R-76-174

NCJRS

OCT 6 1976

ACQUISITIONS

LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION POLICE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REPORT

SUBJECT:

Cranston, Rhode Island; Planning for the Development of a Mini-Computer Based Police Information System - SUPPLEMENT

REPORT NUMBER:

76-93b

FOR:

Cranston, Rhode Island, Police Department City Population: 74,287 (1970)

76,156 (1975 est.)

Police Strength (Sworn): 121

Total: 140

City Area:

28.2 square miles

69898

ACTOR:

Westinghouse Justice Institute

LTANT:

Philip D. DeWitt

ACT NUMBER:

J-LEAA-003-76

September 1976

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Pore	word	iii
l.	Introduction	1-1
2.	Understanding of the Problem	2-1
3. 4.	Analysis of the Problem 3.1 Evaluation of Proposals 3.2 Certainty of Funding 3.3 Contract Form and Content Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendations	3-1 3-1 3-1 3-1 4-1
	APPENDICES	
Α.	Request for Proposal Cranston Police Computer Information System	A-1
В.	Pre-Bid Conference Correspondence, Agenda, and Related Materials	B-1

FOREWORD

This request for Technical Assistance was made by the Cranston, Rhode Island, Police Department. The requested assistance was concerned with reviewing a Request for Proposal (RFP) for a police information system and, at a later date, reviewing and providing guidance in the vendor evaluation process.

In an earlier report (No. 76-93a, dated May 1976), the findings and recommendations pertaining to the police information system's specifications and the RFP draft were presented. This report offers the Consultant's findings as a result of returning to Cranston, where the responses to the RFP were evaluated.

Requesting Agencies:

Cranston Police Department,

Mr. E. J. Macioci, Planning Director

State Planning Agency:

Governor's Justice Commission, Mr.

P. J. Fingliss, Executive Director, Mr. D.P. Flemming, Director of Criminal Justice

Flemming, Director of Criminal Justice Information and Communications Systems

Approving Agency:

LEAA Region I (Boston),

Mr. John M. Keeley, Police Specialist; Mr. Alfred G. Zappala, Systems Specialist

1. INTRODUCTION

The Cranston Police Department has long contemplated the acquisition of computer assistance for records and information management and retrieval. In this respect, the Department is in the vanguard of Rhode Island law enforcement agencies.

The interest of the Police Department led to the submission of a grant application and an award from the Governor's Justice Commission to move towards a mini-computer system. With one-half of the award in hand, the Department moved forward to define their needs clearly and issue an RFP.

The Cranston Police Department requested Police Technical Assistance services in two categories: First, to review and evaluate the RFP; second, at a later date, to review the evaluation of proposals and vendor selection.

An earlier report (No. 76-93a, dated May 1976) described the work performed and the recommendations developed during the Consultant's previous onsite visit; and, to the extent possible, included the consequences of local decisions made up to the date of that report's preparation.

While providing technical assistance at that time, the Consultant met with the following personnel:

- Chief of Police George J. Coffey.
- Mr. Edward J. Macioci, Planning Director, Cranston Police Department.
- Mr. Francis J. Kelly, Chief Clerk, Cranston Police Department.
- Mr. Donald P. Flemming, Governor's Justice Commission.
- Mr. Edward N. Falls, Data Processing Director, Cranston School Department.

In addition, the Consultant had the opportunity to speak briefly with a number of uniformed officers. All appeared to have a positive and receptive attitude towards systems implementation.

In order to provide the second category of technical assistance, the Consultant returned to Cranston for a 2-day period. This report contains a review of the proposed evaluation process and also presents a brief summary of events that occurred between the times of the two site visits.

During the period of the second site visit, the Consultant met with the following personnel:

- Mr. Edward J. Macioci, Planning Director, Cranston Police Department.
- Mr. Francis J. Kelley, Chief Clerk, Cranston Police Department.
- Mr. Edward N. Falls, Data Processing Director, Cranston School Department.
- o Mr. Dennis Salisbury, Governor's Justice Commission.
- Mr. Richard E. Linnekin, Wang Laboratories, Inc.

2. UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROBLEM

The technical assistance rendered during the first site visit led to the composition of the RFP as shown in Appendix A.

Because of City purchasing procedural details, issuance of the RFP was delayed until resultant contract negotiations could take place after the second-year project funding was approved by the Governor's Justice Commission.

Accordingly, the procurement was advertised on and after June 28, 1976. The RFP was also mailed directly to a list of 54 potential vendors.

A pre-bid conference was scheduled and held in Cranston on July 20, 1976. Seventeen vendors were in attendance. The agenda for that conference and material related to it are included in Appendix B.

The date for submission of proposals was August 17, 1976. Three proposals were received by the time of closing.

An evaluation panel, including representation by the Governor's Justice Commission, began a review process for each proposal, which included reference checking, scoring the technical merits of each proposal, and (in the one case offered) an examination of the proposed system modules in a live demonstration.

Copies of each proposal were also reviewed independently by the Consultant. Because one of the vendors claimed a comprehensive proprietary interest in the entire proposal, itemized comparisons of the three cannot be presented in this report.

The conclusions reached by the evaluation panel was also the one reached by the Consultant, based upon available evidence. While it was not the aim of the Consultant to sway the evaluation process, considerable satisfaction was derived from the uniform consensus.

The remaining issues for systems procurement were then seen to be:

- The degree of certainty for second-year project funding (a formality for contract award).
- The contract form and content, particularly the provisions for services in future years and the conditions for systems acceptance.

3. ANALYSIS OF THE PROBLEM

3.1 Evaluation of Proposals

It was the opinion of the Consultant that only one of the proposals was fully responsive to the RFP. The remaining two proposals failed to demonstrate the vendors' understanding of the problem or relevant experience. One of the proposals exceeded a clearly stated maximum amount for award and then failed to invoke the privilege for submitting an alternative proposal (the RFP stipulated that in the event a responsive proposal could not be tendered within the cost ceiling, an alternative proposal could be submitted if it clearly identified all departures from the requirements).

The evaluation panel working independently, but with even more background information than the Consultant, arrived at a similar conclusion.

3.2 Certainty of Funding

The City of Cranston has yet only received one-half of the funds from the 2-year grant application, which will defray the costs of this system's acquisition. It is a concern of the City that funds not be committed (e.g., by contract) that may never materialize. Because of this concern, the release of the RFP was postponed for approximately 1 month; and the successful vendor's payment was made conditioned upon second-year funding (this caveat was in the RFP).

The severity of this problem (from the City's viewpoint or as passed through to the vendor) is minimal. A letter from the Governor's Justice Commission to Chief George Coffey, dated July 21, 1976, stated in part:

At a meeting on July 14, 1976, the Supervisory Board of the Governor's Justice Commission approved \$58,500 of advance fiscal year 1977 funds for the second phase of your computerized Police Information System.

. . . you may utilize (this) letter as a commitment . . . that, provided that LEAA is refunded in fiscal year 1977, the City of Cranston will receive \$58,500 . . .

LEAA has, of course, been refunded; and with such a strong commitment from the Governor's Justice Commission, funding for phase two is as close to a certainty as possible for any future event. Thus, this issue should not cause any reservations on the part of the City or the successful vendor during contract negotiations.

3.3 Contract Form and Content

The RFP stipulated a fixed-price procurement, yet encouraged the vendor to quote some severable options within the proposal (e.g., 8 hour/day vs. 24 hour/day maintenance service). The evaluation panel had to

consider which options to select and which to sever while framing their final award recommendation.

It was the decision of the evaluation panel to accept the options as proposed, except for the level of maintenance availability, and the lesser 8 hour/day level was elected.

It was also recognized that the cost of service, parts, and supplies in future years should be tied to some benchmark to simplify both the budgeting of the Police Department and the renegotiation of maintenance and supply contracts. The vendor chosen by the evaluation panel has agreed to a contract provision that future prices shall be GSA equivalents at the effective dates of renegotiation.

Detailed inspection of the RFP and the chosen proposal revealed significant remaining latitude, or looseness, in the definition of system acceptance criteria. For the protection of both City and vendor, these criteria should be restated explicitly in the eventual contract.

4. FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The RFP, as finally issued, in combination with the pre-bid conference material and dialogue, formed a reasonable and accurate statement of the needs and priorities of the Cranston Police Department, which in turn adheres to the intent of the supporting award.

The City pursued every feasible avenue for broadcasting the RFP and making known its intent to acquire a system. The time allowance before closing was more than sufficient to enable prospective vendors to prepare their proposals.

There is no reasonable cause, in the mind of the Consultant, for the selection of the evaluation panel to be questioned. The proposal submitted by Wang Laboratories appears, in all respects, to be fully responsive to the RFP and based upon a general understanding of the problems of the Cranston Police Department.

Because of the funding assurances from the Governor's Justice Commission, contract negotiations should commence immediately upon formal designation of the successful vendor by the City's Board of Contract and Purchase.

The principal substance of contract negotiations should be the definition of acceptance criteria for the system, the hardware, and the software. An individual, by name or title, should be designated the person responsible for acceptance.

The resultant contract should itemize, as deliverables, equipment and applications software. Mindful, however, that this is a systems procurement, a clause permitting the substitution of equivalent or superior components should be included, if no increase in cost is required.

Based upon probable need, the City should waive the benefits and associated costs of 24-hour/7-days-per-week maintenance support and apply these funds to supplies, site preparation, and similar expenses.

The eventual contract should incorporate, if possible, the Wang offer to provide any future services and products at GSA rates.

APPENDIX A

Request for Proposal Cranston Police Computer Information System

JAMES L. TAFT, JR. MAYOR



DIVISION OF CONTRACT AND PURCHASE

EARLE S. CROSSMAN

PURCHASING AGENT

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE CITY HALL

CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02910

June 28, 1976

Dear Sirs:

The attached document constitutes a Request For Proposal (RFP) for the City of Cranston, "Cranston Police Computer Information System."

The RFP consists of the following sections:

INTRODUCTION

- I. GENERAL PROVISIONS
- II. HARDWARE REQUIREMENTS
- III. SOFTWARE REQUIREMENTS
- IV. COST DATA
- V. EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

A schedule of activities is listed on p. 8.

A pre-bid conference will be held for all interested vendors in Room 308, Cranston Police Headquarters, 275 Atwood Avenue, Cranston, Rhode Island at 1:00 p.m. on Tuesday, July 20, 1976. If you contemplate bidding, you are strongly urged to attend.

Materials indicating present system outline, including input/output formats will be supplied at the pre-bid conference. Additional material distributed at the pre-bid conference will be considered part of this RFP.

Prior to the pre-bid conference, all questions regarding this RFP must be submitted in writing to the Cranston Department of Police. Only those written questions received on or before July 13, 1976 will be distributed with documented responses at the pre-bid conference to all vendors in attendance.

'Following the date of the pre-bid conference, all questions regarding this RFP must be submitted in writing to the Department of Police. All written questions received after July 13, 1976 will receive individual written responses.

All written questions by any vendor - whether received prior to or after July 13 - and the documented responses of the Cranston Department of Police

will be kept on file at Cranston Police Headquarters for inspection by all vendors. The anonymity of firms submitting written questions will be protected.

All communications should be addressed to Edward J. Macioci, Planning Director, Cranston Department of Police, 275 Atwood Avenue, Cranston, Rhode Island 02920.

The award of any contract by the City of Cranston is conditioned upon the award of the remainder of LEAA funds to the City of Cranston sufficient to complete the project. Therefore, unless and until such funds are received by the City of Cranston, no final action can or will be taken on bids received for the Cranston Police Computer Information System.

Very truly yours,

Earle S. Crossman Purchasing Agent

ESC/ac

CITY OF CRANSTON

"CRANSTON POLICE COMPUTER INFORMATION SYSTEM"

INTRODUCTION

The following specifications and criteria have been written to serve as a basis for prospective vendors to propose equipment and services to perform the described tasks.

These specifications in their entirety constitute a Request For Proposal (RFP) for a turn-key installation of a programmable on-line computer system which will be dedicated to the crime reporting needs of the City of Cranston and the Cranston Department of Police.

The system will be installed at the Cranston Department of Police Headquarters, 275 Atwood Avenue, Cranston, Rhode Island. This system will provide the Cranston Department of Police with the capabilities to rapidly identify all persons, locations, and/or wanted vehicles previously reported as involved in a Cranston police activity in order to convey to the officer previous history of the persons, locations, and/or wanted vehicles for his usage. This system will also provide the Department of Police with computer capabilities to perform police activity related reporting.

INQUIRY/RESPONSE SYSTEM

The planned Inquiry/Response System will allow rapid access to continually updated files by name, incident location, resident address, wanted vehicles, or other options.

1. Dispatcher Terminals (Minimum 2) - a CRT will be installed at each dispatcher desk. The dispatcher will inquire to the master name/location and/or wanted vehicle file of an incident and relate to the officer previous history stored on magnetic disk. The dispatcher will have the option to print hardcopy.

- 2. Transcriptionist Terminals (Minimum 2) a CRT is to be installed at each of the transcriptionist's desks. The transcriptionist will enter the officer's first report; complaint dispatch; supplementary report; accident and arrest data; and teletype (TTY) messages which will be updated to the master name/location file and/or wanted vehicle file. The transcriptionist's terminals will be used for inquiry, response, and updating of on-line data. The transcriptionist will have the option to print hardcopy.
- 3. The computer system, in addition to maintaining the master records needed for inquiring and updating, will log each hard-copy request. At the end of each shift, a program will list on the printer the number of requests for that shift.

INCIDENT REPORTING SYSTEM

The planned Incident Reporting System will function in the following manner:

- 1. As the transcriptionist enters the officer's first report; complaint dispatch; supplementary report; and accident and arrest data to update the master name/location file as indicated above in #2; the system will also update a statistical analysis file(s) to be used for Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) and police annual reports.
- 2. The transcriptionist will also enter traffic violation data to produce desired reports.
- 3. Upon demand or weekly/monthly, the system will be programmed to provide the necessary federal, state, and local reports.

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTING SYSTEM

The planted Administrative Reporting System will function as follows:

1. The transcriptionist will enter by batch each of the following type records:

Police Purchase Orders (Encumbrance Accounting)
BCI File
Police Personnel Roster
LEAA Accounting
LEAA Equipment Inventory

2. By CRT the Department may inquire as to the status of each record, update, and print various reports upon demand.

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

This document provides interested vendors with information to enable them to prepare and submit proposals for consideration by the City of Cranston Board of Contract and Purchase to procure a programmable on-line computer system for the Cranston Department of Police.

2. STANDARD TERMINOLOGY

The terms consultant, consulting firm, contractor or bidder are all referred to as vendor for the purpose of this document.

ISSUING AGENCY

This Request For Proposal is issued for the City of Cranston by the Cranston Board of Contract and Purchase.

4. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT/PAYMENT

The method of procurement shall be outright purchase of the total system.

This is a conditional request for bids. The award of any contract by the City of Cranston is conditioned upon the award of the remainder of LEAA funds to the City of Cranston sufficient to complete the project. Therefore, unless and until such funds are received by the City of Cranston, no final action can or will be taken on bids received for the Cranston Police Computer Information System.

No payment or portion thereof shall be made prior to the final acceptance of the total system by the City of Cranston and the Cranston Department of Police. One (1) full payment shall be made upon the final acceptance of the total system.

5. RESPONSE PREPARATION AND PROPOSAL FORMAT

Each proposal must be in the same format and sequence as the details presented in Part II and Part III of the RFP. The requirements stated herein should be considered mandatory unless otherwise stated. It should be understood that any failure to respond to a specific requirement may be the basis for a vendor being eliminated from consideration.

Unnecessarily elaborate brochures or other presentations beyond that sufficient to present a complete and effective proposal are not desired and may be construed as an indication of the vendor's lack of cost consciousness.

The City of Cranston reserves the right to accept or reject any or all proposals or bids, waive any informalities in the bidding, or accept the bid deemed to be in the best interest of the City.

The City of Cranston will not pay for any information solicited.

The information obtained will be used for determining suitability of equipment, cost information, suitability of vendor.

6. RESPONSE SUBMISSION

Each vendor must submit a minimum of eight (8) copies of his proposal.

The bid must present all cost data clearly and completely, including software, hardware, education, and systems support.

7. INCURRING COSTS

The City of Cranston is not liable for any cost incurred by a vendor in replying to this RFP.

8. MULTIPLE PROPOSALS

Multiple proposals involving the various methods of meeting the objectives may be submitted by each vendor. However, if more than one proposal

is submitted, each must be complete in every respect and marked as Proposal No. 1, Proposal No. 2 etc., on the cover page.

The vendor may, at his discretion, submit alternative proposals, or proposals which deviate from the requirements, <u>Provided</u>: (1) that it is in addition to a proposal fully responsive to the requirements of the RFP; and (2) that the alternative proposal and all deviations from the requirements of the RFP are clearly identified. Alternative proposals may be considered if they do not compromise the needs of the City and the Department of Police and offer clearly superior advantages.

The contemplated maximum amount of this award is \$130,000.00. Vendors are encouraged to develop alternative proposals if complete compliance with the requirements of this RFP cannot be met at this price.

9. ORAL PRESENTATIONS

Vendors shall make oral presentations to supplement proposals <u>if</u> requested by the issuing agency.

10. PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

Any restrictions on the use of data contained within a proposal must be clearly stated in the proposal itself. Proprietary information submitted in response to this RFP will be handled in accordance with applicable procurement regulations of the City of Cranston, the State of Rhode Island Governor's Justice Commission, and the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA) of the U. S. Department of Justice.

11. NEWS RELEASES

News releases pertaining to this procurement or any part of the project shall not be made without prior approval of the City of Cranston.

12. <u>DELIVERY</u>

Delivery of the total system is desired as soon as possible. The vendor must state earliest possible delivery date.

13. ACCEPTANCE OF PROPOSAL CONTENT

The contents of the proposal of the successful vendor will become contractual obligations if acquisition action ensues. Failure of successful vendor to accept these obligations in a contractual agreement may result in cancellation of the award.

14. STANDARD CONTRACT

The City of Cranston reserves the right to incorporate standard City contractual provisions into any contract negotiated as a result of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP and appropriate City of Cranston and Rhode Island State lease and contract laws, terms, and conditions will apply.

15. PRIME CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITIES

The selected vendor will be required to assume responsibility for delivery, installation, and maintenance of all equipment and contracted services whether or not he is the original supplier. Further, the City of Cranston and the Cranston Department of Police will consider the selected vendor to be the sole point of contact with regard to contractual matters, including the performance of services and payment of any and all charges resulting from contract obligations.

The City of Cranston Department of Police prefers that maintenance service on all hardware equipment be provided by one (1) single source, supplier, or firm.

The selected vendor must commit to this project a professional staff

assigned for a reasonable period of time during installation and implementation. The selected vendor must provide an on-site project manager who will be responsible to the Cranston Department of Police. The vendor must provide the name of the individual and must give his relevant experience.

In addition, the vendor is required to provide relevant vendor experience. If subcontractors are engaged, the same information must be provided.

16. STANDARD OF ACCEPTANCE

The selected vendor shall certify in writing to the City of Cranston Department of Police when the system is completed, installed, and ready for use. The acceptance period of thirty (30) consecutive days shall commence on the date of verification of the receipt of notification of the completed system installation to the using agency, at which time operational control becomes the responsibility of the Cranston Department of Police. It is not required that one thirty-day period expire in order for another performance period to begin. To meet the standard of acceptance, the system must operate at an average level of effectiveness of not less than 95% for a period of thirty (30) consecutive days. The average effectiveness level shall be determined by:

Effectiveness = Total Productive Operational Use Time Plus
Associated Down Time

Total Productive Operational Use means all terminals have access to all designated systems and the system in its entirety is operating to meet the user requirements.

All associated down time during the acceptance period must be

documented by the vendor and the Cranston Department of Police personnel.

17. PROGRESS REPORTS

The selected vendor must submit progress reports as requested by the Cranston Department of Police.

18. SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES

Date	Activity
June 28, 1976	Publish RFP/Advertise for bid
July 20, 1976	Pre-bid conference
August 17, 1976	Proposal/bid opening
October 1, 1976	Selection to be made on or before this date
October 19, 1976	Bid proposal awarded
October 29, 1976	Contract signed on or before this date
January 17, 1976	Equipment delivery made on or before this date
March 1, 1977	System acceptance period begins

19. BID RESERVATION

The City of Cranston reserves the right to reject any or all bids, waive any informalities in the bidding, or accept the bid deemed to be in the best interest of the City.

The City reserves the right to make an award without further discussion of the proposals received. Therefore, it is important that the vendor's proposal be submitted initially on the most favorable terms from both the technical and cost standpoints.

The City of Cranston reserves the right to award the contract to the vendor who in the judgment of the City of Cranston proposes equipment that best meets the requirements of the City of Cranston Department of Police, taking into consideration all phases of the proposed equipment.

The successful vendor shall be required to furnish any additional units the City wishes to purchase, lease, or rent above the specified amount stated within a period of one (1) year from the date of contract award at the bid price. See IV. COST DATA.

20. SECURITY STATEMENT

Some of the data elements contained and utilized in this system are of a highly sensitive and confidential nature.

Therefore, vendors shall not - either during the term of their association with the City of Cranston and the Cranston Department of Police
or at any time thereafter - use or disclose to any person, firm, or corporation any information concerning the records, business, or affairs of the
City of Cranston, the Cranston Department of Police, or any other related
agency of the criminal justice system which they may have acquired in the
course of or as incident to their association hereunder.

The proposed system must be in compliance with the United States Department of Justice regulations regarding criminal justice information systems entitled "Department of Justice; Criminal Justice Information Systems - May 20, 1975" (FEDERAL REGISTER; vol. 40, no. 98) and "Department
of Justice; Law Enforcement Assistance Administration; Criminal History
Records: Collection, Storage, and Dissemination of Information - March 19,
1976" (FEDERAL REGISTER; vol. 41, no. 55).

The vendor is required to provide an assurance of this compliance in his proposal. A stipulation of compliance by the selected vendor will become part of the eventual contract.

21. WARRANTY

The vendor shall provide a copy of any warranty/guarantee that

guarantees that all work and materials furnished under this specification other than that covered by OEM supplier's standard warranty shall be free from defects of materials and workmanship for a period of not less than one (1) year from the date of acceptance by the City of Cranston and the Department of Police. The vendor further agrees to repair or replace, at his own expense, all such defective work which becomes defective during the term of this warranty.

It should also be noted that a one (1) year guarantee shall be required for vendor supplied software; the guarantee shall begin at the date of system acceptance by the City of Cranston and the Department of Police. This guarantee shall ensure that the vendor will maintain all vendor supplied software for a period of not less than one (1) year.

Accompanying the warranty shall be a statement as to the availability of parts and qualified personnel to repair the system should system failure occur. The address of the nearest service facilities and qualified service personnel shall be listed accompanying the warranty.

All vendors will be required to furnish a bid bond in the amount of ten (10%) percent of the total system bid price, executed by the bidder and a surety company authorized to do business in the State of Rhode Island as a guarantee of good faith and firm bid for ninety (90) days. No bid may be withdrawn for a period of (90) days subsequent to the opening of bids without the consent of the City of Cranston Board of Contract and Purchase.

The successful vendor shall be required to furnish a performance bond in the amount of one hundred (100%) percent of the total contract price, executed by the bidder and a surety company authorized to do business

in the State of Rhode Island at the time of the execution of the contract. The form and contract of the performance bond shall be subject to legal review. Performance shall be construed to include the delivery, installation, and performance of all equipment and software, required training, manuals, and all documentation agreed upon in the form and content specified in this RFP.

'In the event of the successful vendor's failure to deliver, install,

In the event of the successful vendor's failure to deliver, install, and perform to the satisfaction of the City of Cranston and/or the Cranston Department of Police during the contract period agreed upon, the contracting authorities for the City reserve the right to cancel the contract on written notice at any time and to enforce the provisions of the performance bond.

The City of Cranston Purchasing Agent shall be the custodian of all proposal guarantee submitted pursuant to this invitation. The City of Cranston City Clerk shall be the custodian of the performance bond of the successful vendor.

22. POLICE DEPARTMENT RESPONSIBILITIES

The vendor will include in the proposal the expected assistance of the Cranston Department of Police including required personnel, data files, and supplies.

23. CONVERSION

All present files on magnetic tape and/or cards must be converted to create desired files.

24. PERFORMANCE

The system will not be accepted by the Cranston Department of Police until all tests are performed by Department personnel and these tests are

satisfactory. Refer to standard of acceptance in I. GENERAL PROVISIONS.

25. SYSTEM EXPANDABILITY

The system as proposed by the vendor must be of modular design, capable of supporting a configuration double the size of the initial requirements.

Include a statement describing the impact on the system if the capability is increased.

The vendor must indicate the maximum capabilities of the hardware proposed including its line speed, terminals, core, and auxiliary storage.

26. INPUT/OUTPUT REQUIREMENTS

The input/output data will consist of fixed format messages requiring the update of records, inquiries for a dispatcher, and batch processing of various crime reports. The processor must be capable of handling all inquiries and batch processing within the same system.

27. FILE REQUIREMENTS

The processor must have sufficient direct access to facilitate the inquiring and logging of the master name/location and/or wanted vehicle file, and the file and storage of on-line related data to these master files. The files must be large enough to store two (2) years plus the current year's related data on each name, resident address, and incident location. Additional magnetic surface must be provided to store data for the Incident Reporting System and the Administrative Reporting System as outlined in the application section.

28. MAINTENANCE

The vendor must identify maintenance costs per month and year and must guarantee the quality of service. The vendor is required to include in the bid the following types of maintenance service:

	. 1	
L	. J	
Ĺ	<u> </u>	
Ţ	1	29.
[,		
	1	30
, ,	<u>.</u>]	31
		0.2
	10. 10.	

- -On-call service 24 hours per day, seven (7) days per week
- -On-call service during regular business hours, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., five (5) days per week
- -On-call service during regular business hours, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., seven (7) days per week

The vendor must have a response time not to exceed two (2) hours.

Indicate the required number of hours per day, week, or month needed for scheduled preventive maintenance.

The vendor, or his subcontractor responsible for maintenance, will maintain a technical or manufacturing facility within a 75 mile radius of the Cranston Department of Police to ensure continued service.

29. REFERENCES

The vendor shall describe similar law enforcement applications in which the proposed hardware and software have been used. The vendor should submit name, title, address, and telephone number of persons to contact in each installation. The City and the Department of Police reserve the right to contact each installation indicated in the proposal.

30. QUALIFICATIONS OF PERSONNEL

The vendor shall provide the resumes of various personnel who will be assigned to this project.

31. SITE ASSISTANCE

The vendor must include in his proposal a general description of site environment requirements (size, space, humidity and dust control, equipment heat generation, etc.) necessary for the proposed hardware.

Once the contract is awarded, the selected vendor will be required to advise as to exact specifications and location.

32. TRAINING

The vendor must submit in writing the type and duration of training proposed. All costs for training must be included in the bid.

Minimum training requirements are:

- -The orientation of all interested City officials
- -In-service course in Cranston on computer capabilities for all interested Department personnel
- -In-depth training of terminal operations for all necessary Department personnel
- -In-depth training of computer operations at the vendor's educational site for a minimum of two (2) Department personnel
- "In-depth training on computer languages at the vendor's educational site for a minimum of two (2) Department personnel

33. DOCUMENTATION

The vendor will specify documentation to be included with the system such as hardware manuals and the updating of the operating systems documentation. The vendor will provide two (2) copies of each manual.

34. INSTALLATION

The vendor must include delivery and installation of the hardware in all total hardware cost. The vendor will be responsible for delivery of the equipment, the installation, and damages during shipping and installation.

35. SUPPLIES

The vendor will list with each bid all supplies provided by the vendor necessary for the operation of the computer system and list next to each the prevailing price at the time of the bid.

The vendor will also list under separate schedule all supplies

needed for the operation of the computer but not supplied by the vendor with the prevailing price next to each item.

I. HARDWARE REQUIREMENTS

The Cranston Department of Police requires a programmable integrated on-line computer system to be located at Cranston Police Headquarters, 275 Atwood Avenue, Cranston, Rhode Island. The system will be required to operate 24 hours per day, seven (7) days per week except for periods of preventive maintenance. The system must provide an uptime of no less than 95%.

The system will initially be configured with the following minimum requirements:

Processor: With minimum memory required to complete tasks as described in III. SOFTWARE REQUIREMENTS.

Terminals: Minimum of four (4) CRT's with alpha/numeric keyboards consisting of a minimum of two (2) terminals with hardcopy printer(s) for inquiry only and a minimum of two (2) terminals for inquiry/response and updating with off-line capabilities (tape or disk).

Printer(s): 120-300 lines per minute.

Disk: Magnetic disk with minimum storage necessary to complete the tasks as described in III. SOFT-WARE REQUIREMENTS.

Peripheral: All other peripheral hardware necessary to meet application requirements.

The vendor will provide all connections necessary to connect a minimum of four (4) terminals which may be up to 500 feet from the computer system.

The vendor will provide a system with on-line usage in foreground and batch processing usage in background. The system must have remote job entry capabilities. The system should be capable of supporting the following

able of supporting. III. SOFTWARE REQUIREMENTS

remote units: CRT's, typewriter terminals, printers, plotters, magnetic tape, and remote job entry terminals.

The vendor must also indicate the number of lines the processor is capable of supporting.

Since the City expects a steady increase in the number of terminals and volume, the software must be modular in design and easily modified.

The vendor, whether the producer of the software or hardware, will be required to assume sole responsibility for delivery, installation, and maintenance of the software in his proposal.

As noted earlier, experience by the vendor in the law enforcement environment should be described, including references that can be contacted. The software proposed must be fully tested and in operational status by the start of the acceptance period. Refer to I. GENERAL PROVISIONS of this Request For Proposal for the start of acceptance date and for the standards of acceptance.

The following is a description of the system software requirements that will be divided into three (3) parts: the operating system software, the application software, and vendor support. All requirements are mandatory: therefore, the vendor must be prepared to satisfy all requirements. The vendor must describe in detail how the software will meet each requirement.

1. OPERATING SYSTEM SOFTWARE

The system must have a complete operating system that is fully tested and operational. The vendor must supply a general programming language, (BASIC. RPG, or similar language) and also supply system

support programs. It is strongly suggested that all programs written for the system be in a similar language(s). The vendor must supply a complete description of the language or languages and other system support programs, and list any additional charges above hardware and application software costs.

The vendor must indicate in his proposal the percentage of total magnetic disk capacity required for system support programs.

The operating system must include but need not be limited to the following capabilities:

- -Provide a completely automated operating system with operator's intervention held to an absolute minimum
- -Ability to detect and record equipment malfunction, effect recovery procedures, and notify the operator of the nature of the malfunction
- -Provide for systematic classifying, identifying, storing, cataloging, and retrieving of all data and programs which are processed by the operating system
- -Priority processing providing scheduling among several levels of interrupt routines. The system must recognize the following:
 - l. Inquiry/Response
 - 2. Incident Reporting
 - 3. Administrative Reporting
- -Provide for the simultaneous use of all terminals and allow display pages to be simultaneously sent to the hardcopy printer(s)

A. RESTART/RECOVERY PROCEDURES

There is a mandatory requirement that the software capture sufficient information to effect a quick restart of the entire system and reduce the probability of lost data to a minimum. The vendor is required

to describe in detail the procedures used when a system failure, power failure, or other failure occur: describe operator's procedures, terminal procedures, etc.

The vendor is also required to describe in detail the types of recovery procedures for terminal and critical components such as CPU, core storage, and disk storage. The software must notify the system operator that an error has occurred and what action is to be taken. In the case of terminals, depending on the nature of the error and the frequency of occurrence in the case of recurring error conditions, the system must place the terminals on hold or out of service and notify the system operator of this action. When a permanent error occurs on a critical component, the system must notify the system operator of the malfunction. The vendor must describe the various system errors, malfunctions, and failures that the proposed software recognizes and the type of action required when they are detected.

B. RESPONSE TIME

The vendor will provide with the proposal the expected maximum response time for all terminal applications.

2. APPLICATION SOFTWARE

This section contains an outline of applications desired by the Cranston Department of Police. The following is a tentative format based upon the present manual procedures of the Department. However, vendors are strongly encouraged to use as much initiative, originality, and creativity as possible to alter the format to provide the same required data and reports in a more efficient manner. It is the vendor's responsibility to provide necessary hardware and software to complete the outlined tasks.

The vendor must include in his proposal the percentage of space required on magnetic disk for each application software program and files.

All computer programs developed as a result of this project will become the property of the City of Cranston and the Cranston Department of Police, and may be distributed to another municipality, law enforcement agency or other element of the criminal justice system, state or federal function or institution as the City and Department see fit in accordance with LEAA regulations.

The vendor should identify any existing portions not being originally developed for this system with LEAA funds. If this is not included, it will be assumed that all application software has been originally developed for the system with LEAA funds.

If existing package programs are suggested, these programs must be tailored to the specific needs of the Cranston Department of Police.

The vendor will list with the proposal all available programs pertaining to law enforcement or police services and the cost of each program if not included in the proposed system.

The Cranston Department of Police will make known to the vendor all law enforcement programs available from LEAA. The vendor may utilize these programs when applicable.

If any portion of this project is to be subcontracted, the vendor must provide a description of the subcontractor's organization and the contractual agreement made therewith. All subcontractors must be approved by the City of Cranston and the Cranston Department of Police.

The vendor will include all necessary documentation and programs to cover backup, reorganization, and purging of all files.

A. APPLICATIONS TO BE PERFORMED

1) INQUIRY/RESPONSE SYSTEM

- a. Master Name/Location File: To provide on-line immediate retrieval by CRT and hardcopy; by name, incident location, and/or resident address; all data relating to this master file and data regarding each incident.
 - -Storage must be magnetic disk
 - -A minimum of two (2) years plus the current year's records must be stored for immediate retrieval
 - -The file must be updated daily from the officer's first report and supplementary report, arrest/booking, and accident and other source input
 - -At the end of twelve (12) month periods, the records may be deleted and placed to magnetic tape
 - -Volume: Detailed records approximately 150,000 for three (3) year period
 - -Conversion: The present police department name and location files for 1975, 1976, and the current year must be merged and converted from card and tape input to magnetic surface
- b. Wanted Vehicle File: To provide on-line immediate retrieval by CRT and hardcopy by registration and/or Vehicle Identification (VIN) Number all data relating to this master file.
 - -Storage must be magnetic disk
 - -File must be updated daily from teletype (TTY) messages
 - -Volume: Maximum 10,000 records per year
 - -Conversion: From present card system to magnetic disk

2) INCIDENT REPORTING SYSTEM

a. First Report: To provide input to the computer system through the transcription terminals of all data pertaining to the officer's first report and

	1
	J
]
	1
i i	
L	
To the same of the	
]
L]
]
]
ı II	

supplementary report. Accident reports are included but require varied input data and output format. Applications must provide the following:

- -Input to master name/location file. Highly desireable is an editing procedure which can supplement street addresses with location designators (geocodes).
- -The first report will be batch processed and placed on-line to update files at various intervals during a given shift
- -Program must list the first report, the supplementary report, the distribution of report(s), and the number of copies desired according to crime classification on the printer(s)
- -Volume: First report, 100 per day including accident reports Supplementary reports. 60 per day
- b. Complaint Dispatch: CRT input to magnetic surface to store for one (1) year records to the UCR report and Beat Study report. Daily reports for the Chief of Police of all activity for the day. Frequency: (1) upon demand (2) monthly

-Volume: 40,000 per year

c. Traffic Violations: CRT input to magnetic surface to provide a system to record the updating of the tag file, to generate statistical reports by monthly and yearly totals, and to update the Statistical Analysis File (see below).

-Volume: 15,000 records per year

d. Arrests: CRT input to magnetic surface for the storage of one (1) year's records to provide various arrest/booking reports and input to the UCR reports. Arrest records with no disposition for the current year must be carried forward. Frequency: (1) upon demand (2) yearly.

-Volume: 4,000

e. Statistical Analysis File: To provide incident reports for UCR, Beat

Study and police annual reports. Frequency (1) upon demand (2) monthly and yearly. All figures must include month- and year-to-date data for the current year and the preceding year.

-Volume: Based upon Complaint Dispatch, Traffic Violations and Arrests described above

3) ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTING SYSTEM

- a, Purchase Orders: To create on a magnetic surface an encumbrance accounting system to cover a fiscal year.
 - -Update daily through transcriptionists
 - -Inquire upon demand
 - -Report weekly/monthly/yearly
 - -Volume: 1,800 purchase orders per year
 - -Conversion: Approximately 900 cards to magnetic surface
- b. BCI File: To create on a magnetic surface a file with the following capabilities:
 - -Updated daily
 - -List reports upon demand
 - -Volume: 800 per year
 - -Conversion: approximately 10.000 cards to magnetic surface
 - -NOTE: This is <u>not</u> a computerized criminal history file; this is merely an inventory listing of adult arrestee file jackets by name, date of birth, and jacket number.
- c. Police Personnel Roster: To create on a magnetic surface a file of each police officer and civilian employee to include various personnel data with the following capabilities:
 - -Updated daily
 - -Inquire upon demand

-List various personnel status reports

-Volume: Personnel, 160
Daily update records, 160

- d. LEAA Accounting: To create on a magnetic surface Police Planning Division accounts with the following capabilities:
 - -Updated daily
 - -Report upon demand
 - -Volume: 300 per year
 - -Conversion: 3,000 cards to magnetic surface
- e. LEAA Equipment Inventory: To create on a magnetic surface an inventory system of LEAA equipment owned by the Department with the following capabilities:
 - -Updated daily
 - -List report upon demand
 - -Volume: Dependent on future LEAA projects
 - -Conversion: 300 cards to magnetic surface

3. VENDOR SUPPORT

A. DOCUMENTATION

The vendor is required to describe his software maintenance policy in detail. This should include his policy on vendor enhancements, user defined improvements, and problem solving. State any costs over and above the basic software cost.

The vendor is required to describe the system documentation to be provided with the proposed system. The vendor should also describe any other documentation that would be provided.

At a minimum, the vendor must meet the following documentation

conditions: .

- -Source listings of all programs and any specialized operating system modules directly related to the Department's system must be provided.
- -Flow charts showing system logic must be provided
- -Two (2) manuals containing complete operating procedures concerning each application must be pro vided
- -Two (2) manuals concerning terminal procedures must be provided
- -Two (2) manuals documenting the overall system operation must be provided

Documentation must meet the LEAA standards for technology transfer regarding information systems which state in part:

In respect to programs related to Criminal Justice Information Systems, the subgrantee agrees to insure that adequate provisions are made for system security, the protection of individual privacy and the insurance of the integrity and accuracy of data collection. The subgrantee further agrees: (a) that all computer software produced under this grant will be made available to the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration for transfer to authorized users in the criminal justice community without cost other than that directly associated with the transfer. Systems will be documented in sufficient detail to enable a competent data processing staff to adapt the system, or portions thereof, to usage on a computer of similar size and configuration, of any manufacturer; (b) to provide a complete copy of documentation to the cognizant Regional Office, upon request, and a complete copy to the Systems Development Division, National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service; Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. Documentation will include, but not be limited to System Description, Operating Instructions, User Instructions, Program Maintenance Instructions, input forms, file descriptions, report formats, program listings and flow charts for the system and programs...

The vendor is required to describe his software installation policy.

The vendor must include any additional cost required for this installation

and must identify work space requirements. The vendor must also describe in general the contract process prior to installation and implementation.

B. TRAINING

The vendor must train Cranston Department of Police personnel (minimum 2). In-depth training on a general programming language(s), BASIC RPG, or similar language), must be provided.

Refer to: II. HARDWARE REQUIREMENTS

IV. COST DATA

The vendor must provide a summary of services and products proposed for the quoted price and, for each component, provide a unit price for an additional unit, which may be ordered by the City within a year of contract award. For example:

COMPONENT	QUANTITY	COST PER ADDITIONAL UNIT
•		ø
•		
•		
Processor	(1)	\$xx,xxx
Controller	(1)	\$ x,xxx
CRT	(4)	\$ x,xxx
•		
•		
•		
etc.	•	
		•

The price proposed by the vendor shall include one (1) year of maintenance (as described in I.28 MAINTENANCE, for on-call service during regular

business hours, 8 -- 5. seven days per week) from the time of system acceptance. The vendor shall also provide a price estimate for further annual maintenance.

The vendor must provide the detailed specifications of each piece of hardware.

. EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

The following items will be considered during the evaluation. The sequence of items does not necessarily indicate their importance in the evaluation process:

Reliability
Hardware (General)
Software (General)
Support Services
Related Vendor Experience
Vendor's Understanding of the Problem

Within the budget constraints of the City of Cranston and the Cranston Department of Police, the award will be based upon the most cost effective configuration which most adequately meets the needs of the Cranston Department of Police rather than the lowest cost bid.

APPENDIX B

Pre-Bid Conference Correspondence, Agenda, and Related Materials

DEPARTMENT OF POLICE CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920

GEORGE J. COFFEY

CHIEF

JAMES L. TAFT, JR.

MAYOR

"CRANSTON POLICE COMPUTER INFORMATION SYSTEM"

Please be advised that a pre-bid conference for all vendors interested in submitting proposals for the "Cranston Police Computer Information System" will be held in Room 308, Cranston Police Headquarters, 275 Atwood Avenue, Cranston, R. I. at 1:00 p.m. on Tuesday, July 20, 1976.

Interested vendors are strongly urged to attend.

Although proposals are not due until August 17, 1976, it is recommended that interested vendors obtain and become thoroughly familiar with the Request For Proposal (RFP) for the "Cranston Police Computer Information System" prior to the pre-bid conference. Additional material distributed at the pra-bid conference will be considered part of the RFP.

Î			
	1		CITY OF CRANSTON . "CRANSTON POLICE COMPUTER INFORMATION SYSTEM"
	1		PRE-BID CONFERENCE AGENDA
			JULY 20, 1976
	J		•
	J		
	1		
r		Ŧ	INTRODUCTIONS AND OPENING REMARKS
L	الد ا		
	l	II.	REVIEW OF PRE-BID PACKAGE
		III.	QUESTIONS BY VENDORS
	Control of the Contro	IV.	VENDORS' TOUR OF PRESENT FACILITIES
	7		
L , .	<u>.</u>		
	1		
	J		
	1		
<u>,</u> :	1		

CITY OF CRANSTON "CRANSTON POLICE COMPUTER INFORMATION SYSTEM" PRE-BID CONFERENCE PACKAGE TABLE OF CONTENTS I. PROPOSAL SUMMARY SHEET II. GENERAL INFORMATION - CRANSTON 9 POLICE DEPARTMENT III. SAMPLE CPD "OFFICER'S FIRST REPORT" 14 IV. SAMPLE DICTATION FORMAT FOR OFFICER'S NOTES FOR CPD "OFFICER'S FIRST 1.6 REPORT" (YELLOW) 17 V. SAMPLE CPD DICTATION FORMAT FOR OFFICER'S NOTES FOR CPD "SUPPLEMENTARY FOLLOW-UP REPORT" (GREEN) 18 VI. CPD HQ PARTIAL FIRST FLOOR PLAN ILLUSTRATING PROPOSED COMPUTER ROOM VII. SAMPLE CPD "MASTER NAME INDEX" 19 FILE PRESENT PRINTOUT FORMAT 20 VIII. PRESENT CPD KEYPUNCH CODE 39 IX. LETTER FROM R.I. STATEWIDE PLANNING PROGRAM CONCERNING CIME FILE GEOCODING

	COMPUTER INFORMATION SYSTEM'' TOMMARY SHEET .
	MMARY SHEET
CORPORATE DATA:	
CORPORATE DATA:	
HEADQUARTERS:	
· ·	
Address	
m 1 . v -	
Tel. Nos.	2
LOCAL OFFICE:	
Name	
Address	
Tol Non	
-	
-	
,	
TOTAL SYSTEM COST:	\$
COST BREAKDOWN:	
Other (List)
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	TOTAL SYSTEM COST: COST BREAKDOWN: Hardware

SUMMARY SHEET (Page 2)

CURRENT SYSTEM USERS/REFERENCES:

CONFIGURATION

USER NAME

ADDRESS

TEL. NOS.

CONTACT PERSON

	SUMMARY SHEET (Page 3)	
HARDWARE	·•	
	CENTRAL PROCESSOR:	
	MFG	MODEL
	MAIN MEMORY SIZE	EXPANDABLE TO
	DATE FIRST INSTALLED	
	NOS. INSTALLATIONS	
	DATA INPUT/OUTPUT:	
	BRIEFLY DESCRIBE TYPE (disk, printer, number of units, character sets, fixed units, screen size, etc:	, etc.) - Mfg., model, speed, , total capacity, removable and
	,,	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

, ,		*,					
	•				SUMMARY	SHEET	(Page 4)
	Ţ			•		•	
		SOFTWARE	•			•	
			BRIEFLY DE	SCRIBE OP	ERATING	SYSTEM:	
Ī				. •			
I							
I			LIST SOUR	CE LANGUAG	ŒS		
	1		CUSTOM PR	OGRAMS:			
				TITLE			DESCRIPTION
							·
			•				
1			PACKAGED	PROGRAMS:			
		•		TITLE			DESCRIPTION
Pri z	. 76		. •				
						·	
	1						

	• ,					
			SUMMARY SHEET	(Page 5)		
Constitution of the Consti		÷				
		PACKAGED PROGR TITLE	AMS AVAILABLE BUT	NOT INCLUDED :	IN PROPOSAL:	COST
Ī.						
Ī						
. .		USER SUPPORT:				
					4	
		BRIEFLY DESCRIBE	TRAINING:			; n
			·			
		BRIEFLY DESCRIBE	· DOCUMENTATION•			
		DKIBIDI DEGKIDE	DOGGRENIATION.			
	5 T					
		BRIEFLY DESCRIBE	OTHER SUPPORT:			
		·	•			
						
					,	
		•				
1						

	••		
45 (OD-17)	SUMMARY SHEET (Page 6)		
arezatura (
Section 1	MAINTENANCE (DESCRIBE - Refer to RFP: pp. 25-26):		
<u> </u>	DDFVFNTTVF•		
	HOW OFTEN	COST	***************************************
		•	
	COMPANY		
The second	ADDRESS	politica de la companya de la compa	
- School Control	1		
The second secon	LOCAL ADDRESS		
Anna Section		and the control of th	
	TEL. NOS.		
To the state of th	CONTACT PERSON		
	SITE REQUIREMENTS (BRIEFLY DESCRIBE):		
, -			
and the same of th			

CONTINUED 10F2

SUMMARY SHEET (Page 7) SYSTEM EXPANDABILITY (BRIEFLY DESCRIBE): SUBCONTRACTORS (IF APPLICABLE): COMPANY NAME ADDRESS LOCAL CONTACT REFERENCES: DESCRIBE PRIOR LAW ENFORCEMENT EXPERIENCE: DESCRIBE ALL SUPPLIES REQUIRED:

SUMMARY SHEET (Page 8) PROVIDE DELIVERY AND IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE: DESCRIBE THE RESPONSIBILITIES EXPECTED OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT:

CITY OF CRANSTON

"CRANSTON POLICE COMPUTER INFORMATION SYSTEM"

CENERAL INFORMATION

The City of Cranston is the third largest city in Rhode Island with a present population of 76,330 inhabitants. The city has a geographical area of 28.2 square miles with $3\frac{1}{2}$ miles of coast line on the Providence River where it begins to broaden into Narragansett Bay. It is part of the large metropolitan area of Providence and is located just south of that city.

The City of Cranston is primarily a suburban, residential community. The city is rather unique in that the eastern portion - contiguous to Providence - is largely urban and highly developed, while the western section is almost wholly rural and undeveloped.

The official authorized complement of the Cranston Department of Police as of July 1, 1976 is 149 total police personnel - 130 sworn police officers and 19 full-time civilians. The actual manpower strength of the Department at this time is 120 sworn police officers and 19 full-time civilians. An organizational chart of the Department is attached.

The Cranston Department of Police presently maintains a total fleet of 48 vehicles as follows:

- 29 Marked Automobiles
- 2 Marked 3-Wheel Scooters
- 1 Marked Prisoner Transport Van
- 13 Unmarked Automobiles
- 1 Emergency Communications Trailer
- 1 Dog Officer Van
- 1 Police Garage Truck

The Cranston Mayor's car and the City Sergeant's car are also on the Police Department radio/communication system.

During normal peak periods, the Department may have a maximum of 30 vehicles deployed in the field at any one time. However, during emergencies, the entire fleet may be deployed.

During 1975, the Cranston Department of Police responded to approximately 25,000 incidents requiring a police report (it should be recognized that the Department actually responded to more incidents which did not require a report and, therefore, are not included in official totals).

Of these incidents handled by the Department during 1975, 4,112 were classified as Rhode Island Uniform Crime Reporting Part I crimes and 4,672 were classified as Part II crimes. A statistical proration excerpted from the 1975 Cranston Police Annual Report is attached.

^{*}Cranston City Plan Commission Estimate (December 31, 1975)

PERSCHMEL and DISTRIB	UTION of the	CRANSTON,	R. I. POLI	CE DEPARTME	ENT -	As of J	uly 1, 1976	5
RANKS	CHIEF	COMDR	CAPT	LIEUT	SGT	PATROLMEN	CIVILIANS	ELATOT
AUTHORIZED COMPLEMENT	1	ı	4	5	13	100	19	143
Patrol			1	1	2	20		24
Services	-		1		l	6	7	15
Investigators				1.	1	9	1	12
Dog Officer							2	2
Youth & Women					1	3		14
Prosecution					1	1	11	3
Traffic Investigator						1		1
Garage Maintenance		F & ps - 1 200 to po 10-10 at 100 to p	mg				3	3
Planning							2	2
Department Inspector		الموانية الأمريسيسيسيس ميسيسيس والدائم والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع	1					1
Chief's Office	1 1						1	2
Patrol				1	3	20		24
Services						6	1.	7
Investigators	•					3		3
Dog Officer							1	1
Youth & Women								
Patrol				1	2	12		15
Services						3		3
Investigators								
Patrol (6:45 FM - 2:45 AM)						11		11
Tactical (Hours as assigned)					1	2		3
Relief - Patrol (Early & Late Ni	te)		1	1	1	2		5
Commander (6:00 PM - 2:00 AM)		1						1
Total Personnel: (Or. Board)	1	1	4	5	13	99	19	142

·		'75	'74
Population - City of Granston, R. I.		76,156	76,156
Number of Police/Givilian Employees - Gransto	on Police Dept.	134	132
Average Number of Employees (National) for 75	5,000 Pop.	NA.	145
Average Number of Employees (New England for	75,000 Pop.	NA ·	168
Cranston's Crime Rate per 100,000		5,273.4	4,134
National Rate for Cities 50,000 to 100,000		, NA	4,821
Cranston's Clearance Rate		14.1	18
National Clearance Rate for Cities 50,000 to	100,000	NA	21
Murder (Manslaughter by Negligence Included)	Cleared Committed	. <u>4</u> 5	<u>0</u> 1
Rape	Cleared Committed	2/3	1 2
Robbery	Cleared Committed	13 36	18 41
Assault	Cleared Committed	107 148	109 129
Burglary - Breaking & Entering	Cleared Committed	167 922	159 731
Larceny	Cleared Committed	259 2,338	327 1,911
Auto Theft	Cleared Committed	29 660	41 414
Arson & Bombing		24	18
Forgery & Counterfeiting		22	23
Praud		346	297
Embezz Lement		5	5
Stolen Property		12	1.8

	175	1 -
Vandalism	2,078	1,90
Weapons - Carrying, Concealed etc.	21	
Prostitution & Commercialized Vice	2	
Sex Offenses	41	4
Narcotic Drug Laws	57	
Gambling	. 0	
Offcnses Against Family & Children	14	
Driving Motor Vehicle Under Influence of Liquor or Drugs	54	
Liquor Law Violations	14	1
Drunkenness .	64	6
Disorderly Conduct	300	29
Vagrancy	1.2	1
Misc. Other Offenses	1,606	1,42
Suspicious Persons, Cars or Noises	1,040	1,03
Lost or Missing Persons, Animals or Property	553	60
Found Persons, Animals or Property	526	48
Personal Injury Motor Vehicle Accidents	681	54
Property Damage Motor Vehicle Accidents	2,985	2,83
Patal Motor Vehicle Accidents	8	
Public Accidents	139	1.5
Home Accidents	173	1.8

	175	17
Occupational Accidents	128	1
Firearm Accidents	8	
Animal Complaints	551	5
Family Disturbances	517	1,1
Sulcides & Attempts	55	
Sudden Deaths or Bodies Found	69	1
Sick Cared For	1,556	1,5
Mental Cases	111	
Misc. Services For Other Depts. and/or Agencies	1,016	7
Misc. Services For The Public	1,623	1,6
Alarms of Fire	527	5
Palse Alarms of Fire	531	5
Lock-outs	91	1.
Burglar Alarms - False or Accidental	1,392	1,4
Nuisance Complaints	677	7
Hazards	60	
Civil Complaints	415	3
Rescues (not sick cared for)	3	
Disnators (storms, plane crashes etc.)	0	

ne 8, Time reported 15 April 1976 1500	2 Complaint as reported 2680	3 Unit assigned 110	4 Name, address, SR/DOB	5 CR Number 0MV35 27000
ITE	M CODE	•	C LOUX, William F. MW 2 100 Curtis Street, Cr	226
 Code: A arrestee; C complainant; O owner; Same as No. 4 Modus operandi, description of incident Items, description and values 	; V rictim; Z other		Name, address, SR/DC8 Z SMITH, John E. MW 1J 27 Dean Street, Crans	Phones: 942-1111 A60 ton 942-1110
- Cause or reason for incident - Injuries or cause of death - Action taken by Officer and/or CPD - Evidence obtained and disposition of same - Witnesses - Vehicle data - Officers assisting & Superior Officer - Suspects and/or information - Necovered items & values - Acrestes, andress, SR/DOB and charge (s)			7 Verified complaint & crime class 2680 Traffic Complain 8 Location of incident Curtis & Wine Sts. 9 Date & time of incident 15 AP 76 1445 11 Day of incident Thur	10 Tab of incident 46. 12 Beat of incident 10
Responed to 100 Cu	rtis Street on a	report of a sp	peeding auto in the area dai	ly between 1 2
between the above	period of time a	n auto R.I. L-6	Em Loux, 100 Curtis Street. 506 1967 Olds color gold is the operator, a youth approximate operator.	who stated that continually mately 17 years.
is a student at Cr	anston High Scho	ol West. This	car is parked in the high s	chool lot daily.
Mr. Loux stated at	1430 hours date	this car drove	e west on Curtis, St. at a sp	eed of approx.
			ehicle hit a bump in the roa	14
flew off. This wa	s recovered by M	r. Loux. The c	complainant stated the hubca	D WIEIT OIGS: 16
emblem came from t	he left rear.			- 17 18
One (1) Olds hubcap				19 20
5 Speeding auto				21 22
This auto was obser	ved by me at 151	5 hours date or	Phenix Ave., at Curtis St.	AUTO
and found the young	operator to be	John E. Smith,	27 Dean St. Crans. (Father	David Mother 25 26
Gail) he did admit	driving at a fas	t rate of speed	l but not as fast as Mr. Lou	x stated con't 27
25 Ronk, name & code of investigating of Pt1. 4ic. 1 1 15	officer 26	C 27 Repo	rt Review Officer Suspense Date Date Cla	osed 29 Reviewing Superior
			had had had had	laured laured laured

	stating: "This is OfficeR				ode #
Inis is an OFFICER'S FIRS	T REPORT dictated on	(वैद्याप्ट)	att	ours."	
Date & time incident was reported			ate:	at	hours
2 Complaint/incident reported to CF	°D as:	Crin	ie class:		
5 Unit assigned:	,				
A The below named is the:	A - arrestee	C - complainant	0 - owner	V - victim	Z - other
			SR/DOB		
(Last name)	(First name)	(Ini	•	,	
- (Address)	(City & 3	Stata	Numbers		
5	(0.0) 4.0	otato)	The same of the sa		•
C.R. number: The below named is the	A - arrestee	C - complainant	0 - owner	V - victim	Z - other
			en mon		
(Last name)	(First name)	(Ini	sr/DOB	•	
(Address)	(City &	State)			
7 Complaint as verified:		Exact co	me ciass:		•
Exact location of incident:	,		andre and the second		
	Na and the state of the state o		ł		
O Date & time of incident - Date:	at	hours	*		
Tob district of incident:	leR')	fer to your Tab map)			
Day of the week of incident:		(Monday, Tuesday, et	c.)		
13 Best of incident:	tioits ? dioits	such as 101, 202, 303, etc.	\(Also refer to time of i	ncident for correct be	at)
Modus operandi & description of in			7,0,1		
IF THIS INCIDENT DOES NOT	HANDLAE Y REFUNA UGHATINII	F YMM BICITIAN AN N	PITING ON IN OCCIO	le fibrt prant r	**************************************
fi fillo incident norm dat					
·		MR MIGIRALUR DE BELLI			17 <i>115909</i> 7 A&A
IF THIS INCIDENT DOES INVENTIONAL SUPPLEMENTARY FOLLOWING REPO	RT FORM.		Control of the Contro		And the same of th
IF THIS INCIDENT DOES INVENTIONAL REPORT OF THE REPORT OF	RT FORM. Then distating your re	mart and shanging from	m an Officar's Fire	it Report to a Pol	ica Officer's
IF THIS INCIDENT DOES INVENTION OF THE SUPPLEMENTARY FOLLOWING REPORT AND STREET AND STR	ORT FORM. Then distating your respectives Rap	epart and changing fro part yes are to passe	en an Officar's Fire briefly after dista	it Report to a Pol itlag §12 and cont	ica Officer's
IF THIS INCIDENT DOES INVENT SUPPLEMENTARY FOLLOWING REPORT IN THE PROPERTY IN THE PROPERTY IN THE PROPERTY OF	RT FORM. Then distating your remplementary Follows: Replementary Supplementary Supple	sport and changing from the year are to passe at a passe at a passe at any Fallowey Report	en an Officar's Fire briefly after dista	it Report to a Pol itlag §12 and cont	ica Officer's
IF THIS INCIDENT DOES INVENTION OF THE SUPPLEMENTARY FOLLOWING REPORT OF THE PROPERTY ROUTE OF THE SUPPLEMENT OF THE SUP	RT FORM. Then distating your remplementary Follows: Replementary Supplementary Supple	sport and changing from the year are to passe at a passe at a passe at any Fallowey Report	en an Officar's Fire briefly after dista	it Report to a Pol itlag §12 and cont	ica Officer's
IF THIS INCIDENT DOES INVENT SUPPLEMENTARY FOLLOWING REPORT IN THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF T	RT FORM. Then distating your remplementary Follows: Replementary Supplementary Supple	sport and changing from the year are to passe at a passe at a passe at any Fallowey Report	en an Officar's Fire briefly after dista	it Report to a Pol itlag §12 and cont	ica Officer's
IF THIS INCIDENT DOES INVENTION OF THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY ROUNT AND SECOND THE PROPERTY OF	RT FORM. Then distating your remplementary Follows: Replementary Supplementary Supple	sport and changing from the year are to passe at a passe at a passe at any Fallowey Report	en an Officar's Fire briefly after dista	it Report to a Pol itlag §12 and cont	ica Officer's
IF THIS INCIDENT DOES INVENT SUPPLEMENTARY FOLLOWING REPORT OF THE PROPERTY ROOMS and Supplementary Ro	RT FORM. Then distating your remplementary Follows: Replementary Supplementary Supple	sport and changing from the year are to passe at a passe at a passe at any Fallowey Report	en an Officar's Fire briefly after dista	it Report to a Pol itlag §12 and cont	ica Officer's
SUPPLEMENTARY FOLLOWING REPORT OF THE PROPERTY REPORT OF THE PROPERTY REPORT OF THE PROPERTY O	RT FORM. Then distating your remplementary Follows: Replementary Supplementary Supple	sport and changing from the year are to passe at a passe at a passe at any Fallowey Report	en an Officar's Fire briefly after dista	it Report to a Pol itlag §12 and cont	ica Officer's
IF THIS INCIDENT DOES INVENTION OF THE SUPPLEMENTARY FOLLOWING REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	RT FORM. Then distating your remplementary Follows: Replementary Supplementary Supple	sport and changing from the year are to passe at a passe at a passe at any Fallowey Report	en an Officar's Fire briefly after dista	it Report to a Pol itlag §12 and cont	ica Officer's
IF THIS INCIDENT DRES INVENTION OF STREET REPORT OF STREET AND STREET OF STREET AND STREET AND STREET OF STREET AND STREET OF STREET AND STREET OF STREET OF STREET AND STREET OF STREET O	RT FORM. Then distating your remplementary Follows: Replementary Supplementary Supple	sport and changing from the year are to passe at a passe at a passe at any Fallowey Report	en an Officar's Fire briefly after dista	it Report to a Pol itlag §12 and cont	ica Officer's
IF THIS INCIDENT DOES INVESTIGATION REPORT TO THE PROPERTY FOLLOWING REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF	RT FORM. Then distating your remplementary Follows: Replementary Supplementary Supple	sport and changing from the year are to passe at a passe at a passe at any Fallowey Report	en an Officar's Fire briefly after dista	it Report to a Pol itlag §12 and cont	ica Officer's

		Upon lone, commence dictating by stating: "This is Officer
5 Injuries or cause of death:		A Date & time of this report: Date: at hours.
The state of the second section of the second secon	The state of the s	B Date of Officer's FIRST report - Date:
7 Action taken by investigating officer and/or the Cranston	Police Department:	C Verified Crime class: (Be exact - 0512 and not 0500 etc.)
3 Evidence obtained & disposition of same:		D C.R. Number:
		E Name of complainant, victim or arrestee:
₹ Witnesses: Name &	Phone	F List connecting CR or arrest numbers:
Address:	SR/DOB:numbers	- G If justified, change crime classification to: (Be exact - 0512 and not 0500 etc.)
Plame & Address:	Phone	13 Modus operandi & description of incident - be sure to include the exact act or violation
O VEHICLE DATA: Year Make	Model Color State & Reg. No.	
Vin Number	Other identification	
Vehicle to wed to	Vehicle keys are at	
	venicle keys are at	
place a HOLD on vehicle. (Da - Da Not)	Vehicle valued at 3	
3. Otticers assisting at scene;	Supervising Superior at time of incident:	
2 Suspects, description and for other information	,	14 Items, description & values of lost, stolen or damaged items (refer to #20)
3 Recovered items and their values:		
2 Recovered trains and ment values:		
A Arrestee's name, SR/DOB, arrest number and charge (s):		
тійн татыматын жайын айын айын колуу — түү түү түү жайын айын айын айын айын айын айын айын		
23 Dictate your rank - name - code number		
5 brotate your tain traine - code number	74-	
ts Elapsed time in conducting this part of investigation	minutes.	15 Cause or reason for incident
27 Elapsed time in dictating this report	minutes.	
Complete this report now by stating "END OF REPORT"		
NOTES: (Not to ne dictated)		
		ans.
	man and the state of the state	
		-17-

16 Injuries or cause of death:	
17 Action taken by investigating officer and/or the Cranston Police Department:	
18 Evidence obtained & disposition of same:	
19 Witnesses: Name & Phone	The state of the s
Address:SR/DOB:numbers	
Name & Phone	
Address: SR/DOB; numbers 2D VEHICLE DATA: Year Make Model Color State & Reg. No.	
Vehicle towed toVehicle keys are at	
place a HOLD on vehicle. (Do - Do Not) Vehicle valued at \$	
21 Officers assisting at scene: Supervising Superior at time of incident:	
22 Suspects, description and/or other information	
23 Recovered items and their values:	
24 Augusta logary CD DOD array august and above (a):	
24 Arrestee's name, SR/DOB, arrest number and charge (s):	
25 Dictate your rank - name - code number	
23 Elapsed time in conducting this part of investigation minutes.	
27 Elapsed time in dictating this report minutes.	
Complete this report now by stating "END OF REPORT"	A S A S A S A S A S A S A S A S A S A S
NOTES: (Not to be dictated)	
	NTS PTO
	-18-

)

			ARKEST BUOKINGS	
			, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Card Columns		
_	منته	1 - 14	Last Name	
		15	First Initial	
		16 - 20	Yr./Arrest Number	
r	****	21 - 22	Age	
		23	Juvenile or Adult 2	
	J	24	Sex - Male or Female 1 2	•
	1	25	Race - White - 1 Negro - 2 Other - 3	
T '	a -	26 - 30	CR #	
L		31 - 33	Home Tab	- · .
		34 - 36	Arrest Tab	
		37 - 39	Beat of Arrest	
		40 - 44	Arrest Date - Mo/Day/Yr. xx xx x	
		45 - 48	Time of Arrest	
.		49 - 53	CPD Jacket #	
L		54 - 57	Crime Class	
		58	Person - On First Charge - punch 1 On Further Charges on Same Sheet - punch 0	
ľ	1	59	Locked Up = 1 Not Locked Up = 0	
L	اِل ا	60	Inmate Anywhere (1) RITS (5) Mt. Pleasant	Ave.
			(2) ACI (6) Other (3) Med. Center (4) Exeter	
f ·	1		* ************************************	NEL # 7
	ار ،			•

See other side

- Mary	100			
0.06	Proje		A PARAMETER AND A PARAMETER AN	
		61	Record	
U,	į UB		(1) Same crime as charged	
			(2) Crime other than charged (Include DWI)	
T	X		(3) Traffic Offense Only (do not include DWI)	
			(4)	
-			(0) No Record	. :
اسيو	Silv.			
		62	Location Of Previous Arrest	
H.	· i		(1) Cranston only	
			(2) Cranston & Elsewhere	٠.,
T '	1		(3) Any Other City in R. I.	
L,			(4) Any State Other Than R. I.	
			(5) Military	-
a	- E		(6) Other Than Above	
	À		(0) None or No Record	
,ar	,15		(a) House of He Westerd	
		63	Number of Previous Arrests	
			(1) One	
Ø 1	J.		(2) Two	•
			(3) Three	
T '	1		(4) Four	•
			(5) Five	•
	,		(0) None or No Record	_
	- 		(o) Home of No Wecold	
		64-65	Year of Most Previous Offense	
Asi_	719,	04~03		
			xx	
	T _i	66	Diamagitian	
L.	, D '	00	Disposition	
	į }		(1) Guilty	-
H	7.		(2) Guilty of Lesser Offense	
			(3) Not Guilty	
_	* + *		(4) Referred to Court	
-			(5) Warned & Released	
			(6) Other or Turned Over to Other or Warrant	•
2.	, ,);		(7) Referred to LEYDS	
			(8) Void	. •
1	* 7i		(9) Unpaid tags cancelled by City Wall	
L	<u>, </u>			•
*	1 -53			
i				
, W.	1 -3			
_		•		
- [- 11			
L	* γ_{i}			•
	1	•		

A Property of the Parket of th			BCI FILE
	, La	Card Columns	
P. Carrier of P.		1 ~ 5	Jacket # (No Zero Before)
		7 ~ 19	Last Name
		20 ~ 29	First Name
		31	Middle Initial
		33 - 40	Date of Birth Mo/Day/Yr. xx xx xx
	The state of the s		
I	Paragrama (S)		
Ry Complete			
Topological Control of the Control o		·	
1			
and the second			

PAHEL PAHEL

COMPLAINT DISPATCH

	. 10°	Card Columns	
		1 - 5	CR #
<u> </u>	~	6 - 18	Last Name, First Initial
1		19 - 22	Location of Incident
		23 - 33	Street name of location
		34 - 37	Crime classification
u .	J	· 38	Category: 0100 - 0799 = #1
			0800 - 2699 = 2 2700 - 2799 = 5 2800 - 2999 = 3 3000 - 3399 = 4
		39 - 41	Beat 3400 - 5199 = 5
Ī		42 - 44	Tab district
		45 - 49	Date: Mo/Day/Yr = XX XX X
	, les	50 - 51	Hour of occurrence
	A Control of the Cont	52 - 54	Minutes at scene
L.		56 - 58	Officer's code $\frac{\mu}{\pi}$
f	Parameter 1	59 - 61	Minutes to dictate report
1 . 7		62 - 64	Case follow-up assigned to (Officer's Code #)
		· 76	Day of Week: Sunday. = 1 Thurs = 5 Monday 2 Fri 6 Tues 3 Sat 7 Wed 4 None 0
		78	Indictible offense
		79	Recovered: 1 = locally 2 = Other than locally
		80	Disposition:
			<pre>1 = Cleared 2 = Cleared - under 18 yrs of age 3 = Unfounded 4 = Non-reportable (1A) 5 = Returned (if a missing person) 6 = Crime Class Change</pre>

Panels #4, 12, 17

HOT LIST

Registration number	8 spaces
Use caution	l
Lost, stolen or wanted	1.
Vehicle make	5
Vehicle year	2
Vehicle colori	4
Reporting Police Dept.	2
Their file number	5
Vin number	15
Date (Month and day)	4

Volumn: From Lt. Flynn at RI State Police - State TTY averages 503 stolen vehicles perm month; 308 stolen or lost plates; 20 wanted vehicles per month. How long these vehicles remain active was unknown.

Ĺ			LEAA INVENTORY
Ī		1 - 4	LEAA Project Number
		5 - 9	Inventory number assigned by CPD
***		10 - 14	Date of purchase
) ,,		15 - 18	Number of Cranston Warrant same was paid by on.
Ī		19 - 24	Amount of purchase
98 .5		25	Category (In this LEAA, all inventory cards are punched I)
1		26 - 27	Type of funding and year - F5 = FXEETI 1975 Federal)
I.		46 ~ 77	Description of equipment
I		78 - 80	Location of Equipment (Usually CPD)
		Volumn:	We have 300 cards on file - up-date volumn is very slight at this time.
1			
1			
1	E -		
1	ш. 4		
	# · ·		
	#]		
	- 1		-25-

LOCATION FILE

Our present location file on micro-film shows the following info:

Street number

Street name

CR number

Date

Victim

Crime classification

Hour

Officer's code #

All the above info is obtained from the punched comp/disp cards for the year.

We have estimated 8,135 different locations for the year 1975. If the Police were to Zayre's at 155 Bald Hill Rd. 100 times during they year, this would be counted as one location.

MASTER NAME INDEX

			Business of the Control of the Contr
I		Card <u>Columns</u>	
		1 - 25	Name - Last, First, Initial
I		27	Victim
-	3 Zanet		A - Arrestee 0 - Owner Z - Other
Ī			C - Complainant V - Victim
5		29 ~ 32	Street No.
Ţ		34 - 25 Ho	Street Name
	7	47 - 48	City or Town - 1st two letters
Į,		50 - 55	Date of Birth Mo/Day/Yr.
Sec.	ny . #4 i	57 - 61	CR #
I		63 - 66	Crime Class
7		68 - 73	Date of Report Mo/Day/Yr.
			xx xx xx
red.	# - 	75 - 79	BCI #
		80	Keypunch Operator #
-TA, 1	- willie		(A, B, or C)

PANEL #16

PARKING TAGS Card Columns 1 - 5 Tag # Tab (O Before) 10 - 1213 - 14Post (Last two # only) 15 - 18 Street Code Mo/Yr. 19 - 21 Date xx x Time (Ex. 2345) 22 - 25Officer's Code 26 - 28 Crime Class - Always Starts With 24 29 - 32 Disposition (guilty 1) VCID34 35 - 48 Registration Numbers Letters xxxxxx XXXXXXX Last number to end in column 48 Receipt # (O Before) (51 or 56 is alpha for Prefix) 51 - XXX 56 Punch tag # in Col. 1 - 5, Crime Class in Col. 29 - 32, VOID Disposition 8 in Col. 34; Write registration # starting in Col. 35 - 48 Write Void in Col. 51 If people go to Court, Disposition is always 1, in Col. 51 - 55 Punch Court (Cancelled by Council - Disposition is 9)

PANEL #8

Fa t		PARKING TAGS MOVING VIOLATIONS
L		
Ĺ		
1	card columns	
4.	1-5	Tag #
	6-7	Age
T .	10-12	Tab (o before)
L	13-14	Post (last two # only)
	15-18	street code
5	19-21	Date Mo7Yr
	22-25	Time (Ex. 2345)
	26-28	Officer's Code
g	29-32	Crime Class - Always Starts With 23
	34	Disposition (guilty 1)
1	35-48	Last Mame
A.	50	First Initial of First Name
	51-56	Receipt # (O before) Alpha Prefix goes in 56
1	VOID	Punch teg # in Col 1-5, Crime Class in col 29-32
(Disposition 8 inCol. 34.
Į	J	Write xegix Name in Col 35-50 same as above
		Write void in Col. 51
6¥		If people go to Court, Dispositionis always 1, in
		Col. 51-55 punch Court
1		(cancelled by Council - Disposition is 9)
5 2		
	, J	
1		
1		_29~

	C months and A		P. D. ACCOUNT VOUCHERS
to and		Card Columns	
	E Transmitter	1 - 5	Requisition # - 1st Column is always alpha - if none space Date Mo/Day/Yr xx xx x
The state of the s		13 - 17	P/O # - 1st Column is always alpha - if none space
		19	Vender #
		25 - 29	Account # - 1st Column is always alpha, if none space
Lancasan		31 - 34 36 - 37	Project
	J	43 - 50 52 - 66	Amount (O Before) Voucher
		70 - 71	CPD
	To the state of th	73 - 80	Accounts
Constant Constant	1		
(

PANEL #21

-CRANSTON LAW ENFORCEMENT PLANNING

			- O'SPORT OF THE
L	L	Card Columns	
Ĺ,		1 - 4	Project #
r ·	95	5 - 9	Purchase #
		10 - 14	Date Mo./Day/Yr.
		15 - 18	L. E. Number
		19 - 24	Amount in Dollars
		25	Category
	The state of the s	26 - 27	Туре
-		46 - 79	Explanation
l	THE PARTY OF THE P		
	The second		

PANEL #3 and 16A

ROSTER OF POLICE OFFICERS

			ROSTER OF POLICE OFFICERS
	. 1	Card Columns	
.		1 - 12	Name
		13 - 16	Street #
7	T	17 - 28	Street Name
L.	, 	29 - 35	Phone #
Ţ		36	Phone Code *(indicates do not give out)
5	A part of the state of the stat	37 - 39	Officer's Code #
		40 - 45	Date of Birth Mo/Day/Yr
I	. B	46 - 51	Date of Appointment Mo/Day/Yr. xx xx xx
	1	52	Rank
		53 - 58	Date of Rank Mo/Day/Yr.
		59	Unit Assigned
		60	Platoon
		61 66	Date of Assignment Mo/Day/Yr.
e l	• 1	67 - 69	Appointment Code
	J	70	Home Address *(indicates do not give out)
	J	r	Col. 36 & 70 Require an 11 Punch

PANEL #6

L,	A. of the Assessed		
			SICK LEAVE CARDS
Ī,		Card Columns	
_	T	1 - 3	Officer's Code
L		4 = 11	Date Mo/Day/Yr.
		12 - 14	# Of Leave Days $5/2 - 055/5 - 050$
		15 - 17	# Of Successive Days
	J.	18	Did Successive Days Include A Weekend, Holiday Or A Known Special Event Yes - Punch 1 No - Punch 2
		19	Reason For Leave 1 - Sickness
			2 - Injured on Duty 3 - Family Sickness 4 - Family Occasion (Death, Wedding, etc.)
	The second secon		5 - Military Leave 6 - Suspension 7 - Training
	I		8 - Vacation 9 - Other Than Above
(Contract)		20	CPD Notified Of Absence Via 1 - Called In His Absence From Cranston 2 - Relieved From Duty
ſ	1		3 - Called In His Absence From Outside Cranston
-			
1	. J		
Į.]		
Ţ			PANEL #10
	1		
	The state of the s		

SUMMONS'& MOVING VIOLATIONS Card Columns 1 - 5 Tag # 6 - 7 Age 10 - 12 Tab .. 13 - 14 Post 15 - 18 Street Code 19 - 21 Mo./Yr. Date xx x 22 - 25 Time 26 - 28 Officer's Code 29 - 32 Crime Class - Column 29 - 30 - Always starts with 22 2201 - Operating A Motor Vehicle While Under Influence 2202 - Speeding 2203 - Reckless Driving 2204 - Failing To Slow At Intersection 2205 - Stop Sign 2206 - Signal Light 2207 - Failure To Yield To Pedestrian 2208 - Passing On Right 2209 - Defective Brakes 2210 - Operating Without Lights 2211 - Operating Contrary To Official Sign 2212 - Failing To Keep Right 2213 - Failing To Yield To An Emergency Vehicle 2214 - Failing To Obey Officer In Uniform 2215 - Following Too Close 2216 - School Bus Violation 2232 - Overloading 2233 - All Others 2251 - Leaving Scene Of Accident 2252 - Presenting License Of Another 2253 - Operating Without A License 2254 - Operating Suspended License 2255 - Operating After License Had Been Revoked 2256 - Operating Expired License 2257 - Operating Unregistered Auto 2258 - Operating Suspended Registration 2259 - Operating Improperly Registered Auto PANEL #8

				4
5	Î		•	
L,				
5			2260 - Operating Defective Equipment	
Ł			2261 - Operating Without Eyeglasses	
~	; san		2262 - Operating No License On Person 2263 - Permitting Unauthorized Person To Operate	• • • • •
L			2264 - Causing To Operate Unregistered Auto	e e e minibe
			2265 - Violation Of Restricted License 2266 - All Others	
ſ			2267 - Discourteous Motor Vehicle Operators Or Pa	assengers
L.		33	If Accident - Punch 1, If Not Leave Blank	_
r		,		-
L		34	Disposition	**
٢			(1) Guilty	•• •
L	, J		(2) Guilty Of A Lesser Offense (3) Dismissed #8 - Void	
•	(mg)?		(4) Referred To Family Court # Family Court	ey City Coussil,
Į			(5) Warned & Released (6) Warrant	
			(7) Referred To LEYDS	_
		35 - 49	Last Name	4.
		50	First Initial	
1	1	50	riist interdi	
2	<u> </u>			
ſ	· 1			
Į	. .3 5			
C.				
Ļ			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
c				
Į	, J			
ſ				
224				
ľ				
Ą	Ji:			
ſ	•			
Į	. .			
•	1			
	, J			
_				

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

		Card Columns	
I	1	4	Type Of Property
a -	2	5 🦰 9	CR #
	A COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	10 - 14	Date of This Entry Mo/Day/Yr.
		15 - 18	Crime Class
T	7	19 - 24	Amount \$ Six Digits - Never Use Cents.
	1	77	P. R. Data 1 In This Column If Data Is For A Previously Reported Incident.
	1	78	Indict 1 If Offense Is Indictable
7	1	79	Recovered 1 = Locally 2 = Other Than Locally
L,		80	Disposition
	7		1 - Cleared 2 - Cleared Under 18 Years Old 3 - Unfounded
	1		<pre>4 - Non-reportable (1A) 5 - Returned (if a missing person)</pre>
F			

PANEL #3 and #19

ta Pira	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and the second section of the second section of the second second second second second second second second sec
 	,	
		TAB DISTRICTS / CENSUS TRACTS
	Card Columns	
	1 - 15	Street Name
	16 - 18	Tab
	20 - 24	Census Tract
	26 - 29	Starting #
	31 - 34	Ending #
	36	Whether Odd or Even
		1 or 2
. .	38	All of Street
	78 ~ 80	Beat
or and		
2 4		
L was J		
1		

. UNIFORM CRIME REPORT (Yearly totals)

₩ , A., W		
	Card Columns	
	1 - 3	Section
Se .	5 - 8	Crime Class
	10 - 12	Reported
1.121	14 - 15	Unfounded
	17 - 19	Actual
r T	21 - 22	Cleared
	24 - 25	Under 18 yrs.
	27 - 32	\$ Stolen
	34	Type
	36 - 41	\$ Recovered
	43 - 45	Date Mo./Yr.
- · · · •	75 - 76	R. I.
	78 - 80	UCR
22		

PANEL #20

/-	
(RHO0E)	
	STATE OF RHODE
	Department of Adm STATEWIDE PLAN 265 Melrose Street Providence, Rhode I
	Mr. Frank K Cranston Pol 275 Atwood A Cranston R.
-	Dear Mr. Ke
	I am wri you raised i Conboy last data pertain through our DIME File av in 1975. Th agency with be made for 2 to 3 weeks supplementar file and usi sent to you amount of te of the file
]	If you be matter pleas or Thomas
	RJF/ pmp
•	

ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS

inistration NNING PROGRAM Island 02907

June 23,1976

elly ice Department ve. I. 02920

elly

ting this letter in response to several questions n your telephone conversation with Thomas week. I believe you asked what types of ning toDIME Files are currently available office. We have several versions of the vailable the most current of which was built ais File could be obtained by supplying our a computer tape so that a copy of the file could you. This process would take approximately s from the time we were given the tape. All ry information pertaining to accessing the ing it(file formats and codes) would also be . We would also be able to supply a limited echnical support during your inital processing

have any other questions pertaining to this se do not hesitate to contact either myself Comboy.

Yours very truly,

R. J. Fracpier

Supervisior of Transportation

JAMES L. TAFT, JR. MAYOR



DEPARTMENT OF POLICE 275 ATWOOD AVENUE CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920 PHONE 942-2211

July 22, 1976 Serial 76102

Dear Vendor:

It was discovered immediately following the pre-bid conference that the names of the pre-bid conference attendees and a copy of the Cranston Police Complaint/Dispatch Card were distributed to some vendors and not to others.

Therefore, in order to ensure equity, this general mailing is being sent out to all pre-bid conference attendees with this information enclosed.

If additional questions arise, please refer to the directions in the cover letter of the RFP.

Very truly yours,

Elesand Hacine

Edward J. Macioci Planning Director

EJM:ac encl.

	JAMES L. TAPT, JR. MAYOR	GEORGE J. COFFEY CHIEF
	DEPARTMENT OF POLICE 275 ATWOOD AVENUE CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920 PHONE 942-2211	
	July 22, 1976 Serial 76103	
e Territoria		
Section 1		
	Dear Vendor: Although your firm obtained a copy of the RFP for the Police Computer Information System, it has been noted that to send a representative to the pre-bid conference of July	you failed
Made of Parking of Par	As stated in the cover letter of the RFP, the material at the pre-bid conference is considered part of the RFP.	
	Therefore, if you still contemplate submitting a proponotify me in writing and you will be sent a copy of the preference material.	-bid con-
S Tra	If you were represented by another firm at the pre-bid please notify me in order to avoid duplication. Very truly yours	
	Edward J. Macioo Planning Directo	i
Constitution of the second of	FJM; ac	
growth and the second		
255		

END

justices during