

JUROR UTILIZATION in United States District Courts

11814



NCJRS

JUN 1 9 1978

ACQUISI

1975 JUROR UTILIZATION in United States District Courts

Prepared by:

Statistical Analysis and Reports Branch
Division of Information Systems
Administrative Office of the United States Courts
Washington, D.C.

October 1975

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	en profesionale de la companya de l La companya de la co	Page
FOREW	WORD	1
SECTI	ION 1: Juror Utilization	•
OPCII		.
Α.	Grand Jury	3
	Number of Grand Juries (Table 1)	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Grand Juror Usage (Table 2)	7
	Months of No Activity for Grand Juries (Table 4)	1.1
	Tionand of No Netrotey for diame duffed (table 4)	L-L
В.		1.3
	National Overview of Petit Jurors	14
	Petit Juror Usage - Fiscal Years 1971-1975 (Table 5)	15
	Estimated Petit Juror "Savings"	16
c.	Petit Juror Usage by District	17
	Juror Usage Reports - Totals (Table 6)	18
	A Comparison of Juror Usage Rankings (Table 7)	20
	Rank of Districts According to Juror Usage Index (Table 8)	22
	Rank of Districts by Percentage of Jurors Selected or Serving on Jury Trials (Table 9)	23
	Rank of Districts According to Percentage of Jurors Challenged (Table 10)	24 24
	Rank of Districts by Percentage of Jurors Not Selected, Serving or	
	Challenged (Table 11)	25
	Rank of Districts by Percentage of Jurors Not Selected and Not Serving on	20
	a Jury Trial (Table 12)	26
D.	Comparison of Juror Utilization, 1971-1975	27
: -	Juror Usage Indexes for Fiscal Years 1971-1975 (Table 13)	27
	Percentage of Jurors Selected For or Serving On Jury Trials for Fiscal	
	Years 1971-1975 (Table 14)	28
	Percentage of Jurors Not Selected, Serving or Challenged for Fiscal Years 1971-1975 (Table 15)	29
	Check Sheet on Juror Utilization Factors that May Have an Effect on the	
	Juror Usage Index	31
CECTT	ION 2; Juror Costs	32
OTACTT	How Juror Dollars Were Spent in Fiscal Year 1975	32
	Grand Juror Costs	32
	Grand Juror Payments - Fiscal Years 1972-1975 (Table 16)	33
	Grand Juror Expenditure Breakdown (Table 17)	33
	Petit Juror Costs	34
	Petit Juror Payments - Fiscal Years 1972-1975 (Table 18)	34
	Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown (Table 19)	35
	Rank of Districts by Daily Estimated Cost Per Petit Juror Day (Table 20)	37
	Rank of Districts by Daily Estimated Cost Per Petit Jury Trial Day (Table 21)	38
	Calculating Estimated Petit Juror "Savings"	39
		ti n
SECTI	ON 3: Juror Usage Profiles Explanation of Grand Juror Usage Profiles	40 40
	Explanation of Petit Juror Usage Profiles	40 42
	First Circuit	45
	Second Circuit	57
	Third Circuit	71
	Fourth Circuit	85 105
	Fifth CircuitSixth Circuit	145
	Seventh Circuit	165
	Eighth Circuit	181

	Page
Ninth Circuit	203
Tenth Circuit	233
District of Columbia	251
APPENDIX A	255
Continuation of Certain District Grand Jury Profiles	
APPENDIX B	261
List of Office Names and Numbers of Districts with Grand Jury Activity	201
APPENDIX CList of U. S. District Courts that Have Adopted Rules Reducing the Size	264
of Civil Juries	
Name and Council Towns Description	222
National Grand Juror Profile	273 273

FOREWORD

The petit juror reporting system was instituted in fiscal year 1971 while the present grand juror reporting program was fully implemented at the beginning of fiscal year 1975. Since 1971, an annual report on petit juror utilization in the United States district courts has been issued by the Administrative Office of the U. S. Courts. This is the fifth such report. In addition, this year's report has been greatly expanded to include the results of the first full year of collection of statistical data on all federal grand juries. It is hoped that the presentation of information on the entire jury operation in the federal courts will improve the usefulness of this report to the Federal Judiciary and all those taking an interest in the improvement of juror service and the utilization of those citizens reporting for jury duty.

This report presents a compilation of grand and petit juror statistics derived from the JS-11 and JS-11G monthly reports submitted by each district court during fiscal year 1975. Comparison statistics for the petit juror system from the four prior years of reporting are also provided. The report is divided into three sections with each presenting a different facet of the yearly statistical compilations on jury activity.

The <u>first section</u> of this report presents juror utilization data in various summary tables and accompanying text. This section is further divided into four parts covering the grand jury operation in the federal courts, a national overview of petit juror activity, the utilization of petit jurors on a district by district basis, and an historical comparison of juror utilization data by district over the past five years.

Section two contains information on juror expenditures for each district court as well as national figures for juror costs. This section is divided into two parts, the first dealing with grand juror costs and the second with the expenditures for the petit jury operation. Also discussed is a method for each district to use in calculating cost avoidance or cost overage for petit jurors in the past fiscal year.

The third section contains individual profile pages for each of the 94 districts. The grand juror usage profile page presents summary data for the year on all grand jury activity within that district. The petit juror usage profile provides data on the utilization of petit jurors within a particular district. Each petit juror utilization profile gives historical comparison data for a five year period in selected areas. The two profiles for each district are intended to compile all pertinent juror statistics for fiscal year 1975 into a format that will present an overall picture of the jury system and the efficient, or inefficient, operation of that system within a district.

Some districts' profiles also contain a "Comment" appearing at the bottom of the grand

juror profile page. These comments are derived from information submitted on the monthly reporting forms (JS-11 & JS-11G) and communications between individual district courts and the Administrative Office. Comments are intended to reflect any unusual occurrences within a district during the past fiscal year which tended to have an effect on that district's utilization statistics, generally in regard to petit jurors. They may also reflect local practices or reoccurring circumstances which had an effect on the data. It is felt that where it is possible to develop a comment for a district (comments are only possible when information relating to particular occurrences or circumstances within a court is brought to the attention of the Administrative Office), its inclusion aids in a better understanding of a district's juror utilization performance, especially where the data has been adversely affected due to unusual circumstances.

In reviewing a district's profile pages, it is helpful to also turn to the two national profile pages at the end of the report. The relevant national averages provided here make it possible to assess each district in terms of the performance of the federal court system as a whole.

The success of efforts to improve the utilization of citizens appearing in federal courts for petit jury service has been evidenced by the statistical information collected over the past five years. The inclusion of grand jury statistics for the first time this year is the initial step in determining what can be done to improve the efficient operation of the grand jury system. A conscious effort to maintain and improve the effective utilization of both petit and grand jurors has been made by District Judges, Clerks of Court, and Jury Clerks. The success of these efforts is due to the willingness on the part of judges and court personnel to attempt to solve utilization problems by applying various techniques found to be effective in reducing the number of prospective jurors called as well as increasing the use made of those jurors reporting to court.

October 1975

Rowland F. Kirks

Director

Administrative Office of the U. S. Courts

JUROR UTILIZATION

The 1975 Annual Report is the first to include statistics on both petit and grand jurors. The inclusion of the grand jury material will result in a more comprehensive picture of the jury operation in the Federal courts. The Juror Utilization section is therefore divided into two parts, the first dealing with grand juries and the second with petit jurors.

Grand Jury

Statistical recordkeeping on grand jury activity is a fairly recent occurrence in the Federal court system. In April 1973 the Judicial Conference adopted policies in regard to the clerk of each district court maintaining statistical records on grand juries within the district to determine if utilization characteristics for the Federal grand jury system could be developed. As a result of this action, the Grand Jury Reporting System for the United States District Courts was instituted in January 1974 by the Committee on the Operation of the Jury System, a committee of the Judicial Conference. Testing of this program was conducted in all district courts from January through June 1974, with full implementation occurring at the beginning of fiscal year 1975 (July 1, 1974). With the close of fiscal year 1975, a complete year of data on grand juries is now available and summarized in the following pages. The data collected through this reporting program has provided substantial information on the characteristics of Federal grand juries and the utilization of persons called for grand jury duty.

The data is presented in the following three tables and is a compilation of the information reported on the JS-11G reporting forms which are submitted monthly to the Administrative Office by the clerk of each district on all grand juries within a district. The first table, "Number of Grand Juries", presents a breakdown by district of the number of grand juries in existence at the beginning of the fiscal year, the number impaneled and discharged during the year period, and the number in existence at the close of the fiscal year. The total number of grand juries that were in existence at some time during the 12 month period is also shown. This total ranged from a high of 42 grand juries in New York Southern to a low of one in six of the 92² districts reporting some grand jury activity during fiscal year 1975.

On a national level, 279 grand juries were in existence on July 1, 1974. During the fiscal year 291 grand juries were impaneled while 265 grand juries were discharged. This resulted in 305 grand juries in existence at the close of the fiscal year (June 30, 1975).

Overall, 570 grand juries were in existence at some time in the 12 month period. Although

Regular grand juries may be impaneled at any time and have a natural life of 18 months but may be discharged sooner by court order during the 18 month period. (Rule 6(g), F.R.Crim.P.)

 $^{^2}$ The districts of Virgin Islands and Canal Zone reported no grand juries in existence during fiscal year 1975.

TABLE 1 NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS FISCAL YEAR 1975

		- TOOAE TEATT			
	Number on July 1,	Number Impaneled in the 12 month	Number Discharged in the 12 month	Number on June 30,	Total Number in Existence in the 12 month
District	1974	period	period	1975	period 570
Total All Districts	279	291	265	305	
District of Columbia	12	7	9	10	19
First Circuit					
Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Puerto Rico	2 7 1 3 2	3 1 1	2 3 1 2 2	1 2 1	2 10 2 4 3
Second Circuit			,		:
Connecticut New York: Northern Eastern. Southern Western. Vermont.	5 1 10 19 3 2	3 5 20 23 5 2	5 17 13 4 1	1 13 29 4 3	8 6 30 42 8 4
Third Circuit					
Delaware New Jersey Pennsylvania: Eastern.	2 8 5	2 4 4	2 6	2 6 5	4 12 9
Middle Western *Virgin Islands	3 4 -	3	1 2 -	2 5 -	3 7
Fourth Circuit			. '		
Maryland North Carolina: Eastern	4	6	3	7	10
Middle	1 2 1	1 2 1	1 2 1	1 2 1	3 2 4 2
Eastern Western West Virginia:	3	8	4 3	8	11 11
Northern	1 2	1 3	1 2	3	. 2 . 5
Fifth Circuit					
Alabama: Northern Middle Southern Florida:	1 1 1	3 1 1	3 1 1	1 1 1	4 2 2
Northern Middle Southern	2 6 7	2 6 15	2 5 13	2 7 9	4 12 22
Georgia: Northern Middle Southern Louisiahe:	3 1 2	7 2 2	5 1 3	5 2 1	10 3 4
Eastern	6 2 1	3 3 5	4 3 2	5 2 4	9 5 6
NorthernSouthern Texas: Northern	1 1 6	1 1	1 1	1 1 7	2 2 10
EasternSouthernWestern* *Canal Zone	6 7 -	1 5 10	- 4 7	2 7 10 -	2 11 17

TABLE 1 NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS FISCAL YEAR 1975 (concluded)

					
	,				Total Number
		Number	Number	}	in
	Number	Impaneled	Discharged	Number	Existence
	on July 1,	in the 12 month	in the 12 month	June 30.	in the
District	1974	period	period	1975	12 month period
Sixth Circuit					
Translate sheet					
Kentucky: Eastern	3	3	3 -	3	6
Western	5	2	3	4	7
Michigan:	in ji		_	[_ [
Eastern	6 1	6	7	5	12 1
Ohio:			_	. 1	•
Northern	5 5	. 4	3	6	9
Southern	٦	2	4	3 }	7
Eastern	4	2 ,	2	4	6
Middle	1 2	1	1	1 1	2
Western		2	2	2	4
Seventh Circuit					
Illinois:			,		
Northern	10	14	17	7	24
Southern	2 2	1 2	2 1	1 1	- 3 4
Indiana:	}		, •		. **
Northern	2	2	2	2	4
Southern	1	3	2	2	4
Eastern	2	1	1	2 (3
Western	1	1	2		2
Eighth Circuit			· ·		
Arkansas:				{	
Eastern	1	1	1	1 1	2
Western	1	1	1	} 1 }	2
Northern	2	-	_	2	2
Southern	1	1	1	1	, 2
Minnesota	1	1	1	1	2 .
Eastern	2	2	2	2	4
Western	3	1	1	3	4
Nebraska	1 1	_ 1	1	1 1	1 2
South Dakota	ī	2	ī	2	3
Ninth Circuit					
Alaska	1	1	1		2
Arizona	3	3	3	1 3	6
California:	}		_		
Northern	2	5 1	5	3	9 3
Central	12	14	15	11	26
Southern	9	5	6	8	14
HawaiiIdaho	1	ī	ī	1 1	1 2
Montana	1	1	1] 1]	2
Nevada	2 3	2	1	3	4
Oregon	3	. .	1	2	3
Eastern	1	-	-	1 1	1 ·
WesternGuam	1	2 1	1	1 2	3 2
the state of the s	 				
Tenth Circuit			Salar Salar Salar		
Colorado	1	1	1	1 1	2
Kansas	3	2	3	2	5 5
New MexicoOklahoma:	2	3	2	3	. 5
Northern	1	1	ı	1	2
Eastern	1	1	1	1	2 1
Western	1	2	1 -	2	2
ULailesssssssssssssssssss					

^{*}The Districts of Virgin Islands and Canal Zone reported no grand juries in existence during fiscal year 1975.

the number of grand juries in a district varies depending on factors such as amount and type of criminal activity and the number of places of holding court, these figures reveal that the grand jury system is both an extensive and integral part of the operation of the Federal courts.

The second table, "Grand Juror Usage", shows the extent to which grand juries and jurors were utilized within each district during fiscal year 1975. Totals are given for the number of grand jury sessions convened in each district, the number of jurors involved in these convened sessions, and the total number of hours of convened sessions. New York Southern reported the greatest number of sessions convened -- 949, the largest number of jurors in session -- 19,493, and the greatest number of hours in session -- 3,644. Wyoming reveals the opposite end of the range with only three sessions convened during the year, involving 66 grand jurors for a total of 19 hours. Forty-one of the 92 districts reporting activity conducted 50 or more sessions during the 12 month period. This is an average of at least one session per week for chese districts. Nationally, 7,846 grand jury sessions were convened with 156,167 grand jurors attending these sessions for a total of 41,421 hours.

The usage table also indicates the average number of jurors present for each session convened within a district³ and the average number of hours for each of these sessions. The national averages for fiscal year 1975 were 19.9 jurors per session and 5.28 hours per session. North Carolina Western and Wisconsin Western had the lowest average number of jurors per session, 17.9 jurors, while North Carolina Eastern recorded the highest with an average of 22.4 jurors per session for fiscal year 1975. Average hours per session ranged from a high of 7.86 hours in Oklahoma Northern to a low of 3.09 hours per session in Guam.

The measure of average hours per session is one indication of the efficient or inefficient utilization of grand jurors' time. The Judicial Conference has emphasized the need to effectively utilize grand jurors in adopting a policy that grand jury sessions should be scheduled when there is a sufficient accumulation of business to fully occupy the grand jury's day. (Conf. Rept., April 1973, p.12) This points out a need for the careful planning of grand jury sessions by the U.S. Attorneys who determine when and for how long a grand jury will meet. It also highlights the need for cooperation and communication between the clerk of court's office and the U.S. Attorney's office to maintain the efficient operation of the grand jury system.

The table, "Proceedings by Indictment and Grand Juror Usage", gives a breakdown by district of the number of cases that were commenced by indictment and the number of defendants that were proceeded against by indictment in fiscal year 1975. This information is derived

 $^{^3}$ A grand jury consists of 16 to 23 sworn jurors with a quorum of 16 required to conduct business. (Rule 6(a), F.R.Crim.P.)

TABLE 2 GRAND JUROR USAGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS FISCAL YEAR 1975

		 			T
	,	Number of:	<u> </u>	Average	Average number of
District	Sessions convened	Jurors in session	Hours in session	jurors per session	hours per session
Total All Districts	7,846	156,167	41,421	19.9	5.28
District of Columbia	581	11,613	2,755	20.0	4.74
First Circuit					
Maine	11	222	68	20.2	6.18
Massachusetts	274	5,280	1,411	19.3	5.15
New HampshireRhode Island	5 38	100 767	33 208	20.0	6.60 5.47
Puerto Rico	29	568	185	19.6	6.38
Second Circuit					
Connecticut	85	1,622	510	19.1	6.00
New York: Northern	57	1,158	233	20.3	4.09
Eastern	458	8,928	2,108	19.5	4.60
Southern	949	19,493	3,644	20.5	3.84
WesternVermont	175 44	3,355 919	989 157	19.2	5.65 3.57
	37	919	13,	20.9	3.37
Third Circuit		1 033		20.5	
Delaware	65 267	1,311 5,050	291 1,392	20.2 18.9	4.48
Pennsylvania:		5,050	2,372	10.5	3.21
Eastern	178	3,654	789	20.5	4.43
Middle	24	461	128	19.2	5.33
Western* *Virgin Islands	110	2,074	681	18.9	6.19
Fourth Circuit					
Maryland	153	3,085	654	20.2	4.27
North Carolina:	153	3,083	054	20.2	4.2,
Eastern	34	761	196	22.4	5.76
Middle	8	154	50	19.2	6.25
Western	10 12	179 251	70 86	17.9 20.9	7.00
Virginia:	12	231	"	10.7	,,,,,
Eastern	98	2,044	660	20.9	6.73
Western	26	498	170	19.2	6.54
West Virginia: Northern	16	353	123	22.1	7.69
Southern	41	835	304	20.4	7.41
Fifth Circuit					
Alabama:					The second
Northern	24	536	164	22.3	6.83
Middle	11	212	64	19.3	5.82 6.78
Southern	18	341	122	18.9	0.70
Northern	14	283	82	20.2	5.86
Middle	168	3,282	961	19.5	5.72 5.46
Southern	162	3,300	885	20.4	0.40
Northern	111	2,193	709	19.8	6.39
Middle	45	946	323	21.0	7.19
Southern	15	270	81	18.0	5.40
Eastern	115	2,343	661	20.4	5.75
Middle	55	1,133	384	20.6	6.98
Western	62	1,246	422	20.1	6.81
Mississippi: Northern	15	325	83	21.7	5.53
Southern	11	238	70	21.6	6.36
Texas:					
Northern	100	2,015	644	20.2	6.44
Eastern	14 53	299 1,048	82 288	21.4 19.8	5.86 5.43
Western	113	2,362	600	20.9	5.31
					2

TABLE 2 GRAND JUROR USAGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS FISCAL YEAR 1975 (Concluded)

		Number of:		Average	Average
District	Sessions convened	Jurors in session	Hours in session	number of jurors per session	number of hours per session
Sixth Circuit					
Kentucky:					1
Eastern	29	602	177	20.8	6.10
Western	51	1,048	280	20.5	5.49
Michigan:		2,030	2.50	20.3	3.49
Eastern	205	4,192	1,182	20.4	5.77
Western	14	280	77	20.0	5.50
Ohio:					
Northern	88	1,762	486	20.0	5.52
Southern	59	1,117	403	18.9	6.83
Tennessee:		1		\	
Eastern	8	164	45	20.5	5.62
Middle	15	293	87	19.5	5.80
Western	59	1,243	412	21.1	6.98
Seventh Circuit					
		'		1	
Illinois:		1			1 .
Northern	330	6,598	1,686	20.0	5.11
Eastern	36	668	243	18.6	6.75
Southern	76	1,438	492	18.9	6.47
Indiana:					1 .
Northern	40	805	273	20.1	6.82
Southern	70	1,406	461	20.1	6.59
Eastern	53	1.037	252	19.6	4.75
Western	15	269	62	17.9	4.75
Eighth Circuit					
	1				
Arkansəs:			1	<u> </u>	\
Eastern	16	355	119	22.2	7.44
Western	7	152	42	21.7	6.00
Iowa:					1
Northern	28 18	551	211	19.7	7.54
Minnesota	18 46	370	122	20.6	6.78
Missouri:	40	947	294	20.6	6.39
Eastern	71	1,434	401	20.2	5.65
Western	94	1,723	627	18.3	6.67
Nebraska	23	477	154	20.7	6.70
North Dakota	9	185	51	20.6	5.67
South Dakota	35	654	221	18.7	6.31
Ninth Circuit					
			professional sections		
Alaska	17	333	96	19.6	5.65
Axizona	92	1,786	584	19.4	6.35
California: Northern	,,,,	2 10-		1 -	
	108	2,127	628	19.7	5.81
Eastern	50 494	1,019	191	20.4	3.82
Southern	192	9,555	2,571	19.3	5.20
Hawaii	35	675	866 183	18.4	4.51
Idaho	26	531	170	19.3	5.23 6.54
Montana	15	334	95	22.3	6.33
Nevada	86	1,622	351	18.9	4.08
Oregon	54	1,060	273	19.6	5.06
Washington:	· ·			1	
Eastern	8	157	47	19.6	5.88
Western	48	995	331	20.7	6.90
Guam	11	203	34	18.5	3.09
Tenth Circuit					
Colorado	48	955	305	19.9	6.35
Kansas	30	597	204	19.9	6.80
New Mexico	100	2,055	623	20.6	6.23
Oklahoma:	1		1 ,		1
Northern	7	133	55	19.0	7.86
Eastern	20	436	136	21.8	6.80
Western	14	263	91	18.8	6.50
Utah	39 3	846	188	21.7	4.82
Wyoming		66	19	22.0	6.33

^{*} The Districts of Virgin Islands and Canal Zone reported no grand juries in existence during fiscal year 1975.

PROCEEDINGS BY INDICTMENT AND GRAND JUROR USAGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS FISCAL Year 1975

		nys Commenced Indictment	Grand	:		Proceedings by Indi		Grand	3
ţ		T	Jury	Hours		l		Jury	Hour
District	Cases	Defendants	Sessions Convened	in Session	District	Cases	De fendants	Sessions Convened	in Sessi
National Total	26.775	40,038	7,846	41,421	Sixth Circuit	Lanco I			
 ['
district of Columbia	614	768	581	2,755	Kentucky: Eastern	352	524	29	17
First Circuit			1		Western Michigan:	279	390	51	280
daine}	54	82	11	68	Eastern	1.057	1,587	205	1,18
Massachusetts	417	724	274	1.411	Western	105	167	14	7
New Hampshire	31.	54	5	33	Ohio:				٠.,
Chode Island	93 182	112 272	38 29	208 185	Northern	470 181	587 266	88 59	48
			ļ		Tennessee:	134	192	8	4
Second Circuit			i		Eastern	139	188	15	8
Connecticut	233	346	85	510	Western	166	311	59	41
Northern	67	99	57.	233	Seventh Circuit	Į.			Ì
Eastern	415	920	458	2,108		1		}	}
Southern	862	1,826	949	3,644	Illinois:	1		1	i
Western	143	229	175	989	Northern	572	946	330	1,68
Vermont	69	100	44	157	Eastern	157	234	36	24
Third Circuit			 		Southern	106	147	76	49
THILD CIRCUIT			ļ	[i	Northern	368	533	40	27
Delaware	138	169	65	291	Southern	231	397	70	46
New Jersey	362	654	267	1,392	Wisconsir,	}		1	1
Pennsylvania:			1]	Eastern	157	253	53	2.5
Eastern	710	1,120	178	789	Western	51	55	15	6
Middle	114	179	24	128	<u> </u>	 		ļ	ļ
Western	306	541	110	681	Eighth Circuit	1		[l
Virgin Islands			-	-		}		1	
			<u> </u>	 	Arkansas:				[
Fourth Circuit			, .		Eastern	252 91	322 109	16	11
Maryland	563	861	153	654	Iowa:	1			
North Carolina:	303]]	Northern	53	88	28	21
Eastern	229	339	34	196	Southern	107	116	18	12
Middle	330	432	8	50	Minnesota	281	396	46	29
Western	248	366	10	70	Missouri:				
South Carolina	485	706	12	86	Eastern	302	389	71	40
Virginia:			}		Western	249	361	94	62
Eastern	744	933	98	660	Nebraska	129	168	23	15
Western	239	330	26	170	North Dakota	63	80	9	5
West Virginia:			1		South Dakota	267	301	35	22
Northern	21	37	16	123]			1	
Southern	. 05	292	41	304	Ninth Circuit			ĺ	
Fifth Circuit			1	}	Alasko	111	154	17	9
1			1		Arizona	1,016	1,568	92	58
Alabama:	408	619	24	164	Northern	481	676	108	62
Northern	192	267	11	64	Eastern	524	659	50	19
Middle	192		18	122	Central	1,432	2-090	494	2,57
Southern	98	162	10	124	Southern	1,383	,192	192	86
Florida:	136	174	14	82	Hawaii	96	157	35	18
Northern	383	627	168	961	Idaho	70	91	26	17
Middle	649	977	162	885	Montana	81	89	15	9
Southern	049	21,1	1 ~0~	[565]	Nevada	181	247	86	35
Northern	454	655	111	709	Oregon	210	311	54	27
Middle	142	214	45	323	Washington:	1		}	1
Southern	154	182	15	81	Eastern	112	123	8	4
Louisiana	*****		} ~~)	Western	319	434	48	33
Eastern	388	590	115	661	Guam	24	45	11	3
Middle	56	104	55	384		 			
Western	176	214	62	422	Tenth Circuit	1 14		1]
Mississippi:			ł		J	[1	١.
Northern	121	159	15	83	Colorado	252	328	48	30
Southern	88	140	11	70	Kansas	255	372	30	20
Texas:			1	Į i i	New Mexico	231	327	100	52
Northern	479	624	100	644	Oklahoma:	1		}	1
Eastern	82	96	14	82	Northern	111	149	7	5
Southern	871	1,393	53	288	Eastern	45	77	20	13
Western	569	828	113	600	Western	158	222	14	9
Canal Zone					Utah	32	45	39	1.8
			i		Wyoming	12	13	3	1

from the JS-2 criminal reports submitted monthly by each district to the Administrative Office. The number of grand jury sessions convened, as well as the number of hours grand juries were in session, is also provided. These two sets of information can be compared to reveal what was produced by the grand jury system in each district in the past fiscal year. For example, Colorado's 48 grand jury sessions encompassing 305 hours in session resulted in approximately 252 criminal cases being commenced and 328 defendants being proceeded against by indictment. This is an average of more than five cases commenced per grand jury session and almost seven defendants proceeded against as the result of each session. These figures are not meant to be an indication of the efficiency, or lack thereof, of a particular court's grand jury program as the grand jury time required to obtain any number of indictments depends on the nature of the activity, the number of participants involved, and the guidance of the U.S. Attorney in any matter which a grand jury is investigating. However, this data should enable a district to obtain a clearer idea of the activity of its grand juries.

On the national level, the 7,846 grand jury sessions convened for 41,421 hours resulted in approximately 26,775 cases being commenced by indictment and 40,038 defendants being proceeded against by indictment in fiscal year 1975.

The fourth table, "Months of No Activity for Grand Juries", presents a breakdown of the number of months of no activity for each grand jury in a district (months in which no grand jury session was held for a particular grand jury). The number of grand juries having one or more months of no activity is provided and can be compared to the total number of grand juries in existence in the 12 month period as well as the number of grand juries which had at least one session each month they were in existence during fiscal year 1975.

Among the 92 districts, 355 of the 570 total number of grand juries had one or more months in which no session was held and nine grand juries which were in existence for the full 12 month period held no sessions. There were 215 grand juries which held at least one session during every month that they were in existence.

The data presented in this table has added significance in view of the mandates of the Speedy Trial Act of 1974. Section 3161(b) of the Speedy Trial Act provides that:

Any information or indictment charging an individual with the commission of an offense shall be filed within thirty days from the date on which such individual was arrested or served with a summons in connection with such charges. If an individual has been charged with a felony in a district in which no grand jury has been in session during such thirty-day period, the period of time for filing of the indictment shall be extended an additional thirty days.

This data helps to indicate those districts which would have been able to meet the 30-day requirement for filing of the indictment in fiscal year 1975 by revealing those districts which had almost continuous grand jury activity. It also points out those districts which would have had difficulty in meeting the requirement due to large amounts of time during which no

TABLE 4 MONTHS OF NO ACTIVITY FOR GRAND JURIES UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS FISCAL YEAR 1975

			FISCAL YEAR 1975												
	Total Number of Grand Juries in	Number of	Number of Grand Juries	,	New laws			Turk		LT. LT.	-		Numbe		
	Existence in the	Grand Juries Having No	Having One or More		Numbei	OF		Months					Numbe	ror	
District	12 Month Period	Months of No Activity	Months of No Activity	, 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Total All Districts	570	215	355	88	62	55	42	24	17	27	9	7	10	5	9
District of Columbia	19	9	10	1	3	-	2		1	-	2	1	_	-	_
First Circuit	: :														
Maine	2	-	2	-	-	-	. 1	-	-	1	-	-		_	_
Massachusetts	10 2	4	6 2	2	_	2	-	2 1	_	-	-	_		_	_
New HampshireRhode Island	4] -	4	3			_	-	_	ī	_		_	_	
Puerto Rico	3		3	ĺī	_		2	-	-	_	-				-
Second Circuit		i	<u> </u>								·				
Connecticut	B	3	5		_	3	1.	_	:	_	1				_
New York:	6	5	1	1		_	_	_							_
Northern	30	22	8	i	2	2	_	1	· - 💆	1	_	, -		_	1
Southern	42	15	27	5	2	2	3	-	2	3	ı	1	3	2	3,
Western	В	7	1	1	-	-		-	-	-		-	+	-	
Vermont	4	2	2					-		1				-	
Third Circuit															
Delaware New Jersey Pennsylvania:	4 12	8	4	1	2	-	-	-	-	ĩ	-	-	- '	-	-
Eastern	9 3	6 ,	3	1	_	1	1	1	1	ī	_		<u>-</u> ,	-	, <u>+</u>
Western	7	1	6	2	1.	1	_	÷	_	_	1		1	-	_
*Virgin Islands			-	_								-		.	_
Fourth Circuit															
Maryland	10	5	5	1	3	. 1	-	~	-	-	•	-	-		-
Eastern	3	-	3	1	1	1		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Middle	2	' -	2] =	. J	1	1		-	-	-	-	-		
WesternSouth Carolina	4 2	1 -	4 2	-	2	_	-		-	-	-	-		_	-
Virginia: Eastern	11	3	8	2	4	_				-	_		. –	1	1
Western	11	1	10	1	1	-	2		1	1	1	2	-		1
West Virginia: Northern	2	<u> </u>	2	-	_	_	,-	-	1	1	-	-	- 1	_	, ,-
Southern	5	1	4	<u> </u>	2	1							· 1		
Fifth Circuit															
Alabama:				1			1	_				_		-	
Northern	4 2	1 -	3 2	1 -	1 2	_	_	_	_			_		_	
Southern	Ž	-	2	-,	ĩ	1	_	~	-	-	. •			· -	-
Northern	4		4	-		3	1	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	. • •-
Middle	12	4	8	4	3	-	1		_	-	_	-	-		
Southern	22	13	9	3	3	- '	3	-	-			· -	· -	-	
Georgia:	10	3	7	5		1	_	1		_			-	_	
Northern	3		3	1	_	_	_,	ī	_	-	-		1		
Southern	4	1	3	1	1.	_		-	-	1	-	-	-	-,	7
Louisiana:	1		["	[_			1.2								
Eastern	9	3	6	1	1	3	1	<u>-</u> .	-	-		-		· - .	-
Middle	5	2 2	3 4	2	2	1	1 -	_	_		_	_	-	_	-
Western	".	1 . *		*	- 4	-	-				-				
Morthern	2	_	2	_	1	1.	· _	_	_	-	**				
Southern	2	-	2		-	2	-	7	-	-	_ :		_	-	
Texas:	10	1	9	2	1	1.		2	1	-	_	1	1	· .	
Northern	2		2	-		-	Ξ.			2	_	-	-	- 2	-
Southern] . 11	4	7	1		-	2	2	1.	-	_		-	-	1
Western	17	5	12	5	1	2	-	1	-	2	-	٠.			1
*Canal Zone	_		i -								-		-	None .	-

TABLE 4 MONTHS OF NO ACTIVITY FOR GRAND JURIES UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS FISCAL YEAR 1975 (Concluded)

	Total Number of Grand Juries in Existence in the 12 Month	Number of Grand Juries Having No Months of	Number of Grand Juries Having One or More Months of			r of			es wi				d Numbe	r of	
	Period	No Activity	No Activity	1	- 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11]	12
Sixth Circuit															
Kentucky: Eastern Western	6 7	1	. 5 6	1 -	2	1	-	-	- 1	1	-	1	ī	- 1	-
Michigan: Eastern	12	7	5	3	-	2	_		_	_	_	-			_
Western	1	- .	1	-		-	1	-		-	4	-	-	_	
Ohio: Northern	9	1	8	3	_		2		_	2	_	_		_	. 1
Southern		î	6	-	1	1	ĩ	2	_	_	_	1	-	_	_
Tennessee:					_		_								
Eastern	6	- 1	6 1	1 _	1	1	1	-	_	_	_	_	1	1	_
Western	4	2	2	-	_	2	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	
Seventh Circuit								-							
Illinois:															
Northern	24	16	8	-	1	-	2	2	2	1	_		-		
Eastern	3	- 2	3 2	2	1	1	-	-	- 1	-	-	_	-	-	-
Southern	4	2	2	2	~	-	-	_			-	-	-	-	-
Northern	4	1	3	2.	-	_ '	-	-	1		-	-	· -	-	-
Southern	. 4	4	. =	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-		-
Eastern	3	2	1	-	_	1	_	_	_	_	_		_ '	-	_
Western	2	1	1	-	- '	1		-	-	-	-	-			-
Fighth Circuit												1			
Arkansas:		*1		•											
Eastern	2		2	-	1	-	-	1		-	-	-	-	7	-
Western	2	-	. 2	-	7	ī	-	-	1	-		-	-	-	-
Northern	2	_	· 2	-	-	_		1	1	-			-:	_	-
Southern	2	-	2	1	-	1	-	-		- '	-	-	-	-	-
Minnesota	2		2	1	-	1.	-	-	-			-	-	-	_
Eastern	4	1	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	_	
Western	4	-	4	-	1	1	1	-	-	1,	,		-	-	-
Nebraska	1 2		1 2	-	_	1	-	1	1		_	_	Ξ	-	_
South Dakota	3		3	1	1	_	1	-	=	'	_	-	-		-
Ninth Circuit															
Alaska	2	1	2	_		2		_	_	_	_	_	:		
Arizona	6	5	î	1	-	-		-	-	_	-			-	-
California:	9	8	1	_											
Northern	3	2	i	ī	_	_	1	_	_	_	_		_	-	_
Central	26	Į s	18	7	2	2	3	, 1	· -	2	1	-	-	-	· -
Southern	14	5	9	3	, 1	-	2	1.		2	-	-	-	-	. .
Hawaii	2	2		1		_		_	_	_	-			-	-
Montana	2	1	1	-	-	_	, - ,	-	1	-	_	-	· · -	-	-
Nevada	4	3 2	1	-	1	-	-	-	_	-	-	= "	1	. <u>-</u>	. <u>-</u>
Oregon	3	1		-	. 1	-	-		-		-	-		. 	_
Eastern	1	-	1	-	-	. · -	٠ ـ	· -		1	-	-	- '	-	-
WesternGuam	3 2	1	2 2	1	-	1	_	_	-	-	_	_	-	-	_
Tenth Circuit	 		ļ -	 											
	1			1											
Colorado	2 5	2	5	-	-	-	_	- 1	· -	-	· -	-	,	-	-
New Mexico	5.	I	5	lī	1 2	1	1	1		-	1 -	-	-	-	
Oklahoma:				-			-								
Northern	2 2	1	2 2	-	1	-	- 1	1	· -	-	-	-		-	-
Eastern	1		i		_	_		-		1	_				_
!!tah	2	-	2	1	-	-	1	-		-	•	-	- ,		-
Wyoming	1		1] -		1.	_	_					-		
															-

^{*} The Districts of Virgin Islands and Canal Zone reported no grand juries in existence during fiscal year 1975.

grand jury sessions were held and where there might be the need for increasing grand jury activity in the upcoming fiscal year. If grand jury activity is maintained at the same level as in 1975 in fiscal year 1976, these figures also indicate those districts which will possibly have to invoke the 30-day extension period provided for in the Act. For example, Wyoming had one grand jury in existence and it recorded three months of no activity. This may reveal that under Speedy Trial constraints Wyoming would have been unable to meet the requirement and would have had to increase its number of grand jury sessions or invoke the extension provision. Petit Jury

The beginning of fiscal year 1975 (July 1, 1974) saw the implementation of the first major revision of the Petit Juror Usage Instructions since the commencement of the Petit Juror Usage (JS-11) Reporting program in fiscal year 1971. This revision was the end result of the Juror Utilization Seminars held in the Fifth Circuit during fiscal year 1974 and the many comments and suggestions by district judges, clerks of court, and jury clerks. Acting on the foregoing, the Committee on the Operation of the Jury System authorized changes in the accounting of jurors and trial days, and made suggestions with regard to the major classifications of jury service on the JS-11 reporting form.

These changes in the accounting of jurors and trial days could have had an effect on the summary statistics recorded on the JS-11 form and call for further explanation in order that the data presented in the following pages can be more accurately interpreted by the reader.

In fiscal years 1971-1974, only sworn jurors for specific case trials were to be reported as serving. This category has now been expanded to include all jurors selected to serve on a trial jury on a future date as well as those serving on a trial in progress, including alternates. This change had the effect of increasing the total number of persons shown as selected or serving and of increasing the percentage of the total available persons who fall into this category.

Beginning July 1, 1974, trial days were to be counted only on the day when the jury begins actual service on the trial. The purpose of this change was to do away with the statistical disparity which resulted from the counting of a jury in trial depending on whether a judge chose to swear all juries immediately following a multiple selection of juries, or whether he chose to swear each jury just prior to the start of the trial.

The effect of this change on the statistics is to decrease the number of juries in trial for those districts which use the multiple voir dire technique and swear all juries immediately following the multiple selection while pussibly increasing the number of jurors recorded as selected or serving for all districts using multiple voir dire as well as those districts

which had jury selections requiring more than one day to complete. This will result in an increase in the Juror Usage Index for these districts as the number of juries in trial is reduced (the Juror Usage Index is calculated by dividing the total number of persons available by the total number of juries in trial, giving an average number of persons required for each jury trial day).

These were the major changes in the instructions for JS-11 reporting which had some effect on the yearly compilation of data. The changes should be kept in mind when reviewing each district's figures, especially when making comparisons between a prior year's data and that for the current fiscal year 1975.

The following presentation of summary statistics on petit juror utilization includes statistics for fiscal year 1975 as well as comparisons of this data with utilization figures for fiscal years 1971 - 1974.

National Overview of Petit Jurass

The national Juror Usage Index or JUI (obtained by dividing total available juror days by the total number of jury trial days) recorded this past fiscal year was 19.32. This is a slight increase over the fiscal year 1974 JUI of 19.12. This increase is at least partially attributable to the new counting of jury trial days for multiple jury selection discussed earlier. With the institution of the revised reporting instructions, it was anticipated that some increase would result. The resulting increase of only 1% seems to indicate that the utilization of jurors for the system as a whole continues to show increased efficiency. Since the institution of the JS-11 reporting system in 1971, there has been a decrease of 17.1% in the JUI. Thus, in the five year period the efforts of judges and court personnel have resulted in approximately 4 less persons being needed for every jury trial day in fiscal year 1975 than in fiscal year 1971.

The total available persons reporting to the courthouse are divided into the three categories of selected or serving; challenged; and not selected, serving or challenged to designate the status of jury service each person attained each day. The accompanying Petit Juror Usage Table shows that the percentage of prospective jurors who were selected for or served on jury trials has again shown an increase with 60.1% of all total available persons so categorized in fiscal year 1975. In fiscal year 1974, 58.3% jurors were selected or serving. There has been an increase of 5.9 percentage points since fiscal year 1971 when 54.2% of the total available were selected or served as jurors. These figures indicate a steady increase in the percentage of citizens who attend court as prospective jurors and eventually serve on a jury trial.


The percentage of jurors who were challenged showed an increase from 15.2% in fiscal

TABLE 5
PETIT JUROR USAGE — FISCAL YEARS 1971-1975

						1975 over	1974
Petit jurors	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	Increase (Decrease)	Percent Change
Total available	512,553	547,821	573,150	540,628	546,627	5,999	1.1
Selected or Serving	277,878	304,178	324,038	315,419	328,445	13,026	4.1
Percent	54.2	55.5	56.5	58.3	60.1		_
Challenged	66,314	79,501	86,520	82,152	88,228	6,076	7.4
Percent	12.9	14.5	15.1	15.2	16.1	-	-
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	168,361	164,142	162,592	143,057	129,954	(13,103)	-9.2
Percent	32.8	30.0	28.4	26,5	23.8		
Jury Tria: Days	21,990	26,176	28,425	28,274	28,293	19	0.1

PETIT JUROR USAGE

FISCAL YEARS 1971-1975




year 1974 to 16.1% in fiscal year 1975. Further, the percent challenged has steadily increased each year from a low of 12.9% in fiscal year 1971.

Prospective jurors who were not selected for or who did not serve on jury trials in addition to those who were not challenged decreased from 26.5% in fiscal year 1974 to 23.8% in fiscal year 1975, a decline of almost three percentage points. The 23.8% recorded this fiscal year is the lowest percent in the past five years as there has been a steady decline of the number of persons in this category from 32.8% in fiscal year 1971 with the fiscal year 1975 figure being 9 percentage points lower. This points out the results in improved efficiency which can be obtained by working towards better utilization of jurors.

Again this year the table and chart illustrating estimated "savings" (i.e. cost avoidance) which have been achieved since fiscal year 1971 has been included. The number of prospective jurors who would have reported to the courthouse for service each year had courts continued to

ESTIMATED PETIT JUROR "SAVINGS"

SINCE FISCAL YEAR 1971



call at the 1971 rate (Juror Usage Index) is shown along with the actual number of persons reporting each year. The "savings" in juror days since 1971 to the end of fiscal year 1975 (a five year period) has been a total of 383,101 juror days. This is an estimated "savings" in juror attendance fees alone of \$7,662,020 and does not take into account the large amounts of "savings" in mileage and subsistence payments to jurors and court personnel time. Further, these cost avoidance figures represent an effort on the part of judges and court personnel to call only the jurors necessary for their actual or anticipated needs.

Petit Juror Usage by District

Juror usage figures by district for fiscal year 1975 are presented in the following seven tables. The data presented here are collected from the JS-11 reports on petit juror activity within each district which are submitted monthly to the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and compiled semi-annually and annually.

The first table, "Juror Usage Reports -- Totals", provides yearly totals as to the number of jurors in attendance and the number of jury trial days conducted in each district with a breakdown of each into the designated categories. The Juror Usage Index for each district is given showing the average number of jurors required by a district to conduct each jury trial day. Further, it shows that at this time 82 of the 94 districts have instituted use of the less than 12-member civil jury by change in local rules, court order, or frequent stipulation by counsel.

"A Comparison of Juror Usage Rankings" is a compilation of the five individual ranking tables which follow and provides an overview of each district's various rankings. A comparison ranking is given to each district according to its (1) Juror Usage Index, (2) percentage of jurors selected or serving, (3) percentage of jurors challenged, (4) percentage of jurors not selected and not serving (a combination of categories (3) and (4)).

When reviewing this table, as well as the five individual ranking tables, the reader should keep in mind that there is sometimes a special problem within a district or an unusual set of circumstances (e.g. one or more notoriety cases requiring large juror panels for selection, particular practices as to use of challenges, a heavy criminal caseload, or long distances jurcrs must travel to the place of holding court) which cause the JUI to be high or the percentages to be such as to place a district near the bottom of the ranks. Therefore, to obtain a complete picture of a district's utilization of jurors, the reader must view a district's ranks in conjunction with any special conditions which may have existed to affect its standing in comparison to other districts.

The individual ranking tables each show a distribution of one of the five ranking cat-

TABLE 6 JUROR USAGE REPORTS - TOTALS FISCAL YEAR 1975

	,	Number	of Jurors		Davs			
District	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Total	Civil	Criminal	Juror Usage Index
Total all districts	546,627	328,445	88,228	129,954	28,293	12,475	15,818	19.32
District of Columbia	16,836	10,623	2,277	3,936	863	378	485	19.51
First Circuit.		10,700	7,2,1	3,330		370	100	13.31
:								
Maine Massachusetts	956 7,945	786 5,240	138 953	32 1,752	61 451	26 152	35 299	15.67 17.62
New Hampshire	1,454	1,050	194	21	110	102	8	13.22
Rhode Island	1,894	1,484	102	308	151	100	51	12.54
Puerto Rico	3,324	1,713	498	1,113	172	108	64	19.33
Second Circuit								
Connecticut	3,273	2,351	628	294	196	94	102	16.70
New York:			1					
Northern	1,651	1,070	182	399	94	40	54	17.56
Eastern	20,456	12,448	3,111	4,897	992	325	667	20.62
Southern	47,844	23,321	7,834	16,689	2,027	847	1,180	23.60
*Western	4,574	3,237	444	893	250	87	163	18.30
Vermont	2,599	1,430	395	774	163	125	38	15.94
Third Circuit								
Delaware	1,528	958	446	124	87	32	55	17.56
New Jersey	13,927	10,252	1,688	1,987	775	247	528	17.97
Pennsylvania:	1		1] •				
Eastern	29,273	16,454	4,980	7,839	1,555	1.032	523	18.83
Middle	5,722	4,406	806	510	330	214	116	17.34
Western Virgin Islands	15,030 4,760	8,335 2,369	2,355 1,123	4,340 1,268	730 162	271 55	459 107	20.59 29.38
Fourth Circuit								
Maryland	8,145	5,108	1,598	1,439	460	184	276	17.71
North Carolina:								
*Eastern	2,493	1,581	281	631	120	39	81	20.78
Middle	1,439	917	255	267	97	52	45	14.84
WesternSouth Carolina	3,044 7,751	2,274	275	495	196	113	83	15.53
Virginia:	1,131	4.916	1,472	1,363	415	227	. 188	18.68
Eastern	7,242	3,504	2,377	1,361	374	179	195	19.36
Western	997	511	343	143	60	44	16	16.62
West Virginia:				1				
Northern	971 2,395	613 1,061	220 573	138	53	21	32	18.32
	2,393	1,061	5/3	761	96	26	70	24.95
Fifth Circuit			1	}				
Alabama:	1 1					1		
Northern	5,310	3,769	948	593	407	258	149	13.05
Middle	2,376	1,858	200	318	150	70	80	15.84
Southern	1,755	1,288	286	181	113	53	60	15.53
Northern	1,182	811	165	200	C 3	,,,	ا م	10 00
Middle	9.182	6,064	1,181	206 1,937	61 450	15	46	19.38
Southern	11,756	6,680	1,722	3,354	459 626	100 276	359 350	20.00 18.78
Georgia:		2,000		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	020	2/8	330	10.78
Northern	10,677	6,346	2,339	1,992	630	297	333	16.95
*Middle	3,826	2,510	920	396	198	113	85	19.32
*Southern	3,210	2,337	668	205	151	91	60	21,26
Eastern	9,004	5,362	2,048	1,594	552	331	221	16.31
Middle	510	144	117	249	18	12	6	28.33
Western Mississippi:	4,308	2,633	774	901	238	133	105	18.10
Northern	2,479	1,760	491	228	131	61	70	18.92
*Southern	4,035	2,342	611	1,082	180	118	62	22.42
*Northern	8,833	5,756	1.444	1,633	458	272	186	19.29
Eastern	3,880	2,565	734	581	244	199	45	15.90
Southern	9,054	6,790	1,115	1,149	475	168	307	19.06
Western	6,202	3,924	1,262	1,016	283	100	183	21.92
Canal Zone	414	227	66	121	19	-	19	21.79

TABLE 6 JUROR USAGE REPORTS - TOTALS FISCAL YEAR 1975 (concluded)

		Number	of Jurors		Days	of Jury	Trial	
District	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Tota1	Civil	Crimina1	Juror Usage Index
Sixth Circuit								
Kentucky:		1						,
Eastern	7,194	3,920	701	2,573	266	45	221	27.05
Western	2,945	1,308	454	1,183	126	52	74	23.37
Michigan:	70.400	10.407	2 4772					
*Eastern	19,409 1,779	12,497 1,448	1,471 183	5,441	942 121	278 81	664 40	20.60 14.70
Ohio:	1,773	1,440	103	140	121	91	. 40	14.30
Northern	9,853	5,334	910	3,609	478	225	253	20.61
*Southern	5,295	3,588	677	1,030	281	160	121	18.84
Tennessee:				1 1				
Eastern	3,706 2,983	2,412 1,605	429 433	865 945	219 151	136 73	83 78	15.92
Middle	5,314	3,524	1,072	718	316	118	198	19.75 16.82
Mescelli	7,32		2,0,2	 	210	1.10	130	10.02
Seventh Circuit								
Illinois:				1				
Northern	16,663	10,591	1,870	4,202	988	436	552	16.87
Eastern	2,728 1,918	1,551 1,058	591 265	586 595	120 87	26 17	94 70	22.73 22.05
Indiana:	.,,,,,	[,555]	3,	1	,,,	22.05
Northern	5,892	2,901	1,106	1,885	229	74	155	25.73
Southern	3,197	1,936	681	580	202	109	93	15.83
Wisconsin:		2 225	500		1.00			
Eastern	3,372 893	2,036 650	588 165	748 78	188 57	80 30	108 27	17.94 15.67
	0,3	330	103	 				13.07
Eighth Circuit								
Arkansas:]					·		
*Eastern	2,978	1,630	791	557	136	59	77	21.90 25.26
*Western	2,652	1,409	675	568	105	79	26	25.20
Northern	1,204	744	233	227	. 77	38	39	15.64
Southern	1,791	894	336	561	82	37	45	21.84
Minnesota	8,198	5,853	1,211	1,134	467	291	176	17.55
Missouri:		2 426	1 04-		205			
Eastern	5,752 6,081	3,416 2,768	1,243 1,385	1,093	335 228	141 60	194 168	17.17 26.67
Nebraska	4,751	2,465	843	1,443	239	115	124	19.88
*North Dakota	2,863	1,652	552	659	139	61	78	20.60
South Dakota	2,297	970	493	834	95	42	53	24,18
Ninth Circuit	1							
71 makes	1 206	642	704	470	51	10	41	25.41
Alaska	1,296 7,635	642 4,116	184 1,899	1,620	347	66	281	22.00
California:	10,063	6,618	1,316	2,129	630	363	267	15.97
Eastern	4,151	2,301	491	1,359	202	43	159	20.55
Central	19,454	12,054	1,923	5,477	934	191	743	20.83
Southern	10,294	5,519	2,144	2,631	435	23	412	23.66
Hawaii	2,232	1,298	637	297	112	38	74	19,93
Idaho	1,370	829	180	361	89	55 67	34 27	15.39 19.73
Montana	1,855 3,862	946 2,287	345 572	564 1,003	94 184	32	152	20.99
Oregon	3,190	1,740	600	850	196	134	62	16.28
Washington:]].					
Eastern	1,373	791	302	273	80	35	45	17.16
Western	4,942	2,764	908	1,270	253	77	176	19.53
Guam	928	526	163	239	49	14	35	18.94
Tenth Circuit								
Colorado	5,221	3,383	988	850	346	135	211	15.09
Kansas	8,120	5,159	1,282	1,679	409	118	291	19.85
New Mexico	3,305	1,969	731	605	198	125	.72	16.69
Oklahoma:	1 440	750	262	419	71	33	38	20.28
Northern	1,440 1,388	759 759	252	377	71 79	31	48	17.57
Western	3,715	2,316	555	844	215	75	140	17.28
Utah	2,892	1,877	400	615	154	110	44	18.78
Wyoming	677	454	90	133	58	49	9	11.67

Indicates those districts which have not yet adopted local rules reducing the size of Civil juries. See APPENDIX C. Total available jurors divided by total jury trial days.

TABLE 7
A COMPARISON OF JUROR USAGE RANKINGS
FISCAL YEAR 1975

District	Rank by JUI	Rank by Percentage of Jurors Selected or Serving	Rank by Percentage of Jurors Challenged	Rank by Percentage of Jurors Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Rank by Percentage of Jurors Not Selected and Not Serving 1
District of Columbia	56	39	24	56	39
First Circuit		-			
Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island Puerto Rico	12 36 4 2 53	1 23 12 3 81	30 15 22 1 36	1 51 20 22 87	1 23 12 3 81
Second Circuit					
Connecticut. New York: Northern. Zastern. Southern. Western.	23 33 70 84 41	13 30 47 88 17	65 10 38 46 6	7 58 57 88 39	13 30 47 88 17
Vermont	17	68	38	77	68
Third Circuit	:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Delaware New Jersey Pennsylvania:	33 39	41 8	92 16	3 18	41 8
Eastern Middle Western Virgin Islands	46 31 66 94	63 5 65 85	47 28 41 85	68 6 74 66	63 5 65 85
Fourth Circuit	-				
Maryland North Carolina: Eastern	37 71	41 36	68 12	28 60	41 36
Middle Western South Carolina Virginia:	6 9 43	33 7 36	50 4 64	33 22 27	33 7 36
Eastern Western West Virginia: Northern	54 21 42	89 82 39	93 94 81	36 18 17	89 82 39
Southern	87	92	86	84	92
Fifth Circuit Alabama:	3	15			15
Northern Middle Southern Florida:	15	4 9	52 3 44	11 14 9	4 9
Northern Middle Southern Georgia:	55 63 44	18 23 61	27 19 32	26 45 73	18 23 61
Northern Middle Southern	27 52 74	53 26 10	78 87 72	34 10 2	53 26 10
Louisiana: Eastern Middle Western	20 93 40	51 94 46	81 84 53	28 94 44	51 94 46
Mississippi: Northern	48 81	15 57	69 37	8 68	15 57
Northern	51 16 50 78 75	27 22 6 38 69	44 62 17 71 43	32 21 12 25 76	27 22 6 38 69

TABLE 7
A COMPARISON OF JUROR USAGE RANKINGS
FISCAL YEAR 1975 (concluded)

				Rank by	
		Rank by		Percentage	Rank by
		Percentage	Rank by	of Jurors	Percentage
·	Rank	of Jurors	Percentage	Not Selected,	of Jurors Not
	рÀ	Selected or	of Jurors	Serving or	Selected and
District	JUI	Serving	Challenged	Challenged	Not Serving1
Sixth Circuit			1	1	
Kentucky:					
Eastern	92	72	6	89	72
Western	83	91	40	93	91
Michigan:					1
Eastern	67	32	2	71	32
Western	5	2	9	4	2
Ohio:					7
Northern	69	74	5	92	74
Southern	47	19	18	39	19
Tennessee:]	7.
Eastern	26	28	13	55	28
Middle	59	76	31	82	76
Western	24	21	70	15	21
HED LETII	27		 	<u> </u>	
Seventh Circuit	:				
Illinois:			1		
Northern	25	34	11	59	34
Eastern	82	60 60	77	50	60
Southern	80	67	25	80	67
Indiana:	- 55	, , , , ,		50	•
Northern	90	87	59	85	87
Southern	14	48	74	30	48
Wisconsin:	~~	70	1		
Eastern	38	50	48	52	50
Western	12	10	57	5	10
The second secon			+		
Eighth Circuit			1		
Arkansas:					
Eastern	77	70	90	34	70
Western	38	78	89	49	78
Iowa:	0.5	(0		₹*	
Northern	11	45	67	37	45
Southern	76	84	59	81	84
Minnesota	32	14	33	16	14
Missouri:	34	14	1	10	
Eastern	29	53	76	38	53
Western	91	90	83	82	90
Nebraska	61	80	50	78	80
North Dakota	67	58	66	54	58
South Dakota	86	93	75	90	93
		77	 		
Ninth Circuit					
Alaska	89	86	29	90	86
Arizona	79	75	88	46	75
California:		1			,
Northern	18	25	20	46	25
Eastern	65	66	14	86	66
Central	72	44	8	72	44
Southern	85	77	72	61	77
Hawaii	62	56	91	13	56
Idaho	8	49	20	65	49
	58	83	58	78	83
Montana Nevada	73	55	33	64	55
	19	72	59	66	72
Oregon Washington:		1. "	1		
Eastern	28	59	80	42	59
Western	57	64	56	62	64
Guam	49	62	49	63	62
			 		
Tenth Circuit					$f \in \mathcal{F}_{k}$
Colorado	. 7	30	62	22	-30
	60	35	42	43	35
Kansas	22	55 51	79	31	55 51
Nave Marrian	44	JI.	13	J.,	J.
New Mexico	i		54	75	79
Oklahoma:				, /3	
Oklahoma: Northern	64	79 70	I .	חכי	70
Oklahoma: Northern Eastern	35	70	54	70 53	70 43
Oklahoma: Northern			I .	70 53 48	70 43 29

¹ Jurors Challenged and jurors Not Selected Serving or Challenged.

egories for fiscal year 1975. The Juror Usage Index rankings range from Wyoming with a JUI of 11.67 to the Virgin Islands with a JUI of 29.38, representing a range of 17.71 index points between the two. Fifty-two districts recorded Indexes equal to or better than the national Index of 19.32.

The percentage of jurors selected or serving on jury trials ranged from a high of 82.2% in Maine to a low of 28.2% in Louisiana Middle while the percentage of jurors <u>not</u> selected, serving or challenged ranged from 3.3% in Maine to 48.8% in Louisiana Middle with 56 districts recording better percentages in this category than the national average of 23.8%. Challenged jurors ranged from a low of 5.4% of the total available jurors in Rhode Island to a high of 34.4% in Virginia Western with the national average falling at 16.1%. The wide range in the

TABLE 8
RANK OF DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO JUROR USAGE INDEX
FISCAL YEAR 1975

Rank								
Name					}			
Rank								Index
1						- 1	·	Usage
Rhode Island	Rank	District	Index			Rank	District	Index
Rhode Island	1	Wyoming	11.67	.		48	Mississippi, N.	18.92
Alabama, N. 13.05 50 Texas, S. 19.9	2	Rhode Island	12.54	,		49		18.94
4 New Hampshire 13.22 51 Texas, N. 19 5 Michigan, W. 14.70 52 Georgia, M. 19 6 North Carolina, M. 14.84 53 Puerto Rico 19 7 Colorado 15.09 54 Virginia, E. 19 9 North Carolina, W. 15.53 56 District of Columbia 19 11 Lowa, N. 15.64 52 Montana 19 12 Maine 15.67 59 Tennessee, M. 19 12 Misconsin, W. 15.67 60 Kansas 19 14 Indiana, S. 15.83 61 Nebraska 19 15 Alabama, M. 15.84 62 Hawaii 19 16 Texas, E. 15.90 63 Florida, M. 20 16 Texas, E. 15.94 64 Oklahoma, N. 20 17 Vermont 15.94 64 Oklahoma, N.	3		13.05		1			19.06
Michigan, W. 14.70 52 Georgia, M. 19.60 15.00 15	4	New Hampshire	ŧ					19.29
North Carolina, M.	5							19.32
Total	. 6				İ	_		19.33
B	7						§	19.36
9 North Carolina, W. 15.53 56 District of Columbia 19 Alabama, S. 15.53 57 Washington, W. 19 11 Iowa, N. 15.64 58 Montana 19 12 Wisconsin, W. 15.67 59 Tennessee, M. 19 12 Wisconsin, W. 15.67 60 Kansas 19 19 Indiana, S. 15.83 61 Nebraska 19 16 Texas, E. 15.90 63 Plorida, M. 20 California, N. 15.97 65 California, E. 20 California, W. 20 California, W. 15.97 65 California, W. 20 Connecticut 16.31 66.62 67 North Dakota 20 Connecticut 16.69 69 Chic, N. 20 California, W. 20 California, W. 16.62 67 North Dakota 20 Connecticut 16.70 70 New York, E. 20 Tennessee, W. 16.87 71 North Carolina, E. 20 California, C. 20 Tennessee, E. 16.92 71 North Carolina, E. 20 California, C. 20 California, C. 20 Tennessee, E. 16.92 71 North Carolina, E. 20 California, C. 20 Tennessee, E. 16.92 72 California, C. 20 California, C. 20 Mashington, E. 17.16 75 Canal Zone 21 California, W. 17.28 74 Georgia, S. 21 Minnesota 17.55 79 Arkansas, E. 21 Minnesota 17.55 79 Arkansas, E. 21 Minnesota 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22 Mashington, E. 17.56 81 Minsissippi, S. 22 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23 Mew York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansar, W. 24 Virginia, S. 24 West Virginia, N. 18.30 88 Arkansar, W. 25 Arkansar, W. 26 Makasachusetts 17.94 86 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25 South Carolina 18.68	8							19.38
Nalabama S. 15.53 57 Washington, W. 19.	9					-		19.51
1				ì				19.53
Maine	11							19.73
12 Wisconsin, W. 15.67 60 Kansas 19. 14 Indiana, S. 15.83 61 Mebraska 19. 15 Alabama, M. 15.84 62 Hawaii 19. 16 Texas, E. 15.90 63 Florida, M. 20. 17 Vermont 15.94 64 Oklahoma, N. 20. 18 California, N. 15.97 65 California, E. 20. 19 Oregon 16.28 66 Pennsylvania, W. 20. 10 Louisiana, E. 16.31 67 Michigan, E. 20. 11 Virginia, W. 16.62 67 Morth Dakota 20. 12 Virginia, W. 16.65 69 69 Ohio, N. 20. 13 Connecticut 16.70 70 New York, E. 20. 14 Tennessee, V. 16.87 72 California, E. 20. 15 Tennessee, E. 16.92 73 Meyada 20. 16 Georgia, N. 16.95 74 Georgia, S. 21. 17 Washington, E. 17.16 75 Canal Zone 21. 18 Washington, E. 17.17 76 Iowa, S. 21. 20 Missouri, E. 17.17 76 Iowa, S. 21. 31 Pennslyvania, M. 17.34 78 Texas, W. 21. 32 Minnesota 17.55 79 Arizona 22. 33 Del.vare 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22. 34 Maryland 17.71 84 Mississippi, S. 22. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 36 Masyland 17.71 84 Mew York, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.30 88 Arkansar, W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indian, N. 25. 44 Mew York, W. 18.30 80 Indian, N. 25. 45 West Virginia, N. 25. 46 West Virginia, N. 25. 47 Minana, M. 18.31 18.32 18.68 18.32 19.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10 10 10 10 10.00 10.00 10 10 10 10 10 10.00 10 10 10 10 10 10.00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10.00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10								19.75
Indiana, S. 15.83 61 Nebraska 19.								19.85
15								19.88
16 Texas, E. 15.90 63 Florida, M. 20. 17 Vermont 15.94 64 Oklahoma, N. 20. 18 California, N. 15.97 65 California, E. 20. 19 Oregon 16.28 66 Pennsylvania, W. 20. 20 Louisiana, E. 16.31 67 Michigan, E. 20. 21 Virginia, W. 16.62 67 North Dakota 20. 22 New Mexico 16.69 69 Ohio, N. 20. 23 Connecticut 16.70 70 New York, E. 20. 24 Tennessee, W. 16.82 71 North Carolina, E. 20. 25 Illinois, N. 16.87 72 California, C. 20. 26 Tennessee, E. 16.92 73 Nevada 20. 27 Georgia, N. 16.95 74 Georgia, S. 21. 28 Washington, E. 17.16 75 Canal Zone 21. 30 Oklahoma, W. 17.28 77 Arkansas, E. 21. 31 Pennslyvania, M. 17.34 78 Texas, W. 21. 32 Minnesota 17.55 79 Arizona 22. 33 New York, N. 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22. 34 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 36 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 37 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansar, W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.								19.93
17								20.00
California, N. 15.97 65 California, E. 20.				4				
19 Oregon 16.28 66 Pennsylvania, W. 20. Louisiana, E. 16.31 67 Michigan, E. 20. Louisiana, E. 16.31 67 Morth Dakota 20. New Mexico 16.69 69 Ohio, N. 20. Connecticut 16.70 70 New York, E. 20. Tennessee, W. 16.82 71 North Carolina, E. 20. Tennessee, E. 16.92 73 Nevada 20. Georgia, N. 16.95 74 Georgia, S. 21. Georgia, N. 16.95 74 Georgia, S. 21. North Carolina, E. 20. Nissouri, E. 17.16 75 Canal Zone 21. Nissouri, E. 17.17 76 Iowa, S. 21. North Carolina, M. 17.34 78 Texas, W. 21. Ninnesota 17.55 79 Arizona 22. Ninnesota 17.55 80 Illinois, S. 22. New York, N. 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22. Nassachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. Naryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. New York, W. 18.30 86 Arkansar, W. 25. New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansar, W. 25. New York, W. 18.30 89 Alaska 25. New York, S. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. New York, S. 25. New York, S. 25. New York, S. 26. New York, W. 18.30 89 Alaska 25. New York, S. 25. New York, S. 26. New York, W. 18.30 89 Alaska 25. New York, S. 25. New York, S. 25. New York, S. 25. New York, S. 26. New York, W. 18.30 89 Alaska 25. New York, S. 25. New York, S. 25. New York, S. 26. New York, W. 18.30 89 Alaska 25. New York, S. 26. New York, S. 26. New York, W. 26. New York, W. 26. New York, S. 26. New Yor					1			20.28
Louisiana, E. 16.31 67 Michigan, E. 20. 16.62 67 North Dakota 20. 17.55 79 Arizona 22. 17.56 81 Mississippi, S. 22. 17.57 82 Illinois, S. 23. 17.56 81 Mississippi, S. 22. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 17.57 84 Naryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. New York, S. 24. 17.57 86 South Dakota 22. 24. 25. 26. 26. 27. 26. 26. 27. 26. 26. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27			1					1
Virginia, W. 16.62 67 North Dakota 20.								20.59
New Mexico 16.69 69 Ohio, N. 20.					ì			20.60
Connecticut 16.70 70 New York, E. 20. 24 Tennessee, W. 16.82 71 North Carolina, E. 20. 25 Illinois, N. 16.87 72 California, C. 20. 25 California, C. 20. 26 Tennessee, E. 16.92 73 Nevada 20. 27 Georgia, N. 16.95 74 Georgia, S. 21. 28 Washington, E. 17.16 75 Canal Zone 21. 29 Missouri, E. 17.17 76 Iowa, S. 21. 30 Oklahoma, W. 17.28 77 Arkansas, E. 21. 31 Pennslyvania, M. 17.34 78 Texas, W. 21. 32 Minnesota 17.55 79 Arizona 22. 33 New York, N. 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 80 Illinois, E. 22. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 36 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansae, W. 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.								20.60
Tennessee, W. 16.82 71 North Carolina, E. 20. 25 Illinois, N. 16.87 72 California, C. 20. 26 Tennessee, E. 16.92 73 Nevada 20. 27 Georgia, N. 16.95 74 Georgia, S. 21. 28 Washington, E. 17.16 75 Canal Zone 21. 29 Missouri, E. 17.17 76 Iowa, S. 21. 30 Oklahoma, W. 17.28 77 Arkansas, E. 21. 31 Pennslyvania, M. 17.34 78 Texas, W. 21. 32 Minnesota 17.55 79 Arizona 22. 33 New York, N. 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 36 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 37 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansas W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68								20.61
Tilinois, N. 16.87 72 California, C. 20.		1			1		11.	20.62
26 Tennessee, E. 16.92 73 Nevada 20. 27 Georgia, N. 16.95 74 Georgia, S. 21. 28 Washington, E. 17.16 75 Canal Zone 21. 30 Oklahoma, W. 17.28 77 Arkansas, E. 21. 31 Pennslyvania, M. 17.34 78 Texas, W. 21. 32 Minnesota 17.55 79 Arizona 22. 33 New York, N. 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22. 34 Del. vare 17.56 81 Mississippi, S. 22. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 36 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 37 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansas, W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.								20.78
27 Georgia, N. 16.95 74 Georgia, S. 21. 28 Washington, E. 17.16 75 Canal Zone 21. 29 Missouri, E. 17.17 76 Iowa, S. 21. 30 Oklahoma, W. 17.28 77 Arkansas, E. 21. 31 Pennslyvania, M. 17.34 78 Texas, W. 21. 32 Minnesota 17.55 79 Arizona 22. 33 New York, N. 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 36 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 37 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansas, W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.					! :			20.83
28 Washington, E. 17.16 75 Canal Zone 21. 29 Missouri, E. 17.17 76 Iowa, S. 21. 30 Oklahoma, W. 17.28 77 Arkansas, E. 21. 31 Pennslyvania, M. 17.34 78 Texas, W. 21. 32 Minnesota 17.55 79 Arizona 22. 33 New York, N. 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22. 33 Delvare 17.56 81 Missispipi, S. 22. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 36 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 37 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansas W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.							1	20.99
29 Missouri, E. 17.17 76 Iowa, S. 21. 30 Oklahoma, W. 17.28 77 Arkansas, E. 21. 31 Pennslyvania, M. 17.34 78 Texas, W. 21. 32 Minnesota 17.55 79 Arizona 22. 33 New York, N. 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22. 33 Delvare 17.56 81 Mississippi, S. 22. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 36 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 37 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansas W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.				'				21.26
30 Oklahoma, W. 17.28 77 Arkansas, E. 21. 31 Pennslyvania, M. 17.34 78 Texas, W. 21. 32 Minnesota 17.55 79 Arizona 22. 33 New York, N. 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22. 33 Del. vare 17.56 81 Mississippi, S. 22. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 36 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 37 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 Califormia, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansar, W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>, -</td> <td></td> <td>21.79</td>						, -		21.79
31 Pennslyvania, M. 17.34 78 Texas, W. 21. 32 Minnesota 17.55 79 Arizona 22. 33 New York, N. 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 36 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 37 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansas W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.					ļ			21.84
32 Minnesota 17.55 79 Arizona 22. 33 New York, N. 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22. 33 Delvare 17.56 81 Mississippi, S. 22. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 36 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 37 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansas W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 225. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.					1			21.90
33 New York, N. 17.56 80 Illinois, S. 22. 33 Delvare 17.56 81 Mississippi, S. 22. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 36 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 37 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansas W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.				1				21.92
33 Delyare 17.56 81 Mississippi, S. 22. 35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22. 36 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 37 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansas W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.								22.00
35 Oklahoma, E. 17.57 82 Illinois, E. 22.			1				1	22.05
36 Massachusetts 17.62 83 Kentucky, W. 23. 37 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansas W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.								22.42
37 Maryland 17.71 84 New York, S. 23. 38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansas W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.						_		22.73
38 Wisconsin, E. 17.94 85 California, S. 23. 39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansas. W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.								23.37
39 New Jersey 17.97 86 South Dakota 24. 40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansar W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.								23.60
40 Louisiana, W. 18.10 87 West Virginia, S. 24. 41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansar. W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.								23.66
41 New York, W. 18.30 88 Arkansas. W. 25. 42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.					1		1	24.18
42 West Virginia, N. 18.32 89 Alaska 25. 43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.				İ				24.95
43 South Carolina 18.68 90 Indiana, N. 25.			1	100				25,26
25.								25.41
44 Florida, S. 1 18 78 91 Miccouri W 76								25.73
1			18.78		1	91	Missouri, W.	26.67
								27.05
								28.33
47 Ohio, S. 18.84 94 Virgin Islands 29.	47	Onio, S.	18.84			94	Virgin Islands	29.38

category of challenged jurors is partially attributable to the various local court practices and traditions in regard to the use of peremptory challenges by counsel and the need for the court to exercise challenges for cause.

The final ranking table is a distribution of the percentages of total available jurors who were either challenged or not selected, serving or challenged. The percents range from a low of 17.7% to a high of 71.7% with 50 districts recording better than the national average of 39.9%. The distribution of the districts in this table reveals that the majority of districts fall within a 20 percentage point range around the national average.

TABLE 9

RANK OF DISTRICTS BY PERCENTAGE OF JURORS SELECTED

OR SERVING ON JURY TRIALS — FISCAL YEAR 1975

	1	
		Percent-
Rank	District	age
1 2	Maine	82.2
	Michigan, W.	81.4
3	Rhode Island	78.4
4	Alabama, M.	78.2
5	Pennsylvania, M.	77.0
6	Texas, S.	75.0
7	North Carolina, W.	74.7
8	New Jersey	73.6
9	Alabama, S.	73.4
10	Georgia, S.	72.8
10	Wisconsin, W.	72.8
12	New Hampshire	72.2
13	Connecticut	71.8
14	Minnesota	71.4
15	Alabama, N.	71.0
15	Mississippi, N.	71.0
17	New York, W.	70.8
18	Florida, N.	68.6
19	Ohio, S.	67.8
20	Wyoming	67.1
21	Tennessee, W.	66.3
22	Texas, E.	66.1
23	Massachusetts	66.0
23	Florida, M.	66.0
25	California, N.	65.8
26	Georgia, M.	65.6
27	Texas, N.	65.2
28	Tennessee, E.	65.1
29	Utah	64.9
30	New York, N.	64.8
30	Colorado	64.8
32	Michigan, E.	64.4
33	North Carolina, M.	63.7
34	Illinois, N.	63.6
35	Kansas	63.5
36	North Carolina, E.	63.4
36	South Carolina	63.4
38	Texas, W.	63.3
39	District of Columbia	63.1
39	West Virginia, N.	63.1
41	Delaware	62.7
41	Maryland	62.7
43	Oklahoma, W.	62.3
44	California, C.	62.0
45	Iowa, N.	61.8
46	Louisiana, W.	61.1
47	New York, E.	60.9

		Percent
Rank	District	age
	3.001100	490
48	Indiana, S.	60.6
49	Idaho	60.5
50	Wisconsin, E.	60.4
51	Louisiana, E.	59.6
51	New Mexico	59.6
53	Georgia, N.	59.4
53	Missouri, E.	59.4
55	Newada	59.2
56	Hawaii	58.2
57	Mississippi, S.	58.0
58	North Dakota	57.7
59	Washington, E.	57.6
60	Illinois, E.	56.9
61	Florida, S.	56.8
62	Guam	56.7
63	Pennsylvania, E.	56.2
64	Washington, W.	55.9
65	Pennsylvania, W.	55.5
66	California, E.	55.4
67	Illinois, S.	55.2
68	Vermont	55.0
69	Canal Zone	54.8
70	Arkansas, E.	54.7
70	Oklahoma, E.	54.7
72	Kentucky, E.	54.5
72	Oregon	54.5
74	Ohio, N.	54.1
75	Arizona	53.9
76	Tennessee, M.	53.8
. 77	California, S.	53.6
78	Arkansas, W.	53.1
79	Oklahoma, N.	52.7
80	Nebraska	51.9
81	Puerto Rico	51.5
82	Virginia, W.	51.3
83	Montana	51.0
84	Francisco Control Cont	49.9
	Iowa, S.	49.8
85	Virgin Islands	
86	Alaska	49.5
87	Indiana, N.	49.2
88	New York, S.	48.7
89	Virginia, E.	48.4
90	Missouri, W.	45.5
91	Kentucky, W.	44.4
92	West Virginia, S.	44.3
93 94	South Dakota Louisiana, M.	42.2 28.2

TABLE 10
RANK OF DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO PERCENTAGE OF JURORS CHALLENGED
FISCAL YEAR 1975

1		Percent-				Percent
Rank	District	age		Rank	District	age
1	Rhode Island	5.4		48	Wisconsin, E.	17.4
2	Michigan, E.	7.6		49	Guam	17.6
3	Alabama, M.	8.4		50	North Carolina, M.	17.7
4	North Carolina, W.	9.0		50	Nebraska	17.7
5	Ohio, N.	9.2		52	Alabama, N.	17.9
6	New York, W.	9.7		53	Louisiana, W.	18.0
6	Kentucky, E.	9.7		54	Oklahoma, N.	18,2
8	California, C.	9.9		54	Oklahoma, E.	18.2
9	Michigan, W.	10.3		56	Washington, W.	18.4
10	New York, N.	11.0		57	Wisconsin, W.	18.5
11	Illinois, N.	11.2		58	Montana	18.6
1.2	North Carolina, E.	11.3		59	Indiana, N.	18.8
13	Tennessee, E.	11.6		59	Iowa, S.	18.8
14	California, E.	11.8		59	Oregon	18.8
15	Massachusetts	12.0		62	Texas, E.	18.9
16	New Jersey	12.1		62	Colorado	18.9
17	Texas, S.	12.3		64	South Carolina	19.0
18	Ohio, S.	12.8		65	Connecticut	19.2
19	Florida, M.	12.9		66	North Dakota	19.3
0	California, N.	13.1		1		19.3
0	Idaho	13.1		67	Iowa, N.	19.4
22	New Hampshire	13.1		68	Maryland	
22	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			69	Mississippi, N.	19.8
24	Wyoming District of Columbia	13.3		70	Tennessee, W.	20.2
1		13.5		71	Texas, W.	20.3
25	Illinois, S.	13.8		72	Georgia, S.	20.8
25	Utah	13.8		72	California, S.	20.8
27	Florida, N.	14.0		74	Indiana, S.	21.3
28	Pennsylvania, M.	14.1		75	South Dukota	21.5
29	Alaska	14.2		76	Missouri, E.	21.6
30 [Maine	14.4		77	Illinois, E.	21.7
31	Tennessee, M.	14.5		78	Georgia, N.	21.9
32	Florida, S.	14.6		79	New Mexico	22.1
33	Minnesota	14.8		80	Washington, E.	22.5
33	Nevada	14.8	the second second second second	81	West Virginia, N.	22.7
35	Oklahoma, W.	14.9		81	Louisiana, E.	22.7
36	Puerto Rico	15.0		83	Missouri, W.	22.8
37	Mississippi, S.	15.1		84	Louisiana, M.	22.9
38	New York, E.	15.2		85	Virgin Islands	23.6
88	Vermont	15.2		86	West Virginia, S.	23.9
.0	Kentucky, W.	15.4		87	Georgia, M.	24.0
1	Pennsylvania, W.	15.7 /		88	Arizona	24.9
2	Kansas	15.8	Лукомана	89	Arkansas, W.	25.5
13	Canal Zone	15.9/	Average	90	Arkansas, E.	26.6
14	Alabama, S.	16.3	for all	91	Hawaii	28.5
4	Texas, N.	16.3	Districts	92	Delaware	29.2
16	New York, S.	16.4	16.1	92		32.8
17	Pennsylvania, E.	17.0		93	Virginia, E.	32.8
	remistranta, n.	1 1.0		94	Virginia, W.	34.4

TABLE 11
RANK OF DISTRICTS BY PERCENTAGE OF JURORS NOT SELECTED, SERVING OR CHALLENGED FISCAL YEAR 1975

<u> </u>						
		Percent-			Percent-	
Rank	District	age	Rank	District	age	
1	Maine	3.3	48	Utah	21.3	
2	Georgia, S.	6.4	49	Arkansas, W.	21.4	
3	Delaware	8.1	50	Illinois, E.	21.5	
4	Michigan, W.	8.3	51	Massachusetts	22.1	
5	Wisconsin, W.	8.7	52	Wisconsin, E.	22.2	
6	Pennsylvania, M.	8.9	53	Oklahoma, W.	22.7	
7	Connecticut	9.0	54	North Dakota	23.0	
8		9.0	. 55	· ·	23.3	7
- :	Mississippi, N.			Tennessee, E.	1 /	Average
9	Alabama, S.	10.3	56	District of Columbia	23.4	for all
10	Georgia, M.	10.4	57	New York, E.	23.9	Districts
11	Alabama, N.	11.2	58	New York, N.	24.2	23.8
12	Texas, S.	12.7	59	Illinois, N.	25.2	
13	Hawaií	13.3	60	North Carolina, E.	25.3 V	
14	Alabama, M.	13.4	61	California, S.	25.6	
15	Tennessee, W.	13.5	62	Washington, W.	25.7	
16	Minnesota	13.8	63	Guam	25.8	
17	West Virginia, N.	14.2	64	Nevada	26.0	
18	New Jersey	14.3	65	Idaho	26.4	
18	Virginia, W.	14.3	66	Virgin Islands	26.6	
20	New Hampshire	14.4	66	Oregon	26.6	
21	Texas, E.	15.0	68	Pennsylvania, E.	26.8	
22	Rhode Island	16.3	68	Mississippi, S.	26.8	
22	North Carolina, W.	16.3	70	Oklahoma, E.	27.2	
22	Colorado	16.3	71	Michigan, E.	28.0	
25	Texas, W.	16.4	72	California, C.	28.2	
26	Florida, N.	17.4	73	Florida, S.	28.5	
27	South Carolina	17.6	74	Pennsylvania, W.	28.9	
28	Maryland	17.7	75	Oklahoma, N.	29.1	
28	Louisiana, E.	17.7	76	Canal Zone	29.2	
30	Indiana, S.	18.1	77	Vermont	29.8	
31	New Mexico	,	78	Nebraska	30.4	
32		18.3 18.5	78 78	Montana	30.4	
	Texas, N.			1	1 '	
33	North Carolina, M.	18.6	80	Illinois, S.	31.0	
34	Georgia, N.	18.7	81	Iowa, S.	31.3	
34	Arkansas, E.	18.7	82	Tennessee, M.	31.7	
36	Virginia, E.	18.8	82	Missouri, W.	31.7	
37	Iowa, N.	18.9	84	West Virginia, S.	31.8	
38	Missouri, E.	19.0	85	Indiana, N.	32.0	
39	New York, W.	19.5	86	California, E.	32.7	
39	Ohio, S.	19.5	87	Puerto Rico	33.5	
41	Wyoming	19.6	88	New York, S.	34.9	
42	Washington, E.	19.9	89	Kentucky, E.	35.8	
43	Kansas	20.7	90	South Dakota	36.3	
44	Louisiana, W.	20.9	90	Alaska	36.3	
45	Florida, M.	21.1	92	Ohio, N.	36.6	
46	Arizona	21.2	93	Kentucky, W.	40.2	
		21.2	94	Louisiana, M.	48.8	

TABLE 12 RANK OF DISTRICTS BY PERCENTAGE OF JURORS NOT SELECTED AND NOT SERVING ON A JURY TRIAL DURING FISCAL YEAR 1975

(Jurors Challenged and Jurors Not Selected, Serving or Challenged)

Rank	10-19.9%	Rank	20-29.9%	Rank	30-39.9%	Rank	40-49.9%	Rank	50-59.9%	Rank	60-71.9%
1. 2	Maine 17.7 Michigan, W. 18.6	3 4 5	Rhode Tsland 21.7 Alabama, M. 21.8 Pennsylvania, M. 23.0	18 19 20	Florida, N. 31.4 Ohio, S. 32.3 Wyoming 32.9	51 51 53	Couisiana, E. 40.4 Mew Mexico 40.4 Georgia, N. 40.6	84 85 86	Iowa, S. 50.1 Virgin Islands 50.2 Alaska 50.5	94	Louisiana, M. 71.7
		6	Texas, S. 25.0	21	Tennessee, W. 33.7	53	Missouri, E. 40.6	87	Indiana, N. 50.8		
		7	North Carolina, W. 25.3	22	Texas, E. 33.9	55	Nevada 40.8	88	New York, S. 51.3		
		8	New Jersey 26.4	23	Massachusetts 34.0	56	Hawaii 41.8	89	Virginia, E. 51.6		
		9	Alabama, S. 26.6	23	Florida, M. 34.0	57	Mississippi, S. 41.9	90	Missouri, W. 54.5		
		10	Georgia, S. 27.2	25	California, N. 34.3	58	North Dakota 42.3	91	Kentucky, W. 55.6		
		10	Wisconsin, W. 27.2	26	Georgia, M. 34.4	59	Washington, E. 42.4	92	West Virginia, S. 55.7		
		12	New Hampshire 27.7	27	Texas, N. 34.8	60	Illinois, E. 43.1	93	South Dakota 57.8		
		13	Connecticut 28.2	28	Tennessee, E. 34.9	61	Florida, S. 43.2	1			
		14	Minnesota 28.6	29	Utah 35.1	62	Guam 43.4	1			
		15	Alabama, N. 29.0	30	New York, N. 35.2	63	Pennsylvania, E. 43.8	i .			
		15	Mississippi, N. 29.0	30	Colorado 35.2	64	Washington, W. 44.1	1			
		17	New York, W. 29.2	12	Michigan, E. 35.6	65	Pennsylvania, W. 44.5	[
				33	North Carolina, M. 36.3	66	California, E. 44.6	1			
				34	Illinois, N. 36.4	67	Illinois, S. 44,8				
				35	Kansas 36.5	68	Vermont 45.0				
				36	North Carolina, E. 36.6	69	Canal Zone 45.1				
				36	South Carolina 36.6	70	Arkansas, E. 45.3	1			
				38	Texas, W. 36.7	70	Oklahoma, E. 45.3	1			
				39	District of Columbia 36.9	72	Kentucky, E. 05.5				
				39	West Virginia, N. 36.9	72	Oregon 45.5	1			and the second of the second o
				41	Delaware 37.3	74	Ohio, N. 45.8	ł			
				41	Maryland 37.3	75	Arizona 46-1	ŀ			
				43	Oklahoma, W. 37.6 California, C. 38.1	76	Tennessee, M. 46.2				
				44		77	California, S. 46.4	l .			
				45	Iowa, N. 38.3 Louisiana, W. 38.9	78	Arkansas, W. 46.9				
				46 47		79	Oklahoma, N. 47.3 Nebraska 48.1	1			the control of the co
				47	New York, E. 39.1 Indiana, S. 39.4	80	Nebraska 48.1 Puerto Rico 48.5	ł			
	er en er en er en er en er en er en er en er en er en er en er en er en er en er en er en er en er en er en e			49	Indiana, S. 39.4	81			Annual Control of the Control of the		
			AVERAGE FOR ALL DISTRICTS	50	Wisconsin, E. 39.6	82 83	Virginia, W. 48.7 Montana 49.0				
			39.9%	>20	MIRCOURIN, E. 39.0	63	Montana 49.0	r.			

26

医动脉溢 电电子电路 计自由信息 化二氯甲醇	
	and the first of the control of the control of the second
医眼样 医克勒氏试验 医电影 医电影 医电影 医电影 化二甲基苯酚	
على بالأنفاع المنظل المنطق المنطق والمنطق والمنطق والمنطق والمنطق المنطق المنطق المنطقة المنطقة المنطقة المنطقة	et i della compressione della compressione della compressione della compressione della compressione della comp

Comparison of Juror Utilization, 1971-1975

Five year district trends in the areas of the Juror Usage Index, percent selected or serving and percent <u>not</u> selected, serving or challenged are shown in the last three tables. The most improvement in JUI has been exhibited by New York Southern where the Index has declined from 57.54 in fiscal year 1971 to 23.60 in fiscal year 1975. Seventy-four of the 94

TABLE 13 JUROR USAGE INDEXES FOR FISCAL YEARS 1971—1975

Circuit				· ·]	Circuit and				,	
district	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	district	1971	1972	1973	1974	197
National Average	23.31	20.96	20.16	19.12	19.32	Sixth Circuit					
District of Columbia	25.34	24.44	22.22	22.05	19.51	Kentucky:	·				
First Circuit						Western	21.78 25.97	21.96 25.38	27.43 20.88	22.36 20.60	27.0
Maine	16.58	11.31	10.28	12.20	15.67	Michigan: Eastern	18.92	16.97	16.56	19.98	20.6
Massachusetts	16.66	16,23	18.06	15.87	17.62	Western	15.03	15.16	13.97	12.16	14.7
New Hampshire	18.65 18.12	17.03 15.80	13,87	13,12 11,31	13.22	Northern	24.93	20.04	18.89	17.02	20.6
Puerto Rico	26.97	28.28	19,44	18.30	19.33	Southern	18.46	18.50	19.22	18.74	18,
Second Circuit						Tennessee: Eastern	19.96	17.88	15.43	17.07	16.9
Decond WILDUIT	1 1	i				Middle	30.74	24.10	23.08	18.43	19.
Connecticut	19.65	17-55	16.06	14.70	16.70	Western	17.39	16.85	15.86	15.79	16.
Northern	28.01	23.12	20.80	18.26	17.56	Seventh Circuit					
Eastern	36.06	35.22	27.82	23.62	20.62		{ ,				
Southern	57-54	31.69	27.23	27.85	23.60	Illinois:	24.58	17.77	18.41	16.75	16.8
WesternVermont	18.56	18.88	20.16 19.32	21.62 15.46	18.30	Northern	19.62	19.39	22.22	25.03	22.
/GIMORE	19.27	13.80	19.52	13.40	13.54	Southern	26.48	23.92	25.08	22,88	22.
Third Circuit						Indiana:	i .			1.0	1
2-1	24.96	28.12	22.22	19.77	17.56	Northern	22.01 18.82	17.34 16.75	22.89 15.18	23,53 16,24	25. 15.
Delaware	19.70	16.28	17.07	16.18	17.97	Wisconsin:	10.02	10.73	13.10	10.24	
Pennsylvania:	19.70	10.20	17.07	10.10	11.51	Eastern	20.29	14.34	17,44	19.81	17.
Eastern	24.21	18-63	19.89	20.15	18.83	Western	23.40	19,43	26,72	12.25	15,
Middle	19.88	21.12	14.27	12.62	17.34	<u> </u>					
Western	21.51	18.04	20.74	17.25	20.59	Eighth Circuit	1		7.5		1
irgin Islands	27.12	32.07	41.43	30.08	29.38						
Fourth Circuit						Arkansas: Eastern	20.23	19.99	19.69	22,17	21.
						Western	25.64	25,19	22,33	25.27	25.
Maryland	41.12	18.95	18.70	18.01	17.71	Iowa:	Ì				7,0
North Carolina:	1 1					Northern	20.16	18.34	14.71	14.84	15. 21.
Eastern	27.07	20.06	21.31	19.68	20.78	Southern	18,26 18,43	20.33 16.24	15.88 16.15	14.93 18.52	17.
Middle	20.48 18.28	19.39 16.50	18.18 15.78	15.05 15.49	14.84	Missouri:	18.43	16.24	16.15	18,52	\ *'*
Western	20-86	19.41	18.42	17.65	18.68	Eastern	21.42	19.75	20.42	18.58	17.
Virginia:	20.00	13.41	10,42	17.03	1000	Western	25,87	25.25	25.51	24.76	26.
Eastern	26.81	25.44	21.95	23.32	19.36	Nebraska	22,48	19.65	17.93	16,74	19,
Western	22.60	18.40	17.31	18.83	16.62	North Dakota	18.56	20.57	18.87	16.88	20.
West Virginia:	, , ,					South Dakota	26.07	24.66	26.70	22.39	24.
Northern	26.10	26.95	25.83	19.83	18.32		 				
Southern	28.65	26.04	24.32	20.42	24.95	Ninth Circuit		·			
Fifth Circuit						Alaska	19.59	23.11	20.31	22.77	25.
	1				1	Arizona	24.42	23.85	21.52	23.81	22.
Alabama:	1 . 1				Į į	California:					
Northern	16.70	15.87	13.45	13.63	13.05	Northern	17.57	18.78 15.27	18.07 20.79	16.15 18.86	15.
Middle	22,19	18.46	18.54	12.78	15.84	Eastern	18.85	19.15	20.79	20.08	20.
Southern	25,48	22.01	18.88	15.15	15.33	Southern	25.50	26.98	27.24	24.54	23.
Northern	28.33	23.97	14.92	18.24	19.38	Hawaii	21.55	15.98	22.29	22.01	19.
Middle	25.46	23.35	21.84	17.74	20.00	Idaho	20.95	17.12	20.65	16.05	15.
Southern	29.68	25.20	20.82	19.02	18.78	Montana	19.22	17,88	18.52	17.45	19.
Georgia:			,		1	Nevada	50.13	23.62	21.87	20.28	20.
Northern	22.52	20.55	19.94	17.86	16.95	Oregon	22.33	16.31	14.56	16.05	16.
Middle	22.35	20.68	22.02	22.06	19.32	Washington:					1
Southern	22.88	23,66	21.30	19.60	21.26	Eastern	17.94	15.17	19.78	15.06	17.
Louisiana:] [1,000	Western	21,74 39,55	17.72 26.22	18.74 25.46	20.45	18.
Eastern	21.26	16.96	15.35	16.10	16.31	Guam	39.55	20,24	23.90	AU, U!	1 -0.
Middle	26.81	30.74 23.19	21.57 24.40	31.22 16.48	18.10	Tenth Circuit					1
Western	<0.81	42.15	27.40	10.40	1	AVERAGE TRANSPORTER					1
Northern	25.75	26.79	21.70	12.71	18.92	[_colorado	14.83	14.06	13.38	14.63	15,
Southern	31.58	27.05	30.63	21.06	22,42	Kansas	18.15	15.10	16.59	15.83	19.
Сежаль]					New Mexico	20.85	19.29	20.14	15.93	16.
Northern	19.24	17.54	18.34	19.26	19.29	Oklahoma:					
Eastern	16.63	15.43	15.65	13.42	15,90	Northern	25.19	20.31	21.05	27.92	20.
Southern	22.13	19.77	18.23	15.46	19.06	Eastern	26.64	26.66	19.61	21.99	17.
Western	15.34	17.78	17.89	14.20	21.92	Western	21.73 24.22	18.29 21.11	21.66	15.53 21,40	18.
Canal Zone	18.33	25.33	29.11	27.54	21.79	Utah	24.22 15.44	21.11 14.50	12.19	11.80	11.

districts have shown improvement in their JUI's when fiscal year 1975 is compared to fiscal year 1971. Fifty-eight districts have shown improvement in their percent of jurors selected or serving from 1971 to 1975 with Maryland recording the largest improvement, increasing its percent selected or serving from 28.1% in 1971 to 62.7% in 1975, an increase of 34.6 percentage points. In the category of not selected, serving or challenged Maryland again has shown

Table 14

Percentage of Jurors Selected for Or Serving on
JURY TRIALS FOR FISCAL YEARS 1971—1975

Circuit and district National Average. District of Columbia. Pirat Circuit Maine Masgachusetts. New Hampshire Rhode Ioland		1971 54.2% 56.7	1972 55.5% 52.1	1973 56.5%	1974 58.3%	1975	Circuit and district	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
Mational Average. District of Columbia. Pirst Circuit Maine Massachusetts. New Hampshire. Rhode Island.		54.2% 56.7 71.4	55.5%			1975		1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
Distric; of Columbia Pirat Circuit Maine Massachusett New Hampshire Rhode Ioland		56.7 71.4		56.5%	58.3%							
Pirst Circuit Maine		71.4	52.1		30.5%	60,1%	Sixth Circuit					
Maine Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island				57.5	54.8	63+1	Kentucky: Eastern	61.2%	61.3%	48.7%	51.3%	54.5%
Massachusetts New Hampshire Rhode Island							Western Nichigan:	37.5	41.0	54.3	45.7	64.4
Rhode Island		76.1 69.0	76.8 76.9 55.6	73.5 67.8 69.2	82.7 62.6 72.2	82.2 66.0 72.2	Eastern	71.3	74.3	60.1 84.8	63.1 88.8	81.4
Puerto Rico		70.4 48.5	58.4 43.3	62.2	80.8 54.7	78.4 51.5	Northern	52.8 71.3	54.4 68.1	55.5 64.7	61.2 66.5	54.1 67.8
Second Circuit							Tennessee: Eastern	63.1 43.2	63.7 54.7	61.4 46.8	63.3. 53.4	65.1 53.8
Connecticuit		62.5	70-B	71.4	73.6	71.8	Middle Western	73.8	72.5	71.8	71.5	66.3
Northern		45.2 36.9	54.7 39.1	60.0 48.8	61.2 57.5	64.8 60.9	Seventh Circuit		- 1			
Southern		21.3	41.8	47.4	43.4	48.7	Illinois:		58.3		64.5	63.6
Western		71.5	72.4	68.0	54.7	70.8 55.0	Northern	55.3	53.3	54.9 51.2	48.3	56.9
Vermont		63.3	61.1	62.3	54.7	33.0	Southern	45.7	44.2	40.2	54.5	55.2
Third Circuit			1				Indiana:	į	į			49.2
Delaware		50.7	45.1	51.6	56.3	62.7	Northern	52.0 66.9	54.4 66.6	49.3 61.2	45.6 63.1	60.6
New Jersey		69.6	69.9	74.6	74.3	73.6	Wisconsin:	- 1				
Penneylvania:	ľ	52-B	56.7	52.7	51.5	56.2	Eastern	61.6 49.6	56.9 63.7	58.2 47.1	56.5 67.7	60.4 72.8
Eastern		66.4	61.5	76.3	78.2	77.0	Heatern	73.0	03	7/11		
Westorn		57.3	44.3	45.3	56.2	55.5	Eighth Circuit	}				1.
Virgin Islands		40.2	40.3	29.7	49.4	49.8		1	1			l .
Fourth Circuit							Arkansas: Eastern Western	58.3 52.3	59.8 52.2	62.3 60.3	55.3 53.7	54.7 53.1
Maryland		28.1	67.7	64.2	67.6	62.7	Iowa:		i			61.8
North Carolina:	- 1	45.2	61.2	55.3	62.5	63.4	Northernsouthern	60.5 82.1	66.1 74.6	79.3 70.2	65.4 74.1	49.9
Enstern		58.4	59.2	60.4	67.1	63.7	Minnesota	59.0	51.7	64.0	56.9	71.4
Western		63.3	67.9	70.1	74.7	74.7	Missouri:		1			
South Carolina		63.1	66.7	60.5	56.0	63.4	Eastern	58.7	60.5	56.1	50.6	59.4
Virginia:	ł					١ ١	Western	46.0	47.9	45.3	47.2	45.5 51.9
Eastern		45.1	47.9	43.4	43.7	48.4 51.3	Nebraska	54.0 69.7	56.8 61.7	50.2 66.0	53.8 74.5	57.7
Western		52.1	55-8	53.8	48.6	51.3	South Dakota	47.3	50.8	46.8	46.3	42.2
Northern		47.8	48.3	47.2	59.3	l 63.1 l	Mouth Bakota					
Southern		45.0	48.3	50.8	55.6	44.3	Ninth Circuit		. 1			
Fifth Circuit							Alaska	69.4 50.6	58.6 46.9	66.6 47.5	51.1 50.5	49.5 53.9
Alabamat	, i	68.3	72.9	64.B	60.8	71.0	California: Northern	66.9	64.5	64.6	67.6	65.8
Northern		58.5	55,4	59.9	81.9	78.2	Eastern	66.7	66.B	57.0	58.4	55.4
Southern		51.9	4 1	54.8	72.8	73.4	Central	68.9	66.3	63.2	61.0	62.0
Florida:						1	Southern	49.0	46.8	43.0	50.1	53.6
Northern		42.9	50.9	68.1	68.3	68.6	Hawaii	59.9	57.6	48.2	54.4	58.2
Middle		51.9	52.4	54.0	69.1	66.0	Idaho	61.3 65.5	71.7 61.1	61.1	70.9 50.0	60.5
Southern		42.5	44.6	54.1	59.4	56.B	Montana	25.8	54.2	58.5	58.4	59.2
Northern		55.6	63.5	61.4	64.5	59.4	Oregon	48.6	52.1	56.7	56.3	54.5
Middlo		55.1	58.3	57.4	57.2	65.6	Washington:		1			1
Southern		53,3	51.8	56.7	57.2	72.8	Eastern	67.6	63.9	58,5	60.7	57.6
Louislana:		اينيا	المقيا				Western	59.0 30.7	69.0	55.4	53.7 51.2	55.9 56.7
Eastern		57.6	53.3 28.8	56.5 35.8	56.4 30.6	59.6 28.2	Guam	30.7	45.6	46.6	21.4	30.7
Mestern		40.9	48.7	38.4	53.5	61.1	Tenth Circuit					
Mississippi:		52.9	51.2	54.7	71.5	71-n	Colorado	66.6	65.9	71.0	68.2	64.8
Northern		37.7	45.2	40.5	59.2	58.0	Kansas	68.9	67.4	62.8	67.5	63.5
Toxas:		****	7]	New Mexico	63.1	59.6	53.4	64.7	59.6
Northern		63.4	60.3	65.5	63.6	65.2	Oklahoma:		- 1			1.
Eastern		71.7	76.7	75.8	72.1	66.1	Northern	47.5	60.2	57.8	33.7	52.7
Southern		55.8	62.8	65.0	67.1	75.0	Eastern	46.1	48.5	48.2 57.3	47.0 67.9	54.7 62.3
Western		65.5 65.5	52.5 38.2	55.8 41.2	69.1 43.6	63.3 54.8	Western	56.1 53.0	65.1 61.0	51.9	61.3	64.9
VARIAL SUBSTREET FREETREET		03.3	36.2	71.6	. 4,3 . 0.	34.0	Wyoming	72.4	65.4	77.2	73.9	67.1
			· ·									<u></u>

the greatest amount of improvement reducing its 65.8% not selected, serving or challenged in fiscal year 1971 to 17.7% in 1975. Further, 70 districts have shown improvement in this area from 1971 to 1975 resulting in the overall national average decreasing from 32.8% in 1971 to 23.8% in 1975, the lowest national figure yet recorded for this category.

TABLE 15
PERCENTAGE OF JURIORS NOT SELECTED, SERVING OR CHALLENGED FOR FISCAL YEARS 1971-1975

Circuit and					1	Circuit					
district	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	and district	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
National Average	32.8%	30.0%	28.4%	26.5%	23.8%	Sixth Circuit					
District of Columbia	31.2	30.7	27.2	31,4	23.4	Kentucky:				1 1	
First Circuit						Eastern	28.8% 49.5	28.7% 42.4	41.4% 28.9	39.4% 36.2	35.8% 40.2
Maine Massachusetts	9.9 19.3	8.2 18.1	8.3 22.6	3.9 27.9	3.3 22.1	Eastern	20.2 9.2	26.5 13.3	33.4 8.3	28.8	28.0 8.3
New Hampshire	20.5	24.7 34.2	16.0 31.4	12.7 10.5	14.4	Ohio:	}	}			
Puerto Rico	43.6	47.2	28.0	29.1	16.3 33.5	Northern Southern Tennessee:	41.6 19.5	39.2 21.6	36.6 22.B	31.0 20.8	35.6 19.5
Second Circuit]	Eastern	27.0 49.9	24.0 36,4	27.5 45.1	24.7 33.6	23.3 31.7
Connecticut	23.1	17.3	13.7	12.0	9.0	Western	15.5	13,2	12.2	11.8	13.5
Northern	48.0 54.1	36.4 50.6	30.5 38.4	25.8 29.4	24.2	Seventh Circuit				1 1	
Southern	68.3	48.6	40.8	44.0	34.9	Illinois:	Į į				
Western	19.2	19.2	22.9	26.9	19.5	Northern	36.4	32.8	34.4	25.4	25.2
Vermont	30.6	34.3	30.3	31.9	29.8	Eastern	30.2	31.3	25.9	32.8	21.5
Third Circuit						Southern	48.9	46.8	49.6	31.7	31.0
Delaware	31.8	37.2	29.6	6.1	8.1	Northern	30.0	25.0	34.0	34.7	32.0
New Jersey Pennsylvania:	20.3	18.1	15.2	14.2	14.3	Southern	20,7	17.8	21.5	22.5	18.1
Eastern	33.9	28.2	32,2	33.4	26.8	Fastern	20-1	20.6	21.4	23.6	22,2
Middle	18.8	22.1	9.6	8.2	8.9	Western	31.1	16.9	28.4	8.7	8.7
Western	26.5	34.2	36.2	27.9	28.9	Ti al ti al ancie			-		
Virgin Islands	38.8	34.8	37.7	21.4	26.6	Eighth Circuit					
Fourth Circuit	1		. 1			Arkansas: Eastern	18.7	16.6	16.2	19.2	18.7
Maryland	65.8	18.3	17.3	15.8	17.7	Western	28.2	17.8	20.4	18.7	21.4
Eastern	46.5	29.0	34.7	27.4	25.3	Northern	38.3	18.8	10.0	17.3	18.9
Middle	21.4	23.8	22.8	13.0	18.6	Southern	16.2	19.1	12.9	9.5	31.3
Western	29+3	25.4	21.6	15.5	16.3	Minnesota	27.3	27.9	18.4	27.0	13.8
South Carolina	21.1	18.4	22.0	25.8	17-6	Missouri:				l !	
Virginia:	24.1	24.5	22 -	55.4	300	Eastern	16.4	15.5	18.2	24.7	19.0
Eastern	19.6	15.4	22.6 16.8	23.4 13.4	18.8	Western	33.9	30.2 27.5	33.0	27.1 28.8	31.7
West Virginia:	1 25.0	13.4	10.0	13,4	14.3	Nebraska North Dakota	31.3	15.5	31.2	9.0	30.4 23.0
Northern	48.0	34.9	28.9	21.0	14.2	South Dakota	35.5	28.6	33.8	28,4	36.3
Southern	44.5	34.8	25.2	23.6	31.8	Ninth Circuit	-			-57.1	
Fifth Circuit	1	1		,							
Alabama:			j			AlaskaArizona	21.0	24.4	19.2	43.7 26.3	36.3 21.2
Northern	18.2	12.9	15.5	17.4	11.2	California:	2011		} ->	1	
Middle	30.7	31.4	22.9	8.4	13.4	Northern	20.0	22.0	23.8	20.5	21.2
Southern	21.9	40.4	24.2	10.4	10.3	Eastern	21.8	23.2	32.2	29.2	32.7
Plorida.						Central	23.2	24.3	27.7	30.0	28.2
Northern	45.3	33-0	16.7	20.6	17.4	Southern	34.3	33.0	35.0	28.3	25.6
Middle	33.5 48.2	32.7	32.7	19.2	21.1	Hawaii	3.6	19.1	37.6	35.2	13.3
Seorgia:	48.2	43.0	32.2	23.8	29,5	Idaho	23.1	17.1 21.4	26.3 16.8	17.7 30.9	26.4
Northern	24.6	15.8	17.3	15.9	18.7	Montana	53.1	25.9	23.1	19.1	30.4 26.0
Middle	19.3	18.6	20.9	16.5	10.4	Oregon	35.0	34.1	28.0	24.3	26.6
Southern	23.6	19.3	18.1	22.6	6.4	Washington:			اقر د	}	
Eastern	24.5	23.2	18.3	19.4	1 ,, !	Eastern	15.5	12.0	15.5 29.0	17.1 26.0	19,9
Middle	44.3	43.5	47.7	19.4 44.7	17.7 48.8	Western	29.3	17.7 39.9	29.0 44.3	33.2	25.7 25.8
Western	48.3	35.0	48.9	30.6	20.9	Guam	34.1	32.4	44.3	23,4	49.8
lississippi:		í	20.6		í i	Tenth Circuit					
Northern	31.6 54.3	31.5		11.8	9.2	7010×760	10 6	14 =	9.7	1 14 0	75 ~
Texas:	246.2	43.4	47.1	28.0	26.8	Colorado	18.6	14.6 15.5	22.2	14.9 16.5	16.3 20.7
Northern	23.7	26.8	18.7	19.1	18.5	New Mexico	19.5	19.5	25.7	18.2	18.3
Eastern	14.4	8.0	10.2	13.1	15.0	Oklahoma:	1	, ~~ 1		[]	
Southern	31.7	25.9	21.7	18.7	12.7	Northern	39.7	26.1	25.7	52.3	29.1
Western	18.9	28.0	24.9	13.7	16.4	Eastern	42.2	42.0	39.8	39.8	27.2
anal Zone	25.5	41.4	37.0	35.2	29.2	Western	26.3	16.8	26.7	20.9	22,7
					1	Utah	27.9	20.9	25.0	27.6	21.3
		.]					15.2	21.6	10.2	15.6	19.6

JUROR UTILIZATION CHECK SHEET

In last year's report a check sheet was included similar to the one provided here. This year's check sheet has been expanded to include four additional factors affecting the Juror Usage Index. This check sheet is the end result of much study of the various juror utilization problems encountered by district courts and is made possible by the steady communication of various district courts with the Administrative Office as to their problems and juror occurrences and how they sought to solve or handle them. The check sheet lists fourteen basic positive factors which tend to result in a low Juror Usage Index. The fourteen counterparts to these positive factors are also given and it is felt that these adverse factors contibute to a higher JUI. Because each of the 94 district courts has variations in its local rules and practices, this listing is not meant to represent all possible factors affecting a district's juror utilization performance. Rather, it should be used by a court as the starting point to isolate and study the individual aspects of its juror utilization program.

To help the reader in interpreting the check sheet, it has been found that generally more checks can be entered on the left side of the sheet by those districts with a good juror usage statistical profile while a number of checks on the right hand column often corresponds to those districts with less efficient utilization profiles. Once a court has determined the outstanding practices or conditions that exist in its jury program, the court can proceed to isolate those areas which may require changes or modifications to improve the utilization of petit jurors within the court.

CHECK SHEET ON JUROR UTILIZATION FACTORS THAT MAY HAVE AN EFFECT ON THE JUROR USAGE INDEX

 POSITIVE FACTORS		ADVERSE FACTORS	
Good cooperation and communication between judges and court personnel.	1	Poor cooperation and communication between judges and court personnel.	
A small number of places of holding court with jury trial activity.	2	A large number of places of holding court with jury trial activity.	
Use of a jury pool system, where the number of judges and trials permits.	3	Each judge using his own, separate jury panel or pool.	
The staggering of trial starts where the number of judges and trials permits.	4	All judges beginning jury selection at the same time and on the same day.	
Use of multiple voir dires in the jury selection process.	5	A voir dire being called for each trial with a failure to return unused jurors to the jury pool for further use on another trial.	
Reductio, in voir dire panel size.	6	Use of voir dire panels larger than recommended.	
Use of civil juries of less than twelve members.	7	Use of civil juries of twelve or more members.	
Reduction in the number of challenges allowed.	8	Excessive use of peremptory challenges.	
Established deadlines for settlements or pleas.	9	Allowing settlements or pleas to be entered up to and during trials.	
Extensive and good use of pretrial hearings in civil cases or omnibus hearings for criminal defendants.	10	Little or poor use of pretrial hearings or omnibus hearings.	
A predominantly civil trial calendar - 70% or more of all jury trials.	11	A predominantly criminal trial calendar - 70% or more of all jury trials.	口
Back up trials set so that a jury panel for the first case may still be used if this first case does not go forward for some reason.	12	No back up trials set so that a jury panel for a case is sent home unused if this case does not go forward.	Î
Stipulation by counsel to waive alternate jurors or verdicts by 12 or 6.	13	Use of alternates in all cases with no attempt to obtain waiver of their use.	口
No highly publicized trials and few multiple defendant criminal cases.	14	One or more highly publicized trials or multiple defendant criminal cases requiring extra-large panels for jury selection.	

NOTE: Factors are randomly listed with no order as to significance.

Payments totaling approximately \$17,824,600 were made to petit and grand jurors in fiscal year 1975. Of this total amount \$3,971,400, or 22.3%, was paid to grand jurors while \$13,853,200, or 77.7%, was paid to petit jurors. The accompanying chart, "How Juror Dollars were spent in F.Y. 1975", presents a breakdown of all juror expenditures for the past fiscal year.

Grand Juror Costs

Fiscal year 1975 marks the first time that grand jury cost information has been included in this report. The grand juror payments of \$3,971,400 in fiscal year 1975 is the largest amount recorded for the years 1972 to 1975 and is 8.8% greater than the \$3,649,900 paid in fiscal year 1974. These payments are divided into three categories with 76.9% on the total amount expended for attendance fees (\$20 per juror per day excluding federal employees who do

HOW JUROR DOLLARS WERE SPENT

IN FISCAL YEAR 1975

Total Juror Expenditures: \$17,824,600 Grand Jury Expenditures: 3,971,400 Petit Jury Expenditures: 13,853,200




TABLE 16

Grand Juror Payments F.Y. 1972 - 1975 United States District Courts

	Fiscal	Fiscal	Fiscal	Fiscal	1975 ove	r 1974
Grand Juror Payments	Year 1972	Year 1973	Year 1974	Year 1975	Increase	Percent Increase
Total Payments	\$3,123,600	\$3,445,200	\$3,649,900	\$3,971,400	\$321,500	8.8
Attendance	2,405,200	2,645,000	2,830,600	3,054,100	223,500	7.9
Mileage	559,900	624,800	636,400	702,900	66,500	10.4
Subsistence	158,500	175,400	182,900	214,400	31,500	17.2

not receive this payment). Expenditures for mileage and tolls equaled 17.7% of the total amount while subsistence payments accounted for 5.4% of the total. The table, "Grand Juror Payments - F.Y. 1972 - 1975", highlights the national totals of the grand juror expenditure breakdown for those fiscal years while the accompanying table, "Grand Juror Expenditure Break-

TABLE 17 Grand Juror Expenditure Breakdown United States District Courts Fiscal Year 1975

District	Est. Total Expenditure	Attendance	Subsistence	Mileage	District	Est. Total Expenditure	Attendance	Subsistance	Ni1c
Total	\$3,971,400	76.9%	5.4%	17.7%	Sixth Circuit				
District of Columbia	146,300	94.0	0.0	6.0	Kontucky: Eastern	\$ 17,800	81.6%	0.84	17.
First Circuit					Western	27,700	83.6	1.3	15,
	7,600	63.3	14.4	22.2	Eastern	99,400	81.6	0.1	18.
laine	121,600	80.2	0.0	19.8	Western	4,800	59.4	9.5	31.
lassachusetts	3,000	65.7	2.1	32.2	Ohio:	1		1	
lew Hampshire	17,100	87.5	0.0	12.5	Northern	47,300	74.2	1,1	24
hode Island	18,000	67.3	20.1	12.6	Southern	27,400	76.6	4.6	18
uerto Rico	19,000	01.3	20.1		Tennesse:	1		1	
Second Circuit					Eastern	4,200 8,300	78.9 73.2	0.0	21 26
Connecticut	31,200	85.0	0.0	15.0	Western	27,800	85.2	0.0	14
lew York:	1		1	i I		1			
Northern	26,700	83.5	0.0	16.5	Seventh Circuit	1		1	ŀ
Eastern	207,100	88.1	0.0	11.9		1 1		1	1
Southern	434,200	86.8	0.0	13.2	Illinois:	I I			
Weatern	77,800	87.B	0.0	12.2	Morthorn	162,800	84.3	0.0	15
Jermont	21,000	88.0	0.0	12.0	Eastern	30,100	52.5	22.6	24
				II	Southern	44,500	77.0	1.4	2)
Third Circuit	•		1	1	Indiana:	{ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	1	
IIIII CIICUIC				1	Northern	32,100	55.6	6.B	37
Delaware	31,100	83.6	0.0	16.2	Southern	43,900	65.2	4.5	30
New Jersey	132,400	83,3	0.0	16.7	Wisconsin:			1	.
Pennsylvania:	1	,.		1	Eastern	35,300	67.4	11.3	2
	85,600	78.0	0.5	21.5	Western	14,500	47,5	22.1	31
Eastern	10,700	72.8	0.3	26.9		ļ			
Mashaum	58,100	73.8	11.1	15.1	Eighth Circuit	1		1.	١.
Western	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
itdiu taranga	1		<u> </u>		Arkansas:	1		1	<u>l</u>
Fourth Circuit				i 1	Eastern,	14,200	57.4	27.6	1
rourdi Cricait	1	1		i II	Western	9,000	47.0	28.0	2!
Maryland	67,900	76.B	0.6	22.6	Iowa:	1 1		1	
North Carolina:	1	,,,,,	1		Northern	13,600	79.6	1.4	1
Eastern	25,800	60.7	12.1	27.2	Southern	9,400	80.9	1.2	1
Middle	4,500	67.9	1.4	30.7	Minnesota	25,300	74.7	7.3	1. 10
Western	4,800	74.6	0.0	25.4	Missouri:			ı	
South Carolina	8,900	56.2	20.2	23.6	Eastern	35,400	77.7	3.1	1 25
Virginia:)	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,)	}	Wostern	45,400	72.7	10.6	∮ ‡€
	42,500	81.5	0.7	17.8	Nebraska	18,400	58.9	26.1	, 1:
Western	13,400	76.5	2.9	20.6	North Dakota	11,000	44.1	28.3	27
	12,700	, ,,,,			South Dakota	35,500	49.3	33.0	1.7
West Virginia:	10,200	73.6	11.0	15.4					
Northern	23,400	80.2	3.4	16.4	Ninth Circuit			1	
Southern	43,400	00.2				1		1	1
Fifth Circuit					Aluoka	18,700 45,300	47.5 79.0	23.5 4.9	29 16
	1	\	ì.	{	California:			1	
Alabama:	10 000		17.4	19.4	Northorn	58,500	76.6	2.4	. 5
Northern	18,200	63.1		21.0	Eastern	28,100	72.7	5.2	. 2:
Middlealbbin	8,200	51.0	27.9	16.2	Central	243,500	77.1	2.3	2
Southern	8,400	74.2	9.5	10.4	Southern	71,000	86.7	0.0	1
Plorida:			100	23.0	Hawaii	14,800	88.9	0.0	1
Northern	9,700	61.8	15.2	20.6	Idaho	29,100	47.7	25.3	2
Middle	86,500	74.0	5.4		Montana	16,400	51.7	25.4	. 5
Southern	90,500	84.0	3.3	12.7	Novada	40,900	75.4	9.6	1
Seorgia:	170		1	17.6	Oregon	45,800	60.6	15.3	. 2
Northern	74.700	66.9	15.4		Washington;	1			
Middle	40,100	47.0	31.8	21.2	Eastern	8,800	44.3	27.4	2
Southern	16,000	48.3	30.7	21.0	Western	29,000	69.6	9.9	2
Louisiana:	1	22.5		17.3	Guamananananananan	3,600	90.4	0.0	
Eastern	56,600	82.6	0.1					· —————	
Middle	26,500	86,4	0.0	13.6	Tenth Circuit	1		1 1 1	
Western	43,300	61.3	19.7	18.9	TONCH CALCHER	1 1	A 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1	Ð
issiscippi:	1		1		Colgrado	27,700	71.1	13.6	1
Northern	11,700	51.9	18.9	29.2	Kansas	15,900	73.0	8.8	, i
Southern	10,700	47.7	31.0	21.4	New Mexico	80,400	58.4	21.3	2
Texas:] 20,430	,,,,	1	-
Northern	63,200	71.0	6.6	22.4	Oklahomar	3,100	87.B	0.0	1
Eastern	14,300	59.9	10,1	30.0	Northern	16,200	54.9	21.8	2
Southern	25,100	82.5	0.0	17.5	Eastern	8,800	64.0	18.0	i
Western	57.600	73.3	1.8	20.0	Western	21,400	75.0	8.9	l î
Canal Zone	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Utah	21,400	56.2	27.1	li
	1.4	1	1 .	. I	Wybming	2,400	30.2		

down", provides a district by district presentation of grand juror expenditures for fiscal year 1975. The cost per grand juror day in fiscal year 1975 was approximately \$25 while the average cost per grand jury session was \$506.

Petit Juror Costs

The total petit juror payments for fiscal year 1975 of \$13,853,200 were 1.1% greater than the 1974 payments of \$13,704,000. These payments are broken down into four categories with 77.4% of the total amount expended for attendance fees (\$20 per juror per day excluding federal employees). Subsistence payments equaled 2.4% of the total; mileage and tolls accounted for 16.6% of the total amount; and 3.7% was expended for other miscellaneous expenses including meals and lodging for sequestered jurors, transportation of jurors during the hours of actual service on a trial, and expenses for the comfort and convenience of jurors. The table "Petit Juror Payments - F.Y. 1972 - 1975", shows the national totals of the petit juror expenditure breakdown for these fiscal years. The second table, "Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown", provides a district by district overview of petit juror expenditures for fiscal year 1975.

TABLE 18

Petit Juror Payments
F.Y. 1972 - 1975
United States District Courts

	Fiscal	Fiscal	Fiscal	Fiscal	1975 ove	r 1974
Petit Juror Payments	Year 1972	Year 1973	Year 1974	Year 1975	Increase	Percent Increase
Total Payments	\$13,424,800	\$14,168,600	\$13,704,000	\$13,853,200	\$149,200	1.1
Attendance	10,606,500	11,125,900	10,658,000	10,716,000	58,000	0.5
Mileage	2,183,900	2,366,800	2,243,300	2,294,900	51,600	2.3
Subsistence	342,700	391,800	311,900	330,000	18,100	5.8
Other	291,700	284,100	490,800	512,300	21,500	4.4

The third and fourth tables in this section present the rank of each district according to daily estimated cost per petit juror day and petit jury trial day. The national figure for cost per juror day in fiscal year 1975 was approximately \$25, the same figure as that recorded in fiscal years 1973 and 1974. The national cost per jury trial day increased slightly from \$485 in fiscal year 1974 to \$490 in fiscal year 1975.

The accompanying chart, "Calculating Estimated Petit Juror 'Savings'", is provided to enable each district to calculate its estimated "savings" in juror fees in the past fiscal year. Calculation of "savings" is possible only if a district has improved its utilization of jurors in the past year which is shown statistically by a decrease in a district's Juror Usage

TABLE 19
Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown
United States District Courts
Fiscal Year 1975

### District Expanditure Attendance Subsistence Mileage		Est. Total				
### Print Circuit ### 28,200	District	Expenditure	Attendance	Subsistence	Mileage	Other
First Circuit aine	Total	\$13,853,200	77.4%	2.4%	16.6%	3.7%
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	rict of Columbia	324,000	64.5	0.0	3.5	32.0
Massachusetts. 236,900 78.0 0.3 18.6 Mew Hampshire. 41,100 68.0 2.1 27.0 Mode Island. 47,600 82.1 0.7 9.8 Merto Rico. 104,500 67.5 18.2 12.9 Second Circuit Commecticut. 81,600 84.2 0.0 15.1 Northern. 41,100 82.6 0.2 16.4 Eastern. 462,100 83.1 0.0 11.8 Southern. 1,128,800 82.9 0.0 12.3 Mestern. 107,500 85.4 0.0 12.3 Mermont. 62,600 83.2 0.0 15.6 Third Circuit Delaware. 35,600 85.2 0.0 14.0 New Jersey. 335,500 79.1 0.1 13.2 Pennsylvania: Eastern. 755,700 75.1 0.9 18.7 Medle. 153,700 75.1 0.9 18.7 Middle. 153,700 76.1 2.6 20.3 <td>First Circuit</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	First Circuit					
Massachusetts 225,900 78.0 0.3 18.6	18	28,200	69.0	2.7	25.7	2.5
See Hampshire						3.1
hode Island						2.9
Second Circuit Seco			1			7.4
connecticut. 81,600 84.2 0.0 15.1 cew York: Morthern. 41,100 82.6 0.2 15.4 Bastorn. 462,100 83.1 0.0 11.8 Southern. 1,128,800 83.1 0.0 12.8 Westorn. 107,500 85.4 0.0 12.3 ermont. 62,600 83.2 0.0 15.6 Third Circuit Westorn. 35,600 85.2 0.0 14.0 Eastern. 35,500 79.1 0.1 13.2 emmsylvania: 755,700 75.1 0.9 18.7 Middle. 133,700 75.1 1.8 22.6 Fourth Circuit Eastern. 399,300 77.3 7.7 13.9 irginia: 186,800 76.1 2.6 20.3 Eastern. 69,800 83.3 0.0 16.7 Middle.			67.5	18.2	12.9	1.5
Northern	Second Circuit				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Northern	necticut	81,600	84.2	0.0	15.1	0.6
Bastern	York:					
Southern 1,128,800 82.9 0.0 12.8	orthern	41,100	82.6	0.2		0.9
Western. 107,500 85.4 0.0 12.3 15.6	astern	462,100	83.1		11.8	5.2
### Germont 62,600 83.2 0.0 15.6 Third Circuit 14.0 14	outhern					4.3
Third Circuit claware	t in the second		85.4			2.4
elaware		62,600	83.2	0.0	15.6	1.2
## Design	Third Circuit					
Sew Jersey. 335,500 79.1 0.1 13.2	aware	35,600	85.2	0.0	14.0	0.8
### Eastern						7.7
Eastern. 755,700 75.1 0.9 18.7 Middle. 153,700 75.1 1.8 22.6 Western. 399,300 77.3 7.7 13.9 irgin Islands. 85,700 82.6 0.0 3.0 Fourth Circuit aryland. 186,800 76.1 2.6 20.3 orth Carolina: 266,600 77.5 0.3 20.5 Eastern. 66,600 84.0 0.4 15.6 Middle. 36,000 84.0 0.4 15.6 outh Carolina: 255,900 58.6 10.9 24.7 irginia: 150,600 81.1 0.2 18.3 Western. 29,100 69.9 1.2 19.2 Southern. 32,300 67.7 5.0 19.2 Southern. 59,300 80.8 1.4 16.7 Fifth Circuit 167,500 60.9 18.9 18.8 Middle. 79,400 58.0 18.5 23.4 Northern. 54,700 66.7 12.7 20.2 lorida: 10.0 72.6 0.6 13.0 17.8 Southern. 280,500 85.0		333,300				'''
Middle. 153,700 75.1 1.8 22.6 Western. 399,300 77.3 7.7 13.9 irgin Islands. 385,700 82.6 0.0 3.0 Fourth Circuit larginal. Eastern. 66,600 77.5 0.3 20.5 Middle. 36,000 84.0 0.4 15.6 Western. 69,800 83.3 0.0 16.7 outh Carolina. 255,900 58.6 10.9 24.7 irginia. Eastern. 150,600 81.1 0.2 18.3 Western. 29,100 69.9 1.2 19.2 Iest Virginia: 10,100 69.9 1.2 19.2 Southern. 59,300 80.8 1.4 16.7 Fifth Circuit 167,500 60.9 18.9 18.8 Morthern. 167,500 60.9 18.9 18.8 Middle. 79,400 58.0 18.5 23.4		755.700	75.1	0.9	18.7	5.2
Western. 399,300 77.3 7.7 13.9 irgin Islands. 85,700 82.6 0.0 3.0 Fourth Circuit laryland. 186,800 76.1 2.6 20.3 lorth Carolina: 285ern. 66,600 77.5 0.3 20.5 Middle. 36,000 84.0 0.4 15.6 0.0 16.7 outh Carolina: 255,900 58.6 10.9 24.7 15.6 0.0 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 16.7 10.0 18.3 0.0 16.7 16.7 19.2 18.3 19.2 18.3 19.2 18.3 19.2						0.6
Fourth Circuit	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					1.0
aryland. 186,800 76.1 2.6 20.3 orth Carolina: 28stern. 66,600 77.5 0.3 20.5 Middle. 36,000 84.0 0.4 15.6 Western. 69,800 83.3 0.0 16.7 outh Carolina. 255,900 58.6 10.9 24.7 irginia: 255,900 58.6 10.9 24.7 irginia: 150,600 81.1 0.2 18.3 Western. 29,100 69.9 1.2 19.2 fest Virginia: 29,100 69.9 1.2 19.2 Northern. 32,300 67.7 5.0 19.2 Southern. 59,300 80.8 1.4 16.7 Fifth Circuit Labama: Northern. 167,500 60.9 18.9 18.8 Middle. 79,400 58.0 18.5 23.4 Southern. 54,700 66.7 12.7 20.2 Plorida: 10.0 72.6 0.6 13.0 Northern. 31,200 72.6 0.6 13.0 Middle. 252,000 76.0 1.1 17.8 Southe						14.4
Orth Carolina: 66,600 77.5 0.3 20.5 Middle. 36,000 84.0 0.4 15.6 Western. 69,800 83.3 0.0 16.7 outh Carolina. 255,900 58.6 10.9 24.7 inginia: 150,600 81.1 0.2 18.3 Western. 29,100 69.9 1.2 19.2 Sest Virginia: 18.7 19.2 19.2 Southern. 32,300 67.7 5.0 19.2 Southern. 59,300 80.8 1.4 16.7 Fifth Circuit Labama: 167,500 60.9 18.9 18.8 Middle. 79,400 58.0 18.5 23.4 Southern. 54,700 66.7 12.7 20.2 Horidale. 79,400 72.6 0.6 13.0 Middle. 252,000 76.0 1.1 17.8 Southern. 280,500 85.0 2.4	Fourth Circuit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			:	
Eastern. 66,600 77.5 0.3 20.5 Middle. 36,000 84.0 0.4 15.6 Western. 69,800 83.3 0.0 16.7 South Carolina. 255,900 58.6 10.9 24.7 Signifia: Eastern. 150,600 81.1 0.2 18.3 Western. 29,100 69.9 1.2 19.2 Southern. 32,300 67.7 5.0 19.2 Southern. 32,300 67.7 5.0 19.2 Southern. 59,300 80.8 1.4 16.7 Fifth Circuit Southern. 167,500 60.9 18.9 18.8 Middle. 79,400 58.0 18.5 23.4 Southern. 54,700 66.7 12.7 20.2 Florida: Northern. 31,200 72.6 0.6 13.0 Middle. 252,000 76.0 1.1 17.8 Southern. 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 Southern. 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle. 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern. 262,500 86.5 0.0 10.9 Western. 122,700 69.7 0.5 15.7 Mississippi: Northern. 17,800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern. 107,700 71.0 2.5 22.0 Sexas: Northern. 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6 Southern. 204,100 82.8 0.6 Southern. 204,100 82.8 O.6 Southern. 204,	yland	186,800	76.1	2.6	20.3	1.0
Middle. 36,000 84.0 0.4 15.6 Western. 69,800 83.3 0.0 16.7 South Carolina. 255,900 58.6 10.9 24.7 Virginia: 150,600 81.1 0.2 18.3 Western. 29,100 69.9 1.2 19.2 Northern. 32,300 67.7 5.0 19.2 Southern. 59,300 80.8 1.4 16.7 Fifth Circuit Alabama: 167,500 60.9 18.9 18.8 Middle. 79,400 58.0 18.5 23.4 Southern. 54,700 66.7 12.7 20.2 Plorida: Northern. 31,200 72.6 0.6 13.0 Middle. 252,000 76.0 1.1 17.8 Southern 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 Seergia: 10 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle. 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern. 229,500 78.5	th Carolina:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		[
Western. 69,800 83.3 0.0 16.7 Outh Carolina. 255,900 58.6 10.9 24.7 Lirginia: 150,600 81.1 0.2 18.3 Western. 29,100 69.9 1.2 19.2 Jest Virginia: 32,300 67.7 5.0 19.2 Northern. 59,300 80.8 1.4 16.7 Fifth Circuit 167,500 60.9 18.9 18.8 Middle. 79,400 58.0 18.5 23.4 Southern. 54,700 66.7 12.7 20.2 Viorida: 10.0 72.6 0.6 13.0 Northern. 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 Southern. 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 Georgia: Northern. 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle. 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern. 229,500 78.5 1.0 18.3 Middle. 12,500 86.5 0.0 10.9 </td <td>astern</td> <td>66,600</td> <td>77.5</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>20.5</td> <td>1.7</td>	astern	66,600	77.5	0.3	20.5	1.7
Outh Carolina. 255,900 58.6 10.9 24.7 irginia: 150,600 81.1 0.2 18.3 Western. 29,100 69.9 1.2 19.2 Jest Virginia: 32,300 67.7 5.0 19.2 Southern. 59,300 80.8 1.4 16.7 Fifth Circuit 167,500 60.9 18.9 18.8 Middle. 79,400 58.0 18.5 23.4 Southern. 54,700 66.7 12.7 20.2 Clorida: 31,200 72.6 0.6 13.0 Middle. 252,000 76.0 1.1 17.8 Southern. 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 Hectical 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern. 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle. 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern. 200,00 80.5 0.0 10.9 <	iddle	36,000	84.0	0.4	15.6	0.0
Internation	estern	69,800	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0
Eastern. 150,600 81.1 0.2 18.3 19.2 19.	th Carolina	255,900	58.6	10.9	24.7	5.8
Western	ginia:					
Western	astern	150,600	81.1	0.2	18.3	0.4
Northern. 32,300 67.7 5.0 19.2 16.7		29,100	69.9	1.2	19.2	9.7
Northern. 32,300 67.7 5.0 19.2 16.7 Southern. 59,300 80.8 1.4 16.7 Fifth Circuit Labama: Northern. 167,500 60.9 18.9 18.8 Middle. 79,400 58.0 18.5 23.4 Southern. 54,700 66.7 12.7 20.2 Torida: Northern. 31,200 72.6 0.6 13.0 Middle. 252,000 76.0 1.1 17.8 Southern. 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 Seorgia: Northern. 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle. 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern. 76,400 82.6 0.6 15.0 Southern. 229,500 78.5 1.0 18.3 Middle. 12,500 86.5 0.0 10.9 Western. 122,700 69.7 0.5 15.7 Mississippi: Northern. 77,800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern. 77,800 71.0 2.5 22.0 Northern. 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6				. 1		
Southern		32,300	67.7	5.0	19.2	8.2
Labama: 167,500 60.9 18.9 18.8 Middle. 79,400 58.0 18.5 23.4 Southern. 54,700 66.7 12.7 20.2 10rida: Northern. 31,200 72.6 0.6 13.0 Middle. 252,000 76.0 1.1 17.8 Southern. 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 12.		59,300	80.8	1.4	16.7	1.2
Northern. 167,500 60.9 18.9 18.8 Middle. 79,400 58.0 18.5 23.4 Southern. 54,700 66.7 12.7 20.2 Clorida: Northern. 31,200 72.6 0.6 13.0 Middle. 252,000 76.0 1.1 17.8 Southern. 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 Clorida: Northern. 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle. 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern. 76,400 82.6 0.6 15.0 Couisiana: Eastern. 229,500 78.5 1.0 18.3 Middle. 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern. 12,500 86.5 0.0 10.9 Western 122,700 69.7 0.5 15.7 Classissippi: Northern. 77,800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern. 107,700 71.0 2.5 22.0 Cleas: Northern. 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6	Fifth Circuit					
Northern 167,500 60.9 18.9 18.8 Middle 79,400 58.0 18.5 23.4 Southern 54,700 66.7 12.7 20.2 Porthern 31,200 72.6 0.6 13.0 Middle 252,000 76.0 1.1 17.8 Southern 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 Heaveria 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern 76,400 82.6 0.6 15.0 Louisiana: 229,500 78.5 1.0 18.3 Middle 12,500 86.5 0.0 10.9 Western 122,700 69.7 0.5 15.7 Mississippi: 77,800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern 107,700 71.0 2.5 22.0 Pexas: Northern 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6						}
Middle 79,400 58.0 18.5 23.4 Southern 54,700 66.7 12.7 20.2 Porida: 31,200 72.6 0.6 13.0 Middle 252,000 76.0 1.1 17.8 Southern 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 Beorgia: 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern 76,400 82.6 0.6 15.0 Bouisiana: 229,500 78.5 1.0 18.3 Middle 12,500 86.5 0.0 10.9 Western 122,700 69.7 0.5 15.7 Hississippi: 77,800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern 107,700 71.0 2.5 22.0 Pexas: Northern 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6		160 500	60.0	10.0	100	1.4
Southern. 54,700 66.7 12.7 20.2 Clorida: 31,200 72.6 0.6 13.0 Middle. 252,000 76.0 1.1 17.8 Southern. 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 Georgia: 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle. 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern. 76,400 82.6 0.6 15.0 Gouisiana: 229,500 78.5 1.0 18.3 Middle. 12,500 86.5 0.0 10.9 Western. 122,700 69.7 0.5 15.7 Hississippi: 77.800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern. 77.800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern. 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6						0.1
Northern						0.5
Northern. 31,200 72.6 0.6 13.0 Middle. 252,000 76.0 1.1 17.8 Southern. 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 eeorgia: 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 Northern. 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle. 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern. 76,400 82.6 0.6 15.0 Outsiana: 229,500 78.5 1.0 18.3 Middle. 12,500 86.5 0.0 10.9 Western. 122,700 69.7 0.5 15.7 dississippi: 77.800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern. 107,700 71.0 2.5 22.0 lexas: Northern. 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6		24,100	00.1	24.5	24.4	"
Middle. 252,000 76.0 1.1 17.8 Southern. 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 eccrgia: 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Northern. 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle. 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern. 76,400 82.6 0.6 15.0 ouisiana: 229,500 78.5 1.0 18.3 Middle. 12,500 86.5 0.0 10.9 Western 122,700 69.7 0.5 15.7 Hississippi: 77,800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern. 107,700 71.0 2.5 22.0 Pexas: Northern. 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6		21 200	72.6	0.6	13-0	13.8
Southern 280,500 85.0 2.4 12.0 Beorgia: Northern 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern 76,400 82.6 0.6 15.0 Jouisiana: 229,500 78.5 1.0 18.3 Middle 12,500 86.5 0.0 10.9 Western 122,700 69.7 0.5 15.7 Hississippi: 77.800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern 107,700 71.0 2.5 22.0 Pexas: Northern 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6						5.0
eorgia: 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle						0.7
Northern. 262,500 80.6 1.3 16.9 Middle. 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern. 76,400 82.6 0.6 15.0 Jouisiana: 229,500 78.5 1.0 18.3 Middle. 12,500 86.5 0.0 10.9 Western. 122,700 69.7 0.5 15.7 Hississippi: 77.800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern. 107,700 71.0 2.5 22.0 Yexas: Northern. 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6		200,300	55.0	4•3		•,
Middle. 95,400 74.2 0.1 17.7 Southern. 76,400 82.6 0.6 15.0 Jouisiana: 229,500 78.5 1.0 18.3 Middle. 12,500 86.5 0.0 10.9 Western. 122,700 69.7 0.5 15.7 dississippi: 77.800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern. 107,700 71.0 2.5 22.0 lexas: 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6		262 500	80.6	1.3	16.9	1.1
Southern. 76,400 82.6 0.6 15.0 ouisiana: 229,500 78.5 1.0 18.3 Middle. 12,500 86.5 0.0 10.9 Western. 122,700 69.7 0.5 15.7 dississippi: 77,800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern. 107,700 71.0 2.5 22.0 levas: Northern. 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6	iddle			l l		8.0
Eastern						1.9
Eastern	isiana:				,	
Middle	astern	229,500	78.5	1.0		2.1
Western 122,700 69.7 0.5 15.7 dississippi: 77.800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Southern 107,700 71.0 2.5 22.0 lexas: 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6					10.9	2.6
Hississippi: 77.800 64.1 5.1 29.7 Northern					15.7	14.1
Northern	sissippi:			1		100
Southern 107,700 71.0 2.5 22.0 Pexas: 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6	orthern	77.800	64.1	5.1	29.7	1.2
Northern 204,100 82.8 0.6 16.6	outhern				22.0	4.4
MOT CHOSEN		204.160	82.8	0.6	16.6	0.0
Eastern					25.0	0.0
Southern						0.6
Western				·		1.6
Western						0.0

¹Includes meals and lodging for sequestered jurors, transportation of juries for views and miscellaneous expenses for the comfort and convenience of jurors.

TABLE 19

Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown
United States District Courts
Fiscal Year 1975

District	Est. Total Expenditure	Attendance	Subsistence	Mileage	Other
Sixth Circuit					
Centucky:					
Eastern	\$199,900	73.6%	4.1%	22.3%	0.09
Western	68,600	80.2	0.1	19.8	0.0
Michigan:					
Eastern	504,100	82.1	0.0	17.4	0.4
Western	57,300	62.4	7.8	26.6	3.2
hio:	1				
Northern	259,900	75.9	0.6	23.0	0.6
Southern	139,700	80.0	2.4	16.9	0.8
Tennessee:			.]		
Eastern	93,600	79.7	0.0	20.3	0.0
Middle	73,300	81.8	0.0	18.2	0.0
Western	122,100	84.3	0.0	15.7	0.0
		·			<u> </u>
Seventh Circuit					
Illinois:					
Northern	372,000	82.7	0.0	14.3	2.9
Eastern	79,800	68.4	2.2	21.2	8.2
Southern	42,800	79.4	0.3	16.2	4.1
ndiana:					
Northern	167,800	71.2	1.2	10.7	16.9
Southern	96,400	73.2	0.3	23.9	2.7
isconsin:	337.33				Į -•′
Eastern	81,900	82.1	0.0	12.8	5.1
Western	23,600	76.1	1.5	19.8	2.7
Eighth Circuit					
rkansas:					,
Eastern	73,400	78.7	0.9	19.5	1.0
Western	68,400	77.8	0.8	20.0	1.4
owa:	1 1				
Northern	33,800	78.6	3.0	16.5	2.0
Southern	47,800	73.9	1.7	22.2	2.2
innesota	212,700	83.3	3.4	11.5	1.7
iecouri.		23.3			
Eastern	141,400	80.3	1.1	17.7	0.9
Western	139,300	82.8	0.6	16.6	0.0
ebraska	144,100	67.1	10.5	18.4	3.9
orth Dakota	94,000	60.4	17.4	22.1	0.0
outh Dakota	74,800	59.8	11.4	28.0	0.8
	,,,,,,,				
Ninth Circuit					
laska	39,600	70.2	8.3	14.0	7.5
rizona	175,700	84.4	1.6	12.6	1.4
alifornia:		•			
Northern	257,100	79.4	0.8	18.1	1.6
Eastern	137,600	70.8	4.8	23.2	1.2
Central	501,300	75.6	0.6	18.2	5.5
Southern	291,600	84.6	0.1	14.2	1.1
awaii	44,600	82.2	2.1	12.7	2.9
daho	40,200	67.7	11.7	20.2	0.4
ontana	52,900	71.8	9.9	17.5	0.8
evada	110,100	79.6	5.7	13.5	1.7
regon	76,900	78.2	2.1	16.9	2.
ashington:			1		
Eastern	32,700	81.7	2.9	13.3	2.1
Western	123,200	81.3	υ . 9	15.0	2.9
uam	25,400	79.5	0.0	7.4	13.1
Tenth Circuit					
olorado	127,000	80.2	4.0	14.8	1.0
ansas	195,200	78.8	1.7	18.1	1.4
ew Mexico	112,600	65.0	14.6	19.6	0.8
klahoma: Northern	35,800	80.8	3.6	15.3	0.4
Eastern	40,700	64.6	3.9	37.5	0.0
Western	81,400	79.8	0.2	17.2	2.9
Jtah	78,200	70.9	9.9	16.7	2.6
yoming	15,000	83.5	3.2	12.1	1.1
	, 13.000 l	00.5	. 3.4 1	لل منصل	1.4

 $^{^1}$ Includes meals and lodging for sequestered jurors, transportation of juries for views and miscellaneous expenses for the comfort and convenience of jurors.

RANK OF DISTRICTS BY DAILY ESTIMATED CCOP PER PETIT JUROR DAY UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS FISCAL YEAR 1975

	<u> </u>																		
Rank	\$16 - 20		Rank	\$21 - 22		Rank	\$23 - 24		Rank	\$25 - 26		Rank	\$27 - 28	Rai	ank \$29 - 30		Rank	\$31 - 34	
1	Canal Zone	\$16	5	Virginia, E.	\$21	11	New York, E.	\$23	31	Rhode Island	\$25	58	Pennsylvania, M. \$27	7 7	72 Maine	\$29	82	Puerto Rico	\$31
2	Virgin Islands	18	6	Texas, W.	22	11	Delaware	23	31	Connecticut	25	58	Pennsylvania, W. 27	7 7:	72 Virginia, W.	29	82	Alabama, S.	31
3	District of Columbia	19	6	Illinois, N.	22	11	Maryland	23	31	New York, N.	25	58	North Carolina, E. 27	7 7:	72 Illinois, E.	29	62	Mississippi, N.	31
4	Hawaii	20	. 6	Illinois, 5.	22	11	North Carolina, W.	23	31	North Carolina, M.	25	58	Florida, M. 27	7 7:	72 Idaho	29	82	Alaska	31
			6	Oklahoma, W.	22	11	Texas, N.	23	31	West Virginia, S.	25	58	Mississippi, S. 27	7 7:	72 Montana	29	86	Alabama, N.	32
			6	Wyoming	22	11	Kentucky, W.	23	31	Georgia, N.	25	58	Iowa, S. 27	7 7:	72 Nevada	29	86	Michigan, W.	32
				1.00		11	Tennessee, W.	23	31	Georgia, M.	25	58	Guam 27	7 7:	72 Oklahoma, E.	29	88	South Carolina	33
				. '		11	Missouri, W.	23	31	Louisiana, E.	25	58	Utah 27	7 7	79 Massachusetts	30	88	West Virginia, N.	33
						11	Arizona	23	31	Louisiana, M.	25	66	New Hampshire 28	3 79		30	88	Alabama, M.	33
				r ·		20	New York, S.	24	31	Texas, S.	25	66	Louisiana, W. 28		79 Nebraska	30	88	North Dakota	33
						20	New York, W.	24	31	Tennessee, E.	25	66	Kentucky, E. 28				88	South Dakota	33
						20	Vermont	24	31	Tennessee, M.	25	- 66	Indiana, N. 28				88	California, E.	33
						20	New Jersey	24	31	Arkansas, E.	25	66	Iowa, N. 28	3 [the state of the s		94	New Mexico	34
						20	Florida, S.	24	31.	Missouri, E.	25	66	California, S. 28	3 [
						20	Georgia, S.	24	31	Washington, W.	25								
						20	Wisconsin, E.	24	31	Oklahoma, N.	25								
						20	Oregon	24	47	Pennsylvania, E.	26								
						20	Washington, E.	24	47	Florida, N.	26		AVERAGE FOR ALL DISTRIC	crs:	\$25				
	the second second second					20	Colorado	24	47	Texas, E.	26				1-5				
						20	Kansas	24	47	Michigan, E.	26					1			
						•			47	Ohio, N.	26								
									47	Ohio, S.	26								
									47	Wisconsin, W.	26								
	and the second second								47	Arkansas, W.	26								
									47	Minnesota	26								
									47	California, N.	26								
									47	California, C.	26								

TABLE 21

RANK OF DISTRICTS BY DAILY ESTIMATED COST PER PETIT JURY TRIAL DAY

Fiscal Year 1975

					
Rank	District	Cost per trial day	Rank	District	Cost per trial day
		40.50			4405
1	Wyoming	\$259	47	Tennessee, M.	\$485
. 2	Rhode Island	315	49	Pennsylvania, E.	486
3	Canal Zone	342	50	Washington, W.	487
4	North Carolina, W.	356	51	Illinois, S.	492
5	Colorado	367	52	Ohio, S.	497
6	North Carolina, M.	371	53	Oklahoma, N.	504
7	New Hampshire	374	54	Georgia, S.	506
8	District of Columbia	375	54	Arizona	506
9	Illinois, N.	377	56	Utah	508
10	Oklahoma, W.	379	57	Florida, N.	511
11	Vermont	384	58	Oklahoma, E.	515
12	Tennessee, W.	. 386	59	Louisiana, W.	516
13	Oregon	392	60	Guam	518
14	Hawaii	398	61	Massachusetts	525
15	Virginia, E.	403	62	Virgin Islands	529
16	Maryland	406	62	Alabama, M.	529
17	California, N.	408	64	Michigan, E.	535
18	Delaware	409	65	California, C.	537
18	Washington, E.	409	66	Arkansas, E.	540
20	Texas, E.	411	67	Kentucky, W.	544
21	Alabama, N.	412	67	Ohio, N.	544
22	Wisconsin, W.	414	69	Pennsylvania, W.	547
23	Connecticut	416	70	Florida, M.	549
23	Louisiana, E.	416	71	North Carolina, E.	555
25	Georgia, N.	417	72	New York, S.	557
26	Missouri, E.	422	73	Montana	563
27	Tennessee, E.	427	74	New Mexico	569
28	New York, W.	430	75	Iowa, S.	583
29	New Jersey	433	76	Mississippi, N.	594
30	Wisconsin, E.	436	77	Mississippi, S.	598
31	New York, N.	437	77	Nevada	598
32	Iowa, N.	439	79	Nebraska	603
33	Texas, N.	446	80	Puerto Rico	608
34	Florida, S.	448	81	West Virginia, N.	609
35	Idaho	452	82	Missouri, W.	611
36	Minnesota	455	83	South Carolina	617
37	Maine	462	84	West Virginia, S.	618
38	New York, E.	466	85	Arkansas, W.	651
38	Pennsylvania, M.	466	86	Illinois, E.	665
40	Texas, S.	470	87	California, S.	670
41	Michigan, W.	474	88	North Dakota	676
42	Indiana, S.	477	89	California, E.	681
42	Kansas	477	90	Louisiana, M.	694
44	Georgia, M.	482	91	Indiana, N.	1
44	Texas, W.	482	92	Kentucky, E.	733
46	Alabama, S.	484	93	Alaska	752 776
47	Virginia, W.	485	94	South Dakota	787
	************* ****	703	23	Doddi Danoca	/8/
	.	t .	3	1	1

AVERAGE FOR ALL DISTRICTS: \$490

CALCULATING ESTIMATED PETIT JUROR "SAVINGS"

1,	1975 JUROR USAGE INDEX:	= A	
2.	1974 JUROR USAGE INDEX:	= B	
3.	1975 - NUMBER OF JURY TRIAL DAYS:	= C	_
4.	1974 JUI X (1975 - NUMBER OF):	_ D	-
	x		
	$(B \times C = D)$		
	D = { TOTAL AVAILABLE IN 1975 AT THE 1974 RA (This is the number of persons you would have ha you had continued to call in at your 1974 rate in	TE OR JUI d to call in 1 1975)	if
5.	1975 – ACTUAL TOTAL AVAILABLE:	= E	_
6.	(TOTAL AVAILABLE) (1975 IN 1975 AT THE ACTUAL TOTAL): AVAILABLE	F	
	(D – E = F)	:	_
	= JUROR DAYS "SAVED" IN 1975 = JUROR CALL A	VOIDANC	Ε
7.	("SAVED" IN 1975) X (ATTENDANCE) = (ATTENDANCE) FEE CO	DANCE DSTS DED	-
_	X =		_
	(F X \$20 = ESTIMATED "SAVINGS")	· · · ·	

Index. Thus, to calculate "savings" during the past fiscal year, a district's JUI must be lower in fiscal year 1975 than it was in fiscal year 1974 (on the chart, figure A must be lower than figure B). This calculation reveals that if a district had continued to call jurors at the same rate (or JUI) in 1975 as they did in 1974, the all amount expended for petit jurors would have been more than the actual total juror expenditures. The difference between this greater amount and what was actually expended by a district at the 1975 rate (JUI) represents juror costs avoided due to a district's increased efforts to improve the efficiency of juror operations thus making possible the improved utilization of jurors.

If the JUI is higher in fiscal year 1975 than it was in 1974, the chart can be used to show excesses in juror costs for 1975 by entering the same data and computing a juror cost "overage" which would show up as a negative number for figure F on the chart. Such excesses may require an analysis of the jury operation and management system of the district or particular courts within that district. The "Check Sheet on Juror Utilization Factors" appearing in these pages is a good place to start the analysis.


EXPLANATION OF ENTRIES THAT APPEAR ON DISTRICT GRAND JUROR PROFILES

- 1 Total number of grand juries that were in existence for one or more months during the past fiscal year.
- 2 Total number of grand juries in existence at the start of the fiscal year those carried over from fiscal year 1974.
- 3 Total number of grand juries impaneled or brought into existence at some time between July 1, 1974 and June 30, 19...
- Total number of grand juries which either were discharged by the court or which had served the 18-month statutory period and ceased to exist at some time between July 1, 1974 and June 30, 1975.
- 5 Total number of grand juries in existence at the end of the fiscal year those to be carried over to fiscal year 1976.
- 6 Number of grand jury sessions convened. A session is counted for each day on which the grand jury convenes for at least one hour.
- Number of grand jurors in convened sessions. Grand jurors are included in this category only when they participate in a convened session. Travel days, prospective jurors reporting only for impanelment, or jurors reporting when no session is convened are not included in this figure.
- 8 Number of hours in session. This category includes all time from the <u>start</u> of a convened session to the close of that session on a given day. The time required for the impanelment of any grand jury is also included in this figure.
- Arrived at by dividing the number of jurors in session (Box #7) by the member of sessions convened (Box #6). This gives the average number of jurors that participated in each convened session. This number will fall somewhere between 16 and 23 as Rule 6(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure requires a grand jury to consist of 16 to 23 members.
- Arrived at by dividing the number of hours in session (Box #8) by the number of sessions convened (Box #6). This gives the average number of hours for each convened session.
- 11 Total estimated expenditure for all grand jurors' expenses. Included are attendance, subsistence and mileage and tolls costs.
- 12 Estimated cost for each grand jury session convened.
- 13 Estimated cost per grand juror per day.
- 14 Each grand jury is listed separately and designated by a grand jury number assigned at the time of its impanelment. The office number of the division of the district in which the grand jury sits is also given to distinguish between grand juries assigned the same number by different offices.
- 15 The total number of months during which the grand jury listed directly above this entry existed out of the possible 12 months. (e.g. A grand jury impaneled in January 1975 would have been in existence for 6 months of the year period).
- The total number of months during the grand jury's existence in fiscal year 1975 in which no grand jury session was held. (e.g. A grand jury in existence for 6 of the 12 months recorded 2 months during which no session was convened. Therefore, during these 6 months of existence, at least one session was convened in 4 of these 6 months.) Districts with more than 10 grand juries in fiscal year 1975 have an additional listing of grand juries and information pertaining to them in Appendix A.

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FY 1975

- American Control of the Control of	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on: July 1, 1974	Number Impale had in the 12 Mensk Period	" Number Charles in the 12 Month Period	Photography and June 2007
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	1	2	3	4	5
USAGE TOTALS	6	7	8	9	10
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	"Jurors per "Session	Hours per Session
	mint or a property of the commentation of the comments of the	NUMBER OF	Annual Control of Section (Control of Section	* AVERAGE	NUMBER OF

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JUR	OR COSTS
iotal	Average Gost per Session	Average Cost per « Juror Day
11	12	13



^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

EXPLANATION OF ENTRIES THAT APPEAR ON DISTRICT PETIT JUROR PROFILES

- 1 Authorized judgeships (does not include senior judges).
- Places of holding court where jurors have been in court and available to serve for jury trial activity.
- 3 Total number of jurors in court and paid, whether "selected or serving," "challenged," or "not selected, serving or challenged."
- 4 Total number of jarors who were selected for or serving on one or more trial juries.
- 5 Total number or jurors who were challenged either for cause or peremptorily and did not serve on a trial jury.
- 6 Total number of jurors in court who neither were selected for or serving on a trial jury nor were challenged.
- 7 Percentage of jurors who were selected for or serving on trial juries.
- 8 Percentage of jurors who were challenged.
- 9 Percentage of jurors who were not selected, serving or challenged.
- Arrived at by dividing the total number of jurors available per fiscal year, by the total number of jury trial days per fiscal year. Hence, it is the average number of jurors available (in court whether selected or serving, challenged, or not selected, serving or challenged) per jury trial per day. If a court's index is 20, an average of 20 jurors are in court and paid per jury trial day.
- 11 Total estimated expenditure for all jurors' expenses. Included are attendance. subsistence. mileage. and miscellaneous costs.
- Total estimated expenditure for those jurors who were selected for or serving on trial juries (based on percent in box # 7).
- Total estimated expenditure for those jurors who were challenged (based on percent in box # 8)
- 14 Total estimated expenditure for those jurors who were not selected, serving or challenged (based on percent in box # 9).
- 15 Estimated cost per trial per day.
- 16 Estimated cost per juror per day.
- 17 Total number of civil and criminal jury trials. This information is derived from the JS-10, the Monthly Report of Trials and Pretrial Conferences.
- 18 Total number of civil jury trials.
- 19 Total number of criminal jury trials.
- 20 Percentage of civil jury trials (based on box # 18).
- 21 Percentage of criminal jury trials (based on box # 19).
- Total number of civil and criminal jury trial days. Three jury trial days could either be one trial running three days or three trials occurring on one day, or a combination.
- 23 Total number of civil jury trial days.
- 24 Total number of criminal jury trial days.
- 25 Percentage of civil jury trial days (based on box # 23).
- 26 Percentage of criminal jury trial days (based on box # 24).
- Rankings box numbers 7, 8, 9, 10, 15 and 16 are ranked. The rankings show where a district stands in relation to all other districts in the country. If there is a tie at a particular standing, then each of the tied courts receives the same standing designation. All are ranked in ascending order (lowest value is ranked first) except for box # 7 (served), which is ranked in descending order (highest value ranked first).
- 28 A comparison of selected juror utilization data for fiscal years 1971 thru 1975.


PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

1 Judgeships

8	Total Available	Selected op Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Jurot
Number	3	4	5	6	: Usage Index
of Juror Days	100%	7 %	8 %	9 %	10
· Rediguel I	Sants)	27 Ld	<u>27</u>	27 ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °	. 1_27_i₀°。 . 27
•				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Estimated &	11	12	13	14	<u> ئىسىسىسىسى</u> دارى ئىسىسىسىسىسىسىسىسىسىسىسىسىسىسىسىسىسىسى

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	Çivil	Criminal 4	Tetal	Civil	Criminal
17	18	19	22	23	24
100%	20 %	21 %	100%	25 %	26 %

	, Jury Fr	rato	ļ J	Juror Utilization		Cost psi Jeny Thial Day
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury Trigit	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971		Search State	1			0
1972						
1973			28 -			
1974						
1975		N / / / o				o New York





Districts of the

First Circuis

	Total Number in Existence in, the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	- Number - Discharged in the 12 Month - Period	Number on June 30, 1975	
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	2		2		
USAGE TOTALS	1.1	222	68	20.2	6.18	
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in of Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session	
		NUMBER OF	L	AVERAGE NUMBER OF "		

n · · · · ESTIMA	TED GRAND JUR	OR COSTS
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
7,600	691	34

	ģ	20	GRAND	JURY NUN	MBER (Offic	e Number≉)	<i>~</i>
73 - 1 (1)	74 - 1 (2)							
		Total N	Number of I	Months in E	xistence in I	the 12 Mont	h Period	
6	10							
			Total 1	Number of I	Months of N	o Activity		
ц.	7							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Numes and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in the past fiscal year. Maine effectively employs the multiple voir dire technique which is evidenced by the fact that only 3.3% of the prospective jurors in fiscal year 1975 were not selected, serving or challenged, the best record in this category in the nation.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

<u>l</u> Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or o Sarving	Challenged -	Noi Selected, Serving or ' Challenged	(Juror
(vuniber -	956	786	138	32	Ougez Orgez
Juror Days	100%	82.2 %	14.4 %	3.3 %	15.67
Fictory		1	.30	0 1	37 72 1
Estimated Cost	28,200	23,200	4,100	900	462 \$ 29
					Teld Day Juror Day Cost periDay

(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, cjwy Tobje 🔻	**	0	Jury Total Days,	4.45694.664
Tetal	Civil	Criminal (Total	Civil	Griminal
30	14	16	61	26	35
100%	46.7 %	53 . 3 %	100%	42.6 %	57.4 %

	Jury	Trials		Juror Utilization		Cost per	
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury Trials "	% Criminal Lury Frials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jury , Triat Day	
1971	22	·50.0	9.9	71.4	16.58	And the latest the second seco	
1972	1.5	33.3	8.2	76.8	11.31	° '341	
1973	23 %	30.4	8.3	73.5	10.28	288	
1974	17	52.9	3.9	82.7	12.20	°° 332	
1975	30	53.3	3.3	82.2	15.67	462	

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on july 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month ° Per≑od ″	Number on June 30, 1973	
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	10	7	3	3	7	
USAGE TOTALS	274	5,280	1,411	19.3	5.15	
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session	
į	Market Sales and Assessment of the Control of the C	NUMBER OF		AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JURG	OR COSTS
Total	Average ° Cost per Session	Average Cost per Jurør Day
121,600) 444 7	23

6 4			GRAWD J	ury mon	BER (Civie	: Mun;ber ^a)		é	
73-2 (1)	73-4 (1)	74-1 (1)	74 - 3 (1)	74 - 4 (1)	74 - 5 (1)	74 - 6 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	75 - 3 (1)
		Total N	lumber of M	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
12	5	9	12	12	12	12	10	6	1
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity	<u> </u>		
5	5	3	_		1	1	3	_	-

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

___6_ Judgeships

	Togali Available	of Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving on Challenged	Juroiz
Number of	7,945	5,240	953	1,752	Usage oʻlndex
Juros Days	100%	66.0 %	12.0 %	22.1 %	17.62
(i Redicael	Rank	.23.1	, 15 55	51.	36.J 61.J 79.J
Estimated Gest	236,900	156,400	28,400	52,400	525 \$ 30
					Trial Day Juror Oay Cost per Day

THE RESERVE	jūry Jirdals	***	A PARTY OF THE PAR	*Jury Trial Days	
. Total	7 Civil	Crim.Inca	o foid	a Cwi ? -	Criminal
110	34	76	451	152	299
100%	30.9 %	69.1 %	100%	33.7 %	66.3 %

	Sugar Robbs		Juror Utilization		Gust par
Fiscal Year	Number of Micrimital juny Julys 1979	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Joseph Tolki Objective
1971	dimen in the state of	19.3	76.1	16.66	
1972	4896552 - 362284	18.1	76.9	16.23	3/8/10/2
1973	(108 1 3 8 5 1)	22.6	67.8	18.06	37
1974	1413 - 47.35	27.9	62.6	15.87	
1975	69:1	22.1	66.0	17.62	

	Total Number in fixistence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impamled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
. 19age Totals	5	100	33	20.0	6.60
	Sessions Convened	° jurors in Session	licurs in Session ",	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF	i kan kan ya kan kan kan kan kan kan kan kan kan ka	AVERAGE (YUMBER OF

ESTIMA ESTIMA	ted grand jure	OR COSTS
Total	Awerage Cost per ^e Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
3,000	600	30

			GRAND !	nea unm	der (Office	· Number:)		
74-1 (1)	75 - 1 (1)							
		Total N	Number of M	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	**************************************
7	3							
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		
5	1							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

______ Judgeships

The Albert Section (Albert Section)	Trojal Available	Selected or Servings	Ghallengedk ((Nor Selected, Serving or (Challenged	(and the state of	സ്ത്
Number of Juser Days	1,454	1,050	194 13.3 %	210 14.4 %	13.	STE
Nacional I	Rink)	.12.	1.22_	. 1.20.		.4.1 .661
Estimated d Cost	41,100	29,700	5,500	5,900	374 Trial Day	28 Jurgi Day penBay

1866211111	Jory Tribals L		unité et ?	Jony Jidal Days	
Total	Civil	Cristinal	o Total	Gvi	Cisminal
36	32	ц	1.10	102	8
100%	88.9 %	11.1 %	100%	92.7 %	7.3 %

	lucy '	Trials		Juror Utilization		Cost per
Fiscal Year	Number of . Jury Telats	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jury Trial Day
1971	20	z doco	20.5	69.0	18.65	\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
1972	26.5	3 42 <u>48</u>	24.7	55.6	17.03	511
1973	544 168 23	39 J	16.0	69.2	13.87	4,85
1974	29		12.7	72.2	13.12	387
1975		LLA	14.4	72.2	13.22	874

RHODE ISLAND

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FY 1975

	Food Number in Entrongs in the TE Month Parked	humber or July 1, 1972	Nemice Impended In the 12 Month Pertod	formboi Disclarged In the 12 Molith Period	Must ber on June 30 (975
SPAND INUE?	Ц	3	1	2	2
TEME TEMES	38	767	208	20.2	5.47
	Sesions Convessu	Juiors in Session	Victors in Session	functs per o Sassion	Hours per Session
	action is managed to the contribution of the first contribution of security for the security of the security o	MIMBER OF	mangan ing separah dipensional dian in a mpilate and a mangang dipension of passage and	AVERAGE P	IUMBER OF

(ESTIMA)	red Grand Turk	7FT C12575
Total ,	Average Cost, per Sassion	Average Cost per jure: Dey
17,100	450	22

			GRAÑEI J	nesa mine	es ioine	: Newther)		
73 - 1 (1)	74 - 1 (1)	74-2 (1)	75 - 1 (1)					
		Total N	lumber of N	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	
12	1	4	9					
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		
7)	T	T	:				
	T	.L	T					

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

	* Toud Available	Selected of Serving	Chellanged	Not Salected, Serving or Challenged	Jurot Usegs ver
Number	1,894	1,484	102	308	lodes (1)
Jesus Days	100%	78.4 %	5.4 %	16.3 %	12.54
Rhibonel	Remik .5	3.	· 1	22,	2 31
Estimated (47,600	37,300	2,600	7,800	315 25

. Farm tets	Alumy Tirials	4.47-3854	446	Jury Tigal Days	
iri.	Civil «	· Vrimicai	Total	Chil	Criminal
19	8	11	151	100	51
100%	42.1 %	57.9 %	100%	66.2 %	33.8 %

	Jury	Trials		Juror Utilization			
Fiscal Year	Number of jury	% Oriminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Juny Tella 2 m 100s	
1971		6, 5	22.0	70.4	18.12	20,000	
1972			34.2	58.4	15.80		
1973			31.4	62.2	18.43	3(9)8	
1974		737/70	10.5	80.8	11.31	Pinning 2.	
1975			16.3	78.4	12.54	315	

NUMBER OF 3 2 1 2	1

UBAGE TOTALS	29	568	185	19.6	6.38
	Sessions 2 Chyenod 1	Jurers in Session	Hours in Session	jurers par Session	° Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF	region (Trick) and the company of Eg. (p. 2000), and the eg. (Peper an adviced of the Company of	° AVERACE I	JUMBER OF

EST 6004	TED GRAND H H K	DR COSTS
Total	Average Fort per Sassion	Avaraga" Cost yaar Jurer 37ay
18,000	621	32

			onand juhy	ruvios (c	Cier Number		
74 - 1 (3)	74-2 (3)	75 - 1 (3)					
		Total Ni	ımber of Month	s in Existence	in the 12 Mon	th Period	
5	12	8					
			Total Numbe	er of Months o	of No Activity		
4	1	4					

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Many prospective jurors in this district must travel on the day prior to the day on which they are to report to the court. Since they are paid for these travel days, they are reported as available jurors. In addition, separate empanelment days are held at the start of each term of service. These two factors combine to increase the total available jurors reported and to adversely affect the statistics.


PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

____3_ Judgeships

	i ijotal Available	Selected or Servings	Challenged	Not Selegred, Serving of 2 (Challenged)		1013
Number of	3,324	1,71.3	498	1,113		ag e Jes
Jurar Days	100%	51.5 %	15.0 %	33.5 %	19.3	33
Redonel	Rank)	.81_1	, 36	87	i am and	
			0		80	04
Estimated Cost	104,500	53,800	15,700	35,000	608	31

70.45744444	Jugy. Totals			July Trial Days	
Fotal	Civil	² Criminal	Total	Givil o	aCristanal
60	41	19	172	108	64
100%	68.3 %	31.7 %	100%	62.8 %	37.2 %

	lury	Trials		Juror Utilization			
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury Teals	% Criminal Jucy * Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jury° Erizl Day	
1971	38	71.1	43.6	48.5	26.97		
1972	32 .//	50±00.	47.2	43.3	28.28	1,087	
1973	42.1	64. 3	28.0	54.5	19.44	692	
1974	16	60-04	29.1	54.7	18.30	635	
1975	-60	31.7	33.5	51.5	19.33	608	





Session

AVERAGE NUMBER OF

Session

	Topi Number to Existence in the 12 Month Portad	Number on July 1, 1974	Number of Impaneled on the 12 Month	Number Discharged In the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
SUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	8	5	3	5	3
USASE FOTALS	85	1,622	510	19.1	6.00
	Sessions	luigry in	Hours in	Jujos per 。	Hours per

Session

Session

NUMBER OF

Control Control	ied grand furc	R COSTS
You N	Average" • Cost her • Session	Avenge Cost per • • Juna Day
31,200	367	19

Convened

व्य ०

0 0	. E	0 3	ČLOCO :		RA (OH)	: NicoSarij	0 e	9	
74-1 (2)	74-2 (2)	74 - 3 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	75 - 2 (2)	74-1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)	73-1 (5)		
1		Total N	umber of M	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	<u> </u>	
9	9	10	гţ	2	9	4	4		
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity	<u> </u>		·
3	8	ц	-	-	3	-	3		

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in the JUI of this district in fiscal year 1975 as compared to 1974. The effective use of the multiple voir dire technique in Connecticut in both civil and criminal cases has resulted in only 9.0% of prospective jurors not selected, serving or challenged. Connecticut also experienced a notoriety case requiring an extra-large panel for a jury selection lasting three days. This type of occurrence has an adverse effect on juror efficiency data.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

_______ Judgeships

	Total . Available	Selected ; or • Serving	Challenged . '	Not Selected Serving of Challenged		lror .
Number off	3,273	2,351	628	294		sage dex
Juror Days	100%	71.8 %	19.2 %	9.0 %	16.	70
(National)	Rank	. 13°	.65	7.	23	_
Eşümated Cast	81,600	58,600	15,700	7,300	416	\$ 25
					Trial Day	Juror Day
					Cost p	per Day

£ 15.00	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	Gwl :	- Criminal °	A STATE OF	Çivi	Criminal
76	प्रा	32	196	94	1.02
100%	57.9 %	42 . 1 %	100%	48.0 %	52.0 %

	- jwy	Prints"		Juror Utilization			
Fiscal Year	Number of Jacy Table	% Cerionimal Jacq Neality	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	July Total Savy	
1971	Δ V277		23.1	62.5	19.65		
1972	ijδ.		17.3	70.8	17.55	430	
1973		(6)4) 5	13.7	71.4	16.06	37/6	
1974		00 S	12.0	73.6	14.70		
1975		12.2	9.0	71.8	16.70	2008	

NEW YORK NORTHERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FY 1975

	Pour Hunter In Enlerance In the 12-Wenth Period	Mempher to: July 1, 1974	Number Impended In the 12 Night Perloit	Mumber Disclarged ig the 12 Month Period	Number on June 50, 1975
ENAMB JURIES	6	1	5	5	1
USAĞE V TÜTALS	57	1,158	233	20.3	4.09
	Sessions Surrence	jurors in Session	Mours la s Secian	Juves per Session &	Hours per Session
	A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF	MINISER OF		AVERAGE A	under of

Constitution of the second	MATEL	GRAND I	under e	10515	
iond C		Average Cost per Session		Average Cost per Buor Day	
26,700		468		23	

)		TARANT'N	irv nime	er (osi:	o (kumber*)		
75 - 1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	74 - 1 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	75 - 1 (5)	75 - 1 (6)			
		Total:	Number of M	onths in Exi	stence in t	the 12 Month Pe	eriod	
3	3	2	2	3	4			
	***************************************		Total Ni	umber of Mo	onths of No	o Activity		<u> </u>
-		1	-	_				

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Ottole Names and Numbers.

NEW YORK NORTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	(Jurer .)
Wanter of	1,651	1,070	182	399	Usage- Index
Exercial Peas	100%	64.8 %	11.0 %	24.2 %	17.56
		30	10	. 58	33, 31, 31
Ester	41,100	26,600	4,500	9,900	437 \$ 25
					Cost per Day

	. Dwy Trials			Noory Tirial Days	0
rotal		Criminal	Fotal	EWI .	, Crangas,
24	12	12	94	40	54
100%	50.0 %	50.0 %	100%	42.6 [%]	57.4 %

Fiscal Year	Jeney.	Trials		Juror Utilization		figel per
	Nebber of s juny Trials	r 16 Criminal Jury Triels	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	o hav
1971	30	26.7	48.0	45.2	28.01	
1972	37 , 50	59.5	36.4	54.7	23.12	558
1973	45	\$60.0	30.5	60.0	20.80	507
1974	<i>å</i> , 35 <i>o</i>	54.3	25.8	61.2	18.26	444 g
1975	Ž4	- 50 .0	24.2	64.8	17.56	437

	Fotal Number in Existence in the 12 Month ^{ess} Posied	Number on	Number Impaneled In the 12 Month Period D	Number Discharged ** in the 12 Month b Period	Number on June 30, 1975
Number of Grand Juries	30	10	20	17	13
USAGE TOTALS	458	8,928	2,108	19.5	4.60
	Sessions Convened	jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors por 🏅 - Session	Häurs per Session.
	Section in the contract of the section of the contract of the	NUMBER OF		AVERAGE D	IUMBER OF

ESTIMA	TEO GRAND JUR	OR COSTS
. Total	Average o Coss per Session	Average Cost por Juror Day
207,100) 452 «	23

		. o	grafid h	jar ným	TT (COR	: Nanher*)			
72-2 (1)	72 - 3 (1)	73 - 1 (1)	74-1 (1)	74 - 2 (1)	74 – 5 (1)	74 - 9 (1)	74-10 (1)	74-11 (1)	74-12 (1)
1	,	Total N	umber of M	onths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
11	12	12	6	7	12	2	12	6	1
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity	<u> </u>		
	7	_	_	2	12	2	-	3	-)

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district again experienced a number of highly publicized and multiple defendant cases requiring extra-large panels for jury selection due to the number of excuses and challenges for cause anticipated in such trials. These occurrences, in addition to a predominately criminal trial calendar, have an adverse effect on utilization figures for New York Eastern. Nevertheless, this district was able to report improved efficiency in the areas of the JUI, percent selected or serving, and percent not selected, serving or challenged.

The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

NEW YORK EASTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

____9_ Judgeships

	Total. Available	7Selected* or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or S Challenged		TO:
Number of	20,456	12,448	3,111	4,897	U Jū	dex -
Javoi Rays	100%	60.9 %	15.2 %	23.9 %	20.	
(landinaki	Rank	147 E-47	38,	57 L. margari	.38 .38	zů.
Estimated Cost	462,100	281,400	70,200	110,400	466	23
					Trici Day Cost p	Jenor Day oes Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
fold	CWIL	Criminal	Cotal	Gwil	Criminal
196	70	126	992	325	667
100%	35 . 7 %	64 . 3 %	100%	32.8 %	67.2 %

Fiscal Year	Jury	Jring .		Juror Utilization		Cost pe	
	Number of Stay of Stay of Stay	Signachul Mari Milas	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	o o Jury Trial Day	
1971	166	-,745.tr	54.1	36.9	36.06	3 Z	
1972	175	701	50.6	39.1	35.22	8.16	
1973	221	. 43 <u>1</u> 1€[0:	38.4	48.8	27.82	660	
1974	196	70.20%	29.4	57.5	23.62	545	
1975	1.96	604.35	23.9	60.9	20.62	466	

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Namth Period	Number on July 1, 1974	-Wumber Timpeneled In the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1976	
NUMBER OF	42 19		23	13	29	
isage '/ fotals	949	19,493	3,644	20.5	3.84	
	Sessions Jurors in Session		- "Hours in Session	jurors per Mours p Session Sessio		
i i		NUMBER OF	AVERAGE NUMBER OF			

ESTIN	AJE!	d Grand ju	ROS	cósts (
o Total	مد مراسل سراد میدن پیما اید است.	Avorage - Cost per ° Segeon		Andrage U Cost per Juror Day		
434,200		458		22		

			tivar) j	uiiv muc	ur (Offic	rMunicor*)				
72 - 1 (1)	73 - 3 (1)	73 - 8 (1)	73 - 9 (1)	73 - 15 (1)	73 - 16 (1)	74 - 3 (1)	74 - 5 (1)	74 - 6 (1)	74-7 ¹ (1)	
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
10	2	7	1	6	6	8	9	10	10	
	Total Number of Months of No Activity									
4	1.	6	1	4	-	-	6	10	<u> </u>	

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: New York Southern encountered a number of notoriety cases in fiscal year 1975, some with sequestered juries and some with large numbers of defendants. These cases involved the use of sizable jury panels for selection due to the large number of challenges - one case alone had 368 prospective jurors challenged. These types of trials have the effect of unfavorably influencing the yearly utilization statistics. Nevertheless, New York Southern's performance in the past fiscal year has shown improvement with the JUI decreasing from 27.85 in fiscal year 1974 to 23.60 in 1975.

The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

NEW YORK SOUTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

27 Judgeships

	aīrotal AVanlable	Selected of Servings	Challenged	INOU Sellected. Serving of Challenged?		io.
Number o	47,844	23,321	7,834	16,689		age lex
leros Days	100%	48.7 %	16.4 %	34.9 %	23.0	^
(Kentionel)	Renk .	88 Landon-Ameri	46 Legencia	88.)		,20
Estimated (1,128,700	549,700	185,100	393,900	557	24
					Trial Day Cost p	Juror Day er Day

	Judy Trials			Jury Trial Days	
" Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Givil	Crimingl
435	212	223	2,027	847	1,180
100%	48.7 %	51.3 %	100%	41.8 %	58.2 %

Fiscal Year	Jury.	Trials		Juror Utilization		Cost per	
	Number of Jury— Trials	% Criminal Jugy Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	jury Tolai Day	
1971	378	64.3 =	68.3	21.3	57.54		
1972	340	66.8	48.6	41.8	31.69	71.7	
1973	448	67.4	40.8	47.4	27.23	623	
1974	450	55.6	44.0	43.4	27.85	678	
1975	435	51.3	34.9	48.7	23,60	557	

**************************************	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month iferiod	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged In the 12 Month Volad	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	8	3	5	4	ц
usage Totals	175	3,355	989	19.2	5.65
	Scenions * Convence	Jurors in Session	Hours fin Session	jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	Andrews and the second second	MUNDER OF	Angelogia jamaka teritoria (angelogia angelogia (angelogia) kanglanga (angelogia) kangla	all AGERAGE T	NUMBER OF

ÉS71MA	ted Grand Jurg	AR COSTS
* Total _	Averaga Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juser Day
77,800	445	23

			Chand	uay mim	66.2 (O ific	e Number)	
74-1 (1)	74-2 (1)	75-1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	75 - 3 (1)	74 - 2 (6)	75 - 1 (6)	75 - 2 (6)	
		Total N		Months in Ex	·	the 12 Mon		
2	3	5	6	2	6	9	2	
			Total N	lumber of M	lonths of N	o Activity		
1		-	-	-	_	-	_	

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district recorded increased efficiency in the areas of JUI, percent selected or serving and percent not selected, serving or challenged. One factor contributing to this performance is the use of the multiple voir dire selection process by this district, generally in civil cases with juries of less than 12 members. New York Western also reported an instance when the plaintiff was assessed the costs of the jury fees for failure to appear in a civil case.

____3_ Judgeships

	Total Available	Sele <mark>gted</mark> or Serving	Challenged -	Not Selected Serving of Challenged	<i>61</i> 155	utor A
Number of	4,574	3,237	ццц	893	្រី // ប៉ែ រូក	sage dex
Juror Days	100%	70.8 %	9.7 %	19.5 %	18.	30
Revolund	(Rank	17	6	39,	28	141 ,20
Estimatos Cost	\$ 107,500	76,100	10,400	21,000	430	\$ 24
					Trial Day Cost	Juror Day per Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total /	Civil	Criminal .
55	24	31	250	87	163
100%	43.6 %	56.4 %	100%	34.8 %	65.2 %

Commission .						
	Jury	Trials		Juror Utilization	•	Cost per
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury Trieds *	% Criminal Jery Tylak	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jury Trial © Cany
1971	142	.₩7.6	19.2	71.5	18.58	•
1972	40	(r.1 72. 17	19.2	72.4	18.88	116,2
1973	42		22.9	68.0	20,16	°529:
1974	D - 2100 - 22	/ 10° / 10°	26,9	64.7	21.62	523
1975	53	56.4	19.5	70.8	18.30	430

	Toward Parameter in the United States of State	Physilier ca July 1, 1974	frember Impaneled in the 12 Month Paged	Panage Discharges in the 12 Mensis Period	Musiconics v
SAMO MEDES	4	2	2	1	3
TOP THE	44	919	157	20.9	3.57
	o Scrafgus Carwenesi	Just see a	indusia Subson	Jureis per Session	Homs per Sussian
	(1237) regission and meritary of the real registeration and the first	rameEn of	Departments abstractions is british after the special section. The companying stability	er can sension exposure and sension of the sension	PONCOCA CONTRACTOR CON

C ECTIVA	TO ELAND LUED	e costs and
Total	Average Case put Sussion	Average With Sar Junor Day
21,000	9 477 \$\frac{1}{2}	23

				WOWNER (District Charles	5e/1)		
74 - 2 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	74 - 1 (5)	75 - 1 (5)					
,	nanco e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Total N	iumber of Month	is in Existence	e in the 12 N	Ionth Period		
12	2	12	7					
Andreas Andreas Andreas Andreas Andreas Andreas Andreas Andreas Andreas Andreas Andreas Andreas Andreas Andreas			Total Sumb	er of Months	of No Activi	ty		
				1	e Lago. Po			
7	•••	8	-		-		*-	

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

	Total Available	Solocied · or Service	Challenged	Not Salacted, Serving or Challenged	⁶ Jurior
Phiralsa of	2,599	1,430	395	774	findsx (Usage
Jurus Days	100%	55.0 %	15.2 %	29.8 %	15.94
		68	.38	773	11 20
Estimated (62,600	34,400	9,500	18,700	384 \$ 24
					Tricinal Junit Day

(° & 6	Dwry Trials		•	Jury Total Days	a)
Torbio	Civi.	Criminal (Total	Civil	o Crimbial o
67	55	12	163	125	38
100%	82.1 %	17.9 %	100%	76.7 %	23.3 %

	Very.	Frants		Juror Utilization		. Cast ber
Fiscal Number of Year Jusy Trials *	July	% Criminal Line O Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	luf/ Frial °ida,
1971	76	6.6	30.6	63.3	19.27	o o
1972	27	3,7	34.3	61.1	15.80	386
1973	87	9.2	30.3	62.3	16.32	472
1974	63	22.2	31.9	54.7	15.46	377
1975	67	17.9	29.8	55.0	15.94	384

	,
	:
	4
	<u>!</u> :
)
	ų.
	kutti. Tarangan
	· ·
	\mathcal{J}
	Sec.
	· 100 - 100
	, y e
	· ·
	90 - 1 3
	t
•	iliani di salah sa



	Total Number In Existence In the 12 Manth Police	Number en July 1, 1974	Number Inspanded in the 12 Month Period	Rinmbor e Dischaged In the 12 Month Period	Number en june 30, 1975 o
QUANDER OF	4	2	2	2	2
USACE °° TOTALS	65	1,311	291	20.2	4.48
	Sellyions * Convigod *	Jusers in " Session e	Hours In Session	. itrorsper - Session	Hours per Session
		MUNISEROF	The second secon	AVERACE P	NUMBER OF

ESTIWA.	ted Grand June	DR COSTO
D (0) Total	Avorage Cost per Segrion	Average Coar por Juror Day
31,100	478	24

			GRAJID-6	9717-11381	en Kara	(Panthers)			
73 - 1 (1)	74-1 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	/5 - 2 (1)						
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period								
4	10	8	3		:				
	Total Number of Months of No Activity								
	-	-	-						

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

<u>3</u> Judgeships

	Total	Selegrad ops Särving	Challanged .v	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	<i>[</i>	more.
Marilio?	1,528	958	446	124		kage idex
oi júver Days	100%	62.7 %	29.2 %	8.1 %	17.	.56
o (Reditional) (Rends \ \ \ ,	Land themsel &	92 8	Barrens A	18	33
Estimated (35,600	22,300	10,400	2,900	409	\$ 23
					Trial Day	Jacor Day Benday

O Total	Civil°	Odiffical	o de la la la la la la la la la la la la la	alloury (Turtet) Days	Cimbal
30	9	21	87	32	55
100%	30.0 %	70.0 %	100%	36.8 %	63.2 %

	e Jury		RUCAVLACOMP	Juror Utilization		Cost per	
Fiscal Year Number of Juny Triak	Jury®	% Criminal P. Juny	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jury Trial obay	
1971	3 9 (* \ 6	5 14. 5	31.8	50.7	24,96		
1972	23	60.9	37.2	45.1	28.12	428	
1973		% pe.2	29.6	51.6	22.22	567,	
1974	28	69.6	6.1	56.3	19.77	"·· 46 5	
1975	30	770.0	8.1	62.7	17.56	ing.=	

	Total Number In Existente In the 12 Manth Region	Numberen july 1, 1974	Number Impanyled In the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Perio	Number of	
mumber of grand juries	12	8	4	6	6	
usage Totals	267	5,050	1,392	18.9	5.21	
	Sestions Convented	Jurors in Syssion o	Hours in Session →	Jurors ser Session	Hours per Session	
	promotes the second distance of the second	NUMBER OF o	ng, annan ng annan ng matalan ng mga kabangan ng mga kabangan ng mga kabangan ng mga kabangan ng mga kabangan O	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIMA	ied grand jurc	or costs
Fotal	Average Cost per * Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
132,400	496	26

	(')	0)	GRANGE F	erry Min	den (den	o Namber	1		
74 - 1 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	73-1 (2)	73 – 2 (2)	73 - 3 (2)	74 - 1 (2)	74 - 2 (2)	74 - 3 (2)	74 <u>-</u> 4 (2)	75-1 ¹ (2)
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period								
12	12	1	2	6	7	12	12	12	9
			Lotal N	Number of M	Ionths of N	o Activity			
					1				
2	2	-	l	<u> </u>	_	_	_	7	- /

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in New Jersey's JUI in the past fiscal year as this utilization technique is often employed by the judges of this district. Further, a number of notoriety cases involving multiple defendants and sequestered juries were conducted in fiscal year 1975. Large panels of prospective jurors were required with voir dires often lasting several days. These occurrences should be taken into account as having an adverse effect on this district's utilization statistics.

 $^{^{}m l}$ The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

_____9_ Judgeships

	Togal	Saletted or Sawing	Ciellenger .	Not Selected, Serving or Chrillenged	(lan	ror \
Number	13,927	10,252	1,688	1,987	(Úc	J832.
of Juror Days	100%	73.6 %	12.1 %	14.3 %	17.9)7
-Mendoned	Renk)	۰ , 8.,	16 0	. 18,		(20,-1
Estimated (335,500	246,900	40,600	48,000	433	24
					Trial Day Cosup	Jurer Day Fileay

Correction.	Jany Tylials	2 * 00	.0	Jury Total Days	
Total	Civil ()	Criminal	.* Total	Civil	. Criminal
184	77	107	775	247	528
100%	41.8 %	58.2 %	100%	31.9 %	68.1 %

	Jury	Trials		Juror Utilization		Cost pe
Fiscal Year	Number of fury Trials	% Cric _{ti} inal "Jury Tefais	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jury Trial Day
1971	144	451;	20.3	69.6	19.70	
1972	172 .	50 £ .	18.1	69.9	16.28	356-
1973	, 147°	'65.0	15.2	74.8	17.07	್ಯ 420
1974	147	63.3	14.2	74.3	16.18	นุ้นอ
1975	. 184	້ 58 . 2 ເ	14.3	73.6	17.97	433

	Total Member in Enlangue In dies 12 Men Prodock	Number on Number on Number of	Number <u>Leo</u> nholed In the 12 Monei Ferfal	idaalber Bisvlaargad In toe 12 Monds Period	Number on June 30, 1975
COMPRISES	9	5	4	4	5
° USAGE TOTALS	178	3,654	789	20.5	4.43
	Sessions Convened	jurers in Session	Movrs in Session	Jurors per Sossion	P Hours per Session
		number of.	alpe go ogg Vissage golggag i delement (applicable e salagger, salagger, salagger	AVERNOS P	UNCER OF

C ESTIMAT	EL GRAND JUPS	OR COSTS
Cord .	Avcrege, Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juros Day
85,600) 481 ¢	23

<u> </u>			GRAND J	ury num	EE JE (Oldice	Number)			
72 - 1 (2)	74 - 1 (2)	74 - 2 (2)	74 ~ 3 (2)	74 - 4 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	75 - 2 (2)	75 - 3 (2)	75 - 4 (2)	
		Total N	Number of M	onths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
6	7	10	8	12	5	5	5	2	
	 		Total N	lumber of M	onths of No	Activity			*
5	1	3	-	_	_	_	_	_	

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Pennsylvania Eastern experienced a large number of highly publicized and multiple defendant criminal cases in the past fiscal year. These cases required extra-large panels for jury selections which often took several days due to the nature of the cases and the number of challenges for cause. Although such occurrences often have an adverse effect on the efficient utilization of jurors, this district was able to improve performance in the areas of the JUI, percent selected or serving, and percent not selected, serving or challenged.

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

19 Judgeships

	Total Available	Selegied or Serving	Challenged)	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		TOT \
Number Suf	29,273	16,454	4,980	7,839	C. Os	136 181, : \
jurar Days	100%	56.2 %	17.0 %	26.8 %	18.	.83
The filter of the state of the	Renk ,	63	, 47	68 (magalanda.ap)	49	6 47 9
Estimated Cost	755,700	424,700	128,500	202,500	486	26
					iriai Day Conju	jaryr Ogy 98 Day

(V .)	Jury Trials :		8	Jugy Tolal Days	
j Tota?	_{ව ද} Civit ු	. Criminal	a ligialia o	Civil	Gawinah
335	222	113	1,555	1,032	523
100%	66.3 %	33.7 %	100%	66.4 %	33.6 %

	jyrv	Trials a		Juror Utilization		e Cast per
Fiscal `ear	Numberof Luy " Trials of	°% Criminalo Jury o Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	a juey Trial Day
1971	ូ32ង	33.3	33.9	52.8	24.21	\$ 6.
1972	321	ζ ⁸ 7.1 ς	28.2	56.7	18.63	ู้ นุ่นใช
1973	338 ;	°32.8 °	32.2	52.7	19,89	486
1974	328	36,9	33.4	51.5	20.15	թ [°] 59 1
1975	335	33.7 %	26.8	56.2	18.83	486

:	Fotal Number in Existence ing the 12 Month Period	Number on Jely 1, 1974	"Number Impateled In the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND WRIES	3	3	-	1	2
usage Topals	24	461	128	19.2	5.33
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session,	jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF		AVERAGE N	NUMBER OF

ESTIMA	TEB GRAND JURG	or costs
Votal	Average Coss. per Session	Average , Cost nei Juror, Llay ,
10,700) 446 (23

			GRAND JURY	' NUMBER (OI	fice Aunites	··)	
74 - 1 (1)	74 - 1 (2&4)	74-1 (3&5)					
		Total N	Number of Monti	hs in Existence in	n the 12 Mor	nth Period	
12	10	12					
			Total Numb	er of Months of	No Activity		
6	7	ц					

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in the past fiscal year. Multiple voir dire is a utilization technique extensively employed by the judges of Pennsylvania Middle, in both criminal and civil cases.

PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

______ Judgeships

	a Tioual Available	Salegiel 01 Servins	Challenged.	Not Selected, Seaving of Challenged	Junov, e.
Number	5,722	4,406	806	510	Usages 1
furor Days	100%	77.0 %	14.1 %	8.9 %	17.34
Treatoned (Randa	5	.28	6	31 58 58
Estimated (153,700	118,300	21,700	13,700	466 \$ 27
					Tilal Day turor Day Gosuper Day

(a	Jerry Trials .	, a , 9	6 10 14 2 10 10	Dwy Tytal Days	(A LACE OF
four	Civil	Criminal ,	, Total	o Civil	Çiminal .
121	85	36	330	214	116
100%	70.2 %	29.8 %	100%	64.8 %	35.2 %

	1 Jury	Trials o		Juror Utilization				
Fiscal Year	Plumber of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jury Friat O Day		
1971	73. [©]	26.0	18.8	66.4	19.88			
1972	102	26.5	22.1	61.5	21.12	√ 683		
1973	119	25.5	9.6	76.3	14.27	₍₎ 376		
1974	, 102	30.4	8.2	78.2	12.62	337		
1975	121	29.8	8.9	77.0	17.34	/ 466		

AVERAGE NUMBER OF

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Mohen Period	Number of johy 1, 1974	Number Impaneled In the 12 Monut Period	Newsler Discharged In the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1973
FUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	7	4	3	2	5
U5AĞE TOTALS	110	2,074	681	18.9	6.19
	Sessions * Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in	jurors per Session	. Hours per Session

. NUMBER OF

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JUIN	de costs
° Total	Average Cast per Session	Average Cost per Jurer Day
58,100	} b) 528 <	28

(0,			grand ji	jay niim	ER (Office	é Numberé)		
73 - 3 (1)	72 - 1 (2)	73 - 2 (2)	74 - 1 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	75 - 2 (2)	75 - 3 (2)		
	1	Total N	lumber of M	onths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	n Period	1
12	12	12	9	9	6	3		
		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		
10	8	2	1	3	-	1		

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Pennsylvania Western reported many instances in which a jury panel available for selection could not be used due to last minute pleas, settlements and continuances of cases in fiscal year 1975. This constant problem results in jurors available to serve but with no opportunity for them to be used. This is reflected in the increase in the JUI and the decrease in the percent selected or serving in the past fiscal year.

PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

10, Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected dr 2 Serving	(Selfallenged)	Noti Salacied, Sarvinger Challenged	Sjurar \
Number of	15,030	8,335	2,355	4,340	(6) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1
Juror Days	100%	55.5 %	15.7 %	28.9 %	20.59
. (Natificinal)	Ratiols >	65, 9	41	74.	
Estimated (399,300	221,600	62,700	115,400	547 \$ 27
					Trial Day Yerer Day (Cosuper Pay

(a to a constant	La Milaco (Milaco)	Jury Trial Days:	or subsection
Tesal	szvii 🦠	Criminat ,	, Total 🕷	o de la companya de l	Criminal
135	56	79	730	271	459
100%	41.5 %	58.5 %	100%	37.1 %	62.9 %

Fiscal Year	Jury	Trials		Juror Utilization		Cogyper Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Triais	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	197	24.9	26.5	57.3	21.51	4-10 Park
1972	. , 1.95	"19. 0 °	34.2	44.3	18.04	468
1973	1.85	43.2	36.2	45.3	20.74	545
1974	146	37.0	27.9	56.2	17.25	475
1975	» 135	58.5	28.9	55.5	20.59	s 547

	38. Sec. 20. 61. 38.	ingereit (u		· "我是	+Second Constitut	a Mun		
	in Eni	Kemia 📳	Munico di	impa	suice :	المنتسخ أراء	(Car	Municipe to Long and
		Mandi	idy 1 - 574	in the 12		in th.	iolialitic de Iri	Jeku 10, 16:3
SERVER OF								
BANKER FORMES								
			incress Scalin		rs in C itors	freque Sale	en .	Gours per Sossiaff
		2 (10) ja sementas (10) karantis sement	PRIMITE OF	eza in tarenin hermort embalhi interzelameza i T	man kanada da Manada br>Manada da Manada da M	amana at dia engrama	race w	and the second s
N/A	Antes Coss Eossi	100	According According Caric Sow 148 or May					
			o pries names			yΣ		
N/A								
	To	tal Number o	f Months in Exi	tence in the	12 Month	Period	Action to the second of the se	
BANKS BANKS		Tota	H Number of Mo	nths of No A	ctivity			
				ĺ		I		

COMMENT: The District of the Virgin Islands reported that during fiscal year 1975 no grand jury system was in operation according to provisions in Rule 54(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. This accounts for the lack of any grand jury statistical profile.

*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

This district has adopted the practice of selecting two juries at one time from a single panel of prospective jurors. This is possibly one factor accounting for the improvement in utilization figures in the past fiscal year. The improvement shown was limited by the revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection.

L 2 Judgeships

	Total	"Salegied" " or "o" Sarving	, Challenged	Ner-Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror
feeting at	4,760	2,369	1,123	1,268	Undex (
Inter Days	100%	49.8 %	23.6 %	26.6 %	29.38
		85	. 85	66	94 62 2
Cost .	85,700	،2,700	20,200	22,800	529 \$ 18
					(Cost per Decy

	Jury Triels	, c , o /	V 41	Jury Trial Days	, , ,
Téls:	, Civil .	Crimiya	Tevral	o care	Cining
77	22	55	162	55	107
100%	28.6 %	71.4 %	100%	34.0 %	66.0 %

	in identity	fittle o		Juror Utilization		· Carrie
Fiscal Year	Number of July Frien	% Criminat Lick Trials a	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	jusy Teint Day
1971	46	84.8	38.8	40.2	27.12	P. 22
1972	_58 °,	86.2	34.8	40.3	32.07	771
1973	45.	82.2	57.7	29.7	41.43	790
1974	71,	8L.7	21.4	49.4	30.08	81,093
1975	,77	71.4	26.6	49.8	29.38	529



GONTINUED





The parties of the pa	Foral Accuber in Existence in the 12 Month, Paried	" Number on July 4, 1974	(* Number imbanelse an the 12 Marth Period	Number Discharged In the 12 Month Period	°Number on °- 's June 30, 1975
NUWEER OF GRAND JURIES	10	4.	6	3	7
USACE 0 TOTALS	153	3,085	654	20.2	4.27
Carlotte Control of the Control of t	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session «	jurors per . Session *	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JUN	OR COSTS
Total	Average Cost per Diession	Average Gost per Jutor Day
67,900	444	22

	b.		GRAND J	usa Anw	fin (ome	(Pembers)	O ro ou		
74 - 3	74-4 (1)	74 - 5	74 - 6 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	75 - 3	75 - 4 (1)	75 - 5	75 - 6
		Total N	lumber of N	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
9	3	12	12	4	7	7	7	4	1
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
3		2	-	-	2	-	2	1	- /

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

Judgeships

	™_ [lotal Available="	Selected of Serving	Challenged:	Not Selected A Servingor Challenged	A RIVIOT A
Number of	8,145	5,108	1,598	1,439	Usage Jindex
Juror Days *	100%	62.7 %	19.6 %	17.7 %	17.71
्रिटाएकासूर्। 	Rands D	41,	68	28 Las providend	16 <u>11</u>
Estimated (Cost	186,800	117,100	36,600	33,100	406 \$ 23
					Trial Day Jurer Day Cost per Day

	Jury Triâlș	*******		Jury Trial Days	
Totals o	Civil	Crigainal •	Total	ຼໍ່ Civil ຸ່າ	Chiminal
118	41	77	460	184	276
100%	34.7 %	65.3 %	100%	40 %	60 %

	juny Trial(a)		Juror Utilization		Cost per
Fiscal Year	Number % Carrinal (4) (4) (4) (5) (4) (4) (4) (5)	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	(63)	65.C	28.1	41.12	
1972	. .85	18.3	67.7	18.95	E
1973	#1,110 × 1 × 60.9 h	17.3	64.2	18.70	435
1974	115 . 66.1,	15.8	67,6	18.01	4. 437
1975	<u>(</u> . 1418 - 15, 5, 5, 1	17.7	62.7	17.71	496

	Total Number In Existence In the 12 Month Period	Number of 15. 1 July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12-Month Period	Number Discharged In the 12 Month Perlod	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRANO JURIES	3	1	2	2	1
* USAGES TOTALS **	34	761	196	22.4	5.76
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in 'a' Session	Hours in Session	Jurgrs per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF	uo a o	average n	

ESTI	MATED	GRAND	JUROR (OSTS	
Total		Average Cost per Session		Average Cost per Juror Da	· 🗼 . 0
25,800		759	\$	34	

			GRAND JURY	NUMBER (Off	ice Number*)	0	
74 - 1 (4)	75 - 1 (4)	75 - 1 (5)					
		Total I	Number of Months	in Existence in	the 12 Month	Period	
5	4	7					
			Total Number	r of Months of N	No Activity		
3	2	1					

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported an instance where the court was not notified of a settlement until the night before the day set for jury selection which was too late to notify the jurors to not report. Accordingly, the parties were taxed with the costs of the entire jury panel.

Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged.	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juroi	
Number of	2,493	1,581	281	631	Usage Index	
Juror Days	100%	63.4 %	11.3 %	25.3 %	20.78	ACTION OF
(Ne(thouse) (Rauds D	36	12.	<u>. 60,</u> .		58,
Estimated & Cost	66,600	42,200	7,500	16,800	555	27
					Trial Day A Ju-	they .

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	° Civil	° Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal °°
47	18	29	120	39	81
100%	38.3 %	61.7 %	100%	32.5 %	67.5 %

	÷.	HISTO	RICAL COMP.	ARISON		
	Juon			Juror Utilization	and the second of a second or second or second	Cost of '
Fiscal Year	Mustice on T	Suggrafia Indo Table	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	22	- 77/85j 13	46.5	45.2	27.07	
1972	- 41	56°I	29.0	61.2	20.06	500
1973	0 × (4 6)	:60,0	34.7	55.3	21.31	61.3
1974	a. §9 •	62.7	27.4	62.5	19.68	496
1975	N7	, 61.7 ; ±	25.3	63.4	20.78	555.

	Total Number in Existence In the 12 Menth Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number, Impaneled in the 12 Month Périad	Number Discharged in the 12 Mon k Period	Number on June 30, 1975
number of Grand Juries	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	8	154	50	19.2	6.25
	Sessions Convented Ro	, jurors in Session	Figurs in Session	furors pel Session	Hours per Session≠
		NUMBER OF		AVER/GE N	IUMBER OF

ESTIMA	ted grand jurg	R COSTS
Total	Average Coci, per . Session	' Average Cost per Jutor Day
4,500) 562 ¢	29

		ſ	Grand I	ury:Num	BER [°] (Office	· Number*)		ه ۱	
74 - 1 (2)	75 - 1 (2)								
		Total Nu	mber of M	onths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
6	5								
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity			
3	2			!					

^{*}See Appendix R for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district occasionally uses the multiple jury selection technique. The revision of the statistical reporting of this process affected the reporting of those instances when more than one jury was selected and, to some degree, the yearly figures presented here.

___________ Judgeships

	o Trough	Selecter or Selvings	Giallenged	Not Salagod Salamyon Ghallengal	(Az 110	707
Number *	1,439	917	255	267		ige X -> 1
off Jurar Days	100%	63.7 %	17.7 %	18.6 %	14.	34
(Newstard) (Rank)	33,	- 50 d	337	0 L	6, 31,
Estimated Cost	36,000	22,900	6,400	6,700	371	25
Angelsky Migdle	The second of th			-	Frial Day Cost p	Juror Day

	Colory Thials			Jury Trjal Days	
Total	√ુ _Gvil	Criminal °.	Total , y	, Civii	Giminal .
33	12	21	97	52	45
100%	36.4 %	63.6 %	100%	53.6 %	46.4 %

		ніѕтог	RICAL COMP	ARISON			
	Jegs.	Tiples,		Juror Utilization		Cost per	
Fiscal Year	Diminipodal Juny Venta	Areas primal	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	1017 . / 17 (0) 10 (1) 10 (1)	
1971	36	7708	21.4	58.4	20.48		
1972	36	77.8	23.8	59.2	19.39	5 95	
1973	95	85.7	22.8	60.4	18.18	[43]	
1974	315	77.L	13.0	67.1	15.05	\$ 666	
1975	: 33	63.6	18.6	63.7	14.84	371	

	Total Nutriber in Existence in the 12 Month 15 Period 6	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaheled in the 12 Month Period	Mumber Discharged In the 12 Month Period	Number on % June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	2	2
USAGE TOTALS	1.0	179	70	17.9	7.00
	Sessions Convened	Jurors n Session	Hours in Session	o Jusors per Session	Howrs per Session
		, NUMBER OF	and the state of 	AVERAGE N	UMBER OF

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JURO	r cosys
∉Total	Average © Cost per Session	Average Oost per Juror Dily
4,800	480	27

	1		GRAND 1	JRY NUMBER (Office Num	ber*)	
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)	74 - 1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)				
		Total N	lumber of M	lonths in Existenc	e in the 12 N	Month Period	
5	5	6	6				
			Total N	umber of Months	of No Activ	ity	
3	3	4	3				

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: In fiscal year 1975, this district reported that the Court assessed the plaintiff and defendant a total of \$400 for the cost of the unused jury panel because of a last minute settlement.

NO. CAROLINA WESTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Ghallenged	Not Selected a Serving or Serving of Serving	Taro.
Flumber	3,044	2,274	275	495	t Usage Index
uror Day	100%	74.7 %	9.0 %	16.3 %	15.53
inadkaned	Rank D	1,	langur na	22	9, 11,
Estimated Cost	\$ 69,800	52,100	6,300	11,400	356 \$ 23
- -					Trial Day Surer Day e Cost per Day

(3/4 m	* Dury Trials *	1/2000		Juny Tidal Days	
iolai/	Gvil //	Criminal		(Jivi)	Criminal
84	36	48	196	113	83
100%	42 . 9 %	57.1 %	100%	57.7 %	42.3 %

	· jury	Trials		Juror Utilization			
Fiscal Year	Number (6) Jury Priots	% C ciminal jury v: Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Cost per Jury Trial Day	
1971	/AZ**	1 · 56 7 / 6	29.3	63.3	18.28		
1972	/\(\varphi\)6		25.4	67.9	16.50	397	
1973	. /- 80	5688	21.6	70.1	15.78	368	
1974	79	95.7	15.5	74.7	15.49	1376	
1975	1814	57.1	16.3	74.7	15.53	356	

	Trial founder in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number ca July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled In the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in shif 12 Month Period	Number on, June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1.	L	1	1

USAGE TOTALS	12	251	86	20.9	7.17
	* Gespions Convened	Jurors in Session	Mours in Session	C jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	Si akai. Yang kanta at dan pangangan da da pangan panah na an an angan kantan an angan kantan an angan kantan An angan kantan an angan kantan an NUMBER OF	and the second s	average p	umeer de	

ESTIMAT	TED CRAND JURG	OR COSTS
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average≥ Cost per Jurer Day
8,900) 742 (35

			GRAND	HIRY W.	imber (a	Mice Hum	ber")		
74 - 1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)				:				
	The state of the s	Total N	iumber of	Months in	Existence	in the 12 N	Ionth Period		
6	4				:				
			Total	Number of	f Months o	f No Activi	tv		
2	2								

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district makes extensive use of the multiple voir dire technique for selection of most juries. The statistical revision of the reporting of this technique is one factor contributing to the increase in the JUI in fiscal year 1975. The use of multiple selection also helped to increase the percent of jurors selected or serving while decreasing the percent not selected, serving or challenged.

_______ Judgeships

	Tibini) Avzileble	Saldenad . " ?	Challenged	Nou Selected, Sarving or Challenged	(ju	ioi)
Number	7,751	4,916	1,472	1,363		130 ° ° 1 133
furer Days	100%	63.4 %	19.0 %	17.6 %	18.6	68
12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		36	64° 64° 6	27 6	83 83	13,
Estimated .	255,900	162,200	48,600	45,000	617	33
					Trial Day	Juror Day arDay

	Jony Tinkle .			Jury Total Days:	
Toral	Gyil	Celeginal	Total	Civil	Criminal
185	105	80	415	227	188
100%	56.8 %	43.2 %	100%	54.7 %	45.3 %

	100%	Trials 🕠 ,		Juror Utilization		Cost per	
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury Trists	X Criminal Sury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	inty Wal	
1971	1.07/	27.1	21.1	63.1	20.86	46.46	
1972	127	.40 2 cm	18.4	66.7	19.41	573	
1973	PE [14]	· (43. 9. 1	22.0	60.5	18.42	588	
1974	1,23	53.7	25.8	56.0	17.65		
1975	. 185	43.2	17.6	63.4	18.68	7 (6)17	

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1976	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Muniber on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	11 3		8	ц	7
USAGE * TOTALS	98	2,044	660	20.9	6.73
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in . Session c	Hours in Session	。 Jurors per / Session	Hours per Session
į		NUMBER OF	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

6 ESTIMA	ted grand jure	DR COSTS
Total	Average Cost per ° Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
42,500) 434 «	2.1

			GRAND J	ora mous	DEFA (FIFFIce	: Number [®])			
73 - 1 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75 - 3 (1)	75 - 4 (1)	75 - 1 (2)	75 - 2 (2)	74 - 1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)	75 - 2 ¹ (3)
ı	,	Total N	lumber of M	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
12	6	6	5	4	10	4	6	6	4
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity			
12	Bread	-	2	2	1	1	2	_	2

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

¹ The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

____6_ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	ື Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Jurot
Number	7,242	3,504	2,377	1,361	∬ Úsage. ∦ Index ″ ″
of Juror Days	100%	48.4%	32.8 %	18.8%	19.36
Redianel	Rank	89	93, -	36, 1	54.
Estimated (Cost	150,600	72,900	49,400	28,300	403 \$ 21
					Trial Day Juror Day Cost per Day

	Jury-Trials	Contract Williams		Jury Trial Days	
Total .	Civil ,	Criminal	. Total .	Civil	Ćriminal
231	102	129	374	179	195
100%	44.2 %	55.8 %	100%	47.9 %	52.1 %

		'HISTORICAL COMPARISON							
	Jury	Trials		· Cost per					
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	jūry Trist Day			
1971	1.82	62 .1	24.1	45.1	26.81				
1972	179	59°.2	24.5	47.9	25,44	,\$25 ⁰			
1973	″ 1855	X 157 . 8	22,6	43.4	21.95	Ales 🕶			
1974	171	<u>(64</u> :.9'\	23.4	43.7	23.32	3.501638			
1975	251 ·	55.8	18.8	48.4	19.36	• 403			

	a Fait Namber in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Namber on July 1, 1974	Number Tripaneled Lin the 12 Mouth 2 Pariod 0	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on gune 30, 1975
HUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	11	3	8	3	8
° USAGE TOŢĄLS	26	498	170	19.2	6.54
	Convenea	Session .	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	Salaran transfer and the salaran salaran salaran salaran salaran salaran salaran salaran salaran salaran salar	NUMBER OF	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTINA	fed grand jurg	W COSTS
j. Torij	° Average ° Cost per ° = Session o	Average ^p Cost per Juror Day
13,400	515	27

	» چ		Genno (ury hini	SER (Diffic	· Nambert)				
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	75 - 1 (4)	75 - 2 (4)	74 - 2 (6)	75 - 1 (6)	75 - 2 (6)	74-1 (7)	75 - 1 (7)	
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	9	3	10	5	7	6	1	12	7	
	Total Number of Months of No Activity									
12	8	2	9	4	7	4	_	9	6	

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE -- FISCAL YEAR 1975

________ Judgeships

	Windle	Salagai , joi Serving	(Ghallenged	Not Salected. Saving or Challenged		moz N
(Winnish	997	511	343	143	i di	153 153
jurar Dzns	100%	51.3 %	34.4 %	14.3 %	16.	62
(Almiko, rel)	Rate)	82	94	18	°	21, 72,
Estimated (29,100	14,900	10,000	4,200	485	\$ 29
					Trial Day	Juror Day Jer-Day

	Jery Triels			Juny Irral Days	
Total	Civil	Criminal	ੂ Total ੂ	Civil	Criminal
35	25	1.0	60	ЦЦ	16
100%	71.4 %	28.6 %	100%	73.3 %	26.7 %

	Jury	Trials		Juror Utilization		Cost per
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury, Totals	% Criminal Sury 1904	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Lury Life Day
1971	40	1-3(0,40°	19.6	52.1	22.60	
1972	245	B 1 5 7 5	15.4	55.8	18.40	536
1973	32*	28.1	16.8	53.8	17.31	1 (1)(2)(0)
1974	1 31 7	. 25 ₀ 8	13.4	48.6	18.83	. S61 '
1975	e 35	728 ₋ 6	14.3	51.3	16.62	465

*Constitution and the state of	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Periods	Mumber on June 30, 1973	
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	Impaneled (Mischarged Number 4 in the 12 Month in the 12 Month June 30, Period Period 1 1 1 1 123 22.1 7. Hours in June's per Session Session Session	1		
USAGE 6 TOTALS	16	353	123	22.1	7.69	
Vancologica colores de la colorida del colorida de la colorida del colorida de la colorida del colorida del la colorida del colorida de	Sessions Convened [©]	Jurors in Session			Hours per Session	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NUMBER OF		* AYERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIMA	ted Grand Jure	OK COSTS
o-sal o	Average o Cost ber Session s	Average Cost per Suger Day
10,200	638	29

$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij}$		i ina	ary i	iumeen (o:	ike i b imi	agr ⁴)		
75 - 1 (1)	74 - 1 (2)							
		Total Numbe	r of Months i	n Existence i	n the 12 M	lonth Period	1	
12	8							
	······································	To	otal Number	of Months of	No Activi	ty		
6	7							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

W. VIRGINIA NORTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

 $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or " Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	<i>(</i>	aror
Number	971	613	220	138	U In	sage dex
of Juror Days	100%	63.1 %	22.7 %	14.2 %	18.	32
Renkonzii I	Rank)	39,	_81_,	2 17,	s 81,	88,
Estimated (Cost	32,300	20,400	7,300	4,600	609	\$ 33
- «Марку филік провольня у Video с учення підер (піс і тад Осков)					Trial Days Cost i	Juror Day ber Day

CAN TAX A	allogycidals:			្យហ៍វូវ-Trial Days	
Ţotal	Civil	Criminal	, Total	Civil ^{"a°}	₀ Criminal
20	8	12	53	21	32
100%	40.0 %	60.0 %	100%	39.6 %	60.4 %

	Jury	Trials .		Juror Utilization		Cost pe
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury Trials	%'Criminal Jury Triáls	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jury Trial Day
1971	26	84.6	48.0	47.8	26.10	i.
1972	30	50.0	34.9	48.3	26.95	748
1973	23	60.9.	28.9	47.2	25.83	8113
1974	ું 23		21.0	59.3	19.83	548
1975	20 *	60.0	14.2	63.1	18.32	609

	Total Number * In Existence In the 12 Month Period * *	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month • Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Worth Period	Number on June 30, 1973	
BUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	5	2	3	2	3	
USAGE TOTALS	41	835	304	20.4	7.41	
	Sessions. Convened	jurțifs in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors ver Session	Hours per Session	
		NUMBER OF	gui a que a ser esta de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya de l	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIN	iatei	GRAND	juron (COSTS	
Wal		Average Cost per Session	Carried Section 2	Averäge Cost per Juror Day	
23,400	\$	571		28	

			GUAND 1	aium vai	2E7 (Oitica	Munder*)		3	
75 - 1 (1)	73 - 1 (2&5)	75 - 1 (2&5)	73 - 1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)					
		Total N	lumber of M	onths in Ex	istence in th	e 12 Month	Period		
12	4	9	3	5					
		·	Total N	umber of N	onths of No	Activity			**************************************
10	-	2	2	3					

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: West Virginia Southern had a number of notoriety cases in which larger panels were required for jury selection. This district also reported many last minute pleas and settlements which resulted in the non-use of jury panels already present in the courthouse. Both of these types of occurrences were factors contributing to the increase in the JUI in fiscal year 1975.

W. VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975


2½ Judgeships

	ijoial Avaijable	Selected or Casservings	Challenged(*)	Not Selgered ASelving of	(Nedama	
Niumber	2,395	1,061	573	761	V Vei	
gr. Juror Days	100%	44.3%	23.9 %	31.8 %	24.9	5
indiaed i	Rink \	92	\\ <u>86</u>	814 Incompani	84	7.1 .°31
Estimated & Cost	59,300	26,300	14,200	18,900	618	25
ىلىنىنىنىنىنىنىنىنىنىنىنىنىنىنىنىنىنىنى			· ·		irial Dav Cost pe	Jujor Day Day

	Jury Trials		*****	Jury Trial Days,	
Total	Givil*	, Criminal	Total -	Civii °	Criminal
41	13	28	96	26	70
100%	. 31.7 %	68.3 %	100%	27.1 %	72.9 %

	Parameter Control	/ HISTOR	RICAL COMP	The second secon		
Fiscal Year	Number of Att	eman Proceedings Procedures	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Utilization % Selected or Serving	Juler Usage Index	Turistic Annual Control
1971	51	1.58 ₅ 8-11	44.5	45.0	28.65	
1972	(1/2)): 57.1 ·	34.8	48.3	26.04	658
1973	57	(5)L ₀ ∰	25.2	50.8	24.32	(606 %)
1974	55	07.3	23.6	55.6	20.42	
1975	41	68.3	31.8	44.3	24.95	618







	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number tmpzneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	1	3	3	1
- USAGE TOTALS	24	536	164	22.3	6.83
	Se sions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	." Hours per " Session
		NUMBER OF	y y	AVERAGE N	IUMBER OF

ESTIM	ATEL	GRAND	AND JUROR COSTS			
Total		Average Cost per Session		Äverage Cost pe Juror Da	r c	
18,200	\$	758		34		

	0		GRAND /	IRY NUM	BER (OZAC	· Number*)	V.		
74 - 2 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	75 - 2 (2)	75 - 3 (2)						
		Total N	lumber of M	onths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
3	7	4	1						
		b	Total Nu	umber of M	onths of No	Activity	*	<u> </u>	
2	Լե	1	-						

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

ALABAMA NORTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

______ Judgeships

	Avellable	Salegrad S Letor f Sarvings	Challehedi 4	Nor Selected, Sirying or Challenged	(A fingor of A
Number	5,310	3,769	948	593	Eggelü Eggelü
of Jusor Days	100%	71.0%	17.9 %	11.2%	13.05
Rhiketell	o ynt.	15,	.52	, 11,	21 × 9 86
Estimated Cost	167,500	118,900	30,000	18,800	412 \$ 32
**************************************					Trial Day Juror Day Cost, per Day

	Julay Tidals		IN THE STATE	Jory Total Days	
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total /	Civil	Criminal
220	157	63	407	258	149
100%	71.4 %	28.6 %	100%	63.4 %	36.6 %

	Jory	Trials		Juror Utilization		· Cost pe
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury Priots	v & Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jury Trial Day
1971	187	14.4	18.2	68.3	16.70	
1972	223	, ily.2	12.9	72.9	15.87	524
1973	1,67	*-16.8	15.5	64.8	13.45	460
1974	180	20,0	17.4	60.8	13.63	506
1975	220*7	28.6	11.2	71.0	13.05	412

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Nýmber on Jyly 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged the 12 Month & Period	Number on June 30, 1975	
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1	
USAGE TOTALS	11	212	6 ,1	19.3	5.82	
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session 1	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session	
		NUMBER OF		AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIM!	TED	GRAND	JUROR	COSTS
Total .		Average Cost per Session	0	Average Cost per Juror Day
8,200	\$	745		39

	r Š		Gàlib j	uba Mñw	PER (Cinc	Němber*)	#== } 0	<i></i>
74 - 1 (2)	75 - 1 (2)							
		Total l	Number of N	Nonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	
3	5							
			Total N	lumber of M	onths of No	Activity	 	
2	2							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in fiscal year 1975. Multiple voir dire is used for almost all jury selections in Alabama Middle and has proved effective for maxmizing the number of jurors who are selected for or serving on a jury trial.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

	Total Available	Selected or – Serving	Challenged	Not Selected; Serving or , , Challenged	€ Ju	lrot \
Nugger	2,376	1,858	200	318	. Us Line	age dex /
garor Days	100%	78.2%	8.4%	13.4%	15.	34
(Nedional)	kanak)	; °4,	3	114,	62	88.
Estimated (79,400	62,100	6,700	10,600	529	33
					Trial Day Cost p	Juror Day er Day

	Jury Trials	185	V.,	Jury Trial Days	
Total ""	。 Ciνί∖	Criminal	o 'Total	Civil	Criminal
79	29	50	150	70	80
100%	36.7 %	63.3 %	100%	46.7 %	53.3 %

		Committee of the Party of the P	RICAL COMP	ARÏSON	1 9.	
Fiscal Year	Jury Nomber of Jury Major	Trials % Criminal July G Thials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Utilization % Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Cost per Jury Ngal
1971	(4.65)	LAND CONTRACTOR	30.7	58.5	22.19	
1972	72	150,33	31.4	. 55.4	18.46	626
1973	90	@#\AB\	22.9	59.9	18.54	665
1974	1	. 78.5	8.4	81.9	12.78	450
1975		. 63 _c 3/c%	13.4	78.2	15.84	

	Total Number In Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Mumber Impaneled in the 12 Month Pethd	Adumber o Decharged In the 13 Months Ported o	Number on June 30, 1975		
number of Grand Juries	2	1	1	1	1		
USAGE TOTALS	18	341	122	18.9	6.78		
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	forors per s Session II	Hours per Session		
	Open contract to the contract of the contract	NUMBER OF	angangan da salah kisa salah salah salah salah salah salah salah salah salah salah salah salah salah salah sal	AVERAGE NUMBER OF			

ESTIM	ATE	D GRAND JUR	OF	COSTS (
Total		Average S Çost per Session	Average Cost per * "Juror Day		
8,400	T	467	L M	25	

			GRAND I	ULA NUM	BER (Offic) Number*	e.		
74-1	75-1				[
(1)	(1)					<u> </u>			
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
									1
6	5								
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity	.,		
							,		
3	2.								
	1				1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	/


^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The slight increase in this district's JUI in the past fiscal year is at least partially attributable to the revised statistical reporting of multiple voir dire which the judges of Alabama Southern employ for most jury selections. The effectiveness of this technique for the district is evidenced by the high percentage of jurors who are selected or serving and the low percentage of jurors not used.

ALABAMA SOUTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

________ Judgeships



	· Alun Todak ki	2" # 2 * * ***		Jury Dirial (Days	
Total s	qivil	y Criminal	iotai 💥	Civil	Criminal
39	22	17	113	53	60
100%	56.4 %	43.6 %	100%	46.9 %	53.1 %

		Jury Trials	\$ 1	Juror Utilization		Cost per	
Fiscal Year	Number ⁹ Jury Trial	lung	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jury Trial Day	
1971	65	587.95	21.9	51.9	25.48		
1972	- 69.	47. 47. 8	40.4	44.1	22.01	₂ 698	
1973	; 6 <u>1</u>	. 52.5	24.2	54.8	18.88	_	
1974	. 50	4 4 68 50 "	10.4	72.8	15.15	51.7	
1975	39	7 43.6	10.3	73.4	15.53	484	

	Fotal Number in Existence In the 12 Month Period	Number of * July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4 2		2	2	2
USAGE TOTALS	14	283	82	20.2	5.86
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session &	Hour∯in Session ≃	↓ Jurors per Session	== Liours per Session .
4		NUMBER OF	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

\bigcap	= ESTIN	AATEL	GRAND JI	JROR (COSTS	
Va.	े Total ः ः	5	Äyerage _{kan} Cost per Session		Average Cost per Juror Da	
	9,700		693	\$	34	

			GRAND J	URY NUMI	BER (Office	e Number*)				
74-1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)	74-1 (4)	75-1 (4)							
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	6	6	6							
			Total N	lumber of !M	onths of No	Activity			<u> </u>	
3	3	3	4							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Florida Northern makes use of the multiple jury selection technique when the number of cases set for trial permits. The revision of the statistical reporting of these occurrences helps to explain the increase in this district's JUI in the past fiscal year.

FLORIDA NORTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

_______ Judgeships

	Total - Available 💃 -			Not Selected, Serving of	€ To	ror
Nember	1,182	811	165	206	Ųs Ind	age ^g lex
of Jurar Days	100%	68.6%	14.0 %	17.4%	19.3	38
National C	网络克莱尼亚科特尔 医心脏压力性	\$18 ₁₀		1 <u>26</u>	0 357	55 e
Estimated Cost	31,200	21,400	4,400	. 400	511	26
					Cost p	jenorivery er Day

	Jury Trials	1		Jury Trial Days	
i Potal	C)/II-7	Criminal	, Total	Clyff 🐧	
26	6	20	61	15	46
100%	23.1 %	76.9 %	100%	24.6 %	75.4 %

	er Verey	HISTO	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	CAL COMPARISON Juror Utilization				
Fiscal Year	Riesi zarod ° Jany Bilish	9% Gridavogel T Porty Grifds	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	juny Tady Jaruy		
1971	i 🛬 (63)	77.6 3	45.3	42.9	28.33			
1972	Ţ.	85.3 ·	33.0	50.9	23.97	573		
1973	(8)5 ·	: (30).00	16.7	68.1	14.92	r-353		
1974	3 (8) .	94.	20.6	68.3	18.24	555.		
1975	- 26	7/6(32)	17.4	68.6	19.38	511		

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number of July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled ⁽³⁾ in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged In the 12 Month Period	Number on Time 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	12	6	6	5	7
USAGE TOTALS	168	3,282	961	19.5	5.72
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	4 Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	0 0	AVERAGE NUMBER OF			

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JUR	OR COSTS
Total	Average Cost _o per Session	Äverage Cost per Juror Day
86,500	515	26

	0 0		GRAND)	URY NUM	BER (Office	: Number*)	2		
74 - 1 (3)	74 - 2 (3)	75 - 1 (3)	75 - 2 (3)	73 - 1 (6)	74 - 1 (6)	75 - 1 (6)	75 - 2 (6)	74-1 (8)	74 - 2 1 (8)
	1	Total N	lumber of N	10nths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
3	3	10	3	4	7	8	2	8	12
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		}	
1	2	-	1	1	2	2	_	4	

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported the occurrence of a few notoriety cases requiring extra-large panels for selection often lasting a number of days. The multiple voir dire technique is also employed by this court. The new statistical reporting of this technique along with the occurrence of highly publicized cases were factors resulting in the increased JUI in the past fiscal year. Florida Middle further reported instances in which costs of unused jury panels were assessed against parties when non-use of the panel was attributable to their delay.

The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

____6 Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving of Challenged	€ Ju	iror 💮
Number	9,182	6,064	1,181	1,937	. Us	age dex
of Juror Days	100%	66.0 %	12.9 %	21.1%	20.0	00
				·大小,不是有人的事情。	• 2	381
Redored	Ranke //	23,	_19_	45,	70.,	58
(Keukonel) Estimated Cost		23, 166,300	32,500	53,200	70	

	Jury Trials		1 177	Jury Trial Days	ilg reserv
Total	GvII	Cric	Total	cwii.	Criminal
102	21	81	459	100	359
100%	20.6 %	79.4 %	100%	21.8 %	78.2 %

		HISTO	RICAL COMP	ARISON			
		inds, to a light	<u> </u>	Juror Utilization			
Fiscal Year	idujalast aif pajry is jūngda	Va Cirilo di selle Norsy Sinedia	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	jary Votat 1255/	
1971	127	76:4	33.5	51.9	25.46		
1972	• -0 <u>125</u>	176:B	32.7	52.4	23.35	- 700	
1973	1186	79.7	32.7	54.0	21.84	:-57.7	
1974	121	74.4.	19.2	69.1	17.74	468	
1975	ioz		21.1	66.0	20.00	- SIG	

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	/ Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impatheled in the 12 North Period	Mediarged in the 12 Month Period o	Number on june 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	22	7	1.5	13	9
USAGE TOTALS	162	3,300	885	20.4	5.46
	Sessions Convened	jarors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF	1	AVERAGE 1	NUMBER OF

(ESTIMA	TED	GRAND JURG	OR GOSTS
_{⊊i _} Total		Average Cost per Session .	Average Cost per Juror Day
90,500	\$	559	27

		e ·	GRAND)	URY NUM	BER (Office	: Number*)			
74 - 6 (1)	74 - 7 (1)	74 - 8 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	75 - 3 (1)	75 - 4 (1)	75 - 5 (1)	75 - 6 (1)	74-1 ¹ (2)
	1	Total N	Number of N	Nonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
4.	5	5	7	8	7	3	2	1	3
			Total N	lumber of M	onths of No	Activity	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-	-		_	_	-	-	-	-	2

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The district reported a large number of instances in which a jury panel persent for voir dire was not used due to last minute pleas, settlements and continuances. These occurrences have the effect of increasing the percent of jurors who are not selected, serving or challenged while decreasing the percent selected or serving which is evidenced by Florida Southern's historical comparison data.

The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

FLORIDA SOUTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged .	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Jujo
Number	11,756	6,680	1,722	3,354	Usage Index
of Juror Days	100%	56.8%	14.6 %	28.5%	18.78
. (Nedonal)	Remlk 📗	<u>.61</u> .	<u>132</u> j	73	, 44 20,
Estimated Cost.	280,500	159,300	41,000	79,900	448 \$ 24
					Trial Day Inforces 12.

(*)	Jury Trials 🕹	+ 11		Jury Trial Days	
Total ,	Civil	Criminal	Total	Gwil	comp.
208	74	134	626	276	350
100%	35.6 %	64.4 %	100%	44.1 %	55.9 %

	jeny	pujals *	A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN	Juror Utilization		Cost (FS)	
Fiscal Year	Artember ed Jany Tokski	% Committees Navy Tinists	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Josep Torigi Dominy	
1971	.J <u>.2</u> 9	-7/5 ₀ (0)	48.2	42.6	29.68	jan (n. je	
1972	1.92	72.9	43.0	44.6	25.20	(5,0)8	
1973	210."	.77.e2	32.2	54.1	20.82	<u>531L</u>	
1974	· . 2211.	79,7	23.8	59 . ų	19.02	480	
1975	30 3	· (\$\$45-64)	28.5	56.8	18.78	1448	

	Total Number In Existence In the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Jujpeneled In the 12 Mounts - Period	kumber Landbladged In the 12 Worth Pariod	Winber on June 30, 1975	
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	10	3	7	5	5	
USAGE TOTALS	111	2,193	709	19.8	6.39	
	Sessiôns Convened	Jurors/in Session	Hours in Session	lurors per Session	Hours per Session	
		NUMBER OF		AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIMA	TED	GRAND JU	ROR	COSTS	`)
Total	r o	Average Cost per Session		Average Cost per Juror Day	4.
74,700	\$	673	\$	34	

			GRAND J	URY NUME	IER (Office	Number*)	/	- 4	
74-1 (1)	74 - 3 (1)	74 - 4 (1)	75-1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	75 - 3 (1)	75 - 4 (1)	75 - 5 (1)	75 - 6 (1)	75 - 7 (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
4	1	12	5	11	9	7	6	6	2
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity			
1	1	_		3	1		7	,	
		J	-		L	-	Т.	LL	

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported a notoriety case requiring an extralarge panel for selection of the jury. Although such an occurrence tends to have an adverse effect on the utilization statistics, Georgia Northern again showed improvement in its JUI.

GEORGIA NORTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

____6 Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or • Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	(Jur	
Number	10,677	6,346	2,339	1,992	Usa) Inde	je X
of = Juror Days	100%	59.4%	21.9 %	18.7%	16.9	5
(NEGIOTEL) (s Rands	<u>i 55,</u>	78,	. <u> </u>	25	
Estimated / Cost	262,500	155,900	57,500	49,100	417	25
					Trial Day Cost per	

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	Civil	Crinyinal	Total	Civii	diminal.
217	100	117	630	297	333
100%	46.1 %	53.9 %	100%	47.1 %	52.9 %

Fiscal Year	jung.	/Tiplet		Juror Utilization		Control of
	ik <u>ian</u> dergeli Pinge Veksy	26 Grantset 1 Millery (*) Thanks	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Tental Tiesy —
1971	205	5504. 1	24.6	55.6	22.52	
1972	200	544,11	15.8	63.5	20.55	520
1973	337.	. 76.3	17.3	61.4	19.94	462
1974	2079	क्रिक्टी	15.9	64.5	17.86	排金色
1975	: 217	55,0	18.7	59.4	16.95	. 1015

	in Massachen In 1887 - 1893 Indion 1893 - Kondun Madaga	Managar Ag	Namboli Lambanaskali Palasti D2 Manabi Kapadi	ištyratogi 19. iūdydajoja 19. dajo 122 dyleisaly 18. dajož	11.95 in an Juan 10, 1976
The States of B	3	l	2	1	2
(B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B)	45	946	323	21.0	7.18
	Sections Control	tajdinolojija Sassiolo	Footos in 1 / 2 Session	loteokjaki Sikeson	idous pui Mariana
İ		Animonates te	. 0	äverave i	MADER OF

NA ESIIN	Įψ	erij Ajiri	@P(O)Pe(
(lefall)				AVOCE Los	k.
		- '8039l038 (V			
40,100	*	891	•	42	

Angst .	100		cation and home	Est (Callico Number	*}	a a	
75 - 1 (4)	74 - 2 (5)	75 - 2 (5)					
		Total N	Number of Months in Exis	stence in the 12 Mon	th Period		
12	12	3					
			Total Number of Mo	onths of No Activity			
10	5)	1					

^{*}See Appendix is for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

- _______ Judgeships
- Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror
Number	3,826	2,510	920	396	Usage Index
of / Juror Days	100%	65.6%	24.0 %	10.4%	19.32
Redional		,26	87 - 3 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4	.4 100	
Estimated • Cost	95,400	62,600	22,900	9,900	482 \$ 25
					Trief Diversity in the fill of the Cost per Day

1	🦩 Jury Trials	•		Jury Trial Days	
// Total 🖫	Civil	Criminal	Total	CIVI)	Crimina
84	40	цц	198	113	85
100%	47.6 %	52.4 %	. 100%	57.1 %	42.9 %

	K ina ingy			Juror Utilization		Victoriacii
Fiscal Year	Pilembra on Hery Highls	25 Colombadl Heavy (Greath	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	prov Traini Cray
1971	99.	. 6 7 ₀ 7	19. ;	55.1	22.35	
1972	(a) (a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	67 ₀ 3	18.6	58.3	20.68	456
1973	. GO.,	[50 <u>01</u>	20.9	57.4	22.02	.503
1974	73	56,2	16.5	57.2	22.06	589
1975	84	. 52.4	10.4	65.6	19.32	482

	Total Rumber in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled In the 12 Month Period 。	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	3	1
USAGE TOTALS	15	270	81	18.0	5.40
	Sessions . Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurars per Session	Hours per Session
	Dominio monopologia de martir estadoras de la defenda estadora de la	NUMBER OF		O AVERAGE P	FUMBER OF

ESTIMA	TEQ	GRAND	JUROR	costs
» Totai	0 3	Average Cost per Session	S	Average Cost per // / Juror Dav
16,000		1,067		59

	•		GILAND P	ba miniesy (d	titee Numi	264. ₆)	٥	
75 - 1 (1)	75 - 2 (2)	74-2 (4)	74 - 3 (4)					
		Total N	lumber of M	onths in Existence	in the 12 N	lonth Period		
8	1	2	9					
	······································	e and the second second	Total N	mber of Months o	f No Activi	ty		
1	-	2	7					

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The implementation of the new reporting procedures for multiple jury selecting is one factor accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in fiscal year 1975. Georgia Southern makes extensive use of this utilization technique and its success is evidenced by the fact that only 6.4% of the total available persons were not selected, serving or challenged.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

	Total Ayailable	Selected or or Serving		Not Selected Serving or Challenged		10
Number	3,210	2,337	668	205	j U	sage dex - ;
o of Jurer Days	100%	72.8%	20.8 %	6.4%	21.	26
(Pedioped)	Renk D	20,	172 5 6 n	21	9 6	74.j 20
Estimated Cost	76,400	55,600	15,900	4,900	506	\$ 24
- Nurt		L	<u></u>		Trial Day	1 . O Sand del

	Jury Trials		A	Jury Trial Days	
Total	َّ ، Civil	Criminas	. Total	Civil ?	Crimbill .
92	45	47	151	91	60
100%	48.9 %	51.1 %	100%	60.3 %	39.7 %

	Li. Yeny	Daleis		Juror Utilization		
Fiscal Year	Physilian et a (junk) (junk)	SpiCalización de Ipersy Hadyle	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	52	40°a	23.6	53.3	22.88	
1972	. c. 147	. : \$6 ₀ 2	19.3	51.8	23.66	(* '65@)î.
1973	97.	51 ₀ 5	18.1	56.7	21.30	543.
1974	e, (1937)	(f/d) ^{2,} (g)	22.6	57.2	19.60	(4) . (0)
1975	£12 \ €12	51 ₀ 1.	6.4	72.8	21.26	. 50G

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF - GRAND JURIES	9	6	3	4	5
UŠAGE TOTALS	115	2,343	661	20.4	5 . 75
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	D. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.	AVERAGE NUMBER OF			

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JUR	OR COSTS
Total	Average Cost per «Session	Average Coat per Juror Day
56,600	492	24

			GRALLA	ukw nam	un (enc	estiluniffera)		•	
72 - 1 (2)	73 - 1 (2)	74 - 1 (2)	74 - 2 (2)	74 - 3 (2)	74 - 4 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	75 - 2 (2)	75 - 3 (2)	
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period								
1	6	5	12	12	12	7	5	1	
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		<u> </u>	
	3	3	1	3	4	2	_	-	

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

LOUISIANA EASTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

___9 Judgeships

	īrotal Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected A - Serving or - Grallenged -	Juro
(Number	9,004	5,362	2,048	1,594	Usaĝe 3 • Index
of of significant of the signifi	100%	59.6%	22.7 %	17.7%	16.31
* Radonel	Remb	51,	181	28	23 § 31
Estimated ° Cost	\$ 229,500	136,800	52,100	40,600	416 \$ 25
					Trial Davil Juror Day Cost per Day

	Jury Trials		i i i a se se se se se se se se se se se se se	Jury Trial Days	
Total	Civil	Criminal	fotel \$	ੂੰ Civil ੈ 。	Criminai -
1.98	131	67	552	331	221
100%	66.2 %	33.8 %	100%	60.0 %	40.0 %

Australia Austria	Jury Jitals		CICAL: COMPA	Juror Utilization		Clescon Value		
Fiscal Year	Number of (# juny fries tox	gariginal Lury Uniala	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index			
1971		38.0°	24.5	57.6	21.26			
1972	.225	39/11-77	23.2	53.3	16.96	. 6/5 LU(6/0) . \$2.3		
1973	198	25. 8.	18.3	56.5	15.35	380		
1974	236	50°8 / 1	19.4	56.4	16.10	4.07		
1975	2 1198 3 1	33.8 L	17.7	59.6	16.31	416		

	Total Number in Existence in the J2 Month Period	Number∾on jury 1, 1974	Number Impaneled , in the 12 Month &	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
Number of Grand Juries	5	2	3	3	2
USAGE TO ALS	55	1,133	384	20.6	6.98
	Sessions Convened 0	Jurors in Session	Hours in o Session	Jurors per Session °	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF 8	AVERAGE (UMBER OF	

ESTIMA	TEL GRAND JUR	OR COSTS
. Tetal	Average Cost por Session	Average Cost per ⇒ ¶uror Day
26,500	482	23

P	Ŋ		GRAND J	URY NUN	Ber (of	ice Numbe	r †)	
74 - 2 (3)	74 - 3 (3)	75 - 1 (3)	75 - 2 (3)	75 - 3 (3)				
		Total N	umber of M	lonths in E	xistence in	the 12 Mo	nth Period	
8	3	5	6	2				
	I		Total N	umber of	Aonths of	No Activity		
4	-	1	1	_				

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported that a notoriety case was set for trial with a panel of 156 prospective jurors called for voir dire. Just prior to selection of the jury, a change of venue was granted and the case was transferred from the district. Excluding this case from Middle Louisiana's juror utilization figures would have resulted in a JUI of 19.67 for fiscal year 1975, while the percent selected or serving would have been 40.7% and the percent not selected, serving or challenged would have been 26.3%. This one case had such a large effect on the utilization figures because of the relatively small amount of jury trial activity within Louisiana Middle --- 18 jury trial days with 510 total available jurors reported for fiscal year 1975.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

_______ Judgeships

	Total Availāble 🏰	Selected of MServings	Challenged	Not Selected Servingtor Challenged	Para lijio	
Number	510	144	117	249	Úsag Inde	é K
Juror Days	100%	28.2%	22.9%	48.8%	28.3	1
Kedonel (Rendk \	94	84	94; ∘	90,	
Estimated Cost	12,500	3,500	2,900	6,100	694 \$	25
The state of the s			e transcription and the second second second second second second second second second second second second se		Friat Day Cost per	juror Day. Day

	Jury Trials	The second second		Jury Trial Days-	
Total	Civil	^e Criminal	Total , c	Civil	Criffinal
15	9	6	18	12	ć
100%	60.0 %	40.0 %	100%	66.7 %	33.3 %

	: HISTOR Sury Table 、 人。	THE RESERVE AND THE PARTY OF TH	ARISON Juror Utilization	in the second se	Gost per
Fiscal Year	Manipoer of the County and Lines of the County and	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	jury Tyle Day
1971		_	_		
1972	A 18 61.31 7	43.5	28.8	30.74	7/16
1973	13 . 30.8	47.7	35.8	21.57	(664)
1974	16 553	44.7	30.6	31.22	i. 000
1975	16 / 40.0	48.8	28.2	28.33	(69)H

	no de Talones)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)(0)	o pica iz Monda Period	A Number - Divolkingso 10 Mar 12 (Vocalina Period	distribution of the state of th	
a samplinista	6	1	5	2	Ц	
EXPERTE OF TOTALS	62	1,246	422	20.1	6.81	
	Sessions >> Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session	
Ę		NUMBER OF	E	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JUR	OR COSTS
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
43,300	698	35

			GRAND 1	ury num	Beil (Dific	a Number*)	*		
75 - 1 (1)	74 - 2 (5)	75 - 1 (5)	75 – 2 (5)	75 - 3 (5)	75 - 4 (5)				
		Total N	lumber of M	lonths in Ex	istence in t	he 12 Month	Lariod	<u></u>	
4	3	6	8	3	1				
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		- 1 	
_	2	3	2	1	-				

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Multiple voir dire is a utilization technique often employed by the judges of this district. The revision of reporting procedures for this technique partially accounts for the increase in the JUI of Louisiana Western in fiscal year 1975. However, the use of this procedure has enabled the district to improve its utilization of jurors as evidenced by the sharp increase in the percent of jurors selected or serving and the corresponding decrease in the percent not selected, serving or challenged.

LOUISIANA WESTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

_____ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged .	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	€ STu	rot .
"Number"	4,308	2,633	774	901	: Us	
of Juror Days	100%	61.1%	18.0%	20.9%	18.	.10
(Keidoned I	Rands	H6.	53	. 44	اي د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د	.66
Estimated Cost	122,700	75,000	22,100	25,600	516	28
The Management of the Control of the		·			Trial Day	Juran Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
© Total *	Gwil "	Criminal	'Total	Civil	Criminal
86	54	32	238	133	105
100%	62.8 %	37.2 %	100%	55.9 %	44.1 %

	, HISTO	RICAL COMP	ÁRISON		
	wy defisition.		Juror Utilization		Costoper
Fiscal Year	Numberally symmetrial lugare character charact	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	1616 1000 1000 1000
1971	56	48.3	40.9	26.81	
1972	70 · 0 42.9	35.0	48.7	23.19	. 6115
1973	261 44.3 . 3	48.9	38.4	24.40	* 1523 · .
1974	\$\\\\"70 \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	30.6	53.5	16.48	43.0
1975	\$ \ 36. \ 37. ₁ 2\	20.9	61.1	18,10	516

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled In the 12 Month	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1.	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	15	325	83	21.7	5.53
	Sessions Conversed	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF .		AVERAGE N	NUMBER OF

(ESTIMA	ted grand jure	OR COSTS
Total	Avera ge Cost per Session	Average of Cost per Juror Day
11,700	780	36

			GRAND !	urt num	er (omc	(*rodenukê :		
74-1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)							
	1	Total N	umber of N	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	
5	6				' : 			
		<u> </u>	Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		
2	3		:					

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is to be viewed as a factor accounting for the increase in the JUI of this district in fiscal year 1975. The judges of Mississippi Northern make effective use of this utilization technique which has resulted in only 9.2% of those jurors reporting to the courthouse not being selected, serving or challenged.

MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

_____2 Judgeships

	Total 4 Ayailable	"Selected or Serving		Not Selected Serving or Challenged	urg
Number	2,479	1,760	491	228	Usage [™] Index
of Juror Days	100%	71.0%	19.8%	9.2%	18.92
Khulong!	Report)	15,	69	8, 472	76 82
Estimated Cost	\$ 77,800	55,200	15,400	7,200	594 \$ 31.
					Trial Day Juror Day Cost per Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total 🦿	Civil	Criminal	Fotal	Civil	Criminal
50	18	32	131	61	70
100%	36.0 %	64.0 %	100%	46.6 %	53.4 %

		HISTOR	RICAL COMPA	ARISON		
	c July Total			Juror Utilization	7	Cost-per
Fiscal Year	Numberet & & Jury Trinds	oprainal 1007 Totalka	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	jury Toloti O
1971	a (LID)	35.0	31.6	52.9	25.75	
1972	\$ 50 L	42.6	31.5	51.2	26.79	1086
1973	52	65 ₀ 4	20.6	59.7	21.70	656
1974	62	95 ₀ 5	11.8	71.5	12.71	ê 3156 ·
1975	50	(6)4°0	9.2	71.0	18.92	594 .

	Fotal Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974°	Number Impareled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	National Conference on 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	11	238	70	21.6	6.36
	Sessions Convented	Jurors in Session	्व Hours in Session	Jurors per Sessión	Hours per Session
		MUMBER OF		AVERAGE N	UMBER OF

ESTIN	IATEI	GRAND J	UROR (COSTS	
Total	ø	Average Cost per Session		Äverage Cost fwr Juror Da	
10,700		973	\$	45	

	·		GHAND.	ury man	SER (drift)	: Whythere)		.	
74 - 1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)								
		Total N	lumber of M	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Montf	Period	1	
5	4		'						
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
3	3								

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The increase in the JUI in fiscal year 1975 is at least partially attributable to the new reporting instructions for multiple jury selection. This district often selects two or more juries from one panel of prospective jurors thus more fully utilizing each juror's time in court.

MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

Judgeships

	Total 'Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		roi .
Number	4,035	2,342	611	1,082	l Uš Inc	age lex
of Juror Days	100%	58.0%	15.1 %	26.8%	22.	42
			A - white the same of the same	THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH		The state of the s
Redord	Rank)	574. "	37 %	687	77	8L) * 58,
Reviewed Estimated Cost	Rank \	62,500	Land	- 68	ا 77 : 11 :	11. 7 _ 58_

	Jury Trials	regreen metal	ury Trial Days					
° Total	Ç Givil	Criminal	Total +	Civil .	Criminal			
65	44	21	180	118	62			
100%	67.7 %	32.3 %	100%	65.6 %	34.4 %			

Fiscal Year	Jury	Tribis"	RICAL COMP.	Cost per		
	Number of Jury Tytels	∘ % Criminal jury Triak	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jury Trial Day
1971	546	83.3	54.3	37.7	31.58	
1972	"	20.5	43.4	45.2	27.05	6624
1973	485	39.6	47.1	40.5	30.63	822
1974	(48)	25F0:	28.0	59.2	21.06	628
1975	66	7.32.3	26.8	58.0	22.42	598

	Total Number in Existence In the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impansled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975	
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	10	6	ц	3	7	
USAGE TOTALS	100	2,015	644	20.2	6.44	
	Sessions Conversed	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per	Hours ger Session	
	NUMBER OF . S. S.			AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIMA	TEZ GRAND JURI	er costs
Total	Average Cost per Jession	Average Cost per Juror Day
63,200	632	31

(°		√	Grand J	ury arm	ER (Office	: Number#)	¥÷3.		
73 - 1 (1)	74-1 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	73 - 1 (3)	74 - 1 (3)	74 - 2 (3)	74 - 3 (3)	75 - 1 (3)	75 - 2 (3)	75 - 1 (5)
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period								
1	12	6	5	10	12	12	6	5	12
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
_	10	3	5	5	2	6	1	1	9 /

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The multiple jury selection technique is sometimes used by this district, and the implementation of the revised reporting of this technique should be viewed as a factor having an adverse effect on the JUI.

___6_ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Turor
Number	8,833	5,756	1,444	1,633	Usage ; Usage ;
of Juror Days	100%	65.2%	16.3%	18.5%	19.29
٥	N	o.		9	L <u>51</u> . G
Redonal	Rank /	. 27.	Limitation of the Contraction of	<u>32</u> , ·	83 11
Neulonell Estimated Cost	204,100	133,100	33,300	37,800	33, 11,

	Jury Trials		ar a myrat my	Jury Trial Days	
Total	Gwil	Criminal	Total	· Civil	, Criminal
143	76	67	458	272	186
100%	53.1 %	46.9 %	100%	59.4 %	40.6 %

		ністо	THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	ICAL COMPARISON Juror Utilization				
Fiscal Year	Bellindroft of Wi Bellin Hazir	As Constanting A UNIX UNIX	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index			
1971	์ เกอป-	46 ₀ 0	23.7	63.4	19.24			
1972	. 11(4)3)	37 ₀ 1	26.8	60.3	17.64	426		
1973	: 145(Q)	s, 37.3	18.7	65.5	18.34	2°, 1901.7		
1974	154	A6.3	19.1	63.6	19.26	; (46\$. 4		
1975	10.25	46.8	18.5	65.2	19.29	7		

	to Estates in the 42 Moning a Period	Apple (Carlos)	* Supreed Shoulder Ad Abberton 19 West in Decide		Number on A pur sousions
TIMEBOOR TRASTIPARIES	2	1	1	-	2
VSAGE TOTALS	14	299	82	21.4	5.86
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in "Session	Hours in Session	furors per (*-) Session	Hours per Session
a. Taraba		NUMBER OF	9	AVERAGE N	NUMBER OF

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JURG	OR COSTS
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average [©] Cost per Juror Day
14,300	1,021	48

	o ^{leg} ra (C		GRAND !	URY NUM	BER (Offic	e Mimber*)		
75 - 1 (1)	74 - 2 (6)							
1		Total N	Number of !	Months in E	xistence in the	he 12 Month	Period	
11	12							
······································	····································		Total N	Number of N	Nonths of No	Activity	A	
7	7							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of the multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in Texas Eastern's JUI in the past fiscal year. This utilization technique is extensively employed by the judges of this district in an attempt to maximize the service of each juror reporting to court.

____3 Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or " Challenged		ror
Number	3,880	2,565	734	581		age lex '
The Case	100%	66.1%	18.9%	15.0%	1.5	90
(Nethous)	TRank	, ,22;	62	· William .	920	
Estimated Cost	100,300	66,300	19,000	15,000	411	26
					Trail 1972 L ^D Cost p	

	Jury Trials	4 A. C.		Jury Trial Days	<u> </u>
Ìotal	Çîvîl .	Criminal	, Total •	CIVII, ^{35,1}	Crimillau "
97	76	21	244	199	45
100%	78.4 %	21.6 %	100%	81.6 %	18.4 %

	Lease of July 1	HISTO	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY	ICAL COMPARISON. Juror Utilization				
Fiscal Year	Natiriblia ofi Italy Tiricils: 4	22 Galleinadie ikury Takak	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	icing Tuded Tuges		
1971	62	21 ₀ 0/	14.4	71.7	16.63			
1972	S3,	26 ₀ 5	8.0	76.7	15.43) (400m)		
1973	: 63	·25-3	10.2	75.8	15.65	915		
1974	1105	îs.i	13.1	72.1	13.42	i 355 o		
1975	97	211.6	15.0	66.1	15.90	411		

	Total Number on in Existence on the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on , June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	11	6	iber on impaneled Discharged in the 12 Month Period Period Period 5 4 288 19.8 Hours in Jurors per	7	
- USAGE - TOTALS	53	1,048	288	19.8	5.43
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session °	Hours in Session	lurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF	(a)	AVERAGE I	YUMBER OF

EST	MATE	D GRAND	IUROR (OSTS	0)
Total		Average Cost per Session		Average Cost per Juror Day	
25,100		474	\$ C. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S.	24	

		1 .	GRAND J	URY KUM	BEE (Glike	: Number#)			
74-2 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	74 - 1 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	75 - 2 (2)	74-1 (3)	72 - 1 (4)	74 - 1 (4)	751 (4)	74 - 1 ¹ (5)
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period								
9	3	9	1	1	12	6	12	<u> </u> 4	9
			Total N	umber of M	enths of No	Activity		**************************************	
4	1.	5	-	-	12	6	5		4

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The judges of Texas Southern have long used the multiple jury selection process. A judge will often select a large number of juries from one panel brought in exclusively for a "selection day". At other times, two or three days at the start of a term will be used exclusively to select juries for all upcoming cases on the calendar. Because of this active use of the utilization technique, the revision of the statistical reporting of these selections is a prime factor in accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in the past fiscal year. The effectiveness of the use of multiple voir dire is evidenced in Texas Southern by the fact that in fiscal year 1975, 75.0% of all prospective jurors were selected for or serving on jury trials.

 $^{^{}m l}$ The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

____8 Judgeships

4	-Total Available	Selected A	() Challenged。	Not Selected, Serving or " Challenged		101
Number of	9,054	6,790	1,115	1,149		age lex.
Juror Days	100%	75.0%	12.3%	12.7%	19	06
	Esint 1	<u> </u>	، <u>127</u>	- <u>1</u> 2,	* 40. *.	3 <u>1</u> , ,
Estimated Cost	223,400	167,600	27,500	28,400	470	25
					Trial Day Cost p	luror Day er Day

es de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days.	84
Total	,GVII ,	Criminal .	Total	Civit	r. Criminal
180	34	1.46	475	168	307
100%	18.9 %	81.1 %	100%	35,4 %	64.6 %

				Juror Utilization		Costaces
Fiscal Year	Etimber of Hylity ou timels	, vá Colombiál Utvev Utvets 19	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	\$ 80°	(61) of a	31.7	55.8	22.13	
1972	122	58,2.	25.9	62.8	19.77	14(6)(8)
1973	. 1811 -	. 75ol. : °	21.7	65.0	18.23	429
1974	1714	71.9	18.7	67.1	15.46	381
1975	-11 <i>3</i> jj	: 781Lo]!	12.7	75.0	19.06	470

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Bischarged In the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
ONUMBER OF SERVICES	1.7	7	10	7	10
USAGE TOTALS	113	2,362	600	20.9	5.31
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF	in the second	AVERAGE 1	NUMBER OF

6	. ESTIN	IATEI	GRAND JU	ROR	COSTS .	
	fotal :	E C	Average Cost per . Session,	0	Average Cost per Juror Day	(Q
	57,600		510	\$	24	

	``	e ·	GRAND J	URY NUM	BER (Office	: Number*)			
74-1 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	75 - 1 (2)	73 - 1 (3)	74 - 1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)	75 - 2 (3)	75 - 3 (3)	74-1 ¹ (4)
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period								
l	ц.	5	4	2	12	10	7	5	12
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity	1	1	
_	1	1	1	-	5	1	3	2	12

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in Texas Western's JUI in the past fiscal year. The multiple voir dire technique is often employed by this district to more efficiently utilize each juror's time. However, sometimes the size of the panel used to conduct the multiple voir dire is large in comparison to the number of juries that are actually selected. This type of occurrence has an adverse effect on the utilization statistics.

The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

____5 Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected? Serving or Challenged	(uro)	
Number	6,202	3,924	1,262	1,016	Usage Index 🛫	
of Juror Days	100%	63.3%	20.3%	16.4%	21.92	
" Regional I	Amiso	38	21 <u>.</u>	° <u>, 2</u> 5,	78 <u>1</u>	t
Estimated S	136,300	86,300	27,700	22,400	482 \$ 22	
					Trial Day Custon D	

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total :	Civil	Criminal	Total °	。	Criminal _@
113	26	87	283	100	183
100%	23.0 %	77.0 %	100%	35.3 %	64.7 %

	A Juis	· HISTO	RICAL COMP	ARISON Juror Utilization		The second secon
Fiscal Year	Stuariber eri Es : fluov Tirrelis	96 Circuitaci 104 Tatl Tatiyan	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	in all
1971	1.56	45.5	18.9	65.5	15.34	
1972	157	(15.9	28.0	52.5	17.78	40.0
1973	7.69	:62,72	24.9	55.8	17.89	(417)
1974	151	\$ 597.6	13.7	69.1	14.20	i 324
1975	<u> </u>	777.0	16.4	63.3	21.92	432

	Total Number th Existence In the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	- Number Impaneled In the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period g	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	N/A				
USAGE TOTALS					
	Sessions Convened	Iurors≋in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session *
		NUMBER OF		average n	UMBER OF

C ESTIM.	ated Grand Jur	OR COSTS
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
N/A	\$	

	GRA	ad jury numbi	R (Office Numb	er*)	
N/A					
	Total Numbe	er of Months in Exis	tence in the 12 M	onth Period	
			1	1	1
			Ì		1
	T	otal Number of Mo	nths of No Activit	У	
1		1 1	1		1

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The District of the Canal Zone reported that during the past fiscal year no grand jury system was in operation in this court according to provisions in Rule 54(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. This accounts for the lack of a grand jury statistical profile.

This district conducted only 7 jury trials in fiscal year 1975, all of which were criminal. A small number of jury trials and a predominantly criminal trial caseload are hindrances to good utilization statistics; however, the Canal Zone recorded substantial improvement in the areas of the JUI, the percent selected or serving, and the percent not selected, serving or challenged.

______ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serying	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		Jr of
Number	414	227	66	121		sage dex
of Juror Days	100%	54.8%	15.9%	29.2%	21	.79
Redional	Ranik .	<u>69</u>	<u>цз</u> ,	, 76	* 3	
Estimated Cost	\$ 6,500	3,600	1,000	1,900	342	\$ 16
					Trial Day Cost	Juror Lay er Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	Cjvjj	- Cri(digal	Total	Civil	Criminal
7	-	7	19	_	19
100%	0.0 %	100.0 %	100%	0.0 %	100.0 %

	(1)	:HISTO	RICA I L COMP	Control of the Property of New York Street, St		
Fiscal Year	Pornitier of Juny Matths	Wifeilimusi o	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Utilization % Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Costi pen
1971		66.7	25.5	65.5	18.35	
1972	5	1,00,00	41.4	38.2	25.33	y (· 221 · .)
1973	7	1000:0	37.0	41.2	29.11	240
1974	7	1000000	35.2	43.6	27.54	231
1975	7	100.0 -3	29.2	54.8	21.79	4,4302





	Total Number In Existence In the 42 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number : Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharges in the 12 Month Period	Number ou June 30, 1975
NEMBER OF GRAND JURIES	6	3	3	3	3
USAGE COTALS	29	602	177	20.8	6.10
	räetsions ∌√ Convened /	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session °
and the second		NUMBER OF		· AVERAGE N	IUMBER OF

	ESTIN	IATED	GRAND J	UROR (COSTS	
25	Total 🤌		Ave/age Cost per ° Session		Average Cost per Juror Day	
	17,800		614	\$	30	

67			GRAND J	JRY NOMI	SER (Office	: Mumber*)		
74 - 2 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	74 - 2 (2)	75-1 (2)	74 - 1 (5)	75 - 1 (5)			
,		Total N	lumber of M	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	
3	9	2	10	4	8			
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		
2	7	2	9	1.				

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district often selects two or three juries from a single panel of prospective jurors. The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is thus one factor accounting for the increase in Kentucky Eastern's JUI in the past fiscal year. The use of separate impanelment and orientation days and a number of last minute pleas, settlements, and continuances when a panel is already present at the courthouse for voir dire are factors that have an adverse effect on the JUI in this district.

KENTUCKY EASTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

2½ Judgeships

	Fotal Available	Selected or Servings	Chällenged	Not Selected; Serving, or. = Ghallenged;	
Number	7,194	3,920	701	2,573	iUsage ≱Index
of Juror Days	100%	54.5%	9.7%	35.8%	27.05
Redikonell	무분하게 기가하다	ph.721	<u>6</u>	89.	. <u>92</u> . <u>92</u> , . <u>66</u> ,
Estimated Cost	\$ 199,900	108,900	19,400	71,600	752 \$ 28
 					Trial Day Juror Day Cost per Day

	Jury Trials		***	Jury Trial Days	
Total	∵ , Civil	Criminal	ع Total	0 Civil	Cominal
107	11	96	266	45	221
100%	10.3 %	89.7 %	100%	16.9 %	83.1 %

		: HISTO	RICAL COMP	ARISON		
	Jury	Totalo.	<u> </u>	Juror Utilization		Contract.
Fiscal Year	Number of Stays 1	es engangar Colories Colories es	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	121E	7850L7	28.8	61.2	21.78	
1972		87 ol -	28.7	61.3	21.96	588
1973	1,35	92.6	41.4	48.7	27.43	740
1974	123	75.6	39.4	51.3	22.36	603
1975	107 :	39 ₀ 75, 5	35.8	54.5	27.05	7. 752

	Total Number in Existente in the 12 Month Period	Number on july 1, 1974	Number © Impaneled 8 in the 12 Month = Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975		
NUMBET DE GRAND UNIES	7	5	2	3	4		
L'S GU TOTALS	· 51	1,048	280	20 . 5	5.49		
	Sessions Gonvened	furors in Session	Hous in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session		
		NUMBER OF	AVERAGE NUMBER OF				

M ESTIMA	TED GRAND JURG	OR COSTS
Taval	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per * Juror Day
27,700	543	26

$\Omega =$			GRAMO J	ury num	BER (Offic	e Number [‡])	
74 - 2 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	74 - 1 (3)	74 - 2 (3)	75 - 1 (3)	74 - 1 (4)	74 - 1 (5)		
		Total N	Number of N	Months in Ex	kistence in t	he 12 Mont	h Period	
2	7	7	12	Ц	12	12		
			Total N	lumber of N	lonths of No	Activity		
2	6	3	2	_	10	11		

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: A number of instances of last minute settlements, pleas and dismissals occurred in this district in the past fiscal year. This results in panels of prospective jurors being available for voir dire and then sent home unused for that day. Such occurrences have had an adverse effect on Kentucky Western's utilization statistics.

KENTUCKY WESTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

Judgeships

	→Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selecteds Serving or Challenged		iror
Number	2,945	1,308	454	1,183	. Jo	age dex
of Juror Days	100%	44.4%	15.4%	40.2%	23.	.37
Rentonell	Rank	1911	<u> 40°</u> ,	931	.0 ° L	33 ₁
Estimated Cost	68,600	30,500	10,600	27,600	544	\$ 23
					Trial Day Cost p	uro=Day er Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
↑ Total	== Civit	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal ,
52	21	31	126	52	74
100%	40.4 %	59.6 %	100%	41.3 %	58.7 %

		. । भाजा०।	RICAL COMP	ARISON 🦼		4.00	
	jury ,	动颤		Juror Utilization			
Fiscal Year	ुNumber of Jury : Trials	. M. Griffichal 1959 No. 3 Trippist	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index		
1971	ill8	52.1	49.5	37.5	25.97		
1972	68	。 (前9丁)	42.4	41.0	25.38	579	
1973	69	55。少	28.9	54.3	20.88	675	
1974	57	.50.9	36.2	45.7	20.60	1982	
1975	写2	59.6	40.2	44.4	23,37	548	

	Total Number in Existence, in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	" Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 4975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	12	6	6	7	5
USAGE TOTALS	205	4,192	1,182	20.4	5.77
	Sessions Convened	Jucors in Session	Heurs in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per & Session
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	NUMBER OF		AVERAGE)	NUMBER OF

ESTIMA	TEI	GRAND JU	ROR	COSTS
o Total	Average Cost per Session			Average ° Cost per Juror Bay
99,400	\$	485		24

	• ₽		GRAND J	URY NUM	SER (Office	: Number*)				
74-1 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	72 - 1 (2)	73 - 1 (2)	74-1 (2)	74 - 2 (2)	74 - 3 (2)	75-1 (2)	75 - 2 (2)	75 - 3 - (2)	
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
11	1	5	3	1	10	6	11	10	5	
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		·		
3		-	3	1	-	1	-	1	- ا	

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: In fiscal year 1975, Michigan Eastern reported a large number of notoriety cases. These cases often involved multiple defendants, concerned criminal narcotics charges and often the cases had received extensive pre-trial media coverage. Extra-large panels of prospective jurors were called due to the number of challenges anticipated in these types of trials which often required several days for jury selection. Further, many last minute settlements, pleas and continuances were reported which resulted in non-use of available jurors. These factors should be taken into account when reviewing this district's utilization performance as they had an adverse influence on the statistics.

The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

10 Judgeships

	Total Available	, Selected or Serving	Challeriged	Not Selected, Serving on a Challenged	A. Juror
Number	19,409	1.2,497	1,471	5,441	्र Usage अक्टुं Index
of Juror Days	100%	64.4%	7.6 %	28.0%	20.60
당면, 그 한 모두 가는다.					
Redorel	Rmk)	32	<u>, 2</u> / .	71.	54 47 3
Redonal Estimated • Cost	<u> </u>	324,600	38,300	141,100	535 \$ 26

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	° Civil	Çriminal'	- Total	Çivil .	Crimmal
186	58	128	942	278	664
100%	31.2 %	68.8 %	100%	29.5 %	70.5 %

<u></u>		HISTOR	RICAL COMP	Godypers		
Fiscal Year	Numbergia:		% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Juny Tulk
1971	198	58387	20.2	71.3	18.92	
1972	\$. I.S/4	52.7	26.5	66.4	16.97	r Hill Ar
1973	1 200 -	(52.0 -)	33.4	60.1	16.56	399
1974	212	67.9	28.8	63.1	19.98	508
1975	186	(\$,3 ₀ ,8	28.0	64.4	20.60	535

	Total Number In Enistence In the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period , w	Number on June 30, 1975
orand juries	1	1		l	-
USAGE TOTALS	14	280	77	20.0	5.50
	*Sessions Convened , ° 0	Jurors in Session	Flours in Session	Jurors ppr Session	Hours per Syssion
1	the Complete Commission of Complete Commission of Complete Commission of Complete Commission of Complete Commission of Complete Commission of Complete Commission of Complete Commission of Complete Commission of Complete	RUMBER OF	ang mengangkan pendagan Average N	unber of	

65.30	iavėj)	GP AND	UECH	COSTS	
(Ca)	L L	Average f Cost year Sassion	The second secon	Averag Light for Autor D	er e
9,800		700	\$	35	

, ,	e e		DRAND!	day mik	DEN (Cinc	, Narios 🤾			
74-1									
(1)									
		Total N	lumber of N	lonths in Ex	distance in th	ne 12 Month	Period	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
11						<u> </u>			
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity			
ļ								1	
4					! !				

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in the JUI in this district in fiscal year 1975 as compared to 1974. Michigan Western makes effective use of this utilization technique which is evidenced by the fact that 81.4% of all prospective jurors are selected for or serving on jury trials while only 8.3% are not selected, serving or challenged.

MICHIGAN WESTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

____2_ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	& Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Litor
Abimber of	1,779	1,448	183	148	Usage Index
pror Days	100%	81.4%	10.3%	8.3%	14.70
Nextoned	Rend	2	9° 1	4 ₀ %	41. 86 °
					
Estimated Cost	57,300	46,600	5,900	4,800	474 \$ 32

	Jury Trials 🤊		a /	Jury Trial Days	1.
Total *	Civil	Criminal	Page aside A Lorent Committee	Civil	° Cr(minal
60	30	30	121	81	40
100%	50.0 %	50.0 %	100%	66.9 %	33.1 %

		HISTOR	RIÇAL:COMP	ARISÓN	4	
F-1	Juny A	in like	l	Juror Utilization		Ton our
Fiscal Year	Minoteuroi du Ancis	datametera peko da ibada	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	5626	* 94.6	9.2	80.7	15.03	
1972	311	. 51k.j6	13.3	74.3	15.16	(* 546)
1973	. 38	50.0°, *	8.3	84.8	13.97	indult.
1974	Suit	35.72	4.2	88.8	12.16	305' "
1975	. 60	50 ₀ 0	8.3	81.4	14.70	474

Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period		Number on Sumber Sumpaneled Impaneled Period		Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on pune 30, 1975	
NUMBER OF 2 GRAND JURIES	9	5	4	3	6	
USAGE TOTALS	88	1,762	486	20.0	5.52	
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors エン Session 	Hours per Session	
ţ.		NUMBER OF	gille of any other section of the se	[®] AVÊRAGE I	NUMBEŘ OF	

ESTIN	dåted Grand.ju	ROR COSTS
o Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per © Juror Day
47,300	538	27

	ů .		GRAND P	ury num	DER (Office	Number*)	n,			
73 - 1 (1)	73 - 3 (1)	74-1 (1)	74 - 2 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	75 - 3 (1)	74 - 1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)		
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
1	5	12	12	11	9	7	3	8		
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity				
	1	12	7	7	4	1	1	4		

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported the occurrence of two notoriety cases in the past fiscal year requiring extra-large panels for jury selections lasting more than one day. A large number of last minute settlements, pleas and continuances resulting in the non-use of entire jury panels was also reported. These two factors combined to have an adverse effect on the utilization figures for this district.

____8 Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged		lui or
Number	9,853	5,334	910	3,609	Usage Index
of Juror Days	100%	54.1%	9.2%	36.6%	20.61
inerrotel	Rank	74, 5	5.4	°92	67 Latzar
Estimated Cost	259,900	140,600	23,900	95,100	544 \$ 26
The Control of the Co	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u></u>		Trial Day luror Day

$C_{ij} = C_{ij} = C_{ij} = C_{ij}$	Jury Trials		, in	Jury Trial Days	
Total	Civil °	Criminal _	/ Total /	∵ Çivil.∘ ≒	_e Cifminal
121	61	60	478	225	253
100%	50.13 %	49.6 %	100%	47.1 %	52.9 %

		A HISTOR		ICAL COMPARISON Juror Utilization				
Fiscal Year	Number ou. July Thirds	% (griegerweit Ikury Troisils	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	ilun Tinal Te		
1971	58	.e4 62 ₀ 1 .4	41.6	52.8	24.93			
1972	-1209	43 <u>.1</u>	39.2	54.4	20.04	5 493		
1973	1.37	61.3	36.6	55.5	18.89	ž. 1457		
1974	130	.39,2	31.0	51.2	17.02	4)5(2)		
1975	121/	99 ₀ 6, 34	36.6	54.1	20.61	544		

	Fotal Number in Existence in Existence in Existence in Existence in Existence in Exercises in Ex	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	7	5	2	4	3
ijāage Tožals	59	1,117	403	18.9	6.83
	Sessions Convenied	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	b Jurois per Session	Hours per Session
	Company of the second s	NÚMBER OF	2	AVERAGE N	UMBER OF

ESTIMA:	TED GRAND JUR	or costs
Total D	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per - Juror Day
27,400	9 464	25

			GRAND !	upy ni na	der (c ió s	: Muniter		
74-1 (1)	74 - 2 (1)	74-1 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	72 - 1 (3)	74 - 1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)		
		Total	lumber of N	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	
10	12	11	2	5	2	11		
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		
9	5	3		5	2	4		

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Citice Names and Numbers.

_____5_ Judgeships

	Avaijable	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or "Ghallenged.	(Acopting Control of C
Number	5,295	3,588	677	1,030	Usage A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A.
of Jurer Days	100%	67.8%	12.8%	19.5%	18.84
Remonel	Rents	19	18,	39,	52 47
Estimates Cost	3139,700	94,700	17,900	27,200	497 \$ 26
Andrew Speech and a speech speech and a speech speech and a speech speech and a speech speech and a speech speech speech and a speech s					Trial Day Juros Day Cost.per Day

267 24.73 W.S	ajjuny, imals/			Jury Trial Days	•
fotal	C ivil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
89	46	43	281	160	121
100%	51.7 %	48.3 %	100%	56.9 %	43.1 %

##	THE PROPERTY OF PERSONS ASSESSED.	- HISTO Trials	The second secon	MCAL COMPARISON Turor Utilization				
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Cost pur jury a Trial Day		
1971	48	35.4	19.5	71.3	18.46			
1972	77	.40,3	21.6	68.1	18.50	49.0%		
1973	82	v. 1,35.4	22.8	64.7	19.22	#449J48		
1974	7.0	, 50.0°	20.8	66.5	18.74	489		
1975	89	.48.3	19.5	67.8	18.84	497		

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 10, 1975	
NUMBE OF GRAND VRIES	6	4	2	2	ц	
USAGE YOTALS	8	164	164 45		5.62	
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in " Session	Hours in Sessions	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session	
		NUMBER	5	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIM	ATED	GRAND	HIROR	COSTS
Total .		Average Cost per Session	N d	Average Cost per Juror Day
4,200	\$	525		26

		ll li	GRAND J	iry mumi	BER (Office	: Number*)		
74-1 (1)	74-1 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	73 - 1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)	74 - 1 (4)			
		Total N	umber of M	onths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month Per	iod	
12	3	4	5	2	12			
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		
10	2	3	4	1	11			

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district occassionally makes use of the multiple jury selection technique to select two or three juries from one panel of prospective jurors. The revision in the statistical reporting of this technique was a factor having an adverse influence on Tennessee Eastern's JUI. However, this district recorded a slight improvement in this category of utilization statistics.

TENNESSEE EASTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

____3_ Judgeships

	Total : Ayailable	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, a Serving or Challenged		ro _r .
Number	3,706	2,412	429	865	i Us	age lex
of Jurof Days	100%	65.1%	11.6%	23.3%	16	.92
(Kadional	Rook = 0	28	13,	, 55,	27	26, 31,
Estimated Cost	93,600	60,900	10,900	21,800	427	25
					Trial Day	Jutor Day

(io	O Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	T
Total	CNIL "	• Criminal	Total	Cîvii	Criminal
143	87	56	219	136	83
100%	60.8 %	39.2 %	100%	62.1 %	37.9 %

		HISTO	Array (2017) Anna (2017) (2017) (2017) (2017) (2017)	ICAL COMPARISON :				
Fiscal Year	Mileter (CC) (G) Juliora Julioleak	% Cristi al 1 funiy turkis su	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Total Day &		
1971	197	47.1	27.0	63.1	19.96			
1972	1.50	50,6	24.0	63.7	17.88	, and		
1973		: :- 1698	27.5	61.4	16.43	427		
1974	1(49).	38 ₀ .3r	24.7	63.3	17.07	4345		
1975	148	39.2	23.3	65.1	16.92	427:		

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Nümßer on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period [®]	Number # Discharged In the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975	
NUMBER OF GRAND JÜRIES	2	1	1	1	1	
USAGE TOTALS	15	293	87	19.5	5.80	
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per	
		NUMBER OF	4	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIIV	ATE	D GRAND J	UROR	COSTS	
Total		Average Cost ger Session		Average Cost per Jutor Da	
8,300		553	\$	28	

			Granu i	ury num	BERNOMIC	e Number ^e)			
74-1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)								
		Total N	lumber of M	lonths in Ex	cistence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
10	2								
		·····	Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity	•		
			,					1	
2	86.8								

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported an instance when parties to a civil suit paid the costs of the civil jury panel when the case was continued on the day set for jury selection.

_____ Judgeships

	Total' Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, , Serving or Challenged	Turor
Number	2,983	1,605	433	945	Usage Index
of Juror Days	100%	53.8%	14.5%	31.7%	19.75
(Kerikoned)	Rank	76_	31.	1. 82 ₁ ;	. 59. . 47 31.
Estimated (73,300	39,400	10,600	23,200	485 \$ 25
And the second s	<u> </u>				Trial Day Junor Day Cost per Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Tõtal	Gvi	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
83	38	45	151	73	78
100%	45.8 %	54.2 %	100%	48.3 %	51.7 %

		HISTOR	ICAL COMP	ARISON		
				Juror Utilization		Costopai
Fiscal Year	Administración Legy Tinents	% Grabitali Juny, *** Infets	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	(Nuise Taled Otsy
1971	50	68 ₀ 5 %	49.9	43.2	30.74	
1972	765	69,3	36.4	54.7	24.10	6/24
1973	(63)	\$ 60 to 8	45.1	46.8	23.08	555
1974	79	(10°C)	33.6	53.4	18.43	Mins
1975		59.2	31.7	53.8	19.75	485

	Total Number In Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on Vuly 1, 1974	Number a Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged In the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975	
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	Ц	2	2	2	2	
USAGE TOTALS	59	1,243	412	21.1	6.98	
	Sessions Convened	Jurers in Session	- Hoursin Session	jurors der Session) Hours per Session	
ļ		NUMBER OF		. AVERAGE I	UMBER OF	

ESTIMA:	TED GRAND JUR	ok costs
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
27,800	471	22

			GRAND JUR	y number (Office Number	ë) =		
74 - 1 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	74 - 1 (2)	75 - 1 (2)					
		Total N	lumber of Mon	ths in Existence	e in the 12 Mor	th Period	,-t,,,,,,_,_,_,_,_,	
5	6	6	6					
			Total Num	ber of Months	of No Activity			
3	3	-						


^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.


Judgeships

	Available	Selegied of but Seiving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challengeds		lior S
Number	5,314	3,524	1,072	718	j U	sage dex
of Juror Days	100%	66.3%	20.2%	13.5%	16	.82
	Y N					24,
Karional (Rink	, (21)	70	15,	12	,11
Estimated Cost	122,100	81,000	24,700	16,500	386	\$ 23
COUL		l1			Trial Day	Juror Day
					Cost	er Day

	jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	[®] Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil "	ື Criminal 🍃 ຳ
104	41	63	316	1.18	198
100%	39.4 %	60.6 %	100%	37.3 %	62.7 %

	· . HISTO	RICAL COMP	ARISON		
	Look Princis		Juror Utilization	,	Coar ger
Fiscal Year	Number of Adeministration of Ade	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	10 P
1971	#88 / / 56.18	15.5	73.8	17.39	
1972		13.2	72.5	16.85	395
1973	105 72.4	12.2	71.8	15.86	383
1974	.107 . Gl.7	11.8	71.5	15.79	360
1975	1.04	13.5	66.3	16.82	\$4,986°





	Total Number "in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975	
NUMBER OF "GRAND-JURIES	24	10	14	17	7	
USAGE TOTALS "	330	6,598	1,686	20.0	5.11	
	Sessions Convened	Jurots in Session	- Höurs in Session	Jurors per Session	್ಡ್ Hours per ್ರ್ಯ Session	
ţ	k a sylpolympy - Top you have an any sylpolympy data as a sylpolympy - Top you have a	NUMBER OF	a paristanda an shi dina anga mandan anga an an an an an an an an an an an an an	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIM	ÅTEI	GRAND JURG	OR COSTS
, Total	0	Aberage Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
162,800	\$	493	25

			GR/WEAT	ury num	acc (usie	gradaull a			
73 - 1 (1)	73 - 2 (1)	74 - 1 (1)	74 - 2 (1)	74 - 3 (1)	74 ~ 5 (1)	74-7 (1)	74-8 (1)	74-10 (1)	74 - 13 ¹ (1)
		Total N	Number of N	Nonths in Ex	kistence in t	he 12 Month	Period		
9	10	2	9	1	12	4	7	12	1
			Total N	lumber of M	lonths of No	o Activity			
6	4	tra g	6	-	7	-	4		ر -

*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

COMMENT: This district reported a number of notoriety cases which required extra-large panels for selection of a jury. This type of occurrence tends to have an adverse effect on utilization statistics and should be taken into account when reviewing Illinois Northern's data.

In the spring of this year a study was conducted by the University of Chicago Law School at the court involving the use of mock juries. Approximately 130 jurors involved in this study were paid for by the U.S. government and reported in the JS-11 statistics as part of the total available jurors. As these jurors were not used for actual juries in trial, their inclusion in the data should be viewed as having an adverse effect on the utilization statistics.

ILLINOIS NORTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

131 Judgeships

	Total. Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		nore
Number	16,663	10,591	1,870	4,202	ان بال	sage dex
of Juree Days	100%	63.6%	11.2%	25.2%	16	.87
(Renkonel)	Remis)	34	,11	, 59	9	25. 6.
Estimated Cost	372,000	236,600	41,700	93,700	377	\$ 22
					Trial Day Cost I	Juror Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Totals	Gvil	Criminal	Total	Civil °	Criminal
165	66	99	988	436	552
100%	40.0 %	60.0 %	100%	44.1 %	55.9 %

		ŧISΤÖ̈́Ŗ	IČAL COMP/	AŘÍSON∖	7.07	
	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			
Fiscal Year	voimber of Paremi	ringi Y	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jory Jest Libery
1971			36.4	55.3	24.58	
1972	5,179 59	0	32.8	58.3	17.77	467
1973	205 .51	-o7: 7	34.4	54.9	18.41	501
1974	182 💆 53		25.4	64.5	16.75	400
1975	165 60		25.2	63.6	16.87	377

ILLINOIS EASTERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FY 1975

	Fotal Number in Existence in the J.2 Month Period	Number on " July 1, 1974	(Jumber Impaneled in the 12 Month. Period	"Number © Discharged in the 12 Month "Period	Number im June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	3	2	1	2	1
SISAGE TOTALS	36	668	2,13	18.6	6.75
	Sessions Convened	- Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Mours per . Session
		NUMBER OF		, AVERAGE A	IUMBER OF

Ca. Cotima	EX GRAMU JURG)R COS15 \
PO TOTALO	Awarage W Cost per Session	Avoragë Cost gor Juroa Day
30,100) 836 ¢	45

	Aino Car	V 0 0		CHECKEN!	vev nem	den (Ĉific	: Minjoer*j		0 4	
;	;-1. (2)	74 - 1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)							
			Total N	ber of N	lonths in Ex	distence in the	ne 12 Month	Period		
2	2	9	10					1		:
				Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity	<u> </u>		L.,
2	2	6	3							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

ILLINOIS EASTERN

PETIT JURGR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

	Available	Selving Serving	Challenged **	Not Selected; Serving of * Challenged		
Number	2,728	1,551	591	586	Ųs Jūo	ofe, *** Lex
of Juror Days	100%	56.9%	21.7%	21.5%	22.	.73
Magazai Magazai		60	f-77	50 1,50	86	72 ₃
Estimated (79,800	45,400	17,300	17,200	665	29
The state of the s	the control of the co		The second secon		Trial Day	juror Day eriDaya

6. 9. 5.	A Journ Totals	4 4 0.	e o · \	July Toli Days,	- Maria Com
Total	Çivil	^o Criminal	Total ²	جب Civil .	Criminal
65	16	49	120	26	94
100%	24.6 %	75.4 %	100%	21.7 %	78.3 %

Fiscal Year	• fury	Trials		Juror Utilization	مناهدين والمستواد المستواد لمستواد المستواد المستواد المستواد المستواد المستواد المستواد المستواد المستواد المستواد المستواد المستود ال	Cost per	
	Number of Juty Trials?	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jury • Trial Day	
1971	° 57 🖁	// 49.1°,	30.2	50.6	19.62		
1972	° 44°	;===52 . 3	31.3	53.3	19.39	₀ 516	
1973	}° 56 . ∦ ∜	71.4	25.9	51.2	22.22	644	
1974	58 ,	82°.8'	32.8	48.3	25.03	702	
1975	§ 65 4gt	75.4	21.5	56.9	22.73	. 665	

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impayeled in the 12 Month Period	Number Disgrarged in the 12 Month Peylod	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	1.	3
USAGE TOTALS	76	1,438	492	18.9	6.47
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session G	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	* AVERAGE N	UMBER OF

ESTIMA	TED GRAND UR	OR COSTS
" o , " Total)	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
44,500	586	31

₹ 0			GRAND	inka uni	MBER (GA	fice Numl	per*)	
74 - 2 (3)	74-3 (3)	75-1 (3)	75 - 2 (3)					
1		Total	Number of I	Months in E	xistence in	the 12 M	lonth Period	
12	12	3	1					
			Total i	Number of	Months of	No Activi	ty	
Т	1	-	_					

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

2 Judgeships

	. Total AVäilable	, Selected: or Serving	Challenged	Nor Selected Serving or Challengede		iron "
Number	1,918	1,058	265	595	U) Jiq	age (
of Juror Days	100%	55.2%	13.8%	31.0%	22	.05
, Reminarel	Rank	67.	25	80.		30, 56;
Estimated Cost	\$ 42,800	23,600	5,900	13,300	492	\$ 22
The state of the s		-			> Trial Day {}} Cost p	Juror Day er Day

	jury Trials	AF BILLY ING		Jury Trial Days	
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
33	10	23	87	17	70
100%	30.3 %	69.7 %	100%	19.5 %	80.5 %

	Traffe the traffer and the second state of the second state of the second secon		ARISON	N.	Season and a season of the sea
Fiscal Year	Jury Tridls Number of Performinal July Hung Junior of Burg	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Utilization % Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Cost per fury (51)
1971	27k. 43o1k.	48.9	45.7	26.48	
1972	. 34 58,8	46.8	44.2	23.92	(6)L(0)
1973	33 . 57.6	49.6	40.2	25.08	. :656 - ;
1974	- 4 <u>1</u> 82.9 1	31.7	54.5	22.88	. Sim
1975	. 35 . 69 ₀ 7	31.0	55.2	22.05	4902

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 M th Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Mumber Impalieled In the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	2	2
USAGE TOTALS	40	805	273	20.1	6.82
	Sessions Convened	Jurors In Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF	Q.	AVERAGE I	IUMBER OF

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JUR	OR COSTS
o ⊃o Total o o o	Average . Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
32,100	802 .	¥0

		in .	GRAND J	nsa Mnwi	BER (Office	e (Mumber*)		w .	
73 - 1 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	74 - 1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)						
	1	Total N	Number of N	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	-	
1	12	9	1						
	······································	I	Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	1	6	-						

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported a number of notoriety trials requiring large jury panels for voir dire due to the nature of the cases and the number of challenges and excuses anticipated in such trials. These occurrences are factors hindering effective utilization of jurors and should be taken into account when reviewing Indiana Northern's statistics.

Judgeships

	Total Ayailable	Selected or, Serving		Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Jugor
Number of s	5,892	2,901	1,106	1,885	Usage V o Index
Juror Days	100%	49.2%	18.8%	32.0%	25.73
Nendonej)	Rank 🖒	87,1	<u>59</u> %	, 85,	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 9
Estimated . Cost	167,800	82,600	31,500	53,700	733 \$ 28
					Trial Day True Day Cost per Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total ර	Ğivii,	Criminal.	Ţotal°	+ o Civil	_ Criminal _
96	27	69	229	74	155
100%	28.1 %	71.9 %	100%	32.3 %	67.7 %

C: A	, HISTOI	ICAL COMP	ARJSON		
	A Spray Tritals		Juror Utilization		Cost por
Fiscal Year	Number <u>of</u> %Guincol jury - Colub Trials - Fants o	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	7L 6 4 05 L	30.0	52.0	22.01	
1972	31 - 45 7	25.0	54.4	17.34	5 (424
1973	77 107.5	34.0	49.3	22.89	\$ 563 · 👍
1974	66.7	34.7	45.6	23.53	580
1975	96 4 711/9	32.0	49.2	25.73	738

	Total Number in Existence © in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month • Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	1	3	2	2
USAGE	70	1,406	461	20.1	6.59
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per "Session
	The state of the s	NUMBER OF		AVERAGE N	UMBER OF

	STIMATED I	GRAND J	UROR C	OSTS	
0.1 otal	1 (Average Lost per Sessidh		"Average Cost per uror Da	
43,900	0	627	\$	31	

				GNAMD J	URY MUM	ier Omo	: Number*)		0	
	74 - 2 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	75 - 3 (1)						
		1	Total N	Number of M	lonths in Ex	istence in ti	ne 12 Month	Period	**************************************	
	1	4	7	4						
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity	···		<u> </u>
				•					•	
	-	_	-	-						

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

INDIANA SOUTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

_____ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		uror ,
Number	3,197	1,936	681	580	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sage dex
Juror Days	100%	60.6%	21.3 %	18.1%	1.5	.83
Rentonel B	sends \	<u>48</u>	, 74 s	<u>30</u>	°6	14 79
Estimated () Cost	96,400	58,400	20,500	17,400	477	\$ 30
					Trial Day	Jusot Day
					Cost	ter Day 11

(Toronto)	Jury Trials		ō ·	Jury Trial Days	
• Total	.⊮ Civil	Criminal	Total	S Civil	Criminal _a
71	40	31	202	109	93
100%	56.3 %	43.7 %	100%	54.0 %	46.0 %

	Jack Tales		RICAL COMP.	Juror Utilization		Gost peit	
Fiscal Year	Maintoeren Johan Tivals	MoCSpandiqui lesse Albaisa	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total	
1971	56,	39.3-	20.7	66.9	18.82		
1972	7,0	51:04:3	17.8	66.6	16.75	523	
1973	7/5	50.7	21.5	61.2	15.18	467	
1974	1 (53	: 60 ₀ 5	22.5	63.1	16.24	\$ 443 7	
1975	71	43.7.	18.1	60.6	15.83	/ 四77	

WISCONSIN EASTERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FY 1975

	fictal Number in Existence Number on July 1, 1974 Period **		Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged In the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975	
number of Grand Juries	3	2	1.	1	2	
USAGE TOTAĻS	53	1,037	252	19.6	4.75	
	Sessians Canvaned	jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session	
		, NUMBER OF		AVERAGE I	imeer of	

C. ESTIM/	TED GRAND JUNG	or costs
₩ ` Total	Average Cost yer Session	Average Cost per junur Day
35,300	666	34

			CRAND JURY	NUMBER (Latte Wen);
74 - 1 (2)	74 - 2 (2)	75 - 1 (2)					
		Total N	lumber of Month	s in Existence	in the 12 M	Month Period	
7	12	2			4 v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	alphanya da dinasaran yang aga atau an aran an a	Total Numbe	r of Months o	of No Activ	ity	 territoria de la compania de la comp
3			·	,			

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

WISCONSIN EASTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged .	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	A	ntot
Number	3,372	2,036	588	748	fi	lsage idex
of Juror Days	100%	60.4%	17.4%	22.2%	17	.94
s Kladional	Rank)	50	<u>48</u> °	, <u>52</u>	3°0	381 §20
Estimated Cost	81,900	49,500	14,300	18,200	436	\$ 24
					Trial Day Cost	per Day

	Jury Trials		.,	Jury Trial Days	
Total ">	·Civil	Criminal	ှ Total	Civil	Criminal
पंत	17	27	188	80	108
100%	38.6 %	61.4 %	100%	42.6 %	57.4 %

		AND DESCRIPTION OF STREET OF STREET	ARISON Juror Utilization		
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials Number of V & Chiminal They This This This	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	And Andrews
1971	2 2912 3 5216	20.1	61.6	20.29	
1972	1.19 1.47.41	20.6	56.9	14.34	374
1973	26 361.5	21.4	58.2	17.44	4403
1974	77.45	23.6	56.6	19.81	, 485 - , ;
1975	. 44 61.44	22.2	60.4	17.94	, <u>U</u> S6

	Total Number In Existerica In the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on fune 30, 1975 • Tune 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	2	-
USAGE TOTALS	15	269	62	17.9	4.13
	° Sessions Convened	Jurørs in⊦ Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF		AVERAGE 1	NUMBER OF

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JUR	OR COSTŜ
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
14,500	967	54

			GRAND	JURY NUM	BER (Office	e (Vumber*)		
74 - 1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)							
	1	Total 1	Number of I	Months in E	xistence in tl	ne 12 Month	Period	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	9							
			Total N	Number of N	Months of No	Activity	·	 <u> </u>
_	3							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in the past fiscal year. Wisconsin Western selects most juries using the multiple voir dire technique to maximize the use of each juror's time in court. The effectiveness of this manner of jury selection is evidenced by the fact that only 8.7% of all prospective jurors were not selected, serving or challenged while 72.8% were selected for or serving on a jury trial.

WISCONSIN WESTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

_____ Judgeships

	Available 4	Selected or CAServing	Chajjenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Auto Auto
Number	893	650	165	78	Usage
furer Days	100%	72.8%	18.5%	8.7%	15.67
Redonal	Rank	10,	57	5 	12 22 47
Estimated (23,600	17,200	4,400	2,100	414 \$ 26
					Trial Cay or Day Cost per Day


NE KONEN	[Jury:Jirials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	Civil	Criminal_	Total	Civil	Criminal
22	10	12	57	30	27
100%	45.5 %	54.5 %	100%	52.6 %	47.4 %


	7 Jury Trials 9 .		ICAL COMPARISON Juror Utilization				
Fiscal Year	Number of % Criminal Jury Jury 1 Trials Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	fuor Trial: Days		
1971	ii 2 13 1,7 769.7	31.1	49.6	23.40	1		
1972	*17. 4 · 12. 7:	16.9	63.7	19.43			
1973	2 (-15)	28.4	47.1	26.72	4,080		
1974	42.9	8.7	67.7	12.25	6 7 , 558		
1975	225 at 1,784 5	8.7	72.8	15.67	7. 前直面 ·		

		7		• •								
tanin Subject and Heranin Subject Subject												
					. 1							
Q .												
							**			•		
												* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
										6		
									1 2 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
		Sel Sel										
		in the second										
							ے					
						1000						
1200												
												•
											Same Same	*
												9
was the first fill		The same	al Table Suc		en eget N		er en en en en en en en en en en en en en	سداح تالك			in white	\$ 45.75

CONTINUED

20F3





	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneigt in the 12 Moath Period	Number Discharged In the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1973
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS 0	16	355	119	22.2	7.44
in the state of th	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Sessioη -	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session "	Hours per Session
ţ		NUMBER OF AVERAGE N			UMBER OF

ESTIMA"	TED GRAND JUR	OR COSTS
ਿ Total	O Average . Cost per Session	Average ° Cost per - Juror Day ,
14,200	888	40

(ar		6	GRAND #	UAY NUM	BLR (Effici	: Number*)		D	
74-1 (4)	75 - 1 (4)								
		Total Nu	ımber of M	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	······································	
8	4.								
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity)	
5	2			,)

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

2 Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selectedy Serving or Challenged	Juror
Number	2,978	1,630	791	557	Usage Index
of Jüror Days	100%	54.7%	26.6 %	18.7%	21.90
Kentorgi	Rends \	, <u>70 û</u>	200		66 77, 61
Estimated Cost	73,400	40,100	19,500	13,700	540 \$ 25
					Faltipe Cost per Day

in the second	Jury Trials.			Jury Trial Days .	
Total of	W. ONL.	* Condition (*)	2.5.5 Mills 20.5.	Control 4	
68	26	42	136	59	77
100%	38.2 %	61.8 %	100%	43.4 %	56.6 %

Fiscal Year	Nerry (Tratest A		ICAL COMPARISON Juror Utilization				
	Mikumbarud Nahy Tulada	% Criminal Juny Taials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	en plany, se sincen l'Expy		
1971	77	36.4	18.7	58.3	20.23	199		
1972	79	37.0	16.6	59.8	19.99	530		
1973	6 5	地區。其	16.2	62.3	19.69	51 17		
1974	(5)(8)	75,9:	19.2	55.3	22.17	575		
1975	66	61.3	18.7	54.7	21.90	540		

	Focal Neuriber in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Maniferon July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled: ** In the 12 Month Period	Diacharged in the 12 Mehrh Period	1 100 at 0, 1975.
NUMBER OF GRAND AURIES ** PRAGE ** TOTALS	2	1	1	1	1.
7. Usage Totals -	7	152	42	21.7	6.00
	Sessions Convened a	Jurors in o	Hours in	jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF	o :	AVERAGE F	IUMBER OF

。 🥝 ESTIN	AATE I	GRAND-JI	UROR I	COSTS 0	7
Total	50 DO 1	Average Cost per Session		Average Cost per Juror Day	φ, ό φ
9,000	\$	1,286	\$	59	

$C_{\mathbb{R}^n}$		° GR	AND JURY N	HUMBER (OF	fice Numl	er*) °	· ear	
75 - 1 (4)	74 - 1 (6)							
		Total Numb	per of Months	in Existence i	n the 12 M	onth Period	1	
5	7	İ						
		··· <u></u> ···· la.,	Total Number	of Months of	No Activi	ty		<u>I</u>
3	6							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

- ______ Judgeships
- Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	。Total Available	Selected (\ \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ Serving	Challenged	Not Selected; Serving or Challenged	Juror s.
Number	2,652	1,409	675	568	Usage Index
of Juror Days	100%	53.1%	25.5 %	21.4%	25.26
·	Rank \	78	89	<u>,49</u>	88
Estimated Cost	68,400	36,300	17,400	14,600	651 \$ 26
					Trial Day (See Day

	Jury Trials		\	Jury Trial Days	
Total	CMI	(Lipoint)	7 Tersi	CIMI	
51	38	13	105	79	26
100%	74.5 %	25.5 %	100%	75.2 %	24.8 %

	e lany	HISTO। গুলুৱার 🏰	are the second second second second	CAL_COMPARISON: Juror Utilization				
Fiscal Year	Number of a V hosy Toles	% Constituted Henry Tours	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	e pany r raal Dey		
1971	(4)3)	1140	28.2	52.3	25.64	; =		
1972	<u> </u>	3401	17.8	52.2	25.19	723		
1973	26 :	" 34 ₀ 6	20.4	60.3	22.33	. Qúi		
1974	39	44cl	18.7	53.7	25,27	7/66		
1975	51	25.5	21.4	53.1	25,26	<u>6511</u> .		

الدعائية المالية	Tought period. The Exemple of the 12 Month? Period	Nachtergar jabl 1, 19874	National Opposition Oppositional Opposition	Number Discourse The Marty Month Period	November Appressed	
FICIAL TURIES	2	2	-	544	2	
USAGE TOTALS	28	551	211	19.7	7.54	
ne di mana	Sessions Convened	Jurois 9a Session	Hours in Session	Jurogs per Sessiori	9 Hours per Session	
(NUMBER OF		AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIMA"	TED GRAND JUR	OF COSTS
, jej	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per jurge Day
13,600	486	25

		GRA	id jury num	ER (Office Nu	mber*)		
74 - 1 (1)	74 - 1 (5)						
		Total Numbe	r of Months in Exi	stence in the 12	Month Period	l	
12	12						
		To	otal Number of Mo	onths of No Act	ivity		······································
5	6						

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported the occurrence of a highly publicized trial which required an extra-large panel for selection of the jury. This occurrence should be taken into account as having an adverse effect on the utilization statistics.

 $1\frac{1}{2}$ Judgeships

	. Total Available	Selected - or Serving	Challenged •	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror
Number	1,204	744	233	227	Usage - Index
of Juror Days	100%	61.8%	19.4%	18.9%	15.64
Nedonel I	Renk D	45	679	37,	32
Estimated= Cost	33,800	20,900	6,600	6,400	439 28
					Thin District Cost per Day

	Jurý Trials			Jury Trial Days /	
*Fabri	· GWI !	Criminal	Total -	girila, i fa	College College
27	11	16	77	38	39
100%	40.7 %	59.3 %	100%	49.4 %	50.6 %

		HISTO	RICAL COMP	ARISON		
	Resy	Tability,		Juror Utilization		• Georgon
Fiscal Year	Rigalizateli Nesiy: Diriy	95 Comming Ju X TingUs	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	9,446; 14 (1405) 1653;
1971	2.B	55.5	38.3	60.5	20.16	Ų
1972	ξίΰ	. 73.1.	18.8	66.1	18.34	1 (E(E(I)
1973	916	\$.77.A	10.0	79.3	14.71	14(6,5)
1974		(P)	17.3	65.4	14.84	, 37 <u>1</u> 'i
1975		50 ₀ 3	18.9	61.8	15.64	. 439

	Tettal Templet In Existenae. In the 12 Month Period	Nombel 31, Italy at 1992	internación ladicioniste van use 12 Mondo Renode	Nemitod (* 1805) 1 bigdnagne 2479 porithe (12 tyloroth) Pentra	10 miner and Temp 30, 1975
thoughé of torand juries	2	L	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	18	370	122	20.6	6.78
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Mour's in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	***************************************	NUMBER OF		AVERAGE N	UMBER OF

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS						
Total	Average Cost©per "Session	Ayerage Cost per Juror Day				
9,400	522	25				

COLE OF	4) (1)		GRAND J	URY NUMI	BER (Office	e Number*)		
73-1 (4)	75 - 1 (4)						-	
		Total N	umber of M	lonths in Ex	istence in t	ne 12 Month	Period	
2	_10							
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		
1	3							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported a few notoriety cases necessitating large jury panels for selection. A large number of last minute pleas, settlements, and continuances were also recorded which resulted in panels of available jurors not being used for any voir dires. These two types of occurrences are factors that have an adverse effect on the district's usage figures.

1½ Judgeships

Total (1) Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged:	- Ju	for
1,791	894	336	561	, Us	age'
100%	49.9 %	18.8%	31.3 %	21	.84
mls \		259	,	75	76 ₃
47,800	23,900	9,000	15,000	583	27
	.Available. 1,791 100% mlk	1,791 894 100% 49.9 %	1,791 894 336 100% 49.9 % 18.8 %	Available or Challenged Serving or Challenged: 1,791 894 336 561 100% 49.9 % 18.8 % 31.3 %	Available or Challenged Serving or Challenged 1,791 894 336 561 Us 100% 49.9 % 18.8 % 31.3 % 21.

	Jury Trials		* ,	Jury Trial Days	
" Total" /s	CWP "	Criminal	Total	CIVII	Chalbar 7
30	13	17	82	37	45
100%	43.3 %	56.7 %	100%	45.1 %	54.9 %

(a) (a) (a)	o	್ಯ ' HISTO	RICAL COMP.	ARISON .		67
	ir jery	y 4	il	Juror Utilization		Coeff free
Fiscal Year	intermiter of a freeze Transis	Po Consonation (12 Interv Tortello	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	janik Indeli IDAY
1971	. 54	(alci	16.2	82.1	18.26	
1972	/733	69,7	19.1	74.6	20.33	\$ <u>15</u>
1973	53	64.2	12.9	70.2	15.88	AEO.,
1974	(मुझ)	58.1 .	9,5	74.1	14.93	407
1975		56.7	31.3	49.9	21.84	- 1583

	Tatal Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on & june 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	46	947	294	20.6	6.39
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in 5 Session	° "Hours in " → Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF	e^{i}	AVERÅGE 1	UMBER OF

a ESTIMA	TED GRAND JURG	OR COSTS
Total of	Average Cost per Sestion	Average Cost per Juror Day
25,300	550	27

	s (°, 'L'	GP	AND JURY	NUMBER (C	Office Numb	\er*}	
74-1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)						
		Total Num	ber of Months	in Existence	in the 12 M	lonth Period	
7	5						
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total Number	r of Months o	of No Activi	ty	
3	1						

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Minnesota began the trial of a multi-district litigation case last November which is expected to continue for nine months and involves the use of two juries to hear and decide separate issues although they sit together in one courtroom for part of the proceedings. The selection of these juries required extra-large jury panels and lasted several days. Such an occurrence has an adverse effect on the good utilization of jurors; however, Minnesota was able to record improvement in the areas of the JUI, percent selected or serving, and percent not selected, serving or challenged.

_____ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged.	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		ror
Number	8,198	5,853	1,211	1,134	Us \In	age: lex
of Juror Days	100%	71.4 %	14.8%	13.8 %	17	.55
(Nadonal I	Rook Do	14	53	/ 16 /	36%	3/2
Estimated Cost	212,700	151,900	31,500	29,400	455	26
					.Cost p	er Day

	Jury Trials	o ja	2 (2) (2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	Jury Trial Days	
·Totaly		THE COMMUNICATION OF THE COMMU	CAN TRANSPORT		A COMPANY
90	पंप	46	467	291	176
100%	48.9 %	51.1 %	100%	62.3 %	37.7 %

		HISTO	RICAL COMP.	ARISON		
	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Sylits,		Juror Utilization	1	Code etc
Fiscal Year	Naraktarija istoj Ražska	Action del Johns Property	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Period Office Office
1971	98.	^y √27/5,	27.3	59.0	18.43	-11 -2 -27
1972	1115		27.9	51.7	16.24	10,077
1973			18.4	64.0	16.15	\$ (5).177.47
1974		(Fa) (I)	27.0	56.9	18.52	¥/@
1975	9(1)		13.8	71.4	17.55	(4,55)

	Teacht (Section 2) o In The 2 Manuel of Perfort	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Informated In the 12 Month Period	Disconfeed for the 12 Month. (Period	To exceptions
DIVIDER OF PARTIES	4	2	2	2	2
VALTAGE TOTALS	71	1,434	401	20.2	5.65
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF .		AVERAGE N	UMBER OF "

ĘSTIMA"	ted grand jûr	OR COSTS
ë∘ Çotal	Average - Cost per - Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
35,400	499	25

C	6.5	ą. L	GRAND J	URY NUMI	ER (Office	i Number*)		
73 - 1 (4)	74-2 (4)	75 - 1 (4)	75 - 2 (4)					
		Total N	Number of M	Nonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	
1	7	12	7					
		**************************************	Total N	lumber of M	onths of No	Activity		
1	1	1						

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

____ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		uror e
Number	5,752	3,416	1,243	1,093		sage dex
of Juror Days	100%	59.4 %	21.6 %	19.0 %	17	.17
i Newbowell	A / 186 8	53	76,	<u>1</u> 38,	26 26	31.
Estimated Cost	141,400	84,000	30,500	26,900	422	25
					Trial Day Cost 1	per Day

	, Jury Trials	2		Jury Trial Days	
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Crhysiqual
108	50	58	335	141	194
100%	46.3 %	53.7 %	100%	42.1 %	57.9 %

		Talpik, ""		Juror Utilization		e Cove pelis
Fiscal Year	Nutrale ar out of the state of	% Grainneis. Juny Tinals	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	o yeny Tobil Day
1971	\$7.87	. 67-8	16.4	58.7	21.42	
1972	ŵ 107	56.1	15.5	60.5	19.75	1 456
1973	: 119	, 57 ₋ 1 %	18.2	56.1	20.42	* 1489g
1974	1115	- 5309	24.7	50.6	18.58	442
1975	1.08	55.7	19.0	59.4	17.17	. 422

· ·	Indianations Indianations Indianation Period	Värribel og 12 Jelly I, 1674	To the Le Vope by Parios * 0	Perfect	Northbert in the conduction of
PIPER OF RANGE YURIES	ΓĻ	3	1	1	3
TISAGE TOTALS	94	1,723	627	18.3	6.67
The state of the s	Sessions	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per \ Session \	Hours per Sussian #
		NUMBER OF	0 0	AVERAGE	NUMBER OF

C ESTIMA	TED GRAND JU	IROR COSTS
ຸ Total	o Average Cost per Session	Average ∠ Cost per _G Juror=Day
45,400	483	26

(°)	į	("GRAFID J	URY NUMBER	(Office Numb	or*)	A	\$ 6°
74-1 (4)	74 - 2 (4)	74 - 3 (4)	75 - 1 (4)					
		Total N	Number of N	Ionths in Existen	ce in the 12 M	onth Period		
7	12	12	12					
			Total N	umber of Month	s of No Activit	У		
3	4	7	2					

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district occasionally uses the multiple jury selection technique. The revision in the statistical reporting of this manner of jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in the JUI in fiscal year 1975. Missouri Western continues to call each new panel of jurors for an impaneling day. Often not a sufficient number of cases are ready for jury selection or last minute pleas and settlements reduce the number of jury selections set for impaneling day. This results in overly large panels of jurors available but not used, and tends to have an adverse effect on utilization statistics.

_____ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juro	or and a second
Number	6,081	2,768	1,385	1,928	Usas Inde	e 🖖 🐪
of Juror Days	100%	45.5 %	22.8 %	31.7 %	26.6	AND PROPERTY.
Nedonal	Ranik. 📗	r.901 (83,	, " <mark>L82</mark> ",		
					4000	
Estimated Cost	139,300	63,400	31,800	4u,200	611	23

	Jury Trials		Trans.	Jury Frial Days	*
Total	" Civil	Criminal 8 9	Total	Gyil	CHATAT?
68	19	49	228	60	168
100%	27.9 %	72.1 %	100%	26.3 %	73.7 %

	🖒 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Timple '		Juror Utilization		. Galera
Fiscal Year	Numbra en hany hale	% (Grimman) Ipony Tranks	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	funiy. Totali Dav
1971	. 87 .	(\$(0 ₍₀ ,9)) . 5	33.9	46.0	25.87	."S ⇒
1972	65	6301/	30.2	47.9	25.25	. 500 500
1973	· 11011.	786数	33.0	45.3	25.51	(6(0)11 •
1974		, 79 ₀ 5	27.1	47.2	24,76	@(0/5)
1975	68	7841	31.7	45.5	26.67	· Glį.

- Company of the Comp	Specialistics of a second seco	prombercan Lajahya (1944)	Nemiber 1. s. almpatele? Anishenia Visiniti 20 anishe	Marite Diedsuggel Hilds 12 Menus Volkensus	Alumbaj en June 30, 1973
NUMERO SE GRAND TURIES	1	1	_	1	-
WSA/GE TOTALS	23	477	154	20.7	6 . 70
	- Šessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	jurors per Session	Hours par. Session
		NÚMBER OF	1	« AVERAGE I	TUMBER OF

(ES	TIMATEL	GRAND	juror (39STS	$\overline{}$
Total	6	Average Cost per Session		Average Cost per Jujor Day	
18,400	5	800	\$	39	

	4	(c C	rand Ji	IRY NUM	BER (Office	: Number)		
74 - 1 (8)					·			
		Total Nu	mber of M	onths in Ex	istence in th	e 12 Month	Period	_
11								
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		 <u> </u>
5								

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Nebraska reported the occurrence of a notoriety case requiring several days and a large number of prospective jurors for selection of the jury. Such an occurrence should be viewed as one factor contributing to the increase in this district's JUI in fiscal year 1975.

- ____3 Judgeships
- ____3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	-Selected For or Serving	Challenged:	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror
Number	4,751	2,465	843	1,443	″ Usäge Index*↓
of Juror Days	100%	51.9 %	17.7%	30.4 %	19.88
1 99 (AD)	Janakari Vitalia	나 하나라 하시아 존개 경우 주요	a18 💘		A THE LOCK OF THE PARTY OF THE
" Nadional I	Remls · >	80 L	50,"	*\ <u>78.</u> e	79.
National Estimated Cost	Renk。 >	74,800	25,500	78 6 43,800	603 30

w ·	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days.	
Total T	CIWIT ,) Criminal	Total •	Ġwi *	
62	33	29	239	115	124
100%	53.2 %	46.8 %	100%	48.1 %	51.9 %

		HISTO	RICAL COMP	ARIŜON 🦔 .		
	(PEETY)	Trancille		Juror Utilization		Cost (3)
Fiscal Year	a intereste n col k kury Tahris	Va Coloniyasil Heriy Haleb	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	jan intel
1971		35,3	31.3	54.0	22.48	, ====================================
1972	57/	38.6	27.5	56.8	19.65	(Sa)
1973	69	47.8	31.2	50.2	17.93	516
1974	62	· POS	28.8	53.8	16.74	517
1975	. 62 .·	46.8.	30.4	51.9	19.88	. 60g

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month o Period	Number on July 1, 4974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Worth Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period *	Paraber on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
© USAGE TOTALS	9	185	51	20.6	5.67
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in o. Session	furors per Session	o Hours per Session
į.		NUMBER OF	d.	AVERAGE N	NUMBER OF

(ESTIMA	TED GRAND JURG	R CG\$TS
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per , % Jutor Day
11,000	1,222	59

			GRAND J	URY NŮMI	BER (Office	Number*)	•	
74-1 (1)	75 - 1 (3)							
		Total N	lumber of M	ionths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	
3	10							
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		
3	6			l		_		

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Many of the prospective jurors in this district must travel on the day prior to the day on which they are to report to court due to the long distances they live from the place of holding court. These jurors are paid for days of travel, and reported and included in JS-11 statistics. This situation should be taken into account as having an adverse effect on North Dakota's utilization statistics.

_____ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected ∜ or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		iror
Number	2,863	1,652	552	659		sage dex
of Jurer Days	100%	57.7 %	19.3%	23.0 %	20	.60
o Redonello	Reok)	58,	_66 j	54 , , a	88	67, 50 (88)
Estimated (94,000	54,200	18,100	21,600	676	\$ 33
					Cost	per Day

	Jury Trials	in the state of th		Jury Trial Days	
Total *	Gui 📝	Çdirprei	e (Total	Chart 1.70	Mark Chairman Constitution
36	12	24	139	61	78
100%	33.3 %	66.7 %	100%	43.9 %	56.1 %

•		HISTO	RICAL COMP	earth and a second second second second second	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	
Fiscal Year	gory Remote of Junes Finals	agrais 4 (Granissa) Jaran Talais	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Utilization % Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Cresu prei Juny Parket Days
1971	jųs ,	78°2,	11.3	69.7	18.56	
1972	11.49	7701.66	15.5	61.7	20.57	892
1973	3171	(5)(1)	14.2	66.0	18.87	721
1974	. Z/5	(676):±10)	9.0	74,5	16.88	/ 1518/47
1975	2/6	* \$00 EV	23.0	57.7	20.60	(67/6)

	Tional Anti-pher in Existence in the \$2 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impercieds in the 12 Worth Perioti	th Uniber 5 Albischaftet Andhe 12 Mengh Period	Namberéns A Junésa (1976)
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	3	1	2	1	2
USAGE TOTALS	35	654	221	18.7	6 . 31
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	 Hours in Session 	Jurors per Session	Hours per
		NUMBER OF		° AVERAGE N	IUMBER OF

ESTIMA:	ted grand jurg	OR COSTS ~
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
35,500	1,014	54

			GRAND J	JRY NUM	BER (Office	e Number*)	9		9,
74-1 (2)	75 - 1 (4)	75 - 2 (4)							
		Total N	Number of M	onths in Ex	kistence in t	ne 12 Month	Period		L
6	5	4							
***************************************			Total N	umber of M	lonths of No	Activity	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
4	1	2							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

______ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		uror\
Number	2,297	970	493	834	CONTRACTOR P	Isage √ . ndex
ور Juror Days	100%	42.2 %	21.5 %	36.3 %	21	1.18
National l	Sank	۽ در <mark>يون</mark> ۽ ا	, 75 . ° °	90.	94	86.
Estimated Cost	74,800	31,600	16,100	27,200	787	\$ 33
					rrab Payer Cost	per Day

	\Jury Trials	¥3.	Jury Trial Days 🖯 🧸				
Total	° Civii	o Criminai	o" Total	o Ćvij	Carlottian -		
30	8	22	95	42	53		
100%	26.7 %	73.3 %	100%	44.2 %	55.8 %		

Fiscal Year	hary hary	Tidals	RICAL COMP	in Colorar		
	Niumbar,oi Miuny Tights	% Criminated Juny Tithets	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Total Day
1971	56	57-1	35.5	47.3	26.07	
1972	140	67.5	28.6	50.8	24.66	. il _o 002
1973	39	59 _° 0	33.8	46.8	26.70	997
1974	38	_ 63.2	28.4	46.3	22.39	825
1975	30	73,3	36.3	42.2	24.18	787









	our number Jim Exteromer Lighter 12 Wenter & Panter	e (Kumber, on July it (1978)	Nember Junganeteel Junthe 12 Mombh Maridel	Rivinder (* / Discibilized to the 12 Gloon	Nemový cm Nemo 50, X975 Oktober
AUNICEROVE: DE MONGRES	2	1	1	1	1
Pupionals	17	333	93	19.6	5.65
	Sessions Converted	Jurors in . Secoloria	Houts in	Jurgrs.per 54	a Howisher Session
		NUMBER OF		. AVERAGE N	UMBER OF

(in	ESTIM	ATEL	GRAND J	UROR	costs
			Average Gestaffer Session		Average 'Cost per Jeror Day
	18,700	\$	1,100	\$	56

	- P (\$ }		GRAND J	URY NUM	ER (Office	e Number*)		۱۹ ۱۹	
74 - 1 (3)	75 - 1 (3)			·					
		Total I	Number of M	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
6	6								
			Total N	lumber of M	onths of No	Activity	<u> </u>		
3	3								

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

2 Judgeships

	Total	Selected. Or) Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		ır o r
Namber, 3	1,296	642	184	470	. U An	sage dex
· Hand Florida	100%	49.5 %	14.2%	36.3 %	25	.41
National R	ant of the	1.86	7 2 <u>9</u> 44	35 <u>-9</u> 0	93	82
Estimated Cost	39,600	19,600	5,600	14,400	776	\$ 31
					Cost p	Sketier Day Ser, Day

	. Jury Trials	1, 17		Jury Trial Days,	
7,507161700	PART TOPAL TOPAL		Y THOUGHT !!!	CAL ECVIDENCE	Grimilade, 17
12	2	10	51	10	41
100%	16.7 %	83.3 %	100%	19.6 %	80.4 %

	· 0 · 1	· ˈˈˈˈˈˈHISTo	RICAL COMP			
) // () // (inst)	ijdeis		Juror Utilization		Cui pai
Fiscal Year	S Petrameteer off Petry Tritisks	Isanandi Vani Isana	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Juny Offinal Day
1971	1.2	91.7	21.0	69.4	19.59	. C
1972	.113	92 ₋ 3	24.4	58.6	23.11	855
1973	11.6	87.5	19.2	66.6	20.31	630 '
1974	12:	7560	43.7	51.1	22,77	.713
1975	. 12	* 83 ₀ 3	36.3	49.5	25.41	§ 776

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number ön July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled In the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged In the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1976
NUMBER OF GRAND TURIES	6	3	3	3	3
USAGE TOTALS	92	1,786	584	19.4	6.35
Constitution	Sessions /* Convened	Jugers in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
ţ		NUMBER OF #		AVERAGE N	NUMBER OF

ESTIMA	TED GRAND-JUR	OR COSTS "
Total	Average ^e Cost per Session * °	Average Cost per Juror Day
45,300) il.92	25

			GRAND J	ŮKY NUMI	BER (Office	: Number*)		T Co	
73 – 1 (2)	74-1 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	75 - 2 (2)	73 - 1 (4)	75 - 1 (4)				
		Total N	lumber of M	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	1,	<u> </u>
2	10	3	3	4	9				
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity			l
1	-		-	1	-				

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

_____ Judgeships

	Total Available		Challenged:		<u> </u>	irot .
Mynteper .	7,635	4,116	1,899	1,620		sage dex 🖟 🛼
tupor@ays	100%	53.9 %	24.9%	21.2 %	22	.00
(Neithoriel) (ants of	¥. <u>75</u>		45	5/4	79 Lil.
Espirated 3	175,700	94,700	43,700	37,200	50 <i>f</i>	\$ 23
					inal Day, Cost p	judof briv oer Day

	Jury Trials		4	Jury Triat Days	
fold was	OMF OF		一河西斯 达多万	Mile Marie	Ciffmanial .
151	12	139	347	66	281
100%	7.9 %	92.1 %	100%	19.0 %	81.0 %

	Juny Toels			Juror Utilization		(Corn pot	
Fiscal Year	k Ningrater Kufi Took	roof ?	Legisland Desp Tools	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Joen Toku Oky
1971	<u> </u>)	* GM, 3	28.1	50.6	24.42	. 6
1972	700	1:5:1:	90-1	28.6	46.9	23.85	. <u>G</u> S1
1973	1.6	3	· . 39 3 ·	26.1	47.5	21.52	505
1974	. 12		94.5	26.3	50.5	23.81	554,
1975	j <u>l</u> g		. 92.1 ·	21.2	53.9	22.00	506

CALIFORNIA NORTHERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FY 1975

	Total Number In Existence In the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number timpaneleds in the 12 Month of Period	Mumber Disdinged in the 12 Monday Period	Numberon - Jone 30, 1975
NEMBER OF GRAND JURIES	9	ц.	5	5	4
" USAGE TOTALS	108	2,127	628	19.7	5.81
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in	Jurors per Session	Hours per (Session
,		NUMBER OF	k just 18 meni B	AVERAGE.	UMBER OF

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JURG	OR COSTS
Total	Sverage Cost per Session	Average Cost per ⇒ Juror Day
58,500	542	28

	4	4 3 3 1	GRAND J	ŲRY NUM	BER (Office	Number*)			
73 - 1 (3)	74-1 (3)	74 - 4 (3)	74 - 5 (3)	75 - 1 (3)	75 - 2 (3)	75 - 3 (3)	75 - 4 (3)	75 - 5 (3)	
·		Total N	Number of N	Months in E.	xistence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
3	6	12	2	4	6	6	2	1	
			Total N	Number of N	onths of No	Activity			
-	4	-			_	1	-	. 🛥	

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

CALIFORNIA NORTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

11 Judgeships

	Total Āvailable	Selected or Or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Jurot
Number	10,063	û,618	1,316	2,129	Usage Index
of Juror Days	100%	65.8 %	13.1%	21.2 %	15.97
Kalard &		25	20	46 V	18 17 20 W 16
Estimated Cost ©	257,100	169,200	33,700	4,500	408 \$ 26
Cost			1 20,700		Tried Day

	Jury Trials		υ, Ο	Jury Trial Days	
Fotal	Civil	Ciminal &	, Total "	COM . The	
107	44	63	630	363	267
100%	41.1 %	58.9 %	100%	57.6 %	42.4 %

	HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Number of a large of a	% (Criarrivaed) Juley United	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	: Jeory Tracil Dely	
1971	122	78.7	20.0	66.9	17.57	Δ,	
1972	. 150	- 176°9	22.0	64.5	18.78	usk:	
1973	103	, 7L ₆ 6.	23.8	64.6	18.07	9.JE77	
1974	1.011	62 ₀ 49	20.5	67.6	16.15	375	
1975	, 107	5(S ₀ 9)	21.2	65.8	15.97	408	

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Menth Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled & in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on A
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	3	2	1		3
USĀGE TOTALS	50	1,019	191	20.4	3.82
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	# Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	A State of the sta	NUMBER OF	. AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIMA"	imp Grand furc	N C0575
c(9) Total	Average Cost per Sextion	Average Cost-per Juror Day
28,100	562	28

		0 /	Crand H	inum viin	SEAT (CAN)	: [Wannber*]		
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)	74 - 1 (2)						
		Total N	umber of M	onths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	
12	1	12						
			Total No	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		
1	C==a	-			!			

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district has a practice of calling new jurors in for a separate orientation day at the beginning of their term of service. This results in large groups of prospective jurors present at the courthouse and often not utilized for any jury selections. This practice has a harmful effect on a district's utilization statistics.

- 3 Judgeships
- Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	furor \
Number of	4,151	2,301	491	1,359	Usage Index
Juror Bays	100%	55.4 %	11.8 %	32.7 %	20.55
Naukonel	Rank)	66	14	86.7	- <u>89°</u> / <u>88</u> J
Estimated . Cost	137,600	76,200	16,200	45,000	681 \$ 33
					Tipial Day Iguror Day Cost per Day

	Jury Trials	(X = 1.0)	***	Jury Trial Days	
. Total °	Civil	Comal :	Total	Civil 。	Criminal
58	1.1	47	202	43	159
100%	19.0 %	81.0 %	100%	21.3 %	78.7 %

C_{i}	- NHISTORICAL COMPARISON								
	Jury	Trials:		Juror Utilization		Cost per 🖫			
Fiscal Year	Number of, Jury Trials	% CMarjaal jury Titals	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	jarsi Alimeikaasa Mark			
1971	947	85.2	21.8	66.7	18.66				
1972	43.	62,8	23.2	66.8	15.27	572			
1973	1.52	80.50	32.2	57.0	20.79	652			
1974	4.59	s -81.ф	29.2	58.4	18,86	560			
1975	58	811.00	32.7	55.4	20.55	g -631			

en marine marine de la la la la la la la la la la la la la	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number, Imparieled in the 12 Month Period	Normber Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	26	12	14	15	11
%USAGE TOTALS	494	9,555	2,571	19.3	5.20
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per o Session	Hours per Session
(NUMBER OF		AVERAGE 1	UMBER OF

ESTIMA	TED	GRAND J	UROR	COSTS	
Total		Average Cost per Session		Average Cost per Juror Day	
243,500		493	\$	25	

<u> </u>		0 1	GRAND J	URY NUMI	BER Office	· Number*)	0	9			
73 - 1 (2)	74 - 3 (2)	74 - 4 (2)	74 - 5 (2)	74 - 6 (2)	74 - 7 (2)	74 - 8 (2)	74 - 9 (2)	74 - 10 (2)	74 - 11 (2)		
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period										
2	9	9	Ц	9	9	11	Ц.	6	9		
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity					
1	7	4	4	8	5	7	2	2	4		

*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported the occurrence of numerous highly publicized cases. Jury selections often took several days and rerequired extraordinarily large panels for some of these cases due to the large numbers of excuses and challenges anticipated.

California Central also makes use of the multiple jury selection process to select two juries from one panel of prospective jurors. The revision in the statistical reporting of this technique would effect these situations. This district continues to have separate orientation days for jurors at the beginning of their term of service. This results in large groups of prospective jurors reporting to the courthouse for orientation and impanelment with little or no opportunity for them to be used for jury selections. These three types of occurrences have all acted as factors adversely affecting California Central's utilization statistics.

The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

CALIFORNIA CENTRAL

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

16 Judgeships

	Total - Available	Selected or Serving	ি Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	√]u	cor.
Number	19,454	12,054	1,923	5,477	. · · Us	
of Luror Days	100%	62.0 %	9.9%	28.2 %	20.	.83
Retional	Rank	्रीम्, ४	<u>.</u> 8.	72	, 65°	م ن 47 <u>ن</u>
Estimated Cost	501,300	310,800	49,600	141,400	537	26
-					Trial Day D	Jaror Day er Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	Civil ,	Criminal	Total	Civil 🤼 🙃	° Criminal
202	31	171	934	191	743
100%	15.3 %	84.7 %	100%	20.4 %	79.6 %

	i de juriy	Tinats		Juror Utilization	•	
Fiscal Year	Nonstrer of Budy Totals	. (Collagned) Juny Totalis	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Della Principality (1997)
1971	250	<u>850</u> 6	23.2	68.9	18.85	, c
1972	229	37.3	24.3	66.3	19.15	528
1973	246	89.5	27,7	63.2	20.44	527
1974	1603	39 ₀ H3	30.0	61.0	20.08	5001
1975	202	· @A _o 7/	28.2	62.0	20.83	537

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Numberon June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	14	9	5	6	8
USAGE TOTALS	192	3,542	866	18.4	4.51
	SessionsConvened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	g Jutors per Session	Hours per // Session 2
	The state of the s	NUMBER OF		AVERAGE N	IUMBER OF

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JÜR()ALCOSTS
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
71,000	} 370 €	20

			GRAND (URY NUM	i E it (Office	Number!	9		A7 0 8
73 - 1 (3)	73 - 2 (3)	73 - 3 (3)	73 - 4 (3)	74 - 1 (3)	74 - 2 (3)	74 - 3 (3)	74 - 4 (3)	74 - 5 (3)	75 - 1 (3)
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period								
1	1	5	5	12	12	12	12	12	8
	_		Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity			
1	-	2	ĿĻ	5	4	1	-	7	7

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

COMMENT: The judges in this district sometimes select two juries from one panel of prospective jurors using multiple voir dire. The revision of the statistical reporting of this technique is applicable to these situations. This district also reported a number of highly publicized trials, necessitating the use of extra-large panels for jury selection. Further, California Southern uses the practice of calling in jurors at the beginning of their term of service for a separate orientation day on which most of these jurors are not used for any voir dires. These factors all combine to have an adverse effect on the efficient utilization of jurors and should be taken into account when reviewing this district's performance statistics.

CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

______ Judgeships

	Total / Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged.	Not Selected Serving or: 5 Challenged		ileon 🛸
Number	10,294	5,519	2,144	2,631		säge dex 4
, of Jurat Days	100%	53.6 %	20.8%	25.6 %	23	.66
o (Newkoned)		77,	• 772	6 <u>1</u> 5,	87	5/ °66°3
Estimated Cost	291,600	156,300	60,700	74,600	670	\$ 28
			4		Trial Day Cost p	Jurer 859 per Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	b
Total	Civil	Crimina	Total	Gvil	Criminal
151	7	144	435	23	412
100%	4.6 %	95.4 %	100%	5.3 %	94.7 %

		Ērjaje, ju g	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1997	ICAL COMPARISON Juror Utilization				
Fiscal Year	Alugiberot	an Correction (A) (A) (A)	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	901************************************		
1971	172	, 97 ₆ I, ,	34.3	49.0	25.50			
1972	E Irdis	95.1L	33.0	46.8	26.98	5 6 5		
1973	1.35	94.8	35.0	43.0	27.24	602		
1974	J. (II)	93°8	28.3	50.1	24.54	° 537		
1975	151	95.4	25.6	53.6	23.66	670		

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Morith Period	Mumber Disabarged in the 12 Month Period	Namber on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	1.	1	-	-	1
USAGE TOTALS	35	675	183	19.3	5.23
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors për Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF	<u>L </u>	AVERAGE N	NUMBER OF "

(ESTI	MATED	GRAND)	UROR C	OSTS	
• Total	· *	Ayerage Cost per Session		Average Cost, per Juror Day	6 0
14,800	\$	423	\$	22	

	74 à	GRAND J	URY NUMI	BER (Office	· Numbér*)	6		•)
74-1 (1)								The second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se
1	Total N	lumber of M	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
12								
		Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity			
1								

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Hawaii reported the occurrence of a notoriety trial requiring an extra-large panel for selection of the jury. Such an occurrence has an adverse effect on utilization statistics; nevertheless, this district recorded improvement in the areas of the JUI, percent selected or serving, and percent not selected, serving or challenged.

- 2 Judgeships
- Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged:	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		ıror
Number of	2,232	1,298	637	297		sage dex
Juror Days	100%	58.2 %	28.5 %	13.3 %	19	.93
Kerikoneli	Rank	<u>56</u> ,	. <u>91</u> ,	13	, <u>6</u>	2. j (° - '&
Estimated &	44,600	26,000	12,700	5,900	398	\$ 20
The second secon		***************************************			Trial Day Cost	Juror Day per Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	° Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
21	5	16	112	38	74
100%	23.8 %	76.2 %	100%	33.9 %	66.1 %

		HISTO	RICAL COMP	ARISON.	-T	• •		
	Jerry	Trials		Juror Utilization				
Fiscal Year	Number of July Totals	% Criminal July Intals	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	igni Tatal		
1971		42,85° <u>7</u> 4	3.6	59.9	21.55			
1972	IBL 4	69.2	19.1	57.6	15.98	2:315		
1973	20	60,0	37.6	48.2	22.29	\$ 501		
1974	18	8563 ** .	35.2	54.4	22.01	468		
1975	21	76.2	13.3	58.2	19.93	398		

	26	Number on July 1, 1974	Nümber Impaneled In the 12 Month Period	. Wamber Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on june 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGY TOSALS	26	531	170	20.4	6.54
	Sersi@ns ♥ Convened	lurors in Session	Håuls in Session	Jurors per Session	* Hours per ² Session
	ere e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	NUMBER OF	Barbara Garage and a same and a same and a same and a same and a same and a same and a same and a same and a s	o averáge r	UMBER OF

ESTIMA"	te©grand juri	ir côsts 🖳
Total 3	Average Con pa "" Sessign	Averege [°] Cost per Juror Day
29,100	1,119	55

			Grand.	iury hum	EER (OM	e Member ^a		
74-1 (1)	75 - 1 (1)							
		Total N	lumber of I	Months in E.	xistence in t	he 12 Mont!	oriod .	
3	9							
	<u> </u>		Total 1	Number of N	Months of No	Activity		<u> </u>
	-							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

2 Judgeships

	- Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged		uror.
์ Muniper	1,370	829	180	361		sage dex.
of Jurar Days	100%	60.5 %	13.1 %	26.4 %	15	.39
[Newfortel]	Rands >	1 49:1	. 20	<u>,65</u> ,	, <u>35</u>	8_,, .,72_, •
				P		
Estimated a	\$ 40,200	24,300	5,300	10,600	the first the same of the same	\$ 29

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	Givil	* Criminal	• Total	, Civil	Criminal
23	14	9	89	55	34
100%	60.9 %	39.1 %	100%	61.8 %	38.2 %

	· Juney	HISTOI	114 1485 H			
Fiscal Year	Plymbty of lawy.	% Cohmuna Notiv Totals	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Herry Timber Descor
1971	15	46.7	23.1	61.3	20.95	
1972	25	int ^o O	17.1	71.7	17.12	502
1973	` 16	, 68 ₀ 8	26.3	61.1	20.65	· 一 70年
1974	23	26 ₀ 1	17.7	70.9	16.05	MQ(6P 2)
1975	23	10°0E	26.4	60.5	15,39	M 52

Table to produce to pr	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number of July 1 _{6/} 1974	Number * \(\) Impaneled In the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1.	1.	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	15	334	95	22.3	6.33
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per (, Session
		NUMBER OF		AVERAGE N	UMBER OF

ESTIMA	TED	GRAND J	URQN	COSTS	
Total-		Average Cost per Session		Average Cost per Juror Day	•
16,400	\$	1,093	\$	49	

$\left(\begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \right)$			GRAND J	URY NUM	ER (Office	• Number*)	p.	, Oll	
74 - 1 (1)	75 - 1 (1)								
·		Total N	Number of N	Nonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
11	1								
			Total N	lumber of M	onths of No	Activity			
6									

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district employs the multiple jury selection technique for a large number of cases. The revision of the statistical reporting of this technique is one factor accounting for the increase in the JUI of Montana for fiscal year 1975.

2 Judgeships

	Total Available	Selegted _(s) År ² / Serving(/	a) Challenged	NotiSelected, Serving or Challenged	Juror
Number	1,855	946	345	564	Juror Usage Index
° of Juror Days	100%	51.0 %	.3.6%	30.4 %	19.73
National **	284 A	83		78°	28 <u>58</u> 72
Estimated, Cost	52,900	27,000	9,800	16,100	563 \$ 29
					O Isial Day

10 mills 1	// Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total 🚆	Civil	Connal 33	Total To	is qvi	Cristalia
39	23	16	94	67	27
100%	59.0 %	41.0 %	100%	71.3 %	28.7 %

	i a Histoi	APPEARANCE AND THE PROPERTY OF	ARISON. Jurer, Utilization		P. Cimpa
Fiscal Year	Combactor Control	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Projection of the Control of the Con
1971		19.5	66.5	19.22	, - = -
1972	37 903	. 21.4	61.1	17.88	(6,3(0)
1973		16.8.	66.7	18.52	1 0000
1974		30.9	50.0	17.45	621
1975	39 P. U.S.	30,4	51.0	19.73	}- §(5,5)

	Exital Hismber in Existence in the 12 Month Beriot	Timber on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled, in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975	
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	1	3	
rekare real	86	1,622	351	18.9	4.08	
	Sessions Converted	Jurors in Session	Hoursán Session	Jurors per Session 6	Hours per Session	
		NUMBER OF	b	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

(SETTIMA:	ieranijani juka	m-costs ,
ioni	° Avytajo Cost pet Session	Cost per Jurer Day
40,900	476	25

			ér ud j	DOWN THE	BIII (Cilic	r Mumbard	g	5.0	
74-1 (2)	74 - 2 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	75 - 1 (3)						
	1	Total N	lumber of M	10nths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
11	12	1	12						
			Total N	lumber of M	or ths of No	Activity	·	1	
10	•								

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

2 Judgeships

	Available Figure 1	Selected or Serving	·(Challignggel 32)	Nog Salaciad, "Sarving of + "Challenged	€ Ti	mor \
Number	3,862	2,287	572	1,003	(📚 (Ú)	289 1 1 1 693 4
of Juror Days	100%	59.2 %	14.8%	26.0 %	20	.99
Rajijonal (° 55,	33 ,	(64)		72
			7.6. 600	30, 600	F 0.0	d
Estinvatēci Cost	110,100	65,200	16,300	28,600	598) 29

	ljury Trials			l'ury Eggal Days	
Total	Civil	Criminal	lotal	Civil	Criminăl
36	4	32	184	32	152
100%	11.1 %	88.9 %	100%	17.4 %	82.6 %

	, S Jury	Trials		Juror Utilization		Cost pe
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury Tripls	% Criminal Juny Tribate	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Jurys Trigi Day
1971	7 37	1:7088	53.1	25.8	50.13	
1972	440	72.51	25.9	54.2	23.62	626
1973	7,51	- 89,2	23.1	58.5	21.87	652
1974	61.	77.0	19.1	58.4	20.28	5.84
1975	3.0	8849	26.0	59.2	20.99	598

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number of July 1, 1974	Number 1 1 Number 1 1 Number 1 1 Number 1 1 Number 1 1 Number 1 Nu	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number op June 30, 1975
MUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	3	3	0-0	1	2
USAGE TOTALS	54	1,060	273	19.6	5.06
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

C ESTIMA:	TED GRAND JUR	OR COSTS
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
45,800	848	43

		G	rand jury	NUMBER (Off	ice Number	·)	
73 – 1 (3)	74 - 1 (3)	74 - 2 (3)					
		Total Nui	mber of Month	s in Existence in	the 12 Mon	th Period	**************************************
3	12	12					
* *************************************	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total Numbe	er of Months of I	No Activity		 <u> </u>
2	-	*■					

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Oregon reported the occurrence of a notoriety trial requiring an extra-large jury panel for the selection process which took two days. Such an occurrence should be viewed as having an adverse effect on this district's efficient utilization of jurors.

Judgeships

	fotal Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or :Challenged	Juro
Number	3,190	1,740	600	850	Usage Index
of Juror Days	100%	54.5 %	18.8%	26.6 %	16.28
(Karikoned)		72,	59	66	19 20 1
Estimated Cost	76,900	41,900	14,500	20,500	392 \$ 24

	Nony Tritals	TANK TO STATE OF THE STATE OF T	me trace Art in the	Jury Trial Days	
Total	Givil	Criminal	Total o	Civit , , po	° ».@riminal
85	58	27	196	134	62
100%	68.2 %	31.8 %	100%	68.4 %	31.6 %

		HISTO	RICAL COMP	ARISON	. <i>u</i> ,		
	Jury	Trials		Juror Utilization		Cost per o	
Fiscal Year	Number of Jufy Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	idal 5	
1971	65.	32.3	35.0	48.6	22.33		
1972	4527	3H. . 6	34.1	52.1	16.31	4467	
1973	(68	33.8	28.0	56.7	14.56	357	
1974	33	3866	24.3	56.3	16.05	389	
1975	35	/3L.8 32	26.6	54.5	16.28	392	

*Laurenstagenstagenstagenstagenstagenstagenstagenstagenstagenstagenstagenstagenstagenstagenstagenstagenstagens	Total-Number in Existence in the 12-Month Period	Number on Nily 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month ⁸ Period	Number - Discharged in the 12 Mouth Period	Number on June 30, 1975	
NUMBER OF GRAND TURIES	1	1		_	1	
URAGE TOTALS	8	157	47	19.6	5.88	
Landson and Market and American	Sessions o Convened, 6	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session	
	ng menggapa ay terminin dan persembangan menantungan melan	NUMBER OF	erfolge filology (named er sking) met met protest spreedings (sig EF eggs af methods (sig mes) e	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

6	ESTÍM/	TED	GRAND	JUROP.	COSTS ~
	otál	man professional and the same	Average Cost per Session		Average Cost per Juror Day
8,	,800		1,100		56

	° GRA!	o jusy ne	MBĘR (Offi	e Number*		
74 - 1 (2)						
	Total Number	of Months in	Existence in	the 12 Monti	h Period	*************************************
12						
	То	tal Number of	f Months of N	o Activity		
7						

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

WASHINGTON EASTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

 $1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ Judgeships

	ijotal Avaijable:	Selected or Juli Serving	- Challenged	Not Selected Serving of 4-Challenged 2-3		uror \	
Number	1,373	791	309	273		Jsage _{sa} ndex	
of Juror Days	100%	57.6 %	22.5 %	19.9 %	17.16		
(National	Ranja	59 .	L80_i	0 42		20 1	
Estimated CoCost	32,700	18,800	7,400	6,500	409	\$ 24	
a de la companya de l		\$			Trial Day Cost	Juror Day per Day.	

	Jujiy Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Tacal	S CWI	Cri minal .	Total	- Civil	* Criminai !
30	12	18	80	35	45
100%	40.0 %	60.0 %	100%	43.8 %	56.2 %

		HISTO	RIČAL COMP	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF		
Fiscal Year		Figures and Common and	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Utilization % Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	12 /	38,9	15.5	67.6	17.94	
1972	7, 23	344°8	12.0	63.9	15.17	396
1973	., 1.8	° 63.5	15.5	58.6	19.78	6 . 587
1974	23	60,9	17.1	60.7	15.06	375.
1975	. 30 · \	.60.0	19.9	57.6	17.16	F (109)

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number . Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975	
NUMBER OF GRANDJURIES	3	1	2	1	2	
U\$AGE TOTALS	48	995	331	20.7	6.90	
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Juross per Session	Hours per Session	
		NUMBER OF	<u> 10. julius 19. Taran julius Itan Itan indepen</u>	AVERAGE NUMBER CE		

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JUR	OR COSTS
Total 2	Average Cost per Session	¹ Åverage Cost per Juror Day
29,000	604	29

	9		GRAND JÜRY	NUMBER (OF	fice Number*		ij,	
74 - 1 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	75 - 2 (2)						
	1	Total N	lumber of Month	s in Existence in	the 12 Month	n Period		
7	11	5						
			Total Numbe	er of Months of	No Activity		•	
1	1							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

WASHINGTON WESTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

3½ Judgeships

	Total Available	- Selected : or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		uroi*
Number	4,942	2,764	908	1,270		sage idex
of Juror Days	100%	55.9 %	18.4%	25.7 %	19	53
(Newtoned)	Rantk \	<u>(* 614.</u>)	<u>56</u>	62	. 50	31_1
Estimated Cost	123,200	68,900	22,700	31,700	487	\$ 25
					Trial Day	Jeror Bay per Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Tota 💩	Civil	Criminal	Total	🤌 🦞 Civil	Criminal
96	16	80	253	77	176
100%	16.7 %	83.3 %	100%	30.4 %	69.6 %

		HISTO	RICAL COMP.	ARISON	<i>′</i> , \	
Fiscal Year	Notabel of A Visit a	e Crimelaul Olugue Tailais	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	licaly Table 1989 - C
1971	60	68,3	29.3	59.0	21.74	Ţ
1972	68:	70,6	17.7	69.0	17.72	, 450
1973	7712	.71/8 ;	29.0	55.4	18.74	4(5(0)
1974	66	59°%	26.0	53.7	20.45	518
1975	§ \Qi6	ම්බ්රම් දී	25.7	55.9	19.53	* . 43 7


Con processing the control of the co	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974 °	Number Inpaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number of June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	11	203	34	18.5	3.09
	Sessions Convened	jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
i.		NUMBER OF	7.1.	* AVERAGE N	UMBER OF

1	ESTIMA	TED	GRAND JURG	K	CO575 <
and the second second second	Total		Average Cost per ≈ Session		Average Cost po
	3,600		327	6	18

	.,	, GRA	NO JURY NUI	MBEA (OMC	ç Number ^ê]			
74 - 1 (1)	75 - 1 (1)							
		Total Number	er of Months in I	Existence in t	he 12 Month	Period		
6	6							
		7	otal Number of	Months of No	Activity	*	-1	
1	3							


^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

- ____ Judgeships
- Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	Civil	。 Criminal 。	? Total	Civil	, Criminal
16	4	12	49	14	35
100%	25.0 %	75.0 %	100%	28.6 %	71.4 %

		ністог	RICAL COMP	ARISON: Juror Utilization	6, 7	Confession
Fiscal Year	r CALLINE En COL 1970 ALLEGALS	er (A. Cittatiste) 1940 1940	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	119	2 47.4.	52.7	30.7	39.55	
1972	7 8 19	52 ₀ 6.	39.9	45.6	26.22	6 . 51.3 /4
1973	23	3961	44.3	46.6	25.46	438
1974	** - 24 ·	66.7	33.2	51.2	20.07	0 (1)2/1
1975	. 115	75.0	25.8	56.7	18.94	sica ,





	Tot) Number jit Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impapeled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	l	1
USAGE TOTALS	48	955	305	19.9	6.35
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jürerş per Session	Hours ger Session
		NUMBER OF	gang di mangan ng sanggang ng manganan kanan yang dan panilanan s	AVERAGE (UMBÉR OF

ESTIMA'	TED GRAND JUR	OR COSTS OF
Total .	Average Cost per Session	, Average Cost per Jurot Day₁
27,700	577	29

		√ GR/	ND JURY N	innees (c	fiice Numi	er")		
74 - 1 (1)	75 - 1 (1)					***************************************		and the contains of the late the contains the theory was
ı	1	Total Numb	er of Months i	n Existence	in the 12 M	onth Period		
7	5							
		Т	otal Number	of Months o	f No Activi	У	L	<u> </u>
_	_							

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported instances in which a jury of six members was used to try a criminal case.

____ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected (ar serving a	Challenged.	Not Seledled Service Chaly gegl	Juros, 2 4					
Number	5,221	3,383	988	850	ي الsage. العام العام ਪੂਰ Jures Days	100%	64.8 %	18.9%	16.3 %	15.09
Keddoel	Rank	1301 1301		10 P 35 P	1 1 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2					
Estimated Cost	127,000	82,300	24,000	20,700	/367 \$ 24					
				**************************************	Old Doy Jure Days Cost per Day					

	្សីពេទ្ធភាពតែទែក			rincy, briag Days	T
Total	CAN SO	. Asimirely 0	Total	Sa. Civily Bank	Grimfagi 0
136	40	96	346	135	211
100%	29.4 %	70.6 %	100%	39.0 %	61.0 %

		; HISTOR		RUSUSS AND LUTOR Utilization		
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury Trials	Christian Frais	% Vot Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Truly of the control
1971	102		18.6	66.6	14.83	W.B. S.
1972	01287		14.5	65.9	14.06	1359
1973	138	55 1	9.7	71.0	13.38	314
1974			14,9	68.2	14.63	347
1975		70.	15.3	64.8	- 15.09	1036Z

	Total Number in Existence In the 12 Month	Number on July 1, 1974	Number fingsheled in the 12 Month	Number Discharged In the 12 Month a Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	5	3	2	3	2
ÚŠAGE TOTALS, :	30	597	204	19.9	6.80
	Sessions Convened	* Jurors in Session	Hours In Session	, ⇒Jurors per Session	Hours per _ Session
	205	NUMBÉR OF	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

° ESTIMA	TED GRÅND JUR	OR COSTS
。 • Total **	Gost për Cost për Session	o. Äverage Cost per⊲ Juror Day
15,900	530	27

	8		ĜRAND J	URY NŬM	BER (Office	e Number ^s	ľ	
7박 - 1 (2)	75 - 1 (2)	74 - 1 (5)	74 - 1 (6)	75 - 1 (6)				
		Totái N	Number of M	fonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Mont	n Period	 L
7	5	9	8	4.				
			Total N	lumber of M	onths of No	Activity	<u>,,,,,,</u>	 ·
4	3	8	5	2				

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Kansas reported the occurrence of three notoriety cases necessitating the use of large panels for jury selection due to the number of challenges in trials of such a nature. This district also uses the multiple voir dire technique for jury selection when possible. The revision of the statistical reporting of this technique and the occurrence of notoriety cases are two factors accounting for the increase of this district's JUI in fiscal year 1975.

_____ Judgeships

	, Total Available	Selected or Serving	: Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juros 🐴
Number 8	8,120	5,159	1,282	1,679	Usage Index
of Juror Days	100%	63.5 %	15.8%	20.7 %	19.85
rij, Klatikonell	Rank D	35	42.7	\$143.	42 20 5
Estimated G	195,200	124,000	30,800	40,400	477 24
And the state of t		Account of the second of the s			"Nial Day " " Juron Day Cost per Day

	: Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	Çvil	⁾ Criminal	Total °	° : Civil 。	Criminal
121	25	96	409	118	291
100%	20.7 %	79.3 %	100%	28.9 %	71.1 %

)。HISTOR A ^T mil (学	Contract of the Parket of Street or Street	CAL GOMPARISON Juror Utilization			
Fiscal Year	Alumber on thury Timels	A semipal Hely Heights	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index		
1971	123	82.9	16.9	68.9	18.15		
1972	- ist	1 63,5	15.5	67.4	15.10	383.	
1973	i îlai	-68-8	22.2	62.8	16.59	. uzi.	
1974	116;	₹ 7/6°7	16.5	67.5	15.83	388	
1975	121	7983	20.7	63.5	19.85	477	

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period 1	Number on July 1, 1974	Number © Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	5	2	3	2	3
USAGE TOTALS	100	2,055	623	20.6	6.23
	Sessions Convened	Jurora In Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours për , Session ",
	and the second s	NUMBER OF	. AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

	estimated	GRAND J	UROR COST	S
Fot	al .	Average Cost per Session	Cos	rage 。 (per r-Day
80,	400	804	3	9

		g	Grand I	ury num	BER (Office (Yumber#)		
74 - 1 (1)	74 - 2 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	75 - 3 (1)				
		Total N	lumber of M	onths in Ex	istence in the	12 Month Pe	riod	
8	12	2	11	5				
h			Total N	umber of M	onths of No A	ctivity	····	······
			!					
4	5	1	2	2				

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision in the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in fiscal year 1975. New Mexico employs the multiple voir dire technique to maximize utilization of jurors reporting for service.

______ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected : or . Serving :	Challenged .	Not Selected Serving or Challenged		uror.
Number of	3,305	1,969	731	605	i U	sage. dex
Juror Days	100%	59.6 %	22.1 %	18.3 %		.69
Nadond v	Rank D	51	a 79	13261	2 t.	2 94
Estimated Cost	112,600	67,100	24,900	20,600	569	\$ 34
			-		o Frial Day a Cost	Juror Pay per Day

OF THE	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	Civil	Crimin(Total	Civil	Criminal
75	47	28	198	126	72
100%	62.7 %	37.3 %	100%	63.6 %	36.4 %

	Jury Trials	A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IN	RICAL COMPARISÓN Juror Utilization			
Fiscal Year	Number of % Oriminal jury to jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Cost per Pury Treat Dray	
1971	714. ~ jan 631.4	19.5	63.1	20.85		
1972	66 , 6251,	19.5	59.6	19.29	527	
1973	65 69.2%	25.7	53.4	20.14	665	
1974	.72 ° 55₀6 ;	18.2	64.7	15.93	1.14967	
1975	475 W 787 37.3	18.3	59.6	16.69	560	

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled == ; in the 12 Month Period	Number, Discharged In the 12 Month Period	"Numberton June se Tens	
number of Grand Juries	2	1	1	1	1	
USAGE TOTALS	7	133	55	19.0	7.86	
	Sessions convened	Jurors in Session 5	Hours in Session	Jurers per "Session	Hours per ⁵ Session	
		NUMBER OF	0	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIN	/ATED	GRAND J	UROR C	0STS	
- Total *		Average Cost per Session		Average Cost per- Juror Day	1 P
3,100	\$	443	\$	23	

6 -		ີ່ GRA	ND JURY NUMB	ER (Office N	umber*)	
74-1 (4)	75 - 1 (4)	-				
		Total Numbe	r of Months in Exi	stence in the 1	2 Month Period	
7	5					
		To	otal Number of Mo	onths of No Ac	ctivity	
5	2					

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

OKLAHOMA NORTHERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

1 2/5 Judgeships

of :	440 0 0 %	759 52.7 %	262	419	÷ ∕ [°] ^ Us	iror sage dex
	00%	52.7%	0/			
		~~, ,,,	18.2%	29.1 %	20	.28
olikational Rank	$ \rangle$:	79,	造业。	A TABLE TO STATE OF THE PARTY O	55	් මේ
Estimated 35	,800	18,900	6,500	10,400	504	25

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	'cmp'''	* Committee	T00001-00		aginanal 🚙
32	13	19	71	33	38
100%	40.6 %	59.4 %	100%	46.5 %	53.5 %

	y jeny	Tirdalle		Juror Utilization			
Fiscal Year	Municipar of . July: Tricks	% Grkiomal Luay Timak	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	jeday Zodeli O liday	
1971	15	49.0	39.7	47.5	25.19	= .	
1972	43	. 4.9.8	26.1	60.2	20.31	4(3)	
1973	35	: 60°0 · .	25.7	57.8	21.05	· SIV	
1974	. 17	\$8 ₀ 8.	52.3	33.7	27.92	· · / (6/7/6) /	
1975	32	50°01.	29.1	52.7	20.28	50世	

And American	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on %, 3- July 1, 1974	Number \ Impureled o In the 12 Month Period	Number Dischalged In the 12 Month Period	5 Number on June 30, 1973
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE ° TOTALS	20	436	136	21.8	6.80
	* Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours'in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER ÖF	gi talonis ("Signas priis, Soci a G	\ AVERAGE №	iumbér of

	ESTIM	ATEO	GRAND J	UROR C	OSTS	
	otoľ «V		Average Cost por Session	i in	Average Cost per furer Day	o .
16	,200	\$	810		37	

(1:2) <u> </u>		GR/	IND JURY I	WIMBER (Off	ce Numbe	(F*) 0. 15	. 0	
74 - 1 (6)	75 - 1 (6)							
		Total Numb	er of Months	in Existence in	the 12 Mo	nth Period		
3	6							
			otal Number	of Months of N	lo Activity	,		·
2	ĽĮ.	Labora of Office						

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

OKLAHOMA EASTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

1 2/3 Judgeships

	Total, Available	Selected or Serving (Challenged	Not Selected; Serving or Challenged		urot
Number:	1,388	759	252	377	U	sage dex
Burdic Village	100%	54.7 %	18.2 %	27.2 %	17	. 57
(Neukstiel)	Rejule)	270 270	59	7/0	58	72 ,
Esimarea Cost	40,700	22,300	7,400	11,100	515	29
					en (il Day Cost p	heras (Dev.

	\ Jury*Trials.	,		Jury Trial Days	
AND DOOR IN		20 12 Tal. 12 12		Link Com.	Criminal A
39	15	24	79	31	48
100%	38.5 %	61.5 %	100%	39.2 %	60.8 %

	, leavy	ûnjeke j		Juror Utilization			
Fiscal Year	idennibaciói Busy - Tranks	W Griggenhad 1985 y Tantaka	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Poly Traki Poly	
1971	. 30	60:0\	42.2	46.1	26.64	e 🖘	
1972	30	56.7	42.0	48.5	26.66	80.5	
1973	3,2	50 ₀ 0	39.8	48.2	19.61	SW	
1974	26	69,2	39.8	47.0	21.93	655	
1975	30	61.5	27.2	54.7	17.57		

	To is Must be to the total of t	Munibakan . July 1, 1974 22	Musice Pariod Pariod	Abjacen Abjacen Antahé 12 Monah Pariod	- Number sid Ding 30, 1975
Newber of].	1		1	-
* Office TOTALS	14	263	91	18.8	6.50
	Se jans Gorened	Jurors in	Hours in . Session	Jurays per of Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF	9	AVERAGE N	WMBER OF

ESTIMA	vyed Guland 1	UROR COSTS
(3) Saule:	Average of Cost and C	Average Cost per Juror Day
8,800	\$ 629	\$ 33

("0		GRANI) JURY NUMBER	(Office Numbe	r*) ==	
74 - 1 (5)						
	1	Total Number o	of Months in Exister	nce in the 12 Mc	nth Period	
12						
		Tota	al Number of Monti	ns of No Activity	/	
7						

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

OKLAHOMA WESTERN

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 14 <u>/15</u> Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not/Selected, Serving or Challenged	, Juror
Number _	3,715	2,316	555	844	Usage Index
of Juror Days	100%	62.3 %	14.9 %	22.7 %	17.28
o (Neutonell (Raiolk (1 ¹)	<u>i 43.</u>	1. 1 <u>. 35</u> . 1		30 160 6
Estimated Cost	81,400	50,700	12,100	18,500	379 \$ 22
			<u> </u>		Cost per Day

	Jury Trials		$\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}^{b}$	Jury Trial Days	
1616		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	25-3-1000/15-17		🚜 Carinta 🔏
75	28	47	215	75	140
100%	37.3 %	62.7 %	100%	34.9 %	65.1 %

Fiscal Year	juny	HISTO		ICAL COMPARISON *: Juror Utilization				
	Murabay ou Juriy Tarixys	W Clauminiel Henry Timeds	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	(mirk itatik Deny		
1971	(50).	60 ₀ 0	26.3	56.1	21.73	, y , ;='		
1972	7/G)	68°0/ /-	16.8	65.1	18.29	3(6)3		
1973	65-	[6.9]	26.7	57.3	21.66	. 417/2		
1974	(5)(9)	500 O	20.9	67.9	15.53	<u>\$</u> [2]2[5]		
1975	7/3	©237	22.7	62.3	17.28	370		

	application of the second of t	v Minigavon 1674 j. 1976	in langela Jeografiek Paulka J 24 Molash Rentek	Mustieri gülüdhüngen an lete 22/Mondan gülüdek	Parison on Japas 30, 1973
ACCOUNT HURSES	2	-	2		2
USANTO CONTRACTOR	39	846	188	21.7	4.82
	Sessions Convened	Julions Dija Session	Floring .	Juiols öbt. Session	Hours per Session
İ		* Nomber de		AVERAGE	例他的

CALL ESTIMA	teð grand jur	or costs
Potal	Average Lost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
21,400	549	25

		•	RAND JU	IRY NUME	ER (Office	: Number*)			
75 - 1 (1)	75 - 1 (2)								
		Total Nu	mber of Mo	onths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
10	5						!		
			Total Nu	ımber of M	onths of No	Activity	 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4	1								

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged		urot +
Number	2,892	1,877	400	615		Jsage idex
of Juror Days	100%	64.9 %	13.8 %	21.3 %	18	3.78
(Newtonel)	Randk \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	ر م <u>29</u> ا	125	<u>.48</u>	56.s3	<u>Щ</u> . 58; т
Estimated Cost	78,200	50,800	10,800	16,700	508	\$ 27
					Trial Day Cost	Julyon Day per Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	Civit	Griminal	Total _"	, Civil-e	Criminal
37	19	18	154	1.10	44
100%	51.4 %	48.6 %	100%	71.4 %	28.6 %

	•	HISTO	RICAL CÓMP.	ARISON	**	
				Juror Utilization		
Fiscal Year	Nimiter ei Hund Officials	, ys Erinaande jigary amin'ik	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	100 ay
1971	4.2	112 ₀ 9 .1	27.9	53.0	24.22	<i>i</i> -
1972	31. '	.58.1	20.9	61.0	21.11	. N/A ,
1973	61.	5940	25.0	51.9	24.42	r IQV/JA
1974	<u>)</u> 36	- 0015万	27.6	61.3	21.40	; 564
1975	<u> </u>	· 4806	21.3	64.9	18.78	£ \$08

	Tible: History Serve vio Exprisoner Sp. Harr I 2: Mounth E 1951 601-50.	Najaibsi on Hajudwij _i 1974	Numbja Impenated similas (12 Martin 124 - 13	iklemiaan Dischargaal Haldha 12 Waadh A Phrinkl	Telephon on June 30, 1976
Projection pullsies	1	-	1		1
USA GE ROTENES (FO	3	66	19	22.0	6.33
	See jous George en en 1 au 200 de 1	Administrative of the second s	Hows in	Lagrandur Angelspe Lagrandur	House per session -
		Maker St.		F-AVERAGE:N	UNITER XOF

nestin Tradali	AN TEL	AVANCE AVANCE Consuper Session	WROE.	OSTS. Average Cost for Mor Da	
2,400	\$	800	\$	36	

As the		estable) i	SKY NUMBER	Office Number*)		
75 - 1 (2)						
	1	Total Number of M	onths in Existence	e in the 12 Month	Period	
4						
		Total N	umber of Months	of No Activity		
3						

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975


____ Judgeships

	Total Available	Selected : On Serving	Chattenged	Not Selected, Serving or Engalenged		a Mer	
Number	677	454	90	133	.14	Usage Index	**
Juror Staye	100%	67,1 %	13,3%	19.6 %		11.67	7
istational	Ranks,	20	0.28				6.
Estimated Cost	15,000	10,100	2,000	2,900	2	59	22
						Cost per I	yay No-3

° Total °	(= Lury Trials(+	Cruminel	275307	Niry Tall Cays	A Continued
21	14	7	58 /	49	9
100%	66.7 %	33.3 %	190%	84.5 %	15.5 %

1.	, ° N®R NHISTOR	RICA) LOMP	A COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF		
Fiscal Year	(A) Survivorial (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A)	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Utilization % Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Massilli 1804 Link
1971	15 607	15.2	72,4	15.44) 3. 3
1972	30 i 29 ja ja ja ja ja ja	21.6	65.4	14,50 ./	SILS V
1973		10.2	77.2	12,49	
1974	· 5, 29 35 (9 35 (9 35)	15.6	73,9	11.80	2657 p
1975		19.6	67.1	11.67	1259

	•	
		:
		; ;
		30
		:
		3
		6
		÷
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		- Xi
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		-
		*
		Y



	in the 12 Monato Portos	AN in person * Julyal 1974	Initialist Initialisto Te fine 12 Memin Period	Professor POson recol Poson (24 Venion) Posod	Plante non June du 1957
AND WATES	19	12	7	9	10
A MONOR	581	11,613	2,755	20.0	4.74
	Sessions Conversed	Junors in Session	Hours in Session	⁸ Jurers-per Session	Figurs per Session
		D .	AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIMA	TED GRAND JURG	OR COSTS
Totals *, D	Avernge Char per Session	Average Cost per Jurer Day
146,300	252	13

G:			GRAND'S	URY MUM	MER (Office	e Number*)				
72-1 (1)	73 - 3 (1)	73 - 4 (1)	74-1 (1)	74 - 2 (1)	74 - 3 (1)	74 - 4 (1)	74 - 5 (1)	74-6 (1)	74-7 ¹ (1)	
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	2	4	8	8	6	8	12	12	12	
	Total Number of Months of No Activity									
	_									
4	<u> </u>	2	8	-	-	8	_			

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The District of Columbia experienced four notoriety trials in the past fiscal year. Two of these cases were of a highly publicized nature and required extraordinarily large juror panels for selection due to the large number of excuses and challenges for cause anticipated in these types of trials. These four trials required 1,038 prospective jurors for the selection of the juries. The adverse effect on juror utilization statistics of such occurrences can rarely be minimized by efficient management techniques and it should be noted that if these 1,038 prospective jurors are excluded from the total available for the year, the District of Columbia would have recorded an estimated JUI of 18.39 and better performance figures in the categories of percent selected or serving and percent not selected, serving or challenged.

The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

15 Judgeships

	· Total Ayailable	☑ Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror
Number of	16,836	10,623	2,277	3,936	Usage Index
Jurog Days	100%	63.1 %	13.5 %	23.4 %	19.51
Redord	Rank 📗	® <u>139</u> 1	<u>ižičí</u>	<u> 56</u> 9	\$564 8 4 4 1 3 3
Estimated Cost	324,000	204,400	43,700	75,800	375 19
					Tea IND A Please ID av Cost per Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Tetal	Ger	Griminal 29	V. Fotal	Contract	y (y Gighter) (y
228	84	144	863	378	485
100%	36 . 8 %	63.2 %	100%	43 . 8 %	56.2 %

		HISTO	RICAL COMP	ARISON		
	Active Juny	Tideis		Juror Utilization		👍 Čori po
Fiscal Year	Alternatect of harry Traineds	% Grandon e leusy Taleits	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Torry Tirkal C 1966
1971	ê :67:1592	179₀7	31.2	56.7	25.34	`.o. (`) •5=
1972	6440	8053	30.7	52.1	24.44	: \$\(\hat{6}\(\bar{4}\).
1973	597	30,JL	27.2	57.5	22.22	282
1974	295	67.5	31.4	54.8	22.05	295 : _{Ve}
1975	228	62:2	23.4	63.1	19.51	,375



APPENDIX A

District of Columbia concluded

	GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)										
74 - 8 (1)	74 - 9 (1)	75 - 1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	75 - 3 (1)	75 - 4 (1)	75 - 5 (1)	75 - 6 (1)	75 - 7 (1)			
1	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period										
12	8	11	5	7	5	3	3	1			
	Total Number of Months of No Activity										
9	6	-	2	4	2	-	_	_			

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names a. d Numbers.

District of New York Eastern continued

			GRAND J	URY NUM	BER (Office	Number*)			
75 - 1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	75 - 3 (1)	75 - 4 (1)	75 - 5 (1)	75 - 6 (1)	75 - 7 (1)	75 - 8 (1)	75 - 9 (1)	75-10 (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
2	12	2	2	10	3	2	2	5	1
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity	<u> </u>		-
-	3	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of New York Eastern concluded

			GRAND J	URY NUMI	BER (Office	Number*)			
75 - 11 (1)	75 - 12 (1)	75 - 13 (1)	75 - 14 (1)	75 - 15 (1)	75 - 16 (1)	75 - 17 (1)	75 - 18 (1)	75 - 19 (1)	75-20 (1)
		Total N	umber of N	lonths in Ex	istence in th	e 12 Month	Period		
6	6	6	4	5	Ц	3	3	2	1
		<u></u>	Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity			
-	5	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	<u></u>

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of New York Southern continued

			GRAND J	URY NUMI	BER (Office	Number*)		···				
74-8 (1)	74 - 9 (1)	74-10 (1)	74 - 12 (1)	74 - 13 (1)	74-14 (1)	74 - 15 (1)	74-16 (1)	74-17 (1)	75 - 1 (1)			
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period												
10	11	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12			
<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity						
10	11	计	12	10	12	12	, 🗪	11	8			

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of New York Southern continued

	GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)												
75 - 2 (1)	75 - 3 (1)	75 - 4 (1)	75 - 5 (1)	75 - 6 (1)	75 - 7 (1)	75 - 8 (1)	75 - 9 (1)	75 - 10 (1)	75 - 11 (1)				
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period												
11	10	10	9	9	9	8	8	7	6				
			Total N	lumber of M	onths of No	Activity							
9	7	-	7	2	7	3			3				

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of New York Southern continued

	GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)												
75 - 12 (1)	75 - 13 (1)	75 - 14 (1)	75 - 15 (1)	75-16 (1)	75 - 17 (1)	75 - 18 (1)	75-19 (1)	75 - 20 (1)	75 - 21 (1)				
	Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period												
6	5	5	5	4	3	3	3	2	2				
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity							
_	1	-	-	2	L	l	_	-	- ر				

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of New York Southern concluded

			GRAND J	URY NUM	BER (Office	e Number*)			
75 - 22 (1)	75 - 23 (1)								
		Total	Number of N	Months in E	kistence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
1	1								
			Total N	Number of N	onths of No	Activity	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
-	-								

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of New Jersey concluded

			GRAND J	URY NUM	BER (Office	e Number*)		
75 - 2 (2)	75 - 3 (2)							
	1	Total 1	Number of N	Nonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	Period	
8	6]		_
			Total N	lumber of M	onths of No	Activity		
_	Prince							ر

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of Virginia Eastern concluded GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*) 74-1 (4) Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period 12 Total Number of Months of No Activity 11 *See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers. District of Virginia Western concluded GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*) 75-2 (7) Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period 2 Total Number of Months of No Activity 1 *See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers. District of Florida Middle concluded GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*) 75-1 75-2 (8) (8)Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period 6 6 Total Number of Months of No Activity *See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers. District of Florida Southern continued

			GRAND J	URY NUMI	BER (Office	Number*)			
75 - 1 (2)	75 - 2 (2)	74 - 2 (4)	75 - 1 (4)	75 - 2 (4)	74 - 2 (9)	75 - 1 (9)	75 - 2 (9)	74 - 2 (10)	75 - 1 (10)
		Total N	Sumber of N	Nonths in Ex	istence in th	e 12 Month	Period		
7	гţ	. 6	7	1	5	8	1	5	7
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity			
4	1	Ц.	4	· ·	2	1	-	•••	2

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

			CDAND	ILIDY NILIA	ADED (Office	a Kleenshau*	1	r de la composition de la comp la desta de la composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della	
(- <u></u>	T == =		GRAND	TURY NUN	1BER (Offic	e wumber	1		
75-2 (10)	75 - 3 (10)								
		Total	Number of I	Vonths in E	xistence in t	he 12 Mont	h Period	<u> </u>	
=0.44.	4								
\$ 70		 	Total N	Number of I	Months of N	o Activity	 		
	1	J rose 1461			1	1			
*Con 400	and v 5 for	a listing of	Office Name	os and Num	hars	<u> L</u>		<u> </u>	
		f Texas							
					IBER (Offic	e Number*)		
75 - 1									
(5)									
		Total N	Number of N	Nonths in E	xistence in t	he 12 Month	Period		
3									
4.3	الم المحمد المحمولية		Total N	lumber of N	Months of No	Activity	<u> </u>		
\ -									
*See App	endix B for	a listing of (ı Office Name	s and Numi	bers.	1	4		
		Texas							
					BER (Office	e Number*)			
74-1	74-2	75-1	75-2	75-3	74-1	75-1			
(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(7)	(7)			
	(-)	ردا	\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\				<u> </u>		
				onths in E:	xistence in th	ne 12 Month	Period		
		Total N	Number of M	_			Period		
8	4		Number of M	2	8	5	Period		
8		Total N	Number of M	2		5	Period		
		Total N	Number of M	2	8	5	Period		
8		Total N	Number of M	2	8	5	Period		
7	4	Total N	Number of M 5 Total N	2 Jumber of M	8 Nonths of No	5 Activity	Period		
7 *See App	4 - endix B for	Total N 9 3	Number of M 5 Total N - Office Name	2 lumber of M – s and Numb	8 Nonths of No	5 Activity	Period		
7 *See App	4 - endix B for	Total N 9 3 a listing of G	Number of M 5 Total N - Office Name an Easte	2 lumber of M - s and Number	8 Nonths of No	5 Activity 1			
7 *See App	4 endix B for rict of	Total N 9 3 a listing of G	Number of M 5 Total N - Office Name an Easte	2 lumber of M - s and Number	8 Months of No. 7 bers. cluded	5 Activity 1			
7 *See App	4 endix B for rict of	Total N 9 3 a listing of O	Number of M 5 Total N - Office Name an Easte GRAND J	2 lumber of M - s and Numb PITI, CONC URY NUM	8 Months of No. 7 bers. cluded	5 Activity 1 Number*)			
7 *See App	4 endix B for rict of	Total N 9 3 a listing of O	Number of M 5 Total N - Office Name an Easte GRAND J	2 lumber of M - s and Numb PITI, CONC URY NUM	8 Months of No. 7 bers. Pluded BER (Office	Activity 1 Number*)			
7 *See Appo Dist 75-4 72)	endix B for rict of 75-5 (2)	Total N 9 3 a listing of O	Number of M 5 Total N - Office Name an Easte GRAND J Number of M	2 s and Number of Norths in Expenses of the control	8 Nonths of Notes. Pluded BER (Offices	Activity L Number*)			
7 *See Appo Dist 75-4 75-4	endix B for rict of 75-5 (2)	Total N 9 3 a listing of O	Number of M 5 Total N - Office Name an Easte GRAND J Number of M	2 s and Number of Norths in Expenses of the control	8 Months of No. 7 bers. Pluded BER (Office	Activity L Number*)			

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of Illinois Northern continued

(GRAND J	URY NUMI	BER (Office	Number*)			
75 - 1 (1)	75 - 2 (1)	75 - 3 (1)	75 - 4 (1)	75 - 5 (1⁄2	75 - 6 (1)	75 - 7 (1)	75-8 (1)	75 - 9 (1)	75-10 ∞ (1)
		Total N	Number of N	lonths in Ex	istence in th	e 12 Month	Period	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3	11	2	1	1	8	7	6	2	5
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity			
_	2		_			5,	5		•)

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of Illinois Northern concluded

			GRAND J	URY NUME	BER (Office	· Number*)		
75 - 11 (1)	75 - 12 (1)	75 - 13 (1)	75-14 (1)				2	
		Total N	Number of M	lonths in Ex	istence in th	e 12 Month	Period	
2	2	1	1					
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		6
•	<u>.</u>	-						

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

vistrict of California Central continued

C_{-}	GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)										
74-12 (2)	74 - 13 (2)	75-1 (2)	75-2 (2)	75 - 3 (2)	75 - 4 (2)	75 - 5	75 - 6 (2)	75 - 7 (2)	75 - 8 (2)		
		Total N	lumber of N	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ie 12 Month	Period				
9	12	11	11	11	8	8	11	5	5		
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity					
3	1	3	1	1	1	1	•	.	-)		

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of California Central concluded

	GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)												
75 - 9 (2)	75 - 10 (2)	75 - 11 (2)	75 - 12 (2)	75 - 13 (2)	75 - 14 (2)		3						
		Total N	lumber of M	lonths in Ex	istence in th	e 12 Month	r-Poriod						
5	5	5	5	5	5								
12			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity							
1													

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers,

		concluded

			GRAND J	URY NUMI	BER (Office	Number*)		
75-2 (3)	75 - 3 (3)	75 - 4 (3)	75 - 5 (3)					
		Total N	Number of M	lonths in Ex	istence in th	ne 12 Month	n Period	
8	8	2	2					
			Total N	umber of M	onths of No	Activity		
	1	-	-					

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

APPENDIX B

List of U.S. District Courts and places of holding court within each district where grand juries sat in fiscal year 1975. Office numbers are assigned to places of holding court according to Administrative Office Bulletin No. 510 to all Clerks of Court (revised March 1972). The listing is presented in district and office number order.

Office Name	Office Number	Office Name	Office Number
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE	
MAINE:		Lewisburg	
Bangor	1	Scranton	3
Portland	2	Williamsport	4
		Wilkes Barre	5
MASSACHUSETTS:		DIDDINGTIA ANTO A MICORDINA	
Boston		PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN:	
NEW HAMPSHIRE:		Erie Pittsburgh	1 2
Concord	1	Errenntar	5)
Concord		MARYLAND:	
RHODE ISLAND:		Baltimore	1
Providence	1		
		NORTH CAROLINA, EASTERN:	
PUERTO RICO:		New Bern	4
San Juan	3	Raleigh	9 5
CONNECTICUT:		NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE:	
Hartford	2	Greensboro	2
New Haven	3 5	MODELL CADOLTMA DECEMBERA	
Bridgeport		NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN: Asheville	
NEW YORK, NORTHERN:		Charlotte	
Albany	1	GHATLOFLE	
Auburn	2	SOUTH CAROLINA:	
Syracuse	5	Columbia	3
Utica	6		
		VIRGINIA, EASTERN:	
NEW YORK, EASTERN:		Alexandria	
Brooklyn		Norfolk	2
		Richmond	3
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN:		Newport News	4
New York City	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	TITECTATA WECKEDAL	
NEW YORK, WESTERN:		VIRGINIA, WESTERN: Abingdon	
Buffalo	1	Danville	1
Rochester	$\hat{6}$	Lynchburg	6
		Roanoke	
VERMONT:		그리는 항상 사람들은 선생님이 되었다고 하는데 없다.	
Burlington		WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN:	
Rutland	" (a. 5. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15.	Clarksburg	1
		Elkins	2 °
DELAWARE:			. _A
Wilmington «		MEGT ATMOTHATE GOOTHEWN:	
NPM TPUCEV.		Bluefield Charleston	2 3 2 2 2 3 3 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
NEW JEKSEY: Camden		Huntington	.
Newark	2	Beckley	1 1 2 3 4 5 5
			.
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN:		ALABAMA, NORTHERN:	
Philadelphia "	2	· Birmingham	2

Office Name	Office Number	Office Name	Office Number
ALABAMA, MIDDLE:		TEXAS, WESTERN:	
Montgomery	2	Austin	1
		Del Rio	2
ALABAMA, SOUTHERN:		El Paso	3
Mobile	l	Pecos	4
		San Antonio	5
FLORIDA, NORTHERN:		Midland-Odessa	7
Pensacola	3		
Tallahassee	4	KENTUCKY, EASTERN:	
		Catlettsburg	1
FLORIDA, MIDDLE:		Covington	2
Jacksonville	3	Lexington	5
Orlando	6		
Tampa	8	KENTUCKY, WESTERN:	
		Bowling Green	1
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN:	en en en en en en en en en en en en en e	Louisville	3
Miami.	<u>L</u> ength (Length)	Owensboro	ц.
Fort Pierce	2	Paducah	5
Key West	4		
West Palm Beach	9	MICHIGAN, EASTERN:	
Fort Lauderdale	10	Bay City	1
		Detroit	2
GEORGIA, NORTHERN:			
Atlanta	1	MICHIGAN, WESTERN:	
		Grand Rapids	1
GEORGIA, MIDDLE:			
Columbus	4	OHIO, NORTHERN:	
Macon	5	Cleveland	1
		Toledo	3
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN:			
Augusta	1	OHIO, SOUTHERN:	
Brunswick	2	Cincinnati	1
Savannah	4	Columbus	2
		Dayton	3
LOUISIANA, EASTERN:			
New Orleans	2	TENNESSEE, EASTERN:	
		Chattanooga	1
LOUISIANA, MIDDLE:		Greenville	2
Baton Rouge	3	Knoxville	3
		Winchester	4
LOUISIANA, WESTERN:			
Alexandria	1	TENNESSLE, MIDDLE:	
Shreveport	5	Nashville	3
MISSISSIPPI, NORTHERN:		TENNESSEE, WESTERN:	
Oxford	3	Jackson	1
		Memphis	2
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN:			
Jackson	3	ILLINOIS, NORTHERN:	
		Chicago	1
TEXAS, NORTHERN:			
Abilene	1	ILLINOIS, EASTERN:	
Dallas	3	Danville	2
Lubbock	5	East St. Louis	3
TEXAS, CASTERN:		ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN:	
Beaumont		Springfield	3
Tyler	6		
man 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		INDIANA, NORTHERN:	
TEXAS, SOUTHERN:		Hammond	2
Brownsville	1	South Bend	3
Corpus Christi	2		
Galveston	3	INDIANA, SOUTHERN:	
Houston	4	Indianapolis	
Laredo	5		
		WISCONSIN, EASTERN: Milwaukee	2

Office Name	Office Number	Office Name Office Number	br
WISCONSIN, WESTERN: Madison		NEVADA: Las Vegas	10 m
ARKANSAS, EASTERN: Little Rock		Reno OREGOV: Fortland	30° 10 U.,
ARKANSAS, WESTERN: Texarkana Hot Springs	4 .	WASHINGTON, EASTERN	o o
IOWA, NORTHERN: Cedar Rapids Sioux City	1 5	WASHINGTON, WESTERN: Scattle	0 CE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE
IOWA, SOUTHERN: Des Moines	4	Agaria	
MINNESOTA: St. Paul		COLORADO: Denver KANSAS:	ď.
MISSOURI, EASTERN: St. Louis		Kansas Caty Topeka Wichita	20
MISSOURI, WESTERN: Kansas City	4	NEW MEXICO: Albuquerque	n⊊ (20)
NEBRASKA: Omaha	8	OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN:	
NORTH DAKOTA: Bismarck Fargo	1 3	OKLAHOMA, FASTERN.	9
SOUTH DAKOTA: Deadwood Sioux Falls	2	OKLAHOMA WESTERN: Oklahoma City S	9
ALASKA: Anchorage	3	OTAH: Ogden Salt Lake City	€.
ARIZONA: Phoenix Tucson	2 4	WYOMING: Cheyonie	es (
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN: San Francisco	1 (14) (14) (14) (14) (14) (14) (14) (14		e Note
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN: Fresno Sacramento	1 2		// /*** =
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL: Los Angeles	2		o D
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN: San Diego	3	of the state of th	
HAWAII: Honolulu		The state of the s	()E)
IDAHO: Boise	1	o The way of the of	SA SA
MONTANA: Billings	1		.A))° '

8 6

APPENDIX C

List of U. S. District Courts That Have Adopted Rules Reducing the Size of Civil Juries

District of Columbia (April 16, 1971)*

"In all civil cases tried in this Court the jury shall consist of six (6) members, except in cases of eminent domain." (Effective June 1, 1971)

FIRST CIRCUIT

Maine (November 29, 1971)

". . . In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Massachusetts (October 8, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members.

This rule shall become effective November 1, 1971."

New Hampshire (July 27, 1971)

"(a) Number of Jurors and Initial Selection

- (1) In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members and the clerk shall select by lot the names of six persons to be drawn initially.
- (2) In all criminal jury cases, the jury shall consist of twelve members and the clerk shall select by lot the names of twelve persons to be drawn initially." (Effective September 1, 1971)

Rhode Island (Filed September 20, 1971)

"(a) <u>Six-man juries</u>. In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members. The <u>jury</u> in a criminal case shall consist of twelve members, except as provided in hale 23(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure." (Effective Sextender 27, 1971)

Puerto Rico (January 19, 1972)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

SECOND CIRCULT

Connection* (October 17, 1972)

"function of Jurors. The jury shall consist of six members in the trial of all civil cases." (Effective October 1, 1972)

New York, Northern (July 3, 1973)

"In all C1vil Jury Cases in this Listrict Court, the jury shall consists of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." (Effective August 31, 1973)

New York, Eastern (July 3, 1973)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons." (Effect-tive August 1, 1973)

New York, Southern (July 24, 1973)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons." (Effective August 1, 1973)

*Date of Court Order, if known.

Vermont (October 17, 1972)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members. In protracted litigation an additional juror, or jurors, may be selected who will participate in the deliberations and verdict." (Effective July 1, 1973)

THIRD CIRCUIT

Delaware

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members except that the parties may stipulate that the jury in any such case shall consist of any number less than six. (Effective 1-1-73, applicable to all civil trials commencing on or after that date, without regard to the date upon which the action was filed)."

New Jersey (May 28, 1971)

"In all civil jury actions, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective September 1, 1971)

Pennsylvania, Eastern (April 13, 1971)

"(a) Except as provided in (b), juries in civil cases shall consist, initially, of eight (8) members. Trials in such cases shall continue so long as at least six (6) jurors remain in service. If the number of jurors falls below six (6), a mistrial shall be declared upon prompt application therefor by any party then on the record. (b) Trial by a jury consisting of twelve (12) members may be had if written demand therefor (with notice to all parties) is filed with the court not less than thirty (30) and not more than sixty (60) days following service of the last pleading directed to the issue triable of right by the jury. (c) This rule shall become effective on May 1, 1971. All civil jury cases pending on this court on the effective date hereof shall be tried in accordance with sub-division (a) unless demand for trial by jury consisting of twelve (12) members is made within fifteen (15) days following the effective date of this rule." (Effective May 1, 1971)

Pennsylvania, Middle (July 6, 1973)

"(a) Juries in civil cases shall consist, initially, of at least eight (8) members. Trials in such cases shall continue so long as at least six(6) jurors remain in service. If the number of jurors falls below six (6), a mistrial shall be declared upon prompt application therefor by any party then on the record." (Effective July 6, 1973)

Pennsylvania, Western (May 27, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members. This Rule shall be applicable to all civil actions tried in this District on or after September 1, 1971." (Effective September 1, 1971)

Virgin Islands (February 16, 1973)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members." (Effective March 1, 1973)

FOURTH CIRCUIT

Maryland (June 10, 1971)

"In civil cases in which trial by jury has been demanded pursuant to F.R.C.P. 38, the jury shall consist of six jurors, plus such number of alternate jurors, as the court may deem necessary. This rule shall apply to all cases tried on or after the date of this order, effective August 20, 1973.

North Carolina, Middle (October 14, 1971)

"(a) Number of Jurors in Civil Jury Cases. In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members." (Effective January 1, 1972)

North Carolina, Western (January 1974)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members." (Effective January 1, 1974)

South Carolina (December 29, 1972)

"Ordered that, in all civil jury cases tried on or after January 1, 1973 in this Court, the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Virginia, Eastern (May 22, 1972)

"The jury in any civil case shall consist of six. The number of peremptory challenges shall be as provided by law (28 U.S.C., §1870)." (Effective July 1, 1972)

West Virginia, Northern

"(e) In civil actions in which trial by jury has been demanded pursuant to Rule 38, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the jury shall consist of six jurors, plus such number of alternate jurors as the Court may determine necessary."

West Virginia, Southern (February 15, 1974)

"In civil actions in which trial by jury has been demanded pursuant to Rule 38, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the jury shall consist of six jurors, plus such number of alternate jurors as the Court may determine necessary." (Effective July 1, 1973, amended February 15, 1974)

FIFTH CIRCUIT

Alabama, Northern (May 10, 1972)

"Effective July 1, 1972, in all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members."

Alabama, Middle (July 12, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members." (Effective August 15, 1971)

Alabama, Southern (August 25, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Florida, Northern (June 29, 1972)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Florida, Middle (June 27, 1972)

"Rule IIA. A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternate jurors as may be imp_neled." (Effective July 17, 1972)

Florida, Southern (February 8, 1971)

". . . all civil jury cases, jurisdiction for which is based upon 28 U.S.C. \$1332, 45 U.S.C. \$51, and 46 U. S.C. \$688, shall be tried to a jury which shall consist of six members." (Effective March 1, 1971)

Georgia, Northern

Gb.

"All civil actions shall be tried to a jury of six members and challenges shall be in accordance with Title 28 U.S.C. \$3.870."

Louisiana, Eastern (April 20, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six (6) members." (Effective May 1, 1971)

Louisiana, Middle

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members." (Effective April 16, 1972)

Louisiana, Western (April 9, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, jurisdiction for which is based on 28 U.S.C. \$1332, 45 U.S.C. \$51, and 46 U.S.C. \$688, the jury shall consist of six members, with three peremptory challenges allowed to each opposing party. One alternate juror, in lengthy cases, will be empanelled, with one peremptory challenge allowed to each of the opposing parties." (Effective April 15, 1971)

63

Mississippi, Northern (September 27, 1972)

"The District Judges for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi do hereby adopt a local rule of court to provide that in all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members, with three peremptory challenges allowed to each opposing party. In its discretion the court may impanel two alternate jurors, with one peremptory challenge allowed each of the opposing parties." (Effective January 1, 1973)

Texas, Eastern (December 3, 1973)

"... in all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise required by law, the jury shall consist of six members; however, it shall be optional with the Presiding Judge to require a twelve-member civil jury rial rather than six members." (Effective January 1, 1974)

Texas, Southern (July 27, 1973)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six (6) persons, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled." (Effective July 30, 1973)

Texas, Western (May 1, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective July 1, 1971) (As amended July 1, 1971)

SIXTH CIRCUIT

Sit with

Kentucky, Western (April 24, 1972)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members." (Effective May 1, 1972)

Michigan, Western (July 17, 1974)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternate jurors as may be impanelled."

Ohio, Northern (March 24, 1972)

"In all civil trials, juries shall consist of six members."

Tennessee, Eastern (October 13, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases except as may be otherwise expressly required by law, the jury shall consist of not less than six (6) members."

Tennessee, Middle (March 23, 1972)

"It is therefore ORDERED that from and after May 1, 1972, in all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six persons, excluding alternates."

Tennessee, Western M4arch 9, 1972)

"It is therefore ORDERED that from and after April 1, 1972, in all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six persons, excluding alternates." (April 1, 1972)

SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Illinois, Northern (May 18, 1971)

"In all jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly require by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective September 13, 1971)

Illinois, Eastern (becember 10, 1970)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective September 1, 1971)

Illinois, Southern (January 21, 1971)

"In all jury cases [civil], except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective May 1, 1971)

Indiana, Northern (March 10, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, jurisdiction for which is based on 28 U.S.C \$1332 (diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy), 45 U.S.C. \$51 (Federal Employers' Liability Act), 46 U.S.C. \$688 (Jones Act), and cases involving condemnation of real and personal property under the power of eminent domain under the laws of the United States, the jury shall consist of six (6) jurors." (Effective May 1, 1971)

Indiana, Southern (February 26, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, jurisdiction for which is based on 28 U.S.C. \$1332 (diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy), 45 U.S.C. \$51 (Federal Employers' Liability Act), 46 U.S.C. \$688 (Jones Act), and cases involving condemnation of real and personal property under the power of eminent domain under the laws of the United States, the jury shall consist of six (6) jurors." (Effective May 1, 1971)

Wisconsin, Eastern (July 26, 1971)

"In all jury cases except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective September 1, 1971)

Wisconsin, Western (August 28, 1973)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

EIGHTH CIRCUIT

Iowa, Northern

"To better serve the interests of judicial economy and to avoid the calling of alternates in all civil jury cases the parties shall be bound by the verdict of not less than six jurors." (Effective November 30, 1971)

Iowa, Southern (July 28, 1972)

"Civil cases shall be tried to a jury of six, except in those situations in which it would ordinarily be advisable to select alternates. In such situations an additional juror or jurors may be selected who will participate in the deliberations and verdict. Unless the parties stipulate otherwise, no verdict may be returned by a jury composed of less than six members. In the abscence of a stipulation pursuant to Rule 48, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the jury's verdict shall be unanimous."

Minnesota (November 12, 1970)

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of 6 members."

Missouri, Eastern (July 30, 1973)

"(g)(l) A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Missouri, Western (July 1, 1972)

"Unless otherwise specially ordered by the court in a designated civil action or consolidated actions, the juries shall consist of six members in all civil cases, including but not limited to complex cases."

Nebraska (January 17, 1972)

"In all civil jury cases the juries shall consist of six members." (Effective March 1, 1972)

South Dakota

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six persons." (Effective July 30, 1973)

NINTH CIRCUIT

Alaska (October 1, 1973)

"(A) In all civil cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members." (Effective October 1, 1973)

Arizona (October 1, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

California, Northern (November 18, 1971)

"In all civil cases the jury shall consist of six members unless expressly otherwise provided in a Final Pre-Trial Order." (Effective December 6, 1971)

California, Eastern (October 22, 1971)

"In all cases in which a jury is demanded in civil cases, trial of a cause shall be before a jury consisting of six (6) members." (Effective November 5, 1971)

California, Central (March 8, 1971)

"In all cases in which a jury is demanded in civil cases, trial of the cause shall be before a jury consisting of six (6) members." (Effective March 15, 1971)

California, Southern (March 19, 1971)

"In all cases in which a jury is demanded in civil cases, trial of the cause shall be before a jury consisting of six (6) jurors." (Effective April 15, 1971)

<u>Hawaii</u> (March 31, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases for which jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C., Section 1332, 45 United States Code, Section 51, and 46 United States Code, Section 688, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective April 12, 1971)

Montana (Filed July 14, 1971)

"(d) Jury Trials

(1) A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Nevada

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six (6) persons, plus such alternates as may be impaneled." (Effective November 15, 1973)

Oregon (June 7, 1971)

"(a) In all civil cases tried in this court to a jury the number of jurors shall be six unless otherwise ordered by the court.

(b) This provision shall not alter the number of challenges available to a party under 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1870 or Rule 49(b) Fed. Rules Civ. Proc." (Effective July 1, 1971)

Washington, Eastern (June 15, 1972)

"A jury for the trial of all civil cases shall consist of six jurors plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled." (Effective July 1, 1972)

Washington, Western (May 22, 1972)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six jurors plus such alternate jurors that may be impaneled." (Effective July 1, 1972)

Guam

"In all cases in which a jury is demanded in civil cases, trial of the cause shall be before a jury consisting of six (6) members, unless otherwise ordered by the Court." (Effective September 1, 1973)

TENTH CIRCUIT

Colorado (April 21, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective June 1, 1971)

Kansas (March 11, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective June 1, 1971)

New Mexico (February 19, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members." (Effective May 1, 1971)

Oklahoma, Northern (August 7, 1973)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47 (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." (Entered August 7, 1973)

Oklahoma, Eastern (April 14, 1972)

"(3) In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." (Effective July 1, 1972)

Oklahoma, Western

"(c) In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." [Added, effective 8-1-73.]

Wyoming (February 25, 1971) ammended (August 21, 1972)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective September 1, 1972)

Districts Using Less Than Twelve Member Civil Juries by Stipulation of Parties (Rule 48, Fed.R.Civ.P.)

Virginia, Western (For a period of approximately two years.) Utah (As of March 23, 1971.)

-	rotal penden in Existerie in the 12 Mouth	A Nemberson	chamber)	ikitimber X.* si longangangul si	n Number on V
	Period	4 4 4	Period * 1)	A enod	
NUMBER OF STRANSPORTES	570	279	291	265	305
USÁSE TOTALS	7,846	156,167	41,421	19.9	E 20
	Šessions* Convened	jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurars ker Session	5.28 Hours per
	, A	I "∍NUMBER OF	1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1		Number of

ESŢIMA	TED GRAND JUR	OR COSTS	
Total	Average g Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day	
3,971,400.	506	25	

	GRA	UND JURY NUMB	ER (Office Num	nber*)	
N/A					
	Total Numbe	er of Months in Exi	stence in the 12 !	Month Period	L
					1
	Т	otal Number of Mo	onths of No Activ	ity	
		! !	1		

^{*}See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FISCAL YEAR 1975

400 Judgeships

	Total :Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	5 Jurof
Number of	546,627	328,445	88,228	129,954	t Usage ⇒ Index
Juror Days	100%	60.1 %	16.1 %	23.8 %	19.32
Kantional	Randk \	ò		· L	
Estimated Cost	13,853,200	8,325,800	2,230,400	3,297,100	490 25
					Cost per Day

	Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days	
Total	CWI .	Criminal ?	Politic		Enjanjale (
8,607	3,700	4,907	28,293	12,475	15,818
100%	43.0 %	57.0 %	100%	цц <u>.</u> 1 %	55.9 %

		HISTO	RICAL COMP	ARISON		
	W i. Ilany	Timels .		Juror Utilization		's Conj y roi
Fiscal Year	Neumber gir Le bury Thicks	% Gristinial Japay Totals	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	jury Traid (Pasy
1971	: 8 ₅ 026	5655	32.9	54.2	31.	. =0-∀ ε =√ (
1972	6 ₉ 527)	v 56°°	30.0	55.5	20.96	Sign
1973	9,251	61 ₀ 0:	28.4	56.5	20.16	(A (3)(3)
1974	48,416	. 57 ₉ 6	26.5	58.3	19.12	. 485
1975	. 8 , 607	5700 _{j.} .	23.8	60.1	19.32	460

Prepared by the Administrative Office of U.S. Courts



 \approx