



**1975**  
**JUROR**  
**UTILIZATION**  
*in United States*  
*District Courts*

47847



NCJRS

JUN 19 1978

ACQUISITION

**1975**  
**JUROR**  
**UTILIZATION**  
*in United States*  
*District Courts*

Prepared by:

Statistical Analysis and Reports Branch  
Division of Information Systems  
Administrative Office of the United States Courts  
Washington, D.C.

October 1975





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
FOREWORD.....	1
SECTION 1: Juror Utilization.....	3
A. Grand Jury.....	3
Number of Grand Juries (Table 1).....	4
Grand Juror Usage (Table 2).....	7
Proceedings by Indictment and Grand Juror Usage (Table 3).....	9
Months of No Activity for Grand Juries (Table 4).....	11
B. Petit Jury.....	13
National Overview of Petit Jurors.....	14
Petit Juror Usage - Fiscal Years 1971-1975 (Table 5).....	15
Estimated Petit Juror "Savings".....	16
C. Petit Juror Usage by District.....	17
Juror Usage Reports - Totals (Table 6).....	18
A Comparison of Juror Usage Rankings (Table 7).....	20
Rank of Districts According to Juror Usage Index (Table 8).....	22
Rank of Districts by Percentage of Jurors Selected or Serving on Jury Trials (Table 9).....	23
Rank of Districts According to Percentage of Jurors Challenged (Table 10).....	24
Rank of Districts by Percentage of Jurors Not Selected, Serving or Challenged (Table 11).....	25
Rank of Districts by Percentage of Jurors Not Selected and Not Serving on a Jury Trial (Table 12).....	26
D. Comparison of Juror Utilization, 1971-1975.....	27
Juror Usage Indexes for Fiscal Years 1971-1975 (Table 13).....	27
Percentage of Jurors Selected For or Serving On Jury Trials for Fiscal Years 1971-1975 (Table 14).....	28
Percentage of Jurors Not Selected, Serving or Challenged for Fiscal Years 1971-1975 (Table 15).....	29
Check Sheet on Juror Utilization Factors that May Have an Effect on the Juror Usage Index.....	31
SECTION 2; Juror Costs.....	32
How Juror Dollars Were Spent in Fiscal Year 1975.....	32
Grand Juror Costs.....	32
Grand Juror Payments - Fiscal Years 1972-1975 (Table 16).....	33
Grand Juror Expenditure Breakdown (Table 17).....	33
Petit Juror Costs.....	34
Petit Juror Payments - Fiscal Years 1972-1975 (Table 18).....	34
Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown (Table 19).....	35
Rank of Districts by Daily Estimated Cost Per Petit Juror Day (Table 20).....	37
Rank of Districts by Daily Estimated Cost Per Petit Jury Trial Day (Table 21).....	38
Calculating Estimated Petit Juror "Savings".....	39
SECTION 3: Juror Usage Profiles.....	40
Explanation of Grand Juror Usage Profiles.....	40
Explanation of Petit Juror Usage Profiles.....	42
First Circuit.....	45
Second Circuit.....	57
Third Circuit.....	71
Fourth Circuit.....	85
Fifth Circuit.....	105
Sixth Circuit.....	145
Seventh Circuit.....	165
Eighth Circuit.....	181

	<u>Page</u>
Ninth Circuit.....	203
Tenth Circuit.....	233
District of Columbia.....	251
 APPENDIX A.....	 255
Continuation of Certain District Grand Jury Profiles	
 APPENDIX B.....	 261
List of Office Names and Numbers of Districts with Grand Jury Activity	
 APPENDIX C.....	 264
List of U. S. District Courts that Have Adopted Rules Reducing the Size of Civil Juries	
 National Grand Juror Profile.....	 273
National Petit Juror Profile.....	273

## FOREWORD

The petit juror reporting system was instituted in fiscal year 1971 while the present grand juror reporting program was fully implemented at the beginning of fiscal year 1975. Since 1971, an annual report on petit juror utilization in the United States district courts has been issued by the Administrative Office of the U. S. Courts. This is the fifth such report. In addition, this year's report has been greatly expanded to include the results of the first full year of collection of statistical data on all federal grand juries. It is hoped that the presentation of information on the entire jury operation in the federal courts will improve the usefulness of this report to the Federal Judiciary and all those taking an interest in the improvement of juror service and the utilization of those citizens reporting for jury duty.

This report presents a compilation of grand and petit juror statistics derived from the JS-11 and JS-11G monthly reports submitted by each district court during fiscal year 1975. Comparison statistics for the petit juror system from the four prior years of reporting are also provided. The report is divided into three sections with each presenting a different facet of the yearly statistical compilations on jury activity.

The first section of this report presents juror utilization data in various summary tables and accompanying text. This section is further divided into four parts covering the grand jury operation in the federal courts, a national overview of petit juror activity, the utilization of petit jurors on a district by district basis, and an historical comparison of juror utilization data by district over the past five years.

Section two contains information on juror expenditures for each district court as well as national figures for juror costs. This section is divided into two parts, the first dealing with grand juror costs and the second with the expenditures for the petit jury operation. Also discussed is a method for each district to use in calculating cost avoidance or cost overage for petit jurors in the past fiscal year.

The third section contains individual profile pages for each of the 94 districts. The grand juror usage profile page presents summary data for the year on all grand jury activity within that district. The petit juror usage profile provides data on the utilization of petit jurors within a particular district. Each petit juror utilization profile gives historical comparison data for a five year period in selected areas. The two profiles for each district are intended to compile all pertinent juror statistics for fiscal year 1975 into a format that will present an overall picture of the jury system and the efficient, or inefficient, operation of that system within a district.


Some districts' profiles also contain a "Comment" appearing at the bottom of the grand

juror profile page. These comments are derived from information submitted on the monthly reporting forms (JS-11 & JS-11G) and communications between individual district courts and the Administrative Office. Comments are intended to reflect any unusual occurrences within a district during the past fiscal year which tended to have an effect on that district's utilization statistics, generally in regard to petit jurors. They may also reflect local practices or reoccurring circumstances which had an effect on the data. It is felt that where it is possible to develop a comment for a district (comments are only possible when information relating to particular occurrences or circumstances within a court is brought to the attention of the Administrative Office), its inclusion aids in a better understanding of a district's juror utilization performance, especially where the data has been adversely affected due to unusual circumstances.

In reviewing a district's profile pages, it is helpful to also turn to the two national profile pages at the end of the report. The relevant national averages provided here make it possible to assess each district in terms of the performance of the federal court system as a whole.

The success of efforts to improve the utilization of citizens appearing in federal courts for petit jury service has been evidenced by the statistical information collected over the past five years. The inclusion of grand jury statistics for the first time this year is the initial step in determining what can be done to improve the efficient operation of the grand jury system. A conscious effort to maintain and improve the effective utilization of both petit and grand jurors has been made by District Judges, Clerks of Court, and Jury Clerks. The success of these efforts is due to the willingness on the part of judges and court personnel to attempt to solve utilization problems by applying various techniques found to be effective in reducing the number of prospective jurors called as well as increasing the use made of those jurors reporting to court.

October 1975



Rowland F. Kirks  
Director  
Administrative Office of the U. S. Courts

## JUROR UTILIZATION

The 1975 Annual Report is the first to include statistics on both petit and grand jurors. The inclusion of the grand jury material will result in a more comprehensive picture of the jury operation in the Federal courts. The Juror Utilization section is therefore divided into two parts, the first dealing with grand juries and the second with petit jurors.

### Grand Jury

Statistical recordkeeping on grand jury activity is a fairly recent occurrence in the Federal court system. In April 1973 the Judicial Conference adopted policies in regard to the clerk of each district court maintaining statistical records on grand juries within the district to determine if utilization characteristics for the Federal grand jury system could be developed. As a result of this action, the Grand Jury Reporting System for the United States District Courts was instituted in January 1974 by the Committee on the Operation of the Jury System, a committee of the Judicial Conference. Testing of this program was conducted in all district courts from January through June 1974, with full implementation occurring at the beginning of fiscal year 1975 (July 1, 1974). With the close of fiscal year 1975, a complete year of data on grand juries is now available and summarized in the following pages. The data collected through this reporting program has provided substantial information on the characteristics of Federal grand juries and the utilization of persons called for grand jury duty.

The data is presented in the following three tables and is a compilation of the information reported on the JS-11G reporting forms which are submitted monthly to the Administrative Office by the clerk of each district on all grand juries within a district. The first table, "Number of Grand Juries", presents a breakdown by district of the number of grand juries in existence at the beginning of the fiscal year, the number impaneled and discharged during the year period, and the number in existence at the close of the fiscal year.<sup>1</sup> The total number of grand juries that were in existence at some time during the 12 month period is also shown. This total ranged from a high of 42 grand juries in New York Southern to a low of one in six of the 92<sup>2</sup> districts reporting some grand jury activity during fiscal year 1975.

On a national level, 279 grand juries were in existence on July 1, 1974. During the fiscal year 291 grand juries were impaneled while 265 grand juries were discharged. This resulted in 305 grand juries in existence at the close of the fiscal year (June 30, 1975). Overall, 570 grand juries were in existence at some time in the 12 month period. Although

---

<sup>1</sup>Regular grand juries may be impaneled at any time and have a natural life of 18 months but may be discharged sooner by court order during the 18 month period. (Rule 6(g), F.R.Crim.P.)

<sup>2</sup>The districts of Virgin Islands and Canal Zone reported no grand juries in existence during fiscal year 1975.

TABLE 1  
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

District	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 month period	Number Discharged in the 12 month period	Number on June 30, 1975	Total Number in Existence in the 12 month period
<b>Total All Districts..</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>305</b>	<b>570</b>
<b>District of Columbia.....</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>First Circuit</b>					
Maine.....	2	-	2	-	2
Massachusetts.....	7	3	3	-	10
New Hampshire.....	1	1	1	1	2
Rhode Island.....	3	1	2	2	4
Puerto Rico.....	2	1	2	1	3
<b>Second Circuit</b>					
Connecticut.....	5	3	5	3	8
New York:					
Northern.....	1	5	5	1	6
Eastern.....	10	20	17	13	30
Southern.....	19	23	13	29	42
Western.....	3	5	4	4	8
Vermont.....	2	2	1	3	4
<b>Third Circuit</b>					
Delaware.....	2	2	2	2	4
New Jersey.....	8	4	6	6	12
Pennsylvania:					
Eastern.....	5	4	4	5	9
Middle.....	3	-	1	2	3
Western.....	4	3	2	5	7
*Virgin Islands.....	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Fourth Circuit</b>					
Maryland.....	4	6	3	7	10
North Carolina:					
Eastern.....	1	2	2	1	3
Middle.....	1	1	1	1	2
Western.....	2	2	2	2	4
South Carolina.....	1	1	1	1	2
Virginia:					
Eastern.....	3	8	4	7	11
Western.....	3	8	3	8	11
West Virginia:					
Northern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Southern.....	2	3	2	3	5
<b>Fifth Circuit</b>					
Alabama:					
Northern.....	1	3	3	1	4
Middle.....	1	1	1	1	2
Southern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Florida:					
Northern.....	2	2	2	2	4
Middle.....	6	6	5	7	12
Southern.....	7	15	13	9	22
Georgia:					
Northern.....	3	7	5	5	10
Middle.....	1	2	1	2	3
Southern.....	2	2	3	1	4
Louisiana:					
Eastern.....	6	3	4	5	9
Middle.....	2	3	3	2	5
Western.....	1	5	2	4	6
Mississippi:					
Northern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Southern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Texas:					
Northern.....	6	4	3	7	10
Eastern.....	1	1	-	2	2
Southern.....	6	5	4	7	11
Western.....	7	10	7	10	17
*Canal Zone.....	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 1  
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
FISCAL YEAR 1975  
(concluded)

District	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 month period	Number Discharged in the 12 month period	Number on June 30, 1975	Total Number in Existence in the 12 month period
<b>Sixth Circuit</b>					
Kentucky:					
Eastern.....	3	3	3	3	6
Western.....	5	2	3	4	7
Michigan:					
Eastern.....	6	6	7	5	12
Western.....	1	-	1	-	1
Ohio:					
Northern.....	5	4	3	6	9
Southern.....	5	2	4	3	7
Tennessee:					
Eastern.....	4	2	2	4	6
Middle.....	1	1	1	1	2
Western.....	2	2	2	2	4
<b>Seventh Circuit</b>					
Illinois:					
Northern.....	10	14	17	7	24
Eastern.....	2	1	2	1	3
Southern.....	2	2	1	3	4
Indiana:					
Northern.....	2	2	2	2	4
Southern.....	1	3	2	2	4
Wisconsin:					
Eastern.....	2	1	1	2	3
Western.....	1	1	2	-	2
<b>Eighth Circuit</b>					
Arkansas:					
Eastern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Western.....	1	1	1	1	2
Iowa:					
Northern.....	2	-	-	2	2
Southern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Minnesota.....	1	1	1	1	2
Missouri:					
Eastern.....	2	2	2	2	4
Western.....	3	1	1	3	4
Nebraska.....	1	-	1	-	1
North Dakota.....	1	1	1	1	2
South Dakota.....	1	2	1	2	3
<b>Ninth Circuit</b>					
Alaska.....	1	1	1	1	2
Arizona.....	3	3	3	3	6
California:					
Northern.....	4	5	5	4	9
Eastern.....	2	1	-	3	3
Central.....	12	14	15	11	26
Southern.....	9	5	6	8	14
Hawaii.....	1	-	-	1	1
Idaho.....	1	1	1	1	2
Montana.....	1	1	1	1	2
Nevada.....	2	2	1	3	4
Oregon.....	3	-	1	2	3
Washington:					
Eastern.....	1	-	-	1	1
Western.....	1	2	1	2	3
Guam.....	1	1	1	1	2
<b>Tenth Circuit</b>					
Colorado.....	1	1	1	1	2
Kansas.....	3	2	3	2	5
New Mexico.....	2	3	2	3	5
Oklahoma:					
Northern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Eastern.....	1	1	1	1	2
Western.....	1	-	1	-	1
Utah.....	-	2	-	2	2
Wyoming.....	-	1	-	1	1

\*The Districts of Virgin Islands and Canal Zone reported no grand juries in existence during fiscal year 1975.

the number of grand juries in a district varies depending on factors such as amount and type of criminal activity and the number of places of holding court, these figures reveal that the grand jury system is both an extensive and integral part of the operation of the Federal courts.

The second table, "Grand Juror Usage", shows the extent to which grand juries and jurors were utilized within each district during fiscal year 1975. Totals are given for the number of grand jury sessions convened in each district, the number of jurors involved in these convened sessions, and the total number of hours of convened sessions. New York Southern reported the greatest number of sessions convened -- 949, the largest number of jurors in session -- 19,493, and the greatest number of hours in session -- 3,644. Wyoming reveals the opposite end of the range with only three sessions convened during the year, involving 66 grand jurors for a total of 19 hours. Forty-one of the 92 districts reporting activity conducted 50 or more sessions during the 12 month period. This is an average of at least one session per week for these districts. Nationally, 7,846 grand jury sessions were convened with 156,167 grand jurors attending these sessions for a total of 41,421 hours.

The usage table also indicates the average number of jurors present for each session convened within a district<sup>3</sup> and the average number of hours for each of these sessions. The national averages for fiscal year 1975 were 19.9 jurors per session and 5.28 hours per session. North Carolina Western and Wisconsin Western had the lowest average number of jurors per session, 17.9 jurors, while North Carolina Eastern recorded the highest with an average of 22.4 jurors per session for fiscal year 1975. Average hours per session ranged from a high of 7.86 hours in Oklahoma Northern to a low of 3.09 hours per session in Guam.

The measure of average hours per session is one indication of the efficient or inefficient utilization of grand jurors' time. The Judicial Conference has emphasized the need to effectively utilize grand jurors in adopting a policy that grand jury sessions should be scheduled when there is a sufficient accumulation of business to fully occupy the grand jury's day. (Conf. Rept., April 1973, p.12) This points out a need for the careful planning of grand jury sessions by the U.S. Attorneys who determine when and for how long a grand jury will meet. It also highlights the need for cooperation and communication between the clerk of court's office and the U.S. Attorney's office to maintain the efficient operation of the grand jury system.

The table, "Proceedings by Indictment and Grand Juror Usage", gives a breakdown by district of the number of cases that were commenced by indictment and the number of defendants that were proceeded against by indictment in fiscal year 1975. This information is derived

---

<sup>3</sup>A grand jury consists of 16 to 23 sworn jurors with a quorum of 16 required to conduct business. (Rule 6(a), F.R.Crim.P.)



TABLE 2  
GRAND JUROR USAGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

District	Number of:			Average number of jurors per session	Average number of hours per session
	Sessions convened	Jurors in session	Hours in session		
Total All Districts..	7,846	156,167	41,421	19.9	5.28
District of Columbia.....	581	11,613	2,755	20.0	4.74
First Circuit					
Maine.....	11	222	68	20.2	6.18
Massachusetts.....	274	5,280	1,411	19.3	5.15
New Hampshire.....	5	100	33	20.0	6.60
Rhode Island.....	38	767	208	20.2	5.47
Puerto Rico.....	29	568	185	19.6	6.38
Second Circuit					
Connecticut.....	85	1,622	510	19.1	6.00
New York:					
Northern.....	57	1,158	233	20.3	4.09
Eastern.....	458	8,928	2,108	19.5	4.60
Southern.....	949	19,493	3,644	20.5	3.84
Western.....	175	3,355	989	19.2	5.65
Vermont.....	44	919	157	20.9	3.57
Third Circuit					
Delaware.....	65	1,311	291	20.2	4.48
New Jersey.....	267	5,050	1,392	18.9	5.21
Pennsylvania:					
Eastern.....	178	3,654	789	20.5	4.43
Middle.....	24	461	128	19.2	5.33
Western.....	110	2,074	681	18.9	6.19
*Virgin Islands.....	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth Circuit					
Maryland.....	153	3,085	654	20.2	4.27
North Carolina:					
Eastern.....	34	761	196	22.4	5.76
Middle.....	8	154	50	19.2	6.25
Western.....	10	179	70	17.9	7.00
South Carolina.....	12	251	86	20.9	7.17
Virginia:					
Eastern.....	98	2,044	660	20.9	6.73
Western.....	26	498	170	19.2	6.54
West Virginia:					
Northern.....	16	353	123	22.1	7.69
Southern.....	41	835	304	20.4	7.41
Fifth Circuit					
Alabama:					
Northern.....	24	536	164	22.3	6.83
Middle.....	11	212	64	19.3	5.82
Southern.....	18	341	122	18.9	6.78
Florida:					
Northern.....	14	283	82	20.2	5.86
Middle.....	168	3,282	961	19.5	5.72
Southern.....	162	3,300	885	20.4	5.46
Georgia:					
Northern.....	111	2,193	709	19.8	6.39
Middle.....	45	946	323	21.0	7.18
Southern.....	15	270	81	18.0	5.40
Louisiana:					
Eastern.....	115	2,343	661	20.4	5.75
Middle.....	55	1,133	384	20.6	6.98
Western.....	62	1,246	422	20.1	6.81
Mississippi:					
Northern.....	15	325	83	21.7	5.53
Southern.....	11	238	70	21.6	6.36
Texas:					
Northern.....	100	2,015	644	20.2	6.44
Eastern.....	14	299	82	21.4	5.86
Southern.....	53	1,048	288	19.8	5.43
Western.....	113	2,362	600	20.9	5.31
*Canal Zone.....	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 2  
GRAND JUROR USAGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
FISCAL YEAR 1975  
(Concluded)

District	Number of:			Average number of jurors per session	Average number of hours per session
	Sessions convened	Jurors in session	Hours in session		
Sixth Circuit					
Kentucky:					
Eastern.....	29	602	177	20.8	6.10
Western.....	51	1,048	280	20.5	5.49
Michigan:					
Eastern.....	205	4,192	1,182	20.4	5.77
Western.....	14	280	77	20.0	5.50
Ohio:					
Northern.....	88	1,762	486	20.0	5.52
Southern.....	59	1,117	403	18.9	6.83
Tennessee:					
Eastern.....	8	164	45	20.5	5.62
Middle.....	15	293	87	19.5	5.80
Western.....	59	1,243	412	21.1	6.98
Seventh Circuit					
Illinois:					
Northern.....	330	6,598	1,686	20.0	5.11
Eastern.....	36	668	243	18.6	6.75
Southern.....	76	1,438	492	18.9	6.47
Indiana:					
Northern.....	40	805	273	20.1	6.82
Southern.....	70	1,406	461	20.1	6.59
Wisconsin:					
Eastern.....	53	1,037	252	19.6	4.75
Western.....	15	269	62	17.9	4.13
Eighth Circuit					
Arkansas:					
Eastern.....	16	355	119	22.2	7.44
Western.....	7	152	42	21.7	6.00
Iowa:					
Northern.....	28	551	211	19.7	7.54
Southern.....	18	370	122	20.6	6.78
Minnesota.....	46	947	294	20.6	6.39
Missouri:					
Eastern.....	71	1,434	401	20.2	5.65
Western.....	94	1,723	627	18.3	6.67
Nebraska.....	23	477	154	20.7	6.70
North Dakota.....	9	185	51	20.6	5.67
South Dakota.....	35	654	221	18.7	6.31
Ninth Circuit					
Alaska.....	17	333	96	19.6	5.65
Arizona.....	92	1,786	584	19.4	6.35
California:					
Northern.....	108	2,127	628	19.7	5.81
Eastern.....	50	1,019	191	20.4	3.82
Central.....	494	9,555	2,571	19.3	5.20
Southern.....	192	3,542	866	18.4	4.51
Hawaii.....	35	675	183	19.3	5.23
Idaho.....	26	531	170	20.4	6.54
Montana.....	15	334	95	22.3	6.33
Nevada.....	86	1,622	351	18.9	4.08
Oregon.....	54	1,060	273	19.6	5.06
Washington:					
Eastern.....	8	157	47	19.6	5.88
Western.....	48	995	331	20.7	6.90
Guam.....	11	203	34	18.5	3.09
Tenth Circuit					
Colorado.....	48	955	305	19.9	6.35
Kansas.....	30	597	204	19.9	6.80
New Mexico.....	100	2,055	623	20.6	6.23
Oklahoma:					
Northern.....	7	133	55	19.0	7.86
Eastern.....	20	436	136	21.8	6.80
Western.....	14	263	91	18.8	6.50
Utah.....	39	846	188	21.7	4.82
Wyoming.....	3	66	19	22.0	6.33

\* The Districts of Virgin Islands and Canal Zone reported no grand juries in existence during fiscal year 1975.

TABLE 3  
PROCEEDINGS BY INDICTMENT AND GRAND JUROR USAGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
Fiscal Year 1975

District	Proceedings Commenced by Indictment		Grand Jury Sessions Convened	Hours in Session	District	Proceedings Commenced by Indictment		Grand Jury Sessions Convened	Hours in Session
	Cases	Defendants				Cases	Defendants		
National Total.....	26,775	40,038	7,846	41,421	Sixth Circuit				
District of Columbia.....	614	768	581	2,755	Kentucky:				
First Circuit					Eastern.....	352	524	29	177
Maine.....	54	82	11	68	Western.....	279	390	51	280
Massachusetts.....	417	724	274	1,411	Michigan:				
New Hampshire.....	31	54	5	33	Eastern.....	1,057	1,587	205	1,182
Rhode Island.....	93	112	38	208	Western.....	105	167	14	77
Puerto Rico.....	182	272	29	185	Ohio:				
Second Circuit					Northern.....	470	587	88	486
Connecticut.....	233	346	85	510	Southern.....	181	266	59	403
New York:					Tennessee:				
Northern.....	67	95	57	233	Eastern.....	134	192	8	45
Eastern.....	415	920	458	2,108	Middle.....	139	188	15	87
Southern.....	862	1,826	949	3,644	Western.....	166	311	59	412
Western.....	143	229	175	989	Seventh Circuit				
Vermont.....	69	100	44	157	Illinois:				
Third Circuit					Northern.....	572	946	330	1,686
Delaware.....	138	169	65	291	Eastern.....	157	234	36	243
New Jersey.....	362	654	267	1,392	Southern.....	106	147	76	492
Pennsylvania:					Indiana:				
Eastern.....	710	1,120	178	789	Northern.....	368	533	40	273
Middle.....	114	179	24	128	Southern.....	231	397	70	461
Western.....	306	541	110	681	Wisconsin:				
Virgin Islands.....	-	-	-	-	Eastern.....	157	253	53	252
Fourth Circuit					Western.....	51	55	15	62
Maryland.....	563	861	153	654	Eighth Circuit				
North Carolina:					Arkansas:				
Eastern.....	229	339	34	196	Eastern.....	252	322	16	119
Middle.....	330	432	8	50	Western.....	91	109	7	42
Western.....	248	366	10	70	Iowa:				
South Carolina.....	485	706	12	86	Northern.....	53	88	28	211
Virginia:					Southern.....	107	116	18	122
Eastern.....	744	933	98	660	Minnesota.....	281	396	46	294
Western.....	239	330	26	170	Missouri:				
West Virginia:					Eastern.....	302	389	71	401
Northern.....	21	37	16	123	Western.....	249	361	94	627
Southern.....	105	292	41	304	Nebraska.....	129	168	23	154
Fifth Circuit					North Dakota.....	63	80	9	51
Alabama:					South Dakota.....	267	301	35	221
Northern.....	408	619	24	164	Ninth Circuit				
Middle.....	192	267	11	64	Alaska.....	111	154	17	96
Southern.....	98	162	18	122	Arizona.....	1,016	1,568	92	584
Florida:					California:				
Northern.....	136	174	14	82	Northern.....	481	676	108	628
Middle.....	383	627	168	961	Eastern.....	524	659	50	191
Southern.....	649	977	162	885	Central.....	1,432	2,090	494	2,571
Georgia:					Southern.....	1,383	1,192	192	866
Northern.....	454	655	111	709	Hawaii.....	96	157	35	183
Middle.....	142	214	45	323	Idaho.....	70	91	26	170
Southern.....	154	182	15	81	Montana.....	81	89	15	95
Louisiana:					Nevada.....	181	247	86	351
Eastern.....	388	590	115	661	Oregon.....	210	311	54	273
Middle.....	56	104	55	384	Washington:				
Western.....	176	214	62	422	Eastern.....	112	123	8	47
Mississippi:					Western.....	319	484	48	331
Northern.....	121	159	15	83	Guam.....	24	45	11	34
Southern.....	88	140	11	70	Tenth Circuit				
Texas:					Colorado.....	252	328	48	305
Northern.....	479	624	100	644	Kansas.....	255	372	30	204
Eastern.....	82	96	14	82	New Mexico.....	231	327	100	623
Southern.....	871	1,393	53	288	Oklahoma:				
Western.....	569	828	113	600	Northern.....	111	149	7	55
Canal Zone.....	-	-	-	-	Eastern.....	45	77	20	136
					Western.....	158	222	14	91
					Utah.....	32	45	39	188
					Wyoming.....	12	13	3	19

from the JS-2 criminal reports submitted monthly by each district to the Administrative Office. The number of grand jury sessions convened, as well as the number of hours grand juries were in session, is also provided. These two sets of information can be compared to reveal what was produced by the grand jury system in each district in the past fiscal year. For example, Colorado's 48 grand jury sessions encompassing 305 hours in session resulted in approximately 252 criminal cases being commenced and 328 defendants being proceeded against by indictment. This is an average of more than five cases commenced per grand jury session and almost seven defendants proceeded against as the result of each session. These figures are not meant to be an indication of the efficiency, or lack thereof, of a particular court's grand jury program as the grand jury time required to obtain any number of indictments depends on the nature of the activity, the number of participants involved, and the guidance of the U.S. Attorney in any matter which a grand jury is investigating. However, this data should enable a district to obtain a clearer idea of the activity of its grand juries.

On the national level, the 7,846 grand jury sessions convened for 41,421 hours resulted in approximately 26,775 cases being commenced by indictment and 40,038 defendants being proceeded against by indictment in fiscal year 1975.

The fourth table, "Months of No Activity for Grand Juries", presents a breakdown of the number of months of no activity for each grand jury in a district (months in which no grand jury session was held for a particular grand jury). The number of grand juries having one or more months of no activity is provided and can be compared to the total number of grand juries in existence in the 12 month period as well as the number of grand juries which had at least one session each month they were in existence during fiscal year 1975. Among the 92 districts, 355 of the 570 total number of grand juries had one or more months in which no session was held and nine grand juries which were in existence for the full 12 month period held no sessions. There were 215 grand juries which held at least one session during every month that they were in existence.

The data presented in this table has added significance in view of the mandates of the Speedy Trial Act of 1974. Section 3161(b) of the Speedy Trial Act provides that:

Any information or indictment charging an individual with the commission of an offense shall be filed within thirty days from the date on which such individual was arrested or served with a summons in connection with such charges. If an individual has been charged with a felony in a district in which no grand jury has been in session during such thirty-day period, the period of time for filing of the indictment shall be extended an additional thirty days.

This data helps to indicate those districts which would have been able to meet the 30-day requirement for filing of the indictment in fiscal year 1975 by revealing those districts which had almost continuous grand jury activity. It also points out those districts which would have had difficulty in meeting the requirement due to large amounts of time during which no

TABLE 4  
MONTHS OF NO ACTIVITY FOR GRAND JURIES  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

District	Total Number of Grand Juries in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number of Grand Juries Having No Months of No Activity	Number of Grand Juries Having One or More Months of No Activity	Number of Grand Juries with the Indicated Number of Months of No Activity:											
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Total All Districts...	570	215	355	88	62	55	42	24	17	27	9	7	10	5	9
District of Columbia.....	19	9	10	1	3	-	2	-	1	-	2	1	-	-	-
First Circuit															
Maine.....	2	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts.....	10	4	6	2	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire.....	2	-	2	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island.....	4	-	4	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Puerto Rico.....	3	-	3	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second Circuit															
Connecticut.....	8	3	5	-	-	3	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
New York:															
Northern.....	6	5	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eastern.....	30	22	8	1	2	2	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
Southern.....	42	15	27	5	2	2	3	-	2	3	1	1	3	2	3
Western.....	8	7	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vermont.....	4	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
Third Circuit															
Delaware.....	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey.....	12	8	4	1	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Pennsylvania:															
Eastern.....	9	6	3	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Middle.....	3	-	3	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
Western.....	7	1	6	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-
*Virgin Islands.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth Circuit															
Maryland.....	10	5	5	1	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Carolina:															
Eastern.....	3	-	3	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Middle.....	2	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Western.....	4	-	4	-	-	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Carolina.....	2	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia:															
Eastern.....	11	3	8	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Western.....	11	1	10	1	1	-	2	-	1	1	1	2	-	-	1
West Virginia:															
Northern.....	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
Southern.....	5	1	4	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Fifth Circuit															
Alabama:															
Northern.....	4	1	3	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Middle.....	2	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southern.....	2	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Florida:															
Northern.....	4	-	4	-	-	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Middle.....	12	4	8	4	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southern.....	22	13	9	3	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Georgia:															
Northern.....	10	3	7	5	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Middle.....	3	-	3	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Southern.....	4	1	3	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Louisiana:															
Eastern.....	9	3	6	1	1	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Middle.....	5	2	3	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Western.....	6	2	4	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mississippi:															
Northern.....	2	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southern.....	2	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Texas:															
Northern.....	10	1	9	2	1	1	-	2	1	-	-	1	1	-	-
Eastern.....	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
Southern.....	11	4	7	1	-	-	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Western.....	17	5	12	5	1	2	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	1
*Canal Zone.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE 4  
MONTHS OF NO ACTIVITY FOR GRAND JURIES  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
FISCAL YEAR 1975  
(Concluded)

	Total Number of Grand Juries in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number of Grand Juries Having No Months of No Activity	Number of Grand Juries Having One or More Months of No Activity	Number of Grand Juries with the Indicated Number of Months of No Activity:											
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Sixth Circuit															
Kentucky:															
Eastern.....	6	1	5	1	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
Western.....	7	1	6	-	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	-
Michigan:															
Eastern.....	12	7	5	3	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Western.....	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ohio:															
Northern.....	9	1	8	3	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	1
Southern.....	7	1	6	-	1	1	1	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Tennessee:															
Eastern.....	6	-	6	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-
Middle.....	2	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Western.....	4	2	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Seventh Circuit															
Illinois:															
Northern.....	24	16	8	-	1	-	2	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	-
Eastern.....	3	-	3	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southern.....	4	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indiana:															
Northern.....	4	1	3	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southern.....	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin:															
Eastern.....	3	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Western.....	2	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eighth Circuit															
Arkansas:															
Eastern.....	2	-	2	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Western.....	2	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iowa:															
Northern.....	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southern.....	2	-	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Minnesota:															
Southern.....	2	-	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Missouri:															
Eastern.....	4	1	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Western.....	4	-	4	-	1	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Nebraska:															
Eastern.....	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Dakota:															
Eastern.....	2	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota:															
Eastern.....	3	-	3	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ninth Circuit															
Alaska.....	2	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arizona.....	6	5	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
California:															
Northern.....	9	8	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eastern.....	3	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Central.....	26	8	18	7	2	2	3	1	-	2	1	-	-	-	-
Southern.....	14	5	9	3	1	-	2	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
Hawaii.....	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho.....	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Montana.....	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada.....	4	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Oregon.....	3	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washington:															
Eastern.....	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Western.....	3	1	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Guam.....	2	-	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tenth Circuit															
Colorado.....	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas.....	5	-	5	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
New Mexico.....	5	-	5	1	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oklahoma:															
Northern.....	2	-	2	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eastern.....	2	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Western.....	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Utah.....	2	-	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wyoming.....	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

\* The Districts of Virgin Islands and Canal Zone reported no grand juries in existence during fiscal year 1975.

grand jury sessions were held and where there might be the need for increasing grand jury activity in the upcoming fiscal year. If grand jury activity is maintained at the same level as in 1975 in fiscal year 1976, these figures also indicate those districts which will possibly have to invoke the 30-day extension period provided for in the Act. For example, Wyoming had one grand jury in existence and it recorded three months of no activity. This may reveal that under Speedy Trial constraints Wyoming would have been unable to meet the requirement and would have had to increase its number of grand jury sessions or invoke the extension provision.

#### Petit Jury

The beginning of fiscal year 1975 (July 1, 1974) saw the implementation of the first major revision of the Petit Juror Usage Instructions since the commencement of the Petit Juror Usage (JS-11) Reporting program in fiscal year 1971. This revision was the end result of the Juror Utilization Seminars held in the Fifth Circuit during fiscal year 1974 and the many comments and suggestions by district judges, clerks of court, and jury clerks. Acting on the foregoing, the Committee on the Operation of the Jury System authorized changes in the accounting of jurors and trial days, and made suggestions with regard to the major classifications of jury service on the JS-11 reporting form.

These changes in the accounting of jurors and trial days could have had an effect on the summary statistics recorded on the JS-11 form and call for further explanation in order that the data presented in the following pages can be more accurately interpreted by the reader.

In fiscal years 1971-1974, only sworn jurors for specific case trials were to be reported as serving. This category has now been expanded to include all jurors selected to serve on a trial jury on a future date as well as those serving on a trial in progress, including alternates. This change had the effect of increasing the total number of persons shown as selected or serving and of increasing the percentage of the total available persons who fall into this category.

Beginning July 1, 1974, trial days were to be counted only on the day when the jury begins actual service on the trial. The purpose of this change was to do away with the statistical disparity which resulted from the counting of a jury in trial depending on whether a judge chose to swear all juries immediately following a multiple selection of juries, or whether he chose to swear each jury just prior to the start of the trial.

The effect of this change on the statistics is to decrease the number of juries in trial for those districts which use the multiple voir dire technique and swear all juries immediately following the multiple selection while possibly increasing the number of jurors recorded as selected or serving for all districts using multiple voir dire as well as those districts

which had jury selections requiring more than one day to complete. This will result in an increase in the Juror Usage Index for these districts as the number of juries in trial is reduced (the Juror Usage Index is calculated by dividing the total number of persons available by the total number of juries in trial, giving an average number of persons required for each jury trial day).

These were the major changes in the instructions for JS-11 reporting which had some effect on the yearly compilation of data. The changes should be kept in mind when reviewing each district's figures, especially when making comparisons between a prior year's data and that for the current fiscal year 1975.

The following presentation of summary statistics on petit juror utilization includes statistics for fiscal year 1975 as well as comparisons of this data with utilization figures for fiscal years 1971 - 1974.

#### National Overview of Petit Jurors

The national Juror Usage Index or JUI (obtained by dividing total available juror days by the total number of jury trial days) recorded this past fiscal year was 19.32. This is a slight increase over the fiscal year 1974 JUI of 19.12. This increase is at least partially attributable to the new counting of jury trial days for multiple jury selection discussed earlier. With the institution of the revised reporting instructions, it was anticipated that some increase would result. The resulting increase of only 1% seems to indicate that the utilization of jurors for the system as a whole continues to show increased efficiency. Since the institution of the JS-11 reporting system in 1971, there has been a decrease of 17.1% in the JUI. Thus, in the five year period the efforts of judges and court personnel have resulted in approximately 4 less persons being needed for every jury trial day in fiscal year 1975 than in fiscal year 1971.

The total available persons reporting to the courthouse are divided into the three categories of selected or serving; challenged; and not selected, serving or challenged to designate the status of jury service each person attained each day. The accompanying Petit Juror Usage Table shows that the percentage of prospective jurors who were selected for or served on jury trials has again shown an increase with 60.1% of all total available persons so categorized in fiscal year 1975. In fiscal year 1974, 58.3% jurors were selected or serving. There has been an increase of 5.9 percentage points since fiscal year 1971 when 54.2% of the total available were selected or served as jurors. These figures indicate a steady increase in the percentage of citizens who attend court as prospective jurors and eventually serve on a jury trial.

The percentage of jurors who were challenged showed an increase from 15.2% in fiscal




TABLE 5  
PETIT JUROR USAGE — FISCAL YEARS 1971-1975

Petit jurors	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1975 over 1974	
						Increase (Decrease)	Percent Change
Total available.....	512,553	547,821	573,150	540,628	546,627	5,999	1.1
Selected or Serving....	277,878	304,178	324,038	315,419	328,445	13,026	4.1
Percent.....	54.2	55.5	56.5	58.3	60.1	-	-
Challenged.....	66,314	79,501	86,520	82,152	88,228	6,076	7.4
Percent.....	12.9	14.5	15.1	15.2	16.1	-	-
Not Selected, Serving or Challenged.....	168,361	164,142	162,592	143,057	129,954	(13,103)	-9.2
Percent.....	32.8	30.0	28.4	26.5	23.8	-	-
Jury Trial Days.....	21,990	26,176	28,425	28,274	28,293	19	0.1

## PETIT JUROR USAGE

FISCAL YEARS 1971-1975




year 1974 to 16.1% in fiscal year 1975. Further, the percent challenged has steadily increased each year from a low of 12.9% in fiscal year 1971.

Prospective jurors who were not selected for or who did not serve on jury trials in addition to those who were not challenged decreased from 26.5% in fiscal year 1974 to 23.8% in fiscal year 1975, a decline of almost three percentage points. The 23.8% recorded this fiscal year is the lowest percent in the past five years as there has been a steady decline of the number of persons in this category from 32.8% in fiscal year 1971 with the fiscal year 1975 figure being 9 percentage points lower. This points out the results in improved efficiency which can be obtained by working towards better utilization of jurors.

Again this year the table and chart illustrating estimated "savings" (i.e. cost avoidance) which have been achieved since fiscal year 1971 has been included. The number of prospective jurors who would have reported to the courthouse for service each year had courts continued to

## ESTIMATED PETIT JUROR "SAVINGS"

SINCE FISCAL YEAR 1971



		1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
Actual Juror Call		512,553	547,821	573,150	540,628	546,627
Juror Call Avoidance	Jurors	—	62,342	89,437	118,439	112,883
	Attend. Fee Costs	—	\$1,246,840	\$1,788,740	\$2,368,780	\$2,257,660

call at the 1971 rate (Juror Usage Index) is shown along with the actual number of persons reporting each year. The "savings" in juror days since 1971 to the end of fiscal year 1975 (a five year period) has been a total of 383,101 juror days. This is an estimated "savings" in juror attendance fees alone of \$7,662,020 and does not take into account the large amounts of "savings" in mileage and subsistence payments to jurors and court personnel time. Further, these cost avoidance figures represent an effort on the part of judges and court personnel to call only the jurors necessary for their actual or anticipated needs.

#### Petit Juror Usage by District

Juror usage figures by district for fiscal year 1975 are presented in the following seven tables. The data presented here are collected from the JS-11 reports on petit juror activity within each district which are submitted monthly to the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts and compiled semi-annually and annually.

The first table, "Juror Usage Reports -- Totals", provides yearly totals as to the number of jurors in attendance and the number of jury trial days conducted in each district with a breakdown of each into the designated categories. The Juror Usage Index for each district is given showing the average number of jurors required by a district to conduct each jury trial day. Further, it shows that at this time 82 of the 94 districts have instituted use of the less than 12-member civil jury by change in local rules, court order, or frequent stipulation by counsel.

"A Comparison of Juror Usage Rankings" is a compilation of the five individual ranking tables which follow and provides an overview of each district's various rankings. A comparison ranking is given to each district according to its (1) Juror Usage Index, (2) percentage of jurors selected or serving, (3) percentage of jurors challenged, (4) percentage of jurors not selected, serving or challenged, and (5) percentage of jurors not selected and not serving (a combination of categories (3) and (4)).

When reviewing this table, as well as the five individual ranking tables, the reader should keep in mind that there is sometimes a special problem within a district or an unusual set of circumstances (e.g. one or more notoriety cases requiring large juror panels for selection, particular practices as to use of challenges, a heavy criminal caseload, or long distances jurors must travel to the place of holding court) which cause the JUI to be high or the percentages to be such as to place a district near the bottom of the ranks. Therefore, to obtain a complete picture of a district's utilization of jurors, the reader must view a district's ranks in conjunction with any special conditions which may have existed to affect its standing in comparison to other districts.

The individual ranking tables each show a distribution of one of the five ranking cat-

TABLE 6  
JUROR USAGE REPORTS - TOTALS  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

District	Number of Jurors				Days of Jury Trial			Juror Usage Index <sup>1</sup>
	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Total	Civil	Criminal	
Total all districts	546,627	328,445	88,228	129,954	28,293	12,475	15,818	19.32
District of Columbia.....	16,836	10,623	2,277	3,936	863	378	485	19.51
<u>First Circuit.</u>								
Maine.....	956	786	138	32	61	26	35	15.67
Massachusetts.....	7,945	5,240	953	1,752	451	152	299	17.62
New Hampshire.....	1,454	1,050	194	211	110	102	8	13.22
Rhode Island.....	1,894	1,484	102	308	151	100	51	12.54
Puerto Rico.....	3,324	1,713	498	1,113	172	108	64	19.33
<u>Second Circuit</u>								
Connecticut.....	3,273	2,351	628	294	196	94	102	16.70
New York:								
Northern.....	1,651	1,070	182	399	94	40	54	17.56
Eastern.....	20,456	12,448	3,111	4,897	992	325	667	20.62
Southern.....	47,844	23,321	7,834	16,689	2,027	847	1,180	23.60
*Western.....	4,574	3,237	444	893	250	87	163	18.30
Vermont.....	2,599	1,430	395	774	163	125	38	15.94
<u>Third Circuit</u>								
Delaware.....	1,528	958	446	124	87	32	55	17.56
New Jersey.....	13,927	10,252	1,688	1,987	775	247	528	17.97
Pennsylvania:								
Eastern.....	29,273	16,454	4,980	7,839	1,555	1,032	523	18.83
Middle.....	5,722	4,406	806	510	330	214	116	17.34
Western.....	15,030	8,335	2,355	4,340	730	271	459	20.59
Virgin Islands.....	4,760	2,369	1,123	1,268	162	55	107	29.38
<u>Fourth Circuit</u>								
Maryland.....	8,145	5,108	1,598	1,439	460	184	276	17.71
North Carolina:								
*Eastern.....	2,493	1,581	281	631	120	39	81	20.78
Middle.....	1,439	917	255	267	97	52	45	14.84
Western.....	3,044	2,274	275	495	196	113	83	15.53
South Carolina.....	7,751	4,916	1,472	1,363	415	227	188	18.68
Virginia:								
Eastern.....	7,242	3,504	2,377	1,361	374	179	195	19.36
Western.....	997	511	343	143	60	44	16	16.62
West Virginia:								
Northern.....	971	613	220	138	53	21	32	18.32
Southern.....	2,395	1,061	573	761	96	26	70	24.95
<u>Fifth Circuit</u>								
Alabama:								
Northern.....	5,310	3,769	948	593	407	258	149	13.05
Middle.....	2,376	1,858	200	318	150	70	80	15.84
Southern.....	1,755	1,288	286	181	113	53	60	15.53
Florida:								
Northern.....	1,182	811	165	206	61	15	46	19.38
Middle.....	9,182	6,064	1,181	1,937	459	100	359	20.00
Southern.....	11,756	6,680	1,722	3,354	626	276	350	18.78
Georgia:								
Northern.....	10,677	6,346	2,339	1,992	630	297	333	16.95
*Middle.....	3,826	2,510	920	396	198	113	85	19.32
*Southern.....	3,210	2,337	668	205	151	91	60	21.26
Louisiana:								
Eastern.....	9,004	5,362	2,048	1,594	552	331	221	16.31
Middle.....	510	144	117	249	18	12	6	28.33
Western.....	4,308	2,633	774	901	238	133	105	18.10
Mississippi:								
Northern.....	2,479	1,760	491	228	131	61	70	18.92
*Southern.....	4,035	2,342	611	1,082	180	118	62	22.42
Texas:								
*Northern.....	8,833	5,756	1,444	1,633	458	272	186	19.29
Eastern.....	3,880	2,565	734	581	244	199	45	15.90
Southern.....	9,054	6,790	1,115	1,149	475	168	307	19.06
Western.....	6,202	3,924	1,262	1,016	283	100	183	21.92
*Canal Zone.....	414	227	66	121	19	-	19	21.79

TABLE 6  
JUROR USAGE REPORTS - TOTALS  
FISCAL YEAR 1975 (concluded)

District	Number of Jurors				Days of Jury Trial			Juror Usage Index <sup>1</sup>
	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Total	Civil	Criminal	
<u>Sixth Circuit</u>								
Kentucky:								
Eastern.....	7,194	3,920	701	2,573	266	45	221	27.05
Western.....	2,945	1,308	454	1,183	126	52	74	23.37
Michigan:								
*Eastern.....	19,409	12,497	1,471	5,441	942	278	664	20.60
Western.....	1,779	1,448	183	148	121	81	40	14.70
Ohio:								
Northern.....	9,853	5,334	910	3,609	478	225	253	20.61
*Southern.....	5,295	3,588	677	1,030	281	160	121	18.84
Tennessee:								
Eastern.....	3,706	2,412	429	865	219	136	83	15.92
Middle.....	2,983	1,605	433	945	151	73	78	19.75
Western.....	5,314	3,524	1,072	718	316	118	198	16.82
<u>Seventh Circuit</u>								
Illinois:								
Northern.....	16,663	10,591	1,870	4,202	988	436	552	16.87
Eastern.....	2,728	1,551	591	586	120	26	94	22.73
Southern.....	1,918	1,058	265	595	87	17	70	22.05
Indiana:								
Northern.....	5,892	2,901	1,106	1,885	229	74	155	25.73
Southern.....	3,197	1,936	681	580	202	109	93	15.83
Wisconsin:								
Eastern.....	3,372	2,036	588	748	188	80	108	17.94
Western.....	893	650	165	78	57	30	27	15.67
<u>Eighth Circuit</u>								
Arkansas:								
*Eastern.....	2,978	1,630	791	557	136	59	77	21.90
*Western.....	2,652	1,409	675	568	105	79	26	25.26
Iowa:								
Northern.....	1,204	744	233	227	77	38	39	15.64
Southern.....	1,791	894	336	561	82	37	45	21.84
Minnesota.....	8,198	5,853	1,211	1,134	467	291	176	17.55
Missouri:								
Eastern.....	5,752	3,416	1,243	1,093	335	141	194	17.17
Western.....	6,081	2,768	1,385	1,928	228	60	168	26.67
Nebraska.....	4,751	2,465	843	1,443	239	115	124	19.88
*North Dakota.....	2,863	1,652	552	659	139	61	78	20.60
South Dakota.....	2,297	970	493	834	95	42	53	24.18
<u>Ninth Circuit</u>								
Alaska.....	1,296	642	184	470	51	10	41	25.41
Arizona.....	7,635	4,116	1,899	1,620	347	66	281	22.00
California:								
Northern.....	10,063	6,618	1,316	2,129	630	363	267	15.97
Eastern.....	4,151	2,301	491	1,359	202	43	159	20.55
Central.....	19,454	12,054	1,923	5,477	934	191	743	20.83
Southern.....	10,294	5,519	2,144	2,631	435	23	412	23.66
Hawaii.....	2,232	1,298	637	297	112	38	74	19.93
Idaho.....	1,370	829	180	361	89	55	34	15.39
Montana.....	1,855	946	345	564	94	67	27	19.73
Nevada.....	3,862	2,287	572	1,003	184	32	152	20.99
Oregon.....	3,190	1,740	600	850	196	134	62	16.28
Washington:								
Eastern.....	1,373	791	309	273	80	35	45	17.16
Western.....	4,942	2,764	908	1,270	253	77	176	19.53
Guam.....	928	526	163	239	49	14	35	18.94
<u>Tenth Circuit</u>								
Colorado.....	5,221	3,383	988	850	346	135	211	15.09
Kansas.....	8,120	5,159	1,282	1,679	409	118	291	19.85
New Mexico.....	3,305	1,969	731	605	198	126	72	16.69
Oklahoma:								
Northern.....	1,440	759	262	419	71	33	38	20.28
Eastern.....	1,388	759	252	377	79	31	48	17.57
Western.....	3,715	2,316	555	844	215	75	140	17.28
Utah.....	2,892	1,877	400	615	154	110	44	18.78
Wyoming.....	677	454	90	133	58	49	9	11.67

\* Indicates those districts which have not yet adopted local rules reducing the size of Civil juries. See APPENDIX C.

<sup>1</sup> Total available jurors divided by total jury trial days.

TABLE 7  
A COMPARISON OF JUROR USAGE RANKINGS  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

District	Rank by JUI	Rank by Percentage of Jurors Selected or Serving	Rank by Percentage of Jurors Challenged	Rank by Percentage of Jurors Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Rank by Percentage of Jurors Not Selected and Not Serving 1
<u>District of Columbia.....</u>	56	39	24	56	39
<u>First Circuit</u>					
Maine.....	12	1	30	1	1
Massachusetts.....	36	23	15	51	23
New Hampshire.....	4	12	22	20	12
Rhode Island.....	2	3	1	22	3
Puerto Rico.....	53	81	36	87	81
<u>Second Circuit</u>					
Connecticut.....	23	13	65	7	13
New York:					
Northern.....	33	30	10	58	30
Eastern.....	70	47	38	57	47
Southern.....	84	88	46	88	88
Western.....	41	17	6	39	17
Vermont.....	17	68	38	77	68
<u>Third Circuit</u>					
Delaware.....	33	41	92	3	41
New Jersey.....	39	8	16	18	8
Pennsylvania:					
Eastern.....	46	63	47	68	63
Middle.....	31	5	28	6	5
Western.....	66	65	41	74	65
Virgin Islands.....	94	85	85	66	85
<u>Fourth Circuit</u>					
Maryland.....	37	41	68	28	41
North Carolina:					
Eastern.....	71	36	12	60	36
Middle.....	6	33	50	33	33
Western.....	9	7	4	22	7
South Carolina.....	43	36	64	27	36
Virginia:					
Eastern.....	54	89	93	36	89
Western.....	21	82	94	18	82
West Virginia:					
Northern.....	42	39	81	17	39
Southern.....	87	92	86	84	92
<u>Fifth Circuit</u>					
Alabama:					
Northern.....	3	15	52	11	15
Middle.....	15	4	3	14	4
Southern.....	9	9	44	9	9
Florida:					
Northern.....	55	18	27	26	18
Middle.....	63	23	19	45	23
Southern.....	44	61	32	73	61
Georgia:					
Northern.....	27	53	78	34	53
Middle.....	52	26	87	10	26
Southern.....	74	10	72	2	10
Louisiana:					
Eastern.....	20	51	81	28	51
Middle.....	93	94	84	94	94
Western.....	40	46	53	44	46
Mississippi:					
Northern.....	48	15	69	8	15
Southern.....	81	57	37	68	57
Texas:					
Northern.....	51	27	44	32	27
Eastern.....	16	22	62	21	22
Southern.....	50	6	17	12	6
Western.....	78	38	71	25	38
Canal Zone.....	75	69	43	76	69

TABLE 7  
A COMPARISON OF JUROR USAGE RANKINGS  
FISCAL YEAR 1975 (concluded)

District	Rank by JUI	Rank by Percentage of Jurors Selected or Serving	Rank by Percentage of Jurors Challenged	Rank by Percentage of Jurors Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Rank by Percentage of Jurors Not Selected and Not Serving <sup>1</sup>
<u>Sixth Circuit</u>					
Kentucky:					
Eastern.....	92	72	6	89	72
Western.....	83	91	40	93	91
Michigan:					
Eastern.....	67	32	2	71	32
Western.....	5	2	9	4	2
Ohio:					
Northern.....	69	74	5	92	74
Southern.....	47	19	18	39	19
Tennessee:					
Eastern.....	26	28	13	55	28
Middle.....	59	76	31	82	76
Western.....	24	21	70	15	21
<u>Seventh Circuit</u>					
Illinois:					
Northern.....	25	34	11	59	34
Eastern.....	82	60	77	50	60
Southern.....	80	67	25	80	67
Indiana:					
Northern.....	90	87	59	85	87
Southern.....	14	48	74	30	48
Wisconsin:					
Eastern.....	38	50	48	52	50
Western.....	12	10	57	5	10
<u>Eighth Circuit</u>					
Arkansas:					
Eastern.....	77	70	90	34	70
Western.....	81	78	89	49	78
Iowa:					
Northern.....	11	45	67	37	45
Southern.....	76	84	59	81	84
Minnesota:					
.....	32	14	33	16	14
Missouri:					
Eastern.....	29	53	76	38	53
Western.....	91	90	83	82	90
Nebraska:					
.....	61	80	50	78	80
North Dakota:					
.....	67	58	66	54	58
South Dakota:					
.....	86	93	75	90	93
<u>Ninth Circuit</u>					
Alaska.....	89	86	29	90	86
Arizona.....	79	75	88	46	75
California:					
Northern.....	18	25	20	46	25
Eastern.....	65	66	14	86	66
Central.....	72	44	8	72	44
Southern.....	85	77	72	61	77
Hawaii.....	62	56	91	13	56
Idaho.....	8	49	20	65	49
Montana.....	58	83	58	78	83
Nevada.....	73	55	33	64	55
Oregon.....	19	72	59	66	72
Washington:					
Eastern.....	28	59	80	42	59
Western.....	57	64	56	62	64
Guam.....	49	62	49	63	62
<u>Tenth Circuit</u>					
Colorado.....	7	30	62	22	30
Kansas.....	60	35	42	43	35
New Mexico.....	22	51	79	31	51
Oklahoma:					
Northern.....	64	79	54	75	79
Eastern.....	35	70	54	70	70
Western.....	30	43	35	53	43
Utah.....	44	29	25	48	29
Wyoming.....	1	20	22	41	20

<sup>1</sup> Jurors Challenged and jurors Not Selected Serving or Challenged.

egories for fiscal year 1975. The Juror Usage Index rankings range from Wyoming with a JUI of 11.67 to the Virgin Islands with a JUI of 29.38, representing a range of 17.71 index points between the two. Fifty-two districts recorded Indexes equal to or better than the national Index of 19.32.

The percentage of jurors selected or serving on jury trials ranged from a high of 82.2% in Maine to a low of 28.2% in Louisiana Middle while the percentage of jurors not selected, serving or challenged ranged from 3.3% in Maine to 48.8% in Louisiana Middle with 56 districts recording better percentages in this category than the national average of 23.8%. Challenged jurors ranged from a low of 5.4% of the total available jurors in Rhode Island to a high of 34.4% in Virginia Western with the national average falling at 16.1%. The wide range in the

TABLE 8  
RANK OF DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO JUROR USAGE INDEX  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

Rank	District	Index Usage Index	Rank	District	Index Usage Index
1	Wyoming	11.67	48	Mississippi, N.	18.92
2	Rhode Island	12.54	49	Guam	18.94
3	Alabama, N.	13.05	50	Texas, S.	19.06
4	New Hampshire	13.22	51	Texas, N.	19.29
5	Michigan, W.	14.70	52	Georgia, M.	19.32
6	North Carolina, M.	14.84	53	Puerto Rico	19.33
7	Colorado	15.09	54	Virginia, E.	19.36
8	Idaho	15.39	55	Florida, N.	19.38
9	North Carolina, W.	15.53	56	District of Columbia	19.51
9	Alabama, S.	15.53	57	Washington, W.	19.53
11	Iowa, N.	15.64	58	Montana	19.73
12	Maine	15.67	59	Tennessee, M.	19.75
12	Wisconsin, W.	15.67	60	Kansas	19.85
14	Indiana, S.	15.83	61	Nebraska	19.88
15	Alabama, M.	15.84	62	Hawaii	19.93
16	Texas, E.	15.90	63	Florida, M.	20.00
17	Vermont	15.94	64	Oklahoma, N.	20.28
18	California, N.	15.97	65	California, E.	20.55
19	Oregon	16.28	66	Pennsylvania, W.	20.59
20	Louisiana, E.	16.31	67	Michigan, E.	20.60
21	Virginia, W.	16.62	67	North Dakota	20.60
22	New Mexico	16.69	69	Ohio, N.	20.61
23	Connecticut	16.70	70	New York, E.	20.62
24	Tennessee, W.	16.82	71	North Carolina, E.	20.78
25	Illinois, N.	16.87	72	California, C.	20.83
26	Tennessee, E.	16.92	73	Nevada	20.99
27	Georgia, N.	16.95	74	Georgia, S.	21.26
28	Washington, E.	17.16	75	Canal Zone	21.79
29	Missouri, E.	17.17	76	Iowa, S.	21.84
30	Oklahoma, W.	17.28	77	Arkansas, E.	21.90
31	Pennsylvania, M.	17.34	78	Texas, W.	21.92
32	Minnesota	17.55	79	Arizona	22.00
33	New York, N.	17.56	80	Illinois, S.	22.05
33	Delaware	17.56	81	Mississippi, S.	22.42
35	Oklahoma, E.	17.57	82	Illinois, E.	22.73
36	Massachusetts	17.62	83	Kentucky, W.	23.37
37	Maryland	17.71	84	New York, S.	23.60
38	Wisconsin, E.	17.94	85	California, S.	23.66
39	New Jersey	17.97	86	South Dakota	24.18
40	Louisiana, W.	18.10	87	West Virginia, S.	24.95
41	New York, W.	18.30	88	Arkansas, W.	25.26
42	West Virginia, N.	18.32	89	Alaska	25.41
43	South Carolina	18.68	90	Indiana, N.	25.73
44	Florida, S.	18.78	91	Missouri, W.	26.67
44	Utah	18.78	92	Kentucky, E.	27.05
46	Pennsylvania, E.	18.83	93	Louisiana, M.	28.33
47	Ohio, S.	18.84	94	Virgin Islands	29.38



category of challenged jurors is partially attributable to the various local court practices and traditions in regard to the use of peremptory challenges by counsel and the need for the court to exercise challenges for cause.

The final ranking table is a distribution of the percentages of total available jurors who were either challenged or not selected, serving or challenged. The percents range from a low of 17.7% to a high of 71.7% with 50 districts recording better than the national average of 39.9%. The distribution of the districts in this table reveals that the majority of districts fall within a 20 percentage point range around the national average.

TABLE 9  
RANK OF DISTRICTS BY PERCENTAGE OF JURORS SELECTED  
OR SERVING ON JURY TRIALS - FISCAL YEAR 1975

Rank	District	Percentage
1	Maine	82.2
2	Michigan, W.	81.4
3	Rhode Island	78.4
4	Alabama, M.	78.2
5	Pennsylvania, M.	77.0
6	Texas, S.	75.0
7	North Carolina, W.	74.7
8	New Jersey	73.6
9	Alabama, S.	73.4
10	Georgia, S.	72.8
10	Wisconsin, W.	72.8
12	New Hampshire	72.2
13	Connecticut	71.8
14	Minnesota	71.4
15	Alabama, N.	71.0
15	Mississippi, N.	71.0
17	New York, W.	70.8
18	Florida, N.	68.6
19	Ohio, S.	67.8
20	Wyoming	67.1
21	Tennessee, W.	66.3
22	Texas, E.	66.1
23	Massachusetts	66.0
23	Florida, M.	66.0
25	California, N.	65.8
26	Georgia, M.	65.6
27	Texas, N.	65.2
28	Tennessee, E.	65.1
29	Utah	64.9
30	New York, N.	64.8
30	Colorado	64.8
32	Michigan, E.	64.4
33	North Carolina, M.	63.7
34	Illinois, N.	63.6
35	Kansas	63.5
36	North Carolina, E.	63.4
36	South Carolina	63.4
38	Texas, W.	63.3
39	District of Columbia	63.1
39	West Virginia, N.	63.1
41	Delaware	62.7
41	Maryland	62.7
43	Oklahoma, W.	62.3
44	California, C.	62.0
45	Iowa, N.	61.8
46	Louisiana, W.	61.1
47	New York, E.	60.9

Rank	District	Percentage
48	Indiana, S.	60.6
49	Idaho	60.5
50	Wisconsin, E.	60.4
51	Louisiana, E.	59.6
51	New Mexico	59.6
53	Georgia, N.	59.4
53	Missouri, E.	59.4
55	Nevada	59.2
56	Hawaii	58.2
57	Mississippi, S.	58.0
58	North Dakota	57.7
59	Washington, E.	57.6
60	Illinois, E.	56.9
61	Florida, S.	56.8
62	Guam	56.7
63	Pennsylvania, E.	56.2
64	Washington, W.	55.9
65	Pennsylvania, W.	55.5
66	California, E.	55.4
67	Illinois, S.	55.2
68	Vermont	55.0
69	Canal Zone	54.8
70	Arkansas, E.	54.7
70	Oklahoma, E.	54.7
72	Kentucky, E.	54.5
72	Oregon	54.5
74	Ohio, N.	54.1
75	Arizona	53.9
76	Tennessee, M.	53.8
77	California, S.	53.6
78	Arkansas, W.	53.1
79	Oklahoma, N.	52.7
80	Nebraska	51.9
81	Puerto Rico	51.5
82	Virginia, W.	51.3
83	Montana	51.0
84	Iowa, S.	49.9
85	Virgin Islands	49.8
86	Alaska	49.5
87	Indiana, N.	49.2
88	New York, S.	48.7
89	Virginia, E.	48.4
90	Missouri, W.	45.5
91	Kentucky, W.	44.4
92	West Virginia, S.	44.3
93	South Dakota	42.2
94	Louisiana, M.	28.2

TABLE 10  
RANK OF DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO PERCENTAGE OF JURORS CHALLENGED  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

Rank	District	Percent- age
1	Rhode Island	5.4
2	Michigan, E.	7.6
3	Alabama, M.	8.4
4	North Carolina, W.	9.0
5	Ohio, N.	9.2
6	New York, W.	9.7
6	Kentucky, E.	9.7
8	California, C.	9.9
9	Michigan, W.	10.3
10	New York, N.	11.0
11	Illinois, N.	11.2
12	North Carolina, E.	11.3
13	Tennessee, E.	11.6
14	California, E.	11.8
15	Massachusetts	12.0
16	New Jersey	12.1
17	Texas, S.	12.3
18	Ohio, S.	12.8
19	Florida, M.	12.9
20	California, N.	13.1
20	Idaho	13.1
22	New Hampshire	13.3
22	Wyoming	13.3
24	District of Columbia	13.5
25	Illinois, S.	13.8
25	Utah	13.8
27	Florida, N.	14.0
28	Pennsylvania, M.	14.1
29	Alaska	14.2
30	Maine	14.4
31	Tennessee, M.	14.5
32	Florida, S.	14.6
33	Minnesota	14.8
33	Nevada	14.8
35	Oklahoma, W.	14.9
36	Puerto Rico	15.0
37	Mississippi, S.	15.1
38	New York, E.	15.2
38	Vermont	15.2
40	Kentucky, W.	15.4
41	Pennsylvania, W.	15.7
42	Kansas	15.8
43	Canal Zone	15.9
44	Alabama, S.	16.3
44	Texas, N.	16.3
46	New York, S.	16.4
47	Pennsylvania, E.	17.0

Average  
for all  
Districts....  
16.1

Rank	District	Percent- age
48	Wisconsin, E.	17.4
49	Guam	17.6
50	North Carolina, M.	17.7
50	Nebraska	17.7
52	Alabama, N.	17.9
53	Louisiana, W.	18.0
54	Oklahoma, N.	18.2
54	Oklahoma, E.	18.2
56	Washington, W.	18.4
57	Wisconsin, W.	18.5
58	Montana	18.6
59	Indiana, N.	18.8
59	Iowa, S.	18.8
59	Oregon	18.8
62	Texas, E.	18.9
62	Colorado	18.9
64	South Carolina	19.0
65	Connecticut	19.2
66	North Dakota	19.3
67	Iowa, N.	19.4
68	Maryland	19.6
69	Mississippi, N.	19.8
70	Tennessee, W.	20.2
71	Texas, W.	20.3
72	Georgia, S.	20.8
72	California, S.	20.8
74	Indiana, S.	21.3
75	South Dakota	21.5
76	Missouri, E.	21.6
77	Illinois, E.	21.7
78	Georgia, N.	21.9
79	New Mexico	22.1
80	Washington, E.	22.5
81	West Virginia, N.	22.7
81	Louisiana, E.	22.7
83	Missouri, W.	22.8
84	Louisiana, M.	22.9
85	Virgin Islands	23.6
86	West Virginia, S.	23.9
87	Georgia, M.	24.0
88	Arizona	24.9
89	Arkansas, W.	25.5
90	Arkansas, E.	26.6
91	Hawaii	28.5
92	Delaware	29.2
93	Virginia, E.	32.8
94	Virginia, W.	34.4

TABLE 11  
RANK OF DISTRICTS BY PERCENTAGE OF JURORS NOT SELECTED, SERVING OR CHALLENGED  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

Rank	District	Percent- age
1	Maine	3.3
2	Georgia, S.	6.4
3	Delaware	8.1
4	Michigan, W.	8.3
5	Wisconsin, W.	8.7
6	Pennsylvania, M.	8.9
7	Connecticut	9.0
8	Mississippi, N.	9.2
9	Alabama, S.	10.3
10	Georgia, M.	10.4
11	Alabama, N.	11.2
12	Texas, S.	12.7
13	Hawaii	13.3
14	Alabama, M.	13.4
15	Tennessee, W.	13.5
16	Minnesota	13.8
17	West Virginia, N.	14.2
18	New Jersey	14.3
18	Virginia, W.	14.3
20	New Hampshire	14.4
21	Texas, E.	15.0
22	Rhode Island	16.3
22	North Carolina, W.	16.3
22	Colorado	16.3
25	Texas, W.	16.4
26	Florida, N.	17.4
27	South Carolina	17.6
28	Maryland	17.7
28	Louisiana, E.	17.7
30	Indiana, S.	18.1
31	New Mexico	18.3
32	Texas, N.	18.5
33	North Carolina, M.	18.6
34	Georgia, N.	18.7
34	Arkansas, E.	18.7
36	Virginia, E.	18.8
37	Iowa, N.	18.9
38	Missouri, E.	19.0
39	New York, W.	19.5
39	Ohio, S.	19.5
41	Wyoming	19.6
42	Washington, E.	19.9
43	Kansas	20.7
44	Louisiana, W.	20.9
45	Florida, M.	21.1
46	Arizona	21.2
46	California, N.	21.2

Rank	District	Percent- age
48	Utah	21.3
49	Arkansas, W.	21.4
50	Illinois, E.	21.5
51	Massachusetts	22.1
52	Wisconsin, E.	22.2
53	Oklahoma, W.	22.7
54	North Dakota	23.0
55	Tennessee, E.	23.3
56	District of Columbia	23.4
57	New York, E.	23.9
58	New York, N.	24.2
59	Illinois, N.	25.2
60	North Carolina, E.	25.3
61	California, S.	25.6
62	Washington, W.	25.7
63	Guam	25.8
64	Nevada	26.0
65	Idaho	26.4
66	Virgin Islands	26.6
66	Oregon	26.6
68	Pennsylvania, E.	26.8
68	Mississippi, S.	26.8
70	Oklahoma, E.	27.2
71	Michigan, E.	28.0
72	California, C.	28.2
73	Florida, S.	28.5
74	Pennsylvania, W.	28.9
75	Oklahoma, N.	29.1
76	Canal Zone	29.2
77	Vermont	29.8
78	Nebraska	30.4
78	Montana	30.4
80	Illinois, S.	31.0
81	Iowa, S.	31.3
82	Tennessee, M.	31.7
82	Missouri, W.	31.7
84	West Virginia, S.	31.8
85	Indiana, N.	32.0
86	California, E.	32.7
87	Puerto Rico	33.5
88	New York, S.	34.9
89	Kentucky, E.	35.8
90	South Dakota	36.3
90	Alaska	36.3
92	Ohio, N.	36.6
93	Kentucky, W.	40.2
94	Louisiana, M.	48.8

Average  
for all  
Districts.....  
23.8

TABLE 12  
RANK OF DISTRICTS BY PERCENTAGE  
OF JURORS NOT SELECTED AND NOT SERVING ON A JURY TRIAL  
DURING FISCAL YEAR 1975

(Jurors Challenged and Jurors Not Selected, Serving or Challenged)

Rank	10-19.9%	Rank	20-29.9%	Rank	30-39.9%	Rank	40-49.9%	Rank	50-59.9%	Rank	60-71.9%
1	Maine 17.7	3	Rhode Island 21.7	18	Florida, N. 31.4	51	Louisiana, E. 40.4	84	Iowa, S. 50.1	94	Louisiana, M. 71.7
2	Michigan, W. 18.6	4	Alabama, M. 21.8	19	Ohio, S. 32.3	51	New Mexico 40.4	85	Virgin Islands 50.2		
		5	Pennsylvania, M. 23.0	20	Wyoming 32.9	53	Georgia, N. 40.6	86	Alaska 50.5		
		6	Texas, S. 25.0	21	Tennessee, W. 33.7	53	Missouri, E. 40.6	87	Indiana, N. 50.8		
		7	North Carolina, W. 25.3	22	Texas, E. 33.9	55	Nevada 40.8	88	New York, S. 51.3		
		8	New Jersey 26.4	23	Massachusetts 34.0	56	Hawaii 41.8	89	Virginia, E. 51.6		
		9	Alabama, S. 26.6	23	Florida, M. 34.0	57	Mississippi, S. 41.9	90	Missouri, W. 54.5		
		10	Georgia, S. 27.2	25	California, N. 34.3	58	North Dakota 42.3	91	Kentucky, W. 55.6		
		10	Wisconsin, W. 27.2	26	Georgia, M. 34.4	59	Washington, E. 42.4	92	West Virginia, S. 55.7		
		12	New Hampshire 27.7	27	Texas, N. 34.8	60	Illinois, E. 43.1	93	South Dakota 57.8		
		13	Connecticut 28.2	28	Tennessee, E. 34.9	61	Florida, S. 43.2				
		14	Minnesota 28.6	29	Utah 35.1	62	Guam 43.4				
		15	Alabama, N. 29.0	30	New York, N. 35.2	63	Pennsylvania, E. 43.8				
		15	Mississippi, N. 29.0	30	Colorado 35.2	64	Washington, W. 44.1				
		17	New York, W. 29.2	32	Michigan, E. 35.6	65	Pennsylvania, W. 44.5				
				33	North Carolina, M. 36.3	66	California, E. 44.6				
				34	Illinois, N. 36.4	67	Illinois, S. 44.8				
				35	Kansas 36.5	68	Vermont 45.0				
				36	North Carolina, E. 36.6	69	Canal Zone 45.1				
				36	South Carolina 36.6	70	Arkansas, E. 45.3				
				38	Texas, W. 36.7	70	Oklahoma, E. 45.3				
				39	District of Columbia 36.9	72	Kentucky, E. 45.5				
				39	West Virginia, N. 36.9	72	Oregon 45.5				
				41	Delaware 37.3	74	Ohio, N. 45.8				
				41	Maryland 37.3	75	Arizona 46.1				
				43	Oklahoma, W. 37.6	76	Tennessee, M. 46.2				
				44	California, C. 38.1	77	California, S. 46.4				
				45	Iowa, N. 38.3	78	Arkansas, W. 46.9				
				46	Louisiana, W. 38.9	79	Oklahoma, N. 47.3				
				47	New York, E. 39.1	80	Nebraska 48.1				
				48	Indiana, S. 39.4	81	Puerto Rico 48.5				
				49	Idaho 39.5	82	Virginia, W. 48.7				
				50	Wisconsin, E. 39.6	83	Montana 49.0				

AVERAGE FOR ALL DISTRICTS  
39.9%



# Comparison of Juror Utilization, 1971-1975

Five year district trends in the areas of the Juror Usage Index, percent selected or serving and percent not selected, serving or challenged are shown in the last three tables. The most improvement in JUI has been exhibited by New York Southern where the Index has declined from 57.54 in fiscal year 1971 to 23.60 in fiscal year 1975. Seventy-four of the 94

TABLE 13  
JUROR USAGE INDEXES FOR  
FISCAL YEARS 1971-1975

Circuit and district	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	Circuit and district	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
National Average....	23.31	20.96	20.16	19.12	19.32	Sixth Circuit					
District of Columbia....	25.34	24.44	22.22	22.05	19.51	Kentucky:					
First Circuit						Eastern.....	21.78	21.96	27.43	22.36	27.05
Maine.....	16.58	11.31	10.28	12.20	15.67	Western.....	25.97	25.38	20.88	20.60	27.37
Massachusetts.....	16.66	16.23	18.06	15.87	17.62	Michigan:					
New Hampshire.....	18.65	17.03	13.87	13.12	13.22	Eastern.....	18.92	16.97	16.26	19.98	20.60
Rhode Island.....	18.12	15.80	18.43	11.31	12.54	Western.....	15.03	15.16	13.97	12.16	14.70
Puerto Rico.....	26.97	28.28	19.44	18.30	19.33	Ohio:					
Second Circuit						Northern.....	24.93	20.04	18.89	17.02	20.61
Connecticut.....	19.65	17.55	16.06	14.70	16.70	Southern.....	18.46	18.50	19.22	18.74	18.84
New York:						Tennessee:					
Northern.....	28.01	23.12	20.80	18.26	17.56	Eastern.....	19.96	17.88	15.43	17.07	16.92
Eastern.....	36.06	35.22	27.82	23.62	20.62	Middle.....	30.74	24.10	23.08	18.43	19.75
Southern.....	57.54	31.69	27.23	27.85	23.60	Western.....	17.39	16.85	15.86	15.79	16.82
Western.....	18.56	18.88	20.16	21.62	18.30	Seventh Circuit					
Vermont.....	19.27	15.80	19.32	15.46	15.94	Illinois:					
Third Circuit						Northern.....	24.58	17.77	18.41	16.75	16.87
Delaware.....	24.96	28.12	22.22	19.77	17.56	Eastern.....	19.62	19.39	22.22	25.03	22.73
New Jersey.....	19.70	16.28	17.07	16.18	17.97	Southern.....	26.48	23.92	25.06	22.88	22.05
Pennsylvania:						Indiana:					
Eastern.....	24.21	18.63	19.89	20.15	18.83	Northern.....	22.01	17.34	22.89	23.53	25.73
Middle.....	19.88	21.12	14.27	12.62	17.34	Southern.....	18.82	16.75	15.18	16.24	15.83
Western.....	21.51	18.04	20.74	17.25	20.59	Wisconsin:					
Virgin Islands.....	27.12	32.07	41.43	30.00	29.38	Eastern.....	20.29	14.34	17.44	19.81	17.94
Fourth Circuit						Western.....	23.40	19.43	26.72	12.25	15.67
Maryland.....	41.12	18.95	18.70	18.01	17.71	Eighth Circuit					
North Carolina:						Arkansas:					
Eastern.....	27.07	20.06	21.31	19.68	20.78	Eastern.....	20.23	19.99	19.69	22.17	21.90
Middle.....	20.48	19.39	18.18	15.05	14.64	Western.....	25.64	25.19	22.33	25.27	25.26
Western.....	18.28	16.50	15.78	15.49	15.53	Iowa:					
South Carolina.....	20.86	19.41	18.42	17.65	18.68	Northern.....	20.16	18.34	14.71	14.84	15.64
Virginia:						Southern.....	18.26	20.33	15.88	14.93	21.84
Eastern.....	26.81	25.44	21.95	23.32	19.36	Minnesota:					
Western.....	22.60	18.40	17.31	18.83	16.62	Eastern.....	18.43	16.24	16.15	18.52	17.55
West Virginia:						Missouri:					
Northern.....	26.10	26.95	25.83	19.83	18.32	Eastern.....	21.42	19.75	20.42	18.58	17.17
Southern.....	28.65	26.04	24.32	20.42	24.95	Western.....	25.87	25.25	25.51	24.76	26.67
Fifth Circuit						Nebraska:					
Alabama:						Eastern.....	22.48	19.65	17.93	16.74	19.88
Northern.....	16.70	15.87	13.45	13.63	13.05	North Dakota.....	18.56	20.57	18.87	16.88	20.60
Middle.....	22.19	18.46	18.54	12.78	15.84	South Dakota.....	26.07	24.66	26.70	22.39	24.18
Southern.....	25.48	22.01	18.88	15.15	15.53	Ninth Circuit					
Florida:						Alaska.....	19.59	23.11	20.31	22.77	25.41
Northern.....	28.33	23.97	14.92	18.24	19.38	Arizona.....	24.42	23.85	21.52	23.81	22.00
Middle.....	25.46	23.35	21.84	17.74	20.00	California:					
Southern.....	29.68	25.20	20.82	19.02	18.78	Northern.....	17.57	18.78	18.07	16.15	15.97
Georgia:						Eastern.....	18.66	15.27	20.79	18.86	20.55
Northern.....	22.52	20.55	19.94	17.86	16.95	Central.....	18.85	19.15	20.44	20.08	20.83
Middle.....	22.35	20.68	22.02	22.06	19.32	Southern.....	25.50	25.98	27.24	24.54	23.66
Southern.....	22.88	23.66	21.30	19.60	21.26	Hawaii.....	21.55	15.98	22.29	22.01	19.93
Louisiana:						Idaho.....	20.95	17.12	20.65	16.05	18.39
Eastern.....	21.26	16.96	15.35	16.10	16.31	Montana.....	19.22	17.88	18.92	17.45	19.73
Middle.....	-	30.74	21.57	31.22	28.33	Nevada.....	50.13	23.62	21.87	20.28	20.99
Western.....	26.81	23.19	24.40	16.48	18.10	Oregon.....	22.33	16.31	14.56	16.05	16.28
Mississippi:						Washington:					
Northern.....	25.75	26.79	21.70	12.71	18.92	Eastern.....	17.94	15.17	19.78	15.36	17.16
Southern.....	31.58	27.05	30.63	21.06	22.42	Western.....	21.74	17.72	18.74	20.45	19.53
Texas:						Guam.....	39.55	26.22	25.46	20.07	18.94
Northern.....	19.24	17.64	18.34	19.26	19.29	Tenth Circuit					
Eastern.....	16.63	15.43	15.65	13.42	15.90	Colorado.....	14.83	14.06	13.38	14.63	15.09
Southern.....	22.13	19.77	18.23	15.46	19.06	Kansas.....	18.15	15.10	16.59	15.83	19.85
Western.....	15.34	17.78	17.89	14.20	21.92	New Mexico:	20.85	19.29	20.14	15.93	16.69
Canal Zone.....	18.33	25.33	29.11	27.54	21.79	Oklahoma:					
						Northern.....	25.19	20.31	21.05	27.92	20.28
						Eastern.....	26.64	26.66	19.61	21.91	17.57
						Western.....	21.73	18.29	21.66	15.53	17.28
						Utah.....	24.22	21.11	24.42	21.40	18.78
						Wyoming.....	15.44	14.50	12.19	11.80	11.67

districts have shown improvement in their JUI's when fiscal year 1975 is compared to fiscal year 1971. Fifty-eight districts have shown improvement in their percent of jurors selected or serving from 1971 to 1975 with Maryland recording the largest improvement, increasing its percent selected or serving from 28.1% in 1971 to 62.7% in 1975, an increase of 34.6 percentage points. In the category of not selected, serving or challenged Maryland again has shown

TABLE 14  
PERCENTAGE OF JURORS SELECTED FOR OR SERVING ON  
JURY TRIALS FOR FISCAL YEARS 1971-1975

Circuit and district	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	Circuit and district	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
<u>National Average</u> .....	54.2%	55.5%	56.5%	58.3%	60.1%	<u>Sixth Circuit</u>					
<u>District of Columbia</u> .....	56.7	52.1	57.5	54.8	63.1	Kentucky:					
<u>First Circuit</u>						Eastern.....	61.2%	61.3%	48.7%	51.3%	54.5%
Maine.....	71.4	76.8	73.5	82.7	87.2	Western.....	37.5	41.0	54.3	45.7	44.4
Massachusetts.....	76.1	76.9	67.8	62.6	66.0	Michigan:					
New Hampshire.....	69.0	55.6	69.2	72.2	72.2	Eastern.....	71.3	66.4	60.1	63.1	64.4
Rhode Island.....	70.4	58.4	62.2	80.8	78.4	Western.....	80.7	74.3	84.8	88.8	81.4
Puerto Rico.....	48.5	43.3	54.5	54.7	51.5	Ohio:					
<u>Second Circuit</u>						Northern.....	52.8	54.4	55.5	61.2	54.1
Connecticut.....	62.5	70.8	71.4	73.6	71.8	Southern.....	71.3	68.1	64.7	66.5	67.8
New York:						Tennessee:					
Northern.....	45.2	54.7	60.0	61.2	64.8	Eastern.....	63.1	63.7	61.4	63.3	65.1
Eastern.....	36.9	39.1	48.8	57.5	60.9	Middle.....	43.2	54.7	46.8	53.4	53.8
Southern.....	21.3	41.8	47.4	43.4	48.7	Western.....	73.8	72.5	71.8	71.5	66.3
Western.....	71.5	72.4	68.0	64.7	70.8	<u>Seventh Circuit</u>					
Vermont.....	63.3	61.1	62.3	54.7	55.0	Illinois:					
<u>Third Circuit</u>						Northern.....	55.3	58.3	54.9	64.5	63.6
Delaware.....	50.7	45.1	51.6	56.3	62.7	Eastern.....	50.6	53.3	51.2	48.3	56.9
New Jersey.....	69.6	69.9	74.6	74.3	73.6	Southern.....	45.7	44.2	40.2	54.5	55.2
Pennsylvania:						Indiana:					
Eastern.....	52.8	56.7	52.7	51.5	56.2	Northern.....	52.0	54.4	49.3	45.6	49.2
Middle.....	66.4	61.5	76.3	78.2	77.0	Southern.....	66.9	66.6	61.2	63.1	60.6
Western.....	57.3	44.3	45.3	56.2	55.5	Wisconsin:					
Virgin Islands.....	40.2	40.3	29.7	49.4	49.8	Eastern.....	61.6	56.9	58.2	56.6	60.4
<u>Fourth Circuit</u>						Western.....	49.6	63.7	47.1	67.7	72.8
Maryland.....	28.1	67.7	64.2	67.6	62.7	<u>Eighth Circuit</u>					
North Carolina:						Arkansas:					
Eastern.....	45.2	61.2	55.3	62.5	61.4	Eastern.....	58.3	59.8	62.3	55.3	54.7
Middle.....	58.4	59.2	60.4	67.1	63.7	Western.....	52.3	52.2	60.3	53.7	53.1
Southern.....	63.3	67.9	70.1	74.7	74.7	Iowa:					
South Carolina.....	63.1	66.7	60.5	56.0	63.4	Northern.....	60.5	66.1	79.3	65.4	61.8
Virginia:						Southern.....	82.1	74.6	70.2	74.1	49.9
Eastern.....	45.1	47.9	43.4	43.7	48.4	Minnesota:					
Western.....	52.1	55.8	53.8	48.6	51.3	Northern.....	59.0	51.7	64.0	56.9	71.4
West Virginia:						Missouri:					
Northern.....	47.8	48.3	47.2	59.3	63.1	Eastern.....	58.7	60.5	56.1	50.6	59.4
Southern.....	45.0	48.3	50.8	55.6	44.3	Western.....	46.0	47.9	45.3	47.2	45.5
<u>Fifth Circuit</u>						Nebraska:					
Alabama:						Eastern.....	54.0	56.8	50.2	53.8	51.9
Northern.....	68.3	72.9	64.8	60.8	71.0	North Dakota:					
Middle.....	58.5	55.4	59.9	81.9	78.2	Eastern.....	69.7	61.7	66.0	74.5	57.7
Southern.....	51.9	41	54.8	72.8	73.4	South Dakota:					
Florida:						Eastern.....	47.3	50.8	46.8	46.3	42.2
Northern.....	42.9	50.9	68.1	68.3	68.6	<u>Ninth Circuit</u>					
Middle.....	51.9	52.4	54.0	69.1	66.0	Alaska.....	69.4	58.6	66.6	51.1	49.5
Southern.....	42.6	44.6	54.1	59.4	56.8	Arizona.....	50.6	46.9	47.5	50.5	53.9
Georgia:						California:					
Northern.....	55.6	63.5	61.4	64.5	59.4	Northern.....	66.9	64.5	64.6	67.6	65.8
Middle.....	55.1	58.3	57.4	57.2	65.6	Eastern.....	66.7	66.8	57.0	59.4	55.4
Southern.....	53.3	51.8	56.7	57.2	72.8	Central.....	68.9	66.3	63.2	61.0	62.0
Louisiana:						Southern.....	49.0	46.8	43.0	50.1	53.6
Eastern.....	57.6	53.3	56.5	56.4	59.6	Hawaii.....	59.9	57.6	48.2	54.4	58.2
Middle.....	-	28.8	35.8	30.6	28.2	Idaho.....	61.3	71.7	61.1	70.9	60.5
Western.....	40.9	48.7	38.4	53.5	61.1	Montana.....	65.5	61.1	66.7	50.0	51.0
Mississippi:						Nevada.....	25.8	54.2	58.5	58.4	59.2
Northern.....	52.9	51.2	53.7	71.5	71.0	Oregon.....	48.6	52.1	56.7	56.3	54.5
Southern.....	37.7	45.2	40.5	59.2	58.0	Washington:					
Texas:						Eastern.....	67.6	63.9	58.3	60.7	57.6
Northern.....	63.4	60.3	65.5	63.6	65.2	Western.....	59.0	69.0	55.4	53.7	55.9
Eastern.....	71.7	76.7	75.8	72.1	66.1	Guam.....	30.7	45.6	46.6	51.2	56.7
Southern.....	55.8	62.8	65.0	67.1	75.0	<u>Tenth Circuit</u>					
Western.....	65.5	52.5	55.8	69.1	63.3	Colorado.....	66.6	65.9	71.0	68.2	64.8
Canal Zone.....	65.5	38.2	41.2	43.6	54.8	Kansas.....	68.9	67.4	62.8	67.5	63.5
						New Mexico.....	63.1	59.6	53.4	64.7	59.6
						Oklahoma:					
						Northern.....	47.5	60.2	57.8	33.7	52.7
						Eastern.....	46.1	48.5	48.2	47.0	54.7
						Western.....	56.1	65.1	57.3	67.9	62.3
						Utah.....	53.0	61.0	51.9	61.3	64.9
						Wyoming.....	72.4	65.4	77.2	73.9	67.1

the greatest amount of improvement reducing its 65.8% not selected, serving or challenged in fiscal year 1971 to 17.7% in 1975. Further, 70 districts have shown improvement in this area from 1971 to 1975 resulting in the overall national average decreasing from 32.8% in 1971 to 23.8% in 1975, the lowest national figure yet recorded for this category.

TABLE 15  
PERCENTAGE OF JURORS NOT SELECTED, SERVING OR CHALLENGED  
FOR FISCAL YEARS 1971-1975

Circuit and district	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	Circuit and district	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
National Average.....	32.8%	30.0%	28.4%	26.5%	23.8%	<u>Sixth Circuit</u>					
District of Columbia.....	31.2	30.7	27.2	31.4	23.4	Kentucky:					
<u>First Circuit</u>						Eastern.....	28.8%	28.7%	41.4%	39.4%	35.8%
Maine.....	9.9	8.2	8.3	3.9	3.3	Western.....	49.5	42.4	28.9	36.2	40.2
Massachusetts.....	19.3	18.1	22.6	27.9	22.1	Michigan:					
New Hampshire.....	20.5	24.7	16.0	12.7	14.4	Eastern.....	20.2	26.5	33.4	28.8	28.0
Rhode Island.....	22.0	34.2	31.4	10.5	16.3	Western.....	9.2	13.3	8.3	4.2	8.3
Puerto Rico.....	43.6	47.2	28.0	29.1	33.5	Ohio:					
<u>Second Circuit</u>						Northern.....	41.6	39.2	36.6	31.0	36.6
Connecticut.....	23.1	17.3	13.7	12.0	9.0	Southern.....	19.5	21.6	22.8	20.8	19.5
New York:						Tennessee:					
Northern.....	48.0	36.4	30.5	25.8	24.2	Eastern.....	27.0	24.0	27.5	24.7	23.3
Eastern.....	54.1	50.6	38.4	29.4	23.9	Middle.....	49.9	36.4	45.1	33.6	31.7
Southern.....	68.3	48.6	40.8	44.0	34.9	Western.....	15.5	13.2	12.2	11.8	13.5
Western.....	19.2	19.2	22.9	26.9	19.5	<u>Seventh Circuit</u>					
Vermont.....	30.6	34.3	30.3	31.9	29.8	Illinois:					
<u>Third Circuit</u>						Northern.....	36.4	32.8	34.4	25.4	25.2
Delaware.....	31.8	37.2	29.6	6.1	8.1	Eastern.....	30.2	31.3	25.9	32.8	21.5
New Jersey.....	20.3	18.1	15.2	14.2	14.3	Southern.....	48.9	46.8	49.6	31.7	31.0
Pennsylvania:						Indiana:					
Eastern.....	33.9	28.2	32.2	33.4	26.8	Northern.....	30.0	25.0	34.0	34.7	32.0
Middle.....	18.8	22.1	9.6	8.2	8.9	Southern.....	20.7	17.8	21.5	22.5	18.1
Western.....	26.5	34.2	36.2	27.9	28.9	Wisconsin:					
Virgin Islands.....	38.8	34.8	37.7	21.4	26.6	Eastern.....	20.1	20.6	21.4	23.6	22.2
<u>Fourth Circuit</u>						Western.....	31.1	16.9	28.4	8.7	8.7
Maryland.....	65.8	18.3	17.3	15.8	17.7	<u>Eighth Circuit</u>					
North Carolina:						Arkansas:					
Eastern.....	46.5	29.0	34.7	27.4	25.3	Eastern.....	18.7	16.6	16.2	19.2	18.7
Middle.....	21.4	23.8	22.8	13.0	18.6	Western.....	28.2	17.8	20.4	18.7	21.4
Western.....	29.3	25.4	21.6	15.5	16.3	Iowa:					
South Carolina.....	21.1	18.4	22.0	25.8	17.6	Northern.....	38.3	18.8	10.0	17.3	18.9
Virginia:						Southern.....	16.2	19.1	12.9	9.5	31.3
Eastern.....	24.1	24.5	22.6	23.4	18.8	Minnesota:					
Western.....	19.6	15.4	16.8	13.4	14.3	Northern.....	27.3	27.9	18.4	27.0	13.8
West Virginia:						Missouri:					
Northern.....	48.0	34.9	28.9	21.0	14.2	Eastern.....	16.4	15.5	18.2	24.7	19.0
Southern.....	44.5	34.8	25.2	23.6	31.8	Western.....	33.9	30.2	33.0	27.1	31.7
<u>Fifth Circuit</u>						Nebraska:					
Alabama:						Northern.....	31.3	27.5	31.2	28.8	30.4
Northern.....	18.2	12.9	15.5	17.4	11.2	South Dakota.....	11.3	15.5	14.2	9.0	23.0
Middle.....	30.7	31.4	22.9	8.4	13.4	South Dakota.....	35.5	28.6	33.8	28.4	36.3
Southern.....	21.9	40.4	24.2	10.4	10.3	<u>Ninth Circuit</u>					
Florida:						Alaska.....	21.0	24.4	19.2	43.7	36.3
Northern.....	45.3	33.0	16.7	20.6	17.4	Arizona.....	28.1	28.6	26.1	26.3	21.2
Middle.....	33.5	32.7	32.7	19.2	21.1	California:					
Southern.....	48.2	43.0	32.2	23.8	28.5	Northern.....	20.0	22.0	23.8	20.5	21.2
Georgia:						Eastern.....	21.8	23.2	32.2	29.2	32.7
Northern.....	24.6	15.8	17.3	15.9	18.7	Central.....	23.2	24.3	27.7	30.0	28.2
Middle.....	19.3	18.6	20.9	16.5	10.4	Southern.....	34.3	33.0	35.0	28.3	25.6
Southern.....	23.6	19.3	18.1	22.6	6.4	Hawaii.....	3.6	19.1	37.6	35.2	13.3
Louisiana:						Idaho.....	23.1	17.1	26.3	17.7	26.4
Eastern.....	24.5	23.2	18.3	19.4	17.7	Montana.....	19.5	21.4	16.8	30.9	30.4
Middle.....	-	43.5	47.7	44.7	48.8	Nevada.....	53.1	25.9	23.1	19.1	26.0
Western.....	48.3	35.0	48.9	30.6	20.9	Oregon.....	35.0	34.1	28.0	24.3	26.6
Mississippi:						Washington:					
Northern.....	31.6	31.5	20.6	11.8	9.2	Eastern.....	15.5	12.0	15.5	17.1	19.9
Southern.....	54.3	43.4	47.1	28.0	26.8	Western.....	29.3	17.7	29.0	26.0	25.7
Texas:						Guam.....	52.7	39.9	44.3	33.2	25.8
Northern.....	23.7	26.8	18.7	19.1	18.5	<u>Tenth Circuit</u>					
Eastern.....	14.4	8.0	10.2	13.1	15.0	Colorado.....	18.6	14.6	9.7	14.9	16.3
Southern.....	31.7	25.9	21.7	18.7	12.7	Kansas.....	16.9	15.5	22.2	16.5	20.7
Western.....	18.9	28.0	24.9	13.7	16.4	New Mexico.....	19.5	19.5	25.7	18.2	18.3
Canal Zone.....	25.5	41.4	37.0	35.2	29.2	Oklahoma:					
						Northern.....	39.7	26.1	25.7	52.3	29.1
						Eastern.....	42.2	42.0	39.8	39.8	27.2
						Western.....	26.3	16.8	26.7	20.9	22.7
						Utah.....	27.9	20.9	25.0	27.6	21.3
						Wyoming.....	15.2	21.6	10.2	15.6	19.6



## JUROR UTILIZATION CHECK SHEET

In last year's report a check sheet was included similar to the one provided here. This year's check sheet has been expanded to include four additional factors affecting the Juror Usage Index. This check sheet is the end result of much study of the various juror utilization problems encountered by district courts and is made possible by the steady communication of various district courts with the Administrative Office as to their problems and juror occurrences and how they sought to solve or handle them. The check sheet lists fourteen basic positive factors which tend to result in a low Juror Usage Index. The fourteen counterparts to these positive factors are also given and it is felt that these adverse factors contribute to a higher JUI. Because each of the 94 district courts has variations in its local rules and practices, this listing is not meant to represent all possible factors affecting a district's juror utilization performance. Rather, it should be used by a court as the starting point to isolate and study the individual aspects of its juror utilization program.

To help the reader in interpreting the check sheet, it has been found that generally more checks can be entered on the left side of the sheet by those districts with a good juror usage statistical profile while a number of checks on the right hand column often corresponds to those districts with less efficient utilization profiles. Once a court has determined the outstanding practices or conditions that exist in its jury program, the court can proceed to isolate those areas which may require changes or modifications to improve the utilization of petit jurors within the court.

CHECK SHEET ON JUROR UTILIZATION FACTORS THAT MAY HAVE AN EFFECT ON THE  
JUROR USAGE INDEX

POSITIVE FACTORS		ADVERSE FACTORS
<input type="checkbox"/> Good cooperation and communication between judges and court personnel.	<b>1</b>	Poor cooperation and communication between judges and court personnel. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> A small number of places of holding court with jury trial activity.	<b>2</b>	A large number of places of holding court with jury trial activity. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Use of a jury pool system, where the number of judges and trials permits.	<b>3</b>	Each judge using his own, separate jury panel or pool. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> The staggering of trial starts where the number of judges and trials permits.	<b>4</b>	All judges beginning jury selection at the same time and on the same day. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Use of multiple voir dires in the jury selection process.	<b>5</b>	A voir dire being called for each trial with a failure to return unused jurors to the jury pool for further use on another trial. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Reduction in voir dire panel size.	<b>6</b>	Use of voir dire panels larger than recommended. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Use of civil juries of less than twelve members.	<b>7</b>	Use of civil juries of twelve or more members. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Reduction in the number of challenges allowed.	<b>8</b>	Excessive use of peremptory challenges. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Established deadlines for settlements or pleas.	<b>9</b>	Allowing settlements or pleas to be entered up to and during trials. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Extensive and good use of pretrial hearings in civil cases or omnibus hearings for criminal defendants.	<b>10</b>	Little or poor use of pretrial hearings or omnibus hearings. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> A predominantly civil trial calendar - 70% or more of all jury trials.	<b>11</b>	A predominantly criminal trial calendar - 70% or more of all jury trials. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Back up trials set so that a jury panel for the first case may still be used if this first case does not go forward for some reason.	<b>12</b>	No back up trials set so that a jury panel for a case is sent home unused if this case does not go forward. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Stipulation by counsel to waive alternate jurors or verdicts by 12 or 6.	<b>13</b>	Use of alternates in all cases with no attempt to obtain waiver of their use. <input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> No highly publicized trials and few multiple defendant criminal cases.	<b>14</b>	One or more highly publicized trials or multiple defendant criminal cases requiring extra-large panels for jury selection. <input type="checkbox"/>

NOTE: Factors are randomly listed with no order as to significance.

## JUROR COSTS

Payments totaling approximately \$17,824,600 were made to petit and grand jurors in fiscal year 1975. Of this total amount \$3,971,400, or 22.3%, was paid to grand jurors while \$13,853,200, or 77.7%, was paid to petit jurors. The accompanying chart, "How Juror Dollars were spent in F.Y. 1975", presents a breakdown of all juror expenditures for the past fiscal year.


### Grand Juror Costs

Fiscal year 1975 marks the first time that grand jury cost information has been included in this report. The grand juror payments of \$3,971,400 in fiscal year 1975 is the largest amount recorded for the years 1972 to 1975 and is 8.8% greater than the \$3,649,900 paid in fiscal year 1974. These payments are divided into three categories with 76.9% on the total amount expended for attendance fees (\$20 per juror per day excluding federal employees who do

## HOW JUROR DOLLARS WERE SPENT

IN FISCAL YEAR 1975

Total Juror Expenditures: \$17,824,600  
Grand Jury Expenditures: 3,971,400  
Petit Jury Expenditures: 13,853,200



**TABLE 16**  
Grand Juror Payments  
F.Y. 1972 - 1975  
United States District Courts

Grand Juror Payments	Fiscal Year 1972	Fiscal Year 1973	Fiscal Year 1974	Fiscal Year 1975	1975 over 1974	
					Increase	Percent Increase
Total Payments.....	\$3,123,600	\$3,445,200	\$3,649,900	\$3,971,400	\$ 321,500	8.8
Attendance.....	2,405,200	2,645,000	2,830,600	3,054,100	223,500	7.9
Mileage.....	559,900	624,800	636,400	702,900	66,500	10.4
Subsistence.....	158,500	175,400	182,900	214,400	31,500	17.2

not receive this payment). Expenditures for mileage and tolls equaled 17.7% of the total amount while subsistence payments accounted for 5.4% of the total. The table, "Grand Juror Payments - F.Y. 1972 - 1975", highlights the national totals of the grand juror expenditure breakdown for those fiscal years while the accompanying table, "Grand Juror Expenditure Break-

**TABLE 17**  
Grand Juror Expenditure Breakdown  
United States District Courts  
Fiscal Year 1975

District	Est. Total Expenditure	Attendance	Subsistence	Mileage	District	Est. Total Expenditure	Attendance	Subsistence	Mileage
<b>Total.....</b>	<b>\$3,971,400</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>Sixth Circuit</b>				
District of Columbia.....	146,300	94.0	0.0	6.0	Kentucky:				
First Circuit					Eastern.....	\$ 17,800	81.6%	0.6%	17.6%
Maine.....	7,600	63.3	14.4	22.2	Western.....	27,700	83.6	1.3	15.1
Massachusetts.....	111,600	80.2	0.0	19.8	Michigan:				
New Hampshire.....	3,000	65.7	2.1	32.2	Eastern.....	99,400	81.6	0.1	18.3
Rhode Island.....	17,100	87.5	0.0	12.5	Western.....	4,800	59.4	9.5	31.1
Puerto Rico.....	18,000	67.3	20.1	12.6	Ohio:				
Second Circuit					Northern.....	47,300	74.2	1.1	24.7
Connecticut.....	31,200	85.0	0.0	15.0	Southern.....	27,400	76.6	4.6	18.9
New York:					Tennessee:				
Northern.....	26,700	83.5	0.0	16.5	Eastern.....	4,200	78.9	0.0	21.1
Eastern.....	207,100	88.1	0.0	11.9	Middle.....	8,300	73.2	0.0	26.8
Southern.....	434,200	86.8	0.0	13.2	Western.....	27,800	85.2	0.0	14.8
Western.....	77,800	87.8	0.0	12.2	Seventh Circuit				
Vermont.....	21,000	88.0	0.0	12.0	Illinois:				
Third Circuit					Northern.....	162,800	84.3	0.0	15.7
Delaware.....	31,100	83.8	0.0	16.2	Eastern.....	30,100	52.5	22.6	24.9
New Jersey.....	132,400	83.3	0.0	15.7	Southern.....	44,500	77.0	1.4	21.6
Pennsylvania:					Indiana:				
Eastern.....	85,600	78.0	0.5	21.5	Northern.....	32,100	55.6	6.8	37.6
Middle.....	10,700	72.8	0.3	26.9	Southern.....	43,900	65.2	4.5	30.4
Western.....	58,100	73.8	11.1	15.1	Wisconsin:				
Virgin Islands.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Eastern.....	35,300	67.4	11.3	21.3
Fourth Circuit					Western.....	14,500	47.5	22.1	30.4
Maryland.....	67,900	76.8	0.6	22.6	Eighth Circuit				
North Carolina:					Arkansas:				
Eastern.....	25,800	60.7	12.1	27.2	Eastern.....	14,200	57.4	27.5	15.1
Middle.....	4,500	67.9	1.4	30.7	Western.....	9,000	47.0	28.0	25.0
Western.....	4,800	74.6	0.0	25.4	Iowa:				
South Carolina.....	8,900	56.2	20.2	23.6	Northern.....	13,600	79.6	1.4	19.0
Virginia:					Southern.....	9,400	80.9	1.2	17.9
Eastern.....	42,500	81.5	0.7	17.8	Minnesota:				
Western.....	13,400	76.5	2.9	20.6	Eastern.....	25,300	74.7	7.3	18.0
West Virginia:					Missouri:				
Northern.....	10,200	73.6	11.0	15.4	Eastern.....	35,400	77.7	3.1	19.2
Southern.....	23,400	80.2	3.4	16.4	Western.....	45,400	72.7	10.6	16.7
Fifth Circuit					Nebraska:				
Alabama:					Eastern.....	18,400	58.9	26.1	15.1
Northern.....	18,200	63.1	17.4	19.4	North Dakota:				
Middle.....	8,200	51.0	27.9	21.0	Eastern.....	11,000	44.1	28.3	27.6
Southern.....	8,400	74.2	9.5	16.2	South Dakota:				
Florida:					Eastern.....	35,500	49.3	31.0	17.7
Northern.....	9,700	61.8	15.2	23.0	Ninth Circuit				
Middle.....	86,500	74.0	5.4	20.6	Alaska.....	18,700	47.5	23.5	29.0
Southern.....	90,500	84.0	3.3	12.7	Arizona.....	45,300	79.0	4.9	16.0
Georgia:					California:				
Northern.....	74,700	66.9	15.4	17.6	Northern.....	58,500	76.6	2.4	21.0
Middle.....	40,100	47.0	31.8	21.2	Eastern.....	28,100	72.7	5.2	22.0
Southern.....	16,000	48.3	30.7	21.0	Central.....	243,500	77.1	2.3	20.6
Louisiana:					Northern.....	71,000	86.7	0.0	13.2
Eastern.....	56,600	82.6	0.1	17.3	Southern.....	14,800	88.9	0.0	11.1
Middle.....	26,500	86.4	0.0	13.6	Hawaii.....	29,100	47.7	25.3	27.0
Western.....	43,300	61.3	19.7	18.9	Idaho.....	16,400	51.7	26.4	21.9
Mississippi:					Montana.....	40,900	75.4	9.6	15.0
Northern.....	11,700	51.9	18.9	29.2	Nevada.....	45,800	80.6	15.3	24.2
Southern.....	10,700	47.7	31.0	21.4	Oregon.....				
Texas:					Washington:				
Northern.....	63,200	71.0	6.6	22.4	Eastern.....	8,800	44.3	27.4	28.4
Eastern.....	14,300	59.9	10.1	30.8	Western.....	29,000	59.6	9.9	20.5
Southern.....	25,100	82.5	0.0	17.5	Guam.....	3,600	90.4	0.0	9.6
Western.....	57,600	78.3	1.8	20.0	Tenth Circuit				
Canal Zone.....	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Colorado.....	27,700	71.1	13.6	15.3
					Kansas.....	15,900	73.0	8.8	18.3
					New Mexico.....	80,400	58.4	21.3	20.3
					Oklahoma:				
					Northern.....	3,100	87.8	0.0	12.3
					Eastern.....	16,200	54.9	21.8	23.3
					Western.....	8,800	64.0	18.0	18.0
					Utah.....	21,400	75.0	8.9	16.1
					Wyoming.....	2,400	56.2	27.1	16.7

down", provides a district by district presentation of grand juror expenditures for fiscal year 1975. The cost per grand juror day in fiscal year 1975 was approximately \$25 while the average cost per grand jury session was \$506.

#### Petit Juror Costs

The total petit juror payments for fiscal year 1975 of \$13,853,200 were 1.1% greater than the 1974 payments of \$13,704,000. These payments are broken down into four categories with 77.4% of the total amount expended for attendance fees (\$20 per juror per day excluding federal employees). Subsistence payments equaled 2.4% of the total; mileage and tolls accounted for 16.6% of the total amount; and 3.7% was expended for other miscellaneous expenses including meals and lodging for sequestered jurors, transportation of jurors during the hours of actual service on a trial, and expenses for the comfort and convenience of jurors. The table "Petit Juror Payments - F.Y. 1972 - 1975", shows the national totals of the petit juror expenditure breakdown for these fiscal years. The second table, "Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown", provides a district by district overview of petit juror expenditures for fiscal year 1975.

TABLE 18  
Petit Juror Payments  
F.Y. 1972 - 1975  
United States District Courts

Petit Juror Payments	Fiscal Year 1972	Fiscal Year 1973	Fiscal Year 1974	Fiscal Year 1975	1975 over 1974	
					Increase	Percent Increase
Total Payments.....	\$13,424,800	\$14,168,600	\$13,704,000	\$13,853,200	\$ 149,200	1.1
Attendance.....	10,606,500	11,125,900	10,658,000	10,716,000	58,000	0.5
Mileage.....	2,183,900	2,366,800	2,243,300	2,294,900	51,600	2.3
Subsistence.....	342,700	391,800	311,900	330,000	18,100	5.8
Other.....	291,700	284,100	490,800	512,300	21,500	4.4

The third and fourth tables in this section present the rank of each district according to daily estimated cost per petit juror day and petit jury trial day. The national figure for cost per juror day in fiscal year 1975 was approximately \$25, the same figure as that recorded in fiscal years 1973 and 1974. The national cost per jury trial day increased slightly from \$485 in fiscal year 1974 to \$490 in fiscal year 1975.

The accompanying chart, "Calculating Estimated Petit Juror 'Savings'", is provided to enable each district to calculate its estimated "savings" in juror fees in the past fiscal year. Calculation of "savings" is possible only if a district has improved its utilization of jurors in the past year which is shown statistically by a decrease in a district's Juror Usage

TABLE 19  
Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown  
United States District Courts  
Fiscal Year 1975

District	Est. Total Expenditure	Attendance	Subsistence	Mileage	Other <sup>1</sup>
<b>Total.....</b>	<b>\$13,853,200</b>	<b>77.4%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>
<b>District of Columbia.....</b>	<b>324,000</b>	<b>64.5</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>32.0</b>
<b>First Circuit</b>					
Maine.....	28,200	69.0	2.7	25.7	2.5
Massachusetts.....	236,900	78.0	0.3	18.6	3.1
New Hampshire.....	41,100	68.0	2.1	27.0	2.9
Rhode Island.....	47,600	82.1	0.7	9.8	7.4
Puerto Rico.....	104,500	67.5	18.2	12.9	1.5
<b>Second Circuit</b>					
Connecticut.....	81,600	84.2	0.0	15.1	0.6
New York:					
Northern.....	41,100	82.6	0.2	16.4	0.9
Eastern.....	462,100	83.1	0.0	11.8	5.2
Southern.....	1,128,800	82.9	0.0	12.8	4.3
Western.....	107,500	85.4	0.0	12.3	2.4
Vermont.....	62,600	83.2	0.0	15.6	1.2
<b>Third Circuit</b>					
Delaware.....	35,600	85.2	0.0	14.0	0.8
New Jersey.....	335,500	79.1	0.1	13.2	7.7
Pennsylvania:					
Eastern.....	755,700	75.1	0.9	18.7	5.2
Middle.....	153,700	75.1	1.8	22.6	0.6
Western.....	399,300	77.3	7.7	13.9	1.0
Virgin Islands.....	85,700	82.6	0.0	3.0	14.4
<b>Fourth Circuit</b>					
Maryland.....	186,800	76.1	2.6	20.3	1.0
North Carolina:					
Eastern.....	66,600	77.5	0.3	20.5	1.7
Middle.....	36,000	84.0	0.4	15.6	0.0
Western.....	69,800	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0
South Carolina.....	255,900	58.6	10.9	24.7	5.8
Virginia:					
Eastern.....	150,600	81.1	0.2	18.3	0.4
Western.....	29,100	69.9	1.2	19.2	9.7
West Virginia:					
Northern.....	32,300	67.7	5.0	19.2	8.2
Southern.....	59,300	80.8	1.4	16.7	1.2
<b>Fifth Circuit</b>					
Alabama:					
Northern.....	167,500	60.9	18.9	18.8	1.4
Middle.....	79,400	58.0	18.5	23.4	0.1
Southern.....	54,700	66.7	12.7	20.2	0.5
Florida:					
Northern.....	31,200	72.6	0.6	13.0	13.8
Middle.....	252,000	76.0	1.1	17.8	5.0
Southern.....	280,500	85.0	2.4	12.0	0.7
Georgia:					
Northern.....	262,500	80.6	1.3	16.9	1.1
Middle.....	95,400	74.2	0.1	17.7	8.0
Southern.....	76,400	82.6	0.6	15.0	1.9
Louisiana:					
Eastern.....	229,500	78.5	1.0	18.3	2.1
Middle.....	12,500	86.5	0.0	10.9	2.6
Western.....	122,700	69.7	0.5	15.7	14.1
Mississippi:					
Northern.....	77,800	64.1	5.1	29.7	1.2
Southern.....	107,700	71.0	2.5	22.0	4.4
Texas:					
Northern.....	204,100	82.8	0.6	16.6	0.0
Eastern.....	100,300	74.6	0.4	25.0	0.0
Southern.....	223,400	81.1	0.0	18.3	0.6
Western.....	136,300	82.7	1.4	14.2	1.6
Canal Zone.....	6,500	99.7	0.0	0.3	0.0

<sup>1</sup>Includes meals and lodging for sequestered jurors, transportation of juries for views and miscellaneous expenses for the comfort and convenience of jurors.

TABLE 19  
Petit Juror Expenditure Breakdown  
United States District Courts  
Fiscal Year 1975

District	Est. Total Expenditure	Attendance	Subsistence	Mileage	Other <sup>1</sup>
<b>Sixth Circuit</b>					
Kentucky:					
Eastern.....	\$199,900	73.6%	4.1%	22.3%	0.0%
Western.....	68,600	80.2	0.1	19.8	0.0
Michigan:					
Eastern.....	504,100	82.1	0.0	17.4	0.4
Western.....	57,300	62.4	7.8	26.6	3.2
Ohio:					
Northern.....	259,900	75.9	0.6	23.0	0.6
Southern.....	159,700	80.0	2.4	16.9	0.8
Tennessee:					
Eastern.....	93,600	79.7	0.0	20.3	0.0
Middle.....	73,300	81.8	0.0	18.2	0.0
Western.....	122,100	84.3	0.0	15.7	0.0
<b>Seventh Circuit</b>					
Illinois:					
Northern.....	372,000	82.7	0.0	14.3	2.9
Eastern.....	79,800	68.4	2.2	21.2	8.2
Southern.....	42,800	79.4	0.3	16.2	4.1
Indiana:					
Northern.....	167,800	71.2	1.2	10.7	16.9
Southern.....	96,400	73.2	0.3	23.9	2.7
Wisconsin:					
Eastern.....	81,900	82.1	0.0	12.8	5.1
Western.....	23,600	76.1	1.5	19.8	2.7
<b>Eighth Circuit</b>					
Arkansas:					
Eastern.....	73,400	78.7	0.9	19.5	1.0
Western.....	68,400	77.8	0.8	20.0	1.4
Iowa:					
Northern.....	33,800	78.6	3.0	16.5	2.0
Southern.....	47,800	73.9	1.7	22.2	2.2
Minnesota.....	212,700	83.3	3.4	11.5	1.7
Missouri:					
Eastern.....	141,400	80.3	1.1	17.7	0.9
Western.....	139,300	82.8	0.6	16.6	0.0
Nebraska.....	144,100	67.1	10.5	18.4	3.9
North Dakota.....	94,000	60.4	17.4	22.1	0.0
South Dakota.....	74,800	59.8	11.4	28.0	0.8
<b>Ninth Circuit</b>					
Alaska.....	39,600	70.2	8.3	14.0	7.5
Arizona.....	175,700	84.4	1.6	12.6	1.4
California:					
Northern.....	257,100	79.4	0.8	18.1	1.6
Eastern.....	137,600	70.8	4.8	23.2	1.2
Central.....	501,300	75.6	0.6	18.2	5.5
Southern.....	291,600	84.6	0.1	14.2	1.1
Hawaii.....	44,600	82.2	2.1	12.7	2.9
Idaho.....	40,200	67.7	11.7	20.2	0.4
Montana.....	52,900	71.8	9.9	17.5	0.8
Nevada.....	110,100	79.6	5.7	13.5	1.1
Oregon.....	76,900	78.2	2.1	16.9	2.7
Washington:					
Eastern.....	32,700	81.7	2.9	13.3	2.1
Western.....	123,200	81.3	0.9	15.0	2.9
Guam.....	25,400	79.5	0.0	7.4	13.1
<b>Tenth Circuit</b>					
Colorado.....	127,000	80.2	4.0	14.8	1.0
Kansas.....	195,200	78.8	1.7	18.1	1.4
New Mexico.....	112,600	65.0	14.6	19.6	0.8
Oklahoma:					
Northern.....	35,800	80.8	3.6	15.3	0.4
Eastern.....	40,700	64.6	3.9	31.5	0.0
Western.....	81,400	79.8	0.2	17.2	2.9
Utah.....	78,200	70.9	9.9	16.7	2.6
Wyoming.....	15,000	83.5	3.2	12.1	1.1

<sup>1</sup> Includes meals and lodging for sequestered jurors, transportation of juries for views and miscellaneous expenses for the comfort and convenience of jurors.





TABLE 20  
RANK OF DISTRICTS BY  
DAILY ESTIMATED CC'S PER PETIT JUROR DAY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

Rank	\$16 - 20	Rank	\$21 - 22	Rank	\$23 - 24	Rank	\$25 - 26	Rank	\$27 - 28	Rank	\$29 - 30	Rank	\$31 - 34							
1	Canal Zone	\$16	5	Virginia, E.	\$21	11	New York, E.	\$23	31	Rhode Island	\$25	58	Pennsylvania, M.	\$27	72	Maine	\$29	82	Puerto Rico	\$31
2	Virgin Islands	18	6	Texas, W.	22	11	Delaware	23	31	Connecticut	25	58	Pennsylvania, W.	27	72	Virginia, W.	29	82	Alabama, S.	31
3	District of Columbia	19	6	Illinois, N.	22	11	Maryland	23	31	New York, N.	25	58	North Carolina, E.	27	72	Illinois, E.	29	82	Mississippi, N.	31
4	Hawaii	20	6	Illinois, S.	22	11	North Carolina, W.	23	31	North Carolina, M.	25	58	Florida, M.	27	72	Idaho	29	82	Alaska	31
			6	Oklahoma, W.	22	11	Texas, N.	23	31	West Virginia, S.	25	58	Mississippi, S.	27	72	Montana	29	86	Alabama, N.	32
			6	Wyoming	22	11	Kentucky, W.	23	31	Georgia, N.	25	58	Iowa, S.	27	72	Nevada	29	86	Michigan, W.	32
						11	Tennessee, W.	23	31	Georgia, M.	25	58	Guam	27	72	Oklahoma, E.	29	88	South Carolina	33
						11	Missouri, W.	23	31	Louisiana, E.	25	58	Utah	27	79	Massachusetts	30	88	West Virginia, N.	33
						11	Arizona	23	31	Louisiana, M.	25	66	New Hampshire	28	79	Indiana, S.	30	88	Alabama, M.	33
						20	New York, S.	24	31	Texas, S.	25	66	Louisiana, W.	28	79	Nebraska	30	88	North Dakota	33
						20	New York, W.	24	31	Tennessee, E.	25	66	Kentucky, E.	28				88	South Dakota	33
						20	Vermont	24	31	Tennessee, M.	25	66	Indiana, N.	28				88	California, E.	33
						20	New Jersey	24	31	Arkansas, E.	25	66	Iowa, N.	28				94	New Mexico	34
						20	Florida, S.	24	31	Missouri, E.	25	66	California, S.	28						
						20	Georgia, S.	24	31	Washington, W.	25									
						20	Wisconsin, E.	24	31	Oklahoma, N.	25									
						20	Oregon	24	47	Pennsylvania, E.	26									
						20	Washington, E.	24	47	Florida, N.	26									
						20	Colorado	24	47	Texas, E.	26									
						20	Kansas	24	47	Michigan, E.	26									
									47	Ohio, N.	26									
									47	Ohio, S.	26									
									47	Wisconsin, W.	26									
									47	Arkansas, W.	26									
									47	Minnesota	26									
									47	California, N.	26									
									47	California, C.	26									

AVERAGE FOR ALL DISTRICTS: \$25

AVERAGE FOR ALL DISTRICTS: \$25

TABLE 21  
RANK OF DISTRICTS BY  
DAILY ESTIMATED COST PER PETIT JURY TRIAL DAY  
Fiscal Year 1975

Rank	District	Cost per trial day	Rank	District	Cost per trial day
1	Wyoming	\$259	47	Tennessee, M.	\$485
2	Rhode Island	315	49	Pennsylvania, E.	486
3	Canal Zone	342	50	Washington, W.	487
4	North Carolina, W.	356	51	Illinois, S.	492
5	Colorado	367	52	Ohio, S.	497
6	North Carolina, M.	371	53	Oklahoma, N.	504
7	New Hampshire	374	54	Georgia, S.	506
8	District of Columbia	375	54	Arizona	506
9	Illinois, N.	377	56	Utah	508
10	Oklahoma, W.	379	57	Florida, N.	511
11	Vermont	384	58	Oklahoma, E.	515
12	Tennessee, W.	386	59	Louisiana, W.	516
13	Oregon	392	60	Guam	518
14	Hawaii	398	61	Massachusetts	525
15	Virginia, E.	403	62	Virgin Islands	529
16	Maryland	406	62	Alabama, M.	529
17	California, N.	408	64	Michigan, E.	535
18	Delaware	409	65	California, C.	537
18	Washington, E.	409	66	Arkansas, E.	540
20	Texas, E.	411	67	Kentucky, W.	544
21	Alabama, N.	412	67	Ohio, N.	544
22	Wisconsin, W.	414	69	Pennsylvania, W.	547
23	Connecticut	416	70	Florida, M.	549
23	Louisiana, E.	416	71	North Carolina, E.	555
25	Georgia, N.	417	72	New York, S.	557
26	Missouri, E.	422	73	Montana	563
27	Tennessee, E.	427	74	New Mexico	569
28	New York, W.	430	75	Iowa, S.	583
29	New Jersey	433	76	Mississippi, N.	594
30	Wisconsin, E.	436	77	Mississippi, S.	598
31	New York, N.	437	77	Nevada	598
32	Iowa, N.	439	79	Nebraska	603
33	Texas, N.	446	80	Puerto Rico	608
34	Florida, S.	448	81	West Virginia, N.	609
35	Idaho	452	82	Missouri, W.	611
36	Minnesota	455	83	South Carolina	617
37	Maine	462	84	West Virginia, S.	618
38	New York, E.	466	85	Arkansas, W.	651
38	Pennsylvania, M.	466	86	Illinois, E.	665
40	Texas, S.	470	87	California, S.	670
41	Michigan, W.	474	88	North Dakota	676
42	Indiana, S.	477	89	California, E.	681
42	Kansas	477	90	Louisiana, M.	694
44	Georgia, M.	482	91	Indiana, N.	733
44	Texas, W.	482	92	Kentucky, E.	752
46	Alabama, S.	484	93	Alaska	776
47	Virginia, W.	485	94	South Dakota	787

AVERAGE FOR ALL DISTRICTS: \$490

# CALCULATING ESTIMATED PETIT JUROR "SAVINGS"

1. 1975 JUROR USAGE INDEX:	_____	= <b>A</b>
2. 1974 JUROR USAGE INDEX:	_____	= <b>B</b>
3. 1975 - NUMBER OF JURY TRIAL DAYS:	_____	= <b>C</b>
4. 1974 JUI X (1975 - NUMBER OF JURY TRIAL DAYS):	_____	= <b>D</b>
<div style="text-align: center;">             _____ X _____ = _____              (B X C = D)           </div>		
<div style="text-align: center;"> <b>D</b> = { TOTAL AVAILABLE IN 1975 AT THE 1974 RATE OR JUI              (This is the number of persons you would have had to call in if              you had continued to call in at your 1974 rate in 1975)           </div>		
5. 1975 - ACTUAL TOTAL AVAILABLE:	_____	= <b>E</b>
6. (TOTAL AVAILABLE IN 1975 AT THE 1974 RATE) - (1975 ACTUAL TOTAL AVAILABLE):	_____	= <b>F</b>
<div style="text-align: center;">             _____ - _____ = _____              (D - E = F)           </div>		
<div style="text-align: center;"> <b>F</b> = JUROR DAYS "SAVED" IN 1975 = JUROR CALL AVOIDANCE           </div>		
7. (JUROR DAYS "SAVED" IN 1975) X (\$20 ATTENDANCE FEE)	_____	= (ATTENDANCE FEE COSTS AVOIDED)
<div style="text-align: center;">             _____ X _____ = _____              (F X \$20 = ESTIMATED "SAVINGS")           </div>		

Index. Thus, to calculate "savings" during the past fiscal year, a district's JUI must be lower in fiscal year 1975 than it was in fiscal year 1974 (on the chart, figure A must be lower than figure B). This calculation reveals that if a district had continued to call jurors at the same rate (or JUI) in 1975 as they did in 1974, the total amount expended for petit jurors would have been more than the actual total juror expenditures. The difference between this greater amount and what was actually expended by a district at the 1975 rate (JUI) represents juror costs avoided due to a district's increased efforts to improve the efficiency of juror operations thus making possible the improved utilization of jurors.

If the JUI is higher in fiscal year 1975 than it was in 1974, the chart can be used to show excesses in juror costs for 1975 by entering the same data and computing a juror cost "overage" which would show up as a negative number for figure F on the chart. Such excesses may require an analysis of the jury operation and management system of the district or particular courts within that district. The "Check Sheet on Juror Utilization Factors" appearing in these pages is a good place to start the analysis.

EXPLANATION OF ENTRIES THAT APPEAR ON DISTRICT GRAND JUROR PROFILES

- 1 Total number of grand juries that were in existence for one or more months during the past fiscal year.
- 2 Total number of grand juries in existence at the start of the fiscal year - those carried over from fiscal year 1974.
- 3 Total number of grand juries impaneled or brought into existence at some time between July 1, 1974 and June 30, 1975.
- 4 Total number of grand juries which either were discharged by the court or which had served the 18-month statutory period and ceased to exist at some time between July 1, 1974 and June 30, 1975.
- 5 Total number of grand juries in existence at the end of the fiscal year - those to be carried over to fiscal year 1976.
- 6 Number of grand jury sessions convened. A session is counted for each day on which the grand jury convenes for at least one hour.
- 7 Number of grand jurors in convened sessions. Grand jurors are included in this category only when they participate in a convened session. Travel days, prospective jurors reporting only for impanelment, or jurors reporting when no session is convened are not included in this figure.
- 8 Number of hours in session. This category includes all time from the start of a convened session to the close of that session on a given day. The time required for the impanelment of any grand jury is also included in this figure.
- 9 Arrived at by dividing the number of jurors in session (Box #7) by the number of sessions convened (Box #6). This gives the average number of jurors that participated in each convened session. This number will fall somewhere between 16 and 23 as Rule 6(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure requires a grand jury to consist of 16 to 23 members.
- 10 Arrived at by dividing the number of hours in session (Box #8) by the number of sessions convened (Box #6). This gives the average number of hours for each convened session.
- 11 Total estimated expenditure for all grand jurors' expenses. Included are attendance, subsistence and mileage and tolls costs.
- 12 Estimated cost for each grand jury session convened.
- 13 Estimated cost per grand juror per day.
- 14 Each grand jury is listed separately and designated by a grand jury number assigned at the time of its impanelment. The office number of the division of the district in which the grand jury sits is also given to distinguish between grand juries assigned the same number by different offices.
- 15 The total number of months during which the grand jury listed directly above this entry existed out of the possible 12 months. (e.g. A grand jury impaneled in January 1975 would have been in existence for 6 months of the year period).
- 16 The total number of months during the grand jury's existence in fiscal year 1975 in which no grand jury session was held. (e.g. A grand jury in existence for 6 of the 12 months recorded 2 months during which no session was convened. Therefore, during these 6 months of existence, at least one session was convened in 4 of these 6 months.) Districts with more than 10 grand juries in fiscal year 1975 have an additional listing of grand juries and information pertaining to them in Appendix A.

# GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	1	2	3	4	5
USAGE TOTALS	6	7	8	9	10
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS			
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day	
11	\$ 12	\$ 13	

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
14									→
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
15									→
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
16									→

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

EXPLANATION OF ENTRIES THAT APPEAR ON DISTRICT PETIT JUROR PROFILES

- 1 Authorized judgeships (does not include senior judges).
- 2 Places of holding court where jurors have been in court and available to serve for jury trial activity.
- 3 Total number of jurors in court and paid, whether "selected or serving," "challenged," or "not selected, serving or challenged."
- 4 Total number of jurors who were selected for or serving on one or more trial juries.
- 5 Total number of jurors who were challenged - either for cause or peremptorily - and did not serve on a trial jury.
- 6 Total number of jurors in court who neither were selected for or serving on a trial jury nor were challenged.
- 7 Percentage of jurors who were selected for or serving on trial juries.
- 8 Percentage of jurors who were challenged.
- 9 Percentage of jurors who were not selected, serving or challenged.
- 10 Arrived at by dividing the total number of jurors available per fiscal year, by the total number of jury trial days per fiscal year. Hence, it is the average number of jurors available (in court whether selected or serving, challenged, or not selected, serving or challenged) per jury trial per day. If a court's index is 20, an average of 20 jurors are in court and paid per jury trial day.
- 11 Total estimated expenditure for all jurors' expenses. Included are attendance, subsistence, mileage, and miscellaneous costs.
- 12 Total estimated expenditure for those jurors who were selected for or serving on trial juries (based on percent in box # 7).
- 13 Total estimated expenditure for those jurors who were challenged (based on percent in box # 8)
- 14 Total estimated expenditure for those jurors who were not selected, serving or challenged (based on percent in box # 9).
- 15 Estimated cost per trial per day.
- 16 Estimated cost per juror per day.
- 17 Total number of civil and criminal jury trials. This information is derived from the JS-10, the Monthly Report of Trials and Pretrial Conferences.
- 18 Total number of civil jury trials.
- 19 Total number of criminal jury trials.
- 20 Percentage of civil jury trials (based on box # 18).
- 21 Percentage of criminal jury trials (based on box # 19).
- 22 Total number of civil and criminal jury trial days. Three jury trial days could either be one trial running three days or three trials occurring on one day, or a combination.
- 23 Total number of civil jury trial days.
- 24 Total number of criminal jury trial days.
- 25 Percentage of civil jury trial days (based on box # 23).
- 26 Percentage of criminal jury trial days (based on box # 24).
- 27 Rankings - box numbers 7, 8, 9, 10, 15 and 16 are ranked. The rankings show where a district stands in relation to all other districts in the country. If there is a tie at a particular standing, then each of the tied courts receives the same standing designation. All are ranked in ascending order (lowest value is ranked first) except for box # 7 (served), which is ranked in descending order (highest value ranked first).
- 28 A comparison of selected juror utilization data for fiscal years 1971 thru 1975.

**PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE --  
FISCAL YEAR 1975**

1 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	3	4	5	6	10
	100%	7 %	8 %	9 %	
National Rank		27	27	27	27
Estimated Cost	\$ 11	12	13	14	15
					\$ 16
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
17	18	19	22	23	24
100%	20 %	21 %	100%	25 %	26 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971			28			
1972						
1973						
1974						
1975						







*Districts of the*  
**First Circuit**

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	2	-	2	-

USAGE TOTALS	11	222	68	20.2	6.18
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
7,600	\$ 691	\$ 34

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
73-1 (1)	74-1 (2)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	10								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
4	7								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in the past fiscal year. Maine effectively employs the multiple voir dire technique which is evidenced by the fact that only 3.3% of the prospective jurors in fiscal year 1975 were not selected, serving or challenged, the best record in this category in the nation.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE --  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

1 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	956	786	138	32	15.67
	100%	82.2 %	14.4 %	3.3 %	
Estimated Cost	\$ 28,200	23,200	4,100	900	
		1	30	1	12
					37 72
					462 \$ 29
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
30	14	16	61	26	35
100%	46.7 %	53.3 %	100%	42.6 %	57.4 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	22	50.0	9.9	71.4	16.58	
1972	15	33.3	8.2	76.8	11.31	341
1973	23	30.4	8.3	73.5	10.28	288
1974	17	52.9	3.9	82.7	12.20	332
1975	30	53.3	3.3	82.2	15.67	462

**MASSACHUSETTS**
**GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975**

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
<b>NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES</b>	10	7	3	3	7

<b>USAGE TOTALS</b>	274	5,280	1,411	19.3	5.15
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	<b>NUMBER OF</b>			<b>AVERAGE NUMBER OF</b>	

<b>ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS</b>		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
121,600	\$ 444	\$ 23

<b>GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)</b>									
73-2 (1)	73-4 (1)	74-1 (1)	74-3 (1)	74-4 (1)	74-5 (1)	74-6 (1)	75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-3 (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	5	9	12	12	12	12	10	6	1
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
5	5	3	-	-	1	1	3	-	-

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

6 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	7,945	5,240	953	1,752	17.62
	100%	66.0 %	12.0 %	22.1 %	
National Rank	23	15	51	36	
Estimated Cost	236,900	156,400	28,400	52,400	
	525	30			
	Trial Day	Juror Day			
	Cost per Day				

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
110	34	76	451	152	299
100%	30.9 %	69.1 %	100%	33.7 %	66.3 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	110	69.1	19.3	76.1	16.66	
1972	115	62.8	18.1	76.9	16.23	380
1973	108	83.3	22.6	67.8	18.06	537
1974	113	47.8	27.9	62.6	15.87	491
1975	110	69.1	22.1	66.0	17.62	525

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1

USAGE TOTALS	5	100	33	20.0	6.60
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
3,000	\$ 600	\$ 30

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
7	3								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
5	1								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

## PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE -- FISCAL YEAR 1975

☐ Judgeships

☐ Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	1,454	1,050	194	210	13.22
	100%	72.2 %	13.3 %	14.4 %	
National Rank	12	22	20	4	
Estimated Cost	41,100	29,700	5,500	5,900	
					374
					28
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost-per-Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
36	32	4	110	102	8
100%	88.9 %	11.1 %	100%	92.7 %	7.3 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	20	40.0	20.5	69.0	18.65	
1972	26	42.3	24.7	55.6	17.03	574
1973	23	39.1	16.0	69.2	13.87	586
1974	29	27.6	12.7	72.2	13.12	587
1975	36	11.1	14.4	72.2	13.22	574

# RHODE ISLAND

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	3	1	2	2

USAGE TOTALS	38	767	208	20.2	5.47
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
17,100	\$ 450	\$ 22

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
73-1 (1)	74-1 (1)	74-2 (1)	75-1 (1)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	1	4	9						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
7	1	1	1						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	1,894	1,484	102	308	12.54
	100%	78.4 %	5.4 %	16.3 %	
National Rank	3	1	22	2	31
Estimated Cost	\$ 47,600	37,300	2,600	7,800	315 \$ 25
					Trial Day Juror Day Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
19	8	11	151	100	51
100%	42.1 %	57.9 %	100%	66.2 %	33.8 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	39	61.5	22.0	70.4	18.12	
1972	27	37.8	34.2	58.4	15.80	
1973	34	73.5	31.4	62.2	18.43	198
1974	16	37.5	10.5	80.8	11.31	244
1975	19	57.9	16.3	78.4	12.54	315

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURORS	3	2	1	2	1

USAGE TOTALS	29	568	185	19.6	6.38
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
18,000	\$ 621	\$ 32

GRAND JURY MONTHS (Office Number)									
74-1 (3)	74-2 (3)	75-1 (3)							
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
5	12	8							
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
4	1	4							

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Many prospective jurors in this district must travel on the day prior to the day on which they are to report to the court. Since they are paid for these travel days, they are reported as available jurors. In addition, separate empanelment days are held at the start of each term of service. These two factors combine to increase the total available jurors reported and to adversely affect the statistics.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

3 Judgeships


1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

Number of Juror Days	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
	3,324	1,713	498	1,113	
	100%	51.5 %	15.0 %	33.5 %	19.33
National Rank					
81 36 87 53 80 82					
Estimated Cost	104,500	53,800	15,700	35,000	608
					31
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
60	41	19	172	108	64
100%	68.3 %	31.7 %	100%	62.8 %	37.2 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	38	71.1	43.6	48.5	26.97	-
1972	32	50.0	47.2	43.3	28.28	1,087
1973	42	64.3	28.0	54.5	19.44	692
1974	45	60.0	29.1	54.7	18.30	635
1975	60	31.7	33.5	51.5	19.33	608





New York  
New York  
Vermont  
N.Y.  
South  
Conn.

Second Circuit

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	8	5	3	5	3
USAGE TOTALS	85	1,622	510	19.1	6.00
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Jury Day
31,200	\$ 367	\$ 19

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
74-1 (2)	74-2 (2)	74-3 (2)	75-1 (2)	75-2 (2)	74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)	73-1 (5)		
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
9	9	10	4	2	9	4	4		
Total Number of Months or No Activity									
3	8	4	-	-	3	-	3		

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

**COMMENT:** The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in the JUI of this district in fiscal year 1975 as compared to 1974. The effective use of the multiple voir dire technique in Connecticut in both civil and criminal cases has resulted in only 9.0% of prospective jurors not selected, serving or challenged. Connecticut also experienced a notoriety case requiring an extra-large panel for a jury selection lasting three days. This type of occurrence has an adverse effect on juror efficiency data.

## PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

4 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	3,273	2,351	628	294	16.70
	100%	71.8 %	19.2 %	9.0 %	
National Rank	13	65	7	23	31
Estimated Cost	\$ 81,600	\$ 58,600	\$ 15,700	\$ 7,300	
					416
					25
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
76	44	32	196	94	102
100%	57.9 %	42.1 %	100%	48.0 %	52.0 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	67	61.2	23.1	62.5	19.65	
1972	66	72.7	17.3	70.8	17.55	430
1973	80	60.5	13.7	71.4	16.06	376
1974	61	50.8	12.0	73.6	14.70	435
1975	76	42.1	9.0	71.8	16.70	416

# NEW YORK NORTHERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Point Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Member on July 1, 1974	Number Suspended in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	6	1	5	5	1

USAGE TOTALS	57	1,158	233	20.3	4.09
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
26,700	\$ 468	\$ 23

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	74-1 (2)	75-1 (2)	75-1 (5)	75-1 (6)				
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
3	3	2	2	3	4				
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	-	1	-	-	-				

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	1,651	1,070	182	399	17.56
	100%	64.8 %	11.0 %	24.2 %	
		30	10	58	33
					31 31
Estimated Cost	41,100	26,600	4,500	9,900	437 \$ 25
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
24	12	12	94	40	54
100%	50.0 %	50.0 %	100%	42.6 %	57.4 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	30	26.7	48.0	45.2	28.01	-
1972	37	59.5	36.4	54.7	23.12	558
1973	45	60.0	30.5	60.0	20.80	507
1974	35	54.3	25.8	61.2	18.26	449
1975	24	50.0	24.2	64.8	17.56	437

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	30	10	20	17	13

USAGE TOTALS	458	8,928	2,108	19.5	4.60
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
207,100	\$ 452	\$ 23

GRAND JURY NUMBERS (Office Number <sup>1</sup> )									
72-2 (1)	72-3 (1)	73-1 (1)	74-1 (1)	74-2 (1)	74-5 (1)	74-9 (1)	74-10 (1)	74-11 (1)	74-12 (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
11	12	12	6	7	12	2	12	6	1
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	7	-	-	2	12	2	-	3	-

<sup>1</sup>See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

<sup>1</sup> The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

COMMENT: This district again experienced a number of highly publicized and multiple defendant cases requiring extra-large panels for jury selection due to the number of excuses and challenges for cause anticipated in such trials. These occurrences, in addition to a predominately criminal trial calendar, have an adverse effect on utilization figures for New York Eastern. Nevertheless, this district was able to report improved efficiency in the areas of the JUI, percent selected or serving, and percent not selected, serving or challenged.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

☒ 9 Judgeships

☒ 1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

		Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index	
Number of Jury Days		20,456	12,448	3,111	4,897		
		100%	60.9 %	15.2 %	23.9 %	20.62	
National Rank		47	38	57	70		
						38	11
Estimated Cost		462,100	281,400	70,200	110,400	466	23
						Trial Day	Juror Day
						Cost per Day	

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
196	70	126	992	325	667
100%	35.7 %	64.3 %	100%	32.8 %	67.2 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Civil Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	166	71.1	54.1	36.9	36.06	
1972	175	71.9	50.6	39.1	35.22	816
1973	221	81.0	38.4	48.8	27.82	660
1974	196	70.9	29.4	57.5	23.62	545
1975	196	64.3	23.9	60.9	20.62	466

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	42	19	23	13	29

USAGE TOTALS	949	19,493	3,644	20.5	3.84
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
434,200	\$ 458	\$ 22

GRAND JURY PANELS (Office Numbers)									
72-1 (1)	73-3 (1)	73-8 (1)	73-9 (1)	73-15 (1)	73-16 (1)	74-3 (1)	74-5 (1)	74-6 (1)	74-7 <sup>1</sup> (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
10	2	7	1	6	6	8	9	10	10
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
4	1	6	1	4	-	-	6	10	-

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

<sup>1</sup> The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

COMMENT: New York Southern encountered a number of notoriety cases in fiscal year 1975, some with sequestered juries and some with large numbers of defendants. These cases involved the use of sizable jury panels for selection due to the large number of challenges - one case alone had 368 prospective jurors challenged. These types of trials have the effect of unfavorably influencing the yearly utilization statistics. Nevertheless, New York Southern's performance in the past fiscal year has shown improvement with the JUI decreasing from 27.85 in fiscal year 1974 to 23.60 in 1975.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE -  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

27 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	47,844	23,321	7,834	16,689	23.60
	100%	48.7 %	16.4 %	34.9 %	
National Rank	88	46	88	84	
Estimated Cost	\$1,128,700	549,700	185,100	393,900	
					557
					24
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
435	212	223	2,027	847	1,180
100%	48.7 %	51.3 %	100%	41.8 %	58.2 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	378	64.3	68.3	21.3	57.54	
1972	340	66.8	48.6	41.8	31.69	717
1973	448	67.4	40.8	47.4	27.23	623
1974	450	55.6	44.0	43.4	27.85	678
1975	435	51.3	34.9	48.7	23.60	557

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	8	3	5	4	4
USAGE TOTALS	175	3,355	989	19.2	5.65
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
77,800	\$ 445	\$ 23

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	74-2 (1)	75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-3 (1)	74-2 (6)	75-1 (6)	75-2 (6)		
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
2	3	5	6	2	6	9	2		
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district recorded increased efficiency in the areas of JUI, percent selected or serving and percent not selected, serving or challenged. One factor contributing to this performance is the use of the multiple voir dire selection process by this district, generally in civil cases with juries of less than 12 members. New York Western also reported an instance when the plaintiff was assessed the costs of the jury fees for failure to appear in a civil case.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

3 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	4,574	3,237	444	893	18.30
	100%	70.8 %	9.7 %	19.5 %	
National Rank		17	6	39	41
Estimated Cost	\$ 107,500	76,100	10,400	21,000	28
					20
					430
					24
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
55	24	31	250	87	163
100%	43.6 %	56.4 %	100%	34.8 %	65.2 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	42	47.6	19.2	71.5	18.58	-
1972	40	72.5	19.2	72.4	18.88	462
1973	42	67.1	22.9	68.0	20.16	529
1974	40	75.0	26.9	64.7	21.62	523
1975	55	56.4	19.5	70.8	18.30	430

# VERMONT

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FY 1975

	Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Expanded in the 12 Month Period	Number Expanded in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURORS	4	2	2	1	3
USAGE TOTALS	44	919	157	20.9	3.57
	Sessions Conducted	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
21,000	\$ 477	\$ 23

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
74-2 (2)	75-1 (2)	74-1 (5)	75-1 (5)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	2	12	7						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
7	-	8	-						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.



# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships


4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged*	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	2,599	1,430	395	774	15.94
	100%	55.0 %	15.2 %	29.8 %	
		68	38	77	17
Estimated Cost	62,600	34,400	9,500	18,700	
					11 20
					384 24
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
67	55	12	163	125	38
100%	82.1 %	17.9 %	100%	76.7 %	23.3 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials*	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	76	6.6	30.6	63.3	19.27	-
1972	27	3.7	34.3	61.1	15.80	386
1973	87	9.2	30.3	62.3	16.32	472
1974	63	22.2	31.9	54.7	15.46	377
1975	67	17.9	29.8	55.0	15.94	384





DEPT. OF

RECORDS

MANAGEMENT

SYSTEMS

RECORDS SECTION

100-100000-100000

# DELAWARE

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	2	2

USAGE TOTALS	65	1,311	291	20.2	4.48
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
31,100	\$ 478	\$ 24

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
73-1 (1)	74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
4	10	8	3						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	-	-	-						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

3 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	1,528	958	446	124	17.56
	100%	62.7 %	29.2 %	8.1 %	
National Rank	41	92	3	33	18 11
Estimated Cost	\$ 35,600	22,300	10,400	2,900	409 \$ 23
					Trial Day Juror Day Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
30	9	21	87	32	55
100%	30.0 %	70.0 %	100%	36.8 %	63.2 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	35	54.6	31.8	50.7	24.96	
1972	25	60.9	37.2	45.1	28.12	428
1973	37	62.2	29.6	51.6	22.22	567
1974	28	69.6	6.1	56.3	19.77	465
1975	30	70.0	8.1	62.7	17.56	409

# NEW JERSEY

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaired in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number of June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	12	8	4	6	6

USAGE TOTALS	267	5,050	1,392	18.9	5.21
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
132,400	\$ 496	\$ 26

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number <sup>1</sup> )									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)	73-1 (2)	73-2 (2)	73-3 (2)	74-1 (2)	74-2 (2)	74-3 (2)	74-4 (2)	75-1 <sup>1</sup> (2)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	12	1	2	6	7	12	12	12	9
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
2	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	7	-

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

<sup>1</sup> The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in New Jersey's JUI in the past fiscal year as this utilization technique is often employed by the judges of this district. Further, a number of notoriety cases involving multiple defendants and sequestered juries were conducted in fiscal year 1975. Large panels of prospective jurors were required with voir dires often lasting several days. These occurrences should be taken into account as having an adverse effect on this district's utilization statistics.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

9 Judgeships

3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

Number of Juror Days	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
	13,927	10,252	1,688	1,987	
	100%	73.6 %	12.1 %	14.3 %	17.97
National Rank					
<div> <div>8</div> <div>16</div> <div>18</div> <div>39</div> <div>29</div> <div>20</div> </div>					
Estimated Cost	335,500	246,900	40,600	48,000	
					433 Trial Day Cost per Day
					\$ 24 Juror Day Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
184	77	107	775	247	528
100%	41.8 %	58.2 %	100%	31.9 %	68.1 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	144	45.1	20.3	69.6	19.70	-
1972	172	50.6	18.1	69.9	16.28	356
1973	147	66.0	15.2	74.8	17.07	420
1974	147	63.3	14.2	74.3	16.18	449
1975	184	58.2	14.3	73.6	17.97	433

# PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	9	5	4	4	5

USAGE TOTALS	178	3,654	789	20.5	4.43
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
85,600	\$ 481	\$ 23

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
72-1 (2)	74-1 (2)	74-2 (2)	74-3 (2)	74-4 (2)	75-1 (2)	75-2 (2)	75-3 (2)	75-4 (2)	
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	7	10	8	12	5	5	5	2	
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
5	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Pennsylvania Eastern experienced a large number of highly publicized and multiple defendant criminal cases in the past fiscal year. These cases required extra-large panels for jury selections which often took several days due to the nature of the cases and the number of challenges for cause. Although such occurrences often have an adverse effect on the efficient utilization of jurors, this district was able to improve performance in the areas of the JUI, percent selected or serving, and percent not selected, serving or challenged.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE -  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

19 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	29,273	16,454	4,980	7,839	18.83
	100%	56.2 %	17.0 %	26.8 %	
National Rank	63	47	68	46	
Estimated Cost	\$ 755,700	424,700	128,500	202,500	
					486
					\$ 26
					Trial Day
					Jury Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
335	222	113	1,555	1,032	523
100%	66.3 %	33.7 %	100%	66.4 %	33.6 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	324	33.3	33.9	52.8	24.21	
1972	321	37.1	28.2	56.7	18.63	448
1973	338	32.8	32.2	52.7	19.89	486
1974	328	36.9	33.4	51.5	20.15	531
1975	335	33.7	26.8	56.2	18.83	486

# PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	3	3	-	1	2

USAGE TOTALS	24	461	128	19.2	5.33
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
10,700	\$ 446	\$ 23

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	74-1 (2&4)	74-1 (3&5)							
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	10	12							
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
6	7	4							

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

**COMMENT:** The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in the past fiscal year. Multiple voir dire is a utilization technique extensively employed by the judges of Pennsylvania Middle, in both criminal and civil cases.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

4 Judgeships

5 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	5,722	4,406	806	510	17.34
	100%	77.0 %	14.1 %	8.9 %	
National Rank	5	28	6	31	38 58
Estimated Cost	\$ 153,700	118,300	21,700	13,700	466 \$ 27
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
121	85	36	330	214	116
100%	70.2 %	29.8 %	100%	64.8 %	35.2 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	73	26.0	18.8	66.4	19.88	-
1972	102	26.5	22.1	61.5	21.12	683
1973	119	23.5	9.6	76.3	14.27	376
1974	102	30.4	8.2	78.2	12.62	337
1975	121	29.8	8.9	77.0	17.34	466

# PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN

# GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	7	4	3	2	5
USAGE TOTALS	110	2,074	681	18.9	6.19
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
58,100	\$ 528	\$ 28

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
73-3 (1)	72-1 (2)	73-2 (2)	74-1 (2)	75-1 (2)	75-2 (2)	75-3 (2)			
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	12	12	9	9	6	3			
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
10	8	2	1	3	-	1			

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Pennsylvania Western reported many instances in which a jury panel available for selection could not be used due to last minute pleas, settlements and continuances of cases in fiscal year 1975. This constant problem results in jurors available to serve but with no opportunity for them to be used. This is reflected in the increase in the JUI and the decrease in the percent selected or serving in the past fiscal year.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

10 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

Number of Juror Days	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
	15,030	8,335	2,355	4,340	
	100%	55.5 %	15.7 %	28.9 %	20.59
National Rank					
<div> <div>65</div> <div>41</div> <div>74</div> <div>66</div> <div>69</div> <div>58</div> </div>					
Estimated Cost	\$ 399,300	221,600	62,700	115,400	
					547
					\$ 27
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
135	56	79	730	271	459
100%	41.5 %	58.5 %	100%	37.1 %	62.9 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	197	24.9	26.5	57.3	21.51	-
1972	195	19.0	34.2	44.3	18.04	468
1973	185	43.2	36.2	45.3	20.74	545
1974	146	37.0	27.9	56.2	17.25	475
1975	135	58.5	28.9	55.5	20.59	547

# VIRGIN ISLANDS

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1975	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	N/A				
GRAND TOTALS					
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
N/A	\$	\$

GRAND JURY ACTIVITY (Office Numbers)							
N/A							
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period							
Total Number of Months of No Activity							

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The District of the Virgin Islands reported that during fiscal year 1975 no grand jury system was in operation according to provisions in Rule 54(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. This accounts for the lack of any grand jury statistical profile.

This district has adopted the practice of selecting two juries at one time from a single panel of prospective jurors. This is possibly one factor accounting for the improvement in utilization figures in the past fiscal year. The improvement shown was limited by the revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection.

# VIRGIN ISLANDS

## PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	4,760	2,369	1,123	1,268	29.38
	100%	49.8 %	23.6 %	26.6 %	
		85	85	66	94
Estimated Cost	\$ 85,700	\$ 12,700	\$ 20,200	\$ 22,800	529 \$ 18
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
77	22	55	162	55	107
100%	28.6 %	71.4 %	100%	34.0 %	66.0 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	46	84.8	38.8	40.2	27.12	
1972	58	86.2	34.8	40.3	32.07	771
1973	45	82.2	37.7	29.7	41.43	790
1974	71	81.7	21.4	49.4	30.08	81,093
1975	77	71.4	26.6	49.8	29.38	529






**CONTINUED**

**1 OF 3**





Fourth Circuit

# MARYLAND

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	10	4	6	3	7

USAGE TOTALS	153	3,085	654	20.2	4.27
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
67,900	\$ 444	\$ 22

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-3 (1)	74-4 (1)	74-5 (1)	74-6 (1)	75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-3 (1)	75-4 (1)	75-5 (1)	75-6 (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
9	3	12	12	4	7	7	7	4	1
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	1	-

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

7 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	8,145	5,108	1,598	1,439	17.71
	100%	62.7 %	19.6 %	17.7 %	
National Rank		41	68	28	37
					16
Estimated Cost	\$ 186,800	117,100	36,600	33,100	406
					\$ 23
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Totals	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
118	41	77	460	184	276
100%	34.7 %	65.3 %	100%	40 %	60 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Trial Day
	Number of Trials	% Criminal Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	63	63.5	65.0	28.1	41.12	443
1972	85	64.7	18.3	67.7	18.95	435
1973	110	60.9	17.3	64.2	18.70	437
1974	115	66.1	15.8	67.6	18.01	436
1975	118	65.3	17.7	62.7	17.71	406

# NO. CAROLINA EASTERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	3	1	2	2	1
USAGE TOTALS	34	761	196	22.4	5.76
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
25,800	\$ 759	\$ 34

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (4)	75-1 (4)	75-1 (5)							
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
5	4	7							
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	2	1							

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported an instance where the court was not notified of a settlement until the night before the day set for jury selection which was too late to notify the jurors to not report. Accordingly, the parties were taxed with the costs of the entire jury panel.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

3 Judgeships

7 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	2,493	1,581	281	631	20.78
	100%	63.4 %	11.3 %	25.3 %	
National Rank	36	12	60	71	58
Estimated Cost	\$ 66,600	42,200	7,500	16,800	
					555
					27
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
47	18	29	120	39	81
100%	38.3 %	61.7 %	100%	32.5 %	67.5 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Juror Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	22	77.3	46.5	45.2	27.07	
1972	41	56.1	29.0	61.2	20.06	500
1973	40	60.0	34.7	55.3	21.31	613
1974	59	62.7	27.4	62.5	19.68	496
1975	47	61.7	25.3	63.4	20.78	555

# NO. CAROLINA MIDDLE

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	8	154	50	19.2	6.25
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
4,500	\$ 562	\$ 29

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (2)	75-1 (2)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	5								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	2								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district occasionally uses the multiple jury selection technique. The revision of the statistical reporting of this process affected the reporting of those instances when more than one jury was selected and, to some degree, the yearly figures presented here.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

5 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected for Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	1,439	917	255	267	14.84
	100%	63.7 %	17.7 %	18.6 %	
Normalized Rank	33	50	33	6	31
Estimated Cost	\$ 36,000	22,900	6,400	6,700	371
					\$ 25
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
33	12	21	97	52	45
100%	36.4 %	63.6 %	100%	53.6 %	46.4 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	36	77.8	21.4	58.4	20.48	4
1972	36	77.8	23.8	59.2	19.39	505
1973	35	85.7	22.8	60.4	18.18	431
1974	35	77.1	13.0	67.1	15.05	366
1975	33	63.6	18.6	63.7	14.84	371

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	2	2
USAGE TOTALS	10	179	70	17.9	7.00
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
4,800	\$ 480	\$ 27

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)	74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
5	5	6	6						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	3	4	3						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: In fiscal year 1975, this district reported that the Court assessed the plaintiff and defendant a total of \$400 for the cost of the unused jury panel because of a last minute settlement.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

5 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	3,044	2,274	275	495	15.53
	100%	74.7 %	9.0 %	16.3 %	
National Rank		7	4	22	9
Estimated Cost	\$ 69,800	52,100	6,300	11,400	356 \$ 23
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
84	36	48	196	113	83
100%	42.9 %	57.1 %	100%	57.7 %	42.3 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	67	56.7	29.3	63.3	18.28	
1972	66	51.5	25.4	67.9	16.50	397
1973	80	56.3	21.6	70.1	15.78	368
1974	79	55.7	15.5	74.7	15.49	373
1975	84	57.1	16.3	74.7	15.53	356

## SOUTH CAROLINA

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	12	251	86	20.9	7.17
	Sessions Conferred	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
8,900	\$ 742	\$ 35

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	4								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
2	2								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district makes extensive use of the multiple voir dire technique for selection of most juries. The statistical revision of the reporting of this technique is one factor contributing to the increase in the JUI in fiscal year 1975. The use of multiple selection also helped to increase the percent of jurors selected or serving while decreasing the percent not selected, serving or challenged.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE --  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

5 Judgeships

5 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Jury Usage Index
Number of Jury Days	7,751	4,916	1,472	1,363	18.68
	100%	63.4 %	19.0 %	17.6 %	
Estimated Hours	36	64	27	43	
	83	88			
Estimated Cost	255,900	162,200	48,600	45,000	
	617	33			
	Total Day	Jury Day			
	Cost per Day				

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
185	105	80	415	227	188
100%	56.8 %	43.2 %	100%	54.7 %	45.3 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	107	27.1	21.1	63.1	20.86	573
1972	127	40.2	18.4	66.7	19.41	573
1973	139	43.9	22.0	60.5	18.42	588
1974	123	53.7	25.8	56.0	17.65	602
1975	185	43.2	17.6	63.4	18.68	617

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	11	3	8	4	7

USAGE TOTALS	98	2,044	660	20.9	6.73
	Sessions Convened	Jurors In Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
42,500	\$ 434	\$ 21

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number <sup>1</sup> )									
73-1 (1)	75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-3 (1)	75-4 (1)	75-1 (2)	75-2 (2)	74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)	75-2 <sup>1</sup> (3)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	6	6	5	4	10	4	6	6	4
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
12	-	-	2	2	1	1	2	-	2

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

<sup>1</sup> The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

6 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	7,242	3,504	2,377	1,361	19.36
	100%	48.4%	32.8 %	18.8%	
National Rank	89	93	36	54	15
Estimated Cost	\$ 150,600	72,900	49,400	28,300	403
					\$ 21
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
231	102	129	374	179	195
100%	44.2 %	55.8 %	100%	47.9 %	52.1 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	182	62.1	24.1	45.1	26.81	412
1972	179	59.2	24.5	47.9	25.44	525
1973	185	57.8	22.6	43.4	21.95	418
1974	171	64.9	23.4	43.7	23.32	468
1975	231	55.8	18.8	48.4	19.36	403

# VIRGINIA WESTERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	11	3	8	3	8

USAGE TOTALS	26	498	170	19.2	6.54
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
13,400	\$ 515	\$ 27

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-1 (4)	75-2 (4)	74-2 (6)	75-1 (6)	75-2 (6)	74-1 (7)	75-1 (7)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	9	3	10	5	7	6	1	12	7
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
12	8	2	9	4	7	4	-	9	6

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

<sup>1</sup> The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE --  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

5 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	997	511	343	143	16.62
	100%	51.3 %	34.4 %	14.3 %	
Number of Trials		82	94	18	21
					47 72
Estimated Cost	\$ 29,100	14,900	10,000	4,200	485 \$ 29
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
35	25	10	60	44	16
100%	71.4 %	28.6 %	100%	73.3 %	26.7 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trial	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	40	30.0	19.6	52.1	22.60	
1972	24	37.5	15.4	55.8	18.40	536
1973	32	28.1	16.8	53.8	17.31	480
1974	31	25.8	13.4	48.6	18.83	561
1975	35	28.6	14.3	51.3	16.62	485

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1

6	USAGE TOTALS	16	353	123	22.1	7.69
		Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
		NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
10,200	\$ 638	\$ 29

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
75-1 (1)	74-1 (2)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	8								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
6	7								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

1½ Judgeships

5 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	971	613	220	138	18.32
	100%	63.1 %	22.7 %	14.2 %	
National Rank	39	81	17	42	81 88
Estimated Cost	\$ 32,300	20,400	7,300	4,600	609 \$ 33
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
20	8	12	53	21	32
100%	40.0 %	60.0 %	100%	39.6 %	60.4 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	26	84.6	48.0	47.8	26.10	
1972	30	50.0	34.9	48.3	26.95	748
1973	23	60.9	28.9	47.2	25.83	813
1974	23	39.1	21.0	59.3	19.83	548
1975	20	60.0	14.2	63.1	18.32	609

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	5	2	3	2	3

USAGE TOTALS	41	835	304	20.4	7.41
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
23,400	\$ 571	\$ 28

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
75-1 (1)	73-1 (2&5)	75-1 (2&5)	73-1 (3)	75-1 (3)					
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	4	9	3	5					
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
10	-	2	2	3					


\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: West Virginia Southern had a number of notoriety cases in which larger panels were required for jury selection. This district also reported many last minute pleas and settlements which resulted in the non-use of jury panels already present in the courthouse. Both of these types of occurrences were factors contributing to the increase in the JUI in fiscal year 1975.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2½ Judgeships


4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

Number of Juror Days	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
	2,395	1,061	573	761	
	100%	44.3%	23.9 %	31.8 %	24.95
National Rank 					
		92	86	84	87
Estimated Cost					
	\$ 59,300	26,300	14,200	18,900	
					618 \$ 25
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
41	13	28	96	26	70
100%	31.7 %	68.3 %	100%	27.1 %	72.9 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Trials	Criminal Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Ju.or Usage Index	
1971	51	58.8	44.5	45.0	28.65	
1972	49	57.1	34.8	48.3	26.04	658
1973	57	61.4	25.2	50.8	24.32	605
1974	55	47.3	23.6	55.6	20.42	543
1975	41	68.3	31.8	44.3	24.95	618





# ALABAMA NORTHERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	1	3	3	1

USAGE TOTALS	24	536	164	22.3	6.83
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
18,200	\$ 758	\$ 34

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-2 (2)	75-1 (2)	75-2 (2)	75-3 (2)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
3	7	4	1						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
2	4	1	-						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

4 Judgeships

8 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	5,310	3,769	948	593	13.05
	100%	71.0%	17.9 %	11.2 %	
National Rank	15	52	11	3	21 86
Estimated Cost	\$ 167,500	118,900	30,000	18,800	412 \$ 32
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
220	157	63	407	258	149
100%	71.4 %	28.6 %	100%	63.4 %	36.6 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	187	14.4	18.2	68.3	16.70	-
1972	223	11.2	12.9	72.9	15.87	524
1973	167	16.8	15.5	64.8	13.45	460
1974	180	20.0	17.4	60.8	13.63	506
1975	220	28.6	11.2	71.0	13.05	412

# ALABAMA MIDDLE

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	11	212	64	19.3	5.82
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
8,200	\$ 745	\$ 39

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (2)	75-1 (2)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
3	5								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
2	2								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in fiscal year 1975. Multiple voir dire is used for almost all jury selections in Alabama Middle and has proved effective for maximizing the number of jurors who are selected for or serving on a jury trial.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

Number of Juror Days	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
	2,376	1,858	200	318	
	100%	78.2%	8.4%	13.4%	15.84
National Rank					
	4	3	14	15	62
Estimated Cost					
	\$ 79,400	62,100	6,700	10,600	529
					33
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
79	29	50	150	70	80
100%	36.7 %	63.3 %	100%	46.7 %	53.3 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	65	44.6	30.7	58.5	22.19	-
1972	72	58.3	31.4	55.4	18.46	626
1973	90	64.4	22.9	59.9	18.54	665
1974	65	78.5	8.4	81.9	12.78	459
1975	79	63.3	13.4	78.2	15.84	529

## ALABAMA SOUTHERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	18	341	122	18.9	6.78
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
8,400	\$ 467	\$ 25

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	5								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	2								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The slight increase in this district's JUI in the past fiscal year is at least partially attributable to the revised statistical reporting of multiple voir dire which the judges of Alabama Southern employ for most jury selections. The effectiveness of this technique for the district is evidenced by the high percentage of jurors who are selected or serving and the low percentage of jurors not used.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	1,755	1,288	286	181	15.53
	100%	73.4%	16.3 %	10.3%	
National Rank		<u>9</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>
Estimated Cost	\$ 54,700	40,100	8,900	5,600	
					46
					82
					484
					\$ 31
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
39	22	17	113	53	60
100%	56.4 %	43.6 %	100%	46.9 %	53.1 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	65	58.5	21.9	51.9	25.48	
1972	69	47.8	40.4	44.1	22.01	698
1973	61	52.5	24.2	54.8	18.88	658
1974	50	68.0	10.4	72.8	15.15	517
1975	39	43.6	10.3	73.4	15.53	484

# FLORIDA NORTHERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	2	2

USAGE TOTALS	14	283	82	20.2	5.86
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
9,700	\$ 693	\$ 34

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)	74-1 (4)	75-1 (4)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	6	6	6						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	3	3	4						


\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Florida Northern makes use of the multiple jury selection technique when the number of cases set for trial permits. The revision of the statistical reporting of these occurrences helps to explain the increase in this district's JUI in the past fiscal year.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
26	6	20	61	15	46
100%	23.1 %	76.9 %	100%	24.6 %	75.4 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	63	77.8	45.3	42.9	28.33	
1972	73	86.3	33.0	50.9	23.97	573
1973	85	80.0	16.7	68.1	14.92	353
1974	38	94.7	20.6	68.3	18.24	555
1975	26	76.9	17.4	68.6	19.38	511

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	12	6	6	5	7

USAGE TOTALS	168	3,282	961	19.5	5.72
	Sessions Converted	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
86,500	\$ 515	\$ 26

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (3)	74-2 (3)	75-1 (3)	75-2 (3)	73-1 (6)	74-1 (6)	75-1 (6)	75-2 (6)	74-1 (8)	74-2 <sup>1</sup> (8)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
3	3	10	3	4	7	8	2	8	12
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	2	-	1	1	2	2	-	4	1

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

<sup>1</sup> The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.


COMMENT: This district reported the occurrence of a few notoriety cases requiring extra-large panels for selection often lasting a number of days. The multiple voir dire technique is also employed by this court. The new statistical reporting of this technique along with the occurrence of highly publicized cases were factors resulting in the increased JUI in the past fiscal year. Florida Middle further reported instances in which costs of unused jury panels were assessed against parties when non-use of the panel was attributable to their delay.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

6 Judgeships

5 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
102	21	81	459	100	359
100%	20.6 %	79.4 %	100%	21.8 %	78.2 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	127	76.4	33.5	51.9	25.46	
1972	125	76.8	32.7	52.4	23.35	700
1973	136	75.7	32.7	54.0	21.84	577
1974	121	74.4	19.2	69.1	17.74	468
1975	102	79.4	21.1	66.0	20.00	549

# FLORIDA SOUTHERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	22	7	15	13	9

USAGE TOTALS	162	3,300	885	20.4	5.46
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
90,500	\$ 559	\$ 27

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-6 (1)	74-7 (1)	74-8 (1)	75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-3 (1)	75-4 (1)	75-5 (1)	75-6 (1)	74-1 (2)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
4	5	5	7	8	7	3	2	1	3
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

<sup>1</sup> The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

COMMENT: The district reported a large number of instances in which a jury panel present for voir dire was not used due to last minute pleas, settlements and continuances. These occurrences have the effect of increasing the percent of jurors who are not selected, serving or challenged while decreasing the percent selected or serving which is evidenced by Florida Southern's historical comparison data.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

7 Judgeships

5 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	11,756	6,680	1,722	3,354	18.78
	100%	56.8%	14.6 %	28.5%	
National Rank	61	32	73	44	20
Estimated Cost	\$ 280,500	159,300	41,000	79,900	448
					24
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
208	74	134	626	276	350
100%	35.6 %	64.4 %	100%	44.1 %	55.9 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	129	76.0	48.2	42.6	29.68	—
1972	192	72.9	43.0	44.6	25.20	608
1973	219	77.2	32.2	54.1	20.82	531
1974	221	74.7	23.8	59.4	19.02	450
1975	208	64.4	28.5	56.8	18.78	448

# GEORGIA NORTHERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	10	3	7	5	5

USAGE TOTALS	111	2,193	709	19.8	6.39
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
74,700	\$ 673	\$ 34

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	74-3 (1)	74-4 (1)	75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-3 (1)	75-4 (1)	75-5 (1)	75-6 (1)	75-7 (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
4	1	12	5	11	9	7	6	6	2
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	1	5	-	3	1	-	1	1	-


\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported a notoriety case requiring an extra-large panel for selection of the jury. Although such an occurrence tends to have an adverse effect on the utilization statistics, Georgia Northern again showed improvement in its JUI.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

6 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
217	100	117	630	297	333
100%	46.1 %	53.9 %	100%	47.1 %	52.9 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	205	55.1	24.6	55.6	22.52	
1972	209	54.1	15.8	63.5	20.55	524
1973	337	76.3	17.3	61.4	19.94	462
1974	206	64.1	15.9	64.5	17.86	433
1975	217	55.9	18.7	59.4	16.95	417

# GEORGIA MIDDLE

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Number of Grand Jurors in the 12 Month Period	Number of Sessions in the 12 Month Period	Number of Hours in the 12 Month Period	Average Hours per Grand Juror in the 12 Month Period	Average Hours per Session
NUMBER OF GRAND JURORS	3	1	2	1	2
AVERAGE HOURS PER SESSION	45	946	323	21.0	7.18
NUMBER OF SESSIONS					
AVERAGE HOURS PER SESSION					
NUMBER OF SESSIONS					
AVERAGE HOURS PER SESSION					

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Hour
40,100	\$ 891	\$ 42

OFFICE NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-1 (4)	74-2 (5)	75-2 (5)							
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	12	3							
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
10	5	1							

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

7 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	3,826	2,510	920	396	19.32
	100%	65.6%	24.0 %	10.4%	
National Rank	26	87	110	52	31
Estimated Cost	\$ 95,400	62,600	22,900	9,900	482 \$ 25
					Trial Days Juror Days Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
84	40	44	198	113	85
100%	47.6 %	52.4 %	100%	57.1 %	42.9 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Juror Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	99	67.7	19.1	55.1	22.35	
1972	93	67.3	18.6	58.3	20.68	456
1973	83	59.1	20.9	57.4	22.02	503
1974	73	56.2	16.5	57.2	22.06	589
1975	84	52.4	10.4	65.6	19.32	482

# GEORGIA SOUTHERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	3	1

USAGE TOTALS	15	270	81	18.0	5.40
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
16,000	\$ 1,067	\$ 59

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-1 (1)	75-2 (2)	74-2 (4)	74-3 (4)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
8	1	2	9						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	-	2	7						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.


COMMENT: The implementation of the new reporting procedures for multiple jury selecting is one factor accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in fiscal year 1975. Georgia Southern makes extensive use of this utilization technique and its success is evidenced by the fact that only 6.4% of the total available persons were not selected, serving or challenged.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

6 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
92	45	47	151	91	60
100%	48.9 %	51.1 %	100%	60.3 %	39.7 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal of Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	52	40.4	23.6	53.3	22.88	
1972	47	36.2	19.3	51.8	23.66	601
1973	97	51.5	18.1	56.7	21.30	543
1974	43	49.0	22.6	57.2	19.60	490
1975	92	51.1	6.4	72.8	21.26	506

# LOUISIANA EASTERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	9	6	3	4	5

USAGE TOTALS	115	2,343	661	20.4	5.75
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
56,600	\$ 492	\$ 24

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
72-1 (2)	73-1 (2)	74-1 (2)	74-2 (2)	74-3 (2)	74-4 (2)	75-1 (2)	75-2 (2)	75-3 (2)	
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
1	6	5	12	12	12	7	5	1	
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	3	3	1	3	4	2	-	-	

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

9 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	9,004	5,362	2,048	1,594	16.31
	100%	59.6%	22.7 %	17.7%	
National Rank	51	31	28	20	23 31
Estimated Cost	\$ 229,500	136,800	52,100	40,600	416 \$ 25
					Trial Day Juror Day Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
198	131	67	552	331	221
100%	66.2 %	33.8 %	100%	60.0 %	40.0 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Juror Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	182	33.0	24.5	57.6	21.26	
1972	225	39.1	23.2	53.3	16.96	466
1973	198	25.8	18.3	56.5	15.35	380
1974	236	30.9	19.4	56.4	16.10	407
1975	198	33.8	17.7	59.6	16.31	416

## LOUISIANA MIDDLE

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	5	2	3	3	2

USAGE TOTALS	55	1,133	384	20.6	6.98
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
26,500	\$ 482	\$ 23

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-2 (3)	74-3 (3)	75-1 (3)	75-2 (3)	75-3 (3)					
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
8	3	5	6	2					
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
4	-	1	1	-					

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported that a notoriety case was set for trial with a panel of 156 prospective jurors called for voir dire. Just prior to selection of the jury, a change of venue was granted and the case was transferred from the district. Excluding this case from Middle Louisiana's juror utilization figures would have resulted in a JUI of 19.67 for fiscal year 1975, while the percent selected or serving would have been 40.7% and the percent not selected, serving or challenged would have been 26.3%. This one case had such a large effect on the utilization figures because of the relatively small amount of jury trial activity within Louisiana Middle --- 18 jury trial days with 510 total available jurors reported for fiscal year 1975.

# LOUISIANA MIDDLE

## PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

1 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	510	144	117	249	28.33
	100%	28.2%	22.9%	48.8%	
National Rank	94	84	94	93	90
Estimated Cost	\$ 12,500	3,500	2,900	6,100	694 \$ 25
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
15	9	6	18	12	6
100%	60.0 %	40.0 %	100%	66.7 %	33.3 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	—	—	—	—	—	—
1972	18	61.1	43.5	28.8	30.74	716
1973	13	30.8	47.7	35.8	21.57	664
1974	16	56.3	44.7	30.6	31.22	1,000
1975	15	40.0	48.8	28.2	28.33	694

## LOUISIANA WESTERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Number of Sessions in the 12 Month Period	Number of Jurors in the 12 Month Period	Number of Sessions in the 12 Month Period	Number of Jurors in the 12 Month Period	Number of Sessions in the 12 Month Period
Number of Sessions	6	1	5	2	4

AGE TOTALS	62	1,246	422	20.1	6.81
Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session	
NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF		

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
43,300	\$ 698	\$ 35

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-1 (1)	74-2 (5)	75-1 (5)	75-2 (5)	75-3 (5)	75-4 (5)				
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
4	3	6	8	3	1				
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	2	3	2	1	-				


\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Multiple voir dire is a utilization technique often employed by the judges of this district. The revision of reporting procedures for this technique partially accounts for the increase in the JUI of Louisiana Western in fiscal year 1975. However, the use of this procedure has enabled the district to improve its utilization of jurors as evidenced by the sharp increase in the percent of jurors selected or serving and the corresponding decrease in the percent not selected, serving or challenged.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

4 Judgeships

6 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
86	54	32	238	133	105
100%	62.8 %	37.2 %	100%	55.9 %	44.1 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Trial Day
	Number of Trials	% Estimated Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	56	44.6	48.3	40.9	26.81	
1972	70	42.9	35.0	48.7	23.19	615
1973	61	44.3	48.9	38.4	24.40	623
1974	70	38.6	30.6	53.5	16.48	410
1975	86	37.2	20.9	61.1	18.10	516

**MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN**

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1

USAGE TOTALS	15	325	83	21.7	5.53
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
11,700	\$ 780	\$ 36

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
5	6								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
2	3								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.


COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is to be viewed as a factor accounting for the increase in the JUI of this district in fiscal year 1975. The judges of Mississippi Northern make effective use of this utilization technique which has resulted in only 9.2% of those jurors reporting to the courthouse not being selected, serving or challenged.



## PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
50	18	32	131	61	70
100%	36.0 %	64.0 %	100%	46.6 %	53.4 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	40	35.0	31.6	52.9	25.75	
1972	54	42.6	31.5	51.2	26.79	886
1973	52	65.4	20.6	59.7	21.70	656
1974	62	35.5	11.8	71.5	12.71	356
1975	50	64.0	9.2	71.0	18.92	594

# MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaired in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	11	238	70	21.6	6.36
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
10,700	\$ 973	\$ 45

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
5	4								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	3								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The increase in the JUI in fiscal year 1975 is at least partially attributable to the new reporting instructions for multiple jury selection. This district often selects two or more juries from one panel of prospective jurors thus more fully utilizing each juror's time in court.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

3 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	4,035	2,342	611	1,082	22.42
	100%	58.0%	15.1 %	26.8%	
National Rank	57	37	68	81	58
Estimated Cost	\$ 107,700	62,500	16,300	28,900	598
					27
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
65	44	21	180	118	62
100%	67.7 %	32.3 %	100%	65.6 %	34.4 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	51	33.3	54.3	37.7	31.58	598
1972	44	20.5	43.4	45.2	27.05	662
1973	48	39.6	47.1	40.5	30.63	822
1974	48	25.0	28.0	59.2	21.06	628
1975	65	32.3	26.8	58.0	22.42	598

# TEXAS NORTHERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	10	6	4	3	7

USAGE TOTALS	100	2,015	644	20.2	6.44
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
63,200	\$ 632	\$ 31

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
73-1 (1)	74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)	73-1 (3)	74-1 (3)	74-2 (3)	74-3 (3)	75-1 (3)	75-2 (3)	75-1 (5)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
1	12	6	5	10	12	12	6	5	12
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	10	3	5	5	2	6	1	1	9

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The multiple jury selection technique is sometimes used by this district, and the implementation of the revised reporting of this technique should be viewed as a factor having an adverse effect on the JUI.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

6 Judgeships

6 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	8,833	5,756	1,444	1,633	19.29
	100%	65.2%	16.3%	18.5%	
National Rank	27	44	32	51	11
Estimated Cost	\$ 204,100	133,100	33,300	37,800	446
					\$ 23
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
143	76	67	458	272	186
100%	53.1 %	46.9 %	100%	59.4 %	40.6 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Cost per Jury Trial Day
1971	161	46.0	23.7	63.4	19.24	-
1972	143	37.1	26.8	60.3	17.64	426
1973	150	37.3	18.7	65.5	18.34	417
1974	154	46.8	19.1	63.6	19.26	465
1975	143	46.8	18.5	65.2	19.29	446

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number of July 1, 1974	Number Honored in the 12 Month Period	Number Deceased in the 12 Month Period	Number of July 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	-	2
USAGE TOTALS	14	299	82	21.4	5.86
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
14,300	\$ 1,021	\$ 48

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-1 (1)	74-2 (6)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
11	12								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
7	7								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of the multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in Texas Eastern's JUI in the past fiscal year. This utilization technique is extensively employed by the judges of this district in an attempt to maximize the service of each juror reporting to court.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

3 Judgeships

6 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Jurors	3,880	2,565	734	581	15.90
% of Juror Days	100%	66.1%	18.9%	15.0%	
National Rank	22	62	21	17	20
Estimated Cost	\$ 100,300	66,300	19,000	15,000	411 \$ 26
					Total Days Juror Days Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
97	76	21	244	199	45
100%	78.4 %	21.6 %	100%	81.6 %	18.4 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	62	21.0	14.4	71.7	16.63	404
1972	83	26.5	8.0	76.7	15.43	413
1973	83	25.3	10.2	75.8	15.65	355
1974	105	18.1	13.1	72.1	13.42	411
1975	97	21.6	15.0	66.1	15.90	

# TEXAS SOUTHERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	11	6	5	4	7

USAGE TOTALS	53	1,048	288	19.8	5.43
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
25,100	\$ 474	\$ 24

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Numbers)									
74-2 (1)	75-1 (1)	74-1 (2)	75-1 (2)	75-2 (2)	74-1 (3)	72-1 (4)	74-1 (4)	75-1 (4)	74-1 <sup>1</sup> (5)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
9	3	9	1	1	12	6	12	4	9
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
4	1	5	-	-	12	6	5	-	4

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

<sup>1</sup> The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

COMMENT: The judges of Texas Southern have long used the multiple jury selection process. A judge will often select a large number of juries from one panel brought in exclusively for a "selection day". At other times, two or three days at the start of a term will be used exclusively to select juries for all upcoming cases on the calendar. Because of this active use of the utilization technique, the revision of the statistical reporting of these selections is a prime factor in accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in the past fiscal year. The effectiveness of the use of multiple voir dire is evidenced in Texas Southern by the fact that in fiscal year 1975, 75.0% of all prospective jurors were selected for or serving on jury trials.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

8 Judgeships

5 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

Total Available					Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index	
Number of Juror Days	9,054	6,790	1,115	1,149				19.06	
	100%	75.0%	12.3 %	12.7%					
<div>Neutered Rank</div> <div><div>6</div><div>17</div><div>12</div><div>50</div><div>40</div><div>31</div></div>									
Estimated Cost	\$ 223,400	167,600	27,500	28,400				470	\$ 25
								Trial Day	Juror Day
								Cost per Day	

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
180	34	146	475	168	307
100%	18.9 %	81.1 %	100%	35.4 %	64.6 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	Cost per Jury Trial Day
1971	80	61.3	31.7	55.8	22.13	
1972	122	58.2	25.9	62.8	19.77	468
1973	181	75.1	21.7	65.0	18.23	429
1974	171	71.9	18.7	67.1	15.46	381
1975	180	81.1	12.7	75.0	19.06	470

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	17	7	10	7	10

USAGE TOTALS	113	2,362	600	20.9	5.31
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
57,600	\$ 510	\$ 24

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-1 (2)	73-1 (3)	74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)	75-2 (3)	75-3 (3)	74-1 <sup>1</sup> (4)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
1	4	5	4	2	12	10	7	5	12
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	1	1	1	-	5	1	3	2	12

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.


<sup>1</sup> The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in Texas Western's JUI in the past fiscal year. The multiple voir dire technique is often employed by this district to more efficiently utilize each juror's time. However, sometimes the size of the panel used to conduct the multiple voir dire is large in comparison to the number of juries that are actually selected. This type of occurrence has an adverse effect on the utilization statistics.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

5 Judgeships

6 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
113	26	87	283	100	183
100%	23.0 %	77.0 %	100%	35.3 %	64.7 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Estimated Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	156	45.5	18.9	65.5	15.34	482
1972	157	43.9	28.0	52.5	17.78	410
1973	169	62.7	24.9	55.8	17.89	417
1974	151	59.6	13.7	69.1	14.20	324
1975	113	77.0	16.4	63.3	21.92	482

# CANAL ZONE

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	N/A				

USAGE TOTALS					
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
N/A	\$	\$

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
N/A									
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
Total Number of Months of No Activity									

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The District of the Canal Zone reported that during the past fiscal year no grand jury system was in operation in this court according to provisions in Rule 54(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. This accounts for the lack of a grand jury statistical profile.

This district conducted only 7 jury trials in fiscal year 1975, all of which were criminal. A small number of jury trials and a predominantly criminal trial caseload are hindrances to good utilization statistics; however, the Canal Zone recorded substantial improvement in the areas of the JUI, the percent selected or serving, and the percent not selected, serving or challenged.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

1 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	414	227	66	121	21.79
	100%	54.8%	15.9 %	29.2%	
National Rank	69	43	76	75	3
Estimated Cost	\$ 6,500	3,600	1,000	1,900	342
					\$ 16
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
7	-	7	19	-	19
100%	0.0 %	100.0 %	100%	0.0 %	100.0 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	3	66.7	25.5	65.5	18.35	-
1972	5	100.0	41.4	38.2	25.33	221
1973	7	100.0	37.0	41.2	29.11	244
1974	7	100.0	35.2	43.6	27.54	231
1975	7	100.0	29.2	54.8	21.79	342





Page 1  
Page 2  
Page 3  
Page 4  
Page 5  
Page 6  
Page 7  
Page 8  
Page 9  
Page 10  
Page 11  
Page 12  
Page 13  
Page 14  
Page 15  
Page 16  
Page 17  
Page 18  
Page 19  
Page 20  
Page 21  
Page 22  
Page 23  
Page 24  
Page 25  
Page 26  
Page 27  
Page 28  
Page 29  
Page 30  
Page 31  
Page 32  
Page 33  
Page 34  
Page 35  
Page 36  
Page 37  
Page 38  
Page 39  
Page 40  
Page 41  
Page 42  
Page 43  
Page 44  
Page 45  
Page 46  
Page 47  
Page 48  
Page 49  
Page 50  
Page 51  
Page 52  
Page 53  
Page 54  
Page 55  
Page 56  
Page 57  
Page 58  
Page 59  
Page 60  
Page 61  
Page 62  
Page 63  
Page 64  
Page 65  
Page 66  
Page 67  
Page 68  
Page 69  
Page 70  
Page 71  
Page 72  
Page 73  
Page 74  
Page 75  
Page 76  
Page 77  
Page 78  
Page 79  
Page 80  
Page 81  
Page 82  
Page 83  
Page 84  
Page 85  
Page 86  
Page 87  
Page 88  
Page 89  
Page 90  
Page 91  
Page 92  
Page 93  
Page 94  
Page 95  
Page 96  
Page 97  
Page 98  
Page 99  
Page 100

# KENTUCKY EASTERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	6	3	3	3	3

USAGE TOTALS	29	602	177	20.8	6.10
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
17,800	\$ 614	\$ 30

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-2 (1)	75-1 (1)	74-2 (2)	75-1 (2)	74-1 (5)	75-1 (5)				
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
3	9	2	10	4	8				
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
2	7	2	9	1	-				

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district often selects two or three juries from a single panel of prospective jurors. The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is thus one factor accounting for the increase in Kentucky Eastern's JUI in the past fiscal year. The use of separate impanelment and orientation days and a number of last minute pleas, settlements, and continuances when a panel is already present at the courthouse for voir dire are factors that have an adverse effect on the JUI in this district.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2½ Judgeships

6 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	7,194	3,920	701	2,573	27.05
	100%	54.5%	9.7 %	35.8%	
National Rank	72	6	89	92	66
Estimated Cost	\$ 199,900	108,900	19,400	71,600	
					752 \$ 28
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
107	11	96	266	45	221
100%	10.3 %	89.7 %	100%	16.9 %	83.1 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	121	85.1	28.8	61.2	21.78	-
1972	85	87.1	28.7	61.3	21.96	586
1973	135	92.6	41.4	48.7	27.43	740
1974	123	75.6	39.4	51.3	22.36	603
1975	107	89.7	35.8	54.5	27.05	752

# KENTUCKY WESTERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE - FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	7	5	2	3	4

USAGE TOTALS	51	1,048	280	20.5	5.49
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS			
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day	
27,700	\$ 543	\$ 26	

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number <sup>a</sup> )									
74-2 (1)	75-1 (1)	74-1 (3)	74-2 (3)	75-1 (3)	74-1 (4)	74-1 (5)			
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
2	7	7	12	4	12	12			
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
2	6	3	2	-	10	11			

<sup>a</sup>See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: A number of instances of last minute settlements, pleas and dismissals occurred in this district in the past fiscal year. This results in panels of prospective jurors being available for voir dire and then sent home unused for that day. Such occurrences have had an adverse effect on Kentucky Western's utilization statistics.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

3½ Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	2,945	1,308	454	1,183	23.37
	100%	44.4%	15.4%	40.2%	
National Rank		91	40	93	83
Estimated Cost	\$ 68,600	30,500	10,600	27,600	
					544
					\$ 23
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
52	21	31	126	52	74
100%	40.4 %	59.6 %	100%	41.3 %	58.7 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	48	52.1	49.5	37.5	25.97	
1972	68	44.1	42.4	41.0	25.38	579
1973	69	55.1	28.9	54.3	20.88	675
1974	57	50.9	36.2	45.7	20.60	482
1975	52	59.6	40.2	44.4	23.37	544

# MICHIGAN EASTERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	12	6	6	7	5

USAGE TOTALS	205	4,192	1,182	20.4	5.77
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
99,400	\$ 485	\$ 24

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)	72-1 (2)	73-1 (2)	74-1 (2)	74-2 (2)	74-3 (2)	75-1 (2)	75-2 (2)	75-3 (2)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
11	1	5	3	1	10	6	11	10	5
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	-	-	3	1	-	1	-	1	-

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

<sup>1</sup> The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

COMMENT: In fiscal year 1975, Michigan Eastern reported a large number of notoriety cases. These cases often involved multiple defendants, concerned criminal narcotics charges and often the cases had received extensive pre-trial media coverage. Extra-large panels of prospective jurors were called due to the number of challenges anticipated in these types of trials which often required several days for jury selection. Further, many last minute settlements, pleas and continuances were reported which resulted in non-use of available jurors. These factors should be taken into account when reviewing this district's utilization performance as they had an adverse influence on the statistics.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

10 Judgeships

3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	19,409	12,497	1,471	5,441	20.60
	100%	64.4%	7.6 %	28.0 %	
National Rank	32	2	71	67	54
Estimated Cost	\$ 504,100	324,600	38,300	141,100	535
					26
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
186	58	128	942	278	664
100%	31.2 %	68.8 %	100%	29.5 %	70.5 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	148	58.8	20.2	71.3	18.92	440
1972	134	52.7	26.5	66.4	16.97	410
1973	200	52.0	33.4	60.1	16.56	399
1974	212	67.9	28.8	63.1	19.98	508
1975	186	68.8	28.0	64.4	20.60	535

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	1	1	-	1	-

USAGE TOTALS	14	280	77	20.0	5.50
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
9,800	\$ 700	\$ 35

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
74-1 (1)									
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
11									
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
4									


\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in the JUI in this district in fiscal year 1975 as compared to 1974. Michigan Western makes effective use of this utilization technique which is evidenced by the fact that 81.4% of all prospective jurors are selected for or serving on jury trials while only 8.3% are not selected, serving or challenged.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
60	30	30	121	81	40
100%	50.0 %	50.0 %	100%	66.9 %	33.1 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Day
	Number of Trials	Challenged Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	26	34.6	9.2	80.7	15.03	
1972	31	51.6	13.3	74.3	15.16	546
1973	33	50.0	8.3	84.8	13.97	444
1974	54	35.2	4.2	88.8	12.16	385
1975	60	50.0	8.3	81.4	14.70	474

## OHIO NORTHERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	9	5	4	3	6

USAGE TOTALS	88	1,762	486	20.0	5.52
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
47,300	\$ 538	\$ 27

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
73-1 (1)	73-3 (1)	74-1 (1)	74-2 (1)	75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-3 (1)	74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)	
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
1	5	12	12	11	9	7	3	8	
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	1	12	7	7	4	1	1	4	

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported the occurrence of two notoriety cases in the past fiscal year requiring extra-large panels for jury selections lasting more than one day. A large number of last minute settlements, pleas and continuances resulting in the non-use of entire jury panels was also reported. These two factors combined to have an adverse effect on the utilization figures for this district.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

8 Judgeships

3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	9,853	5,334	910	3,609	20.61
	100%	54.1%	9.2%	36.6%	
National Rank	74	5	92	69	67
Estimated Cost	\$ 259,900	140,600	23,900	95,100	544
					26
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
121	61	60	478	225	253
100%	50.1 %	49.6 %	100%	47.1 %	52.9 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Juror Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	58	62.1	41.6	52.8	24.93	-
1972	109	43.1	39.2	54.4	20.04	483
1973	137	61.3	36.6	55.5	18.89	467
1974	130	39.2	31.0	61.2	17.02	458
1975	121	49.6	36.6	54.1	20.61	544

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	7	5	2	4	3

USAGE TOTALS	59	1,117	403	18.9	6.83
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
27,400	\$ 464	\$ 25

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	74-2 (1)	74-1 (2)	75-1 (2)	72-1 (3)	74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)			
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
10	12	11	2	5	2	11			
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
9	5	3	-	5	2	4			

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

5 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	5,295	3,588	677	1,030	18.84
	100%	67.8%	12.8%	19.5%	
National Rank	19	18	39	47	47
Estimated Cost	\$ 139,700	94,700	17,900	27,200	497
					\$ 26
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
89	46	43	281	160	121
100%	51.7 %	48.3 %	100%	56.9 %	43.1 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	48	35.4	19.5	71.3	18.46	-
1972	77	40.3	21.6	68.1	18.50	490
1973	82	35.4	22.8	64.7	19.22	494
1974	70	50.0	20.8	66.5	18.74	489
1975	89	48.3	19.5	67.8	18.84	497

**TENNESSEE EASTERN**

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	6	4	2	2	4

USAGE TOTALS	8	164	45	20.5	5.62
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS			
Total	Average Cost per Session		Average Cost per Juror Day
4,200	\$ 525	\$	26

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	74-1 (2)	75-1 (2)	73-1 (3)	75-1 (3)	74-1 (4)				
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	3	4	5	2	12				
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
10	2	3	4	1	11				


\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district occasionally makes use of the multiple jury selection technique to select two or three juries from one panel of prospective jurors. The revision in the statistical reporting of this technique was a factor having an adverse influence on Tennessee Eastern's JUL. However, this district recorded a slight improvement in this category of utilization statistics.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

3 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

Number of Juror Days	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
	3,706	2,412	429	865	
	100%	65.1%	11.6%	23.3%	16.92
National Rank = 					
Estimated Cost	\$ 93,600	60,900	10,900	21,800	
					427 \$ 25
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
143	87	56	219	136	83
100%	60.8 %	39.2 %	100%	62.1 %	37.9 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Trial Day Cost per Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	191	47.1	27.0	63.1	19.96	
1972	160	50.6	24.0	63.7	17.88	448
1973	160	38.1	27.5	61.4	16.43	427
1974	149	38.2	24.7	63.3	17.07	435
1975	143	39.2	23.3	65.1	16.92	427

**TENNESSEE MIDDLE**
**GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975**

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
<b>NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES</b>	2	1	1	1	1
<b>USAGE TOTALS</b>	15	293	87	19.5	5.80
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
8,300	\$ 553	\$ 28

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
10	2								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
2	-								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported an instance when parties to a civil suit paid the costs of the civil jury panel when the case was continued on the day set for jury selection.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
 FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	2,983	1,605	433	945	19.75
	100%	53.8%	14.5%	31.7%	
National Rank	76	31	82	59	47
Estimated Cost	\$ 73,300	39,400	10,600	23,200	485
					\$ 25
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
83	38	45	151	73	78
100%	45.8 %	54.2 %	100%	48.3 %	51.7 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	54	68.5	49.9	43.2	30.74	624
1972	75	69.3	36.4	54.7	24.10	555
1973	63	69.8	45.1	46.8	23.08	448
1974	79	48.1	33.6	53.4	18.43	485
1975	83	54.2	31.7	53.8	19.75	

# TENNESSEE WESTERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	2	2

USAGE TOTALS	59	1,243	412	21.1	6.98
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
27,800	\$ 471	\$ 22

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)	74-1 (2)	75-1 (2)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
5	6	6	6						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	3	-	-						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

3  
Judgeships

2  
Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	5,314	3,524	1,072	718	16.82
	100%	66.3%	20.2%	13.5%	
National Rank	21	70	15	24	12 11
Estimated Cost	\$ 122,100	81,000	24,700	16,500	386 \$ 23
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
104	41	63	316	118	198
100%	39.4 %	60.6 %	100%	37.3 %	62.7 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	88	56.8	15.5	73.8	17.39	395
1972	91	57.1	13.2	72.5	16.85	383
1973	105	72.4	12.2	71.8	15.86	360
1974	107	61.7	11.8	71.5	15.79	386
1975	104	60.6	13.5	66.3	16.82	





Name:  
Address:  
City:  
State:  
Zip:

## ILLINOIS NORTHERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	24	10	14	17	7
USAGE TOTALS	330	6,598	1,686	20.0	5.11
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
162,800	\$ 493	\$ 25

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
73-1 (1)	73-2 (1)	74-1 (1)	74-2 (1)	74-3 (1)	74-5 (1)	74-7 (1)	74-8 (1)	74-10 (1)	74-13 <sup>1</sup> (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
9	10	2	9	1	12	4	7	12	1
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
6	4	-	6	-	7	-	4	-	-

<sup>1</sup>See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

<sup>1</sup> The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

COMMENT: This district reported a number of notoriety cases which required extra-large panels for selection of a jury. This type of occurrence tends to have an adverse effect on utilization statistics and should be taken into account when reviewing Illinois Northern's data.

In the spring of this year a study was conducted by the University of Chicago Law School at the court involving the use of mock juries. Approximately 130 jurors involved in this study were paid for by the U.S. government and reported in the JS-11 statistics as part of the total available jurors. As these jurors were not used for actual juries in trial, their inclusion in the data should be viewed as having an adverse effect on the utilization statistics.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

13 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	16,663	10,591	1,870	4,202	16.87
	100%	63.6%	11.2%	25.2%	
National Rank	34	11	59	25	9 6
Estimated Cost	\$ 372,000	236,600	41,700	93,700	377 \$ 22
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
165	66	99	988	436	552
100%	40.0 %	60.0 %	100%	44.1 %	55.9 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	137	42.3	36.4	55.3	24.58	
1972	174	54.0	32.8	58.3	17.77	407
1973	205	51.7	34.4	54.9	12.41	501
1974	182	53.3	25.4	64.5	16.75	400
1975	165	60.0	25.2	63.6	16.87	377

## ILLINOIS EASTERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	3	2	1	2	1

USAGE TOTALS	36	668	243	18.6	6.75
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
30,100	\$ 836	\$ 45

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
73-1 (2)	74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)							
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
2	9	10							
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
2	6	3							

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

Number of Juror Days	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index	
	2,728	1,551	591	586	22.73	
	100%	56.9%	21.7%	21.5%	82	
		60	77	50	86	72
Estimated Cost	\$ 79,800	45,400	17,300	17,200	665	\$ 29
					Trial Day	Juror Day
					Cost per Day	

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
65	16	49	120	26	94
100%	24.6 %	75.4 %	100%	21.7 %	78.3 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	57	49.1	30.2	50.6	19.62	-
1972	44	52.3	31.3	53.3	19.39	516
1973	56	71.4	25.9	51.2	22.22	644
1974	58	82.8	32.8	48.3	25.03	702
1975	65	75.4	21.5	56.9	22.73	665

## ILLINOIS SOUTHERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	1	3

USAGE TOTALS	76	1,438	492	18.9	6.47
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
44,500	\$ 586	\$ 31

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-2 (3)	74-3 (3)	75-1 (3)	75-2 (3)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	12	3	1						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	1	-	-						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	1,918	1,058	265	595	22.05
	100%	55.2%	13.8%	31.0%	
National Rank	67	25	80	80	51 6
Estimated Cost	\$ 42,800	23,600	5,900	13,300	492 \$ 22
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
33	10	23	87	17	70
100%	30.3 %	69.7 %	100%	19.5 %	80.5 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	27	48.1	48.9	45.7	26.48	
1972	34	58.8	46.8	44.2	23.92	610
1973	33	57.6	49.6	40.2	25.08	656
1974	41	82.9	31.7	54.5	22.88	544
1975	33	69.7	31.0	55.2	22.05	492

# INDIANA NORTHERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	2	2

USAGE TOTALS	40	805	273	20.1	6.82
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
32,100	\$ 802	\$ 40

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
73-1 (2)	75-1 (2)	74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
1	12	9	1						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	1	6	-						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported a number of notoriety trials requiring large jury panels for voir dire due to the nature of the cases and the number of challenges and excuses anticipated in such trials. These occurrences are factors hindering effective utilization of jurors and should be taken into account when reviewing Indiana Northern's statistics.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

3 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	5,892	2,901	1,106	1,885	25.73
	100%	49.2%	18.8%	32.0%	
National Rank	87	59	85	90	66
Estimated Cost	167,800	82,600	31,500	53,700	733
					28
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
96	27	69	229	74	155
100%	28.1 %	71.9 %	100%	32.3 %	67.7 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	71	45.1	30.0	52.0	22.01	1124
1972	81	45.7	25.0	54.4	17.34	563
1973	77	67.5	34.0	49.3	22.89	580
1974	96	66.7	34.7	45.6	23.53	733
1975	96	71.9	32.0	49.2	25.73	

# INDIANA SOUTHERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	1	3	2	2

USAGE TOTALS	70	1,406	461	20.1	6.59
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
43,900	\$ 627	\$ 31

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-2 (1)	75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-3 (1)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
1	4	7	4						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	-	-	-						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

## PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

4 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	3,197	1,936	681	580	15.83
	100%	60.6%	21.3 %	18.1%	
National Rank	48	74	30	14	
Estimated Cost	\$ 96,400	\$ 58,400	\$ 20,500	\$ 17,400	
					42
					79
					477
					\$ 30
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
71	40	31	202	109	93
100%	56.3 %	43.7 %	100%	54.0 %	46.0 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	56	39.3	20.7	66.9	18.82	
1972	70	51.4	17.8	66.6	16.75	523
1973	75	50.7	21.5	61.2	15.18	467
1974	63	60.3	22.5	63.1	16.24	487
1975	71	43.7	18.1	60.6	15.83	477

**WISCONSIN EASTERN**

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	3	2	1	1	2

USAGE TOTALS	53	1,037	252	19.6	4.75
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS			
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day	
35,300	\$ 666	\$ 34	

GRAND JURY NUMBERS (Office Number)									
74-1 (2)	74-2 (2)	75-1 (2)							
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
7	12	2							
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	-	-							

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

3 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	3,372	2,036	588	748	17.94
	100%	60.4%	17.4 %	22.2%	
National Rank	50	48	52	38	20
Estimated Cost	\$ 81,900	49,500	14,300	18,200	436
					\$ 24
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
44	17	27	188	80	108
100%	38.6 %	61.4 %	100%	42.6 %	57.4 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	19	52.6	20.1	61.6	20.29	-
1972	19	47.4	20.6	56.9	14.34	374
1973	26	61.5	21.4	58.2	17.44	408
1974	31	77.4	23.6	56.6	19.81	435
1975	44	61.4	22.2	60.4	17.94	436

**WISCONSIN WESTERN**

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	2	-

USAGE TOTALS	15	269	62	17.9	4.13
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
14,500	\$ 967	\$ 54

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
2	9								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	3								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision of the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in the past fiscal year. Wisconsin Western selects most juries using the multiple voir dire technique to maximize the use of each juror's time in court. The effectiveness of this manner of jury selection is evidenced by the fact that only 8.7% of all prospective jurors were not selected, serving or challenged while 72.8% were selected for or serving on a jury trial.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

1 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	893	650	165	78	15.67
	100%	72.8%	18.5 %	8.7%	
National Rank	10	57	5	12	22
Estimated Cost	\$ 23,600	17,200	4,400	2,100	414
					\$ 26
					Trial Day or Day
					Cost per Day


Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
22	10	12	57	30	27
100%	45.5 %	54.5 %	100%	52.6 %	47.4 %


HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	12	66.7	31.1	49.6	23.40	
1972	17	47.1	16.9	63.7	19.43	514
1973	13	84.6	28.4	47.1	26.72	681
1974	10	42.9	8.7	67.7	12.25	298
1975	22	54.5	8.7	72.8	15.67	414



**CONTINUED**

**2 OF 3**





DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Eighth Circuit

# ARKANSAS EASTERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1

USAGE TOTALS	16	355	119	22.2	7.44
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
14,200	\$ 888	\$ 40

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
74-1 (4)	75-1 (4)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
8	4								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
5	2								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

## PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

5 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	2,978	1,630	791	557	21.90
	100%	54.7%	26.6 %	18.7%	
National Rank	70	90	34	66	31
Estimated Cost	\$ 73,400	40,100	19,500	13,700	540
					\$ 25
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
68	26	42	136	59	77
100%	38.2 %	61.8 %	100%	43.4 %	56.6 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	77	36.4	18.7	58.3	20.23	
1972	73	37.0	16.6	59.8	19.99	\$30
1973	65	43.1	16.2	62.3	19.69	\$17
1974	58	75.9	19.2	55.3	22.17	\$75
1975	68	61.8	18.7	54.7	21.90	\$40

# ARKANSAS WESTERN

# GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	7	152	42	21.7	6.00
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
9,000	\$ 1,286	\$ 59

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-1 (4)	74-1 (6)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
5	7								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	6								


\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

6 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
51	38	13	105	79	26
100%	74.5 %	25.5 %	100%	75.2 %	24.8 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	43	14.0	28.2	52.3	25.64	-
1972	41	34.1	17.8	52.2	25.19	723
1973	26	34.6	20.4	60.3	22.33	644
1974	34	44.1	18.7	53.7	25.27	768
1975	51	25.5	21.4	53.1	25.26	651

	Total Number of Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Imppaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Imppaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	2	-	-	2
USAGE TOTALS	28	551	211	19.7	7.54
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
13,600	\$ 486	\$ 25

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	74-1 (5)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	12								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
5	6								


\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported the occurrence of a highly publicized trial which required an extra-large panel for selection of the jury. This occurrence should be taken into account as having an adverse effect on the utilization statistics.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

1½ Judgeships

3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
27	11	16	77	38	39
100%	40.7 %	59.3 %	100%	49.4 %	50.6 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	23	56.5	38.3	60.5	20.16	
1972	26	73.1	18.8	66.1	18.34	460
1973	21	57.1	10.0	79.3	14.71	106
1974	25	64.0	17.3	65.4	14.84	371
1975	27	59.3	18.9	61.8	15.64	439

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Appointed in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	18	370	122	20.6	6.78
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
9,400	\$ 522	\$ 25

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
73-1 (4)	75-1 (4)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
2	10								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	3								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported a few notoriety cases necessitating large jury panels for selection. A large number of last minute pleas, settlements, and continuances were also recorded which resulted in panels of available jurors not being used for any voir dires. These two types of occurrences are factors that have an adverse effect on the district's usage figures.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

1½ Judgeships

3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	1,791	894	336	561	21.84
	100%	49.9 %	18.8 %	31.3 %	
National Rank	84	59	81	75	76
Estimated Cost	\$ 47,800	23,900	9,000	15,000	583
					\$ 27
					Trial Day
					Jury Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
30	13	17	82	37	45
100%	43.3 %	56.7 %	100%	45.1 %	54.9 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	54	61.1	16.2	82.1	18.26	4
1972	33	69.7	19.1	74.6	20.33	515
1973	53	64.2	12.9	70.2	15.88	450
1974	43	58.1	9.5	74.1	14.93	407
1975	30	56.7	31.3	49.9	21.84	583

# MINNESOTA

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1

USAGE TOTALS	46	947	294	20.6	6.39
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
25,300	\$ 550	\$ 27

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
7	5								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	1								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Minnesota began the trial of a multi-district litigation case last November which is expected to continue for nine months and involves the use of two juries to hear and decide separate issues although they sit together in one courtroom for part of the proceedings. The selection of these juries required extra-large jury panels and lasted several days. Such an occurrence has an adverse effect on the good utilization of jurors; however, Minnesota was able to record improvement in the areas of the JUI, percent selected or serving, and percent not selected, serving or challenged.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

4 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	8,198	5,853	1,211	1,134	17.55
	100%	71.4 %	14.8 %	13.8 %	
National Rank	14	33	16	32	36%
Estimated Cost	\$ 212,700	151,900	31,500	29,400	455 \$ 26
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
90	44	46	467	291	176
100%	48.9 %	51.1 %	100%	62.3 %	37.7 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Juror Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% of Total Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	98	27.6	27.3	59.0	18.43	407
1972	115	40.0	27.9	51.7	16.24	307
1973	111	41.2	18.4	64.0	16.15	470
1974	70	43.4	27.0	56.9	18.52	455
1975	90	51.1	13.8	71.4	17.55	

**MISSOURI EASTERN**

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Number of Grand Jurors in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number Retired in the 12 Month Period
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	2	2

AVERAGE TOTALS	71	1,434	401	20.2	5.65
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
35,400	\$ 499	\$ 25

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
73-1 (4)	74-2 (4)	75-1 (4)	75-2 (4)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
1	7	12	7						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	1	1	-						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

4 Judgeships

3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	5,752	3,416	1,243	1,093	17.17
	100%	59.4 %	21.6 %	19.0 %	
National Rank	53	76	38	29	31
Estimated Cost	\$ 141,400	84,000	30,500	26,900	
					422 \$ 25
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
108	50	58	335	141	194
100%	46.3 %	53.7 %	100%	42.1 %	57.9 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	87	67.8	16.4	58.7	21.42	-
1972	107	56.1	15.5	60.5	19.75	450
1973	119	57.1	18.2	56.1	20.42	489
1974	115	53.9	24.7	50.6	18.58	442
1975	108	53.7	19.0	59.4	17.17	422

	Number of Jurors in the 12 Month Period	Number of Jurors July 1, 1974	Number of Jurors Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number of Jurors Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number of Jurors June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	3	1	1	3
USAGE TOTALS	94	1,723	627	18.3	6.67
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror-Day
45,400	\$ 483	\$ 26

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (4)	74-2 (4)	74-3 (4)	75-1 (4)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
7	12	12	12						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	4	7	2						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district occasionally uses the multiple jury selection technique. The revision in the statistical reporting of this manner of jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in the JUI in fiscal year 1975. Missouri Western continues to call each new panel of jurors for an impaneling day. Often not a sufficient number of cases are ready for jury selection or last minute pleas and settlements reduce the number of jury selections set for impaneling day. This results in overly large panels of jurors available but not used, and tends to have an adverse effect on utilization statistics.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

4 Judgeships

5 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	6,081	2,768	1,385	1,928	26.67
	100%	45.5 %	22.8 %	31.7 %	
National Rank	90	83	82	91	11
Estimated Cost	\$ 139,300	63,400	31,800	40,200	611
					\$ 23
					Trial Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
68	19	49	228	60	168
100%	27.9 %	72.1 %	100%	26.3 %	73.7 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	87	60.9	33.9	46.0	25.87	
1972	65	63.1	30.2	47.9	25.25	588
1973	101	72.3	33.0	45.3	25.51	601
1974	84	79.5	27.1	47.2	24.76	605
1975	68	72.1	31.7	45.5	26.67	611

# NEBRASKA

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1974	Number Impaired in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	1	1	-	1	-
USAGE TOTALS	23	477	154	20.7	6.70
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
18,400	\$ 800	\$ 39

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (8)									
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
11									
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
5									

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Nebraska reported the occurrence of a notoriety case requiring several days and a large number of prospective jurors for selection of the jury. Such an occurrence should be viewed as one factor contributing to the increase in this district's JUI in fiscal year 1975.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

3 Judgeships

3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

Number of Juror Days	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
	4,751	2,465	843	1,443	
	100%	51.9 %	17.7 %	30.4 %	19.88
National Rank					
Estimated Cost	\$ 144,100	74,800	25,500	43,800	
					603
					\$ 30
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
62	33	29	239	115	124
100%	53.2 %	46.8 %	100%	48.1 %	51.9 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost of Jury Trial \$
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	68	35.3	31.3	54.0	22.48	
1972	57	38.6	27.5	56.8	19.65	559
1973	69	47.8	31.2	50.2	17.93	516
1974	62	49.3	28.8	53.8	16.74	517
1975	62	46.8	30.4	51.9	19.88	603

# NORTH DAKOTA

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1

① USAGE TOTALS	9	185	51	20.6	5.67
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
11,000	\$ 1,222	\$ 59

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (3)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
3	10								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	6								


\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Many of the prospective jurors in this district must travel on the day prior to the day on which they are to report to court due to the long distances they live from the place of holding court. These jurors are paid for days of travel, and reported and included in JS-11 statistics. This situation should be taken into account as having an adverse effect on North Dakota's utilization statistics.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
36	12	24	139	61	78
100%	33.3 %	66.7 %	100%	43.9 %	56.1 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	13	72.2	11.3	69.7	18.56	
1972	14	78.6	15.5	61.7	20.57	832
1973	31	64.5	14.2	66.0	18.87	721
1974	26	60.0	9.0	74.5	16.88	554
1975	26	65.4	23.0	57.7	20.60	676

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	3	1	2	1	2

USAGE TOTALS	35	654	221	18.7	6.31
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
35,500	\$ 1,014	\$ 54

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (2)	75-1 (4)	75-2 (4)							
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	5	4							
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
4	1	2							

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships


4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	2,297	970	493	834	24.18
	100%	42.2 %	21.5 %	36.3 %	
National Rank		93	75	90	86
Estimated Cost	\$ 74,800	31,600	16,100	27,200	787
					\$ 33
					Trial Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
30	8	22	95	42	53
100%	26.7 %	73.3 %	100%	44.2 %	55.8 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	56	57.1	35.5	47.3	26.07	
1972	40	67.5	28.6	50.8	24.66	1,002
1973	39	59.0	33.8	46.8	26.70	997
1974	38	63.2	28.4	46.3	22.39	825
1975	30	73.3	36.3	42.2	24.18	787





	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on Jury in 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on Jury June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	17	333	93	19.6	5.65
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
18,700	\$ 1,100	\$ 56

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (3)	75-1 (3)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	6								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	3								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	1,296	642	184	470	25.41
	100%	49.5 %	14.2 %	36.3 %	
National Rank	86	29	90	93	82
Estimated Cost	\$ 39,600	19,600	5,600	14,400	776 \$ 31
					Total Day, Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
12	2	10	51	10	41
100%	16.7 %	83.3 %	100%	19.6 %	80.4 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	12	91.7	21.0	69.4	19.59	-
1972	13	92.3	24.4	58.6	23.11	855
1973	16	87.5	19.2	66.6	20.31	630
1974	12	75.0	43.7	51.1	22.77	713
1975	12	83.3	36.3	49.5	25.41	776

# ARIZONA

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	6	3	3	3	3
USAGE TOTALS	92	1,786	584	19.4	6.35
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS *		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
45,300	\$ 4.92	\$ 25

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
73-1 (2)	74-1 (2)	75-1 (2)	75-2 (2)	73-1 (4)	75-1 (4)				
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
2	10	3	3	4	9				
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	-	-	-	-	-				

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

5 Judgeships

3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	7,635	4,116	1,899	1,620	22.00
	100%	53.9 %	24.9 %	21.2 %	
National Rank*	75	83	46	79	
Estimated Cost	175,700	94,700	43,700	37,200	50¢
					23
					Trial Day
					Cost per Day

	Jury Trials		Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Civil	Criminal	
151	12	139	347	66	281
100%	7.9 %	92.1 %	100%	19.0 %	81.0 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	% Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	110.3	84.3	28.1	50.6	24.42	5
1972	141	90.1	28.6	46.9	23.85	581
1973	163	89.3	26.1	47.5	21.52	505
1974	127	94.5	26.3	50.5	23.81	554
1975	151	92.1	21.2	53.9	22.00	506

# CALIFORNIA NORTHERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number In Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	9	4	5	5	4
USAGE TOTALS	108	2,127	628	19.7	5.81
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
58,500	\$ 542	\$ 28

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
73-1 (3)	74-1 (3)	74-4 (3)	74-5 (3)	75-1 (3)	75-2 (3)	75-3 (3)	75-4 (3)	75-5 (3)	
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
3	6	12	2	4	6	6	2	1	
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	


\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.



## PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

11 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
107	44	63	630	363	267
100%	41.1 %	58.9 %	100%	57.6 %	42.4 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	122	73.7	20.0	66.9	17.57	408
1972	130	75.9	22.0	64.5	18.78	432
1973	109	71.6	23.8	64.6	18.07	427
1974	101	62.4	20.5	67.6	16.15	375
1975	107	58.9	21.2	65.8	15.97	408

# CALIFORNIA EASTERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	3	2	1	-	3

USAGE TOTALS	50	1,019	191	20.4	3.82
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
28,100	\$ 562	\$ 28

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)	74-1 (2)							
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	1	12							
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	-	-							


\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district has a practice of calling new jurors in for a separate orientation day at the beginning of their term of service. This results in large groups of prospective jurors present at the courthouse and often not utilized for any jury selections. This practice has a harmful effect on a district's utilization statistics.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

3 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
58	11	47	202	43	159
100%	19.0 %	81.0 %	100%	21.3 %	78.7 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	54	85.2	21.8	66.7	18.66	
1972	43	62.8	23.2	66.8	15.27	572
1973	52	84.6	32.2	57.0	20.79	652
1974	59	81.4	29.2	58.4	18.86	560
1975	58	81.0	32.7	55.4	20.55	681

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	26	12	14	15	11

USAGE TOTALS	494	9,555	2,571	19.3	5.20
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
243,500	\$ 493	\$ 25

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
73-1 (2)	74-3 (2)	74-4 (2)	74-5 (2)	74-6 (2)	74-7 (2)	74-8 (2)	74-9 (2)	74-10 (2)	74-11 <sup>1</sup> (2)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
2	9	9	4	9	9	11	4	6	9
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	7	4	4	8	5	7	2	2	4

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

<sup>1</sup> The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

COMMENT: This district reported the occurrence of numerous highly publicized cases. Jury selections often took several days and required extraordinarily large panels for some of these cases due to the large numbers of excuses and challenges anticipated.

California Central also makes use of the multiple jury selection process to select two juries from one panel of prospective jurors. The revision in the statistical reporting of this technique would effect these situations. This district continues to have separate orientation days for jurors at the beginning of their term of service. This results in large groups of prospective jurors reporting to the courthouse for orientation and impanelment with little or no opportunity for them to be used for jury selections. These three types of occurrences have all acted as factors adversely affecting California Central's utilization statistics.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

16 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	19,454	12,054	1,923	5,477	20.83
	100%	62.0 %	9.9 %	28.2 %	
National Rank		44	8	72	72
Estimated Cost	\$ 501,300	310,800	49,600	141,400	537
					26
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
202	31	171	934	191	743
100%	15.3 %	84.7 %	100%	20.4 %	79.6 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	250	85.6	23.2	68.9	18.85	
1972	229	87.3	24.3	66.3	19.15	528
1973	243	83.5	27.7	63.2	20.44	527
1974	193	83.4	30.0	61.0	20.08	501
1975	202	84.7	28.2	62.0	20.83	537

# CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	14	9	5	6	8

USAGE TOTALS	192	3,542	866	18.4	4.51
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Sessions	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
71,000	\$ 370	\$ 20

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number <sup>1</sup> )									
73-1 (3)	73-2 (3)	73-3 (3)	73-4 (3)	74-1 (3)	74-2 (3)	74-3 (3)	74-4 (3)	74-5 (3)	75-1 <sup>1</sup> (3)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
1	1	5	5	12	12	12	12	12	8
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	-	2	4	5	4	1	-	7	7

<sup>1</sup>See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

COMMENT: The judges in this district sometimes select two juries from one panel of prospective jurors using multiple voir dire. The revision of the statistical reporting of this technique is applicable to these situations. This district also reported a number of highly publicized trials, necessitating the use of extra-large panels for jury selection. Further, California Southern uses the practice of calling in jurors at the beginning of their term of service for a separate orientation day on which most of these jurors are not used for any voir dire. These factors all combine to have an adverse effect on the efficient utilization of jurors and should be taken into account when reviewing this district's performance statistics.

## PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

5 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	10,294	5,519	2,144	2,631	23.66
	100%	53.6 %	20.8 %	25.6 %	
National Rank		77	72	61	85
Estimated Cost	\$ 291,600	156,300	60,700	74,600	670
					\$ 28
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
151	7	144	435	23	412
100%	4.6 %	95.4 %	100%	5.3 %	94.7 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Trials	% Criminal Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	172	97.1	34.3	49.0	25.50	-
1972	143	95.1	33.0	46.8	26.98	585
1973	135	94.8	35.0	43.0	27.24	602
1974	148	93.9	28.3	50.1	24.54	537
1975	151	95.4	25.6	53.6	23.66	670

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	1	1	-	-	1

USAGE TOTALS	35	675	183	19.3	5.23
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
14,800	\$ 423	\$ 22

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)									
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12									
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1									

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Hawaii reported the occurrence of a notoriety trial requiring an extra-large panel for selection of the jury. Such an occurrence has an adverse effect on utilization statistics; nevertheless, this district recorded improvement in the areas of the JUI, percent selected or serving, and percent not selected, serving or challenged.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	2,232	1,298	637	297	19.93
	100%	58.2 %	28.5 %	13.3 %	
National Rank	56	91	13	62	14 4
Estimated Cost	\$ 44,600	26,000	12,700	5,900	398 \$ 20
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
21	5	16	112	38	74
100%	23.8 %	76.2 %	100%	33.9 %	66.1 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Juror Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	11	85.7	3.6	59.9	21.55	315
1972	13	69.2	19.1	57.6	15.98	501
1973	20	60.0	37.6	48.2	22.29	488
1974	18	83.3	35.2	54.4	22.01	398
1975	21	76.2	13.3	58.2	19.93	

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1

USAGE TOTALS	26	531	170	20.4	6.54
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
29,100	\$ 1,119	\$ 55

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
3	9								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	-								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	1,370	829	180	361	15.39
	100%	60.5 %	13.1 %	26.4 %	
National Rank	49	20	65	35	72
Estimated Cost	\$ 40,200	24,300	5,300	10,600	452
					\$ 29
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
23	14	9	89	55	34
100%	60.9 %	39.1 %	100%	61.8 %	38.2 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	15	46.7	23.1	61.3	20.95	-
1972	25	44.0	17.1	71.7	17.12	502
1973	16	68.8	26.3	61.1	20.65	704
1974	23	26.1	17.7	70.9	16.05	466
1975	23	39.1	26.4	60.5	15.39	452

# MONTANA

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1

USAGE TOTALS	15	334	95	22.3	6.33
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
16,400	\$ 1,093	\$ 49

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
11	1								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
6	-								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district employs the multiple jury selection technique for a large number of cases. The revision of the statistical reporting of this technique is one factor accounting for the increase in the JUI of Montana for fiscal year 1975.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

5 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	1,855	946	345	564	19.73
	100%	51.0 %	18.6 %	30.4 %	
National Rank	83	78	73	58	72
Estimated Cost	52,900	27,000	9,800	16,100	563
					29
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
39	23	16	94	67	27
100%	59.0 %	41.0 %	100%	71.3 %	28.7 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year			Juror Utilization			Comparison Total Day
			% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	37	51.4	19.5	66.5	19.22	-
1972	37	50.8	21.4	61.1	17.88	630
1973	39	51.9	16.8	66.7	18.52	646
1974	39	51.1	30.9	50.0	17.45	621
1975	39	51.0	30.4	51.0	19.73	563

# NEVADA

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	4	2	2	1	3

USAGE TOTALS	86	1,622	351	18.9	4.08
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
40,900	\$ 476	\$ 25

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
74-1 (2)	74-2 (2)	75-1 (2)	75-1 (3)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
11	12	1	12						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
10	-	-	-						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	3,862	2,287	572	1,003	20.99
	100%	59.2 %	14.8 %	26.0 %	
National Rank	55	33	64	73	77
Estimate Cost	\$ 110,100	65,200	16,300	28,600	598
					\$ 29
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
36	4	32	184	32	152
100%	11.1 %	88.9 %	100%	17.4 %	82.6 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	37	70.3	53.1	25.8	50.13	
1972	40	72.5	25.9	54.2	23.62	526
1973	51	88.2	23.1	58.5	21.87	652
1974	61	77.0	19.1	58.4	20.28	584
1975	36	88.9	26.0	59.2	20.99	598

# OREGON

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	3	3	-	1	2

USAGE TOTALS	54	1,060	273	19.6	5.06
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
45,800	\$ 848	\$ 43

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
73-1 (3)	74-1 (3)	74-2 (3)							
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
3	12	12							
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
2	-	-							

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Oregon reported the occurrence of a notoriety trial requiring an extra-large jury panel for the selection process which took two days. Such an occurrence should be viewed as having an adverse effect on this district's efficient utilization of jurors.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

3 Judgeships

5 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	3,190	1,740	600	850	16.28
	100%	54.5 %	18.8 %	26.6 %	
National Rank	72	59	66	19	13 20
Estimated Cost	\$ 76,900	41,900	14,500	20,500	392 \$ 24
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
85	58	27	196	134	62
100%	68.2 %	31.8 %	100%	68.4 %	31.6 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	65	32.3	35.0	48.6	22.33	445
1972	52	34.6	34.1	52.1	16.31	467
1973	68	33.8	28.0	56.7	14.56	557
1974	83	38.6	24.3	56.3	16.05	389
1975	85	31.8	26.6	54.5	16.28	392

# WASHINGTON EASTERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	1	1	-	-	1

USAGE TOTALS	8	157	47	19.6	5.88
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS			
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day	
8,800	\$ 1,100	\$ 56	

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (2)									
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12									
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
7									

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

1½ Judgeships

3 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	1,373	791	309	273	17.16
	100%	57.6 %	22.5 %	19.9 %	
National Rank	59	80	42	28	18 20
Estimated Cost	\$ 32,700	18,800	7,400	6,500	409 \$ 24
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
30	12	18	80	35	45
100%	40.0 %	60.0 %	100%	43.8 %	56.2 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	18	38.9	15.5	67.6	17.94	-
1972	23	34.8	12.0	63.9	15.17	396
1973	18	83.3	15.5	58.6	19.78	537
1974	23	60.9	17.1	60.7	15.06	375
1975	30	60.0	19.9	57.6	17.16	409

# WASHINGTON WESTERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	3	1	2	1	2
USAGE TOTALS	48	995	331	20.7	6.90
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
29,000	\$ 604	\$ 29

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (2)	75-1 (2)	75-2 (2)							
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
7	11	5							
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	1	-							

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

$3\frac{1}{2}$  Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	4,942	2,764	908	1,270	19.53
	100%	55.9 %	18.4 %	25.7 %	
National Rank	64	56	62	57	50
Estimated Cost	\$ 123,200	68,900	22,700	31,700	487
					\$ 25
					Trial Day
					Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Total	Jury Trials		Total	Jury Trial Days	
	Civil	Criminal		Civil	Criminal
95	16	80	253	77	176
100%	16.7 %	83.3 %	100%	30.4 %	69.6 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	60	68.3	29.3	59.0	21.74	-
1972	68	70.6	17.7	69.0	17.72	450
1973	71	71.8	29.0	55.4	18.74	460
1974	66	69.7	26.0	53.7	20.45	518
1975	95	83.3	25.7	55.9	19.53	487

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1
USAGE TOTALS	11	203	34	18.5	3.09
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
3,600	\$ 327	\$ 18

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	6								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	3								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

1 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	928	526	163	239	18.94
	100%	56.7 %	17.6 %	25.8 %	
National Rank	62	49	63	49	60, 58
Estimated Cost	\$ 25,400	14,400	4,500	6,600	518, \$ 27
					Trial Day, Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
16	4	12	49	14	35
100%	25.0 %	75.0 %	100%	28.6 %	71.4 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Juror Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	19	47.4	52.7	30.7	39.55	
1972	19	52.6	39.9	45.6	26.22	513
1973	23	39.1	44.3	46.6	25.46	438
1974	24	66.7	33.2	51.2	20.07	421
1975	16	75.0	25.8	56.7	18.94	518







# COLORADO

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1

USAGE TOTALS	48	955	305	19.9	6.35
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
27,700	\$ 577	\$ 29

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	75-1 (1)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
7	5								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	-								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: This district reported instances in which a jury of six members was used to try a criminal case.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

4 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected or Challenged
Number of Juror Days	5,221	3,383	988	850
	100%	64.8 %	18.9 %	16.3 %

Juror Usage Index
15.09

National Rank	30	62	22	20
---------------	----	----	----	----

Estimated Cost	127,000	82,300	24,000	20,700
----------------	---------	--------	--------	--------

367	24
Cost per Day	Juror Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
136	40	96	346	135	211
100%	29.4 %	70.6 %	100%	39.0 %	61.0 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Juror Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	102	56.9	18.6	66.6	14.83	359
1972	129	55.0	14.6	65.9	14.06	314
1973	127	54.4	9.7	71.0	13.38	347
1974	150	63.3	14.9	68.2	14.63	367
1975	136	70.6	16.3	64.8	15.09	

# KANSAS

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Fingered in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	5	3	2	3	2

USAGE TOTALS	30	597	204	19.9	6.80
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
15,900	\$ 530	\$ 27

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number)									
74-1 (2)	75-1 (2)	74-1 (5)	74-1 (6)	75-1 (6)					
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
7	5	9	8	4					
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
4	3	8	5	2					

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: Kansas reported the occurrence of three notoriety cases necessitating the use of large panels for jury selection due to the number of challenges in trials of such a nature. This district also uses the multiple voir dire technique for jury selection when possible. The revision of the statistical reporting of this technique and the occurrence of notoriety cases are two factors accounting for the increase of this district's JUI in fiscal year 1975.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

4 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	8,120	5,159	1,282	1,679	19.85
	100%	63.5 %	15.8 %	20.7 %	
National Rank	35	42	43	60	42 20
Estimated Cost	\$ 195,200	124,000	30,800	40,400	477 \$ 24
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
121	25	96	409	118	291
100%	20.7 %	79.3 %	100%	28.9 %	71.1 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Juror Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	123	82.9	16.9	68.9	18.15	-
1972	137	63.5	15.5	67.4	15.10	383
1973	141	68.8	22.2	62.8	16.59	423
1974	116	76.7	16.5	67.5	15.83	388
1975	121	79.3	20.7	63.5	19.85	477

# NEW MEXICO

## GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	5	2	3	2	3

USAGE TOTALS	100	2,055	623	20.6	6.23
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
80,400	\$ 804	\$ 39

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (1)	74-2 (1)	75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-3 (1)					
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
8	12	2	11	5					
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
4	5	1	2	2					

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

COMMENT: The revision in the statistical reporting of multiple jury selection is one factor accounting for the increase in this district's JUI in fiscal year 1975. New Mexico employs the multiple voir dire technique to maximize utilization of jurors reporting for service.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

3 Judgeships

4 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	3,305	1,969	731	605	16.69
	100%	59.6 %	22.1 %	18.3 %	
National Rank	51	79	31	22	74 94
Estimated Cost	112,600	67,100	24,900	20,600	569 \$ 34
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
75	47	28	198	126	72
100%	62.7 %	37.3 %	100%	63.6 %	36.4 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	71	63.4	19.5	63.1	20.85	527
1972	66	62.1	19.5	59.6	19.29	665
1973	65	69.2	25.7	53.4	20.14	496
1974	72	55.6	18.2	64.7	15.93	569
1975	75	37.3	18.3	59.6	16.69	

# OKLAHOMA NORTHERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE – FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1

USAGE TOTALS	7	133	55	19.0	7.86
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
3,100	\$ 443	\$ 23

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (4)	75-1 (4)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
7	5								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
5	2								


\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.



PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

1 2/5 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)



Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
32	13	19	71	33	38
100%	40.6 %	59.4 %	100%	46.5 %	53.5 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	15	40.0	39.7	47.5	25.19	-
1972	43	48.8	26.1	60.2	20.31	485
1973	35	60.0	25.7	57.8	21.05	517
1974	17	58.8	52.3	33.7	27.92	676
1975	32	59.4	29.1	52.7	20.28	504

# OKLAHOMA EASTERN

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impuneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	1	1	1	1

USAGE TOTALS	20	436	136	21.8	6.80
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
16,200	\$ 810	\$ 37

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (6)	75-1 (6)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
3	6								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
2	4								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

 1 2/3 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number	1,388	759	252	377	17.57
Juror Days	100%	54.7 %	18.2 %	27.2 %	
National Rank		70	54	70	35
Estimated Cost	\$ 40,700	22,300	7,400	11,100	515
					\$ 29
					Cost per Day

	Jury Trials		Jury Trial Days		
	Civil	Criminal	Civil	Criminal	
39	15	24	79	31	48
100%	38.5 %	61.5 %	100%	39.2 %	60.8 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	30	60.0	42.2	46.1	26.64	
1972	30	56.7	42.0	48.5	26.66	815
1973	32	59.4	39.8	48.2	19.61	548
1974	26	69.2	39.8	47.0	21.93	633
1975	39	61.5	27.2	54.7	17.57	515

	Number of Grand Jurors in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged July 1, 1974	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	1	1	-	1	-
USAGE TOTALS	14	263	91	18.8	6.50
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Amount	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
8,800	\$ 629	\$ 33


GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (5)									
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12									
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
7									

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 14 15 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	3,715	2,316	555	844	17.28
	100%	62.3 %	14.9 %	22.7 %	
National Rank 					
		43	35	53	30
Estimated Cost	\$ 81,400	50,700	12,100	18,500	379 \$ 22
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
75	28	47	215	75	140
100%	37.3 %	62.7 %	100%	34.9 %	65.1 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	60	60.0	26.3	56.1	21.73	
1972	79	68.4	16.8	65.1	18.29	365
1973	65	56.9	26.7	57.3	21.66	472
1974	69	58.0	20.9	67.9	15.53	325
1975	75	62.7	22.7	62.3	17.28	379

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	2	-	2	-	2
USAGE TOTALS	39	846	188	21.7	4.82
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
21,400	\$ 549	\$ 25

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-1 (1)	75-1 (2)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
10	5								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
4	1								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE —  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

2 Judgeships

2 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	2,892	1,877	400	615	18.78
	100%	64.9 %	13.8 %	21.3 %	
National Rank	29	25	48	44	56
Estimated Cost	\$ 78,200	50,800	10,800	16,700	508
					\$ 27
					Trial Day Juror Day
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
37	19	18	154	110	44
100%	51.4 %	48.6 %	100%	71.4 %	28.6 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	42	42.9	27.9	53.0	24.22	-
1972	31	58.1	20.9	61.0	21.11	N/A
1973	61	59.0	25.0	51.9	24.42	N/A
1974	36	41.7	27.6	61.3	21.40	564
1975	37	48.6	21.3	64.9	18.78	508

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impacted in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	1	-	1	-	1
USAGE TOTALS	3	66	19	22.0	6.33
	Sessions Completed	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
2,400	\$ 800	\$ 36

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-1 (2)									
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
4									
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3									

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.



# PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

1  
Judgeships

2  
Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number	677	454	90	133	
Juror Days	100%	67.1 %	13.3 %	19.6 %	11.67
National Rank	20	22	11	6	
Estimated Cost	\$ 15,000	10,100	2,000	2,900	259 \$ 22
					Cost per Day

	Jury Trials		Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Civil	Criminal	
21	14	7	58	49	9
100%	66.7 %	33.3 %	100%	84.5 %	15.5 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Day
	Number of Juror Days	Percentage of Total Juror Days	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	15	69.7	15.2	72.4	15.44	27
1972	29	54.5	21.6	65.4	14.50	315
1973	15	53.3	10.2	77.2	12.18	247
1974	21	35.9	15.6	73.9	11.80	267
1975	21	30.5	19.6	67.1	11.67	259





# DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

GRAND JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FY 1975

	Total Number in the 12 Month Period	Number in the 12 Month Period	Number in the 12 Month Period	Number in the 12 Month Period	Number in the 12 Month Period
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES	19	12	7	9	10

USAGE TOTALS	581	11,613	2,755	20.0	4.74
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
146,300	\$ 252	\$ 13

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
72-1 (1)	73-3 (1)	73-4 (1)	74-1 (1)	74-2 (1)	74-3 (1)	74-4 (1)	74-5 (1)	74-6 (1)	74-7 <sup>1</sup> (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	2	4	8	8	6	8	12	12	12
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
4	1	2	8	-	-	8	-	-	-

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

<sup>1</sup> The listing of grand juries for this district is continued in Appendix A.

COMMENT: The District of Columbia experienced four notoriety trials in the past fiscal year. Two of these cases were of a highly publicized nature and required extraordinarily large juror panels for selection due to the large number of excuses and challenges for cause anticipated in these types of trials. These four trials required 1,038 prospective jurors for the selection of the juries. The adverse effect on juror utilization statistics of such occurrences can rarely be minimized by efficient management techniques and it should be noted that if these 1,038 prospective jurors are excluded from the total available for the year, the District of Columbia would have recorded an estimated JUI of 18.39 and better performance figures in the categories of percent selected or serving and percent not selected, serving or challenged.

# DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

## PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE — FISCAL YEAR 1975

15 Judgeships

1 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	16,836	10,623	2,277	3,936	19.51
	100%	63.1 %	13.5 %	23.4 %	
National Rank	39	24	56	56	3
Estimated Cost	324,000	204,400	43,700	75,800	375
					19
					Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
228	84	144	863	378	485
100%	36.8 %	63.2 %	100%	43.8 %	56.2 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	592	79.7	31.2	56.7	25.34	
1972	640	80.3	30.7	52.1	24.44	364
1973	597	80.1	27.2	57.5	22.22	282
1974	295	67.5	31.4	54.8	22.05	295
1975	228	63.2	23.4	63.1	19.51	375



# APPENDIX A

## District of Columbia concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-8 (1)	74-9 (1)	75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-3 (1)	75-4 (1)	75-5 (1)	75-6 (1)	75-7 (1)	
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12	8	11	5	7	5	3	3	1	
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
9	6	-	2	4	2	-	-	-	

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

## District of New York Eastern continued

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-3 (1)	75-4 (1)	75-5 (1)	75-6 (1)	75-7 (1)	75-8 (1)	75-9 (1)	75-10 (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
2	12	2	2	10	3	2	2	5	1
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

## District of New York Eastern concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-11 (1)	75-12 (1)	75-13 (1)	75-14 (1)	75-15 (1)	75-16 (1)	75-17 (1)	75-18 (1)	75-19 (1)	75-20 (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	6	6	4	5	4	3	3	2	1
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	5	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

## District of New York Southern continued

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-8 (1)	74-9 (1)	74-10 (1)	74-12 (1)	74-13 (1)	74-14 (1)	74-15 (1)	74-16 (1)	74-17 (1)	75-1 (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
10	11	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
10	11	4	12	10	12	12	-	11	8

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of New York Southern continued

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-2 (1)	75-3 (1)	75-4 (1)	75-5 (1)	75-6 (1)	75-7 (1)	75-8 (1)	75-9 (1)	75-10 (1)	75-11 (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
11	10	10	9	9	9	8	8	7	6
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
9	7	-	7	2	7	3	-	-	3

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of New York Southern continued

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-12 (1)	75-13 (1)	75-14 (1)	75-15 (1)	75-16 (1)	75-17 (1)	75-18 (1)	75-19 (1)	75-20 (1)	75-21 (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	5	5	5	4	3	3	3	2	2
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	1	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of New York Southern concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-22 (1)	75-23 (1)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
1	1								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	-								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of New Jersey concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-2 (2)	75-3 (2)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
8	6								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	-								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.



District of Virginia Eastern concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (4)									
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
12									
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
11									

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of Virginia Western concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-2 (7)									
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
2									
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1									

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of Florida Middle concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-1 (8)	75-2 (8)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
6	6								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	-								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of Florida Southern continued

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-1 (2)	75-2 (2)	74-2 (4)	75-1 (4)	75-2 (4)	74-2 (9)	75-1 (9)	75-2 (9)	74-2 (10)	75-1 (10)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
7	4	6	7	1	5	8	1	5	7
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
4	1	4	4	-	2	1	-	-	2

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of Florida Southern concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-2 (10)	75-3 (10)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
4	4								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	1								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of Texas Southern concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-1 (5)									
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
3									
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-									

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of Texas Western concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-1 (5)	74-2 (5)	75-1 (5)	75-2 (5)	75-3 (5)	74-1 (7)	75-1 (7)			
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
8	4	9	5	2	8	5			
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
7	-	3	-	-	7	1			

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of Michigan Eastern concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-4 (2)	75-5 (2)								
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
2	2								
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	-								

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of Illinois Northern continued

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-1 (1)	75-2 (1)	75-3 (1)	75-4 (1)	75-5 (1)	75-6 (1)	75-7 (1)	75-8 (1)	75-9 (1)	75-10 (1)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
3	11	2	1	1	8	7	6	2	5
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	2	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	-

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of Illinois Northern concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-11 (1)	75-12 (1)	75-13 (1)	75-14 (1)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
2	2	1	1						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	-	-	-						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of California Central continued

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
74-12 (2)	74-13 (2)	75-1 (2)	75-2 (2)	75-3 (2)	75-4 (2)	75-5 (2)	75-6 (2)	75-7 (2)	75-8 (2)
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
9	12	11	11	11	8	8	11	5	5
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
3	1	3	1	1	1	1	-	-	-

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of California Central concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-9 (2)	75-10 (2)	75-11 (2)	75-12 (2)	75-13 (2)	75-14 (2)				
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
5	5	5	5	5	5				
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
1	-	-	-	-	-				

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

District of California Southern concluded

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
75-2 (3)	75-3 (3)	75-4 (3)	75-5 (3)						
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
8	8	2	2						
Total Number of Months of No Activity									
-	1	-	-						

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

# APPENDIX B

List of U.S. District Courts and places of holding court within each district where grand juries sat in fiscal year 1975. Office numbers are assigned to places of holding court according to Administrative Office Bulletin No. 510 to all Clerks of Court (revised March 1972). The listing is presented in district and office number order.

Office Name	Office Number	Office Name	Office Number
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	1	PENNSYLVANIA, MIDDLE:	
MAINE:		Harrisburg	1
Bangor	1	Lewisburg	2
Portland	2	Scranton	3
MASSACHUSETTS:		Williamsport	4
Boston	1	Wilkes Barre	5
NEW HAMPSHIRE:		PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN:	
Concord	1	Erie	1
RHODE ISLAND:		Pittsburgh	2
Providence	1	MARYLAND:	
PUERTO RICO:		Baltimore	1
San Juan	3	NORTH CAROLINA, EASTERN:	
CONNECTICUT:		New Bern	4
Hartford	2	Raleigh	5
New Haven	3	NORTH CAROLINA, MIDDLE:	
Bridgeport	5	Greensboro	2
NEW YORK, NORTHERN:		NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN:	
Albany	1	Asheville	1
Auburn	2	Charlotte	3
Syracuse	5	SOUTH CAROLINA:	
Utica	6	Columbia	3
NEW YORK, EASTERN:		VIRGINIA, EASTERN:	
Brooklyn	1	Alexandria	1
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN:		Norfolk	2
New York City	1	Richmond	3
NEW YORK, WESTERN:		Newport News	4
Buffalo	1	VIRGINIA, WESTERN:	
Rochester	6	Abingdon	1
VERMONT:		Danville	4
Burlington	2	Lynchburg	6
Rutland	5	Roanoke	7
DELAWARE:		WEST VIRGINIA, NORTHERN:	
Wilmington	1	Clarksburg	1
NEW JERSEY:		Elkins	2
Camden	1	WEST VIRGINIA, SOUTHERN:	
Newark	2	Bluefield	1
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN:		Charleston	2
Philadelphia	2	Huntington	3
		Beckley	5
		ALABAMA, NORTHERN:	
		Birmingham	2

Office Name	Office Number	Office Name	Office Number
ALABAMA, MIDDLE: Montgomery	2	TEXAS, WESTERN: Austin	1
ALABAMA, SOUTHERN: Mobile	1	Del Rio	2
FLORIDA, NORTHERN: Pensacola	3	El Paso	3
Tallahassee	4	Pecos	4
FLORIDA, MIDDLE: Jacksonville	3	San Antonio	5
Orlando	6	Midland-Odessa	7
Tampa	8	KENTUCKY, EASTERN: Catlettsburg	1
FLORIDA, SOUTHERN: Miami	1	Covington	2
Fort Pierce	2	Lexington	5
Key West	4	KENTUCKY, WESTERN: Bowling Green	1
West Palm Beach	9	Louisville	3
Fort Lauderdale	10	Owensboro	4
GEORGIA, NORTHERN: Atlanta	1	Paducah	5
GEORGIA, MIDDLE: Columbus	4	MICHIGAN, EASTERN: Bay City	1
Macon	5	Detroit	2
GEORGIA, SOUTHERN: Augusta	1	MICHIGAN, WESTERN: Grand Rapids	1
Brunswick	2	OHIO, NORTHERN: Cleveland	1
Savannah	4	Toledo	3
LOUISIANA, EASTERN: New Orleans	2	OHIO, SOUTHERN: Cincinnati	1
LOUISIANA, MIDDLE: Baton Rouge	3	Columbus	2
LOUISIANA, WESTERN: Alexandria	1	Dayton	3
Shreveport	5	TENNESSEE, EASTERN: Chattanooga	1
MISSISSIPPI, NORTHERN: Oxford	3	Greenville	2
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN: Jackson	3	Knoxville	3
TEXAS, NORTHERN: Abilene	1	Winchester	4
Dallas	3	TENNESSEE, MIDDLE: Nashville	3
Lubbock	5	TENNESSEE, WESTERN: Jackson	1
TEXAS, EASTERN: Beaumont	1	Memphis	2
Tyler	6	ILLINOIS, NORTHERN: Chicago	1
TEXAS, SOUTHERN: Brownsville	1	ILLINOIS, EASTERN: Danville	2
Corpus Christi	2	East St. Louis	3
Galveston	3	ILLINOIS, SOUTHERN: Springfield	3
Houston	4	INDIANA, NORTHERN: Hammond	2
Laredo	5	South Bend	3
		INDIANA, SOUTHERN: Indianapolis	1
		WISCONSIN, EASTERN: Milwaukee	2

Office Name	Office Number
WISCONSIN, WESTERN: Madison	3
ARKANSAS, EASTERN: Little Rock	4
ARKANSAS, WESTERN: Texarkana Hot Springs	4 6
IOWA, NORTHERN: Cedar Rapids Sioux City	1 5
IOWA, SOUTHERN: Des Moines	4
MINNESOTA: St. Paul	3
MISSOURI, EASTERN: St. Louis	4
MISSOURI, WESTERN: Kansas City	4
NEBRASKA: Omaha	8
NORTH DAKOTA: Bismarck Fargo	1 3
SOUTH DAKOTA: Deadwood Sioux Falls	2 4
ALASKA: Anchorage	3
ARIZONA: Phoenix Tucson	2 4
CALIFORNIA, NORTHERN: San Francisco	3
CALIFORNIA, EASTERN: Fresno Sacramento	1 2
CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL: Los Angeles	2
CALIFORNIA, SOUTHERN: San Diego	3
HAWAII: Honolulu	1
IDAHO: Boise	1
MONTANA: Billings	1

Office Name	Office Number
NEVADA: Las Vegas Reno	2 3
OREGON: Portland	3
WASHINGTON, EASTERN: Spokane	2
WASHINGTON, WESTERN: Seattle	2
GUAM: Agaña	1
COLORADO: Denver	1
KANSAS: Kansas City Topeka Wichita	2 5 6
NEW MEXICO: Albuquerque	1
OKLAHOMA, NORTHERN: Tulsa	4
OKLAHOMA, EASTERN: Muskogee	6
OKLAHOMA, WESTERN: Oklahoma City	5
UTAH: Ogden Salt Lake City	1 2
WYOMING: Cheyenne	2

## APPENDIX C

### List of U. S. District Courts That Have Adopted Rules Reducing the Size of Civil Juries

#### District of Columbia (April 16, 1971)\*

"In all civil cases tried in this Court the jury shall consist of six (6) members, except in cases of eminent domain." (Effective June 1, 1971)

#### FIRST CIRCUIT

##### Maine (November 29, 1971)

". . . In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

##### Massachusetts (October 8, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members.

This rule shall become effective November 1, 1971."

##### New Hampshire (July 27, 1971)

###### "(a) Number of Jurors and Initial Selection

- (1) In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members and the clerk shall select by lot the names of six persons to be drawn initially.
- (2) In all criminal jury cases, the jury shall consist of twelve members and the clerk shall select by lot the names of twelve persons to be drawn initially." (Effective September 1, 1971)

##### Rhode Island (Filed September 20, 1971)

"(a) Six-man juries. In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members. The jury in a criminal case shall consist of twelve members, except as provided in Rule 23(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure." (Effective September 27, 1971)

##### Puerto Rico (January 19, 1972)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

#### SECOND CIRCUIT

##### Connecticut\* (October 17, 1972)

"Number of Jurors. The jury shall consist of six members in the trial of all civil cases." (Effective October 1, 1972)

##### New York, Northern (July 3, 1973)

"In all Civil Jury Cases in this District Court, the jury shall consists of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." (Effective August 31, 1973)

##### New York, Eastern (July 3, 1973)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons." (Effective August 1, 1973)

##### New York, Southern (July 24, 1973)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons." (Effective August 1, 1973)

\*Date of Court Order, if known.



Vermont (October 17, 1972)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members. In protracted litigation an additional juror, or jurors, may be selected who will participate in the deliberations and verdict." (Effective July 1, 1973)

THIRD CIRCUIT

Delaware

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members except that the parties may stipulate that the jury in any such case shall consist of any number less than six. (Effective 1-1-73, applicable to all civil trials commencing on or after that date, without regard to the date upon which the action was filed)."

New Jersey (May 28, 1971)

"In all civil jury actions, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective September 1, 1971)

Pennsylvania, Eastern (April 13, 1971)

"(a) Except as provided in (b), juries in civil cases shall consist, initially, of eight (8) members. Trials in such cases shall continue so long as at least six (6) jurors remain in service. If the number of jurors falls below six (6), a mistrial shall be declared upon prompt application therefor by any party then on the record. (b) Trial by a jury consisting of twelve (12) members may be had if written demand therefor (with notice to all parties) is filed with the court not less than thirty (30) and not more than sixty (60) days following service of the last pleading directed to the issue triable of right by the jury. (c) This rule shall become effective on May 1, 1971. All civil jury cases pending on this court on the effective date hereof shall be tried in accordance with sub-division (a) unless demand for trial by jury consisting of twelve (12) members is made within fifteen (15) days following the effective date of this rule." (Effective May 1, 1971)

Pennsylvania, Middle (July 6, 1973)

"(a) Juries in civil cases shall consist, initially, of at least eight (8) members. Trials in such cases shall continue so long as at least six (6) jurors remain in service. If the number of jurors falls below six (6), a mistrial shall be declared upon prompt application therefor by any party then on the record." (Effective July 6, 1973)

Pennsylvania, Western (May 27, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members. This Rule shall be applicable to all civil actions tried in this District on or after September 1, 1971." (Effective September 1, 1971)

Virgin Islands (February 16, 1973)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members." (Effective March 1, 1973)

FOURTH CIRCUIT

Maryland (June 10, 1971)

"In civil cases in which trial by jury has been demanded pursuant to F.R.C.P. 38, the jury shall consist of six jurors, plus such number of alternate jurors, as the court may deem necessary. This rule shall apply to all cases tried on or after the date of this order, effective August 20, 1973."

North Carolina, Middle (October 14, 1971)

"(a) Number of Jurors in Civil Jury Cases. In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members." (Effective January 1, 1972)

North Carolina, Western (January 1974)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."  
(Effective January 1, 1974)

South Carolina (December 29, 1972)

"Ordered that, in all civil jury cases tried on or after January 1, 1973 in this Court, the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Virginia, Eastern (May 22, 1972)

"The jury in any civil case shall consist of six. The number of peremptory challenges shall be as provided by law (28 U.S.C., §1870)." (Effective July 1, 1972)

West Virginia, Northern

"(e) In civil actions in which trial by jury has been demanded pursuant to Rule 38, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the jury shall consist of six jurors, plus such number of alternate jurors as the Court may determine necessary."

West Virginia, Southern (February 15, 1974)

"In civil actions in which trial by jury has been demanded pursuant to Rule 38, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the jury shall consist of six jurors, plus such number of alternate jurors as the Court may determine necessary."  
(Effective July 1, 1973, amended February 15, 1974)

FIFTH CIRCUIT

Alabama, Northern (May 10, 1972)

"Effective July 1, 1972, in all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six members."

Alabama, Middle (July 12, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."  
(Effective August 15, 1971)

Alabama, Southern (August 25, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

Florida, Northern (June 29, 1972)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Florida, Middle (June 27, 1972)

"Rule 11A. A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled." (Effective July 17, 1972)

Florida, Southern (February 8, 1971)

". . . all civil jury cases, jurisdiction for which is based upon 28 U.S.C. §1332, 45 U.S.C. §51, and 46 U.S.C. §688, shall be tried to a jury which shall consist of six members." (Effective March 1, 1971)

Georgia, Northern

"All civil actions shall be tried to a jury of six members and challenges shall be in accordance with Title 28 U.S.C. §1870."

Louisiana, Eastern (April 20, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of six (6) members."  
(Effective May 1, 1971)

Louisiana, Middle

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."  
(Effective April 16, 1972)

Louisiana, Western (April 9, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, jurisdiction for which is based on 28 U.S.C. §1332, 45 U.S.C. §51, and 46 U.S.C. §688, the jury shall consist of six members, with three peremptory challenges allowed to each opposing party. One alternate juror, in lengthy cases, will be empanelled, with one peremptory challenge allowed to each of the opposing parties." (Effective April 15, 1971)

Mississippi, Northern (September 27, 1972)

"The District Judges for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi do hereby adopt a local rule of court to provide that in all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members, with three peremptory challenges allowed to each opposing party. In its discretion the court may impanel two alternate jurors, with one peremptory challenge allowed each of the opposing parties." (Effective January 1, 1973)

Texas, Eastern (December 3, 1973)

"... in all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise required by law, the jury shall consist of six members; however, it shall be optional with the Presiding Judge to require a twelve-member civil jury trial rather than six members."  
(Effective January 1, 1974)

Texas, Southern (July 27, 1973)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six (6) persons, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled." (Effective July 30, 1973)

Texas, Western (May 1, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members."  
(Effective July 1, 1971) (As amended July 1, 1971)

SIXTH CIRCUIT

Kentucky, Western (April 24, 1972)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."  
(Effective May 1, 1972)

Michigan, Western (July 17, 1974)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternate jurors as may be impanelled."

Ohio, Northern (March 24, 1972)

"In all civil trials, juries shall consist of six members."

Tennessee, Eastern (October 13, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases except as may be otherwise expressly required by law, the jury shall consist of not less than six (6) members."

Tennessee, Middle (March 23, 1972)

"It is therefore ORDERED that from and after May 1, 1972, in all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six persons, excluding alternates."

Tennessee, Western (March 9, 1972)

"It is therefore ORDERED that from and after April 1, 1972, in all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six persons, excluding alternates." (April 1, 1972)

SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Illinois, Northern (May 18, 1971)

"In all jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly require by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective September 13, 1971)

Illinois, Eastern (December 10, 1970)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective September 1, 1971)

Illinois, Southern (January 21, 1971)

"In all jury cases [civil], except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective May 1, 1971)

Indiana, Northern (March 10, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, jurisdiction for which is based on 28 U.S.C. §1332 (diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy), 45 U.S.C. §51 (Federal Employers' Liability Act), 46 U.S.C. §688 (Jones Act), and cases involving condemnation of real and personal property under the power of eminent domain under the laws of the United States, the jury shall consist of six (6) jurors." (Effective May 1, 1971)

Indiana, Southern (February 26, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, jurisdiction for which is based on 28 U.S.C. §1332 (diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy), 45 U.S.C. §51 (Federal Employers' Liability Act), 46 U.S.C. §688 (Jones Act), and cases involving condemnation of real and personal property under the power of eminent domain under the laws of the United States, the jury shall consist of six (6) jurors." (Effective May 1, 1971)

Wisconsin, Eastern (July 26, 1971)

"In all jury cases except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective September 1, 1971)

Wisconsin, Western (August 28, 1973)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six members, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

EIGHTH CIRCUIT

Iowa, Northern

"To better serve the interests of judicial economy and to avoid the calling of alternates in all civil jury cases the parties shall be bound by the verdict of not less than six jurors." (Effective November 30, 1971)

Iowa, Southern (July 28, 1972)

"Civil cases shall be tried to a jury of six, except in those situations in which it would ordinarily be advisable to select alternates. In such situations an additional juror or jurors may be selected who will participate in the deliberations and verdict. Unless the parties stipulate otherwise, no verdict may be returned by a jury composed of less than six members. In the absence of a stipulation pursuant to Rule 48, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the jury's verdict shall be unanimous."

Minnesota (November 12, 1970)

"In all civil jury cases, the jury shall consist of 6 members."

Missouri, Eastern (July 30, 1973)

"(g)(1) A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons, plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Missouri, Western (July 1, 1972)

"Unless otherwise specially ordered by the court in a designated civil action or consolidated actions, the juries shall consist of six members in all civil cases, including but not limited to complex cases."

Nebraska (January 17, 1972)

"In all civil jury cases the juries shall consist of six members."  
(Effective March 1, 1972)

South Dakota

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six persons." (Effective July 30, 1973)

NINTH CIRCUIT

Alaska (October 1, 1973)

"(A) In all civil cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members." (Effective October 1, 1973)

Arizona (October 1, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members."

California, Northern (November 18, 1971)

"In all civil cases the jury shall consist of six members unless expressly otherwise provided in a Final Pre-Trial Order." (Effective December 6, 1971)

California, Eastern (October 22, 1971)

"In all cases in which a jury is demanded in civil cases, trial of a cause shall be before a jury consisting of six (6) members." (Effective November 5, 1971)

California, Central (March 8, 1971)

"In all cases in which a jury is demanded in civil cases, trial of the cause shall be before a jury consisting of six (6) members." (Effective March 15, 1971)

California, Southern (March 19, 1971)

"In all cases in which a jury is demanded in civil cases, trial of the cause shall be before a jury consisting of six (6) jurors." (Effective April 15, 1971)

Hawaii (March 31, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases for which jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C., Section 1332, 45 United States Code, Section 51, and 46 United States Code, Section 688, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective April 12, 1971)

Montana (Filed July 14, 1971)

"(d) Jury Trials

- (1) A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six persons plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled."

Nevada

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six (6) persons, plus such alternates as may be impaneled." (Effective November 15, 1973)

Oregon (June 7, 1971)

"(a) In all civil cases tried in this court to a jury the number of jurors shall be six unless otherwise ordered by the court.

(b) This provision shall not alter the number of challenges available to a party under 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1870 or Rule 49(b) Fed. Rules Civ. Proc." (Effective July 1, 1971)

Washington, Eastern (June 15, 1972)

"A jury for the trial of all civil cases shall consist of six jurors plus such alternate jurors as may be impaneled." (Effective July 1, 1972)

Washington, Western (May 22, 1972)

"A jury for the trial of civil cases shall consist of six jurors plus such alternate jurors that may be impaneled." (Effective July 1, 1972)

Guam

"In all cases in which a jury is demanded in civil cases, trial of the cause shall be before a jury consisting of six (6) members, unless otherwise ordered by the Court." (Effective September 1, 1973)

TENTH CIRCUIT

Colorado (April 21, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective June 1, 1971)

Kansas (March 11, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective June 1, 1971)

New Mexico (February 19, 1971)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members." (Effective May 1, 1971)

Oklahoma, Northern (August 7, 1973)

"In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." (Entered August 7, 1973)

Oklahoma, Eastern (April 14, 1972)

"(3) In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." (Effective July 1, 1972)

Oklahoma, Western

"(c) In all civil jury cases the jury shall consist of six (6) members. The challenges permitted shall remain as provided in 28 U.S.C. 1870 and Rule 47(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." [Added, effective 8-1-73.]

Wyoming (February 25, 1971) ammended (August 21, 1972)

"In all civil jury cases, except as may be otherwise expressly required by law or controlling rule, the jury shall consist of six members." (Effective September 1, 1972)

Districts Using Less Than Twelve Member Civil Juries by Stipulation of Parties  
(Rule 48, Fed.R.Civ.P.)

Virginia, Western (For a period of approximately two years.)

Utah (As of March 23, 1971.)

	Total Number in Existence in the 12 Month Period	Number on July 1, 1974	Number Impaneled in the 12 Month Period	Number Discharged in the 12 Month Period	Number on June 30, 1975
NUMBER OF STANDARDS	570	279	291	265	305
USAGE TOTALS	7,846	156,167	41,421	19.9	5.28
	Sessions Convened	Jurors in Session	Hours in Session	Jurors per Session	Hours per Session
	NUMBER OF			AVERAGE NUMBER OF	

ESTIMATED GRAND JUROR COSTS		
Total	Average Cost per Session	Average Cost per Juror Day
3,971,400 \$	506 \$	25 \$

GRAND JURY NUMBER (Office Number*)									
N/A									
Total Number of Months in Existence in the 12 Month Period									
Total Number of Months of No Activity									

\*See Appendix B for a listing of Office Names and Numbers.

PETIT JUROR USAGE PROFILE –  
FISCAL YEAR 1975

400 Judgeships  
306 Places of Holding Court (with jury trial activity during this fiscal year)

	Total Available	Selected or Serving	Challenged	Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	Juror Usage Index
Number of Juror Days	546,627	328,445	88,228	129,954	19.32
	100%	60.1 %	16.1 %	23.8 %	
National Rank					
Estimated Cost	\$13,853,200	8,325,800	2,230,400	3,297,100	490 \$ 25
					Trial Day, Juror Day Cost per Day

Jury Trials			Jury Trial Days		
Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal
8,607	3,700	4,907	28,293	12,475	15,818
100%	43.0 %	57.0 %	100%	44.1 %	55.9 %

HISTORICAL COMPARISON						
Fiscal Year	Jury Trials		Juror Utilization			Cost per Jury Trial Day
	Number of Jury Trials	% Criminal Jury Trials	% Not Selected, Serving or Challenged	% Selected or Serving	Juror Usage Index	
1971	8,026	56.5	32.9	54.2	20.31	514
1972	8,527	56.9	30.0	55.5	20.96	514
1973	9,251	61.0	28.4	56.5	20.16	498
1974	8,416	57.0	26.5	58.3	19.12	485
1975	8,607	57.0	23.8	60.1	19.32	490



# Prepared by the Administrative Office of U.S. Courts

