ARSON-FOR-PROFIT: ITS IMPACT ON STATES AND LOCALITIES

HEARINGS

SUBCOMMITTEE ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS UNITED STATES SENATE

»NINETY-FIFTH CONGRESS

FIRST SESSION

DECEMBER 14 AND 15, 1977





ARSON-FOR-PROFIT: ITS IMPACT ON STATES AND LOCALITIES

AFR 28 (920)

HEARINGS

SUBCOMMITTEE ON COURSE OF SUBCOMMITTEE

INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS UNITED STATES SENATE

NINETY-FIFTH CONGRESS

FIRST SESSION

DECEMBER 14 AND 15, 1977

Printed for the use of the Committee on Governmental Affairs



U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

ABRAHAM RIBICOFF, Connecticut, Chairman

HENRY M. JACKSON, Washington
EDMUND S. MUSKIE, Maine
LEE METCALE, Montana
THOMAS F. EAGLETON, Missouri
LAWTON CHILES, Florida
SAM NUNN, Georgia
JOHN GLENN, Ohio
JIM SASSER, Tennessee

CHARLES H. PERCY, Illinois
JACOB K. JAVITS, New York
WILLIAM V. ROTH, JR., Delaware
TED STEVENS, Alaska
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR., Maryland
JOHN C. DANFORTH, Missouri
H. JOHN HEINZ III, Pennsylvania

RICHARD A. WEGMAN, Chief Counsel and Staff Director

PAUL HOFF, Counsel
ELI E. NOBLEMAN, Counsel
PAUL C. ROSENTHAL, Counsel
CLAUDE E. BARFIELD,
Professional Staff Member

CLAUDIA T. INGRAM, Professional Staff Member
ELLEN S. MILLER, Professional Staff Member
ELLEN S. J. ACOBS, Counsel (Regulatory Reform)
JAMES M. GRAHAM, Counsel (Regulatory Reform)
ETHEL Z. GEISINGER, Special Assistant (Regulatory
Reform)

Keform)

Marilyn A. Harris, Executive Administrator and Professional Staff Member Elizabeth A. Preast, Chief Clerk
John B. Childers, Minority Staff Director
Brian Conboy, Special Counsel to the Minority
Constance B. Evans, Counsel to the Minority
Harold C. Anderson, Staff Editor

SUBCOMMITTEE ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS EDMUND S. MUSKIE, Maine, Chairman

LEE METCALF, Montana LAWTON CHILES, Florida JOHN GLENN, Ohio JIM SASSER, Tennessee

WILLIAM V. ROTH, JR., Delaware CHARLES H. PERCY, Illinois JOHN C. DANFORTH, Missouri H. JOHN HEINZ III, Pennsylvania

ALVIN FROM, Staff Director
DAVID JOHNSON, Counsel
ALYCE BOUCHARD, Counsel
THEODORE FARFAGLIA, Minority Counsel
LUCINDA T. DENNIS, Chief Clerk

/TT

CONTENTS

	,
Opening statement: Senator Glenn	70
	Page 1
WITNESSES	
Wednesday, December 14, 1977 Robert B. Smith National Fig.	
Robert B. Smith, National Fire Protection Association————————————————————————————————————	
Phillip Fisher, program director, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, Eugene Jewell, chief, Arson Burger, Oktober 1988	5
DAILIER HE TAKES Y TO THE PUBLISHED THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PUBLISHED THE PUBLISH THE PUBLISHED THE PUBLISH THE PUBLISH THE PUBL	16
James E. Jones, Jr., on behalf of the Alliance of American Insurers, accompanied by John Wrend, vice president, Property Loss Research Bureau,	48
THE THEOLIGINAL ACCOUNTS	54
Association of Arson Investiga-	
Mario Merola district	59
Mario Merola, district attorney, Bronx, N.Y	
	170
Paul A. Zolbe, chief, uniform crime reporting section, accompanied by John Mintz and John McHale, Federal Bureau of Investigation— chusetts— David Scondras, Symphony Tenants O	
David Scondras Supply	191
AllComposited 1 "- + """ + CHAILE IPCONGLET	201
	202
Glen King, executive director, International Association of Chiefs of	222
	227
Howard Tipton, administrator, National Fire Prevention and Control Ad- Alphabetical list of min.	41
A TO THE TOTAL OF	272
The difference of the state of	59
our penter, Dan J:	
Wingspread Conference on Fire Service Administration, Education and Research, by the Johnson Foundation, Recine, Wis	72
Wingspread II Wingspread II Debenson Foundation, Educa-	79
Wingspread II, prepared by William E. Clark	
A Silgregated A	36
	6
Jewell, Furgers, The City	.4
Jewell, Eugene: Testimony Jones; James E., Jr.: Testimony Testimony	
Testimony Prepared statement Fact sheets Offenses Against Property	8
Fact sheets	£ ·
"Stepping Up the Attack on Argon "11	1
dent, Property Loss Research Bureau 164	
Alliance of American Insurers 155 "Stepping Up the Attack on Arson," by John Wrend, vice president, Property Loss Research Bureau 166	

Alphabetical list of witnesses—Continued	
King, Glen:	Page
Testimony Prepared statement Statement of Fire Marshals Association of North Association	227
Prepared statement67.2.79	236
	242
Excerpt from briefing: Proposed Aerospace Corporation support program for arson control Excerpt from: Arson and Arson Investigation Survey and Assessment	
Excerpt from: Arson and Arson Investigation Survey and Aggest	244
	253
	200
Memorandum to Nithan D	170
Testimony	
August 6, 1977	178
O'Connor Michael I - Testimony	201
Scondras David	222
Testimony "Preventing Arson—Early Warning System Worked in Boston," by Peter G. Miller, from the Washington Post, November 5,	202
"Preventing Arson—Early Warning System Worked in Boston"	202
by Peter G. Miller, from the Washington Post, November 5	
Harris T. J. W.	209
1977	215
	_
Testimony Testimony of Martin E. Grimes, NFPA assistant vice president for government affairs before U.S. House of Representatives	5
for government affairs before U.S. House of Representatives,	
	6
	·
Testimony Prepared statement	359
	361
Testimony	**
Prepared statement	59
Zolbe, Paul A.: Testimony	66 191
	191
Additional Material Submitted for the Hearing Record	
tatement of the National Association of Independent Insurers 67287	365
tatement of J. Robert Hunter, Deputy Federal Insurance Administrator,	
Department of Housing and Urban Development 27212. The Fire Next Door, a CBS Reports television documentary with Bill Moyers, 1977.	370
ews Release—Arson Alarm June 1 1077	378
etter to Senator Javits, from Ward A. Bohner, executive director, New	400
York State Association of Fire Chiefs, Novembr 15, 1977	402
York State Association of Fire Chiefs, Novembr 15, 1977—etter to Senator Glenn from Thomas J. Carney, Ohio House of Representatives. December 28, 1977	TU 2
auves, December 28, 1977	403
Wayne I. Naugla president Different Bureau of Investigation, from	
atives, December 28, 1977etter to Clarence Kelley, Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation, from Wayne L. Naugle, president, Professional Insurance Agents, December 13, 1977	
ews Release by the Department of Justice, August 30, 1977	404
2 Paramout of agence, tragger on, 19//	406

67279

236

VPREPARED STATEMENT OF GLEN D. KING, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CHIEFS OF POLICE

Senator Glenn, members of the Senate Governmental Affairs Subcommittee, Ladies and Gentlemen, I thank you for the opportunity to appear before this committee to explain the position of the International Association of Chiefs of Police, relative to the Uniform Crime classification of arson.

I am Glen D. King, Executive Director of the International Association of Chiefs of Police, Inc., with headquarters located at Eleven Firstfield Road, Gaithersburg, Maryland.

The IACP is a membership organization of police executives. Our organization represents approximately 11, 200 members in 56 different nations.

The Association was organized in 1893 with the specified goals of encouraging cooperation and exchange of information among police administrators, and promoting the highest possible standards of performance and conduct within the police profession.

The IACP, as an independent membership Association, has the responsibility to represent the interests of its members and to advocate positions that are supported by our law enforcement executive membership. As an independent Association, the IACP remains free to respond to the needs of law enforcement promptly and efficiently, without fear of political reprisal or bureaucratic entanglement.

Concerning national Uniform Crime Reporting, it was the IACP that began work in this area in 1922 in response to expressed needs for reliable information about the incidence and type of crime being committed. A voluntary national program of collecting crime statistics was initiated in 1930 by the IACP Committee on Uniform Crime Records. In that same year, the Federal Bureau of Investigation was authorized by the Congress of the United States to serve as the national clearinghouse for the IACP-developed statistical crime information collection program.

The IACP Committee on Uniform Crime Records continues to serve in an advisory capacity to the FBI, concerning the operation of this program. In this capacity, the IACP plays an active and effective role through comprehensive studies of police records and crime reporting systems; through Audit/Evaluations of agency UCR data; as the voice of local law enforcement; as a sounding board for police executives who voluntarily contribute to the program; as a review board for policy changes recommended by local police executives, the various state UCR program managers, the FBI-UCR Section and other interested parties.

In attempting to provide as complete a picture of crime in the United States as possible, the Committee on Uniform Crime Records of the IACP, in 1930, chose to obtain data from the sphere of offenses known to the police. After a thorough study of all crime reported to the police, it was apparent

that complete collection was impractical because of the vast numbers of crimes reported in all categories and through a multitude of criminal codes. It was determined that a meaningful overview or barometer of total crime could be gauged from the examination of a few crimes with standardized national definitions.

The IACP, in concert with a distinguished panel of international law enforcement administrators, criminologists, academicians, and leaders of concerned public and private institutions, selected, after lengthy study, those crimes which would serve most effectively as an index to estimate the trends in the volume of all crimes occurring in the Nation. The Index Crimes, as selected by this distinguished panel, are:

- Murder
- Forcible rape
- Robbery
- Aggravated assault
- Burglary
- Larceny/theft
- Motor vehicle theft

Many other categories of crime, that might be indicators of total crime, could have been chosen for inclusion. Many other serious crimes such as

child molesting, incest, kidnap, embezzlement, counterfeiting, bombing, extortion, narcotic offenses and yes, certainly, arson were given serious consideration as total crime indicators.

The seven Index Crimes which were chosen represent a fair measure of total crime in the United States by virtue of their;

- Seriousness
- Frequency of occurrence
- Likelihood of being reported to the police

The idea of adding to, or otherwise changing, the list of Index Crimes is frequently considered by the UCR Committee.

As you are aware, the UCR Committee, at its September, 1976, meeting in Miami Beach, Florida, again considered the issue of arson as a potential Index Crime. The Committee unanimously agreed that arson not be designated as an Index Crime for the following reasons:

- Arson, like many other crimes not tabulated in the Index, does not always readily appear to be a crime at the time of occurrence.
- Arson infrequently comes to the attention of law enforcement at
 the offense stage; it more normally surfaces at the arrest stage
 and, thus, would not be a true indicator of the crime in the month
 of occurrence.
- Most police agencies are inadequately trained in the area of Fire Science.

3

4

- Arson is often not reported to law enforcement agencies but, rather, to fire authorities.
- There is presently no uniform system of reporting and analyzing fire statistics.
- Approximately 85 percent of all fire fighters serve in a voluntary capacity. This fact, although complimentary to those who volunteer, results in substandard reporting and substandard investigation into the causes of fire.
- The inclusion of arson as an Index Crime would require the development of a disciplined UCR Program in some 25,000 fire departments and countless state and local fire marshal offices nationwide.
- Local legislation designating authority to investigate fires is not uniform. In many jurisdictions this authority is given legislatively only to the fire service.
- The law enforcement executives do not have sufficient authority to adequately control voluntary reporting, by standardized definition, from the numerous fire service agencies that would have to report arson.
- We agree that more accurate statistical data regarding the crime of arson is needed, but conclude that the various state fire marshal offices might be a more appropriate central point of collection,
- The UCR hierarchy rule, in many instances, would not allow the

scoring of arson when a murder, burglary, larceny or other index Crime occurred within the same incident,

- In every instance when an individual unlawfully enters a building and commits a felony-arson, the crime of burglary is now scored. In the event that arson was included as an Index offense at 08 in the hierarchy, the exact same scoring would occur, i.e. burglary.
- Where a fire is started to cover up another crime--murder, burglary, larceny--a multiple of offenses has occurred, but under the rules of hierarchy, or ty the most serious <u>ONE</u> can be scored, i.e. the highest on the list. In this example, only murder would be scored.
- The problems resulting from arson crimes would not be alleviated significantly by classifying arson as an Index offense.

Let me conclude by saying that the members of the International Association of Chiefs of Police do not dispute the fact that arson is a serious crime.

We in law enforcement will continue to seek the prevention of arson and attempt to apprehend arsonists within the full authority granted by the law.

It is our genuine belief, however, that the reasoning against classifying arson as an Index Crime is persuasive.

I again thank you for allowing me the opportunity to present the views of the International Association of Chiefs of Police.

5

END