

Montana Board of Crime Control

٤,

NC.1 . 3 FEB 2 7 1978 ACQUISITIONS

Norm Thompson February 14, 1978

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

1.5

Project Title:	Deferred Prosecution				
Project Director:	Harold F. Hanser, County Attorney				
Grant Number:	#43561, Yellows	stone County			
Award Date:	June 27, 1975				
Project Duration:	July 1, 1975 to	o June 30, 1976			
Funding:	Federal State Local	\$17,051.33 947.30 946.77			
	Total	\$18,935.40			

#### Goals and Objectives

I. To identify eligible first offenders within 36 hours of the arrest and release them on their own recognizance, with the understanding that further screening will follow.

II. To divert eligible participants into the program within one week after the identification.

III. To make every opportunity available to the diverted individual for social and economic readjustment.

IV. To reduce the costs involved in dealing with the first offender by a minimum fo \$200.

V. To develop a constant input of 8 individuals per month with a six month average probation period.

VI. To offer a 10 to 1 client to staff ratio with the aid of five work study counselors to obtain better and more individualized treatment. VII. To avoid the stigma of criminal prosecution in cases where the benefits of diversion outweigh the benefits of prosecution.

VIII. To reduce the full prosecution caseload in Yellowstone County by 88 defendants within the first year of operation. This figure is based on an average input of 8 persons per month for 11 months, allowing us one month for the organization of the program.

#### LONG TERM BENEFITS

I. To lessen the strain on existing professional agencies by diverting first offenders, thus allowing professional agencies more time and energy to deal with the serious crimes that threaten the Billings area.

II. To educate the community on the needs of the first offender and the different types of treatment available for those who violate the law.

II. INTRODUCTION

The following evaluation report is intended to provide information of value to management for future funding determinations.

The approaches used in evaluating this project were:

A. Conducted preapplication conference to assist in planning and formatting application.

B. Reviewed quarterly reports and analyzed results attained and,C. Compared actual achievements against stated objectives.

III. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The deferred prosecution program began in Yellowstone County on September 1975. The program was designed to provide alternatives within the criminal justice system for certain cases involving first offenders. Fifty-seven programs of this type exist throughout the country, many of which were studied by the county attorney's office prior to formulating the subgrant application.

The purpose of deferred prosecution is to:

 Separate less serious offenders from hardened criminals and provide the first offender with a less costly, more effective means of treatment than traditional prosecution and incarceration.
Involve the community in meeting the needs of the first offender and provide positive alternatives. Through employment, schooling, volunteer work, counseling and the meeting of basic needs.

3) Lessen the burden on judicial agencies by diverting first offenders of "low social risk" crimes, thus allowing the judicial system more time to deal with the serious crimes in Yellowstone County.

#### Evaluation Results

An evaluation of program objectives indicated the following:

I. To identify eligible first offenders within 36 hours of the arrest and release them on their own recognizance, with the understanding that further screening will follow.

(a) The time between arrest and initial interview for all cases screened averaged three days.

(b) More time was utilized to identify and interview eligible participants than was originally planned, this was due to weekend arrests, notices to appear given by arresting officers, and the response time alloted in letters.

-3-

II. To divert eligible participants into the program within one week after the identification.

Time spent between initial interview and acceptance to the program averaged 15.33 days. This was due to:

(a) One week does not allow sufficient time for the dependant to contact his references.

(b) Staff time to complete a records check and contact references.

(c) Schedule follow-up interview

(d) Write pre-diversion investigation

(e) Meet with community advisory board to establish conditions and confer with county attorney to divert.

III. To make every opportunity available to the diverted individual for social and economic readjustment.

Twenty-four helping services and agencies were contacted by project personnel.

IV. To reduce the costs involved in dealing with the first offender by a minimum of \$200.

The average cost per person who successfully completed the program was \$235.85. The cost to the county for a person to go through the courts is \$761.10, a decrease of \$525.25.

V. To develop a constant input of 8 individuals per month with a six month average probation period.

Three months after project start-up this objective was revised downward to 5 or 6 individuals per month as there were less divertable people than anticipated.

VI. To offer a 10 to 1 client to staff ratio with the aid of five work study counselors to obtain better and more individualized treatment.

-4-

This objective was modified to read "to offer 20/30 to 1 client to staff ratio for better and individualized treatment."

Procedural changes were implemented and a one to one staff to client ratio was possible with the use of Community Volunteers.

VII. To avoid the stigma of criminal prosecution in cases where the benefits of diversion outweigh the benefits of prosecution.

At the end of first years operation a total of 101 persons were interviewed, 44 were rejected a 4 cases were pending.

Fifty-three persons were accepted by the program, three unsuccessful terminations and thirty successful terminations. The case load was twenty.

Reasons for unsuccessful terminations are given in the attached project statistics.

VIII. To reduce the full prosecution caseload in Yellowstone County by 88 defendants within the first year of operation. This figure is based on an average input of 8 persons per month for 11 months, allowing one month for the organization of the program.

This objective was not met due to the number of persons who qualified for the program. The prosecution caseload was reduced by 30 in the first year of operation.

#### Conclusion

This program proved very worthwhile after its first years operation. Being the first of its kind in Montana problems surfaced during the early months. Requiring modification of objectives to meet the project needs. By proving itself cost beneficial, the program was funded in its second year by the County Commissioners. During the second years operation a few higher risk individuals were included in the program under the same conditions and guidelines. Original modified objectives remained with very few changes.

In the second year of operation follow-up of clients was provided by 100 volunteers. Six ex-clients of the Diversion Program served as volunteers.

2 SEPTEMBER 1975 - 2 SEPTEMBER 1976

DEFERRED PROSECUTION PROGRAM

ONE YEAR STATISTICS

 $\zeta_{i}$ 

Soit TE SULTE

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	TOTAL INTERVIEWS		1.01		
	REJECTIONS		44		
	PENDING CASES	•	4		
	TOTAL ACCEPTANCE		53		
	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS	•	30	•	
•	PRUSENT ACTIVE CASELOAD-	- -	20		
	ASSIGNED VOLUNTEERS		19	•	
	UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS	•	3	(5.7%)	
	1 - Non-Cooperative				
	1 - Further Charges				

1 - Continual Criminal Activity

AGE BREAKDOWN (Based on Total Acceptance)



AGE

MALE	40
FEMALE	13
MARRIED	20
SINGLE	33

OFFENSE BREAKDOWN - BASED ON TOTAL ACCEPTANCE



District Court Cases Justice Court Cases

- 36 7777 - 18

# EDUCATIONAL BREAKDOWN

(Level Completed at Acceptance)

## High School Education

1 3 5 7 9 11 13 15 17 19 21

## College or Technical Training

College Graduate	
3-34 Years	
2 Years	
J. Year	and a second
Less than 1 Year	
NO. OF CLIENTS	1 2 3 4 5 6

## EMPLOYMENT BREAKDOWN

Total Employed at Acceptance	· 33
Total Unemployed at Acceptance	20
SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS	
Employed at Acceptance .	17
Unemployed at Acceptance	13
Employed at Termination	30
Unemployed at Termination	0

#### PRESENT CASELOAD

Employed							د در از از در در از از از				T			
Employed/In School.				una is de Gan	2 dLa 4.44" b	2. <b>6</b>	~~~ *~~ * ~~ * * ~	2.47 A 4.270401	*********	مېرو به ور سر د در د ور	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	والمرد فلافتيني والمطري	بدا لايا مارزشتمنه	
Uncaployed/In School		بد عنده												
Unemployed														
NO. OF CLIENTS	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	12	16
· An an and a set of the set of t	t	I		L		L	ليمد		l					1 . · · ·

#### WAGE ANALYSIS

Average hourly wage at acceptance \$4.23/hour Average hourly wage at present \$4.66/hour

(An average increase of \$.43/hour per client)

## MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICS

AVERAGE TIME OF DIVERSION 5.4 months

TOTAL RESTITUTION MADE TO DATE \$610.25

CLIENTS INVOLVED IN VOLUNTEER WORK IN COMMUNITY

Present Caseload 10 Successful Terminations 27

TOTAL NUMBER OF VOLUNTEER HOURS WORKED BY SUCCESSFULLY TERMINATED CLIENTS

33.0

AGENCY REFERRALS

Rimrock Guidance Foundation19Mental Health Center10Community Center Counseling Service5

ETHNIC BACKGROUND OF CLIENTS

White	52
Mexican-American	1
Black	0
Indian	0

# YELLOWSTONE COUNTY DEFERRED PROSECUTION PROGRAM

JUNE 1, 1976 - MAY 31, 1977

TABLE I		TOTAL 1 BY OFFE				and the second se	L ACCEP FFENSE	TANCES
		Felonie	38	42			21	
		Misdeme	anors	62			44	
OFFENSE		FELONY	BREAKDO	WN BY	OFFENS	E		
		Number	Intakes	•		Numb	er Acce	ptances
Theft		15				8		
Burglary		7				<b>2</b> ·		•
Possession of Danger	rous							
Drugs		5				]. 2		
Forgery		3				2		
Cultivation of Dange Drugs		3				2		
Destruction of Priva	ate	- -				-		
Property		2 2				Т Т		
Issuing Bad Checks Auto Theft		2				2 1		
Vandalism	· · · · · ·	<u>т</u> 1		•		<u>т</u> Т		
Attempt	-	1				1		
Escape		1			· .	0		
Deceptive Practices		1				Õ		
		42				21		

#### MISDEMEANOR BREAKDOWN BY OFFENSE

Number Int	takes	Number Accep	tances
			· · · ·
41		28	
16		13	
3		2	
1		0	
1		1	
62		44	03
	Number Int 41 16 3 1 <u>1</u> 62	Number Intakes 41 16 3 1 <u>1</u> 62	

9

1.1

INTAKES	тіz	CEN				
TUTAVED	DI	SEX				
	14-7					~ ~
	Mal					86
	rem	ale				14
30000031						
ACCEPTAN	ICES	BX	SEX			
	Mal					53
	r,eu	ale				10
ACCEPTAN	ICES	BY	AGE			
	7.0					
	18					18
	19	•				11
•	20					7
	21			• .		5
	22					2
	23					3
	25					2
	26					1
	27					2
	28					3
	29					- 1
	30				4	1
مهند مع	31					1
	32					1
	33					1
	37	•				1
	43					1
	44					1
	49					52321231111111 [63
						63

نتريد مرتبع مسالية

Ĥ

NOTE: 64.8% of acceptances in 18-21 age percent bracket

86% 14%

848 16%

# REASONS FOR REJECTIONS OR NON-ACCEPTANCE

ł

Ę

Reason	Charge	Number Involved
Non Cooperation	Poss. of D/D (Misd.)	9
Dismissed - Lack of Evidence	Poss. of D/D (Misd.) Destruction of Private Property (Felony) Cultivation of D/D	3
an an Alexandra an Alexandra an Alexandra. An Alexandra an Alexandra an Alexandra an Alexandra an Alexandra an Alexandra an Alexandra an Alexandr	(Felony)	
Did not contact References	Poss. of D/D (Misd.)	1
Could not guarantee staying in the community	Poss. of D/D (Misd.)	1
Victim was adamantly opposed	Burglary (Felony) Theft (Misd.)	3
Previous Offense	Poss. of D/D (Misd.) Theft (Felony)	3
Not Yellowstone County resident - follow-up too difficult	Poss. of D/D (Misd.) Poss. of D/D (Felony)	2
Too severe problems	Theft (Felony) Forgery (Felony) Deceptive Practices (Felony)	3
Wants to plead not guilty	Poss. of D/D (Misd.) Theft (Misd.) Assault (Misd.) Theft (Felony)	4
Deferred Prosecution too demanding	Poss. of D/D (Misd.)	1
Re-Arrested	Auto theft & vandalism (Felony)	3
	Theft (Felony) Burglary (Felony)	
		33

#### TERMINATIONS

Ву	Type: Favorable Unfavorable	51 2	96.28 3.88
	•		
Ву	Sex: Male Female	43 8	84.38 15.78

Unemployed:

BEGINNING 16 - 31%

 $\frac{\text{TERMINATIONS}}{7 - 14\$}$ 

18

0

DECREASE OF 17%

Wage Increase 27% 14 each

average increase - \$.74/hr.

Educational Improvement: 15 persons

29.48

# Marital Status: Married

Married	18
Single	32
Divorced	_1
	51

# YELLOWSTONE COUNTY DEFERRED PROSECUTION PROGRAM

JUNE 1, 1977 - AUGUST 31, 1977

Total	Intakes by Offense		Acceptance
	Felonies	9	8
	Misdemenaors	31	7
		40	15

Offense

.1

25

## Felony Breakdown by Offense

	Number Intakes	Number Acceptances
Theft	3	3
Burglary	1	1
Possession of Dangerous Drug	s 5	3
Carrying Concealed Weapon		1

Misc	d. Breakdown	by C	Offense	
Num	per Intakes		Number	Acceptances
Possession of Dangerous Drugs	16		<del></del>	5
Theft	б			1
Assault	2			0
Criminal Mischief	1			0
Criminal Trespass	1			Õ .
Disorderly Conduct	1			0
Shooting from Roadway	1			1
Illegal Trans. with Minor Taking & Using Motor Vehicle	1			Ō
Without Owner's Consent	1			0
Interferring with Peace Officer	<u>1</u> 31			<u> </u>

## INTAKES BY SEX

×,

Male	34
Female	6

### ACCEPTANCES BY SEX

Male	13
Female	2

## ACCEPTANCES BY AGE

18	4
19	2
20	2
22	1
23	1
26	2
31	1
41	1
61	1

0

# REASONS FOR REJECTIONS OR NON-ACCEPTANCE

REASON	CHARGE	NUMBER	INVOLVED
Did not contact reference	Possession of Dangerous Drugs	1	
Wants to plead not guilty	Possession of Dangerous Drugs (Mis Disorderly Conduct	sd.) 2	
	(Misd.) Cultivation of Danger	l	
	Drugs (Felony)	1	
Rather pay fine	Theft (Misd.) Assault (Felony) Criminal Mischief	3 1	•
	(Felony)	1	
Previousl Record	Theft (Misd.)	1	
Extensive Previous Psychiatric Treatment	Taking Motor Vehicle Without Owner's Consent	1	
Dismissed - Insufficient Evidence	Possession of Dangerc Drugs (Misd.)	us 5	
No show on Summons	Assault (Misd.) Possession of Dangero	1	
	Drugs (Misd.)	1	
Too difficult for follow- up	Criminal Mischief (Misd.)	1	
	Possession of Dangerc Drugs (Misd.)	us 1	
Too serious a charge	Possession of Dangero Drugs (Felony)	us 2	
Threatened office	Theft (Felony)	1	
		24	

## TERMINATIONS

Ву	Type:		
	Favorable		13
	Unfavorable		4

å

Ву	Sex:		FAVORAB	LE	•	UNFAVORABLE	
	Male		11			4	
	Female		2			0	

Unemployed:	EAVORABLE AT ACCEPTANCE 5	UNFAVORABLE AT ACCEPTANCE 3
	AT TERMINATION 1 Student	AT TERMINATION 3
Educational Improvement:	FAVORABLE 9	UNFAVORABLE 1
Maital Status: Married Single Divorced Widowed	FAVORABLE 4 8 1	UNFAVORABLE 3 1

 $\mathfrak{O}$ 



