

47670

1976
JUROR
UTILIZATION
in United States
District Courts

NCJRS

JUN 19 1978

ACQUISITIONS

1976
JUROR
UTILIZATION
in United States
District Courts

Prepared by:

Statistical Analysis and Reports Branch
Division of Information Systems
Administrative Office of the United States Courts
Washington, D.C.

November 1976

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
FOREWORD.....	v
SECTION I: Juror Utilization.....	1
A. Grand Jury.....	1
Grand Juror Statistics - National Totals (Table 1).....	2
Proceedings by Indictment and Grand Juror Usage - National Totals.....	3
Number of Grand Juries (Table 2).....	4
Grand Juror Usage (Table 3).....	6
Proceedings by Indictment and Grand Juror Usage (Table 4).....	8
B. Petit Jury.....	9
National Petit Juror Usage (Table 5).....	10
Petit Juror Usage - Fiscal Years 1972-1976.....	10
Petit Juror Usage Reports - Totals (Table 6).....	12
C. Comparison of Juror Utilization, 1971-1976.....	14
Juror Usage Indexes for Fiscal Years 1971-1976 (Table 7).....	15
Percentage of Jurors Selected For or Serving On Jury Trials for Fiscal Years 1971-1976 (Table 8).....	16
Percentage of Jurors Not Selected, Serving or Challenged for Fiscal Years 1971-1976 (Table 9).....	17
Check Sheet on Juror Utilization Factors that May Have an Effect on the Juror Usage Index.....	18
SECTION II: Juror Costs.....	20
How Juror Dollars Were Spent in Fiscal Year 1976.....	20
Grand Juror Payments - Fiscal Years 1973-1976 (Table 10).....	21
Grand Juror Expenditure Breakdown (Table 11).....	21
Grand Juror Costs.....	22
Petit Juror Costs.....	22
Petit Juror Payments - Fiscal Years 1973-1976 (Table 12).....	22
Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown (Table 13).....	23
SECTION III: Juror Usage Profiles.....	27
Explanation of Juror Usage Profiles.....	27
First Circuit.....	31
Second Circuit.....	39
Third Circuit.....	47
Fourth Circuit.....	55
Fifth Circuit.....	67
Sixth Circuit.....	89
Seventh Circuit.....	101
Eighth Circuit.....	111
Ninth Circuit.....	123
Tenth Circuit.....	139
District of Columbia.....	149
National Juror Usage Profile.....	151

FOREWORD

The petit juror reporting system was instituted six years ago in fiscal year* 1971 while the present grand juror reporting program was fully implemented at the beginning of fiscal year 1975. Since 1971, an annual report on petit juror utilization in the United States district courts has been issued by the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts. This is the sixth such report and the second report to include data on the grand jury operation within the federal courts. It is hoped that the presentation of information on the entire jury program will prove useful to the Federal Judiciary and all those taking an interest in the improvement of juror service and the utilization of those citizens reporting for jury duty.

This report presents a compilation of grand and petit juror statistics derived from the JS-11G and JS-11 monthly reports submitted by each district court during fiscal year 1976. Comparison statistics for the petit juror system from the five prior years of reporting are also provided. Comparison statistics on grand juries and jurors are given for the last two fiscal years.

The first section presents juror utilization data in various summary tables and accompanying text. This section is further divided into three parts covering the grand jury operation in the federal courts, an overview of petit juror activity, and an historical comparison of petit juror utilization data by district over the past six years.


Section two contains information on juror expenditures for each district court as well as national figures for juror costs. This section is divided into two parts, the first dealing with grand juror costs and the second with the expenditures for the petit jury operation.

The third section provides individual profile pages for each of the 94 districts. The petit juror portion of the profile page provides data on the utilization of petit jurors within a particular district. The grand juror portion of the profile page presents summary data for the year on all grand jury activity within that district. Each utilization profile gives historical comparison data for a five year period in selected areas. The profile for each district is intended to illustrate all pertinent juror statistics for fiscal year 1976 in a format that will provide an overall picture of the jury system and the efficient, or inefficient, operation of that system within a district.

* Years referred to in this Report are fiscal years ending on June 30 of the year stated, unless otherwise indicated.

In reviewing a district's profile page, it is helpful to refer to the national profile page at the end of the report. The relevant national averages provided here make it possible to assess each district in terms of the performance of the federal court system as a whole.

November 1976



Rowland F. Kirks
Director
Administrative Office of the U. S. Courts

SECTION I JUROR UTILIZATION

Summary statistics on the use of petit and grand jurors in the federal court system are presented in the following pages. This presentation includes statistics for fiscal year 1976 as well as national comparisons of this data with that for previous years. In order to present a more comprehensive picture of the jury operation in the Federal courts, this section is divided into two parts, the first dealing with grand juries and the second with petit jurors.

Grand Jury

The collection of statistics on the operation of the federal grand jury system began July 1, 1974 pursuant to the mandates of the Judicial Conference and under the guidance of the Committee on the Operation of the Jury System. Two full years of data have provided a substantial overview of the activity of federal grand juries and information as to the utilization of grand jurors in the system. The compilation of data for fiscal year 1976 is taken from the JS-11G reporting forms which are submitted monthly by the clerk of each district court for each grand jury within the district.

The table, "Grand Juror Statistics-National Totals", provides information on total grand jury activity for fiscal years 1975 and 1976. The total number of grand juries in existence increased by 6.0% from 570 in 1975 to 604 grand juries this past year. The number of sessions convened by grand juries rose 7.1% - 8,404 sessions convened in 1976 as compared to 7,846 in 1975. Correspondingly, the number of jurors involved in these convened sessions increased by 11,018 or 7.1%, while the number of hours in session increased by 8.1% from 41,421 hours in fiscal year 1975 to 44,765 hours in 1976. These increases are at least partially attributable to the courts' efforts to reduce the time between a defendant's arrest and subsequent indictment under Rule 50(b) interim plans adopted by each district.

The second table, "Number of Grand Juries", presents a breakdown by district of the total number of grand juries that were sitting at some time in the twelve month period, the number of grand juries in existence at the beginning of fiscal year 1976, the number impaneled, the number discharged, and the number in existence at the close of fiscal year 1976¹. The total number of grand juries (those in existence on July 1, 1975 plus those impaneled in the twelve month period) ranged from a high of 48 in New York, Southern to a low of one in 11 (12%) of the 92 districts with grand juries in 1976. The Districts of the Virgin Islands and the Canal Zone reported no grand juries in existence during fiscal year 1976.

¹Regular grand juries may be impaneled at any time and have a natural life of 18 months but may be discharged sooner by court order during the 18 month period. (Rule 6(g), F.R.Crim.P.)

Table 1
United States District Courts
Grand Juror Statistics - National Totals
Fiscal Years 1975-1976

Grand Jurors and Juries	1975	1976	1976 over 1975	
			Increase (Decrease)	Percent Change
Total Number of:				
Sessions Convened....	7,846	8,404	558	7.1
Jurors in session....	156,167	167,185	11,018	7.1
Hours in session.....	41,421	44,765	3,344	8.1
Average Number of:				
Jurors per session...	19.90	19.90	0.0	0.0
Hours per session...	5.28	5.33	0.05	0.9
Total Number of Grand Juries:				
In existence.....	570	605	35	6.1
Impaneled.....	291	301	10	3.4
Discharged.....	266	255	(11)	-4.1

Nationally, 303 grand juries were in existence on July 1, 1975. During the fiscal year the number of grand juries impaneled (301) exceeded the number discharged (258) by 43, resulting in 346 grand juries on June 30, 1976, a 14.2% increase over the 303 juries at the close of fiscal year 1975. The number of grand juries in any given district varies depending on factors such as amount and type of criminal activity and the number of places of holding court. The grand jury system, as an integral part of the operation of the Federal courts, has exhibited an increase in size and activity.

The table, "Grand Juror Usage", shows the extent to which grand juries and jurors were utilized within each district during fiscal year 1976. Totals are given for the number of grand jury sessions convened by all grand juries within a district, the number of jurors involved in these convened sessions, and the number of hours during which sessions were conducted. New York, Southern recorded the greatest number of sessions convened - 968, the largest number of jurors in session - 19,559, as well as the highest number of hours in session - 3,772. Wyoming appears at the opposite end of the range with its one grand jury reporting five sessions convened during the fiscal year involving 101 grand jurors for a total of 36 hours.

Substantial increases in grand jury activity have occurred in 1976 compared to 1975 in many of the districts. One indicator of this increased activity is the number of sessions convened. Thirty-three of the 92 districts recorded increases of 25% or more in the number of convened sessions while 14 districts recorded increases of 50% or more. Notable in this group are Pennsylvania, Middle which held 60 sessions this past year compared to 24 in 1975; Georgia, Southern which convened 15 sessions in fiscal year 1975 and 38

sessions this year; and Oklahoma, Northern which held 7 sessions in 1975 and 40 sessions in 1976.

This table also indicates the average number of jurors for each session as well as the average number of hours for each convened session within a district. Bearing in mind that a grand jury consists of 16 to 23 persons, Colorado had the lowest average of 17.6 jurors while West Virginia, Northern and Arkansas, Western recorded the highest average of 22.8 jurors. The national figure was 19.9 jurors per session. The measure of average hours per session is one indication of the efficient or inefficient utilization of grand jurors' time. Average hours per session ranged from a high of 7.58 hours in Oklahoma, Northern to a low in California, Eastern of 3.64 hours per session. The national figure of 5.33 average hours per session for 1976 is a slight increase over the 5.28 hours per session recorded in 1975.

The accompanying table, "Proceedings by Indictment and Grand Juror Usage-National Totals," indicates that 26,139 cases were commenced by indictment this past year as compared to 26,775 such cases in 1975, a decrease of 2.4%. The number of defendants proceeded against by indictment for 1976 was 38,733, a decrease of 3.3% from the figure of 40,038 recorded in 1975. This information can be compared to the number of grand jury sessions convened, as well as the number of hours grand juries were in session, to give an indication of what was produced by the grand jury system in each of the two years. On the average, 4.6 defendants were proceeded against and slightly more than three cases were commenced as the result of each grand jury session held in 1976.

Proceedings by Indictment and Grand Juror Usage
National Totals
United States District Courts
Fiscal Years 1975 and 1976

National Totals	Proceedings Commenced by Indictment		Grand Jury Sessions Convened	Hours in Session
	Cases	Defend- ants		
F.Y. 1975	26,775	40,038	7,846	41,421
F.Y. 1976	26,139	38,733	8,404	44,765

Table 2
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS
FISCAL YEAR 1976

District	Number on July 1, 1975	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1976	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period
<u>Total All Districts..</u>	303 ^R	301	258	346	604
District of Columbia.....	10	8	6	12	18
First Circuit					
Maine.....	-	2	-	2	2
Massachusetts.....	7	6	5	8	13
New Hampshire.....	1	1	1	1	2
Rhode Island.....	2	2	3	1	4
Puerto Rico.....	1	2	1	2	3
Second Circuit					
Connecticut.....	3	2	-	5	5
New York:					
Northern.....	1	6	5	2	7
Eastern.....	13 ^R	20	14	19	33
Southern.....	29	19	16	32	48
Western.....	4	5	6	3	9
Vermont.....	3	1	2	2	4
Third Circuit					
Delaware.....	2	1	1	2	3
New Jersey.....	6	5	5	6	11
Pennsylvania:					
Eastern.....	5	6	3	8	11
Middle.....	2	4	2	4	6
Western.....	5	4	3	6	9
Virgin Islands*.....	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth Circuit					
Maryland.....	7	8	9	6	15
North Carolina:					
Eastern.....	1	3	3	1	4
Middle.....	1	1	1	1	2
Western.....	2	2	2	2	4
South Carolina.....	1	1	1	1	2
Virginia:					
Eastern.....	7	7	5	9	14
Western.....	8	10	12	6	18
West Virginia:					
Northern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Southern.....	3	1	2	2	4
Fifth Circuit					
Alabama:					
Northern.....	1	2	2	1	3
Middle.....	1	-	1	-	1
Southern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Florida:					
Northern.....	2	1	1	2	3
Middle.....	7	4	4	7	11
Southern.....	9	10	7	12	19
Georgia:					
Northern.....	5	4	3	6	9
Middle.....	2	2	1	3	4
Southern.....	1	2	1	2	3
Louisiana:					
Eastern.....	5	4	5	4	9
Middle.....	2	3	4	1	5
Western.....	4	5	4	5	9
Mississippi:					
Northern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Southern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Texas:					
Northern.....	7	6	4	9	13
Eastern.....	2	2	2	2	4
Southern.....	6 ^R	4	3	7	10
Western.....	10	7	7	10	17
Canal Zone*.....	-	-	-	-	-

Table 2
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS
FISCAL YEAR 1976 (CONCLUDED)

District	Number on July 1, 1975	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1976	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period
Sixth Circuit					
Kentucky:					
Eastern.....	3	3	3	3	6
Western.....	4	2	3	3	6
Michigan:					
Eastern.....	5	5	1	9	10
Western.....	--	1	--	1	1
Ohio:					
Northern.....	6	6	6	6	12
Southern.....	3	4	3	4	7
Tennessee:					
Eastern.....	4	1	3	2	5
Middle.....	1	--	--	1	1
Western.....	2	1	2	1	3
Seventh Circuit					
Illinois:					
Northern.....	7	14	13	8	21
Eastern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Southern.....	3	1	1	3	4
Indiana:					
Northern.....	2	2	1	3	4
Southern.....	2	3	4	1	5
Wisconsin:					
Eastern.....	2	1	1	2	3
Western.....	--	1	--	1	1
Eighth Circuit					
Arkansas:					
Eastern.....	1	1	--	2	2
Western.....	1	--	1	--	1
Iowa:					
Northern.....	2	2	2	2	4
Southern.....	1	2	1	2	3
Minnesota:	1	1	1	1	2
Missouri:					
Eastern.....	2	4	2	4	6
Western.....	3	3	3	3	6
Nebraska:	--	1	--	1	1
North Dakota:	1	1	1	1	2
South Dakota:	2	--	--	2	2
Ninth Circuit					
Alaska.....	1	--	--	1	1
Arizona.....	3	4	3	4	7
California:					
Northern.....	5 ^R	6	7	4	11
Eastern.....	1 ^R	2	2	1	3
Central.....	11	15	8	18	26
Southern.....	8	6	6	8	14
Hawaii.....	1	2	1	2	3
Idaho.....	1	2	1	2	3
Montana.....	1	--	1	--	1
Nevada.....	3	1	2	2	4
Oregon.....	2	3	2	3	5
Washington:					
Eastern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Western.....	2	2	2	2	4
Guam.....	1	1	1	1	2
Tenth Circuit					
Colorado.....	1	--	--	1	1
Kansas.....	2	1	--	3	3
New Mexico.....	3	2	2	3	5
Oklahoma:					
Northern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Eastern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Western.....	--	1	--	1	1
Utah.....	2	2	2	2	4
Wyoming.....	1	--	1	--	1

R - Revised to more accurately reflect the number of grand juries at the beginning of F.Y. 1976.

*The Districts of Virgin Islands and Canal Zone reported no grand juries in existence during F.Y. 1976.

Table 3
GRAND JUROR USAGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS
FISCAL YEAR 1976

District	Number Of:			Average Number of Jurors per Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session		
<u>Total All Districts..</u>	8,404	167,185	44,765	19.9	5.33
District of Columbia.....	497	10,037	2,464	20.2	4.96
First Circuit					
Maine.....	14	292	83	20.9	5.93
Massachusetts.....	210	4,172	1,055	19.9	5.02
New Hampshire.....	7	136	35	19.4	5.00
Rhode Island.....	40	783	212	19.6	5.30
Puerto Rico.....	44	860	270	19.5	6.14
Second Circuit					
Connecticut.....	66	1,323	377	20.0	5.71
New York:					
Northern.....	72	1,468	281	20.4	3.90
Eastern.....	538	10,640	2,546	19.8	4.73
Southern.....	968	19,559	3,772	20.2	3.90
Western.....	185	3,645	894	19.7	4.83
Vermont.....	42	831	220	19.8	5.24
Third Circuit					
Delaware.....	49	929	198	19.0	4.04
New Jersey.....	243	4,457	1,186	18.3	4.88
Pennsylvania:					
Eastern.....	260	5,157	1,390	19.8	5.35
Middle.....	60	1,215	308	20.2	5.13
Western.....	102	1,929	608	18.9	5.96
Virgin Islands*	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth Circuit					
Maryland.....	171	3,422	741	20.0	4.33
North Carolina:					
Eastern.....	24	528	154	22.0	6.42
Middle.....	9	177	54	19.7	6.00
Western.....	14	252	96	18.0	6.86
South Carolina.....	15	312	107	20.8	7.13
Virginia:					
Eastern.....	153	3,064	995	20.0	6.50
Western.....	16	338	85	21.1	5.31
West Virginia:					
Northern.....	8	182	47	22.8	5.88
Southern.....	32	639	218	20.0	6.81
Fifth Circuit					
Alabama:					
Northern.....	30	672	227	22.4	7.57
Middle.....	14	271	92	19.4	6.57
Southern.....	17	331	118	19.5	6.94
Florida:					
Northern.....	15	314	74	20.9	4.93
Middle.....	148	2,814	914	19.0	6.18
Southern.....	253	5,056	1,463	20.0	5.78
Georgia:					
Northern.....	150	2,944	942	19.6	6.28
Middle.....	70	1,439	498	20.6	7.11
Southern.....	38	711	227	18.7	5.97
Louisiana:					
Eastern.....	114	2,248	627	19.7	5.50
Middle.....	27	552	140	20.4	5.19
Western.....	61	1,246	387	20.4	6.34
Mississippi:					
Northern.....	9	201	53	22.3	5.89
Southern.....	15	314	79	20.9	5.27
Texas:					
Northern.....	120	2,412	760	20.1	6.33
Eastern.....	14	279	79	19.9	5.64
Southern.....	87	1,735	521	19.9	5.99
Western.....	101	2,045	407	20.2	4.03
Canal Zone*.....	-	-	-	-	-

Table 3
GRAND JUROR USAGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS
FISCAL YEAR 1976 (CONCLUDED)

District	Number Of:			Average Number of Jurors per Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session		
Sixth Circuit					
Kentucky:					
Eastern.....	22	458	126	20.8	5.75
Western.....	67	1,342	333	20.0	4.97
Michigan:					
Eastern.....	297	6,104	1,803	20.6	6.07
Western.....	16	322	107	20.1	6.69
Ohio:					
Northern.....	141	2,795	782	19.8	5.55
Southern.....	66	1,257	393	19.0	5.95
Tennessee:					
Eastern.....	13	248	81	19.1	6.23
Middle.....	16	320	92	20.0	5.75
Western.....	56	1,174	353	21.0	6.30
Seventh Circuit					
Illinois:					
Northern.....	451	9,126	2,729	20.2	6.05
Eastern.....	34	661	209	19.4	6.15
Southern.....	55	1,074	329	19.5	5.98
Indiana:					
Northern.....	59	1,185	340	20.1	5.76
Southern.....	89	1,769	615	19.9	6.91
Wisconsin:					
Eastern.....	61	1,243	363	20.4	5.95
Western.....	21	420	105	20.0	5.00
Eighth Circuit					
Arkansas:					
Eastern.....	21	457	115	21.8	5.48
Western.....	10	228	44	22.8	4.40
Iowa:					
Northern.....	32	629	211	19.7	6.59
Southern.....	41	820	301	20.0	7.34
Minnesota.....	52	1,048	261	20.2	5.02
Missouri:					
Eastern.....	111	2,187	585	19.7	5.27
Western.....	46	892	290	19.4	6.30
Nebraska.....	15	326	103	21.7	6.87
North Dakota.....	8	168	44	21.0	5.50
South Dakota.....	36	659	206	18.3	5.72
Ninth Circuit					
Alaska.....	21	410	79	19.5	3.76
Arizona.....	105	2,063	664	19.6	6.32
California:					
Northern.....	148	2,935	805	19.8	5.44
Eastern.....	50	1,044	182	20.9	3.64
Central.....	423	8,137	2,226	19.2	5.26
Southern.....	177	3,441	796	19.4	4.50
Hawaii.....	33	645	198	19.5	6.00
Idaho.....	29	604	182	20.8	6.28
Montana.....	9	194	66	21.6	7.33
Nevada.....	89	1,704	421	19.1	4.73
Oregon.....	50	1,037	245	20.7	4.90
Washington:					
Eastern.....	13	249	93	19.2	7.15
Western.....	54	1,077	376	19.9	6.96
Guam.....	8	158	30	19.8	3.75
Tenth Circuit					
Colorado.....	49	863	334	17.6	6.82
Kansas.....	33	654	241	19.8	7.30
New Mexico.....	61	1,237	325	20.3	5.33
Oklahoma:					
Northern.....	40	787	303	19.7	7.58
Eastern.....	9	194	47	21.6	5.22
Western.....	14	283	93	20.2	6.64
Utah.....	26	525	99	20.2	3.81
Wyoming.....	5	101	36	20.2	7.20

*The Districts of Virgin Islands and Canal Zone reported no grand juries in existence during F.Y. 1976.

Table 4
Proceedings By Indictment and Grand Juror Usage
United States District Courts
Fiscal Year 1976

District	Proceedings Commenced by Indictment		Grand Jury Sessions Convened	Hours in Session		District	Proceedings Commenced by Indictment		Grand Jury Sessions Convened	Hours in Session
	Cases	Defendants					Cases	Defendants		
National Total ..	26,139	38,733	8,404	44,765		Sixth Circuit				
District of Columbia.....	757	950	497	2,464		Kentucky:				
First Circuit						Eastern	240	326	22	126
Maine	45	57	14	83		Western	262	396	67	333
Massachusetts	313	504	210	1,055		Michigan:				
New Hampshire	31	35	7	35		Eastern	961	1,479	297	1,803
Rhode Island	73	112	40	212		Western	160	193	16	107
Puerto Rico	143	226	44	270		Ohio:				
Second Circuit						Northern	565	744	141	782
Connecticut	210	295	66	377		Southern	234	337	66	393
New York:						Tennessee:				
Northern	88	144	72	281		Eastern	127	178	13	81
Eastern	482	901	538	2,546		Middle	163	255	16	92
Southern	874	1,750	968	3,772		Western	154	271	56	353
Western	155	238	185	894		Seventh Circuit				
Vermont	55	101	42	220		Illinois:				
Third Circuit						Northern	727	1,289	451	2,729
Delaware	110	158	49	198		Eastern	130	168	34	209
New Jersey	247	410	243	1,186		Southern	65	88	55	329
Pennsylvania:						Indiana:				
Eastern	656	1,026	260	1,390		Northern	268	392	59	340
Middle	139	194	60	308		Southern	196	262	89	615
Western	265	395	102	608		Wisconsin:				
Virgin Islands	-	-	-	-		Eastern	120	171	61	363
Fourth Circuit						Western	74	83	21	105
Maryland	568	795	171	741		Eighth Circuit				
North Carolina:						Arkansas:				
Eastern	207	295	24	154		Eastern	222	270	21	115
Middle	286	367	9	54		Western	57	62	10	44
Western	194	266	14	96		Iowa:				
South Carolina	351	532	15	107		Northern	57	80	32	211
Virginia:						Southern	116	133	41	301
Eastern	672	875	153	995		Minnesota	193	316	52	261
Western	174	192	16	85		Missouri:				
West Virginia:						Eastern	321	460	111	585
Northern	58	71	8	47		Western	194	259	46	290
Southern	205	248	32	218		Nebraska	100	147	15	103
Fifth Circuit						North Dakota	70	83	8	44
Alabama:						South Dakota	315	355	36	206
Northern	503	708	30	227		Ninth Circuit				
Middle	191	307	14	92		Alaska	126	169	21	79
Southern	114	195	17	118		Arizona	1,062	1,705	105	664
Florida:						California:				
Northern	163	240	15	74		Northern	475	660	148	805
Middle	417	694	148	914		Eastern	471	611	50	182
Southern	687	1,124	253	1,463		Central	1,481	2,099	423	2,226
Georgia:						Southern	1,053	1,784	177	796
Northern	407	649	150	942		Hawaii	107	177	33	198
Middle	143	217	70	498		Idaho	85	141	29	182
Southern	123	225	38	227		Montana	116	128	9	66
Louisiana:						Nevada	199	320	89	421
Eastern	373	567	114	627		Oregon	190	288	50	245
Middle	62	91	27	140		Washington:				
Western	163	197	61	387		Eastern	98	112	13	93
Mississippi:						Western	339	492	54	376
Northern	57	80	9	53		Guam	29	46	8	30
Southern	90	127	15	79		Tenth Circuit				
Texas:						Colorado	217	266	49	334
Northern	489	607	120	760		Kansas	291	403	33	241
Eastern	114	140	14	79		New Mexico	211	298	61	325
Southern	1,066	1,565	87	521		Oklahoma:				
Western	484	723	101	407		Northern	138	188	40	303
Canal Zone	-	-	-	-		Eastern	49	64	9	47
						Western	206	249	14	93
						Utah	46	69	26	99
						Wyoming	55	74	5	36

The second table presenting information on proceedings by indictment gives a breakdown by district of the number of cases that were commenced by indictment and the number of defendants that were proceeded against by indictment in fiscal year 1976. This information is derived from the criminal JS-2 reports submitted monthly by each district to the Administrative Office. The number of grand jury sessions convened and the number of hours grand juries were in session are also provided. These figures are not meant to be an indication of the efficiency, or lack thereof, of a particular court's grand jury operation as the grand jury time required to obtain any number of indictments depends on the nature of the activity, the number of participants involved, and the guidance of the U.S. Attorney in any matter which a grand jury is investigating. However, this data should enable a district to obtain a clearer idea of the activity of its grand juries.

Petit Jury

The utilization of petit jurors in the 12 month period ending June 30, 1976 improved in many district courts; however, the national Juror Usage Index or J.U.I. (obtained by dividing total available juror days by the total number of jury trial days) increased slightly from 19.32 in fiscal year 1975 to 19.73 this past year. Since the institution of the Petit Juror Usage (JS-11) Reporting program in fiscal year 1971, there has been a decrease of 15.4% in the J.U.I. from 23.31 in that first year to 19.73 in 1976. Thus, in the six year period, the efforts of judges and court personnel have resulted in approximately three and one half fewer persons being needed for every jury trial day.

The total available prospective jurors reporting to the courthouse is broken down into three categories to designate the status of jury service each person attained each day. These three categories are jurors selected or serving; prospective jurors challenged by the court or counsel; and those persons not selected, serving or challenged. The table, "National Petit Juror Usage", gives this breakdown of the total available jurors for all 94 districts for fiscal years 1972 through 1976. Total available jurors increased from 546,627 prospective jurors in 1975 to 592,594 jurors this year - an increase of 45,967 or 8.4%. Correspondingly, the number of jury trial days increased by 6.1% - from 28,293 jury trial days in fiscal year 1975 to 30,032 days in 1976 - an increase of 1,739 days. The number of criminal jury trial days increased by 12.6% during this period and accounted for nearly 60% of all jury trial days in 1976 as compared to approximately 56% in 1975. The number of civil jury trial days exhibited a decline of 2.1% and represented only 40.7% of the jury trial days this past year as compared to 44.1% in fiscal year 1975.


Of the 592,594 total available jurors in 1976, 356,951, or 60.2%, were jurors selected for or serving on jury trials. This is a steady improvement from the 55.5%

Table 5
National Petit Juror Usage - United States District Courts
Fiscal Years 1972 - 1976

Petit Jurors	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1976 over 1975	
						Increase (Decrease)	Percent Change
<u>Total Available</u>	547,821	573,150	540,628	546,627	592,594	45,967	8.4
Selected or Serving.....	304,178	324,038	315,419	328,445	356,951	28,506'	8.7
Percent.....	55.5	56.5	58.3	60.1	60.2	-	-
Challenged.....	79,501	86,520	82,152	88,228	92,727	4,499	5.1
Percent.....	14.5	15.1	15.2	16.1	15.6	-	-
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged.....	164,142	162,592	143,057	129,954	142,916	12,962	10.0
Percent.....	30.0	28.4	26.5	23.8	24.1	-	-
<u>Jury Trial Days</u>	26,176	28,425	28,274	28,293	30,032	1,739	6.1
Criminal.....	14,615	16,791	16,426	15,818	17,818	2,000	12.6
Percent.....	55.8	59.1	58.1	55.9	59.3	-	-
Civil.....	11,561	11,634	11,848	12,475	12,214	(261)	-2.1
Percent.....	44.2	40.9	41.9	44.1	40.7	-	-

PETIT JUROR USAGE

FISCAL YEARS 1972 - 1976



serving jurors reported in 1972 and indicates that approximately sixty of every 100 persons reporting to the courthouse for jury duty were selected for or served on a trial jury. The percent of prospective jurors challenged decreased from 16.1% in fiscal year 1975 to 15.6% this past fiscal year; however, this is still an increase from the 14.5% challenged jurors reported in 1972. The percentage of jurors not selected, serving or challenged - the not used juror - exhibited a slight increase with 24.1% of all prospective jurors falling in this category in 1976 as compared to 23.8% last year. The 24.1% recorded this fiscal year is nearly six percentage points lower than the 30.0% recorded in fiscal year 1972 and indicates that the improved management of the juror operations by the district courts has resulted in the calling of prospective jurors based on realistic determinations of their juror needs for voir dire selections and jury trials.

The table, "Petit Juror Usage Reports-Totals," provides yearly totals as to the number of jurors in attendance and the number of jury trial days conducted in each district with a percentage breakdown of each into the designated categories. The Juror Usage Index for each district is given showing the average number of jurors used by a district to conduct each jury trial day. It also shows those districts which have not changed from a twelve member civil jury by notation with an asterisk. The present total of 82 of the 94 districts having instituted use of a less than twelve member civil jury is the same as that reported at this time last year.

The Juror Usage Indexes for 1976 range from a low of 12.84 in Wyoming to a high of 34.50 in Guam. While thirty-four districts recorded improved use of their jurors as indicated by a reduction in their J.U.I.'s, sixty-one of the districts recorded indexes under 20 for the twelve month period. When fiscal year 1975 is compared with 1976, Louisiana, Middle and Illinois, Eastern have recorded the most improvement in their J.U.I.'s, reducing them by 5.83 and 4.55 index points, respectively.

The percent of jurors selected for or serving on jury trials ranged from a high of 85.0% in Alabama, Southern to a low of 32.8% in Guam. Thirty-seven of the 94 districts recorded 65% or more of the prospective jurors in this category. Further, 51 districts recorded increases in the percentage of their prospective jurors who were selected or serving. Oklahoma, Northern exhibited an improvement of 17.8 percentage points, increasing its percent selected or serving from 52.7% in 1975 to 70.5% in 1976.

The percent of jurors challenged, either for cause or peremptorily by counsel, ranged from a low of 6.9% in North Carolina, Western to highs of 33.8% and 34.6% in Virginia, Eastern and Virginia, Western, respectively. The national average for challenged jurors

Table 6
PETIT JUROR USAGE REPORTS - TOTALS
FISCAL YEAR 1976

District	Number of Jurors				Days of Jury Trial			Juror Usage Index ¹
	Total Available	Percent Selected or Serving	Percent Challenged	Percent Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Total	Percent Civil	Percent Criminal	
<u>Total all districts</u>	592,594	60.2	15.6	24.1	30,032	40.7	59.3	19.73
District of Columbia.....	12,916	58.2	13.8	28.0	615	34.0	66.0	21.00
<u>First Circuit</u>								
Maine.....	902	83.1	13.1	3.8	51	21.6	78.4	17.69
Massachusetts.....	7,695	68.4	10.0	21.6	415	20.2	79.8	18.54
New Hampshire.....	1,932	68.2	14.7	17.1	131	80.2	19.8	14.75
Rhode Island.....	2,352	72.5	8.0	19.5	150	40.7	59.3	15.68
Puerto Rico.....	5,262	35.0	16.0	49.0	168	40.5	59.5	31.32
<u>Second Circuit</u>								
Connecticut.....	5,016	75.3	14.7	10.0	262	24.4	75.6	19.15
New York:								
Northern.....	1,871	66.1	11.0	23.0	103	26.2	73.8	18.17
Eastern.....	26,659	59.6	13.3	27.1	1,207	20.9	79.1	22.09
Southern.....	46,815	52.6	16.3	31.1	2,046	34.8	65.2	22.88
*Western.....	5,092	71.6	9.1	19.3	250	30.4	69.6	20.37
Vermont.....	2,349	56.4	12.5	31.2	140	63.6	36.4	16.78
<u>Third Circuit</u>								
Delaware.....	1,510	60.5	24.4	15.2	85	44.7	55.3	17.76
New Jersey.....	18,587	72.5	11.3	16.2	1,002	31.6	68.4	18.55
Pennsylvania:								
Eastern.....	31,406	52.2	15.9	31.9	1,635	71.6	28.4	19.21
Middle.....	5,040	72.0	18.5	9.5	285	68.4	31.6	17.68
Western.....	14,182	49.7	15.2	35.2	681	55.2	44.8	20.83
Virgin Islands.....	5,769	54.1	21.1	24.8	187	41.2	58.8	30.85
<u>Fourth Circuit</u>								
Maryland.....	10,416	67.8	16.3	15.9	568	40.0	60.0	18.34
North Carolina:								
*Eastern.....	2,725	69.4	9.3	21.3	137	8.8	91.2	19.89
Middle.....	1,354	69.6	18.4	12.0	77	20.8	79.2	17.58
Western.....	2,981	78.6	6.9	14.5	204	69.6	30.4	14.61
South Carolina.....	8,436	69.3	16.3	14.4	449	52.8	47.2	18.79
Virginia:								
Eastern.....	6,754	53.9	33.8	12.2	382	47.6	52.4	17.68
Western.....	1,252	52.3	34.6	13.1	67	70.1	29.9	18.69
West Virginia:								
Northern.....	428	39.0	21.5	39.5	18	66.7	33.3	23.78
Southern.....	2,587	51.4	23.3	25.3	119	38.7	61.3	21.74
<u>Fifth Circuit</u>								
Alabama:								
Northern.....	7,052	56.3	19.5	24.2	415	66.7	33.3	16.99
Middle.....	2,455	73.7	11.0	15.4	133	33.8	66.2	18.46
Southern.....	3,288	85.0	8.1	6.9	178	30.3	69.7	18.47
Florida:								
Northern.....	2,284	68.2	11.8	20.0	116	25.9	74.1	19.69
Middle.....	11,118	68.5	13.6	17.9	591	29.1	70.9	18.81
Southern.....	14,633	58.0	16.0	26.0	710	27.6	72.4	20.61
Georgia:								
Northern.....	12,018	57.8	21.6	20.6	674	43.6	56.4	17.83
*Middle.....	3,012	60.4	26.1	13.5	143	58.0	42.0	21.06
*Southern.....	3,982	74.0	16.2	9.9	210	65.2	34.8	18.96
Louisiana:								
Eastern.....	8,100	58.9	24.0	17.0	480	60.8	39.2	16.88
Middle.....	675	45.2	29.9	24.9	30	40.0	60.0	22.50
Western.....	3,342	57.8	20.7	21.5	184	57.1	42.9	18.16
Mississippi:								
Northern.....	3,163	73.5	16.2	10.2	172	49.4	50.6	18.39
*Southern.....	3,296	53.8	15.9	30.4	132	65.2	34.8	24.97
Texas:								
*Northern.....	10,074	63.3	15.5	21.2	517	60.5	39.5	19.49
Eastern.....	3,682	62.9	18.1	19.0	186	89.8	10.2	19.80
Southern.....	14,765	65.8	15.4	18.8	657	18.1	81.9	22.47
Western.....	6,906	61.7	19.4	18.9	302	32.4	67.5	22.87
*Canal Zone.....	186	46.2	24.7	29.0	7	0.0	100.0	26.57

Table 6
PETIT JUROR USAGE REPORTS - TOTALS
FISCAL YEAR 1976 (CONCLUDED)

District	Number of Jurors				Days of Jury Trial			Juror Usage Index ¹
	Total Available	Percent Selected or Serving	Percent Challenged	Percent Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Total	Percent Civil	Percent Criminal	
<u>Sixth Circuit</u>								
Kentucky:								
Eastern.....	7,288	56.6	8.6	34.8	310	17.7	82.3	23.51
Western.....	2,695	53.3	14.5	32.2	141	48.9	51.1	19.11
Michigan:								
*Eastern.....	23,456	65.2	9.2	25.6	1,150	19.4	80.6	20.40
Western.....	2,049	72.4	10.7	16.9	117	43.6	56.4	17.51
Ohio:								
Northern.....	8,679	51.3	8.4	40.3	411	55.5	44.5	21.12
*Southern.....	5,243	67.6	12.4	20.0	276	48.6	51.4	19.00
Tennessee:								
Eastern.....	3,645	61.3	13.2	25.5	237	71.7	28.3	15.38
Middle.....	3,129	53.2	11.3	35.5	161	51.6	48.4	10.43
Western.....	5,922	70.0	14.1	15.9	347	36.0	64.0	17.07
<u>Seventh Circuit</u>								
Illinois:								
Northern.....	18,831	58.3	9.8	31.9	948	37.2	62.8	19.86
Eastern.....	2,127	66.4	23.1	10.4	117	35.0	65.0	18.18
Southern.....	1,868	58.8	13.5	27.7	104	44.2	55.8	17.96
Indiana:								
Northern.....	6,096	42.8	20.0	37.1	195	8.2	91.8	31.26
Southern.....	3,309	63.7	19.9	16.4	221	62.9	37.1	14.97
Wisconsin:								
Eastern.....	4,423	69.1	14.2	16.7	250	30.8	69.2	17.69
Western.....	736	67.9	20.5	11.5	41	41.5	58.5	17.95
<u>Eighth Circuit</u>								
Arkansas:								
* Eastern.....	4,716	55.9	24.2	19.9	217	25.8	74.2	21.73
* Western.....	2,631	63.8	30.1	6.1	119	58.8	41.2	22.11
Iowa:								
Northern.....	1,404	57.6	20.4	21.9	79	43.0	57.0	17.77
Southern.....	3,159	67.4	17.3	15.2	181	32.0	68.0	17.45
Minnesota:								
.....	7,117	67.7	15.7	16.6	436	64.0	36.0	16.32
Missouri:								
Eastern.....	5,379	55.2	24.5	20.3	315	49.2	50.8	17.08
Western.....	4,600	46.5	24.5	29.0	187	29.9	70.1	24.60
Nebraska.....	4,004	52.6	15.5	31.8	235	66.8	33.2	17.04
* North Dakota.....	2,166	59.8	16.7	23.5	105	45.7	54.3	20.63
South Dakota.....	4,160	43.5	20.2	36.3	169	41.4	58.6	24.62
<u>Ninth Circuit</u>								
Alaska.....	1,864	62.3	12.1	25.6	89	16.9	83.1	20.94
Arizona.....	8,022	45.6	29.9	24.5	310	7.7	92.3	25.88
California:								
Northern.....	12,570	66.8	11.7	21.5	778	51.8	48.2	16.16
Eastern.....	6,549	53.4	12.0	34.6	280	20.7	79.3	23.39
Central.....	19,934	62.4	9.9	27.7	1,015	19.0	81.0	19.64
Southern.....	11,375	60.8	18.7	20.5	543	18.4	81.6	20.95
Hawaii.....	1,509	65.0	26.3	8.7	98	53.1	46.9	15.40
Idaho.....	2,265	69.5	10.6	20.0	146	66.4	33.6	15.51
Montana.....	1,370	54.7	22.0	23.3	67	47.8	52.2	20.45
Nevada.....	4,327	56.6	15.1	28.3	191	6.8	93.2	22.65
Oregon.....	3,081	54.2	23.2	22.6	193	68.9	31.1	15.96
Washington:								
Eastern.....	1,695	55.5	24.5	20.0	84	33.3	66.7	20.18
Western.....	4,757	59.9	18.5	21.6	239	13.0	87.0	19.90
Guam.....	1,035	32.8	9.4	57.9	30	23.3	76.7	34.50
<u>Tenth Circuit</u>								
Colorado.....	4,712	57.3	18.7	23.9	285	47.4	52.6	16.53
Kansas.....	5,287	64.4	15.4	20.2	300	46.7	53.3	17.62
New Mexico.....	4,523	64.7	21.0	14.3	235	39.6	60.4	19.25
Oklahoma:								
Northern.....	1,784	70.5	17.2	12.3	109	52.3	47.7	16.37
Eastern.....	1,450	68.9	11.9	19.2	98	45.9	54.1	14.80
Western.....	4,110	69.6	17.9	12.6	303	53.8	46.2	13.56
Utah.....	4,017	64.8	13.8	21.4	205	51.7	48.3	19.60
Wyoming.....	1,207	70.6	15.5	13.9	94	63.8	36.2	12.84

*Indicates those districts which have not yet adopted local rules reducing the size of the civil juries.

¹Total available jurors divided by total jury trial days giving the average number of jurors available per jury trial per day.

falls at 15.6%. The wide range in the category of challenged jurors can be attributed to the various local court practices and traditions in regard to the use of challenges or the particular type of voir dire process used.

The category of jurors not selected, serving or challenged is considered to be an important indicator of the efficient or inefficient use of petit jurors as it reveals those prospective jurors who perhaps were not needed for the voir dire selection process or were not used due to a last minute change of plea, settlement, or continuance of trial. In this category, Maine recorded the lowest figure, 3.8%, while Guam recorded the highest at 57.9%. Twenty of the 94 districts recorded 15% or less of their prospective jurors falling in this category, while 43 districts recorded improvement by a reduction of their percent not selected, serving or challenged from 1975 to 1976. Louisiana, Middle exhibited the greatest amount of improvement, decreasing from 48.8% in this category in 1975 to 24.9% in fiscal year 1976, a decrease of 23.9 percentage points.

The number of jury trial days ranged from 2,046 in New York, Southern to 7 and 18 jury trial days in the Canal Zone and West Virginia, Northern, respectively. In fiscal year 1976, Canal Zone experienced 100.0% criminal jury trial days while in Nevada, 93.2% of the jury trial days were criminal. Texas, Eastern falls at the opposite end of this range with only 10.2% criminal jury trial days. The number of jury trial days, as well as the distribution of civil versus criminal trial days, has an effect on the type of jury trial demands which a court must meet. This information should be used by a court in determining the number of prospective jurors to call and the possible use of such utilization techniques as pooling, multiple jury selection, back-up trials, and reuse of jurors.

Comparison of Juror Utilization, 1971 - 1976

Six year district trends in the areas of the Juror Usage Index, percent selected or serving and percent not selected, serving or challenged are shown in the last three tables. New York, Southern has exhibited the most improvement in the JUI where the Index has declined from 57.54 in fiscal year 1971 to 22.88 in fiscal year 1976. Sixty-nine of the 94 districts have shown improvement in their JUI's when fiscal year 1976 is compared to fiscal year 1971. Fifty-six districts have shown improvement in their percent of jurors selected or serving from 1971 to 1976 with Maryland again recording the largest improvement, increasing its percent selected or serving from 28.1% in 1971 to 67.8% in 1976, an increase of 39.7 percentage points. In the category of not selected, serving or challenged, Maryland also has shown the greatest amount of improvement reducing its 65.8% not selected, serving or challenged in fiscal year 1971 to 15.9% in 1976. Further, 64 districts have shown improvement in this area from 1971 to 1975 resulting in the overall national average decreasing from 32.8% in 1971 to 24.1% in 1976.

Table 7
JUROR USAGE INDEXES FOR
FISCAL YEARS 1971-1976

District	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	District	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
National Average...	23.31	20.96	20.16	19.12	19.32	19.73							
District of Columbia...	25.34	24.44	22.22	22.05	19.51	21.00							
First Circuit							Sixth Circuit						
Maine	16.58	11.31	10.28	12.20	15.67	17.69	Kentucky:						
Massachusetts	16.66	16.23	18.06	15.87	17.62	18.54	Eastern	21.78	21.96	27.43	22.36	27.05	23.51
New Hampshire	18.65	17.03	13.87	13.12	13.22	14.75	Western	25.97	25.38	20.88	20.60	23.37	19.11
Rhode Island	18.12	15.80	18.43	11.31	12.54	15.68	Michigan:						
Puerto Rico	26.97	28.28	19.44	18.30	19.33	31.32	Eastern	18.92	16.97	16.56	19.98	20.60	20.40
							Western	15.03	15.16	13.97	12.16	14.70	17.51
Second Circuit							Ohio:						
Connecticut	19.65	17.55	16.06	14.70	16.70	19.15	Northern	24.93	20.04	18.89	17.02	20.61	21.12
New York:							Southern	18.46	18.50	19.22	18.74	18.84	19.00
Northern	28.01	23.12	20.80	18.26	17.56	18.17	Tennessee:						
Eastern	36.06	35.22	27.82	23.62	20.62	22.09	Eastern	19.96	17.88	16.43	17.07	16.92	15.38
Southern	57.54	31.69	27.23	27.85	23.60	22.88	Middle	30.74	24.10	23.08	18.43	19.75	19.43
Western	18.58	18.88	20.16	21.62	18.30	20.37	Western	17.39	16.85	15.86	15.79	16.82	17.07
Vermont	19.27	15.80	19.32	15.46	15.94	16.78	Seventh Circuit						
Third Circuit							Illinois:						
Delaware	24.96	28.12	22.22	19.77	17.56	17.76	Northern	24.58	17.77	18.41	16.75	16.87	19.86
New Jersey	19.70	16.28	17.07	16.18	17.97	18.55	Eastern	19.62	19.39	22.22	25.03	22.73	18.18
Pennsylvania:							Southern	26.48	23.92	25.08	22.88	22.05	17.96
Eastern	24.21	18.63	19.89	20.15	18.83	19.21	Indiana:						
Middle	19.88	21.12	14.27	12.62	17.34	17.68	Northern	22.91	17.34	22.89	23.53	25.73	31.26
Western	21.51	18.04	20.74	17.25	20.59	20.63	Southern	18.82	16.75	15.18	16.24	15.83	14.97
Virgin Islands	27.12	32.07	41.43	30.08	29.38	30.85	Wisconsin:						
Fourth Circuit							Eastern	20.29	14.34	17.44	19.81	17.94	17.69
Maryland	41.12	18.95	18.70	18.01	17.71	18.34	Western	23.40	19.43	26.72	12.25	15.67	17.95
North Carolina:							Eighth Circuit						
Eastern	27.07	20.06	21.31	19.68	20.78	19.89	Arkansas:						
Middle	20.48	19.39	18.18	15.05	14.84	17.58	Eastern	20.23	19.99	19.69	22.17	21.90	21.73
Western	18.28	16.50	15.78	15.49	15.53	14.61	Western	25.64	25.19	22.33	25.27	25.26	22.11
South Carolina	20.86	19.41	18.42	17.65	18.68	18.79	Iowa:						
Virginia:							Northern	20.16	18.34	14.71	14.84	15.64	17.77
Eastern	26.81	25.44	21.95	23.32	19.36	17.68	Southern	18.26	20.33	15.88	14.93	21.84	17.45
Western	22.60	18.40	17.31	18.83	16.62	18.69	Minnesota:						
West Virginia:							Eastern	18.43	16.24	16.15	18.52	17.55	16.32
Northern	26.10	26.95	25.83	19.83	18.32	23.78	Missouri:						
Southern	28.65	26.04	24.32	20.42	24.95	21.74	Eastern	21.42	19.75	20.42	18.58	17.17	17.08
Fifth Circuit							Western	25.87	25.25	25.51	24.76	26.67	24.60
Alabama:							Nebraska:						
Northern	16.70	15.87	13.45	13.63	13.05	16.99	Eastern	22.48	19.65	17.93	16.74	19.86	17.04
Middle	22.19	18.46	18.54	12.78	15.84	18.46	North Dakota	18.56	20.57	18.87	16.88	20.60	20.63
Southern	25.48	22.01	18.88	15.15	15.53	18.47	South Dakota	26.07	24.66	26.70	22.39	24.18	24.62
Florida:							Ninth Circuit						
Northern	28.33	23.97	14.92	18.24	19.38	19.69	Alaska	19.59	23.11	20.31	22.77	25.41	20.94
Middle	25.46	23.35	21.84	17.74	20.00	18.81	Arizona	24.42	23.85	21.52	23.81	22.00	25.88
Southern	29.68	25.20	20.82	19.02	18.78	20.61	California:						
Georgia:							Northern	17.57	18.78	18.07	16.15	15.97	16.16
Northern	22.52	20.55	19.94	17.85	16.95	17.83	Eastern	18.66	15.27	20.79	18.86	20.55	23.39
Middle	22.35	20.68	22.02	22.06	19.32	21.06	Central	18.85	19.15	20.44	20.08	20.83	19.64
Southern	22.88	23.66	21.30	19.60	21.26	18.96	Southern	25.50	26.98	27.24	24.54	23.66	20.95
Louisiana:							Hawaii	21.55	15.98	22.29	22.01	19.93	15.40
Eastern	21.26	16.96	15.35	16.10	16.31	16.88	Idaho	20.95	17.12	20.65	16.05	15.39	15.51
Middle	30.74	21.57	21.57	31.22	28.33	22.50	Montana	19.22	17.88	18.52	17.45	19.73	20.45
Western	26.81	23.19	24.40	16.48	18.10	18.16	Nevada	50.13	23.62	21.87	20.28	20.99	22.65
Mississippi:							Oregon	22.33	16.31	14.56	16.05	16.28	15.96
Northern	25.75	26.79	21.70	12.71	18.92	18.39	Washington:						
Southern	31.58	27.05	30.63	21.06	22.42	24.97	Eastern	17.94	15.17	19.78	15.06	17.16	20.18
Texas:							Western	21.74	17.72	18.74	20.45	19.53	19.90
Northern	19.24	17.64	18.34	19.26	19.29	19.49	Guam	39.55	26.22	25.46	20.07	18.94	34.50
Eastern	16.63	15.43	15.65	13.42	15.90	18.80	Tenth Circuit						
Southern	22.13	19.77	18.23	15.46	19.06	22.47	Colorado	14.83	14.06	13.38	14.63	15.09	16.53
Western	15.34	17.78	17.89	14.29	21.92	22.87	Kansas	18.15	15.10	16.59	15.83	19.85	17.62
Canal Zone	18.33	25.33	29.11	27.54	21.79	26.57	New Mexico	20.85	19.29	20.14	15.93	16.69	19.25
							Oklahoma:						
							Northern	25.19	20.31	21.05	27.92	20.28	16.37
							Eastern	26.64	26.66	19.61	21.93	17.57	14.80
							Western	21.73	18.29	21.66	15.53	17.28	13.56
							Utah	24.22	21.11	24.42	21.40	18.78	19.60
							Wyoming	15.44	14.50	12.19	11.80	11.67	12.84

Table 8
PERCENTAGE OF JURORS SELECTED FOR OR SERVING ON
JURY TRIALS FOR FISCAL YEARS 1971 - 1976

District	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	District	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
National Average...	54.2%	55.5%	56.5%	58.3%	60.1%	60.2%	Sixth Circuit						
District of Columbia	56.7	52.1	57.5	54.8	63.1	58.2	Kentucky:						
First Circuit							Eastern	61.2%	61.3%	48.7%	51.3%	54.3%	56.6%
Maine	71.4	76.8	73.5	82.7	82.2	83.1	Western	37.5	41.0	54.3	45.7	44.4	53.3
Massachusetts	76.1	76.9	67.8	62.6	66.0	68.4	Michigan:						
New Hampshire	69.0	55.6	69.2	72.2	68.2	68.2	Eastern	71.3	66.4	60.1	63.1	64.4	65.2
Rhode Island	70.4	58.4	62.2	80.8	78.4	72.5	Western	80.7	74.3	84.8	88.8	81.4	72.4
Puerto Rico	48.5	43.3	54.5	54.7	51.5	35.0	Ohio:						
Second Circuit							Northern	52.8	54.4	55.5	61.2	54.1	51.3
Connecticut	62.5	70.8	71.4	73.6	71.8	75.3	Southern	71.3	68.1	64.7	66.5	67.8	67.6
New York:							Tennessee:						
Northern	45.2	54.7	60.0	61.2	64.8	66.1	Eastern	63.1	63.7	61.4	63.3	65.1	61.3
Eastern	36.9	39.1	48.8	57.5	60.9	59.6	Middle	43.2	54.7	46.8	53.4	53.8	53.2
Southern	21.5	41.8	47.4	43.4	48.7	52.6	Western	73.8	72.5	71.8	71.5	66.3	70.0
Western	71.5	72.4	68.0	64.7	70.8	71.6	Seventh Circuit						
Vermont	63.3	61.1	62.3	54.7	55.0	56.4	Illinois:						
Third Circuit							Northern	55.3	58.3	54.9	64.5	63.6	58.3
Delaware	50.7	45.1	51.6	56.3	62.7	60.5	Eastern	50.6	53.3	51.2	48.3	56.9	66.4
New Jersey	69.6	69.9	74.8	74.3	73.6	72.5	Southern	45.7	44.2	40.2	54.5	55.2	58.8
Pennsylvania:							Indiana:						
Eastern	52.8	56.7	52.7	51.5	56.2	52.2	Northern	52.0	54.4	49.3	45.6	49.2	42.8
Middle	66.4	61.5	76.3	78.2	77.0	72.0	Southern	66.9	66.6	61.2	63.1	60.6	63.7
Western	57.3	44.3	45.3	56.2	55.5	49.7	Wisconsin:						
Virgin Islands	40.2	40.3	29.7	49.4	49.8	54.1	Eastern	61.6	56.9	58.2	56.6	60.4	69.1
Fourth Circuit							Western	49.6	63.7	47.1	67.7	72.8	67.9
Maryland	28.1	67.7	64.2	67.6	62.7	67.8	Eighth Circuit						
North Carolina:							Arkansas:						
Eastern	45.2	61.2	55.3	62.5	63.4	69.4	Eastern	58.3	59.8	62.3	55.3	54.7	55.9
Middle	58.4	59.2	60.4	67.1	63.7	69.6	Western	52.3	52.2	60.3	53.7	53.1	63.8
Western	63.3	67.9	70.1	74.7	74.7	78.6	Iowa:						
South Carolina	63.1	66.7	60.5	56.0	63.4	69.3	Northern	60.5	66.1	79.3	65.4	61.8	57.6
Virginia:							Southern	82.1	74.6	70.2	74.1	49.9	67.4
Eastern	45.1	47.9	43.4	43.7	48.4	53.9	Minnesota:						
Western	52.1	55.8	53.8	48.6	51.3	52.5	Northern	59.0	51.7	64.0	56.9	71.4	67.7
West Virginia:							Missouri:						
Northern	47.8	48.3	47.2	59.3	63.1	39.0	Eastern	58.7	60.5	56.1	50.6	59.4	55.2
Southern	45.0	48.3	50.8	55.6	44.3	51.4	Western	46.0	47.9	45.3	47.2	45.5	46.5
Fifth Circuit							Nebraska:						
Alabama:							Northern	54.0	56.8	50.2	53.8	51.9	52.6
Northern	68.3	72.0	64.8	60.8	71.0	56.3	North Dakota:						
Middle	58.5	55.4	59.9	81.9	78.2	73.7	Northern	69.7	61.7	66.0	74.5	57.7	59.8
Southern	51.9	44.1	54.8	72.8	73.4	85.0	South Dakota:						
Florida:							Northern	47.3	50.8	46.8	46.3	42.2	43.5
Northern	42.9	50.9	68.1	68.3	68.6	68.2	Ninth Circuit						
Middle	51.9	52.4	54.0	69.1	66.0	68.5	Alaska	69.4	58.6	66.6	51.1	49.5	62.3
Southern	42.6	44.6	54.1	59.4	56.8	56.0	Arizona	50.6	46.9	47.5	50.5	53.9	45.6
Georgia:							California:						
Northern	55.6	63.5	61.4	64.5	59.4	57.8	Northern	66.9	64.5	64.6	67.6	65.8	66.8
Middle	55.1	58.3	57.4	57.2	65.6	60.4	Eastern	66.7	66.8	57.0	58.4	55.4	53.4
Southern	53.3	51.8	56.7	57.2	72.8	74.0	Central	68.9	66.3	63.2	61.0	62.0	62.4
Louisiana:							Southern	49.0	46.8	43.0	50.1	53.6	60.8
Eastern	57.6	53.3	56.5	56.4	59.6	58.9	Hawaii:						
Middle	40.9	48.7	38.4	53.5	61.1	57.8	Northern	59.9	57.6	48.2	54.4	58.2	65.0
Western	52.9	51.2	59.7	71.5	71.0	73.5	Idaho:						
Mississippi:							Northern	61.3	71.7	61.1	70.9	60.5	69.5
Northern	37.7	45.2	40.5	59.2	56.0	53.8	Montana:						
Southern	63.4	60.3	65.5	63.6	65.2	63.3	Northern	66.5	61.1	66.7	50.0	51.0	54.7
Texas:							Nevada:						
Northern	71.7	76.7	75.8	72.1	66.1	62.9	Northern	25.8	54.2	58.5	58.4	59.2	56.6
Eastern	55.8	62.8	65.0	67.1	75.0	65.8	Oregon:						
Western	65.5	52.5	55.8	69.1	63.3	61.7	Northern	48.6	52.1	56.7	56.3	54.5	54.2
Canal Zone	65.5	38.2	41.2	43.6	54.8	46.2	Washington:						
							Eastern	67.6	63.9	58.6	60.7	57.6	55.5
							Western	59.0	69.0	55.4	53.7	55.9	59.9
							Guam:						
							Northern	30.7	45.6	46.6	51.2	56.7	32.8
							Tenth Circuit						
							Colorado:						
							Northern	66.6	65.9	71.0	68.2	64.8	57.3
							Kansas:						
							Northern	68.9	67.4	62.8	67.5	63.5	64.4
							New Mexico:						
							Northern	63.1	59.6	53.4	64.7	59.6	64.7
							Oklahoma:						
							Northern	47.5	60.2	57.8	33.7	52.7	70.5
							Eastern	46.1	48.5	48.2	47.0	54.7	68.9
							Western	56.1	65.1	57.3	67.9	62.3	69.6
							Utah:						
							Northern	53.0	61.0	51.9	61.3	64.9	64.8
							Wyoming:						
							Northern	72.4	65.4	77.2	73.9	67.1	70.6

Table 9
PERCENTAGE OF JURORS NOT SELECTED, SERVING OR CHALLENGED
FOR FISCAL YEARS 1971 - 1976

District	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	District	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
National Average...	32.8%	30.0%	28.4%	26.5%	23.8%	24.1%	Sixth Circuit						
District of Columbia	31.2	30.7	27.2	31.4	23.4	28.0	Kentucky:						
First Circuit							Eastern	28.8%	28.7%	41.4%	39.4%	35.8%	34.8%
Maine	9.9	8.2	8.3	3.9	3.3	3.8	Western	49.5	42.4	28.9	36.2	40.2	32.2
Massachusetts	19.3	18.1	22.6	27.9	22.1	21.6	Michigan:						
New Hampshire	20.5	24.7	16.0	12.7	14.4	17.1	Eastern	20.2	26.5	33.4	28.6	28.0	25.6
Rhode Island	22.0	34.2	31.4	10.5	16.3	19.5	Western	9.2	13.3	8.3	4.2	8.3	16.9
Puerto Rico	43.6	47.2	28.0	29.1	33.5	49.0	Ohio:						
Second Circuit							Northern	41.6	39.2	36.6	31.0	36.6	40.3
Connecticut	23.1	17.3	13.7	12.0	9.0	10.0	Southern	19.5	21.6	22.8	20.8	19.5	20.0
New York:							Tennessee:						
Northern	48.0	36.4	30.5	25.8	24.2	23.0	Eastern	27.0	24.0	27.5	24.7	23.3	25.5
Eastern	54.1	50.6	38.4	29.4	23.9	27.1	Middle	49.9	36.4	45.1	33.6	31.7	35.5
Southern	68.3	48.6	40.8	44.0	34.9	31.1	Western	15.5	13.2	12.2	11.8	13.5	15.9
Western	19.2	19.2	22.9	26.9	19.5	19.3	Seventh Circuit						
Vermont	30.6	34.3	30.3	31.9	29.8	31.2	Illinois:						
Third Circuit							Northern	36.4	32.8	34.4	25.4	25.2	31.9
Delaware	31.8	37.2	29.6	6.1	8.1	15.2	Eastern	30.2	31.3	25.9	32.8	21.5	10.4
New Jersey	20.3	18.1	15.2	14.2	14.3	16.2	Southern	48.9	46.6	49.6	31.7	31.0	27.7
Pennsylvania:							Indiana:						
Eastern	33.9	28.2	32.2	33.4	26.8	31.9	Northern	30.0	25.0	34.0	34.7	32.0	37.1
Middle	18.8	22.1	9.6	8.2	8.9	9.5	Southern	20.7	17.8	21.5	22.5	18.1	16.4
Western	26.5	34.2	36.2	27.9	28.9	35.2	Wisconsin:						
Virgin Islands	38.8	34.8	37.7	21.4	26.6	24.8	Eastern	20.1	20.6	21.4	23.6	22.2	16.7
Fourth Circuit							Western	31.1	16.9	28.7	8.7	8.7	11.5
Maryland	65.8	18.3	17.3	15.8	17.7	15.9	Eighth Circuit						
North Carolina:							Arkansas:						
Eastern	46.5	29.0	34.7	27.4	25.3	21.3	Eastern	18.7	16.6	16.2	19.2	18.7	19.9
Middle	21.4	23.8	22.8	13.0	18.6	12.0	Western	28.2	17.8	20.4	18.7	21.4	6.1
Western	29.3	25.4	21.6	15.5	16.3	14.5	Iowa:						
South Carolina	21.1	18.4	22.0	25.8	17.6	14.4	Northern	38.3	18.8	10.0	17.3	18.9	21.9
Virginia:							Southern	16.2	19.1	12.9	9.5	31.3	15.2
Eastern	24.1	24.5	22.6	23.4	18.8	12.2	Minnesota	27.3	27.9	18.4	27.0	13.8	16.6
Western	19.6	15.4	16.8	13.4	14.3	13.1	Missouri:						
West Virginia:							Eastern	16.4	15.5	18.2	24.7	19.0	20.3
Northern	48.0	34.9	28.9	21.0	14.2	39.5	Western	33.9	30.2	33.0	27.1	31.7	29.0
Southern	44.5	34.8	25.2	23.6	31.8	25.3	Nebraska	31.3	27.5	31.2	28.8	30.4	31.8
Fifth Circuit							North Dakota	11.3	15.5	14.2	9.0	23.0	23.5
Alabama:							South Dakota	35.5	28.6	33.8	28.4	36.3	36.3
Northern	18.2	12.9	15.5	17.4	11.2	24.2	Ninth Circuit						
Middle	30.7	31.4	22.9	8.4	13.4	15.4	Alaska	21.0	24.4	19.2	43.7	36.3	25.6
Southern	21.9	40.4	24.2	10.4	10.3	6.9	Arizona	28.1	28.6	26.1	26.3	21.2	24.5
Florida:							California:						
Northern	45.3	33.0	16.7	20.6	17.4	20.0	Northern	20.0	22.0	23.8	20.5	21.2	21.5
Middle	33.5	32.7	32.7	19.2	21.1	17.9	Eastern	21.8	23.2	32.2	29.2	32.7	34.6
Southern	48.2	43.0	32.2	23.8	26.5	26.0	Central	23.2	24.3	27.7	30.0	28.2	27.7
Georgia:							Southern	34.3	33.0	35.0	28.3	25.6	20.5
Northern	24.6	15.8	17.3	15.9	18.7	20.6	Hawaii	3.6	19.1	37.6	35.2	13.3	8.7
Middle	19.3	18.6	20.9	10.4	13.5	13.5	Idaho	23.1	17.1	26.3	17.7	26.4	20.0
Southern	23.6	19.3	18.1	22.6	6.4	9.9	Montana	19.5	21.4	16.8	30.9	30.4	23.5
Louisiana:							Nevada	53.1	25.9	23.1	19.1	26.0	28.3
Eastern	24.5	23.2	18.3	19.4	17.7	17.0	Oregon	35.0	34.1	28.0	24.3	26.6	22.6
Middle	-	43.5	47.7	44.7	48.8	24.9	Washington:						
Western	48.3	35.0	48.9	30.6	20.9	21.5	Eastern	15.5	12.0	15.5	17.1	19.9	20.0
Mississippi:							Western	29.3	17.7	29.0	26.0	25.7	21.6
Northern	31.6	31.5	20.6	11.8	9.2	10.2	Guam	52.7	39.9	44.3	33.2	25.8	57.9
Southern	54.3	43.4	47.1	28.0	26.8	30.4	Tenth Circuit						
Texas:							Colorado	18.6	14.6	9.7	14.9	16.3	23.9
Northern	23.7	26.8	18.7	19.1	18.5	21.2	Kansas	16.9	15.5	22.2	16.5	20.7	20.2
Eastern	14.4	8.0	10.2	13.1	15.0	19.0	New Mexico	19.5	19.5	25.7	18.2	18.3	14.3
Southern	31.7	25.9	21.7	18.7	12.7	18.8	Oklahoma:						
Western	18.9	28.0	24.9	13.7	16.4	18.9	Northern	39.7	26.1	25.7	52.3	29.1	12.3
Canal Zone	25.5	41.4	37.0	35.2	29.2	29.0	Eastern	42.2	42.0	39.8	27.2	27.2	19.2
							Western	26.3	16.8	26.7	20.9	22.7	12.6
							Utah	27.9	20.9	25.0	27.6	21.3	21.4
							Wyoming	15.2	21.6	10.2	15.6	19.6	13.9

JUROR UTILIZATION CHECK SHEET

This check sheet is the end result of much study of the various juror utilization problems encountered by district courts and is made possible by the steady communication of various district courts with the Administrative Office as to their problems and juror occurrences and how they sought to solve or handle them. The check sheet lists fourteen basic positive factors which tend to result in a low Juror Usage Index. The fourteen counterparts to these positive factors are also given and it is felt that these adverse factors contribute to a higher JUI. Because each of the 94 district courts has variations in its local rules and practices, this listing is not meant to represent all possible factors affecting a district's juror utilization performance. Rather, it should be used by a court as the starting point to isolate and study the individual aspects of its juror utilization program.

To help the reader in interpreting the check sheet, it has been found that generally more checks can be entered on the left side of the sheet by those districts with a good juror usage statistical profile while a number of checks on the right hand column often corresponds to those districts with less efficient utilization profiles. Once a court has determined the outstanding practices or conditions that exist in its jury program, the court can proceed to isolate those areas which may require changes or modifications to improve the utilization of petit jurors within the court.

CHECK SHEET ON JUROR UTILIZATION FACTORS THAT MAY HAVE AN EFFECT ON THE
JUROR USAGE INDEX

POSITIVE FACTORS		ADVERSE FACTORS
<input type="checkbox"/> Good cooperation and communication between judges and court personnel.	1	Poor cooperation and communication between judges and court personnel. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> A small number of places of holding court with jury trial activity.	2	A large number of places of holding court with jury trial activity. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Use of a jury pool system, where the number of judges and trials permits.	3	Each judge using his own, separate jury panel or pool. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> The staggering of trial starts where the number of judges and trials permits.	4	All judges beginning jury selection at the same time and on the same day. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Use of multiple voir dire in the jury selection process.	5	A voir dire being called for each trial with a failure to return unused jurors to the jury pool for further use on another trial. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Reduction in voir dire panel size.	6	Use of voir dire panels larger than recommended. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Use of civil juries of less than twelve members.	7	Use of civil juries of twelve or more members. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Reduction in the number of challenges allowed.	8	Excessive use of peremptory challenges. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Established deadlines for settlements or pleas.	9	Allowing settlements or pleas to be entered up to and during trials. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Extensive and good use of pretrial hearings in civil cases or omnibus hearings for criminal defendants.	10	Little or poor use of pretrial hearings or omnibus hearings. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> A predominantly civil trial calendar - 70% or more of all jury trials.	11	A predominantly criminal trial calendar - 70% or more of all jury trials. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Back up trials set so that a jury panel for the first case may still be used if this first case does not go forward for some reason.	12	No back up trials set so that a jury panel for a case is sent home unused if this case does not go forward. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Stipulation by counsel to waive alternate jurors or verdicts by 12 or 6.	13	Use of alternates in all cases with no attempt to obtain waiver of their use. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> No highly publicized trials and few multiple defendant criminal cases.	14	One or more highly publicized trials or multiple defendant criminal cases requiring extra-large panels for jury selection. <input type="checkbox"/>

NOTE: Factors are randomly listed with no order as to significance.

SECTION II

JUROR COSTS

Payments to petit and grand jurors during fiscal year 1976 totalled \$20,257,000, which is a 13.6% increase over the \$17,824,600 paid to jurors in fiscal year 1975. Of the total amount paid this past year, \$15,594,800, or 77.0%, was paid to petit jurors while \$4,662,200, or 23.0%, was paid to grand jurors. The accompanying chart, "How Juror Dollars Were Spent in Fiscal Year 1976", shows a breakdown of all juror expenditures into the various payment categories.

Due to minor problems in capturing cost data for fiscal year 1976, the statistics shown in this section of the report may vary slightly from actual juror expenditures. The overall expenditures for grand and petit jurors combined is accurate; however, the breakdown between these two may be incorrect. The data collection problem has only a minor impact on the national cost statistics but in a few

HOW JUROR DOLLARS WERE SPENT

IN FISCAL YEAR 1976

Total Juror Expenditures: \$20,257,000
Grand Jury Expenditures: 4,662,200
Petit Jury Expenditures: 15,594,800




Table 10
Grand Juror Payments
F.Y. 1973 - 1976
United States District Courts

Grand Juror Payments	Fiscal Year 1973	Fiscal Year 1974	Fiscal Year 1975	Fiscal Year 1976	1976 over 1975	
					Increase	Percent Increase
Total Payments.....	\$3,445,200	\$3,649,900	\$3,971,400	\$4,662,200	\$690,800	17.4
Attendance.....	2,645,000	2,830,600	3,054,100	3,578,200	524,100	17.2
Mileage.....	624,800	636,400	702,900	846,600	143,700	20.4
Subsistence.....	175,400	182,900	214,400	237,500	23,100	10.8

Table 11
Grand Juror Expenditure Breakdown
United States District Courts
Fiscal Year 1976

District	Est. Total Expenditure	Percentage of Estimated Total Expenditure for:			District	Est. Total Expenditure	Percentage of Estimated Total Expenditure for:		
		Attendance	Subsistence	Mileage			Attendance	Subsistence	Mileage
Total.....	\$4,662,200	76.7	5.1	18.2	Sixth Circuit				
District of Columbia.....	136,500	93.9	0.0	6.1	Kentucky:				
First Circuit					Eastern.....	11,600	84.3	0.3	15.3
Maine.....	8,900	70.9	3.4	25.7	Western.....	32,600	82.2	0.5	17.4
Massachusetts.....	112,000	82.9	0.0	17.1	Michigan:				
New Hampshire.....	3,900	58.3	0.0	31.7	Eastern.....	161,600	81.2	0.3	18.5
Rhode Island.....	19,900	89.0	0.0	11.0	Western.....	10,900	65.0	10.1	24.8
Puerto Rico.....	31,800	65.9	19.5	14.5	Ohio:				
Second Circuit					Northern.....	82,000	73.1	2.8	24.1
Connecticut.....	34,400	86.0	0.0	14.0	Southern.....	36,800	72.9	4.9	22.3
New York:					Tennessee:				
Northern.....	40,300	82.7	0.5	16.9	Eastern.....	6,900	80.2	0.5	19.3
Eastern.....	275,500	87.6	0.0	12.4	Middle.....	8,700	73.2	0.7	26.0
Southern.....	484,200	85.5	0.0	14.5	Western.....	29,100	88.7	0.0	11.1
Western.....	101,900	84.1	0.0	15.9	Seventh Circuit				
Vermont.....	23,100	90.0	0.0	10.0	Illinois:				
Third Circuit					Northern.....	235,600	84.8	0.0	15.2
Delaware.....	24,400	77.7	0.0	22.3	Eastern.....	35,100	50.1	27.9	22.0
New Jersey.....	127,900	83.4	0.0	16.6	Southern.....	30,900	72.5	3.8	21.7
Pennsylvania:					Indiana:				
Eastern.....	125,800	80.5	0.0	19.5	Northern.....	49,700	55.6	8.8	35.5
Middle.....	37,700	76.3	0.7	23.0	Southern.....	58,900	68.0	4.7	27.8
Western.....	59,400	73.1	11.9	15.1	Wisconsin:				
Virgin Islands.....	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	Eastern.....	45,500	69.7	11.3	18.9
Fourth Circuit					Western.....	17,700	55.8	19.0	25.2
Maryland.....	83,400	77.7	2.9	19.3	Eighth Circuit				
North Carolina:					Arkansas:				
Eastern.....	19,700	58.1	15.1	26.8	Eastern.....	23,900	51.7	26.1	22.2
Middle.....	4,900	71.6	0.0	28.4	Western.....	13,400	45.9	28.4	25.8
Western.....	8,500	72.6	0.0	27.4	Iowa:				
South Carolina.....	10,400	58.3	4.3	37.4	Northern.....	16,200	78.7	3.0	18.4
Virginia:					Southern.....	23,400	77.3	2.5	20.1
Eastern.....	71,900	79.9	0.9	19.2	Minnesota:				
Western.....	10,500	76.4	0.0	23.6	Northern.....	30,300	74.5	6.3	19.1
West Virginia:					Missouri:				
Northern.....	5,400	75.8	7.1	17.1	Eastern.....	62,200	78.0	3.7	18.4
Southern.....	18,100	70.0	2.1	27.9	Western.....	30,600	72.5	8.9	18.5
Fifth Circuit					Nebraska:				
Alabama:					Northern.....	14,100	55.9	20.9	23.3
Northern.....	21,800	64.0	12.8	22.3	North Dakota.....	10,300	42.4	28.2	29.4
Middle.....	11,100	49.3	32.9	17.8	South Dakota.....	43,100	45.3	31.9	22.8
Southern.....	10,000	75.2	6.1	18.7	Ninth Circuit				
Florida:					Alaska.....	25,400	45.8	30.9	21.1
Northern.....	10,300	60.7	11.1	27.2	Arizona.....	55,200	83.6	0.9	15.5
Middle.....	88,900	70.1	4.3	21.6	California:				
Southern.....	128,900	86.5	1.3	12.2	Northern.....	84,400	73.6	2.2	24.2
Georgia:					Eastern.....	36,600	63.5	10.8	25.7
Northern.....	97,900	67.5	14.4	18.1	Central.....	223,200	78.1	0.5	21.4
Middle.....	60,200	49.1	30.0	20.9	Southern.....	81,400	86.1	0.0	13.9
Southern.....	34,300	55.5	25.3	19.2	Hawaii.....	15,900	89.0	9.2	10.8
Louisiana:					Idaho.....	25,300	46.3	26.1	27.4
Eastern.....	63,600	79.5	0.0	20.5	Montana.....	12,100	51.5	23.6	25.0
Middle.....	15,000	86.1	0.0	13.9	Nevada.....	52,600	75.4	9.4	15.3
Western.....	50,900	58.1	19.3	22.6	Oregon.....	43,600	57.0	19.9	23.0
Mississippi:					Washington:				
Northern.....	7,700	56.3	18.4	25.3	Eastern.....	16,400	47.3	29.1	23.6
Southern.....	13,700	48.8	29.0	22.2	Western.....	33,000	70.6	6.1	23.1
Texas:					Guam.....	3,200	91.9	0.0	8.1
Northern.....	71,200	75.5	1.7	22.8	Tenth Circuit				
Eastern.....	9,300	64.6	6.8	28.5	Colorado.....	26,400	71.2	13.3	15.3
Southern.....	43,000	84.4	1.3	14.3	Kansas.....	18,600	71.8	9.8	18.4
W. Western.....	58,600	73.8	5.2	21.0	New Mexico.....	51,100	60.6	18.8	20.6
Canal Zone.....	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	Oklahoma:				
					Northern.....	18,000	92.4	0.0	7.6
					Eastern.....	9,200	52.7	16.5	30.8
					Western.....	8,900	67.2	12.0	20.8
					Utah.....	13,900	79.1	4.6	16.3
					Wyoming.....	3,900	56.7	23.9	19.3

individual districts*, the cost figures may be distorted. These problems will be reflected by a cost per juror day which is substantially above or below \$20.

Grand Juror Costs

Grand juror payments increased by 17.4% in fiscal year 1976 - \$4,662,200 in 1976 as compared to \$3,971,400 in F.Y. 1975 - and was the largest amount recorded for the years 1973 to 1976. Grand juror payments are broken down into three categories with 76.7% of the total amount expended for juror attendance fees (\$20 per juror per day excluding federal employees who do not receive this payment). Expenditures for subsistence equaled 5.1% of the total amount. Mileage and toll payments accounted for 18.2%, or \$846,600, of the total amount, an increase of 20.4% over F.Y. 1975's mileage payments. The table, "Grand Juror Payments - F.Y. 1973-1976", identifies the national totals of the grand juror expenditure breakdown for those fiscal years while the accompanying table, "Grand Juror Expenditure Breakdown", furnishes a district by district presentation of grand juror expenditures for F.Y. 1976. The average cost per grand jury session in F.Y. 1976 was \$555, a 9.7% increase over the \$506 recorded in F.Y. 1975. The cost per grand juror day increased by 12.0%, from \$25 per juror day in F.Y. 1975 to \$28 this past fiscal year.

Petit Juror Costs

Payments totaling approximately \$15,594,800 were made to petit jurors in fiscal year 1976. This figure is 12.6% more than the total payments for fiscal year 1975 of \$13,853,200 and was the largest

Table 12

Petit Juror Payments
F.Y. 1973 - 1976
United States District Courts

Petit Juror Payments	Fiscal Year 1973	Fiscal Year 1974	Fiscal Year 1975	Fiscal Year 1976	1976 over 1975	
					Increase	Percent Increase
Total Payments.....	\$14,168,600	\$13,704,000	\$13,853,200	\$15,594,800	\$1,741,600	12.6
Attendance.....	11,125,900	10,658,000	10,716,000	12,057,700	1,341,700	12.5
Mileage.....	2,366,800	2,243,300	2,294,900	2,623,100	328,200	14.3
Subsistence.....	391,800	311,900	330,000	398,200	68,200	20.7
Other.....	284,100	490,800	512,300	515,700	3,400	0.7

* Adjustments in the breakdown between expenditures for grand and petit jurors were made in the following districts: North Carolina, Middle; South Carolina; West Virginia, Northern; Mississippi, Northern; Texas, Eastern; Nebraska; North Dakota; Oregon; Guam; and Oklahoma, Western.

Table 13
Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown
United States District Courts
Fiscal Year 1976

District	Est. Total Expenditure	Percentage of Estimated Total Expenditures for:			
		Attendance	Subsistence	Mileage	Other ¹
Total.....	\$15,594,800	77.3	2.6	16.8	3.3
District of Columbia.....	169,900	91.7	0.0	5.9	2.4
First Circuit					
Maine.....	27,200	65.4	6.4	26.0	2.2
Massachusetts.....	281,400	78.7	0.0	17.1	4.2
New Hampshire.....	54,200	68.4	1.5	26.9	3.2
Rhode Island.....	52,300	86.2	1.5	9.8	2.6
Puerto Rico.....	182,700	60.6	19.4	14.7	5.3
Second Circuit					
Connecticut.....	127,300	82.0	0.0	16.0	2.0
New York:					
Northern.....	50,800	80.6	0.3	17.0	2.1
Eastern.....	645,400	85.0	0.2	12.0	2.8
Southern.....	1,085,700	84.3	0.0	12.8	2.9
Western.....	150,000	84.1	0.3	13.6	2.0
Vermont.....	56,000	83.9	0.0	14.4	1.6
Third Circuit					
Delaware.....	51,900	58.0	0.0	9.5	32.5
New Jersey.....	457,900	78.1	0.0	13.3	8.6
Pennsylvania:					
Eastern.....	809,900	76.9	0.8	18.7	3.6
Middle.....	139,100	74.1	1.4	23.7	0.8
Western.....	390,100	76.1	8.5	13.6	1.8
Virgin Islands.....	108,200	81.6	0.0	2.1	16.4
Fourth Circuit					
Maryland.....	231,700	75.3	0.6	22.3	1.9
North Carolina:					
Eastern.....	68,000	77.9	0.6	21.0	0.5
Middle.....	35,300	82.4	0.3	17.3	0.0
Western.....	75,300	83.7	0.0	16.3	0.0
South Carolina.....	274,800	60.4	11.6	26.5	1.5
Virginia:					
Eastern.....	137,400	81.4	0.1	16.8	1.7
Western.....	37,600	69.4	1.4	21.2	8.0
West Virginia:					
Northern.....	13,700	69.4	7.5	23.1	0.0
Southern.....	78,000	77.9	3.9	16.5	1.8
Fifth Circuit					
Alabama:					
Northern.....	222,000	60.6	16.6	22.1	0.7
Middle.....	88,900	58.6	16.4	24.9	0.2
Southern.....	110,000	64.6	10.4	17.5	7.5
Florida:					
Northern.....	66,400	76.2	1.4	18.1	4.4
Middle.....	309,300	73.7	1.3	19.0	6.0
Southern.....	365,700	86.0	1.2	11.9	0.9
Georgia:					
Northern.....	296,800	81.0	1.1	17.9	0.1
Middle.....	77,000	76.8	2.8	19.6	0.8
Southern.....	104,200	77.9	3.5	15.3	3.3
Louisiana:					
Eastern.....	218,400	78.3	1.1	18.2	2.4
Middle.....	16,600	83.0	0.0	16.8	0.2
Western.....	90,600	74.5	0.4	19.7	5.4
Mississippi:					
Northern.....	122,900	57.5	11.8	29.2	1.4
Southern.....	86,000	75.5	1.8	21.6	1.1
Texas:					
Northern.....	247,600	83.2	0.5	16.3	0.0
Eastern.....	99,900	74.6	0.8	24.6	0.0
Southern.....	373,100	78.5	0.6	14.3	6.6
Western.....	154,900	81.8	1.0	15.6	1.7
Canal Zone.....	1,700	99.1	0.0	0.9	0.0

Table 13
Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown
United States District Courts
Fiscal Year 1976 (concluded)

District	Est. Total Expenditure	Percentage of Estimated Total Expenditures for:			
		Attendance	Subsistence	Mileage	Other ¹
Sixth Circuit					
Kentucky:					
Eastern.....	199,700	75.5	2.5	21.7	0.3
Western.....	69,600	79.0	0.3	19.9	0.8
Michigan:					
Eastern.....	622,900	80.6	0.1	16.5	2.8
Western.....	71,600	64.9	5.3	28.8	0.9
Ohio:					
Northern.....	233,300	75.7	1.0	21.3	2.0
Southern.....	153,200	71.5	3.4	15.7	9.4
Tennessee:					
Eastern.....	91,200	78.6	0.0	20.7	0.7
Middle.....	77,000	79.2	0.0	16.5	4.3
Western.....	138,500	85.0	0.4	14.7	0.0
Seventh Circuit					
Illinois:					
Northern.....	506,900	81.9	0.0	14.1	4.0
Eastern.....	67,000	69.5	2.1	25.8	2.5
Southern.....	56,700	78.6	0.1	18.3	3.0
Indiana:					
Northern.....	173,200	77.6	1.2	14.2	7.0
Southern.....	109,000	76.4	0.0	21.8	1.8
Wisconsin:					
Eastern.....	125,900	73.7	0.0	11.6	14.7
Western.....	22,200	76.1	2.4	20.1	1.4
Eighth Circuit					
Arkansas:					
Eastern.....	121,100	79.3	0.8	18.8	1.1
Western.....	71,500	77.1	0.9	20.9	1.1
Iowa:					
Northern.....	40,300	74.9	1.5	17.0	6.6
Southern.....	91,100	73.0	2.3	22.5	2.2
Minnesota:					
Eastern.....	176,100	83.3	2.8	12.9	1.0
Missouri:					
Eastern.....	135,900	82.1	0.4	17.0	0.6
Western.....	117,100	79.1	0.1	15.1	5.8
Nebraska:					
Eastern.....	115,800	69.7	10.1	19.4	0.8
North Dakota.....	74,100	59.0	19.5	21.0	0.5
South Dakota.....	136,700	62.8	10.1	25.9	1.3
Ninth Circuit					
Alaska.....	55,800	79.5	5.4	11.2	4.0
Arizona.....	203,000	84.3	1.3	13.4	0.9
California:					
Northern.....	350,900	73.8	0.5	15.7	10.0
Eastern.....	208,300	63.9	3.6	18.5	14.0
Central.....	505,200	76.7	1.6	20.3	1.4
Southern.....	327,800	81.2	0.2	17.4	1.2
Hawaii.....	33,000	81.4	1.8	10.6	6.2
Idaho.....	65,700	72.6	7.9	18.7	0.8
Montana.....	43,000	72.7	10.1	16.0	1.1
Nevada.....	111,300	75.4	8.8	14.3	1.4
Oregon.....	81,600	78.2	2.3	15.5	4.0
Washington:					
Eastern.....	48,600	73.5	6.7	17.8	2.1
Western.....	124,300	80.9	0.4	15.4	3.2
Guam.....	20,200	83.5	1.0	9.2	6.4
Tenth Circuit					
Colorado.....	122,100	76.0	7.1	14.7	2.2
Kansas.....	162,800	78.7	2.2	19.1	0.0
New Mexico.....	167,400	63.9	16.4	18.9	0.8
Oklahoma:					
Northern.....	46,200	82.1	0.5	15.3	2.0
Eastern.....	42,200	65.8	1.7	32.4	0.1
Western.....	87,600	80.8	1.4	17.1	0.7
Utah.....	108,700	74.0	7.1	17.0	1.9
Wyoming.....	28,600	86.9	2.3	9.0	1.8

¹Includes meals and lodging for sequestered jurors, transportation of juries for views and miscellaneous expenses for the comfort and convenience of jurors.

amount recorded for the years 1973 to 1976. The petit juror payments are divided into four categories with 77.3% of the total amount expended for juror attendance fees (\$20 per juror per day, excluding federal employees). Subsistence payments of \$398,200 equaled 2.6% of the total amount; further, this represented an increase of 20.7% over the subsistence payments made in F.Y. 1975. Mileage and tolls accounted for 16.8% of the total amount while 3.3% was expended for other miscellaneous expenses including meals and lodging for sequestered jurors, transportation of jurors during the hours of actual service on a trial, and expenses for the comfort and convenience of jurors. The table, "Petit Juror Payments - F.Y. 1973-1976", highlights the national totals of the petit juror expenditure breakdown for the years indicated. The accompanying table, "Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown", shows petit juror expenditures for each of the 94 districts for fiscal year 1976.

The cost per petit juror per day in F.Y. 1976 was approximately \$26, a slight increase over the \$25 figure recorded in F.Y. 1975. The cost per jury trial day increased 5.9%, from \$490 in F.Y. 1975 to \$519 per day this past fiscal year.

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Served	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
3	4	5	6	
100 %	7 %	8 %	9 %	10

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 11	12	13
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 14

15	16	17	18	19
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

20	21	22	23	24
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
25	26	27	28	29

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 35	36	37

30	31	32	33	34
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972			38						
1973									
1974									
1975							39		
1976									

SECTION III
JUROR USAGE PROFILES


EXPLANATION OF ENTRIES THAT APPEAR ON DISTRICT JUROR USAGE PROFILES

- 1 Places of holding court where petit jurors have been in court and available to serve for jury trial activity.
- 2 Authorized judgeships on June 30, 1976 (does not include senior judges).
- 3 Total number of petit jurors in court and paid, whether "selected or serving", "challenged", or "not selected, serving or challenged."
- 4 Total number of petit jurors who were selected for or serving on one or more trial juries.
- 5 Total number of petit jurors who were challenged - either for cause or peremptorily - and did not serve on a trial jury.
- 6 Total number of petit jurors in court who neither were selected for or serving on a trial jury nor were challenged.
- 7 Percentage of petit jurors who were selected for or serving on trial juries.
- 8 Percentage of petit jurors who were challenged.
- 9 Percentage of petit jurors who were not selected, serving or challenged.
- 10 Arrived at by dividing the total number of petit jurors available per fiscal year, by the total number of jury trial days per fiscal year. Hence, it is the average number of jurors available (in court whether selected or serving, challenged, or not selected, serving or challenged) per jury trial per day. If a court's index is 20, an average of 20 petit jurors are in court and paid per jury trial day.
- 11 Total estimated expenditure for all petit jurors' expenses. Included are attendance, subsistence, mileage and toll costs, and miscellaneous costs.
- 12 Estimated cost per jury trial per day.
- 13 Estimated cost per petit juror per day.
- 14 Total estimated expenditure for those petit jurors who were not selected, serving or challenged (based on percent in box # 9).
- 15 Total number of civil and criminal jury trials. This information is derived from the JS-10, the Monthly Report of Trials and Pretrial Conferences.
- 16 Total number of civil jury trials.
- 17 Percentage of civil jury trials (based on box # 16).
- 18 Total number of criminal jury trials.
- 19 Percentage of criminal jury trials (based on Box # 18).
- 20 Total number of civil and criminal jury trial days. Three jury trial days could either be one trial running three days or three trials occurring on one day, or a combination.
- 21 Total number of civil jury trial days.
- 22 Percentage of civil jury trial days (based on box # 21).
- 23 Total number of criminal jury trial days.
- 24 Percentage of criminal jury trial days (based on box # 23).
- 25 Total number of grand juries that were in existence for one or more months during the past fiscal year. (July 1, 1975 through June 30, 1976)
- 26 Total number of grand juries in existence at the start of the fiscal year - those carried over from fiscal year 1975.
- 27 Total number of grand juries impaneled or brought into existence at some time between July 1, 1975 and June 30, 1976.

EXPLANATION OF ENTRIES THAT APPEAR ON DISTRICT JUROR USAGE PROFILES (con't)

- 28 Total number of grand juries which either were discharged by the court or which had served the 18-month statutory period and ceased to exist at some time between July 1, 1975 and June 30, 1976.
- 29 Total number of grand juries in existence at the end of the fiscal year - June 30, 1976.
- 30 Number of grand jury sessions convened. A session is counted for each day on which the grand jury convenes for at least one hour.
- 31 Number of grand jurors in convened sessions. Grand jurors are included in this category only when they participate in a convened session. Travel days, prospective jurors reporting only for impanelment, or jurors reporting when no session is convened are not included in this figure.
- 32 Number of hours in session. This category includes all time from the start of a convened session to the close of that session on a given day. The time required for the impanelment of any grand jury is also included in this figure.
- 33 Arrived at by dividing the number of jurors in session (Box # 31) by the number of sessions convened (Box # 30). This gives the average number of jurors that participated in each convened session. This number will fall somewhere between 16 and 23 as Rule 6(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure requires a grand jury to consist of 16 to 23 members.
- 34 Arrived at by dividing the number of hours in session (Box # 32) by the number of sessions convened (Box # 30). This gives the average number of hours for each convened session.
- 35 Total estimated expenditure for all grand jurors' expenses. Included are attendance, subsistence and mileage and tolls costs.
- 36 Estimated cost for each grand jury session convened.
- 37 Estimated cost per grand juror per day.
- 38 A comparison of selected petit juror utilization data for fiscal years 1972 thru 1976.
- 39 A comparison of selected grand juror data for fiscal years 1975 and 1976.

COMMENT: A statement is provided for those districts reporting various occurrences in the operation of their jury system which have had an effect on their utilization statistics. This information is derived from the JS-11 monthly reports.



First Circuit

First Circuit

MAINE

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 1

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
902	750	118	34	
100 %	83.1 %	13.1 %	3.8 %	17.69

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Jury Day	Per Jury Day
\$ 27,200	533	30
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 1,000

20	4	20.0	16	80.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

51	11	21.6	40	78.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	-	2	-	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Section	Per Jury Day
\$ 8,900	636	30

14	292	83	20.9	5.93
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	15	33.3	8.2	76.8	11.31				
1973	23	30.4	8.3	73.5	10.28				
1974	17	52.9	3.9	82.7	12.20				
1975	30	53.3	3.3	82.2	15.67	2	11	68	6.18
1976	20	80.0	3.8	83.1	17.69	2	14	83	5.93

COMMENT: This district continues to make effective use of the multiple voir dire selection process. However, a few last minute settlements and changes of pleas resulted in juries previously selected not being used in actual trials. This is one factor accounting for the increase in Maine's JUI in the past fiscal year.

MASSACHUSETTS

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs **6**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
7,695	5,263	770	1,662	
100 %	68.4 %	10.0 %	21.6 %	18.54

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 281,400	678	37
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 60,800

157	40	25.5	117	74.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

415	84	20.2	331	79.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
13	7	6	5	8

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 112,000	533	27

210	4,172	1,055	19.9	5.02
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	145	62.8	18.1	76.9	16.23	—	—	—	—
1973	108	83.3	22.6	67.8	18.06	—	—	—	—
1974	113	47.8	27.9	62.6	15.87	—	—	—	—
1975	110	69.1	22.1	66.0	17.62	10	274	1,411	5.15
1976	157	74.5	21.6	68.4	18.54	13	210	1,055	5.02

NEW HAMPSHIRE

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 1

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,932	1,318	284	330	14.75
100 %	68.2 %	14.7 %	17.1 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 54,200	414	28
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 9,300		

51	41	80.4	10	19.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

131	105	80.2	26	19.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 3,900	557	29

7	136	35	19.4	5.00
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	26	42.3	24.7	55.6	17.03	—	—	—	—
1973	23	39.1	16.0	69.2	13.87	—	—	—	—
1974	29	27.6	12.7	72.2	13.12	—	—	—	—
1975	36	11.1	14.4	72.2	13.22	2	5	33	6.60
1976	51	19.6	17.1	68.2	14.75	2	7	35	5.00

RHODE ISLAND

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
2,352	1,706	187	459	
100 %	72.5 %	8.0 %	19.5 %	15.68

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 52,300	349	22
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 10,200

36	17	47.2	19	52.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

150	61	40.7	89	59.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1975	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
4	2	2	3	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 19,900	498	25

40	783	212	19.6	5.30
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	37	37.8	34.2	58.4	15.80				
1973	34	73.5	31.4	62.2	18.43				
1974	46	37.0	10.5	80.8	11.31				
1975	19	57.9	16.3	78.4	12.54	4	38	208	5.47
1976	36	52.8	19.5	72.5	15.68	4	40	212	5.30

PUERTO RICO

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Summoned	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
5,262	1,842	843	2,577	31.32
100 %	35.0 %	16.0 %	49.0 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Juror Day	Per Juror
\$ 182,700	1,088	35
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 89,500

56	23	41.1	33	58.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

168	68	40.5	100	59.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	Not On July 1, 1976	Discontinued FY 1976	Not Discontinued FY 1976	Not On July 1, 1976
3	1	2	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Juror Day	Per Juror
\$ 31,800	723	37

44	860	270	19.5	6.14
Grand Jurors	Days in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Juror per Session	Avg. Hours per Juror
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Selected Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Minutes per Session
1972	32	59.4	47.2	43.3	28.28				
1973	42	64.3	28.0	54.5	19.44				
1974	45	60.0	29.1	54.7	18.30				
1975	60	31.7	33.5	51.5	19.33	3	29	185	6.38
1976	56	58.9	49.0	35.0	31.32	3	44	270	6.14

COMMENT: The total number of jurors available to serve rose from 3,324 in F.Y. 1975 to 5,262 in F.Y. 1976, a 58.3% increase. A reported 751 jurors, or 14.3% of the total available, recorded travel days for which the jurors are paid, although they are unable to serve. There were fewer jury trials in 1976 than in 1975, with an increase in the number of the criminal jury trials and a corresponding decrease in the number of civil jury trials. These two factors had a negative effect on the JUI raising it from the 1975 JUI of 19.33 to a JUI of 31.32 in 1976.

This district also experienced a few cases which required extra large panels for the selection of the juries due to the nature of the cases. The practice of separate empanelment days at the start of each term of service continues to be used in Puerto Rico.



Comptroller
Auditor
Assessor
Recorder
Clerk
Deputy
Recorder

Districts of the
Second Circuit

CONNECTICUT

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 4

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
5,016	3,775	738	503	
100 %	75.3 %	14.7 %	10.0 %	19.15

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 127,300	486	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 12,700

66	28	42.4	38	57.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

262	64	24.4	198	75.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
5	3	2	-	5

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 34,400	521	26

66	1,323	377	20.0	5.71
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	66	72.7	17.3	70.8	17.55				
1973	84	64.3	13.7	71.4	16.06				
1974	61	50.8	12.0	73.6	14.70				
1975	76	42.1	9.0	71.8	16.70	8	85	510	6.00
1976	66	57.6	10.0	75.3	19.15	5	66	377	5.71

COMMENT: Connecticut reported the occurrence of two notoriety cases, each requiring extremely large panels for jury selections lasting 2 and 3 days, respectively. These two cases had an adverse effect on this district's utilization performance and at least partially account for the increase in the JUI from 16.70 in F.Y. 1975 to 19.15 this past fiscal year.

NEW YORK NORTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

14 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,871	1,236	205	430	18.17
100 %	66.1 %	11.0 %	23.0 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 50,800	493	27
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 11,700

18	3	16.7	15	83.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

103	27	26.2	76	73.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
7	1	6	5	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 40,300	560	27

72	1,468	281	20.4	3.90
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	37	59.5	36.4	54.7	23.12	—	—	—	—
1973	45	60.0	30.5	60.0	20.80	—	—	—	—
1974	35	54.3	25.8	61.2	18.26	—	—	—	—
1975	24	50.0	24.2	64.8	17.56	6	57	233	4.09
1976	18	83.3	23.0	66.1	18.17	7	72	281	3.90

NEW YORK EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 9

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
26,659	15,894	3,549	7,216	22.09
100 %	59.6 %	13.3 %	27.1 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 645,400	535	24
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 174,900

213	55	25.8	158	74.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

1,207	252	20.9	955	79.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
33	13 R	20	14	19

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 275,500	512	26

538	10,640	2,546	19.8	4.73
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	175	74.9	50.6	39.1	35.22	—	—	—	—
1973	221	81.0	38.4	48.8	27.82	—	—	—	—
1974	196	70.4	29.4	57.5	23.62	—	—	—	—
1975	196	64.3	23.9	60.9	20.62	30	458	2,108	4.60
1976	213	74.2	27.1	59.6	22.09	33	538	2,546	4.73

COMMENT: New York, Eastern's predominately criminal jury trial caseload increased from 64.3% criminal jury trials in F.Y. 1975 to 74.2% in F. Y. 1976. Many of these cases involve multiple defendants and require larger panels for the selection of the juries due to the number of peremptory challenges allowed. Further, this district reported several highly publicized trials which also required extra large panels for jury selection because of anticipated excuses and challenges for cause. These factors help to account for the increase in the JUI and the percent not selected, serving or challenged this past fiscal year.

R - Revised to more accurately reflect the number of grand juries at the beginning of F.Y. 1976.

NEW YORK SOUTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 27

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected to Serve	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
46,815	24,634	7,620	14,561	
100 %	52.6 %	16.3 %	31.1 %	22.88

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 1,085,700	531	23
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 337,700		

373	150	40.2	223	59.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

2,046	713	34.8	1,333	65.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total by Jury	By Jury July 1, 1975	Not Impaneled by Jury	Not Impaneled by Jury	Not Impaneled by Jury
48	29	19	16	32

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Grand Jury	Per Juror Day
\$ 484,200	500	25

968	19,559	3,772	20.2	3.90
Grand Jury Days	Grand Jury Days	Grand Jury Days	Grand Jury Days	Grand Jury Days
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Challenged Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Challenged or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	340	66.8	48.6	41.8	31.69				
1973	448	67.4	40.8	47.4	27.23				
1974	450	55.6	44.0	43.4	27.85				
1975	435	51.3	34.9	48.7	23.60	42	949	3,644	3.84
1976	373	59.8	31.1	52.6	22.88	48	968	3,772	3.90

COMMENT: This past fiscal year New York, Southern experienced numerous cases requiring extraordinarily large panels for jury selection due to the large number of challenges anticipated. Often, the selection process required several days and necessitated the repeated reporting for service of these large panels. The occurrence of such cases generally has an adverse effect on the utilization data and should be kept in mind when reviewing this district's profile.

NEW YORK WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2

PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
5,092	3,646	461	985	
100 %	71.6 %	9.1 %	19.3 %	20.37

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Juror Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 150,000	600	29
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 29,000		

55	19	34.5	36	65.5
CRIMINAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

250	76	30.4	174	69.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	Not On July 1, 1976	Reappointed FY 1976	Not Discharged FY 1976	Not On July 1, 1976
9	4	5	6	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Juror Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 101,900	551	28

185	3,645	894	19.7	4.83
Grand Jurors	Grand Jurors	Grand Jurors	Avg. Jurors per Grand Jury	Avg. Hours per Grand Juror
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Completed	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	40	72.5	19.2	72.4	18.88				
1973	42	69.1	22.9	68.0	20.16				
1974	40	75.0	26.9	64.7	21.62				
1975	55	56.4	19.5	70.8	18.30	8	175	989	5.65
1976	55	65.5	19.3	71.6	20.37	9	185	894	4.83

COMMENT: New York, Western does not have a local rule providing for the use of smaller civil juries; however, this district reported a number of civil cases in which juries of less than twelve members were utilized by stipulation of counsel.

VERMONT

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **2**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
2,349	1,324	293	723	16.78
100 %	56.4 %	12.5 %	31.2 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 56,000	400	24
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$17,500

42	29	69.0	13	31.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

140	89	63.6	51	36.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
4	3	1	2	2


ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 23,100	550	28

42	831	220	19.8	5.24
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	27	3.7	34.3	61.1	15.80	—	—	—	—
1973	87	9.2	30.3	62.3	19.32	—	—	—	—
1974	63	22.2	31.9	54.7	15.46	—	—	—	—
1975	67	17.9	29.8	55.0	15.94	4	44	157	3.57
1976	42	31.0	31.2	56.4	16.78	4	42	220	5.24

COMMENT: Vermont conducts orientation days for prospective jurors at the start of each term of service. Such a practice often results in many jurors present at the courthouse and paid but not utilized for jury trials. The occurrence of such orientation days tends to have an adverse effect on a district's utilization performance.



D. C. ST. N. J.

DELAWARE

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Pool Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving, or Challenged	
1,510	913	368	229	
100 %	60.5 %	24.4 %	15.2 %	17.76

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Juror Day	Per Juror Pool
\$ 51,900	611	34
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 7,900		

22	7	31.8	15	68.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

85	38	4.47	47	55.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total F.Y. 1976	Total F.Y. 1975	Not Selected F.Y. 1976	Challenged F.Y. 1976	Not On Panel F.Y. 1976
3	2	1	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Juror Day	Per Juror Pool
\$ 24,400	498	26

49	929	198	19.0	4.04
Selected Grandpool	Grand Jury Serving	Not on Panel	Avg. Days per Juror	Avg. Days per Juror Pool
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Grand Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Grand Juries Convened	Number of Grand Juries Dismissed	Average Number of Grand Jurors Serving
1972	23	60.9	37.2	45.1	28.12				
1973	37	62.2	29.6	51.6	22.22				
1974	23	69.6	6.1	56.3	19.77				
1975	30	70.0	8.1	62.7	17.56	4	65	291	4.48
1976	22	68.2	15.2	60.5	17.76	3	49	198	4.04

COMMENT: Delaware reported the occurrence of a case involving a notorious defendant and requiring an extra large panel for the jury selection which lasted two days. This type of occurrence tends to adversely effect the utilization data and should be kept in mind when reviewing this district's performance in F.Y. 1976.

NEW JERSEY

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 9

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
18,587	13,473	2,094	3,020	18.55
100 %	72.5 %	11.3 %	16.2 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 457,900	457	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 74,200		

198	80	40.4	118	59.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

1,002	317	31.6	685	68.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
11	6	5	5	6

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 127,900	526	29

243	4,457	1,186	18.3	4.88
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	172	50.6	18.1	69.9	16.28	—	—	—	—
1973	147	66.0	15.2	74.8	17.07	—	—	—	—
1974	147	63.3	14.2	74.3	16.18	—	—	—	—
1975	184	58.2	14.3	73.6	17.97	12	267	1,392	5.21
1976	198	59.6	16.2	72.5	18.55	11	243	1,186	4.88

COMMENT: This district experienced a number of cases requiring extra large panels of prospective jurors for the jury selection process due to the notoriety of the case or the sequestration of the jury. New Jersey also reported a substantial number of last minute occurrences before trial such as changes of plea and settlements which resulted in jurors present for service but not fully utilized. These two factors had an adverse effect on this district's performance during the past fiscal year and at least partially accounts for the increase in the JUI in 1976.

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 19

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
31,406	16,397	4,991	10,018	19.21
100 %	52.2 %	15.9 %	31.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 809,900	495	26
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 258,400

335	234	69.9	101	30.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

1,635	1,171	71.6	464	28.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impached FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
11	5	6	3	8

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 125,800	484	24

260	5,157	1,390	19.8	5.35
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover



HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	321	37.1	28.2	56.7	18.63	—	—	—	—
1973	338	32.8	32.2	52.7	19.89	—	—	—	—
1974	328	36.9	33.4	51.5	20.15	—	—	—	—
1975	335	33.7	26.8	56.2	18.83	9	178	789	4.43
1976	335	30.1	31.9	52.2	19.21	11	260	1,390	5.35

COMMENT: This district reported the occurrence of a notoriety criminal case requiring four days for the selection of the jury and necessitating an extra large panel of prospective jurors. This type of case tends to have an adverse effect on a district's juror utilization data.

PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 14

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected by Jury	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
5,040	3,629	930	481	
100%	72.0 %	18.5 %	9.5 %	17.68

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Juror Day	Per Jury Day
\$ 139,100	488	28
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$13,200

104	76	73.1	28	26.9
CRIMINAL	CIVIL	ALL	CRIMINAL	CIVIL
JURY TRIALS				

285	195	68.4	90	31.6
CRIMINAL	CIVIL	ALL	CRIMINAL	CIVIL
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total Available	Selected by Jury	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Jury Usage Index
6	2	4	2	4
60	1,215	308	20.2	5.13
CRIMINAL	CIVIL	ALL	CRIMINAL	CIVIL
USAGE STATISTICS				

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Juror Day	Per Jury Day
\$ 33,700	562	28

For Detailed Profile
Open Folder
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	PLACES OF COURT		PERCENT JUROR UTILIZATION			PER GRAND JURY TRIAL			
	Number of Jury Trials	Number of Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Jury Usage Index	Total Grand Jury Days	Number of Jury Trials Conducted	Number of Jury Trials Conducted	Number of Jury Trials Conducted
1972	102	26.5	22.1	61.5	21.12				
1973	119	23.5	9.6	76.3	14.27				
1974	102	30.4	8.2	78.2	12.62				
1975	121	29.8	8.9	77.0	17.34	3	24	128	5.33
1976	104	26.9	9.5	72.0	17.68	6	60	308	5.13

COMMENT: This district continues to make effective use of the multiple jury selection process to fully utilize each juror's time in court. However, a number of last minute settlements in civil cases seems to have partially offset the beneficial effect this tool has on a district's overall utilization performance.

Pennsylvania, Middle recorded a large increase in the number of grand jury sessions convened - from 24 sessions in F.Y. 1975 to 60 convened sessions in the past fiscal year.

PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 10

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
14,182	7,043	2,151	4,988	20.83
100 %	49.7 %	15.2 %	35.2 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 390,100	573	28
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 137,300

129	69	53.5	60	46.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

681	376	55.2	305	44.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
9	5	4	3	6

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 59,400	582	31

102	1,929	608	18.9	5.96
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	195	19.0	34.2	44.3	18.04				
1973	185	43.2	36.2	45.3	20.74				
1974	146	37.0	27.9	56.2	17.25				
1975	135	58.5	28.9	55.5	20.59	7	110	681	6.19
1976	129	46.5	35.2	49.7	20.83	9	102	608	5.96

COMMENT: A substantial number of last minute occurrences such as late settlements, changes of plea, and continuances of trial were reported by this district during the past fiscal year. These occurrences result in large numbers of jurors available for service at the courthouse and paid but not utilized for the selection of any juries. Further, the adverse effect on good management of jurors' time and on the juror utilization data is evidenced by the increases in the JUI and the percent of jurors not selected, serving or challenged in F.Y. 1976.

VIRGIN ISLANDS

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
5,769	3,121	1,219	1,429	30.85
100 %	54.1 %	21.1 %	24.8 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 108,200	579	19
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 26,800

109	42	38.5	67	61.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

187	77	41.2	110	58.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
N/A	-	-	-	-


ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ N/A	-	-

-	-	-	-	-
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	58	86.2	34.8	40.3	32.07	-	-	-	-
1973	45	82.2	37.7	29.7	41.43	-	-	-	-
1974	71	81.7	21.4	49.4	30.08	-	-	-	-
1975	77	71.4	26.6	49.8	29.38	N/A	-	-	-
1976	109	61.5	24.8	54.1	30.85	-	-	-	-

COMMENT: The district of the Virgin Islands reported that during fiscal year 1976 no grand jury system was in operation according to provisions in Rule 54(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. This accounts for the lack of any grand jury statistical profile.



Virginia
North Carolina
South Carolina
West Virginia
Maryland
Delaware
Georgia
Florida
Alabama
Louisiana
Mississippi
Arkansas
Tennessee
Kentucky
Indiana
Ohio
Pennsylvania
New York
New Jersey
Connecticut
Rhode Island
Massachusetts
Vermont
New Hampshire
Maine
Hawaii

MARYLAND

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 7

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
10,416	7,062	1,699	1,655	18.34
100 %	67.8 %	16.3 %	15.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 231,700	408	22
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 36,800

118	43	36.4	75	63.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

568	227	40.0	341	60.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
15	7	8	9	6

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 83,400	488	24

171	3,422	741	20.0	4.33
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	85	64.7	18.3	67.7	18.95				
1973	110	60.9	17.3	64.2	18.70				
1974	115	66.1	15.8	67.6	18.01				
1975	118	65.3	17.7	62.7	17.71	10	153	654	4.27
1976	118	63.6	15.9	67.8	18.34	15	171	741	4.33

COMMENT: Twice last year this district called in large panels of prospective jurors for orientation programs. This practice resulted in jurors available and paid but not utilized in any voir dire selections and tended to have an adverse effect on Maryland's utilization statistics.

NO. CAROLINA EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

7 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
2,725	1,891	254	580	19.89
100 %	69.4 %	9.3 %	21.3 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 68,000	496	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 14,500		

54	6	11.1	48	88.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

137	12	8.8	125	91.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
4	1	3	3	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 19,700	821	37

24	528	154	22.0	6.42
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	41	56.1	29.0	61.2	20.06				
1973	40	60.0	34.7	55.3	21.31				
1974	59	62.7	27.4	62.5	19.68				
1975	47	61.7	25.3	63.4	20.78	3	34	196	5.76
1976	54	88.9	21.3	69.4	19.89	4	24	154	6.42

NO. CAROLINA MIDDLE

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,354	943	249	162	
100 %	69.6 %	18.4 %	12.0 %	17.58

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 35,300	458	26
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 4,200		

31	7	22.6	24	77.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

77	16	20.8	61	79.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 4,900	544	28

9	177	54	19.7	6.00
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	36	77.8	23.8	59.2	19.39	---	---	---	---
1973	35	85.7	22.8	60.4	18.18	---	---	---	---
1974	35	77.1	13.0	67.1	15.05	---	---	---	---
1975	33	63.6	18.6	63.7	14.84	2	8	50	6.25
1976	31	77.4	12.0	69.6	17.58	2	9	54	6.00

NO. CAROLINA WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Served	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
2,981	2,343	205	433	14.61
100 %	78.6 %	6.9 %	14.5 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 75,300	369	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 10,900

96	57	59.4	39	40.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

204	142	69.6	62	30.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
4	2	2	2	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 8,500	607	34

14	252	96	18.0	6.86
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Challenged Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	66	51.5	25.4	67.9	16.50				
1973	80	56.3	21.6	70.1	15.78				
1974	79	55.7	15.5	74.7	15.49				
1975	84	57.1	16.3	74.7	15.53	4	10	70	7.00
1976	96	40.6	14.5	78.6	14.61	4	14	96	6.86

COMMENT: Attorneys were taxed with a jury panel cost of \$488.20 when a civil case was settled on the day of trial. Upon late settlement in a second case, attorneys were assessed \$54.00 for two jurors who could not be contacted, as well as the cost of long distance phone calls made to other members of the jury panel who were contacted not to report.

SOUTH CAROLINA

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **5**

P E T I T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
8,436	5,846	1,376	1,214	
100 %	69.3 %	16.3 %	14.4 %	18.79

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 274,800	612	33
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 39,600

218	122	56.0	96	44.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

449	237	52.8	212	47.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G R A N D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 10,400	693	33

15	312	107	20.8	7.13
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	127	40.2	18.4	66.7	19.41	—	—	—	—
1973	139	43.9	22.0	60.5	18.42	—	—	—	—
1974	123	53.7	25.8	56.0	17.65	—	—	—	—
1975	185	43.2	17.6	63.4	18.68	2	12	86	7.17
1976	218	44.0	14.4	69.3	18.79	2	15	107	7.13

VIRGINIA EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 6

P E T I T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
6,754	3,642	2,285	827	17.68
100 %	53.9 %	33.8 %	12.2 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 137,400	360	20
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 16,800

220	107	48.6	113	51.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

382	182	47.6	200	52.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G R A N D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
14	7	7	5	9

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 71,900	470	23

153	3,064	995	20.0	6.50
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	179	59.2	24.5	47.9	25.44	---	---	---	---
1973	185	57.8	22.6	43.4	21.95	---	---	---	---
1974	171	64.9	23.4	43.7	23.32	---	---	---	---
1975	231	55.8	18.8	48.4	19.36	11	98	660	6.73
1976	220	51.4	12.2	53.9	17.68	14	153	995	6.50

COMMENT: Juror costs for 2 jury selection panels were assessed in civil cases which settled just prior to trial. This district reported a number of last minute settlements and changes of pleas which tend to have an adverse effect on utilization statistics. However, Virginia, Eastern recorded a decline in its JUI from 19.36 in F.Y. 1975 to 17.68 in F.Y. 1976.

VIRGINIA WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **2**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,252	655	433	164	18.69
100 %	52.3 %	34.6 %	13.1 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 37,600	561	30
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 4,900

32	20	62.5	12	37.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

67	47	70.1	20	29.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
18	8	10	12	6

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 10,500	656	31

16	338	85	21.1	5.31
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	24	37.5	15.4	55.8	18.40	—	—	—	—
1973	32	28.1	16.8	53.8	17.31	—	—	—	—
1974	31	25.8	13.4	48.6	18.83	—	—	—	—
1975	35	28.6	14.3	51.3	16.62	11	26	170	6.54
1976	32	37.5	13.1	52.3	18.69	18	16	85	5.31

W. VIRGINIA NORTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 1 1/2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
428	167	92	169	23.78
100 %	39.0 %	21.5 %	39.5 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 13,700	761	32
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 5,400

6	2	33.3	4	66.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

18	12	66.7	6	33.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 5,400	675	30

8	182	47	228	5.88
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	30	50.0	34.9	48.3	26.95	—	—	—	—
1973	23	60.9	28.9	47.2	25.83	—	—	—	—
1974	23	39.1	21.0	59.3	19.83	—	—	—	—
1975	20	60.0	14.2	63.1	18.32	2	16	123	7.69
1976	6	66.7	39.5	39.0	23.78	2	8	47	5.88

COMMENT: The number of jury trials conducted in this district dropped to six in F.Y. 1976. In addition, a substantial number of cases set for trial did not commence due to last minute changes of pleas and settlements which resulted in jury panels present for voir dire not utilized for trial service on those days. These factors adversely effect utilization data and at least partially account for the increase in this district's JUI in F.Y. 1976, as well as the decline in the percent of jurors selected or serving.

W. VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

5
PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2 1/2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
2,587	1,330	602	655	21.74
100 %	51.4 %	23.3 %	25.3 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 78,000	655	30
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 19,700

52	24	46.2	28	53.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

119	46	38.7	73	61.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
4	3	1	2	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 18,100	566	28


32	639	218	20.0	6.81
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover


HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	49	57.1	34.8	48.3	26.04	—	—	—	—
1973	57	61.4	25.2	50.8	24.32	—	—	—	—
1974	55	47.3	23.6	55.6	20.42	—	—	—	—
1975	41	68.3	31.8	44.3	24.95	5	41	304	7.41
1976	52	53.8	25.3	51.4	21.74	4	32	218	6.81

COMMENT: This district reported the occurrence of two notoriety cases which tend to have an adverse effect on the JUI. However, West Virginia, Southern was able to improve its JUI from 24.95 in 1975 to 21.74 in 1976, as well as improving its percent selected or serving and percent not selected, serving or challenged.



Alabama:	Alabama:
Northern	Eastern
Western	Western
Central	Central
South	South



Northern	Northern
Western	Western
Central	Central
Georgia:	Northern
Northern	Eastern
Western	Western
Central	Central
	South

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

ENGINEERING CENTER

ALABAMA NORTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

8 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 4

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
7,052	3,969	1,378	1,705	16.99
100 %	56.3 %	19.5 %	24.2 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 222,000	535	31
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$53,700		

162	109	67.3	53	32.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

415	277	66.7	138	33.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
3	1	2	2	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 21,800	727	32

30	672	227	22.4	7.57
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	223	11.2	12.9	72.9	15.87				
1973	167	16.8	15.5	64.8	13.45				
1974	180	20.0	17.4	60.8	13.63				
1975	220	28.6	11.2	71.0	13.05	4	24	164	6.83
1976	162	32.7	24.2	56.3	16.99	3	30	227	7.57

ALABAMA MIDDLE

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
2,455	1,809	269	377	
100 %	73.7 %	11.0 %	15.4 %	18.46

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 88,900	668	36
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$13,700		

60	18	30.0	42	70.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

133	45	33.8	88	66.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
1	1	-	1	-

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 11,100	793	41

14	271	92	19.4	6.57
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	72	58.3	31.4	55.4	18.46				
1973	90	64.4	22.9	59.9	18.54				
1974	65	78.5	8.4	81.9	12.78				
1975	79	63.3	13.4	78.2	15.84	2	11	64	5.82
1976	60	70.0	15.4	73.7	18.46	1	14	92	6.57

ALABAMA SOUTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

PETIT

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Called or Challenged	
3,288	2,796	265	227	18.47
100 %	85.0 %	8.1 %	6.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Jury Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 110,000	618	33
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 7,600

63	20	31.7	43	68.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

178	54	30.3	124	69.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

GRAND

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 10,000	588	30

17	331	118	19.5	6.94
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	69	47.8	40.4	44.1	22.01				
1973	61	52.5	24.2	54.8	18.88				
1974	50	68.0	10.4	72.8	15.15				
1975	39	43.6	10.3	73.4	15.53	2	18	122	6.78
1976	63	68.3	6.9	85.0	18.47	2	17	118	6.94

FLORIDA NORTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
2,284	1,558	269	457	19.69
100 %	68.2 %	11.8 %	20.0 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 66,400	572	29
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$13,300

56	9	16.1	47	83.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

116	30	25.9	86	74.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1975	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1975	No. On July 1, 1976
3	2	1	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 10,300	687	33

15	314	74	20.9	4.93
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	73	86.3	33.0	50.9	23.97				
1973	85	80.0	16.7	68.1	14.92				
1974	38	94.7	20.6	68.3	18.24				
1975	26	76.9	17.4	68.6	19.38	4	14	82	5.86
1976	56	83.9	20.0	68.2	19.69	3	15	74	4.93

COMMENT: There was a considerable increase in jury activity in this district during the past fiscal year. Jury trials increased from 26 in F.Y. 1975 to 56 in F.Y. 1976, with an accompanying increase in the number of jurors available. The additional 1,102 jurors available in 1976 contributed significantly to the increase in overall petit juror costs which rose from \$31,200 in 1975 to \$66,400 in 1976. Florida, Northern continues to employ the multiple voir dire technique to maximize the use of each juror's time in court and was able to maintain its JUI under 20.00 in F.Y. 1976.

FLORIDA MIDDLE

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

5

PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

6

JUDGESHIPS

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
11,118	7,621	1,509	1,988	18.81
100 %	68.5 %	13.6 %	17.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 309,300	523	28
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 55,400

125	26	20.8	99	79.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

591	172	29.1	419	70.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
11	7	4	4	7

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 88,900	601	32

148	2,814	914	19.0	6.18
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	125	76.8	32.7	52.4	23.35				
1973	136	75.7	32.7	54.0	21.84				
1974	121	71.1	19.2	69.1	17.74				
1975	102	79.4	21.1	66.0	20.00	12	168	961	5.72
1976	125	79.2	17.9	68.5	18.81	11	148	914	6.18

COMMENT: Unusually large panels of prospective jurors were called for jury selections in two notoriety criminal cases as additional challenges and excuses were anticipated. Although such occurrences tend to have an adverse effect on juror utilization statistics, Florida, Middle was able to show a reduction in its JUI from 20.00 in F.Y. 1975 to 18.81 this past fiscal year, as well as improvement in the percent of jurors selected or serving and the percent not selected, serving or challenged.

FLORIDA SOUTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

5

PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS

7

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Challenged	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
14,633	8,490	2,334	3,809	20.61
100 %	58.0 %	16.0 %	26.0 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	For Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 365,700	515	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 95,100

229	57	24.9	172	75.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

710	196	27.6	514	72.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total Available	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
19	9	10	7	12

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	For Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 128,900	509	25

253	5,056	1,463	20.0	5.78
Sessions Convened	Hours in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Juror
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Challenged Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	192	72.9	43.0	44.6	25.20				
1973	219	77.2	32.2	54.1	20.82				
1974	221	74.7	23.8	59.4	19.02				
1975	208	64.4	28.5	56.8	18.78	22	162	885	5.46
1976	229	75.1	26.0	58.0	20.61	19	253	1,463	5.78

COMMENT: Florida, Southern experienced a few cases requiring extra large panels for the jury selection process due to the nature of the case or the number of defendants involved. In addition, this district reported a substantial number of last minute occurrences - changes of pleas, continuances, and waiver of jury trial - which resulted in jurors present but not utilized for service. These two occurrences have an adverse effect on a district's utilization performance and should be kept in mind when reviewing this district's usage profile.

GEORGIA NORTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 6

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
12,018	6,942	2,601	2,475	17.83
100 %	57.8 %	21.6 %	20.6 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 296,800	440	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 61,100

233	107	45.9	126	54.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

674	294	43.6	380	56.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
9	5	4	3	6

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 97,900	653	33

150	2,944	942	19.6	6.28
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	209	54.1	15.8	63.5	20.55				
1973	337	76.3	17.3	61.4	19.94				
1974	206	64.1	15.9	64.5	17.86				
1975	217	53.9	18.7	59.4	16.95	10	111	709	6.39
1976	233	54.1	20.6	57.8	17.83	9	150	942	6.28

COMMENTS: Juror costs were taxed against counsel in one civil case which settled just prior to trial. This district also reported a substantial number of last minute plea changes, settlements, and continuances resulting in available jurors not being utilized for jury selections. These occurrences have a harmful effect on a district's utilization data.

GEORGIA MIDDLE

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

7 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
3,012	1,820	786	406	21.06
100 %	60.4 %	26.1 %	13.5 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 77,000	538	26
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$10,400		

78	41	52.6	37	47.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

143	83	58.0	60	42.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
4	2	2	1	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 60,200	860	42

70	1,439	498	20.6	7.11
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Challenged Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	98	67.3	18.6	58.3	20.68				
1973	88	59.1	20.9	57.4	22.02				
1974	73	56.2	16.5	57.2	22.06				
1975	84	52.4	10.4	65.6	19.32	3	45	323	7.18
1976	78	47.4	13.5	60.4	21.06	4	70	498	7.11

GEORGIA SOUTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
3,982	2,945	644	393	
100 %	74.0 %	16.2 %	9.9 %	18.96

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 104,200	496	26
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 10,300

96	61	63.5	35	36.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

210	137	65.2	73	34.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
3	1	2	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 34,300	903	48

38	711	227	18.7	5.97
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	47	36.2	19.3	51.8	23.66				
1973	97	51.5	18.1	56.7	21.30				
1974	49	49.0	22.6	57.2	19.60				
1975	92	51.1	6.4	72.8	21.26	4	15	81	5.40
1976	96	36.5	9.9	74.0	18.96	3	38	227	5.97

LOUISIANA EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 9

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
8,100	4,772	1,947	1,381	
100 %	58.9 %	24.0 %	17.0 %	16.88

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 218,400	455	27
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 37,100

192	116	60.4	76	39.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

480	292	60.8	188	39.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
9	5	4	5	4

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 63,600	558	28

114	2,248	627	19.7	5.50
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. % per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	225	39.1	23.2	53.3	16.96				
1973	198	25.8	18.3	56.5	15.35				
1974	236	30.9	19.4	56.4	16.10				
1975	198	33.8	17.7	59.6	16.31	9	115	661	5.75
1976	192	39.6	17.0	58.9	16.88	9	114	627	5.50

LOUISIANA MIDDLE

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **1**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
675	305	202	168	22.50
100 %	45.2 %	29.9 %	24.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Jury Day
\$ 16,600	553	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 4,100

21	10	47.6	11	52.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

30	12	40.0	18	60.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Transferred FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
5	2	3	4	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Jury Day
\$ 15,000	556	27

27	552	140	20.4	5.19
Sessions Conducted	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Conducted	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	18	61.1	43.5	28.8	30.74				
1973	13	30.8	47.7	35.8	21.57				
1974	16	56.3	44.7	30.6	31.22				
1975	15	40.0	48.8	28.2	28.33	5	55	384	6.98
1976	21	52.4	24.9	45.2	22.50	5	27	140	5.19

COMMENT: This district has improved its JUI for the second consecutive year, recording a JUI of 22.50 in 1976. The percentage of jurors not selected, serving or challenged dropped significantly from 48.8% in 1975 to 24.9% in 1976.

LOUISIANA WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 4

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
3,342	1,933	692	717	
100 %	57.8 %	20.7 %	21.5 %	18.16

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 90,600	492	27
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 19,500

69	39	56.5	30	43.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

184	105	57.1	79	42.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				


G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
9	4	5	4	5

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 50,900	834	41

61	1,246	387	20.4	6.34
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Juror
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover



HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	70	42.9	35.0	48.7	23.19				
1973	61	44.3	48.9	38.4	24.40				
1974	70	38.6	30.6	53.5	16.48				
1975	86	37.2	20.9	61.1	18.10	6	62	422	6.81
1976	69	43.5	21.5	57.8	18.16	9	61	387	6.34

MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
3,163	2,326	513	324	18.39
100 %	73.5 %	16.2 %	10.2 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 122,900	715	39
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 12,500

71	34	47.9	37	52.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

172	85	49.4	87	50.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 7,700	856	38

9	201	53	22.3	5.89
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Folder
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	54	42.6	31.5	51.2	26.79				
1973	52	65.4	20.6	59.7	21.70				
1974	62	35.5	11.8	71.5	12.71				
1975	50	64.0	9.2	71.0	18.92	2	15	83	5.53
1976	71	52.1	10.2	73.5	18.39	2	9	53	5.89

MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Called	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
3,296	1,772	523	1,001	
100 %	53.8 %	15.9 %	30.4 %	24.97

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 86,000	652	26
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 26,100		

59	40	67.8	19	32.2
TOTAL	TOTAL	%	GRAND JURY	%
JURY TRIALS				

132	86	65.2	46	34.8
TOTAL	TOTAL	%	GRAND JURY	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On Duty 1976	No. Returned FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	Total On Duty FY 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 13,700	913	44

15	314	79	20.9	5.27
Grand Jurors	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Hours per Session	Avg. Hours per Juror
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	PETIT TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Completed Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	44	20.5	43.4	45.2	27.05				
1973	48	39.6	47.1	40.5	30.63				
1974	48	25.0	28.0	59.2	21.06				
1975	65	32.3	26.8	58.0	22.42	2	11	70	6.36
1976	59	32.2	30.4	53.8	24.97	2	15	79	5.27

TEXAS NORTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

7 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 6

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
10,074	6,380	1,562	2,132	
100 %	63.3 %	15.5 %	21.2 %	19.49

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 247,600	479	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 52,500

161	96	59.6	65	40.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

517	313	60.5	204	39.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
13	7	6	4	9

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 71,200	593	30

120	2,412	760	20.1	6.33
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	143	37.1	26.8	60.3	17.64				
1973	150	37.3	18.7	65.5	18.34				
1974	154	46.8	19.1	63.6	19.26				
1975	143	46.8	18.5	65.2	19.29	10	100	644	6.44
1976	161	40.4	21.2	63.3	19.49	13	120	760	6.33

COMMENT: Texas, Northern has a practice of calling in large panels of prospective jurors for orientation and impanelment at the beginning of their term of service. This results in large groups of prospective jurors present at the courthouse and often not utilized for any jury selections. This practice has a harmful effect on a district's utilization statistics.

The occurrence of two notoriety cases requiring large groups of prospective jurors for the voir dire process were reported and should be viewed as having an adverse effect on juror utilization.

TEXAS EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
3,682	2,316	667	699	
100 %	62.9 %	18.1 %	19.0 %	19.80

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 99,900	537	27
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 19,000

81	71	87.7	10	12.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

186	167	89.8	19	10.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
4	2	2	2	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 9,300	664	33

14	279	79	19.9	5.64
Sessions Convened	Hours in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	83	26.5	8.0	76.7	15.43				
1973	83	25.3	10.2	75.8	15.65				
1974	105	18.1	13.1	72.1	13.42				
1975	97	21.6	15.0	66.1	15.90	2	14	82	5.86
1976	81	12.3	19.0	62.9	19.80	4	14	79	5.64

COMMENT: Texas, Eastern noted several occasions when twelve member civil juries were used in this district which usually employs less than 12 member civil juries.

Texas, Eastern uses the multiple voir dire technique for the selection of juries; however, many last minute settlements in civil cases seems to have offset the beneficial effects this tool has on a district's utilization performance.

TEXAS SOUTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **8**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
14,765	9,712	2,274	2,779	22.47
100 %	65.8 %	15.4 %	18.8 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	For Juror Day
\$ 373,100	568	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 70,100

200	31	15.5	169	84.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

657	119	18.1	538	81.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
10	6 R	4	3	7

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 43,000	494	25

87	1,735	521	19.9	5.99
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	122	58.2	25.9	62.8	19.77				
1973	181	75.1	21.7	65.0	18.23				
1974	171	71.9	18.7	67.1	15.46				
1975	180	81.1	12.7	75.0	19.06	11	53	288	5.43
1976	200	84.5	18.8	65.8	22.47	10	87	521	5.99

COMMENT: A large number of notoriety cases were reported by this district in F.Y. 1976. Because of pretrial publicity which surrounds such cases, the jury selection process is lengthened and larger panels of prospective jurors are necessary to insure an adequate array of unbiased jurors. The juror utilization performance of Texas, Southern during F.Y. 1976 should be viewed as having been adversely affected by these numerous occurrences.

R - Revised to more accurately reflect the number of grand juries at the beginning of F.Y. 1976.

CONTINUED

1 OF 2

TEXAS WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

6 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 5

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
6,906	4,260	1,338	1,308	22.87
100 %	61.7 %	19.4 %	18.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 154,900	513	22
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 29,300		

124	38	30.6	86	69.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

302	98	32.4	204	67.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
17	10	7	7	10

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 58,600	580	29

101	2,045	407	20.2	4.03
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	157	43.9	28.0	52.5	17.78	—	—	—	—
1973	169	62.7	24.9	55.8	17.89	—	—	—	—
1974	151	59.6	13.7	69.1	14.20	—	—	—	—
1975	113	77.0	16.4	63.3	21.92	17	113	600	5.31
1976	124	69.4	18.9	61.7	22.87	17	101	407	4.03

COMMENT: This district utilizes the multiple voir dire selection process to maximize the use of each juror's time in court. Texas, Western conducts orientation days at the start of each term of service with large numbers of jurors reporting. Although some of these jurors are used for the selection of juries, often many are reported as not selected, serving or challenged. This type of occurrence tends to have an adverse effect on utilization statistics.

CANAL ZONE

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

¹ PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS ¹

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
186	86	46	54	
100 %	46.2 %	24.7 %	29.0 %	26.57

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 1,700	243	9
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 500

3	-	0.0	3	100.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

7	-	0.0	7	100.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
N/A	-	-	-	-

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ N/A	-	-


-	-	-	-	-
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	5	100.0	41.4	38.2	25.33	-	-	-	-
1973	7	100.0	37.0	41.2	29.11	-	-	-	-
1974	7	100.0	35.2	43.6	27.54	-	-	-	-
1975	7	100.0	29.2	54.8	21.79	N/A	-	-	-
1976	3	100.0	29.0	46.2	26.57	-	-	-	-

COMMENT: The Canal Zone reported no jury trial activity for eight months of last year. All three jury trials that were conducted last year were criminal trials.

The District of the Canal Zone reported that during the past fiscal year no grand jury system was in operation in this court according to provisions in Rule 54(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. This accounts for the lack of a grand jury statistical profile.



February
March
April
May
June
July
August
September
October
November
December

Office of the
Sixth Circuit

KENTUCKY EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

⁶
PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS ¹/₂

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
7,288	4,127	626	2,535	
100 %	56.6 %	8.6 %	34.8 %	23.51

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 199,700	644	27
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 69,500		

118	24	20.3	94	79.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

310	55	17.7	255	82.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
6	3	3	3	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 11,600	527	25

72	458	126	20.8	5.73
Assessors	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	85	87.1	28.7	61.3	21.96	—	—	—	—
1973	135	92.6	41.4	48.7	27.43	—	—	—	—
1974	123	75.6	39.4	51.3	22.36	—	—	—	—
1975	107	89.7	35.8	54.5	27.05	6	29	177	6.10
1976	118	79.7	34.8	56.6	23.51	6	22	126	5.73

COMMENT: Kentucky, Eastern utilizes the multiple jury selection process with jurors reused on more than one jury. This practice helps to attain maximum use of each juror's time in court. Further, this district recorded a decrease in its JUI in the past fiscal year.

KENTUCKY WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3 1/2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
2,695	1,437	391	867	19.11
100 %	53.3 %	14.5 %	32.2 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 69,600	494	26
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 22,400

40	18	45.0	22	55.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

141	69	48.9	72	51.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
6	4	2	3	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 32,600	487	24

67	1,342	333	20.0	4.97
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	68	44.1	42.4	41.0	25.38	—	—	—	—
1973	69	55.1	28.9	54.3	20.88	—	—	—	—
1974	57	50.9	36.2	45.7	20.60	—	—	—	—
1975	52	59.6	40.2	44.4	23.37	7	51	280	5.49
1976	40	55.0	32.2	53.3	19.11	6	67	333	4.97

COMMENT: One notoriety case requiring an extra large panel for a jury selection was reported by this district in F.Y. 1976. This type of case has an adverse effect on Juror efficiency data; however, Kentucky, Western recorded improvement in its JUI reducing it from 23.37 in F.Y 1975 to 19.11 this past fiscal year.

MICHIGAN EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 10

P E T I T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
23,456	15,297	2,151	6,008	20.40
100 %	65.2 %	9.2 %	25.6 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 622,900	542	27
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 159,500

200	48	24.0	152	76.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

1,150	223	19.4	927	80.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G R A N D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
10	5	5	1	9

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 161,600	544	26

297	6,104	1,803	20.6	6.07
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	184	52.7	26.5	66.4	16.97				
1973	200	52.0	33.4	60.1	16.56				
1974	212	67.9	28.8	63.1	19.98				
1975	186	68.8	28.0	64.4	20.60	12	205	1,182	5.77
1976	200	76.0	25.6	65.2	20.40	10	297	1,803	6.07

COMMENT: Michigan, Eastern reported a large number of notoriety cases and multiple defendant trials which required extraordinarily large juror panels for selection due to the large number of excuses and challenges for cause anticipated in these types of cases. A substantial number of cases settled just prior to trial resulting in large numbers of people present for jury duty and not used. These two factors should be taken into account as having an adverse effect on the juror utilization statistics for this district during the past fiscal year.

MICHIGAN WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
2,049	1,483	219	347	17.51
100 %	72.4 %	10.7 %	16.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 71,600	612	35
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 12,100

36	14	38.9	22	61.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

117	51	43.6	66	56.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
1	-	1	-	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 10,900	681	34

16	322	107	20.1	6.69
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	31	51.6	13.3	74.3	15.16	---	---	---	---
1973	38	50.0	8.3	84.8	13.97	---	---	---	---
1974	54	35.2	4.2	88.8	12.16	---	---	---	---
1975	60	50.0	8.3	81.4	14.70	1	14	77	5.50
1976	36	61.1	16.9	72.4	17.51	1	16	107	6.69

OHIO NORTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIP **8**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
8,679	4,449	733	3,497	21.12
100 %	51.3 %	8.4 %	40.3 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 233,300	568	27
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$94,000

124	67	54.0	57	46.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

411	228	55.5	183	44.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
12	6	6	6	6

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 82,000	582	29

141	2,795	782	19.8	5.55
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	109	43.1	39.2	54.4	20.04	---	---	---	---
1973	137	61.3	36.6	55.5	18.89	---	---	---	---
1974	130	39.2	31.0	61.2	17.02	---	---	---	---
1975	121	49.6	36.6	54.1	20.61	9	88	486	5.52
1976	124	46.0	40.3	51.3	21.12	12	141	782	5.55

COMMENT: This district reported a considerable number of last minute occurrences (settlements, changes of pleas, and continuances) in cases scheduled for trial. These occurrences resulted in jurors present and paid for jury duty but sent home unused. The negative effect of such occurrences on juror utilization performance is evidenced by the increase in Ohio, Northern's JUI in F.Y. 1976.

OHIO SOUTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3

PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS

5

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
5,243	3,545	650	1,048	19.00
100 %	67.6 %	12.4 %	20.0 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 153,200	555	29
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 30,600		

95	46	48.4	49	51.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

276	134	48.6	142	51.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
7	3	4	3	4

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 36,800	558	29

66	1,257	393	19.0	5.95
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	77	40.3	21.6	68.1	18.50				
1973	82	35.4	22.8	64.7	19.22				
1974	70	50.0	20.8	66.5	18.74				
1976	89	48.3	19.5	67.8	18.84	7	59	403	6.83
1976	95	51.6	20.0	67.6	19.00	7	66	393	5.95

COMMENT: Ohio, Southern reported a last minute settlement of a civil case which resulted in the court ordering each counsel to pay one quarter of the expenses of the 19 jurors made available for selection.

TENNESSEE EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

P E T I T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
3,645	2,233	481	931	15.38
100 %	61.3 %	13.2 %	25.5 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 91,200	385	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 23,300

128	81	63.3	47	36.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

237	170	71.7	67	28.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G R A N D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
5	4	1	3	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 6,900	531	28

13	248	81	19.1	6.23
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	160	50.6	24.0	63.7	17.88				
1973	160	38.1	27.5	61.4	16.43				
1974	149	38.3	24.7	63.3	17.07				
1975	143	39.2	23.3	65.1	16.92	6	8	45	5.62
1976	128	36.7	25.5	61.3	15.38	5	13	81	6.23

COMMENT: This is another of the districts which are attempting to reduce the number of last minute settlement of civil cases. As has been the policy in other districts, the cost of the jury in one civil case was taxed against both parties to the litigation.

Tennessee, Eastern makes effective use of the multiple voir dire technique for jury selection which at least partially accounts for the reduction of its JUI in F.Y. 1976.

TENNESSEE MIDDLE

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
3,129	1,664	354	1,111	19.43
100 %	53.2 %	11.3 %	35.5 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 77,000	478	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 27,300

78	36	46.2	42	53.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

161	83	51.6	78	48.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
1	1	-	-	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 8,700	544	27

16	320	92	20.0	5.75
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	75	69.3	36.4	54.7	24.10	—	—	—	—
1973	63	69.8	45.1	46.8	23.08	—	—	—	—
1974	79	48.1	33.6	53.4	18.43	—	—	—	—
1975	83	54.2	31.7	53.8	19.75	2	15	87	5.80
1976	78	53.8	35.5	53.2	19.43	1	16	92	5.75

TENNESSEE WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **3**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
5,922	4,147	833	942	17.07
100 %	70.0%	14.1 %	15.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 138,500	399	23
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 22,000

78	38	48.7	40	51.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

347	125	36.0	222	64.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
3	2	1	2	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 29,100	520	25



56	1,174	353	21.0	6.30
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	91	57.1	13.2	72.5	16.85	—	—	—	—
1973	105	72.4	12.2	71.8	15.86	—	—	—	—
1974	107	61.7	11.8	71.5	15.79	—	—	—	—
1975	104	60.6	13.5	66.3	16.82	4	59	412	6.98
1976	78	51.3	15.9	70.0	17.07	3	56	353	6.30

COMMENT: This district experienced several highly publicized cases during the past fiscal year which required extra large panels for the jury selection process. Such occurrences tend to adversely effect juror utilization statistics and at least partially account for the slight increase in the JUI in F.Y. 1976.



RECEIVED
FEBRUARY 1968

ILLINOIS NORTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 13

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
18,831	10,979	1,843	6,009	19.86
100 %	58.3 %	9.8 %	31.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 506,900	535	27
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 161,700

135	29	21.5	106	78.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

948	353	37.2	595	62.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				


G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
21	7	14	13	8

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 235,600	522	26

451	9,126	2,729	20.2	6.05
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover



HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	174	54.0	32.8	58.3	17.77	—	—	—	—
1973	205	51.7	34.4	54.9	18.41	—	—	—	—
1974	182	53.3	25.4	64.5	16.75	—	—	—	—
1975	165	60.0	25.2	63.6	16.87	24	330	1,686	5.11
1976	135	78.5	31.9	58.3	19.86	21	451	2,729	6.05

COMMENT: Two notoriety trials last year required extraordinary large juror panels for selection due to the anticipated large number of excuses and challenges for cause characteristic of highly publicized trials. The two trials required 263 and 1,151 prospective jurors, respectively, for the selection of the juries. The adverse effect on juror utilization statistics of such occurrences can rarely be minimized by efficient management techniques and it should be noted that if these 1,414 prospective jurors are excluded from the total available for the year, Illinois, Northern would have recorded an estimated JUI of 18.41 and better performance figures in the categories of percent selected or serving and percent not selected, serving or challenged.

ILLINOIS EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
2,127	1,413	492	222	
100 %	66.4 %	23.1 %	10.4 %	18.18

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 67,000	573	31
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 7,000		

53	22	41.5	31	58.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

117	41	35.0	76	65.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impenaled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 35,100	1,032	53

34	661	209	19.4	6.15
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	44	52.3	31.3	53.3	19.39				
1973	56	71.4	25.9	51.2	22.22				
1974	58	82.8	32.8	48.3	25.03				
1975	65	75.4	21.5	56.9	22.73	3	36	243	6.75
1976	53	58.5	10.4	66.4	18.18	2	34	209	6.15

COMMENT: Illinois, Eastern's juror utilization performance in the past fiscal year has shown improvement with the JUI decreasing from 22.73 in F.Y. 1975 to 18.18 in 1976. The district also recorded improvement in the categories of percent of jurors selected or serving and percent not selected, serving or challenged.

ILLINOIS SOUTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,868	1,099	252	517	
100 %	58.8 %	13.5 %	27.7 %	17.96

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 56,700	545	30
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 15,700

32	15	46.9	17	53.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

104	46	44.2	58	55.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
4	3	1	1	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 30,900	562	29

55	1,074	329	19.5	5.98
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	34	58.8	46.8	44.2	23.92				
1973	33	57.6	49.6	40.2	25.08				
1974	41	82.9	31.7	54.5	22.88				
1975	33	69.7	31.0	55.2	22.05	4	76	492	6.47
1976	32	53.1	27.7	58.8	17.96	4	55	329	5.98

INDIANA NORTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4

PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
6,096	2,610	1,222	2,264	
100 %	42.8 %	20.0 %	37.1 %	31.26

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 173,200	888	28
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 64,300

83	7	8.4	76	91.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

195	16	8.2	179	91.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
4	2	2	1	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 49,700	842	42

59	1,185	340	20.1	5.76
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	81	45.7	25.0	54.4	17.34	—	—	—	—
1973	77	67.5	34.0	49.3	22.89	—	—	—	—
1974	96	66.7	34.7	45.6	23.53	—	—	—	—
1975	96	71.9	32.0	49.2	25.73	4	40	273	6.82
1976	83	91.6	37.1	42.8	31.26	4	59	340	5.76

COMMENT: A large number of notoriety trials requiring exceptionally large jury panels for selection were reported during the last fiscal year. This district also reported many last minute pleas and settlements which resulted in the non-use of jury panels already present in the courthouse. These two occurrences account, at least in part, for the increase in the JUI from 25.73 in F.Y. 1975 to 31.26 in 1976.

INDIANA SOUTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **4**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
3,309	2,108	659	542	
100 %	63.7 %	19.9 %	16.4 %	14.97

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 109,000	493	33
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 17,900

57	28	49.1	29	50.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

221	139	62.9	82	37.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
5	2	3	4	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 58,900	662	33

89	1,769	615	19.9	6.91
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	70	51.4	17.8	66.6	16.75	—	—	—	—
1973	75	50.7	21.5	61.2	15.18	—	—	—	—
1974	63	60.3	22.5	63.1	16.24	—	—	—	—
1975	71	43.7	18.1	60.6	15.83	4	70	461	6.59
1976	57	50.9	16.4	63.7	14.97	5	89	615	6.91

WISCONSIN EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

¹
PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS ³

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
4,423	3,058	627	738	17.69
100 %	69.1 %	14.2 %	16.7 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 125,900	504	28
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 21,000

38	11	28.9	27	71.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				


250	77	30.8	173	69.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
3	2	1	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 45,500	746	37

61	1,243	363	20.4	5.95
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				



HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	19	47.4	20.6	56.9	14.34				
1973	26	61.5	21.4	58.2	17.44				
1974	31	77.4	23.6	56.6	19.81				
1975	44	61.4	22.2	60.4	17.94	3	53	252	4.75
1976	38	71.1	16.7	69.1	17.69	3	61	363	5.95

WISCONSIN WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **1**

P E T I T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
736	500	151	85	17.95
100 %	67.9 %	20.5 %	11.5 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 22,200	541	30
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 2,600

17	7	41.2	10	58.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

41	17	41.5	24	58.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G R A N D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
1	-	1	-	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 17,700	843	42

21	420	105	20.0	5.00
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	17	47.1	16.9	63.7	19.43	—	—	—	—
1973	13	84.6	28.4	47.1	26.72	—	—	—	—
1974	14	42.9	8.7	67.7	12.25	—	—	—	—
1975	22	54.5	8.7	72.8	15.67	2	15	62	4.13
1976	17	58.8	11.5	67.9	17.95	1	21	105	5.00

ARKANSAS EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
4,716	2,637	1,139	940	21.73
100 %	55.9 %	24.2 %	19.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 121,100	558	26
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 24,100

88	24	27.3	64	72.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

217	56	25.8	161	74.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	-	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 23,900	1,138	52

21	457	115	21.8	5.48
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	73	37.0	16.6	59.8	19.99	—	—	—	—
1973	65	43.1	16.2	62.3	19.69	—	—	—	—
1974	58	75.9	19.2	55.3	22.17	—	—	—	—
1975	68	61.8	18.7	54.7	21.90	2	16	119	7.44
1976	88	72.7	19.9	55.9	21.73	2	21	115	5.48

COMMENT: During the past fiscal year several civil cases were tried in front of a jury of six members in this district which does not have a local rule providing for less than 12 member juries.

ARKANSAS WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
2,631	1,678	793	160	22.11
100 %	63.8 %	30.1 %	6.1 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 71,500	601	27
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 4,400

47	29	61.7	18	38.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

119	70	58.8	49	41.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
1	1	-	1	-

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 13,400	1,340	59

10	228	44	22.8	4.40
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	41	34.1	17.8	52.2	25.19	—	—	—	—
1973	26	34.6	20.4	60.3	22.33	—	—	—	—
1974	34	44.1	18.7	53.7	25.27	—	—	—	—
1975	51	25.5	21.4	53.1	25.26	2	7	42	6.00
1976	47	38.3	6.1	63.8	22.11	1	10	44	4.40

IOWA NORTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs **1 1/2**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,404	809	287	308	17.77
100 %	57.6 %	20.4 %	21.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 40,300	510	29
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 8,800

22	11	50.0	11	50.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

79	34	43.0	45	57.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
4	2	2	2	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 16,200	506	26

32	629	211	19.7	6.59
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	26	73.1	18.8	66.1	18.34	—	—	—	—
1973	21	57.1	10.0	79.3	14.71	—	—	—	—
1974	25	52.0	17.3	65.4	14.84	—	—	—	—
1975	27	59.3	18.9	61.8	15.64	2	28	211	7.54
1976	22	50.0	21.9	57.6	17.77	4	32	211	6.59

COMMENT: This district reported one capital offense case last year which required two days for jury selection. Such a case tends to have an adverse effect on utilization statistics because it requires an unusually large number of prospective jurors due to the number of challenges anticipated. Such an occurrence should be kept in mind when reviewing Iowa, Northern's F.Y. 1976 statistics.

IOWA SOUTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 1 1/2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
3,159	2,130	548	481	17.45
100 %	67.4 %	17.3 %	15.2 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 91,100	503	29
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 13,800

53	17	32.1	36	67.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

181	58	32.0	123	68.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
3	1	2	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 23,400	571	29

41	820	301	20.0	7.34
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	33	69.7	19.1	74.6	20.33	—	—	—	—
1973	53	64.2	12.9	70.2	15.88	—	—	—	—
1974	43	58.1	9.5	74.1	14.93	—	—	—	—
1975	30	56.7	31.3	49.9	21.84	2	18	122	6.78
1976	53	67.9	15.2	67.4	17.45	3	41	301	7.34

COMMENT: Iowa, Southern reported one notorious case which necessitated summoning many more prospective jurors than usual. Such an occurrence usually has an adverse effect on utilization statistics; nevertheless, this district recorded overall improvement in the area of percent selected or serving which rose from 49.9% in 1975 to 67.4% in 1976. The district's JUI also improved, recording 17.45 in 1976. Iowa, Southern experienced a 76.7% increase in total jury trials in F.Y. 1976 - 53 jury trials in F.Y. 1976 compared to 30 jury trials in 1975 - with a corresponding increase in the total number of jurors available to serve.

MINNESOTA

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 4

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
7,117	4,820	1,119	1,178	16.32
100 %	67.7 %	15.7 %	16.6 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 176,100	404	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 29,200

97	50	51.5	47	48.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

436	279	64.0	157	36.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1975	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 30,300	583	29

52	1,048	261	20.2	5.02
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	115	40.0	27.9	51.7	16.24				
1973	114	41.2	18.4	64.0	16.15				
1974	76	43.4	27.0	56.9	18.52				
1975	90	51.1	13.8	71.4	17.55	2	46	294	6.39
1976	97	48.5	16.6	67.7	16.32	2	52	261	5.02

COMMENT: The trial of a multi-district litigation case which began in November 1974 continued through fiscal year 1976. This case involved the use of two juries, at times sitting together; however, the double jury was combined into one jury of 20 members in September 1975. The jury was further reduced to 10 members in May 1976.

This district recorded a large number of last minute pleas, settlements and continuances which resulted in the non-use of available jurors. Further, Minnesota has a practice of calling new jurors in for a separate orientation at the beginning of their term of service. This results in large groups of prospective jurors present at the courthouse with only a small number of them selected for jury duty. These two factors combine to adversely effect the utilization performance of Minnesota; nevertheless, this district recorded a reduction in its JUI in F.Y. 1976.

MISSOURI EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **4**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
5,379	2,968	1,318	1,093	
100 %	55.2 %	24.5 %	20.3 %	17.08

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 135,900	431	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 27,600

121	58	47.9	63	52.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

315	155	49.2	160	50.8
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
6	2	4	2	4

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 62,200	560	28

111	2,187	585	19.7	5.27
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	107	56.1	15.5	60.5	19.75	—	—	—	—
1973	119	57.1	18.2	56.1	20.42	—	—	—	—
1974	115	53.9	24.7	50.6	18.58	—	—	—	—
1975	108	53.7	19.0	59.4	17.17	4	71	401	5.65
1976	121	52.1	20.3	55.2	17.08	6	111	585	5.27

MISSOURI WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs **4**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
4,600	2,141	1,126	1,333	
100 %	46.5 %	24.5 %	29.0 %	24.60

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 117,100	626	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 34,000

70	23	32.9	47	67.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

187	56	29.9	131	70.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
6	3	3	3	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 30,600	665	34

46	892	290	19.4	6.30
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	65	63.1	30.2	47.9	25.25	—	—	—	—
1973	101	72.3	33.0	45.3	25.51	—	—	—	—
1974	88	79.5	27.1	47.2	24.76	—	—	—	—
1975	68	72.1	31.7	45.5	26.67	4	94	627	6.67
1976	70	67.1	29.0	46.5	24.60	6	46	290	6.30

COMMENT: The jury selection process for a notoriety trial in this district in F.Y. 1976 lasted two days and required the presence of a large number of prospective jurors. This type of trial tends to adversely effect the juror utilization statistics and should be taken into account when reviewing this district's profile.

Missouri, Western exhibited a decline in the number of grand jury sessions convened- 46 sessions in F.Y. 1976 as compared to 94 sessions convened in F.Y. 1975.

NEBRASKA

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

³
PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS ³

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
4,004	2,108	621	1,275	
100 %	52.6 %	15.5 %	31.8 %	17.04

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 115,800	493	29
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 36,800

63	44	69.8	19	30.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

235	157	66.8	78	33.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
1	-	1	-	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 14,100	940	43

15	326	103	21.7	6.87
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	57	38.6	27.5	56.8	19.65				
1973	69	47.8	31.2	50.2	17.93				
1974	62	40.3	28.8	53.8	16.74				
1975	62	46.8	30.4	51.9	19.88	1	23	154	6.70
1976	63	30.2	31.8	52.6	17.04	1	15	103	6.87

NORTH DAKOTA

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
2,166	1,295	362	509	20.63
100 %	59.8 %	16.7 %	23.5 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 74,100	706	34
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 17,400

24	10	41.7	14	58.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

105	48	45.7	57	54.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 10,300	1,288	61

8	168	44	21.0	5.50
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	14	78.6	15.5	61.7	20.57	—	—	—	—
1973	31	64.5	14.2	66.0	18.87	—	—	—	—
1974	25	60.0	9.0	74.5	16.88	—	—	—	—
1975	36	66.7	23.0	57.7	20.60	2	9	51	5.67
1976	24	58.3	23.5	59.8	20.63	2	8	44	5.50

COMMENT: This district reported the occurrence of a notoriety trial requiring a large panel for the selection of the jury. Further, North Dakota reported a substantial number of jurors who must travel to the place of holding court on the day prior to the day of service and who are included in JS-11 statistical totals. These two factors tend to have an adverse effect on a district's utilization performance.

SOUTH DAKOTA

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
4,160	1,809	841	1,510	24.62
100 %	43.5 %	20.2 %	36.3 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 136,700	809	33
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 49,600

64	17	26.6	47	73.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

169	70	41.4	99	58.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	2	-	-	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 43,100	1,197	65

36	659	206	18.3	5.72
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	40	67.5	28.6	50.8	24.66	—	—	—	—
1973	39	59.0	33.8	46.8	26.70	—	—	—	—
1974	38	63.2	28.4	46.3	22.39	—	—	—	—
1975	30	73.3	36.3	42.2	24.18	3	35	221	6.31
1976	64	73.4	36.3	43.5	24.62	2	36	206	5.72

COMMENT: South Dakota recorded 64 jury trials in 1976, more than double the 30 jury trials reported in 1975. As a consequence the number of jurors available rose 81% from 2,297 in 1975 to 4,160 in 1976 and the estimated petit juror costs nearly doubled.



Alaska
 Arizona
 California
 Hawaii
 Idaho
 Montana
 Nevada
 Oregon
 Washington
 Western
 Wyoming

Alaska
 Arizona
 California
 Hawaii
 Idaho
 Montana
 Nevada
 Oregon
 Washington
 Western
 Wyoming

District of the **Ninth Circuit**

ALASKA

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs **2**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,864	1,161	226	477	20.94
100 %	62.3 %	12.1 %	25.6 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 55,800	627	30
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 14,300		

18	2	11.1	16	88.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

89	15	16.9	74	83.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
1	1	-	-	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 25,400	1,210	62

21	410	79	19.5	3.76
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	13	92.3	24.4	58.6	23.11	—	—	—	—
1973	16	87.5	19.2	66.6	20.31	—	—	—	—
1974	12	75.0	43.7	51.1	22.77	—	—	—	—
1975	12	83.3	36.3	49.5	25.41	2	17	96	5.65
1976	18	88.9	25.6	62.3	20.94	1	21	76	3.76

ARIZONA

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 5

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
8,022	3,659	2,400	1,963	25.88
100 %	45.6 %	29.9 %	24.5 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 203,000	655	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 49,700

174	8	4.6	166	95.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

310	24	7.7	286	92.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
7	3	4	3	4

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 55,200	526	27

105	2,063	664	19.6	6.32
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	141	90.1	28.6	46.9	23.85	—	—	—	—
1973	168	89.3	26.1	47.5	21.52	—	—	—	—
1974	127	94.5	26.3	50.5	23.81	—	—	—	—
1975	151	92.1	21.2	53.9	22.00	6	92	584	6.35
1976	174	95.4	24.5	45.6	25.88	7	105	664	6.32

COMMENT: Arizona reported a substantial number of last minute occurrences, such as continuances and changes of plea, which resulted in jurors present for voir dire but not utilized. These occurrences tend to have an adverse effect on a district's utilization performance and should be kept in mind when reviewing Arizona's usage profile.

CALIFORNIA NORTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **11**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
12,570	8,397	1,470	2,703	
100 %	66.8 %	11.7 %	21.5 %	16.16

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 350,900	451	28
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 75,400

119	54	45.4	65	54.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

778	403	51.8	375	48.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
11	5 R	6	7	4

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 84,400	570	29

148	2,935	805	19.8	5.44
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Jurors	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	130	76.9	22.0	64.5	18.78	—	—	—	—
1973	109	71.6	23.8	64.6	18.07	—	—	—	—
1974	101	62.4	20.5	67.6	16.15	—	—	—	—
1975	107	58.9	21.2	65.8	15.97	9	108	228	5.81
1976	119	54.6	21.5	66.8	16.16	11	148	805	5.44

COMMENT: This district reported one highly publicized case which required an extraordinarily large number of prospective jurors for a jury selection which took seven days to complete. This situation, in addition to the many cases which were continued, or settled at the last minute, had an adverse effect on the utilization statistics and at least partially accounts for the increase in the JUI in F.Y. 1976.

R - Revised to more accurately reflect the number of grand juries at the beginning of F.Y. 1976.

CALIFORNIA EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
6,549	3,497	786	2,266	23.39
100 %	53.4 %	12.0 %	34.6 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 208,300	744	32
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 72,100

57	7	12.3	50	87.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

280	58	20.7	222	79.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
3	1 ^R	2	2	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 36,600	732	35

50	1,044	182	20.9	3.64
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	43	62.8	23.2	66.8	15.27	—	—	—	—
1973	52	84.6	32.2	57.0	20.79	—	—	—	—
1974	59	81.4	29.2	58.4	18.86	—	—	—	—
1975	58	81.0	32.7	55.4	20.55	3	50	191	3.82
1976	57	87.7	34.6	53.4	23.39	3	50	182	3.64

COMMENT: This district reported three highly publicized jury trials involving notorious defendants. The selections of the juries for these cases required extraordinarily large panels of prospective jurors and each lasted several days. In addition, California, Eastern continued its practice of calling in all prospective jurors at the start of their term of service for separate orientation days - a practice which results in large groups of unused jurors present at the courthouse. The two above mentioned factors, both of which have an adverse effect on juror utilization statistics, should be kept in mind when reviewing this district's performance during the past fiscal year.

R - Revised to more accurately reflect the number of grand juries at the beginning of F.Y. 1976.

CALIFORNIA CENTRAL

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

¹ PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS ¹⁶

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
19,934	12,441	1,974	5,519	
100 %	62.4 %	9.9 %	27.7 %	19.64

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 505,200	498	25
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 139,900

206	37	18.0	169	82.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

1,015	193	19.0	822	81.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
26	11	15	8	18

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 223,200	528	27

423	8,137	2,226	19.2	5.26
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	229	87.3	24.3	66.3	19.15	—	—	—	—
1973	248	83.5	27.7	63.2	20.44	—	—	—	—
1974	193	83.4	30.0	61.0	20.08	—	—	—	—
1975	202	84.7	28.2	62.0	20.83	26	494	2,571	5.20
1976	206	82.0	27.7	62.4	19.64	26	423	2,226	5.26

COMMENT: This district reported many instances in which a jury panel available for selection could not be used due to last minute pleas, settlements and continuances of cases. This problem resulted in large numbers of jurors available to serve, but with no opportunity for them to be used. California, Central experienced several notoriety trials, as well as a number of trials expected to last a considerable length of time. These cases required extra large panels of prospective jurors for the selection process due to the number of challenges and excuses for cause anticipated. In addition, this district continued the practice of summoning all prospective jurors for separate orientation days at the start of their term of service. This practice results in hundreds of jurors present for service and paid but not utilized in a voir dire or a trial.

CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **5**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
11,375	6,917	2,127	2,331	
100 %	60.8 %	18.7 %	20.5 %	20.95

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 327,800	604	29
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 67,200

146	14	9.6	132	90.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

543	100	18.4	443	81.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
14	8	6	6	8

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 81,400	460	24

177	3,441	796	19.4	450
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	143	95.1	33.0	46.8	26.98	—	—	—	—
1973	135	94.8	35.0	43.0	27.24	—	—	—	—
1974	148	93.9	28.3	50.1	24.54	—	—	—	—
1975	151	95.4	25.6	53.6	23.66	14	192	866	4.51
1976	146	90.4	20.5	60.8	20.95	14	177	796	4.50

COMMENT: This is another of the districts which call in the entire panel of prospective jurors at the beginning of their term of service for a separate orientation day. The high percentage of prospective jurors not selected, serving or challenged is a result of the orientation program and the adverse effect of this practice should be kept in mind when reviewing California, Southern's utilization profile.

HAWAII

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **2**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,509	981	397	131	
100 %	65.0 %	26.3 %	8.7 %	15.40

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 33,000	337	22
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 2,900

20	7	35.0	13	65.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

98	52	53.1	46	46.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
3	1	2	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 15,900	482	25

33	645	198	19.5	6.00
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	13	69.2	19.1	57.6	15.98	—	—	—	—
1973	20	60.0	37.6	48.2	22.29	—	—	—	—
1974	18	83.3	35.2	54.4	22.01	—	—	—	—
1975	21	76.2	13.3	58.2	19.93	1	35	183	5.23
1976	20	65.0	8.7	65.0	15.40	3	33	198	6.00

IDAHO

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3

PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS

2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
2,265	1,574	239	452	
100 %	69.5 %	10.6 %	20.0 %	15.51

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 65,700	450	29
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 13,100

25	8	32.0	17	68.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

146	97	66.4	49	33.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
3	1	2	1	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 35,300	1,217	58

29	604	182	20.8	6.28
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	25	44.0	17.1	71.7	17.12	—	—	—	—
1973	16	68.8	26.3	61.1	20.65	—	—	—	—
1974	23	26.1	17.7	70.9	16.05	—	—	—	—
1975	23	39.1	26.4	60.5	15.39	2	26	170	6.54
1976	25	68.0	20.0	69.5	15.51	3	29	182	6.28

MONTANA

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,370	750	301	319	20.45
100 %	54.7 %	22.0 %	23.3 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 43,000	642	31
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 10,000

32	12	37.5	20	62.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

67	32	47.8	35	52.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
1	1	-	1	-

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 12,100	1,344	62

9	194	66	21.6	7.33
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	37	56.8	21.4	61.1	17.88	—	—	—	—
1973	35	60.0	16.8	66.7	18.52	—	—	—	—
1974	21	38.1	30.9	50.0	17.45	—	—	—	—
1975	39	41.0	30.4	51.0	19.73	2	15	95	6.33
1976	32	62.5	23.3	54.7	20.45	1	9	66	7.33

NEVADA

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
4,327	2,450	652	1,225	
100 %	56.6 %	15.1 %	28.3 %	22.65

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 111,300	583	26
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 31,500

55	6	10.9	49	89.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

191	13	6.8	178	93.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
4	3	1	2	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 52,600	591	31

89	1,704	421	19.1	4.73
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	40	72.5	25.9	54.2	23.62	—	—	—	—
1973	51	88.2	23.1	58.5	21.87	—	—	—	—
1974	61	77.0	19.1	58.4	20.28	—	—	—	—
1975	36	88.9	26.0	59.2	20.99	4	86	351	4.08
1976	55	89.1	28.3	56.6	22.65	4	89	421	4.73

OREGON

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

5 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
3,081	1,670	714	697	
100 %	54.2 %	23.2 %	22.6 %	15.96

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 81,600	423	26
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 18,400

83	53	63.9	30	36.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

193	133	68.9	60	31.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				


G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
5	2	3	2	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 43,600	872	42

50	1,037	245	20.7	4.90
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover



HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	52	34.6	34.1	52.1	16.31	—	—	—	—
1973	68	33.8	28.0	56.7	14.56	—	—	—	—
1974	83	38.6	24.3	56.3	16.05	—	—	—	—
1975	85	31.8	26.6	54.5	16.28	3	54	273	5.06
1976	83	36.1	22.6	54.2	15.96	5	50	245	4.90

COMMENT: This district experienced two notoriety trials which, because of the large number of prospective jurors called in for the selection process, tend to have a negative effect on juror utilization statistics. Despite these occurrences, Oregon was able to record improvement in the percent of jurors not selected, serving or challenged and in the JUI for F.Y. 1976.

WASHINGTON EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 1 1/2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,695	940	416	339	20.18
100 %	55.5 %	24.5 %	20.0 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 48,600	579	29
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 9,700

31	8	25.8	23	74.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

84	28	33.3	56	66.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 16,400	1,262	66

13	249	93	19.2	7.15
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	23	34.8	12.0	63.9	15.17	—	—	—	—
1973	18	83.3	15.5	58.6	19.78	—	—	—	—
1974	23	60.9	17.1	60.7	15.06	—	—	—	—
1975	30	60.0	19.9	57.6	17.16	1	8	47	5.88
1976	31	74.2	20.0	55.5	20.18	2	13	93	7.15

WASHINGTON WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPs 13 1/2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
4,757	2,851	879	1,027	19.90
100 %	59.9 %	18.5 %	21.6 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 124,300	520	26
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 26,800

82	8	9.8	74	90.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

239	31	13.0	208	87.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
4	2	2	2	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 33,000	611	31

54	1,077	376	19.9	6.96
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	68	70.6	17.7	69.0	17.72	—	—	—	—
1973	71	71.8	29.0	55.4	18.74	—	—	—	—
1974	66	69.7	26.0	53.7	20.45	—	—	—	—
1975	96	83.3	25.7	55.9	19.53	3	48	331	6.90
1976	82	90.2	21.6	59.9	19.90	4	54	376	6.96

GUAM

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **1**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,035	339	97	599	34.50
100 %	32.8 %	9.4 %	57.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 20,200	673	20
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 11,700

9	3	33.3	6	66.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

30	7	23.3	23	76.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 3,200	400	20


8	158	30	19.8	3.75
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	19	52.6	39.9	45.6	26.22	—	—	—	—
1973	23	39.1	44.3	46.6	25.46	—	—	—	—
1974	24	66.7	33.2	51.2	20.07	—	—	—	—
1975	16	75.0	25.8	56.7	18.94	2	11	34	3.09
1976	9	66.7	57.9	32.8	34.50	2	8	30	3.75

COMMENT: Guam reported four months of no jury trial activity. The number of jury trials decreased from 16 in 1975 to 9 in 1976. This decrease combined with the number of last minute settlements, continuances, and pleas which left many prospective jurors unused, caused the JUI to increase from 18.94 in 1975 to 34.50 in 1976.



Wyoming
Utah
New Mexico
Oklahoma
Kansas
Colorado
Nebraska
Missouri
Arkansas
Louisiana

Division of the

Tenth Circuit

COLORADO

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 4

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
4,712	2,701	883	1,128	
100 %	57.3 %	18.7 %	23.9 %	16.53

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 122,100	428	26
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 29,200

107	40	37.4	67	62.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

285	135	47.4	150	52.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
1	1	-	-	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 26,400	539	31

49	863	334	17.6	6.82
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	129	55.0	14.6	65.9	14.06	-	-	-	-
1973	127	65.4	9.7	71.0	13.38	-	-	-	-
1974	150	68.7	14.9	68.2	14.63	-	-	-	-
1975	136	70.6	16.3	64.8	15.09	2	48	305	6.35
1976	107	62.6	23.9	57.3	16.53	1	49	334	6.82

COMMENT: This district reported a number of instances in which jury panels present for voir dire were not used due to last minute occurrences such as settlements and changes of plea. These occurrences had the effect of increasing the percent of jurors who were not selected, serving or challenged from 16.3% in 1975 to 23.9% this past year. The increase in this district's JUI is also at least partially attributable to these occurrences.

KANSAS

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

3 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **4**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
5,287	3,406	813	1,068	
100 %	64.4 %	15.4 %	20.2 %	17.62

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 162,800	543	31
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 32,900

104	42	40.4	62	59.6
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

300	140	46.7	160	53.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. Ch. July 1, 1976
3	2	1	-	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 18,600	564	28

33	654	241	19.8	7.30
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	137	63.5	15.5	67.4	15.10	-	-	-	-
1973	141	68.8	22.2	62.8	16.59	-	-	-	-
1974	116	76.7	16.5	67.5	15.83	-	-	-	-
1975	121	79.3	20.7	63.5	19.85	5	30	204	6.80
1976	104	59.6	20.2	64.4	17.62	3	33	241	7.30

COMMENT: The multiple voir dire jury selection technique is used successfully by this district when the number of cases set for trial permits. Kansas was able to reduce its JUI from the 19.85 reported in F.Y. 1975 to 17.62 in F.Y. 1976. This district also reported the occurrence of two jury selections requiring extra large voir dire panels and lasting more than one day. This type of occurrence tends to have an adverse effect on a district's utilization performance.

NEW MEXICO

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

4

PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

3

JUDGESHIPS

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
4,523	2,928	949	646	
100 %	64.7 %	21.0 %	14.3 %	19.25

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 167,400	712	37
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 23,900

84	40	47.6	44	52.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

235	93	39.6	142	60.4
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
5	3	2	2	3

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 51,100	838	41

61	1,237	325	20.3	5.33
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	66	62.1	19.5	59.6	19.29	—	—	—	—
1973	65	69.2	25.7	53.4	20.14	—	—	—	—
1974	72	55.6	18.2	64.7	15.93	—	—	—	—
1975	75	37.3	18.3	59.6	16.69	5	100	623	6.23
1976	84	52.4	14.3	64.7	19.25	5	61	325	5.33

COMMENT: New Mexico reported instances in which the cost of the jurors in attendance was assessed against counsel according to the district's local rule when the case was settled on the day it was scheduled for trial.

Although this district makes good use of the multiple jury selection process, the occurrence of a notoriety trial requiring an overly large panel for jury selection and the fact that a large number of the district's jurors must travel to court on the day preceding the start of service have had an adverse effect on the JUI for 1976.

OKLAHOMA NORTHERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

¹ PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS ²/₅

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,784	1,258	307	219	16.37
100 %	70.5 %	17.2 %	12.3 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 46,200	424	26
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 5,700		

45	21	46.7	24	53.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

109	57	52.3	52	47.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 18,000	450	23

40	787	303	19.7	7.58
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	43	48.8	26.1	60.2	20.31	—	—	—	—
1973	35	60.0	25.7	57.8	21.05	—	—	—	—
1974	17	58.8	52.3	33.7	27.92	—	—	—	—
1975	32	59.4	29.1	52.7	20.28	2	7	55	7.86
1976	45	53.3	12.3	70.5	16.37	2	40	303	7.58

COMMENT: This district's effective use of the multiple voir dire technique resulted in 70.5% of all prospective jurors selected for or serving on trial juries in F.Y. 1976 as compared to only 52.7% in F.Y. 1975. Further, the JUI was substantially reduced from 20.28 in 1975 to 16.37 this past year.

The number of grand jury sessions convened increased substantially from 7 sessions in F.Y. 1975 to 40 convened sessions in F.Y. 1976.

OKLAHOMA EASTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 1 2/3

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,450	999	173	278	14.80
100 %	68.9 %	11.9 %	19.2 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 42,200	431	29
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 8,100

48	24	50.0	24	50.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

98	45	45.9	53	54.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
2	1	1	1	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 9,200	1,022	47

9	194	47	21.6	5.22
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	30	56.7	42.0	48.5	26.66	—	—	—	—
1973	32	59.4	39.8	48.2	19.61	—	—	—	—
1974	26	69.2	39.8	47.0	21.93	—	—	—	—
1975	39	61.5	27.2	54.7	17.57	2	20	136	6.80
1976	48	50.0	19.2	68.9	14.80	2	9	47	5.22

OKLAHOMA WESTERN

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

¹ PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS ²_{14/15}

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
4,110	2,859	734	517	13.56
100 %	69.6 %	17.9 %	12.6 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 87,600	289	21
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 11,000		

112	59	52.7	53	47.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

303	163	53.8	140	46.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
1	-	1	-	1

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 8,900	636	31

14	283	93	20.2	6.64
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	79	68.4	16.8	65.1	18.29	—	—	—	—
1973	65	56.9	26.7	57.3	21.66	—	—	—	—
1974	69	58.0	20.9	67.9	15.53	—	—	—	—
1975	75	62.7	22.7	62.3	17.28	1	14	91	6.50
1976	112	47.3	12.6	69.6	13.56	1	14	93	6.64

COMMENT: Oklahoma, Western reported the occurrence of a highly publicized trial requiring a large panel for the selection of the jury. This district successfully uses the multiple jury selection process which at least partially accounts for the reduction of its JUI from 17.28 in 1975 to 13.56 this past year.

UTAH

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 2

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
4,017	2,605	554	858	19.60
100 %	64.8 %	13.8 %	21.4 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 108,700	530	27
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged \$ 23,300		

72	29	40.3	43	59.7
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

205	106	51.7	99	48.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
4	2	2	2	2

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 13,900	535	26

26	525	99	20.2	3.81
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	31	58.1	20.9	61.0	21.11	—	—	—	—
1973	61	59.0	25.0	51.9	24.42	—	—	—	—
1974	36	41.7	27.6	61.3	21.40	—	—	—	—
1975	37	48.6	21.3	64.9	18.78	2	39	188	4.82
1976	72	59.7	21.4	64.8	19.60	4	26	99	3.81

WYOMING

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

2 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS **1**

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
1,207	852	187	168	12.84
100 %	70.6 %	15.5 %	13.9 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 28,600	304	24
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 4,000

32	17	53.1	15	46.9
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

94	60	63.8	34	36.2
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
1	1	-	1	-

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 3,900	780	39

5	101	36	20.2	7.20
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	29	58.6	21.6	65.4	14.50	—	—	—	—
1973	15	53.3	10.2	77.2	12.19	—	—	—	—
1974	20	35.0	15.6	73.9	11.80	—	—	—	—
1975	21	33.3	19.6	67.1	11.67	1	3	19	6.33
1976	32	46.9	13.9	70.6	12.84	1	5	36	7.20

District of Columbia

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

1 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 15

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
12,916	7,517	1,776	3,623	21.00
100 %	58.2 %	13.8 %	28.0 %	

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 169,900	276	13
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 47,600

193	57	29.5	136	70.5
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

615	209	34.0	406	66.0
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				


G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
18	10	8	6	12

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 136,500	275	14

497	10,037	2,464	20.2	4.96
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover



HISTORICAL COMPARISONS

FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	640	80.3	30.7	52.1	24.44	—	—	—	—
1973	597	80.1	27.2	57.5	22.22	—	—	—	—
1974	295	67.5	31.4	54.8	22.05	—	—	—	—
1975	228	63.2	23.4	63.1	19.51	19	581	2,755	4.74
1976	193	70.5	28.0	58.2	21.00	18	497	2,464	4.96

COMMENT: This district reported the occurrence of a number of highly publicized cases requiring larger panels of prospective jurors for the jury selections. In addition, the percent of criminal jury trials increased from 63.2% in F.Y. 1975 to 70.5% in F.Y. 1976. These two factors should be taken into account as having an adverse effect on this district's utilization performance for the past year.

NATIONAL

JUROR USAGE PROFILE

FISCAL YEAR 1976

310 PLACES OF HOLDING COURT (with jury trial activity)

JUDGESHIPS 399

P
E
T
I
T

NUMBER OF JUROR DAYS				JUROR USAGE INDEX
Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	
592,594	396,951	92,727	142,916	
100 %	60.2 %	15.6 %	24.1 %	19.73

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Trial Day	Per Juror Day
\$ 15,594,800	519	26
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		\$ 3,758,300

8,709	3,558	40.9	5,151	59.1
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIALS				

30,032	12,214	40.7	17,818	59.3
TOTAL	CIVIL	%	CRIMINAL	%
JURY TRIAL DAYS				

G
R
A
N
D

NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES				
Total FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1975	No. Impaneled FY 1976	No. Discharged FY 1976	No. On July 1, 1976
604	303 ^R	301	258	346

ESTIMATED COSTS		
TOTAL	Per Session	Per Juror Day
\$ 4,662,200	555	28


8,404	167,185	44,765	19.9	5.33
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Avg. Jurors per Session	Avg. Hours per Session
USAGE STATISTICS				

For National Profile
Open Foldout
At Back Cover

HISTORICAL COMPARISONS									
FISCAL YEAR	JURY TRIALS		PETIT JUROR UTILIZATION			GRAND JUROR UTILIZATION			
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Number of Grand Juries	Number of Sessions Convened	Number of Hours in Session	Average Number of Hours per Session
1972	8,527	56.9	30.0	55.5	20.96	—	—	—	—
1973	9,251	61.0	28.4	56.5	20.16	—	—	—	—
1974	8,416	57.6	26.5	58.3	19.12	—	—	—	—
1975	8,607	57.0	23.8	60.1	19.32	570	7,846	41,421	5.28
1976	8,709	59.1	24.1	60.2	19.73	604	8,404	44,765	5.33

R - Revised to more accurately reflect the number of grand juries at the beginning of F.Y. 1976.

Prepared by the Administrative Office of U.S. Courts





END