If you have issues viewing or accessing this file contact us at NCJRS.gov.

REPORTING OF SEXUAL ASSAULT
IN
NEW MEXICO

Planning and Research Division New Mexico State Police Department

December 1977

004

DEC 1 2 1977

REPORTING OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN NEW MEXICO

ACQUIE

The F.B.I. statistics for 1976 indicated that the rate of reported rape nationally was 26.4 per 100,000 population. In New Mexico during 1976 it was 40.5 per 100,000. In Bernalillo County it was 67.4 per 100,000 and in Santa Fe County it was 70.9 per 100,000 during 1976.

It must be emphasized that these are figures for <u>reported</u> rapes. Reporting goes up with the existence of a Rape Crisis Center in a community, improved public awareness and education of the nature of the crime, sensitive handling of the victim by law enforcement officers, hospital staff, improved prosecution by district attorneys, etc. In other words, these horrendous figures are undoubtedly an indication of improved attention and handling of the victims of the crime, collection of evidence, and a general change in social attitudes as reflected by juries and judges.

In the counties mentioned there are well established Rape Crisis Centers that have established working relations with local law enforcement agencies, hospitals, the district attorney's office and various other human service agencies. Rape Crisis Counselors also act as client advocates to see them through the criminal justice system, especially the necessary interviews by police detectives and the usually grueling trial process. This protection and support of the victim encourages reporting and cooperation with the criminal justice agencies.

These established Rape Crisis Centers, once they have gained the confidence of the women in the community, find that eventually women come forward to seek counseling about rapes that occurred months, and even years ago. This shows that women are still ashamed or afraid to report the fact that they have

been victims of rape. They often are most afraid of the reaction of their husbands, boyfriends or immediate family. It is encouraging that they are deciding to seek out the help they need to work out their fear, anger, and false sense of guilt that society used to impose on victims.

However, the more help a victim is given, the greater the reporting. The crime of rape has been around a long time. It usually occurs in more densely populated areas and in cultures where there is less respect for women as human beings. However, if the rate of rape by counties throughout New Mexico is studied, it can be seen that the rate of reporting has gone up considerably in every county that has a Rape Crisis Center, or a Mental Health facility or some other general crisis intervention facility that also deals with rape victims. This does not mean that the number of rapes has increased, but only that the rate of reporting it is closer to the actual occurrence of the crime.

The F.B.I. estimates that nationally, only one tenth of the rapes committed are reported. Dr. Joanne Sterling, Assistant Director of the Bernalillo County Mental Health Center and a member of the national Rape Prevention and Control Advisory Committee, sponsored by the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, reports that New Mexico has some of the best treatment for rape victims (centered around the Rape Crisis Centers) in the nation. Therefore, our reporting rate, instead of being only 10% of the rapes that actually occur, is probably closer to 70-80% of those that actually occur; perhaps even higher in those cities where intervention on behalf of the victim is especially strong. These statistics, though disturbing, indicate a more konest and accurate count and recognition of the problem than in many states.

In New Mexico there is the added benefit of the rape evidence collection

kits that are used by the doctors at the hospitals to collect the evidence from the victim. This is in addition to what police officers collect from the scene of the crime. In recent months the State Police Crime Laboratory has received more cases with all the standards of evidence necessary for analysis sufficient to give to the district attorney to make a solid case. This was not true in the past. This improved collection of evidence by law enforcement officers and the hospital can be attributed to improved training and cooperation between agencies.

This brings out another benefit, but of no less significance, produced by the presence of a Rape Crisis Center in a community. The Center serves as a vehicle to educate the public about the nature of the crime and the physical and emotional trauma that a victim suffers. It helps to change social attitudes, which in turn allows the services to develop that are needed. It also serves as a vehicle to develop inter-agency cooperation and understanding among all those that deal with a rape victim--law enforcement, hospital emergency room personnel, the State Police Crime Laboratory that analyzes the evidence, district attorney's office, and in some cases, other human service agencies as well.

Therefore, even though the statistics of <u>reported</u> rape in New Mexico are way above the national rate, it is also true that the rate of reporting is way above the national average. New Mexico has accomplished two important objectives:

1) Victims in key cities receive excellent intervention, which includes counseling, medical treatment, and support in the process of assisting the district attorney's office with prosecution; 2) With increased reporting, community support and awareness, and more successful prosecutions of the offenders who committed the crimes, the publicity seems to have started a deterrent effect. Even with a high rate of reporting that is close to the actual occurrence, the rate of reported

rape in New Mexico seems to be taking a dip in 1977 for the first time. This is a result of concerted community education and inter-agency cooperation, with the Rape Crisis Centers acting as the hub of the wheel of effort that began in New Mexico in 1972-1973. Five years later we are beginning to see the results of these efforts.

Jane Foraker-Thompson, Chief Planner New Mexico State Police Department

member of the New Mexico Task Force on Victims of Sex Crimes

December 1977

RATE OF REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULT IN NEW MEXICO

City and County Totals	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
Population Bernalillo County University of New Mexico Albuquerque (city) State Police County Total Rate per 100,00 population	329,300.0 36.0 0.0 103.0 0.0 139.0 42.2	339,500.0 55.0 0.0 154.0 0.0 209.0 61.6	354,400.0 37.0 0.0 169.0 0.0 206.0 58.1	358,200.0 26.0 0.0 183.0 0.0 209.0 58.3	365,200,0 38,0 1.0 181.0 0.0 220.0 60.2	376,600.0 62.0 3.0 186.0
Population Catron County Rate per 100,000 population	2,200.0 1.0 45.0	2,200.0 0.0 0.0	2,200.0 2.0 90.9	2,500.0 0.0 0.0	2,100.0 0.0 0.0	2,100.0 0.0 0.0
Population Chaves County Roswell (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 population	45,500.0 5.0 6.0 11.0 24.2	46,400.0 0.0 4.0 4.0 8.6	46,400.0 2.0 3.0 5.0 10.8	46,500.0 2.0 1.0 3.0 6.5	48,200.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 2.1	49,400.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 4.0 8.1
Population Colfax County Raton (city) Springer (city) State Police County Total Rate per 100,000 population	12,100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	12,100.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.0 24.8	12,400.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	12,800.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 7.8	12,900.0 0.0 . 4.0 1.0 0.0 5.0 38.7	13,000.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 2.0 4.0 30.8
Population Curry County Clovis (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 porulation	41,500.0 0.0 3.0 8.0 19.3	40,900.0 0.0 4.0 4.0 9.8	42,800.0 3.0 9.0 11.0 25.7	42,800.0 1.0 17.0 18.0 42.1	43,200.0 0.0 10.0 10.0 23.1	44,700.0 0.0 10.0 10.0 22.4
Population De Baca County	2,500.0 0.0	2,500.0 0.0	2,800.0	2,700.0	2,600.0	2,500.0
Population Dona Ana County Las Cruces (city) Mesilla New Mexico State University County Total Rate per 100,000 population	71,300.0 6.0 4.0 0.0 1.0 11.0	73,600.0 9.0 8.0 0.0 0.0 17.0 23,1	74,500.0 5.0 9.0 0.0 2.0 16.0 21.5	77,500.0 6.0 11.0 0.0 2.0 19.0 24.5	80,000.0 8.0 10.0 1.0 2.0 21.0 26.3	82,000.0 9.0 3.0 1.0 0.0 18.0 22.0
Population Eddy County Artesia (city) Carlsbad (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 population	40,900.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 4.9	40,900.0 3.0 0.0 2.0 5.0 12.2	41,200.0 1.0 0.0 11.0 12.0 29.1	41,500.0 0.0 3.0 6.0 9.0 21.7	42,400.0 . 1.0 1.0 2.0 4.0 9.4	43,000.0 2.0 6.0 1.0 9.0 20.9
Population Grant County Bayard (city) Silver City (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 population	. 22,500.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 8.9	22,600.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	23,500.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 4.3	23,800.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 8.4	24,600.0 2.0 1.0 1.0 4.0 16.3	23,700.0 2.0 1.0 1.0 4.0 16.9
Population Guadalupe County Santa Rosa (city) County lotal Rate per 100,000 population	4,900.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	4,900.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 20.4	4,700.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 21.3	4,900.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 20.4	4,900.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	5,100.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Population Harding County	1,300.0 0.0	1,300.0	1,300.0	1,200.0	1,200.0	1,300.0

City and County Totals	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975 •	1976
Population Hidalyo County Lordsburg (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 population	4,600.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 21.7	4,600.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 21.7	4,700.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 21.3	5,400.0 2.0 1.0 3.0 55.6	5,700.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 4.0 70.2	5,300.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
Population Lea County Eunice (city) Hobbs (city) Jal (city) Lovington (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 population	49,600.0	49,400.0	48,800.0	49,800.0	51,200.0	53,000.0
	3.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	6.0	4.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6
	3.0	0.0	10.0	7.0	4.0	8.0
	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0
	6.0	4.0	13.0	11.0	13.0	13.0
	12.1	8.1	26.6	22.1	25.4	24.5
Population Lincoln County Ruidoso (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 population	7,800.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	8,000.0 5.0 0.0 5.0 62.5	8,400.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	9,300.0 0.0 2.0 2.0 21.5	9,500.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 4.0 42.1	9,200.0 1.0 2.0 3.0 32.6
Population	15,400.0	15,400.0	15,500.0	15,400.0	15,900.0	16,800.0
Los Alamos County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Los Alamos (city)	2.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
County Total	2.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
Rate per 100,000 population	12.9	6.5	0.0	12.9	0.0	0.0
Population	12,200.0	12,500.0	13,100.0	13,700.0	14,500.6	14,900.0
Luna County	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.0
Deming (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	3,0
County Total	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	3.0
• Rate per 100,000 population	0.0	0.0	7.6	14.6	27.6	20.1
Population KcKinley County Gallup (city) Zuni (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 population	44,700.0	46,800.0	49,300.0	48,800.0	51,200.0	51,600.0
	12.0	0.0	7.0	9.0	16.0	14.0
	5.0	8.0	11.0	9.0	12.0	11.0
	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	18.0	9.0	20.0	18.0	28.0	25.0
	40.3	19.2	40.6	36.9	54.7	48.4
Population Mora County Wagon Mound (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 population	4,600.0 2.0 0.0 2.0 43.5	4,500.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	4,600.0 4.0 0.0 4.0 86.9	4,800.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 20.8	4,900.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	4,200.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 23.8
Population Otero County Alamogordo (city) Mescalero (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 population	41,900.0	41,100.0	41,500.0	42,200.0	42,700.0	43,800.0
	4.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	3,0
	0.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	3.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
	4.0	6.0	5.0	4.0	7.0	6.0
	9.5	14.6	12.0	9.5	16.4	13.7
Population Quay County Tucumcari (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 population	11,300.0	11,000.0	11,100.0	11,200.0	11,400.0	11,800.0
	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
	8.8	9.1	18.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
Population	25,800.0	26,000.0	26,600.0	27,400.0	28,000.0	29,100.0
Rio Arriba County	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	6.0	1.0
Espanola (city)	0.0	4.0	3.0	1.0	3.0	1.0
State Police	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0
County Total	3.0	4.0	6.0	1.0	9.0	12.0
Rate per 100,000 population	11.6	15.4	22.6	3.6	32.1	41.2
Population Roosevelt County Portales (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 population	16,700.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	16,800.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	16.800.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	17,100.0 0.0 2.0 2.0 11.7	16,300.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	17,500.0 1.0 1.0 2.0

, 6 9 6 7						
City and County Totals	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
Population Sandoval County Bernalillo (city) Corrales (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 population	18,600.0 2.0 1.0 0.0 3.0 16.1	14,300.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	20,800.0 6.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.0 28.8	22,400.0 2.0 1.0 0.0 3.0 13.4	22,600.0 5.0 0.0 1.0 6.0 26.5	25,000.0 7.0 0.0 1.0 8.0 32.0
Population San Juan County Aztec (city) Bloomfield (city) Farmington (city) State Police County Total Rate per 100,000 population	53,500.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 3.0 0.0 4.0 7.5	55,400.0 2.0 0.0 0.0 12.0 0.0 14.0 25.3	58,800.0 2.0 0.0 0.0 5.0 0.0 7.0	61,400.0 3.0 0.0 7.0 10.0 0.0 13.0 21.2	65,300.0 2.0 1.0 1.0 16.0 0.0 20.0 30.6	65,000.0 1.0 5.0 0.0 1.0 11.0 18.0 27.7
Population San Miguel County Las Vegas (city) State Police County Total Rate per 100,000 population	22,100.0 1.0 6.0 0.0 7.0 31.7	23,200.0 2.0 8.0 0.0 10.0 43.5	23,800.0 1.0 3.0 0.0 4.0 16.8	23,400.0 0.0 10.0 0.0 10.0 42.7	23,500.0 2.0 14.0 0.0 16.0 68.1	23,500.0 0.0 6.0 3.0 9.0 33.3
Population Santa fe County Santa fe (city) State Police County Total Rate per 100,000 population	55,200.0 1.0 5.0 0.0 6.0 10.9	57,700.0 1.0 6.0 0.0 7.0 12.1	59,400.0 2.0 18.0 0.0 20.0 33.7	60,600.0 1.0 25.0 0.0 26.0 42.9	62,000.0 10.0 31.0 0.0 41.0 66.1	63,400.0 3.0 34.0 8.0 45.0 70.9
Population Sigra County Truth or Consequences (city) County Total * Rate per 100,000 population	7,300.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	7,800.0 0.0 1.0 1.0	8,000.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 12.5	8,000.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 12.5	8,500.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	8,000.0 0.0 3.0 3.0 37.5
Population Socorro County Socorro (city) State Police County Total Rate per 100,000 population	9,800.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 2.0 20.4	9,500.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 0.0 4.0 42.1	9,700.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	9,700.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 1.0	9,800.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 0.0 4.0 40.8	9,300.0 3.0 1.0 1.0 4.0 43.0
Population Taos County Questa (city) Taos Pueblo Taos (city) State Police County Total Rate per 100,000 population	18,000.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 5.6	18,000.0 2.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.0	18,500.0 . 3.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.0 16.2	18,700.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 3.0 16.0	19,300.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 4.0 0.0 6.0 31.1	19,600.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 3.0 5.0 25,5
Population Torrance County Moriarity (city) County Total Rate per 100,000 population	5,300.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	5,300.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	5,800.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	6,200.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	6,400.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	6,800.0 0.0 1.0 1.0
Population Union County Clayton (city) State Police County Total Rate per 100,000 population	5,000.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	4,800.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	4,800,0 1.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 20.8	5,100.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	4,900.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	5,000.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 40.0
Population Valencia County Beien (city) Grants (city) Milan (city) State Police County Total Rate per 100,000 population	41,400.0 7.0 2.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 9.0 21.7	42,000.0 2.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 3.0 7.1	43,000.0 7.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.0	44,200.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 2.3	46,000.0 6.0 2.0 2.0 0.0 0.0 10.0 21.7	47,200.0 4.0 0.0 1.0 2.0 8.0
						

City and County Totals	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
State Police	19.0	32.0	0.0	17.0	30.0	33.0
State Total Rate per 100,000 population Population	262.0 25.1 1,044,800	347.0 32.6 1,066,000	356.0 32.4 1,099,200	385.0 34.4 1,119,000	467.0 40.7 1,147,100	475.0 40_5 1,173,100

^{*}State Police handling of crimes were not separated by County in the State Uniform Crime Report until the 1976 citation

Reported rapes have increased by 213 between 1971 and 1976, or 82%. It is impossible to know to what degree this indicates an increase in this violent crime catefory or an increase in reporting of the crime due to the improved treatment of rape victims in the last few years due to the development of Rape Crisis Centers and more sensitive treatment from Law Enforcement Agencies, the courts, and emergency room staffs in hospitals.

New Mexico State Police Department Planning and Research Division

Population Figures 1971-1975, New Mexico Statistical Phatract 1976, Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico Population to 1935 and Tempact on Job Outlook," by John Temple, Bureau of Business and Economic Research, University of New Mexico, April 1976, p. 21;

^{2.} Crime Statistics from Uniform Crime Report, New Mexico State Police Department, years 1971-1976.

COUNTY	Forced Sexual Assault	Attempted Sewual Assault	Total
Bernalillo	141	25	166
Catron	0	0	0
Chaves	3	1	4
Colfax	1	0	1.
Curry	4	1.	5
De Baca	0	0	0
Dona Ana	17	2	19
Eddy	9	0	9
Grant	0	0	0
Guadalupe	1	0	1
Harding	0	0	0
Hidalgo	1	0	1
Lea	13	2	15
Lincoln	0	0	0
Los Alamos	2	0	2
Luna	1	0	1
McKinley	20	4	24
Mora	0	0	0
Otero	4	0	4
Quay	1	0	1
Rio Arriba	2	0	2
Roosevelt	2	0	2
Sandoval	1	0	1
San Juan	14	· 1	15
San Miguel	4	2	6
Santa Fe	6	3	9
Sierra	2 .	2	4
Taos	2	1	3
Torrance	0	0	0
Union	0	0	0
Valencia	5	2	7

Thru September

282

47

329

END