Criminal Victimization Surveys in Washington, D.C.

A National Crime Survey Report

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

PUBLIC DOMATN/ BJS
US DPT OF JUSTICE

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

74830 01847

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Law Enforcement Assistance Administration National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service

National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service Reports

Victimization Surveys:

Criminal Victimization in the United States: A Comparison of 1974 and 1975 Findings

Criminal Victimization in the United States:
A Comparison of 1973 and 1974 Findings
Criminal Victimization in the United States:

Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13
American Cities: National Crime Panel
Surveys in Boston, Buffalo, Cincinnati,
Houston, Miami, Milwaukee, Minneapolis,
New Orleans, Oakland, Pittsburgh, San
Diego, San Francisco, and Washington,

Criminal Victimization Surveys in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia: A Comparison of 1972 and 1974 Findings

Criminal Victimization Surveys in the Nation's Five Largest Cities: National Crime Panel Survey in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia, 1972

Criminal Victimization Surveys in Eight
American Cities: A Comparison of 1971/72
and 1974/75 Findings—National Crime
Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland,
Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St.
Louis

Crime in Eight American Cities: National Crime Panel Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St. Louis—Advance Report, 1971/72

Crimes and Victims: A Report on the Dayton-San Jose Pilot Survey of Victimization

National Prisoner Statistics:

Capital Punishment: 1976 Advance Report 1975

Prisoners in State and Federal Institutions on December 31: 1976 Advance Report 1975

Census of State Correctional Facilities, 1974:
Advance Report

Survey of Inmates of Local Jails, 1972: Advance Report

Census of Prisoners in State Correctional Facilities, 1973

The Nation's Jails: A report on the census of jails from the 1972 Survey of Inmates of Local Jails

Survey of Inmates of Local Jails, 1972: Advance Report

Children in Custody:

Advance Report on the Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census of 1974

Advance Report on the Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census of 1972-73 Report on the Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census of 1971

National Survey of Court Organization:

1975 Supplement to State Judicial Systems 1977 Supplement to State Judicial Systems

Trends in Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1971-75

Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System: 1975 (annual)

Criminal Justice Agencies in Regions 1-10 (10 volumes)

Dictionary of Criminal Justice Data Terminology: Terms and Definitions Proposed for Interstate and National Data Collection and Exchange

Program Plan for Statistics, 1977-81

Utilization of Criminal Justice Statistics Project:

Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics 1976

Public Opinion Regarding Crime, Criminal Justice, and Related Topics New Directions in Processing of Juvenile

Offenders: The Denver Model
Who Gels Detained? An Empirical Analysis of

the Pre-Adjudicatory Detention of
Juveniles in Denver

Juvenile Dispositions: Social and Legal Factors Related to the Processing of Denver Delinquency Cases

Offender-Based Transaction Statistics: New Directions in Data Collection and Reporting Sentencing of California Felony Offenders

The Judicial Processing of Assault and Burglary Offenders in Selected California Counties

Pre-Adjudicatory Detention in Three Juvenile Courts

Delinquency Dispositions: An Empirical Analysis of Processing Decisions in Three Juvenile Courts

The Patterns and Distribution of Assault Incident Characteristics Among Social Areas

Patterns of Robbery Characteristics and Their Occurrence Among Social Areas

Single copies are available from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, P.O. Box 24036, S.W. Station, Washington, D.C. 20024. Multiple copies are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Criminal Victimization Surveys in Washington, D.C.

A National Crime Survey Report No. SD-NCS-C-19

July 1977

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
National Criminal Justice
Information and Statistics Service

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Law Enforcement Assistance Administration

James M. H. Gregg, Acting Administrator

Harry Bratt, Assistant Administrator National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service

Benjamin H. Renshaw, Director Statistics Division

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report was prepared for the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration by the Bureau of the Census. In the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, general supervision was supplied by Charles R. Kindermann, assisted by Dawn D. Nelson. Collection and processing of data for the household surveys were conducted in the Bureau of the Census under the general supervision of Marvin M. Thompson, Demographic Surveys Division, assisted by Linda R. Murphy, Robert L. Goodson, Kenton R. Daniels, and Michael R. Rand. For the commercial surveys, the direction of data collection and processing activities was under the general supervision of Caesar Hill, Business Division, assisted by Chester E. Bowie. The report was prepared by the Crime Statistics Analysis Staff under the general supervision of Robert P. Parkinson. Adolfo L. Paez directed the project. The selected findings were written by Norman F. Howard. The report was reviewed for technical matters in the Statistical Methods Division under the general supervision of David V. Bateman, assisted by Louis E. Williams.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

1977

United States. National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service.

Criminal victimization surveys in Washington, D.C.

(National crime survey report; no. SD-NCP-C-19)
Supt. of Docs. no.: J 1.42/3:SD-NCP-C-19
1. Victims of crimes—Washington, D.C.
2. Criminal statistics—Washington, D.C.

I. Title, II. Series. HV6795.W3U55

3

76-608188

PREFACE

The crime statistics and selected analytical findings presented in this report derive from victimization surveys conducted early in 1974 under the National Crime Survey program. Presenting more comprehensive survey results and additional technical information, the report succeeds Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13 American Cities, published in June 1975.

Since the early 1970's, victimization surveys have been designed and carried out for the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA) by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for the purpose of developing information that permits detailed assessment of the character and extent of selected types of criminal victimization. Based on representative samplings of households and commercial establishments, the program has had two main elements: a continuous national survey and surveys in various cities. Although the overall objective of the program is to provide insights into the impact of crimes that are of major concern to the general public and law enforcement authorities, it is anticipated that the scope of the surveys will be modified periodically in order to address other topics in the realm of criminal justice. In addition, continuing methodological studies are expected to yield refinements in survey questionnaires and procedures.

The victimization surveys conducted in Washington, D.C. and 12 other central cities in 1974 enabled measurement of the extent to which city residents age 12 and over, households, and commercial establishments were victimized by selected crimes, whether completed or attempted. For those committed against individuals, the offenses covered were rape, robbery, assault, and personal larceny; for households they were burglary, household larceny, and motor vehicle theft; and for commercial establishments they were burglary and robbery. The chapter entitled "The City Surveys" includes a detailed discussion of the crimes and of classification procedures. In addition to gauging the extent to which the relevant crimes happened, the surveys have permitted examination of the characteristics of victims and the circumstances

surrounding criminal acts, exploring, as appropriate, such matters as the relationship between victim and offender, characteristics of offenders, extent of victim injuries, economic consequences to the victims, time and place of occurrence, use of weapons, whether the police were notified, and, if not, reasons advanced for not informing them.

The surveys in Washington were carried out in the first quarter of 1974 and covered criminal acts that took place during the 12 months prior to the month of interview, a reference period roughly comparable with calendar year 1973. Information was obtained from interviews with the occupants of 9,541 housing units (18,353 residents age 12 and over) and the operators of 1,528 businesses. Respondents furnished detailed personal and household data (or information about business firms) in addition to particulars on any criminal acts they incurred.

The 103 data tables in this publication are arranged by sectors, that is, by crimes against persons, households, and commercial establishments. Within each sector, the tables are further divided along topical lines. These topics are reflected in the analytical statements compiled in the section entitled "Selected Findings," which highlights certain basic survey results. The statements illustrate the types of empirical data being produced under the National Crime Survey program.

All statistical data in this report are estimates subject to errors arising both from the fact that they are based on information obtained from sample surveys rather than complete censuses, and from the fact that recording and processing mistakes invariably occur in the course of a large-scale data collection effort. As part of the discussion on reliability of estimates, these sources of error are treated in Appendixes II and III. It should be noted at the outset, however, that with respect to the effect of sampling errors, estimate variations can be determined rather precisely. In the report's selected findings, categorical statements involving analytical comparisons met statistical tests that the differences were equivalent to or greater than two standard

errors, or, in other words, that the chances were at least 95 out of 100 that each difference described did not result solely from sampling variability. Qualified statements of comparison met significance tests that the differences were within the range of 1.6 and 2 standard errors, or that there was a likelihood equal to at least 90 (but less than 95) out of 100 that the difference did not result solely from sampling variability. These conditional statements are characterized by use of the term "some indication."

Four technical appendixes and a glossary of terms have been included to facilitate further analyses and other uses of survey results. The first appendix contains facsimiles of the questionnaires used for the household and commercial surveys, whereas the second and third have tables for determining estimate variances, as well as information concerning sample design and estimation procedures. The fourth appendix consists of a series of technical notes, paralleling the topics covered by the section on selected findings and designed as guides to the interpretation of survey results.

In relation to crimes against persons, survey results are based on either of two units of measure victimizations or incidents. A victimization is a specific criminal act as it affects a single victim. An incident is a specific criminal act involving one or more victims and offenders. For reasons outlined in the technical notes, the number of personal victimizations is somewhat greater than that of personal incidents. As applied to crimes against households and commercial establishments, however, the terms "victimization" and "incident" are synonymous. Although "crimes against commercial establishments." "commercial crimes," and other similar terms refer chiefly to victimizations of businesses, a relatively small number of offenses committed against certain other organizations also are included in results of the commercial survey, usually under the category "other"; the types of entities concerned are discussed in the introduction to Appendix III.

Attempts to compare information in this publication with data collected from local police by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and published in its report Crime in the United States, Uniform Crime Reports—1973 are inappropriate because of substantial differences in coverage between the surveys and police statistics. A major difference arises from the fact that police statistics on the incidence of crime are derived principally from reports that persons make to the police, whereas survey data include crimes not reported to the police, as well as those reported. Survey data reflect only those crimes experienced by residents and commercial establishments of Washington, even though some acts took place outside the city; they exclude criminal acts committed within the city against nonresidents, such as visitors and suburban commuters. On the other hand, police statistics for Washington include all reported crimes occurring within the city limits, irrespective of the victim's place of residence, and exclude crimes experienced by city residents in other iurisdictions. Personal crimes covered in the survey relate only to persons age 12 and over, whereas police statistics count crimes against persons of any age. The surveys did not measure some offenses, e.g., homicide, kidnaping, white-collar crimes, and commercial larceny (shoplifting and employee theft), that are included in police statistics, and the counting and classifying rules for the two programs are not fully compatible. Similarly, the correspondence between reference periods for results of the city surveys and published police statistics is not exact.

Unlike crime rates developed from police statistics, the personal rates cited in this report are based on victimizations rather than on incidents and are calculated on the basis of the resident population age 12 and over rather than on all residents. As indicated earlier, personal victimizations outnumber personal incidents. National Crime Survey rates of victimization for crimes against households and commercial establishments are based, respectively, on the number of households and businesses, whereas rates derived from police statistics for these crimes are based on the total population. A technical note entitled "Victim characteristics," Appendix IV, gives additional details on the manner in which the victimization survey rates were computed.

CONTENTS

Preface iii
The city surveys1
Selected findings 5
Survey data tables 9
Appendix I. Survey instruments 63
Appendix II. Household survey: Technical information and standard error tables 89
Appendix III. Commercial survey: Technical information and relative error tables 95
Appendix IV. Technical notes 99
Glossary of terms103
TABLES
Crimes against persons
General
1. Personal crimes: Number of victimizations and victimization
rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime. 9 2. Personal crimes: Number of incidents and victimizations and
ratio of incidents to victimizations, by type of crime.
3. Personal crimes of violence: Number and rate of victimizations, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship.
4. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime.
selected characteristics of victims and type of crime
strangers, by type of crime and selected characteristics of victims. 12 6. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations involving
strangers, by type of crime and sex and race of victims13
7. Personal assault: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, by race and age of victims.
8. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of victimizations involving nonstrangers, by type of crime and nature of relationship.
Characteristics of offenders
9. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single- offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived race of offender.

Tables (continued)

Crimes against persons (continued)

Characteristics of offenders (continued)

10.	Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime, race of victims, and	14
11.	perceived race of offender. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple- offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived race of offenders.	15
12.	Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple- offender victimizations, by type of crime, race of victims, and perceived race of offenders.	15
13.	Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived age of offender.	15
14.	Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime, age of victims, and perceived age of offender.	16
15.		16
16.		17
Ch	aracteristics of victims	
17.	Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and sex of victims.	17
18.		18
19,	Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and race of victims.	19
20.	Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and annual family income of victims	20
21.	Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and marital status of victims.	21
22.	Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by sex and age of victims and type of crime.	22
23.	Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and sex and race of victims.	23
24.	Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by sex and marital status of victims and type of crime.	23
25.	Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by race and age of victims and type of crime.	24
26.	Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by race and annual family income of victims and type of	
27	crime.	25
21.	Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by race, sex, and age of victims and type of crime.	26

Tables (continued)

Crimes against persons (continued)

Nu	mber of offenders	
28.	Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and number of offenders.	26
29.	Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents involving a single offender, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship.	27
Nu	mber of victims	
30.	Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents involving a single victim, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship.	27
Ph	ysical injury	
	Personal robbery and assault: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, by victim-offender relationship and type of crime.	27
32.	Personal robbery and assault: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime.	28
33.	Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, received hospital care, and incurred medical expenses, by type of crime.	28
34.	Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims received hospital care, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime.	29
35.		29
Pla	ace of occurrence	
	Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and place of occurrence.	30
37.	Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by victim-offender relationship, place of occurrence, and type of crime.	31
38.	Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and geographic area of occurrence.	31
Re	asons for not reporting to the police	
39.	Personal crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by type of crime.	32
Re	porting to the police	
	Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship.	33
41.	Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and sex and race of victims.	33

Table	s (continued)
Crime	es against persons (continued)
	Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and age of victims.
Sel	f-protective measures
43. 44.	
45.	victims took self-protective measures, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of self-protective measures employed by victims, by type of measure and type of crime.
46.	Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of self-protective measures employed by victims, by selected characteristics of victims.
The	eft and/or damage
47. 48. 49.	Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime and value of loss. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting
50. 51.	in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime, race of victims, and value of loss. Personal robbery and larceny: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by value of stolen property, including cash, and race of victims. Personal robbery and larceny: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by proportion of loss recovered
Tin	ne lost from work
	Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by type of crime. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by number of days lost and type of crime
Tir	ne of occurrence
54. 55.	Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by victim-offender relationship, type of crime, and time of occur-
	rence.
	e of weapons
56.	Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents in which offenders used weapons, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship.
57.	Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of types of weapons used by offenders, by type of crime.

Tables (continued)
Crimes against persons (continued)
Use of weapons (continued) 58. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of types of weapons used by offenders, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship. 41
Crimes against households
General
59. Household crimes: Number and rate of victimizations, by type
of crime. 42 60. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected household characteristics and type of crime. 42
Characteristics of victimized households
61. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and age of head of household.
62. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and race of head of household.
63. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and annual family income.
64. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime, form of tenure, and race of head of household.
65. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and number of persons in household.
66. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and number of units in structure occupied by household.
67. Household burglary: Victimization rates, by race of head of household and annual family income. 4
Place of occurrence
68. Household crimes: Percent distribution of household incidents, by place of occurrence and type of crime. 48
69. Household crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and geographic area of occurrence. 49
Reasons for not reporting to the police
70. Household crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by type of crime.
71. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by race of head of
household and type of crime. 49 72. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by annual family income. 49
73. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by value of stolen property.
Reporting to the police
74. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the
police, by type of crime and race of head of household

ables (continued)	
rimes against households (continued)	
Reporting to the police (continued)	ii .
76. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime, race of head of household, and form of tenure.	51
77. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft loss reported to the police, by type of crime and value of loss.	52
Theft and/or damage	
78. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft	52
and/or damage loss, by type of crime. 79. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by value of stolen property, including cash, and type of crime.	52
80. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by race of head of household, type of crime, and value of loss.	53
81. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by proportion of loss recovered and type of crime.	54
Time lost from work	
 82. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by type of crime. 83. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations result- 	54
ing in loss of time from work, by number of days lost and type of crime.	54
Time of occurrence	
84. Household crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence.	55
crimes against commercial establishments	
General	
 85. Commercial crimes: Number and rate of victimizations, by characteristics of victimized establishments and type of crime. 86. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected characteristics of commercial establishments. 87. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments that were victimized, by kind of establishment. 88. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of completed and 	55 56 56
attempted victimizations, by kind of establishment and type of crime.	56
Number of offenders	
89. Commercial robbery: Percent distribution of incidents, by kind of establishment and number of offenders.	57

Tables (continued)
Crimes against commercial establishments (continued)
Number of victimizations
90. Commercial crimes: Fercent distribution of victimized commercial establishments, by kind of establishment and number of victimizations incurred.
Place of occurrence
91. Commercial robbery: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and place of occurrence.
Reasons for not reporting to the police
92. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police.
Reporting to the police
93. Commercial crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by kind of establishment and type of crime.
Security measures
94. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments with one or more security measures. 95. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments with selected
types of security measures, by kind of establishment 58
Theft and/or damage
 96. Commercial crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by kind of establishment and type of crime. 97. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by kind of establishment and value of loss.
98. Commercial burglary: Percent of victimizations resulting in damage loss to the premises, by kind of establishment. 59
Time lost from work
99. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by number of employees losing time from work. 60 Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by number of man-days lost from work. 60
Time of occurrence
O1. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence.
Use of weapons
O2. Commercial robbery: Percent of incidents in which offenders used weapons, by kind of establishment. 61 O3. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of weapon used by offenders. 61

Tables (continued)

Appendix II

I.	Standard error approximations for estimated number of personal incidents, personal victimizations, and household victimizations, by size of estimate.
II.	Standard error approximations for estimated personal victimization rates.
III.	
ppe	endix III
IV.	Relative errors for estimated number of commercial victimiza- tions, by characteristics of establishments and type of crime 9
V.	

THE CITY SURVEYS

The National Crime Survey is a program designed to develop information not otherwise available on the nature of crime and its impact on society by means of victimization surveys of the general population. Based on representative samplings of households and commercial establishments, the surveys elicit information about experiences, if any, with selected crimes of violence and theft, including events that were reported to the police as well as those that were not. By focusing on the victim, the person likely to be most aware of details concerning criminal events, the surveys generate a variety of data, including information on the circumstances under which such acts occurred and on their effect.

As one of the most ambitious efforts yet undertaken for filling some of the gaps in crime data, victimization surveys are expected to supply the criminal justice community with new insights into crime and its victims, complementing data resources already on hand for purposes of planning, evaluation, and analysis. The surveys cover many crimes that, for a variety of reasons, are never brought to police attention. They also furnish a means for developing victim profiles and, for identifiable sectors of society, yield information necessary to compute the relative risk of being victimized. Victimization surveys also have the capability of distinguishing between stranger-to-stranger and domestic violence and between armed and strong-arm assaults and robberies. They can tally some of the costs of crime in terms of injury or economic loss sustained, and they can provide greater understanding as to why certain criminal acts are not reported to police authorities. Conducted periodically in the same area, victimization surveys provide the data necessary for developing indicators sensitive to fluctuations in the levels of crime; conducted under the same procedures in different areas, they provide a basis for comparing the crime situation between two or more localities or types of localities.

Victimization surveys, such as those conducted under the National Crime Survey program, are not without limitations, however. Although they pro-

vide information on crimes that are of major interest to the general public, they cannot measure all criminal activity, as a number of crimes are not amenable to examination through the survey technique. Surveys have proved most successful in estimating crimes with specific victims who understand what happened to them and how it happened and who are willing to report what they know. More specifically, they have been shown to be most applicable to rape, robbery, assault, burglary, and both personal and household larceny, including motor vehicle theft. Accordingly, the survey program was designed to focus on these crimes. Murder and kidnaping are not covered. The so-called victimless crimes, such as drunkenness, drug abuse, and prostitution, also are excluded, as are those crimes for which it is difficult to identify knowledgeable respondents or to locate comprehensive data records, as in offenses against government entities. 1 Examples of the latter are income tax evasion and the theft of office supplies. Crimes of which the victim may not be aware also cannot be measured effectively by the survey technique. Buying stolen property may fall into this category, as may some instances of fraud and embezzlement. Attempted crimes of most types probably are underrecorded for this reason. Commercial larcenies (e.g., employee theft and shoplifting) have to date not proved susceptible to measurement or study by means of the survey approach because of the limited documentation maintained by most commercial establishments on losses from these crimes. Finally, events in which the victim has shown a willingness to participate in illegal activity also are excluded. Examples of the latter, which are unlikely to be reported to interviewers, include gambling, various types of swindles, con games, and blackmail.

Other than government-operated liquor stores and transportation systems, which fall within the purview of the program's commercial sector, government institutions and offices are outside the scope of the program. Pretests have indicated that government organization records on crime generally are inadequate for survey purposes.

The success of any victimization survey is highly contingent on the degree of cooperation that interviewers receive from respondents. In the victimization surveys conducted in 13 central cities during 1974, interviews were obtained in an average of 96.6 percent of the housing units occupied by persons eligible for interview. In the commercial sector, the average response rate was 98.9 percent of eligible business establishments. Details concerning the size of the sample and response rates in Washington can be found in Appendixes II and this report.

Data from victimization surveys also are subject to limitations imposed by victim recall, i.e., the ability of respondents to remember incidents befalling them or their households, and by the phenomenon of telescoping, that is, the tendency of some respondents to recount incidents occurring outside (usually before) the referenced time frame. In continuous surveys, this tendency can be controlled by using a bounding technique, whereby the first interview serves as a benchmark, and summary records of each successive interview aid in avoiding duplicative reporting of criminal victimization experiences; such a technique is used in the National Crime Survey program's national sample. Because the city surveys have not been continuous, however, the data are subject to telescoping, and no assessment has been made concerning the magnitude of the problem.

Another of the issues related in part to victim recall ability involves the so-called series victimizations. Each series consists of three or more criminal events similar, if not identical, in nature and incurred by persons unable to identify separately the details of each act, or, in some cases, to recount accurately the total number of such acts. Because of this, no attempt is made to collect information on the specific month, or months, of occurrence of series victimizations; instead, such data are attributed to the season, or seasons, of occurrence. Had it been feasible to make a precise tally of victimizations that occurred in series and to determine their month of occurrence, inclusion of this information in the processing of survey results would have caused certain alterations in the portrayal of criminal victimization. Perhaps most importantly, rates of victimization would have been higher. Because of the inability of victims to furnish details concerning their experiences, however, it would have been impossible to analyze the characteristics and effects of these crimes. But, although the estimated number of series victimizations was appreciable, the number of victims who actually experienced such acts was small in relation to the total number of individuals who were victimized one or more times and who had firm recollections of each event. Approximately 2,400 series victimizations against persons and 2,000 against households, each encompassing at least three separate but undifferentiated events, were estimated to have occurred during the 12-month reference period. A table of these series victimizations, broken out by specific type of crime, appears in Appendix III of the preceding report, Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13 American Cities.

Although the survey-measured crimes and other terms used in this report are defined in the Glossary of Terms, the discussion that follows consists of a detailed description of the offenses and of the procedures followed in classifying victimization events. Definitions of the relevant crimes do not necessarily conform to any Federal or State statutes, which vary considerably. They are, however, compatible with conventional usage and with the definitions used by the Federal Bureau of Investigation in its annual publication Crime in the United States, Uniform Crime Reports.

CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS

In this study, a basic distinction is made between two types of offenses against persons: crimes of violence and crimes of theft. Personal crimes of violence (rape, personal robbery, and assault) all bring the victim into direct contact with the offender. Personal crimes of theft may or may not involve contact between the victim and offender.

Rape, one of the most serious and least common of all the crimes measured by the surveys, is carnal knowledge through the use of force or the threat of force, excluding statutory rape (without force). Both completed and attempted acts are included, and incidents of both homosexual and heterosexual rape are counted.

Personal robbery is a crime in which the object is to relieve a person of property by force or the threat of force. The force employed may be a weapon (armed robbery) or physical power (strongarm robbery). In either instance, the victim is

placed in physical danger, and physical injury can and sometimes does result. The distinction between robbery with injury and robbery without injury turns solely on whether the victim sustained any injury, no matter how minor. The distinction between a completed robbery and an attempted robbery centers on whether the victim sustained any loss of cash or property. For example, an incident might be classified as an attempted robbery simply because the victim was not carrying anything of value when held up at gunpoint. Attempted robberies, however, can be quite serious and can result in severe physical injury to the victim.

The classic image of a robbery is that of a masked offender armed with a handgun and operating against lone pedestrians on a city street at night. Robbery can, of course, occur anywhere, on the street or in the home, and at any time. It may be an encounter as dramatic as the one described, or it may simply involve a child pinned briefly to a schoolyard fence while classmates make off with the victim's lunch money.

Assaults are crimes in which the object is to do physical harm to the victim. The conventional forms of assault are "aggravated" and "simple." An assault carried out with a weapon is considered to be an aggravated assault, irrespective of the degree of injury, if any. An assault carried out without a weapon is also an aggravated assault if the attack results in serious injury. Simple assault occurs when the injury, if any, is minor and no weapon is used. Within the general category of assault are incidents with results no more serious than a minor bruise and incidents that bring the victim near death—but only near, because death would turn the crime into homicide.

Attempted assaults differ from assaults carried out in that in the latter the victim is actually physically attacked and may incur bodily injury. An attempted assault could be the result of bad aim with a gun or it could be a nonspecific verbal threat to harm the victim. It is difficult to categorize attempted assault as either aggravated or simple because it is conjectural how much injury, if any, the victim would have sustained had the assault been carried out. In some instances, there may have been no intent to carry out the crime. Not all threats of harm are issued in earnest; a verbal threat or a menacing gesture may have been all the offender intended. The intent of the offender

obviously cannot be measured in a victimization survey. For purposes of this program, attempted assault with a weapon was classified as aggravated assault; attempted assault without a weapon was considered to be simple assault.

Although the most fearsome form of assault is the brutal, senseless attack by an unknown assailant, it is also the most rare. Much more common is the incident where the victim is involved in a minor scuffle or a domestic spat. There is reason to believe that incidents of assault stemming from domestic quarrels are underreported in victimization surveys because some victims do not consider such events crimes or are reluctant to implicate relatives or friends (see "Reliability of estimates," Appendix II).

Personal crimes of theft (i.e., personal larceny) involve the theft of cash or property by stealth. Such crimes may or may not bring the victim into direct contact with the offender. Personal larceny with contact encompasses purse snatching, attempted purse snatching, and pocket picking. Personal larceny without contact involves the theft by stealth of numerous kinds of items, which need not be strictly personal in nature. It is distinguished from household larceny solely by place of occurrence. Whereas the latter transpires only in the home or its immediate environs, the former can take place at any other location. Examples of personal larceny without contact include the theft of a briefcase or umbrella from a restaurant, a portable radio from the beach, clothing from an automobile parked in a shopping center, a bicycle from a schoolground, food from a shopping cart in front of a supermarket, etc. Lack of force is a major identifying element in personal larceny. Should, for example, a woman become aware of an attempt to snatch her purse and resist, and should the offender then use force, the crime would escalate to robbery.

In any criminal incident against a person, more than a single offense can take place. A rape may be associated with a robbery, for example. In classifying the survey-measured crimes, each criminal event has been counted only once, by the most serious act that took place during the incident and in accordance with the seriousness ranking system used by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The order of seriousness for crimes against persons is: rape, robbery, assault, and larceny. Consequently, if a person were both robbed and assaulted during the same incident, the event would be classified as robbery; but, if the victim was harmed by the beating, the detailed characteristics would reveal that it was robbery with injury.

CRIMES AGAINST HOUSEHOLDS

All three of the measured crimes against households—burglary, household larceny, and motor vehicle theft—are crimes that do not involve personal confrontation. If there were such confrontation, the crime would be a personal crime, not a household crime, and the victim no longer would be the household itself, but the member of the household involved in the confrontation. For example, if members of the household surprised a burglar in their home and then were threatened or harmed by the intruder, the act would be classified as assault. If the intruder were to demand or take cash and/or property from the household members, the event would be classified as robbery.

The most serious of the crimes against households is burglary. Burglary is the illegal entry or attempted entry of a structure. The assumption is that the purpose of the entry was to commit a crime, usually theft, but no additional offense need take place for the act to be classified as burglary. The entry may be by force, such as picking a lock, breaking a window, or slashing a screen, or it may be through an unlocked door or an open window. As long as the person entering had no legal right to be present in the structure, a burglary has occurred. Furthermore, the structure need not be the house itself for a household burglary to take place. Illegal entry of a garage, shed, or any other structure on the premises also constitutes household burglary. In fact, burglary does not necessarily have to occur on the premises. If the breaking and entering occurred in a hotel or in a vacation residence, it would still be classified as a household burglary for the household whose member or members were involved.

As mentioned earlier, household larceny occurs when cash or property is removed from the home or its immediate vicinity by stealth. For a household larceny to occur within the home itself, the thief must be someone with a right to be there, such as a maid, a delivery man, or a guest. If the person has no right to be there, the crime is a burglary. Household larceny can consist of the theft of jewelry, clothes, lawn furniture, garden hoses, silverware, etc.

The theft or unauthorized use of motor vehicles, commonly regarded as a specialized form of household larceny, is treated separately in the National Crime Survey program. Completed as well as attempted acts involving automobiles, trucks, motorcycles, and other vehicles legally entitled to use public streets are included.

CRIMES AGAINST COMMERCIAL ESTABLISHMENTS

Although commercial crimes, as the term is used in this report, consist primarily of victimizations of business establishments, they also include a relatively small number of offenses committed against certain other organizations, described in the introduction to Appendix III.

Only two types of commercial crimes are measured by the National Crime Survey program: robbery and burglary. These crimes are comparable to robbery of persons and burglary of households except that they are carried out against places of business rather than individuals or households. Unlike household burglary, however, commercial burglaries can take place only on the premises of business firms. In a robbery of a commercial establishment, as in a personal robbery, there must be personal confrontation and the threat or use of force. Commercial robberies usually occur on the premises of places of business, but some can happen away from the premises, such as during the holdup of sales or delivery personnel away from the establishment.

SELECTED FINDINGS

The statements that follow are illustrative of the information that can be drawn from this report's data tables. As a guide to readers, table source citations are given parenthetically after each finding. Individuals wishing to perform additional analysis on the topics covered in the selected findings are referred to Appendix IV, Technical Notes, for guidance in the interpretation of survey results.

General

An estimated 96,800 criminal victimizations were committed against District of Columbia residents and businesses in 1973.

Fifty percent involved individuals; 38 percent, nouseholds; and 12 percent, commercial establishments.

Personal crimes of theft outnumbered personal crimes of violence by roughly 2 to 1.

Victim characteristics

Washingtonians were victimized by personal crimes of violence at a rate of 31 per 1,000 persons age 12 and over [Table 1].

Men were victims of crimes of violence at about twice the rate for women [Table 17].

The rate for whites was about 1.6 times that for blacks [Table 19].

Persons under age 35 generally had substantially higher rates than older persons for crimes of violence [Table 18].

Young white males (age 12-15) had an exceptionally high victimization rate—171 per 1,000—about five times that of young black males [Table 27].

The female victimization rate for rape was 2 per 1,000 [Table 17].

For household burglary and larceny, the differences between overall rates for blacks and whites were not significant [Table 62].

Whites who owned their own homes had a substantially higher burglary rate than black homeowners [Table 64].

There was some indication that blacks had a higher rate of motor vehicle theft than whites [Table 62].

Households headed by the elderly (age 65 and over) had the lowest burglary rate of any age group [Table 61].

Household burglary and larceny rates tended to rise as the number of persons in the household increased [Table 65].

Commercial establishments were burglarized at a rate of 330 per 1,000 and robbed at a rate of 88 per 1,000 [Table 85].

Approximately one-quarter of all businesses were victimized at least once during the year; 18 percent of those burglarized and/or robbed were victimized two or more times [Tables 87, 90].

Reporting to the police

Forty-two percent of all personal crimes were reported to the police [Table 40].

There was some indication that women reported personal crimes of violence and theft relatively more often than men [Table 41].

Blacks reported crimes of violence more often than whites, but crimes of theft were reported with about equal frequency [Table 41].

Although there was no difference between the overall frequencies with which violent crimes

Persons age 35 and over tended to report personal crimes more often than younger persons [Table 42].

One-half of all household crimes were reported to the police [Table 74].

Overall, there was no significant difference between blacks and whites in reporting household crimes; however, whites reported burglaries more often, and motor vehicle thefts less often, than blacks [Table 74].

About four-fifths of all commercial burglaries and robberies were reported to the police [Table 93].

The most prevalent reasons for not reporting personal, household, and commercial crimes were the victim's belief that nothing could be done, and that the crime was not important enough [Tables 39, 70, 92].

Time and place of occurrence

More personal crimes of violence took place at night than during the day [Table 54].

Most personal robberies occurred at night [Table 54].

Personal crimes of theft were about equally divided between day and night [Table 54].

More household crimes occurred at night than during the day [Table 84].

Most motor vehicle thefts took place at night [Table 84].

Most commercial robberies were committed during the day; most commercial burglaries, at night [Table 101].

More personal crimes (57 percent) occurred on the street and elsewhere outdoors than in any other location; only 4 percent took place inside the victim's home, and 6 percent took place near the home [Table 36].

Forty-six percent of all rapes occurred inside the victim's home [Table 36].

Crimes of violence involving nonstrangers occurred inside the victim's home relatively more often than those involving strangers [Table 37].

Number of victims and offenders

Ninety-four percent of all crimes of violence involved a single victim [Table 30].

Approximately half of all personal crimes of violence were committed by a single offender [Table 28].

Crimes by nonstrangers were more likely than those by strangers to have involved a single offender [Table 29].

Most rapes and assaults were committed by a single offender [Table 28].

Most personal and commercial robberies were committed by two or more offenders [Tables 28, 89].

Perceived characteristics of offenders

Strangers committed 83 percent of all personal crimes of violence [Table 5].

Strangers were somewhat more likely to have victimized men and whites, respectively, than women or blacks [Table 5].

Victims perceived that blacks committed a majority (80 percent) of single-offender crimes of violence [Table 9].

Most multiple-offender robberies (91 percent) and assaults (74 percent) were attributed to black offenders [Table 11].

Victims perceived most single-offender crimes of violence (67 percent) as having been committed by persons age 21 or over [Table 13].

Two-fifths of violent multiple-offender victimizations involved offenders identified as being under age 21 [Table 15].

Blacks were more likely than whites to have been victimized by members of their own race.

In most single-offender (94 percent) and multiple-offender (96 percent) robberies of blacks, the offenders were black [Tables 10, 12].

Most single-offender (95 percent) and multiple-offender (87 percent) assaults against blacks were committed by members of their own race [Tables 10, 12].

Most single-offender (85 percent) and multiple-offender (80 percent) robberies of whites were attributed to blacks [Tables 10, 12].

For assault, single-offender victimizations of whites were ascribed about equally to blacks and whites; 61 percent of multiple-offender assaults against whites were perceived to have been committed by blacks [Tables 10, 12].

Weapons use by offenders

Offenders used weapons in about half of all personal crimes of violence [Table 56].

There was no significant difference between the proportions of incidents in which strangers and nonstrangers used weapons [Table 56].

Weapons were used in personal robberies relatively more often than they were used in assaults [Table 56].

Firearms were the most commonly used type of weapon (44 percent) in crimes of violence; they constituted about half of the types of weapons used to commit personal robberies [Table 57].

Offenders used weapons in 79 percent of all commercial robberies [Table 102].

Firearms were the most common type of weapon used, accounting for 71 percent of the total [Table 103].

Victim self-protection

Victims took self-protective measures in 46 percent of all personal crimes of violence [Table 43].

Victims were more likely to have employed selfprotective measures against offenders who were not strangers [Table 43].

Victims rarely used firearms or knives; physical force or weapons other than firearms and knives accounted for one-third of all self-protective measures [Table 45].

Victim injury and economic loss

Victims were injured in 28 percent of all personal robberies and assaults [Table 31].

Victims of robberies and assaults involving nonstrangers were relatively more likely than victims of stranger-to-stranger crimes to have suffered physical injury [Table 31].

One-tenth of all crimes of violence resulted in hospitalization of the victim [Table 33].

Seventy-eight percent of all personal crimes involved loss of money or property and/or property damage [Table 47].

Personal larceny was more likely than robbery to have resulted in economic loss to the victim [Table 47].

In 54 percent of all personal crimes with loss, the losses were valued at less than \$50, including items of no monetary value [Table 48].

Blacks suffered a higher proportion of losses in the \$50 or more range than did whites [Table 49].

In the great majority of completed personal robberies (83 percent) and larcenies (79 percent), no losses were recovered [Table 51].

Nine-tenths of all household crimes resulted in losses of money or property and/or property damage [Table 78].

Fifty-two percent of household crimes with loss resulted in losses valued at \$50 or more [Table 80.]

Black householders sustained a higher proportion of losses in the \$50 or more range than did whites [Table 80].

In about three-fourths of all household crimes with theft, no losses whatsoever were recovered; however, most motor vehicle theft losses (68 percent) were fully recovered [Table 81].

Four out of five commercial burglaries and robberies resulted in economic loss [Table 96].

Sixty-three percent of commercial crimes with loss involved losses of more than \$50 [Table 97].

SURVEY DATA TABLES

Table 1. Personal crimes: Number of victimizations and victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime

(Rate per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over)

Type of crime	Number				Rate	9	
Crimes of violence	15,800		 		 31		
Rape	600				1		
Robbery	8,700				17		2 1 1
Robbery and attempted robbery							\$
with injury	2,300				5		
From serious assault	1,200				2		
From minor assault	1,100				. 2		
Robbery without injury	4,600				9		
Attempted robbery without injury	1,800				3		
Assault	6,500				13		
Aggravated assault	3,000			- A.,	6		
With injury	1,100	<			2		
Attempted assault with weapon	1,900	· ,-			4		
Simple assault	3,500				7		
With injury	800				2		
Attempted assault without weapon	2,600				5		
Crimes of theft	33,000				65		
Personal larceny with contact	6,000				12		
Purse snatching	2,400				- 5		
Attempted purse snatching	800				2		
Pocket picking	2,800				5	£	
Personal larceny without contact	27,000				53		

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

Table 2. Personal crimes: Number of incidents and victimizations and ratio of incidents to victimizations, by type of crime

Type of crime	Incidents	Victimizations	Ratio
Crimes of violence	14,200	15,800	1:1.11
Rape	600	600	1:1.00
Robbery	7,800	8,700	1:1.12
Robbery and attempted robbery			
with injury	2,200	2,300	1:1.05
From serious assault	1,100	1,200	1:1.09
From minor assault	1,100	1,100	1:1.00
Robbery without injury	4,000	4,600	1:1.15
Attempted robbery without injury	1,600	1,800	1:1.13
Assault	5,800	6,500	1:1.12
Aggravated assault	2,600	3,000	1:1.16
With injury	1,000	1,100	1:1.10
Attempted assault with weapon	1,600	1,900	1:1.19
Simple assault	3,200	3,500	1:1.09
With injury	800	800	1:1.00
Attempted assault without weapon	2,400	2,600	1:1.09
Crimes of theft	31,600	33,000	1:1.04
Personal larceny with contact	5,700	6,000	1:1.05
Purse snatching	2,300	2,400	1:1.04
Attempted purse snatching	800	800	1:1.00
Pocket picking	2,600	2,800	1:1.08
Personal larceny without contact	¹ 25,900	27,000	1:1.04

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Ratios calculated from unrounded figures.

¹Because of data processing problems, a manual weighting procedure was used for estimating the number of incidents of personal larceny without contact. Since it was not feasible to perform an adjustment for cases involving more than one victim, the estimated number of incidents may be slightly inflated.

Table 3. Personal crimes of violence: Number and rate of victimizations, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

	All victim	izations	s Involving strangers						Involving nonstrangers			
Type of crime	Number	Rate		Number			Rate		Number		1	Rate
Crimes of violence	15,800	31		13,100			26		2,600			5
Rape	600	1		600			1		¹ 100			1Z
Completed rape	¹ 200	1 _Z		¹ 200			1 _Z		1100			1 _Z
Attempted rape	400	1		400			1		0			0
Robbery	8,700	17		8,100			16		600			ī
Robbery and attempted robbery	,	•-										
with injury	2,300	5		2,100			<i>L</i> .		¹ 200			17
From serious assault	1,200	2		1,100			ž		¹ 100			¹Z
From minor assault	1,100	2		1,000			2		¹ 100			17
Robbery without injury	4,600	9		4,300	* .		8	1 29	400			1
Attempted robbery without injury	1,800	á		1,700			3		1100			12
Assault	6,500	13		4,500			9		1,900			7.
Aggravated assault	3,000	6		1,800			Ĺ		1,100			$\overline{2}$
With injury	1,100	2		500			ĩ		600			1
Attempted assault with weapon	1,900	1		1,300			ร		600			1.
Simple assault	3,500	7		2,700	and the second		5		800			. 5
With injury	800	2		500			1		400			~ ~ ~
Attempted assault without	-00	or j a		,00					400			-
weapon	2,600	5		2,200			7,		400			1

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

Z Less than 0.5 per 1,000.

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 4. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime

Characteristic	1	 All per	sonal	crimes	Crimes	of violence		Crimes	of	theft
Sex Male (44) Female (56)			48 52			58 42			43 57	
Race White (30) Black (69) Other (1)			46 53 1			41 58 21			49 50 2	
Age 12-15 (8) 16-19 (9) 20-24 (14) 25-34 (21) 35-49 (20) 50-64 (17) 45 and over	(12)		5 10 18 28 18 13 7			8 12 21 26 16 12			3 9 18 30 20 13 8	

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Numbers in parentheses refer to percent in the group.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 5. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, by type of crime and selected characteristics of victims

		Sex		<u> </u>	Race
Type of crime	Both sexes	Male	Female	White	Black
Crimes of violence	83	87	78	92	78
Rape	91	1	91	² 100	88
Robbery	93	94	90	98	90
Robbery and attempted					
robbery with injury	91	91	91	97	89
From serious assault	91	92	² 87	94	89
From minor assault	92	91	94	100	90
Robbery without injury	92	94	87	98	89
Attempted robbery without		1		,0	5 ,
injury	97	95	100	100	ດວ
Assault	70	76	63	85	92 56 54
Aggravated assault	62	69	52	82	51.
With injury	48	² 43	50	² 75	42
Attempted assault with					4~
weapon	70	78	54	85	62
Simple assault	77	83	$\widetilde{71}$	87	59
With injury	58	77	² 45	74	241
Attempted assault			42	14	4
without weapon	83	85	81	89	69

No rapes of males were recorded.

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 6. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, by type of crime and sex and race of victims

		Male	Female			
Type of crime	White	Black	White	Black		
Crimes of violence	92	84	91	69		
Rape	1	.	² 100	88		
Robbery	99	92	98	85		
With injury	95	92	100	82		
Without injury	100	92	97	86		
Assault	86	65	8 5	46		
Aggravated assault	84	58	² 78	49		
Simple assault	87	78	86	41		

Table 7. Personal assault: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, by race and age of victims

Race and	age	All	assaults	Agg	gravated a	ssault	S	imple assault
All race 12-19 20-34 35 and			71 68 72		58 62 63			80 74 83
White 12-19 20-34 35 and	over		87 82 92		² 86 77 89			87 84 94
Black 12-19 20-34 35 and	over		59 56 50		² 42 59 50			73 52 ³ 52

Table 8. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of victimizations involving nonstrangers, by type of crime and nature of relationship

Type of crime	Related	and/or well known	Casually	acquainted
Crimes of violence ¹ Robbery		49		51 56
Assault		54		46

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

¹No rapes of males were recorded. ²Estimate, based or about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 9. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived race of offender

		Perceived race of offender						
Type of crime	White	Black	Other	Not known and not available				
Crimes of violence	16	80	12	13				
Rape	1 14	86	0	0				
Completed rape	0	¹ 100	0	0				
Attempted rape	122	78	0	10				
Robbery	14	91.	*3	-2				
Robbery with injury	0	88	¹ 16	10				
Robbery without injury	. ¹ 5	92	0	-3				
Assault	24	72	' l	14				
Aggravated assault	14	84	0	±3				
Simple assault	33	60	1 <u>1</u>	*5				

Table 10. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime, race of victims, and perceived race of offender

		Perceived race	e of offender	
Type of crime and race of victims	White	Hlack	Other	Not known and not available
Crimes of violence			•	
White	33	60	1 4	14
Black	13	94	0	13
Rape				
White	167	133	. 0	0
Black	16	94	0	0
Robbery				
White-	17	85	18	0
Hlack	12	94	0	1 4
Robbery with injury				
White	0	171	129	0
Hlack	0	100	0	0
Robbery without injury				_
White	19	91 93	0	Ō,
Elack	12	93	0	15
Assault	and the second	and the second second		and the second second
White	47	46	il	16
Black	13	95	0	12
Aggravated assault				
White	44 12	47	0	19
Black	12	98	0	0
Simple assault			34 1	
White	48	46	12	14
Hlack	14	89	0	17

Table 11. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multipleoffender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived race of offenders

Type of crime	All white	All black	All other	Mixed races	Not known and not available
Crimes of violence	5	86	1]	13	4
Rape	0	1 100	0	0	0
Robbery	13	91	1]	12	13
Robbery with injury	15	88	0	13	14
Robbery without injury	12	92	12	12	13
Assault	12	74	11	16	17
Aggravated assault	17	79	14	16	1/4
Simple assault	115	71	Ó	16	18

Table 12. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multipleoffender victimizations, by type of crime, race of victims, and perceived race of offenders

		Perceived race of offenders								
Type of crime and race of victims	All white	All black	All other	Mixed races	Not known and not available					
Crimes of violence1										
White	12	73	24	² 6	² 5					
Hl ack	92	94	0	5J	² 3					
Robbery										
White	≈6	80	² 4	2/4	² 5					
Hlack	হ্য	96	0	<u>s</u> J	² 2					
Assault										
White	² 21	61	² 3	² 10	. ² 6					
Hlack	² 3	87	0	₹3	² 8					

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 13. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of singleoffender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived age of offender

	Perceived age of offender									
Type of crime	Under 12	:	Total 12-20	12–14	:	15–17	18-20	21 and over	Not known and not available	
Crimes of violence Rape	1 <u>1</u> 0		27 111	5 0		9	14 111	67 89	5 0	
Robbery Robbery with injury Robbery without	13 0		39 139	13 0		11 14	25 125	53 56	15 15	
injury Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault	1/4 0 0		39 21 20 22	14 6 18 1/1		10 9 15	25 6 16	52 73 74 73	15 16 16 15	

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

1Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

1Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

1Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Includes data on rape, not shown separately. **Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. 'Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 14. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of singleoffender victimizations, by type of crime, age of victims, and perceived age of offender

			Perceived	age of offender	
Type of crime and	age of victims	Under 12	12-20	21 and over	Not known and not available
Crimes of violence	1				
12-19		0	48	46	26
20-34		0	21	75	2 ₄
35-49		23	23	69	25
50-64		Ó	≥22	6 <u>1</u>	217
65 and over		ō	² 53	247	-ċ
Robbery			72		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12-19	* * 4	0	63	² 38	0
20-34		ň	36	62	2 2
35-49		27	233	2 53	27
50-64		'n	≈26	249	226
65 and over		Õ	2 64	235	~0
Assault		•	- 04	-),	, 0
12-19		n	48	42	\$10
20-34		0	13	82	25
		0	220 20		25
35-49		0	216 216	75 201	*2
50-64		Ů,		² 84	0
65 and over		U	s70	≥ 60	0

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent, because of rounding.

Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 15. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multipleoffender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived age of offenders

ta in tha highlight a beauth i		Perceived age of offenders								
Type of crime	A11 12	under	All	12-20	All 21 and over	Mixed ages		known and available		
Crimes of violence Rape Robbery Robbery with injury		0 0 0		41 0 38 37	27 ¹ 50 28 23	27 150 28 32		6 0 6		
Robbery without injury Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault		0 0 0		39 49 49 48	30 24 125 23	26 22 ¹ 20 23		15 16 16 16		

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

1Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 16. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multipleoffender victimizations, by type of crime, age of victims, and perceived age of offenders

Type of crime and			Percei	ved age of of	fenders		
age of victims	All under 12	All 12-20	All 21 and over	Mixed ages	Not known and not available		
Crimes of violence1		4					
12-19		0	59	² 12	25	≥ 3	
20-34		. 0	31	33	31	a ₅	
35-49		0	36	31	24	29	
50-64		0	48	25	22	25	
65 and over		0	₹33	² 35	219	² 13	
Robbery 12-19			/-				
20–34		0 .	61	29	30	0	
35–49		0	27	35	34	² 4	
50-64		0	30	32 20	27	2 <u>1</u> 1	
65 and over		0	45 ² 38	29	² 20		
Assault		•		² 33	² 14	214	
12-19		0	57	219	2 16	- 89	
20-34		Ö	39	27	26	29 28	
35-49		0	²6í	229	ล้ า	Ö	
50-64		0	² 65	ó	235	. 0	
65 and over		.0	Ō	² 50	250	Ŏ:	

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

**Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 17. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and sex of victims

(Rate per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over)

Type of crime	Male (223,400)	Female (287,200)
Crimes of violence Rape	41	23
Robbery	26	10
Robbery and attempted robbery		10
with injury	7	2
From serious assault From minor assault	4	11
Robbery without injury	3	2
Attempted robbery without injury	4.5 6.4	6
Assault	15	11
Aggravated assault With injury	4	4 2
Attempted assault with weapon Simple assault With injury	6	2 6
Attempted assault without weapon		2 5
Crimes of theft	63	66
Personal larceny with contact Purse snatching Attempted purse snatching	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	18 8
Pocket picking Personal larceny without contact		6 48

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

(Rate per 1,000 resident population in each group)

Type of crime	12-15 (39,100)	16-19 (44,300)	20-24 (72,500)	25-34 (106,600)	35-49 (100,600)	50-64 (88,600)	65 and over (58,800)
Crimes of violence Rape Robbery	32 0 14	44 13 26	45 4 19	39 1 ₁ 19	25 1 15	21 0 16	14 0 10
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury From serious assault From minor assault Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury Assault Aggravated assault With injury Attempted assault with weapon	14 11 12 6 14 18 6 13	7 6 11 13 7 15 6 14	14 12 12 11 4 22 10 4	5 3 3 10 4 19 8 3	12 12 9 12 9 12 9 5	12 12 9 13 15 12 12	13 11 12 52 13 13 11
Simple assault With injury Attempted assault without weapon	11 11	13 15	11 2 9	3 8	14 3	1Z 12	1 Z
Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Purse snatching Attempted purse snatching Pocket picking Personal larceny without contact	29 14 11 0 12 25	67 9 13 11 6 58	80 11 4 12 5 69	91 11 4 12 5 81	64 11 5 1 5 5 5	48 13 6 13 5 35	44 21 9 11 10 23

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group.

Z Less than 0.5 per 1,000

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 19. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and race of victims

Type of crime	White (151,200)	Black (352,000)	Other (7,400)
Crimes of violence Rape	43 11	26 1	¹ 20 0
Robbery	21	16	112
Robbery and attempted robbery			
with injury	6	4	18
From serious assault	3	2	0
From minor assault	3	2	18
Robbery without injury	8	10	Ö
Attempted robbery without injury	7	2	¹ 4
Assault	21	9	18
Aggravated assault	_6	6	18
With injury	11	2	14
Attempted assault with weapon	5	3	14
Simple assault	15	3	0
With injury	3	1	0
Attempted assault without weapon	12	2	0
Crimes of theft	106	47	71
Personal larceny with contact	16	10	123
Purse snatching	7	7,	~o
Attempted purse snatching	ง่	i	18
Pocket picking	6		1 15
Personal larceny without contact	90	37	49

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Type of crime	Less than \$3,000 (47,100)	\$3,000- \$7,499 (119,700)	\$7,500- \$9,999 (68,800)	\$10,000- \$14,999 (106,700)	\$15,000- \$24,999 (85,300)	\$25,000 or more (52,300)	Not available (30,700)
Crimes of violence Rape Robbery	56 1 ₂ 27	36 3 19	26 2 15	23 12 13	23 1 15	32 0 17	32 1 23
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury From serious assault From minor assault Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury	10 12 8 12 15	4 3 11 12	6 12 6	3 12 11 6	13 11 9	13 0 13 9	15 12 13 13
Assault Aggravated assault With injury Attempted assault with weapon	27 12 6 6	14 7 3 4	11 5 11 13	10 15 12 3	8 3 11 12	15 5 12 14	17 15 12 14
Simple assault With injury Attempted assault without weapon	15 14 11	7 3 4	12 v. 5	15 4	15 1 4	9 11 9	11 11
Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Purse snatching Attempted purse snatching Pocket picking Personal larceny without contact	67 21 9 1 ₂ 9 46	53 15 8 11 6 39	58 14 6 • • • • • • • •	63 8 3 2 3 54	70 8 12 11 6 62	98 14 12 13 89	10 0 12 8 45

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Z Less than 0.5 per 1,000

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unrelable.

Table 21. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and marital status of victims

Carantee Type of crime	Never married (199,400)	Married (206,000)		Widowed (42,500)	Divorced or separated (58,100)	Not available (4,500)	
Crimes of violence Rape Robbery	41 2 22	17 1 10		17 1 11	56 12 33	132 0 17	
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury From serious assault From minor assault Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury Assault Aggravated assault With injury Attempted assault with weapon Simple assault With injury Attempted assault without weapon	6 4 2 10 5 18 7 3 5 11 2 9	2 11 6 2 6 3 11 2 3		13 11 12 16 12 15 14 11 13 11	9 13 5 19 5 21 11 5 6 10 5 5	17 0 17 0 0 0 125 125 16 119 0 0	
Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Purse snatching Attempted purse snatching Pocket picking Personal larceny without contact	72 13 5 2 6 59	57 7 2 1 1 4 50	Ŷ	42 20 9 13 8 23	83 20 9 13 8 63	63 0 0 0 0 63	

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group.

1 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Survey Data Table

Table 22. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by sex and age of victims and type of crime

(Rate per 1,000 resident population in each group)

				Crimes of	violence				Crin	nes of theft	
Sex and age	All personal crimes of violence	Rape	All rob- beries	Robbery Robbery with injury	Robbery without injury	All assaults	Assault Aggravated assault	Simple assault	All personal crimes of theft	Personal larceny with contact	Personal larceny without contact
Male 12-15 (19,400) 16-19 (20,100) 20-24 (29,600) 25-34 (48,800) 35-49 (45,200) 50-64 (37,300) 65 and over (23,000)	48 67 55 45 30 33 18	0 0 0 0 0	29 45 27 23 23 27 13	17 15 9 6 17 17	22 30 19 16 16 20	19 22 28 22 7 16	110 17 12 11 14 14	19 15 16 11 13 11	29 75 78 95 59 41 37	13 17 15 13 13 12 110	26 68 73 91 56 39 28
Female 12-15 (19,700) 16-19 (24,200) 20-24 (42,900) 25-34 (57,800) 35-49 (55,400) 50-64 (51,300) 65 and over (35,800)	17 26 37 34 21 12	0 16 7 11 12 0	0 11 14 16 8 8	0 0 11 5 12 13	0 11 13 11 7 5	17 19 17 17 10	13 16 9 5 15 11	14 14 8 11 5 13	28 61 81 88 69 54 48	14 12 15 17 18 21 28	24 50 66 72 51 32 20

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 23. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and sex and race of victims

		Male	Fema	ale
Type of crime	White (66,700)	Black (153,100)	White (84,500)	Black (198,900)
Crimes of violence Rape Robbery With injury Without injury Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault	55 0 29 8 21 26 10	35 0 24 7 18 11 7	33 11 14 4 10 17 13 15	19 3 9 2 7 8 5
Crimes of theft Personal larceny wit contact Personal larceny wit contact	98 ¹ 3 94	49 5 44	112 26 86	45 13 32

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 24. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by sex and marital status of victims and type of crime

(Rate per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over)

Sex and marital status	All	personal cri	mes of v	iolence	All	personal	crimes	of	theft
Male Never married (/2,700) Married (101,100) Widowed (7,900) Divorced or separated (19,300) Not available (2,400)		58 19 34 73					67 59 129 77		
Female Never married (106,700) Married (104,800) Widowed (34,700) Divorced or separated (38,900) Not available (2,100)		27 14 13 47 241					76 55 46 86 154		

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 25. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by race and age of victims and type of crime

(Rate per 1,000 population in each group)

					Cr	imes of theft	
Race and age	All personal crimes of violence	Crimes of v	riolence Robbery	Assault	All personal crimes of theft	Personal larceny with contact	Personal larceny without contact
White 12-15 (4,100) 16-19 (8,300) 20-24 (23,000) 25-34 (33,800) 35-49 (24,200) 50-64 (27,900) 65 and over (30,000)	109 68 58 60 29 36 13	0 0 15 0 0 0	141 130 20 28 15 24	68 38 33 32 15 12	62 145 130 157 102 81 50	121 17 11 14 13 21 23	141 138 119 143 90 61 28
Black 12-15 (34,700) 16-19 (35,600) 20-24 (48,400) 25-24 (70,300) 35-49 (74,800) 50-64 (60,000) 65 and over (28,300)	24 39 38 30 24 14 15	0 14 14 11 12 0	11 26 19 15 16 12	12 9 16 14 7 11	24 50 55 60 52 33 38	1 ₂ 10 10 8 10 10 20	23 40 44 52 42 23 19

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group.

1 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

. .

Table 26. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by race and annual family income of victims and type of crime

		1		Crimes of v	iolence				Cri	mes of theft	
Race and income	All personal crimes violence	Rape	All rob- beries	Robbery Robbery • with injury	Robbery without injury	All assaults	Assault Aggravated assault	Simple assault	All personal crimes of theft	Personal larceny with contact	Personal larceny without contact
White Less than \$3,000 (14,400) \$3,000-\$7,499 (25,100) \$7,500-\$9,999 (14,200) \$10,000-\$14,999 (26,200) \$15,000-\$24,999 (28,300) \$25,000 and over (34,800) Not available (8,200)	58 41 50 48 38 36 40	0 13 12 0 0	28 17 25 21 20 16 33	110 14 112 15 17 13	18 13 13 16 14 13	30 20 23 27 17 20	15 16 14 19 16 16	24 14 19 18 11 13	107 95 107 132 95 104 97	22 25 26 14 12 10	85 70 81 118 84 94 87
Black Less than \$3,000 (32,000) \$3,000-\$7,499 (92,200) \$7,500-\$9,999 (53,300) \$10,000-\$14,999 (79,600) \$15,000-\$24,999 (56,200) \$25,000 and over (16,800) Not available (21,900)	55 35 19 15 17 25	13 3 0 12 11 0	27 19 11 10 12 20 20	10 3 14 13 13 12	17 15 8 7 9 18	25 13 8 5 13 15	14 7 5 4 12 13	11 5 13 11 12 12	49 43 45 39 56 83 39	21 12 10 6 5 15	28 30 35 33 51 78 29

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Z Less than 0.5 per 1,000.

1 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 27. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by race, sex, and age of victims and type of crime

(Rate per 1,000 resident pomulation

Race, sex,	and age	*	Crimes of vi	olence	Crimes of	theft
Male 12-15 16-19 20-24 25-34 35-49 50-64 65 and	(2,100) (3,800) (10,400) (16,800) (11,900) (11,100) over (10,600)		171 104 66 65 32 48		142 189 93 149 101 62	
Female 12–15 16–19 20–24 25–34 35–49 50–64 65 and	(2,000) (4,600) (12,500) (16,900) (12,300) (16,800) over (19,400)		143 138 52 54 26 28		31 184 109 161 165 103 94 61	
Black						
16-19 (20-24 (25-34 (35-49 (50-64 ((17,100) (16,200) (18,700) (30,500) (32,600) (25,900) Over (12,100)		33 59 49 34 30 27		28 48 68 69 44 32	
16–19 (20–24 (25–34 (35–49 (50–64 ((17,600) (19,400) 29,600) 39,800) 42,200) 34,100) over (16,200)		14 23 30 27 20 14		21 51 46 53 58 33 34	

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group.

1Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 28. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and number of offenders

ype of crime	One	Two	Three	Four or more	Not known and not available
rimes of violence Rape Robbery Robbery and attempted robbery	48 90 33	21 110 29		11 0 14	4 0 5
with injury From serious assault From minor assault Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury Assault Aggravated assault With injury Attempted assault with weapon Simple assault With injury Attempted assault without	24 112 37 32 48 65 68 75 65 63 71	26 25 33 25 11 18 13 16	28 119 18 16 10 18	21 24 119 10 111 9 19 15 111 10	15 10 0 7 0 14 16 0 110 13 14

Table 29. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents involving a single offender, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

Type of crime		0 1	Involving strangers	Involving nonstrangers	
Crimes of violence Rape	-		43 92	75 1100	
Robbery Assault			 32 57	52 82	

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 30. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents involving a single victim, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

Type of crime	All incidents	Involving strangers	Involving nonstrangers
Crimes of violence	94	93	96 1 100
Rape Robbery Robbery and attempted	97 94	96 94	95
robbery with injury	98	99	195
From serious assault From minor assault	97 99	98 99	190 1100
Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without	92	91	94
injury Assault	94 93	93 92	¹ 100 96
Aggravated assault With injury Attempted assault with	92 94	91 92	95 96
weapon	91	90	94
Simple assault With injury	94 94	93 93	96 94
Attempted assault without weapon	94	93	98

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 31. Personal robbery and assault: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, by victim-offender relationship and type of crime

Relationship	Robbery	and	assault	Robbery	Assault
All victimizations	.,	28		27	30
Involving strangers Involving nonstrangers		25 44		26 131	22 48

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

**Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 32. Personal robbery and assault: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime

Characteristic			Robbery	and	assault		Robbery	Assault
Sex Male Female	1			27 30		ý	29 22	24 37
Race White Black				25 30			29 25	20 40
Age 12-15 16-19 20-24 25-34 35-49 50-64 65 and over				22 33 24 30 30 24 35			¹ 25 26 20 29 27 27 27	¹ 20 47 28 31 34 ¹ 13 ¹ 42
Annual family: Less than \$3 \$3,000-\$7,49 \$7,500-\$9,99 \$10,000-\$14, \$15,000-\$24, \$25,000 or m Not availabl	,000 9 9 999 999 ore			36 29 34 26 27 15 24			38 20 39 26 29 15	34 40 126 27 125 114 138

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 33. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, received hospital care, and incurred medical expenses, by type of crime

and the second of the second o				 	·		
Item	-	Crimes of	violence ¹		Robbery		Assault
Received hospital care Emergency room only	. :	10 8 2			8 7 21	3 /	11 9 22
Overnight or longer Incurred medical expenses ³		6			5		. 7

Table 34. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims received hospital care, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime

Characteristic	Cri	mes of viole	nce ¹	Robbery	Assault
Sex Male Female		8 12		8 9	10 12
Race White Black		5 14		²7 9	² 3 20
Victim-offender relations Involving strangers Involving nonstrangers	nip	8 21		8 ² 14	6 23

Table 35. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of victimizations in which victims incurred medical expenses, by amount

Amount ¹			Percent	:	
Less than \$50 \$50-\$249			52 33	<i>"</i> .	
\$250 or more			² 15		

¹Includes only those victimizations in which the victims knew with certainty that medical expenses were incurred and also knew, or were able to estimate, the amount of such expenses. Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

³Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

³Includes only those victimizations in which the victims knew with certainty that medical expenses were incurred and also knew, or were able to estimate, the amount of such expenses.

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.
²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 36. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and place of occurrence

Type of crime	Inside own home	Near own home	Inside nonresidential building	On street, or in park, playground, schoolground, or parking lot	Elsewhere
All personal crimes	 . 4	6	22	57	12
Crimes of violence Rape Robbery Robbery and attempted robbery	14 46 9	14 15 14	17 5 6	60 134 67	1 ₁₀ 4
with injury Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury	18 11 18	15 15	13 16 19	71 62 71	13 15 13
Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault	16 19 13	14 20 10	10 19 11	54 46 61	6 16 15
Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Personal larceny without contact	1Z 12	2 11	28 37 26	55 44 57	15 5 17

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Z Less than 0.5 percent.

... Represents not applicable.

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 37. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by victim-offender relationship, place of occurrence, and type of crime

·			·		
Relationship and place	Crimes	of violence		Robbery	Assault
Involving strangers Inside own home Near own home Inside nonresidential building	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10 14 8		8 14 6	8 14 10
On street, or in park, playground, schoolground, or parking lot Elsewhere		64 5		67 4	63 ² 5
Involving nonstrangers Inside own home Near own home Inside nonresidential building		32 14 ² 7		² 30 ² 10 0	32 16 ² 10
On street, or in park, playground, schoolground, or parking lot Elsewhere		40 ² 7		6 <u>1</u> 0	34 28

Table 38. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and geographic area of occurrence

Type of crime			le city esidence	Inside other central city	Elsewhere
All personal cri	mes		89	 4	7
Crimes of violence ¹ Robbery Assault			92 92 93	3 3 22	5 4 5
Crimes of theft Personal larceny wi Personal larceny wi			87 94 86	22 4	9 23 10

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 39. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by type of crime

			of violence		Crimes of theft			
Reason	All personal crimes	All crimes of of violence	Robbery	Assault	All crimes of theft	Personal larceny with contact	Personal larceny without contact	
Nothing could be done; lack of proof Not important enough Police would not want to be bothered Too inconvenient or time consuming Private or personal matter Fear of reprisal Reported to someone else All other and not given	35 32 5 6 5 1 5	28 31 6 5 10 *3 4 14	31 26 8 *4 7 *3 *5	24 37 24 6 13 22 23 13	38 33 5 6 3 2 2 5	42 24 ² 5 ⁹ ² 1 ² 1 8	37 34 5 6 3 2 5 9	

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Z Less than 0.5 percent.

Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 40. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

Type of crime	All victimizations	Involving strangers	Involving nonstrangers
All personal crimes	42	••••	•••
Crimes of violence Rape	55 65	55 61	55 1100
Robbery and attempted robbery	63	64	48
with injury From serious assault From minor assault	69 69 70	70 68 73	155 173 133
Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury	70 36	72 38	151 0
Assault Aggravated assault With injury	44 52 77	38 44 68	56 66 86
Attempted assault with weapon Simple assault With injury	37 37 60 29	34 35 55 30	45 43 167
Attempted assault without weapon Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact	36 46	46	124 127
Purse snatching Attempted purse snatching Pocket picking Personal larceny without contact	57 37 39 34	56 37 40	¹100 ² 0

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

²No attempted purse snatchings by nonstrangers were recorded.

... Represents not applicable.

Table 41. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and sex and race of victims

		Sex	Race	
Type of crime	Male	Female	White	Black
All personal crimes	40	45	40	45
Crimes of violence	52	60	47 ² 27	61
Rape		65	² 27	73
Robbery	62	64	63	64
Robbery and attempted		er in er er <u>er</u> er hin efte	1 3 m	
robbery with injury	66	73 ² 59	79 "	65
From serious assault	70	- <u>59</u>	81	61
From minor assault	60	80	77	71
Robbery without injury	71	69	68	71
Attempted robbery without	and the second			2-4
injury	35 33	² 39	44 32 37 259	² 26
Assault	33	<i>5</i> 5	32	55 58
Aggravated assault	36	74	37	58
With injury	75	79	~59	80
Attempted assault with			3	
weapon	22	69	² 30	40
Simple assault	31 ² 51	42 67	30 ² 49	50
With injury	°51	67	~ 49	71
Attempted assault without				
weapon	25	33	25	38
Crimes of theft	33	39	37	36
Personal larceny with				
contact	32	78	49	44
Purse snatching	ing state	56	59	44 54
Attempted purse snatching	1	48 56 37	49 59 236	² 39
Pocket picking	32	42	43	3 7
Personal larceny without				
contact	33	36	34	34

¹No victimizations from these crimes were recorded for males.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 42. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and age of victim

Type of crime	12-19	20-34	35-49	50-64	65 and over
All personal crimes	30	40	52	52	42
Crimes of violence ¹ Robbery Robbery and attempted robbery	42 48	54 65	67 68	61 68	68 75
with injury Robbery and attempted robbery	62	70	68	64	² 89
without injury Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault	43 30 245 20	63 44 48 41	68 66 70 61	69 ² 40 ² 55 ² 27	68 ² 47 ² 56 0
Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Purse snatching Pocket picking Personal larceny without	19 29 0 214	34 43 53 32	46 54 67 ² 38	48 64 59 74	34 40 40 239
contact	21	32	44	42	29

Table 43. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims took self-protective measures, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

Type of crime	All victimizations	Involving strangers	Involving nonstrangers
Crimes of violence	46	44	58
Rape	71	68	¹ 100
Robbery	35	34	48
Robbery and attempted robbery			
with injury	54	51	¹ 85
From serious assault	43	40	¹ 73
From minor assault	67	64	¹ 100
Robbery without injury	12	10	¹ 28
Attempted robbery without injury	72	72	150
Assault	59	₹′ 58	59
Aggravated assault	59	56	65
With injury	64	51	76
Attempted assault with weapon	56	58	54
Simple assault	58	60	52
With injury	74	78	69
Attempted assault without weapon	53	56	138

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 44. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims took self-protective measures, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime

	-									-					Robbe	ry					-	Assau	1t		
Character	ristic					Cr	imes	of v	iole	nce	Rape	All robber:	Les	Wi	th inju	ıry	Withou	t inj	ury	All assaul	ts	Aggra	vated	Si	mple
Sex Male Female	: .							43 49			1 71	36 34	-		54 55			28 28		57 60			57 63	:	58 58
Race White Black			. 1					53 41			³ 100 65	45 29			62 48			38 23		59 58			56 60		60 54
Age 12-19 20-34 35-49 50-64 65 and	over							53 51 41 27 34			² 57 68 ² 100 1	42 41 27 19 ² 35			67 67 ² 41 ² 33 ² 32		3	35 33 22 14 37		68 59 57 253 232		8	83 56 60 55 38	8	58 61 54 50 0

Table 45. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of self-protective measures employed by victims, by type of measure and type of crime

			Robbery			Assault	
Self-protective measure	Crimes of violence1	All robberies	With injury	Without injury	All assau	lts Aggravated	Simple
Used or brandished firearm or knife Used physical force or other weapon Tried to get help or frighten offender Threatened or reasoned with offender Nonviolent resistance, including evasion	² 2 33 17 14 33	² 2 35 20 14 29	0 35 26 211 28	² 3 36 16 16 30	² 2 31 13 15 39	² 4 35 12 14 35	0 28 15 15 49

¹No rapes were recorded for these groups. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

1 Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

2 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 46. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of self-protective measures employed by victims, by selected characteristics of victims

		Sex		Ra	ce
Self-protective measure	Both sexes	Male	Female	White	Black
Used or brandished firearm or knire Used physical force or other weapon	12 33	1 41	1 ₄ 23	1 ₂ 23	13 41
Tried to get help or frighten offender Threatened or reasoned with offender Nonviolent resistance, including evasion	14 33	13 13 32	15 35	20 15 39	13 29

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 47. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime

Type of crime	Percent		
All personal crimes	 78		
Crimes of violence Rape Robbery	49 45 76		
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault	79 100 18 14 19		
Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Furse snatching Pocket picking Personal larceny without contact	92 89 79 100 93		

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 48. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime and value of loss

Type of crime	No monetary value	Less than \$1	0 \$10-	\$49	\$50-\$249	\$250 or more	Not known and not available
All personal crimes	3	15	3	6	31	6	8
Crimes of violence ¹ Robbery	⁵ 3	16 15	2 2	.8 .6	34 37	6 7	11 11
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury Robbery and attempted robbery	э4	² 12	2	22	45	s/t	² 12
without injury Assault	² 3 ² 15	25 224	2 3	7 6	35 ² 12	8 0	¹¹ 212
Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Purse snatching Pocket picking Personal larceny without contact	2 21 21 21 21 2	14 14 11 16 15	4	2 7	31 26 25 26 32	6 24 27 21 7	7 11 15 29 7

Table 49. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime, race of victims, and value of loss

Type of crime and race	No monetary value	Less than \$10	\$10-\$49	\$50-\$249	\$250 or more	Not known and not available
All personal crimes1	3	15	36	31	6	8
White Black	3 3	17 13	39 34	28 35	6 7	7 10
Crimes of violence ¹ White Black	5 ³ 5 5	16 22 12	28 25 30	34 28 37	a6 5 6	11 15 10
Crimes of theft ¹ White Black	2 2 2	14 16 13	39 42 35	31 28 34	6 6 7	7 6 9

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

1 Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

2 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

'Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately.

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 50. Personal robbery and larceny: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by value of stolen property, including cash, and race of victims

Type of crime and pr	operty value	All races ¹	White	Black
Robbery No monetary value Less than \$10 \$10-\$49 \$50-\$99 \$100-\$249 \$250 or more Not available		21 15 27 19 19 8	0 19 28 212 19 28	² 2 13 27 22 20 8
Personal larceny ³ No monetary value Less than \$10 \$10-\$49 \$50-\$99 \$100-\$249 \$250 or more Not available		2 15 40 17 13 6 6	15 2 17 42 16 11 6	22 14 37 19 16 6

Table 51. Personal robbery and larceny: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by proportion of loss recovered

			Personal larceny	
Proportion recovered	Robbery	 All personal larcenies	With contact	Without contact
None All Some Less than half Half or more Proportion unknown	83 5 12 5 12 5	79 8 13 5 4 5	75 14 21 10 5	80 9 11 3

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

1 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 52. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by type of crime

Type of crime			Percent	
All personal crimes			7	
Crimes of violence Rape Robbery With injury Without injury Assault Aggravated assault Simple assault			10 114 12 25 8 7	
Crimes of theft Personal larceny with co Personal larceny without			6 7 5	

1Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 53. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by number of days lost and type of crime

Time lost	All	personal	crimes	Crimes	of violence	Crimes	of t	theft
Less than 1 day 1-5 days 6 days or more		42 41 16			23 46 27		58 36 16	
Amount unknown and not available		12			14		0	

Table 54. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence

				Nigh			
Type of crime	Daytin 6 a.m6		Total	6 p.m midnight	Midnight- 6 a.m.	Not known	Not known and not available
All personal crimes	45		48	30	13	4	7
Crimes of violence Rape Robbery	139 39		57 61 60	46 49 49	11 12 11	1 _Z 0 1 _Z	11 0 11
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury From serious assault From minor assault Robbery without injury	36 33 39 37		63 67 58 62	49 54 45 51	13 113 113 9	0 0 0	11 0 13 11
Attempted robbery without injury Assault Aggravated assault With injury	48 46 42 44		52 53 57 56	40 41 43 38	112 11 15 18	0 0 0	0 11 11 0
Attempted assault with weapon Simple assault With injury Attempted assault without	40 50 37		58 49 59	45 40 44	113 9 115	0 0	12 11 14
weapon Crimes of theft Personal larceny with contact Purse snatching Attempted purse snatching Pocket picking Personal larceny without contact	54 47 70 67 75 71 42		46 44 30 33 23 29 46	39 23 25 31 117 22 23	17 14 14 11 17 15 16	0 6 11 11 0 12	0 9 0 0 0

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

'Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately.

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Includes both personal larceny with contact and personal larceny without contact.

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

1Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Z Less than 0.5 percent.

1 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 55. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by victim-offender relationship, type of crime, and time of occurrence

			Night	time		
Relationship and type of crime	Daytime 6 a.m6 p.m.	Total	6 p.m midnight	Midnight- 6 a.m.	Not known	Not known and not available
Involving strangers Crimes of violence ¹ Robbery Assault	43 39 49	56 60 50	45 48 39	11 11 11	0 0 0	² 1 ² 1 ² 1
Involving nonstrangers Crimes of violence ¹ Robbery Assault	37 ² 34 39	62 66 60	50 51 48	11 210 212	² 1 ² 5 0	21 0 22

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown, or to 100 percent, because of rounding.

1 Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

2 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 56. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents in which offenders used weapons, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

Type of crime	All incidents	Involving strangers	Involving nonstrangers
Crimes of violence Rape Robbery	51 132 58	50 130 57	58 ¹ 50 66
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury Robbery without injury Attempted robbery without injury Assault ²	44 65 58 44	44 64 58 38	153 75 150 55

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.
²Includes data on simple assault, which by definition does not involve the use of a weapon.

Table 57. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of types of weapons used by offenders, by type of crime

Type of crime		Firearm	Knife	Other	Type unknown
Crimes of violence Rape Robbery		144 132 50	24 168 25	28 0 19	6 0 **> 6
Robbery and attempted with injury Robbery without injur Attempted robbery wit	ry	33 63 32	1 ₁₇ 25 32	33 10 30	117 11 17
Aggravated assault With injury Attempted assault wit		15 ½ 43	19 119 20	45 66 33	13 0 15

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

1 Estimate. based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 58. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of types of weapons used by offenders, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

		Involving	strangers			Involving	nonstrangers	
Type of crime	Firearm	Knife	Other	Type unknown	Firearm	Knife	Other	Type unknown
Crimes of violence Rape Robbery Aggravated assault	44 138 50 29	24 163 25 115	27 0 19 51	6 0 6 15	42 0 155 38	25 100 120 25	32 0 1 ₂₅ 36	11 0 0 11

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

**Estimate. based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 59. Household crimes: Number and rate of victimizations, by type of crime

Type of crime	Number			Rate	
Burglary	19,700			75	
Forcible entry	8,000			30	
Unlawful entry without force	5,600			21	
Attempted forcible entry	6,100		-	23	
Household larceny	13,500			51	
Less than \$50	6,300			24	
\$50 or more	5,500			21	
Amount not available	500			2	
Attempted larceny	1,200			5	
Motor vehicle theft	3,900			15	
Completed theft	2,900			11	
Attempted theft	1,000			4	

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

Table 60. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected household characteristics and type of crime

Characteristic	All household crimes	Burglary	Household larceny	Motor vehicle theft
Race of head of household White (35) Black (63) Other (1)	37 63 1	37 62 ² 1	38 61 21	29 70 21
Age of head of household 12-19 (2) 20-34 (37) 35-49 (24) 50-64 (22) 65 and over (15)	3 44 27 19 8	3 42 28 19 9	3 45 27 19 6	² 1 50 28 13 8
Annual family income Less than \$3,000 (12) \$3,000-\$7,499 (25) \$7,500-\$9,999 (14) \$10,000-\$14,999 (20) \$15,000-\$24,999 (14) \$25,000 or more (8) Not available (6)	11 22 11 21 17 12	14 25 11 19 13 11 6	10 18 10 22 23 14 4	24, 20 16 26 17 10 7
Tenure Owned or being bought (30) Rented (70)	31 69	28 72	37 63	29 71
Number of units in structure 11 (36) 2 (4) 3-4 (8) 5-9 (8) 10 or more (40) Other than housing units (2 Not available (2)	43 4 8 9 32 2 2	39 4 9 10 34 2 2	49 5 7 6 29 21 21	39 24 25 10 33 23 24
Number of persons in househol 1 (38) 2-3 (42) 4-5 (14) 6 or more (6)	d 29 43 18 11	32 42 16 10	23 44 20 13	30 44 19 8

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to percent of households in the group.

Includes data on mobile homes, not shown separately.

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 61. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and age of head of household

Type of crime	12–19 (4,300)	20 <u>–34</u> (97,700)		35-49 (63,600)	·	50-64 (57,500)	65 and over (40,100)
Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Less than \$50 \$50 or more Amount not available Attempted larceny Motor vehicle theft Completed theft Attempted theft	132 125 94 113 79 155 124 0 0 112 16 16	84 37 22 25 62 29 26 3 5 20		85 36 22 27 57 27 26 0 4 17		65 24 19 21 45 20 15 13 7 9	42 15 13 15 21 9 8 12 12 12

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group.

1Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statisty by unreliable.

Criminal Victimization Surveys in Washington

Table 62. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and race of head of household

(Rate per 1,000 households)

Type of crime	 - :		hite ,900)				Black (166,50	
Burglary Forcible entry		Ð	79 28				73 32	
Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny			27 24 55				18 22 50	
Less than \$50 \$50 or more Amount not available			30 19	f	÷ .		20 22	
Attempted larceny Motor vehicle theft		45	5 12				5 16	
Completed theft Attempted theft			7				13 3	

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group.

'Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 63. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and annual family income

	Less than \$3	,000	\$3,000-\$7,499	\$7,500-\$9,999	\$10,000-\$14,999	\$15,000-\$24,999	\$25,000 or over	Not available
Type of crime	(31,100)		(66,400)	(37,100)	(52,700)	(37,800)	(22,100)	(16,100)
Burglary	88	,	74	59	72	68	102	73
Forcible entry	34		27	27	31	31	. 38	28
Unlawful entry without force	30		19	12	20	13	45	25
Attempted forcible entry	25		28	20	20	24	19	19
Household larceny	42		36	37	56	80	81,	32
Less than \$50	24		17	13	25	4.1	39	114
\$50 or more	16		1/4	17	25	29	41	17
Amour wast available	¹ ₁		12	11	13	13	0	12
Attended larceny	12		13	15	14	7	14	¹ 10
Notor vehicle theft	15		12	16	19	17	18	17
Completed theft	14		. 8	13	<u>1</u> 6	14	12	110
Attempted theft	12		14	14	1 3	14	1 6	1 7

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group.
¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 64. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime, form of tenure, and race of head of household

(Rate per 1,000 households)

		or being bought			Rented	
Type of crime	All races ¹ (79,500)	White (28,700)	Black (50,200)	All races¹ (183,800)	White (64,200)	Black (116,300)
Burglary	69	88	58	 77	75	80
Forcible entry	33	43	28	29	22	34
Unlawful entry without force	18	27	13	23	27	21
Attempted forcible entry	17	19	16	25	27	25
Household larceny	63	66	62	46	50	44
Less than \$50	30	35	27	21	29	17
\$50 or more	26	25	26	19	16	20
Amount not available	² 2	² 1	² 3	2	2 ₁	2
Attempted larceny	5	² 6	5	4	4	4
Motor vehicle theft	14	² 8	18	15	14	16
Completed theft	11	24	15	<u>1</u> 1	8	13
Attempted that's	23	zμ	ลร์	L.	6	· 3

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group.

1 Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately.

2 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 65. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and number of persons in household

Type of crime	One (101,300)	Two or three (109,800)	Four or five (35,900)	Six or more (16,300)
Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Less than \$50 \$50 or more Amount not available Attempted larceny Motor vehicle theft Completed theft Attempted theft	63 24 15 23 31 13 11 12 5 11 10	75 29 22 25 54 28 20 12 4 16 10 6	89 42 30 17 77 33 37 12 15 20 17	115 54 37 24 106 47 50 13 15 19 16

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group.
¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 66. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and number of units in structure occupied by household

(Rate per 1,000 households)

Type of crime	One ¹ (95,400)	Two-Three (14,100)	Four (15,700)	Five-Nine (22,300)	Ten or more (105,400)
Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Less than \$50 \$50 or more Amount not available Attempted larceny Motor vehicle theft Completed theft Attempted theft	80 35 23 22 70 33 30 ² 2 5 16 13	78 31 18 29 65 36 216 24 210 216 216 216	98 555 212 30 46 21 18 0 27 29 27	86 43 29 14 39 20 16 0 24 17 12 25	63 20 18 24 37 16 15 2 4 12 9

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group.

1 Includes data on mobile homes, not shown separately.

2 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 67. Household burglary: Victimization rates, by race of head of household and annual family income

Race and income	All burglaries	Forcible entry	Unlawful entry without force	Attempted forcible entry
White Less than \$3,000 (10,000) \$3,000-\$7,499 (17,600) \$7,500-\$9,999 (9,600) \$10,000-\$14,999 (17,400) \$15,000-\$24,999 (16,400) \$25,000 or more (16,300) Not available (5,700)	81 60 69 93 68 99 84	119 20 28 36 24 38 127	43 17 12 27 15 44 133	1 ₁₉ 23 29 30 29 17 124
Black Less than \$3,000 (20,700) \$3,000-\$7,499 (47,400) \$7,500-\$9,999 (26,800) \$10,000-\$14,999 (34,800) \$15,000-\$24,999 (21,000) \$25,000 or more (5,700) Not available (10,100)	92 81 57 61 69 113 69	41 31 28 30 37 139 30	23 20 13 16 12 49	28 30 17 15 20 125

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group.

1 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Survey Data Tab

Table 68. Household crimes: Percent distribution of household incidents, by place of occurrence and type of crime

Place	Burglary	Household la	arceny		Motor	vehicle	theft
Inside own home Near own home	96	26 74		"		1 ₄ 30	
At vacation home, motel, or hotel Inside nonresidential building	3	•••				0 7	
On street, or in park, playground, school- ground, or parking lot	- 1	• • •	ī			56	
Elsewhere Not available	iz	0				12 0	

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 69. Household crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and geographic area of occurrence

Type of crime		Inside city of residence	Inside other central city	Elsewhere		
All household	crimes		94	2,		4
Burglary Household larceny Motor vehicle theft			93 97 86	12 13		5 11 11

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 70. Household crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by type of crime

Reason	All	household crimes	Burglary	Household larceny	Motor vehicle theft
Nothing could be done;	100				*
lack of proof		38	43	35	38
Not important enough		31	25	36	32
Police would not want					
to be bothered		7	7	8	1 ₅
Too inconvenient or					
time consuming		5	5	5	1 10
Private or personal					
matter		6	4	8	1 3
Fear of reprisal		1 Z	¹Z	3 1	0
Reported to someone					
else		4	6	- 3	1 3
All other and not given		g	10	5	¹ 10

Table 71. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by race of head of household and type of crime

Race and reason	All household crimes			Burglary	Household larceny	Motor	Motor vehicle thef		
White						110 001	ACUITOTE	cuerd	
Nothing could be done;									
lack of proof Not important enough All other and not		36 36		38 31	33 41		¹ 35 49		
given Black		28		31	25		116		
Nothing could be done;									
Not important enough All other and not		41 27		46 22	36 31		40 118		
given		33		32	33		42		

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

1 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 72. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by annual family income

Income		Nothing lack of	could proof	be don	∌ ;	Not impor	tant	All other	er and
Less than \$3,000 \$3,000-\$7,499 \$7,500-\$9,999 \$10,000-\$14,999 \$15,000-\$24,999			44 40 41 37			29 25 30 32		2 ¹ 3, 20	7 4
\$25,000 or more Not available	deserge and the		33 32 47		ہے۔ ایک آنگ الکامی ان ایک ان	34 42 29		3; 26 21	

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Z Less than 0.5 percent.

^{...} Represents not applicable.

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Z Less than 0.5 percent.

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 73. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by value of stolen property

	Nothing could be	e done;	Not important enough	All other and not given
Value Less than \$10 \$10-\$49 \$50-\$99	 18 37 47 46		57 36 24 113	25 27 28 41 55
\$100-\$249 \$250 or more	 42			

Table 74. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and race of head of household

		 	W	hite	Black
		All races1			49
Type of crime	·	 50		51	
All household crimes		57		62 85	54 73
Burglary Forcible entry	'orce	77 53		61 35	46 33
Unlawful entry without f Attempted forcible entry		34 32		34 17	31 22
Household larceny Less than \$50		19 49		60 263	44 218
\$50 or more		² 26 21		² 33 60	² 14 82
Attempted larceny		76 92		92 ² 16	92 ² 38
Completed theft Attempted theft		27		-10	

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

**TESTIMATE, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

¹Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 75. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and annual family income

Type of crime	Less than \$3,000	\$3,000-\$7,499	\$7,500-\$9,999	\$10,000-\$14,999	\$15,000-\$24,999	\$25,000 or more
All household crimes	49	46	50	53	50	56
Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Motor vehicle theft	61 82 48 47 119	48 62 50 33 33 77	57 78 151 131 24 80	58 80 53 31 34 83	61 88 68 122 37 65	68 90 58 146 37 73

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 76. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime, race of head of household, and form of tenure

	All races ¹	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	White		Black		
Type of crime	Owned or being bought	Rented	Owned or being bought	Rented	Owned or being bought	Rented	
All household crimes	53	48	57	48	51	49	
Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Motor vehicle theft	64 81 50 45 34 85	54 75 54 30 30 73	70 89 54 54 38 264	57 82 64 29 31 59	57 74 45 37 33 91	53 73 46 31 30 79	

¹Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately. ²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

CONTINUED OF 2

Table 77. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft loss reported to the police, by type of crime and value of loss

Type of crime	Less thin \$10	\$10-\$49	\$50-\$249	\$250	or more
All household crimes	26	25	54	:	18
Burglary Forcible entry	⁵⁹ 100	37 57	63 73		87 91
Unlawful entry without force Household larceny	¹ 32 15	28 20	53 45		77 70
Motor vehicle theft	0	¹ 50	175		93

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 78. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime

Type of crime	 	- 1	Percer	ıt		
All household crimes			90			
Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny			87 95 89 74 95	Ô	3	
Motor vehicle theft			92			

Table 79. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by value of stolen property, including cash, and type of crime

Value	All how		i	 Burglary	Househo larceny		Motor theft		icle
No monetary value		1		11	 1 ₁			0	
Less than \$10		8		5	13			0	
\$10-\$49		23		13	37			12	
\$50-\$99		16		15	22			0	
\$100-\$249		17		23	16			13	
\$250-\$999		19		28	6			38	
\$1,000 or more		11		12	1 ₁			51	
Not available		4		3	4			16	

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

1Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 80. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by race of head of household, type of crime, and value of loss

Race and type of crime	No monetary value	Less than \$10	\$10–\$49	\$50-\$249	\$250 or more	Not known and not available
All races ¹ All household crimes Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without	8 13 7	10 9 4	23 16 10	28 25 26	24 27 43	8 10 10
force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Motor vehicle theft	² 2 36 2 ² 4	7 20 13 2 2	21 21 35 8	40 6 37 26	25 1 6 73	5 15 6 7
White All household crimes Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without	7 10 28	13 12 26	28 19 11	28 28 26	19 24 40	6 7 210
force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Motor vehicle theft	² 1 27 ² 2 ² 5	11 23 17 ² 6	24 27 41 ² 14	41 *11 32 *11	22 22 25 54	0 211 24 211
Black All household crimes Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without	9 15 7	8 8 24	20 14 9	27 23 26	27 28 44	10 12 10
force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Motor vehicle theft	² 2 43 ² 2 ² 4	24 18 11 0	20 17 32 ² 5	37 3 41 24	27 21 7 79	10 17 8 27

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

1 Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately.

2 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 81. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by proportion of loss recovered and type of crime

Proportion recovered	All household crimes		Burglary	Household larceny	Motor vehicle theft	
None		75	79	84	21,	
All		15	ý	8	68	
Some		10	12	9	18	
Less than half		3	4	12	13	
Half or more		4	6	2	12	
Proportion unknown		4	3	4	14	
Not available		¹ Z	¹ Z	Ó	Ó	

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown, or to 100 percent, because of rounding.

Table 82. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by type of crime

Type of crime				Percent	······································
All household crimes				9	
Burglary				11	
Forcible entry				18	
Unlawful entry without for	e e			6	
Attempted forcible entry				7	
Household larceny				4	
Less than \$50				13	
\$50 or more				6	
Amount not available				0	
Attempted larceny				15	
Motor vehicle theft				17	
Completed theft				22	
Attempted theft				13	

¹Estimate. based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 83. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by number of days lost and type of crime

Time lost	All household crimes	Burglary	Household larceny	Motor vehicle the t
Less than 1 day 1-5 days 6-10 days Over 10 days Amount unknown and not available	30	23	155	133
	62	67	140	63
	13	15	0	0
	13	14	0	14

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 84. Household crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence

				Night	time	****	
Type of crime	1.	Daytime 6 a.m6 p.m.	Total	6 p.m midnight	Midnight- 6 a.m.	Not known	Not known and not available
All household crimes Burglary Forcible entry Unlawful entry without force Attempted forcible entry Household larceny Less than \$50 \$50 or more		38 44 47 41 44 34 38 31	47 39 40 40 37 50 42 54	23 20 21 19 19 26 22	16 13 11 16 12 15 10 16	8 6 7 5 6 10	15 17 13 19 19 16 20
Amount not available Attempted larceny Motor vehicle theft Completed theft Attempted theft		136 28 16 17 114	53 70 75 76 72	27 126 38 31 33 25	111 30 37 38 36	11 15 13 16 15	15 111 13 9 17

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown, or to 100 percent, because of rounding.

1 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 85. Commercial crimes: Number and rate of victimizations, by characteristics of victimized establishments and type of crime

(Rate per 1,000 establishments)

Characteristic	Burgl	ary	Robbe	OTE.
Characteristic	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
All establishments (26,000)	8,600	330	2 200	<u>~</u>
Kind of establishment Retail (6,700) Food group (1,100) Eating and drinking (1,700) General merchandise (200) Apparel group (800) Gas stations (300) Liquor stores (500) Other retail (2,100) Wholesale (700)	3,500 500 800 200 500 600	517 459 480 913 552 2,065 193 406	2,300 1,200 300 200 1 _Z 1100 1100 200 300	176 246 143 182 1122 1313 322 145
Service (14,700) Real estate (900) Other (2,900)	700 3,600 200 600	929 246 167 223	1 _Z 800 1 _Z 300	124 55 118 90
Gross annual receipts Less than \$10,000 (2,300) \$10,000-\$24,999 (2,000) \$25,000-\$49,999 (2,300) \$50,000-\$99,999 (3,000) \$100,000-\$499,999 (4,600) \$500,000-\$999,999 (1,800) \$1,000,000 or more (2,600) No sales (2,800) Amount not available (4,600)	600 700 600 1,000 1,400 1,200 800 600 1,700	255 322 248 324 313 683 309 228 371	400 200 200 200 300 200 200 1100	173 85 98 64 74 116 85
Average number of paid employees 1-3 (8,800) 4-7 (5,300) 8-19 (4,500) 20 or more (4,100) None (3,300)	2,900 1,400 1,700 1,800 700	334 262 388 451 210	700 500 300 400 300	102 82 95 77 101 94

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Numbers in parentheses refer to establishments in the group.

Z Fewer than 50 victimizations.

Z Less than 0.5 percent Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

¹Estimate, based or about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 86. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected characteristics of commercial establishments

Characteristic		Percent of estable	ishments	Percent o	crimes
Kife of establishment Retail Wholesale Service Real estate Other		26 3 57 3 11		4. 4. 3.	S L
Gross annual receipts Less than \$10,000 \$10,000-\$24,999 \$25,000-\$49,999 \$50,000-\$99,999 \$100,000-\$499,999 \$500,000-\$999,999 \$1,000,000 or more No sales Amount not available		9 8 9 11 18 7 10 11 17		11 11 12 6	7 3)
Average number of paid 1-3 4-7 8-19 20 or more None	employees	34 20 17 16 13		3/ 1′ 19 2	/ *)*.

Table 87. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments that were victimized, by kind of establishment

<u> </u>		
Kind of establishment	Percent	
All establishments	23	
Retail Wholesale Service Other	34 21 19 19	

Table 88. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of completed and attempted victimizations, by kind of establishment and type of crime

	Burgl	ary	R	obbery
Kind of establishment	Completed	Attempted	Completed	Attempted
All establishments	69	31	හා	20
Retail Wholesale Service Other	65 33 74 89	35 67 26	8% 0 72	13 100 28 125

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 89. Commercial robbery: Percent distribution of incidents, by kind of establishment and number of offenders

Kind of establishment	One	Two or more	Not available
All establishments	35	60	15
Retail. Service Other	36 28 53	59 70 125	15 12 112

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 90. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimized commercial establishments, by kind of establishment and number of victimizations incurred

Kind of establishment			One			Two			Three or more		
All est	ablishments	1	82			13	 		5		
Retail			79			15			16		
Service			82			13			15		
Other			86			18			16		

^{.1} Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 91. Commercial robbery: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and place of occurrence

Kind of establishment	On premises	On delivery	and	elsewhere
All establishments	99		11	
Retail Wholesale Service	99 100 98		11 0 12	
Other	100		0	

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 92. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police

Reason	Percent		
Nothing could be done; lack of proof	48		
Not important enough Police would not want to	21		
be bothered	12		
Too inconvenient or time consuming; did not want to become involved	12		
Fear of reprisal	ó		
Reported to someone else All other and not given	 11		

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 93. Commercial crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by kind of establishment and type of crime

Kind of establishment	Burglary and robbery	Burglary	Robbery
All establishments	82	79	90
Retail .	86	85	90
Wholesale	80	79	1 ₁₀₀
Service	76	73	89
Real estate	100	100	1100
Other	81	76	93

^{*1}Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 94. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments with one or more security measures

Kind of establishment	Percent
All establishments	86
Retail Wholesale Service Real estate	88 90 85 80
Manufacturing Banks Transportation Other	89 100 90 87

Table 95. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments with selected types of security measures, by kind of establishment

Type of security measur	е	All est	ablishments	3.	Retail	Service	Other
Building alarm			10		22	6	5
Central alarm - police or security service Reinforcing device			16 23		33 34	9 18	17 23
Guard or watchman Watchdog Firearm			33 3 3		9 5 6	39 2	46 12
Camera Mirror			3		5	1	7 13
Other			8		ź	10	8

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 96. Commercial crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by kind of establishment and type of crime

Kind of establishment	Burglary	Robbery
All establishments	82	83
Retail	83	93
Wholesale	97	¹ 100
Service	78	72
Other	87	75

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 97. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by kind of establishment and value of loss

		·			
Kind of establishment	Less than \$10	\$10-\$50	\$51-\$250	\$251 or more	Not available
All establishments	11	18	28	35	8
Retail	9	10	31	38	12
Service	13	21	29	33	4
Other	1 8	33	15	36	

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 98. Commercial burglary: Percent of victimizations resulting in damage loss to the premises, by kind of establishment

	 	
Kind of establishment	Percent	
All establishments	65	
Retail	69	
Wholesale Service	95 55	
Other	72	

Table 99. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by number of employees losing time from work

Number of employees who lost time			'			Percen	t			
None				7.		88		·	 	
One employee						. 60	1			
Two employees						. 3	ΔĴ			
Three or more employees						ر				
Not available						11				

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 100. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by number of man-days lost from work

Number of man-days	lost		Percent	
None			88	
Less than 1 day 1-5 days		Communication of the Communica	5	
6 or more days			11	
Amount unknown			ıŽ	

Table 101. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence

			Nigh	ttime		
Type of crime	Daytime 6 a.m6 p.m.	Total	6 p.m midnight	Midnight- 6 a.m.	Not known	Not known
Burglary and robbery	20	72	14	29	29	8
Burglary Robbery	9 64	81 35	10 26	35 8	36 11	10 11

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 102. Commercial robbery: Percent of incidents in which offenders used weapons, by kind of establishment

Kind of establishment			Percent		
All establishments		1	79		
Retail	,		78		
Service Other			89 53		

Table 103. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of weapon used by offenders

Type of weapon	All robberies	Completed robberies	Attempted robberies		
Firearm	71	74	1 ₅₀		
Knife	16	17	0		
Other or unknown type	24	19	1 ₅₀		

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

**Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Z Less than 0.5 percent.

Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

APPENDIX I SURVEY INSTRUMENTS

For the household survey, a basic screen questionnaire (Form NCS-3) and a crime incident report (Form NCS-4) were used to elicit information on the relevant crimes committed against the household as a whole and against any of its members age 12 and over. Form NCS-3 was designed to screen for all instances of victimization before details of any specific incident were collected. The screening form also was used for obtaining information on the characteristics of each household and of its members. Household screening questions were asked only once for each household, whereas individual screening questions were asked of all members age 12 and over. However, a knowledgeable adult member of the household served as a proxy respondent for 12- and 13-year-olds, incapacitated persons, and individuals absent during the interviewing period.

Once the screening process was completed, the interviewer obtained details of each revealed incident, if any. Form NCS-4 included questions concerning the extent of economic loss or injury, characteristics of offenders, whether or not the police were notified, and other pertinent details.

In the commercial survey, basically comparable techniques were used to screen for the occurrence of burglary and robbery incidents and to obtain details concerning those crimes. Form CVS-101 contained separate sections for screening and gathering information on the characteristics of business places, on the one hand, and for eliciting data on the relevant crimes, on the other.

Survey Instruments

				O.M.B. No. 4	II-R2661; App	roval Expli	res June 30, 19						
FORM N	CS-3 and NCS-4	NOTICE - Your report to the Census Bureau is confidential by law (Title 13, U.S. Code). It may be seen only by sworn Census employees and may be used only for											
10-43-73	,		It may be see cal purposes.	n only by sworn Cer	nsus employee	s and may	be used only fo						
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	Control	number										
	BUREAU OF THE CENSUS												
	NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY		PSU Seri	al	l Danil	Luu	1						
	CENTRAL CITIES SAMPLE		i-ao jaeri	uı	Panel	HH	Segment						
. 1	CERTIFICAL CIFIES SAMELE					1							
F	DRM NCS-3 - BASIC SCREEN QUESTIONNAIRE												
FC	ORM NCS-4 - CRIME INCIDENT REPORT												
													
	1. Interviewer identification Code ! Name		6. Tenure (c										
-	Code	(022		ned or being bough ited for cash	it ,								
(010)				cash rent									
	2. D				115								
,	2. Record of interview Line number of household Date completed		• .	iving quarters (CC)	11)								
į .	respondent	623	Housing t		ıt								
_		1 House, apartment, flat 2 HU in nontransient hotel, motel, etc.											
(II)	***************************************	1		- Permanent in tr			etc.						
	3. Reason for noninterview (cc 26d)			in rooming house									
	TYPE A	- 1		bile home or traile									
	Reason	- 1	6 🔲 HU	not specified abov	ve – Describ	¹⁶ 7							
@12	1 No one home	- 1											
_	2 Temporarily absent - Return date			Ď 11).									
	3 Refused		OTHE:	K Unit arters not HU in ro	omina or boo	edlae how							
i	4 Other Occ Specify	-		t not permanent in		_							
<u> </u>	Race of head			ant tent site or tra		ter, moter	, e.c.						
(11)	t White 2 Negro	1		specified above -									
ĺ	3 COther	1											
	TYPE B												
(014)	1 Vacant - Regular	1_	8. Number of	housing units in a	structure (cc	23)							
9	2 Vacant - Storage of HH furniture	(024)	1 🖂 🗆	5 5	9								
	3 Temporarily occupied by persons with URE	1	2 🔲 2	6 🔲 10									
	4 Unfit or to be demolished	- 1	з 🔲 3	7 🔲 Mo	bile home or	trailer							
3.5	5 Under construction, not ready		4 🗆 🕆	в <u>П</u> Оп	ly OTHER ur	nits							
	6 Converted to temporary business or storage 7 Unoccupied tent site or trailer site			ACH HOUSEHOLD									
	B Permit granted, construction not started	T		n the busines		ne in this	household						
	9 Other - Specify -		9	business from this	address?								
		(025)		WL_i 1.1.1 #.#.	د. اد د حمداست	-42							
		=-	2 1 es	s — What kind of b	siness is the	⁰¹¹ 7							
	TYPE C												
(015)	1 Unused line of listing sheet 2 Demolished	-	10 5										
	3 House or trailer moved		10. Family in			1 \$7 E00 +	0 000						
	4 Outside segment	(026)	2	ler \$1,000 000 to 1,999] \$7,500 to] 10,000 to							
	5 Converted to permanent business or storage			000 to 2,999] 12,000 t							
	6 Merged			000 to 3,999		15,000 t							
	7 Condemned			000 to 4,999		20,000 t							
	8 Built after April 1, 1970	- 1		000 to 5,999	13 [] 25,000 a	ind over						
	s Cother - Specify	<u> </u>	7 🛄 6,	000 to 7,499			·						
		_		members 12 years	•								
,	TYPE Z		of age and	OVER									
	Interview not obtained for -	(027)	н і <u></u>	Total numi	ber								
	Line number		12. Househole	members UNDER									
60		1	12 years										
@6)				•									
3 3 8 8		(028)		Total num	ber								
<u></u>			o 🗌 Nor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
(III)			13. Crime inc	ident Reports filled	d								
(019)	The state of the s	l											
	4. Household status	1029		Total num	ber								
@20	1 Same household as last enumeration	l –	o 🗀 Nor				11						
	2 Replacement household since last enumeration	, <u> </u>	o [] Not										
	3 Previous noninterview or not in sample before			CENSUS L	IZE ONLY	· .	 						
	5. Special place type code (cc 6c)	(39))	③	(032)	16	33)						
1		۳				1	-						
(m)				1	Į.								

,		0.		PERSO	NAL CHA	RACTER	ISTICS					
14. NAME (of household	15. TYPE	16. LINE	17. RELATIONSHIP	18.	19.	20a.	20Ь.	21.	22.	23. What is the highest gra	de	24.
respondent) KEYER — BEGIN NEW RECORD	OF INTER- VIEW	NUMBER (cc8)	TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD	BIRTH-	MARITAL STATUS (Sc 14)	(cc 15)	ORIGIN (cc 16)	(cc 17)	ARMED FORCES MEMBER	(or year) of regular sch you have ever attended (ASK for persons 12-24	001 7 4 vrs	Did you complete that year (cc 20)
Last	(034)	(035)	(cc9b)	(30 13) (05)	(038)	(039)	 	60	(cc 18)	Transcribe for 25+yrs.)		
	1 Per		1 Head	w	USO M.	1 □ W.		(40)	(04)	(042)	- 1	(043)
First	2 ☐ Tel 3 ☐ Ni- ₁₂		2 Wife of head		2 🗆 Wd.	2 Neg.	<u> </u>	1 M 2 F	1 Yes	00 Never attended or kindergarten	- 1	1 🔲 Yes 2 🔲 No
	Fill		3 Own child 4 Other relative		3 □ D. 4 □ Sep.	3 🔲 Ot.	•			Elem. (01-08)	· [
	16–21		5 Non-relative		5 N M					H.S. (09–12) College (21–26+)		
CHECK ITEM A	nousen	old as las	in cover page. Is st enumeration? (to Check Item B	Box Im	e same drked) No	26d. (051)	Have y	ou been es	No - Wh	or work during the past en did you last work? Up to 5 years ago		
25a. Did you li (044) 1 Yes	ve in this - SKIP t	house o	n April 1, 1970?	∏No					3 [4 [☐ 5 or more years ago ☐ Never worked	} skii	P to 29
	you live	on April	1, 1970? (State,		ountry,	27. (052)	Is there	any red	son why	you could not take a job	LAST	WEEK?
1	-		County			052) 1 No Yes - 2 Already has a job 3 Temporary illness 4 Going to school						
c. Did you liv	ve inside	the limit	s of a city, town	, village	, etc.?	1			5[Other - Specify		
(M) 1 □ N°	ame of city, town	, village	e, etc.7	28a.	For who	m did ye	ou (last)	work? (Name of compan				
d. Were you i	n the Arm	ed Force	s on April 1, 197	0?		-	busines	s, organ	ization o	other employer)	7.	1.4
(047) 1 ☐ Yes CHECK ▲	² 🗀	No				053	× 🗆 Ne	ever wor	ked - SK	IP to 29		
ITEM B		SKIP to	years old or olde			Ь.	What kir	o mfg.	iness or	industry is this? (For e e store, State Labor De	exampl	le: TV
26a. What were	you doing	most of	LAST WEEK - (working	,	(054)				c store, state Labor De	:pt., [c	ırm) (
(048) 1 🛄 Workii	ng - SKI	P to 28a	6 Unable to	else: o work—.	SKIP to 260	055)	Were you	employe	na of a Di	RIVATE company, busi		$\neg \neg$
2 ∐ With a 3 ∐ Looki	i job but ng for wo	not at wo ork	rk 7 🔲 Retired 8 🔲 Other –	Specify		1	1110	IAIGNAL	ror wages	, salary or commission	s?	
4 🔲 Keepi	ng house		- C Care.	Specify.	7		2 A (SOVERN local)?	IMENT en	nployee (Federal, State	, coun	ity,
5 Going			(If Armed For	ces, SK	IP to 28a)	1 .	3 🗀 SE	LF-EMP ctice or	LOYED i	n OWN business, profe	ssiono	ıl
around the	house? (/	Note: If fo	AST WEEK, not o	ounting berator	work in HH.	.				AY in family business	or for	_,
ask about u	nbaid wo	rk.)	nours? S			[d. 1	What kin	d of war	k wara un	u doing? (For our stands	elect	rical
c. Did you hav	e a job a	r busines	s from which you			(S)	-inginieer	, SIOCK (ierk, typ	ist, farmer)		
			H LAST WEEK? — SKIP to 28a			J . ī	that wer	e your m	ost impo	tant activities or dutie	s? (F	or
3	Yes .	- Layoff	- SKIP to 27			'	:xampie:	typing,	keeping	account books, selling	cars,	etc.)
Notes					·	! 	<u></u>					
			and the second									
												1
								,				. [
		. *										
and the second												
				à.								.
												- 1
						urije iškas	-55					
							- ATT					
						· 8						
												: [
											-	
									44			
DM NESS to on	<u> </u>	· · ·	:		<u> </u>							
RM NC5-8 (8-23-78)				-	D							

29. Now I'd like to ask some questions about crime. They refer only to the last 12 months - between1, 197 and, 197 During the last 12 months, did anyone break into or somehow illegally get into your	
between1, 197and, 197 During the last 12 months, did anyone break into or somehow illegally get into your	1 [] V 11
between1, 197and, 197 No During the last 12 months, did anyone break into or somehow illegally get into your	Yes - How many times?
During the last 12 months, did anyone break into or somehow illegally get into your	Nu
into or somehow illegally get into your	
(apartment/home), garage, or another building anyour property? 33. What was the total number of motor vehicles (cars, trucks, etc.) owned by	(057)
you or any other member of this household	o None -
30. (Other than the incident(s) just mentioned) Did you find a door jimmied, a lock forced, tlmes?	SKIP to 36
or any other signs of an ATTEMPTED I No	2 2
break in?	3 🔲 3
	4 🔲 4 or more
34. Did anyone steal, TRY to steal, or use (it/any of them) without permission?	Yes - How many times?
31. Was anything at all stolen that is kept outside your home, or happened to be left (it/any of them) without permission?	□ No
out, such as a licycle, a garden hose, or	
lawn furniture? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 35. Did anyone steal or TRY to steal part of (it/any of them), such as a battery,	Yes — How many times?
hubcaps, tape-deck, etc.?	□ No
INDIVIDUAL SCREEN QUESTIONS	<u> </u>
36. The following questions refer only to things that happened to you during the last 12 months — times? 46. Did you find any evidence that someone that happened to you during the last 12 months — times? ATTEMPTED to steal something that	Yes — How many times?
belonged to you? (other than any incidents	□No
Did you have your (pocket picked/purse	
snatched)?	
37. Did anyone take something (else) directly from you by using force, such as by a Yes - How many 47. Did you call the police during the last 12 months to report something that happened	i
stickup, mugging or threat? to you which you thought was a crime?	
(Do not count any calls made to the police concerning the incidents you	
have just told me about.)	
38. Did anyone TRY to rob you by using force Yes - How many No - SKIP to 48	1
or threatening to harm you? (other than	ł. -
No No	
39. Did anyone beat you up, attack you or hit Yes - How many	(OS8)
you with something, such as a rock or bottle?	-
(other than any incidents already mentioned)	_
40. Were you knifed, shot at, or attacked with Yes - How many Look at/47. Was HH member	Yes - How many
some other weapon by anyone at all? (other times?	times?
than any incidents already mentioned) OHECK was something stolen or an]
ITEM C attempt made to steal something that belonged to him?	I No
THREATEN you with a knife, gun, or some times?	<u> </u>
other weapon, NOT including telephone	
	1
mentioned) 12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other	
mentioned) 12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned)	
mentioned) 12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other	1
12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned) When because 22	
12 months which you shought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already times? Yes - How many times? No - SKIP to Check Item E	
12 months which you shought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned)	(m)
12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned) No SKIP to Check Item E Yes — What happened?	
12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned) No	(39)
12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned) No	(B) []
12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned) Yes - How many things that belonged to you from inside any car or truck, such as packages or clothing?	
12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned)	OS9 Yes How many times?
12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 43. During the last 12 months, did anyone steal things that belonged to you from inside any car or truck, such as packages or clothing? No 44. Was anything stolen from you while you were away from home, for instance at work, in a theater or restaurant, or while traveling? Yes - How many times? CHECK Check at 48. Was HH member was something stolen or an experience of work in the store or restaurant, or while traveling? CHECK Check at 48. Was HH member was something stolen or an experience of work in the store or restaurant, or while traveling? CHECK Check at 48. Was HH member was something stolen or an experience of work in the store or restaurant, or while traveling? CHECK Check at 48. Was HH member was something stolen or an experience of work in the store of the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) No	Yes—How many
12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned) No	Yes - How many times?
12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned)	Yes - How many times?
12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned) Yes - How many times? No - SKIP to Check Item E Yes - What happened? Yes - What happened? Yes - What happened? No Yes - How many times? Yes - How many times?	Yes—How many times?
12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) Yes - How many times? Ye	Yes—How many times? No ontain any entries
12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned) 43. During the last 12 months, did anyone steal things that belonged to you from inside any car or truck, such as packages or clothing? No No 44. Was anything stolen from you while you were away from home, for instance at work, in a theater or restaurant, or while traveling? No No 44. Was anything stolen from you while you were away from home, for instance at work, in a theater or restaurant, or while traveling? No No No No No No No N	Ves - How many times? No ontain any entries er. pondent,

FORM NCS-3 (8-23-73)

	' d .			PERSO	NAL CHA	RACTE	RISTICS	100		**	0	
14. NAME KEYER - BEGIN NEW RECORD	15. TYPE OF INTER- VIEW	16. LINE NUMBER (cc8)	17. RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD (cc9b)	18. AGE LAST BIRTH- DAY (cc 13)	19. MARITAL STATUS (cc 14)	20a. RACE (cc 15)	20b. ORIGIN (cc 16)	21. SEX (cc 17)	22. ARMED FORCES MEMBER (cc 18)	23. What is the high (or year) of regu you have ever at (ASK for persons Transcribe for 2:	lar school tended? : 12—24 vrs.	24. Did you complete that year (cc 20)
First	034 1 Per 2 Tel 3 NI Fill 16-21	035	036 1 Head 2 Wife of head 3 Own child 4 Other relative 5 Non-relative	<u> </u>	038 1 M. 2 Wd. 3 D. 4 Sep. 5 N M	039 1 W. 2 Neg 3 Ot.		(140) 1 □ M 2 □ F	(941) 1 Yes 2 No	042 oc	ten 8) 1 -26+)	043 1 Yes 2 No
CHECK ITEM A 250. Did you li	househousehousehousehousehousehousehouse	- SKIP	n cover page. Is it enumeration? (to Check Item B n April 1, 1970?	Box I m	e same larked) No	051)	I. Have y	ou been es	No - Wh 2 [3 [or work during the en did you last w Up to 5 years 5 or more year Never worked	ork? ago — SKIF	
State, etc	you live ession, o	on April	Countys of a city, town	. village	e. etc.?	052 052	Is there	any rec	son why Yes - 2 [3 [4 [you could not take Already has a Temporary illr Going to school	job iess ol	T WEEK?
046 d. Were you 047 1 Yes	d. Were you in the Armed Forces on April 1, 1970? 1 Yes 2 No								ization of	work? (Name of c r other employer) IP to 36	ompany,	
keeping ho	years old or old 36 Yes LAST WEEK — col) or something		654	Were yo	o mig.,	retail sho	industry is this? e store, State La	bor Dept.,	farm)			
2 With 3 Look 4 Keep 5 Going b. Did you do	1 Working - SKIP to 28a 6 Unable to work - SKIP to 28 2 With a job but not at work 7 Retired 3 Looking for work 8 Other - Specify 7 4 Keeping house 5 Going to school (If Armed Forces, SKIP to 28a b. Did you do any work at all LAST WEEK, not counting work around the house? (Note: If farm or business operator in HH,							individual for wages, salary or commissions? 2 A GOVERNMENT employee (Federal, State, county, or local)? 3 SELF-EMPLOYED in OWN business, professional practice or furm? 4 Working WITHOUT PAY in family business or farm?				
049 0 No c. Did you ha temporarily 050 1 No	Yes — Ho Yes a job o y absent o 2 Yes	ork.) ow many or busine r on layo — Absent	ss from which yo ff LAST WEEK? — SKIP to 28a	SKIP to		d. What kind of work were you doing? (For example: electrical engineer, stock clerk, typist, farmer) (056) What were your most important activities or duties? (For example: typing, keeping account books, selling cars, etc.					ctrical	
	4902702000000000000000000000000000000000	- Layoff	- SKIP to 27	IDIVIDII	AL SCRE	EN OUE		Paterson.	Name of the last o			,
36. The following of happened to you between1, you have your (questions u during t	he läst 1. and	y to things that 2 months — , 197 Did		- How many times?	46.	Did you (ATTEMP belonged	TED to to you?	evidence steal son (other th mentions	that someone nething that an any od)	Yes - H	low many lmes?
37. Did anyone tak from you by usi mugging or thre 38. Did anyone TR	ng force, at? Y to rob y	such as l	ng force	□No	- How many times? - How many	(058)	crime? (g that he Do not c ig the in	ippened to ount any cidents y	ring the last 12 m o you which you t calls made to the ou have just told	hought was	
or threatening t incidents alread 39. Did anyone bea with something, (other than any	dy mention t you up, such as	ned) attack ya a rock or	u or hit you bottle?	□ No □ Yes -	How many times?		Yes	What he	ippened?			
40. Were you knifed some other wea than any incide	l, shot at, pon by an nts alread	or attack yone at a y mention	red with II? (other ned)	=	How many times?	CHECI ITEM (att thi	acked o	r threaten n or an a	H member 12 + ed, or was some- trempt made to belonged to him?	No.	ow many mes?
41. Did anyone THI THREATEN year other weapon, N (other than any	u with a k IOT incluincidents	nife, gun ding telep already i	, or some phone threats? mentioned)	□ No	How many times?	(659)	other tha No —	n any in SK!P to	cidents a Check Ite	during the last to did NOT report ready mentioned m E	to the sol	rhich ice?
42. Did anyone TR) other way? (oth already mention 43. During the last	er than a ed)	ny incide	ets	□ No	How many times?		L Lo		ppened?_ _ Was H	H member 12 +		_
things that belo or truck, such a 44. Was anything st away from home	nged to yo s package olen from	ou from in s or clot you while	iside any car hing? e you were	□ No	How many times? How many times?	CHECK ITEM D	att thi ste	acked of ng stole al some	threaten n or an ai thing thai	ed, or was some- tempt made to t belonged to him?	□ No	mes?
45. (Other than any mentioned) Was from you during	incidents anything	vhile trav you've a (else) at	eling? Iready all stolen	No Yes No	How many times?	CHECK		No - In	nany time iterview n last resp	ext HH member, ondent, and fill i Incident Reports	End interv	lew I
FORM NC5-8 (8-23-73)												

				PERSO	NAL CHA	RACTER	ISTICS						
14. NAME	15. TYPE OF	16. LINE NUMBER	17. RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLD	18. AGE LAST BIRTH-	19. MARITAL STATUS	20a. RACE (cc 15)	20b. ORIGIN (cc 16)	21. SEX (cc 17)	22. ARMED FORCES	23. What is the highe (or year) of regul- you have ever att	ended?	24. Did you complet that year	
KEYER - BEGIN NEW RECORD	INTER- VIEW	(cc8)	(cc9b)	DAY (cc 13)	(cc 14)		1]	MEMBER (cc 18)	(ASK for persons Transcribe for 25		1 /00 201	
Last First	034 1 Per 2 Tel 3 Ning Fill 16–21	(33) 	1 Head 2 Wife of head 3 Own child 4 Other relative	(B)	038 1 M. 2 Wd. 3 D. 4 Sep. 5 N M	039 1 W. 2 Neg 3 Ot.		040 1 M 2 F	041 1 Yes 2 No	042 oo Never attend or kindergart Elem. (01–08 H.S. (09–12) College (21–	en i)	043 1 Ye 2 No	
	househ Yes	old as la - SKIP s house o	on cover page. Is st enumeration? (to Check Item B	BoxIm	e same	(651)	' □ Y	'es	No WI 2 3 4	or work during the nen did you last war last war last war last war last worked or worked	ork? ago — SKII s ^{ago} } SK	P to 286	
b. Where did you live on April 1, 1970? (State, foreign country, U.S. possession, etc.) State, etcCounty c. Did you live inside the limits of a city, town, village, etc.? Q45 1 No 2 Yes - Name of city, town, village, etc.							27. Is there any reason why you could not take a job La (052) 1 No Yes - 2 Already has a job 3 Temporary Illness 4 Going to school 5 Other - Specify						
046 L Were you			280	• For wh busine	ss, orga	ov (last) nization o	work? (Name of c or other employer)	ompany,					
d. Were you in the Armed Forces on April 1, 1970? O47 1 Yes 2 No CHECK Is this person 16 years old or older? ITEM B No - SKIP to 36 Yes							What k	ind of bu		KIP to 36 r industry is this? oe store, State La			
26a. What were you doing most of LAST WEEK — (working, keeping house, going to school) or something else? 1 Working — SKIP to 28a							2	n emplo ndividual GOVER r local)? ELF-EM ractice o	l for wage NMENT (PLOYED or farm?	PRIVATE company is, salary or comm employee (Federal in OWN business, PAY in family bus	issions? , State, co professio	ounty, onal	
049 c □ No c. Did you l temporari	Yes — I Yes — I nave a job ly absent	ork.) How many or busin or on lay	whours?	SKIP to		(056)	engine What w	er, stock ere your	most imp	rou doing? (For ex pist, farmer) ortant activities o g account books, s	r duties?	(For	
	_		ff — SKIP to 27	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>						,,	
36. The following happened to y between you have your	ou during 1. 197	the last	nly to things that	1.	UAL SCR - How mar		Did you ATTEN belonge	find an		e that someone mething that than any ned)	Yes -	How man times?	
37. Did anyone to	ke somet sing force reat?	hing (else , such as	e) directly s by a stickup,	□No	- How man times?	<u>'</u>	somethicrime?	ing that (Do not	happened count an incidents	uring the last 12 : to you which you y calls made to th you have just told	thought wo e police	as a	
or threatening incidents alre 39. Did anyone b with somethin	ady menti	oned) , attack	you or hit you	No	times? — How man	出			happened	?			
40. Were you knift some other we than any inci-	ed, shot a	it, or atto	cked with all? (other	☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	- How man	CHEC	C	attacked thing sto	or threate len or an	HH member 12 + ened, or was some attempt made to at belonged to him	☐ No	How man times?	
41. Did anyone T THREATEN other weapon (other than ar	you with a NOT inc	knife, g luding te	un, or some lephone threats?	Yes	- How man times?	y 48. (059)	you tho (other t	ught was han any – <i>SKIP</i> t	a crime, incidents o Check I		rt to the pi		
42. Did anyone T other way? (already menti	other than oned)	any inci	dents	□ No	- How man times?	\vdash			happened 48 — Was	HH member 12 +	√ Yes -	How man	
or truck, such 44. Was anything	longed to as packa stolen fro	you from ges or cl m you wh	inside any car othing? nile you were	□No	times?	ITEM	D D	attacked thing sto steal sor	or threat len or an nething th	ened, or was some attempt made to nat belonged to him een questions con	No	times?	
away from hos theater or res 45. (Other than as mentioned) Wo from you duris	ne, for in: taurant, o ny inciden as anythin	stance at r while to its you've ig (else)	work, in a aveling? a already at all stolen	□N∘	- How man	CHE	K	for "Hov	v many tir Interview if lost re		End inte	rview	
FORM NC5-3 (8-23-73					-	age 5			7777			7	

PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS												
14. NAME KEYER – BEGIN NEW RECORD	15. TYPE OF INTER- VIEW	16. LINE NUMBER (cc8)	17. RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD (cc9b)	18. AGE LAST BIRTH- DAY (cc 13)	MARITAL	20a. RACE (cc 15)	ORIGIN (cc 16)	21. SEX (cc 17)	22. ARMED FORCES MEMBER (cc 18)	23. What is the highes (or year) of regula you have ever atte (ASK for persons I Transcribe for 25+	r school inded? 12–24 yrs.	24. Did you complete that year? (cc 20)
Last First	034 1 Per 2 Tel 3 NI Fill 16-21	(035) 	036 1 Head 2 Wife of head 3 Own child 4 Other relative 5 Non-relative	(337) ———	1 M. 2 Wd.	039 1 W. 2 Neg. 3 Ot.		040 1 M 2 F	041) 1 Yes 2 No	Elem. (01–08 —	n) 26+)	043 1 Yes 2 No
044 <u>1 □ Yes</u>	househ Yes ive in thi - SKIP i you live ession, e	old as last - SKIP s house of to Check on April	on cover page. Is st enumeration? (to Check Item B on April 1, 1970? Item B 2 1, 1970? (State,	Box I m	arked)	(65)	1 <u>Π</u> Υ	e any rec	No - Wi 2 3 4 son why Yes - 2 3 4	or work during the hen did you lost we do you so years a 5 or more years. Never worked you could not take Already has a Temporary illow Going to schoo	ork? ago — SKII ago — SKII ajob LAS job ess	to 28a
(045) 1 NO (046) d. Were you (047) 1 Yes CHECK ITEM B	ts of a city, town lame of city, town es on April 1, 19 5 years old or old o 36 Yes	e, etc.	28a. For whom did you (last) work? (Name of company, business, organization or other employer) (053) × Never worked - SKIP to 36 b. What kind of business or industry is this? (For example and radio mfg., retail shoe store, State Labor Dept., for						ple: TV farm)			
26a. What were you doing most of LAST WEEK — (working, keeping house, going to school) or something else? 1 Working — SKIP to 28a 6 Unable to work—SKIP to 28 2 With a job but not at work 7 Retired 2 Looking for work 8 Other — Specify (If Armed Forces, SKIP to 28 28							2	in employ ndividual (GOVER)? local)? ELF-EM ractice of forking Wind of we	PLOYED or farm? /ITHOUT	PRIVATE company ss, salary or commi employee (Federal, in OWN business, PAY in family bus you doing? (For ex rpist, farmer)	issions? , State, co profession siness or f	unty, nal
c. Did you h	ave a job ly absent 2 Ye	or busin or on lay s — Abse	ess from which yor off LAST WEEK? off — SKIP to 28a off — SKIP to 27	on were		(SS)	What we example	ere your e: typin	most imp	portant activities o g account books, s		
36. The following happened to y between you have your	ou during 1. 197	the last	nly to things that		UAL SCRI	1	Did you ATTEN belonge	find an			Yes -	How many times?
37. Did anyone to from you by umugging or the 38. Did anyone To threatening incidents already with somethin with somethin somethin the	ke somet sing force reat? RY to rob to harm ady ment at you up g, such a	you by u you? (oth ioned) o, attack	e) directly s by a stickup, using force er than any you ar hit you or bottle?	☐ No☐ Yes☐ No	- How many times? - How many times? - How many times?	() (S8) (H)	someth crime? concern No	ing that (Do not ning the SKIP to What	happened count an incidents to 48 happened	during the last 12 m to you which you y calls made to th you have just told i?	thought we police I me about	.)
	ed, shot of capon by dents alre HREATE you with a NOT inc	at, or atto unyone at ady ment N to beat knife, g	ocked with t all? (other ioned) you up or un, or some lephone threats?	☐ Yes	s — How many times? s — How many times?	I I Em	Did any	attacked thing sto steal sor withing ho ught was than any	or threat plen or an pathing the ppen to y a crime, incidents	ened, or was some attempt made to hat belonged to him ou during the last, but did NOT reposalready mentiones	No ?! 12 months	
	RY to att other than oned) st 12 mon clonged to	ack you i any inci ths, did c	n some idents inyone steal in inside any car	∏.No	s — How man times? s — How man times?	H	CK D	Look at attacked thing sto	or threat	HH member 12 + tened, or was some attempt made to	;-l □ No	How many times?
or truck, such as packages or clothing? 44. Was anything stolen from you while you were away from home, for instance at work, in a theater or restaurant, or while traveling? 45. (Other than any incidents you've already mentioned) Was anything (else) at all stolen from you during the last 12 months?							CK P	Do any of for "How	of the scr w many ti Interview if last re	hat belonged to him een questions con	tain any e End inte item 13 o	rview

-	7 - 7 - 7		-	PERSO	NAL CH	RACTE	ISTICS	V.							
14. NAME KEYER - BEGIN	15. TYPE OF	16. LINE NUMBER	17. RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLD	18. AGE LAST BIRTH-	19. MARITAL STATUS	20a. RACE (cc 15)	20b. ORIGIN	21. SEX (cc 17)	22. ARMED FORCES	23. What is the highest grade (or year) of regular school you have ever attended?	24. Did you complet				
NEW RECORD	VIEW	(cc8)	(cc9b)	DAY (cc 13)	(cc 14)	((30 10)	(00 17)	MEMBER (cc 18)	(ASK for persons 12–24 yrs. Transcribe for 25+yrs.)(cc19)	that yea				
First	034) 1	—— ——	1 Head 2 Wife of head 3 Own child 4 Other relativ	re	038 1 M. 2 Wd. 3 D. 4 Sep.	039 1 W. 2 Neg. 3 Ot.	 	040 1 M 2 F	041) I : Yes 2 : No	042) oo Never attended or kindergarten Elem. (01-08) H.S. (09-12)	043 1 Yes 2 No				
CHECK ITEM A 250. Did you li	Look at househo	- SKIP	s Non-relative n cover page. I st enumeration? to Check Item B n April 1, 1970?	s this the	5 N M	26d (051)	Have y	ou been es	No Wh 2 [3 [College (21–26+) or work during the past 4 we en did you last work? Up to 5 years ago SKII 5 or more years ago	7				
State, etc.	you live ession, et	on April	County s of a city, town ame of city, tow	n. village	etc.?	(052)	1 No	.	Yes — 2 [3 [4 [5]	you could not take a job LAS Already has a job Temporary illness Going to school Other — Specify					
CHECK A	n the Arm 2 1	ed Force No erson 16	s on April 1, 19	70?		653	× Ne	s, organ	ked — SKI		,				
26a. What were keeping ho 1 Worki 2 With a 3 Looki	ITEM B No - SKIP to 36 Yes 26a. What were you doing most of LAST WEEK - (working, keeping house, going to school) or something else?								b. What kind of business or industry is this? (For example: 7 and radio mfg., retail shoe store, State Labor Dept., farm) (054) c. Were you — 1 An employee of a PRIVATE company, business or individual for wages, salary or commissions? 2 A GOVERNMENT employee (Federal, State, county, or least)?						
5 Going b. Did you do around the ask about to	any work house? (N inpaid wor Yes - Ho	at all Li lote: If for rk.) w many l	(If Armed Fo	counting operator	work in HH,	d.	3 SE pra 4 Wor	LF-EMP ctice or king Wi d of wor	LOYED i farm? THOUT P	n OWN business, profession AY in family business or fau doing? (For example: elections)	al :m?				
050) 1 \(\bar{\cap}\) No 2	Yes -	on layol - Absent	E LAST WEEK? - SKIP to 28a - SKIP to 27	-	AL SCRE		caumpre,	e your m typing,	ost impoi keeping	rtant activities or duties? (account books, selling cars	For , etc.)				
36. The following q happened to you between1, you have your (p	during the 197 a pocket pic	nd, ked/purs	to things that months — 197 Did e snatched)?	T	How many times?	46. L	Did you f TTEMP elonged ncidents	to you? already	steal som (other the mentione	an any No	mes?				
Did anyone take from you by usin mugging or three Did anyone TRY or threetening to	to rob you harm you	ouch as bound by using the second sec	y a stickup,	□No	How many times? How many times?	(SS)	rime? (E oncernin] No — S	o not co g the inc SKIP to	ppened to ount any c idents yo 48	ing the last 12 months to re you which you thought was calls made to the police ou have just told me about.)	port a				
39. Did anyone beat with something, (other than ony i	y mention you up, a such as a ncidents	ed) Ittack you rock or already n	or hit you bottle? nentioned)	□ No □ Yes - □ No	How many times?	Ш_	Lo	ok at 47	ppened?	H member 12 + !					
40. Were you knifed, some other weap than any inciden	on by any ts already	one at al	l? (other ed)	☐ Yes — ☐ No	How many times?	CHECK	atta thir	acked or ng stole:	threatene or an at	or was some Yes - Ho	w many nes?				
other than any i	Did anyone THREATEN to beat you up or THREATEN you with a knife, gun, or some other weapon, NOT including telephone threats? (other than any incidents already mentioned) Did anyone TRY to attack you in some						ther than No - S	ony inc	idents al heck Iter	during the last 12 months w t did NOT report to the coli ready mentioned) n E	hich ce?				
other way? (other already mentione	other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned)								pened?						
or truck, such as	ged to you packages	or cloth	side any car ing?	∐ No.	limes?	ITEM D states or threatened, or was something stolen or an attempt made to steal something that belonged to him?					w maay les?				
theater or restaus 5. (Other than any i	Was anything stolen from you while you were away from home, for instance at work, in a theater or restaurant, or while traveling? (Other than any incidents you've already mentioned) Was anything (else) at all stolen						Do for	any of the "How m No - Int	ne screen any times erview na	questions contain any entri					
from you during to ORM NCS-3 (6-23-73)	nything (e he last 12	months?	Il stolen		imes?	ITEM E	7	- 17	iast respo	ondent, and fill item 13 on co Incident Reports.	over.				

				PERSO	NAL CHAI	RACTER	ISTICS	12					
14. NAME	15. TYPE OF	16. LINE NUMBER	17. RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLD	18. AGE LAST BIRTH-	MARITAL STATUS	20a. RACE (cc 15)	20b. ORIGIN (cc 16)	21. SEX (cc 17)	22. ARMED FORCES	23.What is the highes (or year) of regular you have ever atte (ASK vor persons 1	r school nded?	24. Did you complete that year?	
KEYER - BEGIN NEW RECORD	INTER- VIEW	(cc8)	(cc9b)	DAY (cc 13)	(cc 14)			-	MEMBER (cc 18)	Transcribe for 25+	yrs.)(cc 19)	(cc 20)	
Last	(034)	(035)	(36)	(37)	038	039		(40)	(04)	(42)		043	
	1 Per		1 Head		1 🗆 M.	1 🔲 W.	İ	1 🗆 M	1 🔲 Yes	00 Never attender	d	1 🔲 Yes	
First	2 ☐ Tel 3 ☐ Nl-y		2 ☐ Wife of head 3 ☐ Own child		. — .	2 🔲 Neg. 3 🔲 Ot.	¦	2 🗆 F	2 🗌 No	Elem. (01-08)		2 🔲 No	
	Fill 16–21		4 Other relative		4 ☐ Sep.	3 <u> </u>	į			H.S. (09–12)			
		L	5 Non-relative		5 🗌 N M	T	}	<u> </u>	L	College (21-2		<u> </u>	
CHECK ITEM A 25a. Did you !i	househ [_] Yes	old as las - SKIP	n cover page. Is st enumeration? (I to Check Item B n April 1, 1970?	Box Im		(S) (S)	. Have y		No - Wi 2 3	or work during the nen did you last we less we less we less a les a less a les a less a les a less a les a less a les a less a less a less a less a less a less a les a less a less a less a less a les a les a less a les a les a les a less a les a less a les a le	ork? go — SKII		
(044) 1 ☐ Yes			27.	Is ther	e any re	ason why	you could not take	a job LAS	T WEEK?				
b. Where did U.S. poss			1, 1970? (State,	foreign	country,	052	1 🗀 N	lo		Already has a j			
State, etc			County							Temporary illne Going to school			
			ts of a city, town	villan	2	-				Other - Specify			
(045) 1 \(\sum \) No			ame of city, town										
046		7			,	280				work? (Name of co or other employer)	mpany,		
	in the Ar	med Force	es on April 1, 19	70?		1				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
047 1 □ Yes	2 [(053)	x 🗆 N	lever wo	rked - SI	CIP to 36			
CHECK A	ls this	person 16	years old or old	er?		ا ک				r industry is this?			
ITEM B		- SKIP to			·		and rac	dio mfg.,	retail sh	oe store, State Lal	or Dept.,	farm)	
			f LAST WEEK ool) or something		9,	(054)	. Were y						
(048) 1 🔲 Work	ing - SK	IP to 28a	6 Unable	to work-	-SKIP to 26		1 🗀 Å	n emplo		RIVATE company,		10	
2 With			ork 7 🔲 Retired 8 🔲 Other –		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	individual for wages, salary or commissions? 2 A GOVERNMENT employee (Federal, State, county,							
4 Keep			0 <u></u> 0 <u></u>		7	or local)?							
s 🗀 Goin	g to scho	ool	(If Armed Fo	rces, SI	KIP to 28a		3 🔲 S	ELF-EM	PLOYED	in OWN business,	professio	nal	
			AST WEEK, not				•			PAY in family bus	iness or f	arm?	
ask about	unpaid w	ork.)	farm or business'			d	. What k	ind of w	rk were	you doing? (For exc			
			hours?		28a		engine	er, stock	clerk, ty	pist, farmer)			
			ess from which yo off LAST WEEK?			(0.56)	. What w	ete Aoni	most imp	ortant activities o	duties?	/For	
(050) 1 □ No			nt — SKIP to 28a If — SKIP to 27				exampl	e: typin	g, keepin	g account books, s	elling car	s, etc.)	
	1 4 j	1.00	1	NDIVID	UAL SCRI	EN QUE	STIONS	4.7					
	ou during 1, 197	the last	12 months — , 197 Did	☐ Yes ☐ No	- How many times?	46.	ATTEM	APTED to		e that someone omething that than any ned)	Yes -	How many times?	
			rse snatched)?	-		47.				luring the last 12 m	onths to	report	
37. Did anyone to from you by us mugging or thi	sing force		by a stickup,	☐ No	times?		somethi	ing that (Do not	happened count an	to you which you t y calls made to the you have just told	hought wo	0 s 0	
38. Did anyone T or threatening incidents aire	to harm	you? (oth		☐ Yes	- How man times?	, 1058) 	☐ No	- SKIP					
39. Did anyone be with somethin	at you up	, attack			- How many	世	_						
(other than an	y inciden	ts alread	y mentioned)	☐ No		CHEC				HH member 12 +	Yes -	How many	
40. Were you knife some other we than any incid	apon by lents alre	anyone at ady ment	all? (other ioned)	☐ Yes	times?	ITEM	c 7	thing sto steal so	len or an nething ti	ened, or was some- attempt made to nat belonged to him	□ No	times?	
41. Did anyone THREATEN to beat you up or THREATEN you with a knife, gun, or some other weapon, NOT including telephone threats? (other than any incidents already mentioned)							you tho	ught was han any	a crime	ou during the last but did NOT repor already mentioned Item E	t to the p		
42. Did anyone T other way? (a already mentic	ther than			☐ Yes	- How man times?	怛	☐ Yes	- What	happened	?	,		
or truck, such	longed to as packs	you from	inside any car othing?	□No	- How many times?	ITEM	CK D	attacked thing sto	or threat	HH member 12 + ened, or was some attempt made to hat belonged to him	No	How many times?	
44. Was anything away from hon theater or res	ne, for in	stance at	work, in a	☐ Yes	- How man; times?	CHE	CK 📥	for "Ho	w many ti				
45. (Other than any incidents you've already mentioned) Was anything (else) at all stolen from you during the last 12 months?							E	_	if last re	w next HH member, espondent, and fill ime incident Repor	item 13 o		
FORM NC5-3 (8-28-78)		age 8											

0	No. 41-12001, Approval Ex				
ВІ	KEYER ~ EGIN NEW RECORD	Notes		1 (110	TICE - Your report to the Census Bureau is confidential by law tie 13, U.S. code). It may be seen only by sworn Census employees may be used only for statistical purposes.
li (iii)	ne number			FORM (8-23-73	3)
<u> </u>	reen question number				U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMINISTRATION BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
(102)	eldont everbe			}	CRIME INCIDENT REPORT
(103)	cident number			1	NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY
	· You said that during th	e last 12 months (Re	fer to	 	CENTRAL CITIES SAMPLE a. Were you a customer, employee, or owner?
	In what month (did this	stion for description of /did the first) incident essary. Encourage resp	crime).	(13)	1
(104)		incident report for a se			b. Did the person(s) steal or TRY to steal anything from the store, restaurant, office, factory, etc.?
105	CHECK A 1 DN	o - SKIP to 2 es - (Note: series mus more similar incid respondent can't rec	st have 3 or lents which	(14)	1 Yes 2 No 3 Don't Ksjow SKIP to Check Item B Did the offender(s) live there or have a right to be
ь.	In what month(s) did the (Mark all that apply)	ese incidents take place	•?	1_	there, such as a guest or a workman?
106	1 Spring (March, Apr	il, May)		(113)	1 ☐ Yes — SKIP to Check Item B
	2 Summer (June, Jul 3 Fall (September, (october, November)			₃ ☐ Don't know
(107)	4 Winter (December, How many incidents we 1 Three or four		ıs?	116	b. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just TRY to get in the building? 1 Actually got in
	2 Five to ten 3 Eleven or more		1 1		2 Dust tried to get in
	4 Don't know		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ء	. Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or broken
	INTERVIEWER — If ser only to the most recent	incident,	tions refer	*	window, that the offender(s) (forced his way in/TRIED to force his way in) the building?
2.	About what time did (thi incident happen?	s/the most recent)		(11)	1 No Yes — What was the evidence? Anything else?
(108)	1 Don't know 2 During the day (6	a.m. to 6 p.m.)			(Mark all that apply) 2 Broken lock or window
	At night (6 p.m. to 3 \(\) 6 p.m. to mid	6 a.m.)		1	3 ☐ Forced door or window (or tried) SKIP
	4 Midnight to 6 5 Don't know	a.m.			4 ☐ Slashed screen 5 ☐ Other — Specify ☐ Item B
_	Did this incident take parties of somewhere else	lace inside the limits o	of this	1	J
(109)	1 Inside limits of the 2 Somewhere else in	s city - SKIP to 4		(118) d.	How did the offender(s) (get in/try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window
	3 Outside the United	States - END INCIDE			2 🔲 Had key
b.	In what State and count	did this incident occu	r?		3
	County			(119)	Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this
(110)	Did it happen inside the	limits of a city, town,	village, etc.?		INCIDENT INCIDENT OF SKIP to 13a
<u> </u>	2 Yes - Enter name	of city, town, etc.	· - 1		2 ☐ Yes
<u> </u>				7a.	Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife,
112	Where did this incident At or in own dwell other building on p break-in or attempt	ng, in garage or roperty (includes	KIP to 6a	*	or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 1 \[\sum \text{No} \] 2 \[\sum \text{Don't know} \]
	2 At or in vacation h	ome, hotel/motel			Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply)
	a Inside commercial store, restaurant, l public conveyance	oank, gas station, or station	ISK ia		3 ☐ Gun 4 ☐ Knife
	4 ☐ Inside office, factors ☐ Near own home; ya	rd, sidewalk,		L	5 Other - Specify
	driveway, carport, (Does not include attempted break-in	apartment hall break-in or	KIP	(121)	Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually attack you in some other way? 1 Yes — SKIP to 7f
	6 On the street, in a ground, school gro	park, field, play- to	Check tem B	w)	2 No
	7 Inside school 8 Other - Specify			(122)	Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way? 1 No - SKIP to 7e
		J			2 Yes

	CRIME	INCI	IT QUESTIONS Continued			
* 7d.	How were you threatened? Any other way?		9Ь.	Did you file a claim with any of the in order to get part or all of your i		
(23)	(Mark all that apply) 1 Verbal threat of rape	132)	i No - SKIP to 10a	mearcu	ii expenses paid:
	2 Verbal threat of attack other	1		2 Tes		
	than rape 3 Weapon present or threatened	l	· c.	Did insurance or any health benef	its pro	gram pay for all or part of
	with weapon (SKIP	(133)) ,	the total medical expenses?		
1	4 Attempted attack with weapon 10a			2 None SKIP to	10a	
1	(for example, shot at) 5 Object thrown at person			3 ☐ All	-	
-	6 Followed, surrounded			4 Part How much did insurance or a heal	Al. Las	-fi4
	7 Other - Specify	(134)				estimate, if necessary)
l .	What a shall be be and A making plea?	⊦∺				or your property during the incident?
* *	What actually happened? Anything else? (Mark all that apply)	(135)		1 No - SKIP to II		
124	1 Something taken without			2 Yes		
_	permission 2 Attempted or threatened to	*		What did you do? Anything else?		all that apply) Threatened, argued, reasoned,
	take something	1(136	, .	Used/brandished gun or knife Used/tried physical force (hit,		etc. with offender
	3 Harassed, argument, abusive language			chased, threw object, used other	er	s Resisted without force, used
	4 Forcible entry or attempted SKIF	ŀ		weapon, etc.) 3 [Tried to get help, attract attent	ion.	evasive action (ran/drove away, hid, held property, locked door,
1	forcible entry of house 5 Fortible entry or attempted 10a			scare offender away (screamed,		ducked, shielded self, etc.) 6 Other-
	ent. y of car			yelled, called for help, turned of lights, etc.)	on	Specify
	6 Damaged or destroyed property		11.	Was the crime committed by only		
}	7 Attempted or threatened to damage or destroy property	(137))	1 Only one Z Do	n'tkno <i>IP</i> to I	
	B ☐ Other — Specify 7		a.	Was this person male		How many persons?
1					143	<u></u>
	How did the person(s) attack you? Any	138	,	1 Male		Were they male or female?
. "	other way? (Mark all that apply)				144)	1 All male
(125)	1 Raped			3 Don't know		2 All female 3 Male and female
	2 Tried to rape		Ь	How old would you say		4 Don't know
	3 Hit with object held in hand, shot, knifed 4 Hit by thrown object			the person was?	h.	How old would you say the
	s Hit, slapped, knocked down	139) .	1 Under 12	145)	youngest was? 1 Under 12 5 21 or over —
	 Grabbed, held, tripped, jumped, pushed, etc. 			2 12-14		2 12-14 SKIP to j
	7 Other - Specify			3 15-17		3 ☐ 15—17 6 ☐ Don't know
80.	What were the injuries you suffered, if any?	1		4 🔲 18–20		4 18-20 How old would you say the
*	Anything else? (Mark all that apply)		24	5 21 or over		oldest was?
126	1				146)	1 Under 12 4 18-20
	3 Attempted rape		Ç.	Was the person someone you knew or was he a stranger?		2 12-14 5 21 or over 3 15-17 6 Don't know
	4 Knife or gunshot wounds	(140	١.	1 Stranger		
	5 Broken bones or teeth knocked out 6 Internal injuries, knocked unconscious			2 Don't know	1.	Were any of the persons known or related to you or were they
	7 Bruises, black eye, cuts, scratches, swelling			SKIP		all strangers?
	8 Other - Specify			sight only to e	147)	All strangers SKIP to m
b	Were you injured to the extent that you needed medical attention after the attack?	1		4 Casual		3 All relatives SKIP
(127)	1 ☐ No — SKIP to 10a			acquaintance /		4 Some relatives to I
_	2 Yes	1	٠.	5 Well known		5 All known 6 Some known
(128)	Did you receive any treatment at a hospital?		d	Was the person a relative of yours?	k.	How well were they known?
	2 Emergency room treatment only	141)	1 T No	*	(Mark all that apply)
	3 Stayed overnight or longer —	۳	•	Yes — What relationship?	148)	1 By sight only 2 Casual SKIP
	How many days? 7			2 Spouse or ex-spouse		acquaintance(s) to m
129				3 Parent		3 Well known
1	What was the total amount of your medical	1.		4 🔲 Own child	, l.	How were they related to you? (Mark all that apply)
1	expenses resulting from this incident, INCLUD- ING anything paid by insurance? Include hospital			s Brother or sister	(149)	1 Spouse or 4 Brothers/
	and doctor bills, medicine, therapy, braces, and	1		6 Other relative -	-	ex-spouse sisters
	any other injury related medical expenses. INTERVIEWER — If respondent does not know	1		Specify		2 Parents 5 Other — 3 Own Specify 2
	exact amount, encourage him to give an estimate.					children
(130)	o No cost – SKIP to 10a	1				W. H. C.L.
	x Don't know		e	Was he/she -	_	Were all of them —
90	At the time of the incident, were you covered	(142) 1	1 White?	150)	2 Negro?
1 70	by any medical insurance, or were you eligible	_		2 Negro?		3 Other? - Specify
	for benefits from any other type of health benefits program, such as Medicaid, Veterans'	1		3 Other? -Specify SKIP		
(11)	Administration, or Public Welfare?			120		4 Combination - Specify
	2 Don't know SKIP to 10a					
	3 ☐ Yes	L		4 Don't know	· · · ·	5 Don't know
FORM NO						

1.0	CRIME INCIDENT O	QUESTIONS - Continued
1	12a. Were you the only person there besides the offender(s)	Was a car or other motor vehicle taken?
(151)	1 Yes - SKIP to 13a	CHECK (Box 3 or 4 marked in 13f)
1 -	2 No	ITEM D No - SKIP to Check Item E
	b. How many of these persons were robbed, harmed, or	Yes
	threatened? Do not include persons under 12 years of age.	14a. Had permission to use the (car/motor vehicle) ever been
152	o ☐ None — SKIP to 13a	given to the person who took it?
	No. 1 am a	(16) No SKIP to Check Item E
	Number of persons c. Were any of these persons members of your household?	2 Don't know
	Do not include household members under 12 years of age.	3 Yes
(153)	o No Yes − How many, not counting yourself?	b. Did the person return the (car/motor vehicle)?
		162 1 ☐ Yes
<u> </u>	(Also mark "Yes" in Check Item I on page 12)	2 No
1	3a. Was something stolen or taken without permission that belonged to you or others in the household?	Is Box I or 2 marked in I3f?
	INTERVIEWER — Include anything stolen from	CHECK No - SKIP to 15a
	unrecognizable business in respondent's home. Do not include anything stolen from a recognizable	ITEM E Yes
1	business in respondent's home or another business, such	
(154)	as merchandise or cash from a register. 1 Yes - SKIP to 13f	c. Was the (purse/wallet/money) on your person, for instance,
	2 No	in a pocket or being held by you when it was taken? (163) 1 Yes
-	b. Did the person(s) ATTEMPT to take something that	(163) 1 ☐ Yes 2 ☐ No
(155)	belonged to you or others in the household? 1 □ No — SKIP to /3e	
	2 Yes	Was only cash taken? (Box 0 marked in 13f)
	c. What did they try to take? Anything else?	ITEM F
*	(Mark all that apply)	/ □ No
(156)	2 Wallet or money	15a. Altogether, what was the value of the PROPERTY
-	з Car	that was taken?
	4 Other motor vehicle	INTERVIEWER — Exclude stolen cosh, and enter \$0 for stolen checks and credit cards, even if they were used.
	5 Part of car (hubcap, tape-deck, etc.)	164
1	6 Don't know 7 Other — Specify	
		b. How did you decide the value of the property that was * stolen? (Mark all that apply)
	CHECK Did they try to take a purse, wallet, or money? (Box 1 or 2 marked in 13c)	165 1 Original cost
	ITEM C No - SKIP to 18a	2 Replacement cost
.	Yes	3 Personal estimate of current value
	d. Was the (purse/wallet/money) on your person, for	4 Insurance report estimate 5 Police estimate
	instance in a pocket or being held?	6 Don't know
(157)	Yes SKIP to 18a	7 Other — Specify
	c. What did happen? (Mark all that apply)	
158	1 Attacked	16a. Was all or part of the stolen money or property recovered,
	2 Threatened with harm	except for anything received from insurance?
	3 Attempted to break into house or garage	(166) 1 None SKIP to 17g
	4 Attempted to break into car SKIP	2 AII SKIP to 1/9 3 Part
1	5 Harassed, argument, abusive language to to 180	b. What was recovered?
	7 Attempted or threatened to damage or	
1.	destroy property	(167) Cash: \$
-	a Other - Specify	and/or * Property: (Mark all that apply)
1	<u> </u>	(168) 0 Cash only recovered — SKIP to 17a
	f. What was taken? What else?	t Purse
(159)	Cash: \$00	2 门 Wallet
-	and/or	3 Car
*	Property: (Mark all that apply)	4 Other motor vehicle 5 Part of car (hubcap, tape-deck, etc.)
(160)	o Only cash taken - SKIP to 14c	
	1 Purse 2 Wallet	6 Other - Specify
	₃ ☐ Car	
	4 Other motor vehicle	c. What was the value of the property recovered (excluding
	5 Part of car (hubcap, tape-deck, etc.)	recovered cash)?
	6 Other - Specify	(169 s, 000
FORM	NC5-4 (8-23-73) P	age II

	CRIME INCIDENT	QUESTIONS - Continued
17	Ta. Was there any insurance against theft?	20a. Were the police informed of this incident in any way?
(170)	1 □ No)	(81) 1 ☐ No 2 ☐ Don't know — SKIP to Check Item G
<u> </u>	1 No SKIP to 18a	Yes — Who told them?
		3 Household member
	3 TYes	4 ☐ Someone else 5 ☐ Police on scene SKIP to Check Item G
	b. Was this loss reported to an insurance company?	b. What was the reason this incident was not reported to
127	1 □ No	* the police? (Mark all that apply)
	2 Don't know SKIP to 18a	1 Nothing could be done — lack of proof 2 Did not think it important enough
	¬ yes	3 Police wouldn't want to be bothered
ľ	c. Was any of this loss recovered through insurance?	4 Did not want to take time — too inconvenient
۱		5 Private or personal matter, did not want to report it
(172)	Not yet settled SKIP to 18a	7 Afraid of reprisal
	2 No	8 Reported to someone else
	з 🔲 Yes	9 Other — Specify
	d. How much was recovered?	CHECK NO - SKIP to Check Item H
1	INTERVIEWER - If property replaced by insurance	Yes - ASK 21a
	company instead of cash settlement, ask for estimate	21a. Did you have a job at the time this incident happened?
1	of value of the property replaced.	1 No - SKIP to Check Item H
1	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	b. What was the job?
(73)	s 00	(186) 1 Same as described in NCS-3 Items 28a-e - SKIP to
18	a. Did any household member lose any time from work	Check Item H
	because of this incident?	2 Different than described in NCS-3 items 28a-e
(174)	o No - SKIP to 19a	 For whom did you work? (Name of company, business, organization or other employer)
'	Yes — How many members? 7	
		d. What kind of business or industry is this? (For example: TV
1		and radio mfg., retail shoe store, State Labor Dept., farm)
	b. How much time was lost altogether?	[187]
(175)	1 🔲 Less than I day	e. Were you — 1 An employee of a PRIVATE company, business or
	2	individual for wages, salary or commissions?
	3	2 A GOVERNMENT employee (Federal, State, county or local)?
	4 Over 10 days	3 SELF-EMPLOYED in OWN business, professional practice or farm?
	5 ☐ Don't know	4 Working WITHOUT PAY in family business or farm?
19	a. Was anything damaged but not taken in this incident?	f. What kind of work were you doing? (For example: electrical
	For example, was a lock or window broken, clothing damaged, or damage done to a car, etc.?	engineer, stock clerk, typist, former)
		189
(176)	1 ☐ No — \$KIP to 20a	g. What were your most important activities or duties? (For example:
	2 Yes	typing, keeping account books, selling cars, finishing concrete, etc.)
1 200	b. (Was/were) the damaged item(s) repaired or replaced?	BRIEFLY summarize this incident or series
\overline{w}	1 Tyes - SKIP to 19d	CHECK of incidents.
1	2 No	ITEM H
	c. How much would it cost to repair or regiace the	
	damaged item(s)?	
(178)	\$ 00 }	
	SKIP to 20a	Look at 12c on Incident Report. Is there an
	d. How much was the repair or replacement cost?	CHECK entry for "How many?"
1 —		Yes - Be sure you have an Incident Report
(179)	x \(\text{No cost or don't know - SKIP to 20a}	for each HH member 12 years of age or over who was robbed, harmed, or
٠.		threatened in this incident.
	\$ 223	Is this the last Incident Report to be
	e. Who paid or will pay for the repairs or replacement?	CHECK filled for this person?
*	(Mark all that apply)	Yes — Is this the last HH member
180	r ☐ Household member	to be interviewed? No - Interview next HH member.
	2 Landlord	Yes - END ENTERVIEW, Enter
	₃ ☐ Insurance	total number of Crime Incident Reports filled for
	4 Other - Specify	this household in Item 13
L	- L Jouier - Specify	on the cover of NCS-3.

Line number		o. 41-R2661; Approval Ex KEYER GIN NEW RECORD	Notes	(Title	CE - Your report to the Census Burgau is confidential by law e 13, U.S. code). It may be seen only by sworn Census employees
Incident number Incide	Line			1	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
10. You said that during the last 12 months — (Refer to oppropriote screen question for description of crime). In what mean hidd that sold that fersh is incident happen? (In the mean hidd that sold that fersh is incident happen? (In the mean hidd that sold that fersh is incident the poor of the mean hidd that sold that fersh is incident the poor of the mean hidd that sold	Incident number			CRIME INCIDENT REPORT NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY	
In what meath (did this/did the first) incident happen? Now many incident were involved in this series?	<u> </u>			1	Were you a customer, employee, or owner?
Is this incident report for a series of crimes? I		(Show ilashcard if nec			2 Employee 3 Owner
TIEM A	104			4	Did the person(s) steal or TRY to steal anything from the store, restaurant, office, factory, etc.?
In what month(s) did these incidents take place?	105)	CHECK	No — SKIP to 2 Yes — (Note: series must have 3 or more similar incidents which	(14)	2 ☐ No SKIP to Check Item B
1 Spring (March, April, May) 2 Summer (June, July, August) 3 Fall (September, October, November) 4 Winter (December, January, February) 1 Three or four 2 Five to ten 3 Eleven or more 4 Don't know Interview Eleven or more 4 Don't know Don'	b.	In what month(s) did to (Mark all that apply)		1	there, such as a guest or a workman?
Now many incidents were involved in this series?	(106)	2 Summer (June, June, Ju	ily, August) October, November)		3 Don't know Did the offender(s) actually get in or just TRY to get
INTERVIEWER — If series, the following questions refer only to the most recent incident. 2. About what time did (this/the most recent) incident happen? 108		1 Three or four 2 Five to ten 3 Eleven or more	ere involved in this series?		i Actually got in 2 Just tried to get in
108 1		only to the most recen	t incident.] *	window, that the offender(s) (forced his way in/TRIED to force his way in) the building?
ity or somewhere else? Inside limits of this city - SKIP to 4 2 Somewhere else in the United States 3 Outside the United States - END INCIDENT REPORT 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had key 3 Don't know 4 Other - Specify Was any member of this household incident occurred? (If not sure, A I No - SKIP to I3a 2 Yes Yes - Enter name of city, town, etc. 7 1 No 2 Yes - Enter name of city, town, etc. 7 1 No 2 Yes 2 At or in own dwelling, in garage or other building on property (Includes break-in or ottempted break-in) 2 At or in vacation home, hotel/motel 3 Inside commercial building such as store, restaurant, bank, gas station, public conveyance or station 4 Inside office, factory, or warehouse 5 Near own home; yard, sidewalk, driveway, carport, apartment hall (Does not include break-in or attempted break-in) 5 Near own home; yard, sidewalk, driveway, carport, apartment hall (Does not include break-in or attempted break	_	incident happen? 1 Don't know 2 During the day (6 At night (6 p.m. t 3 6 p.m. to mi 4 Midnight to	5 a.m. to 6 p.m.) to 6 a.m.) Idnight		2 Broken lock or window 3 Forced door or window (or tried) 4 Slashed screen SKIP to Check
b. In what State and county did this incident occur? State County C. Did it happen inside the limits of a city, town, village, etc.? 110 1 No 2 Yes - Enter name of city, town, etc. 111 At or in own dwelling, in garage or other building on property (Includes break-in or attempted break-in) 1 Inside office, factory, or warehouse S Near own home; yard, sidewalk, driveway, carport, apartment hall (Does not include break-in) SKIP to 6a 1 Inside office, factory, or warehouse S Near own home; yard, sidewalk, driveway, carport, apartment hall (Does not include break-in or attempted break-in) SKIP to 6a 1 Inside office, factory, or warehouse S Near own home; yard, sidewalk, driveway, carport, apartment hall (Does not include break-in or attempted break-in) SKIP to 6a SKIP to 6a 1 Inside office, factory, or warehouse S Near own home; yard, sidewalk, driveway, carport, apartment hall (Does not include break-in or attempted break-in) SKIP to 6a 1 Inside office, factory, or warehouse S Near own home; yard, sidewalk, driveway, carport, apartment hall (Does not include break-in or attempted break-in) SKIP to 6a SKIP to 6a 1 Inside office, factory, or warehouse S Near own home; yard, sidewalk, driveway, carport, apartment hall (Does not include break-in or attempted		city or somewhere els	e? his city — SKIP to 4		
County ь.				3 Don't know	
7a. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench? 1 At or in own dwelling, in garage or other building on property (Includes break-in or attempted break-in) 2 At or in vacation home, hotel/motel 3 Inside commercial building such as store, restaurant, bank, gas station, public conveyance or station 4 Inside office, factory, or warehouse 5 Near own home; yard, sidewalk, driveway, carport, apartment hall (Does not include break-in or attempted break-in) 5 On the street, in a park, field, play- 5 On the street, in a park, field, play-		County Did it happen inside t		7	ITEM B 1 No - SKIP to 13a
other building on property (includes break-in) 2 At or in vacation home, hotel/motel 3 Inside commercial building such as store, restaurant, bank, gas station, public conveyance or station 4 Inside office, factory, or warehouse 5 Near own home; yard, sidewalk, driveway, carport, apartment hall (Does not include break-in or attempted break-in) 5 On the street, in a park, field, play- 5 On the street, in a park, field, play-	<u></u>	Where did this inciden	it take place?	1.	
b. Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actuation of the person		other building on break-in or attem 2	property (Includes pted break-in) all building such as bank, gas station, see or station		2 Don't know Yes — What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply) 3 D Gun 4 Knife
s ☐ On the street, in a park, field, play- to Check		s Near own home; driveway, carpor (Does not includ	yard, sidewalk, t, apartment hall e break-in or		Did the person(s) hit you, 'snock you down, or actually attack you in some other way?
7 Inside school B Other - Specify Other - Specify No - SKIP to 7e		ground, school g	a park, field, play- rounds or parking lot tem B	_ c.	z No Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way?

	CRIME	INCIE	EN	IT QUESTIONS - Contin	nued ,		
7d.	How were you threatened? Any other way?		9Ь.				nsurance companies or programs
(123)	(Mark all that apply) 1 [] Verbal threat of rape	(132)		in order to get part or a 1 No - SKIP to 10a		medic	al expenses paid?
	2 Verbal threat of attack other			2 Yes			
	than rape	ì			salah han	dia ne	area and for all or and of
	3 Weapon present or threatened SKIP	_		the total medical expen		erirs pri	ogram pay for all or part of
	with weapon 4 Attempted attack with weapon	(133)		1 Not yet settled			
	(for example, shot at)	l		2 [None }	SKIP to	o 10a	
	5 1 Object thrown at person			3 All			
	6 Followed, surrounded		٦.	4 Part How much did insuranc	e or a he	alth ber	nefits program pay?
	7 Other - Specify	(134)					estimate, if necessary)
j	WII	-	00.				or your property during the incident?
e.	What actually happened? Anything else? (Mark all that apply)	(13)	•••	1 No - SKIP to !!	p. 5, 5, 5		or your property during me including
(124)	Something taken without			2 Yes			
	permission	*	ь.	What did you do? Anyth	hing else	? (Mar	k all that apply)
1	2 Attempted or threatened to take something	[133		1 Used/brandished gur			4 Threatened, argued, reasoned, etc. with offender
1	3 Harassed, argument, abusive	1		2 Used/tried physical chased, threw object			s Resisted without force, used
1	language	l .		weapon, etc.)			evasive action (ran/drove away,
1	Forcible entry or attempted forcible entry of house			3 Tried to get help, at			hid, held property, locked door, ducked, shielded self, etc.)
	s Forcible entry or attempted 10a	1		scare offender away yelled, called for he			6 Other-
1	entry of car	<u> </u>		lights, etc.)		·	Specify
	a Damaged or destroyed property Attempted or threatened to		1.	Was the crime committe			
	damage or destroy property	[13]		1 ☐ Only one ₹		on't kn KIP to	
)	B [] Other - Specify	l	a,	Was this person male			How many persons?
1		_		or female?		143	
	<u> </u>	138		1 Male		1 —	Were they male or female?
f.	How did the person(s) attack you? Any			2 🔲 Female		(144)	1 All male
الله ا	other way? (Mark all that apply) 1 Raped			з 🔲 Don't know	-		2 All female
(123)	2 Tried to rape	1	L	How old would you say			3 Male and female
1	3 Hit with object held in hand, shot, knifed			the person was?	-	Ì.	4 Don't know
ļ	4 Hit by thrown object	(139)		ı 🗍 Under 12	•	h•	How old would you say the youngest was?
]	5 Hit, slapped, knocked down	100		2 12-14		145	1 Under 12 5 21 or over -
	6 Grabbed, held, tripped, jumped, pushed, etc.	1					2 12-14 SKIP to j
	7 Other - Specify	١.		3 [] 15–17			3 15_17 6 Don't know
	What were the injuries you suffered, if any?			4 🔲 18–20			4 [] 18–20
*	Anything else? (Mark all that apply)	ĺ		5 2l or over			How old would you say the oldest was?
126	1 None - SKIP to 10a	1		6 Don't know		(146)	1 Under 12 4 18-20
	2 Raped	1	¢.	Was the person someon			2 12-14 5 21 or over
1 .	3 Attempted rape 4 Knife or gunshot wounds			knew or was he a strang	ger?		3
1	s Broken bones or teeth knocked out	(140)		1 Stranger		j.	Were any of the persons known
1	6 Internal injuries, knocked unconscious	l		2 Don't know	ću:~		or related to you or were they all strangers?
	7 Bruises, black eye, cuts, scratches, swelling			3 CHOMILDA	SKIP to e	(147)	1 All strangers SKIP
.	B Other - Specify Were you injured to the extent that you needed	}		Signt only			2 Don't know to m
b.	medical attention after the attack?	l		4 Casual			3 All relatives SKIP
(127)	1 No - SKIP to 10a			5 Well known			4 ☐ Some relatives ∫ to l
_	2 Yes]					6 Some known
	Did you receive any treatment at a hospital?	Í	d.	. Was the person a relative of yours?	ve .	k.	How well were they known?
(128)	2 Emergency room treatment only	(4)		1 [] No		*	(Mark all that apply)
1	3 Stayed overnight or longer —	۳		Yes - What relation	onshin?	148	1 By sight only
	How many days?			2 Spouse or ex	•		2 Casual SKIP to m
129				3 Parent			3 Well known
	What was also assal and a second a second and a second and a second and a second and a second an					ı.	How were they related to you?
d.	What was the total amount of your medical expenses resulting from this incident, INCLUD-			4 Own child		*	(Mark all that apply)
	ING anything paid by insurance? Include hospital			5 🔲 Brother or si		(149)	1 Spouse or 4 Brothers/ ex-spouse sisters
1 - 1	and doctor bills, medicine, therapy, braces, and any other injury related medical expenses.			6 Other relative Specify 7	/e		2 Parents 5 Other -
ļ	INTERVIEWER - If respondent does not know			Specify			3 ☐ Own Specify ₹
	exact amount, encourage him to give an estimate.						children
(130)	0 No cost - SKIP to 10a		(4				Was all of all a
}	S Don't know		e.	. Was he/she -			Were all of them — 1 White?
0.		(142)		1 White?) "	(150)	2 Negro?
1	At the time of the incident, were you covered by any medical insurance, or were you eligible	_		2 Negro?	1		3 Other? - Specify
(4)	for benefits from any other type of health			3 Other? -Specify	SKIP		
	benefits program, such as Medicaid, Veterans' Administration, or Public Welfare?			S Comer - Specify	to 12a	1	4 Combination - Specify
(131)	I No SKIP to 10g) '2"		
1	2 0011 (1011011)	1		4 Don't know	}		5 Don't know
EOBH NO	3 Yes	<u> </u>			<u></u>		
- CUM MC	- · · · - · · · · · · ·			Page 14			

	CRIME INCI	IDENT QU	IONS — Continued	\$
1 -	2a. Were you the only person there besides the offende	er(s)		ther motor vehicle taken?
(131)	1	- 1	CHECK (Box 3 or 4 mg	
			, –	to Check Item E
-	b. How many of these persons were robbed, harmed, of threatened? Do not include persons under 12 year	or	☐ Yes	
	of age.	·	14a. Had permission to use the (ca	
(152)	o None - SKIP to 13a		given to the person who took i	t? .
	———— Number of persons		1 No SKIP to	Check Item &
	c. Were any of these persons members of your househ	rold?	3 Yes	
	Do not include household members under 12 years o No	of age.	b. Did the person return the (car,	/
(133)	Yes — How many, not counting yourself?	- 1,		motor venicie/:
		(1 Tes	
-	(Also mark "Yes" in Check Item I on page		2 No	
	3a. Was something stolen or taken without permission belonged to you or others in the household?	that	Is Box I or 2	marked in 13f?
1	INTERVIEWER - Include anything stolen from		CHECK No - SKIP	to 15a
	unrecognizable business in respondent's home. Do not include anything stolen from a recognizable	.	ITEM E Yes	
ĺ	business in respondent's home or another business	s, such	7 1103	
(154)	as merchandise or cash from a register.	- [c. Was the (purse/wallet/money)	on your person, for instance,
	2 No	Į,	in a pocket or being held by y	ou when it was taken!
-	b. Did the person(s) ATTEMPT to take something that	(1 Yes	
(155)	belonged to you or others in the household?		2 🔲 No	
اس	1 No - SKIP to /3e 2 Yes	1		taken? (Box 0 marked in 13f)
-{	·		CHECK Yes - SKI	P to 16a
	c. What did they try to take? Anything else? (Mark all that apply)	1	™ T □ No	
(156)	1 Purse		IE- Alamaka wasan alamata	(DDODEDTY
	2 Wallet or money	Į	ISa. Altogether, what was the valu that was teken?	a of the PROPERTY
İ	3 Car	- 1	INTERVIEWER - Exclude st	olen cash, and enter \$0 for
	4 Other motor vehicle 5 Part of car (hubcap, tape-deck, etc.)	1	stolen checks and credit cards	s, even if they were used.
-	6 Don't know		s 00.	
1.	7 Other - Specify		b. How did you decide the value	of the property that was
	Did they try to take a purse, walle		stolen? (Mark all that apply)	or the property that was
	CHECK or money? (Box I or 2 marked in I		1 Original cost	
1	ITEM C No - SKIP to 18a	1	2 Replacement cost	
	Yes		Personal estimate of cur Insurance report estimate	
[d. Was the (purse/wallet/money) on your person, for		5 Police estimate	
1_	instance in a pocket or boing held?	- 1	6 Don't know	
[157]	SKIP to 18a		7 Other - Specify	
1	2 No)			
	e. What did happen? (Mark all that apply)	- 1	Ko Woodland	
(158)	1 Attacked 2 Threatened with harm		loa. Was all or part of the stolen mexcept for anything received f	ioney or property recovered, rom insurance?
	3 Attempted to break into house or garage	10	, [] None]	
- [4 Attempted to break into car	1	2 All SKIP to 17a	
	s Harassed, argument, abusive language	SKIP	з 🔲 Part	
	6 Damaged or destroyed property	180	b. What was recovered?	
	7 Attempted or threatened to damage or destroy property			
1	a Other - Specify	- 1	Cash; \$	
. .			Property: (Mark all that apply)	
		(o Cash only recovered - S	
	f. What was taken? What else?		1 🔲 Purse	
(159)	Cash: \$	1	2 Wallet	
	and/or	1	3 Car	
	Property: (Mark all that apply)		4 Other motor vehicle 5 Part of car (hubcap, tape	Heck etc.)
(69)	o Only cash taken — SKIP to 14c	1	a First time of car funncah! (abs	work, Gite)
	1 Purse 2 Wallet		6 Other - Specify	
	3 Car			
	4 Other motor vehicle		c. What was the value of the prop	erty recovered feveluding
1	5 Part of car (hubcap, tape-deck, etc.)	1	recevered cash)?	And instituted favorability
	s ☐ Other — Specify			
	77.7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tarian da di kacamatan da da da da da da da da da da da da da
FORM	NCS-4 (8-28-78)	Pag		1 P

CRIME I	ICIDENT QUESTIONS - Continued	15 21
17a. Was there any insurance against theft?	20a. Were the police informed of this inc	ident in any way?
1 □ No } SKIP to 18a	(181) 1 No 2 Don't know - SKIP to Check I	tem G
2 Don't know	Yes — Who told them? 3 Household member	
3 ☐ Yes	_	KIP to Check Item G
b. Was this loss reported to an insurance company	5 Police on scene	
(7) 1 No	b. What was the reason this incident w the police? (Mark all that apply)	as not reported to
2 Don't know SKIP to 18a	1 Nothing could be done - lack	of proof
	2 Did not think it important enou	
3 Tes	3 ☐ Police wouldn't want to be bo	
c. Was any of this loss recovered through insurance	5 Private or personal matter, did	not want to report it
172) I Not yet settled SKIP to 18a	6 Did not want to get involved 7 Afraid of reprisal	
2 No	B Reported to someone else	
3 ☐ Yes	9 Other - Specify Is this person 16 year	rs or older?
d. How much was recovered?	CHECK ITEM G No - SKIP to Che	
INTERVIEWER — If property replaced by insur	ince Tes - ASK 210	·
company instead of cash settlement, ask for est of value of the property replaced.	Control of the state of the sta	ncident happened?
and the state of the breaking and the state of the state	(183) 1 No - SKIP to Check Item H	
	b. What was the job?	
(173) \$	1 Same as described in NCS-3 it	ems 28a-e - SKIP to Check Item H
18a. Did any household member lose any time from w because of this incident?	ork 2 ☐ Different than described in NC	
(174) 0 □ No - SKIP to 19a	c. For whom did you work? (Name of co	ompany, business,
Yes — How many members? —	organization or other employer)	
	J WI. 11. 1 . 1	11: 3: 75
<u> </u>	d. What kind of business or industry is and radio mfg., retail shoe store, Sto	ite Labor Dept., farm)
b. How much time was lost altogether?	189	
(175) 1 🗌 Less than I day	e. Were you -	
2 □ I –5 days	1 An employee of a PRIVATE co individual for wages, salary or	mpany, business or commissions?
3	2 A GOVERNMENT employee (Fede	
4 Over 10 days	3 SELF-EMPLOYED in OWN bus practice or farm?	iness, professional
5 Don't know	4 Working WITHOUT PAY in fam	ily business or farm?
19a. Was anything damaged but not taken in this Inci	lent? f. What kind of work were you doing? (For example: electrical
For example, was a lock or window broken, clot damaged, or damage done to a car, etc.?	ing engineer, stock clerk, typist, farmer	
(176) 1 No - SKIP to 20a	(189)	·
2 ☐ Yes	g. What were your most important activit typing, keeping account books, selling of	
b. (Was/were) the damaged item(s) repaired or repl		
	BRIEFLY summarize	this incident or series
	CHECK of incidents.	
2 No	ITEM H	
c. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damaged item(s)?		
and the second s		
(178) S		
SKIP to 20a		nt Report. Is there an
	CHECK entry for "How cany?	"
d. How much was the repair or replacement cost?	7 ☐ Yes — Be sure you	i have an incident Report
(179) × No cost or don't know — SKIP to 20a	for each Hi or over who	I member 12 years of age was robbed, harmed, or
		in this incident.
•	Is this the last incide filled for this person?	
 Who paid or will pay for the repairs or replacements. (Mark all that apply) 	ITEM I No - Go to next	Incident Report.
*	Yes – Is this the to be interv	
_		terview next HH member.
2 🔲 Landlord		END ENTERVIEW. Enter
3 ☐ Insurance	i de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de	otal number of Crime ncident Reports filled for
4 Other — Specify	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his household in Item 13 on the cover of NCS-3.
FORM NC5-4 (8-28-70)	Page 16	VI 1100-01

	O.M.B. No. 41-R2662; Approval Expires March 31, 197
NOTICE — Your report to the Census Bureau is confidential by law (Title 13, U.S. Code). It may be seen only by sworn Census employees and may be used only for statistical purposes.	FORM CV5-101 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI (7-11-73) SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMIN BUREAU OF THE * 2NSU
1. IDENTIFICATION CODES	
a. PSU b. Segment c. Line No. d. Panel e. DCC	
	COMMERCIAL CRIME VICTIMIZATION SURVEY
	COMMERCIAL CRIME VICTIMIZATION SORVET
thereviewer g. Total number code (1) incidents (2) incident sheets	CITY SAMPLE
(2) illettetit sitetts	
INTRODU	JCTION
We are conducting a survey in this area to measu	name)from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. ure the extent to which businesses are victims of ds to know how much crime there is and where it is an impact on the crime problem. You can help by
Part I - BUSILIESS CHARACTERISTICS	
2a. Is this establishment owned or operated as an incorporated	7. Did anyone else operate any departments or
business?	concessions or some other business activity
1 [] Yes - SKIP to 3	in this establishment during the 12 month period ending?
2 No	
	Yes - List each department, concession, or other business activity on a separate line of
b. How is this business owned or operated?	Section V of the segment folder, if not
1 Individual proprietorship	already listed. Complete a separate questionnaire for each one that falls on
2 Partnership	a sample line.
3 Government - Continue Interview ONLY If	2 No
liquor store or any type of transportation	DO HOT JOH PER DE TOTAL DE LA COMPANION DE LA
	DO NOT ASK ITEM 8 UNTIL PART II AND ANY INCIDENT REPORTS HAVE BEEN COMPLETED
4 Other - Specify	
	8. What were your approximate sales of merchandise
	and/or receipts from services at this establishment for the previous 12 months ending?
L. Do you (the owner) operate more than one establishment?	(Estimate annual sales and/or receipts if not in
I ☐ Yes	business for entire 12 months.)
2 No	None 1
. Did you (the owner) operate this establishment at	2 Under \$10,000
this location during the entire 12 month period	3 \$10,000 to \$24,999
ending?	4 \$25,000 to \$49,999
1 TYes	5 [] \$50,000 to \$99,999
2 No - How many months during • Months	6 S100,000 to \$499,999
the designated period?	7 S500,000 to \$999,999 8 S1,000,000 and over
. Excluding you (the owner) (the partners) how	9 Other - Specify
many paid employees did this establishment average	
during the 12 month period ending?	INTERVIEWER USE ONLY
1 None 4 8-19	9a. Record of interview
2 1-3 5 20 or more	(1) Date
1 [] 4-7	(a)
	(2) Name of respondent
Sa. What do you consider your kind of business	(3) Title of respondent
to be at this location?	
7	(4) Telephone Area code Number Extension
b. Mark (X) one box	b. Reason for non-interview
RETAIL MANUFACTURING	TYPE A
E □ Durable	1 Present occupant in business at end of survey period but unable to contact.
2 Eating and drinking F Nondurable	2 Refusal and in business at end of survey period
3 General merchandise REAL ESTATE	3 Uther Type A - Specify
4 [; Apparer	
5 Furniture and G Apartments appliance H Other real estate	
at D said temperature	TYPE B
6 Lumber, hardware, mobile home dealers	4 Present occupant not in business at end
7 Automotive	of survey puriod.
B Drug and proprietary J BANKS	5 Vacant or closed
a [] oraș erio proprietari	6 Other Type B (Seasonal, etc.) - Specify
A Gasoline service stations L ALL OTHERS - Specil	TYPE C
B Other retail	7 Occupied by nonlistable activity
	B ☐ Demolished
• WHOLESALE	9 Other Type C - Specify
C Durable	
D [] Mondurable	

¥	v 1'd like to ask some questions about particular kinds	of thef	t or attempted theft.	
Ę	ese questions refer only to this establishment for the 12	month		
	During this period did anyone break into or some- how illegally get into this place of business?		18. Why hasn't this establishment ever been insured against burglary and/or robbery?	
	Number		1 Couldn't afford it 2 Couldn't get anyone to insure you	
	(Fill an Incident Report for each)		3 Didn't need it	
	2 No		4 Self-insured	
-			s Premium too expensive 6 Other - Specify	
	(Other than the incident(s) just mentioned,) during this period did anyone find a door jimmled, a lock forced, or any other signs of an ATTEMPTED break-in?			
			19a. What security measures, b. When were these	
	! Tires - How many times? Number		if any, are present at security measures this location now, to first installed	
	(Fill an Incident Report for each)		protect it against or otherwise	
	2 [] No	. ,.	burglary and/or robbery? undertaken? Enter the	
-			appropriate code	
•	During this period were you, the owner, or any employee held up by anyone using a weapon,	. '	from the list given below.	
	force or threat of force on these premises?		a. Mark (X) all that apply	
	Number		1 Alarm system — outside	
	(Fill an Incident Report for each)	<u> </u>	ringing	
	(Fill an Incident Report for each)		2 Central alarm	
_			3 Reinforcing devices, such	
•	(Other than the incident(s) already mentioned,) did anyone ATTEMPT to hold up you, the owner, or		as bars on windows, grates, gates, etc	
	any employee by using force or threatening to			
	harm you while on these premises?		4 Guard, watchman	
	t ['Yes - How many times?		5 Watch dog	
	(Fill an Incident Report for each)		6 Firearms	
_	2 [] No	·	7 Cameras,	
١.	(Other than the incident(s) just mentioned,) during	un.	B Mirrors,	
	this period were you, the owner, or any employee held while delivering merchandise or carrying business mo	usy		
	outside the business?		9 Locks	
	Number Number		A Comply with National Banking Act (For Banks only)	
	(Fill an Incident Report for each)		B [] Other - Specify -	
	2 , No			
<u> </u>	(Other than the incident(s) just mentioned,) did			
,	anyone ATTEMPT to hold up you, the owner, or any		c (None	
	employee while delivering merchandise or carrying business money outside the business?		Codes for use in item 19b	
	Number		LESS THAN 1 YEAR AGO MORE THAN 1 YEAR	
	1 Yes — How many times? ———	<u>. </u>	1 – January 7 – July D – 1–2 years ago	
	(Fill an Incident Report for each)	1 0	2 - February B - August E - 2-5 years ago	
_	2 No		3 - March 9 - September	
à	Is this establishment insured against burgulary and o	ŗ	4 - April A - October F - More than 5 years ago	
	robbery by means other than self-insurance?		5 - May B - November 6 - June C - December	
	2 No SKIP to 17a			
	3 ; Don't know		20. INTERVIEWER Were there "O" incidents reported in 10-15?	
t	Does the insurance also cover other types of crime losse such as vandatism or shoplifting and employee theft?	s,	Chean it can y	
			Yes — Detach Incident Reports, enter "0" in Items 1g(1) and (2) on page 1, and	
	2 No SKIP IO 19a		continue with item 8.	i ku s
7	a. Has this establishment ever been insured against burglary and or robbery by means other than		□ No — Enter number of incidents in Item 1g(1) on page 1, and continue with Iirst incident	y
	self-insurance?		Нерогт.	
	1 " Yes		NOTES	100
	z No – SKIP to 18 3 Don't know – SKIP to 19a			
	The state of the s			in the second
. !	 Did the insurance also cover other types of crime losses such as vandalism or shoplifting and employee theft? 	e ·		
	Tes			#
	2 ☐ No			
	c. Did you drop the insurance or did the company cance	ı		
	your policy?			
	1 Businessman dropped it Crup to	19a	The state of the s	

OF THE COVER SHEET AND COMPLETE A SEPARATE INCIDENT REPORT FOR EACH INCIDENT.	INCIDENT REPORT COMMERCIAL CRIME VICTIMIZATION SURVEY CITY SAMPLE
DENTIFICATION CODE 7. PSU b. Segment c. Line No. d. Panel c. DCC	f. Incident No. INCIDENT NUMBER Record which incident (1, 2, etc.) is covered by this page
You said that during the 12 months beginning and ending (refer to screening questions 10-15 for description of crime).	7a. Were you, the owner, or any employee injured in this incident, seriously enough to require medical attention?
In what month did this (did the first) incident happen? L Jan. 4 April 7 July A Oct. Peb. S May 8 Aug. B Nov.	1 [] Yes — How many? Number 2 [] No — SKIP 10 9a
3 Mar. 6 June 9 Sept. c Dec. About what time did it happen?	b. How many of them stayed in a hospital overnight or longer?
1 During the day (6 a.m. — 6 p.m.) At night (6 p.m. — 6 a.m.) 2 6 p.m. — 9 midnight 3 Midnight — 6 a.m. 4 Don't know what time at night 5 Don't know	8. Of those receiving treatment in or out of a hospital, did this business pay for any of the medical expenses not covered by a regular health benefits program? 1 [] Yes — How much was paid? S
t. Where did this incident take place? The At this place of business On delivery	z [] No 3 [] Don't know
3 Enroute to bank 4 Other - Specify . Were you, the owner, or any employee present while this	9a, Did any deaths occur as a result of this incident? 1 [] Yes 2 [] No — SKIP to 15a
incident was occuring? 1 Yes 2 No - SKIP to 10	b. Who was killed? c. How many? (Merk (X) all that apply)
a. Did the person holding you up have a weapon or something that was used as a weapon, such as a bottle or wrench?	z T Employees
1 Yes 2 No 3 Don't know SKIP 10 6a	3 T Customers
b. What was the weapon?	5 ! Offender(s)
2 [] Knife 3 [] Other — Specify	7 TOther Specify
1 One - Continue with 6b below 2 Two 3 Three SKIP to 6e	SKIP to 15a
4 Four or more) 5 Don't know – SKIP to 7a	10. Did the offender enter, attempt to enter, or remain in this establishment illegally?
b. How dld would you say the person was? 1	1 TYes 2 T No p
c. Was the person male or female? 1 [] Male 2 [] Female 3 [] Don't know	Discontinue use of Incident Report. Enter at the top of this sheet "Out of Scope—Larceny," erase incident number, change the answers to screening questions 10–15, change number of incidents in tiem 1g(1), page 1, and go on to the next reported incident. If no other incidents are reported, return to page 1 and complete items 1g(2) 8, and 9 and end the Interview,
d. Was he (she) — 1 White? 2 Black? 3 Other? — Specify — SKIP to 7a	11. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just try to get in? r ^ Actually get in 2 ^ Just tried to get in
4 [1] Don't know e. How old would you say the youngest person was? 1 [1] Under 12 4 [18-30] 2 [12-14 5 [2] or over - SKIP to 6g 3 [15-17 6 [2] Don't know	12. Was there a broken window, broken lock, alarm, or any other evidence that the offender(s) forced (tried to force) his (their) way in? 1 ' Yes 2 ! No - SKIP to 14
1. How old would you say the oldest person was? 1 ☐ Under 12	13. What was the evidence? IMaik all that apply) 1 [Broken lock or window 2 [Forced door
g, Were they male or female? I All male 3 Male and female All female 4 Don't know	3 Alarm Skip to 15a
h. Were they — 1 Only white? 2 Only other? — Specify — 4 Some combination? — Specify —	14. How did the offender(s) get in (try to get in)? t Through unlocked door or window 2 Had a key 3 Other Specify

INCIDENT REP	ODT Cantain State and Control of the
5a. Was anything damaged but not taken in this incident? For	18a. Did you, the owner, or any amployee here lose any time
example, a lock or window broken, damaged merchandise, etc.	from work because of this incident? Number
1 ☐ Yes 2 ☐ No — SKIP to 16a	1 Tes — How many people? ———
b. Was (were) the damaged item(s) repaired or replaced?	2 No - SKIP to 19a
1 Yes - SKIP to 15d	b. How many work days were lost allogether?
2 No	1 Less than I day
c. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damages?	2 [] 1-5 days
(Estimate)	3 6-10 daysDays
SKIP to 15e	4 Over 10 days — How many?
x Don't know	5 Don't know
d. How much did it cost to repair or replace the damages? S	19a. Were any security measures taken after this incident to protect the establishment from future incidents?
V No cost - SKIP to 16a	1 ☐ Yes
x Don't know	2 No - SKIP to 20a
e. Who paid or will pay for the repairs or replacement? (Mark (X) all that apply)	b. What measures were taken?
1 This business	(Mark (X) all finat apply)
2 Insurance 3 Owner of Building (landlord)	1 Alarm system — outside ringing
4 Other - Specify	2 Central alarm
5 Don't know	3 Reinforcing devices, grates, gates, bars on window, etc.
 Did the offender(s) take any money? (Exclude money belonging to customers or store personnel) 	4 C Guard, watchman
Yes — What was the	5 Watch dog
total value? \$ 80	6 ☐ Firearms 7 ☐ Cameras
b. Did the offender(s) take any merchandise, equipment or	8 Mirrors
supplies? (Exclude personal property belonging to customers or store personnel.)	9 D Locks
1 Yes - What was the	A ☐ Other — Specify
total value? \$ DD] 2 [] No - SKIP to 17a il answer to 16a	
is yes; otherwise SKIP to 18a	200 Was Alia I alia A
c. How was the value determined?	20a. Was this incident reported to the police?
Original cost	1 Yes - SKIP to 21
3 Other - Specify	water and the same
7a. How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property	b. What was the reason this incident was not reported to the police?
was recovered by insurance?	(Mark (X) all that apply)
s 00	t Police already knew of the incident
v None - Why not?	2 Nothing could be done - lack of proof
t [] Didn't report it 2 [] Does not have insurance	3 Did not think it important enough
3 Not settled yet	4 Did not want to bother police 5 Did not want to take the time
4 Policy has a deductible	0.4
5 Money and/or merchandise was recovered 'X Don't know	7 f. Afraid of reprisal
b. How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property	B TReported to someone else
was recovered by means other than insurance?	9 Other - Specify-Z
s 90	
V None x Don't know SKIP to 18a	21. INTERVIEWER \ Is this the last incident
c. By what means was the stolen money and/or	CHECK ITEM Is this the last incident Report to be completed?
property recovered?	Yes — Return to page 1 and complete items 1g:2),
1 Police	8, 9, and end interview.
2 Other - Specify	Report.
OTES	

	O.M.B. No. 41-R2662; Approval Expires March 31, 1
TRANSCRIBE THE IDENTIFICATION CODES FROM ITEM OF THE COVER SHEET AND COMPLETE A SEPARATE INCIDENT REPORT FOR EACH INCIDENT.	FORM CVS-101 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMER 17-11-731 SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADM BUREAU OF THE CEN.
IDENTIFICATION CODE	COMMERCIAL CRIME VICTIMIZATION SURVEY
n DSII L Comment	CITY SAMPLE
di Zinc Hui. di Paner e, DCC	f. Incident No. INCIDENT NUMBER Record which incident (1, 2, etc.) is covered by this page
You said that during the 12 months beginning and ending (refer to screening questions 10—15 for description of crime).	7a. Were you, the owner, or any employee injured in this incident, seriously enough to require medical attention?
1. In what month did this (did the first) incident happen? 1	1 ☐ Yes — How many? Number 2 ☐ No — SKIP to 9a
3 Mar. 6 June 9 Sept. c Dec. 2. About what time did it happen? 1 During the day (6 a.m. – 6 p.m.)	b. How many of them stayed in a Number hospital overnight or longer?
At night (6 p.m. – 6 p.m.) At night (6 p.m. – 6 a.m.) 2	8. Of those receiving treatment in or out of a hospital, did this business pay for any of the medical expenses not covered by a regular health benefits program? 1 Yes — How much
3. Where did this incident take place? 1 ☐ At this place of business 2 ☐ On delivery	was paid? s No a Don't know
3 Enroute to bank 4 Other - Specify	9a. Did any deaths occur as a result of this incident?
Were you, the owner, or any employee present while this incident was occuring? Yes	2 No — SKIP to 15a b. Who was killed? c. How many?
2 ☐ No — SKIP to 10 3 ☐ Don't know 5a. Did the person holding you up have a weapon or something	(Mark (X) all that apply) 1 Owner(s)
1 Yes	2
2 No 3 Don't know SKIP to 6a b. What was the weapon?	4 Innocent bystander(s)
	5 Offender(s)
5a. How many persons were involved in committing the crime? 1 ① One — Continue with 6b below	7 Other - Specify
Two SKIP to 60	SKIP to 15a
b. How old would you say the person was?	10. Did the offender enter, attempt to enter, or remain in this establishment illegally?
1	2 No -
C. Was the person male or female? 1	Discontinue use of incident Report. Enter at the top of this sheet "Out of Scope-Larceny," erase incident number, change the answers to screening questions 10-15, change number of incidents in item 1g(1), page 1, and go on to the next reported incident. If no other incidents are reported, return to page 1 and complete Items 1g(2) 8, and 9 and end the Interview.
1	11. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just try to get in? 1 Actually got in 2 Just tried to get in
e. How old would you say the youngest person was? 1	12. Was there a broken window, broken lock, alarm, or any other evidence that the offender(s) forced (tried to force) his (their) way in? 1
. How old would you say the oldest person was?	2 No - SKIP to 14 13. What was the evidence? (Mark all that apply)
2 2- 4 5 2 or over 3 15- 7 6 Don't know . Were they male or female?	1 Broken lock or window 2 Forced door
1 All male 3 Male and female 2 All female 4 Don't know . Were they —	3. Alarm SKIP to 15a
1 Only white? 2 Only black? 3 Only other? — Specity	14. How did the offender(s) get in (try to get in)? 1 Through unlocked door or window 2 Had a key
4 Some combination? - Specity 5 Don't know	3 Other - Specify

15a. Was anything damaged but not taken in this incident? For example, a lock or window broken, damaged merchandise, etc. 1 Yes 2 No - SKIP to 16a b. Was (were) the damaged item(s) repaired or replaced? 1 Yes - SKIP to 15d 2 No c. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damages? (Estimate)	18a. Did you, the owner, or any employee here lose any time from work because of this incident? 1
1 Yes 2 No - SKIP to 16a b. Was (were) the damaged item(s) repaired or replaced? 1 Yes - SKIP to 15d 2 No c. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damages?	1 Yes - How many people?
2 No - SKIP to 16a b. Was (were) the damaged item(s) repaired or replaced? 1 Yes - SKIP to 15d 2 No c. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damages?	2 No - SKIP to 19a
1 ☐ Yes — SKIP to 15d 2 ☐ No c. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damages?	
2 ☐ Noc. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damages?	b. How many work days were lost altogether?
c. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damages?	
	1 Less than I day
(ES(Ima(e)	z ☐ I —5 days
	3 6-10 days Days
S SKIP to 15e	4 Over 10 days — How many? ———
x Don't know	5 Don't know
d. How much did it cost to repair or replace the damages?	19a. Were any security measures taken after this incident to protect the establishment from future incidents?
V No cost - SKIP to 168	1 ☐ Yes
x Don't know	2 No - SKIP to 20a
e. Who paid or will pay for the repairs or replacement?	The state of the s
(Mark (X) all that apply)	b. What measures were taken? (Mark (X) all that apply)
1 ☐ This business 2 ☐ Insurance	
3 Owner of Building (landlord)	1 Alarm system — outside ringing 2 Central alarm
4 Other - Specify 5 Don't know	3 Reinforcing devices, grates, gates,
	bars on window, etc.
16a. Did the offender(s) take any money? (Exclude money belonging to customers or store personnel)	4 Guard, watchman 5 Watch dog
t Yes - What was the	6 Firearms
total value?	7 Cameras
b. Did the offender(s) take any merchandise, equipment or	8 Mirrors
supplies? (Exclude personal property belonging to	9 Locks
customers or store personnel.)	A Other - Specify
1 ☐ Yes — What was the total value? — > S	
2 No - SKIP to 17a if answer to 16a is yes; otherwise SKIP to 18a	
national and the same of the 	20a. Was this incident reported to the police?
c. How was the value determined?	1 Yes - SKIP to 21
2 Replacement cost	2 No
3 Other - Specify	b. What was the reason this incident was not reported
17a. How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property	to the police?
was recovered by insurance?	(Mark (X) all that apply)
s B	1 Police already knew of the incident
v □ None — Why not?	2 Nothing could be done - lack of proof
1 Didn't report it	3 Did not think it important enough
2 Does not have insurance 3 Not settled yet	4 Did not want to bother police
4 Policy has a deductible	5 Did not want to take the time
5 Money and/or merchandise was recovered	
x Don't know	7 Afraid of reprisal
b. How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property was recovered by means other than insurance?	8 Reported to someone else
s D	9 Other - Specify F
v 🗆 None	
x Don't know SKIP to 18a	21. INTERVIEWER \ Is this the last incident
c. By what means was the stolen money and/or	CHECK ITEM Report to be completed?
property recovered?	Yes - Reluin to page 1 and complete items 1g(2),
1 Police 2 Other - Specify	8, 9, and end interview. No - Fill the next incident
	Report.
NOTES	

	O.M.B. No. 41-R2662; Approval Expires March 31, 19 FORM CVS-101 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC
TRANSCRIBE THE IDENTIFICATION CODES-FROM ITEM 1	(7-11-73) SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMI
OF THE COVER SHEET AND COMPLETE A SEPARATE	INCIDENT REPORT
INCIDENT REPORT FOR EACH INCIDENT.	COMMERCIAL CRIME VICTIMIZATION SURVEY
IDENTIFICATION CODE PSU b. Segment c. Line No. d. Panel le. DCC	CITY SAMPLE
p. PSU b. Segment c. Line No. d. Panel c. DCC	No. INCIDENT NUMBER Record which incident (1, 2, etc.) is covered by this page
You said that during the 12 months beginning	7a. Were you, the owner, or any employee injured in this incident, seriously enough to require medical attention?
. In what month did this (did the first) incident happen?	1 Yes — How many? — Number
1 Jan. 4 April 7 July A Oct.	2 ☐ No — SKIP to 9a
2 Feb. 5 May 8 Aug. B Nov. 3 Mar. 6 June 9 Sept. C Dec.	b. How many of them stayed in a Number hospital overnight or longer?
 About what time did it happen? 1 ☐ During the day (6 a.m 6 p.m.) 	
At night (6 p.m 6 a.m.)	Of those receiving treatment in or out of a hospital, did this business pay for any of the medical expenses not
2 6 p.m. – Midnight 3 Midnight – 6 a.m.	covered by a regular health benefits program?
4 Don't know what time at night 5 Don't know	Yes — How much was paid? S
3. Where did this incident take place?	
1 At this place of business	2 No 3 Don't know
2 On delivery	
3 Enroute to bank 4 Other - Specify	9a. Did any deaths occur as a result of this incident?
. Were you, the owner, or any employee present while this	1 Yes
incident was occuring?	2 No - SKIP to 15a
1	b. Who was killed? c. How many? (Mark (X) all that apply)
a. Did the person holding you up have a weapon or something	1 ☐ Owner(s)
that was used as a weapon, such as a bottle or wrench?	
= -	3 Customers
3 Don't know SKIP to 6a	4 Innocent bystander(s)
b. What was the weapon?	s Offender(s)
1 Gun 2 Knife	6 Police
3 Other - Specify	7 Other - Specify
ia. How many persons were involved in committing the crime?]
1 One - Continue with 6b below	
3 ☐ Three ►SKIP to 6e	SKIP to 15a
4 ☐ Four or more J 5 ☐ Don't know — SKIP to 7a	10. Did the offender enter, attempt to enter, or remain in this establishment itlegally?
b. How old would you say the person was?	1 Yes
1 Under 12 4 18-20	2 No 7
2 12-14 5 21 or over 3 15-17 6 Don't know	
c. Was the person male or female?	Discontinue use of Incident Report. Enter at the top of this sheet "Out of Scope-Larceny," erase incident number change the answers to screening questions 10-15
1 Male	number, change the answers to screening questions 10-15 change number of incidents in item 1g(1), page 1, and go on to the next reported incident. If no other incidents
2 Female 3 Don't know	on to the next reported incident. If no other incidents are reported, return to page 1 and complete items 1g(2) 8, and 9 and end the Interview.
d. Was he (she) -	11 Did the offender(c) actually set in or turn try to+ 1-2
ı ☐ White? z ☐ Black?	11. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just try to get in?
3 Other? - Specify SKIP to 7a	2 Just tried to get in
4 Don't know	<u> </u>
e. How old would you say the youngest person was?	12. Was there a broken window, broken lock, alarm, or any other evidence that the offender(s) forced (tried to force)
1 Under 12 4 18-20	his (their) way in?
2 12-14 5 21 or over - SKIP to 6g 3 15-17 6 Don't know	1 🔲 Yes
f. How old would you say the oldest person was?	2 No - SKIP to 14
1 Under 12 4 18-20	13. What was the evidence? (Mark all that apply)
z 12-14 5 21 or over 3 15-17 6 Don't know	1 Broken lock or window
g. Were they male or female?	2 Forced door
1 All male 3 Male and female	3 Alarm
Z All female 4 Don't know	4 🔲 Other - Specify
h. Were they — 1 Only white?	14. How did the offender(s) get in (try to get in)?
2 Only black?	t Through unlocked door or window
• 3 Only other? - Specify	2 ☐ Had a key
Some combination? - Specify	3 Other - Specify
8 Don't know	4 Don't know

	ORT - Continued	
15a. Was anything damaged but not taken in this incident? For example, a lock or window broken, damaged merchandise, etc.	18a. Did you, the owner, or any employee he from work because of this incident?	re lose any time
1 Yes	Tes - How many people?	Number
2 No - SKIP to 16a	2 ☐ No — SKIP to 19a	
b. Was (were) the damaged item(s) repaired or replaced?	h How many work days were last allegate	
2 No	b. How many work days were lost altogeth	err
c. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damages?	t ∐ Less than I day	
(Estimate)	2 1 1 -5 days	
s	3 6-10 days	Days
X Don't know	4 Over 10 days — How many?	
d. How much did it cost to repair or replace the damages?	5 Don't know	
SS	19a. Were any security measures taken after protect the establishment from future in	this incident to cidents?
V ☐ No cost — SKIP to 16a	1 [] Yes	
x Don't know	2 ☐ No — SKIP to 20a	
e. Who paid or will pay for the repairs or replacement?	2 140 = 2V/L to 509	
(Mark (X) all that apply) 1	b. What measures were taken? (Mark (X) all that apply)	
2 Insurance	1 Alarm system — outside ringing	
3 Owner of Building (landlord) 4 Other — Specify	2 Central alarm	
5 Don't know	3 Reinforcing devices, grates, gates	
16a. Did the offender(s) take any money? (Exclude money	bars on window, etc. 4 Guard, watchman	
peronging to customers or store personnel)	5 Watch dog	
1 ☐ Yes — What was the total value? — S S	6 Firearms	
2 No	7 🔲 Cameras	
b. Did the offender(s) take any merchandise, equipment or	8 Mirrors	
supplies? (Exclude personal property belonging to customers or store personnel.)	9 🔲 Locks	
I Tyes - What was the	A [] Other - Specify	
total value?		
is yes; otherwise SKIP to 18a		
c. How was the value determined?	20a. Was this incident reported to the police:	!
1 Original cost	1 Yes - SKIP to 21	
2 Replacement cost	2 No	
3 Other — Specify	b. What was the reason this incident was n	of reported
17a. How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property	to the police?	
was recovered by insurance?	(Mark (X) all that apply)	
\$!!!	1 Police already knew of the inciden	
V ☐ None — Why not?	2 Nothing could be done - lack of pr	oo f
1 Didn't report it	3 [] Did not think it important enough	
2 Does not have insurance 3 Not settled yet	4 Did not want to bother police	
4 Policy has a deductible	5 Did not want to take the time	
5 Money and/or merchandise was recovered	6 Did not want to get involved	
X Don't know	7 Afraid of reprisal	and the second
b. How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property was recovered by means other than insurance?	8 Reported to someone else	
[9 Other - Specify	
v None		
SKIP to 18a	21. INTERVIEWER \ Is this the last Inc	idaa
c. By what means was the stolen money and/or	CHECK ITEM Report to be compl	eted?
property recovered?	Yes — Return to complete 8, 9, and 6	page 1 and
1 Police		
2 Other - Specify	□ No − FIII the nex Report.	t Incident
NOTES		•
FORM CVS 101 (7-11-78)	6	

APPENDIX II HOUSEHOLD SURVEY Technical information and standard error tables

With respect to crimes against persons and households, survey results contained in this publication are based on data gathered during early 1974 from persons residing within the city limits of Washington, including those living in certain types of group quarters, such as dormitories, rooming houses, and religious group dwellings. Nonresidents of the city, including foreign visitors, did not fall within the scope of the survey. Similarly, crewmembers of merchant vessels, Armed Forces personnel living in military barracks, and institutionalized persons, such as correctional facility inmates, were not under consideration. With these exceptions, all persons age 12 and over living in units designated for the sample were eligible to be interviewed.

Each interviewer's first contact with a unit selected for the survey was in person, and, if it was not possible to secure interviews with all eligible members of the household during the initial visit, interviews by telephone were permissible thereafter. The only exemptions to the requirement for personal interview applied to 12- and 13-year-olds, incapacitated persons, and individuals who were absent from the household during the entire field interview period; for these persons, interviewers were required to obtain proxy responses from a knowledgeable adult member of the household. Survey records were processed and weighted, yielding results representative both of the city's population as a whole and of sectors within society. Because they are based on a sample survey rather than a complete enumeration, the results are estimates.

Sample design and size

The basic frame from which the sample was drawn for the National Crime Survey household survey in Washington was the complete housing inventory for the city, as determined by the 1970

Census of Population and Housing. For the purpose of sample selection, the city's housing units were distributed among 105 strata on the basis of various characteristics. Occupied units, which comprised the majority, were grouped into 100 strata defined by a combination of the following characteristics: type of tenure (owned or rented); number of household members (five categories); household income (five categories); and race of head of household (white or nonwhite). Housing units vacant at the time of the Census were assigned to an additional four strata, where they were distributed on the basis of rental or property value. Furthermore, a single stratum incorporated group quarters.

To account for units built after the 1970 Census, a sample was drawn, by means of an independent clerical operation, of permits issued for the construction of residential housing within the city. This enabled the proper representation in the survey of persons occupying housing built after 1970.

A total of 11,694 housing units in Washington was designated for the sample. Of these, 1,414 were visited by interviewers during the survey period but were found to be vacant, demolished, converted to nonresidential use, temporarily occupied by nonresidents, or otherwise ineligible for the survey. At an additional 739 units visited by interviewers it was impossible to conduct interviews because the occupants could not be reached after repeated calls, did not wish to participate in the survey, or were unavailable for other reasons. Thus, interviews were taken with the occupants of 9,541 housing units, and the rate of participation among units qualified for interviewing was 92.8 percent. Participating units were occupied by a total of 18,516 persons age 12 and over, or an average of 1.94 residents of the relevant ages per unit. Interviews were conducted with 18,353 of these persons, resulting in a response rate of 99.1 percent among eligible residents.

Estimation procedure

Data records generated by survey interviews were assigned two sets of final tabulation weightsone for crimes against persons and another for crimes against households. For interviews conducted at housing units selected from the Census housing inventory, the following elements determined the final weights: (1) a basic weight, reflecting the selected unit's probability of being included in the sample; (2) a factor to compensate for the subsampling of units, a situation which arose in instances where the interviewer discovered many more units at the sample address than had been listed in the decennial Census; (3) a within-household noninterview adjustment, applied solely in tabulating crimes against persons, to account for situations where at least one but not all eligible persons in a household were interviewed; (4) a household noninterview adjustment to account for households qualified to participate in the survey but from which an interview was not obtained; and (5) a household ratio estimate factor for bringing estimates developed from the sample of 1970 housing units into adjustment with the complete Census count of such units.

The household ratio estimation procedure was a key step, for it achieved a reduction in the extent of sampling variability, thereby reducing the margin of error in the tabulated survey results. It also compensated for the exclusion from each stratum of any households that already were included in samples for certain other Census Bureau programs. The procedure was not applied to interview records gathered from residents of group quarters or of units constructed after the Census.

In producing estimates of personal incidents (as opposed to those of personal victimizations), a further weighting adjustment was required in those cases where the basic unit of tabulation was an incident involving more than one person, thereby allowing for the probability that such incidents had more than one chance of coming into the sample. Thus, if two persons were victimized during the same incident, the weight assigned to the record for that incident (and associated characteristics) was reduced by one-half in order not to introduce double counts in the tabulated data. When a

personal crime was reported in the household survey as having occurred simultaneously with a commercial burglary or robbery, it was assumed that the incident was represented in the commercial survey, and, therefore, it was not counted as an incident of personal crime. However, the details of the outcome of the event as they related to the victimized individual would be reflected in the household survey results.

For household crimes, the final weight consisted of all steps described above except the third. In the household sector, victimizations and incidents are synonymous, since each distinctly separate criminal act was defined as having been experienced by a single household. Thus, the concept of multihousehold incidents was inapplicable, and an adjustment comparable to that made in the personal sector to account for multiperson incidents was unnecessary.

In performing the estimation procedure that yielded the results appearing in this publication, there was no adjustment for bringing the surveyderived estimates into accord with any independent, post-Census estimates of the city population. Subsequent to the initial processing of survey results, however, estimates were calculated of the size of the relevant population. These estimates indicate that an undercoverage amounting to about 13.0 percent of the relevant population occurred in the 1974 survey of Washington households. As a result, population figures that serve as bases for rates of victimization for crimes against persons understated the size of the population, and victimization and incident counts for crimes against persons also were too low. In order to bring estimates in this report into accord with this post-Census estimate, population control figures and levels of victimizations and incidents for crimes against persons should be increased (multiplied) by a ratio estimate factor of 1.130235. However, all relative figures—namely personal victimization rates and other data on personal crimes expressed in percentages—appearing on the data tables remain unaffected by the application of an independent population estimate, as the adjustment factor is applicable to both the numerators and denominators used in computing such figures. Furthermore, the adjustment is not applicable to data on household crimes.

Reliability of estimates

As previously noted, statistical data contained in this report are estimates. Despite the precautions taken to minimize sampling variability, the estimates are subject to errors arising from the fact that the sample employed in conducting the survey was only one of a large number of possible samples of equal size that could have been used applying the same sample design and selection procedures. Estimates derived from different samples may vary somewhat; they also may differ from figures obtainable if a complete census had been taken using the same schedules, instructions, and interviewers.

The standard error of a survey estimate is a measure of the variation among estimates from all possible samples and is, therefore, a gauge of the precision with which the estimate from a particular sample approximates the average result of all possible samples. The estimate and its associated standard error may be used to construct a confidence interval, that is, an interval having a prescribed probability that it would include the average result of all possible samples. The average value of all possible samples may or may not be contained in any particular computed interval. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that the survey estimate would differ from the average result of all possible samples by less than one standard error. Similarly, the chances are about 90 out of 100 that the difference would be less than 1.6 times the standard error; about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be 2.0 times the standard error; and 99 out of 100 chances that it would be less than 2.5 times the standard error. The 68 percent confidence interval is defined as the range of values given by the estimate minus the standard error and the estimate plus the standard error; the chances are 68 in 100 that a figure from a complete census would fall within that range. Likewise, the 95 percent confidence interval is defined as the estimate plus or minus two standard errors. Standard errors applicable to data on crimes against persons and households are presented at the end of this Appendix, preceded by instructions on their use.

In addition to sampling error, the estimates presented in this report are subject to so-called non-sampling error. Major sources of such error are related to the ability of respondents to recall victimi-

zation experiences and associated details that occurred during the 12 months prior to the time of interview. Research on the capacity of victims to recall specific kinds of crime, based on interviewing persons who were victims of offenses drawn from police files, indicates that assault is the least well recalled of the crimes measured by the National Crime Survey program. This may stem in part from the observed tendency of victims not to report crimes committed by offenders known to them, especially if they are relatives. In addition, it is suspected that, among certain societal groups, crimes that contain the elements of assault are a part of everyday life and, thus, are simply forgotten or are not considered worth mentioning to a survey interviewer. Taken together, these recall problems may result in a substantial understatement of the "true" rate of victimization from assault.

Another source of nonsampling error related to the recall capacity of respondents involves telescoping, or bringing within the appropriate 12-month reference period victimizations that occurred earlier—or, in a few instances, those that happened after the close of the period. Unlike the national sample of the National Crime Survey program, the city samples have not incorporated a bounding procedure to minimize this source of nonsampling error, and the magnitude of telescoping has not been determined.

Methodological research undertaken in preparation for the National Crime Survey program indicated that substantially fewer incidents of crime are reported when one household member reports for all persons residing in the household than when each household member is interviewed individually. Therefore, the self-response procedure was adopted as a general rule; allowances for proxy response under the contingencies discussed earlier are the only exceptions to the rule.

Additional nonsampling errors can result from incomplete or erroneous responses, systematic mistakes introduced by interviewers, and improper coding and processing of data. Many of these errors would also occur in a complete census. Quality control measures, such as interviewer observation, with retraining and reinterviewing, as appropriate, as well as edit procedures in the field and at the clerical and computer processing stages, were

utilized to keep such errors at an acceptably low level. As calculated for this survey, the standard errors partially measure only those nonsampling errors arising from random response and interviewer errors; they do not, however, take into account any systematic biases in the data.

Concerning the reliability of data from the household survey, it should be noted that estimates based on about 10 or fewer sample cases have been considered unreliable. Such estimates are qualified in footnotes to the data tables and were not used for purposes of analysis in the report's selected findings. The minimum estimate considered sufficiently reliable to serve as a base for statistics relevant to the personal and household sectors was 250.

As they appear in the report's data tables, all absolute values—including numbers of victimizations and incidents, as well as control figures (bases) shown parenthetically on rate tables—have been rounded to the nearest hundredth. Relative figures (whether rates, percentages, or ratios) were calculated from unrounded figures.

Standard error tables and calculations

For survey estimates relevant to the personal and household sectors, the standard errors displayed on tables at the end of this appendix can be used for gauging sampling variability. These errors are approximations and suggest an order of magnitude of the standard error rather than the precise error associated with any given estimate. Table I contains the standard error approximations applicable to the estimated levels, or numbers, of personal incidents, personal victimizations, and household victimizations. Standard errors pertaining to personal victimization rates are given in Table II, whereas Table III displays the standard error approximations for household victimization rates. For levels and rates not specifically listed on the tables, linear interpolation must be used to approximate the error.

To illustrate the application of standard errors in measuring sampling variability, assume that a data table in this report shows there were 7,800 personal robbery incidents in Washington. Linear interpolation of values in Table I of this appendix yields a standard error of about 533 for the estimated 7,800 incidents. The chances are 68 out of 100 that the estimate would have been a figure differing from a complete census figure by less than 533, i.e., the 68 percent confidence interval associated with that level of incidents would be from 7,267 to 8,333. The chances are 95 out of 100 that the estimate would have differed from a complete census figure by less than twice this standard error (1,066); i.e., the 95 percent confidence interval then would be from 6,734 to 8,866.

Assume further that, for a Washington population subgroup numbering 220,000, the recorded personal victimization rate was 41 per 1,000 persons age 12 and over. Two-way linear interpolation of data listed in Table II would yield a standard error of about 2.5. Consequently, chances are 68 out of 100 that the estimated rate of 41 would be within 2.5 of a complete census figure; i.e., the 68 percent confidence interval associated with the estimate would be from 38.05 to 43.5. And, the chances are 95 out of 100 that the estimated rate would be within roughly 5.0 of a complete enumeration; i.e., the 95 percent confidence interval would be about 36 to 46.

In comparing two sample estimates, the standard error of the difference between the two figures is approximately equal to the square root of the sum of the squares of the standard errors of each estimate considered separately. This formula represents the actual standard error quite accurately for the difference between uncorrelated sample estimates. If, however, there is a high positive correlation, the formula will overestimate the true standard error of the difference; and if there is a large negative correlation, the formula will underestimate the true standard error of the difference.

Table I. Standard error approximations for estimated number of personal incidents, personal victimizations, and household victimizations, by size of estimate

(68 chances out of 100)

			Pe	ersonal	Household
Size of estimate			Incidents	Victimizations	 victimizations
50			 40	41	38
100			56	57	54
250			89	91	. 85
500			126	129	121
1,000			180	183	171
2,500			289	294	272
5,000			419	425	387
10,000			622	627	554
25,000			1,111	1,104	911
50,000			1,832	1,797	1,365
100,000			3,206	3,102	2,132

Table II. Standard error approximations for estimated personal victimization rates

(68 chances out of 100)

Estimated rate					<u> </u>		Bas	e of rate		1				
per 1,000 persons		100	250	500	1,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	25,000	50,000	1.00,000	250,000	500,000	1,000,000
.5 or 999.5		12.8	8.1	5.7	4.1	2.6	1.8	1.3	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1
.75 or 999.25		15.7	9.9	7.0	5.0	3.1	2.2	1.6	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2
1 or 999		18.1	11.5	8, 1	5.7	3.6	2.6	1.8	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2
2.5 or 997.5		28.7	18.1	12.8	9.1	5.7	4.1	2.9	1.8	1.3	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.3
5 or 995		40.5	25.6	18.1	12.8	8.1	5.7	<i>L</i> . 0	2.6	1.8	1.3	0.8	0.6	0.4
7.5 or 992.5		49.5	31.3	22.1	15.7	9.9	7.0	5.0	3.1	2.2	1.6	1.0	0.7	0.5
10 or 990		57.1	36.1	25.5	18.1	11.4	8.1	5.7	3.6	2.6	1.8	1.1	0.8	0.6
25 or 975		89.6	56.7	40.1	28.3	17.9	12.7	9.0	5.7	4.0	2.8	1.8	1.3	0.9
50 or 950		125.0	79.1	55.9	39.5	25.0	17.7	12.5	7.9	5.6	4.0	2.5	1.8	1.3
100 or 900		172.1	108.9	77.0	54.4	34.4	24.3	17.2	10.9	7.7	5.4	3.4	2.4	1.7
250 or 750	ij.	248.4	157.1	111.1	78.6	49.7	35.1	24.8	15.7	11.1	7.9	5.0	3.5	2.5
500	4 .	286.9	181.4	128.3	90.7	57.4	40.6	28.7	18.1	12.8	9.1	5.7	4.1	2.9

Table III. Standard error approximations for estimated household victimization rates

(68 chances out of 100)

						 							
Estimated rate per						1 1		Base of r	ate				
1,000 households	10	Ю	250	500	1,000	2,500	5,090	10,000	25,000	50,000	100,000	250,000	500,000
.5 or 999.5	1	2.1	7.6	5.4	3.8	2.4	1.7	1,2	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2
.75 or 999.25	1	4.8	9.3	6.6	4.7	3.0	2.1	1.5	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.2
1 or 999	1	7.0	10.8	7.6	5.4	3.4	2.4	1.7	1.1	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.2
2.5 or 997.5	2	6.9	17.0	12.0	8.5	5.4	3.8	2.7	1.7	1.2	0.9	0.5	0.4
5 or 995		8.0	24.1	17.0	12.0	7.6	5.4	3.8	2.4	1.7	1.2	0.8	0.5
7.5 or 992.5		6.5	29.4	20.8	14.7	9.3	6.6	4.7	2.9	2.1	1.5	0.9	0.7
10 or 990	5	3.7	33.9	24.0	17.0	10.7	7.6	5.4	3.4	2.4	1.7	1.1	0.8
25 or 975	8	4.2	53.2	37.6	26.6	16.8	11.9	8.4	5.3	3.8	2.7	1.7	1.2
50 or 950	11	7-5	74.3	52.6	37.2	23.5	16.6	11.8	7.4	5.3	3.7	2.4	1.7
100 cr 900	16	1.8	102.3	72.3	51.2	32.4	22.9	16.2	10.2	7.2	5.1	3.2	2.3
250 or 750	23	3.5	147.7	104.4	73.8	46.7	33.0	23.3	14.8	10.4	7.4	4.7	3.3
500		9.6	170.5	120.6	85.3	53.9	38.1	27.0	17.1	12.1	8.5	5.4	3.8

APPENDIX III COMMERCIAL SURVEY Technical information and relative error tables

Commercial victimization surveys conducted in central cities have focused on business establishments, but coverage has extended to other organizations, such as those engaged in religious, political, and cultural activities. Units of Federal, State, and local government operating within the city limits generally have been excluded. In applicable cities, however, government-operated liquor stores and transportation systems were within the scope of the survey, these having been the only exceptions to the general exclusion of government entities. Organizations other than businesses have accounted for a relatively small part of each city sample. Survey data were personally gathered by interviewers from the operators (usually managers or owners) of businesses and other participating organizations. Because they are based on sample surveys rather than complete enumerations, all results are estimates.

Sample design and size

For the purposes of sample selection, Washington was segmented into geographical units known to have contained at least four but not more than six commercial establishments, whether retail, service, or a combination of the two kinds. Establishments of other types were not taken into consideration in designing the sample; nevertheless, visually recognizable establishments of all types and selected nonbusiness organizations located within each segment during the field survey were eligible for inclusion in the sample. Segments already being sampled in connection with the nationwide commercial victimization survey were excluded from the sample.

A total of 1,812 commercial establishments (including other organizations) was considered eligible for inclusion in the sample. Of these, 283 were found to be out of business at the time of the field

interviews, no longer operating at the designated address, or otherwise unqualified to participate. At one other establishment it was impossible to conduct an interview because the operator could not be reached, declined to participate in the survey, or was otherwise not available. Therefore, interviews were taken in 1,528 establishments, and the overall rate of response among those qualified to participate was 99.9 percent.

Estimation procedure

Data records produced by the survey interviews were assigned final weights, applied to each usable data record, enabling the tabulation of city-wide estimates of victimization data. The final weight was the product of the following elements: (1) a basic weight, reflecting each selected establishment's probability of being in the sample; (2) an adjustment for noninterviews; and (3') a factor to account for establishments which were in operation during only part of the survey reference period.

The noninterview adjustment was equal to the total number of data records required for each particular kind of business divided by the number of usable records actually collected. The factor to account for establishments that were not in operation during the entire 12-month time frame was applied only to the number of incidents involving such businesses and not the complete inventory of those establishments. This factor was obtained by multiplying the basic weight of each part-year operator by 12 and dividing the resulting product by the number of months the establishment was active during the reference period. Then, the result was multiplied by the ratio of required records divided by the number of usable records, the result being applied to the record of each part-year operator.

Reliability of estimates

As indicated, statistical data presented in this publication concerning the criminal victimization of commercial establishments are estimates that were derived through probability sampling methods rather than from complete enumeration. The sample used was only one of many of equal size that could have been selected within the city, utilizing the same sample design. Although the results obtained from any two samples might differ markedly, the average of a number of different samples would be expected to be in near agreement with the results of a complete enumeration using the same data collection procedures and processing methods. Similarly, the results obtained by averaging data from a number of subsamples of the whole sample would be expected to give an order of magnitude of the variance between any single subsample and the grouping of subsamples. Such a technique, known as the random group method, was used for calculating the coefficients of variation, or relative errors, for estimates generated by the survey. Because the relative errors are the products of calculations involving estimates derived through sampling, each error in turn is subject to sampling variability.

As in the household survey, estimates on crimes against businesses are subject to nonsampling errors, principal among these being the problem of recalling victimizations applicable to the 12 months prior to interview. Because of a number of factors, however, these errors probably were less prevalent in the commercial survey than they were in the household survey. These factors include the greater likelihood of recordkeeping and of reporting to the police by businesses, as well as the concentration of the survey on two of the more serious crimes, burglary and robbery. Unlike the national sample of the commercial victimization surveys, the city samples have not incorporated a bounding procedure to minimize nonsampling errors attributable to telescoping.

In addition to those relating to victim recall ability, nonsampling errors may have arisen from deficient interviewing and from data processing mistakes. However, quality control measures comparable to those used in the household survey were adopted to minimize such errors.

Commercial survey estimates based on about 10 or fewer sample cases have been considered un-

reliable. Such estimates are qualified in footnotes to the data tables. The minimum estimate considered sufficiently reliable to serve as a base for statistics on commercial crimes was 150.

The numbers of commercial victimizations and the control figures (bases) shown parenthetically in Data Table 85 have been rounded to the nearest hundredth. However, all relative figures (whether rates or percentages) were calculated from unrounded figures.

Relative error tables and calculations

In order to measure sampling variability associated with selected results of the commercial survey, relative errors are presented on two tables in this appendix. Generalized standard errors, such as those developed in connection with the household survey, were not calculated. Instead, the tables display actual calculations of relative errors from the sample observations for estimated values pertaining to selected characteristics of business establishments. Table IV applies to the estimated level of victimizations, and Table V relates to victimization rates for each of the measured crimes. Although the relative errors listed on those tables partially gauge the effect of nonsampling error, they do not take into account any biases that may be inherent in the survey results. For estimated values not shown on Tables IV and V, rough approximations of relative errors may be made by utilizing the relative errors for similar figures having bases of comparable size.

When used in conjunction with the survey results, the relative error tables permit the construction of intervals containing the average results of all possible samples with a prescribed level of confidence. Chances are about 68 out of 100 that any given survey result would differ from results that would be obtained from a complete enumeration using the same procedures by less than the relative error displayed in the tables. Doubling the interval increases the confidence level to 95 chances out of 100 that the estimated value would differ from the results of a complete count by less than twice the relative error.

To illustrate the computation and significance of these ranges, assume that one wished to test the extent of sampling variability surrounding the 8,600 commercial burglaries estimated to have occurred in Washington. Referring to Table IV, it is found that the relative error associated with the unrounded form of that figure (8,582) is 20.4 percent. Multiplying 8,582 by .204 yields 1,751. Therefore, the 68 percent confidence level for the estimated number of incidents would be 6,831 to 10,333. If similar confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size,

about two-thirds of these would contain the results of a complete enumeration using the same methodology. Alternatively, for a single sample, the confidence level would be about 68 out of 100 that the calculated interval would contain the results that would have been generated by a complete enumeration. If the interval were to be doubled, then the chances would be increased to 95 out of 100 that the resulting interval, in this case 5,080 to 12,084, would contain the total that would have been obtained from a complete tally.

The calculated figure (1,751) is the standard error of the estimated 8,582 burglaries (shown as 8,600 on Data Table 85).

Table IV. Relative errors for estimated number of commercial victimizations, by characteristics of establishments and type of crime

(68 chances out of 100)

Type of crime	Estimated num	Relative error		
Burglary Completed burglary Attempted burglary		8,582 5,895 2,687		20.4% 20.6% 32.9%
Robbery Completed robbery Attempted robbery		2,291 1,827 464		11.5% 11.8% 27.2%

Table V. Relative errors for estimated commercial victimization rates, by characteristics of establishments and type of crime

(68 chances out of 100)

	Burglar	У		Robbery			
Characteristic	Estimated rate per 1,000 establishments	Relative error	Estimated rate per 1,000 establishments	Relative error			
Kind of establishment All establishments Retail Wholesale Service	330 517 929 246	15.0% 19.4% 66.3% 13.1%	88 176 124 55	17.6% 10.4% 94.5% 32.4%			
Gross annual receipts Less than \$10,000 \$10,000-\$24,999 \$25,000-\$49,999 \$50,000-\$99,999 \$100,000-\$499,999 \$500,000-\$999,999 \$1,000,000 or more No sales Not available	255 322 248 324 313 683 309 228	21.5% 13.6% 24.7% 31.3% 19.5% 43.3% 41.2% 32.0% 22.4%	173 85 98 64 74 116 85 125 102	23.0% 32.4% 39.9% 30.5% 38.2% 25.5% 28.0% 42.5% 27.6%			

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

APPENDIX IV TECHNICAL NOTES

Information provided in this appendix is designed to aid in understanding the report's selected findings and, more broadly, to assist data users in interpreting statistics in the data tables. The notes address general concepts as well as potential problem areas, but do not purport to cover all data elements or problems. The Glossary of terms should be consulted for definitions of crime categories, variables, and other terms used in the data tables and selected findings.

General

Throughout this report, victimizations are the basic units of measure. A victimization is a specific criminal act as it affects a single victim, whether a person, household, or place of business. For crimes against persons, however, some survey results are presented on the basis of incidents, not victimizations. An incident is a specific criminal act involving one or more victims and one or more offenders. For many specific categories of personal crime, victimizations outnumber incidents, a difference that stems from two contingencies: (1) some crimes were simultaneously committed against more than one person, and (2) certain personal crimes may have occurred during the course of a commercial burglary or robbery. Thus, for each personal victimization reported to survey interviewers, it was determined whether others were victimized at the same time and place and whether the offense happened during a commercial crime. A weighting adjustment in the estimation procedure (see Appendix II) protected against the double counting of incidents. If, for example, two customers were assaulted during the course of a store holdup, the event would have been classified as a single commercial robbery, not as an incident of personal assault. With respect to crimes against households and businesses, there is no distinction between victimizations and incidents, as each criminal act against targets of either type were assumed to have involved a single victim, the affected household or business. In fact, the terms "victimization" and "incident" can be used interchangeably in analyzing data on household and commercial crimes.

As indicated with respect to personal crimes, victimization data are more appropriate than incident data for the study of the effects, or consequences, of crime experiences upon the individual victim. They also are better suited for assessing victim reactions to criminal attack and for examining victim perceptions of offender attributes. Thus, in addition to serving as a key element in computing victimization rates, victimization counts are used for developing information on victim injury and medical care, economic losses, time lost from work, victim self-protection, offender characteristics, and reporting to police. On the other hand, incident data are more adequate for the examination of the circumstances surrounding the occurrence of personal crimes. Accordingly, data concerning the time and place of occurrence of such offenses, as well as the use of weapons and number of victims and offenders, are based on incidents. In the hypothetical case given above, therefore, the rate data for personal assault would reflect the attack on each customer, and other victimization tables would incorporate details concerning the outcome of the crime for each person, such as any injuries, damage to clothing, and loss of time from work.

For data tables on crimes against persons, the table titles stipulate whether victimizations or incidents are the relevant units of measure.

Victim characteristics

A variety of attributes of victimized persons, households, and commercial establishments appear on victimization rate tables. The rates, or measures of the occurrence of crime, are computed by dividing the number of victimizations associated with a speci-

Technical Notes

fic crime, or grouping of crimes, by the number of persons, households, or businesses under consideration. For crimes against persons, the rates are based on the total number of individuals age 12 and over, or on a portion of that population sharing a particular characteristic or set of traits. Household crimes are regarded as being directed against the household as a unit rather than against the individual members; in calculating a rate, therefore, the denominator of the fraction consists of the number of households in question. Similarly, the rates for each of the two crimes against commercial establishments are related to the number of businesses being examined.

As indicated previously, victimizations of households and businesses, unlike those of persons, cannot involve more than one victim during a specific criminal act. However, repeated victimizations of individuals, households, and commercial establishments can and do occur. As general indicators of the danger of having been victimized during the reference period, the rates are not sufficiently refined to represent true measures of risk for specific individuals, households, and business places. In other words, they do not reflect variations in the degree of risk of repeated, or multiple, victimizations; and, because of the manner in which they are calculated, the rates in effect apportion multiple victimizations among the population at large, thereby distorting somewhat the risk that any single person, household, or business had of being victimized.

Reporting to the police

The police may have learned about criminal victimizations directly from the victim or from someone else, such as another household member or a bystander, or because they were on (or happened upon) the scene at the time of the crime. In the data tables, however, the means by which police learned of the crime are not distinguished, the overall proportion made known to them being of primary concern.

Interviewers recorded all reasons cited by respondents for not reporting crimes to the police. Data tables on this topic distribute all reasons for each non-report, and no determination has been made of the primary reason, if any, for not reporting the crime.

Time and place of occurrence

For each of the measured crimes against persons, households, and businesses, data on when the offenses occurred were obtained for three broad time intervals: the daytime hours (6 a.m. to 6 p.m.); the first half of nighttime (6 p.m. to midnight); and the second half of nighttime (midnight to 6 a.m.).

Regarding data from the household survey, tables on place of occurrence distinguish six kinds of sites, two of which cover the respondent's home and its immediate vicinity. For certain offenses not involving contact between victim and offender, the classification of crimes is determined on the basis of their place of occurrence. Thus, by definition, most household burglaries happen at principal residences, with a small percentage at second homes or at places occupied temporarily, such as hotels and motels. Personal larceny without contact and household larceny are differentiated from one another solely on the basis of where the crimes occur. Whereas the latter transpire only in the home and its immediate environs, the former can take place at any other location. In order to have been classified as a household larceny within the victim's own home, the offense had to have been committed by a person (or persons) admitted to the residence, or by someone having customary access to it, such as a deliveryman, servant, acquaintance, or relative. Otherwise, the crime would have been classified as a household burglary, or as a personal robbery if force or its threat were used. Commercial burglaries can take place only on the premises of business firms; however, commercial robberies can occur away from the premises, or even outside the city limits, such as during the holdup of sales or delivery personnel away from the establishment.

For personal and household crimes, and in addition to information on the sites of occurrence, data are presented on the "geographical area" of occurrence. The tables distinguish between offenses that happened within the city of residence; inside another central city; and elsewhere (suburbs and nonmetropolitan places). Entries under the last two categories reflect two circumstances: (1) crimes that took place when the victims were temporarily away from their residence, such as vacationing, visiting or shopping in the suburbs, or while away on business;

and (2) crimes that took place within the reference period but at a time when the victim lived at a place other than the city being surveyed.

Number of victims and offenders

As noted previously, the number of individuals victimized in each personal crime is a key element for computing rates of victimization and other data on the impact of crime. However, the data table specifically concerning the number of individual victims per crime is based on incidents.

Two tables, also based on incidents, display data on the number of offenders involved in personal crimes of violence. In the sequence of survey questions on characteristics of offenders, the lead question concerned the number of offenders. If the victim did not know how many offenders took part in the incident, no further questions were asked about offender characteristics, and the crime was classified as having involved strangers. The terms "stranger" and "nonstranger" are defined in the Glossary.

Perceived characteristics of offenders

Some of the tables on this subject display data on the offenders only and others cover both victims and offenders. The characteristics examined are age and race. As with most information developed from this survey, offender attributes are based solely on the victim's perceptions and ability to recall the crime. Because the events often were stressful experiences, resulting in confusion or physical harm to the victim, it was likely that data concerning offender characteristics were more subject than other survey findings to distortion arising from erroneous responses. Many of the crimes probably occurred under somewhat vague circumstances, especially those at night. Furthermore, it is possible that victim preconceptions, or prejudices, at times may have influenced the attribution of offender characteristics. If victims tended to misidentify a particular trait (or a set of them) more than others, bias would have been introduced into the findings, and no method has been developed for determining the existence and effect of such bias.

In the relevant data tables, a distinction is made between "single-offender" and "multiple-offender" crimes, with the latter classification applying to those committed by two or more persons. As applied to multiple-offender crimes, the category "mixed ages" refers to cases in which the offenders in any single incident were classifiable under more than one age group; similarly, the term "mixed races" applies to situations in which the offenders were members of more than a single racial group.

Weapons use by offenders

For personal crimes of violence and commercial robbery, information was gathered on whether or not the victims observed that the offenders were armed, and, if so, the types of weapons concerned. For purposes of tabulation and analysis, the mere presence of a weapon constituted "use." In other words, the term "weapons use" applies both to situations in which weapons served for purposes of intimidation, or threat, and to those in which they actually were employed as instruments of physical attack.

In addition to firearms and knives, the data tables distinguish "other" weapons and those of unknown types. The category "other" refers to such objects as clubs, stones, bricks, and bottles. A difference exists, however, in the manner in which the types of weapons were classified in the personal and commercial sectors. For each personal crime of violence by an armed offender, the type, or types, of weapons present were recorded, not the number of weapons. For instance, if offenders wielded two firearms and a knife during a personal robbery, the crime would have been classified as one in which weapons of each type were used. With respect to each robbery of a business in which weapons of more than one type were observed, only the most lethal type was recorded. Thus, for example, if offenders used two firearms and a knife in robbing a store, the crime would have been classified as one in which firearms were used; a single entry would have been made under the category "firearms."

Victim self-protection

With reference to personal crimes of violence, information was obtained on whether or not victims

tried to avoid or thwart attack, and, if so, the measures they took. The following reactions, ranging from nonviolent to forcible, were considered self-protection measures: reasoning with the offender; fleeing from the offender; screaming or yelling for help; hitting, kicking, or scratching the offender; and using or brandishing a weapon. The pertinent tables distribute all measures, if any, employed by victims in each crime, no determination having been made of the single most important measure.

Victim injury and economic loss

Information was gathered concerning the injuries sustained by the victims of each of the three personal crimes of violence. However, during the preparation of this report, the requisite data were not available for calculating the proportion of rape victimizations in which victims were injured. Therefore, information on the percent of crimes in which victims were harmed is confined to personal robbery and assault. For each of these crimes, the types of injuries concerned are described in the Glossary, under "Physical injury."

Victims who had been injured furnished data on hospitalization and on medical expenses. With regard to medical expenses, the data tables are based solely on information from victims who knew with certainty that such expenses were incurred and also knew, or were able to estimate, their amount. By excluding victims unaware of such outlays, and of their amount, the utility of the data is somewhat restricted. Although data were unavailable on the proportion of rapes attended by victim injury, information relating to hospitalization and medical

costs were available on that crime; these results are reflected in the appropriate data tables.

With respect to economic losses incurred by persons, households, and commercial establishments, the data tables make distinctions between crimes resulting in "theft and or damage loss" and "theft loss" only. Table titles specify the applicable category of loss. The term "theft loss" refers to stolen cash, property, or both, whereas "damage" pertains to property only. Items categorized as having "no monetary value" could include losses of trivial, truly valueless objects, or of ones having considerable sentimental importance. References to losses "recovered" apply to compensation received by victims for theft losses, as well as to restoration of stolen property or cash, although no distinction is made as to the manner of recovery. For assault, information on economic losses relates solely to property damage, because assaults attended by theft are classified as robbery. Similarly, there was no attempt to measure attempted pocket picking; by definition, therefore, all pocket pickings had the outcome of theft loss, and there may have been some cases with property damage.

For all crimes reported to interviewers, the surveys determined whether persons lost time from work after the experience, and, if so, the length of time involved. With respect to crimes against persons and households, the survey did not record the identity of the household member (or members) who lost work time, although it may be assumed that, for most personal offenses, it probably was the victim who sustained the loss. For commercial burglary and robbery, data on loss of time from work was applicable to owners, operators, and employees of the entities concerned.

GLOSSARY

- Age—The appropriate age category is determined by each respondent's age as of the last day of the month preceding the interview.
- Aggravated assault—Attack with a weapon resulting in any injury and attack without a weapon resulting either in serious injury (e.g., broken bones, loss of teeth, internal injuries, loss of consciousness) or in undetermined injury requiring 2 or more days of hospitalization. Also includes attempted assault with a weapon.
- Annual family income—Includes the income of the household head and all other related persons residing in the same housing unit. Covers the 12 months preceding the interview and includes wages, salaries, net income from business or farm, pensions, interest, dividends, rent, and any other form of monetary income. The income of persons unrelated to the head of household is excluded.
- Assault—An unlawful physical attack, whether aggravated or simple, upon a person. Includes attempted assaults with or without a weapon. Excludes rape and attempted rape, as well as attacks involving theft or attempted theft, which are classified as robbery.
- Attempted forcible entry—A form of burglary in which force is used in an attempt to gain entry.
- Burglary—Unlawful or forcible entry of a residence or business, usually, but not necessarily, attended by theft. Includes attempted forcible entry.
- Central city—The largest city (or "twin cities") of a standard metropolitan statistical area (\$^45A), defined below.
- Commercial crimes—Burglary or robbery of business establishments and certain other organizations, such as those engaged in religious, political, or cultural activities. Includes both completed and attempted acts. Additional details concerning entities covered by the commercial survey appear in the introduction to Appendix III.
- Forcible entry—A form of burglary in which force is used to gain entry (e.g., by breaking a window or slashing a screen).

- Head of household—For classification purposes, only one individual per household can be the head person. In husband-wife households, the husband arbitrarily is considered to be the head. In other households, the head person is the individual so regarded by its members; generally, that person is the chief breadwinner.
- Household—Consists of the occupants of separate living quarters meeting either of the following criteria: (1) Persons, whether present or temporarily absent, whose usual place of residence is the housing unit in question, or (2) Persons staying in the housing unit who have no usual place of residence elsewhere.
- Household crimes—Burglary or larceny of a residence, or motor vehicle theft. Includes both completed and attempted acts.
- Household larceny—Theft or attempted theft of property or cash from a residence or its immediate vicinity. Forcible entry, attempted forcible entry, or unlawful entry is not involved.
- Incident—A specific criminal act involving one or more victims and offenders. In situations where a personal crime occurred during the course of a commercial burglary or robbery, it was assumed that the commercial victimization survey accounted for the incident and, therefore, it was not counted as an incident of personal crime. However, details of the outcome of the event as they related to the victimized individual would be reflected in data on personal victimizations.
- Kind of establishment—Determined by the sole or principal activity at each place of business.
- Larceny—Theft or attempted theft of property or cash without force. A basic distinction is made between personal larceny and household larceny.
- Marital status—Each household member is assigned to one of the following categories: (1) Married, which includes persons joined in common-law unions and those parted temporarily for reasons other than marital discord (employment, military service, etc.); (2) Separated and divorced. Separated includes married persons who have a

legal separation or have parted because of marital discord; (3) Widowed; and (4) Never married, which includes those whose only marriage has been annulled and those living together (excluding common-law unions).

Motor vehicle—Includes automobiles, trucks, motorcycles, and any other motorized vehicles legally allowed on public roads and highways.

Motor vehicle theft—Stealing or unauthorized taking of a motor vehicle, including attempts at such acts.

Nonstranger—With respect to crimes entailing direct contact between victim and offender, victimizations (or incidents) are classified as having involved nonstrangers if victim and offender are related, well known to, or casually acquainted with one another. In crimes involving a mix of stranger and nonstranger offenders, the events are classified under nonstranger. The distinction between stranger and nonstranger crimes is not made for personal larceny without contact, an offense in which victims rarely see the offender.

Offender—The perpetrator of a crime; the term generally is applied in relation to crimes entailing contact between victim and offender.

Offense—A crime; with respect to personal crimes, the two terms can be used interchangeably irrespective of whether the applicable unit of measure is a victimization or an incident.

Personal crimes—Rape, robbery of persons, assault, personal larceny with contact, or personal larceny without contact. Includes both completed and attempted acts.

Personal crimes of theft—Theft or attempted theft of property or cash, either with contact (but without force or threat of force) or without direct contact between victim and offender. Equivalent to personal larceny.

Personal crimes of violence—Rape, robbery of persons, or assault. Includes both completed and attempted acts.

Personal larceny—Equivalent to personal crimes of theft. A distinction is made between personal larceny with contact and personal larceny without contact.

Personal larceny with contact—Theft of purse, wallet, or cash, by stealth directly from the person of the victim, but without force or the threat of force. Also includes attempted purse snatching.

Personal larceny without contact—Theft or attempted theft, without direct contact between victim and offender, of property or cash from any place other than the victim's home or its immediate vicinity. In rare cases, the victim sees the offender during the commission of the act.

Physical injury—The term is applicable to each of the three personal crimes of violence, although data on the proportion of rapes resulting in victim injury were not available during the preparation of this report. For personal robbery and attempted robbery with injury, a distinction is made between injuries from "serious assault" and "minor assault." Examples of injuries from serious assault include broken bones, loss of teeth, internal injuries, and loss of consciousness, or undetermined injuries requiring 2 or more days of hospitalization; injuries from minor assault include bruises, black eyes, cuts, scratches, and swelling, or undetermined injuries requiring less than 2 days of hospitalization. For assaults resulting in victim injury, the degree of harm governs classification of the event. The same elements of injury applicable to robbery with injury from serious assent also pertain to aggravated assault with injury; similarly, the same types of injuries for robbery with injury from minor assault are relevant to simple assault with injury.

Simple assault—Attack without a weapon resulting either in minor injury (e.g., bruises, black eyes, cuts, scratches, swelling) or in undetermined injury requiring less than 2 days of hospitalization. Also includes attempted assault without a weapon.

Standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA)—Except in the New England States, a standard metropolitan statistical area is a county or group of contiguous counties that contains at least one city of 50,000 inhabitants or more, or "twin cities" with a combined population of at least 50,000. In addition to the county, or counties, containing such a city or cities, contiguous counties are included in an SMSA if, according to certain criteria, they are socially and economically integrated with the central city. In the New England States, SMSA's consist of towns and cities instead of counties. Each SMSA must include at least one central city, and the complete title of an SMSA identifies the central city or cities.

Stranger—With respect to crimes entailing direct contact between victim and offender, victimizations (or incidents) are classified as involving strangers if the victim so stated, or did not see or recognize the offender, or knew the offender only by sight. In crimes involving a mix of stranger and nonstranger offenders, the events are classified under nonstranger. The distinction between stranger and nonstranger crimes is not made for personal larceny without contact, an offense in which victims rarely see the offender.

Tenure—Two forms of household tenancy are distinguished: (1) Owned, which includes dwellings being bought through mortgage, and (2) Rented, which also includes rent-free quarters belonging to a party other than the occupant and situations where rental payments are in kind or in services.

Unlawful entry—A form of burglary committed by someone having no legal right to be on the premises even though force is not used.

Victim—The recipient of a criminal act; usually used in relation to personal crimes, but also applicable to households and commercial establishments.

Victim self-protection measures—For each victimization involving a personal crime of violence, victim reactions of the following types are construed to be self-protection measures: hitting, kicking, or scratching the offender; reasoning with the offender; screaming or yelling for help;

fleeing from the offender; and/or using or brandishing a weapon.

Victimization—A specific criminal act as it affects a single victim, whether a person, household, or commercial establishment. In criminal acts against persons, the number of victimizations is determined by the number of victimis of such acts; ordinarily, the number of victimizations is somewhat higher than the number of incidents because more than one individual is victimized during certain incidents, as well as because personal victimizations that occurred in conjunction with either commercial burglary or robbery are not counted as incidents of personal crime. Each criminal act against a household or commercial establishment is assumed to involve a single victim, the affected household or establishment.

Victimization rate—For crimes against persons, the victimization rate, a measure of occurrence among population groups at risk, is computed on the basis of the number of victimizations per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over. For crimes against households, victimization rates are calculated on the basis of the number of incidents per 1,000 households. And, for crimes against commercial establishments, victimization rates are derived from the number of incidents per 1,000 establishments.

Victimize—To perpetrate a crime against a person, household, or commercial establishment.

☆U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 241-090/110

END