NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON DRUG ABUSE

TREATMENT PROGRAM MONOGRAPH SERIES

MANUAL
FOR
DRUG
ABUSE
TREATMENT
PROGRAM
SELF-EVALUATION
SUPPLEMENT III
CODAP
TABLES



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE · Public Health Service · Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration

.

ACQUISITIONS

MANUAL FOR DRUG ABUSE TREATMENT PROGRAM SELF-EVALUATION SUPPLEMENT II: CODAP TABLES

by

L. Lynn Guess Barry S. Tuchfeld

Research Triangle Institute

National Institute on Drug Abuse 11400 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852 This volume, part of a Treatment Program Monograph Series, was prepared for the National Institute on Drug Abuse by Research Triangle Institute, Post Office Box 12194, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, under Contract Number 271-75-1016, Work Order Number 3.

ii

CONTENTS

		Page
Chapter I	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
	The Client Oriented Data Acquisition Process (CODAP) National Values and Sacred Cows	1 2
Chapter II	FORMAT OF CODAP TABLES	4
	Arrangement of Tables of CODAP Figures	
Chapter III	COMPARISON WITH CODAP VALUES	10
	Definitions of the Measures	12
APPENDIX A	VALUES ON SELECTED TREATMENT OUTCOME MEASURES FROM CODAP OUTPATIENT METHADONE MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS	A-1.0
APPENDIX B	VALUES ON SELECTED TREATMENT OUTCOME MEASURES FROM CODAP DRUG-FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES	B-1.0
APPENDIX C	VALUES ON SELECTED TREATMENT OUTCOME MEASURES FROM CODAP OUTPATIENT DRUG-FREE PROGRAMS	C-1.0
APPENDIX D	VALUES ON SELECTED TREATMENT OUTCOME MEASURES FROM CODAP OUTPATIENT DETOXIFICATION PROGRAMS	D-1.0
Figures		
1	Actual titles of CODAP tables illustrating the numbering system for describing identical table contents across different treatment modality appendices	. 5
2	Format used in all CODAP tables to identify the subgroup to which a line of outcome measure values applies	. 8
Tables		
1	Median CODAP clinic values on seven outcome measures (based on discharges during 1975), by modality-environment	15

<u>Tables</u>	con.)	ige
2	Median CODAP clinic values on seven outcome measures (based on discharges during 1975), by modality-environment and intake drug usage pattern	16
3	Median CODAP clinic values on seven outcome measures (based on discharges during 1975), by modality-environment and race-ethnicity	16
4	Median CODAP clinic values on seven outcome measures (based on discharges during 1975), by modality-environment and age group	17
5	Median CODAP clinic values on seven outcome measures (based on discharges during 1975), by modality-environment and sex	17
6	Median values on seven outcome measures for outpatient methadone maintenance programs in CODAP clinics (based on discharges during 1975), by intake drug usage pattern and race-ethnicity	18

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

This is the second of two supplements to the Manual for Drug Abuse Treatment Program Self-Evaluation. Data based on treatment outcome information that agencies and clinics routinely collect or have available in the files of individual clients are presented. While it is possible to use this volume without referring to the discussion of self-evaluation, the instructions, and the measures contained in the Manual for Self-Evaluation, maximum benefit can be gained only by interpreting the information here with the aid of the materials in that instructional manual.

When a program computes its own values on various treatment-outcome measures, one way to use those results in the evaluation process is to compare them with self-defined standards of success. The extent to which actual values equal or differ from these standards provides an indication of how successful the program has been in meeting its goals. Unfortunately, however, this comparison disregards some important limitations and qualifications that affect the values a program's computed measures can possibly take, such as the different lengths of time clients have been in treatment or the special needs of different kinds of clients or the differences in treatments required for different drug abuse problems. Furthermore, nonprogram factors, such as the state of the economy, the availability of drugs on the local market, and the efforts of local law enforcement agencies, influence the extent to which computed values for a program will differ from ideal standards of success. And it is impractical, if not impossible, to define those standards of success in terms of all the varying combinations of program and nonprogram factors that prevailed during each time period for which measures are computed. On the other hand, overall treatment goals must be set in order to justify treatment strategies and actual performance must be compared to these goals, expressed as standards of success, in order to assess

the effectiveness of treatment, to identify problems, and to provide information for decisions about the allocation of resources

To help you deal with this dilemma, this volume presents summary values on 7 measures selected from the Manual for Self-Evaluation and computed from data collected from 1,274 programs across the Nation over a 1-year period. Since these values represent actual performance in the 1,274 programs, comparison with the standards of success you have set for your program reveals the extent to which "real" programs elsewhere that are similar to yours have achieved the results you desire. Such a comparison may be helpful in setting more realistic goals for your own program. In addition, by summarizing actual values for several programs over time, the figures in this volume can be considered to represent "normal" program performance (subject to some limitations of the data) and provide indications of what can be expected of similar programs under conditions that include factors beyond the control of program personnel.

THE CLIENT ORIENTED DATA ACQUISITION PROCESS (CODAP)

The National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) operates CODAP as a data collection system to provide current information for planning, management, and evaluation purposes. Data are regularly collected on clients at admission to and discharge from drug abuse treatment programs in approximately 1,800 clinics that receive federal funds.

Three forms constitute the basis of the CODAP system, the Admission Report (AR), the Discharge Report (DR), and the Client Flow Summary (CFS). The Admission Report serves to identify a client by number, treatment modality and environment, and date of admission; to classify each client by background and demographic characteristics; and to provide information about each client's pattern

of drug usage, prior treatment experience, and prescribed treatment. An admission report to CODAP is submitted only once for each client for each admission. The Discharge Report is completed for each client upon discharge from a clinic and serves as a record of treatment being received at discharge, reason for discharge, employment and educational status and drug usage at the time of discharge. The Client Flow Summary is submitted monthly and constitutes a client census by modality and environment as of the last day of the report month, summarizing clinic activities during the month and the number of Admission and Discharge Reports submitted for the month.*

The Division of Scientific and Program Information of NIDA receives and processes the information submitted each month on the CODAP forms. One of the files which have been generated by NIDA is the 1975 CODAP Edited Statistical Historical Clients (CESHC) file, consisting essentially of matched Admission and Discharge Reports for all clients discharged from reporting clinics during the calendar year 1975. The original 1975 CESHC file contained records for almost 203,000 clients. But for the purpose of computing the outcome measure values in this volume, only clients whose admission to drug treatment was voluntary were considered, resulting in a file containing 116,232 records of clients treated in four modality/environment combinations in 1,274 clinics.

Interpretation and comparison of the values on outcome measures based on CODAP data in

More detailed discussion of these forms, along with definitions of terms and instructions for completion, are available in the Client Oriented Data Acquisition Process: Instruction Manual and Handbook. Rockville, Maryland: National Institute on Drug Abuse, January, 1977. Terminology in this supplement is based on instructions and usage in that 1977 version of the CODAP Handbook.

Persons familiar with the earlier (1974) version of CODAP will notice that the CODAP Activity Report (ACR) is not discussed here since it is no longer a part of the system. Discussions of the ACR form in the Manual for Self-Evaluation were written before the latest (1977) version of CODAP became operational. All references to the Activity Report in the Manual for Self-Evaluation may be disregarded without affecting the usefulness of those instructions and without affecting the comparability of values in the tables in this volume with those computed from figures on 1977 version CODAP forms.

this modified 1975 CESHC file are subject to several limitations in the CODAP data. While the response rate and quality of data for reporting clinics is considered excellent, some inconsistencies and incomplete reporting do occur as a result of changes from month to month in the universe of reporting clinics and variations in accuracy and consistency stemming from employee turnover, differential program emphasis on drug abuse treatment, and level of federal funding for programs relative to other sources. Furthermore, the CODAP data may not be representative of the drug abusing population in the United States. Clinics receiving no federal funds are not required to report (though many do) and there is even a small population of nonreporting federallyfunded clinics. On the other hand, the large number of clinics consistently reporting to CODAP does provide a virtually complete picture of the drug treatment situation in federally-funded clinics and, since federal funds underwrite such a significant proportion of the national treatment effort, particularly for opiate abuse, the CODAP data are extremely useful for generating outcome measure values which fairly portray the current level of drug treatment program performance across the nation.

NATIONAL VALUES AND SACRED COWS

To the extent that the agencies comprising the CODAP data base represent all regions of the country and a variety of treatment programs, values for treatment outcome measures computed using CODAP represent national comparison figures. But a word of caution is appropriate here. Figures you compute for your program may be greater or lesser than the CODAP national values, they may differ a great deal or only slightly from the CODAP values, and your figures may fluctuate over time around the CODAP national values, being larger one month and smaller the next. We will discuss below some ways to help you understand these kinds of differences from the national values. Even so, there is nothing sacred about these CODAP figures-they merely summarize what was going on in federally-funded programs around the country during a fairly long time period. Like any summary figures, the national rates for all the measures ignore a great deal of important information for the sake of brevity. Be cautious, therefore, in comparing rates for your program with the national figures based on CODAP. Any differences may be largely a result of some factors in your situation (e.g., clientele, community, etc.) that are ignored by the national values.

On the other hand, the CODAP national figures

are based on data from more than a single program and they do reflect some similarity between programs in different parts of the country and over a long period of time so that regional differences and seasonal changes are evened out somewhat. That is, the national rates do contain a grain, at least, of truth. Hence, do not be too quick to dismiss differences between the national values and figures for your own program on the grounds that the CODAP values ignore your unique circumstances. While the CODAP figures do have limitations and you should use them for comparison cautiously, differences between your program's rates and the CODAP national values may indicate that there are real problems in your program that need attention.

The CODAP national figures in this volume will become progressively more inapplicable as time passes for two reasons. The data on which they are based were collected over a fairly long time period, but that time period has ended and the interval since collection becomes increasingly long. Also, assuming that you and programs like yours improve program performance, the "true"

national rates will climb upward over the next several years. For these reasons, then, you should attempt to build your own data base by keeping records of your program's rates for long-term comparison. The national rates are included here primarily as guidelines to help you more meaningfully interpret your own program's rates the first few times you compute them. While it will always be important and helpful to relate your program to other similar programs elsewhere, the national rates computed from CODAP and included here will serve this purpose less well after a year or two and, in the absence of updated CODAP information, you will gain more by making comparisons with your own program's earlier performance.

Finally, some of the measures for which national figures are provided may have no meaning for your program (e.g., the unemployment rate for the cohort in treatment one month in a therapeutic community, or the successful completion rate in a methadone maintenance program). For measures which have no relation to the goals and objectives of your program, ignore any CODAP national comparison figures.

CHAPTER II

FORMAT OF CODAP TABLES

ARRANGEMENT OF TABLES OF CODAP FIGURES

This supplement includes four appendices of tables, appendix A through appendix D. Each appendix and its tables applies only to one combination of treatment modality and environment. There is no table or set of tables that presents values for all types of treatment modalities combined together. The tables within each appendix are organized in exactly the same way for all modalities. Appendix A and its tables will be used to illustrate the following discussion, but the points covered will apply to all the tables because the arrangement within all the appendices is identical.

One Treatment Modality/ Environment Combination in Each Appendix

Each appendix provides CODAP comparison data for only one specific treatment modality and environment combination according to the following listing:

Appendix A: Outpatient Methadone Maintenance (MM)

Drug Free Residential

Appendix B:

(Therapeutic) Communities (TC)

Outpatient Drug Free (DFOP) Appendix C: Appendix D:

Outpatient Detoxification

(DTOP)

If your program has only one treatment modality, you need only refer to the appendix which most closely matches your modality/ environment combination (although you should follow this discussion using the appendix A examples until you become familiar with the tables). If you have a multimodality program, you may compute any measure for your overall program, but you can use the tables for comparison only if you compute the measure separately for each of your modality/ environment combinations corresponding to the ones listed above.

The Standard Six Tables in Each Appendix (Modality/Environment)

Each appendix, regardless of modality/ environment, has six tables, all arranged identically regardless of the measures presented. Every table has in its title the word "Table," followed by the letter for its appendix which denotes treatment modality ("A" for methadone maintenance, "B" for therapeutic community, etc.), followed by a number between one and six. The number denotes the table contents, identical for every appendix, according to the following listing:

Table (letter)-1: Comparison Data from CODAP on Unemployment, Drug Use, and Alcohol Abuse at Discharge from (specific modality) Programs.

Table (letter)-2: Comparison Data from CODAP on Types of Discharges as Percentages of All Discharges from (specific modality) Programs.

Table (letter)-3: Comparison Data from CODAP on Unemployment, Drug Use, and Successful Completions for Discharges from (specific modality) Programs Within 1 Month.

Table (letter)-4: Comparison Data from CODAP on Unemployment, Drug Use, and Successful Completions for Discharges from (specific modality) Programs Within 1 to 2 Months. Table (letter)-5: Comparison Data from CODAP on Unemployment, Drug Use, and Successful Completions for Discharges from (specific modality) Programs Within 2 to 4 Months.

Table (letter)-6: Comparison Data from CODAP on Unemployment, Drug Use, and Successful Completions for Discharges from (specific modality) Programs Within 4 to 6 Months.

The (letter) and (specific modality) inserts in the titles above are written out in the tables, depending on the treatment modality involved. Figure 1 illustrates how the table titles numbered "1" and "3" differ between appendices because of differing treatment modalities, yet describe the same table contents within each set of identically numbered tables.

The Standard Columns in Each Table

Below each table title are three sets of

columns. Beginning on the left, the first set of four columns in every table contains subgrouping information; the next column indicates the number of clinics on which each line's outcome measure values are based; the remaining columns in every table comprise the third set and contain the values computed for the CODAP outcome measures in each table.

Labels for Subgroup Characteristics

In every table, the four leftmost columns are labeled "Drug Usage Pattern," "Race," "Age Group," and "Sex." Information below these four column labels serves to identify comparison subgroups within the types of treatment modality-environment discussed above, for all clients regardless of time in treatment and for four time-in-treatment cohorts. The tables in this volume provide for you CODAP comparison values for some of the most important but not all the possible subgroups based on categories of these four characteristics so that you can determine if subgroups in your program differ from similar subgroups in CODAP on the outcome measures.

Table A-1	Comparison Data from CODAP on Unemployment, Drug Use, and Alcohol Abuse at Discharge from Outpatient Methadone Maintenance Programs	Page A-1.04
Table B-1	Comparison Data from CODAP on Unemployment, Drug Use, and Alcohol Abuse at Discharge from Drug Free Residential (Therapeutic) Communities	Page B-1.04
Table C-1	Comparison Data from CODAP on Unemployment, Drug Use, and Alcohol Abuse at Discharge from Outpatient Drug Free Programs	Page C-1.04
Table D-1	Comparison Data from CODAP on Unemployment, Drug Use, and Alcohol Abuse at Discharge from Outpatient Detoxification Programs	Page D-1,04
Table A-3	Comparison Data from CODAP on Unemployment, Drug Use and Successful Completions for Discharges from Outpatient Methadone Maintenance Programs Within 1 Month	Page A-3.20
Table B-3	Comparison Data from CODAP on Unemployment, Drug Use, and Successful Completions for Discharges from Drug Free Residential (Therapeutic) Communities Within 1 Month	Page B-3.20
Table C-3	Comparison Data from CODAP on Unemployment, Drug Use, and Successful Completions for Discharges from Outpatient Drug Free Programs Within 1 Month	Page C-3.20
Table D-3	Comparison Data from CODAP on Unemployment, Drug Use, and Successful Completions for Discharges from Outpatient Detoxification Programs Within 1 Month	Page D-3.20

Figure 1. Actual titles of CODAP tables illustrating the numbering system for describing identical table contents across different treatment modality appendices.

Number of Clinics

The fifth column from the left in every table is labeled "Number of Clinics." Printed on each line in this column is the number of clinics in the modified 1975 CESHC file with five or more clients who match the subgroup description in the columns to the left and whose Discharge Reports were used to calculate the outcome measure values in the columns to the right. If no number appears on a line, no clinic had five clients discharged during 1975, all of whom belonged to the subgroup described in the columns on the left; hence, no values on any measure to the right could be computed.

If the "Number of Clinics" is greater than zero but less than 5, subgroup values on the outcome measures for the indicated clinics were computed and analyzed, only to reveal sets of erratic values produced by the arithmetic of small numbers discussed in the instructional volume.* To the extent that the CODAP tables are intended to represent normal performance of drug abuse treatment programs nationwide, summary values for the small subgroups in these sets of only one to four clinics can be misleading. As a result, no outcome measure values have been printed following lines where the "Number of Clinics" entry is less than five.

Finally, if "5" or more appears in the "Number of Clinics" column, values are presented in three columns under each measure label in the table. The middle value of the three for each measure is the midpoint, or "median," of all the "Number of Clinics" subgroup values calculated from the modified 1975 CESHC file. Half the CODAP clinic subgroup values for each measure were higher and half were lower than this median value printed in the middle column under that measure label. The other two values for each measure serve to give an idea of what is the range of outcome measure values among the indicated number of CODAP clinics with the required number of clients in the type of subgroup described on the particular line. One-fourth of all the CODAP clinics with subgroups matching the description for a line had values on each outcome measure lower than the value printed to the left of each measure's median. Correspondingly, one-fourth of all the CODAP clinics' subgroups had values that were higher than the value printed to the right of each outcome measure median. While these three values are printed for each measure on any line in the tables where the "Number of Clinics" is five or more, the arithmetic of small numbers applies

until the entry in the "Number of Clinics" column indicates that values for thirty or more clinics were computed. Comparisons between your program's values and the CODAP figures will reflect fluctuations due to arithmetic more and the effectiveness of treatment less as the "Number of Clinics" on which the CODAP values are based gets smaller, particularly when the "Number of Clinics" is less than ten.

Labels of Outcome Measures

Beginning with the sixth column from the left in every table, labels of different measures are printed above their respective sets of three columns of values. The measures for which values have been computed from CODAP are also arranged in the tables according to a standard pattern for all the appendices. Regardless of treatment modality, any table numbered "I" (e.g., Table A-1, Table B-1, etc.) contains values for measures labeled:

- 1. Unemployed as a Percentage of All Discharges.
- 2. Drug Free as a Percentage of All Discharges.
- Opiate Free as a Percentage of All Discharges.
- 4. Alcohol Abusers as a Percentage of All Discharges.

In every appendix, the table numbered "2" (e.g., Table C-2) includes measures with the following labels:

- Successful Completions.
- Left Program Voluntarily.
- All Other Terminations.

The remaining four tables in any appendix contain information on four time-in-treatment cohorts. Because part of the usefulness of cohorts lies in our being able to compare them with each other, the tables containing cohort rates all have the same four measures. Thus, any table numbered "3," "4," "5," or "6" (e.g., Table A-3, or Table D-4, etc.) has the following measures:

- 1. Unemployed as a Percentage of All Discharges.
- 2. Drug Free as a Percentage of All Discharges.

^{*} See Manual for Self-Evaluation, page 55.

- Opiate Free as a Percentage of All Discharges.
- Successful Completions as a Percentage of All Discharges.

SUBGROUP BREAKDOWNS IN THE TABLES

The four columns whose labels were listed above describe the subgroups for which outcome measure values are presented in the tables. For convenience in reading the tables, all the same subgroups are designated using an identical arrangement in every table.

The Standard Categories of Each Subgroup Characteristic

In every table, the same categories are distinguished for each subgroup characteristic.

Intake Drug Usage Pattern

Treatment outcomes have been shown to be different for persons whose initial drug usage problem differs. In the tables, the following distinctions have been made in intake drug usage pattern:

- Daily use of opiates only (DA-OP).
- Daily use of opiates and frequent use of other drugs, including alcohol (DA-OP+).
- Less than daily use of opiates and frequent use of other drugs, including alcohol (LDA-OP+).
- No use of opiates but problematic use of other drugs, including alcohol (NON-OP).

The symbols (DA-OP, DA-OP+, etc.) in parentheses above are used in the tables to specify the subgrouping by intake drug usage pattern. These distinctions indicate primarily, but not exclusively, illicit drug usage; however, nonopiate drug usage that is licit (e.g., prescription drugs) but abusive and problematic is also included.

Race

In the tables, the following distinctions have been made in racial-ethnic characteristics:

- Black (B)
- 2. Puerto-Rican (PR) (includes Cuban)
- 3. Mexican-American (MA)
- 4. White, not Hispanic (W)

Age Groups

The following age groupings have been used in the tables:

- 1. Under 18
- 2. 18-21
- 3. 22-25
- 4. Over 25

Sex

Male (M) and female (F) categories are also included in the tables.

Subgroup Branching in the Tables

As discussed in the instructional volume of this manual, the eighteen categories listed above of treatment modality/intake drug usage pattern/race-ethnicity/age group/and sex, plus additional categories for time-intreatment cohorts, make it possible to construct far more subgroups than any program has clients to match or time to compute and compare outcome measure values. In all the tables in all the appendices in this volume, only a limited number of possible subgroupings are distinguished. Figure 2 illustrates the complete set of subgroupings used in every table in all the appendices. The first set of labels of the four subgrouping characteristics and their columns on the left side of figure 2 appear on the first page of every table in the appendices and the set of four headings and their columns on the right side of figure 2 are printed on the second (and last) page of every table in the appendices. (Numbering of the lines in figure 2 is included for this discussion only and is not printed in the appendix tables.)

The reason for ordering the subgroup characteristics as they are listed above is that analysis of the drug abuse treatment outcome data from the Drug Abuse Reporting Program (DARP) and of other data reported in the drug abuse research literature has revealed that most differences on any treatment outcome measure between categories of the subgroup characteristics listed last, such as age and sex, can be attributed to the fact that there are also differences among the subgroups on characteristics listed previously, such as modality, time-in-treatment, and intake drug usage pattern. As a result, differences on outcome measures between males and females tend to disappear when we take into account the ways in which their drug usage differed before treatment. Similarly, differences on

outcome measures between younger and older drug abusers can be substantially reduced by first taking into account differences in the treatment modalities they experienced, differences in their drug usage patterns at intake, and certain differences in their racial and ethnic backgrounds.

Notice that "FOR TOTAL PROGRAM" is printed on the first line under the first page set of labels (on the left side of figure 2). This caption means that no distinctions have been made in any of the subgroup characteristics labeled in the column headings. Recall, however, that each appendix contains

values only for a single treatment modality so that values on the line "FOR TOTAL PROGRAM" have been calculated based only on clients in the treatment modality and environment program specified in the table title. Furthermore, all subsequent subgroupings in any table are made only within the treatment modality and environment specified in the table title.

Lines 2 through 5 on the left of figure 2 describe four intake drug usage pattern sub-groups within each table's modality-environment type; no further subgrouping by race, age group, or sex occurs on these lines.

	Subgroup branching on first page of every table					Subgroup branching on second page of every table					
	DRUG					DRUG					
	USAGE		AGE			USAGE		AGE			
	PAITERN	RACE	GROUP	<u>SEX</u>		PATTERN	RACE	GROUP	SEX		
1	FOI	R TOTAL PE	ROGRAM		1	NON-OP	В				
					2		PR				
2	DA-OP				3		M-A				
3	DA-OP+				4		W				
4	LDA-OP+				_						
5	NON-OP				5	DA-OP		UNDER 18			
					6			18-21			
6		B PR			7			22-25			
7					8			OVER 25			
8 9		M-A W			9	DA-OP+		UNDER 18			
ð		VV			10	UA-UFT		18-21			
10			UNDER 18		11			22-25			
11			18-21		12			0VER 25			
12			22-25		'2			UVEN 25			
13			0VER 25		13	LDA-OP+		UNDER 18			
10			OVEN 23		14	LUA-UI I		18-21			
14				М	15			22-25			
15				F	16			OVER 25			
16	DA-OP	В			17	NON-OP		UNDER 18			
47	571 51	PR			18	11011 01		18-21			
18		M-A			19			22-25			
19		w			20			OVER 25			
20	DA-OP+	В			21	DA-OP			М		
21		PR			22				F		
22		M-A						•			
23		W			23	DA-OP+			M		
24	LDA-OP+	В			24				F		
24 25	LUM-UT+	PR B			25	LDA-OP+			М		
26		M·A			26	LUA-UFT			F		
27		W W			40				г		
		••			27	NON-OP			M		
					28				F		

Figure 2. Format used in all CODAP tables to identify the subgroup to which a line of outcome measure values applies.

Subgroups based only on four categories of race-ethnicity (within each table's modality-environment type) are described on the left side lines 6-9 of figure 2; for all tables in appendix A, then, these four lines describe the following modality/race subgroups: MM/B, MM/PR, MM/M-A, MM/W. Similarly, lines 10-13 and lines 14 and 15 on the left side of figure 2 describe subgroups distinguished on *only* the characteristics modality/age group and modality/sex, respectively.

Beginning with line 16 on the left side of figure 2, three levels of subgrouping are used. The first level is, of course, the modality-environment type specified in each table title. At the second level, the four categories of intake drug usage pattern are distinguished: once in lines 16-27 on the left side and continued in lines 1-4 on the right side of figure 2, once again in right side lines 5-20, and a third time in lines 21-28 on the right side of figure 2. Within each of these three sets of four intake drug usage pattern categories, third level subgroupings are constructed successively, based on the categories of only one of each Of the other three subgroup characteristics. Thus, the labeling for lines 16-19 on the left side of figure 2 describes the following subgroups:

Line 16: (Modality)/DA-OP/B. Line 17: (Modality)/DA-OP/PR. Line 18: (Modality)/DA-OP/M-A. Line 19: (Modality)/DA-OP/W.

Similarly, lines 17-20 on the right side of figure 2 describe the following subgroups:

Line 17: (Modality)/NON-OP/UNDER 18. Line 18: (Modality)/NON-OP/18-21. Line 19: (Modality)/NON-OP/22-25. Line 20: (Modality)/NON-OP/OVER 25.

And also on the right side of figure 2, lines 23-24 describe the subgroups:

Line 23: (Modality)/DA-OP+/M. Line 24: (Modality)/DA-OP+/F.

As in these examples, the modality and intake drug usage pattern categories in the tables remain constant as distinctions are made in race-ethnicity, age group, or sex, even though the modality type is not printed on any line in the tables and the drug usage category is printed only once for each set of categories of the third-level characteristic. With these two exceptions, absence of a printed category in the column for a

characteristic means that the characteristic is not being accounted for in the subgroup described on a line. Thus, the absence of printed categories in the columns for race and age group on lines 23 and 24, right side of figure 2, indicates that these two characteristics are not distinguished and results in the subgroups based only on modality/drug usage pattern/sex in the last example above.

Time-in-Treatment Cohorts

Tables numbered "3," "4," "5," and "6" in all appendices add what is essentially another level of subgrouping, based on the following categories of time in treatment:

- Less than or equal to four weeks in treatment (1 MONTH).
- More than four weeks, less than or equal to eight weeks in treatment (1 TO 2 MONTHS).
- More than eight weeks, less than or equal to 16 weeks in treatment (2 TO 4 MONTHS).
- 4. More than 16 weeks, less than or equal to 24 weeks in treatment (4 TO 6 MONTHS).

The same four column labels and the same format for identifying subgroups discussed above are used in the tables of CODAP values for time-in-treatment cohorts in all four appendices. As a result, the discussion about subgroup branching above is also applicable for all the time-in-treatment cohort tables, simply by adding the time-in-treatment distinction specified in each table title to the modality-environment distinction, also specified in each table title. Thus, the left side lines 6-9 in figure 2 describe the following race-ethnicity subgroups within the time-in-treatment cohort of Table A-3:

- 1. MM/1 MONTH/B,
- 2. MM/1 MONTH/PR,
- 3. MM/1 MONTH/M-A, and
- 4. MM/1 MONTH/W;

while the same race-ethnicity subgroups are distinguished on the same lines, but within a different modality and time-in-treatment cohort in table C-4:

- 1. DFOP/1 TO 2 MONTHS/B,
- 2. DFOP/1 TO 2 MONTHS/PR,
- 3. DFOP/1 TO 2 MONTHS/M-A, and
- 4. DFOP/1 TO 2 MONTHS/W.

CHAPTER III

COMPARISON WITH CODAP VALUES

DEFINITIONS OF THE MEASURES

In the previous chapter, labels were listed for the measures whose values appear in the CODAP tables. The wording in these labels is designed to identify the outcome characteristic of interest (e.g., unemployment, successful completion, etc.) and the base number used for the percentage calculation. Until you become familiar with these labels, however, it may not be obvious to which measure in the instructional volume of this manual a label refers. Therefore, presented below are the labels used in the tables and their matching measures from the Manual for Drug Abuse Treatment Program Self-Evaluation, along with the formula for each measure and definitions of the symbols.

Discussion in the instructional manual about limitations and interpretation of the measures is not repeated here, and it is recommended that you review those comments before comparing values for your program with the CODAP values. The numbers preceding each formula identifies the page in the Manual for Self-Evaluation where discussion of that measure begins.

Unemployed as a Percentage of All Discharges

E' + E' = number of clients in treatment on the last day of the month who were employed full or part time at any time during the month.

> N' = total number of clients in treatment on the last day of the month (CFS Item 10).

(19) Percent Unemployed

$$= \frac{N' - (E_f' + E_p')}{N'} \times 100.$$

Drug Free as a Percentage of All Discharges

P'u = number of clients in treatment on the last day of the month who each had at least one positive urine during the month for any drug.

N'_d = total number of clients in treatment on the last day of the month who each received urinalysis tests one or more times during the report month.

(25) Percent Drug-Free

$$= \frac{N_d' - P_u'}{N_d'} \times 100 .$$

Opiate Free as a Percentage of All Discharges

0' = number of clients in treatment on the last day of the month who each had at least one positive urine during the month for nonprescription opiates.

All outcome measures in this volume can be calculated from information on any version of either the CODAP Client Flow Summary (CFS) form or the Client Discharge Report (DR). Many definitions of symbols here are followed by the initials of the "Rev. 10-76" version CODAP form where data for the formula can be found, while symbols in the instructional manual are keyed to "Rev. 9-74" version CODAP forms. Values computed from data on both versions of CODAP forms can be compared directly to values of outcome measures in the tables based on CODAP data.

- N' = total number of clients in treatment on the last day of the month who each received urinalysis tests one or more times during the report month.
- (22) Percent Opiate-Free

$$=\frac{N_{d}^{1}-0^{1}}{N_{d}^{1}}\times 100$$
.

Alcohol Abusers as a Percentage of All Discharges

- AA' = number of clients in treatment on the last day of the month who each had an alcohol abuse problem at any time during the month.
- N' = total number of clients in treatment on the last day of the month (CFS Item 10).
- (26) Percent Abusing Alcohol

$$= \frac{AA'}{N'} \times 100.$$

Successful Completions

- S' = number of Discharge Reports submitted at
 the end of the month for clients considered to have successfully completed
 treatment (DR Item 7 codes 01 and 02).
- T' = total number of Discharge Reports submitted at the end of the month (CFS Item 8 plus CFS Item 9).
- (30) Successful Completions as a Percentage of All Terminations

$$= \frac{S_t'}{T} \times 100 .$$

Left Program Voluntarily

- V' = number of Discharge Reports submitted at the end of the month for clients classified as having voluntarily left before completing treatment (DR Item 7 code 07)
- T' = total number of Discharge Reports submitted at the end of the month (CFS Item 8 plus CFS Item 9).
- (31) Clients Leaving Voluntarily as a Percentage of All Terminations

$$= \frac{V_t'}{T!} \times 100.$$

All Other Terminations

- S' = number of Discharge Reports submitted at
 the end of the month for clients con sidered to have successfully completed
 treatment (DR Item 7 codes 01 and 02).
- V' = number of Discharge Reports submitted at the end of the month for clients classified as having voluntarily left before completing treatment (DR Item 7 code 07).
- (32) "All Other" Terminations as a Percentage of All Terminations

$$= \frac{T' - (S'_t + V'_t)}{T'} \times 100 .$$

Effect of Different Percentage Bases

The base number for the percentage calculations of all values computed from the modified 1975 CESHC file and summarized in the tables in this volume is different from the base numbers defined for the formulas above.* The base number for the CODAP table values is defined as:

T' = total number of Discharge Reports submitted by a clinic for all clients belonging to the subgroup of interest and discharged during the calendar year 1975.

For comparisons between the three type-ofdischarge outcome measures in the tables and your program's values computed using the

The base numbers for all formulas above except those dealing with types of termination could be found on the Activity Report (ACR) of the 1974 version of CODAP. The fact that the ACR no longer exists neither alters the usefulness here of the formulas in the Manual for Self-Evaluation nor does it affect the comparability of values computed from figures on the latest version CODAP forms with values found in the CODAP tables later in this supplement. If you use the worksheet suggested in the instructional manual, you can determine the base numbers for the formulas above simply by summing the marks in columns whose labels correspond to the symbols in the formulas above.

matching formulas above, the difference in base numbers should have no significant effect. Since the table values are based on an entire year's discharges, seasonal variations are evened out. Values for your program subgroups, on the other hand, may vary more from the table values during certain seasons than during others if you base your calculations on shorter time periods, such as months. But such seasonal variations can be expected to have no net effect on comparison with the table values. That is, if your program is less effective than similar CODAP programs, your outcome measure values will generally fall on the side of the table values that indicates less effective performance, although the size of the difference between the table and your values may be smaller during one season and larger during another period.

The base number for percentage calculations in the tables in this volume is restricted to discharged clients because data on clients still in treatment on the last day of a month is nowhere available in the CODAP files in a form that allows subgrouping by intake drug usage pattern, race-ethnicity, age, sex, or even time in treatment. Therefore, in order to make your computed values strictly comparable to the table values, you also must count in the formulas above only the clients discharged during a month, year, or some other period. But there are three compelling reasons for your using all clients in treatment on the last day of the month in your calculations. First, all programs participating in CODAP must routinely compile monthly reports on clients remaining in treatment as well as on discharged clients; a method like the one using worksheets suggested in the instructional volume makes gathering this information on all clients as easy and almost as quick as the effort needed to complete each month's Discharge Report forms. Second, many more clients usually remain in treatment than are discharged in a month; this larger number of clients available for your calculations provides the opportunity to construct more detailed subgroupings which will be large enough to yield reliable results. On the other hand, programs with few discharges each month may have to wait two or three months just to accumulate enough records for discharged clients to permit calculations with even one or two subgroup distinctions. Finally, basing your calculations on clients still in treatment results in values that reflect more of a continuing status of your program than do the final outcome values based only on Discharge Reports, thus permitting identification of problems before their effects become final and improvements in treatment while at least some of the clients needing them can still benefit.

Comparisons between the table values and your program's values based on all clients still in treatment may be somewhat affected by the difference in base numbers, however, because clients still in treatment will not have had as much opportunity to benefit from treatment as have discharged clients whose average time in treatment will have been longer. For example, it is possible under ideal conditions for a program's value on the unemployment measure based on discharged clients to equal zero; when based on clients still in treatment, the value on the same measure is not likely to equal zero because unemployed clients who have just recently entered treatment and have not had time to benefit from program efforts will always be counted in the unemployment measure formula. Generally, then, your outcome measure values based on clients still in treatment will indicate slightly less favorable performance than do the table values. Unless there is some systematic bias in your admission or discharge policies (e.g., waiting to discharge clients until every treatment outcome is favorable), however, the difference between your values based on all clients still in treatment and the table values should be fairly small. More importantly, that difference will be constant (except for the seasonal variations discussed above) so that comparison of your program's outcome measure trend lines with the table values will reveal the beneficial effects of the discharged CODAP clients' longer times-in-treatment and allow you to correct for this difference when evaluating your outcome measure values.

The best picture of your program's effectiveness can be gained by basing your calculations on the total of all clients remaining in treatment at the end of the month plus all those discharged that month. Indeed, we encourage you to use this base number for calculation of your outcome measures, in which case the difference between table values and your values due to differential times-intreatment will be even smaller. In any case, you should occasionally (e.g., twice a year) calculate values for all the outcome measures only for clients discharged during the period; such values will be directly comparable to the CODAP table values.

INTERPRETING TABLE VALUES

As indicated in the previous chapter, either no figures or a set of three values will be printed in the columns below a measure label on the lines for the different subgroup branchings. The absence of printed figures means either that there were no CODAP clinics with five or more discharged clients who match the subgroup description for that line

or that there were too few (less than 5) clinics to provide reliable summary values.

The middle figure in a set of three figures. printed in the 'MDN' column under a measure label, represents the midpoint of all the values computed for that measure, each value having been based on a clinic's clients belonging to the subgroup described for that line. The label "MDN" is used for such columns because the midpoint of a set of values is the "median." The median serves to divide a set of scores into two halves, one half being the lowest 50 percent of all the values and the other half being the highest 50 percent of all the values. In the tables. then, half the CODAP clinic subgroup values for a measure were higher and half the CODAP clinic subgroup values were lower than the value printed in the 'MDN' column for that measure.

Directionality

In making the comparison between figures for your program and the CODAP values, you must first examine each measure to determine directionality. That is, you must determine for each measure separately whether being above or below the CODAP median value is "good" or "bad." For example, it is usually considered to your program's credit to be below the CODAP median unemployment rate; but if your program is below the median drug-free value based on CODAP (and "drug freeness" is one of your program goals), you may have a problem that needs to be investigated.

Standing Relative to Other Programs

If your program's values differ from the CODAP medians, regardless of the direction of the differences, the magnitude of the differences then becomes an important consideration. Obviously, for a measure on which the figures for your program indicate a better or worse performance than the CODAP medians, the arithmetic (actual number) difference provides an estimation of how much better or worse. But the arithmetic difference between the value for your program and the value based on CODAP is not adequate by itself to portray the importance of the difference between your program's performance and that of similar programs elsewhere. You need to know also how much of a difference makes a difference!

One way to help you decide if the difference between a median value from CODAP and your program's value is important is to compare the value you compute with the individual values of similar programs in CODAP. With the values for all other programs similar to yours available, you could then interpret your own figure and the difference between it and the median value more meaningfully, as illustrated in the following examples.

OUTCOME MEASURE 1: (Best score = 100)

	Value on
Program	measure
1	0
2	0
3	15
4	20
5	30
Your Program	40
(CODAP Median	47)*
7	55
8	60
9	75
10	90
11	90
12	100

Conclusion: Your program, while scoring 7 percent below the median, is doing better than 42 percent (5 of 12) of all the programs.

OUTCOME MEASURE 2: (Best score = 100)

	Value on
Program	measure
1	40
2	43
Your Program	43
4	44
5	45
6	45
(CODAP Median	45)*
7	46
8	47
9	50
10	53
11	55
12	60

Conclusion: Even though your program's rate is higher here and closer to the median (2 percent below it), you are not doing as well

All values in the CODAP tables are truncated without rounding at the decimal point (i.e., decimals were dropped) to avoid an unwarranted impression of accuracy. The result is that some values in the tables may be as much as 0.9 percent too low.

as 75 percent of all the programs and there is only one program with a lower score.

Comparison of these two examples shows the necessity of considering the magnitude of differences between a median and your own program's values. Further, these examples illustrate the fact that the simple arthmetic difference alone is insufficient because, although the CODAP median is expressed as a percentage (range 0 to 100), it actually summarizes a set of values that may not cover the entire range possible and that usually are not evenly spaced over the range they do cover. While examining the individual values of all other programs similar to yours would allow you to avoid these problems, reporting such values is not practical because of space limitations.

The tables of CODAP values included in this volume do provide a substitute, however, which can provide a rough estimate of the importance of differences between the median CODAP values and your program's values. On either side of the median value printed in the column labeled "MDN" under each measure label appear two numbers, one to the left of the median in a column labeled "L 25%," the other to the right of the median in a column labeled "U 75%." These figures tell us that half (50 percent) of the values on each measure computed from the CODAP data for the subgroup described on that line fell between those two printed values while half of all the values fell outside the range included between the two figures. Furthermore, half of the values outside the indicated range (25) percent of all the subgroup values on each measure) were equal to or less than the number in the column labeled "L 25%" and half were equal to or greater than the number in the column labeled "U 75%." The entries in the CODAP tables for the two example outcome measures above would be printed in the following format:

OUTCO L_25%	ME MEA _MON_	SURE 1 U_75%	OUTCOME MEASURE 2 L 25% MDN U 75%
•	•	•	
•	* • .	. •	•
•	•	.•	
17	47	82	43 45 51

These figures labeled "L 25%" and "U 75%" serve to set off the lowest and highest fourths, or quartiles, of all the values computed on each measure from CODAP for the subgroup described on each line. Referring

again to the example above where individual program values were listed for Outcome Measure 1, we can set off the lowest scoring three programs (one-fourth of 12 programs) and the highest scoring three programs by inserting the "L 25%: and "U 75%" values from the table format above:

OUTCOME MEASURE 1: (Best Score = 100)

Program	Value on measure
1 2 3	0
3	15
L 25%	17
4 5 Your Program	20 30 40
(CODAP Median	47)
7 8 9	55 60 75
U 75%	82
10 11 12	90 90 100

As you can see in this example, the median value also falls between the sixth (Your Program) and seventh individual program values, so that it further divides the middle six programs into the two middle quartiles. Thus the median and "L 25%" and "U 75%" values printed in the tables divide the sets of CODAP values for the outcome measures into euqal fourths or quartiles. As a result, you can determine not only whether your program's performance is "better" or "worse" than the performance of similar programs elsewhere but also how you stand relative to three-fourths (75 percent) of all those other programs.

As long as values you compute for your program fall within the "L 25%" and "U 75%" limits, differences between your values and the CODAP median values are about the same as those experienced by about half the CODAP programs. Of course, you won't mind doing better than the middle half of all the programs, so your only real concern will be that your value be between the "bad" side figure and the CODAP median or anywhere on the "good" side of the median value.

Another helpful feature of the three quartile limits is to tell you something about changes you can expect if you try to "better" your

own program's performance. If your program's value is outside the "bad" side limit, you should be able to improve your program's performance relatively easily, perhaps by eliminating unneeded tasks or even simply by revising your goals upward, since at least 75 percent of all CODAP programs like yours have done better. If your program's value on a measure lies within the two outside quartile limits, do not be surprised if your values fluctuate around the CODAP median over time regardless of any additional effort because of factors over which you have no control; however, some additional effort may help minimize these fluctuations and keep most of your future values on the "good" side of the national median. Finally, if you wish to perform consistently as well as the "best" quarter of all CODAP programs and your program is not already there, anticipate that an unusual amount of time, effort, and money may be required to reach that high level of performance, based on the observation that 75 percent of all CODAP programs did not achieve it during 1975.

Finally, you can use the median and the "L 25%" and "U 75%" figures in the CODAP tables to arrive at roughly the same kinds of conclusions we earlier gave in the lists above of individual program values for two example outcome measures: on neither measure is "Your Program" doing any better than half of all programs and the situation is worse for Outcome Measure 2 because 'Your Program's' value places it in the lowest fourth of all programs. In this way, you can use the CODAP median values and the figures for the upper and lower quartile limits to interpret values on outcome measures that you compute for your own program and to determine your program's standing relative to similar programs in the CODAP system.

USEFUL ADAPTATIONS FROM THE CODAP TABLES

In this section, we have extracted some values (medians only) from several tables in

all the appendices which may be useful for practice in reading the appendix tables and which may prove convenient for quick reference. The "L 25%" and "U 75%" quartile limits are not included in the tables in this section but may be found in the appropriate appendix tables.

Table 1 medians for outpatient methadone maintenance programs (MM) are extracted from the first line on the first page of appendix table A-1 for the first four outcome measures and from the first line on the first page of appendix table A-2 for the remaining three outcome measures. Medians in table 1 for the other modality-environments are also extracted from the first lines of the first pages of the corresponding appendix tables: B-1 and B-2 (TC), C-1 and C-2 (DFOP), and D-1 and D-2 (DTOP). Similarly, information in tables 2, 3, 4, and 5 is extracted from successive lines on the first pages of the appropriate modality-environment appendix tables numbered "1" and "2" (e.g., tables A-1 and A-2).

Table 6 has been provided to help you practice reading the appendix tables when more than two levels of subgrouping are used. The medians in table 6 are extracted from lines 16-27 on the first pages of appendix tables A-1 and A-2 and from lines 1-4 on the second pages of the same appendix tables.

If you find tables 1-6 in this section helpful, we encourage you to extract and tabulate values from some or all the appendix tables to suit your needs. For example, repeatedly flipping through a lot of pages in the appendix tables can be avoided by extracting values for several of the measures and tabulating them by time-in-treatment when you wish to compare outcome measure values for several cohorts. Such imaginative adaptation of the information in the appendix tables, when judiciously conducted, will increase the benefits that can be derived from comparison with CODAP data in the self-evaluation process.

Table 1. Median CODAP clinic values on seven outcome measures (based on discharges during 1975), by modality-environment

	Percent unemployed	Percent drug-free	Percent opiate-free	Percent alcohol abusers	Percent successful completions	Percent left program voluntarily	Percent all other terminations
MM	69	52	67	0	2	37	54
тс	91	93	99	0	. 5	60	26
DFOP	65	55	97	4	23	42	20
DTOP	69	57	64	0	18	45	21

Table 2. Median CODAP clinic values on seven outcome measures (based on discharges during 1975), by modality-environment and intake drug usage pattern

	Percent unemployed	Percent drug-free	Percent opiate-free	Percent alcohol abusers	Percent successful completions	Percent left program voluntarily	Percent all other terminations
MM/DA-OP	69	53	63	0	3	38	54
MM/DA-OP+	67	55	65	0	Ó	40	47
MM/LDA-OP+	_	-	_		_	_	_
MM/NON-OP	_	_	_	-	_	-	_
TC/DA-OP	98	97	98	0	0	63	22
TC/DA-OP+	94	98	98	0	0	67	20
TC/LDA-OP+	95	94	100	0	0	60	20
TC/NON-OP	92	94	100	0	5	63	25
DFOP/DA-OP	66	73	81	0	21	37	23
DFOP/DA-OP+	70	69	80	0	10	42	28
DFOP/LDA-OP+	67	50	77	0	10	54	25
DFOP/NON-OP	66	49	100	9	23	46	19
DTOP/DA-OP	69	56	63	0	18	45	21
DTOP/DA-OP+	66	61	67	0	16	44	19
DTOP/LDA-OP+	-	-					-
DTOP/NON-OP		-	_	-		<u></u> .	-

Table 3. Median CODAP clinic values on seven outcome measures (based on discharges during 1975), by modality-environment and race-ethnicity

	Percent unemployed	Percent drug-free	Percent opiate-free	Percent alcohol abusers	Percent successful completions	Percent left program voluntarily	Percent all other terminations
MM/B	71	54	64	g	1	41	50
MM/PR	65	89	90	Ō	8	41	53
MM/M-A	74	40	45	Ō	Ö	30	60
MM/W	65	60	69	0	5	33	55
					-		00
TC/B	95	99	99	0	0	63	23
TC/PR	96	91	96	0	0	67	17
TC/M-A	97	87	99	0	6	40	31
TC/W	91	95	100	0	5	64	22
DFOP/B	71	67	90	0	17	41	23
DFOP/PR	78	67	96	0	11	37	32
DFOP/M-A	79	53	93	0	23	37	25
DFOP/W	63	53	98	6	25	44	19
DTOP/B	69	59	71	0	20	47	. 17
DTOP/PR	80	71	71	. 0	11	30	8
DTOP/M-A	74	29	29	0	6	60	17
DTOP/W	66	58	64	0	18	39	20

Table 4. Median CODAP clinic values on seven outcome measures (based on discharges during 1975), by modality-environment and age group

	Percent unemployed	Percent drug-free	Percent opiate-free	Percent alcohol abusers	Percent successful completions	Percent left program voluntarily	Percent all other terminations
MM/under 18	_	-		_			_
MM/18-21	73	58	67	0	0	50	40
MM/22-25	70	54	64	0	0	40	48
MM/over 25	66	55	66	0	3	33	55
TC/under 18	98	91	100	0	0	58	28
TC/tinder 16	96 94	98	100	0	0	67	21
					Ö		
TC/22-25	91	98	100	0		65	25
TC/over 25	93	96	99	0	0	64	20
DFOP/under 18	82	44	100	7	24	46	14
DFOP/18-21	62	49	98	3	21	49	19
DFOP/22-25	61	57	90	0	19	45	22
DFOP/over 25	60	60	93	3	20	41	22
DTOP/under 18		-		_	-	_	_
DTOP/18-21	78	60	61	Q	17	55	17
DTOP/22-25	69	57	66	0	19	45	20
DTOP/over 25	65	59	67	0	20	40	20

Table 5. Median CODAP clinic values on seven outcome measures (based on discharges during 1975), by modality-environment and sex

	Percent unemployed	Percent drug-free	Percent opiate-free	Percent alcohol abusers	Percent successful completions	Percent left program voluntarily	Percent all other terminations
MM/M	62	53	64	0	2	36	56
MM/F	84	59	65	0	2	35	50
TC/M	90	93	99	0	4	61	26
TC/F	96	99	100	Ō	0	63	21
DEOD/M	61	53	97	6	21	43	22
DFOP/M DFOP/F	75	56	99	2	24	47	17
D1 0171	,,	•		_			
DTOP/M	63	57	66	0	15	45	20
DTOP/F	82	59	64	0	17	48	20

Table 6. Median values on seven outcome measures for outpatient methadone maintenance programs in CODAP clinics (based on discharges during 1975), by intake drug usage pattern and race-ethnicity

	Percent unemployed	Percent drug-free	Percent opiate-free	Percent alcohol abusers	Percent successful completions	Percent left program voluntarily	Percent all other terminations
MM/DA-OP/B	70	55	63	0	1	46	48
MM/DA-OP/PR	69	56	59	0	0	44	28
MM/DA-OP/M-A	73	40	45	0	0	30	64
MM/DA-OP/W	64	58	68	0	6	30	56
MM/DA-OP+/B	73	65	69	0	0	52	40
MM/DA-OP+/PR	_	_		_	, 		
MM/DA-OP+/M-A	58	17	58	0	0	29	60
MM/DA-OP+/W	59	63	79	0	6	33	46
MM/LDA-OP+/B		_	_		_		
MM/LDA-OP+/PR	-		_		_	-	_
MM/LDA-OP+/M-A	***	_	_		_		-
MM/LDA-OP+/W	_	-		· -	-	_	
MM/NON-OP/B			_	_	_		
MM/NON-OP/PR	_	_	_	-	_	_	-
MM/NON-OP/M-A	-		-	_	-	-	-
MM/NON-OP/W	-	_	-	_	-	_	_

• •

APPENDIX A: VALUES ON SELECTED TREATMENT OUTCOME MEASURES FROM CODAP OUTPATIENT METHADONE MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS

A-1.04 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND ALCOHOL TABLE A-1 ABUSE AT DISCHARGE FROM OUTPATIENT METHADONE MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS ALCOHOL ABUSERS AS % OF ALL OPIATE FREE AS % DRUG FREE AS % OF UNEMPLOYED AS % NUMBER DRUG OF ALL DISCHARGES L 25% MDN U 75% DISCHARGES ALL DISCHARGES OF ALL DISCHARGES AGE OF U 75% USAGE L 25% MDN L 25% MON U 75% L 25% MON U 75% PATTERN RACE GROUP SEX CLINICS FOR TOTAL PROGRAM DA-OP DA=OP+ LDA-DP+ NON-OP В PR M-A UNDER18 18-21 22+25 OVER 25 DA-DP Ü PR M-A Û DA-OP+ PR M-A Ò LDA=OP+ PR M-A

TABLE A=1 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND ALCOHOL ABUSE AT DISCHARGE FROM OUTPATIENT METHADONE MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPLOF ALL	DISCI	HARGES	ALL DI	REE AS ISCHARG MDN	ES	OPIATE OF ALL L 25%	DISCH	IARGES	ALCOHO AS % O DISCHA L 25%	F ALL RGES	U 75%
	NON=OP	B Pr M=A W			i												
	DATOP		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		1 57 129 158	67 61 57	79 71 65	88 80 74	29 30 32	58 56 56	81 80 78	33 40 40	60 64 67	86 86 82	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
20	DA#OP+		UNDER18 18+21 22-25 OVER 25		26 63 88	50 58 50	75 70 63	89 77 79	50 33 29	73 62 57	86 88 75	63 50 47	77 71 63	96 91 89	0 0 0	0 0	0
	LDA=OP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25														
	NON=OP		UNDER18 18+21 22+25 OVER 25														
	DA=OP			M F	163 121	50 77	61 82	70 92	33 38	55 60	78 80	40 . 44	65 65	84 87	0	0	0
	DA=OP+			M F	101	48 74	63 83	73 95	31 36	60 56	78 86	44 48	71 71	87 88	0	0	ů 0
	LDA-OP+			H F	1												
	NON=OP			M F	1												

TABLE A=2 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON TYPES OF DISCHARGES AS % OF ALL DISCHARGES FROM DUTPATIENT METHADONE MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	SUCCES COMPLE L 25%		U 75%	LEFT I	PROGRAILY MADN	M 75%	TERMII	THER NATION MDN	s U 75%
	FOR	TOTAL	PROGRAH		331	0	2	11	22	37	56	36	54	70
	DA=OP DA=OP+ LDA=OP+ NON=OP				178 120 3	0	3	11	50 55	3 <i>6</i> 40	55 59	34 33	54 47	69 71
		8 PR M#A W			142 9 36 144	0 0 0	1 8 0 5	11 20 6 16	25 33 19 19	41 41 30 33	61 62 43 54	31 14 46 38	50 53 60 55	65 56 75 73
27			UNDER18 18=21 22=25 DVER 25		77 148 175	0 0 0	0 0 3	13 11 12	29 22 22	50 40 33	67 60 57	21 31 36	40 48 55	60 67 71
				M F	181 134	0	5	10	25 20	36 35	57 59	36 32	56 50	69 73
	DA=OP	B PR M#A W			129 7 32 120	0 0 0	1 0 0 6	12 8 6 17	25 23 21 19	46 44 30 30	62 67 40 50	27 0 50 36	48 28 64 56	63 38 74 75
	DA=OP+	B PR M=A W			80 4 6 50	0 0 0	0 6	9 0 16	0 19	52 29 33	64 67 58	24 13 29	40 60 46	57 71 67
	LDA-OP4	F B PR M≖A			1									

DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	SUCCES COMPLE L 25%		U 75%		PRUGRA Tarily HDN		ALL O TERMI L 25%	THER NATION MDN	s U 75%
NON=OP	B PR M=A W			1									
DA-OP		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		1 57 129 158	0 0 0	0 0 3	14 14 11	25 19 23	50 42 38	75 58 56	21 29 31	38 49 51	63 67 69
DA-OP+		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		26 63 88	0 0 0	0 0	13 10 14	20 32 17	63 47 43	78 67 60	13 16 27	22 41 48	55 60 69
LDA=OP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25								·			
NON-OP		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25											
DA=DP			M F	163 121	0	2	11 15	27 20	37 36	59 60	34 29	53 47	68 67
DA=OP+			M F	101	0	4	13 13	21 29	43 48	62 67	29 19	44 40	69 67
LDA-OP+			M F	1									
NON-OP			M F	1									

23

		TABLE	E A=3 C(F(JMPAI JR D	RISON DAT ISCHARGES	A FROM FROM O	C.O.D	.A.P. N IENT ME	N UNEMF	PLOYME!	NT, DRU TENANCE	G USE, Progra	AND SI	JCCESSF THIN 1	UL COMP MONTH SUCCES	LETIO	
i	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%		AS % HARGES U 75%		REE AS ISCHAR MON		OPIATE OF ALL L 25%			PLETIO	NS AS	% OF
	FOR	TOTAL	PROGRAM		66	65	74	82	33	58	82	36	60	90	0	0	0
1	DA#NP DA#NP+ LDA#OP+ NDN#OP				47 25	62 64	74 74	88 87	29 33	58 60	80 91	36 44	62 80	88 98	0	0	0
		B PR M=A			43 1 1	65	7 5	86	24	60	85	33	66	92	0	0	0
)		W			55	51	67	80	25	67	80	38	80	96	0	0	0
			UNDER18		,		4.0	75	0	52	100	O	95	100	0	0	20
			18-21		6	57	60	75 83	13	50	89	13	50	98	Ö	ō	0
			22=25 DVFR 25		29 41	63 58	75 71	82	59	63	82	33	67	89	ŏ	Ö	0
				М	54	60	68	77	30	57	81	33	67	92	0	0	0
				F	55	78	86	89	20	50	85	38	67	98	0	0	0
	DA+OP	B PR			51 3 4	60	. 71	86	15	50	82	18	60	90	0	0	0
		M-A W			27	60	73	83	17	44	77	50	56	91	0	0	. 0
	DA#DP+	B PR M=A			21	63	78	88	24	63	89	40	67	90	. 0	.0	0
		M = A			7	20	44	60	0	20	78	0	50	97	0	0	3

23

LDA-OP+ B

M=A

TABLE A=3 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.D.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT METHADONE MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS WITHIN 1 MONTH

	DRUG										.,	1 ((()())	H CHIA	TIMIN I			
	USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	sex	NUMBER OF CLINICS	OF ALI	LOYED L DISC MDN	AS % HARGES U 75%	ALL D	ISCHAL	AS % OF RGES U 75%	OF AL	E FHE L DIS HDN	E AS % CHARGES U 75%	SUCCE PLETI ALL D L 25%	ONS AS Ischar	X OF
	NON=OP	B Pr M=A W													****	****	******
	DA⇔⊕P		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		4 29 52	60 62	67 75	80 88	17 22	50 43	77 76	17 29	56 60	88 83	0	0	0
24	DA#OP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		3 ! 1 15	50 41	63 62	83 77	0 20	86	100	83	97	100	0	0	0
	LDA=DP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25			•	~L	,,	20	50	86	20	86	92	0	0	0
	NON=OP		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 DVER 25														
	DA-DP			M F	68 24	57 73	68 81	81 90	19 25	44 50	80 80	20 25	52 60	88 81	0	0	0
	DA=OP+			H F	27 5	50 66	63 82	80 86	33 0	67 34	88 100	4 <i>0</i>	86 97	99 100	0	0	0 14
	LDA=OP+			4												-	
	40 + 00		A 5	4													

TABLE A=4 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT METHADONE MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS WITHIN 1 TO 2 MONTHS

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	DICE	AGE	er Y	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%	DYED DISC MDN	AS % HARGES U 75%	DRUG I ALL D L 25%	FREE AS ISCHAR MDN	S % OF GES U 75%	OPIATE OF ALL L 25%	DISC	AS % HARGES U 75%	PLETIO ALL DI L 25%	INS AS SCHARG MDN	X OF ES U 75%
	PATIERN	11 A C C	の代目のア	B#4	*****						~~~~			****			*****
	FOR '	TOTAL	PROGRAM		88	60	75	82	22	56	86	33	61	88	0	0	7
	DA=OP DA=OP+ LDA=OP+ NON=OP				70 27	60 65	77 71	85 85	27 35	58 75	83 91	38 38	62 81	89 98	0	0	7 8
		B PR			62 2	59	75	83	38	59	86	40	63	94	0	0	4
		M⇒A ₩			2 3 23	50	67	86	22	57	83	29	63	96	0	0	7
1			UNDER18 18#21 22#25 OVER 25		11 40 53	67 57 57	75 75 74	86 86 83	17 30 23	71 67 60	83 83 86	17 30 33	73 71 70	83 95 88	0 0 0	0	0 8 7
				M F	73 26	56 80	70 87	80 100	30 33	56 62	88 83	38 40	63 67	95 83	0	0	4 8
	DA#OP	B PR			60 3	60	71	80	20	57	83	38	62	88	0	0	5
		M#A W			5 19	68 57	80 72	90 80	0 3	0 31	33 80	0 3	0 40	33 80	0	0	0
	DA#OP+	B PR			25 1	63	79	90	35	78	91	35	83	91	0	0	0
		M-A W			7	30	67	75	0	33	57	0	50	83	0	0	0

LDA=OP+ B PR M=A

25

TABLE 4-4 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT METHADONE MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS WITHIN 1 TO 2 MONTHS

	DRUG		•			llum.					CHANCE				SUCCES		'OM-
	USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%	DISC	HARGES	ALL D	REE AS SCHARG MDN	SES	OPIATE OF ALL L 25%	FREE DISC MON	AS % HARGES U 75%	PLETIO ALL DI L 25%	NS AS Schare	X DF
	NON-OP	B PR M≃A W													,	. .	
	ÐA∞∏P		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		6 33 50	67 60 58	71 67 74	81 80 80	50 55 0	17 56 33	67 83 77	0 28 25	17 56 50	67 83 80	0 0 0	0	0 7 0
26	DA-OP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		4 12 17	57 43	82 60	88 89	38 20	71 50	86 86	38 20	85 86	93 100	0	0	0
	LDA=OP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 GVER 25														
	NON-OP		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25														
	DA=OP			M F	75 24	58 67	71 86	80 94	17 22	40 67	83 83	26	50 67	88 83	0	0	0
	DA+DP+			H F	31	56 75	63 83	83 100	29 0	67 33	69 43	29 0	86 43	98 60	0	0	0
	LDA=OP+			M F													·
	NON=OP			M F													

A-5.12 TABLE 4-5 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.D.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT METHADONE MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS WITHIN 2 TO 4 MONTHS SUCCESSFUL COM-

	DRUG USAGE		AGE		NUMBER OF	UNEMPL OF ALL		AS % HARGES	DRUG F				DISC	HARGES	PLETIO	NS AS Schar	% OF Ges
	PATTERN	RACE	GROUP	SEX	CLINICS	L 25%	MDN	U 75%	L 25%	MDN	U 75%	L 25%	MDN	U 75%	L 25%	MDN	U 75%
		~~~				~ ~ ~ ~ ~		****	m (4 = 4 4)			***	~~ ~ ~ ~		Mar and the gas dies		
	FOR	TOTAL	PROGRAM		104	61	72	83	27	56	79	37	60	82	0	0	7
	DA=OP				79	63	71	80	27	54	80	35	57	86	0	0	6
	DA=OP+ LDA=OP+ NON=OP				85	60	75	83	55	71	80	60	80	92	0	0 .	15
		B PR			67	63	77	85	29	56	81	38	63	89	0	0	11
		M=A			2 5	71	86	88	0	18	29	0	29	50	0	0	0
		W			30	43	73	83	25	60	83	56	67	8:3	0	0	11
27																	
~			UNDER18 18#21		9	67	86	89	0	50	58	0	56	82	ø	0	0
			22-25		41	64	73	80	29	60	91	33	67	91	ø	0	14
			OVER 25		67	63	70	80	27	50	78	34	60	88	0	0	7
				**		50	67	75	23	50	76	31	57	83	0	0	6
				H F	81 41	71	91	100	36	60	88	40	71	89	0	0	O
	DA=OP	B PR			66	63	73	82	30	56	82	33	62	92	0	. 0	6
		M₩A			12	67	79	86	0	29	50	0	33	6.0	0	O	0
		W			24	59	69	80	13	40	80	14	40	83	0	0	0
	DA⇔OP+	B PR			22	60	76	80	40	67	85	40	79	87	0	0	8
		M≃∀			2	33	88	91	67	73	100	73	100	100	0	, <b>O</b> .	13

LDA-UP+ B PR

TABLE A=5 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT METHADUNE MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS WITHIN 2 TO 4 MONTHS

	DRUG			<b>.</b> , -	NUMBER	HALL	0455							TIMIN C	SUCCES	SFUL	CDM=
	USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%	DISC	HARGES U 75%	ALL O	ISCHAR	S % OF RGES U 75%	OF AL	L DIS	E AS % CHARGES U 75%	PLETIC ALL DI L 25%	ISCHAR	
	NON-OP	B PR M=A W															
	DA⇔∩P		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		7 36 75	0 59 57	67 70 70	80 75 80	0 19 23	0 50 43	59 92 76	0 20 28	0 60 50	59 92 93	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 6
2 8	DA=OP+		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		4 8 17	67 57	78 67	83 81	58 20	60 75	83 83	60 50	83 83	100 94	0	0	0 0
	LDA=UP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25														
	NON-OP		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25														
	DA=OP			M F	92 37	53 80	65 92	78 100	23 33	50 56	75 93	30 38	50 60	80 93	0	0	6
	DA=OP+			M P	33 7	58 33	71 86	80 100	20	63 43	92 67	50 10	76 67	95 80	0	0	10
	LOA=OP+			М Г.													
	NON=OP			M F													

M-A

A=6.14 TABLE AND COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT METHADONE MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS WITHIN 4 TO 6 MONTHS SUCCESSFUL COM-DRUG FREE AS % OF OPIATE FREE AS % PLETIONS AS % ()F NUMBER UNEMPLOYED AS % DRUG OF ALL DISCHARGES ALL DISCHARGES ALL DISCHARGES OF ALL DISCHARGES ΠF USAGE AGE L 25% MDN U 75% PATTERN RACE GROUP SEX CLINICS FUR TOTAL PROGRAM DAMDP DA#DP+ LDA=OP+ NON-OP В PR M=A W UNDER18 18-21 22=25 OVER 25 DAMBP PR O. M-A DA=OP+ PR M-A Ω LDA-OP+ B PR

TABLE A=6 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS
FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT METHADONE MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS WITHIN 4 TO 6 MONTHS

			F (	OB D	ISCHARGES	FROM O	UTPATI	ENT ME	THADUNE	MAINT	ENANCE	PROGRA	MS WIT	HIN 4	OM & GT	NTHS	_
	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%	DISCH	ARGES	ALL DI	REE AS SCHARG MDN	ES	OPIATE OF ALL L 25%	DISCH	ARGES	SUCCES PLETIO ALL DI L 25%	NS AS Scharg	% DF
	NAN-OP	B PR M⇒A W															
	DAWNP		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 DVER 25		4 24 57	50 50	73 63	83 83	20 25	33 43	71 80	22 27	33 48	71 88	0 0	0	0 8
3 0	DA=NP+		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		7 1 4	20 40	40 67	8 0 8 0	0 20	4 0 6 0	60 91	22 0	40 89	60 91	0 0	0	0
	LDA=OP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25														
	и∩и≖ор		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25														
	DA=OP			M F	70 20	47 75	63 83	80 91	24 29	40 55	75 89	27 40	43 60	83 89	0	0	10
	DA#OP+			M F	20 4	40	64	78	33	60	88	50	86	89	0	0	0 .
	LDA-UP+			M F													
	NUN-Ob.			H F													

### APPENDIX B: VALUES ON SELECTED TREATMENT OUTCOME MEASURES FROM CODAP DRUG-FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES

H=1,04 TABLE B=1 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.M.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND ALCOHOL ABUSE AT DISCHARGE FROM DRUG FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES ALCOHOL ABUSERS DRUG NUMBER UNEMPLOYED AS % DRUG FREE AS % DF AS % OF ALL OPIATE FREE AS % USAGE AGE OF ALL DISCHARGES ALL DISCHARGES OF OF ALL DISCHARGES DISCHARGES PATTERN RACE GROUP SEX CLINICS L 25% MDN U 75% FOR TOTAL PROGRAM DA-OP DA=nP+ LDA-OP+ NON-OP PR M-A UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25 F DA=OP В PR 11-A n DA=OP+ PR M-A n LDA=OP+ B PR MHA 

TABLE 8-1 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND ALCOHOL ABUSE AT DISCHARGE FROM DRUG FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES

				7000	L AI (/434	TONNE	ר אטא ט	RUG FRE	E KE21	DENTIA	LITHERA	PEUTIC	) COMM	UNITIES			
	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	OF ALI	LOYED L DISC MDN	AS % HARGES U 75%	DRUG ALL D L 25%	ISCHAR	S % OF GES U 75%	OF AL	E FREE L DISC MDN	AS % HARGES U 75%	ALCUHO AS % O DISCHA L 25%	IF ALL	SERS
		_				•			M 44 - 4 - 48			***	***				****
	ศม <b>ะ</b> ทยท	8			30	78	96	97	67	99	100	84	100	100	0	0.	14
		PR M=A			7	10	92	100	15	92	100	0	0	100	Ö	ő	0
		H W			5	83	100	100	0	20	87	U	100	100	Ŏ	13	20
		"			176	82	91	98	75	95	99	98	100	100	Ö	ō	5
	DA-DP		UNDER18														
			18-21		12	94	100	100	78	97	100	83	100		_		
			22-25		34	88	94	100	80	98	100	80	100	100	0	0	0
			OVER 25		36	82	97	100	75	93	97	92	97	100	0	0	0
							•	•••	, ,	,,	• •	72	<b>¥</b> 1	100	0	0	Ø
ω	DA#DP+		UNDER18		1												
N			18-21		28	82	90	100	81	94	100	90	100	100	0	0	Ŋ.
			22-25		48	86	98	100	90	98	100	96	100	100	0	0	0
			OVER 25		5.7	83	94	96	80	96	100	90	96	100	Ö	0	0
	LDA-UP+		UNDER18		2												
	•		18+21		7	0	0	100	83	4.0.0	4.0.4		_				
			22-25		6	88	100	100	33	100 90	100	0	0	100	0	0	0
			DVER 25		12	83	92	94	80	90	100	0 95	100	100	0	0	0
			•		•-	~~	, pa	, -	•	70	74	43	100	100	0	0	0
	NON=OP		UNDER18		79	90	98	100	67	93	95	98	100	100	0 -		_
			18=21		94	80	91	98	77	97	100	93	100	100	0	0.	5
			22=25		45	80	9Ž	100	80	98	100		-	100	0	_	Ü
			OVER 25		43	75	88	94	67	94	99		-	100	0	0	5 0 2 11
	DA=OP				_=								•••	• * •	•	U	* 1
	DABUP			М.	57	80	97	98	77	96	97	92	98	100	0	0	. 0
				F	21	86	94	100	65	97	100	98	100	100	0	Õ	ŏ
	DA-OP+			M	84	85	95	97	83	98	100	0.4	in a				
				F	38	83	91	92	- 80	93	100	91 93		100	0	0	0
					-		′ •	74	u ₀	73	100	73	100	100	0	0	0
	LDA-OP+	•		М	43	80	94	100	80	92	100	92	97	100	ń	•	
				F	6	80		100	83	86	100			100	0	0	0
										-	. • •	•	• a <b>v</b>	400	υ	0	0
	NON-OP			M	169	83	92 94	97	71 73	93	98	98	100	100	0	0	6
				F	97	83	94	97	73	99	100			100	ŏ	ŏ	0

TABLE 8+2 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON TYPES OF DISCHARGES AS % OF ALL DISCHARGES FROM DRUG FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES

DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	SUCCES COMPLE L 25%		U 75%	LEFT E	PROGRAM TARILY MON	W 75%	ALL D' TERMI	HER VATION MDN	s u 75%
FOR T	OTAL	PROGRAM		292	0	5	14	33	60	77	13	26	45
DA=OP DA=OP+ LDA=OP+ NON=OP				73 112 60 209	0 0 0	0 0 0 5	17 14 18 17	35 40 32 37	63 67 60 63	78 83 80 79	12 8 0 10	22 20 20 25	43 40 44 42
	B PR M=A W			115 18 21 225	0 0 0	0 0 6 5	13 31 20 16	38 21 22 40	63 67 40 64	80 80 70 80	13 0 17 10	23 17 31 22	48 33 71 41
		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		83 153 135 128	0 0 0	0 0 0	17 15 16 14	29 45 40 39	58 67 65 64	80 81 82 80	9 10 11 8	28 21 25 20	50 41 40 43
			M F	256 170	0 0	4	15 19	33 38	61 63	79 80	12 11	51 56	46 43
DAMOP	B PR M=A W			36 5 8 24	0 0 0	0 0 3 0	17 0 28 20	55 25 20 40	63 56 42 60	81 80 63 74	7 0 25	18 44 28 27	33 50 38 35
DAmDP+	B PR M=A W			52 8 8 56	0 0 0	0 0 7 0	15 0 17 17	44 7 40 48	71 64 64 65	90 80 79 84	0 0 0	18 20 14 19	33 36 29 40
LDA-UP+	B PR M=A			15 2 2 31	0	0	19 20	30 29	56 60	8 o	0	17	44 29

TABLE 8-2 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON TYPES OF DISCHARGES AS % OF ALL DISCHARGES FROM DRUG FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	SUCCES COMPLE L 25%		U 75%	LEFT P VOLUNT L. 25%	ARILY	M U 75%	ALL DT TERMIN L 25%	ATIONS	U 75%
	NON-OP	В			30	0	0	25	38	50	75	9	20	42
		PR			7	ŏ	ő	0	0	38	68	ő	0	33
		M=A			5	Ö	7	13	Õ	13	20	17	73	88
		W			176	0	7	19	40	63	79	10	23	40
	DA=OP		UNDER18											
			18-21		12	0	0	0	33	60	78	0	0	39
			22-25		34	ō	ŏ	17	25	60	80	10	25	50
			OVER 25		36	0	ō	25	25	64	81	10	18	33
u	DA=OP+		UNDER18		1									
₽	V 11 - (11 )		18=21		28	0	0	14	38	63	80	0	22	50
			22-25		48	ŏ	0	7	43	75	88	0	17	40
			OVER 25		57	ő	0	10	40	69	86	0	14	40
	LDA=OP+		UNDER18		2									
			18-21		7	0	0	0	0	60	83	0	17	40
			22-25		6	ő	Ö	20	38	57	80	0	50	43
			NVER 25		12	Ŏ	13	50	20	54	65	10	29	50
	NON-OP		UNDER18		79	0	0	17	33	58	80	9	28	50
			18=21		94	ŏ	Ö	18	44	67	80	11	25	40
			22-25		45	ō	5	19	40	67	80	ii	18	33
			DVER 25		43	Ö	6	20	40	60	80	3	i 7	33
	DAMOP			м	57	0	0	14	33	67	82	7	23	43
				F	ži	Ö	Ö	19	29	44	86	ó	25	40
	DA=OP+			M	84	0	0	13	37	64	82	9	20	43
				F	38	Õ	0	17	50	73	89	0	17	33
				•	<del>-</del>	. •			٠,٠	7.3	0.9	U	17	23
	LDA-OP+			Н	43	0	()	20	20	6.0	80	0	25	43
				F	6	0	0	0	0	50	80	0	25	50
	NON-OP			M F	169 97	0	2	17	39 33	63 60	8 n 7 8	12	25 23	42 40

B#3.08
TABLE B#3 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS
FOR DISCHARGES FROM DRUG FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES WITHIN 1 HONTH

	DRUG USAGE		AGE		NUMBER OF		_UYED		ALL D	REE AS		OPIATE OF ALL	. DISC	HARGES	PLETIC ALL DI	INS AS	% nf Ges
	PATTERN	RACE	GROUP	SEX	CLINICS	L 25%	MDN	U 75%	L 25%	MDN	U 75%	L 25%	MDN	U 75%	L 25%	MDN	U 75%
					****	<b>FSRC4</b>	-					****			20 50 50 50	<b>= ○ + = =</b>	<b>经金额证据</b>
	FOR 1	TOTAL	PROGRAM		176	93	99	100	79	97	99	99	100	100	0	0	0
	DAwOP				38	97	100	100	83	99	100	94	98	100	0	0	6
	DA-OP+				59	93	100	100	88	97	100	92	97	100	0	0	0
	LDA=UP+				13	83	100	100	69	93	100	94	100	100	0	0	0
	NON-OP				105	92	96	100	69	99	100	97	100	100	0	0	2
		8			54	98	100	100	77	98	99	93	95	100	0	0	1
		PR			9	95	100	100	70	92	100	86	90	100	0	0	0
		M=A			15	89	90	100	74	86	92	80	99	100	0	0	5
)		W			130	91	97	100	78	99	100	99	100	100	0	0	0
1			UNDER18		33	97	100	100	60	94	100	97	100	100	0	0	13
			18=21		69	96	100	100	80	97	100	94	100	100	0	0	0
			22-25		68	91	96	100	86	98	100	99	100	100	0	0	0
			OVER 25		56	94	97	100	80	91	99	94	99	100	0	0	5
				M	145	92	99	100	80	97	99	98	99	100	0	o	1
				F	71	95	100	100	80	98	100	98	100	100	Ó	0	0
	DA=OP	B PR			20	97	100	100 .	80	98	100	93	97	100	0	0	3
		M=A			6	83	89	100	75	89	98	93	98	100	0	7	17
		*			14	88	100	100	80	95	100	97	100	100	0	0	0
	DA=DP+	В			25	90	100	100	78	97	100	89	91	100	0	0	3
		PR			ີ້ 5	83	100	100	80	100	100	80	100	100	0	0	0
		M=A			5	0	100	100	67	83	100	80	83	100	0	0	0
		H			31	80	100	100	80	93	96	89	96	100	0	0	0 -
	LDA=OP+	B PR M=A			2												
		W			5	0	100	100	57	89	100	0	100	100	0	0	O:

TABLE B-3 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM DRUG FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES WITHIN 1 MONTH

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%	DISC	HARGES	DRUG F ALL DI L 25%	SCHAR	S % OF GES U 75%	OPIATE OF ALI		HARGES	SUCCES PLETIC ALL DI L 25%	INS AS	% nF
	ΝΠΝ≖∪Р	B PR M=A			13 2 3	79	95	100	46	96	100	85	100	100	0	0	10
		W			92	90	96	100	78	99	100	93	100	100	0	0	3
	DA#OP		UNDER18														
			18=21		6	93	100	100	20	97	100	0	100	100	0	0	0
			22-25		16	94	100	100	80	98	100	99	100	100	Ŏ	ŏ	ő
			OVER 25		17	96	100	100	85	96	100	92	97	100	ŏ	Ô	6
w	DA=OP+		UNDER18														
ω			18-21		8	0	100	100	60	83	90	83	86	100	0	0	0
			22~25		55	83	100	100	82	93	100	93	94	100	ŏ	ő	ő
			OVER 25		24	88	100	100	80	92	94	90	94	100	Ö	0	Ŏ
	LDA=OP+		UNDER18 18-21														
			22=25 OVFR 25		1 4												
	NON=OP		UNDER18		31	97	100	100	43	93	100	95	100	100	0	0	6
			18=21		38	90	93	100	71	93	100	0	100	100	Õ	ŏ	Õ
			22-25		18	80	100	100	80	94	100	80	100	100	Ö	Ö	6
			OVER 25		18	86	90	100	60	99	100	94	100	100	Ö	Ŏ	14
	DAMOP			н	35	97	100	100	86	98	100	92	98	100	0	0	2
				F	10	94	100	100	70	97	100	88	98	100	Ö	Õ	17
	DA=OP+			H	44	91	100	100	88	96	100	92	96	100	0	0	0
				F	12	83	88	100	80	92	100	83	100	100	ŏ	Õ	ŏ
	LDA=OP+			M F	10	0	100	100	67	91	100	90	94	100	0	0	. 0
	NON=OP			M F	82 39	91 92	93 94	100	71 73	99 98	100	95 0	100	100	0	0	5 5

TABLE 8-4 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS
FOR DISCHARGES FROM DRUG FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES WITHIN 1 TO 2 MONTHS

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMP OF AL L 25%	LOYED L DISC	AS % HARGES U 75%	DRUG F ALL DI L 25%	SCHAR	5 % OF GES U 75%	OPIATE OF ALE	FREE DISC	AS % HARGES U 75%	SUCCES PLETIO ALL DI L 25%	NS AS	% OF
			****				~~~~						****		****	-	***
	FOR	TOTAL	PROGRAM		94	92	99	100	75	99	100	97	100	100	0	0	0
	DA=OP				12	93	100	100	67	92	100	83	92	100	0	0	•
	DA=OP+				18 4	94	100	100	89	94	100	90	100	100	ő	0	0 0
	NON=OP				รเ	91	95	100	71	99	100	0	100	100	0	0	7
		B PR M∞A			21 4	89	95	100	58	97	98	91	99	100	0	0	0
N -1		W			4 64	93	100	100	76	97	100	80	100	100	0	0	6
7		•	UNDER18		15	0	0	100	27	82	100	0	0	100	0	.0	0
			18=21		18	94	100	100	60	91	100	71	100	100	0	0	17
			22#25		19	97	100	100	83	97	100	50	100	100	0	0	33
			OVER 25		23	8.3	91	100	70	95	99	88	99	100	0	0	13
				M	64	90	97	100	71	94	98	99	100	100	0	0	•
				F	18	90	94	100	75	98	100	57	100	100	0	0	14
	DA=DP	B PR			7	93	100	100	64	88	100	88	100	100	0	0	0
		M⇔A W			1												
	DA=OP+	B PR			10 2	78	93	100	80	82	100	80	100	100	. 0	0	9
		M≖A ₩			1												
					7	92	100	100	73	79	100	0	0	100	0	0	20
	LDA=OP+	B Pr M=A			. 3												
		W			2												

TABLE B=4 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS
FOR DISCHARGES FROM DRUG FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES WITHIN 1 TO 2 MONTHS

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%	DISC	AS % HARGES U 75%	DRUG F	SCHAR		OPIATE OF ALE L 25%	FREE DISCI	AS X HARGES U 75%	SUCCES PLETIO ALL DI L 25%	NS AS	% OF
	NON-OP	B PR M=A			6 3	83	95	100	17	98	99	0	100	100	0	0	75
		W			42 42	88	92	100	60	99	100	0	100	100	0	Ó	8
	DA=DP		UNDER18 18=21														
			22=25 OVER 25		10	88	100	100	80	86	100	80	86	100	0	0	17
ა 8	DA=NP+		UNDER18 18-21		2												
			22=25 OVER 25		6	86 87	100 88	100 100	88 73	89 88	100 100	82	100	100	0 0	0	2 <b>7</b>
	LDA=OP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVFR 25		2												
	NON=OP		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		15 9 6 8	0 80 80 86	0 92 94 91	100 100 100	27 0 80 29	89 91 100 88	100 100 100 99	0 0 0	0 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	0 0 0	0 0 40 1.4	0 41 60
	DA=OP			M F	11 2	90	100	100	83	88	100	88	100	100	0	0	25
	DA#QP+			4 F	13	90	100	100	87	92	100	90	100	100	0	0 -	0
	LDA=I)P+			M F	4												
	NUM=Ob			M F	34 7	88 80	93 92	100 100	60 11	93 97	99 100	0	100	100	0	0	17 33

TABLE B=5 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS
FOR DISCHARGES FROM DRUG FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES WITHIN 2 TO 4 MONTHS
SUCCESSFUL COM=

DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGF GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMI OF AL	PLOYED L DIS MDN	CHARGES		DISCHA			TE FREE LL DISC % MDN	HARGES	SUCCES PLETIC ALL DI L 25%	INS AS	% OF
FOR	TOTAL	PROGRAM		83	82	96	100	78	93	96	96	100	100	0	0	8
DA=DP DA=DP+ LDA=DP+				8 18	70 92	89 100	100 100	83 94	89 100	100 100	88 94	89 100	100	0 0	0	20
NÜN≂UP				37	71	88	92	67	92	94	94	100	100	0	0	17
	B Pr M=A			22 1 3	83	91	100	76	94	100	92	94	100	0	0	6
	W			51	80	94	100	71	92	94	93	100	100	0	0	13
		UNDER18 18-21		13 13	80 80	86 86	100	60 71	90 86	94 100	88	100	100	0	0	10
		22-25 OVER 25		18 20	90 66	100	100	71 78	92 92	100	88 92	92 100	100	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
			M F	55 18	82 79	94 88	100 100	79 86	92 100	96 100	91	94 100	100	0	0	0 17
DA-OP	B PR M≈A W			5	70	89	100	43	89	100	80	89	100	0	0	0
DA=OP+	8 PR M=A			6	76	89	100	94	100	100	94	100	100	0	0	11
	M			6	0	100	100	86	100	100	0	100	100	<b>o</b> · ·	0	0
LDA=OP+	B Pr M=A															

TABLE B=5 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM DRUG FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES WITHIN 2 TO 4 MONTHS

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS			AS % HARGES U 75%	DRUG I	ISCHAR	S % OF GES U 75%	OPIATE OF ALL L 25%		AS % HARGES U 75%	SUCCES PLETIO ALL DI L 25%	INS ÀS Scharg	% OF
	NNN=OP	B PR H=A			5 1 1	50	55	83	. 0	0	100	0	100	100	0	36	83
		W			30	67	86	92	60	86	92	92	100	100	0	0	14
	DA≖∏P		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 DVFR 25		3												
040	DA=MP+		UNDER18 18=21 22=25		1 6	0	100	100	60	86	100	80	86	100	٥	0	0
			OVER 25		5	69	86	100	ő	100	100	ő	100	100	0 0	Ŏ.	14
	LDA=OP+		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25														
	NON=OP		UNDER18 18-21 22-25		12	83 57	86 80	100 100	60 50	83 71	92 80	0	100 100	100 100	0	0 0	10
			OVER 25		7	40	59	88	0	73	100	.0	0 .	100	0 .	14	60
	DA#OP			M F	7	33	80	100	60	83	100	80	83	100	0	0	29
	DA=OP+			M F	10	91	100	100	86	92	100	86	92	100	0	0	9
	LDA-OP+			M F													
	NON=OP			M F	23 7	65 50	93 79	100	75 86	92 88	100	93 0	100	100 100	0	0 13	13 29

B=6.14 TABLE 8-6 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM DRUG FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES WITHIN 4 TO 6 MONTHS

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS		DISC	AS % HARGES U 75%	DRUG F ALL DI L 25%	SCHAR	GES	OPIAT	E FREE L DISC		SUCCES PLETIO ALL DI L 25%	SFUL I NS AS Schari	COM- X OF GES
	***		***		5 K 5 W 5 F 11	<b>*</b> * * * *	# # # # #	~~~~	# # # # #		***			****			10 th (0 th (0 th
	FOR	TOTAL	PROGRAM		44	78	90	91	71	92	100	96	100	100	0	G	17
	DA=OP DA=OP+ LDA=OP+				3 7	32	80	83	80	89	100	83	100	100	0	0	11
	NON-OP				17	67	89	100	29	82	91	95	100	100	0	9	40
		B PR M≖A			8	60	78	86	78	83	100	78	83	100	0	0	0
		W			24	67	89	100	50	88	92	96	100	100	0	0	27
41			UNDER18 18-21		10	80	83	100	0	83	86	96	100	100	0	0	20
			22=25 OVER 25		3 7	19	67	80	71	88	100	71	100	100	0	0	20
				M F	28 6	78 48	88 80	91 100	60 71	88 80	91 100	96 0	100 100	100	0	0	17 60
	DA-OP	B PR			1												
		M=A W			1												
-	04-004	ı.			-												

DA=OP+ B 2 PR M-A

LDA-OP+ B M⇔A

8-6,15 TABLE 8-6 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM DRUG FREE RESIDENTIAL (THERAPEUTIC) COMMUNITIES WITHIN 4 TO 6 MONTHS SUCCESSFUL COM-PLETIONS AS X OF URUG FREE AS % OF OPIATE FREE AS % NUMBER UNEMPLOYED AS % DRUG ALL DISCHARGES OF ALL DISCHARGES USAGE AGE OF OF ALL DISCHARGES ALL DISCHARGES PATTERN RACE GROUP L 25% MDN U 75% L 25% MON U 75% L 25% MDN U 75% SEX CLINICS L 25% MDN U 75% NON=OP 1 PR 1 M=A 15 75 67 88 100 88 95 100 100 38 DA=OP UNDER18 18-21 1 22-25 2 NVER 25 UNDER18 DA=NP+ 18-21 22-25 DVFR 25 UNDER18 LDA-UP+ 18-21 22+25 OVER 25 82 83 95 20 100 100 NON=OP UNDER18 10 80 83 100 18-21 1 22-25 1 DVER 25 1 DA=DP 3 М 20 7 28 80 100 100 100 100 DA=DP+ 1 LDA=UP+ NON-OP 8 23 86 100 82 95 100 100

#### APPENDIX C: VALUES ON SELECTED TREATMENT OUTCOME MEASURES FROM CODAP OUTPATIENT DRUG-FREE PROGRAMS

C-1.04 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND ALCOHOL TABLE C=1 ABUSE AT DISCHARGE FROM OUTPATIENT DRUG FREE PROGRAMS ALCOHOL ABUSERS AS % OF ALL DRUG DRUG FREE AS % OF NUMBER UNEMPLOYED AS % OPIATE FREE AS % USAGE OF ALL DISCHARGES ALL DISCHARGES AGE ÖF OF ALL DISCHARGES DISCHARGES L 25% MDN U 75% PATTERN RACE GROUP SEX CLINICS L 25% MDN U 75% L 25% MDN U 75% L 25% MDN U 75% FOR TOTAL PROGRAM DA=OP DA+nP+ ü LDA-OP+ NON-UP В PR  $M \Rightarrow A$ W UNDERTA 18-21 22-25 OVER 25 М F DAMOP В n O PR M-A DA=OP+ PR Ma A W Ö LDA-OP+ B PR M-A 

TABLE C=1 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND ALCOHOL ABUSE AT DISCHARGE FROM OUTPATIENT DRUG FREE PROGRAMS

	DOUG		4	FBUS	E AT DISC								- 50-5		ALCOHO	L ABU	SERS
	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	DACE	AGE	o C Y	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL UF ALL	DISCI		ALL D	REE AS Ischar( MDN	SES	OF AL	E FREE L Disci	HARGES	AS % C	RGES	
	******	2000 11400	BROW-RE			<b>电电影电影</b>	****	8	. c		U /3%	L 25%	MDN	U 75%	L 25%	MUN	U 75%
	NON=OP	В			156	58	79	89	19	47	76	99	100	100	0	6	19
		PR			11	50	75	86	4	57	67	99	100	100	Ō	9	14
		M=A			60	66	80	89	20	45	89	99	100	100	Ö	6	18
		M			593	51	64	75	26	49	70	99	100	100	Ō	10	21
	DA-OP		UNDER18														
			18-21		85	65	78	86	45	74	98	50	77	93	0	0	0
			22=25		169	54	66	79	50	73	95	60	81	98	0	0	0
			DVER 25		211	46	66	78	49	73	99	58	83	95	0	0	0
14	DA=OP+		UNDER18														
+-			18=21		48	60	75	89	20	60	90	40	71	90	0	0	0
			22-25		115	50	67	82	27	60	96	55	77	96	0	0	0
			DVER 25		129	50	69	84	38	64	94	50	81	97	0	0	0
	LDA=OP+		UNDER18		2												
			18+21		17	60	72	83	14	50	67	40	67	83	0	0	17
			22=25		34	50	64	80	14	33	60	25	57	80	0	0	14
			OVER 25		37	57	71	80	55	43	80	44	70	86	0	8	18
	NON-UP		UNDER18		394	70	82	92	22	44	70	99	100	100	0	7	18
			18=21		401	44.	59	73	23	45	70	99	100	100	0	8	20
			22=25		249	40	55	69	30	50	67	97	100	100	0	10	20
			DVER 25		287	40	54	67	28	50	71	99	100	100	0	17	33
	DAWDP			М	270	45	60	74	42	74	98	59	82	98	0	0	0
				F	145	70	83	97	45	75	<b>9</b> .6	57	83	96	. 0	0	0
	DA=DP+			M.	187	50	67	82	40	67	90	54	8.0	98	0	Ö	5
				F	67	65	80	90	27	67	92	36	69	95	0	0	Ō
	LDA-OP+			M	93	50	67	80	25	45	80	53	74	89	0	0	16
				P	16	60	75	86	0	40	73	38	71	80	Ō	0	9
	NON=OP			М	602	49	62	76	20	43	69	99	100	100	. 0	12	24
				F	445	60	72	83	26	49	69	99	100	100	Ô	8	19
				•								, .			•	<b>.</b>	• 7

TABLE C-2 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON TYPES OF DISCHARGES AS % OF ALL DISCHARGES FROM DUTPATIENT DRUG FREE PROGRAMS

			9EX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	SUCCES COMPLE L 25%		u 75%	LEFT F VOLUNT L 25%	PROGRAMATLY MDN	U 75%	ALL OI TERMIN L 25%	THER LATIONS MDN	s U 75%
FOR	TOTAL	PROGRAM		946	10	23	42	25	42	62	10	20	40
DAMOP+ DAMOP+ LDAMOP+				323 235 143	2 0 0	21 10 10	48 35	16 20	37 42	60 66	10 13	23 28	44 55
NON-OP				694	11	23	22 40	30 29	54 46	71 64	15 8	25 19	50 36
_	B PR M=A W			385 34 110 751	2 0 7 12	17 11 23 25	42 33 43 42	18 17 15 29	41 37 37 44	65 63 60 64	10 11 9	23 32 25 19	43 60 50 36
		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		407 520 482 543	11 9 5 7	24 21 19 20	45 39 40 43	28 28 22 21	46 49 45 41	66 67 66 62	4 8 9 10	14 19 22 22	35 38 43 42
			M F	840 609	10	21 24	40 43	25 28	43 47	63 66	107	22 17	41
DAwOP	B Pr H=A W			199 13 51 171	0 0 0	15 10 19 22	50 31 40 50	14 14 16 17	35 29 38 35	66 60 67 64	10 20 0 9	23 40 24 20	47 71 47 40
DA-OP+	B Pr M=A W			123 9 16 122	0	11 0 0 15	33 29 20 30	18 0 9 27	43 44 33 44	67 71 44 67	14 11 20 10	30 29 50 22	56 56 64 43
LDA-OP+	B PR M⇒A			39 1 4	0	0	17	25	45	73	20	38	57
	W			80	0	10	20	33	60	75	13	20	40

· /2

TABLE C=2 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON TYPES OF DISCHARGES AS % OF ALL DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT DRUG FREE PROGRAMS

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS		SSFUL ETIONS MDN	U 75%	LEFT P VOLUNT L 25%	ARILY	U 75%	ALL OT TERMIN L 25%	ATIONS	u 75%
	NON-OP	B PR M=A W			156 11 60 593	0 0 9 13	18 17 24 25	39 35 50 42	22 19 20 32	45 33 33 46	71 71 60 64	5 10 8 8	18 32 22	40 50 46
	DA≂OP	,,	UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		85 169 211	0	17 18 20	48 45 50	13 17 15	43 38 33	61 61 58	10 9 12	23 25 22	32 50 45 48
46	DA=OP+		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 DVER 25		48 115 129	0 0 0	0 11 14	20 22 36	27 25 15	45 53 43	67 76 64	14 7 10	38 29 29	57 50 51
	LDA=OP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		2 17 34 37	0	17 0 4	20 17 29	40 44 29	50 67 57	60 80 71	0 0 11	20 29 30	40 40 57
	NON-OP		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		394 401 249 287	11 11 8 9	25 24 24 22	45 40 42	28 29 30 25	44 50 50 46	66 67 67 66	4 6 6 8	14 16 17 19	34 33 38 36
	DA-OP			H F	270 145	1 0	20 19	55 46	14 18	36 42	60 65	<b>9</b> 8	51 51	48 43
	DA=DP+			M F	187 67	0	11	35 29	18 20	43 47	67 71	12 11	28 27	52 50
	LDA=UP+			м F	93 16	0	8 13	17 29	30 33	55 60	71 71	17	31 18	57 40
	NON=OP			M F	602 445	10 12	22 27	39 45	28 31	45 48	65 67	9 5	20 15	39 30

TABLE C=3 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT DRUG FREE PROGRAMS WITHIN 1 MONTH

				_												
DRUG USAGE PATTERN RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPLOF ALL	OYED DISC MDN	AS % HARGES U 75%	DRUG I ALL D L 25%	FREE A Ischar MDN	S % DF GES U 75%		TE FREE		SUCCES PLETIC ALL DI L 25%	NS AS	% OF	
*****							-									
FOR	TOTAL	PROGRAM		215	63	76	87	14	40	78	71	92	99	0	1	16
DA=DP				67	63	77	85	25	60	97	<b>y</b> //	. 7	0.0		_	~ =
DA=OP+				35	65	76	84	15	67	99	34	67	99	0	• 7	38
LDA-OP+				15	62	79	89	10			19	70	96	0	0	4
NON=OP				118	63	79		-	17	25	17	33	43	0	0	0
1011-06				110	0.3	79	88	7	24	50	98	100	100	0	0	15
	B			68	64	79	88	14	67	99	40	80	99	0	0	27
	PR			10	60	85	94	10	91	100	50	85	100	0	3	
	M⇒A			22	67	83	96	11	38	80	38	60	92	0	-	39
	₩			161	59	75	86	13	38	75	80	99			0	25
	-,			• • •	3,	, ,	00	1.3	30	15	00	77	100	0	3	18
		UNDER18		34	86	98	100	6	14	40	99	100	100	0	0	13
		18-21		47	63	77	90	18	38	98	65	93	97	ň	ŏ	19
		22-25		62	60	75	84	20	50	97	43	80	98	ő	ž	20
		OVER 25		90	60	71	82	20	55	98	45	86	98	Ö	4	27
			М	170	58	72	84	13	40	80	64	89	00	_	_	
			F	77	71	85	98	14	35	83	68	89	99	0	3	20
			•	, ,	7.4	0.5	70	7.4	20	05	60	0.7	99	0	0	18
DA=OP	В			40	67	79	89	17	60	98	20	67	97	0	1	31
	PR			6	89	94	100	Ö	80	100	0	80	100	Ö	6	40
	M = A			12	68	86	88	29	50	92	29	50	92	Ö	u	50
	₩			34	57	71	80	19	60	99	29	60	99	0	11	42
				<del>-</del> .		•		• •	-0	• •	<b>5.</b> /	00	77	V .	11	46
DA-OP+	В	•		55	67	75	83	3	67	97	4	67	86	0	0	10
	PR			4										•	•	• "
	M=A			1												
	M			12	60	67	80	0	20	69	10	25	100	0	0	20
LDA-OP+	•															
PAMOUP	PR M=A			2												
	N N			9	60	86	0.0			~~						
	••			7		0.0	89	0	14	25	17	22	63	0	0	0

8 1

C=3.09

TABLE C=3 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS

FOR DISCHARGES FROM DUTPATIENT DRUG FREE PROGRAMS WITHIN 1 MONTH

DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%		AS % HARGES U 75%	DRUG F ALL DI L 25%	SCHAR	S % OF IGES U 75%			AS % HARGES U 75%	SUCCES PLETIC ALL DI L 25%	INS AS	% OF
ุงกห <b>=</b> ถฅ	B PR			12	73	92	100	8	25	67	83	100	100	0	0	10
N.	M⇒A W			5 103	57 60	7 ₁ 76	96 90	0 8	17 28	25 47	0 98	100 100	100 100	0	0	0 18
DA=DP		UNDER18 18=21 22-25 OVER 25		14 30 42	63 60 67	79 67 79	83 81 88	13 19 20	57 71 70	98 99 99	13 20 28	72 80 80	93 97 92	0 0 0	5 17 5	50 40 35
DA+OP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 GVER 25		11 19 22	60 67 58	80 75 67	83 93 79	0 9 0	29 75 80	100 96 93	0 9 4	43 86 80	100 91 93	0 0 0	0	20 50 16
LDA-OP+		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		1 3 2												
NON-UP		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		34 19 13 24	86 60 57 50	98 71 75 64	100 92 83 78	6 8 0 13	14 20 24 50	40 50 40 80	93 96 90 94	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	0 0 0	0 4 0 5	13 17 17 20
PG=AG			м F	60 29	60 78	72 90	81 96	25 13	60 80≠	98 88	37 15	75 86	99 92	0 0	8	57 32
DA#OP+			M F	28 12	61 60	67 79	80 89	0	72 20	98 88	11	72 30	95 88	0	0	4 13
LDA=OP+			M F	9	50	77.	83	8	17	83	50	46	50	0	0	0
NON-OP			M F	70 35	60 71	78 83	86 98	0 10	20 20	50 57	96 97	100	100	0	5	17 20

TABLE C-4 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.D.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT DRUG FREE PROGRAMS WITHIN 1 TO 2 MONTHS

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%	DISCH MDN	AS % HARGES U 75%	DRUG F ALL DI L 25%	SCHAR	S % OF GES U 75%	OPIATE OF ALL L 25%	FREE DISC	AS % HARGES U 75%	SUCCES PLETIO ALL DI L 25%	NS AS Schare	% OF
	FOR	TUTAL	PROGRAM		375	58	70	83	18	42	74	79	97	98	0	8	22
	DA=DP				94	57	68	83	43	67	97	53	80	0.7	_		
	DA=nP+				45	60	75	84	20	65	96	40	70	97	0	4	27
	LDA-OP+				20	60	77	83	0	20	43	20		83	0	0	14
	NON=OP				245	58	71	85	13	37	60	99	57	67	0	0	0
						2.0	•	03	4.3	31	00	77	100	100	0	7	24
		В			95	60	78	91	23	59	86	64	86	96	0		2.
		PR			6	20	61	72	-0	10	83	14	83	93	0	0 13	20
		MmA			29	67	88	94	17	45	71	50	71	92	0	8	40
4		W			282	56	70	8.2	19	40	70	80	98	100	0	9	33
9									• •	10	• "	- 00	,,,	100	U	7	23
			UNDER18		77	78	89	97	0	3 0	52	98	100	100	0	8	3.0
			18-21		é è	60	73	84	13	40	73	78	96	100	O O	0	20
			22-25		108	53	67	82	23	50	82	60	80	94	0	0	18
			OVER 25		128	44	63	78	29	54	81	67	89	94	0	-	20
					• • • •	77		, 0	67		01	01	07	74	U	11	30
				M	282	52	67	82	19	45	77	77	96	97	•	_	~~
				F	144	67	80	91	17	44	71	76	95 97		0	9	55
				•	• • •	٥,	~0	7 6	4 /	74	(1	70	71	100	0	0	24
	DA=OP	B PR			54 2	55	73	83	38	71	95	56	83	95	0	0	30
		M=A			15	50	83	88	20	50	78	31	67	78	0	10	37
		W			36	50	73	84	43	60	89	50	75	95	0	14	23
					3.0	50		04	7.5	00	.07	50	15	73	U	14	27
	DA=OP+	В			18	40	67	86	20	71	96	50	80	90	0	0	29
		PR			1		-,		• 0		, 0	30.	0.0	70	U	U	29
		M=A			3												
		W			17	40	67	82	0	38	67	4.5	<i>u</i> =		_	_	~ -
					1.	40	,	02	U	20	0/	12	42	67	0	0	20
	LDA-UP+	В			4												
		PR.			i												
		M=A			i												_
		W			5	50	60	80	0	40	50	0	60	67	0	0	40

TABLE C=4 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT DRUG FREE PROGRAMS WITHIN 1 TO 2 MONTHS

ORUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE		NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMP	LOYED L DISC		DRUG I	FREE A Ischar	S % OF	OPIA	TE FREE	AS %	SUCCES PLETIC ALL DI L 25%	SSFUL ONS AS ISCHAR MDN	X OF
40=NUN	B PR			23	80	94	100	0	30	63	99	100	100	0	0	18
	M-A W			3 8 202	75 57	100	100	0 13	17 33	28 56	99 97	100	100 100	0	10	17 25
DAwQP		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		13 36 54	69 58 50	80 64 67	83 80 83	20 47 35	63 75 64	80 91 94	33 55 40	63 83 71	80 91 94	0 0 0	0 8 0	10 27 28
DA=OP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		7 15 15	38 36 43	67 67 75	80 85 88	0 0 5	14 67 47	46 80 83	0 15 5	14 69 47	46 80 83	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
LOA=OP+		UNDER18 18#21 22#25 OVER 25		1 2 3												
NON-OP		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		74 52 22 39	78 50 40 36	88 67 57 50	97 80 80 67	9 14 17	30 28 27 33	52 63 60 64	89 99 96 83	100 100 100 100	100 100 100 100	0	0 9 14 20	23 18 23 38
DA=DP			M F	70 25	50 71	62	80 86	43 40	67 63	96 80	49 42	80 69	96 80	0	6 0	25 29
P4mmP+			M F	33	50 75	68 80	86 100	14	60° 33	95 50	33	67 38	83 50	0	0	20 17
LDA=OP+			M F	14	60	80	86	0	20	60	20	50	71	0	0.	0
NON-UP			M . F	162 86	50 63	68 78	85 88	13	33 38	65 65	96 99	100	100	0	5 13	22 30

TABLE C=5 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.D.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT DRUG FREE PROGRAMS WITHIN 2 TO 4 MONTHS

DRUG USAGE PATTERN RACE (		AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%	DISC	AS % HARGES U 75%	DRUG F ALL DI L 25%		S % OF GES U 75%	OPIAT OF AL L 25%		HARGES	SUCCES PLETIC ALL DI L 25%	INS AS	% OF
FOR	TOTAL	PROGRAM		469	58	69	81	21	48	75	85	98	100	0	15	33
DA=DP				88	56	70	83	42	71	89	50	79	94	0	10	40
DA≕ŋ₽+				56	57	73	89	21	57	80	36	64	88	ŏ	Õ	29
LDA-OP+				16	60	71	80	20	50	67	43	60	83	Ö	Ö	17
NON-OP				337	57	69	80	17	40	67	99	100	100	5	16	33
	В			119	62	78	86	32	58	86	67	84	97	0	2	28
	PR			5	57	82	89	10	43	44	44	84	100	0	20	29
	M=A			41	67	80	90	18	46	75	47	80	95	Ō	15	33
	M			356	56	67	80	50	44	74	90	99	100	6	17	36
		UNDER18		136	78	86	97	13	32	60	99	100	100	0	17	38
		18-21		128	50	66	80	18	43	67	86	98	100	0	17	35
		22~25		116	43	63	83	29	45	80	67	89	93	0	14	33
		OVER 25		178	48	64	82	22	54	80	70	93	94	0	13	31
			М	364	50	66	80	24	48	76	82	98	100	0	13	32
			F	212	64	79	88	17	40	71	83	96	100	0	17	38
DAMOP	B PR			42	56	74	83	40	72	95	50	75	94	0	0, -	85
	M=A			19	71	88	100	38	56	93	38	56	94	0	0	29
	W			31	56	71	80	42	70	86	50	71	96	7	55	60
DA-OP+	B PR			23	64	80	95	20	67	86	55	80	88	. 0	0	20
	M=A			2												
	M			18	50	60	80	17	56	75	30	60	83	0	17	25
LDA=OP+	PR			5 1	50	67	75	0	17	100	13	67	100	0	0	0
	M=A W			1 3												

TABLE C=5 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT DRUG FREE PROGRAMS WITHIN 2 TO 4 MONTHS

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%		AS % HARGES U 75%	DRUG F	SCHAR	S % OF GES U 75%	OPIATOR ALI		AS % HARGES U 75%	SUCCES PLETIO ALL DI L 25%	INS AS	% OF
	NON=OP	B PR			31 3	60	80	93	6	35	67	89	100	100	0	В	30
		M⇔A W			12 287	67 55	80 68	90 80	11 18	3n 41	60 66	98 99	100	100	11	22 17	40 36
	DA=OP		UNDER18							•					Ü	• ,	30
			18-21		6	70	79	100	0	43	71	^	n 2	w.,	_	_	
			22=25		32	54	67	91	44	73	90	0 44	43 83	71	0	0	14
			OVER 25		52	58	69	86	40	75	88	44	80	90 89	0	8 11	44 33
	DA=QP+		UNDER18													••	
Ŋ			18-21		5	60	80	100	0	20	83	^	4.0	400	_	_	
N			22-25		9	50	80	83	0	60	80	0	60	100	0	0	0
			OVER 25		16	60	80	85	22	40	67	0 23	60 40	80 83	0 0	0	20 0
	LDA=OP+		UNDER18 18#21 22#25 OVER 25		1 1												
					_												
	NON-OP		UNDER18		133	78	86	97	11	32	60	97	100	100	0	18	38
			18=21		94	40	60	78	18	40	63	95	100	100	0	19	33
			22+25		47	38	50	63	17	33	60	95	100	100	0	22	40
			OVER 25		69	43	56	67	18	38	67	94	100	100	0	20	38
	DAMOP			М	63	46	63	88	40	76	93	45	77	94	0	0	27
				F	28	75	83	90	38	63	87	46	63	93	0	17	43
	DA=OP+			м	43	58	71	83	25	60	80	36	6.5	86	0	0	25
				F	7	67	83	86	0	14	80	0	14	80	0	0	14
	LDA=UP+			M F	8 2	O	60	78	11	50	86	4.5	67	86	0	0,	17
	NON=OP			M	241	E 0	L 41	9.0	4.0				4.5.0		_		_
	110111101			F	148	50 60	67	80	19	40	64	99	100	100	0	18	33
				Ρ.	140	60	77	86	17	36	67	98	100	100	. 0	19	40

TABLE C=6 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT DRUG FREE PROGRAMS WITHIN 4 TO 6 MONTHS

DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMP	L DISC	HARGES U 75%	ALL D	FREE A ISCHAR MDN		OPIA OF AI L 25	MDN	U 75%	PLETI		% OF
FOR	TOTAL	PROGRAM		400	52	67	80	29	54	78	89	99	100	. 7	21	42
DA≂DP DA≂DP∻ LDA⇒DP+ NON≕DP				53 30 5 308	60 60 50 50	74 79 75 66	83 83 80 80	57 17 25 28	83 50 40 48	91 75 60 73	60 25 40 99	89 63 50 100	94 83 100 100	0 0 0 12	7 8 20 28	30 22 40 45
	B PR M∞A W			88 5 26 299	60 33 77 50	79 82 85 64	91 83 96 77	30 0 20 27	60 24 50 47	88 67 79 71	71 67 69 92	96 100 83 98	100 100 97 100	0 13 0 11	7 29 8 23	27 33 29 45
		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		129 92 82 114	72 47 40 45	86 65 60 63	95 80 78 80	21 38 40 30	40 57 60 63	76 76 86 83	98 88 73 76	100 95 94 96	100 100 100 100	9 11 0 0	25 28 18 19	44 50 40 40
			M F	291 170	46 59	65 71	79 86	27 33	50 54	78 79	88 97	96 98	100	4 10	19 29	39 46
DA=OP	B PR			26	60	80	86	20	70	89	20	86	89	(, <b>0</b> )	ō	9
	MeA W			1 9 21	80 60	83 70	100	33 30	63 75	79 93	33 43	67 77	79 93	0	0 15	21 50
DA=OP+	B PR			12	57	80	89	10	50	80	20	67	89	0	. 0	20
	M=A ₩			14												
LDA=OP+	PR M⇔A			<b>1</b>			•									
	M			3												

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Andria de la companya de la company Mangantana de la companya de la comp	•	

# CONTINUED 10F2

TABLE C=6 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT DRUG FREE PROGRAMS WITHIN 4 TO 6 MONTHS

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GRNUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPLOF ALL	DISC	AS % HARGES U 75%	ALL D	ISCHA	AS % OF RGES U 75%			CHARGES	PLETI ALL D	SSFUL DNS AS ISCHAR MDN	\$ <b>ይ</b>
	NON-OP	B PR			88	60	78	92	40	57	80	96	100	100	8	20	47
		PR M≈A W			13 251	67 48	79 64	100	13 27	33 46	50 70	0 98	100 100	100 100	0 13	13 29	40 48
	DA=OP		UNDER18 18-21 22-25		2 19	60	78	89	36	80	89	45	80	89	0	9	27
			OVER 25		27	73	82	93	50	63	92	50	67	89	Ö	7	40
4 5	DA=OP+		UNDER16 18-21		5												
			22#25 DVER 25		5 11	69 60	57 70	80 86	20 20	60 38	80 50	50 50	86 38	100 88	0	0	20
	LDA=OP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25														
	NON=OP		OVER 25 UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		1 125 68 31 50	71 43 20	86 60 38 46	95 75 53	21 33 40 22	40 57 53 57	76 67 89 78	98 86 92 86	100 100 100	100 100 100 100	9 14 14 14	27 35 33 29	50 56 50 48
	DA#NP			M F	41	60 73	75 88	85 89	40	75 80	88 100	55 43	76 80	94	0	3	20
	DA=OP+			M F	5 55	63	79	80	14	50	67	20	58	81	0	0	14
	LDA=OP+			M F	2												
	NON-OP			M F	204 129	42 57	60 67	79 83	23 33	43 53	71 77	98 97	100 100	100 100	13	21 37	43 57

### APPENDIX D: VALUES ON SELECTED TREATMENT OUTCOME MEASURES FROM CODAP OUTPATIENT DETOXIFICATION PROGRAMS

TABLE D-1 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND ALCOHOL ABUSE AT DISCHARGE FROM OUTPATIENT DETOXIFICATION PROGRAMS

D-1.04

DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPLOF ALL		AS % HARGES U 75%		ISCHAF	AS % OF RGES U 75%		L DISC	E AS % CHARGES U 75%	ALCOHO AS % O DISCHA L 25%	F ALL	SERS U 75%	
*****		****			7 Q Q Q Q						***			****			
FOR T	OTAL	PROGRAM		215	55	69	77	32	57	81	42	64	8,6	0	0	0	
DA=DP DA=DP+ LDA=DP+ NON=OP				172 101 3 2	55 50	69 66	79 78	29 30	56 61	82 84	37 47	63 67	86 93	0 0	0	0 0.	
	B PR M=A W			144 15 31 131	56 67 60 53	69 80 74 66	81 92 82 75	33 44 15 31	59 71 29 58	90 98 54 78	45 44 16 43	71 71 29 64	92 98 60 87	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	
		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 DVER 25		1 71 129 151	67 55 54	78 69 65	85 80 77	38 29 27	60 57 59	90 85 82	42 43 43	61 66 67	97 89 88	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	
			M F	191 100	50 74	63 82	73 88	29 29	57 59	83 87	38 36	66 64	88 90	0	0 0	0	
DA=OP	B PR M=A H			109 13 25 98	57 68 60 50	74 85 74 66	82 93 89 74	33 48 13 29	60 73 25 57	94 97 53 80	44 57 13 38	72 73 27 65	95 97 63 83	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	
DA®OP+	B PR M=A W			53 6 3 51	50 36 48	65 80 63	81 92 76	33 71 20	69 97 58	99 100 83	46 71 43	86 97 71	99 100 96	0 0	0	0 0 0 .	
LDA=OP+	B PR M=A W			1													

TABLE D=1 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND ALCOHOL ABUSE AT DISCHARGE FROM OUTPATIENT DETOXIFICATION PROGRAMS

	DRUG USAGE A PATTERN RACE G		AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%	DISC	HARGES		ISCHAR	S % OF GES U 75%	OPIAT OF AL L 25%		AS % HARGES U 75%				X -
	NON-OP	B Pr M=A W			2													
	DA#OP		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		50 94 112	71 56 50	79 68 67	85 80 78	32 25 29	60 56 57	98 86 83	43 32 44	64 64 67	96 88 88	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	
56	DA=OP+		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 DVER 25		21 50 47	57 50 50	78 65 63	83 75 73	17 33 25	81 77 57	92 88 92	20 57 40	81 80 67	92 88 98	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	
	LDAwUP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		1													
	NON-OP		UNDER18 18#21 22#25 OVER 25		1 1 1													
	DA=DP			M F	144 80	48 74	62 83	73 89	29 36	58 60	82 89	38 40	66 64	86 89	0 0	0	0	
	DA#OP+			M F	78 26	40 71	62 80	74 86	25 20	63 78	89 88	48 20	75 80	92 89	0	0	. O	
	LDA=UP+			M F	<b>.</b>													
	NON-OP			M F	2													

TABLE D=2 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON TYPES OF DISCHARGES AS : OF ALL DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT DETOXIFICATION PROGRAMS

DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	SUCCES COMPLE L 25%		U 75%		PROGRA Tarily MDN		ALL O TERMI L 25%	NATION	IS U 75%
FOR	TUTAL	PROGRAM		215	1	18	38	25	45	68	8	21	42
DA=OP DA=OP+ LDA=OP+ NON=OP				172 101 3 2	0	18 16	4 0 4 0	26 20	45 44	70 71	6 5	21	39 40
	B PR M⇔A N			144 15 31 131	3 0 0	20 11 6 18	43 39 38 46	21 0 23 22	47 30 60 39	67 69 76 67	5 0 9 8	17 8 17 20	38 57 24 45
		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		71 129 151	100	17 19 20	40 42 40	30 28 20	55 45 40	78 67 67	6 5 8	17 20 20	29 40 44
			M F	191 100	0	15 17	40 44	25 22	45 48	67 68	8	50 50	42 40
DAwQP	B PR M=A W			109 13 25 98	5 3 0 0	22 17 6 15	46 43 47 40	25 0 27 20	48 44 59 39	69 67 78 63	4 · 0 · 10 · 8	17 17 18 23	37 58 29 45
DA-OP+	B PR M=A			53 6 3 51	0	17 6	43 43 38	20 0 20	47 27 43	69 57	0	14	33 93
LDA+OP+	B PR M=A			1	v		30	21)	43	73	0	17	52

TABLE D=2 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. UN TYPES OF DISCHARGES AS % OF ALL DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT DETOXIFICATION PROGRAMS

	DRUG USAGE Pattern Race		AGE CE GROUP SEX		NUMBER OF CLINICS	SUCCES COMPLI L 25%	ETIONS	U 75%	LEFT VOLUN L 25%	PROGRA TARILY MDN		ALL OTHER TERMINATIONS L 25% MDN U 75%				
		40						~~~~			5 P 46 P 10		-			
	NUN-Ob	B PR M=A			2											
	DA=OP		UNDER18 18+21 22+25 DVER 25		50 94 112	0 0 0	14 17 17	29 44 40	31 25 19	56 43 40	77 68 67	5 1 8	17 20 23	40 40 45		
58	DA⇔⊓P÷		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		21 50 47	0 0 0	8 18 17	30 36 45	11 20 24	60 45 44	83 71 71	0 0 0	14 14 17	33 43 43		
	LDA=OP+		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25													
	90 <b>∞</b> 000		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		<b>1</b>											
	DAMOP			M F	144 80	0	1.8 15	43 40	23 25	43 47	71 67	5 6	19 18	40 40		
	DA#NP+			M F	78 26	0	17 20	40 44	25 13	44	69 60	0 0	17 17	43 40		
	LDA=OP+			M ·	. 1											
	NON=OP			M F	2											

TABLE D=3 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT DETOXIFICATION PROGRAMS WITHIN 1 MONTH

	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS		LOYED L DISC			ISCHAF			L DISC	E AS % CHARGES U 75%	PLETI	SSFUL ONS AS ISCHAR MDN	% OF	
	FOR '	TOTAL	PROGRAM		105	60	70	79	31	59	83	40	67	90	0	19	44	
	DA=OP DA=OP+ LPA=OP+ NON=OP				79 41 2	57 56	70 71	77 78	39 20	63 60	90 92	46 38	69 64	90 97	0	17 23	44 44	
Л		B PR M=A W			63 12 12 68	64 67 40 55	77 79 58 67	82 88 71 74	31 63 5 33	60 97 38 62	98 100 50 82	40 63 5 40	70 97 38 64	98 100 51 83	0 2 0 0	20 27 33 19	45 39 40 40	
.O			UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		41 62 69	73 55 56	81 63 68	86 77 79	29 29 32	56 60 57	88 86 90	33 43 40	60 65 67	88 88 93	1 0 0	14 21 20	33 41 43	
				M F	91 53	50 78	63 83	74 86	30 39	58 63	83 95	43 43	68 64	89 89	0	20 17	40 43	
	DA=OP	B PR M=A W			49 11 10 55	67 67 40 50	75 73 67 67	84 89 77 73	40 62 5 35	59 97 42 60	95 100 54 82	49 62 5 40	70 97 45 66	95 100 73 82	1 3 0 0	21 24 33 17	44 44 47 43	
	DAWDP+	B PR M=A W			21 6 2 23	62 36 48	69 80 63	80 92 75	17 71 27	86 97 78	96 100 88	33 71	90 97 80	96 100	0	16	43 43	
	LDA=UP+	B PR M=A W			1	40	0,3	73	£1	/8	08	40	60	96	0	23	38	


TABLE D=3 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.O.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM DUTPATIENT DETOXIFICATION PROGRAMS WITHIN 1 MONTH

	医多种性 化二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基		SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%	DISC	HARGES	ALL D	ISCHAF	S % OF RGES U 75%	OPIATIOF ALL	L DISC	AS % CHARGES U 75%	SUCCESSFUL COM- PLETIONS AS % OF ALL DISCHARGES L 25% MDN U 75%			
	NON-OP	B Pr M=A W															·
	DA#OP		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 DVER 25		32 50 55	70 58 60	80 67 70	86 79 80	30 26 34	56 52 56	98 89 89	30 29 44	57 62 68	96 97 89	0 0	14 19 12	30 40 43
60	DA #OP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		12 22 21	62 50 50	80 65 63	85 75 80	17 33 40	92 80 60	100 98 97	20 50 50	92 80 83	100 88 97	0 0 0	14 18 20	20 38 50
	LDA=OP+		UNDER18 18-21 22-25 OVER 25		i												
	NON=OP		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25														
	DAHDP			M F	70 45	50 75	64 83	74 90	38 33	60	88 98	48 40	68 61	88 89	0	22 17	42 50
	DA=OP+			M F	34 12	53 74	67 86	75 95	17 40	67 88	98 100	36 40	78 88	97 100	0	20 10	38 38
	LDA=OP+			M F	1												
	NON=OP			M F													

TABLE D=4 COMPARISON DATA FROM C.D.D.A.P. ON UNEMPLOYMENT, DRUG USE, AND SUCCESSFUL COMPLETIONS FOR DISCHARGES FROM OUTPATIENT DETOXIFICATION PROGRAMS WITHIN 1 TO 2 MONTHS

												•					
	DRUG USAGE PATTERN	RACE	AGE GROUP	SEX	NUMBER OF CLINICS	UNEMPL OF ALL L 25%	OYED A	AS % HARGES U 75%	DRUG F ALL DI L 25%	REE AS	8 % OF GES U 75%	OPIATE OF ALL L 25%	FREE DISC MDN	HARGES	SUCCES PLETION ALL DI L 25%	INS AS	% of
	FOR	TOTAL	PROGRAM		64	56	67	79	21	56	80	26	63	86	0	20	37
	DA=OP+ LDA=OP+ NON=OP				43 19	57 50	69 64	79 78	21 20	60 60	86 80	21 27	63 67	90. 85	0	20 17	33 29
		B PR			34	50	70	84	14	67	88	16	78	89	0	17	36
_		M=A W			6 27	40 57	71 66	94 70	18 24	29 43	83 77	18 30	29 50	83 90	0	3 14	13
61			UNDER18 18=21		15	58	64	80	15	8 n	90	15	80	90	•	•	20
			22=25 OVER 25		30 31	56 54	64 70	78 78	23 18	44 60	88 81	23 20	44 64	89 92	0 0 0	0 11 18	22 31 40
				M P	50 23	50 67	63 75	71 90	21 41	58 60	83 92	25 44	63 71	86 92	0	13	33
	DA≠⊕P	B PR		,	26	57	77	84	13	65	88	13	73	90	0	17	47
		M-A W			6 17	43 56	71 63	93 69	18 25	27 50	83 80	18 25	27 55	83 83	0	3 17	14 33
	DA=DP+	B PR M=A			9	33	63	88	0	79	88	0	79	88	0.	0	20
		W			8	33	60	67	13	38	50	13	50	80	0	20	33
	LDA=UP+	B PR															

LOW DISCURSORS I VOW DRIENT DESIGNATION AND WILLIAM I												* 14 T	113 6 110	SUCCESSFUL COM-				
	DRUG USAGE PATTERN RACE		AGE RACE GROUP		NUMBER OF CLINICS	OF ALL	OYED AS % DISCHARGES MDN U 75%		ALL DISCHARGES			OPIATE FREE AS % OF ALL DISCHARGES L 25% MDN U 75%			PLETIONS AS % OF ALL DISCHARGES L 25% MDN U 75%			
	NON-OP	B PR M=A ₩																
	DA=QP		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25		1 I 21 21	62 50 55	71 64 69	88 83 78	15 20 22	80 36 60	83 89 75	15 20 22	80 36 68	83 89 80	0 0 0	0 10 18	44 22 40	
62	DA#NP+		UNDER18 18#21 22*25 OVER 25		1 8 4	50	67	. 71	14	50	មក	14	60	80	0	20	40	
⇒ υ. s. Gov	LDA-OP+		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25															
* U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1977 241-186/1048	NON-OP		UNDER18 18=21 22=25 OVER 25															
TING OF	DA=nP			M F	35 16	51 68	61 80	74 90	21 20	60 50	88 80	22 40	67 60	90 92	0	17 7	36 40	
FICE : 18	49G#AD			M F	16	50	57	71	20	60	83	55	60	83	0	0	55	
77 241-	LDA=UP+			M F														
186/1048	NON-OP			M F														



## END