or in undetermined injury requiring 2 or more days of hospitalization. Also includes attempted assault with a weapon.

- Annual family income-Includes the income of the household head and all other related persons residing in the same housing unit. Covers the 12 months preceding the interview and includes wages, salaries, net income from business or farm, pensions, interest, dividends, rent, and any other form of monetary income. The income of persons unrelated to the head of household is excluded.
- Assault-An unlawful physical attack, whether aggravated or simple, upon a person. Includes attempted assaults with or without a weapon. Excludes rape and attempted rape, as well as attacks involving theft or attempted theft, which are classified as robbery.
- Attempted forcible entry-A form of burglary in which force is used in an attempt to gain entry.
- Burglary—Unlawful or forcible entry of a residence or business, usually, but not necessarily, attended by theft. Includes attempted forcible entry.
- Central city—The largest city (or "twin cities") of a standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA), defined below.
- Commercial crimes-Burglary or robbery of business establishments and certain other organizations, such as those engaged in religious, political, or cultural activities. Includes both completed and attempted acts. Additional details concerning entities covered by the commercial survey appear in the introduction to Appendix III. Forcible entry-A form of burglary in which force
- is used to gain entry (e.g., by breaking a window or slashing a screen).

GLOSSARY

Head of household-For classification purposes, only one individual per household can be the head person. In husband-wife households, the husband arbitrarily is considered to be the head. In other households, the head person is the individual so regarded by its members; generally, that person is the chief breadwinner.

- Household-Consists of the occupants of separate living quarters meeting either of the following criteria: (1) Persons, whether present or temporarily absent, whose usual place of residence is the housing unit in question, or (2) Persons staying in the housing unit who have no usual place of residence elsewhere.
- Household crimes-Burglary or larceny of a residence, or motor vehicle theft. Includes both completed and attempted acts.
- Household larceny-Theft or attempted theft of property or cash from a residence or its immediate vicinity. Forcible entry, attempted forcible entry, or unlawful entry is not involved.
- Incident—A specific criminal act involving one or more victims and offenders. In situations where a personal crime occurred during the course of a commercial burglary or robbery, it was assumed that the commercial victimization survey accounted for the incident and, therefore, it was not counted as an incident of personal crime. However, details of the outcome of the event as they related to the victimized individual would be reflected in data on personal victimizations.
- Kind of establishment-Determined by the sole or principal activity at each place of business.
- Larceny-Theft or attempted theft of property or cash without force. A basic distinction is made between personal larceny and household larceny. Marital status—Each household member is assigned to one of the following categories: (1) Married, which includes persons joined in common-law unions and those parted temporarily for reasons other than marital discord (employment, military service, etc.); (2) Separated and divorced. Separated includes married persons who have a

legal separation or have parted because of marital discord: (3) Widowed; and (4) Never married, which includes those whose only marriage has been annulled and those living together (excluding common-law unions).

- Motor vehicle-Includes automobiles, trucks, motorcycles, and any other motorized vehicles legally allowed on public roads and highways.
- Motor vehicle theft-Stealing or unauthorized taking of a motor vehicle, including attempts at such acts.
- Nonstranger-With respect to crimes entailing direct contact between victim and offender, victimizations (or incidents) are classified as having involved nonstrangers if victim and offender are related, well known to, or casually acquainted with one another. In crimes involving a mix of stranger and nonstranger offenders, the events are classified under nonstranger. The distinction between stranger and nonstranger crimes is not made for personal larceny without contact, an offense in which victims rarely see the offender.
- Offender-The perpetrator of a crime; the term generally is applied in relation to crimes entailing contact between victim and offender.
- Offense—A crime; with respect to personal crimes. the two terms can be used interchangeably irrespective of whether the applicable unit of measure is a victimization or an incident.
- Personal crimes-Rape, robbery of persons, assault, personal larceny with contact, or personal larceny without contact. Includes both completed and attempted acts.
- Personal crimes of theft-Theft or attempted theft of property or cash, either with contact (but without force or threat of force) or without direct contact between victim and offender. Equivalent to personal larceny.
- Personal crimes of violence-Rape, robbery of persons, or assault. Includes both completed and attempted acts.
- Personal larceny-Equivalent to personal crimes of theft. A distinction is made between personal larceny with contact and personal larceny without contact.
- Personal larceny with contact-Theft of purse, wallet, or cash, by stealth directly from the person of the victim, but without force or the threat of force. Also includes attempted purse snatching.

- Personal larceny without contact-Theft or attempted theft, without direct contact between victim and offender, of property or cash from any place other than the victim's home or its immediate vicinity. In rare cases, the victim sees the offender during the commission of the act.
- Physical injury-The term is applicable to each of the three personal crimes of violence, although data on the proportion of rapes resulting in victim injury were not available during the preparation of this report. For personal robbery and attempted robbery with injury, a distinction is made between injuries from "serious assault" and "minor assault." Examples of injuries from serious assault include broken bones, loss of teeth, internal injuries, and loss of consciousness, or undetermined injuries requiring 2 or more days of hospitalization; injuries from minor assault include bruises, black eves, cuts, scratches, and swelling, or undetermined injuries requiring less than 2 days of hospitalization. For assaults resulting in victim injury, the degree of harm governs classification of the event. The same elements of injury applicable to robbery with injury from serious assault also pertain to aggravated assault with injury; similarly, the same types of injuries for robbery with injury from minor assault are relevant to simple assault with injury.
- Simple assault-Attack without a weapon resulting either in minor injury (e.g., bruises, black eyes, cuts, scratches, swelling) or in undetermined injury requiring less than 2 days of hospitalization. Also includes attempted assault without a weapon.
- Standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA)-Except in the New England States, a standard metropolitan statistical area is a county or group of contiguous counties that contains at least one city of 50,000 inhabitants or more, or "twin cities" with a combined population of at least 50,000. In addition to the county, or counties, containing such a city or cities, contiguous counties are included in an SMSA if, according to certain criteria, they are socially and economically integrated with the central city. In the New England States, SMSA's consist of towns and cities instead of counties. Each SMSA must include at least one central city, and the complete title of an SMSA identifies the central city or cities.

- Stranger-With respect to crimes entailing direct contact between victim and offender, victimizations (or incidents) are classified as involving strangers if the victim so stated, or did not see or recognize the offender, or knew the offender only by sight. In crimes involving a mix of stranger and nonstranger offenders, the events are classified under nonstranger. The distinction between stranger and nonstranger crimes is not made for personal larceny without contact, an offense in which victims rarely see the offender. Tenure-Two forms of household tenancy are distinguished: (1) Owned, which includes dwellings being bought through mortgage, and (2) Rented, which also includes rent-free quarters belonging
- to a party other than the occupant and situations where rental payments are in kind or in services. Unlawful entry-A form of burglary committed by someone having no legal right to be on the
- premises even though force is not used. Victim-The recipient of a criminal act; usually used in relation to personal crimes, but also applicable to households and commercial establishments.
- Victim self-protection measures-For each victimization involving a personal crime of violence, victim reactions of the following types are construed to be self-protection measures: hitting, kicking, or scratching the offender; reasoning with the offender; screaming or yelling for help;

fleeing from the offender; and/or using or brandishing a weapon.

Victimization-A specific criminal act as it affects a single victim, whether a person, household, or commercial establishment. In criminal acts against persons, the number of victimizations is determined by the number of victims of such acts; ordinarily, the number of victimizations is somewhat higher than the number of incidents because more than one individual is victimized during certain incidents, as well as because personal victimizations that occurred in conjunction with either commercial burglary or robbery are not counted as incidents of personal crime. Each criminal act against a household or commercial establishment is assumed to involve a single victim, the affected household or establishment.

Victimization rate-For crimes against persons, the victimization rate, a measure of occurrence among population groups at risk, is computed on the basis of the number of victimizations per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over. For crimes against households, victimization rates are calculated on the basis of the number of incidents per 1,000 households. And, for crimes against commercial establishments, victimization rates are derived from the number of incidents per 1,000 establishments.

Victimize-To perpetrate a crime against a person, household, or commercial establishment.

* U. S. GOVERNMENT PREITING OFFICE : 1977 260-992/4022

