

NCJRS

JAN 1 1 1980

£7.

-

•

ACQUISITIONS

TRANSPORTATION IN THE DIVISION OF CORRECTIONS

> FISCAL YEAR 1979 Report # 3

Research Conducted by Paul D. Woehlke Research Analyst

Executive Summary Prepared by Richard J. Oldroyd, Ph.D.

Research funded in part by the Utah Council on Criminal Justice Administration Grant S-78-F-3-1.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Transportation within the Division of Corrections is a broad, nebulous operation. This study attempted to give some description of transportation and its associated costs and to make some suggestions that might make transportation more efficient.

The fiscal 1979 budget shows the following costs associated with transportation:

In-State Transportation (includes mileage \$ reimbursement for use of private vehicles and motor pool vehicle rental costs)	135,617
Motor Vehicle Supplies & Operating (includes gas, oil, repairs)	53,952
Vehicle Purchase	40,392
Prison Community Release Transportation Expenditures	53,818
Prison Project Discovery Transportation Expenditures	21,770
Out-of-State Travel	16,454
Extraditions	Unknown
Total Costs excluding personnel over \$	317,000
Personnel estimated at 2 1/3 times total costs above	738,610

Rough Estimate of Total Transportation \$1,055,610 One of the more important and obvious findings of this study (and one that should have come as less of a surprise) is that the personnel costs associated with travel are far more expensive than the costs of the transportation itself.

By far, the largest consumers of transportation within Corrections are the programs that provide transportation for offenders involved in work release programs (Prison Community Release - approximately 279,000 miles during FY 1979; Lakehills-Community Correctional Center - approximately 130,000 miles; St. Marks Diagnostic Center - approximately 113,000 miles). The other major consumer is the Prison transportation team approximately 117,000 miles. These programs dwarf all other Correctional programs in terms of transportation costs. As a result, these are also the programs where the most significant savings might be anticipated.

Currently, the three community release programs all operate independently. They divide the city up into a variety of routes depending on the location and time of specific offenders' employment. The routes change almost daily. One thing that all the runs have in common, though, is that the vehicles start out full, dispense passengers to the end of the run, and then return empty. The locations of the various programs readily suggest that considerable savings might be made if they were to cooperate. In its simplest form, if, when a Prison work release run drops of its last occupant in the downtown area, it were to pick up a new load at St. Marks Diagnostic Unit and drop them off as it returned to the Prison, overall mileage would be considerably reduced. More importantly, so would the staff time required to perform the transportation.

This concept could easily be refined to provide even greater efficiency. The ideal solution is to consolidate all work release transportation into a single program. All three units would communicate their transportation requirements to a central coordinator who would schedule and control routes. The solution that appears most efficient would be to establish a central rendezvous point near the center of the valley where vehicles from all three facilities would meet, transfer offenders to the vehicle that would then run a much smaller route dropping off offenders at their respective places of employment as it returned to its place of origin for another load. Reducing the size of the routes would not only result in considerable savings in terms of miles and driver time, but it would also reduce the amount of time the offender would have to wait in the car prior to arriving at his place of employment.

Perhaps the most critical decision in terms of cost savings or expense related to transportation is: "Who should be drivers be?" The Prison currently uses some inmate drivers, while all the other programs use staff drivers (in some instances the Center Director). Not only are these folks expensive, but also there are usually more important things they could be doing (although the association with offenders as they travel to and from work is certainly not to be considered meaningless or a waste of time). If all the drivers for a consolidated work release program were inmates or center residents on temporary assignment, cost savings in the neighborhood of a third of a million dollars might be anticipated.

The Attorney General's Office has been informally contacted regarding the use of inmate drivers and has informally replied that it is not in

-2-

favor of inmates being involved in this role. However, the general attitude of the Attorney General toward the entire work release concept is negative. Informal consultation with other competent legal advice could find no sound reason for not using inmate drivers. The decision appears very political, but purely administrative.

Other things would greatly contribute to the effectiveness of consolidating work release. Most of these need to be worked out by a pilot project to determine the exact logistics, but it should be anticipated that the program would operate more efficiently with larger vehicles such as maxivans and possibly a couple of busses. Radio communications between the vehicles and the central coordination site are important and would be absolutely essential if inmate drivers were utilized.

Some more minor cost savings might be possible in other areas. There are many situations where inmate drivers are totally out of the question. These include the transporting of those whose probations have been revoked to the Prison and the transporting of non-work release prison inmates to and from court and the Medical Center, etc. The existing Prison transportation team accumulates considerable overtime. There may be some advantage in adding one more person to that team and then taking over the transporting that is currently being done by Adult Probation and Parole agents and the 90-Day Diagnostic staff. It might even take more than one additional transportation officer. Considerable overtime has also been accumulating among Community Corrections Centers staff as a result of providing transportation.

Extradition duplication and trip duplication might also offer the capability of small savings by providing better coordination, but the savings in these areas are miniscule compared with the opportunity to save by consolidating the work release transportation.

-3-

LIST OF TABLES, EXHIBITS, AND APPENDICES

		and a state of the second s
Item	<u>Description</u>	Page
Table 1	Transport Summary - Fiscal 1979	6
Table 2	Trip Flow Duplication by Month	7
Appendix I	Transportation Data Sources	i
Appendix II	Transport Cost Calculation	ii
Exhibit l	U.S.P. Transport Calculations - Fiscal 1979	iii-iv
Exhibit 2	U.S.P. Community Release Data - Fiscal 1979	ν
Exhibit 3	U.S.P. Project Discovery Data - Fiscal 1979	vi
Exhibit 4	Diagnostic Unit Transport Calculation - Fiscal 1979	vii-viii
Exhibit 5	Lakehills C.C.C. Transport Calculations - Fiscal 1979	ix-x
Exhibit 6	Central C.C.C. Transport Calculations - Fiscal 1979	xi-xii
Exhibit 7	AP&P Parole Unit Transport Calculations - Fiscal 1979	xiii
Exhibit 8	AP&P Central Transport Calculations - Fiscal 1979	xiv-xv
Exhibit 9	AP&P North Transport Calculations - Fiscal 1979	xvi
Exhibit 10	YWCA C.C.C. Transport Calculations - Fiscal 1979	xvii
Exhibit 11	Parkview C.C.C. Transport Calculations - Fiscal 1979	xviii
Appendix III	Administration Travel - Notes and Comments	xix
Exhibit 12	Transport vs. Administrative Travel	xx
Exhibit 13	U.S.P. Office Time and Trip Chart - January 1979	xxi-xxiv
Exhibit 14	U.S.P. Office Time and Trip Chart - April 1979	xxv-xxvii

C

TRANSPORT IN CORRECTIONS:

A Study of Transport in the Division of Corrections for Fiscal 1979

Department of Social Services Division of Corrections William V. Milliken, Director

> Report Prepared by Paul D. Woehlke, Research Analyst

> >

				Ta	ible 1					
			Transp	ort Summa	ary Fisc	cal 1979				
Unit	Total Miles	Avg.Mo. Miles	Total Hours ²	Avg.Mo. Hours	Vehicle Costs ³	Staff Costs ³	Total ₃ Costs	Veh.¢ @ Mi.	Staff ¢ @ Mi.	Total ¢ @ Mi.
USP Transport	117,452 ⁴	9,788	2,936	245	\$11,334	\$30,397	\$41,731	9.56 9.74	25.88	35.44 35.62
CCC										
Diagn.	112,877	9,406	3,527	294	\$12,212	\$24,233	\$36,445	10.87 9.45	21.47	32.34 30.92
Lakeh.	130,522	10,877	4,079	340	\$14,347	\$30,713	\$45,060	$\begin{array}{c} 11.01 \\ 10.65 \end{array}$	23.53	34.54 34.18
Central	2,840	237	89	7	\$ 335	\$ 609	\$ 944	9.89 16,33	21.44	31.33 37.77
Ogden	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0
AP&P										<u>aagaa gagada see</u>
Parole	n/a	n/a	750 ⁵	63	\$ n/a	\$ 7,200	\$ n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Central	2,208	184	138 ⁶	12	\$ 237	\$ 1,295	\$ 1,532	11.18 9.56	58.63	69.81 68.19
North	880	73	55	5	\$73	\$.533	\$ 606	8.32	60.56	68.88
South	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	366,779	30,565	10,824	903	\$38,538	\$87,780	\$126,318 ⁷	10.51	23.93	34.44
¹ From recor	ds and es	timations	(see Appe	ndices I	and II)	⁵ Estim	ated by he	ad agen	t at Parol	e as 3+

φ

¹From records and estimations (see Appendices I and II) ²See Appendix II for construction of these figures ³See Appendix II for construction of these estimates ⁴Annualized from ten months' data of 97,877 miles ⁴Des not include AP&P Parole data

Month	Past Provo- SLC area	Provo- SLC area	USP- SLC	USP- Ogden	SLC- Logan Ot	her Total
July August September	2	data n data n 2	iot iot 2	avai avai 1	lable lable 0	0 7
October November December	1 1 1	Ů 0 0	2 2 3	0 1 2	0 0 0	0 3 0 4 0 6
January February March	1 0 0	0 1 0	3 1 6	1 1 1	1 0 1	0 6 1 4 0 8
April May June	3 0 0	1 0 6	13 3 7	- 3 2 1		$ \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 21 \\ 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 14 \end{array} $
TOTAL:	9	10	42	13	3	1 78

Trip Flow Duplications by Month -- Fiscal 1979

A P P E N D I X I TRANSPORTATION DATA SOURCES

In conducting this study, I obtained data from a variety of sources. Some of the data was reasonably complete and appeared accurate. However, other records were obviously contrived. An example is one month's mileage log which listed 94 miles for every day of the month. Another is a log which erroneously logged twice the actual odometer miles. Where actual records were unavailable, I relied on estimates made by the people I believed most qualified to make them. By this process, I obtained the most accurate data accessible to me. This report and my recommendations are based on that data, so I must give my honest evaluation of my study. It is tenuous in several areas. The cost estimates are accurate enough to serve for comparison purposes, but they are not solid enough to use as a basis for strict economic decisions (such as mileage reimbursement were the transport to be contracted out). The trip flows are grossly incomplete and may represent only a fraction of the actual duplications. And, finally, I was unable to obtain enough time data to construct a simulation of the whole transport system -- a step which would have been extremely helpful in determining the feasibility of transport consolidation. I took these weaknesses into account in my recommendations, as I therein mentioned. As there noted, a much better study could be done if data were to be kept for several months prior to its initiation.

The following is a list of data sources used in the course of my study:

State Motor Pool, Cindy Crossley - car authorizations, logs;
U.S.P. Maintenance Office, Frank Winward - monthly mileage logs;
U.S.P. Transportation Office, Felix Vaclavik - (daily trip logs);
U.S.P. Business Office, Bob Huetter, Brent Hollis - car costs, program accounts;
U.S.P. Women's Facility, Adele Peck - women's trips (interview);
U.S.P. Minimum Security, Harold Welling - work release interview;
U.S.P. Project Discovery, Gaylen Blackburn - program interview;
C.C.C. Administration, Kathy Brown, Willy Diddens - car data, comp. time;
Diagnostic Unit, Paul Giles, Don Morgan - transport interview;
All C.C.C. directors and transport heads - car, trip data;
AP&P, Steve Love, Don Long, Myron March, Jim Angeloff (Ogden), Larry Simmons (Provo) - car, trip, time data (some) and interviews;

APPENDIX. III. TRANSPORT COST CALCULATIONS

The following exhibits trace cost calculations from data obtained as explained in Appendix I. In the process of the calculations, many other key numbers are derived and/or stated. Therefore, these pages are appendages to the tables found in the body of the report. These exhibits have been included so that any future transport studies may be compared to the present one as to annual cost, etc.

In the calculation process, all estimates were based on the most complete findings available. For example, the auto life estimates were based on the history of two cars which had just been retired by the U.S.P. In each case, the mileages and remaining values were roughly comparable to the estimates herein made. Further, estimates were obtained from the most knowledgeable and qualified people available. I then checked these estimates with other data I had obtained to assure their reasonableness. In general, I feel good about all estimating procedures and thus all numbers in the calculations.

One note is important in interpreting these cost results. I was consistent throughout the calculations as to what I included in the totals. However, some may question my exclusion of such things as fringe benefits (in personnel costs), my division of salaries into 52-week years instead of allowing for vacations, etc. I merely tried to perform the calculations in the simplest way possible to yet derive some meaningful conclusions. My results can be altered drastically by the changing of some assumptions. However, I believe the comparisons would still be the same. The most expensive units would still be most expensive; only the gap may be even wider. In summary, my results should not be considered the bottom line cost to the Division for transport; rather they should be accepted as means to the analytical end of determining the most efficient, most economical yet most functional transport system for Corrections.

EXHIBIT 1 USP TRANSPORT CALCULATIONS FOR FISCAL 1979

T. Automobile costs A. Vehicle cost per mile 1. July-December a. one vehicle estimated at 4.0¢ per mile based on retrogression of following data b. three vehicles calculated as follows: \$ 5,000.00 --purchase price 5 years --life, estimated 120.000 --total miles in life, est. 24,000 --miles per year, calculated \$ 1,000.00 --cost per year, calculated --cost per mile, calculated 4.20¢ c. average cost per mile, calculated 4.15¢ (3/4)(4.2)+(1/4)(4.0)=2. January-June a. three vehicles calculated as above 4.20¢ two vehicles calculated as follows: \$ 5,800.00 --purchase price 5 years --life, estimated 125,000 --total miles in life, est. 25,000 --miles per year, calculated \$ 1,160.00 --cost per year, calculated 4.64¢ --cost per mile, calculated c. average cost per mile, calculated 4.38¢ (3/5)(4.2)+(2/5)(4.64)=B. Insurance cost per mile \$12,674.00 --total prison annual premium, fiscal '79 282.00 --per vehicle, estimated 45 insured \$ --per mile based on above mileages 1.18¢ 24.000 miles 1.13¢ 25,000 miles C. Gasoline cost per mile \$ 2.661.51 --total on-site cost, 10 months 82.395 --total local miles, 10 months 3.23¢ --cost per mile, calculated D. Maintenance cost per mile --estimated based on personnel interview 1.00¢ (no hard data available) E. Total automobile cost per mile 9.56¢ --July-December: 4.15+1.18+3.23+1= 9.74¢ --January-June: 4.38+1.13+3.23+1=

ii

iii

II. Personnel costs

	A.	Salaries Vaclavik Bartell McNeill Higley Painter	TOTAL: AVERAGE:	\$17,796.00 14,929.00 14,843.00 13,997.00 13,938.00 \$75,503.00 15,100.00
	В.	Salaries per hour (15100)/52 wks./40 hrs.=		\$ 7.26
	С.	Miles, ten months' data		97,877
	D.	Hours in actual transport rough estimation of 40 mph or trips based on mix of highwa and city, (97877)/40=	n Y	2,447
	Ε.	Cost of actual transport (7.26)(2447)		\$17,765.00
	F.	Annualized cost of transport (17765)(12/10)		\$21,318.00
	G,	Cost per mile (17765)/97877=		<u>18.15¢</u>
	H.	Administrative costs (scheduling, time, waiting for runs, etc.) transporters' estimate of simper day away from USP plus s time at prison doing immater shakedowns, etc. led to res estimate of one hour per day (7.26) (5 men) (5 days) (50 work	lost x hours ome work moves, earcher's per man k weeks)=	\$ 9,079.00
	I.	Administrative cost per mile (9079)(10/12)/97877=		7.73¢
	J.	Total personnel cost per mile		<u>25.88¢</u>
III.	Tot	al transport cost per mile		
	A.	July-December automobile cost personnel cost	TOTAL:	9.56¢ 25.88¢ 35.44¢
	Β.	January-June automobile cost personnel cost	TOTAL:	9.74¢ 25.88¢ 35.62¢
	1 A A			

USP COMMUNITY RELEASE DATA -- FISCAL 1979

	그는 그는 것 같은 것 같	
1.	Account balance, June 30, 1978 major purchase, July 28, 1978	\$18,616.78 7,936.53
2.	Account balance, July 31, 1978	13,614.21
3.	Account balance, August 31, 1978	17,050.67
4.	Account balance, September 30, 1978	21,180.08
5.	Account balance, October 31, 1978	21,041.57
б.	Account balance, November 30, 1978	16,755.52
7.	Account balance, December 31, 1978	16,001.58
8.	Account balance, January 31, 1979	16,044.45
9.	Account balance, February 28, 1979	12,639.48
10.	Account balance, March 31, 1979	12,183.05
11.	Account balance, April 30, 1979	16,330.10
12.	Account balance, May 31, 1979 major income, June 25, 1979	13,601.83 5,055.27
13.	Account balance, June 30, 1979	20,072.98
14		Ø10 616 70
14.	Account balance, beginning-or-year	\$10,010.70
15.	Account balance, end-of-year	<u>20,072.98</u>
	INE 1 INCOME:	а 1,430.20
16.	Year high balance, October 13, 1978	\$23,833.58
17.	Year low balance, March 20, 1979	11,188.05
	RANGE:	12,645.53
19	Total income for year	
10	Total dishursements for year	\$55,274.46 53.818.26
	NET INCOME:	\$ 1,456.20
en en en La contra La contra		
20.	Year's average balance (not time adjusted)	\$17,177.60
21.	Standard deviation from average balance	3,165.19

USP PROJECT DISCOVERY DATA -- FISCAL 1979

1.	Account balance, June 30, 1978		\$ 2,720.08
2.	Account balance, July 31, 1978		1,802.80
3.	Account balance, August 31, 1978		535.33
4.	Account balance, September 30, 19/8		353.10-
5	Account balance October 31 1978		18 039 52
5.	major income. November 20, 1978		8.766.93
б.	Account balance, November 30, 1978		26,361.81
7.	Account balance, December 31, 1978		25,798.58
8.	Account balance, January 31, 1979		24,357.99
9.	Account balance, February 28, 1979		23,932.29
10.	Account balance, March 31, 1979	-	23,558.14
	major expense, April 19, 1979 (Du	S)	1,500.00
11.	Account balance April 30 1979		23,893,89
	major expense. May 17. 1979 (mara	thon shirts)	1.075.00
	major expense, May 21, 1979 (docu	-film)	5,000.00
12.	Account balance, May 31, 1979		15,610.30
	major expense, June 14, 1979 (cam	p equip.)	2,481.90
13.	Account balance, June 30, 1979		12,505.11
14.	Account balance, beginning-of-year		\$ 2,720.08
15.	Account balance, end-of-year		12,505.11
		NET INCOME:	\$ 9,785.03
16.	Year high balance, January 16, 1979		\$27,041.51
17.	Year low balance, September 22, 1978		353.16-
		RANGE:	\$27,394.67
18.	Total income for year		\$31,554.99
19.	Total expenses for year		21,769.96
		NET INCOME:	\$ 9,785.03
20.	Year's average balance (not time adjus	ted)	\$13,172.35
21.	Standard deviation from average balanc	е	10,256.05
		and the second	

DIAGNOSTIC UNIT TRANSPORT CALCULATIONS FOR FISCAL 1979

I. Automobile costs

A.	. Rented venicles (4 four mos., 5 eight mos.)						
	1.	total	rental pa	id to Moto	r Pool	for year	\$11,827.54
	2.	total	miles for	year			108,780
	3.	total	cost per	mile			
		(1	1827.54)/1	08780=			10.87¢

B. Owned vehicle (1 for administration, Center estimated 20% usage for transport), cost per mile

1.	vehicle cost per mile	
	purchase price	\$ 4,172.00
	life, estimated	6 years
	total miles in life, est.	123,000
	miles per year (based on 8 mos. data)	20,500
	cost per year, calculated	\$ 695.33
	cost per mile, calculated	3.39¢
2.	insurance cost per mile	i de la composición d
	total annual premium	\$ 170.00
	cost per mile	0.83¢
3.	gasoline cost per mile	
	estimation based on USP transport	
	cars of equivalent model and size	
	due to lack of exact data	3.23¢
4.	maintenance cost per mile, estimated based	and the second
	on USP transport estimation and lack of in-	
	house maintenance for CCC (which USP has)	2.00¢
5.	total cost per mile	
	3.39+0.83+2.72+2.00=	9.45¢

II. Personnel costs

Α.	Salaries, per hour driving done by counselors at average salary of, per month per hour, average (1190) (12)/52wks./40hrs.=	\$ 1,190.00 \$ 6.87
в.	Miles in year rental car miles (108,780) plus 20% of owned vehicle miles (est. 20,500)	112,877
C.	Hours in actual transport rough estimation of 32 mph on trips based on mix of city and highway, 112877/32=	3,527.41
D.	Cost of actual transport (6.87)(3527,41)=	\$24,233.28
E.	Cost per mile (24233.28)/112877=	21.47¢

A. Rental cars		- /
vehicle costs personnel costs	TOTAL:	10.87¢ 21.47¢ 32.34¢
B. Owned car vehicle costs personnel costs	TOTAL:	9.45¢ 21.47¢ 30.92¢

LAKEHILLS CCC TRANSPORT CALCULATIONS FOR FISCAL 1979

I. Automobile costs

II.

 A. rented vehicles (3), cost per mile 1. total rental paid to Motor Pool fo 2. total miles for year 3. total cost per mile 	r year \$13,655.49 124,042
(13655.49)/124042=	<u>11.01¢</u>
 B. owned vehicle (1 for staff, Center est 30% usage for transport), cost per mil 1. vehicle cost per mile 	imated e
purchase price life, estimated total miles in life, est. miles per year (based on 6 mo	\$ 5,000.00 5 years 108,000 s. data) 21,600
cost per year, calculated cost per mile, calculated	\$ 1,000.00 <u>4.63¢</u>
2. Insurance cost per mile total annual premium cost per mile	\$ 170.00 <u>0.79¢</u>
5. gasoffne cost per mile estimation based on USP trans cars of equivalent model and due to lack of exact data	port size ed
 halltenance cost per mile, estimate based on USP transport estimation lack of in-house maintenance for C (which USP has) 	and CC 2 004
5. total cost per mile 4.63+0.79+3.23+2.00=	<u>10.65¢</u>
Personnel costs	
A. Salaries Wesemann, H. (average annual) Bortolussi, G. (average annual) Buck, C. (average annual) Pedler, E. Devey, T. Pedler, J. (average annual) Freeland, F. Trujillo, A. Stewart, D. TO AV	\$14,377.50 15,872.00 15,338.50 17,868.00 19,812.00 13,261.50 11,808.00 12,864.00 19,756.00 TAL: \$140,957.50 ERAGE: \$ 15,662.00
B. Salaries per hour (15662)/52 wks./40 hrs.=	\$ 7.53

C. Miles in year --rental car miles (124,042) plus 30% of owned vehicle miles (est. 21,600)

.130,522

	F. Hours in actual transport rough estimation of 32 mph on trips based on mix of city and highway (130522)/32=	4,078.81
	G. Cost of actual transport (7.53)(4078.81)	\$30,713.46
	H. Cost per mile (30713.46)/130522=	<u>23,53¢</u>
III.	Total transport cost per mile	
	A. Rented cars vehicle costs personnel costs TOTAL:	11.01¢ 23.53¢ 34.54¢
	B. Owned car vehicle costs personnel costs TOTAL:	10.65¢ 23.53¢ 34.48¢

CENTRAL CCC TRANSPORT CALCULATIONS FOR FISCAL 1979

I. Automobile costs

	Α.	Vehicle cost per mile, state car	
- 1		1. car cost per mile	
		purchase price	\$ 4,263.00
		life, estimated	5 years
	· .	total miles in life, est.	125,000
		miles per year (based on 8 mos. data)	25,000
		cost per year, calculated	\$ 853
1.11		cost per mile, calculated	3.41¢
		2. insurance cost per mile	
		total annual premium	\$ 170
		cost per mile	0.68¢
		3. gasoline cost per mile	
		rough estimate based on 2 mos. data	3.80¢
		4. maintenance cost per mile	منبعد منتخب
		estimate based on USP transport	and the second second
		estimation and lack of in-house	
		maintenance for CCC (which USP has)	2.00¢
		5. total cost per mile	
	1.11	3,41+0,68+3,8+2=	9.894
	-		
	В.	Vehicle cost per mile, private mileage	
		1. July-April, reimbursed at	<u>16.00¢</u>
		2. May-June, reimbursed at	<u>18.00¢</u>
	-		
11.	Fer	sonnel costs	
	Α.	Salaries	
	6. T. T.	Tavlor. B.	\$14,724.00
		Havwood. W.	13,075.00
		Hillam. H.	19,140.00
	200	Navarro, R.	17,443.00
		Christensen. S.	8,389,00
		Wright, D.	13,075,00
		Grow W.	13,665,00
		TOTAI.	\$99,511,00
	an an an An An	AVERAGE	14 215 86
			,
	Β.	Salaries per hour	
		(14215.86)/52 wks./40 hrs.=	\$ 6.84
	C.	Miles in transport	
		state car (08)(25 000) as staff	
		estimates 8% of miles are transport	2,000
		private miles (2) (4107) as staff	~,~~
		estimates 20% of reimbursed miles	
		are transnort	840
		TOTAL:	2,840

D.	Hours in actual transport rough estimation of 32 mph on tri on mix of city and highway (2840)/32=	ps based	89.00
Е.	Cost of actual transport (89)(6.84)=	.\$	608.76
F.	Cost per mile (608.76)/2840=		<u>21.44¢</u>
III. To	tal transport cost per mile		
Α.	July-April 1. state car		
	automobile cost personnel cost	TOTAL:	9.89¢ 21.44¢ 31.33¢
	2. private mileage automobile cost personnel cost	TOTAL:	16.00¢ 21.44¢ 37.44¢
Β.	May-June		
	1. state car automobile cost personnel cost	TOTAL:	9.89¢ 21.44¢ 31.33¢
	2. private mileage automobile cost personnel cost	ΤΥΤΑΙ •	$18.00 \neq 21.44 \neq 39.44 \neq 100$

AP&P PAROLE UNIT TRANSPORT CALCULATIONS FOR FISCAL 1979

I. Vehicle costs

--There are no records of that portion of total travel devoted to actual transport. Therefore, a mileage total was not available for this unit. Any attempt to contrive one would have been solely the judgment of the researcher, as the agent contact was unwilling to even make an estimate on this matter. For the state car assigned to the Parole Unit, the following data was found:

gasoline cost per mile for the year	3.06¢
total miles for the year (based on 11 mos.)	20,841
total maintenance for the year	\$ 209.29
original purchase price of vehicle	\$3975.00
make and model of car 197	'8 Volare

- II. Personnel costs
 - A. Salaries

twelve agents at average of \$20,000 per year or, per hour (20000)/52wks./40hrs.=	\$ 9.62
agents' time devoted to transporting	750 hr.
total cost (750)(9.62)=	\$7200.00

AP&P CENTRAL TRANSPORT CALCULATIONS FOR FISCAL 1979

21,000

4.85¢

743.40

585.41

11.18¢

24,000

852.50

3.55¢

4.32¢

406.27

1.69¢

9.56¢

2.79¢

3.54¢

I. Automobile costs A. Vehicle cost, 1976 Torino \$ 5,096.00 --purchase price --life, estimated 5 years --miles in life, est. 105,000 --miles per year, based on data --cost per year, calculated \$ 1,019.20 --cost per mile, calculated Gasoline cost, Torino Β. --total cost for year, from data \$ --cost per mile, calculated Insurance cost, Torino С. --none carried on vehicle (drivers " have personal policies) D. Maintenance cost, Torino \$ --total cost for year, from data --cost per mile, calculated Total automobile cost per mile, Torino Ε. 4.85 + 3.54 + 2.79 =F. Vehicle cost, 1977 Fury \$ 4,262.52 --purchase price --life, estimated 5 years 120,000 --miles in life, est. --miles per year, based on data \$ --cost per year, calculated --cost per mile, calculated G. Gasoline cost, Fury --total cost for year, from data \$ 1,036.31 --cost per mile, calculated Insurance cost, Fury--none Η. I. Maintenance cost, Fury \$ --total cost for year, from data --cost per mile, calculated Total automobile cost per mile, Fury J. 3.55+4.32+1.69=

Total cost, Torino Κ. --total miles in actual transport 1,596 --total cost (1596)(.1118) \$ 178.43

	L. Total cost, Fury total miles in total cost (transport 612) (.0956)	612 \$ 58.51
	M. Total cost, both car	rs	\$ 236.94
II.	Personnel costs		
	A. Salaries, diagnosti Haefeli, L. Presset, G.	c agents TOTAL: AVERAGE:	\$18,504.00 20,508.00 \$39,012.00 19,506.00
	B. Salaries per hour, (19506)/52 wks./	average 40 hrs.=	\$ 9.38
	C. Miles in transport,	total	2,208
	D. Hours in transport taken from act not only time time which an have saved the most accurate	plus related lost time ual time logs, including on road but related lost outside transport would agents (this was the data available)	408
	E. Cost of transport a (408)(9.38)=	nd related duties	\$ 3,827.04
	F. Cost of transport, (3827.04)/2208	per mile	<u>173.00¢</u>
III.	Total transport cost, p	er mile	
	A. Torino automobile cos personnel cost	t TOTAL:	11.18¢ <u>173.00¢</u> 184.18¢
2	B. Fury	n an Anna an Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna A	9.56¢
	personnel cost	TOTAL:	173.00¢ 182.56¢
IV.	Alternate total cost, u time calculations (as i	sing standard procedure fo n other exhibits))r
	A. Total time, two age (2208)(2)/32mph=	nts per mile	138
	B. Cost per mile (138)(9.38)/2208	<u>58.63¢</u>
е 194	C. Total cost, Torino	(11.18¢)+(58.63¢)=	<u>69.81¢</u>
	D. Total cost. Fury (9.56c)+(58.63c)	68.19¢

xv

AP&P NORTH TRANSPORT CALCULATIONS FOR FISCAL 1979

I. Automobile costs

	Α.	Vehicle cost, per mile purchase price life estimated miles in life, est. miles per year, based on data cost per year, calculated cost per mile, calculated		\$3 \$,825.00 6 years 93,120 15,520 637.50 4.11¢
	В.	Gasoline and maintenance cost per mil total for year, based on data cost per mile 653.25/15520=	e	\$	653.25 <u>4.21¢</u>
	C.	Insurance costnone			
	D.	Total automobile cost, per mile		•	<u>8.32¢</u>
II.	Per	sonnel costs			
	A.	Salaries Angeloff, J. Morgan, J.	TOTAL: AVERAGE:	\$19 20 \$40 20	,812.00 ,508.00 ,320.00 ,160.00
	В.	Salaries per hour 20160/52 wks./40 hrs.		\$	9.69
	C.	Hours transporting miles hours at 32 mph (two agents)			880 55
	D.	Cost of transporting (55)(9.69)=		\$	532.95
	Ε.	Cost per mile 532.95/880=			<u>60.56¢</u>
III.	Tot	al cost per mile for transport			
		automobile costs personnel costs	τοται •		8.32¢ <u>60.56¢</u> <u>68.88</u> ¢
	1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1	· 전문 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TOTUT		00.004

YWCA CCC TRANSPORT CALCULATIONS FOR FISCAL 1979

τ.	Auto	mobile costs total rental paid to State Motor Center personnel estimate of 60%	Pool of travel	\$ 3,309.87
		for transport (.6)(3309.87)=	nor milo	\$ 1,985.92 or
II.	Per	sonnel costs	her wrre	20.724
	Α.	Salaries Roberts, T.		\$10,212.00
	в.	Salaries per hour (10212)/52 wks./40 hrs.		\$ 4.91
	C.	Time in transport total transport miles (.6)(15976 total miles)= time at 32 mph, hours		9586 . 300
	D.	Cost of transport, per mile total cost (300)(4.91)= cost per mile 1473/9586=		\$ 1,473.00 <u>15.37¢</u>
III.	Tota	al cost per mile automobile costs personnel costs	TOTAL:	20.72¢ 15.37¢ 36.09¢

άĻ,

3,"

PARKVIEW CCC TRANSPORT CALCULATIONS FOR FISCAL 1979

I.	Aut	comobile costs		
	Α.	vehicle cost per mile, rented vehicle (1 for eight full months of center operation) total rental paid to Motor Pool	or \$ 2	2,198.45
	в.	vehicle cost per mile, rental vehicle (1 for month of June when second car added)	or	240.00
	С.	total automobile cost for year	\$ 2	2,438.45
	D.	automobile cost per mile for eight months of operation (15,204 miles)		<u>16.04¢</u>
II.	Per	sonnel costs		
	A.	Salaries two drivers (1/3 of driving) at two drivers (2/3 of driving) at	\$ 9 11),072.00 ,412.00
	Β.	Average driving salary (1/3)(9072)+(2/3)(11412)=	\$i(,632.00
	C.	Salaries per hour 10632/52 wks./40 hrs.	\$	5.11
	D.	Miles in transport total miles, eight months transport miles (.6)(15204)=		15,204 9,122
	Ε.	Cost of transport time in transport at 32 mph 9122/32=		285
	en set an air air air	cost, total (285)(5.11)=	\$ 1	,456.73
		(1456.73)/9122=		<u>15.97¢</u>
III.	Tot	al costs per mile automobile costs		16.04¢
		personnel costs	۲t:	$\frac{15.97}{32.01}$ ¢

A P P E N D I X I I I ADMINISTRATIVE TRAVEL -- NOTES AND COMMENTS

In the process of researching transport travel in the Division of Corrections, I became aware of some administrative travel issues which I felt worthy of comment. First of all, I noted that the U.S.P. has an abundance of underutilized vehicles. (See the following exhibit for one month's data on vehicle utilization as well as some implications of the data.) While some areas at the U.S.P. may warrant such a situation (e.g. the farm and supply vehicles), the motor pool may be an area to examine more closely. Presumably, one of the reasons for the number of vehicles in the pool is the policy to allow certain personnel to take cars home. I suggest that this policy may be costing the U.S.P. more than it imagines. If one less car would be needed without the policy, then the cost of that car is attributable to this practice. While such cost may be negligible (i.e. \$1,000 per year book cost), it certainly is a contributing factor to overall transportation cost.

Another area of consideration in administrative travel is rental vs. purchased autos. In situations where large mileage amounts are logged consistently, I found owned cars to be cheaper than rented ones. An example of this result is the Lakehills C.C.C. Their rental vehicles were logging enough miles to be charged 11¢ per mile. An owned vehicle at the same place was estimated to be costing 10.65¢ per mile. These vehicles were not totally comparable, however, so the results may be misleading. At any rate, the difference is so slight as to not be reliable. Therefore, I feel that, overall, renting is only minimally inferior to purchase. The exception would be the Prison where an in-house maintenance unit lowers the cost of purchased auto upkeep.

The final area of consideration is State car vs. private car (or mileage reimbursement). In areas such as AP&P where much travel is inherent in job performance, heavy reliance should be placed on State car usage for that travel. I understand there are some problems to this rationale (such as nonproportionate reduction in turned-in miles), yet the reasoning is sound. Therefore, another look may be given to this issue.

xix

TRANSPORT VS. ADMINISTRATIVE TRAVEL -- USP, APRIL 1979

Agency	Vehicles	Total <u>Miles</u>	Avg. Miles Per Vehicle	Underused Vehicles *
TRANSPORT transportation	5	11884	2376.80	0
community release	8	23265	2908.13	0
Project Discovery	1	2191	2191.00	0
TOTALS:	14	37340	2667.14	Ō
ADMINISTRATIVE motor pool	12	10615	884.60	8
agriculture	15	5148	343.20	14
physical	15	5932	395.50	15
supply	5	2648	529.60	4
security	2	740	370.00	2
TOTALS:	49	25083	511.90	43

*based on State Motor Pool criteria for underutilized vehicles (those with less than 1200 miles per month)

IMPLICATIONS:

- 1. Transport accounted for 59.8% of total miles with 22.2% of vehicles, thus was more resource cost effective (assuming depreciation cannot fully compensate for lower usage-longer life)
- 2. Transport logged an average 521% as many miles per vehicle as administrative, and had no underutilized vehicles; thus transport is far more resource use-intensive
- 3. Transport needs -- vehicles available for regular transport usage; critical point is meeting scheduled times (court, medical appointments, etc.) Admin. needs -- vehicles available for infrequent jobs (e.g. dump trucks) sporadic staff usage (e.g. errands)
 - dump trucks), sporadic staff usage (e.g. errands, escapes, etc.), etc.; critical point is meeting peak usage demand so key people's time is not wasted or lost and administration can flow smoothly

4. Points of further consideration -- cars available to take home? administrative vehicles oversupplied?



xxii P/A *п:*л 6 PM 12 HOUR 8 6 PERSON VACLAVIK BAKTELL MCNEILL HIGLEY HIWTER OTHERS ÷. II Uma ----SAL ume ł, DAG T DHH ZONA A STATEST 12 F ۰. States and Dire 1 VONHEO 13 s • VBAHPO ٠. ٠. . <u>.</u> YOR HOU 15 IP SIG III T M 16 THE 161.17 SINC 3---A BURNER HAD 0 at 100 17 OED IN RACIN .j.‡ ÷ W 18 O'ar Jus - 5 C. Bettelline 4 THE OWNER 1.5 UNK T O DILIZIO • • 15 19 Ilinie -ATA PARTICIPATION IT THE MARKET 5 17 G 7 12 2 8 9 10 11 6 4 1 ٠. S

miii PM HOUR *п*!\ 6 PM 12 ų 5 8 2 3 6 7 8 ٠, VACLAVIK EARTELL 20 MCNEILL HIGLEY S FINITER OTHERS \$ Set Har 21 \$ A THINK REAL -50 C SNI CHINE-22 In Miller ... 8 VERIS <u>um</u> >unthe O 23 T . . KING THERE . - 11010 181 • <u>.</u> SAL • . -÷. XUNTED 2 24 164 60 310 fe O of YG 25 T Um ¥. 0-01/20 11 ----C.17 26 E. U AND 4 . 2 XXIII ICXX Our 30 27 ÷..÷ -----S NULL ROOM 28 • • 2 • 4 • • 5 3 17 .7 6. 2 ġ 9 12 4 Ġ iO ंद . . . // 3 ۰,

.

÷

. . . .



۰., XXV USP TRANSPORTATION OFFICE TIME AND TRIP CHART -- APRIL 1979 HOUR P/A nm G PM 12 6 8 5 3 VACLAVIK BARTELL MCNEILL HIGLEY FININTER OTHERS E I M BAHAO 3 T LGN VENHLO JR/L RCHFLD 4 Sic PRID 0 Û H C B DEC BAHPO 5 T 0 NED CoD YBEHOO 4.40 2. BLC BLC BLC AC 6 F 556 ġ OUTIO 7 S 83 0-DUZIO SLC U OULTION 9 . interes 1 13 sic A 117 6 71 Castonia 2.07 SLE NUN-TIME -10 I SESTO C T SIC CXX. .] 1 10 15 9 $\frac{1}{7}$ ģ ĥ 12 2 4 7 Ġ 6 9

xxvi : PA RIA PM HOUR 8 6 5 7 6 2 3 n PERSON VICLAVIK EPRTELL MCNEILL HIGLEY HINTER OTHERS 1 RCHS W 301 33 VENHP O ÷ 12 T Gin SIC YON STOR 1 6 DOGT TUNC 13 UM A STORAGE STOLE Q F UMC •• Ìċ e, HH SO . ÷., ---- 160 993), S 1 ğ . IS HA . ť 060 <u>e v</u> K N N ٠, -WI STAT 1B COALVL M 1077 204 NEPH -U.D.C 70 ----X T Corne 1: 18 ÷ 40.27 -SLC $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ W CALIF. Maple ÷ O'ULL LAND ÷ ----A 12 2 230 6 24 ~1: C-B A1 (-) PRIO DIL T ~ - Ta 1 10 1 7 8 12 ġ 6 Ĩ 5 + + 7 4 . . 6 9 ÷ ÷ . .

xxvii PM 8 HOUR 17/A 6 PM 12 4 3 5 6 7 8 N 11 2 VACLAVIK BARTELL 20 HIGLEY FUNTER FOTHERS -- 0 - TO 44 and the state of the state XAD OPT 1.15 50 (20 CPT A 212021日20 22 5 . 22 • . BMHP0 23 M Sector States SLC B 060 CON SLC 166 ... X80MHPO 24 T nne 2 = 0 02 UMC . •• ÷, . DE IQ 2S W ALL LIL SIC SIC SIC U.E.OTO'S 8 2221 ć يد هم 30 0.81 0 un za 26 T . CAT CP SUD 1 24 212 4 ÷ Vall Tao 285 1 -1 9 4 1 7 · 8 12 7 10 11 12 5 Š 6 1 6

25

XXVIII HOUR PERSON VACLAVIK BARTELL 29 HIGLEY S HIWTER OTHERS Р/Л 8 *п*іл 6 PM 12 • ļ 5 8 Ņ 11 . ÷ 2 3 6 9 , 47 . • SIC No Contraction ÷ ., • , . 2012-1-0-0 . . Ì >BXHPO • ÷ XOZIENO ÷ . . ÷ ٠ VAUNTIPO . ç . 7 . . Ord Zuck : 1 .. . • ۰. Surger of ••• VOLTAO ...+ ------m 15 . 10 12 6 7 8 12 4 6 17 9 11 ġ 1

-7

2

• 4. #2

