.

A DESCRIPTION OF ONTARIO'S JAIL POPULATION

by

PATRICK G. MADDEN

Planning and Research Branch





MINISTRY OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES Honourable Frank Drea Minister Glenn R. Thompson Deputy Minister

13

MINISTRY OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

▲ 1 1 4

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO



PLANNING AND SUPPORT SERVICES DIVISION

M. J. Algar Executive Director

PLANNING AND RESEARCH BRANCH

James J. Hug, Ph.D. Director

A. C. Birkenmayer Manager, Research Services

October 1978



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Appreciation is expressed to the staff of the records offices across the province. Without their assistance, collection of the data necessary to prepare this report would have been impossible.

I would also like to thank Ms. Marian Polonoski and Miss Catherine Carey for their work in collecting and coding the data for the study and Mr. A.C. Birkenmayer for his assistance and guidance in the planning and analysis stages of the study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

P	a	g	e
_	_	_	

List of Tables	and Figures	1
Introduction		2
Methodology	Sample	. 3
	Data Collection	4
Findings		5
	Sentenced Inmates	7
	Remanded Inmates	10
	Inmates Sentenced and Remanded	16
	Parole Violators	16
	Immigration Holds	19
	Offences Leading to Incarceration	19
	Transfers In and Out of Jail	22
Appendix I		24
Appendix II		30

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Page

FIGURE 1	Breakdown of Full Sample by Authority for Custody	5
TABLE 1	Total Time Spent in Jail by Authority for Custody	6
FIGURE 2	Breakdown of Sentenced Inmate Group	7
TABLE 2	Length of Sentence for Sentenced Inmates	8
TABLE 3	Notal Time Spent in Jail by Sentenced Inmates	9
FIGURE 3	Breakdown of Remanded Inmate Group	10
TABLE 4	Disposition of Those Convicted From Remanded Group	11.
TABLE 5	Total Time Spent in Jail by Sentenced Inmates	13
TABLE 6	Time Spent on Remand by Remanded Inmates Sentenced to Incarceration	14
TABLE 7	Time Spent in Jail Following Sentencing	15
FIGURE 4	Breakdown of Inmates Sentenced and Remanded	16
TABLE 8	Length of Sentences Being Served by Inmates Both Sentenced and Remanded	17
TABLE 9	Total Time Spent in Jail by Inmates Both Sentenced and Remanded	18
FIGURE 5	Breakdown of Parole Violator Group	18
FIGURE 6	Breakdown of Immigration Hold Group	19
TABLE 10	Offences Leading to Incarceration	20
TABLE 11	Inmates Transferred in and out of Jail	22
TABLE 12	Time Already Spent in Jail for Major Status Groups	25
TABLE 13	Total Time Spent in Jail by Region and Authority for Custody	26
TABLE 14	Total Time Spent in Jail by Institution	27
TABLE 15	Authority for Custody by Institution	28
TABLE 16	Demographic Make-up of Main Status Groups	29

-1-

INTRODUCTION

This report describes a typical day's population in all jails and detantion centres across Ontario in terms of: reason for incarceration, length of stay, type of offence and a variety of other demographic and institutional factors. As well as giving a better idea of who is being dealt with in the jails this report should be particularly useful in pointing out potential areas where the current over-crowding might be alleviated.

Because the potential number of tables which could be produced from these data was so vast only those factors felt to be the most important are covered in the body of the report. A series of additional tables are included to cover areas which may be of particular interest to certain readers of the report. Even these, however, represent only a small portion of the data available. For this reason the data are being maintained on a readily accessible computer file from which additional tables can be produced. A list of the variables currently on the file is included in Appendix II of this report.

Data based on any combination of these variables can be produced and potential users of such information are encouraged to contact the author to discuss their requirements. Examples of what could be produced would include additional data on specific institutions or length of stay for specific age groups or other subgroups of the sample.

METHODOLOGY

SAMPLE

It was virtually impossible to reconstruct a list of inmates in our jails on any particular day, using either the manual or computerized adult information system (A.I.S.) available. There were available, however, calendars of prisoners prepared for the supreme court which included a list of inmates in each jail as of the date of their preparation. For all but four of the institutions involved, a recent calendar of prisoners was used to define the sample. The dates for the calendars ranged from May 2nd to November 28th, 1977.

When no calendars were available, other methods were used to define samples at the remaining four institutions. At the Metro West Detention Centre a list of the population on December 6, 1977, prepared for internal use, was used. Quinte D.C. and Metro East D.C. prepared current lists (specifically for this study) from institutional files on November 29, 1977, and January 11, 1978, respectively. For the Toronto Jail the computerized information system was used, in conjunction with the institutional files, to reconstruct a list of the jail's population on September 30, 1977. Only 422 of a true count of over 500 could be identified in this way, so, unfortunately, Toronto Jail is under-represented in the sample.

Not included in the sample were those inmates serving intermittent sentences and those who, while listed on the jails' counts, were actually housed in either community resource centres or forestry camps.

DATA COLLECTION

A data collection form covering the inmates situation from admission to the jail to his eventual release or transfer from that jail was completed from institutional files on each subject. While this was done at least two months after the date of sample definition in each jail, some of those in the sample were still in jail at data collection so complete information was unavailable.

Prior to the data being keypunched the jails were telephoned, and forms were updated on this group. Of the total sample of 2430, 65 inmates were still in the jail when data analysis began. For these subjects, variables such as release status and total time in jail are coded as "unknown".

The possibility of collecting information from sources at main office, either paper files or the computerized information system, was investigated before the study was begun. The data available was not complete enough at that time for this to be possible. It should be noted, however, that all the data used in this study is collected by the A.I.S. and theoretically, this report could be reproduced for future dates from computer files. This would involve considerable programming time.

FINDINGS

The following diagram shows how the jail population breaks down into five main groups according to reason for incarceration, as of the date of their selection to the sample.

FIGURE 1



The groups shown are defined as follows:

<u>Sentenced</u> - anyone held as the result of a sentence of either straight time or time as an alternative to an unpaid fine.

<u>Remanded</u> - anyone held strictly as a result of charges for which he has not yet been sentenced but for which he may or may not have been convicted.

<u>Sentenced and remanded</u> - anyone who is sentenced as well as being held on charges for which disposition has not yet been determined. Also included in this group is anyone who is held for parole violation as well as being remanded on other charges.

<u>Parole violations</u> - anyone held for violation of either National or Ontario parole with no additional charges.

<u>Immigration holds</u> - those held with no charges laid who are being considered for deportation.

The portions of the sample in the remanded and the sentenced and remanded groups are similar to those found in a recent study of remand inmates at five Ontario jails. The table below shows further how the different categories of inmates contribute to the overall size of the jail population. Each of the groups is looked at in more detail in subsequent sections, so little discussion of them is included here. It is interesting to note, however, that while the proportions vary, each of the major groups has a sizable number staying over ninety days in the jail. Therefore, any search for ways to cut down on jail counts should not look exclusively at any one group.

TABLE 1

TOTAL TIME SPENT IN JAIL BY AUTHORITY FOR CUSTODY

AUTHORITY FOR CUSTODY	1 1	TOTAL NUMBER OF DAYS IN JAIL 1 to 14 15 to 30 31 to 90		1 91	plus	100% =			
	#	શ્વ	#	8	#	ፄ	#	ቼ	
Sentenced	213	18.8	324	28.5	410	36.1	189	16.6	1136
Remanded	160	16.9	112	11,8	361	38.0	314	33.2	947
Sent. & Remanded	13	4.3	35	11.6	140	46.4	114	37.7	302
Parole Violator	1	3.3	11	36.7	16	53.3	2	6.7	30
Immigration Hold	6	40.0	2	13.3	5	33.3	2	13.3	15
TOTAL	393	16.2	484	19.9	932	38.4	621	25.6	2430

SENTENCED INMATES

FIGURE 2



39 - penitentiary

5 - elsewhere

As shown, the majority (692) of the sentenced inmates went on to serve out the duration of their sentence in the same jail. Ninety-six of this group, however, had been transferred in from other institutions, leaving 596 or 52.5% of the sentenced group who experienced their entire incarceration in one jail. This group was serving sentences averaging 55 days, while the group transferred in were primarily serving the final month or two of relatively long sentences, averaging 253 days.

-7-

	#	8	Cumulative %
Under 30 days	221	19.5	19.5
30 days and under 60	224	19.8	39.3
2 months and under 3	101	8.9	48.2
3 months and under S	194	17.1	65.3
6 months and under 12	177	15.6	80.9
12 months and under 18	116	10.2	91.2
18 months to 2 years	48	4.2	95.4
Over 2 years	52	4.6	100.0
	and a strangers a	Barran Carl	
	1133*	100.0	

LENGTH OF SENTENCE FOR SENTENCED INMATES

TABLE 2

*(for 3 subjects the sentence was unknown)

Those with the longest sentences were the 370 who went on to be transferred to another institution to serve their sentence. Their sentences averaged: 864 days for those going to another jail, 331 days for those going to a provincial institution and 1871 days for those going to the federal system. The high average for the group going to another jail is somewhat misleading as it reflects primarily the sentences of two inmates en route to the penitentiary rather than the short sentences of the remaining twenty-four. The group eventually transferred were, for the most part, in the early stages of their sentence and in that jail only awaiting classification to the appropriate institution. On the whole they served an average of 63.1 days in the jail prior to being transferred. A total of 67(18.1%) of this group had been transferred in to their current jail either before or after sentencing.

The length of time spent in the one jail by those within the sentenced group is shown on the following page.

TABLE 3

-9-

TOTAL TIME SPENT IN JAIL BY SENTENCED INMATES

TOTAL NUMBER OF DAYS IN JAIL

l to	14	15 t	o 30	31 t	o 90	91 F	plus	100% =
#	ૠ	#	ţ,	#	8	#	÷	
154	22.0	232	33.1	232	33.1	83	11.8	701
38	10.3	88	23.8	168	45.4	76	20.5	370
20	80.0	2	8.0	2	8.0	1	4.0	25
-	0.0	1	7.7	5	38.5	7	53.8	13
b	0.0	-	0.0	2	8.3	22	91.7	24
1	50.0	1	50.0	-	0.0	-	0.0	2
	0.0	-	0.0	1	100.0	-	0.0	l
				 				
213	18.8	324	28.5	410	36.1	189	16.6	1136
	# 154 38 20 - - 1 -	154 22.0 38 10.3 20 80.0 - 0.0 - 0.0 1 50.0 - 0.0	# % # 1.54 22.0 232 38 10.3 88 20 80.0 2 - 0.0 1 - 0.0 1 - 0.0 1 - 0.0 -	# % # % 154 22.0 232 33.1 38 10.3 88 23.8 20 80.0 2 8.0 - 0.0 1 7.7 - 0.0 - 0.0 1 50.0 1 50.0 - 0.0 - 0.0	# % # % # 154 22.0 232 33.1 232 38 10.3 88 23.8 168 20 80.0 2 8.0 2 - 0.0 1 7.7 5 - 0.0 - 0.0 2 1 50.0 1 50.0 - - 0.0 - 0.0 1	# % # % # % 154 22.0 232 33.1 232 33.1 38 10.3 88 23.8 168 45.4 20 80.0 2 8.0 2 8.0 - 0.0 1 7.7 5 35.5 - 0.0 - 0.0 2 8.3 1 50.0 1 50.0 - 0.0 - 0.0 - 0.0 1 100.0	#%#%#%# 154 22.0 232 33.1 232 33.1 83 38 10.3 88 23.8 168 45.4 76 20 80.0 2 8.0 2 8.0 1 $ 0.0$ 1 7.7 5 35.5 7 $ 0.0$ $ 0.0$ 2 8.3 22 1 50.0 1 50.0 $ 0.0$ $ 0.0$ $ 0.0$ 1 100.0 $-$	#%#%#%#% 154 22.0 232 33.1 232 33.1 83 11.8 38 10.3 88 23.8 168 45.4 76 20.5 20 80.0 2 8.0 2 8.0 1 4.0 - 0.0 1 7.7 5 35.5 7 53.8 - 0.0 $ 0.0$ 2 8.3 22 91.7 1 50.0 1 50.0 $ 0.0$ $ 0.0$ - 0.0 $ 0.0$ 1 100.0 $ 0.0$

The long period of time spent in jail by those eventually transferred out is worth noting. Even though some of the time spent in the jail may have been prior to sentencing, it appears that one of the contributing factors to the overcrowded situation in the jail is the long periods of time spent awaiting transfer to an appropriate institution following their sentencing. Some of those in the jail for the longest periods prior to being transferred may have originally been classified to serve their full sentence in the jail but later for whatever reason were re-classified to another institution. Another contributing factor to the long periods spent in jail prior to transfers was the overcrowding throughout the system at the time the study was conducted.

It also should be pointed out that, while such data were not collected for this study, many of those spending particularly long periods in the jail, did so because of involvement in some community-oriented programme such as the Temporary Absence Programme.

In addition to their sentences, twenty-six (2.3%) of the sentenced group were also being held as parole violators. Another sixty-five (5.7%) had terms of probation to serve following their sentence expiry.

ŝ

Within the sentenced group were 173 inmates who were held strictly on charges for which they had an alternative of a fine. While 25 of them paid portions of their fine and were released prior to serving their full time, the remainder served time right up to their sentence expiry, strictly because of a lack of ability or inclination to pay their fine. The lengths of time spent in jail by those in this group were generally quite short with most (59.6%) serving less than two weeks. Terms did, however, range as high as 60 days with 15 (8.7%) of the group in for at least a full month.

The majority of them (67.4%) had liquor charges included in the offences leading to their incarceration, with traffic offences the next most common at 25.0%.



REMANDED INMATES

It should first be pointed out that, while information to make such distinctions was not available for this study, there was considerable variance in the actual status of those included in the remand group. While some had not yet had any court appearance at all, others had already been convicted and were awaiting sentencing.

The most striking fact on examination of the remand group was the small number whose stay on remand led to a sentence of incarceration. Eliminating those whose eventual outcome was unknown, almost half of those remaining were subsequently released on bail, had their case dismissed or received some other non-institutional disposition.

The dispositions of those eventually convicted from the remand group are shown in the following table.

DISPOSITION	#	Percent	Cumulative Percent
	、		
Fine only Probation Intermittent Sentence Incarceration: under 30 days 30 days and under 60 2 months and under 3 3 months and under 6 6 months and under 12 12 months and under 18 18 months to 2 years over 2 years	13 77 4 50 39 29 41 68 88 37 64	2.6 15.1 0.8 9.8 7.7 6.0 8.1 13.4 17.3 7.3 12.6	2.6 17.7 18.5 28.3 36.0 41.7 49.7 63.1 80.4 87.6 100.0
	509*	100.0	

TABLE 4

DISPOSITION OF THOSE CONVICTED FROM REMAND GROUP

*(12 subjects whose sentences were not shown on the file are not included in this table)

In addition to many who received non-institutional sentences, there was a large number who received quite short sentences. This is of particular concern since this was a group who were judged to require holding in an institution while on remand. Six, in fact, were given sentences of only one day and were released the same day of sentencing.

One group of particular interest is composed of those who were finally given probation. One has to question the validity of this group being considered risks to the community and therefore necessitating incarceration while theoretically assumed innocent, yet once found to be guilty, being designated as appropriate candidates for probation. This is quite a young group with over 60% of the inmates under twenty-one years of age. This compares to about twenty percent under twenty-one in the remainder of the remand group. Thirteen (16.9%) in this group had charges in the serious or violent offence category*.

The large number of offenders (239) held on remand, often for a fairly lengthy period of time and only to be released on bail at a later date, is also cause for concern during the current period when over-crowding is the major problem facing the province's jails. Unfortunately the details of all these cases were not available so we cannot determine whether the inmate's period of incarceration was the result of his inability to raise bail, delays in the courts or a variety of other potential explanations. The fact remains that on the date under scrutiny in this study, close to ten percent of the total jail population were people held on remand who were later judged suitable for release, either on bail or on their own recognizance.

Looking further at this group, we found them to be not too unlike the overall remanded group in terms of age, education, sex and race. It was noted, however, that seventy-six offenders later bailed (31.8%) were charged with serious or violent offences. This is a smaller proportion than that of the overall remand group with serious offences (35.5%).

A check was made in main office files to see what further criminal activity this group had had since being released on bail. For eighteen, the information could not be located or accurately assessed. Of the remainder, 104(47.1%) had no further entries on file, indicating either that the court date had not yet arrived or that it had passed with no further involvement by this ministry; forty-eight (21.7%) were re-admitted to an institution but for different charges than those for which they were bailed; eleven (5.0%) had been re-admitted but had not had their cases dealt with yet; the remaining fifty-eight (26.2%) had had their charges dealt with with the following results:

*See section on Offences Leading to Incarceration for list of offences included.

fined (2)
probation (1	5)
intermittent sentence (3)
incarceration up to 30 days (1	2)
31 days to 2 years (2	2)
over 2 years (1)

The lengths of time spent in the jail by those in the various remand groups sheds further light on the situation.

TABLE 5

TOTAL TIME SPENT IN JAIL BY REMANDED INMATES

Eventual Outcome		1 to 14		15 to 30		31 to 90		plus	100% =
	#	90 Po	#	ક	Ť	8	#	ક	
Sentenced: Served at jail	10	10.1	14	14.1	51	51.5	24	24.2	99
Transferred	1	0.3	24	7.7	159	50.8	129	41.2	313
Still in Jail	• T1	0.0	-	0.0		0.0	16	100.0	16
Intermittent	-	0.0	3.	75.0	1	25.0	-	0.0	4
Released on Probation	12	15.8	20	26.3	36	46.1	9	11.8	77
Case Dismissed, Released	3	6.1	8	16.3	21	42.9	17	34.7	49
Fined and Released	7	53.8	2	15.4	4	30.8	-	0.0	13
Released on Bail	123	51.5	35	14.6	54	22.6	27	11.3	239
Transferred, Still on Remand	4	5.3	6	8.0	34	45.3	31	41.3	75
Still in on Remand	-	0.0	-	0.0	1	1.6	61	98.4	62
Total Remand Group	160	16.9	112	11.8	361	38.0	314	33.2	947

ĺ

TOTAL NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN JAIL

It has to be kept in mind that the lengths of time shown represent the total of the time spent on remand plus any additional time spent in jail serving a sentence for those given dispositions of incarceration. The following tables break this down into its component parts.

TABLE 6

TIME SPENT ON REMAND BY REMANDED INMATES SENTENCED TO INCARCERATION

	1-14 15-30		-30	31	-90	91 j	lus	100% =	
	#	8	#	8	Ħ	8	#	×	
<u></u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						د
Served at Jail	28	29.2	26	27.1	37	38.5	5	5.2	96
Transferred	12	4.0	72	23.9	131	43.5	86	28.6	301
Still in Jail	1	6.3	1	6.3	6	37.5	8	50.0	16
Intermittent	-	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	-	0.0	4
Total Sentenced to Incarceration	42	10.1	102	24.5	175	42.0	99	23.7	417

NUMBER OF DAYS ON REMAND

(Eleven inmates whose conviction dates are unknown are not included in this table.)

TABLE 7

TIME SPENT IN JAIL FOLLOWING SENTENCING

Eventual Outcome	0 to 7 8 to 1		0 14	15	to 30	31	. +	100% =	
	#	8	#	Å	#	8	#	8	
Sentence Served at Jail Transferred:	18	27.7	10	15.4	15	23.1	22	33.8	65
to other jail	6	37.5	1	6.3	4	25.0	4	31.3	16
to C.C. or A.T.C.	45	24.1	61	32.6	54	28.9	27	14.4	187
to penitentiary	20	38,5	12	23.1	9	17.3	11	21.2	52
elsewhere	1	50.0	1	50.0	-	0.0	-	0.0	2
Still in	-	0.0	1	6.3	1	6.3	14	87.5	16
TOTAL	90	26.6	86	25.4	83	24.6	89	26.3	338

DAYS IN JAIL FOLLOWING SENTENCING

÷.

Č

(Eliminated from this table are those whose conviction date was unknown and those who, following sentencing on one charge, had additional outstanding charges which would lengthen the stay in jail.)

While it is clear that the time on remand accounts for much more of the total stay than the period serving a sentence, delays in transferring inmates out of the jail do contribute to some degree to the overcrowding. This seems especially true for those going to provincial institutions to serve the remainder of their time.

INMATES SENTENCED AND REMANDED



(Of the 183 transferred: 33 (18.1%) went to another jail, 85 (45.1%) went to an A.T.C. or C.C., 63 (34.1%) went to the federal system and 2 went elsewhere.)

-16-

This is the most complex of the groups within our sample and it has the largest portion staying in the jail for long periods of time. It is actually made up of several quite different sub-groups. Some (51) were transferred in to face additional charges from other institutions where they were serving quite long sen-Others were being held primarily because of tences. relatively serious charges which had not yet been dealt with and were, at the same time, serving sentences for more minor convictions. Another group were in for violation of parole along with new charges for which they had not yet been sentenced while the remainder had come to the jail with a number of outstanding charges and had only some of them dealt with by the time of their selection to the sample. These various situations are reflected in the wide spread of sentences for those charges for which they were already sentenced.

TABLE 8

LENGTH OF SENTENCES BEING SERVED BY INMATES BOTH SENTENCED AND REMANDED

	#	8	Cumulative
Under 30 days	34	14.7	14.7
30 days and under 60	33	14.3	29.0
2 months and under 3	15	6.5	35.5
3 months and under 6	53	22.9	58.4
6 months and under 12	33	14.3	72.7
12 months and under 18	29	12.6	85.3
18 months to 2 years	14	6.1	91.3
Over 2 years	20	8 - 7	100.0
	231	100.0	

(Of the remainder in the category, 4 had unknown sentence and 67 were in for parole violation)

Because of the complex situation and large number of offences associated with this group it is not surprising that they tend to stay in the jail for long periods of time.

TABLE 9

TOTAL TIME SPENT IN JAIL BY INMATES BOTH SENTENCED AND REMANDED

	TOTAL NUMBER OF DATS SPENT IN DALL								
Eventual Outcome	1 to 14		15 to 30		31 to 90		91 plus		100% =
	#	£	ŧ	8	#	₽;	#	£	
Released from that Jail	7	7.4	19	20.2	47	50.0	21	22.3	94
Transferred	6	3.3	16	8.7	92	50.3	69	37.7	1.83
Still in	-	0.0	*** *	0.0	1	4.0	24	96.0	25
TOTAL	13	4.3	35	11.6	140	46.4	114	37.7	302

TOTAL NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN JAIL

PAROLE VIOLATORS

FIGURE 5



Little is needed in the way of explanation for this group. As expected, the majority of this group were transferred to other institutions to serve out the remainder of their sentences. Based on where they were transferred, we can ascertain that 15 were National Parole Violators. The others, however, remain unknown.

One should keep in mind that these do not represent all those held for parole violations, but only those held exclusively for parole violation.

IMMIGRATION HOLDS

FIGURE 6 IMMIGRATION HOLD N = 15DISMISSED DEPORTED TRANSFERRED STILL IN 9 52.9% 3 5.9% 2 11.8% 17.6% 1

l - other jail 2 - elsewhere

This group represents only a small portion of the overall sample and for the most part is not a group who stay in the jail a particularly long period of time.

As with the parole violator, this section does not include those who had other charges as well as being held for immigration violations.

OFFENCES LEADING TO INCARCERATION

The offences leading to incarceration for the jail population range from breaking the most minor municipal by-law to the most serious offences such as murder or armed robbery. The following table shows the number who were sentenced with each of a number of offence categories, as well as those held on remand charged with the same offence groupings. Note that because some of our sample were being held on remand for charges in the same category as those for which they had been sentenced, the numbers in the column headed "either sentenced or remand" may be less than the total of the "remanded" and "sentenced" columns. Similarly, a total of all the categories will be over the total number in the sample since many of the sample had charges in more than one category.

TABLE 1.0

OFFENCES LEADING TO INCARCERATION

Type of Offence	Sentenced Charges	Remand Charges	Either Sentenced or Remanded	Percent of Total Sample
Against Person				
Abduction, kidnapping	3	17	20	0.8
Assault	94	156	248	10.2
Assault Police Officer	29	33	61	2.5
Murder, Manslaughter	4	62	66	2.7
Attempted Murder	3	24	27	1.1
Rape, Attempted Rape	1	22	23	0.9
Threatening, Intimidation	6	19	25	1.0
Any Offences Against Person	and the second se	289	419	17.2
Against Property				
Arson	5	25	30	1.2
Theft, B. & E. and related charges	417	477	869	35.8
Damage Property	15	24	39	1.6
Forgery, Fraud, Uttering	106	114	216	8.9
Possession of Stolen Property	102	162	262	10.8
Robbery & Armed Robbery	52	167	218	9.0
Auto Theft, Joyriding	20	28	47	1.9
Extortion	-	1	l	0.1
Other Property Offences	3	3	б	0.2
Any Property Offences	616	761	1320	54.3
Against Morals and Decency				
Breach of Child Welfare				
Act, Non-support	5	2	7	0.3
Charges Related to Prostitution	3	6	8	0.3
Perjury	6	7	13	0.5
Corrupt Morals	2	1	3	0.1
Indecent Acts	22	39	<u>61</u>	2.5
Any Offences Against Morals	36	55	90	3.7

	-21	1-		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	entenced harges	Remand Charges	Either Sentenced or Remanded	Percent of Total Sample
Against Public Order Breach of Probation	52	36	87	3.6
Breach of Recognizance	JZ	50	07	5.0
Failure to Appear	172	176	344	14.2
Cause Disturbance, Mischief		105	183	7.5
Conspiracy	14	22	35	1.4
Escape, Unlawfully at Large		40	97	4.0
Obstruct Justice,				
Resist Arrest	36	32	67	2.8
Other Public Order Offences		29	56	2.3
Any Offences Against				
Public Order	369	366	712	29.3
Liquor Offences				
Impaired Driving	173	44	215	8.8
Intoxicated in Public	93	15	104	4.3
Fail or Refuse Breath Test	93	29	122	5.0
Other Liquor Offences				
(includes unspecified)	124	44	146	$\frac{6.0}{20.7}$
Any Liquor Offences	429	88	504	20.7
Drug Offences				
Simple Possession	94	94	186	7.7
Possession with intent to				
Traffick	18	32	50	2.1
Trafficking	25	38	63	2.6
Other Drug Charges				
(includes unspecified)	$\frac{17}{142}$	41	58	$\frac{2.4}{12.9}$
Any Drug Offences	148	173	314	.12.9
Traffic Offences				
Careless, Dangerous Driving		28	66	2.7
Criminal Negligence	10	9	1.9	0.8
Drive While License Suspend		39	158	6.5
Leave Scene of Accident	12	10	22	0.9
Other Traffic Offence			<u> </u>	
(includes unspecified)	82	31	113	$\frac{4.7}{13.2}$
Any Traffic Offences	223	102	320	13.2
Breach of Municipal By Law	17	4	21	0.9
Breach of Provincial Statute	1	2	3	0.1
Other	10	16	26	1.1

A count was made of the number of inmates who were in because of being either charged or convicted of a serious violent crime. The crimes included in this list were: abduction, kidnapping, assault, assault P.O., murder, manslaughter, rape, attempted rape, robbery and armed robbery. A total of 576 (23.7%) of the jail population across the province fell into this category.

-21-

The proportion varied somewhat with the region: Central 27.3%, Northern 17.3%, Western 21.9%, and Eastern 23.3%. Certain institutions, namely the Perth jail and the Windsor jail had as high as forty percent in for serious offences.

The majority (58.3%) of those in for serious offences were from the remand group with 12.8% from the sentenced group and the remainder (31.1%) from the group both sentenced and remanded. One of the reasons that the portion of the jail population in for serious offences is as high as it is, is the long period of time spent in the jail by this group. Almost half of these inmates spent three months in the jail.

TRANSFERS IN AND OUT OF JAIL

٩

A large portion (45.6%) of the sample were made up of inmates who were transferred either in or out of the jail that they were in when selected to the sample. While a portion of these represent transfers to other jails or other provincial institutions, they do represent a different situation for the jail to cope with than those admitted to and released from the one jail. The following table gives a breakdown of transfers in and out of the jail.

TABLE 11

INMATES TRANSFERRED IN AND OUT OF JAIL

TRANSFERRED IN FROM:	ANOTHER JAIL	A.T.C. or C.C.	THE PEN- ITENTIARY	ELSEWHERE	NOT TRANS- FERRED OUT	TOTAL
ANOTHER JAIL	16	38	12	-	68	134 (5.5%)
A.T.C., C.C. THE PENITENTIARY	5 4	27	1 10		70 1	103 (4.2%)
ELSEWHERE	-	1	-	-	2	15 (0.6%) 3 (0.1%)
an a substantia a s						
NOT TRANSFERRED IN	108	554	152	38	1323	2175 (89.5%)
TOTAL	133 (5.5%)	620 (25.5%)	175 (7.2%)	38 (1.6%)	1464 (60.2%)	2430(100.0%)

TRANSFERRED OUT TO:

-22-

These coming from or going to a penitentiary are of particular interest since for portions of their stay, many of them are actually federal responsibility though they are held in provincial jails. This is also a group which tends to spend a long time in the jail serving an average of four months.

APPENDIX I

Additional Tables

Sentenced Weeks Already Served					Remand		Sentenced and Remanded			
			Cumu- lative			Cumu- lative			Cumu- lative	
	N	° 95	3	N	8	8	N	₹	8	
Less than l l	254 228	22.4 20.1	22.4 42.4	282 150	29.8 15.8	29.8 45.6	37 38	12.3 12.6	12.3 14.8	
2	138	12.1	54.6	87	9.2	54.8	33	10.9	35.8	
3 4	99 83	8.7 7.3	63.3 70.6	65 53	6.9 5.6	61.7 67.3	31 31	10.3 10.3	46.0 56.3	
5	60	5.3	75.9	45	4.8	72.0	22	7.3	63.6	
6	29	2.6	78.4	35	3.7	75.7	13	4.3	67.9	
7	38	3,3	81.8	34	3.6	79.3	17	5.6	73.5	
8	31	2.7	84.5	26	2.7	82.0	14	4.6	78.1	
9	25	2.2	86.7	17	1.8	83.8	5	1.7	79.8	
10 11	23	2.0	88.7	22	2.3	86.2	9	3.0	82.8	
11	16 13	1.4 1.1	90.1 91.3	21 8	2.2 0.8	88.4 . 89.2	10 4	3.3 1.3	86.1 87.4	
12	10	0.9	92.2	18	1.9	91.1	3	1.0	88,4	
13	5	0.4	92.6	5	0.5	91.7	4	1.3	89.7	
15	11	1.0	93.6	6	0.6	92.3	4	1.3	91.1	
16	5	0.4	94.0	5	0.5	92.8	3	1.0	92.1	
17	6	0.5	94.5	5	0.5	93.3	3	1.0	93.0	
18	5	0.4	95.0	6	0.6	94.0	1	0.3	93.4	
19	5	0.4	95.4	5	0.5	94.5	2	0.7	94.0	
20	11	1.0	96.4	4	0.4	94.9	4	1.3	95.4	
21	4	0.4	96.7	7	0.7	95.7	2	0.7	96.0	
22	-	-	96.7	3	0.3	96.0	-	-	96.0	
23 24	6	0.5	97.3	8	0.8	96.8	1	0.3	96.4	
24	6 2	0.5 0.2	97.8 98.0	4 6	0.4 0.6	97.3 97.9	2	0.7	97.0 97.0	
25		0.2	98.0 98.3		-	97.9	1	0.3	97.4	
		•••					-	•••		
27 - 39	14	1.2	99.6	17	1.8	99.7	2	0.7	98.0	
40 - 52	2	0.2	99.7			99.7	4	1.3	99.3	
52 +	3	0.3	100.0	3	0.3	100.0	2	0.7	100.0	
	1136	100.0		947	100.0		302	100.0		

TABLE 12

TIME ALREADY SPENT IN JAIL FOR MAJOR STATUS GROUPS

*Time already spent refers to time from admission or transfer to the jail to the date of selection to the sample.

44.03

-26-TABLE 13

TOTAL TIME SPENT IN JAIL

BY REGION AND AUTHORITY FOR CUSTODY

REGION AND AUTHORIT	Y I	TOTAL DAYS SPENT IN JAIL							
FOR CUSTODY	1	- 14	15 30		31 - 90		91	100% =	
	#	*	#	8	#	÷	#	¥	
Central Region									
Sentenced	76	19.2	99	25.1	132	33.4	88	28.8	395
Remanded	81	16.6	60	12.3	188	38.6	158	32.4	487
Sent. and Rem.	4	2.8	17	11.9	64	44.8	58	40.6	143
Parole Viol.	-	0.0	3	21.4	10	71.4	1	7.1	1
Immigr. Hold	4	36.4	2	18.2	4	36.4	1	9.1	11
Regional Total	165	15.7	181	17.2	398	37.9	306	29.1	1050
Northern Region									
Sentenced	53	21.6	77	31.4	97	39.6	18	7.3	245
Remanded	27	21.1	13	10.2	59	46.1	29	22.7	1
Sent. and Rem.	1	2.1	4	8.3	29	60.4	14	29.2	4
Parole Viol.	1	25.0	2 .	1	1	25.0		0.0	6
Inmigr. Hold	_	0.0	_	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	
Regional Total	82	19.2	96	22.5	187	43.8	62	14.5	1
Western Region									
Sentenced	53	19.5	78	28.7	100	36.8	41	15.1	272
Remanded	28	16.6	22	13.0	64	37.9	55	33.5	
Sent. and Rem.	3	5.1	7	11.9	27	45.8	22	37.3	1
Parole Viol.	-	0.0	4	57.1	2	28.6	1	14.3	1
Regional Total	84	16.6	111	21.9	193	38.1	119	23.5	ť
_									
Eastern Region			-						
Sentenced	31	13.8	70	31.3	81	36.2	42	18.8	224
Remanded	24	14.7	17	10.4	50	30.7	72	44.2	
Sent. and Rem.	5	9.6	7	38.5	20	38.5	20	38.5	
Parole Viol.	-	0.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	-	0.0	
Immigr. Hold	2	100.0	-	0.0		0.0		0.0	1
Regional Total,	62	13.9	96	21.5	154	34.5	134	30.0	
Overall Total	393	16.2	484	19.9	932	38.4	621	25.6	2430

TABLE 14

TOTAL TIME SPENT IN JAIL BY INSTITUTION

INSTITUTION	TOTAL NUMBER OF DAYS SPENT IN JAIL									
INSTITUTION	llt	o 14	15 to 30		31 to 90		91 plus		100%=	
an and a support of the support of t	#	8	#	8	#	ę	#			
Toronto Jail	85	20.5	78	18.8	145	34.9	107	25.8	415	
Metro East D.C.	15	10.8	19	13.7	51	36.7	54	38.8	139	
Metro West D.C.	24	12.2	22	11.2	80	40.6	71	36.0	197	
Hamilton-Wentworth D.C.	21	17.4	29	24.0	41	33.9	30	24.8	121	
Barrie Jail	7	12.5	5	8.9	26	46.4	18	32.1	56	
Milton Jail	4	10.8	7	18.9	21	56.8	5	13.5	37	
Owen Sound Jail	10	24.4	12	29.3	18	43.9	1	2.4	41	
Walkerton Jail	1	4.5	7	31.8	13	59.1	1	4.5	22	
Orangeville Jail	3	23.1	3	23.1	6	46.2	1	7.7	13	
Sudbury Jail	10	12.2	12	14.6	43	52.4	17	20.7	82	
Thunder Bay Jail	19	27.1	19	27.1	24	34.3	8	11.4	70	
Kenora Jail	21	19.8	30	28.3	41	38.7	14	13.2	106	
Sault Ste. Marie Jail	3	8.1	10	27.4	15	40.5	9	24.3	37	
North Bay Jail	11	28.2	5	12.8	20	51.3	3	7.7	39	
Parry Sound Jail	5	14.7	7	20.6	20	58.8	2	5.9	34	
Monteith Jail	7	33.3	7	33.3	6	28.6	1	4.8	21	
Fort Frances Jail	1	7.7	5	38.5	6	46.2	1	7.7	13	
Haileybury Jail	5	20.0	1	4.0	12	48.0	7	28.0	25	
Ottawa-Carleton D.C.	16	12.3	20	15.4	40	30.8	54	41.5	130	
Quinte D.C.	7	7.4	27	28.7	35	37.2	25	26.6	94	
Whitby Jail	12	16.4	13	17.8	25	34.2	23	31.5	73	
Perth Jail	9	45.0	2	10.0	5	25.0	4	20.0	20	
Peterborough Jail	3	13.6	7	31.8	8	36.4	4	18.2	22	
Lindsay Jail	3	17.6	2	11.8	2	11.8	10	58.8	17	
Pembroke Jail		0.0	2	15.4	11	84.6	_	0.0	13	
Cobourg Jail	4	18.2	6	27.3	10	45.5	2	9.1	22	
Cornwall Jail	2	11.1	7	38.9	6	33.3	3	16.7	18	
Brockville Jail	3	15.0	7	35.0	5	25.0	5	25.0	20	
L'Orignal Jail	3	17.6	3	17.6	7	41.2	4	23.5	17	
Niagara D.C.	6	8.3	18	25.0	28	38.9	20	27.8	72	
Elgin-Middlesex D.C.	30	20.8	31	21.5	54	37.5	29	20.1	144	
Windsor Jail	8	13.3	8	13.3	19	31.7	25	41.7	60	
Kitchener Jail	4	8.7	8	17.4	17	37.0	17	37.0	46	
Sarnia Jail	9	18.0	9	18.0	22	44.0	10	20.0	50	
Chatham Jail	2	8.7	3	13.0	8	34.8	10	43.5	23	
Simcoe Jail	5	19.2	4	15.4	10	38.5	7	26.9	25	
Brantford Jail	6	19.2	11	29.7	15	40.5	5	13.5	37	
Stratford Jail	4	16.7	7	29.7	7	29.2	6	25.0	24	
Guelph Jail	5	14.7	11	32.4	10	29.2	8	23.5	34	
Grethu outt	393	16.2		J2.4		27.4	621	43.3	2430	

-28-

TABLE 15

AUTHORITY FOR CUSTODY

BY INSTITUTION

			Sent. &	Parole	Immigrat.	
INSTITUTION	Sentenced	Remanded	Remanded	Violator	Hold	Total
Toronto Jail	159	194	48	8	6	415
Metro East D.C.	59	62	16	1	1	139
Metro West D.C.	76	88	29	3	1	197
Hamilton-Wentworth D.C.	28	67	21	2	3	121
Barrie Jail	16	29	11	-	-	56
Milton Jail	8	25	4	-		37
Owen Sound Jail	28	10	3	-		41
Walkerton Jail	19	2	1	-	-	22
Orangeville Jail	13	-		-	-	13
Sudbury Jail	40	29	11	2	-	82
Thunder Bay Jail	46	15	0	-	-	70
Kenora Jail	66	25	13	2	-	106
Sault Ste. Marie Jail	15	15	5	-	2	37
North Bay Jail	25	11	3	-		39
Parry Sound Jail	13	19	2	-	-	34
Monteith	17	2	2	-	-	21
Fort Frances Jail	9	3.	1	-		13
Haileybury Jail	14	9	2	Take		25
Ottawa-Carleton D.C.	49	65	14	2		130
Quinte D.C.	46	34	13	1		94
Whitby Jail	42	20	10	1		73
Perth Jail	7	10	3		-	20
Peterborough Jail	7	11	4	-		22
Lindsay Jail	11	5	1	-	-	17
Pembroke Jail	9	2	2	-	-	13
Cobourg Jail	16	3	3	-	-	22
Cornwall Jail	14	3	l	-	-	18
Brockv/lle Jail	14	2	1	1	2	20
L'Orignal Jail	9	8	-	-	-	17
Niagara D.C.	36	22	14	-	-	72
Elgin-Middlesex D.C.	86	38	20	-		144
Windsor Jail	20	33	6	l	-	60
Kitchener Jail	12	25	6	3		46
Sarnia Jail	29	16	4	l	-	50
Chatham Jail	7	12	4	-		23
Simcoe Jail	18	6	2	-		26
Brantford Jail	22	12	2	1	-	37
Stratford Jail	17	5	2	-	-	24
Guelph Jail	14	10	9	1		34
TOTAL	1136	947	302	30	15	2430

TABLE 16

DEMOGRAPHIC MAKE-UP OF MAIN STATUS GROUPS

		Sente	nced	Rema	nded	Other*		
		#	8	#	Ł	#	8	
Sex:	Male Female	1099 <u>37</u> 1136	96.7 <u>3.3</u> 100.0	904 <u>43</u> 947	95.5 4.5 100.0	332 <u>15</u> 347	95.7 <u>4.3</u> 100.0	
Ni	ren & eight ne or ten to thirteen	81 255 493 232 <u>38</u> 1099**	7.423.244.921.13.5100.0	53 164 432 230 <u>35</u> 914	5.8 17.9 47.3 25.2 <u>3.8</u> 100.0	22 61 161 81 14 339	$ \begin{array}{r} 6.5 \\ 18.0 \\ 47.5 \\ 23.9 \\ \underline{4.1} \\ 100.0 \\ \end{array} $	
Age: Sixteen or Eighteen Twenty-one Thirty-one Fifty-one	to twenty to thirty to fifty	133 241 409 272 <u>79</u> 1134	$ \begin{array}{r} 11.7 \\ 21.3 \\ 36.1 \\ 24.0 \\ \underline{7.0} \\ 100.0 \end{array} $	161 255 309 186 <u>32</u> 943	17.1 27.0 32.8 19.7 <u>3.4</u> 100.0	28 79 154 75 10 346	8.122.844.521.72.9100.0	
Marital Status:	Single Married Other	730 265 <u>128</u> 1123	65.0 23.6 <u>11.4</u> 100.0	$ \begin{array}{r} 659\\ 193\\ \underline{74}\\ 926 \end{array} $	71.2 20.8 <u>2.2</u> 100.0	219 84 <u>35</u> 338	64.8 24.9 <u>10.4</u> 100.0	
Race:	White Indian Other	970 128 <u>28</u> 1126	86.1 11.4 <u>2.5</u> 100.0	827 62 <u>42</u> 931	87.3 6.7 <u>4.5</u> 100.0	297 25 <u>18</u> 340	87.4 7.4 <u>5.3</u> 100.0	
Previous Convict (as reported One by inmate) Three Ten	None ^a e or two	267 272 299 <u>298</u> 1136	23.5 23.9 26.3 <u>26.2</u> 100.0	264 242 228 <u>213</u> 947	27.9 25.6 24.1 <u>22.5</u> 100.0	55 74 104 <u>114</u> 347	15.9 21.3 30.0 <u>32.9</u> 100.0	

^a (included in the group with none may be some who just did not report their prior record - many of those listed as having ten or more prior convictions actually reported "many" or "too many to count" ...)

* The "other" group includes those who were sentenced and remanded, parole violators and immigration holds.

** Where information was unknown cases were left out of the table so totals vary.

APPENDIX II

VARIABLES AVAILABLE FOR FURTHER ANALYSIS

Institution Code Date of Admission Date of Selection to Sample Age at Admission Sex Highest Grade Completed Marital Status Racial Origin Previous Convictions Country of Residence Court Code Authority for Custody As of Selection to Sample Where Transferred From Charges Sentenced For Charges on Remanded For All Dispositions Date Left the Jail Status as of Leaving Jail Where Transferred To

r.

• .

END

.