If you have issues viewing or accessing this file, please contact us at NCJRS.gov.

# North Carolina Courts

# 1978-79



# O Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Courts

The Cover: The Rutherford County Courthouse, in Rutherfordton, North Carolina, was completed in 1926. It is a stately Renaissance Revival building faced with a smooth stone veneer. Interior renovations have been minimal and many of the original features and materials survive.

ų

0

35 3

, La

39

in dia

÷.

्र ह ह 0

÷

Ø

Ø,

å

Qa e

0

1

4 da 11

 $\dot{C}$ 

0

# NCJRS

MAY 28 1980

ACQUISITIONS

# NORTH CAROLINA COURTS

1978 - 79



# **ANNUAL REPORT**

# of the

# ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS



# ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS JUSTICE BUILDING RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA

### To The Honorable, The Chief Justice of The Supreme Court of North Carolina

In accord with Section 7A-343 of the North Carolina General Statutes I hereby transmit the Fourteenth Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Courts, relating to the fiscal year, July 1, 1978 - June 30, 1979.

Some significant changes in format and content are reflected in this year's report. First, the report is on a fiscal year rather than calendar year basis. This permits a presentation of case data and other information about the Judicial Department on the same time period used to report appropriations and expenditures for the Judicial Department as well as for State government generally. Also, the fiscal year format will permit us to have available more timely reports for the consideration of the annual sessions of the General Assembly. In content, more emphasis is given to narrative, with the view of better serving the varying needs of different users of the report and placing in sharper focus an overall perspective of Judicial Department activity.

Appreciation is expressed to the many persons who participated in the data reporting, compilation, and presentation process required to produce this annual report. Within the Administrative Office of the Courts, principal responsibilities were shared by the Research and Planning Division and the Systems Division. Among court officials, the principal burden of reporting the great mass of trial court data rested upon the offices of the clerks of superior court located in each of the one hundred counties of the State. Without the daily, responsible work of clerk personnel across the State, this report would not have been possible.

It is my hope that the annual report, for this and succeeding years, will make a contribution to better understanding and support for continued improvement of North Carolina's system of courts.

Bert M. Montague *Director* 

February 15, 1980

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# Part I

# The 1978-1979 Judicial Year In Review

1

The 1078, 1070 Indicial Vear In Review	
The 1970-1979 Sudicial Teal In Review	

# Part II

# Court System Organization and Operations

Historical Development of the North Carolina Court System	5
The Present Court System	9
The Supreme Court	13
The Court of Appeals	10
The Superior Courts	19
The District Courts	25
District Attorneys	21
Clerks of Superior Court	22
Public Defenders	55
Administrative Office of the Courts	36
The Judicial Planning Committee	41
The Judicial Council	43
The Judicial Standards Commission	45

# Part III

# **Court Resources**

Judicial Department Finances	17
Appropriations	+/ · ~~
Expenditures	50
Receipts	22
Distribution of Receipts	22
Cost and Case Data on Representation of Indigents	20
Judicial Department Personnel	63

# Part IV

# Courts Caseload Data

Appellate Division Caseload Data	-67
Appenate Division Caseload Data	73
Superior Court Division Caseload Data	111
District Court Division Caseload Data	[1]

# Tables, Charts and Graphs

# Part II

# Court System Organization and Operations

Original Jurisdictions and Routes of Appeal in the	
Present Court System	8
	0
Trial Courts	11
The Supreme Court of North Carolina	12
The Court of Appeals of North Carolina	14
Map of Judicial Divisions and Districts	14
	18
Superior Court Case Filings and Dispositions, 1975-79	20
Superior Court Cases Pending at Year End 1975-79	20
District Court Judges	20
District Court Case Filings and Disposition 1975-79	26
District Court Cases Pending at Year End 1975-79	26
District Attorneys	28
	31
Organization of the Administrative Office of the Courts	36
The Judicial Planning Committee	41
The Judicial Council	43
The Judicial Standards Commission	43 44
	-1-1

# Part III

# **Court Resources**

General Fund Appropriations, All State Agencies		
and Judicial Department		47
General Fund Appropriations, All State Agencies	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	47
and Judicial Department		10
General Fund Appropriations for Operating Expenses of all		
State Agencies and Department, 1974-79		40
Ochoral Fully Expenditifes for hidicial Department		
Operations, 1974-79		51
Judicial Department Receipts, 1974-79	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	51
Amounts of Fees, Fines and Fortestures collected by the		
Courts and Distributed to Counties and Municipalities		51
Cost and Case Data on Representation of Indigents		54
Mental Hospital Commitment Hearings		5/.
Categories of Assigned Counsel—Numbers of Cases and		
Expenditures by County		<b>CO</b>
Judicial Department Personnel	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	39
		63

# Part IV

# Courts Caseload Data

Types of Cases Before the Supreme Court Types of Petitions Before the Supreme Court Supreme Court Caseload Summaries, 1977-78 and 1978-79 Caseload Trends in the Superior Courts, 1969-1979 Filings and Dispositions in the Superior Court Division Caseload Summaries for Civil Cases in the Superior Courts	68 69 70 74 75 76
Caseload Trends of Civil Cases in the Superior Courts,	70
1969-1979	79
Manner of Disposition of Civil Cases in the Superior Court	80
Methods of Disposition of Superior Court Civil Cases	83
Ages of Civil Cases in the Superior Courts	84
Caseload Summaries for Estate and Special Proceedings	
Cases in the Superior Courts	89
Caseload Trends in Estates and Special Proceedings, 1974-79	92
Caseload Summaries for Criminal Cases in the Superior	
Courts	93
Caseload Trends of Criminal Cases in the Superior Courts, 1969-1979	96
Felonies and Misdemeanors in the Superior Courts	90 97
Manner of Disposition of Criminal Cases in the Superior	71
Courts	98
Methods of Disposition of Superior Court Criminal Cases	101
Ages of Felony and Misdemeanor Cases in the Superior Courts	102
Caseload Trends in the District Courts, 1971-1979	112
Filings and Dispositions in the District Court Division	113
Caseload Summaries for Civil Cases in the District Courts	114
Caseload Trends of Civil Cases in the District Courts,	
1971-1979	117
General Civil, Domestic Relations, and Civil Magistrate	
Cases in the District Courts	118
Manner of Disposition of Civil Cases in the District	
	119
Methods of Disposition of District Court Civil Cases	
Ages of Civil Cases in the District Courts	125
Age Breakdown of Civil Cases Pending on	
June 30, 1979	130
Offenses and Conditions Alleged in Juvenile	
Petitions in the District Courts	131
Adjudicatory Hearings for Juvenile Cases in the	
District Courts	134
Caseload Summaries for Criminal Cases in the	
District Courts	ŀ37
Caseload Trends of Criminal Cases in the District Courts,	
1971-1979	140
Motor Vehicle and Non-Motor Vehicle Cases in the District	
Courts	141

Manner of Disposition of Motor Vehicle and Non-Motor	
Vehicle Cases in the District Courts	142
Methods of Disposition of District Court Criminal	
Cases	147
Ages of Motor Vehicle and Non-Motor Vehicle Cases in the	
District Courts	148
Rankings for the 33 Judicial Districts Based Upon Percent of	
Dispositions to Total Caseload	156
Rankings for the 100 Counties Based Upon Percent of	
Dispositions to Total Caseload	157

 $\tilde{\vec{x}}_{i,j}$ 

# PART I

# THE 1978-1979 JUDICIAL YEAR IN REVIEW

1

200

0

# Annual Report Format and Content

This annual report covers North Carolina Judicial Department operations for the 1978-79 fiscal year, differing substantially in format and content from prior annual reports, which were on a calendar year basis.

Changing to the fiscal year period, July 1 through June 30, offers two distinct advantages. First, the time period on caseload data and analysis can now correspond with the time period for reporting on appropriations and expenditures. Second, the annual report for the immediate past year can be compiled, printed and distributed before or early during the legislative sessions of each year instead of (as in the past) usually after the legislative sessions have adjourned. For example, the latest annual report available to the 1979 Legislative Session was for calendar year, 1977, inasmuch as the 1978 calendar year report was not completed and available for distribution until after the Legislature had adjourned.

A recommended "State Court Model Annual Report" was distributed in 1979 by the National Center for State Courts and the Conference of State Court Administrators as a part of a continuing effort to develop a national data base of state court statistics and to assist in the improvement of annual reports on state courts throughout the country. This recommended model was used as a guide in the production of this annual report.

Beginning with the 1976 Annual Report on the Judicial Department, North Carolina's reporting of trial court case data has been much more comprehensive than in previous years. The revised system of trial court data reporting begun in 1976 is described in the Introduction to the 1976 Annual Report on North Carolina Courts. This current report differs from the past three Annual Reports largely in presenting significantly more narrative comment so as to provide a better overall State perspective of Judicial Department activity.

In the interest of clarity and convenience for readers, this Report is divided into four major parts, separated by a divider page of the same color as the cover. Part I consists of the "judicial year in review" statement. Part II provides information on the historical development of North Carolina courts, description of the present court system and a summary review of organization and operations during 1978-79. Part III covers court resources: appropriations and expenditures during 1978-79 and summary information on the categories of personnel which serve in the Judicial Department. Part IV contains detailed caseload data and comment for both the trial courts and the appellate courts.

As is apparent, the basic approach in format is to present a "broad perspective" of court system organization and operations, proceeding then to a more detailed data presentation. The objective is to meet well

Į

the varying needs of different users of the Annual Report.

Whatever progress is reflected in this year's Report will hopefully be the foundation for still further improvements in succeeding annual reports.

### The Workload of the Courts

During 1978-79 there were some substantial increases in the workload of North Carolina's courts, at appellate and trial court levels. As set out in more detail in Part IV, the number of cases docketed in the Supreme Court increased 8.1%; the number of opinions filed by the Court increased 9.5%; the number of petitions docketed increased 46.3%; and the number of petitions allowed by the Supreme Court increased by 20.8%.

With respect to the superior courts, a total of 68,625 cases (civil and criminal) were filed during 1978-79, a 5.9% increase over the total of 64,819 cases filed during calendar year, 1978. A total of 65,899 superior court cases were disposed of during 1978-79, an increase of 6.8% over the total of 61,713 cases disposed of during calendar year, 1978. For year-end pending cases, the total at the end of 1978-79 was 35,184, representing a 2.8% reduction from the total of 36,214 cases pending at the end of calendar year, 1978. More detailed data on superior court civil and criminal caseloads is presented in Part IV of this Report.

For the district courts, filings of cases decreased slightly (less than one percent) during 1978-79 compared with calendar year, 1978, as did dispositions: a total of 1,402,518 for 1978-79 compared with 1,407,360 for calendar year, 1978. The number of district court cases, civil and criminal, which were pending as of June 30, 1979 was about one percent greater (244,922) compared with the number (242,920) pending at the end of calendar year, 1978. As the more detailed data in Parts II and IV of this Report show, the trend in district court case activity over the past several years reflects a significant increase. The slight decrease in current year total case activity is not regarded as necessarily indicative of a trend which will hold.

It is important to note that civil case filings in the district courts during 1978-79 *increased* almost six percent, and the number of civil cases pending at year-end rose more than 11% over the prior year. The principal decrease in district court filings during 1978-79 was in the traffic offense category. This decrease (2.4%) in traffic cases is undoubtedly related to recently reported trends in the operation of private automobiles as reflected, for example, in decreasing state gasoline tax revenues. The higher costs of gasoline appear to be prompting automobile owners to drive less than they would otherwise, and at lower speeds. Whether the decreases in traffic case activity in the district courts during the

ing 1978-79 reflect a trend for this category of cases which will hold during the next few years remains to be seen. Obviously, if private automobile use decreases, or does not continue to increase as in the past, the volume of traffic offenses which will come before the district courts in the State will be affected.

### Legislative Highlights

### Constitutional Amendments

By the required three-fifths vote in each house, the Legislature approved a proposed amendment to the State Constitution, to be voted on statewide at the general election in the Fall of 1980, which provides that only a person who is authorized to practice law in the State will be eligible for appointment or election to a judgeship. Under the present State Constitution a nonlawyer can serve as a judge in any of the courts, appellate as well as the trial courts. Non-lawyers have not in the past been appointed or elected to the Supreme Court, the Court of Appeals, or the superior court. Eight non-lawyers have been elected district court judges; but any non-lawyer sitting judge would be exempted from application of the proposed constitutional amendment under a "grandfather clause."

A proposed constitutional amendment providing for "nonpartisan merit selection" of judges failed to gain the necessary three-fifths legislative approval for submission to a statewide vote,

# Censure or Removal of a Supreme Court Justice

The statutes pertaining to the Judicial Standards Commission were amended to provide that if the respondent is a member of the State Supreme Court, the recommendation of the Judicial Standards Commission will go to the Court of Appeals for action instead of to the Supreme Court. In such case, the Chief Judge and the six senior judges on the Court of Appeals, excluding any judge serving on the Judicial Standards Commission, would act on the recommendation of the Judicial Standards Commission.

### **Court Studies**

The General Assembly reestablished the North Carolina Courts Commission which will have a continuing tesponsibility to review court organization and operation issues and submit recommendations for improvement. Five members of this Commission are appointed by the Governor, five by the President of the Senate, and five by the Speaker of the House. The Legislature also gave this Commission four specific study assignments: consideration of administrative adjudication of traffic cases in lieu of initial filing of such cases in the district courts; a study of the offices of the Clerk of Superior Court and the position of trial court administrator; and a study of the feasibility of making financial settlement to persons convicted and imprisoned whose terms of imprisonment are later shown to have been legally erroneous.

The Legislative Research Commission (an agency of the General Assembly) was directed to study the laws of evidence with the view of proposing an evidence code for the State; and the Criminal Code Commission, appointed by the Attorney General and responsible for studies in the criminal law and procedure area, was directed to study the defense of insanity in criminal cases. Reports on these matters are to be presented to the 1981 General Assembly.

### Additional District Court Judges

Nine additional district court judges were authorized by the General Assembly, one each in the following judicial districts: 1st, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 13th, 14th, 26th, 27B, and 29th.

### Presumptive Sentencing Law

A presumptive sentencing law (G.S. 15A-1340.1 et seq.) was enacted by the 1979 General Assembly, to be applicable to felonies committed on or after July 1, 1980. The act divides felonies into 10 classes and sets a presumptive sentence for each class other than those for which the death penalty or life imprisonment is mandated by statute. The sentencing judge must impose the presumptive sentence unless he gives written reasons for the court record for not doing so. However, unless otherwise expressly provided by statute for a particular offense, the judge retains full discretion to suspend a prison term, impose probation supervision, sentence a defendant as a youthful offender or impose consecutive terms for multiple offenses without giving reasons.

In imposing a prison term for a felony conviction, the sentencing judge may consider any relevant mitigating or aggravating circumstance; and he must consider the following factors: (1) aggravating in committing the offense the defendant inflicted bodily injury or property damage substantially in excess of the minimum required to prove the offense, or the defendant induced others to participate in the offense or provided leadership of others in committing the offense; (2) mitigating defendant had no prior criminal record or a record only of minor misdemeanors, defendant inflicted minimum bodily injury or property damage, was a passive participant or had a minor role, suffered from a mental or physical condition not sufficient to constitute a defense but which significantly reduced his culpability, the defendant was of such age or limited mental capacity as to be substantially lacking in sound judgment, or defendant had made partial or full restitution to the victim of the crime. Further, the sentencing

judge is required to take into account a negotiated plea and any circumstance arising from the evidence which is found by the judge to have mitigating value.

### Second Grand Jury Authorized

Section 15A-622 of the North Carolina General Statutes was amended to authorize the senior resident superior court judge in a district to expedite criminal prosecutions by impaneling a second grand jury in any county of the district, to sit concurrently with the regular grand jury.

### First Appearance on Felony Charge

Section 15A-601 of the General Statutes was amended to provide, effective October 1, 1979, that the clerk of superior court may conduct the first appearance proceeding for one charged with a felony if a district court judge is not available within the 96-hour period required by statute.

#### Revised Juvenile Code

Relevant to the jurisdiction and authority of district court judges in juvenile matters, and to the responsibilities of juvenile court counselors, the General Assembly enacted a new Juvenile Code, based upon a report of the Juvenile Code Revision Committee which was established by the 1977 General Assembly. The new code (G.S. 7A-516 et seq.) becomes effective on January 1, 1980.

### Jurisdiction of Magistrates

The jurisdiction of magistrates in small claims cases was increased from \$500 to \$800, and the jurisdictional amount involved in worthless check charges heard by magistrates was increased from \$300 to \$400. These changes were made effective October 1, 1979.

#### Appropriations

State funds were appropriated for the following additional positions in the Judicial Department: nine district court judges; 18 assistant district attorneys; 12 magistrates; 86 deputy clerks; two court reporters; one investigatorial assistant for a district attorney office; four attorneys and two secretaries for the prehearing unit of the Court of Appeals; a trial court administrator and secretary for each of ten judicial districts; 10 assistant public defenders, five stenographers and one investigator for Public Defender offices; an executive director, investigator, and secretary for the Judicial Standards Commission (to replace LEAA-funded positions).

In addition, funds were appropriated to raise juror's pay after five days of  $j_{1} \neq j_{2}$  duty from \$8 to \$30 per day, and to increase grand jurors' pay from \$8 to \$12 per day. Judicial Department personnel received an approximate 7% cost-of-living salary increase, comparable to that provided for other State personnel.

# PARTI

# COURT SYSTEM ORGANIZATION AND OPERATIONS

- Historical Development of Court System
- Present Court System
- Organization and Operations in 1978-79

# HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE NORTH CAROLINA COURT SYSTEM

From its early colonial period North Carolina's judicial system has been the focus of periodic attention and adjustment. Through the years, there has been a repeated sequence of critical examination, proposals for reform, and finally the enactment of some reform measures.

### **Colonial Period**

Around 1700 the royal governor established a General (or Supreme) Court for the colony and a dispute developed over the appointment of associate justices. The Assembly conceded to the King the right to name the chief justice but unsuccessfully tried to win for itself the power to appoint the associate justices. Other controversies developed concerning the creation and jurisdiction of the courts and the tenure of judges. As for the latter, the Assembly's position was that judge appointments should be for good behavior as against the royal governor's decision for life appointment. State historians have noted that "the Assembly won its fight to establish courts and the judicial structure in the province was grounded on laws enacted by the legislature," which was more familiar with local conditions and needs (Lefler and Newsome, 142). Nevertheless, North Carolina alternated between periods under legislatively enacted reforms (like good behavior tenure and the Court Bill of 1746, which contained the seeds of the post-Revolutionary court system) and periods of stalemate and anarchy after such enactments were nullified by royal authority. A more elaborate system was framed by legislation in 1767 to last five years. It was not renewed because of persisting disagreement between local and royal partisans. As a result, North Carolina was without higher courts until after Independence (Battle, 847).

At the lower court level during the colonial period, judicial and county government administrative functions were combined in the authority of the justices of the peace, why were appointed by the royal governor.

#### After the Revolution

When North Carolina became a state in 1776, the colonial structure of the court system was retained largely intact. The Courts of Pleas and Quarter Sessions — the county court which continued in use from about 1670 to 1868 — were still held by the assembled justices of the peace in each county. The justices were appointed by the governor on the recommendation of the General Assembly, and they were paid out of fees charged litigants. On the lowest level of the judicial system, magistrate courts of limited jurisdiction were held by justices of the peace, singly or in pairs, while the county court was out of term.

The new Constitution of 1776 empowered the General Assembly to appoint judges of the Supreme Court of Law and Equity. A court law enacted a year later authorized three superior court judges and created judicial districts. Sessions were supposed to be held in the court towns of each district twice a year, under a system much like the one that had expired in 1772. Just as there had been little distinction in terminology between General Court and Supreme Court prior to the Revolution, the terms Supreme Court and Superior Court were also interchangeable during the period immediately following the Revolution.

One of the most vexing governmental problems confronting the new State of North Carolina was its judiciary. "From its inception in 1777 the state's judiciary caused complaint and demands for reform." (Lefler and Newsome, 291, 292). Infrequency of sessions, conflicting judge opinions, and insufficient number of judges, and lack of means for appeal were all cited as problems, although the greatest weakness was considered to be the lack of a real Supreme Court.

In 1779, the legislature required the Superior Court judges to meet together in Raleigh as a Court or Conference to resolve cases which were disagreed on in the districts. This court was continued and made permanent by subsequent laws. The justices were required to put their opinions in writing to be delivered orally in court. The Court of Conference was changed in name to the Supreme Court in 1805 and authorized to hear appeals in 1810. Because of the influence of the English legal system, however, there was still no conception of an alternative to judges sitting together to hear appeals from cases which they had themselves heard in the districts in panels of as few as two judges (Battle, 848). In 1818, though, an independent three-judge Supreme Court was created for review of cases decided at the Superior Court level.

Meanwhile, semi-annual superior court sessions in each county were made mandatory in 1806, and the State was divided into six circuits, or ridings, where the six judges were to sit in rotation, two judges constituting a quorum as before.

The County court of justices of the peace continued during this period as the lowest court and as the agency of local government.

### After the Civil War

Major changes to modernize the judiciary and make it more democratic were made in 1868. A primary holdover from the English legal arrangement — the distinction between law and equity proceedings — was abolished. The County Court's control of local government was abolished. Capital offenses were limited to murder, arson, burglary and rape, and the Constitution stated that the aim of punishment was "not only to satisfy justice, but also to reform the offender, and thus

# HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE NORTH CAROLINA COURT SYSTEM

prevent crime." The membership of the Supreme Court was raised to five, and the selection of the justices (including the designation of the chief justice) and superior court judges (raised in number to 12) was taken from the legislature and given to the voters, although vacancies were to be filled by the governor until the next election. The Court of Pleas and Quarter Sessions — the County Court of which three justices of the peace constituted a quorum — was eliminated. Its judicial responsibilities were divided between the Superior Courts and the individual justices of the peace, who were retained as separate judicial officers with limited jurisdiction.

Conservatively oriented amendments to the 1868 Constitution in 1875 reduced the number of Supreme Court justices to three and the Superior Court judges to nine. The General Assembly was given the power to appoint justices of the peace, instead of the governor. Most of the modernizing changes in the post-Civil War Constitution, however, were left, and the judicial structure it had established continued without systematic modification through more than half of the 20th century. (A further constitutional amendment approved by the voters in November, 1888, returned the Supreme Court membership to five, and the number of superior court judges to twelve.)

### **Before Reorganization**

A multitude of legislative enactments to meet rising demands and to respond to changing needs had heavily encumbered the 1868 judicial structure by the time systematic court reforms were proposed in the 1950's. This accrual of piecemeal change and addition to the court system was most evident at the lower, local court level, where hundreds of courts specially created by statute operated with widely dissimilar structure and jurisdiction.

By 1965, when the implementation of the most recent major reforms was begun, the court system in North Carolina consisted of four levels: (a) the Supreme Court, with appellate jurisdiction; (b) the superior court, with general trial jurisdiction; (c) the local statutory courts of limited jurisdiction, and (d) justices of the peace and mayor's courts, with petty jurisdiction.

At the superior court level, the State had been divided into 30 judicial districts and 24 solicitorial districts. The 40 superior court judges (who rotated among the counties) and the district solicitors were paid by the State. The clerk of superior court, who was judge of probate and often also a juvenile judge, was a county official. There were specialized branches of superior court in some counties for matters like domestic relations and juvenile offenses.

The lower two levels were local courts. At the higher of these local court levels were more than 180 recordertype courts. Among these were the county recorder's courts, municipal recorder's courts and township recorder's courts; the general county courts, county criminal courts and special county courts; the domestic relations courts and the juvenile courts. Some of these had been established individually by special legislative acts more than a half-century earlier. Others had been created by general law across the State since 1919. About half were county courts and half were city or township courts. Jurisdiction included misdemeanors (mostly traffic offenses), preliminary hearings and sometimes civil matters. The judges, who were usually part-time, were variously elected or appointed locally. At the lowest level were about 90 mayor's courts and some 925 justices of the peace. These officers had similar criminal jurisdiction over minor cases with penalties up to a \$50 fine or 30 days in jail. The justices of the peace also had civil jurisdiction of minor cases. These court officials were compensated by the fees they exacted, and they provided their own facilities.

#### Court Reorganization

The need for a comprehensive evaluation and revision of the court system received the attention and support of Governor Luther H. Hodges in 1957, who encouraged the leadership of the North Carolina Bar Association to pursue the matter. A Court Study Committee was established as an agency of the North Carolina Bar Association, and that Committee issued its report, calling for reorganization, at the end of 1958. A legislative Constitutional Commission, which worked with the Court Study Committee, finished its report early the next year. Both groups called for the structuring of an all-inclusive court system which would be directly state-operated, uniform in its organization throughout the State and centralized in its administration. The plan was for a simplified, streamlined and unified structure. A particularly important part of the proposal was the elimination of the local statutory courts and their replacement by a single District Court; the office of justice of the peace was to be abolished, and the newly fashioned position of magistrate would function within the District Court as a subordinate judicial office.

Constitutional amendments were introduced in the legislature in 1959 but these failed to gain the required three-fifths vote of each house. The proposals were reintroduced and approved at the 1961 session. The Constitutional amendments were approved by popular vote in 1962, and three years later the General Assembly enacted statutes to put the system into effect by stages. By the end of 1970 all of the counties and their courts had been incorporated into the new system, whose unitary nature was symbolized by the name, General Court of Justice. The designation of the entire 20th Century judicial system as a single, statewide "court," with components for various types and levels

## HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE NORTH CAROLINA COURT SYSTEM

of caseload, was adapted from North Carolina's earlier General Court, whose full venue extended to all of the 17th Century counties.

### After Reorganization

Notwithstanding the comprehensive reorganization adopted in 1962, the impetus for changes has continued. In 1965, the Constitution was amended to provide for the creation of an intermediate Court of Appeals. It was amended again in 1972 to allow for the Supreme Court to censure or remove judges upon the recommendation of a Judicial Standards Commission. As for the selection of judges, persistent efforts have been made in the 1970's to obtain legislative approval of amendments to the State Constitution, to appoint judges according to "merit" instead of electing them by popular, partisan vote. The proposed amendments have received the backing of a majority of the members of each house, but not the three-fifths required to submit constitutional amendments to a vote of the people. It seems likely that this significant issue will be before the General Assembly again for consideration.

#### Major Sources

Battle, Kemp, P. An Address on the History of the Supreme Court (Delivered in 1888). 1 North Carolina Reports 835-876. Hinsdale, C.E. County Government in North Carolina. 1965 Edition.

Lefler, Hugh Talmage and Albert Ray Newsome. North Carolina: The History of a Southern State. 1963 Edition.

Sanders, John L. Constitutional Revision and Court Reform: A Legislative History, 1959 Special Report of the N.C. Institute of Government. Stevenson, George and Ruby D. Arnold. North Carolina Courts of Law and Equity Prior to 1868. N.C. Archives Information Circular 1973.

# ORIGINAL JURISDICTIONS AND ROUTES OF APPEAL IN THE PRESENT COURT SYSTEM



- Appeals from the Court of Appeals to the Supreme Court are by right in Utilities Commission general rate cases, cases involving constitutional questions, and cases in which there has been dissent in the Court of Appeals. In its discretion, the Supreme Court may review Court of Appeals decisions in cases of significant public interest or cases involving legal principles of major significance.
   Appeals from these agencies lie directly to the Court of Appeals.
- (3) Appeals in criminal cases where the defendant has been sentenced to death or life imprisonment go directly to the Supreme Court as a matter of right; in all other criminal cases and in civil cases appeal as of right is to the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court, in its discretion, may hear appeals directly from the trial court in cases where delay would cause substantial harm or the Court of Appeals.

docket is unusually full.

# THE PRESENT COURT SYSTEM

Article IV of the North Carolina Constitution establishes the General Court of Justice which "shall constitute a unified judicial system for purposes of jurisdiction, operation, and administration, and shall consist of an Appellate Division, a Superior Court Division, and a District Court Division."

The Appellate Division is comprised of the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals.

The Superior Court Division is comprised of the superior courts which hold sessions in the county seats of the 100 counties of the State. The counties are grouped into judicial districts (33 at the present time), and one or more superior court judges are elected for each of the judicial districts. A clerk of the superior court for each county is elected by the voters of the county.

The District Court Division is comprised of the district courts. The General Assembly is authorized to divide the State into a convenient number of local court districts and prescribe where the district courts shall sit, but district court must sit in at least one place in each county. The General Assembly has provided that districts for purposes of the district court are coterminous with superior court judicial districts. The Constitution also provides for one or more magistrates to be appointed in each county "who shall be officers of the district court."

The State Constitution (Art. IV, Sec. 1) also contains the term, "judicial department," stating that "The General Assembly shall have no power to deprive the judicial department of any power or jurisdiction that rightfully pertains to it as a co-ordinate department of the government, nor shall it establish or authorize any courts other than as permitted by this Article." The terms, "General Court of Justice" and "Judicial Department" are almost, but not quite, synonymous. It may be said that the Judicial Department encompasses all of the levels of court designated as the General Court of Justice plus all administrative and ancillary services within the Judicial Department.

The original jurisdictions and routes of appeal between the several levels of court in North Carolir system of courts are illustrated in the chart on the posite page.

### **Criminal Cases**

「「「なない」のないないである。「ないない」のないのである」

Trial of misdemeanor cases is within the original jurisdiction of the district courts. Some misdemeanor offenses are tried by magistrates, who are also empowered to accept pleas of guilty to certain offenses and impose fines in accordance with a schedule set by the Conference of Chief District Court Judges. Most trials of misdemeanors are by district court judges, who also hold preliminary, "probable cause" hearings in felony cases. Trial of felony cases is within the jurisdiction of the superior courts. Decisions of magistrates may be appealed to the district court judge. In criminal cases there is no trial by jury available at the district court level; appeal from the district courts' judgments in criminal cases is to the superior courts for trial *de novo* before a jury. Except in life-imprisonment or death sentence cases (which are appealed to the Supreme Court), appeal from the superior courts is to the Court of Appeals.

### Civil Cases

The 100 clerks of superior court are *ex officio* judges of probate and have original jurisdiction in probate and estates matters. The clerks also have jurisdiction over such special proceedings as adoptions, partitions, condemnations under the authority of eminent domain, and foreclosures. Rulings of the clerk may be appealed to the superior court.

The district courts have original jurisdiction in juvenile proceedings, domestic relations cases, petitions for involuntary commitment to a mental hospital, and general civil cases where the amount in litigation is \$5,000 or less. If the amount in litigation is \$500\* or less and the plantiff in the case so requests, the chief district court judge may assign the case for initial hearing by a magistrate. Magistrates' decisions may be appealed to the district court. Trial by jury for civil cases is available in the district courts; appeal from the judgment of a district court in a civil case is to the North Carolina Court of Appeals.

The superior courts are the proper courts for trial of general civil cases where the amount of litigation is more than \$5,000. Appeals from decisions of most administrative agencies is first within the jurisdiction of the superior courts. Appeal from the superior courts in civil cases is to the Court of Appeals.

#### Administration

The North Carolina Supreme Court has the "general power to supervise and control the proceedings of any of the other courts of the General Court of Justice" (G.S. 7A-32(b)).

In addition to this grant of general supervisory power, the North Carolina General Statutes provide certain Judicial Department officials with specific powers and responsibilities for the operation of the court system. The Supreme Court has the responsibility for prescribing rules of practice and procedures for the appellate courts and for prescribing rules for the trial courts to supplement those prescribed by statute. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court designates one of the judges of the Court of Appeals to be its Chief Judge, who in turn is responsible for scheduling the sessions of the Court of Appeals.

<sup>\*</sup> Increased to \$800 effective October 1, 1979 (G.S. 7A-210).

# THE PRESENT COURT SYSTEM

The chart on the following page illustrates specific responsibilities for administration of the trial courts vested in Judicial Department officials by statute. The Chief Justice appoints both the Director and Assistant Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts; the Assistant Director also serves as the Chief Justice's administrative assistant. The schedule of sessions of superior court in the 100 counties is set by the Supreme Court: assignment of the State's rotating superior court judges is the responsibility of the Chief Justice. Finally, the Chief Justice designates a chief district court judge for each of the State's 33 judicial districts from among the elected district court judges of the respective districts. These judges have special responsibilities for the scheduling of the district courts and magistrates' courts within their respective districts, as well as general locallevel administrative responsibilities.

The Administrative Office of the Courts is responsible for direction of the non-judicial, administrative and business affairs of the Judicial Department. Included among its functions are fiscal management, personnel direction, information and statistical services, supervision of record keeping in the trial court clerks' offices. liaison with the legislative and executive departments of government, court facility evaluation, purchase and contract, education and training, coordination of the program for provision of legal counsel to indigent persons, juvenile probation and after-care, trial court administrator services, planning, and general administrative services.

The clerk of superior court in each county acts as clerk for both the superior and district courts. In most counties the clerk is also chairman of the county's calendar committee, which sets the civil case calendar. (In a few districts these committees have been abolished with the advent of the "trial court administrator" program now being tested.) The criminal case calendars in both superior and district courts are set by the district attorney of the respective district.

# PRINCIPAL ADMINISTRATIVE AUTHORITIES FOR NORTH CAROLINA TRIAL COURTS



- The Supreme Court has general supervisory authority over the operations of the superior courts (as well as other trial courts). The schedule of superior courts is approved by the Supreme Court; assignments of superior court judges, who rotate from district to district, are the responsibility of the Chief Justice.
- <sup>2</sup> Both the Director and Assistant Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts are appointed by and serve at the pleasure of the Chief Justice.
- <sup>3</sup> The Supreme Court has general supervisory authority over the operations of the district courts (as well as other trial courts). The Chief Justice appoints a chief district court judge in each of the 33 judicial districts from the judges elected in the respective districts.
- <sup>4</sup> The Administrative Office of the Courts is empowered to prescribe a variety of rules governing the operation of the offices of the 100 clerks of superior court, and to obtain statistical data and other information from officials in the Judicial Department.
- <sup>5</sup> The district attorney sets the criminal-case trial calendars. In each district, the senior resident superior court judge and the chief district court judge are empowered to supervise the calendaring procedures for civil cases in their respective courts.
- <sup>6</sup> In addition to certain judicial functions, the clerk of superior court performs administrative, fiscal and recordkeeping functions for both the superior court and district court of his county. Magistrates, who serve under the supervision of the chief district court judge, are appointed by the senior resident superior court judge from nominees submitted by the clerk of superior court.

11

# THE SUPREME COURT OF NORTH CAROLINA<sup>1</sup>

Chief Justice SUSIE SHARP<sup>2</sup>

Associate Justices

JOSEPH BRANCH<sup>3</sup> J. FRANK HUSKINS J. WILLIAM COPELAND

JAMES G. EXUM, JR. DAVID M. BRITT WALTER E. BROCK

# Retired Chief Justice WILLIAM H. BOBBITT

### **Retired** Justices

J. WILL PLESS, JR. CARLISLE W. HIGGINS

# I. BEVERLY LAKE DAN K. MOORE

Clerk John R. Morgan

Librarian Frances H. Hall

<sup>1</sup>As of 30 June 1979.

<sup>2</sup>Retired 31 July 1979. <sup>3</sup>Appointed Chief Justice 1 August 1979, Court of Appeals Judge J. Phil Carlton was appointed Associate Justice 2 August 1979.

## THE SUPREME COURT

At the apex of the General Court of Justice is the seven-member Supreme Court, which sits in Raleigh to consider and decide questions of law presented in civil and criminal cases appealed from the lower courts. The Chief Justice and six associate justices are elected to eight-year terms by popular vote. There are two terms of the Supreme Court each year: a Spring Term commencing on the first Tuesday in February and a Fall Term commencing on the first Tuesday in September. The Court sits only *en banc*.

#### Jurisdiction

The only original jurisdiction exercised by the Supreme Court is over the censure and removal of judges upon the (non-binding) recommendations of the Judicial Standards Commission. The Court's appellate jurisdiction includes: cases on appeal by right from the Court of Appeals (Utilities Commission general ratesetting cases, cases involving constitutional questions, and cases in which there has been dissent in the Court of Appeals); cases on appeal by right from the superior courts (criminal cases in which the defendant has been sentenced to death or to life imprisonment); and cases in which review has been granted in the Supreme Court's discretion (cases of significant public interest and cases involving legal principles of major significance to North Carolina jurisprudence). Discretionary review by the Supreme Court directly from the trial courts may be granted when delay would likely cause substantial harm or when the workload of the Appellate Division is such that the expeditious administration of justice requires it; most appeals are heard only after review by the Court of Appeals. Discretionary review may also be granted when the decision of the Court of Appeals in a case appears likely to be in conflict with a decision of the Supreme Court.

### Administration

The Supreme Court has general power to supervise and control the proceedings of the other courts of the General Court of Justice. The court has specific power to prescribe the rules of practice for the Appellate Division and supplementary rules of practice and procedure for the trial court divisions consistent with the rules prescribed by the General Assembly. The schedule of superior court sessions in the 100 counties is approved, yearly, by the Supreme Court. The members of the North Carolina Judicial Planning Committee are appointed by, and serve at the pleasure of, the Supreme Court, as are the Clerk of the Supreme Court, the Librarian of the Supreme Court, and the Appellate Division Reporter.

The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court appoints both the Director and Assistant Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts, who serve at his pleasure. He also designates a Chief Judge from among the judges of the Court of Appeals and a Chief District Court Judge from among the judges in each of the State's 33 judicial districts. He assigns superior court judges, who regularly rotate from district to district, to the scheduled sessions of superior court in the 100 counties, and is also empowered to transfer district court judges to other districts for temporary or specialized duty. The Chief Justice (or another member of the Supreme Court designated by him) is the chairman of the Judicial Council, and two superior court judges, one district court judge and two district attorneys are appointed to two-year terms on the Council by the Chief Justice. He also appoints three of the seven members of the Judicial Standards Commission, a judge of the Court of Appeals who serves as the Commission's chairman, one superior court judge and one district court judge.

### **Operations of the Court, 1978-79**

Operating expenses of the Supreme Court during the 1978-79 fiscal year ending June 30, 1979 amounted to \$1,173,674, an increase of 10.9% over the 1977-78 fiscal year which had expenditures of \$1,057,897. Expenditures for the Supreme Court during 1978-79 constituted 1.9% of all General Fund expenditures for the operation of the entire Judicial Department during that fiscal year.

During the 1978 Fall Term and the 1979 Spring Term a total of 69 cases were brought forward from previous terms and 188 new cases were docketed, for a total of 257 cases before the Court during this period. During these two terms 23 cases were withdrawn or dismissed, opinions were filed in 162 cases, and at the end of the 1979 Spring Term 19 cases were carried forward to the following term. During these two terms a total of 499 petitions were filed and 65 petitions were allowed. (See Part IV of this *Annual Report* for more detail on caseload activity before the Supreme Court.)

# THE COURT OF APPEALS OF NORTH CAROLINA<sup>1</sup>

# Chief Judge NAOMI E. MORRIS

### Judges

FRANK M. PARKER	BURLEY B. MITCHELL, JR.2
R. A. HEDRICK	JOHN WEBB
EARL W. VAUGHN	RICHARD C. ERWIN
ROBERT M. MARTIN	HARRY C. MARTIN
EDWARD B. CLARK	J. PHIL CARLTON <sup>3</sup>
GERALD ARNOLD	

Retired Chief Judge RAYMOND B. MALLARD<sup>4</sup>

Retired Judge HUGH B. CAMPBELL

> Clerk Francis E. Dail

<sup>1</sup>As of 30 June 1979.

<sup>2</sup>Resigned 20 August 1979. Judge Cecil J. Hill was appointed to fill Judge Mitchell's unexpired term and took office on 14 September 1979.
<sup>3</sup>Resigned 2 August 1979 upon appointment to the Supreme Court. Judge Hugh A. Wells was appointed to fill Judge Carlton's unexpired term and took office on 20 August 1979.
<sup>4</sup>Deceased 20 July 1979.

The 12-judge Court of Appeals is North Carolina's intermediate appellate court; it hears a majority of the appeals originating from the trial courts. The Court regularly sits in Raleigh, and it may sit in other locations in the State as authorized by the Supreme Court. Sessions outside of Raleigh have not been regular or frequent. During 1978-1979, panels of the Court of Appeals held three two-day sessions in Winston-Salem. Judges of the Court of Appeals are elected by popular vote for eight-year terms. A Chief Judge for the Court is designated by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and serves in that capacity at the pleasure of the Chief Justice.

Cases are heard by panels of three judges, with the Chief Judge responsible for assigning members of the Court to the four panels. Insofar as practicable, each judge is to be assigned to sit a substantially equal number of times with each other judge. The Chief Judge presides over the panel of which he or she is a member and designates a presiding judge for the other panels.

The Chief Judge (or another member of the Court of Appeals designated by the Chief Judge) is an *ex officio* member of the Judicial Council; and one member of the Court of Appeals, designated by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, serves as chairman of the Judicial Standards Commission.

### Jurisdiction

The bulk of the caseload of the Court of Appeals consists of cases appealed from the trial courts. This Court also hears appeals directly from any final order or decision of the North Carolina Utilities Commission, and from certain final orders or decisions of the North Carolina State Bar and the Commissioner of Insurance. Effective September 1, 1979, appeals from certain final orders or decisions of the Property Tax Commission go directly to the Court of Appeals. (Appeals from the decisions of other administrative agencies lie, first, within the jurisdiction of the superior courts.)

Effective April 30, 1979, the General Assembly conferred upon the Chief Judge and the six judges next senior in service on the Court of Appeals jurisdiction to censure or remove from office a Supreme Court justice. Such censure or removal case comes before the sevenmember panel of judges upon the non-binding recommendation of the Judicial Standards Commission.

### **Operations of the Court**, 1978-79

Operating expenses of the Court of Appeals during the 1978-79 fiscal year ending June 30, 1979 totalled \$1,485,877, an increase of 20.4% over 1977-78 expenditures of \$1,233,765. Much of this increase is attributable to the increase (from nine to twelve) in the number of Court of Appeals judges. Expenditures for the Court of Appeals during 1978-79 amounted to 2.4% of all General Fund expenditures for the operation of the entire Judicial Department during that fiscal year.

During the fiscal year July 1, 1978 through June 30, 1979 the Court of Appeals reported dispositions in a total of 1,114 cases. A total of 671 published opinions were filed, of which 230 were in criminal cases and 441 were in civil cases, including appeals from the Insurance Commissioner, the Industrial Commission, and the Utilities Commission.

Dispositions in a total of 443 cases were reported without published opinions, of which 280 were criminal cases and 163 were civil cases.



# THE PRESENT COURT SYSTEM

The State is divided into four judicial divisions and thirty-three judicial districts. Regular superior court judges rotate from district to district of the division in which they reside. District court judges rotate from county to county in the district in which they reside.

÷.,

# JUDGES OF SUPERIOR COURT\* (As of June 30, 1979)

### **DIVISION I**

## District

- 1 J. Herbert Small, Elizabeth City
- 2 Elbert S. Peel, Jr., Williamston
- 3 Robert D. Rouse, Jr., Farmville David E. Reid, Jr., Greenville
- 4 Henry L. Stevens, III, Kenausville James R. Strickland, Jacksonville
- 5 Bradford Tillerv, Wilmington Napoleon B. Barefoot, Wilmington
- 6 Richard B. Allsbrook, Roanoke Rapids
- 7 George M. Fountain, Tarboro Franklin R. Brown, Tarboro
- 8 R. Michael Bruce, Mount Olive James D. Llewellyn, Kinston

### **DIVISION II**

9 Hamilton H. Hobgood, Louisburg

- 10 James H. Pou Bailey, Raleigh Robert L. Farmer, Raleigh A. Pilston Godwin, Jr., Raleigh Edwin S. Preston, Jr., Raleigh
- 11 Harry E. Canaday, Benson
- 12 E. Maurice Braswell, Fayetteville Coy E. Brewer, Jr., Fayetteville D. G. Herring, Jr., Fayetteville
- 13 Giles R. Clark, Elizabethtown
- 14 Thomas H. Lee, Durham Anthony M. Brannon, Bahama John C. Martin, Durham
- 15A D. Marsh McLelland, Burlington
- 15B F. Gordon Battle, Chapel Hill
- 16 Henry A. McKinnon, Jr., Lumberton

# District

- 17 James M. Long, Yanceyville
- 18 Charles T. Kivett, Greensboro W. Douglas Albright, Greensboro Edward K. Washington, Greensboro

**DIVISION III** 

- 19A Thomas W. Seay, Jr., Spencer James C. Davis, Concord
- 19B Hal H. Walker, Asheboro
- 20 John D. McConnell, Southern Pines F. Fetzer Mills, Wadesboro
- 21 Harvey A. Lupton, Winston-Salem William Z. Wood, Winston-Salem
- 22 Robert A. Collier, Jr., Statesville Peter W. Hairston, Advance
- 23 Julius A. Rosseau, Jr., North Wilkesboro

## **DIVISION IV**

- 24 Ronald W. Howell, Marshall
- 25 Sam J. Ervin, III, Morganton Forrest A. Ferrell, Hickory
- 26 Frank W. Snepp, Jr., Charlotte Robert M. Burroughs, Charlotte Kenneth A. Griffin, Charlotte William T. Grist, Charlotte Clifton E. Johnson, Charlotte
- 27A Robert W. Kirby, Cherryville Robert E. Gaines, Gastonia
- 27B John R. Friday, Lincolnton
- 28 Robert D. Lewis, Asheville C. Walter Allen, Asheville
- 29 J. W. Jackson, Hendersonville
- 30 Lacy H. Thornburg, Webster

\* In districts with more than one resident judge, the senior resident judge is listed first

# SPECIAL JUDGES OF SUPERIOR COURT

Ronald Barbee, Greensboro Robert R. Browning, Greenville Robert L. Gavin, Pinehurst William Thomas Graham, Winston-Salem

Harry L. Riddle, Jr., Morganton David I. Smith, Burlington Donald L. Smith, Raleigh Ralph A. Walker, Greensboro

18

### THE SUPERIOR COURTS

North Carolina's superior courts are principally original-jurisdiction trial courts which also perform some appellate functions. In 1978-79 there were 58 "resident" superior court judges elected to office in the 33 judicial districts, for eight-year terms by Statewide ballot, and eight "special" superior court judges, appointed to office by the Governor for four-year terms.

### Jurisdiction

The superior court has original jurisdiction in all felony cases and in those misdemeanor cases which originate by grand jury indictment. (Most misdemeanors are tried first in the district court, from which they may be appealed to the superior court for trial de novo by a jury. No trial by jury is available for criminal cases in district court.) The superior court is the proper court for trial of civil cases where the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000, and this court has jurisdiction over appeals from all administrative agencies except the Utilities Commission. Industrial Commission, certain rulings of the Commissioner of Insurance, and the Board of Bar Examiners of the N.C. State Bar. Appeals from these agencies lie directly to the Court of Appeals. Regardless of the amount in controversy, the original civil jurisdiction of the superior court does not include domestic relations cases, which are heard in the district courts, or probate and estates matters and certain special proceedings heard first by the clerk of superior court as ex officio judge of probate. Rulings of the clerk are within the appeallate jurisidiction of the superior court.

#### Administration

The 100 counties of North Carolina are grouped into 33 judicial districts. Each district has at least one resident superior court judge who has certain administrative responsibilities for his home district, such as providing for civil case-calendaring procedures (criminal case calendars are the responsibility of the district attorneys). In districts with more than one resident superior court jduge, the judge senior in service on the superior court bench exercises these supervisory powers.

The 33 judicial districts are divided into four divisions for the rotation of superior court judges. Within his division, a resident superior court judge is required to rotate through the judicial districts, holding court for at least six months in each, then moving on to another district. A special superior court judge may be assigned to hold court in any of the 100 counties. Assignments of all superior court judges are made by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court. Under the Constitution of North Carolina, at least two sessions (a week each) of superior court are held annually in each of the 100 counties. The vast majority of counties have more than the Constitutional minimum of two weeks of superior court annually. Many larger counties have superior court in session about every week in the year.

### Resources

A total of \$12,377,669 was expended for operation of the superior courts during the 1978-79 fiscal year, an increase of 18.5% over 1977-78 expenditures of \$10,443,645. This total includes expenditures for the State's district attorneys' offices as well as the salaries and operating expenses of the 66 superior court judges, court reporters in the superior courts, and staff support. The 1978-79 total amounted to 19.9% of the General Fund expenditures for operating expenses of the entire Judicial Department.

#### 1978-79 Caseload

Including both civil and criminal cases, a total of 68,625 cases were filed in the superior courts during the period July 1, 1978 through June 30, 1979. As the white bars in the chart below illustrate, superior court case findings have been increasing: the 1978-79 total is 5.9% higher than the total of 64,819 cases filed during the 1978 calendar year and 11.3% higher than the 1977 total of 61,665 cases filed.

A total of 65,911 superior court cases were disposed of during 1978-79. Like filings, dispositions (shown in the black bars in the first chart on the following page) have been increasing: the total for the 1978-79 fiscal year is 6.8% higher than the figure of 61,713 cases disposed of during calendar year 1978 and 10.9% higher than the 59,434 cases disposed of during calendar year 1977.\*

The numbers of superior court cases, both civil and criminal, which have remained pending at the end of the last five annual reporting periods are illustrated in the second chart on the following page. A total of 35,172 cases were pending on June 30, 1979, a 2.9% reduction from the total pending on December 31, 1978 - 36,214 cases. As the chart illustrates, however, the general trend over the past four and one-half years has been one of increases in the numbers of superior court cases pending at year end.

More detailed information on superior court civil and criminal caseloads is contained in Part IV of this *Report*.

<sup>\*</sup>The data in the chart are for calendar years 1975 through 1978 and for fiscal year 1978-79. To facilitate comparisons and depict recent trends in case filings and dispositions, cases filed or disposed of between July 1 and December 31, 1978, are included in both the figures for calendar year 1978 and the figures for fiscal year 1978-79.



# SUPERIOR COURT CASE FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS, 1975 - 1978-79

# SUPERIOR COURT CASES PENDING AT YEAR END, 1975 — 1978-79



# THE SUPERIOR COURTS

### **Educational Activity**

Utilizing LEAA grant funds, the Judicial Department sponsored the following educational activities for superior court judges in 1978-79:

- the Fall Continuing Education Conference, October 19-21 in Asheville, attended by 45 judges;
- an orientation session for new judges, December 1-2 at the Institute of Government in Chapel Hill, attended by five new judges;
- the Spring Seminar, March 29-31 in Charlotte, attended by 56 judges; and
- the annual meeting of the Conference of Superior Court Judges, June 17-20 in Asheville, attended by 54 judges.

The Conference of Superior Court Judges (Officers as of June 30, 1979)

James H. Pou Bailey, Raleigh, President

John D. McConnell, Southern Pines, President-Elect

A. Pilston Godwin, Jr., Raleigh, Vice President

F. Gordon Battle, Chapel Hill, Secretary-Treasurer

Henry L. Stevens, III, Kenansville, and Forrest A. Ferrell, Hickory, Additional Executive Committee Members

# DISTRICT COURT JUDGES\* (As of June 30, 1979)

#### District

- 1 John T. Chaffin, Elizabeth City Grafton G. Beaman, Elizabeth City
- 2 Hallett S. Ward, Washington Charles H. Manning, Williamston
- 3 Charles H. Whedbee, Greenville E. Burt Aycock, Jr., Greenville Herbert O. Phillips, III, Morehead City Norris C. Reed, Jr., New Bern Robert D. Wheeler, Grifton
- 4 Kenneth W. Turner, Rose Hill E. Alex Erwin, III, Jacksonville Walter P. Henderson, Trenton Stephen M. Williamson, Kenansville
- 5 Gilbert H. Burnett, Wilmington Charles H. Rice, III, Wilmington John M. Walker, Wilmington
- 6 Joseph D. Blythe, Harrellsville Nicholas Long, Roanoke Rapids Robert E. Williford, Lewiston
- George Britt, Tarboro
   Allen W. Harrell, Wilson
   Tom H. Matthews, Rocky Mount
   Ben H. Neville, Whitakers
- 8 J. Patrick Exum, Kinston Kenneth R. Ellis, Fremont Herbert W. Hardy, Maury Arnold O. Jones, Goldsboro Paul M. Wright, Goldsboro
- 9 Claude W. Allen, Jr., Oxford Ben U. Allen, Jr., Henderson J. Larry Senter, Franklinton Charles W. Wilkinson, Oxford
- 10 George F. Bason, Raleigh Henry V. Barnette, Jr., Raleigh Stafford G. Bullock, Raleigh George R. Greene, Raleigh John Hill Parker, Raleigh Russell G. Sherrill, III, Raleigh
- Elton C. Pridgen, Smithfield William Christian, Sanford K. Edward Greene, Dunn W. Pope Lyon, Smithfield

### District

- 12 Derb S. Carter, Fayetteville Sol G. Cherry, Fayetteville Joseph E. Dupree, Raeford Charles Lee Guy, Fayetteville Lacy S. Hair, Fayetteville
- 13 Frank T. Grady, Elizabethtown J. Wilton Hunt, Sr., Whiteville William E. Wood, Whiteville
- 14 J. Milton Read, Jr., Durham David Q. LaBarre, Durham William G. Pearson, II, Durham
- 15A J. B. Allen, Jr., Burlington Thomas D. Cooper, Jr., Burlington W. S. Harris, Jr., Graham
- 15B Stanley Peele, Chapel Hill Donald L. Paschal, Siler City
- 16 Samuel E. Britt, Lumberton B. Craig Ellis, Laurinburg John S. Gardner, Lumberton Charles G. McLean, Lumberton
- 17 Leonard H. van Noppen, Danbury Foy Clark, Mt. Airy Jerry Cash Martin, Mt. Airy Peter M. McHugh, Reidsville
- 18 Robert L. Cecil, High Point Elreta M. Alexander, Greensboro Frank A. Campbell, Greensboro B. Gordon Gentry, Greensboro John B. Hatfield, Jr., Greensboro James Samuel Pfaff, Greensboro Joseph A. Williams, Greensboro John F. Yeattes, Jr., Greensboro
- 19A Robert L. Warren, Concord L. Frank Faggart, Kannapolis Adam C. Grant, Jr., Concord Frank M. Montgomery, Salisbury
- 19B L. T. Hammond, Jr., Asheboro William H. Heafner, Asheboro
- 20 Donald R. Huffman, Wadesboro Ronald W. Burris, Albemarle Kenneth W. Honeycutt, Monroe Walter M. Lampley, Rockingham

\*The Chief District Court Judge for each district is listed first.

# Preceding page blank

# DISTRICT COURT JUDGES\* (As of June 30, 1979)

### District

- 21 Abner Alexander, Winston-Salem William H. Freeman, Winston-Salem James A. Harrill, Jr., Winston-Salem Robert Kason Keiger, Winston-Salem Gary B. Tash, Winston-Salem
- 22 Lester P. Martin, Jr., Mocksville Preston Cornelius, Mooresville Robert W. Johnson, Statesville Hubert E. Olive, Jr., Lexington
- 23 Ralph Davis, North Wilkesboro John T. Kilby, Jefferson Samuel T. Osborne, Wilkesboro
- 24 J. Ray Braswell, Newland Robert H. Lacey, Newland
- Livingston Vernon, Morganton Edward J. Crotty, Hickory Bill J. Martin, Hickory L. Oliver Noble, Jr., Hickory Samuel McD. Tate, Morganton
- 26 Chase B. Saunders, Charlotte P. B. Beachum, Jr., Charlotte Walter H. Bennett, Jr., Charlotte Larry Thomas Black, Charlotte L. Stanley Brown, Charlotte Daphene L. Cantrell, Charlotte William G. Jones, Charlotte James E. Lanning, Charlotte

\*The Chief District Court Judge for each district is listed first.

## District

- 27A Lewis Bulwinkle, Gastonia Berlin H. Carpenter, Jr., Gastonia J. Ralph Phillips, Gastonia Donald E. Ramseur, Gastonia
- 27B A. Max Harris, Ellenboro George W. Hamrick, Shelby
- 28 James O. Israel, Jr., Candler Earl J. Fowler, Jr., Arden Peter L. Roda, Asheville William Marion Styles, Black Mountain
- 29 Robert C. Cash, Brevard Zoro J. Guice, Jr., Hendersonville Hollis M. Owens, Jr., Rutherfordton
- 30 Robert Leatherwood, III, Bryson City J. Charles McDarris, Waynesville John J. Snow, Jr., Murphy

## THE DISTRICT COURTS

North Carolina's district courts are trial courts with original jurisdiction of the overwhelming majority of the cases handled by the State's court system. There were 126 district court judgeships at the begining of 1978-79: one additional judgeship was created with the division, effective January 1, 1979, of District 19 into Districts 19A and 19B. District court judges are elected to four-year terms by the voters of their respective districts.

A total of 589 magistrate positions (some part-time) were authorized in 1978-79. Magistrates are appointed by the senior resident superior court judge from nominations submitted by the clerk of superior court of their county, and are supervised by the chief district court judge of their district.

### Jurisdiction

The jurisdiction of the district court extends to virtually all misdemeanor cases, probable cause hearings in most felony cases, all juvenile proceedings, involuntary commitments and re-commitments to mental hospitals, domestic relations cases, and to general civil cases where the amount in controversy is \$5,000 or less. Upon the plantiff's request, a civil case where the amount in controversy is \$500\* or less may be denominated a "small claims" case and assigned by the chief district court judge to a magistrate for hearing. Magistrates are also empowered to try worthless check criminal cases when the value of the check does not exceed \$300\*\* and the offender has fewer than four previous worthless check convictions; magistrates may also accept waivers of appearances and pleas of guilty in certain traffic cases. Magistrates conduct initial hearings to fix conditions of release for arrested offenders and are empowered to issue arrest and search warrants.

### Administration

A chief district court judge is appointed for each judicial district by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court from among the elected judges in the respective districts. Subject to the Chief Justice's general supervision, each chief judge exercises administrative supervision and authority over the operation of the district courts and magistrates in his district. Each chief judge is responsible for: scheduling sessions of district court and assigning judges; supervising the calendaring of civil cases; assigning matters to magistrates; making arrangements for court reporting and jury trials in civil cases; and supervising the discharge of the clerical functions, in the district courts, of the clerks of superior court of the district.

The 33 chief district judges meet in conference at least once a year upon the call of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court. Among other matters, this annual

\* Increased to \$800, effective October 1, 1979 (G.S. 7A-210).

conference adopts a uniform schedule of traffic offenses and fines for their violation for use by magistrates and clerks of court in accepting defendants' waivers of appearance and guilty pleas.

The Conference of Chief District Court Judges (Officers as of June 30, 1979)

J. B. Allen, Jr., Burlington, President

John B. Chaffin, Elizabeth City, Vice President

#### Resources

A total of \$12,745,520 was expended for operating expenses of the district courts in 1978-79, an increase of 14.9% over 1977-78 expenditures of \$11,095,953. Included in the total are expenses of court reporters for district courts as well as personnel costs of district court judges and magistrates. The 1978-79 total is 20.5% of the General Fund expenditures for operation of the entire Judicial Department.

#### 1978-79 Caseload

Including both civil and criminal cases, a total of 1,432,067 cases were filed in the district courts from July 1, 1978 through June 30, 1979. As the black bars in the first chart on the following page illustrate, district court case filings have varied from year to year in the last four and one-half years, with the overall trend being one of gradual increase. The 1978-79 total of 1,432,067 cases filed is less than one percent fewer than the total of 1,440,378 cases filed during the 1978 calendar year, but almost seven percent more than the 1975 filings total of 1,340,556 cases.

A total of 1,402,518 district court cases were disposed of during 1978-79. Figures for total dispositions over the last four and one-half years, illustrated by the white bars in the chart following, have varied up and down with the variations in case filings. The total of 1,402,518 cases disposed of during 1978-79 is less than one percent below the total of 1,407,360 cases disposed of during the 1978 calendar year, but about six percent above the 1975 dispositions total of 1,322,359 cases.

The numbers of district court cases, both civil and criminal, which remained pending at the end of the last five annual reporting periods are shown in the second chart on the following page. A total of 244,922 cases were pending on June 30, 1979, a slight increase (about one percent) over the 242,520 cases pending as of December 31, 1978.

More detailed information on district court civil and criminal caseloads is contained in Part IV of this Report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Increased to \$400, effective October 1, 1979 (G.S. 7A-273).

DISTRICT COURT CASE FILINGS AND DISPOSITION, 1975 - 1978-79\*

-----

いたのでは、「「「」」というで、「」

3



DISTRICT COURT CASES PENDING AT YEAR END, 1975 – 1978-79



<sup>\*</sup>The data in this chart are for calendar years 1975 through 1978 and for fiscal year 1978-79. To facilitate comparisons and depict recent trends in case filings and dispositions, cases filed or disposed of between July 1 and December 31, 1978, are included in both the figures for calendar year 1978 and the figures for fiscal year 1978-79,

Juvenile cases and district court hearings relating to involuntary commitments or recommitments to mental hospitals are not included in these figures; these matters were not reported to AOC by case-numbers and filing and disposition dates during 1978-79. Some data on these proceedings are available; see Section 3 of Part IV (juvenile cases) and "Cost and Case Data on Representation of Indigents" in Part III (commitment and recommitment hearings).

## THE DISTRICT COURTS

### **Educational Activity**

ì

Utilizing LEAA grant funds, the Judicial Department sponsored the following educational activities for district court judges in 1978-79:

- a conference on juveniles and learning disabilities, September 15-16 in Burlington, attended by 32 judges;
- the district judges' Fall Seminar, October 19-21 in Asheville, attended by 87 judges;
- three orientation sessions for new judges, January 5-6, January 19-20 and February 16-17 at the Institute of Government in Chapel Hill, attended by a total of 12 new judges and appointees;
- a conference on commitment of juveniles to training schools, March 30-31 in Durham, attended by 43 judges; and
- the Summer Seminar of the Association of District Court Judges, June 17-20 in Charlotte, attended by 95 judges.

By statute, new magistrates are required to satisfactorily complete a course of basic training of at least 40 hours within six months of taking office. Two sessions of this course were offered at the Institute of Government in Chapel Hill in 1978-79. The first (July 17-21 and July 31-August 4) was attended by 24 new magistrates; the second (February 5-9 and February 12-16) was attended by 52 new magistrates.

The Judicial Department also sponsored:

- the Fall Meeting of the Magistrates Association, October 16-18 in Asheville, attended by 102 magistrates;
- three sessions of a refresher course, October 23 in Chapel Hill (86 magistrates), October 30 in Greenville (38 magistrates), and November 10 in Fayetteville (30 magistrates); and
- the Spring Meeting of the Magistrates Association, May 14-16 in Raleigh, attended by 70 magistrates.

### The Association of District Court Judges (Officers as of June 30, 1978)

John M. Walker, Wilmington, President

Samuel E. Britt, Lumberton, Vice President

- Robert J. Leatherwood, III, Bryson City, Secretary-Treasurer
- Hubert E. Olive, Lexington, and Robert D. Wheeler, Grifton, Additional Executive Committee Members

# DISTRICT ATTORNEYS (As of June 30, 1979)

### District

- 1 THOMAS S. WATTS, Elizabeth City
- 2 WILLIAM C. GRIFFIN, JR., Williamston
- 3 ELI BLOOM, Greenville
- 4 WILLIAM H. ANDREWS, Jacksonville
- 5 W. ALLEN COBB, Wilmington
- 6 W. H. S. BURGWYN, JR., Woodland
- 7 HOWARD S. BONEY, JR., Tarboro
- 8 DONALD JACOBS, Goldsboro
- 9 DAVID R. WATERS, Oxford
- 10 J. RANDOLPH RILEY, Raleigh
- 11 JOHN W. TWISDALE, Smithfield
- 12 EDWARD W. GRANNIS, JR., Fayetteville
- 13 LEE J. GREER, Whiteville
- 14 DAN K. EDWARDS, JR., Durham
- 15A HERBERT F. PIERCE, Graham
- 15B WADE BARBER, JR., Pittsboro
- 16 JOE FREEMAN BRITT, Lumberton

- District
  - 17 FRANKLIN E. FREEMAN, JR., Reidsville
  - 18 MICHAEL A. SCHLOSSER, Greensboro
  - 19A JAMES E. ROBERTS, Concord
  - 19B RUSSELL G. WALKER, JR., Asheboro
  - 20 CARROLL LOWDER, Monroe
  - 21 DONALD K. TISDALE, Winston-Salem
  - 22 H. W. ZIMMERMAN, JR., Lexington
  - 23 MICHAEL A. ASHBURN, North Wilkesboro
  - 24 CLYDE M. ROBERTS, Marshall
  - 25 DONALD E. GREENE, Newton
  - 26 PETER S. GILCHRIST, Charlotte
  - 27A JOSEPH G. BROWN, Gastonia
  - 27B W. HAMPTON CHILDS, JR., Lincolnton
  - 28 RONALD C. BROWN, Asheville
  - 29 M. LEONARD LOWE, Rutherfordton
  - 30 MARCELLUS BUCHANAN, III, Sylva

The State is divided into prosecutorial districts which correspond to its judicial districts, and a district attorney is elected by the voters of each of the 33 districts for a four-year term.

### Duties

「日本になる」というのではない

The district attorney represents the State in all criminal actions brought in the superior and district courts in his district. In addition to his prosecutorial functions, the district attorney is responsible for calendaring criminal cases for trial.

#### Resources

Each district attorney is authorized to employ, on a full-time basis, the number of assistant district attorneys specified by statute for his district. As of June 30, 1979, a total of 179 assistant district attorneys were authorized for the 33 districts, 173 of them paid with State funds and six with federal LEAA funds in three "career criminal prosecution units" in Districts 10, 12 and 26. Including LEAA-funded personnel, the district attorney of District 26 (Mecklenburg County) had the largest staff — 17 assistants; the smallest staffs were in Districts 23 and 24 — two assistant district attorneys in each.

Each district attorney is also authorized to employ, on a full-time basis, an administrative assistant to assist in preparing cases for trial and to expedite the criminal court docket. The district attorney in 18 of the 33 districts is empowered to employ an investigative assistant, to aid in the investigation of cases preparatory to trial.

### 1978-1979 Caseload

A total of 56,591 criminal cases were filed in superior courts from July 1, 1978 through June 30, 1979; 32,129

of these cases were felonies and 24,462 were misdemeanors on appeal from district courts. Combined with the 17,894 cases pending on July 1, 1978, the district attorneys' superior court caseload for the year totalled 74,485 cases. Of these a total of 54,587 cases (30,979 felonies and 23,608 misdemeanor appeals) were disposed of, 73.3% of the caseload. Still pending in superior courts on June 30, 1979 were 19,898 cases (11,734 felonies and 8,164 misdemeanor appeals), which is an increase of 11.2% over the number pending on July 1, 1978.

In district courts, a total of 1,152,519 criminal cases were filed during 1978-79 (796,227 motor vehicle cases and 356,292 other criminal cases). A total of 136,288 cases were pending as of July 1, 1978; this figure, combined with cases filed during the year, totalled 1,288,807 criminal cases to be handled in district court. This cannot be regarded as the district attorneys' "caseload," however, for many district court criminal cases are disposed of by defendant's waiver of appearance and plea of guilty before a magistrate or clerk of superior court staff, and these cases do not require the district attorneys' attention. A total of 522,452 cases were disposed of by waiver in 1978-79, and an additional 24,204 cases which were filed in 1978-79 were disposed of by waiver after June 30, 1979; when these are excluded, the district attorneys' district court caseload for the year totalled 742,151 cases. Of these, 612,187 were disposed of, 82.5% of the caseload. As of June 30, 1979, 154,168 criminal cases were pending in the district courts of the State, an increase of 13.1% over the number pending on July 1, 1978.

Additional information on the district attorneys' superior court and district court caseloads is included in Sections 2 and 3, respectively, of Part IV of this *Report*.
## THE DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

#### **Educational Activity**

Utilizing LEAA grant funds, the Judicial Department sponsored the following educational activities for district attorneys and their staffs in 1978-79:

- a conference for administrative assistants, August 29-31 at the Institute of Government in Chapel Hill, attended by 20 administrative assistants, one investigative assistant, and one secretary;
- an orientation session for new prosecutors, October 17-20 at the Institute of Government in Chapel Hill, attended by 24 new assistant district attorneys, one administrative assistant, and one investigative assistant;
- the Fall Conference of the District Attorneys Association, October 26-28 in Chapel Hill, attended by 11 district attorneys and 71 assistant district attorneys;

٣

の言語を

- a seminar on homicide for prosecutors. March 19-23 in Chapel Hill, attended by 16 district attorneys, 58 assistant district attorneys, and two investigative assistants; and
- the June Conference of the District Attorneys Association, June 17-20 near Charlotte, attended by 16 district attorneys, 76 assistant district attorneys, and one administrative assistant,

#### The District Attorneys Association (Officers as of June 30, 1979)

Peter S. Gilchrist, Charlotte. President

Thomas S. Watts, Elizabeth City, Vice President

Joe Freeman Britt, Lumberton, Vice President, Legislative Affairs

D. Keith Teague, Elizabeth City, Secretary-Treasurer

## CLERKS OF SUPERIOR COURT (As of June 30, 1979)

#### COUNTY

Alamance Alexander Alleghany Anson Ashe Avery Beaufort Bertie Bladen Brunswick Buncombe Burke Cabarrus Caldwell Camden Carteret Caswell Catawba Chatham Cherokee Chowan Clay Cleveland Columbus Craven Cumberland Currituck Dare Davidson Davie Duplin Durham Edgecombe Forsyth Franklin Gaston Gates Graham Granville Greene Guilford Halifax Harnett Haywood Henderson Hertford Hoke Hvde Iredell Jackson

Joan B. Atwood R. Frank Hightower Virginia W. Johnson Billy J. Vance Bessie J. Cherry Thomas S. Speight Smithy S. Harris K. Gregory Bellamy J. Ray Elingburg Major A. Joines Estus B. White Mary Hood Thompson Caroline G. Halstead Mary Austin J. P. Moore Eunice W. Mauney Janice Oldham Rose Mary Crooke Lena M. Leary Ralph A. Allison Ruth S. Dedmon Lacy R. Thompson Dorothy Pate George T. Griffin Wiley B. Elliot C. S. Meekins Hugh Shepherd Delores C. Jordan John A. Johnson James Leo Carr Curtis Weaver A. E. Blackburn Ralph S. Knott Betty B. Jenkins Tobe Daniels, Jr. O. W. Hooper, Jr. Mary Ruth C. Nelms Cleo W. McKeel Joseph E. Slate, Jr. J. C. Taylor Georgia Lee Brown William G. Henry Thomas H. Thompson Richard T. Vann Juanita Edmund W. Allen Credle Carl G. Smith Frank Watson, Jr.

CLERK OF COURT

Louise B. Wilson

Martha J. Adams

COUNTY Johnston Jones. Lee Lenoir Lincoln Macon Madison Martin McDowell Mecklenburg Mitchell Montgomery Moore Nash New Hanover Northampton Onslow Orange Pamlico Pasquotank Pender Perquimans Person Pitt Polk Randolph Richmond Robeson Rockingham Rowan Rutherford Sampson Scotland Stanly Stokes Surry Swain Transylvania Tyrrell Union Vance Wake Warren Washington Watauga Wayne Wilkes Wilson Yadkin Yancev

#### CLERK OF COURT

Will R. Crocker Ronald H. Metts Sion H. Kelly M. E. Creech Nellie L. Bess A. W. Perry James W. Čody Mary K. Wynne Ruth B. Williams Robert M. Blackburn Arthur Ray Ledford Charles M. Johnson Charles M. McLeod Rachel M. Joyner Louise D. Rehder R. Jennings White, Jr. Everitte Barbee Frank S. Frederick Sadie W. Edwards Frances W. Thompson Frances N. Futch W. J. Ward W. Thomas Humphries Sandra Gaskins Judy P. Arledge John H. Skeen Miriam F. Greene Ben G. Floyd, Jr. Frankie C. Williams Francis Glover Joan M. Jenkins Charlie T. McCullen J. Mason McGregor Joe H. Lowder Robert Miller David J. Beal Harold H. Sandlin Marian M. McMahon Jessie L, Spencer Nola H. Cunningham Mary Lou M. Barnett J. Russell Nipner Anne F. Davis Louise S. Allen John T. Bingham Shelton Jordan Wayne Roope W. A. Boone, Jr. Harold J. Long Arnold E. Higgins

## THE CLERKS OF SUPERIOR COURT

A Clerk of Superior Court for each county is elected for four-year terms by the voters in each of North Carolina's 100 counties. The Clerk has jurisdiction to hear and decide special proceedings and is, *ex officio*, judge of probate, in addition to performing recordkeeping and administrative functions for both the superior and district courts of his county.

#### Jurisdiction

The original jurisdiction of the clerk of the superior court includes the probate of wills and administration of decedents' estates. It also includes such "special proceedings" as adoptions, condemnations of private property under the public's right of eminent domain, proceedings to establish boundaries, foreclosures, and certain proceedings to administer the estates of minors and incompetent adults. The right of appeal from the clerks' judgments in such cases lies to the superior court.

The clerk of superior court is also empowered to issue search warrants and arrest warrants, subpoenas, and other process necessary to execute the judgments entered in the superior and district courts of his county. For certain misdemeanor criminal offenses, the clerk is authorized to accept defendants' waiver of appearance and plea of guilty and to impose a fine in accordance with a schedule established by the Conference of Chief District Court Judges.

#### Administration

The clerk of superior court performs administrative duties for both the superior and district courts of his county. Among these duties are the maintenance of court records and indexes, the control and accounting of funds, and the furnishing of information to the Administrative Office of the Courts.

In most of the 100 counties of North Carolina, civil case trial calendars are set by calendar committees chaired by the clerk of superior court. (Criminal case trial calendars are set by the district attorney.) In 1978-79 these committees had been replaced by "trial court administrators" in three judicial districts (Fistricts 10, 22 and 28) on an experimental basis. Working under the supervision of the senior resident superior court judges these administrators had day-to-day responsibility for the calendaring of superior court civil cases. The 1979 General Assembly provided funds for continued experimentation with this method of calendar administration in 10 of the State's 33 judidicial districts.

#### Resources

A total of \$21,457,921 was expended in 1978-79 for operation of the 100 clerks of superior court offices, an increase of 11.6% over 1977-78 expenditures of \$19,224,801. Included in the total were expenditures for jurors' and witnesses' fees, supplies, postage, telephone and office expenses for all local Judicial Department personnel, and the salaries and benefits of the clerks and their staffs. The 1978-79 total made up 34,5% of General Fund expenditures for operating expenses of the entire Judicial Department.

#### 1978-79 Caseload

Filings of estates cases totalled 32,926 cases in 1978-79, an increase of less than one percent over the number (32,602 cases) filed in the 1978 calendar year; filings have increased 3.7% since the 1977 calendar year when 31,742 estates cases were filed. A total of 31,378 estates cases were disposed of in 1978-79, up 1.7% over dispositions in 1978 (30,841 cases) and 7.4% over dispositions in 1977 (29,222 cases). Although rising at a slower rate, filings of estates cases continue to outnumber dispositions and the number of cases pending rose from 47,467 on December 31, 1978, to 48,560 on June 30, 1979 — an increase of 2.3%.

There were 27,799 special proceedings filed in 1978-79, an increase of 2.7% over the 1978 calendar year total of 27,078; compared to the 1977 filings total (27,156 cases), the 1978-79 total is an increase of 2.4%. Dispositions of special proceedings totalled 26,717 cases in 4978-79, a decrease of two percent from the 1978 calendar year total of 27,266 cases and of less than one percent from the 1977 total of 26,888 cases. There were 20,196 special proceedings pending before the clerks as of June 30, 1979, an increase of 1.9% over the total (19,815 cases) pending on December 31, 1978.

More detailed information on the clerks' estates and special proceedings caseloads is included in Part IV of this *Report*.

Freceding page blank

## THE CLERKS OF SUPERIOR COURT

#### **Educational Activity**

Utilizing LEAA grant funds, the Judicial Department sponsored the following educational activities for clerks of superior court in 1978-79;

- the Annual Conference of the Association of Clerks of Superior Court, July 26-28 in Nags Head, attended by 68 clerks:
- the Annual Conference of the Association of Assistant and Deputy Clerks of Superior Court, July 19-21 in Charlotte, attended by 210 assistant and deputy clerks; and
- an orientation training session for recently elected and appointed clerks of superior court, January 8-12 in Asheboro, attended by 25 new clerks.

#### Association of Clerks of Superior Court (Officers as of June 30, 1979)

Ruth B. Williams, McDowell County, President

A. E. Blackburn, Forsyth County, First Vice President

Ben G. Floyd, Jr., Robeson County, Second Vice President

W. A. Boone, Jr., Wilson County, Secretary

Louise B. Wilson, Alamance County, Treasurer

Major Joines, Burke County Shelton Jordon, Wayne County, and Sion H. Kelly, Lee County (ex officio), Additional Executive Committee Members In 1978-79 there were five public defenders in North Carolina, serving Judicial Districts 12, 18, 26, 27A and 28; these officials and their assistants provide legal representation for persons in designated categories who are determined to be indigent. The public defender for District 28 is appointed by the senior resident superior court judge from recommendations submitted by the district bar; for the other districts, the appointment is by the Governor from recommendations of the respective district bar. Their terms are four years. Each public defender is by statute provided one full-time assistant; additional full-time or part-time assistants may be authorized by the Administrative Office of the Courts.

#### Duties

A person is determined to be indigent if he is found "financially unable to secure legal representation." He is entitled to State-paid legal representation in: any proceeding which may result in (or which seeks relief from) confinement, a fine of \$500 or more, or extradiction to another state; a proceeding alleging mental illness or incapacity which may result in hospitalization, sterilization, or the loss of certain property rights; and juvenile proceedings which may result in confinement, transfer to superior court for a felony trial, or a transfer of custody upon a finding of neglect.

Most cases of State-paid representation of indigents in these five districts are handled by the public defenders. In unusual circumstances, such as the existence of a conflict of interests, an indigent in one of these districts may be represented by private counsel, appointed by the court and paid a fee by the State for his legal services. In the other 28 districts the assigned private counsel system is the only one used.

## PUBLIC DEFENDERS (As of June 30, 1979)

District 12

Mary Ann Tally, Fayetteville

District 18

Wallace G. Harrelson, Greensboro

District 26

Fritz Y. Mercer, Jr., Charlotte

District 27A

Curtis O. Harris, Gastonia

District 28

J. Robert Hufstader, Asheville

#### Resources

A total of \$1,149,780 was expended for the operation of the five public defenders' offices in 1978-79, an increase of 11.5% over 1977-78 expenditures of \$1,031,400. The 1978-79 total is 1.8% of all General Fund expenditures for the operating expenses of the entire Judicial Department.

#### 1978-79 Caseload

The five public defenders' offices handled a total of 10,972 cases, including both trials and appeals, in 1978-79. This represents an increase of 3.2% over the 10,630 cases handled by these offices during the 1977-78 fiscal year. Additional information on the operation of these offices is contained in Part III, "Cost and Case Data on Representation of Indigents."

#### Educational Activity

Utilizing LEAA grant funds, the Judicial Department sponsored the following educational activities for public defenders in 1978-79:

- a Fall training session, October 11-13 at the Institute of Government in Chapel Hill, attended by the five public defenders and 21 assistant defenders; and
- the Public Defenders Association Spring Conference, April 25-27 at the Institute of Government in Chapel Hill, attended by the five public defenders and 21 assistant public defenders.

#### The Association of Public Defenders (Officers as of June 30, 1979)

Mary Ann Tally, Fayetteville, *President* Lawrence B. Langston, Gastonia, *Vice President* Fritz Y. Mercer, Jr., Charlotte, *Secretary* Deno G. Economous, Greensboro, *Treasurer* 

#### THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

The Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts and his staff perform a variety of functions for the Judicial Department; these are enumerated in Article 29 of Chapter 7A of the North Carolina General Statutes. The Director is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and serves at his pleasure, as does the Assistant Director, who is specifically charged with assisting the Chief Justice in making assignments of superior court judges and assisting the Supreme Court in preparing calendars of superior court trial sessions, as well as performing other functions assigned by the Chief Justice and the Director of AOC. A total of \$1,361,382 was expended from the State's General Fund for operating expense of AOC during 1978-79, an increase of 15.5% over 1977-78 expenditures of \$1,178,529. The 1978-79 total is 2.1% of General Fund expenditures for operation of the entire Judicial Department.

In addition to the Director and Assistant Director, there are seven component parts of AOC, as illustrated in the chart below. Their respective assignments and activities in 1978-79 are summarized in the following pages.

# ORGANIZATION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS



36

#### **Clerks' Services Division**

The Clerks' Services Division monitors the recordkeeping procedures in the clerks' offices located in the 100 counties, develops recommendations for improved office operations, and provides special assistance to individual offices where that is required from time to time. This Division reviews issues of staffing adequacy and personnel job descriptions for the clerks' offices, and participates in training activities involving clerk personnel. Liaison is maintained with other agencies or governmental offices which have special working relationships with the clerks' offices: the Department of Archives and History on records management and retention, the Division of Motor Vehicles on traffic case reports, and county governments on space requirements in clerks' offices. Following each legislative session, the Division reviews new legislation affecting the clerks' offices and participates in disseminating information on changes in the laws and in developing any record-keeping procedures required by new legislation.

During the past year, this Division participated in the annual conference of the clerks of superior court and the annual conference of assistant and deputy clerks. In addition, the Division planned and conducted a four-day special training conference for newly elected or appointed clerks of superior court.

#### Office of Counsel

The Counsel for the Administrative Office of the Courts provides legal advice for AOC staff as well as for other administrative officials in the Judicial Department, particularly the clerks of superior court. Another major area of responsibility is the development (and up-dating) of the variety of forms used in the trial courts for instituting, processing and disposing of cases. A third category of responsibility consists of participation in a variety of educational or training activity for personnel of the Judicial Department: presentations at annual conferences of the clerks of court, at conferences of assistant and deputy clerks of court, and at specialized group meetings scheduled throughout the year.

During the 1978-79 fiscal year requests for legal advice averaged 18 to 20 a day. Most of these requests were from clerks' offices. At the present time, more than 250 forms have been developed and approved by the Administrative Office of the Courts for use in processing the large variety of cases in the trial courts. Each legislative session produces changes in the laws which in turn require changes in existing forms or the development of new forms.

#### **Fiscal Management Division**

This Division has responsibility for the management of the fiscal affairs of the Judicial Department, including budgeting, disbursing and the related accounting, auditing and reporting activity. In addition, the Division has responsibility for purchasing and printing, and for warehousing and distribution of supplies for the Judicial Department.

The Division formulates policy and procedures for the fiscal operations of the Judicial Department and supervises their administration. A significant portion of these functions pertain to the fiscal operations of the offices of the clerks of superior court located in each of the 100 counties of the State. A uniform accounting system is prescribed for the clerks' offices.

The clerks' offices receive, account for and disburse court costs, fees, fines and bond forfeitures, as well as a variety of other receipts (judgment payments, estate settlements, trusts) which are paid into the clerks' offices as a result of court actions and proceedings.

During the 1978-79 fiscal year, expenditures for the operation of the Judicial Department totalled \$64,830,830. During the same year the Department's total receipts of court costs, fees, fines and forfeitures amounted to \$48,060,916. In accord with applicable law, these receipts were disbursed as follows: \$21,246,744 remitted to the State Treasurer for the State's General Fund and \$2,518,410 for the Law Enforcement Officers' Retirement Fund; \$23,488,366 disbursed to the several counties of the State; and \$807,396 disbursed to municipalities throughout the State.

#### Juvenile Services Division

This Division administers the Statewide juvenile court counselor program for children alleged or adjudicated to be delinquent or undisciplined. Services include intake (pre-hearing studies of children alleged to be delinquent or undisciplined); probation (supervision within the community for those adjudicated to be delinquent or undisciplined and who have not been committed to training school); and aftercare (supervision within the community for children conditionally released from the training schools). The services are administered locally by court counselors in each judicial district, with each district having a chief court counselor in charge of the Division's functions in the district.

Court counselors worked with 20,743 intake cases from July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979, diverting 9,372 cases from the juvenile courts. Of those cases diverted,

## THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

5,236 were resolved without referrals and 4,136 cases were referred to other resources based in the communities, such as mental health clinics and county departments of social services. During the year 9,332 cases were added to the court counselors' probation caseloads, with the daily average State caseload being 6,378.

Prior to July 1, 1978, a so-called "status offender" (a child found to have violated a law applicable only to children) who was put on probation and later found to have violated the conditions of his probation, could be committed to a training school as a "delinquent" juvenile. By restricting the definition of "delinquent" to juveniles who have committed criminal offenses, the 1977 General Assembly in effect prohibited the incarceration of status offenders in North Carolina. Although the long-term effects of this change have yet to be determined, an immediate impact on the caseload of the Juvenile Services Division was a significant decrease in the number of children (approximately 1,164) placed on probation for status offenses between July 1, 1978 and June 30, 1979.

A major accomplishment of the Division during 1978-79 was the establishment of minimum service delivery standards for the Division. Delivery of services in each judicial district is now measured by these standards under a program of periodic reviews and evaluation.

Training continued to receive major emphasis during the year, with the following activities:

- three orientation sessions (October 1978, March 1979, and June 1979) for new court counselors;
- a required course in seven sessions for court counselors, counselor trainees, intake counselors and district supervisors, with a total of 226 persons attending;
- a required course for chief court counselors and administrative personnel, attended by 35 persons;
- an optional course in two sessions for secretaries who deal regularly with troubled adolescents, attended by 36 secretaries;
- 12 separate special interest courses in counseling techniques and theories, presented as optional training in a total of 23 sessions across the State, with a total attendance of 399;
- a conference on juveniles with learning disabilities, attended by 32 district court judges; and
- a conference on the juvenile commitment order and training schools, attended by 43 district court judges.

In addition, tuition and registration fees were paid for 150 Juvenile Services Division personnel, to attend college courses and educational workshops and conferences. During May of 1979 an independent evaluation of the Division's training activities was conducted by the Training Director of the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges.

#### **Personnel Division**

This Division provides the personnel administration and analysis of staffing requirements for the Judicial Department, which has approximately 3300 employees. The Division also has responsibility for the assignment of court reporters for the trial courts.

In addition to administering its on-going services and programs, the Division gave particular attention to the following new matters during the 1978-79 fiscal year:

- Changes in salary administration policy were developed and approved for implementation beginning July 1, 1979, with a principal new feature being provision that supervisors could consider a half-step merit increment as well as a full-step increment. Previously, an employee had to be approved for either a full-step increment or no merit increment.
- A new appointments policy for the Judicial Department became effective July 1, 1978, providing for a probationary appointment period for new employees.
- Comprehensive employee relations policies and procedures were adopted in April 1978 for personnel of the Administrative Office of the Courts, with the Personnel Administrator acting as the first level of appeal from an employee's division. Since the inception of this program, 13 such appeals have been heard and acted upon by the Personnel Administrator.
- Comprehensive classification and pay studies were conducted in the offices of 10 clerks of superior court, including two of the largest clerks' offices (Forsyth and Mecklenburg Counties). Planning and scheduling of similar studies of other clerks' offices for the coming year was accomplished.
- Studies were begun on the possible adoption of a Service Awards Program for Judicial Department employees.

#### **Research and Planning Division**

This Division has responsibility for conducting research and preparing reports and papers on problems or issues relevant to the courts of North Carolina. The Division provides the staff for the North Carolina Judicial Planning Committee. (This Committee, established by the Supreme Court of North Carolina in 1977, considers problems or issues affecting the operation of the

## THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

State's courts and has a special role in the allocation of LEAA funds available to the court system.) In addition, the Division has responsibilities for the LEAA grants management functions for the Judicial Department, and for the compilation, printing and distribution of the Annual Reports of the Administrative Office of the Courts.

During the 1978-79 fiscal year the Division prepared papers and made presentations to the Judicial Planning Committee on coordination of witness attendance at criminal trials; on the comparative need for additional district court judges in certain judicial districts; on a "trial court administrator" pilot project operation in three judicial districts; on retirement of magistrates; and on the variety of proposals considered for LEAA funding during the 1979-80 fiscal year.

In September 1978 a two-volume report was completed and released on North Carolina trial court facilities in the 100 counties of the State. This was the culmination of a major three-year study project of the North Carolina State University School of Design, pursuant to a contract with the Administrative Office of the Courts. Division staff carried out planning functions and contract administration for this project, collected significant portions of the data for the study, drafted portions of the report, and provided editorial review and approval on behalf of the Administrative Office of the Courts.

During the year the Director of the Division made a study and analysis of the law of homicide in North Carolina as affected by recent decisions of the United State Supreme Court and prepared a special report on this subject for the North Carolina Judicial Council.

The LEAA Grants Management Section was involved in the on-going administration of 24 LEAAfunded projects during the year. Applications for seven projects were prepared and approved for LEAA funding by the Governor's Crime Commission (the State Planning Agency for LEAA purposes). In addition, the LEAA Grants Manager participated with representatives of the Governor's Crime Commission in a total of 13 monitoring visits for review of various Judicial Department projects supported by LEAA funds.

#### Systems Division

This Division has the responsibility for development and implementation of an automated information system for the Judicial Department.

The Division also handles the data entry and electronic processing of case data which is reported weekly (on manually completed forms) by the clerks of superior court in the 100 counties to the Administrative Office of the Courts. In addition, the Division handles the data entry and electronic processing of manually reported information on juvenile services. During the fiscal year more than three million records of such information were processed, providing on a periodic basis numerous computer-produced reports. (The system of manually reported data will be phased out as the Judicial Department's automated information system is implemented).

Computer equipment was procured for the implementation of the "criminal component" of the court information system for an initial twelve-county pilot region which includes Judicial Districts 7, 9, 10 and 11; and computer programming for the criminal component was developed and tested.

Telecommunication lines were installed connecting the first two counties (Franklin and Vance) with the AOC computer facilities, and terminals and printers were installed in both of these counties.

Training of clerk office personnel in the operation of the new automated system was begun in Franklin and Vance counties, with the implementation of the "criminal component" of the system expected in the near future.

## THE JUDICIAL PLANNING COMMITTEE

#### (Members as of June 30, 1979)\*\*

Associate Justice J. Frank Huskins, Raleigh, Chairman

Magistrate C. E. Baker, Holly Springs

District Court Judge Thomas D. Cooper, Jr., Burlington

District Attorney Franklin E. Freeman, Jr., Reidsville

Public Defender Wallace C. Harrelson, Greensboro

Representative Edward S. Holmes, Pittsboro

Clerk of Superior Court Rachel M. Joyner, Nashville

Superior Court Judge Henry A. McKinnon, Jr., Lumberton

\*\*All members serve at the pleasure of the Supreme Court.

Administrative Officer of the Courts Bert M. Montague, Raleigh

Chief Court of Appeals Judge Naomi E. Morris, Raleigh

President of the N.C. State Bar Grady B. Scott, Gastonia

President of the N.C. Bar Association Clarence Walker, Charlotte

Senator Willis P. Whichard, Durham

## THE JUDICIAL PLANNING COMMITTEE IN 1978-79

The North Carolina Judicial Planning Committee was appointed by the Supreme Court in 1977. The Committee considers problems affecting the operation of the State's courts, areas in need of improvement, and issues relevant to the court system as a whole. In addition, the Committee plays a special role in the allocation of LEAA funds available to the court system. Staff assistance for the Committee is provided by the Research and Planning Division of the Administrative Office of the Courts.

During the 1978-79 fiscal year the Judicial Planning Committee considered reports on:

- the special study of North Carolina courthouses and other judicial facilities (published in September, 1978);
- (2) the coordination of attendance of witnesses at criminal trials in the several prosecutorial districts throughout the State;
- (3) the comparative need for additional district court judges in the first and 29th judicial districts;
- (4) the pilot-project "trial-court administrator" program operating in the 10th, 22nd and 28th judicial districts.

The Judicial Planning Committee also considered proposals for LEAA program funds for federal fiscal year 1979-80, and concluded that the following listed allocations of LEAA funds should be made:

- (1) \$996,833 for continued development and implementation of an electronic data processing system for North Carolina courts;
- (2) \$62,825 for witness-attendance coordination projects in several district attorneys' offices;
- (3) \$69,000 for development and distribution of a reference manual for clerk of superior court staff;
- (4) \$7,100 for operating expenses for the Orange County Dispute Settlement Center;
- (5) \$98,075 for specialized personnel in the Office of the Attorney General to handle criminal case appeals;
- (6) \$157,000 for education and training of Judicial Department personnel working in the juvenile justice area; and
- (7) \$25,950 for preparation and publication of a revised version of *Rules of Procedure for Children in the District Court* and a field manual for personnel of the Juvenile Services Division.

With the exception of some reduction in the amount for juvenile justice education and training, the Committee's recommendations were adopted by the Governor's Crime Commission contingent upon the availability of federal funds in the anticipated amounts.\*

\*These allocations were subsequently reduced by approximately 27% after a reduction, by the Congless, in the funds available under the LEAA program.

/ 41

Preceding page blank

## THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

#### (Members as of June 30, 1979)

#### Appointed by the Chief Justice

- Associate Justice James G. Exum, Jr., Raleigh, Chairman
- Superior Court Judge Henry A. McKinnon, Lumberton

Superior Court Judge Lacy Thornburg, Webster

Chief District Court Judge J. Milton Read, Jr., Durham

District Attorney William Griffin, Jr., Williamston

District Attorney James E. Roberts, Concord

#### Appointed by the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals

Court of Appeals Judge Earl W. Vaughn, Raleigh

#### Appointed by the Governor

Gerald W. Hayes, Jr., Dunn

Robert C. Hunter, Marion

#### Appointed by the Lieutenant Governor

Senator Julian R. Allsbrook, Roanoke Rapids Senator Robert S. Swain, Asheville

#### Appointed by the Speaker of the House

Representative H. Parks Helms, Charlotte Representative James F. Morgan, High Point

Appointed by the Attorney General

R. Bruce White, Jr., Deputy Attorney General, Raleigh

Appointed by the Council of the N. C. State Bar

W. Marion Allen, Elkin

Leon Corbett, Burgaw

Ann H. Phillips, Asheville

Ralph H. Ramsey, Jr., Brevard

Dallas A. Cameron, Jr., Assistant Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts, Executive Secretary

#### THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL IN 1978-79

The Judicial Council has been in continuous existence since it was established by the 1949 General Assembly. Statutory provisions relating to membership, terms of office, and the duties of the Council are set out in Article 31 of Chapter 7A of the General Statutes.

Culminating work which started in 1978, the Judicial Council transmitted its report to the Governor and the General Assembly in March, 1979. The Council recommends enactment of bills providing:

- for addition of an aggravating circumstance to the existing statutory list which is considered in capital cases;
- for prohibition of conviction as an accessory before the fact on an indictment which charged the defendant with the principal felony;

- (3) that punishment for burglary would be imprisonment for not less than ten years or more than life;
- (4) for procedure for the selection of alternate jurors;
- (5) for revision of Article 6, Chapter 14 of the North Carolina General Statutes relating to homicide;
- (6) for extension of the time of coverage of a bail bond; and
- (7) for elimination of the use of a jury to determine sentences in capital cases where there is no aggravating circumstance.

The first four of the above listed proposals were enacted by the 1979 General Assembly. The latter three proposals listed above were held over for further consideration during the 1980 session of the General Assembly.

# THE JUDICIAL STANDARDS COMMISSION (Members as of June 30, 1979)

Appointed by the Chief Justice

Court of Appeals Judge Edward B. Clark, Raleigh, *Chairman* Superior Court Judge W. Douglas Albright, Greensboro District Court Judge L, T. Hammond, Jr., Asheboro

Appointed by the Governor

Marvin B. Koonce, Jr., Raleigh Susan Whittington, Wilkesboro

#

Appointed by the Council of the N.C. State Bar Jerome B. Clark, Jr., Fayetteville Robert G. Sanders, Charlotte

Deborah R. Carrington, Executive Secretary

## THE JUDICIAL STANDARDS COMMISSION July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

The Judicial Standards Commission was established by the General Assembly pursuant to a constitutional amendment approved by the voters at the general election in November, 1972.

Upon recommendation of the Commission, the Supreme Court may censure or remove any judge for wilful misconduct in office, wilful and persistent failure to perform his duties, habitual intemperance, conviction of a crime involving moral turpitude, or conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice that brings the judicial office into disrepute. In addition, upon recommendation of the Commission, the Supreme Court may remove any judge for mental or physical incapacity interfering with the performance of his duties, which is, or is likely to become, permanent.

Where a recommendation for censure or removal involves a justice of the Supreme Court, the recommendation and supporting record is filed with the Court of Appeals which has and proceeds under the same authority for censure or removal of a judge. Such a proceeding would be heard by the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals and the six judges senior in service, excluding the Court of Appeals judge who by law serves as the Chairman of the Judicial Standards Commission. This procedure for the censure or removal of a justice of the Supreme Court became effective as of 30 April 1979. (1979 Session Laws, c. 486).

In addition to a recommendation of censure or removal, the Commission also utilizes a disciplinary measure known as a reprimand. The reprimand is a mechanism administratively developed for dealing with inquiries where the conduct involved does not warrant censure or removal, but where some action is justified. Since the establishment of the Judicial Standards Commission in 1973, reprimands have been issued in nine inquiries.

During the 1 July 1978 - 30 June 1979 fiscal year, the Judicial Standards Commission met on the following dates: 25 August 1978, 27 October 1978, 26 January 1979, 23 March 1979, and 29 June 1979.

A complaint or other information against a judge, whether filed with the Commission or initiated by the Commission acting on its own motion, is designated as an "Inquiry Concerning a Judge." Three such inquiries were pending as of 1 July 1978, and 65 inquiries were filed during the fiscal year, giving the Commission a total workload of 68 inquiries.

During the fiscal year, the Commission disposed of 66 inquiries and two inquiries remained pending at the end of the fiscal year. The determinations of the Commission with regard to the 66 inquiries disposed of during the fiscal year were as follows:

- (1) 45 inquiries were determined to involve subject matter not within the Commission's jurisdiction;
- (2) 10 inquiries were determined to involve subject matter within the Commission's jurisdiction but not warranting further proceedings;
- (3) four inquiries were determined to warrant no further action following receipt of additional information requested in order to clarify the nature of the inquiry;
- (4) five inquiries were determined to warrant no further action following completion of preliminary investigations; and
- (5) two inquiries were determined to warrant the issuance of a reprimand.

The Supreme Court of North Carolina issued opinions during the 1 July 1978 - 30 June 1979 period relating to recommendations by the Judicial Standards Commission filed prior to 1 July 1978. In *In re Martin*, 295 N.C. 291 (1978), the Court declined to follow the recommendation of the Judicial Standards Commission for removal and instead imposed a censure of the respondent judge. It did, however, concur with and approve the Commission's recommendation for removal in *In re Peoples*, 296 N.C. 109 (1978), thereby removing the respondent judge from office, disqualifying him from holding further judicial office, and making him ineligible to receive retirement benefits.

# PART III

Q

IJ

C

1

Bee Ster

Ċ

ď,

# COURT RESOURCES

- Financial
- Personnel

Under the State Constitution the operating expenses of the Judicial Department (all North Carolina courts) "other than compensation to process servers and other locally paid non-judicial officers" are required to be paid from State funds. It is customary legislative practice for the General Assembly to include appropriations for the operating expenses of all three branches of State government in a single budget bill, for a two-year period ending on June 30 of the odd-numbered years. In recent years, the General Assembly has customarily held a "short" session in even-numbered years and the budget for the second year of the biennium is generally modified during these short sessions.

Building facilities for the appellate courts are provided by State funds, but by statute the county governments are required to provide from county funds for adequate facilities for the trial courts within each of the 100 counties. State appropriations for the operating expenses of the Judicial Department for fiscal year July 1, 1978 through June 30, 1979 totalled \$63,685,178. These were appropriations from the State's general fund. General Fund appropriations for the *operating expenses* of all State agencies and departments, including the Judicial Department, totalled \$2,452,011,095 for fiscal year, 1978-79. (These do not include appropriations for capital construction or appropriations from the Highway Fund for highway construction and repair.)

As is illustrated in the chart below, General Fund appropriations for the operating expenses of the Judicial Department comprised 2.6% of the General Fund appropriations for the operating expenses of *all* State agencies and departments.



Appropriations from the State's general fund for operating expenses of the Judicial Department over the past five fiscal years are shown in the table below and in the graph at the top of the following page. For comparative purposes, appropriations from the general fund for operating expenses of all State agencies and departments (including the Judicial Department) for the last five fiscal years are also shown in the table below and in the second graph on the following page.

### APPROPRIATIONS FROM GENERAL FUND FOR OPERATING EXPENSES

	Judicial Dep	artment	All State Agencies			
Fiscal Year	Appropriation	% Increase over previous year	Appropriation	% Increase over previous year		
1974 - 1975	\$39,970,067		\$1,692,373,585			
1975 - 1976	42,908,242	7.35%	1,737,659,496	2.68%		
1976 - 1977	47,218,782	10.05%	1,962,976,606	12.97%		
1977 - 1978	56,319,115	19.27%	2,193,405,714	11,74%		
1978 - 1979	63,685,178	13.08%	2,452,011,095	11.79%		
AVERAGE ANNUAL II	NCREASE, 1974-1979	12.44%		9.80%		

During the past decade, including the five-year period covered by the above table, inflation has been a significant factor in the national economy. For example, during 1978-79, according to Bureau of Labor Statistics data, the average person spent \$205.20 to pay for goods and services which could have been obtained for \$100.00 in 1967. Therefore, if these appropriations are stated in 1967 dollars, the average annual increase in Judicial Department appropriations for the past five years would be 4.0% instead of 12.44% as reflected in the table above.

The greatest percentage increase in Judicial Department appropriations during this period was for the 1977-78 fiscal year. The increase for that year was due in large measure to a significant increase in the number of superior court judges (20%) and an increase in the number of assistant district attorneys (18%) for that year.

## GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR OPERATING EXPENSES OF THE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, 1974-75 — 1978-79



## GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS FOR OPERATING EXPENSES OF ALL STATE AGENCIES AND DEPARTMENTS, 1974-75 — 1978-79



## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT EXPENDITURES July 1, 1978 - June 30, 1979

General Fund expenditures, rounded to the nearest dollar, for operating expenses of the Judicial Department during the 1978-79 fiscal year totalled \$62,245,923, divided among the major budget classifi-

cations as shown below. Expenditures for LEAAfunded projects in the Judicial Department totalled \$2,584,907, for a grand total of \$64,830,830 in Judicial Department expenditures.

			% of
Supreme Court		Amount	Total
Court of Appeals		\$ 1,173,674	1.9%
Superior Courts		1,485,877	2.4%
	· · · · · · · · · ·	12,377,669	19.9%
(This classification includes judges, district attorneys, as reporters, and staff personnel.)	sistant district attorn	eys, court	
District Courts		12,745,520	20.5%
(This classification includes judges, magistrates, and cou	rt reporters.)	1	20,570
Clerks of Superior Court		21,457,921	34.5%
(This classification includes all 100 clerks and their staffs	intor fees witness f	21,707,921	54.570
such support services as supplies, postage, telephone exp	enses and office equ	nment for	19
all local Judicial Department personnel.)	enses, and office equ	phient for	
Juvenile Probation and Aftercare		5 515 100	0.007
Legal Representation for Indigents		5,515,169	8.9%
		(104,000	0.00
Assigned private counsel (\$4,568,495)		6,124,288	9.8%
Assigned private counsel (\$4,568,495)		6,124,288	9,8%
Assigned private counsel (\$4,568,495) Public defenders (\$1,149,780)		6,124,288	9.8%
Assigned private counsel (\$4,568,495) Public defenders (\$1,149,780) Special counsel at mental hospitals (\$162,354)		6,124,288	9.8%
Assigned private counsel (\$4,568,495) Public defenders (\$1,149,780) Special counsel at mental hospitals (\$162,354) Support services (transcripts, records, briefs) (\$243,659)			
Assigned private counsel (\$4,568,495) Public defenders (\$1,149,780) Special counsel at mental hospitals (\$162,354) Support services (transcripts, records, briefs) (\$243,659) Administrative Office of the Courts		1,361,382	9.8% 2.1%
Assigned private counsel (\$4,568,495) Public defenders (\$1,149,780) Special counsel at mental hospitals (\$162,354) Support services (transcripts, records, briefs) (\$243,659) Administrative Office of the Courts Judicial Council		1,361,382 1,921	
Assigned private counsel (\$4,568,495) Public defenders (\$1,149,780) Special counsel at mental hospitals (\$162,354) Support services (transcripts, records, briefs) (\$243,659) Administrative Office of the Courts Judicial Council Judicial Standards Commission		1,361,382	
Assigned private counsel (\$4,568,495) Public defenders (\$1,149,780) Special counsel at mental hospitals (\$162,354) Support services (transcripts, records, briefs) (\$243,659) Administrative Office of the Courts Judicial Council Judicial Standards Commission Total General Fund Expenditures		1,361,382 1,921	
Assigned private counsel (\$4,568,495) Public defenders (\$1,149,780) Special counsel at mental hospitals (\$162,354) Support services (transcripts, records, briefs) (\$243,659) Administrative Office of the Courts Judicial Council Judicial Standards Commission		1,361,382 1,921 2,502	2.1%

The distribution of General Fund expenditures among the major budget categories is illustrated in the chart on the following page.



As the chart illustrates, the bulk of Judicial Department expenditures goes for operation of the State's trial courts. Operation of the superior courts took 19.9% of total expenditures; this category includes expenditures for district attorneys and their staffs as well as superior court judges and court reporters. Operation of the district courts (including magistrates as well as judges and court reporters) took 20.5% of the total. An additional 34.5% went to operate the 100 clerks of superior court offices, pay jurors' and witnesses' fees, and provide office equipment and supplies and postage and telephone service for all judicial Department personnel at the local level.

The total General Fund expenditures of \$62,245,923 for 1978-79 represents a 14% increase over expenditures of \$54,454,339 in 1977-78. This increase is in keeping with recent trends, as illustrated in the chart below.



## GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES FOR JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS, FISCAL YEARS 1974-75 — 1978-79

#### DEPARTMENT RECEIPTS July 1, 1978 - June 30, 1979

Receipts for the Judicial Department in the 1978-79 fiscal year totalled \$48,060,916.45. The several sources of these receipts are shown in the table below. As in the previous years, the major source of receipts is the assessment of "court costs" in superior and district courts, paid by litigants in accordance with the schedule of costs and fees set out in G.S. 7A-304 *et seq.*;

these payments constituted 62% of the total receipts during 1978-79. Fines and forfeitures made up 36.84% of the total. Receipts in the remaining categories — Supreme Court and Court of Appeals filing fees, sales of Supreme Court and Court of Appeals *Reports* and payments on indigent representation judgments made up less than two percent of the total.

07 . 5

Source of Receipts	Amount	% of Total
Supreme Court Fees	\$ 18,029.91	0:04%
Court of Appeals Fees	23,471.47	0.05%
Superior and District		
Court Costs	29,795,712.23	61.99%
Fines and Forfeitures	17,703,927.28	36.84%
Sales of Appellate		
Division Reports	145,314.31	0.30%
Payments on Indigent		
Representation		
Judgments	374,460.75	0.78%
Total	\$48,060,916.45	100.0%

This total of \$48,060,916.45 is an increase of 4.0% over total 1977-78 receipts of \$46,204,962.18. As the graph below illustrates, this increase is comparable to

increases in recent years in total Judicial Department receipts.



## JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT RECEIPTS, 1974-75 — 1978-79

#### DISTRIBUTION OF JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT RECEIPTS

As required by the State Constitution, fines, penalties and forfeitures collected by the courts in criminal cases are distributed to the respective counties in which the cases are tried. These funds must be used by the counties for the support of the public schools.

A uniform schedule of court costs for civil and criminal cases, comprised of a variety of fees, is set by statute for cases filed in the superior and district courts. Statutes prescribe the distribution of these fees and provide that certain fees shall be devoted to specific uses. For example, a facilities fee is included in court costs when costs are assessed, and this fee is paid over to the respective county or municipality which provided the facility used in the case. These fees must be utilized by the counties and municipalities to provide and maintain courtrooms and related judicial facilities.

Officer Fees (for arrest or service of process) are included, where applicable, in the costs of each case filed in the trial courts. If a municipal officer performed these services in a case, the fee is paid over to the respective municipality. Otherwise, all officer fees are paid to the respective counties in which the cases are filed. A jail fee is included in the costs of each case where applicable; and these fees are distributed to the respective county or municipality whose facilities were used. Most jail facilities in the State are provided by the counties.

A fee for the Law Enforcement Officers Benefit and Retirement Fund is included as a part of court costs when costs are assessed in a criminal case. As required by statute, the Judicial Department remits these fees to the State Treasurer, for deposit in the Law Enforcement Officers Benefit and Retirement Fund.

Except as indicated, all superior and district court costs collected by the Judicial Department are paid into the State's General Fund.

When private counsel or a public defender is assigned to represent an indigent defendant in a criminal case the trial judge sets the money value for the services rendered. If the defendant is convicted, a judgment lien is entered against him for such amount. Collections on these judgments are paid into the State's General Fund, as are appellate court fees and proceeds from the sales of appellate division reports.

	Amount	% of Total
Remitted to State Treasurer	\$ 18.029.91	0.04%
Supreme Court Fees Court of Appeals Fees	\$ 18,029.91 23,471.47	0.04%
Sales of Appellate Division Reports	145,314.31	0.30%
Payments on Indigent Representation Judgments Law Enforcement Officers Benfit and	374,460.75	.78%
Retirement Fund Fees	2,518,410.36	5.24%
Other Superior and District Court Fees	20,685,467.90	43.04%
Total to State Treasurer	\$23,765,154.70	49.45%
Distributed to Counties		
Fines and Forfeitures	\$17,703,927.78	36.84%
Judicial Facilities Fees	3,689,187.01	7.67%
Officer Fees	1,619,218.04	3.37%
Jail Fees	476,032.80	0.99%
Total to Counties	\$23,488,365.63	48.87%
Distributed to Municipalities		
Judicial Facilities Fees	\$ 168,726.50	0.35%
Officer Fees	621,035.62	1.29%
Jail Fees	17,634.000	0.04%
Total to Municipalities	\$ 807,396.12	1.68%
GRAND TOTAL	\$48,060,916.45	100.00%

## AMOUNTS OF FEES, FINES AND FORFEITURES COLLECTED BY THE COURTS AND DISTRIBUTED TO COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES\* July 1, 1978 — June 30, 1979

FacilityOfficerJailFinesmolFacilityOfficerJailAlamanceS54,429.00S19,203.56S8,880.00S223,884.41S-0.S51,062.100-0.20,050.00-0.20,050.00-0.20,050.00-0.20,050.00-0.20,050.00-0.20,050.00-0.20,050.00-0.20,050.00-0.20,050.00-0.20,050.00-0.20,050.00-0.20,050.00-0.20,050.00-0.58,410.00-0.58,410.00-0.58,410.00-0.58,410.00-0.58,410.00-0.58,410.00-0.58,410.00-0.58,410.00-0.58,410.00-0.58,410.00-0.58,410.00-0.10,535.35-0.58,410.00-0.10,535.35-0.58,410.00-0.10,535.35-0.58,410.00-0.10,535.35-0.58,410.00-0.10,535.35-0.58,410.00-0.68,51.92-0.58,410.00-0.68,51.92-0.58,410.00-0.68,51.92-0.58,410.00-0.68,51.92-00.68,51.92-00.68,51.92-00.68,51.92-00.68,51.92-00.68,51.92-00.68,51.92-00.68,51.92-00.68,51.92-00.68,51.92-000000000000.<		-	Distribu	ted to Counties	÷	Distr	ibuted to Munic	ipalities	
Alamance         S         54,420.00         S         19,203.05         S         9,283.05         S         32,388.41         S         -0         S         13,263.00         S         -0         73,717.10           Alleghany         4,299.50         1,396.00         51,718.00         15,732.80         -0         737,300         -0         737,300         -0         10,305.01           Ashe         9,356.00         6,463.00         747,242         -0         4,261.00         -0         58,410.04           Beruidort         33,770.00         20,736.78         4919.00         16,109.26         -0         4,261.00         -0         18,410.04           Beruidort         16,246.00         11,201.35         2,447.00         74,452.88         -0         4,261.00         -0         18,513.92           Burcambe         96,822.40         5,199.00         13,475.93         1,968.00         1,315.00         -0         -0         11,719.53           Burcambe         96,822.40         5,999.00         12,478.00         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0<		Facility		Jail	Fines and	Facility	Officer	Jail	
Alexander         10,469:60         5,479:00         5,180:00         75,725.00         -0-         206.00         -0-         73,117.10           Anson         19,681.50         10,128:00         1,830:00         77,724.28         -0-         973.00         -0-         10,035.03           Arson         19,681.50         10,128:00         1,870:00         40,014.33         -0-         58.00         -0-         58.6660.33           Avery         8,233.00         5,971.04         1,477:00         43,010.00         -0-         108.00         -0-         28.410.04           Beardiort         33,770.00         2,786.78         4,919.00         16,199.26         -0-         4,261.00         -0         185,613.23           Bladen         26,440.00         17,271.00         3,865.39         134,753.03         1,966.00         -1,17,975.55           Burke         4,180,00         16,055.00         2,068.23         0-         3,410.00         -0-         64,433.90           Caldwell         3,524.10         1,3208.00         17,687.11         -0-         4,434.00         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0			Fees		Forfeitures	Fees	Fees		Total
Alleghany         4.299.50         1.96.00         511.00         14.333.00         -0-         77.300         -0-         10.00           Ansen         9.356.00         6.463.00         749.00         60.034.33         -0-         58.00         -0-         58.419.04           Avery         8.233.00         5.91.04         1.477.00         20.736.78         4919.00         161.109.26         -0-         4.281.00         -0-         28.419.04           Berufort         33.770.00         20.736.78         4919.00         161.109.26         -0-         4.281.00         -0-         18.419.04           Berufort         16.246.00         17.721.00         3.465.99         114.735.33         1.968.00         1.315.00         -0-         18.453.192           Brunswirk         17.651.01         9.797.53         83.767.50         2.340.00         -0-         43.410.00         -0         24.121.55           Cabarrus         35.424.05         33.924.45         5.995.00         20.505.70         -0-         3.410.00         -0.21.210.55         -0         4.43.40         -0.227.673.11         -0-         4.24.30.43         -0         -24.21.05.31         -0         23.50.00         -0-         -0         -0         -0	Alamance	\$ 54,429.00	\$ 19,203.56	\$ 8,988.00 \$	328,388.41	S -0-	\$ 13,623.00	\$ -0- \$	424,631.97
Ansen19,681,5010,128,001,850,0077,724.28-097,730,00-0110,356,78Avery8,233,005,591,041,477,0043,010,00-0108,00-058,419,04Beurlort3,770,0020,766,784,919,0016,10,926-04,261,00-0224,796,04Bertis16,246,0011,201,362,347,0074,452,58-0584,00-0-185,61,32Binden26,440,0017,271,003,865,99114,733,331,968,001,510,00-0-185,61,32Burnswick17,69,501,937,5383,767,502,340,00350,00-0-17,75,55Burnswick41,809,0016,60,5002,608,22196,697,30-0-3,410,00-024,179,55Caldwell3,541,5033,92,465,595,00205,057,30-0-4,278,00-023,166,00Carteret28,340,0012,470,0010,60,0017,66,711-0-4,434,00-024,401,45Carteret28,340,0012,470,0010,60,0020,50,57,30-0-0-0-0,44,401,45Carteret28,340,0012,60011,95,0044,01,45,00-0-0-0,94,430,04Carteret28,340,0012,60012,508,00-0-0-0-0,94,440,04Carteret28,340,0012,60012,508,00-0-0-0-0,91,208,00Carteret28,340,0012,60013,646,0030,174,0010,60,00-	Alexander	10,469.60	5,479.00	3,180.00	53,782.50	-0-	206.00	-0-	73,117,10
Ashe         9,356.00         6,463.00         749.00         40,0134.33         -0-         S8.00         -0-         56,660.33           Berulort         33,770.00         20,736.78         4,919.00         161,109.26         -0-         4261.00         -0-         224,736.04           Bertis         16,246.00         11,901.36         2,347.00         74,452.58         -0-         584.00         -0-         185,611.92           Brunswirck         17,631.00         9,769.50         1,937.53         83,767.50         2,340.00         13,500.0         -0-         185,613.92           Burcembe         96,82.64         51,982.15         52,322.46         55,950         20,507.30         -0-         3,410.00         -0-         23,463.00           Calabrrus         35,214.03         33,224.6         5,595.00         20,507.30         -0-         4,441.00         -0-         23,463.00         -0.7         -0.         -0.44,431.00         -24,43.01.45           Catarwa         36,299.03         12,447.00         1,062.00         198,024.45         -0-         4,041.45         -0-         -0.         -0.43,319.00           Catarwa         32,290.03         12,447.00         1,042.20.01         98,024.45         -0-	Alleghany	4,299.50	1,396.00	511.00	14,353.00	-0-	373.00	-0-	20,932.50
Avery8,233,005,591,041,477,004,201,00-0-18,00-0-58,419,04Berulort13,770,0020,736,784,919,00161,10245-0-4,261,00-0-224,796,04Binden26,440,0017,271,003,865,9911,4733,931,968,001,15,00-0-185,611,92Brunswirck17,631,009,769,501,937,5585,767,502,340,00350,00-0-185,611,92Burke41,809,0016,605,002,605,22196,697,30-0-1,410,00-0-23,17,11Caldwell33,392,465,595,0020,503,73.0-0-3,800,00-0-20,11,66,26Caldwell34,81,002,168,00110,0025,089,00-00-0,04,430,00Catteret28,334,001,2447,001,662,00198,024,45-0-4,434,00-0-24,43,10,45Catswell10,12,006,878,001,155,0045,054,00-00-63,139,00Catswell10,12,006,876,0013,262,0053,32,49-0-1,424,00-0-23,558,00Charbam1,670,001,262,0053,32,420-0-1,424,00-0-23,558,00Charbam3,790,0023,518,0037,156,0038,447,101,293,002,291,0055,0014,24,51,00Charbam3,670,0037,0032,214,00-0-1,424,00-0-23,558,00Catswell10,112,001,550,0053,32,420-0-1,444,0	Anson	19,681.50	10,128.00	1,850.00	77,724.28	-0-	973.00	-0-	110,356.78
Avery         8,233,00         5,591,04         1,477,00         43,010.00         -0-         128,419.04           Braufort         16,246,00         11,901,36         2,347,00         74,452,58         -0-         548,00         5.00         105,535,94           Bladen         26,440,00         17,271,00         3,865,99         134,753,93         1,968,00         1,315,00         -0-         185,611,92           Branswick         17,651,00         9,769,50         1,937,53         85,767,50         2,340,00         350,00         -0-         644,359,92           Burke         41,809,00         16,650         2,608,20         196,677,30         -0-         3,410,00         -0-         23,176,11           Caldwell         34,81,00         2,417,00         1,060,00         179,687,11         -0-         4,434,00         -0-         24,310,45           Cattrevta         33,392,46         5,595,00         14,505,00         14,214,00         1,062,00         15,242,00         -0-         -0-         63,139,00           Cattrevta         32,490,01         1,450,00         198,044,45         -0-         -0-         63,139,00           Cattrevta         32,491,00         1,250,00         53,322,60         0-0	Ashe	9,356.00	6,463.00	749.00	40,034.33	-0-	58.00	-0-	56,660,33
Benufort         33,770.00         20,736,78         4,919.00         161,109.26         -0-         4,261,00         -0-         224,796,04           Bruta         26,440.00         17,271.00         3,865.99         134,733.93         1,968.00         131.00         -0-         185,613.92           Brunswick         17,631.00         9,769.50         1,937,55         85,767.50         2,340.00         350.00         -0-         117,779.55           Burke         41,809.00         16,652.00         2,008.25         196,697.30         -0-         3,410.00         -0.         2,44,301.60           Caldrevel         36,239.00         13,108.00         6,361.00         17,7687.11         -0-         4,243.00         -0-         3,430.00         -0.6         3,443.00         -0.6         3,434.00         -0.6         4,31,90.00         Catavel         28,340.00         1,462.00         110.00         2,580.00         -0.6         -0.         -0.         -0.         -0.         -0.43,190.00         -0.6         6,31,90.00         Catavel         32,990.50         2,250.50         6,576.00         30,715.69         34,471.50         12,093.00         2,424.01.00         -0.         -0.         -0.         2,352.00         1,680.60         -0.	Avery	8,233.00		1,477.00	43,010.00	-0-	108.00	-0-	58,419.04
Berteie         16,246.00         11,201.36         2,347.00         74,452.58         -0-         584.00         5.00         105,353.94           Brunswick         17,631.00         9,769.50         1,937.55         85,767.50         2,340.00         350.00         -0-         117,795.55           Buncsmibe         96,826.40         51,998.35         15,428.80         503,771.17         -0-         16,516.00         -0-         648,139.23           Calatverll         36,290.01         31,982.46         5,595.00         205,057.30         -0-         3,410.00         -0-         30,4166.26           Calatverll         3,481.00         2,168.00         110,600         25,078.11         -0-         4,278.00         -0-         30,448.00           Carteret         2,834.00         12,447.00         1,062.00         198,024.45         -0-         4,434.00         -0-         44,301.45           Catawba         32,999.50         20,250.50         6,876.00         104,151.00         8,020.00         1,244.50         0.0         2,443.01.45           Charkma         13,073.00         1,260.00         56,312.69         -0-         1,424.00         -0-         74,566.00           Catawba         32,999.50         20,25	Beaufort	33,770.00		4,919.00	161,109.26	-0-	4,261.00	-0-	224,796.04
Bladen         26,440,00         17,271.00         3,865.99         134,753.93         1,966.00         1315.00         -0         185,611.92           Brunswick         17,611.00         9,769.50         1,937.55         85,767.50         2,340.00         330.00         -0         117,795.55           Burke         41,809.00         16,695.00         2,608.25         196,697.30         -0         3,410.00         -0         261,19.55           Cabarrus         33,581.00         13,108.00         6,361.00         177,687.11         -0         -0         -0         -0         3,848.00         -0         -0         -0         -0         -0         3,848.00         -0	Bertie	16,246.00		2,347.00	74,452.58	-0-	584.00	5.00	105,535,94
Brunswick         17,631.00         9,769.30         1,937.55         83,767.50         2,340,00         350.00         -0-         117,795.55           Burke         41,809.00         16,695.00         2,608.25         196,697.30         -0-         3,410.00         -0-         2,610.380.00         -0-         3,410.00         -0-         3,410.00         -0-         3,410.00         -0-         3,410.00         -0-         3,410.00         -0-         3,410.00         -0-         3,410.00         -0-         3,410.00         -0-         3,410.00         -0-         -0-         -0-         3,414.00         -0.0         -0         -0-         -0-         3,414.00         -0.0         -0.44,320.43         -0-         -2,443.01.43         -0-         -2,443.01.43         -0-         -2,443.01.43         -0-         -2,443.01.43         -0-         -0.         2,344.00         -0.         -0.         -0.         2,350.00         1,42.41.00         -0.         -0.         2,350.00         1,20.01	Bladen	26,440.00			134,753.93	1,968.00	1,315.00	-0-	185,613,92
Buncembe         96,826.40         51,998.35         15,428.00         503,771.17         -0.         16,516.00         -0.         684,539.92           Burke         41,809.00         16,693.00         2,608.25         196,697.30         -0.         3,580.00         -0.         201,105.55           Caldwell         36,239.00         13,108.00         6,361.00         177,687.11         -0.         4,278.00         -0.         20,237,07.31           Carreter         28,334.00         12,467.00         1,062.00         198,024.45         -0.         -0.         -0.         6,813.99.02           Caswell         10,012.00         6,876.00         1,052.00         302,015.00         12,093.00         2,821.00         1412,181.90           Chatham         13,673.00         13,208.00         1,550.00         104,151.00         8,020.00         1,224.00         55.00         71,680.69           Chavan         9,462.00         4,556.00         882.00         58,242.00         -0.         -0.         -0.         28,556.00         74,560.00         22,557.00         14,04.00         -0.         28,749.21         -0.         -0.         -0.         28,749.21         -0.         -0.         -0.         28,749.21         -0.         <	Brunswick	17,631.00	9,769.50			2,340.00		-0-	117,795.55
Burke         41,809,00         16,695,00         2,608,23         196,697,30         -0-         3,410,00         -0-         261,219,55           Cabarrus         53,541,50         33,392,46         5,595,00         205,057,30         -0-         3,580,00         -0-         301,166,26           Caldwell         36,239,00         13,108,00         6,610,00         220,507,01         -0-         4,434,00         -0-         233,643,01           Carteret         28,340,00         12,447,00         1,062,00         198,024,45         -0-         4,434,00         -0-         24,431,04           Catawba         32,999,50         220,250,50         6,876,00         302,715,69         34,471,50         12,093,00         2,821,00         412,218,19           Chatham         13,673,00         13,208,00         1,550,00         104,151,00         8,020,00         1,254,00         550,00         142,451,00           Chavena         9,462,00         4,556,00         882,00         53,242,00         -0         1,424,00         -0         7,680,60           Clay         2,592,00         10,739,77         238,845,41         3,050,00         2,759,00         545,00         235,588,18           Craven         5,740,00 <td< td=""><td>Buncombe</td><td>96,826.40</td><td>51,998.35</td><td>15,428.00</td><td>503,771.17</td><td>-0-</td><td></td><td>-0-</td><td>684,539.92</td></td<>	Buncombe	96,826.40	51,998.35	15,428.00	503,771.17	-0-		-0-	684,539.92
Cabarrus         53,541.50         33,392.46         5,595.00         205,057.30         -0-         3,580.00         -0-         301,166.26           Caldwell         36,239.00         13,108.00         6,361.00         177,687.11         -0-         4,278.00         -0-         237,673.11           Carteret         28,334.00         12,447.00         1,062.00         198,024.45         -0-         -0-         -0.         6,43.19.00           Cartwel         13,090.50         20,250.50         6,876.00         302,715.69         34,471.50         12,093.00         2,821.00         412,218.10           Chatham         13,673.00         13,208.00         1,550.00         104,151.00         8,020.00         -0         -0         -0         -2,755.00         74,656.00           Chavan         9,462.00         4,556.00         882.00         58,242.00         -0         -10         -0         -2,35,58.00           Calumbus         40,297.00         29,352.00         10,799.77         238,845.41         30,500.00         27,59.00         15,50.00         225,58.81           Carwen         57,640.00         23,18.07         70,52.9         284,868.50         -0         7,43.40         -0         180,1666.29	Burke	41,809.00				-0-		-0-	
			•					-0-	
$\begin{array}{c} Carteret & 28,334.00 & 12,447.00 & 1,062.00 & 198,024.45 & -0 & 4,434.00 & -0 & 244,301.45 \\ Caswell & 10,012.00 & 6,878.00 & 1,195.00 & 45,054.00 & -0 & -0 & 63,139.00 \\ Catawba & 32,990.50 & 2,250.50 & 6,876.00 & 30,2715.69 & 34,471.50 & 1,203.00 & 1,824.00 & 595.00 & 142,2481.00 \\ Cherokee & 8,899.00 & 4,760.00 & 1,262.00 & 56,332.69 & -0 & 372.00 & 55.00 & 71,680.69 \\ Chowan & 9,462.00 & 4,556.00 & 882.00 & 58,342.00 & -0 & -1,424.00 & -0 & 74,566.00 \\ Clay & 2,339.00 & 1,696.00 & 371.00 & 18,946.00 & -0 & -0 & -0 & 23,552.00 \\ Claveland & 47,113.00 & 17,561.00 & 9,082.00 & 206,447.21 & -0 & 6,981.00 & 65.00 & 327,249.21 \\ Columbus & 40,297.00 & 29,352.00 & 10,739.77 & 238,845.41 & 3,050.00 & 2,759.00 & 545.00 & 325,588.18 \\ Craven & 57,540.00 & 23,518.50 & 7,705.29 & 284,866.50 & -0 & 7,434.00 & -0 & 381,066.29 \\ Currituck & 11,018.00 & 8,073.03 & 522.90 & 78,443.92 & -0 & -0 & -0 & 98,057.85 \\ Dare & 16,184.00 & 7,035.00 & 1,152.00 & 153,861.12 & -0 & 2,334.00 & -0 & 180,566.12 \\ Davidson & 49,065.54 & 27,560.72 & 8,895.00 & 269,580.88 & 6,872.00 & 2,435.00 & -0 & 101,466.06 \\ Duplin & 29,630.00 & 13,412.00 & 1,271.16 & 72,700.90 & -0 & 1,200.00 & -0 & 101,466.06 \\ Duplin & 29,630.00 & 13,412.00 & 1,271.6 & 72,700.90 & -0 & 1,200.00 & -0 & 101,466.06 \\ Duplin & 29,630.00 & 13,412.00 & 1,271.60 & 633,627.59 & 2,654.00 & 57,283.00 & -0 & 343,706.20 \\ Fearsyth & 174,123.00 & 22,750.72 & 633,942.70 & -0 & 30,709.00 & -0 & 454,706.20 \\ Forsyth & 174,123.00 & 22,775.00 & 7,651.50 & 162,077.21 & 10,265.00 & 6,176.00 & 1,270.00 & 238,761.71 \\ Forsyth & 174,123.00 & 22,775.00 & 7,651.50 & 162,077.21 & 10,265.00 & 6,176.00 & 1,270.00 & 238,761.71 \\ Forsyth & 174,123.00 & 22,775.00 & 74,562.00 & 6,176.00 & 1,270.00 & 238,761.71 \\ Forsyth & 174,123.00 & 22,775.00 & 74,562.00 & 6,176.00 & 1,270.00 & 238,761.71 \\ Forsyth & 174,162.00 & 10,670.00 & 2,075.00 & 94,089.50 & -0 & 304.00 & 100.00 & 127,920.50 \\ Graham & 2,757.00 & 1,656.00 & 650.00 & 139,495.50 & -0 & 304.00 & 10 & 35,204.00 \\ Graham & 2,777.00 & 1,65$		-							
								-0-	
$ \begin{array}{c} Catawba & 32,990.50 \\ Chardwa & 13,673.00 \\ Chardwa & 13,673.00 \\ Chardwa & 13,673.00 \\ Chardwa & 9,462.00 \\ A,750.00 \\ Chardwa & 9,462.00 \\ A,750.00 \\ Chardwa & 9,462.00 \\ A,550.00 \\ Clay & 2,539.00 \\ Clay & 2,539.00 \\ Clay & 2,539.00 \\ Clay & 1,550.00 \\ Clay & 1,550.00 \\ Clay & 2,539.00 \\ Clay & 1,550.00 \\ Clay & 1,550.00 \\ Clay & 2,539.00 \\ Clay & 0,295.00 \\ Clay & 0,275.00 \\ $									
Chatham         13,673.00         13,208.00         1,550.00         104,151.00         8,020.00         1,254.00         595.00         142,451.00           Cherokee         8,899.00         4,760.00         1,620.00         56,332.69         -0         372.00         55.00         71,680.69           Chowan         9,462.00         4,556.00         882.20         -0         -1,424.00         -0         -4         -2         -23,552.00           Clave         2,539.00         1,696.00         371.00         18,946.00         -0         -0         -0         -2         23,552.00           Cleveland         47,113.00         17,561.00         9,082.00         206,447.21         -0         6,981.00         65.00         325,588.18           Carven         57,540.00         23,518.50         7,705.29         284,868.50         -0         7,434.00         -0         881,066.29           Currituck         11,018.00         8,073.50         1,152.00         153,861.12         -0         2,345.00         -0         1,81,066.29           Davia         17,196.00         9,112.00         1,257.16         72,700.90         -0         1,200.00         -0         101,466.06         Duplin         29,548.00 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>									
Cherokee         8,899.00         4,760.00         1,262.00         56,332.69         -0-         372.00         55.00         71,680.69           Chowan         9,462.00         4,556.00         882.00         58,242.00         -0-         1,424.00         -0-         74,566.00           Clay         2,539.00         10,696.00         9,082.00         206,447.21         -0-         6,981.00         655.00         287,249.21           Columbus         40,297.00         29,352.00         10,739.77         238,845.41         3,050.00         2,759.00         545.00         325,588.18           Craven         57,540.00         59,069.40         31,074.60         10,15,813.93         -0-         32,914.95         -0-         1,311,373.88           Currituck         11,018.00         8,073.03         522.90         78,443.92         -0-         -0.         98,056.12           Davidson         49,065.54         27,560.72         8,895.00         269,580.88         6,872.00         2,435.00         -0-         104,460.66           Duplin         29,630.00         13,412.00         1,920.00         138,449.00         -0-         1,080.00         593.00         185,1134.00           Duplin         22,6570.00         31,		•			•				
$ \begin{array}{c} Chowan & 9,462.00 & 4,556.00 & 882.00 & 58,242.00 & -0. & 1,424.00 & -0. & 74,566.00 \\ Clay & 2,539.00 & 1,666.00 & 371.00 & 18,946.00 & -0. & -0. & -0. & 23,552.00 \\ Claveland & 40,297.00 & 29,352.00 & 10,739.77 & 238,845.41 & 3,050.00 & 2,759.00 & 545.00 & 325,588.18 \\ Craven & 57,540.00 & 23,518.50 & 7,705.29 & 284,868.50 & -0. & 7,434.00 & -0. & 131,173.88 \\ Currituck & 11,018.00 & 8,073.03 & 522.90 & 78,443.92 & -0. & -0. & -0. & 98,057.85 \\ Dare & 16,184.00 & 7,035.00 & 1,152.00 & 153,861.12 & -0. & 2,334.00 & -0. & 180,566.12 \\ Davidson & 49,065.54 & 27,560.72 & 8,895.00 & 269,580.88 & 6,872.00 & 2,435.00 & -0. & 101,466.06 \\ Duplin & 29,630.00 & 13,412.00 & 1,257.16 & 72,700.90 & -0. & 1,200.00 & -0. & 101,466.06 \\ Duplin & 29,630.00 & 13,412.00 & 1,257.16 & 72,700.90 & -0. & 1,000.00 & 593.00 & 185,134.00 \\ Durham & 122,607.00 & 13,123.50 & 5,124.00 & 533,647.70 & -0. & 30,709.00 & -0. & 543,706.20 \\ Edgecombe & 28,548.00 & 22,779.00 & 7,651.50 & 162,072.21 & 10,265.00 & 6,176.00 & 1,270.00 & 238,761.71 \\ Forsyth & 174,123.00 & 27,055.00 & 17,118.00 & 633,627.59 & 2,654.00 & 57,283.00 & -0. & 911,840.59 \\ Graham & 2,757.00 & 10,675.00 & 94,089.50 & -0. & 30,400 & 100.00 & 127,205.00 \\ Graston & 79,146.00 & 39,018.00 & 13,456.75 & 351,041.72 & -0. & 13,988.00 & -0. & 910,83.66 \\ Granville & 21,672.00 & 8,710.00 & 2,779.00 & 36,185.00 & -0. & 94,00 & 0. & 19,083.66 \\ Granville & 21,672.00 & 8,710.00 & 2,799.00 & 116,734.00 & -0. & 18,43.00 & 295.00 & 152,053.00 \\ Greene & 7,658.00 & 4,764.00 & 1,220.00 & 39,458.41 & 1,114.00 & 1,577.00 & 230,760.339,493.80 \\ Harnett & 28,777.00 & 5,160.00 & 13,945.67 & 551,004.172 & -0. & 13,988.00 & -0. & 90,093.20 \\ Halifax & 38,710.00 & 26,871.00 & 5,757.00 & 259,210.80 & 4,605.00 & 3,603.00 & 737.00 & 339,493.80 \\ Harnett & 28,777.00 & 1,656.100 & 5,757.00 & 259,210.80 & 4,605.00 & 3,603.00 & 737.00 & 339,493.80 \\ Harnett & 28,777.00 & 15,48.00 & 2,759.00 & 150,605.42 & -0. & 2,524.00 & -0. & 136,769,62 \\ Hake & 14,825.00 & 1,760.00 & 85.00 & 10,977.00 & 3,61$									
$ \begin{array}{c} Clay & 2,539.00 & 1,696.00 & 371.00 & 18,946.00 & -0- & -0- & -0- & 23,552.00 \\ Cleveland & 47,113.00 & 17,561.00 & 9,082.00 & 206,447.21 & -0- & 6,981.00 & 65.00 & 287,249,21 \\ Columbus & 40,297.00 & 23,518.50 & 7,705.29 & 284,868.50 & -0- & 7,434.00 & -0- & 381,066.29 \\ Cumberland & 172,501.60 & 59,069.40 & 31,074.00 & 1,015,813.93 & -0- & 32,914.95 & -0- & 1,311,373.88 \\ Currituck & 11,018.00 & 8,073.03 & 522.90 & 78,443.92 & -0- & -0- & -0- & 98,057.85 \\ Dare & 16,184.00 & 7,035.00 & 1,152.00 & 153,861.12 & -0- & 2,334.00 & -0- & 180,566.12 \\ Davidson & 49,065.54 & 27,560.72 & 8,895.00 & 269,580.88 & 6,872.00 & 2,435.00 & -0- & 364,409,14 \\ Davie & 17,196.00 & 9,112.00 & 1,257.16 & 72,700.90 & -0- & 1,200.00 & -0- & 101,466.06 \\ Duplin & 29,630.00 & 13,412.00 & 1,920.00 & 138,449.00 & -0- & 1,080.00 & 593.00 & 185,134.00 \\ Durham & 122,607.00 & 31,323.50 & 3,124.00 & 353,942.70 & -0- & 30,709.00 & -0- & 543,706.20 \\ Edgeombe & 28,548.00 & 22,779.00 & 7,651.50 & 162,072.21 & 10,265.00 & 6,176.00 & 1,270.00 & 238,761.71 \\ Forsyth & 174,123.00 & 2,705.00 & 94,089.50 & -0- & 30+4.00 & 100.00 & 127,920.50 \\ Gaston & 79,146.00 & 39,018.00 & 13,445.75 & 351,041.72 & -0 & 13,988.00 & -0- & 496,740.47 \\ Gates & 8,457.00 & 1,656.00 & 650.00 & 13,948.60 & -0- & 84.00 & -0- & 50,343.00 \\ Granham & 2,757.00 & 1,656.00 & 650.00 & 13,948.60 & -0- & 84.00 & -0- & 50,343.00 \\ Graham & 2,757.00 & 1,656.00 & 650.00 & 13,948.60 & -0- & 84.00 & -0- & 53,204.00 \\ Graham & 2,757.00 & 1,656.00 & 650.00 & 13,948.60 & -0- & 84.00 & -0- & 53,204.00 \\ Graham & 2,757.00 & 1,656.00 & 650.00 & 13,942.66 & -0- & 80.00 & -0- & 19,083.66 \\ Granville & 21,672.00 & 8,710.00 & 2,799.00 & 116,734.00 & -0- & 84.00 & -0- & 53,204.00 \\ Graham & 2,757.00 & 1,656.00 & 650.00 & 13,948.60 & -0- & 84.00 & -0- & 53,204.00 \\ Graham & 2,757.00 & 15,484.00 & 1,577.00 & 259,210.80 & 4,605.00 & 3,603.00 & 737.00 & 339,493.80 \\ Harnett & 28,577.00 & 15,484.00 & 1,577.00 & 259,210.80 & 4,605.00 & 3,603.00 & 737.00 & 339,493.80 \\ Harnett & 28,577.00 & 1$			•	,					
Cleveland         47,113.00         17,561.00         9,082.00         206,447.21         -0-         6,981.00         65.00         287,249,21           Columbus         40,297.00         29,352.00         10,739.77         238,845.41         3,050.00         2,759.00         545.00         325,588.18           Craven         57,540.00         23,518.50         7,705.29         284,868.50         -0-         7,434.00         -0-         1,311,373.88           Currituck         11,018.00         8,073.03         522.90         78,443.92         -0-         -0-         -0-         98,057.85           Dare         16,184.00         7,035.00         1,152.00         153,861.12         -0-         2,334.00         -0-         180,566.12           Davidson         49,065.54         27,560.72         8,895.00         269,580.88         6,872.00         2,435.00         -0-         101,466.06           Duplin         29,630.00         13,412.00         1,257.16         72,700.90         -0-         1,020.00         -0-         101,460.06           Durham         122,607.00         31,323.50         5,124.00         353,942.70         -0-         30,709.00         -0-         543,706.23         2,634.00         57,783.00         <		•	•						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
Cumberland172,501.6059,069.4031,074.001,015,813.93-0-32,914.95-0-1,311,373.88Currituck11,018.008,073.03522.9078,443.92-000-98,057.85Dare16,184.007,035.001,152.00153,861.12-0-2,334.00-0-180,566.12Davidson49,065.5427,560.728,895.00269,580.886,872.002,435.00-0-364,409.14Davie17,196.009,112.001,257.1672,709.09-0-1,200.00-0-101,466.06Durlin22,607.0031,323.505,124.00353,942.70-0-30,709.00-0-543,706.20Edgecombe28,548.0022,779.007,651.50162,072.2110,265.006,176.001,270.00238,761.71Forsyth174,123.0027,035.0017,118.00633,627.592,654.0057,283.00-0-911,840.59Franklin20,682.0010,670.002,075.0094,089.50-0-13,940.00100.00127,920.50Gaston79,146.0039,018.0013,466.75351,041.72-0-13,988.00-0-50,343.00Graham2,757.001,656.00650.0013,940.66-0-80.00-0-50,343.00Graham2,757.001,640.0013,240.66-0-84.00-0-53,204.00Graham2,757.002,675.00259,210.804,605.003,603.00737.00339,493.80Harnett <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		•							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									
Dare16,184.007,035.001,152.00153,861.12-0-2,334.00-0-180,566.12Davidson49,065.5427,560.728,895.00269,580.886,872.002,435.00-0-364,409.14Davie17,196.009,112.001,257.1672,709.90-0-1,200.00-0-101,466.06Duplin29,630.0013,412.001,920.00138,449.00-0-1,080.00593.00185,134.00Durham122,667.0031,323.505,124.00533,942.70-0-30,709.00-0-543,706.20Edgecombe28,548.0022,779.007,651.50162,072.2110,265.006,176.001,270.00238,761.71Forsyth174,123.0027,035.0017,118.00633,627.592,654.0057,283.00-0-911,840.59Franklin20,682.0010,670.002,075.0094,089.50-0-13,988.00-0-496,740.47Gates8,457.005,077.00530.0036,185.00-0-80.00-0-19,083.66Graham2,757.001,656.00650.0013,940.66-0-80.00-0-19,083.66Granville21,672.008,710.002,799.00116,734.00-0-1,843.00295.00152,053.00Graham2,757.1034,602.0024,578.50661,986.18-0-66,203.00-0-19,083.66Graham2,777.0015,148.002,764.00164,626.247,778.03,499.801,286.00223,6									
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			,		•				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					•				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		•							
Durham122,607.0031,323.505,124.00353,942.70-0-30,709.00-0-543,706.20Edgecombe28,548.0022,779.007,651.50162,072.2110,265.006,176.001,270.00238,761.71Forsyth174,123.0027,035.0017,118.00633,627.592,654.0057,283.00-0-911,840.59Franklin20,682.0010,670.002,075.0094,089.50-0-304.00100.00127,920.50Gates8,457.005,077.00530.0036,185.00-0-94,00-0-50,343.00Graham2,757.001,656.00650.0013,940.66-0-80.00-0-19,083.66Granville21,672.008,710.002,799.00116,734.00-0-1,843.00295.00152,053.00Greene7,658.004,784.001,220.0039,458.00-0-84,00-0-53,204.00Guilford221,573.1034,602.0024,578.50661,986.18-0-66,203.00-0-1,008,942.78Halifax38,710.0026,871.005,757.00259,210.804,605.003,603.00737.00339,493.80Harnett28,777.0015,148.002,764.00164,426.247,778.03,499.801,286.00223,679.04Haywood24,764.1014,657.00844.50185,824.411,114.001,570.002.00228,776.01Henderson30,077.5014,654.005,973.00150,405.42-0-2,524.00-0									
Edgecombe $28,548.00$ $22,779.00$ $7,651.50$ $162,072.21$ $10,265.00$ $6,176.00$ $1,270.00$ $238,761.71$ Forsyth $174,123.00$ $27,035.00$ $17,118.00$ $633,627.59$ $2,654.00$ $57,283.00$ $-0 911,840.59$ Franklin $20,682.00$ $10,670.00$ $2,075.00$ $94,089.50$ $-0 304.00$ $100.00$ $127,920.50$ Gaston $79,146.00$ $39,018.00$ $13,456.75$ $351,041.72$ $-0 13,988.00$ $-0 496,740.47$ Gates $8,457.00$ $5,077.00$ $530.00$ $36,185.00$ $-0 94.00$ $-0 50,343.00$ Graham $2,757.00$ $1,656.00$ $650.00$ $13,940.66$ $-0 80.00$ $-0 19,083.66$ Granville $21,672.00$ $8,710.00$ $2,799.00$ $116,734.00$ $-0 1,843.00$ $295.00$ $152,053.00$ Greene $7,658.00$ $4,784.00$ $1,220.00$ $39,458.00$ $-0 84.00$ $-0 53,204.00$ Guilford $221,573.10$ $34,602.00$ $24,578.50$ $661,986.18$ $-0 66,203.00$ $-0 1,008,942.78$ Halifax $38,710.00$ $26,871.00$ $5,757.00$ $259,210.80$ $4,605.00$ $3,603.00$ $737.00$ $339,493.80$ Harnett $28,777.00$ $15,148.00$ $2,764.00$ $164,426.24$ $7,778.0$ $3,499.80$ $1,286.00$ $223,679.04$ Haywood $24,764.10$ $14,657.00$ $844.50$ $185,824.41$ $1,114.00$ <	•								
Forsyth174,123.0027,035.0017,118.00 $633,627.59$ $2,654.00$ $57,283.00$ $-0 911,840.59$ Franklin20,682.0010,670.00 $2,075.00$ $94,089.50$ $-0 304.00$ $100.00$ $127,920.50$ Gaston79,146.0039,018.0013,456.75 $351,041.72$ $-0 13,988.00$ $-0 496,740.47$ Gates $8,457.00$ $5,077.00$ $530.00$ $36,185.00$ $-0 94.00$ $-0 50,343.00$ Graham $2,757.00$ $1,665.00$ $650.00$ $13,940.66$ $-0 80.00$ $-0 19,083.66$ Granville $21,672.00$ $8,710.00$ $2,799.00$ $116,734.00$ $-0 1,843.00$ $295.00$ $152,053.00$ Greene $7,658.00$ $4,784.00$ $1,220.00$ $39,458.00$ $-0 84.00$ $-0 13,094.66$ Guilford221,573.10 $34,602.00$ $24,578.50$ $661,986.18$ $-0 66,203.00$ $-0 1,008,942.78$ Halifax $38,710.00$ $26,871.00$ $5,757.00$ $259,210.80$ $4,605.00$ $3,603.00$ $737.00$ $339,493.80$ Harnett $28,777.00$ $15,148.00$ $2,764.00$ $164,426.24$ $7,778,0$ $3,499.80$ $1,286.00$ $223,679.04$ Haywood $24,764.10$ $14,657.00$ $844.50$ $185,824.41$ $1,114.00$ $1,570.00$ $2.00$ $228,776.01$ Henderson $30,077.50$ $14,654.00$ $5,973.00$ $150,405.42$ $-0 2,524.00$									
Franklin $20,682.00$ $10,670.00$ $2,075.00$ $94,089.50$ $-0 304.00$ $100.00$ $127,920.50$ Gaston $79,146.00$ $39,018.00$ $13,456.75$ $351,041.72$ $-0 13,988.00$ $-0 496,740.47$ Gates $8,457.00$ $5,077.00$ $530.00$ $36,185.00$ $-0 94.00$ $-0 50,343.00$ Graham $2,757.00$ $1,656.00$ $650.00$ $13,940.66$ $-0 80.00$ $-0 19,083.66$ Granville $21,672.00$ $8,710.00$ $2,799.00$ $116,734.00$ $-0 1,843.00$ $295.00$ $152,053.00$ Greene $7,658.00$ $4,784.00$ $1,220.00$ $39,458.00$ $-0 84.00$ $-0 53,204.00$ Guilford $221,573.10$ $34,602.00$ $24,578.50$ $661,986.18$ $-0 66,203.00$ $-0 1,008,942.78$ Halifax $38,710.00$ $26,871.00$ $5,757.00$ $259,210.80$ $4,605.00$ $3,603.00$ $737.00$ $339,493.80$ Harnett $28,777.00$ $15,148.00$ $2,764.00$ $164,426.24$ $7,778.0$ $3,499.80$ $1,286.00$ $223,679.04$ Haywood $24,764.10$ $14,657.00$ $844.50$ $185,824.41$ $1,114.00$ $1,570.00$ $2.002$ $228,776.01$ Herlford $21,736.00$ $33,123.20$ $2,687.00$ $97,475.42$ $-0 1,748.00$ $-0 136,769.62$ Hoke $14,825.00$ $8,135.00$ $3,636.00$ $110,196.00$ $-0 378.00$ <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>									
Gaston79,146.0039,018.0013,456.75 $351,041.72$ -0-13,988.00-0- $496,740.47$ Gates8,457.005,077.00530.00 $36,185.00$ -0- $94,00$ -0- $50,343.00$ Graham2,757.001,656.00 $650.00$ $13,940.66$ -0- $80.00$ -0- $19,083.66$ Granville21,672.00 $8,710.00$ 2,799.00 $116,734.00$ -0- $1,843.00$ $295.00$ $152,053.00$ Greene7,658.00 $4,784.00$ $1,220.00$ $39,458.00$ -0- $84,00$ -0- $53,204.00$ Guilford221,573.10 $34,602.00$ $24,578.50$ $661,986.18$ -0- $66,203.00$ -0- $1,008,942.78$ Halifax $38,710.00$ $26,871.00$ $5,757.00$ $259,210.80$ $4,605.00$ $3,603.00$ $737.00$ $339,493.80$ Harnett $28,777.00$ $15,148.00$ $2,764.00$ $164,426.24$ $7,778.0$ $3,499.80$ $1,286.00$ $223,679.04$ Haywood $24,764.10$ $14,657.00$ $844.50$ $185,824.41$ $1,114.00$ $1,570.00$ $2.00$ $228,776.01$ Henderson $30,077.50$ $14,654.00$ $5,973.00$ $150,405.42$ -0- $1,748.00$ -0- $136,666.203.92$ Hoke $14,825.00$ $8,135.00$ $3,636.00$ $110,196.00$ -0- $378,00$ -0- $137,170.00$ Hotke $14,825.00$ $8,135.00$ $3,636.00$ $110,196.00$ -0- $378,00$ -0- $137,170.00$ Hyde $2$	•		,	•	•				
Gates $8,457.00$ $5,077.00$ $530.00$ $36,185.00$ $-0 94.00$ $-0 50,343.00$ Graham $2,757.00$ $1,656.00$ $650.00$ $13,940.66$ $-0 80.00$ $-0 19,083.66$ Granville $21,672.00$ $8,710.00$ $2,799.00$ $116,734.00$ $-0 1,843.00$ $295.00$ $152,053.00$ Greene $7,658.00$ $4,784.00$ $1,220.00$ $39,458.00$ $-0 84.00$ $-0 53,204.00$ Guilford $221,573.10$ $34,602.00$ $24,578.50$ $661,986.18$ $-0 66,203.00$ $-0 1,008,942.78$ Halifax $38,710.00$ $26,871.00$ $5,757.00$ $259,210.80$ $4,605.00$ $3,603.00$ $737.00$ $339,493.80$ Harnett $28,777.00$ $15,148.00$ $2,764.00$ $164,426.24$ $7,778.0$ $3,499.80$ $1,286.00$ $223,679.04$ Haywood $24,764.10$ $14,657.00$ $844.50$ $185,824.41$ $1,114.00$ $1,570.00$ $2.00$ $228,776.01$ Henderson $30,077.50$ $14,654.00$ $5,973.00$ $150,405.42$ $-0 2,524.00$ $-0 136,769.62$ Hoke $14,825.00$ $8,135.00$ $3,636.00$ $110,196.00$ $-0 378.00$ $-0 136,769.62$ Hoke $14,825.00$ $8,135.00$ $3,636.00$ $110,196.00$ $-0 0 136,769.62$ Hoke $14,825.00$ $8,135.00$ $3,636.00$ $19,525.50$ $-0 -0 0 136,769.62$ <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									
Greene $7,658.00$ $4,784.00$ $1,220.00$ $39,458.00$ $-0 84.00$ $-0 53,204.00$ Guilford $221,573.10$ $34,602.00$ $24,578.50$ $661,986.18$ $-0 66,203.00$ $-0 1,008,942.78$ Halifax $38,710.00$ $26,871.00$ $5,757.00$ $259,210.80$ $4,605.00$ $3,603.00$ $737.00$ $339,493.80$ Harnett $28,777.00$ $15,148.00$ $2,764.00$ $164,426.24$ $7,778.0$ $3,499.80$ $1,286.00$ $223,679.04$ Haywood $24,764.10$ $14,657.00$ $844.50$ $185,824.41$ $1,114.00$ $1,570.00$ $2.00$ $228,776.01$ Henderson $30,077.50$ $14,654.00$ $5,973.00$ $150,405.42$ $-0 2,524.00$ $-0 203,633.92$ Hertford $21,736.00$ $13,123.20$ $2,687.00$ $97,475.42$ $-0 1,748.00$ $-0 136,769.62$ Hoke $14,825.00$ $8,135.00$ $3,636.00$ $110,196.00$ $-0 378,00$ $-0 137,170.00$ Hyde $2,859.00$ $1,760.00$ $85.00$ $19,525.50$ $-0 -0 -0 24,229,50$ Iredell $42,326.00$ $19,071.75$ $3,157.00$ $218,721.903$ $7,977.00$ $6,137.00$ $773.00$ $298,163.65$ Jackson $11,391.00$ $7,364.86$ $1,530.00$ $86,435.003$ $-0 -0 -0 106,720.86$ Johnston $43,541.50$ $28,270.00$ $8,726.25$ $273,352.923$ $8,631.00$ $3,578$									
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		•							
Halifax $38,710.00$ $26,871.00$ $5,757.00$ $259,210.80$ $4,605.00$ $3,603.00$ $737.00$ $339,493.80$ Harnett $28,777.00$ $15,148.00$ $2,764.00$ $164,426.24$ $7,778.0$ $3,499.80$ $1,286.00$ $223,679.04$ Haywood $24,764.10$ $14,657.00$ $844.50$ $185,824.41$ $1,114.00$ $1,570.00$ $2.00$ $228,776.01$ Henderson $30,077.50$ $14,654.00$ $5,973.00$ $150,405.42$ $-0 2,524.00$ $-0 203,633.92$ Hertford $21,736.00$ $13,123.20$ $2,687.00$ $97,475.42$ $-0 1,748.00$ $-0 136,769.62$ Hoke $14,825.00$ $8,135.00$ $3,636.00$ $110,196.00$ $-0 378,00$ $-0 137,170.00$ Hyde $2,859.00$ $1,760.00$ $85.00$ $19,525.50$ $-0 -0 -0 24,229,50$ Iredell $42,326.00$ $19,071.75$ $3,157.00$ $218,721.903$ $7,977.00$ $6,137.00$ $773.00$ $298,163.65$ Jackson $11,391.00$ $7,364.86$ $1,530.00$ $86,435.003$ $-0 -0 -0 106,720.86$ Johnston $43,541.50$ $28,270.00$ $8,726.25$ $273,352.923$ $8,631.00$ $3,578.00$ $756.00$ $366,855.67$									
Harnett $28,777.00$ $15,148.00$ $2,764.00$ $164,426.24$ $7,778.0$ $3,499.80$ $1,286.00$ $223,679.04$ Haywood $24,764.10$ $14,657.00$ $844.50$ $185,824.41$ $1,114.00$ $1,570.00$ $2.00$ $228,776.01$ Henderson $30,077.50$ $14,654.00$ $5,973.00$ $150,405.42$ $-0 2,524.00$ $-0 203,633.92$ Hertford $21,736.00$ $13,123.20$ $2,687.00$ $97,475.42$ $-0 1,748.00$ $-0 136,769.62$ Hoke $14,825.00$ $8,135.00$ $3,636.00$ $110,196.00$ $-0 378,00$ $-0 137,170.00$ Hyde $2,859.00$ $1,760.00$ $85.00$ $19,525.50$ $-0 -0 24,229,50$ Iredell $42,326.00$ $19,071.75$ $3,157.00$ $218,721.903$ $7,977.00$ $6,137.00$ $773.00$ $298,163.65$ Jackson $11,391.00$ $7,364.86$ $1,530.00$ $86,435.003$ $-0 -0 -0 106,720.86$ Johnston $43,541.50$ $28,270.00$ $8,726.25$ $273,352.923$ $8,631.00$ $3,578.00$ $756.00$ $366,855.67$									
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				5,757.00					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $									
Hertford21,736.0013,123.202,687.0097,475.42-0-1,748.00-0-136,769.62Hoke14,825.008,135.003,636.00110,196.00-0-378.00-0-137,170.00Hyde2,859.001,760.0085.0019,525.50-000-24,229.50Iredell42,326.0019,071.753,157.00218,721.9037,977.006,137.00773.00298,163.65Jackson11,391.007,364.861,530.0086,435.003-000-106,720.86Johnston43,541.5028,270.008,726.25273,352.9238,631.003,578.00756.00366,855.67									
Hoke14,825.008,135.003,636.00110,196.00-0-378.00-0-137,170.00Hyde2,859.001,760.0085.0019,525.50-00-24,229,50Iredell42,326.0019,071.753,157.00218,721.9037,977.006,137.00773.00298,163.65Jackson11,391.007,364.861,530.0086,435.003-000-106,720.86Johnston43,541.5028,270.008,726.25273,352.9238,631.003,578.00756.00366,855.67									
Hyde2,859.001,760.0085.0019,525.50-000-24,229,50Iredell42,326.0019,071.753,157.00218,721.9037,977.006,137.00773.00298,163.65Jackson11,391.007,364.861,530.0086,435.003-000-106,720.86Johnston43,541.5028,270.008,726.25273,352.9238,631.003,578.00756.00366,855.67									
Iredell42,326.0019,071.753,157.00218,721.9037,977.006,137.00773.00298,163.65Jackson11,391.007,364.861,530.0086,435.003-00-106,720.86Johnston43,541.5028,270.008,726.25273,352.9238,631.003,578.00756.00366,855.67		,							
Jackson         11,391.00         7,364.86         1,530.00         86,435.003         -0-         -0-         106,720.86           Johnston         43,541.50         28,270.00         8,726.25         273,352.923         8,631.00         3,578.00         756.00         366,855.67									
Johnston 43,541.50 28,270.00 8,726.25 273,352.923 8,631.00 3,578.00 756.00 366,855.67									
Jones 6,573.00 3,158.00 475.00 37,667.653 -0- 520.00 -0- 48,393.65									
	Jones	6,573.00	3,158.00	475.00	37,667.653	-0-	520.00	-0-	48,393.65

\* Facility and jail fees are distributed to the respective counties and municipalities which furnished the facilities. If the officer who made the arrest or served the process was employed by a municipality, the officer fee is distributed to the municipality; otherwise all officer fees are distributed to the respective counties. By provision of the State Constitution, fines and forfeitures collected by the courts within a county are distributed to the county for support of the public schools.

## AMOUNTS OF FEES, FINES AND FORFEITURES COLLECTED BY THE COURTS AND DISTRIBUTED TO COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES\* July 1, 1978 — June 30, 1979

		Distributed to Counties			Distri			
	Facility	Officer	Jail	Fines and	Facility	Officer	Jail	<del>~</del> `
	Fees	Fees	Fees	Forfeitures	Fees	Fees	Fees	Total
Lee	26,681.00	13,418.15	6,361.00	97,197.91	-0-	4,889.00	-0-	148,547,06
Lee	45,658.00		6,525.00	202,789.25	-0-	4,889.00 5,740.00	-0-	
Lincoln	20,921,00	15,855.00	418.00	66.621.00	-0-	-	-0-	276,567,25
Macon	,				-0-	442.00		100,974.00
	10,038.00	6,269.96	600.00	101,050,80	-0-	427.00	-0-	118,385,76
Madison	6,900.00	4,362.00	1,234.00	33,335.00	-0- -0-	48.00	-0-	45,879.00
Martin	18,285.00	10,608.00	380.00	85,426,50	-0-	1,412.00	-0-	116,111,50
McDowell	21,604.55	12,443.75	5,077.00	141,905.73		974.00	-0-	182,005.03
Mecklenburg	268,350.75	104,637.05	68.00	986,036.45	-0-	54,113.00	-0-	1,413.205.25
Mitchell	5,228.00	3,017.00	891.00	26,708,00	-0-	350.00	-0-	36,194.00
Montgomery	20,722.00	14,406.00	4,310.00	62,707.40	-0-	611,00	-0-	102,756,40
Moore	28,658.00	20,289.00	1,960.00	165,782.55	5,632.00	2,716.00	666.00	225.703.55
Nash	30, 196.63	24,533.02	5,221.00	212,686.62	15,697.00	5,720.00	1,65.00	295,713,27
New Hanover	75,116.25	17,536.30	9,755.00	371,945.97	-0-	14,860.00	570.00	489,783.52
Northampton	20,923.00	13,890.00	2,433.00	112,971.68	-0-	742.00	-0-	150,959.68
Onslow	74,255.12	35,653.71	29,741.10	518,355,17	-0-	6,542.00	-0-	664,547.10
Orange	31,162.00	15,508.00	2,252.95	177,679,06	8,756.00	8,433.97	180.00	243,961.98
Pamlico	5,315.00	3,332.00	1,240.00	45,960,94	-0-	-0-	-0-	55,847.94
Pasquotank	18,868.00	6,777.00	1,530.00	122,785.00	-0-	4,369.00	-0-	154,329.00
Pender	15,452.50	8,345.00	3,220.00	115,788.88	-0-	1,130.00	-0-	143,936.38
Perquimans	5,895.00	3,028.00	1,020.00	37,829,70	-0-	626,00	-0-	48,398.70
Person	17,648.00	6,475.00	1,890.00	88,495.50	900.00	2,066.00	-0-	117,474.50
Pitt	57,919.00	19,250.95	7,138.00	283,196.64	6,58.00	14,161.00,	1,007.00	389,130,59
Polk	8,322.90	5,431.00	2,040.00	73,550.50	306.00	-0-	89,650.40	
Randolph	40,178.00	29,502.59	4,159.00	159,565.84	1,288.00	2,889.00	-0-	237,582,43
Richmond	32,360.20	15,191.00	5,031.00	156,552.90	-0-	1,571.00	-0-	210,706.10
Robeson	61,352.5	38,062.78	14,367.00	443,357,88	10,815.00	21,847.00	1,941.00	591,743,16
Rockingham	38,179.00	21,679.00	4,277.00	219,270.60	13,489.00	9,358.00	456.00	306,708.60
Rowan	51,010.00	30,236.28	3,809.50	214,135.05	-0-	6,246.00	-0-	305,436.83
Rutherford	21,237.00	9,106.00	6,239.80	126,162.75	-0-	1,604,00	-0-	164,349.55
Sampson	48,502.18	32,448.00	8,061.00	219,603.83	-0-	1,912.00	-0-	310,527.01
Scotland	26,058.00	13,947.00	4,631.00	134,894.00	-0-	3,388.00	-0-	182,918.00
Stanly	31,533.00	10,680.00	4,017.00	156,879.22	-0-	3,202.00	-0-	206,311.22
Stokes	16,399.00	9,246.70	2,050.00	65,501.50	-0-	396.00	-0-	93,773.20
Surry	36,241.00	24,853.38	3,215.00	175,419.65	1,176.00	4,932.00	710.00	246,547.03
Swain	7,334.00	3,904.75	2,081.00	43,646.00	-0-	146.00	-0-	57,111.75
	12,626.00	8,669.35	1,696.00	54,172.33	-0-	922.00	-0-	78,085.68
Transylvania					-0-	-0-	-0-	
Tyrrell	2,386.00	1,442.00	200.00	8,718.16	-0-	3,778.00	-0-	12,746.16
Union	36,225.00	21,794.00	7,246.35	192,173.69				261,217.04
Vance	25,495.00	9,858.00	2,657.00	95,995.00	-0-	2,523.00	-0-	136,528,00
Wake	225,324.33	42,634.00	25,928.69	835,033.47	3,161.00	76,149.90	547.00	1,208,778.39
Watren	11,391.85	6,676.00	1,063.0	41,648.00	-0-	298.00	-0-	61,076.85
Washington	9,368.00	5,479.00	290.00	45,417.00	-0-	518.00	-0-	61,072.00
Watauga	16,896.20	9,085.00	2,773.00	99,321.25	-0-	1,848.00	-0-	129,923.45
Wayne	66,286.00	21,816.00	4,415.00	247,685.10	1,619.00	10,009.00	-0-	351,830.10
Wilkes	36,571.50	16,778.57	6,015.00	141,664.45	-0-	478.00	-0-	201,507.52
Wilson	37,032.00	24,139.74	6,725.00	173,279.67	-0-	8,731.090	-0-	259,906.91
Yadkin	16,771.21	7,795.00	1,825.00	64,119.00	-0-	628.00	-0-	91,138,21
Yancey	6,600.00	4,719.00	1,555.00	28,382.00	-0-	312,00	-0-	41,568.00
STATE TOTALS	\$3,689.187.01	\$1,619,218.04	\$476,032.80 \$	617,703,927.78	\$168,726.50	\$621,035.62	\$17,634.00	\$24,295,761.75

\* Facility and jail fees are distributed to the respective counties and municipalities which furnished the facilities. If the officer who made the arrest or served the process was employed by a municipality, the officer fee is distributed to the municipality; otherwise all officer fees are distributed to the respective counties. By provision of the State Constitution, fines and forfeitures collected by the courts within a county are distributed to the county for support of the public schools.

## COST AND CASE DATA ON REPRESENTATION OF INDIGENTS July 1, 1978 — June 30, 1979

The State provides legal counsel for indigent persons in a variety of actions and proceedings, as specified in the North Carolina General Statutes, Section 7A-450 *et seq.* These include criminal proceedings, judicial hospitalization proceedings, juvenile proceedings which may result in commitment to an institution or transfer to superior court for trial as an adult. Legal representation for indigents may be by assignment of private counsel, by assignment of special public counsel (involving mental hospital commitments), or by assignment of a public defender.

Five of North Carolina's judicial districts have an office of public defender: Districts 12, 18, 26, 27A, and 28. The other 28 districts utilize only assignments of private counsel. Private counsel may also be assigned in the five districts which have a public defender in the event of a conflict of interests involving the public defender's office and the indigent and in the event of unusual circumstances when, in the opinion of the court, the proper administration of justice requires the assignment of private counsel rather than the public defender in those cases.

In addition, the State provides a full-time special counsel at each of the State's four mental hospitals, to represent patients in commitment or recommitment hearings before a district court judge. Under North Carolina law, each patient committed to a mental hospital is entitled to a judicial hearing (before a district court judge) within 90 days after the initial commitment, a further hearing within 180 days after the initial commitment, and thereafter a hearing once each year during the continuance of an involuntary commitment.

Finally, the State provides a guardian *ad litem* for children alleged in juvenile petitions to be neglected unless the court finds that the child is not in need of and cannot benefit from such representation.<sup>1</sup> By statute the guardian *ad litem* is a licensed attorney and is compensated for his services in the same way as compensation is provided for representation of an indigent person.

The cost of the entire program of indigent representation, rounded to the nearest dollar, was \$6,124,288 in the 1978-79 fiscal year, compared to \$5,162,652 in the 1977-78 fiscal year, an increase of 18.6 percent. The total amount expended for representation of indigents was 9.8% of total Judicial Department expenditures in the 1978-79 fiscal year.

Following is a summary of case and cost data for representation of indigents, for the fiscal year, July 1, 1978 through June 30, 1979.

<sup>1</sup>G.S. 7A-283. Effective January 1, 1980, this section will be repealed and replaced by a new section, G.S. 7A-546, which will provide for the appointment of a guardian *ad litem* in all cases in which a petition alleges either neglect or "abuse." 1979 Session Laws, Chapter 815.

#### COST AND CASE DATA ON REPRESENTATION OF INDIGENTS July 1, 1978 - June 30, 1979

Assigned Private Counsel	Number of Cases	Total Cost	Average Cost Per Case
Capital offense cases	171	\$ 185,236	\$1,083.25
Adult cases (other than capital)	24,920	4,080,358	163.74
Juvenile cases	2,500	150,573	60.23
As guardian <i>ad litem</i> for juveniles	1,407	152,328	108.26
Totals	28,998	\$4,568,495	\$ 157.55
Public Defender Offices			
District 12	1,520	\$ 238,394	\$ 156.84
District 18	2,453	295,651	120.53
District 26	4,322	284,572	65.84
District 27A	1,169	188,674	161.40
District 28	1,508	142,489	94.49
Totals	10,972	\$1,149,780	\$ 104.79
Special counsel at mental hospitals		\$ 162,354	
Transcripts, records and briefs		\$ 238,320	
Expert witness fees		\$ 5,339	
Grand Total		\$6,124,288	

As previously noted, private counsel may be utilized to represent indigents in those districts which have a public defender. Following is a comparison of case and cost data of the public defender offices with that of assigned private counsel in the five districts which have a public defender.

	I	Public Defender	s	Assigned Private Counsel			
	Number of Cases	Total Cost	Average Case Cost	Number of Cases	Total Cost	Average Case Cost	
District 12	1,520	\$ 238,394	\$156.84	202	\$ 56,831	\$281.34	
District 18	2,453	295,651	120.53	489	64,085	131.05	
District 26	4,322	284,572	65.84	911	167,082	183.41	
District 27A	1,169	188,674	161.40	88	19,174	217,89	
District 28	1,508	142,489	94.49	121	20,947	173.12	
Totals	10,972	\$1,149,780	\$104.79	1,811	\$328,119	\$181.08	

The total cost of providing special counsel at each of the State's four mental hospitals, to represents patients in commitment or recommitment hearings, was \$162,354 for the 1978-79 fiscal year. There were a total of 10,575 hearings held during the year, for an average cost per hearing of \$15.35. The following presents data on the hearings held at each of the mental hospitals in 1978-79. The total number of hearings held in 1978-79 represents a decrease of less than one percent compared to the 10,588 hearings held in 1977-78.

Initial Hearings resulting in:	Broughton	Cherry	Dorothea Dix	John Umstead	Totals
Commitment to hospital	501	1,331	411	657	2,900
Commitment to outpatient clinic	43	183	3	77	306
Discharge	2,257	1,247	627	1,190	5,321
Totals	2,801	2,761	1,041	1,924	8,527
First Rehearings resulting in:					
Commitment to hospital	54	148	105	192	499
Commitment to outpatient clinic	1 .	0	0	9	10
Discharge	81	60	30	138	309
Totals	136	208	135	339	818
Second or Subsequent Rehearings resulting in:			·		
Commitment to hospital	85	275	404	282	1,046
Commitment to outpatient clinic	0	0	0	1	1
Discharge	23	21	17	56	117
Totals	108	296	421	339	1,164
Modification of Prior Order Hearings resulting in	:				
Commitment to hospital		27	0	2	31
Commitment to outpatient clinic	23	3	0	0	6
Discharge	0	29	. 0	0	29
Totals	5	59	0	2	66
Total Hearings or Rehearings resulting in:					
Commitment to hospital	642	1,781	920	1,133	4,476
Commitment to outpatient clinic	47	186	3	87	323
Discharge	2,361	1,357	674	1,384	5,776
Totals	3,050	3,324	1,597	2,604	10,575

The following table compares the number of assigned private counsel cases and expenditures in each county and judicial district for fiscal years 1977-78 and 1978-79. There was a substantial increase in the number of cases for the State as a whole, from 26,026 cases in 1977-78 to 28,998 cases in 1978-79, an increase of 11.4%. Expenditures increased by 21.9%, from \$3,748,334 in 1977-78 to \$4,368,495 in 1978-79. By far the largest increases in both the number of cases handled by assigned private counsel and expenditures for the services occurred in District 27B, where the number of cases rose by nearly 600% and expenditures increased by over 350%. This is due to the fact that prior to the division of Districts 27A and 27B on July 1, 1978, the public defender's office which now serves only District 27A provided representation for indigents in District 27B as well.

## ASSIGNED COUNSEL — NUMBERS OF CASES AND EXPENDITURES Fiscal Years 1977-78 and 1978-79

	Number o		% Increase		Expendit		% Increase
District 1	1977-78	1978-79	or Decrease		1977-78	1978-79	or Decrease
Camden Chowan Currituck Dare Gates Pasquotank Perquimans District Totals	11 54 62 26 12 147 45 357	20 61 58 61 30 158 47 435	$\begin{array}{r} + 81.8\% \\ + 13.0\% \\ - 6.5\% \\ + 134.6\% \\ + 150.0\% \\ + 7.5\% \\ + 4.4\% \\ + 21.8\% \end{array}$	\$ \$	10,141 9,193 4,807 1,723 18,626 7,482	<ul> <li>3,572</li> <li>11,235</li> <li>10,828</li> <li>14,402</li> <li>5,838</li> <li>23,370</li> <li>9,185</li> <li>78,430</li> </ul>	+ 151.5% + 10.8% + 17.8% + 199.6% + 238.8% + 25.5% + 22.8% + 46.9%
<u>District 2</u> Beaufort	177	178	+ 0.6%	S	24,850	\$ 29,948	+ 20.5%
Hyde Martin Tyrrell Washington District Totals	10 92 11 53 343	16 122 19 49 384	$\begin{array}{r} + & 0.0\% \\ + & 60.0\% \\ + & 32.6\% \\ + & 72.7\% \\ - & 7.5\% \\ + & 12.0\% \end{array}$	\$	1,755 16,897 1,144 6,494 51,140	2,624 16,223 2,355 7,477 58,627	$\begin{array}{r} + 20.3 \\ + 49.56 \\ - 4.06 \\ + 105.96 \\ + 15.16 \\ + 14.66 \end{array}$
<u>District 3</u> Carteret Craven Pamlico Pitt	208 287 32 637	204 377 33 680	$\begin{array}{rrrr} - & 1.9\% \\ + & 31.4\% \\ + & 3.1\% \\ + & 6.8\% \end{array}$	S	36,951 33,598 3,235 104,711	\$ 32,867 64,466 4,672 140,515	- 11.1% + 91.9% + 44.4% + 34.2%
District Totals	1,164	1,294	+ 11.2%	\$		\$ 242,520	+ 35.9%
<u>District 4</u> Duplin Jones Onslow Sampson District Totals	198 74 670 260 <b>1,202</b>	183 92 633 277 1,185	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	\$ 	10,126 89,976 45,638	\$ 39,405 14,698 119,004 51,213 \$ 224,320	+ 16.9% + 45.2% + 32.3% + 12.2% + 25.0%
District 5							
New Hanover Pender District Totals	436 66 <b>502</b>	454 54 508	+ 4.1% - 18.2% + <b>1.2</b> %	\$	8,680	\$ 101,470 8,534 \$ 110,004	- 0.9% - 1.7% - 1.0%
<u>District 6</u> Bertie Halifax Hertford Northampton District Totals	144 359 164 85 <b>752</b>	113 350 156 67 <b>686</b>	- 21.5% - 2.5% - 4.9% - 21.2% - 8.8%	\$ \$	16,522 45,359 24,486 14,421 100,788	\$ 14,295 48,214 19,521 9,286 \$ 91,316	- 13.5% + 6.3% - 20.3% - 35.6% - 9.4%
<u>District 7</u> Edgecombe Nash Wilson District Totals	328 284 350 <b>962</b>	441 393 383 1,217	+ 34.5% + 38.4% + 9.4% + 26.5%	s	54,731 72,583	\$ 87,228 77,254 73,408 \$ 237,890	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

	Number of Cases		% Increase			Expend	% Increase	
District 8	1977-78	1978-79	or Decrease			1977-78	1978-79	or Decrease
Greene	68	71	+ 4.4%		\$	8,079	\$ 12,300	+ 52.2%
Lenoir	422	558	+ 32.2%		ų	50,491	67,926	+ 34.5%
Wayne	548	707	+ 29.0%			73,067	108,414	+ 48.4%
District Totals	1,038	1,336	+ 28.7%		\$		\$ 188,640	+ 43.3%
District 9								
Franklin	169	180	+ 6.5%		\$		\$ 29,569	+ 33.6%
Granville	266	210	- 21.1%			34,702	36,867	+ 6.2%
Person	196	134	- 31.6%			26,488	25,196	- 4.9%
Vance	309	287	- 7.1%			38,675	42,965	+ 11.1%
Warren District Totals	106	115 926	+ 8.5% - 11.5%		S	13,628	16,921	+ 24.2% + 11.7%
District Totals	1,046	940	- 11.5%		Э	135,632	\$ 151,518	+ 11.770
District 10								
Wake	1,814	1,897	+ 4.6%		\$	208,212	\$ 271,290	+ 30.3%
District 11								
Harnett	171	236	+ 38.0%		. \$	24,866	\$ 37,448	+ 50.6%
Johnston	451	491	+ 8.9%			38,717	48,198	+2 4.5%
Lee	163	224	+ 37.4%			20,818	27,004	+ 29,7%
District Totals	785	951	+ 21.1%		\$	84,401	\$ 112,650	+ 33.5%
District 12								
Cumberland	100	100	0.00			14.550	e co ao i	
Hoke	180 30	180	0.0% - 26.7%		\$	44,578 3,470	\$ 53,731 3,100	+ 20.5% - 10.7%
District Totals	210	202	- 20.7% - 3.8%		\$		\$ 56,831	-10.76 + 18.3%
District Totals	210	202	- 0°Q46		3	40,040	\$ 20,021	T 10.5%
District 13								
Bladen	186	228	+ 22.6%		S	25,589	\$ 29,173	+ 14.0%
Brunswick	142	117	- 17.6%		ψ	20,100	17,552	- 12.7%
Columbus	386	471	+ 22.0%			50,063	57,501	+ 14.9%
District Totals	714	816	+ 14.3%		\$		\$ 104,226	+ 8.8%
District 14								
Durham	1,415	1,401	- 1.0%		\$	208,594	\$ 228,282	+ 9.4%
District 15A								
	500	(22			<b>.</b>	100.001	¢ 102.007	
Alamance	583	622	+ 6.7%		\$	100,824	\$ 103,095	+ 2.3%
District 15B								
Chatham	106	115	+ 8.5%		S	19,922	\$ 17,913	- 10.1%
Orange	334	459	+ 37.4%		3	56,775	3 17,913 93,152	-10.1% + 64.1%
District Totals	440	574	+ 30.5%		S		\$ 111,065	+ 44.8%
		01-1			ų.	101021	\$ 111,00D	1 1100
District 16								
Robeson	673	697	+ 3.6%		S	107,371	\$ 338,943	+ 10.8%
Seotland	234	260	+ 11.19		-	30,095	36,314	+ 20.7%
District Totals	907	957	+ 5.5%		\$	137,466	\$ 155,257	+ 12.9%

## ASSIGNED COUNSEL — NUMBERS OF CASES AND EXPENDITURES Fiscal Years 1977-78 and 1978-79

60

# ASSIGNED COUNSEL — NUMBERS OF CASES AND EXPENDITURES Fiscal Years 1977-78 and 1978-79

	Number o	f Cases	% Increase	Expend		% Increase
	1977-78	1978-79	or Decrease	1977-78	1978-79	or Decrease
District 17						
Caswell	88	117	+ 33.0%	\$ 15,594	\$ 24,515	+ 57.2%
Rockingham	454	428	- 5.7%	73,382	73,387	+ 0.0%
Stokes	116	82	- 29.3%	20,449	12,949	- 36.7°e
Surry	331	347	+ 4.8%	47,772	56,073	+ 17.4% + 6.2%
District Totals	989	974	- 1.5%	\$ 157,197	\$ 166,924	τ 0,4%
District 18						
Guilford	267	489	+ 83.1%	\$ 64,085	\$ 110,285	+ 72.1%
District 19A						
Cabarrus	436	487	+ 11.7%	\$ 63,423	87,677	+ 38.2%
Rowan	735	838	+ 14.0%	76,102	95,557	+ 25.6%
District Totals	1,171	1,325	+ 13.2%	\$ 139,525	\$ 183,234	+ 31.3%
District 19B						
Montgomery	182	165	- 9.3%	\$ 26,491	\$ 24,467	- 7.6°č
Randolph	281	367	+ 30.6%	42,672	83,354	+ 95.3% + 55.9%
District Totals	463	532	+ 14.9%	\$ 69,163	\$ 107,821	T 33.9%
District 20						
Anson	231	244	+ 5.6%	\$ 34,483	\$ 34,778	+ 0.95
Moore	243	318	+ 30.9%	28,903	39,128	+ 35,49 + 44.8%
Richmond	305	418	+ 37.0%	37,702 34,707	54,577 48,562	+ 39.9%
Stanly	203	322 390	+ 58.6% - 1.3%	50,663	43,851	- 13,4%
Union District Totals	395 1,377	1,692	- 1.39 + 22.9%	\$ 186,458	\$ 220,896	+ 18.5%
District Totals	1,011	1,072	a second de		•	
District 21		•		m 040 005	© 371 508	+ 13.0%
Forsyth	2,053	2,245	+ 9.4%	\$ 240,385	\$ 271.590	τ 13.0%
District 22						
Alexander	130	95	- 26,9%	\$ 26,371	\$ 19,893	- 24.6%
Davidson	473	480	+ 1.5%	71,091	75,363 15,238	+ 6,0% + 66,1%
Davie	59	85	+ 44.1%	9,176 50,254	51,180	+ 1,8%
Iredell	357	338 998	-5.3% -2.1%	\$ 156,892	\$ 161,674	+ 3.0%
District Totals	1,019	990	- 2.1%	U 1000072		
District 23				n	(r. + ) ~ ~	L 63 300
Alleghany	24	26	+ 8.3%	\$ 2,525	\$ 4,127 9,495	+ 63.4% - 62.4%
Ashe	111	88	- 20.7%	25,252 28,126		+ 16.0%
Wilkes	194	287	+ 47.9% - 30.4%	15,289	10,018	- 34.5%
Yadkin District Totals	79 408	103 504	- 30.4% + 23.5%	\$ 71,192		- 21.0%
District Founs	400	210 <sup>14</sup>				

	Number of Cases		% Increase		Exper	iditures	% Increase
Distant 24	1977-78	1978-79	or Decrease		1977-7	8 1978-79	
District 24							
Avery Madison	80 46	103 67	+ 28.8% + 45.7%		\$ 11,322	2 \$ 21,330	- 83.4%
Mitchell	39	71	+ 43.7% + 82.1%		12,360 3,783	5 8,149 3 12,100	- 34.1% +219.9%
Watauga	105	112	+ 6.7%		11,760		+ 49.6%
Yancey	52	34	- 34.6%		5,375		- 21.5%
District Totals	322	387	+ 20.2%		\$ 44,600		+ 42.1%
District 25							
Burke	200	170			a		
Caldwell	389 370	472 409	+ 21.3% + 10.5%		\$ 53,087		+ 56.6%
Catawba	634	603	-4.9%		47,289 82,672		+ 30.8% + 9.3%
District Totals	1,393	1,484	+ 6.5%		\$ 183,048		+ 28.6%
District 26	•						
<u>District 26</u>		0.1.1					
Mecklenburg	792	911	+ 15.0%		\$ 135,621	\$ 167,082	+ 23.2%
District 27A							
Gaston	91	88	- 3.3%		\$ 14,098	8 \$ 19,174	+ 36.0%
District 27B							
Cleveland	37	285	+670.3%		<b>\$ 9,99</b> 6		+397.0%
Lincoln District Tetals	31	184	+493.5%		6,492		+300.5%
District Totals	68	469	+589.7%		\$ 16,488	\$ 75,679	+359.0%
District 28							
Buncombe	80	121	+ 51.3%		\$ 11,021	\$ 20,947	+ 90.1%
•							
District 29							
Henderson	226	334	+ 47.8%		\$ 30,376		+ 48.4%
McDowell Polk	199	193	- 3.0%		25,695		+ 4.7%
Rutherford	64 171	48	-25.0% + 9.9%		10,324		- 44.6%
Transylvania	93	111	+ 19.4%		22,159 13,184		+ 7.3% - 1.2%
District Totals	753	874	+ 16.1%		\$ 101,738		+ 12.5%
Distant of 20							
District 30							
Cherokee	107	79	- 26.2%		\$ 12,759		- 11.2%
Clay	14	21	+ 50.0%		1,633		+205.3%
Graham	21	18	- 14.3%		2,760		- 12.5%
Haywood Jackson	178 79	240	+ 34.8%		24,609		+ 24.2%
Macon	79 90	52 76	- 34.2%		13,125		- 50.4%
Swain	57	28	- 15.6% - 50.9%		10,602 7,769		-33.7% -36.6%
District Totals	546	514	- <b>5.9</b> %		\$ 73,257		- 30.0% - 7.5%
STATE TOTALS	26,026	28,998	+ 11.4%		\$3,748,334	\$4,568,495	+ 21.9%
		,0	,		~~,·~~,/////	04,000,400	1 41.7/0

## ASSIGNED COUNSEL — NUMBERS OF CASES AND EXPENDITURES Fiscal Years 1977-78 and 1978-79

# JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT PERSONNEL (Positions and salaries authorized as of June 30, 1979)

Positions authorized		Salary ranges
7 23 7	SUPREME COURT Justices Staff Personnel (Clerk's and Reporter's offices, law clerks, library staff) Secretarial personnel	\$47,000 - \$48,000* \$ 6,180 - \$32,760 \$12,276 - \$12,840
12 28 14	COURT OF APPEALS Judges Staff personnel Secretarial personnel	\$44,500 - \$45,500* \$ 6,180 - \$26,124 \$11,736 - \$12,276
66 63 33	SUPERIOR COURT Judges Staff personnel Secretarial personnel	\$39,500* \$12,276 - \$20,376 \$ 7,608 - \$10,296
127 589 33 4	DISTRICT COURT Judges Magistrates Staff personnel Secretarial personnel	\$32,000 - \$33,250* \$ 2,0*2 - \$12,168* \$ 8,664 - \$11,736 \$ 7,608 - \$11,232
33 222 58	DISTRICT ATTORNEYS District Attorneys Staff personnel Secretarial personnel	\$36,750* \$10,296 - \$32,556 \$ 7,608 - \$11,232
100 1,387 7	CLERKS OF SUPERIOR COURT Clerks of Superior Court Staff personnel Secretarial personnel	\$13,000 - \$31,000* \$ 6,960 - \$19,404 \$ 7,608 - \$11,232
5 48 4 4	INDIGENT REPRESENTATION Public Defenders Staff personnel Special counsel at mental hospitals Secretarial personnel	\$36,750* \$ 7,608 - \$24,504 \$14,508 - \$23,748 \$ 7,608 - \$ 9,444
281 50	JUVENILE PROBATION AND AFTERCARE Court counselors Secretarial personnel	\$10,296 - \$19,404 \$  7,608 - \$  9,864
1 1 92	ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS Administrative Officer of the Courts Assistant Administrative Officer of the Courts Staff personnel	\$42,000* \$30,000* \$ 6,180 - \$36,060

\* 1977 Session Laws, Second (1978) Session, Ch. 1136.

# <sup>°</sup> PART IV

# COURTS CASELOAD DATA

• Appellate Division

m

50

0

ß

R

Č

- Superior Court Division
- District Court Division

 $\mathcal{O}$ 

### COURTS CASELOAD DATA

This part of the Annual Report has been designed to summarize the fiscal year 1978-79 numerically by displaying pertinent court data on a district-by-district and county-by-county basis. The statistics presented in this section have been recorded and calculated from reports submitted to the Administrative Office of the Courts by the clerks of superior court across the state. For ease in reference, this part is subdivided into an appellate division section, a superior court division section, and a district court division section.

The appellate division receives as much coverage as present record-reporting will allow. The expanded Supreme Court section includes detailed accounts of the activities of that court that have not been available in previous years. The time period covered by the Supreme Court data does not coincide with the fiscal-year frame of the rest of the report, but is sufficiently close to that for the superior or district courts that the time difference is not material.

The data on the superior court and district court divisions parallel each other in terms of organization. Total caseloads in each division are subdivided into criminal and civil categories. A fairly comprehensive analytical summary is then presented which provides an overview of court activities by utilizing three basic tables: a caseload summary table, a manner of disposition table, and an aging table. The caseload summary tables provide a picture of caseflow over the year; items recorded in this table include number of cases pending at the beginning of the year, number of new cases filed, number of cases disposed of during the year, and number of cases left pending at the close of the year. The manner of disposition tables depict a breakdown of all cases disposed of. The types of dispositions included in these tables depend upon the case category in question. The aging tables serve a dual purpose in that ages of cases pending on June 30, 1979, as well as ages of all cases disposed of during the year, appear in the same table for a given case category. Appropriate summary statistics, such as average age and median age, accompany counts or percentages of cases within specified age groupings. Graphics interspersed throughout the data tables depict the table data on a statewide basis. Trend graphs over five or ten year period accompany the caseload summary tables, and pie charts and bar charts display various summaries for the present fiscal year.

On the whole, the types of data presented in the caseload summary section of this Annual Report differ very little from data recorded in North Carolina Annual Reports in previous years. The format, however, has undergone substantial changes, a few new summary statistics have been calculated for various tables, and several graphs are included to provide visual summaries.

# Part IV, Section 1

0

G

Ser. et

B

Ŷ

0

 $\bigcirc$ 

# Appellate Division Caseload Data

g. .

### THE SUPREME COURT

The North Carolina Supreme Court is the court of last resort in the state and, as such, is responsible for reviewing many decisions of the lower courts, including the North Carolina Court of Appeals. The granting of review in a given case depends upon the nature of the case and whether the parties have complied with the requirements of pertinent statutes and rules of court. Review as a matter of right is granted by the General Statutes in criminal cases in which the sentence imposed is life imprisonment or death and in any decision of the Court of Appeals in which a dissenting vote is cast. These two types of appeals comprise the majority of the court's caseload. There are other statutes which provide for an appeal of right to the Supreme Court conditional upon the existence of certain circumstances, and there are various methods by which the court's power of discretionary review may be sought. The purpose of any review by the Supreme Court is to determine whether errors of law have been committed by the lower court. Review may be in the form of hearing oral arguments and reading records and briefs prepared by the parties, as in statutory appeals of right, or in the form of considering petitions for discretionary review without oral argument. The court may order the filing of new briefs and oral arguments in any matter under its consideration.

All Supreme Court caseload data is recorded by term: the court sits in two terms per year, Spring Term and Fall Term. Since the Administrative Office of the Courts reports data on a fiscal year basis (July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979 for the 1978-79 fiscal year), Supreme Court data recorded here is for Fall Term 1978 (September 5, 1978-February 5, 1979) and Spring Term 1979 (February 6, 1979-September 4, 1979) as a reasonable approximation of the time period represented by a fiscal year. The data are divided into two categories for presentation below, cases and petitions. As the term is used here, a "case" is a matter before the court for determination or decision on one or more issues of law, and a "petition" is a request that the court accept a particular matter for consideration and decision on one or more issues of law.

#### Cases

One hundred thirty-three cases were docketed during the Fall Term and 124 during the Spring Term for a total of 257 cases for the year. The caseload summary that follows summarizes the actions of the court upon those cases:

	Fall Term	Spring Term	Total
Cases brought forward from previous terms	21	48*	69*
Cases docketed during current term	112	76	188
Total cases before the court	133	124	257
Cases withdrawn or dismissed	15	8	23
Opinions rendered	68	94	162
Cases carried forward to next term	50	19*	69*

\*For the first time in recent history, the court called cases for argument in the month of January. Certain cases which had already been docketed to the Spring Term were heard in January, which was still the Fall Term 1978. Three of those cases were decided before the Fall Term 1978 ended.

A detailed description of the cases before the Supreme Court for the Fall and Spring Terms illustrates the different types of cases before the Supreme Court during the 1978-79 year.

		Fall Term 1978	Spring Term 1979			
Type of Case	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal	Total
Advisari cases*	7	14	21	21	27	48
Life sentence		31	31	· · · ·	32	32
Death sentence		3	3		б	6
Dissent in Court of Appeals	16	6	22	- 4	5	9
Substantial constitutional question	2	7	9	5	2	7
Petiton for discretionary review						
of decision of Court of Appeals,						
allowed	25	. 9	34	8	4	12
Petition for discretionary review						
prior to determination by Court						
of Appeals, allowed	6	1	7	7	0	7
Petition for writ of certiorari	- 1	1	2	1	0	1
Petition to rehear	·		0	· •		0
Judicial Standard Commission						
recommendation			1			0
On mandate from U.S. Supreme						
Court		· · · · · ·	0			2
Other statutory appeals of right	2	1	3	0	0	0
Total cases		-	133	-	-	124
			155			1.24

\*Advisari cases are those cases carried forward to the current term from a previous term.

The court rendered 162 opinions during the 1978-79 year, 68 during the Fall Term and 94 during the Spring Term. These opinions may affirm, modify, or reverse

decisions made by lower courts, or combinations of these methods may occur. A summary of the 1978-79 opinions is related below by term:

Opinion	Fall Term 1978	Spring Term 1979	Total
Affirmed	41	54	95
Reversed	13	20	33
New Trial	5	13	18
Vacated and remanded	1	1	2
Affirmed in part and			
remanded	2	0	2
Order of removal of a judge	1	0	1
Affirmed in part and reversed			
in part	4	3	7
Modified and affirmed	1	3	4
Total opinions	68	94	162
SUPREME COURT CASELOAD SUMMARIES---1977-78 AND 1978-79



70

#### THE SUPREME COURT

#### Petitions

Two hundred two petitions were docketed during Fall Term 1978 and 297 during Spring Term 1979 for a total of 499 petitions during the 1978-79 year. Petitions may be subdivided by type for each term to afford a better picture of the workload. The table that follows contains this breakdown:

		Fall Term 1978		S	Spring Term 197	)	
Type of Petition	Civil	Criminal	Total	Civil	Criminal	Total	
Discretionary review of decision of							
Court of Appeals	83	48	131	143	63	206	
Allowed	10	9	19	17	4	21	
Discretionary review prior to							
decision by Court of Appeals	9	0	9	10	0	10	
Allowed	5	0	5	5	0	5	
Petition for writ of certiorari	8	13	21	6	31	37	
Allowed	5	2	7	3	3	6	
Habeas corpus		2	2	· · · · · · · · ·	1	1	
Allowed			. 0			0	
Supersedeas			14	2	0	2	
Allowed			0	0	0	0	
Mandamus or prohibition	1	0	1	1	2 1	3	
Allowed	0	0	0	. 1	0	1	
Application for further review	0	24	24	0	38	38	
Allowed	0	1	1	0	0	0	
On mandate from U.S. Supreme							
Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total petitions docketed			202			297	
Total petitions allowed			32			33	

The bar chart that follows presents a comparison of the 1977-78 year with the 1978-79 year in terms of cases and petitions docketed, opinions filed, and petitions allowed. For each category, there is an increase in the 1978-79 year over the prior year: for cases docketed there is an increase of 8.1%; for opinions filed the increase is 9.5%; for petitions docketed, the increase is 46.3%; and the number of petitions allowed rose by 20.8%.

#### THE COURT OF APPEALS

For the fiscal year July 1, 1978 through June 30, 1979 the Court of Appeals reported dispositions in a total of 1,114 cases. A total of 671 published opinions were filed, of which 230 were in criminal cases and 441 were in civil cases, including appeals from the Insur-

ance Commissioner, the Industrial Commission, and the Utilities Commission.

Dispositions in a total of 443 cases were reported without published opinions. Of these, 280 were criminal cases and 163 were civil cases.\*\*

\*\* More detailed fiscal year data on Court of Appeals activity was not available at the time of publication.

# Part IV, Section 2

a

Superior Court Division Caseload Data

#### THE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

Numbers of cases filed during the 1978-79 fiscal year increased in all categories of superior court cases. Trend graphs in this section verify increases in criminal and civil filings over recent years, and the caseload summary tables contain actual data for this fiscal year.

Pending caseload at the end of the year has continued to grow for civil cases in the superior courts, and this is the most likely case category to develop a backlog of pending cases. The median age of civil superior cases disposed during the 1978-79 year was 336.5 days; by definition, half of the civil cases were older than this when they were disposed. Present reporting systems do not provide for descriptions of civil cases, so charts and graphs in the civil section of this division do not reflect a breakdown of cases into various types.

The criminal portion of the superior court caseload is divided into felonies, original jurisdiction cases involving major crimes, and misdemeanor appeals, misdemeanor cases appealed from the district courts. The 1978-79 data reflects an increased number of filings over previous years in both categories, but felony cases continue to outweigh the appeals in volume, with felonies comprising approximately 57% of criminal superior court filings this year. Although substantially more criminal cases (54,587) were disposed this year than civil cases (11,324), criminal cases, by their nature, move through the courts much faster. The median age for felony cases disposed this year was 69.3 days, while median age for misdemeanor appeals was 61.5 days. These figures substantiate the very low number of cases reported dismissed for lack of a speedy trial.

In addition to civil and criminal cases, two other types of cases lie within the realm of superior court. The clerk of superior court has initial jurisdiction over estate and special proceeding case, although rulings made by the clerk may be appealed to a superior court judge. Estate cases involve probate of will and administration of estates, while special proceedings fall into several categories, including petitions on foreclosures, incompetency of persons to manage personal financial affairs, and involuntary commitments to mental hospitals. The coverage of estates and special proceedings in this report is abbreviated. Caseload summaries are provided, but aging tables hold little value, since these case types often require unusually long periods of time between filing and disposition.

Detailed summaries of superior court caseloads, subdivided into civil, criminal, and estate and special proceeding cases as described above, follow in the form of tables and graphs.



THE NUMBER OF FILINGS PER YEAR HAS GRADUALLY INCREASED SINCE 1969, AND DISPOSITIONS HAVE STRUGGLED TO MAINTAIN THE PACE DURING THE LAST FIVE YEARS. THE 1978-79 FILING RATE SHOWS AN 11.3% INCREASE OVER THE 1977 CALENDAR YEAR AND A 5.9% INCREASE OVER THE 1978 CALENDAR YEAR.

74

# FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 1978-79

•



The segmentation of these two pie charts is nearly identical. Dispositions as a percent of filings: Civil – 94.1%; Estates – 95.3%; Special Proceedings – 96.1%; Misdemeanors – 96.5%; and Felonies – 96.4%.

#### CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

1

	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload	Disposed	% Disposed to Caseload	Pending 6/30/79
<u>District 1</u>				·		
Camden Chowan	4	13	17	6	35.2	11
Currituck	35 25	24 38	59 63	23 23	38.9 36.5	36 40
Dare	102	52	154	56	36.3	98
Gates Pasquotank	11 37	13 56	24 93	12 49	50.0 52.6	12 44
Perquimans	10	26	36	49 14	38.8	22
District Totals	224	222	446	183	41.0	263
District 2						
Beaufort	107	55	162	71	43.8	91
Hyde	8	16	24	8	33.3	16
Martin	33	37	70	33	47.1	37
Tyrrell Washington	9 27	3 25	12 52	2 13	16.6 25.0	10 39
District Totals	184	136	320	127	39.6	193
	10.	100	320	161	55.0	155
District 3						
Carteret Craven	156 169	96 156	252 325	73 109	28.9	179
Pamlico	22	28	50	21	42.0	216 29
Pitt	166	144	310	125	40.3	185
District Totals	513	424	937	328	35.0	609
District 4						
Duplin	55	54	109	32	29.3	77
Jones Onslow	25	18	43	19	44.1	24
Sampson	131 96	148 90	279 186	112 114	40.1 61.2	167 72
District Totals	307	310	617	277	44.8	340
<u>District 5</u>						
New Hanover	225	180	405	166	40.9	239
Pender District Tctals	55 280	17 197	72 477	9	12.5	63
District 6	200	197	477	175	36.6	302
Bertie	43	24		- 4		
Halifax	79	34 68	77 147	14 40	18.1 27.2	63 107
Hertford	52	58	110	39	35.4	71
Northampton	30	30	60	20	33.3	40
District Totals	204	190	394	113	28,6	281
<u>District 7</u>						
Edgecombe	100	95	195	79	40.5	116
Nash Wilson	175 204	126	301	118	39.2	183
District Totals	479	118 339	322	158	49.0	164
	475	223	818	355	43.3	463
District 8	20	•				
Greene Lenoir	20 208	9 119	29 327	10 180	34.4 55.0 \	19 147
Wayne	291	151	442	209	47.2	233
District Totals	519	279	798	399	50.0	399
District 9						
Franklin	86	48	134	56	41.7	78
Granville	47	33	80	39	48.7	41
Person Vance	38 117	54 80	92	26	28.2	66
Warren	57	25	197 82	90: 26	45.6 31.7	107 56
District Totals	345	240	585	237	40.5	348
District 10						
Wake	1,273	990	2 252		<i></i>	
	19470	330	2,263	1,264	55,8	999

#### CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload	Disposed	% Disposed to Caseload	Pending 6/30/79
District 11	111110		Children	2.000000		0,001,12
Harnett Johnston Lee	146 170 90	75 91 76	221 261 166	97 61 82	43.8 23.3 49.3	124 200 84
District Totals	406	242	648	240	37.0	408
District 12						
Cumberland Hoke	396 19	319 4	715 23	310 11	43.3 47.8	405 12
District Totals	415	323	738	321	43.4	417
District 13						
Bladen	41	20	61	24	. 39.3	37
Brunswick Columbus	137 177	55 92	192 269	83 111	43.2 41.2	109 158
District Totals	355	167	522	218	41.7	304
District 14						
Durham	884	378	1,262	517	40.9	745
District 15A						
Alamance	124	174	298	124	41.6	174
District 15B						
Chatham	69	48	117	51	43.5	66
Orange	129	132	261	143	54.7	118
District Totals	198	180	378	194	51.3	184
<u>District 16</u>						
Robeson Scotland	80 21	82 27	162 48	71 18	43.8 37.5	91 30
District Totals	101	109	210	89	42.3	121
District 17						
Caswell	14	17	31	15	48.3	16
Rockingham	126	135	261	121	46.3	140
Stokes Surry	33 117	27 114	60 231	25 89	41.6 38.5	35 142
District Totals	290	293	583	250	42.8	333
District 18						
Guilford						
Greensboro High Point	781 282	648 164	1,429 446	543 156	37.9 34.9	886 290
District Totals	1,063	812	1,875	699	37.2	1,176
District 19A						
Cabarrus	204	95	299	101	33.7	198
Rowan	139	117	256	118	46.0	138
District Totals	343	212	555	219	39.4	336
District 19B						
Montgomery Randolph	29 137	20 133	49 270	11 117	22.4 43.3	38 153
District Totals	166	153	319	128	40.1	191
District 20						
Anson	96	34	130	39	30.0	91
Moore Richmond	84 76	102 68	186 144	54 44	29.0 30.5	132 100
Stanly	77	41	118	48	40.6	70 154
Union District Totals	106 439	113 358	219 797	65 250	29.6 31.3	154 547
SIDULICS IVENIO	700	300	121	200	0110	547

# CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload	Disposed	% Disposed to Caseload	Pending 6/30/79
District 21				Disposed	to Caseloau	0/30/79
Forsyth	796	604	1,400	626	44.7	774
District 22						
Alexander Davidson Davie Iredell	18 156 19 119	21 149 30 151	39 705 49 270	21 126 29	53.8 41.3 59.1	18 179 20
District Totals	312	281	663	136	50.3	134
District 23			003	312	47.0	351
Alleghany Ashe Wilkes	18 63 129	32 25 151	50 88 280	35 38 133	70.0 43.1 47.5	15 50
Yadkin	36	33	69	36	52.1	147 33
District Totals	246	241	487	242	49.6	245
District 24						
Avery Madison Mitchell Watauga Yancey	43 25 37 76 9	39 42 39 80 29	82 67 76 156 38	38 25 37 87 14	46.3 37.3 48.6 55.7 36.8	44 42 39 69 24
District Totals	190	229	419	201	47.9	218
District 25						
Burke Caldwell Catawba	175 115 174	148 140 276	323 255 450	124 117 222	38.3 45.8 49.3	199 138
District Totals	464	564	1,028	463	45.0	228 565
District _6					144 Q	505
Mecklenburg	1,729	1,704	3,433	1,286	37.4	2,147
District 27A						2,147
Gaston	431	379	810	339	41.8	471
District 278						
Cleveland Lincoln	83 36	167 70	250 106	92	36.8	158
District Totals	119	237	356	61 153	57.5 42.9	45
District 28				135	44.9	203
Buncombe	368	500	868	463	53,3	405
District 29				100	33,3	405
Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford	156 48 16 55	85 41 23 77	241 89 39 132	91 31 14	37.7 34.8 35.8	150 58 25
Iransylvania	52	35	87	54 33	40.9 37.9	78 54
District Totals	327	261	588	223	37.9	365
District 30 Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson Macon Swain	40 5 11 118 160 111 25	31 11 19 70 41 41 23	71 16 30 188 201 152 48	37 8 12 87 84 60	52.1 50.0 40.0 46.2 41.7 39.4	34 8 18 101 117 92 27
District Totals	470	236	48 706	21 309	43.7	
STATE TOTALS	14,564	12,034			43.7	397
		12,007	26,598	11,324	42.5	15,274



THIS GRAPH DISPLAYS AN OBVIOUS BACKLOG OF PENDING CASES. SINCE 1971, THE NUMBER OF CIVIL CASES PENDING AT THE END OF A YEAR HAS BEEN SUBSTANTIALLY GREATER THAN THE NUMBER OF CASES FILED OR DISPOSED DURING THAT TIME. SINCE 1973, DISPOSITIONS HAVE LAGGED BEHIND FILINGS.

### MANNER OF DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

District 1	Total Disposed	Judge	Jury	Clerk	Voluntary Dismissal*	Other
District 1 Camden Chowan Currituck Dare Gates Pasquotank Perquimans	6 23 23 56 12 49 14	1 9 6 17 3 12 3	1 3 1 <u>1</u> 0 8 1	0 2 6 5 6 4 4	2 7 4 16 3 6 3 41	2 6 17 6 19 3 49
District Totals	183	51	15	27	41	49
District 2 Beaufort Hyde Martin Tyrrell Washington District Totals	71 8 33 2 13 127	42 3 9 0 3 57	1 0 4 0 1 6	10 0 5 0 3 18	8 4 5 1 2 20	10 1 10 1 4 26
District 3						· · · ·
Carteret Craven Pamlico Pitt District Totals	73 109 21 125 328	21 35 8 44 108	3 9 1 4 17	13 16 0 13 42	11 21 7 23 62	25 28 5 41 99
	320	100	17	44	ΰź	35
District 4 Duplin Jones Onslow Sampson District Totals	32 19 112 114 277	13 5 34 32 84	1 2 8 9 20	6 6 26 13 51	3 3 22 9 37	9 3 22 51 85
District 5						
New Hanover Pender	166 9	70 3	10 0	6 0	46 3	34 3
District Totals	175	73	10	6	49	37
District 6						
Bertie Halifax Hertford Northampton	14 40 39 20	4 17 11 6	0 1 2 1	1 5 7 1	7 6 10 5	2 11 9 7
District Totals	113	38	4	14	28	29
District 7 Edgecombe Nash Wilson District Totals	79 118 158 355	23 42 43 108	3 3 13 19	3 5 16 24	24 26 51 101	26 42 35 103
<u>District 8</u> Greene Lenoir Wayne	10 180 209	6 79 53	0 3 8	0 25 14	2 33 38	2 40 96
District Totals	399	139	11	39	73	138
District 9						
Franklin Granville Person Vance Warren	56 39 26 90 26	14 18 6 39 12	0 1 2 0 0	8 5 2 9 1	19 9 4 18 2	15 6 12 24 11
District Totals	237	89	3	25	52	68
<u>District 10</u> Wake	1,264	665	62	71	262	204

\* The data in this disposition category is for the six-month period from January 1, 1979—June 30, 1979. Before January 1, 1979, data on this type of disposition was not available.

# MANNER OF DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

· · · · · · · · ·	Total Disposed	Judge	Jury	Clerk	Voluntary Dismissal*	Other
District 11	07	22	0	7	36	19
Harnett Johnston	97 61	33 18	2 3	3	16	21
Lee	82	37	0	11	5	29
District Totals	240	88	5	21	57	69
District 12						
Cumberland Hoke	310 11	99 1	9 0	21 3	51 0	130 7
District Totals	321	100	9	24	51	137
District 13						
Bladen	24	9	1	3	7	4
Brunswick Columbus	83 111	46 39	4 10	4 5	7 29	22 28
District Totals	218	94	15	12	43	54
District 14						
Durham	517	119	15	45	95	243
District 15A						
Alamance	124	49	5	8	27	35
<u>District 15B</u> Chatham	51	24	6	3	10	8
Orange	143	60	14	2	17	50
District Totals	194	84	20	5	27	58
District 16						
Robeson	71	27	9	7 4	16 5	12 1
Scotland District Totals	18 89	7 34	9	4 11	22	13
	05					
<u>District 17</u> Caswell	15	7	1	0	0	7
Rockingham	121	36	13	7	4.1	21
Stokes Surry	25 89	8 20	1 9	0 /	6 23	10 30
District Totals	250	71	24	14	73	68
District 18						
Guilford						104
Greensboro High Point	543 156	179 50	24 4	57 24	149 38	134 40
District Totals	699	229	28	81	187	174
District 19A						
Cabarrus	101	40	7	3	23	28
Rowan	118	34	18	10	13	43 71
District Totals	219	74	25	13	36	/1
District 19B			<u>.</u>		•	c
Montgomery Randolph	11 117	4 50	1 9	0 11	0 25	6 22
District Totals	128	54	10	11	25	28
District 20						
Anson	39	18	õ	17	5	15
Moore Richmond	54 44	19 17	5	10	13 0	10 12
Stanly	48	24	1	4	11 23	8 17
Union District Tatala	65 250	10 88	7 18	٤ 30	23 52	62
District Totals	250	00	10	20	JL	

\*The data in this disposition category is for the six-month period from January 1, 1979—June 30, 1979. Before January 1, 1979, data on this type of disposition was not available.

# MANNER OF DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

Distuist 21	Total Disposed	Judge	Jury	Clerk	Voluntary Dismissal*	Other
<u>District 21</u> Forsyth	696	244	10	50	100	
	626	244	18	53	182	129
District 22						
Alexander Davidson Davie Iredell	21 126 29 136	9 57 11 31	0 2 4 5	4 14 1	6 2 7	2 51 6
District Totals	312	108	11	23 42	34 49	43 102
District 23						
Alleghany Ashe Wilkes Yadkin	35 38 133	5 18 34	7 6 15	3 0 35	14 0 35	б 14 14
District Totals	36	9	9	2	8	8
District 24	242	66	37	40	57	42
Avery	38	21	0	•		_
Madison Mitchell Watauga Yancey	38 25 37 87 14	21 4 21 34 2	2 6 3 2 3	2 0 0 24 1	8 0 4 12 1	5 15 9 15 7
District Totals	201	82	16	27	25	51
District 25						
Burke Caldwell Catawba	124 117 222	43 29 88	20 13 4	9 14 27	22 32 49	30 29 54
District Totals	463	160	37	50	103	113
District 26					100	110
Mecklenburg	1,286	394	83	154	74	581
District 27A						
Gaston	339	114	29	27	92	77
District 27B						
Cleveland Lincoln	92	41	4	12	21	14
District Totals	61	19	1	10	18	13
	153	60	5	22	39	27
District 28	450					
Buncombe	463	202	29	29	78	125
District 29						
Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford	91 31 14	38 19 7	7 2 0	12 4 1	8 0 3	26 6 3 2
Transylvania	54 33	26 11	8 5	3 4	15 7	2 6
District Totals	223	101	22	24	33	43
District 30						
Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson	37 8 12 87 84	15 1 7 50 55	6 0 0 11	1 2 1 6 1	0 1 2 14 5	15 4 2 17
Macon Swain	60	29	1	4	1	11 20
Swain District Totals	21 309	10 167	2 20	3 18	3 32	3 72
STATE TOTALS	11,324	4,193	657	1,078	2,184	3,212
	,	.,		-,0/0	c,104	~ , c 1 C

\*The data in this disposition category is for the six-month period from January 1, 1979—June 30, 1979. Before January 1, 1979, data on this type of disposition was not available.



METHODS OF DISPOSITION OF SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL CASES 1978-79

The largest section of this pie chart belongs to Judge; 37% of Civil Superior cases were disposed of by a judge this year, as opposed to 5.8% by jury, 9.5% by clerk, 19.3% by voluntary dismissal, and 28.4% by some method other than those mentioned.

## Ages of Cases Pending 6/30/79 and Ages of Cases Disposed During Fiscal Year 1978-79

а <sup>1</sup> ан

			Ages of Pending Cases (Days) Mean Median									A	ges of Cas	es at Dispo	sition (Day	<b>(S)</b>	
<u>District 1</u>	Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-90	91-180	181-365	366-730	> 730		Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-90	% 91-180	% 181-365	% 366-730	% > 730
Camden Chowan Currituck Dare Gates Pasquotank Perquimans	11 36 40 98 12 44 22	276.8 710.4 334.0 589.0 318.9 219.6 290.1	186.2 282.5 183.5 430.5 118.5 134.5 156.0	4 7 13 3 17 4	0 7 10 10 4 9 8	5 5 13 0 12 6	0 6 13 29 3 4 2	2 11 33 2 2 2		6 23 23 56 12 49 14	486.3 362.0 374.7 545.7 416.2 435.9 243.8	64.0 334.0 140.0 307.5 155.0 252.6 51.5	50.0 21.8 39.2 14.2 41.7 20.4 64.4	16.6 8.7 17.4 16.1 8.3 14.3 7.1	0.0 26.1 30.4 21.4 8.3 22.4 7.1	16.7 39.1 4.3 17.9 16.7 20.4 7.1	16.7 4.3 8.7 30.4 25.0 22.5 14.3
District Totals	263	454.6	260.0	57	48	46	57	55		183	438.2	251.6	26.6	13.7	20.8	18.6	20.3
District 2 Beaufort Hyde Martin Tyrrell Washington	91 16 37 10 39	849.1 308.4 424.9 629.1 643.2	463.0 233.0 226.5 733.0 393.0	14 3 7 1 9	10 4 9 0 7	16 5 5 1 3	23 2 9 2 8	28 2 7 6 12		71 1 8 33 2 13	,135.3 400.1 292.9 260.5 391.9	787.0 297.5 227.0 260.5 237.0	19.7 12.5 27.3 0.0 23.0	2.8 12.5 21.2 50.0 15.4	11.3 25.0 24.2 50.0 30.8	14.1 37.5 21.2 0.0 7.7	52.1 12.5 6.1 0.0 23.1
District Totals	193	669.9	374,7	34	30	30	44	55		127	780.2	367.0	21.4	10.2	18.1	16.5	33.8
District 3															1011	10.0	55.6
Carteret Craven Pamlico Pitt	179 216 29 185	518.5 437.6 373.8 511.8	453.0 333.5 151.0 347.2	29 45 8 24	31 31 9 26	16 37 4 45	61 61 3 42	42 42 5 48		73 109 21 125	429.1 396.2 688.1 396.3	289.0 306.0 385.0 247.0	19.2 27.5 14.4 28.0	9.6 12.8 19.0 11.2	32.9 20.2 9.5 24.8	15.1 14.7 28.6 29.0	23.2 24.8 28.5 16.0
District Totals	609	480.9	360,2	106	97	102	167	137		328	422.3	277.5	25.0	11.9	24.1	17.7	21.3
District 4 Duplin Jones Onslow	77 24 167	577.0 552.8 433.5	304.0 552.5 228.2	14 4 39	15 2 28	13 3 41	16 7 32	19 8 27		32 19 112	513.5 295.0 472.2	259.5 211.0 276.5	40.5 42.0 26.8	3.1 5.3 8.9	12.5 21.1 22.3	18.8 15.8	25.1 15.8
Sampson	72	357.6	225.0	14	16	16	20	6		114	379.0	267.5	29.8	13.2	20.2	22.3 21.9	19.7 14.9
District Totals	340	458.3	250.5	71	61	73	75	60		277	426.4	276.0	30.8	9.7	20.2	21.3	18.0
<u>District 5</u> New Hanover Pender	239 63	384.6 649.4	327.0 611.0	46 7	25 2	60 5	79 35	29 14		166 9	426.5	312.5 347.0	20.5 33.4	12.7	22.9 33.3	28.3	15.6 11.1
District Totals	302	439.9	365.3	53	27	65	114	43		175	423.3	314.0	21.2	12.0	23.4	28.0	15.4
District 6 Bertie Halifax Hertford Northampton District Totals	63 107 71 40 281	933.2 818.0 382.8 493.1 687.6	408.0 403.0 285.0 256.5 376.0	10 13 24 3 50	6 8 8 4 26	12 27 8 16 63	13 22 21 8 64	22 37 10 9 78		14 40 39 20 113	567.4 281.0 465.6 555.0	137.0 203.5 322.0 382.0	43.0 35.0 30.8 10.0	7.1 7.5 7.7 0.0	7.1 35.0 17.9 35.0	28.6 15.0 28.2 30.0	14.2 7.5 15.4 25.0
						00	7	70		119	428.7	242.0	30.1	6.2	25.7	23.9	14.1

				Ages of P	ending Ca	ses (Days)			т <b>е</b> ,		Аg		-	sition (Day	s)	
	Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-90	91-180	181-365	366-730	> 730	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-90	% 91-180	% 181-365	% 366-730	% > 730
District 7	0	-	-						•							
Edgecombe Nash Wilson	116 183 164	432.8 517.2 579.5	274.5 330.6 318.5	20 32 32	12 25 20	42 42 37	17 39 29	25 45 46	79 118 158	705.6 577.8 943.3	369.0 341.5 790.5	12.6 16.1 14.5	12.7 9.3 5.1	24.1 27.1 9.5	10.1 22.9 18.4	40.5 24.6 52.5
District Totals	463	518.1	309.7	84	57	121	85	116	355	768.9	477.2	14.6	8.2	18.6	18.0	40.6
District 8																
Greene Lenoir Wayne	19 147 233	594.8 428.1 658.7	418.0 313.2 500.7	3 19 33	1 21 28	3 43 35	8 43 57	4 21 80	10 180 209	354.4 953.5 829.1	350.0 503.5 660.0	20.0 14.4 16.9	0.0 10.6 10.5	30.0 15.0 10.5	40.0 14.4 15.3	10.0 45.6 45.8
District Totals	399	570.7	435.0	55	50	81	108	105	399	873.3	533.0	15.8	10.3	13.0	15.5	45.4
District 9																
Franklin Granville Person Vance Warren	78 41 66 107 56 1	715.6 371.2 416.7 449.6 ,943.5	571.5 246.0 290.5 263.0 1,440.5	11 4 7 23 5	5 12 16 18 4	13 7 19 23 12	18 11 12 15 2	31 7 12 28 33	26 90 1	441.6 1,048.0 295.1 1,637.5	232.5 333.0 126.0 1,697.5 772.0	25.0 15.5 38.4 8.9 11.6	17.9 17.9 15.4 8.9 3.8	19.6 17.9 15.4 10.0 7.7	12.5 7.7 23.1 11.1 19.2	25.0 41.0 7.7 61.1 57.7
District Totals	348	734.1	357.7	50	55	74	58	111	237	1,068.7	582.0	17.3	12.7	13.9	13.1	43.0
<u>District 10</u> Wake	999	325.0	235.7	222	176	252	247	102	1,264	579.1	501.5	18.0	11.3	13.8	22.9	34.0
<u>District 11</u>																
Harnett Johnston Lee	124 200 84	497.6 616.0 551.6	429.5 465.0 367.0	23 33 11	13 13 16	18 29 13	34 60 25	36 65 19	97 61 82	634.7 360.3 376.2	444.0 287.0 170.5	12.4 18.1 30.5	11.3 13.1 23.2	17.5 27.9 18.3	18.6 31.1 13.4	40.2 9.8 14.6
District Totals	408	566.7	436.5	67	42	60	119	120	240	476.6	284.5	20.0	15.8	20.4	20.0	23.8
District 12																
Cumberland Hoke	405 12	375.5 531.0	290.2 539.5	72 3	67 0	87 1	125 5	54 3	310 11	437.4 381.4	307.5 300.3	21.0 0.0	11.0 0.0	21.9 63.6	28.1 27.3	18.0 9.1
District Totals	417	380.0	301.7	75	67	88	130	57	321	435.5	307.0	20.3	10.6	23.4	28.0	17.7
<u>District 13</u> Bladen	77	506 F	E40 6	2		7	16	7	24	450.0	250 F		16 7	22.2	20.0	50.0
Brunswick Columbus	37 109 158	506.5 742.6 571.9	549.6 641.0 382.0	3 13 29	4 10 21	7 18 24	16 23 37	7 45 47	24 83 111	459.3 993.3 1,002.3	358.5 814.0 855.0	0.0 12.1 13.6	16.7 3.6 8.1	33.3 8.4 7.2	29.2 20.5 18.0	20.8 55.4 53.1
District Totals	304	625.1	444.5	45	35	49	76	99	218	939.1	738.5	11.5	7.3	10.6	20.2	50.4

#### Ages of Cases Pending 6/30/79 and Ages of Cases Disposed During Fiscal Year 1978-79

ġ,

				Ages of P	ending Ca	ses (Days)							es at Dispo			
District 14	Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-90	91-180	181-365	366-730	> 730	l'otal sposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% (1-90	% 91-180	% 181-365	% 366-730	~ 730
Durham	745	669.5	557.2	89	56	132	159	309	517	794.7	785.0	11,4	7.2	9.3	19.0	53.1
District 15A																
Alamance	174	376.1	199.5	44	37	41	27	25	124	527.1	213.5	30.7	12.1	28.2	16.1	12.9
District 15B																
Chatham Orange	66 118	434.4 271.7	327.0 166.5	10 33	8 28	18 29	17 21	13 7	51 143	538.9 521.3	339.0 385.2	15.7 18.8	9.8 8.4	29.4 20.3	21.6 27.3	23.5 25.2
District Totals	184	330.0	228,5	43	36	47	38	20	194	525,9	377.5	17.9	8.8	22.7	25.8	24.8
District 16																
Robeson Scotland	91 30	393.4 489.1	305.0 338.5	18 8	18 3	19 8	23 4	13 7	71 18	432.1 283.1	282.0 147.5	19.8 27.7	16.9 27.8	21.1 27.8	22.5 5.6	19.7 11.1
District Totals	121	417.1	327.0	26	21	27	27	20	89	402.0	253.0	21.4	19.1	22.5	19.1	17,9
District 17						,										
Caswell Rockingham Stokes Surry	16 140 35 142	271.0 335.5 289.8 338.2	202.5 247.5 206.0 249.5	5 24 10 26	2 29 6 26	6 44 9 34	1 28 8 50	2 15 2 6	15 121 25 89	564.0 350.4 468.9 390.1	597.0 284.0 388.0 387.8	13.3 19.0 8.0 28.2	0.0 16.5 4.0 5.6	26.7 22.3 24.0 11.2	20.0 29.8 44.0 44.9	40.0 12.4 20.0 10.1
District Totals	333	328.7	247.0	65	63	93	87	25	250	389.2	360.5	20.8	10.4	18.8	36.0	14.0
<u>District 18</u> Guilford Greensboro High Point	886 290	567.5 876.9	345.1 571.5	171	109 33	176 40	176 69	254 119	543 156	431.2 385.1	286.0 200.5	24.9 23,2	12.5 23.1	20.4 19.2	25.4 17.9	16.8 16.6
District Totals	1,176	643.8	393.1	200	142	216	245	373	699	421.0	265.0	24.5	14,9	20.2	23.7	16.7
District 19A																
Cabarrus Rowan	198 138	608.4 428.3	555.0 341.5	30 22	18 19	23 31	67 39	60 27	101 118	635.7 298.8	615.0 257.5	15.8 27.1	8.9 8.5	14.9 30.5	17.8 24.6	42.6 9.3
District Totals	336	534.4	437.1	52	37	54	106	87	219	454.2	338.0	21.8	8.7	23,3	21.5	24.7
District 19B																
Montgomery Randolph	38 153	346.3 332.9	360.5 264.1	12 22	2 14	5 65	17 39	2 13	11 117	471.3 442.5	410.9 379.0	0.0 18.0	$18.1 \\ 14.5$	27.3 14.5	36.4 38.5	18,2 14,5
District Totals	191	335.6	264.2	34	16	70	56	15	128	445.0	379.5	16.4	14.8	15,6	38.3	14.9



				Ages of P	ending Ca	ses (Days)					•		es at Dispo	• •		
	Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-90	91-180	181-365	366-730	> 730	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-90	% 91-180	% 181-365	% 366-730	% > 730
District 7	116	432.8	274.5	20	12	42	17	25	79	705.6	369.0	12.6	12.7	24.1	10.1	40.5
Edgecombe Nash	183	517.2	330.6	32	25	42	39	45	118	577.8	341.5	16.1	9.3	27.1	22.9	24.6
Wilson District Tatala	164	579.5	318.5	32 84	20 57	37 121	29 85	46 116	158	943.3 768.9	790.5	14.5	5.1	9.5	18.4	52.5
District Totals	463	518.1	309.7	04	57	121	65	110	355	/00.9	477.2	14.6	8.2	18.6	18.0	40.6
District 8							_									
Greene Lenoir	19 147	594.8 428.1	418.0 313.2	3 19	1 21	3 43	8 43	4 21	10 180	354.4 953.5	350.0 503.5	20.0 14.4	0.0 10.6	30.0 15.0	40.0 14.4	10.0 45.6
Wayne	233	658.7	500.7	33	28	35	57	80	209	829.1	660.0	16.9	10.5	10.5	15.3	46.8
District Totals	399	570.7	435,0	55	50	81	108	105	399	873.3	533.0	15.8	10.3	13.0	15.5	45.4
District 9																
Franklin Granville	78 41	715.6 371.2	571.5 246.0	11 4	5 12	13	18 11	31 7	56	441.6	232.5 333.0	$25.0 \\ 15.5$	17.9 17.9	19.6 17.9	12.5 7.7	25.0 41.0
Person	66	416.7	290.5	7	16	19	12	12	26	295.1	126.0	38.4	15.4	15.4	23.1	7.7
Vance Warren	107 56	449.6 1,943.5	263.0 1.440.5	23 5	18 4	23 12	15 2	28 33		,637.5	1,697.5 772.0	8.9 11.6	8.9 3.8	10.0 7.7	11.1 19.2	61.1 57.7
District Totals	348	734.1	357.7	50	55	74	58	111		,068.7	582.0	17.3	12.7	13.9	13.1	43.0
District 10																
Wake	999	325.0	235.7	222	176	252	247	102	1,264	579.1	501.5	18.0	11.3	13.8	22.9	34.0
District 11																
Harnett	124	497.6	429.5	23	13	18	34	36	97	634.7	444.0	12.4	11.3	17.5 27.9	18.6	40.2 9.8
Johnston Lee	200 84	616.0 551.6	465.0 367.0	33 11	13 16	29 13	60 25	65 19	61 82	360.3 376.2	287.0 170.5	18.1 30.5	13.1 23.2	18.3	31.1 13.4	14.6
District Totals	408	566.7	436.5	67	42	60	119	120	240	476.6	284.5	20.0	15.8	20.4	20.0	23.8
District 12																
Cumberland Hoke	405 12	375.5 531.0	290.2	72 3	67 0	87 1	125 5	54 3	310 11	437.4 381.4	307.5 300.3	21.0	11.0 0.0	21.9 63.6	28.1 27.3	18.0
District Totals	417	380.0	301.7	75	67	88	130	57	321	435.5	307.0	20.3	10.6	23.4	28.0	17.7
District 13																
Bladen	37	506.5	549.6	3	4	7	16	7	24	459.3	358.5	0.0	16.7	33.3	29.2	20.8
Brunswick Columbus	109 158	742.6 571.9	641.0 382.0	13 29	10 21	18 24	23 37	45 47	83	993.3 1.002.3	814.0 855.0	12.1 13.6	3.6 8.1	8.4 7.2	20.5 18.0	55.4 53.1
District Totals	304	571.9 625.1	444.5	29 45	35	24 49	76	47. 99	218	939.1	738.5	11.5	7.3	10.6	20.2	50.4
2.201100.100013	007	010.1				1.0	,.		~~~~~~							

				Ages of I	Pending Ca	ses (Days)					A	ges of Cas	es at Dispo	sition (Day	ys)	
District 14	Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-90	91-180	181-365	366-730	> 730	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-90	% 91-180	% 181-365	% 366-730	% > 730
Durham	745	669.5	557.2	89	56	132	159	309	517	794.7	785.0	11.4	7.2	9.3	19.0	53.1
District 15A																
Alamance	174	376.1	199.5	44	37	41	27	25	124	527.1	213.5	30.7	12.1	28.2	16.1	12.9
District 15B																
Chatham Orange	66 118	434.4 271.7	327.0 166.5	10 33	8 28	18 29	17 21	13 7	51 143	538.9 521.3	339.0 385.2	15.7 18.8	9.8 8.4	29.4 20.3	21.6 27.3	23.5
District Totals	184	330.0	228.5	43	36	47	38	20	194	525.9	377.5	17.9	8.8	22.7	25.8	24.8
District 16									6. T.T.							
Robeson Scotland	91 30	393.4 489.1	305.0 338.5	18 8	18 3	19 8	23 4	13 7	71 18	432.1 283.1	282.0 147.5	19.8 27.7	16.9 27.8	21.1 27.8	22.5	19.7 11.1
District Totals	121	417.1	327.0	26	21	27	27	20	89	402.0	253.0	21.4	19.1	22.5	19.1	17.9
District 17																
Caswell Rockingham Stokes Surry	16 140 35 142	271.0 335.5 289.8 338.2	202.5 247.5 206.0 249.5	5 24 10 26	2 29 6 26	6 44 9 34	1 28 8 50	2 15 2 6	15 121 25 89	564.0 350.4 468.9 390.1	597.0 284.0 388.0 387.8	13.3 19.0 8.0 28.2	0.0 16.5 4.0 5.6	26.7 22.3 24.0 11.2	20.0 29.8 44.0	40.0 12.4 20.0
District Totals	333	328.7	247.0	65	63	93	87	25	250	389.2	360.5	20.8	5.6 10.4	11.2	44.9 36.0	10.1 14.0
District 18													1000	1010	00.0	11.0
Guilford Greensboro	886	567.5	345.1	171	109	176	176	254	543	431.2	286.0	24.9	12.5	20.4	25.4	16.8
High Point District Totals	290 1,176	875.9 643.3	571.5 393.1	29 200	33 142	40 216	69 245	119	156	385.1	200.5	23.2	23.1	19.2	17.9	16.6
	1,170	040.0	33311	200	144	210	245	373	699	421.0	265.0	24.5	14.9	20.2	23.7	16.7
<u>District 19A</u> Cabarrus Rowan	198 138	608.4 428.3	555.0 341.5	30 22	18 19	23 31	67 39	60 27	101 118	635.7 298.8	615.0 257.5	15.8 27.1	8,9 8,5	14.9 30.5	17.8	42,6
District Totals	336	534.4	437.1	52	37	54	106	87	219	454.2	338.0	21.8	8.7	23.3	24.8	24.7
District 19B												2110		20.0	-1.0	
Montgomery Randolph	38 153	346.3 332.9	300.5 264.1	12 22	2 14	5 65	17 39	2 13	11 117	471.3	410.0 379.0	0.0 18.0	18.1 14.5	27.3 14.5	36.4 38.5	18.2 14.5
District Totals	191	335.6	264.2	34	16	70	56	15	128	445.0	379.5	16.4	14.8	15.6	38.3	14.9
			14. 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 - 1919 -													

				Ages of Pe	nding Ca	ses (Days)					Ag	·	es at Dispo	sition (Day	s)		
District 20	Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-90	91-180	181-365	366-730	> 730	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-90	% 91-180	% 181-365	% 366-730	> 730	
Anson Moore Richmond Stanly Union	91 132 100 70 154	671.1 332.6 475.4 573.6 425.7	638.0 250.0 346.5 428.5 290.5	7 25 14 6 23	7 22 16 6 25	15 36 23 15 41	22 36 18 25 42	40 13 29 18 23	39 54 44 48 65	506.6 506.7 437.5 393.2 402.8	445.0 285.5 298.5 272.5 274.0	15.4 18.4 15.9 18.7 21.5	7.7 20.4 9.1 16.7 13.8	7.7 14.8 27.3 22.9 20.0	43.6 27.8 25.0 27.1 26.2	25.6 18.6 22.7 14.6 18.5	
District Totals	547	472.1	348.1	75	76	130	143	123	250	445.7	339.5	18.4	14.0	18.8	29.2	19.6	
<u>District 21</u> Forsyth	774	428.7	304.8	154	109	168	193	150	626	693.9	501.5	20.2	8.8	12.1	25.7	33.2	
District 22 Alexander Davidson Davie Iredell District Totals	18 179 20 134 351	237.6 451.6 224.6 252.3 351.6	214.5 294.7 216.0 236.5 249.7	4 42 5 36 87	3 28 4 18 53	8 28 6 44 86	3 47 5 34 89	0 34 0 2 36	21 126 29 136 312	380.0 310.4 336.9 291.6 309.4	315.0 252.5 188.0 273.5 270.5	4.7 29.4 20.7 29.4 29.4	14.3 13.5 27.6 8.8 12.8	52.4 23.8 17.2 24.3 25.3	19.0 25.4 20.7 33.1 27.9	9.6 7.9 13.8 4.4 7.0	
District 23			_ /														
Alleghany Ashe Wilkes Yadkin	15 50 147 33	107.8 373.7 260.8 266.8	99.0 393.6 224.7 246.0	7 6 31 5	5 2 28 6	3 14 49 12	0 28 35 9	0 0 4 1	35 38 133 36	258.6 474.3 329.2 400.7	148.0 479.0 337.3 374.0	25.8 5.2 24.8 19,4	31.4 5.3 9.0 2.8	17.1 26.3 19.5 25.0	20.0 47.4 42.9 38.9	5.7 15.8 3.8 13.9	
District Totals	245	275.3	261.2	49	41	78	72	5	242	352.4	343.5	21.1	10.7	21.1	39.7	7.4	
District 24 Avery Madison Mitchell Watauga Yancey	44 42 39 69 24	381.5 289.6 401.4 246.1 129.3	276.5 208.5 276.0 179.0 69.3	10 11 11 14 14	6 7 3 21 4	11 14 12 19 5	13 7 7 11 1	4 3 6 4 0	38 25 37 87 14	374.8 262.9 321.0 408.4 220.3	298.0 284.0 270.0 302.0 181.0	7.9 12.0 16.3 19.6 28.5	18.4 24.0 18.9 17.2 14.3	34.2 40.0 21.6 16.1 42.9	26.3 24.0 35.1 31.0 14.3	13.2 0.0 8.1 16.1 0.0	
District Totals	218	296.7	194.5	60	41	61	39	17	201	354.7	284.2	16.3	18.4	25.4	28.9	11.0	
District 25 Burke Caldwell Catawba District Totals District 26	199 138 228 565	524.7 298.8 239.7 354.5	437.0 222.1 117.1 208.0	32 33 101 166	28 27 43 98	35 36 39 110	35 29 31 95	69 13 14 96	124 117 222 463	252.4 345.3 283.5 290.8	223.5 267.0 264.5 259.0	22.5 27.4 24.8 24.8	20.2 6.0 13,5 13.4	35.5 33.3 32.0 33.3	19.4 23.1 26.1 23.5	2.4 10.2 3.6 5.0	
Mecklenburg	2,147	388.2	283.0	410	351	548	523	315	1,286	414.6	328.5	20.2	14.8	18.3	31.6	15.1	

					Pending Ca	ises (Days)					A	ges of Cas	ses at Dispo	sition (Day	vs)	
District 27A	Total Pending	Mean Age	Médian Age	0-90	91-180	181-365	366-730	> 730	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	% 91-180	% 181-365	% 366-730	% > 730
Gaston	471	413.9	316.7	113	53	98	130	77	339	416.8	363.0	22.2	11.8	16.2	33.0	16.8
District 27B																
Cleveland Lincoln	158 45	281.0 242.3	257.5 121.0	29 18	24 7	69 7	25 9	11 4	92 61	222.6	197.5 89.0	34.9 50.9	13.0 9.8	29.3 26.2	21.7 11.5	$1.1 \\ 1.6$
District Totals	203	272.4	243.1	47	31	76	34	15	153	203.7	143.2	41.2	11.8	28.1	17.6	1.3
District 28																
Buncombe	405	267.0	177.0	96	110	127	44	28	463	347.9	255.0	21.4	15.8	32.0	18.8	12.0
District 29																
Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford Transylvania	150 58 25 78 54	602.7 503.9 210.7 302.6 456.4	509.5 312.5 165.0 226.0 326.5	16 6 10 13 10	21 11 4 15 6	24 13 5 30 14	32 13 5 14 19	57 15 0 6 14	91 31 14 54 33	483.5 318.1 327.7 330.7 495.4	415.7 232.0 323.0 291.0 478.0	18.6 25.8 21.4 14.8 6.1	7.7 9.7 14.3 13.0 6.1	19.8 32.3 28.6 40.7 30.3	34.1 16.1 35.7 24.1 33.3	19.8 16.1 0.0 7.4 24.2
District Totals	365	474.4	326.3	55	57	86	75	92	223	415.5	329.0	17.1	9.4	28.7	29.1	15.7
District 30																
Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson Macon Swała District Totals	34 8 18 101 117 92 27 397	446.1 271.2 495.9 337.6 563.7 772.7 719.0 546.1	116.5 211.5 172.0 340.2 459.0 676.7 326.0 401.4	8 2 5 15 11 11 8 60	9 1 5 5 5 3 45	6 3 4 25 18 12 4 72	2 2 0 39 52 28 5 128	9 0 4 5 31 36 7 92	37 8 12 87 84 60 21 309	690.5 162.7 290.1 464.4 703.9 571.1 483.7 564.0	517.0 68.0 136.5 419.0 689.5 596.5 526.0 561.0	8.2 50.0 33.3 13.8 6.0 13.3 19.0 12.9	2.7 0.0 25.0 4.6 3.6 11.7 4.8 6.1	29.7 50.0 8.3 18.4 10.7 10.0 14.3 16.2	18.9 0.0 16.7 46.0 32.1 36.7 47,6 35.0	40.5 0.0 16.7 17.2 47.6 28.3 14.3 29.8
STATE TOTALS	15,274	462.4	306.1	2,894	2,244	3,424	3,654	3,058	11,324	516.8	336.5	20.3	11,8	19.4	24.8	23.7

#### CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR ESTATE AND SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

			Es	tates					Special Pro	occedings		
	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload		% Disposed to Caseload	Pending 6/30/79	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload	Disposed	% Disposed to Caseload	
District 1	• •							10	0.4	16	66.6	8
Camden	63 132	43 99	106 231	64 80	60.3 34.6	42 151	11 74	13 41	24 115	16 31	26.9	84
Chowan Currituck	132	71	195	81	41.5	114	53	100	153	72	47.0	81 116
Dare	372	120 61	492 184	94 56	19.1 30.4	398 128	95 29	78 11	173 40	57 9	32.9 22.5	31
Gates Pasquotank	123 176	181	357	164	45.9	193	65	95	160	102	63.7	58
Perquimans	140	74	214	84	39.2	130	24	32	56	28	50.0	28
District Totals	1,130	649	1,779	623	35.0	1,156	351	370	721	315	43.6	406
District 2												
Beaufort	482	361	843	341	40.4	502 55	366 26	145 29	511 55	125 24	24.4 43.6	386 31
Hyde Martin	47 198	44 165	91 363	36 159	39.5 43,8	204	124	124	248	143	57.6	105
Tyrrell	26	25	51	19	37.2	32 92	18 74	12 56	30 130	18 48	60.0 36.9	12 82
Washington	94	75	169	77	45.5	885	608	366	974	358	36.7	616
District Totals	847	670	1,517	632	41.5	000	000	300	27 4	000		•
District 3			670 G		44 F	348	207	161	368	141	38.3	227
Carteret Craven	350 341	278 324	628 665	280 304	44 5 45.7	348 361	208	239	447	241	53.9	206
Famlico	76	62	138	50	36.2	88	38	47 443	85 614	38 391	44.7 63.6	47 223
Pitt	613	471	1,084	444	40.9	640	171	443 890	1,514	811	53.5	703
District Totals	1,380	1,135	2,515	1,078	42.8	1,437	624	690	1,514	011	55.5	100
District 4				000	40.0	261	257	388	645	303	46.9	342
Duplin Jones	362 80	261 72	623 152	262 67	42.0 44.0	361 85	64	40	104	45	43.2	59
Onslow	521	264	785	244	31.0	541	374	445 260	819 437	425 287	51.8 65.6	394 150
Sampson	369	325 922	694 2,254	323 896	46.5 39.7	371 1,358	177 872	1,133	2,005	1,060	52.8	945
District Totals	1,332	922	2,204	830	53.7	1,000	0/2	1,100	.,	-,		
<u>District 5</u>				470	<b>70 0</b>	004	107	706	1,193	720	60.3	473
New Hanover Pender	903 154	564 124	1,467 278	473 132	32.2 47.4	994 146	487 244	109	353	104	29.4	249
District Totals	1,057	688	1,745	605	34.6	1,140	731	815	1,546	824	53.2	722
District 6												
Bertie	248	159	407	154	37.8	253	147	96	243 890	95 282	39.0 31.6	148 608
Halifax Hertford	555 188	354 118	909 306	300 124	33.0 40.5	609 182	558 95	332 89	184	72	39.1	112
Northampton	189	134	323	128	39.6	195	90	106	196	93	47.4	103
District Totals	1,180	765	1,945	706	36.2	1,239	890	623	1,513	542	35.8	971
District 7												
Edgecombe	399	317	716	334	46.6	382	135	204	339	167 208	49.2 42.2	172 284
Nash Wilson	482 686	339 393	821 1,079	354 565	43.1 52.3	467 514	279 174	213 310	492 484	208	56.6	210
District Totals		1,049	2,616	1,253	47.8	1,363	588	727	1,315	649	49.3	666
District 8												
Greene	116	114	230	117	50.8	113	67	72	139	59	42.4	80
Lenoir	327	409	736	340 460	46.1 38.8	396 724	243 302	346 692	589 994	329 703	55.8 70.7	260 291
Wayne District Totals	699 5 1,142	485 1,008	1,184 2,150	400 917	42.6	1,233	612	1,110	1,722	1,091	63.3	631
	-,		•									
<u>District 9</u> Fianklin	325	167	492	123	25.0	369	158	159	317	161	50.7	156
Granville	260	231	491	253	51.5	238	86	290	376	274	72.8	102
Person Vance	226 285	130 267	356 552	122 249	34.2 45.1	234 303	120 87	140 136	260 223	105 112	40.3 50.2	155 111
Warren	283	172	455	212		243	264	102	366	240	65.5	126
District Total	s 1,379	967	2,346	959	40.8	1,387	715	827	1,542	892	57.8	650
District 10												
Wake	2,317	1,358	3,675	1,102	29.9	2,573	796	1,086	1,882	1,028	54.6	854

#### CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR ESTATE AND SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

			Es	iates					Special Pro	reedings		
	Pending		Total		% Disposed	Pending	Pending		Total		% Disposed	Pending
District 11	7/1/78	Filed	Caseload	Disposed	to Caseload	6/30/79	7/1/78	Filed	Caseload	Disposed	to Caseload	6/30/79
Harnett	425	370	795	320	40.2	475	353	186	539	151	28.0	388
Johnston Lee	673 345	479 194	1,152 539	432 159	37.5 29.4	720 380	161 181	441 178	602 359	424 157	70.4 43.7	178 202
District Totals	1,443	1,043	2,486	911	36.6	1,575	695	805	1,500	732	48.8	768
District 12												
Cumberland	732	679	1,411	674	47.7	737	499	1,345	1,844	1,352	73.3	492
Hoke District Totals	138 870	91 770	229 1,640	73 747	31.8 45.5	156 893	57 556	74 1,419	131 1,975	57 1,409	43.5 71.3	74 566
District 13	0/0		1,040	3.4.	40.0	000	550	1,715	1,575	1,405	71.5	500
Bladen	169	109	278	114	41.0	164	129	140	269	141	52,4	128
Brunswick	169	176	345	70	20.2	275	277	207	484	90	18.5	394
Columbus	361	286	647	265	40.9	382	240	211	451	161	35.6	290
District Totals	699	571	1,270	449	35,3	821	546	558	1,204	392	32.5	812
District 14		010	0 463	000	06.0		<b>514</b>	000		670	<b>51 0</b>	
Du⊳ham	1,555	912	2,467	890	36.0	1,577	514	809	1,323	678	51.2	645
District 15A	400	645	1 1 4 1	564	40.4	877	160	050	F16	207	<b>CD A</b>	100
Alamance	496	645	1,141	564	49.4	577	162	353	515	327	63.4	188
District 15B	0.05					600	100			100		
Chatham Orange	285 611	223 370	508 981	209 332	41.1 33.8	299 649	109 212	99 541	208 753	108 487	51.9 64.6	100 266
District Totals	896	593	1,489	541	36.3	948	321	640	961	595	61.9	366
District 16												
Robeson	619	483	1,102	506	45.9	596	345	348	693	486	70.1	207
Scotland District Totals	234 853	133 616	367 1,469	144 650	39.2 44.2	223 819	99 444	127 475	226 919	94 580	41.5 63.1	132 339
	003	010	1,409	650	44.4	019	444	475	313	560	03.1	228
District 17	100	101	250	100	47 1	107	<i>c</i> 1	<b>c</b> 0	104	F 4	42 5	70
Caswell Rockingham	128 820	131 572	259 1,392	122 685	47.1 49.2	137 707	61 380	63 314	124 694	54 330	43.5 47.5	70 364
Stokes Surry	148 440	149 328	297 768	118 309	39.7 40.2	179 459	46 161	132 235	178 396	108 249	60.6 62.8	70 147
District Totals	1,536	1,180	2,716	1,234	45.4	1,482	648	744	1,392	741	53.2	651
District 18		·										
Guilford	2,465	1,745	4,210	1,605	38.1	2,605	705	1,850	2,565	1,875	73.0	690
District 19A												
Cabarrus	672	482	1,154	505	43.7	649	171	256	427	240	56.2	187
Rowan	887	743	1,630	756	46.3	874	165	856	1,021	802	78.5 71.9	219 406
District Totals	1,559	1,225	2,784	1,261	45.2	1,523	336	1,112	1,448	1,042	/1.5	400
District 19B	100	150	040		41.0	205	100	74	182	06	47.2	96
Montgomery Randolph	199 526	150 464	349 990	144 442	41.2 44.6	205 548	108 181	74 299	480	86 291	60.6	189
District Totals	725	614	1,339	586	43.7	753	289	373	662	377	56.9	285
District 20												
Anson	414	155	569	126	22.1	443	118	62	180	77	42.7	103
Moore Richmond	564 483	384 299	948 782	328 223	34.5 28.5	620 559	184 295	260 158	444 452	308 124	69.3 27.4	136 328
Stanly	904	292 340	1,196 811	248 334	20.7 41.1	948 477	208 139	208 230	416 369	210 234	50.4 63.4	206 135
Union District Totals	471 2,836	1,470	4,306	1,259	41.1 29.2	3,047	139 943	230 918	1,861	953	51.2	908
	_,											

#### CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR ESTATE AND SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

			Es	lates					Special Pro	occedings		
	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload		% Disposed to Caseload	Pending 6/30/79	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload		% Disposed to Caseload	
<u>District 21</u> Forsyth	1,932	1,345	3,277	1,376	41.9	1,901	283	1,054	1,337	1,079	80.7	258
District 22												
Alexander Davidson Davie Iredell	103 678 120 635	108 584 112 578	211 1,262 232 1,213	90 519 116 516	42.6 41.1 50.0 42.5	121 743 116 697	62 199 45 159	90 321 90 358	152 520 135 517	78 246 82 338	51.3 47.3 60.7 65.3	74 274 53 179
District Totals	1,536	1,382	2,918	1,241	42.5	1,677	465	859	1,324	744	56.1	580
District 23												
Alleghany Ashe Wilkes Yadkin	81 178 268 252	84 150 247 212	165 328 515 464	79 156 239 240	47.8 47.5 46.4 51.7	86 172 276 224	22 38 174 75	64 88 344 107	86 126 518 182	69 92 359 120	80.2 73.0 69.3 65.9	17 34 159 62
District Totals	779	693	1,472	714	48.5	758	309	603	912	640	70.1	272
<u>District 24</u> Avery Madison Mitchell Watauga Yancey	127 262 293 138 103	67 108 97 121 156	194 370 390 259 259	71 101 58 82 152	36.5 27.2 14.8 31.6 58.6	123 269 332 177 107	66 69 70 106 55	79 67 83 133 61	145 136 153 239 116	78 43 28 134 58	53.7 35.2 18.3 56.0 50.0	67 88 125 105 58
District Totals	923	549	1,472	464	31.5	1,008	366	423	789	346	43.8	443
District 25				•.								
Burke Caldwell Catawba	650 547 861	337 350 574	987 897 1,435	394 349 428	39.9 38.9 29.8	593 548 1,007	156 425 265	425 258 452	581 683 717	472 271 374	81.2 39.6 52.1	109 412 343
District Totals	2,058	1,261	3,319	1,171	35.2	2,148	846	1,135	1,981	1,117	56.3	864
<u>District 26</u> Mecklenburg	3,420	2,331	5,751	2,204	38.3	3,547	1,193	1,858	3,051	1,821	59.6	1,230
<u>District 27A</u> Gaston	990	778	1,768	710	40.1	1,058	603	1,103	1,706	1,054	62.3	642
District 27B												
Cleveland Lincoln	465 264	460 241	925 505	464 249	50.1 49.3	461 256	146 66	382 222	528 288	408 234	77.2 81.2	120 54
District Totals	729	701	1,430	713	49.8	717	212	604	815	642	78.6	174
<u>District 28</u> Buncombe	2,351	1,200	3,561	1,372	38.5	2,189	530	788	1,318	732	55.5	586
District 29												
Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford Transylvania	547 235 192 476 269	420 179 162 340 170	967 414 354 816 439	453 141 139 422 128	46.8 34.0 39.2 51.7 29.1	514 273 215 394 311	262 147 26 173 95	214 191 72 206 111	476 338 98 379 206	173 174 75 233 85	36.3 51.4 76.5 61.4 41.2	303 164 23 146 121
District Totals	1,719	1,271	2,990	1,283	42.9	1,707	703	794	1,497	740	49.4	757
District 30 Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson Macon Swain	154 34 92 405 236 302 78	121 37 33 295 134 135 65	275 71 125 700 370 437 143	71 27 53 294 63 117 37	25.8 38.0 42.4 42.0 17.0 26.7 25.8	204 44 72 406 307 320 106	37 21 15 144 144 160 37	46 16 27 177 116 121 62	83 37 42 321 260 281 99	43 21 21 186 109 91 50	51.8 56.7 50.0 57.9 41.9 32.3 50.5	40 16 21 135 151 190 49
District Totals	1,301	820	2,121	662	31.2	1,459	558	565	1,123	521	46.3	602
STATE TOTALS	47,012	32,926	79,938	31,378	39.2	48,560	19,114	27,799	46,913	26,717	56.9	20,196

CASELOAD TRENDS IN ESTATES AND SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS 1974-79



92

## CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR CRIMINAL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

			Felo	nies					Misdem	eanors		
	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload	Disposed	% Disposed to Caseload	Pending 6/30/79	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload	Disposed	% Disposed to Caseload	
District 1									00	10	70.2	5
Camden Chowan Currituck Dare Gates Pasquotank	2 56 20 11 9 31	68 101 30 97 69 178	70 157 50 108 78 209	58 132 46 82 45 185	82.8 84.0 92.0 75.9 57.6 88.5	12 25 4 26 33 24	9 14 74 101 20 64	14 147 231 230 66 373	23 161 305 331 86 437	18 146 235 197 64 359	78.2 90.6 77.0 59.5 74.4 82.1	15 70 134 22 78
Perquimans	21	53	74	65	87.8	9	23	79	102	79	77.4	23
District Totals	150	596	746	613	82.1	133	305	1,140	1,445	1,098	75.9	347
District 2		457	500	437	82.7	91	57	215	272	195	71.6	77
Beaufort Hyde	71 0	457 60	528 60	13	21.6	47	16	46	62	43	69.3	19 39
Martin	42 10	86 1	128 11	63 2	49.2 18.1	65 9	31 22	76 37	107 59	68 32	63.5 54.2	27
Tyrrell Washington	10	62	79	58	73.4	21	25	66	91	60	65.9	31
District Totals	140	666	806	573	71.0	233	151	440	591	398	67.3	193
<u>District 3</u>								110	100	102	56.6	78
Carteret Craven	151 124	123 592	274 716	155 615	56.5 85.8	119 101	70 82	110 393	180 475	102 400	84,2	75
Pamlico	19	56	75	67	89.3	8 231	26 124	50 621	76 745	55 563	72.3 75.5	21 182
Pitt	254	671	925 1,990	694 1,531	75.0 76.9	459	302	1,174	1,476	1,120	75.8	356
District Totals District <u>4</u>	548	1,442	1,950	7,001	70.5	-100	002		-,			
Duplin	11	251	262	189	72.1	73	15	90	105	68	64.7	37
Jones	1	84	85 1,193	76 1,014	89.4 84.9	9 179	7 67	2, 3	32	27 228	84.3 85.3	5 39
Onslow Sampson	156 13	1,037 245	258	189	73.2	69	20	158	178	142	79.7	36
District Totals	181	1,617	1,798	1,468	81.0	330	109	473	582	465	79.8	117
<u>District 5</u>											00.4	101
New Hanover Pender	150 73	1,478 101	1,628 174	1,227 79	75.3 45.4	401 95	119 48	552 77	671 125	540 79	80.4	131 46
District Totals	223	1,579	1,802	1,306	72.4	496	167	629	796	619	77.7	177
District 6												
Bertie	14	0	14	3	21.4	11 240	42 74	111 147	153 221	90 118	58.8 53.3	63 103
Halifax Hertford	66 37	340 54	406 91	166 65	40.8 71.4	26	40	164	204	118	57.8	86
Northampton	31	60	91	49	53.2	42	37	54	91	44	48.3	47 299
District Totals	148	454	602	283	47.0	319	193	476	669	370	55.3	299
District 7												~~
Edgecombe Nash	50 118	367 618	417 736	351 589 234	84.1 80.0 50.1	66 147 233	98 169 125	302 410 331	400 579 456	334 447 269	83.5 77.2 58.9	66 132 187
Wilson District Totals	91 259	376 1,361	467 1,620	1,174	72.4	446	392	1,043	1,435	1,050	73.1	385
District 8	209	1,501	1,020	1 1 1 / 7				_,				
Greene	15	84	99	72	72.7	27	9	45	54	38	70.3	16
Lenoir Wayne	58 41	340 503	398 544	358 398	89.9 73.1	40 146	52 23	244 275	296 298	257 254	86.8 85.2	39 44
District Totals	5 114	927	1,041	828	79.5	213	84	564	648	549	84.7	99
District 9												
Franklin Granville Person	46 72 36	149 102 69	195 174 105	86 124 55	44.1 71.2 52.3	109 50 50	140 87 69	210 131 218	350 218 287	223 146 157	63.7 66.9 54.7	127 72 130
Vance	54 70	280 65	334 135	223 83	66.7 61.4	111 52	81 48	243 92	324 140	245 83	75.6 59.2	79 57
Warren District Total:		665 665	943	571	60.5	372	425	894	1,319	854	64.7	465
District 10	062	2,091	3,054	1,957	64.0	1,097	361	1,727	2,088	1,511	72.3	577
Wake	963	2,091	5,004	1,50/	07+0	1,007	001	~ 3 / 12 /	_,	-,		

93

## CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR CRIMINAL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

Product         Total         Total         St Disposed         Preding Casedad         Total         St Disposed         Preding Casedad         Total         St Disposed         Preding Casedad         Total         St Disposed         Preding Casedad         Disposed         Casedad         Disposed <thcasedad< th="">         Disposed         Casedad</thcasedad<>				Feld	onies					Misdem	eanors		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Filed		Disnosed				Filed		Disnosed		
Johnston         45         241         226         206         237         75         26         266         233         464         200         463         183           District Totals         120         403         523         376         71.8         147         98         561         679         484         66.8         2235           District Totals         337         643         900         765         78.0         215         132         131         132         66.3         661.1         132           District Totals         305         733         1,118         866         7.1         37         156         137         198         730         916         662         7.2         236           District Totals         305         733         142         92         44.7         50         48         41         132         75         59.6         53           Obscriptict 13         144         1,363         1,091         79.7         224         131         377         7.2         121         936         631         7.2         123           District Totals         124         637         761         557         7							.,,.,	., ., ., .		CHOULD	<i>composed</i>	te caselond	0/00/17
Lee 31 13 44 31 70.4 13 52 234 546 60.6 226 40.1 15 District Totals 120 403 523 376 71.8 147 93 581 679 484 66.6 226 <u>Mistrict Totals 120 403 523 376 71.8 147 93 581 679 484 66.6 226</u> <u>Mistrict Totals 337 643 990 765 78.0 215 52 993 725 950 75.8 175 60.3 7193 152 60.3 61 155 156 157 193 152 60.3 61 155 157 193 152 60.3 61 155 157 193 152 62 70.4 252 112 48.5 117 19 76 95 77 75 80.7 22 236 115 157 193 152 60.3 61 155 157 153 77 76 1 537 70.5 224 131 377 60.3 377 7.2 2 131 155 157 75 153 77 75 153 77 75 524 131 377 60.3 377 7.2 2 131 155 155 150 155 150 05 65 69.4 257 88 321 409 232 68.9 177 7.2 131 157 157 77 1.5 157 70.5 224 131 377 60.3 377 7.2 131 155 157 70.5 124 131 377 60.3 377 7.2 131 155 157 70.5 124 131 377 60.3 377 7.2 131 155 157 70.5 124 131 377 60.3 377 7.2 131 155 157 70.5 124 131 377 60.3 377 7.2 131 155 157 70.5 124 131 377 60.3 377 7.2 131 155 157 70.5 124 131 377 60.3 377 7.2 131 155 157 70.5 124 131 377 60.3 377 7.2 131 155 157 70.5 124 131 377 60.3 377 7.2 131 155 157 70.5 124 131 31 306 73 90 92.7 7 7 75 157 158 124 494 493 65.5 106 23 110 133 106 73.9 09 92.7 7 7 157 158 124 494 493 65.5 106 23 110 133 106 67.5 60 155 105 100 133 106 67.5 60 155 100 133 106 67.5 80 151 133 106 67.5 80 151 133 106 67.5 80 151 130 106 73.5 80.0 280 151 156 147 577 72 51 137 193 156 150 67.5 80 151 155 147 537 757 58.7 40 85.2 34 155 150 123 100 67.5 80 151 150 155 147 537 757 58.7 40 85.3 159 1150 155 147 537 757 58.7 40 85.3 159 1150 155 147 537 757 58.7 40 85.3 159 1150 155 147 537 757 58.7 40 85.3 159 1100 30 631 921 663 65.3 650 280 1154 150 156 66 555 66 77 66 155 147 537 757 58 1.7 40 85.3 159 1170 138 1,641 674 80.3 65.3 376 80 13.5 238 142 155 159 1,779 173 1,738 1,663 85.2 200 155 147 537 757 58.7 710 155 147 537 757 58.7 71 58.5 150 155 147 537 757 58.7 71 58.5 155 147 537 757 58.7 71 58.5 155 147 537 757 58.7 71 58.5 155 147 537 757 58.7 71 58.5 155 147 537 757 58.7 71 58.5 155 147 537 757 58.7 71 58.5 155 147 537 757 58.7 71 58.5 155 157 577 577 58.7 71 58.5 155 147 537 757 58.7 71 58.5 155 147</u>													
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				44	31	70.4	13						
Cumberland         337         643         980         765         78.0         215         132         593         725         550         76.8         175           District Totals         385         733         1,118         866         77.4         225         188         730         912         662         7.2         230           Pronswick         255         204         229         112         68.3         51         56         622         7.2         230         52           District 13         Bladen         325         64.7         76.1         537         70.5         224         131         377         663         377         7.2         131           District 14         Durham         234         1,134         1,368         1,091         79.7         277         82         399         451         393         82.7         83           District 145         Durham         244         90         51         56.6         39         29         68         97         90         92.7         7           District 158         Durham         38         52         90         51         56.6         39         29	District lotals	120	403	523	376	71.8	147	98	581	679	454	66,8	225
Moke         Head         Go         138         101         77.1         -57         156         137         193         132         463         Afa           District Totals         385         7.33         1.118         866         77.4         252         188         730         912         622         7.2         235           District 13         Bladen         33         109         142         92         64.7         50         46         44         132         75         56.6         53           District Totals         124         637         761         537         70.5         224         131         377         663         377         74.2         131           District Totals         124         136         1,091         79.7         277         82         399         461         59         82.7         7           Ahamance         349         551         900         625         69.4         275         88         321         409         222         60.9         90         92.7         7           Orange         170         324         494         388         78.5         106         23         110<													
District Totals         385         733         1,118         866         77.4         222         108         700         912         612         7.2.236           District 13         Bladen         33         109         142         92         64.7         50         48         94         132         7.5         50.8         53           Brunswick         25         704         229         112         48.9         117         19         76         92         57.2         70.8         53         57         64         217         251         222         57.3         50.8         577         74.2         131         377         56.3         577         74.2         131         377         56.3         577         74.2         131           District 16A													
District 13           Bladen Brunswick Soumbus         33 65         109 320         142 320         92 64.7 333         64.9 64.9 64.9 64         117 19 19         19 76 64         217 21. 221         222 22. 22. 25. 131         57.3 37. 36. 321         58.3 22. 22. 25. 131         57.7 37. 56.4         50.8 21. 22. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25	District Totals	385	733										
Brunswick Columbus         25         204 225         226 204         127 205         127 25         127 25         127 25         127 25         127 25         126 25         127 25         126 25         127 25         126 25         127 25         126 25         127 25         126 25         127 25         127         127         127         127 </td <td>District 13</td> <td></td> <td>200</td>	District 13												200
			109	142	92	64.7	50	48	84	132	79	59.8	53
District Totals 124 637 761 537 70.5 224 131 377 563 577 74.2 131 District 14 Durham 234 1.134 1.368 1.091 79.7 277 82 399 461 573 82.7 83 District 15A Alamance 349 551 900 625 69.4 275 88 321 409 232 68.9 127 District 15B Chatham 38 52 90 551 56.6 39 29 68 97 90 92.7 7 Orange 170 324 494 388 78.5 106 23 110 133 106 79.6 27 District Totals 208 376 584 439 75.1 145 52 178 230 196 85.2 34 District Totals 208 376 584 439 75.1 145 52 178 230 196 85.2 34 District 16 Robeson 779 544 823 604 73.3 219 184 421 665 463 69.5 202 Soctland 72 258 330 139 42.1 191 116 150 266 180 67.6 86 District Totals 351 802 1.153 743 64.4 410 300 631 931 643 69.0 298 District Totals 351 802 1.153 743 64.4 410 300 631 931 643 69.0 298 District Totals 289 967 18 213 110 133 108 81.2 288 Surry 8 26 695 540 77.6 155 144 577 722 511 70.5 213 Surry 8 26 695 540 77.6 155 144 674 818 543 66.3 67.5 86 District 17 Gaswell 11 49 60 24 40.0 36 4 93 97 57 58.7 40 District 16 Surry 5 24 305 389 281 72.2 108 144 674 818 543 66.3 278 District 18 Guitford Greensboro 784 305 389 921 72.2 108 144 674 818 543 66.3 278 District 18 Guitford Greensboro 784 1.752 2.535 1.843 72.6 693 413 1.003 1.415 1.216 85.8 200 High Point 249 566 353 00.3 130 130 882 482 289 93 0.7 93 District 18 Guitford Greensboro 784 1.752 663 533 00.3 130 180 65 1179 778 73.6 259 1.179 178 1.466 85.5 252 District 18 Guitford Greensboro 74 40 075 603 79.3 130 180 65 1179 79.7 97.1 75.9 308 District 18 Guitford 180 566 409 755 603 79.8 152 316 582 480 584 69.5 255 District 198 Guitford 180 566 409 755 603 79.8 152 316 522 840 584 69.5 255 District 198 Mandolph 290 274 564 475 67.3 22 26 154 180 112 180 112 62.2 683 69.7 95.1 180 District 108 District 108 District 108 District 108 District 108 140 755 603 79.8 152 316 522 840 584 69.5 255 District 18 Guitford 185 565 12 741 333 65.1 179 99 246 345 269 77.9 76. 73 Mandolph 290 274 564 475 67.2 69 250 316 555 250 District 188 District 188 District 188 District 188 District 188 District 188						48.9	117	19	76	95	73	75.8	22
District 14         District 14         District 15         District 154         District 154           Anamace         349         551         900         625         69.4         275         88         321         409         232         60.9         127           District 156													
	District 14							201	0.11	330	23.4	· · · · · C	101
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	·	234	1,134	1,368	1,091	79.7	277	82	399	481	298	92 <b>7</b>	83
Alamance         349         551         900         625         69.4         275         88         321         409         232         62.9         127           District 158         Chatham         38         52         90         51         56.6         39         29         68         97         90         92.7         7           District Totals         208         376         584         439         75.1         145         52         178         230         196         85.2         34           District Totals         208         376         584         439         75.1         145         52         178         230         196         85.2         34           Obstand         72         258         330         139         42.1         111         116         150         266         126         67.6         66           District Totals         331         602         1,153         743         64.4         410         300         631         931         643         69.0         288           District Totals         321         600         24         40.0         36         4         93         97	District 15A -	•			-			-		101		01.17	00
District 158         Chi and any		349	551	900	625	69.4	275	88	321	409	232	62.0	127
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	District 15B											00.0	1
Orange         170         324         494         388         78.5         106         23         110         133         106         79.6         27           District Totals         208         376         584         439         75.1         145         52         178         230         195         85.2         34           District Totals         208         376         584         439         75.1         145         52         178         230         195         85.2         34           District Totals         361         802         1,153         743         644         410         300         631         931         643         69.5         202           District Totals         361         802         1,153         743         644         105         300         631         931         643         69.5         202           Caswell         11         149         60         24         40.0         36         4         93         97         57         56.7         40           Rockingham         161         534         695         540         77.6         155         147         577         72.4 <td< td=""><td></td><td>38</td><td>52</td><td>90</td><td>51</td><td></td><td>39</td><td>29</td><td>68</td><td>97</td><td>90</td><td>92 7</td><td>7</td></td<>		38	52	90	51		39	29	68	97	90	92 7	7
District 16         Robeson         279         544         823         694         73.3         219         184         421         665         463         69.5         202           Soctland         72         258         330         139         42.1         191         116         150         266         160         67.6         266           District Totals         351         802         1,153         743         64.4         410         300         631         931         643         69.0         298           District Totals         351         802         1,153         743         64.4         410         300         631         931         643         69.0         298           District Totals         266         69         95         77         61.0         18         231         101         133         108         81.2         251           Surry         84         305         389         281         72.2         108         144         674         818         543         66.3         275           District Totals         282         957         1,239         922         74.4         317         318         1,	-					78.5	106						
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	District lotals	208	376	584	439	75.1	145	52	178	230	196	85.2	34
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
District Totals       351       802       1,153       743       64.4       410       300       631       931       643       69.0       288         District 17													
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	District Totals	351											
Rockingham         161         534         695         540         77.6         155         147         577         724         511         70.5         213           Stokes         26         69         95         77         81.0         18         23         110         133         108         81.2         225           District Totals         282         957         1,239         922         74.4         317         318         1,464         1,772         1,219         66.7         553           District Totals         282         957         1,239         922         74.4         317         318         1,464         1,772         1,219         66.7         553           District Totals         1,033         2,318         3,351         2,384         71.1         967         559         1,179         1,738         1,466         85.5         252           District Totals         1,033         2,318         3,351         2,384         71.1         967         559         1,179         1,738         1,466         85.5         252           District Totals         111         552         663         533         80.3         130         186	District 17												
Rockingham         161         534         695         540         77.6         155         147         577         724         511         70.5         213           Stokes         26         69         95         77         81.0         18         23         110         133         108         81.2         25           District Totals         282         957         1,239         922         74.4         317         318         1,454         1,772         1,219         68.7         553           District 18         691         755         541         66.3         274         146         176         322         270         83.8         52           District Totals         1.033         2.318         3,351         2.384         71.1         967         559         1,179         1,738         1,486         85.5         252           District Totals         1.033         2.318         3,351         2,384         71.1         967         559         1,179         1,738         1,486         85.5         252           District Totals         251         979         1,230         982         79.3         248         286         993				60	24	40.0	36	4	93	97	57	58.7	40
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										724	511	70.5	213
District 18 Guilford Greensboro       784       1,752       2,536       1,843       72.6       693       413       1,003       1,416       1,216       85.8       200         High Point       249       566       :15       541       66.3       274       146       176       322       270       83.8       52         District Totals       1,033       2,318       3,351       2,384       71.1       967       559       1,179       1,738       1,466       85.5       252         District Totals       103       2,318       3,351       2,384       71.1       967       559       1,179       1,738       1,466       85.5       252         District Totals       101       552       663       533       80.3       130       186       611       797       582       73.0       215         Buwan       140       427       567       449       79.1       118       100       382       482       389       80.7       93       01strict Totals       251       979       1,230       982       79.8       248       286       993       1,279       971       75.9       308         District Totals       25									110				
Guilford Greensboro $784$ $1,752$ $2,536$ $1,843$ $72.6$ $693$ $413$ $1,003$ $1,416$ $1,216$ $85.8$ $200$ High Point $249$ $566$ $:.15$ $541$ $66.3$ $274$ $146$ $176$ $322$ $270$ $83.8$ $52$ District Totals $1,033$ $2,318$ $3,351$ $2,384$ $71.1$ $967$ $559$ $1,179$ $1,738$ $1,466$ $85.5$ $252$ District 19ACabarrus $111$ $552$ $663$ $533$ $80.3$ $130$ $186$ $611$ $797$ $582$ $73.0$ $215$ Powan $140$ $427$ $567$ $449$ $79.1$ $118$ $100$ $382$ $482$ $389$ $80.7$ $93$ District Totals $251$ $979$ $1,230$ $982$ $79.8$ $248$ $286$ $993$ $1,279$ $971$ $75.9$ $308$ District 19BMontgomery $56$ $135$ $191$ $128$ $67.0$ $63$ $66$ $112$ $180$ $112$ $62.2$ $68$ District Totals $346$ $409$ $755$ $603$ $79.8$ $152$ $318$ $522$ $840$ $584$ $69.5$ $25b$ District Totals $346$ $409$ $755$ $603$ $79.8$ $152$ $318$ $522$ $840$ $584$ $69.5$ $25b$ District 20Anson $36$ $217$ $253$ <	District Totals	282	957	1,239	922	74.4	317	318	1,454	1,772	1,219	68.7	553
Greensboro7841,7522,5361,84372.66934131,0031,4161,21685.8200High Point249566.1554166.327414617632227083.852District Totals1.0332,3183,3512,38471.19675591,1791,7381,46685.5252District 19ACabarrus11155266253380.313018661179758273.0215Pawan14042756744979.111810038248238980.793District Totals2519791,23098279.82482869931,27997175.9308District 19BMontgomery5613519112867.0636811218011262.268District Totals34640975560379.815231852284058469.525bDistrict 20Anson3621725322187.3322615418013776.143Moore14137351433565.11799924634526977.976Stanly1407021018487.626													
High Point2495661554166.327414617632227083.852District Totals1.0332.3183.3512.38471.19675591.1791.7381.48685.5252District 19ACabarrus11155266353380.313018661179758273.0215Pawan14042756744979.111810038248238980.793District Totals2519791.23098279.32482869931.27997175.9308District 19B67.0636611218011262.268Montgomery5613519112867.0636611218011262.268District Totals34640975560379.815231852284058469.525bDistrict Totals34640975560379.815231852284058469.525bDistrict 20Anson3621725322187.3322615418013776.143Moore14137351433565.11799924634526977.976Stanly1407021018487.6 <td></td> <td>784</td> <td>1.752</td> <td>2.536</td> <td>1 843</td> <td>72 6</td> <td>603</td> <td>412</td> <td>1.000</td> <td>1 476</td> <td>1 010</td> <td>05.0</td> <td>000</td>		784	1.752	2.536	1 843	72 6	603	412	1.000	1 476	1 010	05.0	000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	High Point												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	District Totals	1,033	2,318	3,351	2,384	71.1	967	559	1,179	1,738	1,486	85,5	252
Powan14042756744979.111810038248238980.793District Totals2519791,23098279.32482869931,27997175.9308District 19BMontgomery5613519112867.0636611218011262.268Randolph29027456447584.28925041066047271.5186District Totals34640975560379.815231852284058469.525bDistrict 20Anson3621725322187.3322615418013776.143Moore14137351433565.11799924634526977.97643Moore14137351433565.11799924634526977.97643Moore14137351433565.11799924634526977.97648Stanly1407021018487.62612027039031079.480Union4047451433164.31833529533026379.667	District 19A												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	District 198						2,0	200	550	19614		15.5	000
Randolph       290       274       564       475       84.2       89       250       410       660       472       71.5       186         District Totals       346       409       755       603       79.8       152       316       522       840       584       69.5       25b         District ZO       Anson       36       217       253       221       87.3       32       26       154       180       137       76.1       43         Moore       141       373       514       335       65.1       179       99       246       345       269       77.9       76         Moore       141       373       514       335       65.1       179       99       246       345       269       77.9       76         Richmond       165       656       821       626       76.2       195       115       303       418       313       74.8       105         Stanly       140       70       210       184       87.6       26       120       270       390       310       79.4       80         Union       40       474       514       331       <		56	135	191	128	67.0	63	65	112	180	112	62 2	68
District 20         Anson         36         217         253         221         87.3         32         26         154         180         137         76.1         43           Moore         141         373         514         326         76.1         179         99         246         345         269         77.9         76           Richmond         165         656         821         626         76.2         195         115         303         418         313         74.8         105           Stanly         140         70         210         184         87.6         26         120         270         390         310         79.4         80           Union         40         474         514         331         64.3         183         35         295         330         263         79.6         67	Randolph	290	274						410				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	District Totals	346	409	755	603	79.8	152	318	522	840	584	69.5	25b.
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-												
Richmond         165         656         821         626         76.2         195         115         303         418         313         74.8         105           Stanly         140         70         210         184         87.6         26         120         270         390         310         79.4         80           Union         40         474         514         331         64.3         183         35         295         330         263         79.6         67													
Union 40 474 514 331 64.3 183 35 295 330 263 79 6 67	Richmond	165	656	821	626	76.2	195	115	303	418	313	74.8	105
						87.6 64.3	26 183						
	District Totals	522	1,790	2,312									

4.

#### CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR CRIMINAL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

			Felc	onies					Misdem	eanors		
	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload		% Disposed to Caseload	Pending 6/30/79	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload	Disposed	% Disposed to Caseload	
District 21 Forsyth	170	1,161	1,331	1,100	82.6	231	141	1,309	1,450	1,161	80.0	289
District 22												
Alexander Davidson Davie Iredell	3 31 32 180	90 395 89 462	93 426 121 642	64 262 91 422	68.8 61.5 75.2 65.7	29 164 30 220	143 39 7 50	123 388 75 363	266 427 82 413	243 368 50 330	91.3 86.1 60.9 79.9	23 59 32 83
District Totals	246	1,036	1,282	839	65.4	443	239	949	1,188	991	83.4	197
District 23												
Alleghany Ashe Wilkes Yadkin District Totals	7 4 95 28 134	22 83 118 105 328	29 87 213 133 462	17 74 165 72 328	58.6 83.0 77.4 54.1 70.9	12 13 48 61 134	20 15 79 42 156	11 80 158 140 389	31 95 237 182 545	25 78 188 133 424	80.6 82.1 79.3 73.0 77.7	6 17 49 49 121
	104	020										
District 24 Avery Madison Mitchell Watauga Yancey	70 27 9 55 4	66 30 30 113 55	136 57 39 168 59	75 12 24 131 45	55.1 21.0 61.5 77.9 76.2	61 45 15 37 14	20 12 9 20 14	41 27 23 24 40	61 39 32 44 54	35 20 17 35 37	57.3 51.2 53.1 79.5 68.5	26 19 15 9 17
District Totals	165	294	459	287	62.5	172	75	155	230	144	62.6	86
District 25 Burke Caldwell	129 109	293 246 898	422 355 1,189	297 295 790	70.3 83.0 66.4	125 60 399	64 67 215	209 220 450	273 287 665	208 252 429	76.1 87.8 64.5	65 35 236
Catawba District Totals	291 529	1,437	1,105	1,382	70.2	584	346	879	1,225	889	72.5	336
<u>District 26</u> Mecklenburg	936	1,585	2,521	1,854	73.5	667	354	1,711	2,065	1,586	76.8	479
District 27A Gaston	257	1,333	1,590	1,162	73.0	428	101	350	451	353	78.2	98
<u>District 27B</u> Cleveland Lincoln District Totals	18 22 40	435 214 649	453 236 689	390 156 546	86.0 66.1 79.2	63 80 143	13 28 41	152 78 230	165 106 271	137 90 227	83.0 84.9 83.7	28 16 44
	40	049	009	5-10	75.2	140						
District 28 Buncombe	166	876	1,042	800	76.7	242	50	348	398	264	66.3	134
District 29												
Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford Transylvania	96 42 29 149 106	226 132 72 204 57	322 174 101 353 163	259 116 56 229 126	80.4 66.6 55.4 64.8 77.3	63 58 45 124 37	31 20 22 87 23	88 47 28 136 30	119 67 50 223 53	96 46 34 148 41	80.6 68.6 68.0 66.3 77.3	23 21 16 75 12
District Tetals	422	691	1,113	786	70.6	327	183	329	512	365	71.2	147
<u>District 30</u> Cherokee	26	74	100	50	50.0	50	24	53	77	31	40.2	46
Clay Graham Haywood Jacksor Macon Swain	18 9 79 118 38 22	35 10 1 40 74 18	53 19 80 158 112 40	43 13 58 90 43 29	81.1 68.4 72.5 56.9 38.3 72.5	10 6 22 68 69 11	2 10 207 38 17 22	3 29 411 75 39 10	5 39 618 113 56 32	4 28 402 72 22 27	80.0 71.7 65.0 63.7 39.2 84.3	1 11 216 41 34 5
District Totals	310	252	562	326	58.0	236	320	620	940	586	62.3	354
STATE TOTALS	10,584	32,129	42,713	30,979	72.5	11,734	7,310	24,462	31,772	23,608	74.3	8,164



CASES FILED AND DISPOSED SHOW AN INCREASING TREND OVER THE 1969-79 TIME PERIOD, PENDING CASES FLUCTUATE SOMEWHAT BUT DISPLAY A GENERAL RISING TENDENCY SINCE 1973. IN-CREASES OF 1978-79 FIGURES OVER THE 1969 CASELOAD: FIL-INGS--67.3%, DISPOSITIONS--63.2%, END PENDING--57.4%.



FELONIES DOMINATE THE SUPERIOR CRIMINAL COURTS, OF THE CRIMINAL CASES HANDLED BY NORTH CAROLINA SUPERIOR COURTS THIS YEAR, FELONIES COMPOSED 56.8% OF FILINGS, 56.8% OF DISPOSITIONS, AND 59.0% OF PENDING CASELOAD AT THE END OF THE YEAR.

#### MANNER OF DISPOSITION OF CRIMINAL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Feld	onies					Misdemea	BOIS		
	Total	Plea of Guilty	Plea of		Speedy*	** <del>******</del>		Plea of	Plea of		Speedy*	
<b></b>	Disposed	(Judge)	Not Guilty (Jury)	Dismissal by D.A.	Trial Dismissal	Other	Total Disposed	Guilty (Judge)	Not Guilty (Jury)	Dismissal	Trial Dismissal	Other
<u>District 1</u>							<i>D</i> isposed	(buuge)	(0413)	by D.a.	Dismissai	omer
Camden Chowan Currituck Dare Gates Pasquotank Perquimans	58 132 46 82 45 185 65	40 60 21 51 34 111 34	3 6 3 1 15 4	5 46 20 17 9 53	0 0 0 0 0	10 20 21 11 6	18 146 235 197 64 359	15 64 108 103 50 142	0 4 10 10 2 20	1 15 38 35 6 54	0 0 0 0 0	2 63 79 49 6 143
District Totals	613	351	35	26 176	0 0	1 51	1 009	43	11	4	0	21
District 2				170	U	51	1,098	525	57	153	0	363
Beaufort Hyde Martin Tyrrell Washington	437 13 63 2 58	314 8 38 0 31	31 2 10 1 19	76 0 12 1 7	0 0 0 0 0	16 3 3 0 1	195 43 68 32 60	99 26 39 19 30	31 4 6 5 5	44 9 15 6 13	0 0 0 0	21 4 8 2 12
District Totals	573	391	63	96	0	23	398	213	51	87	ů 0	47
District 3												
Carteret Craven Pamlico Pitt	155 615 67 694	51 226 34 448	5 31 2 52	87 330 29 187	0 0 0 0	12 28 2 7	102 400 55 563	50 197 26 298	8 35 7 44	27 143 18 123	0 0 0	17 25 4 98
District Totals	1,531	759	90	633	0	49	1,120	571	94	311	0	144
District 4												
Duplin Jones Onslow Sampson	189 76 1,014 189	129 56 537 156	10 5 36 10	49 12 411 21	0 0 0 0	1 3 30 2	68 27 228 142	34 15 62 98	14 7 28 7	15 3 89 23	0 0 0	5 2 49 14
District Totals	1,468	878	61	493	0	36	465	209	56	130	0	70
District 5												
New Hanover Pender	1,227 79	716 52	90 9	393 7	1 0	27 11	540 79	258 37	30 10	197 21	0	55 11
District Totals	1,306	768	99	400	1	38	619	295	40	218	0	66
District 6												
Bertie Halifax Hertford Northampton	3 166 65 49	2 68 37 10	0 5 7 2	1 92 16 30	0 0 0	0 1 5 7	90 118 118 44	44 58 73 20	4 3 7 2	25 54 31 17	0 0 0 0	17 3 7 5
District Totals	283	117	14	139	0	13	370	195	16	127	0.	32
<u>District 7</u>												
Edgecombe Nash Wilson	351 589 234	191 360 136	52 29 28	95 199 63	0 0 0	13 1 7	334 447 269	158 234 156	30 21 23	77 142 52	0 0 0	69 50 38
District Totals	1,174	687	109	357	0	21	1,050	548	74	271	0 0	157
District 8												
Greene Lenoir Wayne	72 358 398	20 100 138	12 37 78	37 195 174	0 0 0	3 26 8	38 257 254	17 72 135	2 21 27	17 114 73	0 0 0	2 50 19
District Totals	828	258	127	406	0	37	549	224	50	204	0	71
District 9						-						
Franklin Granville Person Vance Warren	86 124 55 223 83	42 71 20 109 38	2 8 13 24 1	37 35 22 75 44	0 0 0 0	5 10 0 15 0	223 146 157 245 83	124 77 80 110 42	8 9 10 12 7	72 45 60 98 33	0 0 0 0	19 15 7 25 1
District Totals	571	280	48	213	0	30	854	433	46	308	0	67
District 10												
Wake	1,957	935	151	839	0	32	1,511	548	48	568	0	347
*The data in this d	lisposition of	ateonry is	for the s	ix-month	nariod fr	om Ionun	u 1 1070 T.		70 D.C	•	1 1000	

\*The data in this disposition category is for the six-month period from January 1, 1979—June 30, 1979. Before January 1, 1979, data on this type of disposition was not available.

# MANNER OF DISPOSITION OF CRIMINAL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

Pice of Total District 11         Pice of District 12         Pice of District 13         Pice of District 14         Pice of District 14         Pice of District 14         Pice of District 15         Pice of District 15         Pice of District 14         Pice of District 15         Pice of District 14         Pice of District 156         Pice of District 157         Pice of District 157         Pice of District 157         Pice of District 157         Pice of D				Felo	nies					Misdemear	ors		
District 11         District 11         District 13         District 13 <thdis 12<="" th=""> <thdis 13<="" th="">         Distr</thdis></thdis>			Guilty	Plex of Not Guilty	Dismissal	Trial	Other		Guilty	Not Guilty	Dismissal by D.A.	Trial	Other
Partnett		•			-		14	ο <b>Λ</b>	10	16	21	0	24
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Johnston	208	121	14	60	0	13	166	92	10	37	0	27
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	District Totals	376	205	24	119	· 0	28	454	236	31	121	C	66
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	District 12												
District 102 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10								132	56	24	44	0	8
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	District Totals	866	420	108	227	0	111	682	260	113	202	0	107
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	District 13									_			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $										24			
Durham         1,091         519         57         489         0         26         398         158         34         96         0         110           District 15A Alamance         625         267         65         259         0         34         282         157         41         61         1         22           District 15B Orange         51         24         6         17         208         0         13         106         38         12         37         0         19           District Totals         439         174         23         225         0         17         196         63         23         76         0         34           District Totals         439         174         23         225         0         17         196         63         23         76         0         34           District Totals         743         507         81         112         0         43         643         342         34         81         0         146           District Totals         743         507         81         317         0         14           Bostrict Totals         540         37 <td>District Totals</td> <td>537</td> <td>350</td> <td>52</td> <td>103</td> <td>0</td> <td>32</td> <td>377</td> <td>198</td> <td>37</td> <td>103</td> <td>0</td> <td>39</td>	District Totals	537	350	52	103	0	32	377	198	37	103	0	39
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		1,091	519	57	489	0	26	398	158	34	96	0	110
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	District 15A		њ.										
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Alamance	625	267	65	259	0	34	282	157	41	61	1	22
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	District 15B												
Orange       388       130       17       200       0       13       13       13       13       13       13       14       13       14       23       225       0       17       196       63       23       76       0       34         District Totals       439       174       23       225       0       17       196       63       23       76       0       34         District Totals       743       507       81       112       0       43       643       223       34       61       0       466         District Totals       743       507       81       112       0       43       643       342       34       81       0       466         District Totals       74       60       6       517       31       3       9       0       14         Rackingham       540       379       47       108       0       6       511       74       11       11       0       11       108       51       0       13       101       22       12       0       34         District Totals       922       654       75       17													
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	District 16		•.										
District Totals       743       507       81       112       0       43       643       342       34       81       0       186         District Totals       743       507       81       112       0       43       643       342       34       81       0       186         District Totals       24       11       4       6       0       3       57       31       3       9       0       14         Rockingham       540       379       47       108       0       6       511       274       13       113       0       114         Rockingham       540       379       47       108       0       6       511       274       13       112       0       38         Strict       77       61       6       6       0       9       543       210       12       86       0       220       12       86       0       244       101       86       0       221       1,216       515       64       443       0       194       0       256       250       15       12       86       9       144       0       126       146		604								32			
District 17       Out       The       O       The       O       The       <													
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		743	507	01	112	U	45	040	512			-	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		24	11	٨	6	n	3	57	31	3	9	0	14
Surry         281         203         18         51         0         9         543         201         12         86         0         244           District Totals         922         654         75         171         0         22         1,219         557         35         220         0         407           District 18         6         6         75         171         0         22         1,219         557         35         220         0         407           District 18         6         6         143         0         194         0         226           District 19A         2,384         1,405         104         823         0         52         1,486         601         73         592         0         220           District 19A         Cabarus         533         338         24         156         0         15         582         267         23         114         1         177           Rowan         449         266         22         **<			379	47	108	0	6	511	274	13	113	0	111
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $													
Guilford Greensboro High Point1,843 5411,109 29689 15608 215037 37 1,2161,216 270515 8664 9443 1490 26194 26District Totals Cabarrus2,3841,4051048230521,486601735920220District 19A Cabarrus73333 2424156 26015582 389267 19023114 141 177Rowan Mona449 26626 22*46 *6030971 97145747 2132131 253District Totals Point982604 4646030971 457457 47472131 253District 19B Montgomery Randolph128 475 23579 155 400 044112 47259 2737 3531 205071District Totals Boild603314 21219049584 273273 3535 205071District 20 Moore Richmond Richmond Richmond 626 Richmond Richmond 33112 154 2712 3978 6008 137 61 61 616 67 67 67 6323 619 639 6363District 20 Moore Richmond Richmond Richmond Richmond Richmond 626 626 77012 7 7 143077 7 263101 60110	-								557	35	220	0	407
Guilford Greensboro High Point1,843 5411,109 29689 15608 215037 37 1,2161,216 270515 8664 9443 1490 26126 220District Totals Cabarrus2,3841,4051048230521,486601735920220District 19A Cabarrus73333 2424156 26015582 389267 19023114 141 77Rowan Mona449 449266 2622 26746 26015389 389190 19024 24 2999 9076District Totals Rowan98260446030971 45745747 2131 253District 19B Montgomery Randolph128 475 23579 15540 179 044 445 472112 24 214 28174 280 56 56District Totals Boild603314 21 219219 21949584 273273 35205 20571District 20 Moore Richmond Richmond 626 270 12312 39 13113 100 1310 269 26923 23 9 89 989648 480 37337 35 20537 37 37 31 31016 64647 470 23 9 2323 9 89 98937 48 480District 20 Moore Nichmond Richmond Richmond 626 82 626 626123 39 <b< td=""><td>District 18</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></b<>	District 18												
argension1,431,103035036036036035035035036<											440	0	104
District Totals         2,384         1,405         104         823         0         52         1,486         601         73         592         0         220           District 19A Cabarrus         533         338         24         156         0         15         582         267         23         114         1         177           Rowan         449         266         22         ^^6         0         15         389         190         24         99         0         76           District Totals         982         604         46         0         30         971         457         47         213         1         253           District Totals         982         604         46         0         30         971         457         47         213         1         253           District Totals         982         79         5         400         0         4         112         59         7         31         0         15           District Totals         603         314         21         219         0         49         584         273         35         205         0         71													
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-		1,405	104	823	0	52	1,486	601	73	592	0	220
Construct533533536 $24$ $133$ $0$ $13$ $332$ $130$ $24$ $99$ $0$ $76$ District Totals96260446030971457472131253District 19BMontgomery128795400411259731015Randolph4752351617904547221428174056District Totals6033142121904958427335205071District 20Anson22112312780813761647023Moore33519913113010269123989048Richmond6262701232901531313615125037Stanly1849048901310180511807Union33115427143072631011081071District Totals1,697836687520411,292601454600186	District 19A												
Norm21312312131414District Totals98260446030971457472131253District 19BMontgomery128795400411259731015Randolph4752351617904547221428174056District Totals6033142121904958427335205071District 20Anson22112312780813761647023Moore33519913113010269123989048Richmond6262701232901531313615125037Stanly1849048901310180511807Union33115427143072631011081071District Totals1,697836687520411,292601454600186				24									
District 19B           Montgomery         128         79         5         40         0         4         112         59         7         31         0         15           Randolph         475         235         16         179         0         45         472         214         28         174         0         56           District Totals         603         314         21         219         0         49         584         273         35         205         0         71           District 20													
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$													
Randolph       475       235       16       179       0       45       472       214       28       174       0       56         District Totals       603       314       21       219       0       49       584       273       35       205       0       71         District ZO       Anson       221       123       12       78       0       8       137       61       6       47       0       23         Moore       335       199       13       113       0       10       269       123       9       89       0       48         Richmond       626       270       12       329       0       15       313       136       15       125       0       37         Stanly       184       90       4       89       0       1       310       180       5       118       0       71         District Totals       1,697       836       68       752       0       41       1,292       601       45       460       0       186		128	79	5	40	0							
District 20         Anson       221       123       12       78       0       8       137       61       6       47       0       23         Moore       335       199       13       113       0       10       269       123       9       89       0       48         Richmond       626       270       12       329       0       15       313       136       15       125       0       37         Stanly       184       90       4       89       0       1       310       180       5       118       0       7         Union       331       154       27       143       0       7       263       101       10       81       0       71         District Totals       1,697       836       68       752       0       41       1,292       601       45       460       0       186	Randolph	475											
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		603	314	21	219	U	49	284	213	22	205	Ŭ	11
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		001	100	•	70		o	127	61	6	47	ń	23
Stanly1849048901310180511807Union33115427143072631011081071District Totals1,697836687520411,292601454600186	Moore	335	199	13	113	0	10	269	123	9	89	0	48
Union         331         154         27         143         0         7         263         101         10         81         0         71           District Totals         1,697         836         68         752         0         41         1,292         601         45         460         0         186													7
								263	101	10	81		
	District Totals	1,697	836	68	752								

\*The data in this disposition category is for the six-month period from January 1, 1979—June 30, 1979. Before January 1, 1979, data on this type of disposition was not available.

# MANNER OF DISPOSITION OF CRIMINAL CASES IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

- 24

				J	-,							
	<b></b>		Felc	onies	-				Misdemea	nors		
	Total Disposed	Plea of Guilty (Judge)	Plea of Not Guilty (Jury)		Speedy* Trial Dismissal	Other	Total Disposed	Plea of Guilty (Judge)	Plea of Not Guilty (Jury)	Dismissal	Speedy* Trial Dismissal	Other
<u>District 21</u>							•		• •			
Forsyth	1,100	874	44	170	0	12	1,161	744	36	289	Ŭ.	92
District 22												
Alexander Davidson Davie Iredell	64 262 91 422	52 188 43 267	0 9 25	10 37 19 120	0 0 0	2 28 20 10	243 368 50 330	75 169 17 174	3 9 6 15	123 71 5 49	0 0 2	42 119 22 90
District Totals	839	550	43	186	0	60	991	435	33	248	2	273
District 23												
Alleghany Ashe Wilkes Yadkin	17 74 165 72	10 62 64 35	1 1 24 4	6 52 22	0 0 0 0	0 5 25 11	25 78 188 133	5 30 33 30	3 5 24 7	11 4 63 39	0 0 0	6 39 68 57
District Totals	328	171	* 30	86	0	41	424	98	39	117	0	170
District 24												•••
Avery Madison Mitchell Watauga Yancey	75 12 24 131 45	23 7 3 47 18	4 4 13 5 0	38 1 5 74 25	0 0 0 0 0	10 0 3 5 2	35 20 17 35 37	9 7 2 8 16	1 4 5 1 5	11 5 3 18 8	0 0 0 0	14 4 7 8 8
District Totals	287	98	26	143	0	20	144	42	16	45	0	41
District 25												

July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

District 22												
Alexander Davidson Davie Iredell	64 262 91 422	52 188 43 267	0 9 25	10 37 19 120	0 0 0	2 28 20 10	243 368 50 330	75 169 17 174	3 9 6 15	123 71 5 49	0 0 2	42 119 22 90
District Totals	839	550	43	186	Ū	60	991	435	33	248	2	273
District 23										210	-	
Alleghany Ashe Wilkes Yadkin	17 74 165 72	10 62 64 35	1 1 24 4	6 52 22	0 0 0	0 5 25 11	25 78 188 133	5 30 33 30	3 5 24 7	11 4 63 39	0 0 0	6 39 68 57
District Totals	328	171	30	86	0	41	424	98	39	117	0	170
District 24												••
Avery Madison Mitchell Watauga Yancey	75 12 24 131 45	23 7 3 47 18	4 4 13 5 0	38 1 5 74 25	0 0 0 0 0	10 0 3 5 2	35 20 17 35 37	9 7 2 8 16	1 4 5 1 5	11 5 3 18 8	0 0 0 0	14 4 7 8 8
District Totals	287	98	26	143	0	20	144	42	16	45	0	41
<u>District 25</u> Burke Caldwell Catawba	297 295 790	184 156 351	13 17 46	68 119 367	0 0 6	32 3 20	208 252 429	65 110 167	18 21 29	77 67 123	0 0 0	48 54 110
District Totals	1,382	691	76	554	6	55	889	342	68	267	0	212
District 26 Mecklenburg	1,854	939	146	741	16	12	1,386	889	97	471	0	129
District 27A												
Gaston	1,162	699	104	299	0	60	353	144	61	77	0	71
District 27B												
Cleveland Lincoln	390 156	245 105	45 13	83 32	0	17 6	137 90	52 43	23 16	30 18	0 0	32 13
District Totals	546	350	58	115	0	23	227	95	39	48	0	45
District 28												
Buncombe	800	429	48	181	0	142	264	108	22	38	0	96
District 29												
Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford Transylvania	259 116 56 229 126	126 50 19 117 50	18 16 11 40 12	104 41 19 64 63	0 0 0 0	11 9 7 8 1	96 46 34 148 41	16 18 5 47 7	8 9 11 19 8	37 12 13 52 11	0 0 0 0	35 7 5 30 15
District Totals	786	362	97	291	0	36	365	93	55	125	0	92
District 30												
Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson Macon Swain District Totals	50 43 13 58 90 43 29	34 15 9 15 47 23 8	0 0 1 0 3 1 2 7	16 26 2 37 36 14 18		0 2 1 6 4 5 1	31 4 28 402 72 22 27 536	21 1 219 41 11 5	1 0 10 1 1 3	8 3 153 22 6 15	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	1 0 2 20 8 4 4
District Totals	326	151		149	0	19	586	315	17	215	0	39
STATE TOTALS	30,979	16,993	2,200		23	1,295	23,608	10,969	1,563	6,747	4	4,325
*The data in this	disposition	category	is for the	six-mont	h period	from Janua	arv 1. 1979J	iune 30, 19	79. Befor	e Januarv	1, 1979	, data on

\*The data in this disposition category is for the six-month period from January 1, 1979-June 30, 1979. Before January 1, 1979, data on this type of disposition was not available.

### METHODS OF DISPOSITION OF SUPERIOR COURT CRIMINAL CASES 1978-79



A plea of guilty was the most common method of disposition for superior court criminal cases this year, in 54.9% of all felony and 46.5% of all misdemeanor cases, the defendants pled guilty.
					Ages of F	Pending Ca	ses (Days)			•			Ag	es of Cas	es at Disp	osition (De	iys)	
District 1		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365		Total Disposed	Mean Age	Mcdian Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	% > 365
Camden	Fel	12	51.3	13.0	6	6	0	0	0		58	55.9	39.2	60.4	34.5	3.4	· 1.7	0.0
Chowan	Mis Fel Mis	5 25 15	62.8 48.0	50.8 18.0	4 17	0	0 4	1 0	0 0		18 132	103.0 106.9	59.0 55.0	50.0 53.2	11.1 12.8	22.2 25.0	16.7 4.5	0.0 4.5
Currituck	Fel Mis	15 4 70	180.3 355.0 68.2	38.0 141.0 37.8	9 0 47	1	0 2 2	3 0 6	2		146 46	60.7 86.5	41.7 87.5	64.3 41.3	22.6 39.2	6.2 6.5	5.5 13.0	1.4 0.0
Dare	Fel Mis	26 134	171.1	190.5 47.6	3 78	14 8 12	0.4	14 16	1 24		235 82	89.2 53.5	55.0 19.8	52.3 69.5	20.9 17.1	6.4 6.1	17.4	3.0 1.2
Gates	Fel Mis	33 22	105.8	90.3 40.5	0	27 6	5 0	1 2	24 0 0		197 45	111.7 86.7	81.6	41.1 35.6	24.9 28.9	13.7 26.6	15.7 8.9	4.6 0.0
Pasquotank	Fel Mis	24 78	72.7	50.0 34.8	14 14 56	2	8 11	0	0		64 185	143.2	90.0 40.0	35.8 62.2	21.9 21.6	14.1 8.6	20.3 6.5	7.9 1.1
Perquimans	Fel Mis	9 23	29.5 117.7	16.8 44.3	8 12	0 5	1 2	0 3	0 1		359 65 79	69.7 99.8 84.5	61.0 92.0 56.3	49.1 43.1 53.1	32.8 20.0 26.6	13.4 24.6 11.4	3.9 12.3 7.6	.8 0.0 1.3
District Totals	Fel Mis	133 347	99.1 108.9	88.8 38.9	48 220	48 47	20 19	15 32	2 29		613 1,098	81.4 86.1	53.7 60.5	55.4 49.8	22.0 26.1	14.2 11.0	6.9 10.6	1.5 2.5
District 2																		
Beaufort	Fel Mis	91 77	135.9 146.4	115.1 80.8	22 30	33 18	22 1	9	5 7		437	108.4	83.8	38.6	40.8	4.1	13.0	3.5
Hyde	Fel Mis	47 19	143.6	144.8	12 7	3	23	21	Ó		195 13	144.8	105.2	17.9 69.2	41.6	10.3 15.4	25.6	4.6 0.0
Martin	Fel Mis	65 39	131.6 136.5	88.9 110.5	16 11	34 10	1 0 10	10	0 5 2		43 63	167.1	99.1 86.0	18.6 38.1	41.8 28.6	4.7 17.4	23.3 12.7	11.6 3.2
Tyrrell	Fel Mis	9 27	516.0 190.0	505.5 128.0	0	0	0	0	9 5		68 2	130.3 249.5	94.5 249.5	26.3	32.4	23.6 50.0	14.7 50.0	3.0 0.0
Washington	Fel Mis	21 31	152.3 157.3	122.0 90.7	3 12	7 8	8 3	0 6	5 3 2		32 58 60	147.8 118.1 121.6	113.0 81.5 109.0	21.7 36.3 25.0	28.2 31.0 35.0	18.8 12.1 20.0	25.0 17.2 18.3	6.3 3.4 1.7
District Totals	Fel Mis	233 193	152.4 148.0	114.9 92.3	53 65	77 50	53 21	28 41	22 16		573 398	108.8 141.5	83.8 106.0	39.0 20.8	37.5 37.9	6.8 14.1	13.4 22.4	3.3 4.8
District 3																		
Carteret	Fel Mis	119 78	392.3 484.1	348.0	24	5	14	20	56		155	125.5	97.6	33.5	32.3	16.8	11.6	5.8
Craven	Fel Mis	101 75	88.6 112.9	481.0 61.2 68.2	17 49 20	11 29 26	3	2 12	45 2		102 615	80.8 89.7	58.0 82.7	51.0 37.0	32.4 49.8	5.9 5.0	7.8 5.4	2.9 2.8
Pamlico	Fel Mis	8 21	121.7	120.0	29 3 8	26	7	9	4		400 67	78.7 106.5	59.1 67.1	50.3 28.3	33.1 35.8	8.1 6.0	8.0 28.4	.5 1.5
Pitt	Fel Mis	231 182	170.6 161.0	246.0 89.3 102.1	90 72	42 33	0 11 22	8 55 28	4 33 27		55 694 563	111.3 127.3 75.1	80.2 70.7 50.1	31.0 42.1 56.8	38.2 29.0 24.4	14.5 10.0 12.0	14.5 10.7 5.5	1.8 8.2 1.3
District Totals	Fel Mis	459 356	209.2 244.3	108.7 103.5	166 126	77 71	37 32	88 47	91 80		1,531 1,120	111.1 78.7	78.1 55.8	38.7 52.7	37.9 28.8	8.5 10.2	9.4 7.1	5.5 1.2

Ages of Cases Pending 6/30/79 and Ages of Cases Disposed During Fiscal Year 1978-79

					Ages of P	ending Ca	ses (Days)							•	osition (Da	• •	
District 4		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Àge	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	% > 365
Duplin	Fel Mis	73 37	92.4 136.7	57.7 58.2	38 20	25 5	3 5	4	3 4	189 68	54.3 61.9	31.5 43.5	81.4 72.0	12.7 19.2	2.6 7.3	$1.1 \\ 0.0$	2.2 1.5
Jones	Fel Mis	9 5	61.6 71.0	64.0 55.2	4	4 0	1 1	Ö	0	76 27	26.3 87.1	17.5	97.4 33.4	1.3	0.0	0.0 0.0 7.4	1.3
Onslow	Fel Mis	179 39	52.5 59.6	38.7 29.1	129 32	37	7	6	0 1	1,014 228	55.6	47.3	66.7 72.0	26.7	3.4	3.2 1.8	0.0
Sampson	Fel Mis	69 36	127.4 356.9	67.6 79.9	31 9	13 11	17 0	4 1	4 15	189 142	38.3	27.8 32.6	83.6 70.4	14.8	1.6	0.0	0.0
District Totals	Fel Mis	330 117	77.2 175.9	47.0 53.7	202 65	79 18	28 10	14 4	7 20	1,468 465	51.7 54.9	42.9 38.1	72.4 69.2	22.1 22.8	2.9 5.4	2.3 2.2	.3 .4
District 5																	
New Hanover	Fel Mis	401 131	90.4 351.3	37.3 59.2	239 66	81 9	61 21	7 5	13 30	1,227 540	73.9 66.2	48.1 41.7	58.1 71.9	24,9 16.0	7.4 3.9	7.9 5.4	1.7 2.8
Pender	Fel Mis	95 46	468.6 263.0	393.7 107.5	10 19	19 4	10 3	4 6	52 14	79 79	93.1 131.1	74.0 91.0	40.5	32.9 34.2	7.6 17.7	17.7 13.9	1.3 6.3
District Totals	Fel Mis	496 177	162.8 328.4	59.0 70.7	249 85	100 13	71 24	11 11	65 44	1,306 619	75.1 74.5	49.2 45.0	57.1 66.5	25.3 18.2	7.4 5.6	8.5 6.5	1.7 3.2
District 6																	
Bertie	Fel Mis	11 63	449.1 153.9	427.6 110.7	0 18	0 15	0 16	0 8	11 6	3 90	138.0 114.8	135.5 90.9	0.0 33.4	0.0 30.0	100.0 20.0	0.0 12.2	0.0 4.4
Halifax	Fel Mis	240 103	188.9 305.1	122.8 215.3	30 7	51 21	104 12	35 39	20 24	166 118	98.1 107.7	48.8	62.2 46.7	16.2 24.6	10.8 8.5	6.0	4.8
Hertford	Fel Mís	26 86	684.5 216.8	659.6 113.5	0 30	1 13	1 11	7 17	17 15	65 118	157.8 118.9	75.2 74.5	33.9 33.9	35.3 41.5	7.7 10.2	7.7	15.4 5.9
Northampton	Fel Mis	42 47	163.6 182.7	103.3 142.5	1 15	23 3	3 15	14 7	1 7	49 44	159.4 321.5	38.4 196.0	61.3 4.6	16.3 27.3	14.3 4.5	2.0 47.7	6.1 15.9
District Totals	Fel* Mis	319 299	234.9 228.6	122.9 151.7	31 70	75 52	108 54	56 71	49 52	283 370	122.9 138.4	50.0 81.8	54.7 34.4	20.5 31.6	11.7 11.3	5.7 17.3	7.4 5.4
District 7																	
Edgecombe	Fel Mis	66 66	81.8 70.7	72.6 37.3	32 36	11 20	18 4	3 6	2 0	351 334	103.6 119.2	66.6 66.0	45.9 48.1	25.1 16.5	19.1 14.7	7.1 14.4	2.8 6.3
Nash	Fel Mis	147 132	124.9 197.1	65.7 60.5	73 62	37 24	10 3	12 12	15 31	589 447	109.9	55.7 62.8	55.6 46.3	28.1	7.3	5.8 11.2	3.2 7.6
Wilson	Fel Mis	233 187	329.1 244.1	133.6 157.2	64 56	44 29	38 18	30 26	57 58	234 269	99.6 82.7	69.9 58.0	46.1 51,0	30.3	9.9 8.5	9.0 10.0	4.7
District Totals	Fel Mis	446 385	225.2 198.2	92.7 79.4	169 154	92 73	66 25	45 44	74 89	1,174 1,050	106.0 112.9	58.3 62.2	50.9 48.1	27.6 23.7	11.3 10.5	6.8 11.9	3.4 5.8

١.

					Ages of F	ending Ca	ses (Days)					Age	es of Case	es at Dispo	osition (Da	ys)	
		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	% ≥ 365
District 8	5-1				5	6	9	6		•	0	5	00.0		-		
Greene	Fel Mis	27 16	141.2 150.1	133.0 65.1	4	10	1	0	1	72 38	119.5 129.1	96.6 83.0	23.6 31.4	40.3 34.3	23.6 15.8	8.3 13.2	4.2 5.3
Lenoir	Fel Mis	40 39	85.0 39.2	71.4 17.7	17 30	16 4	5 5	2 0	0. 0	358 257	67.0 55.8	55.7 42.0	52.5 64.9	38.6 26.5	3.3 5.1	5.0 3.1	.6 .4
Wayne	Fel Mis	146 44	126.8 130.5	67.7 90.5	71 14	21 12	13 6	34 10	7 2	398 254	73.7 59.1	43.9 40.0	59.4 71.1	27.7 21.3	5.6	6.5 2.4	.8 1.2
District Totals	Fel Mis	213 99	120.8 97.7	71.7 73.6	93 48	43 26	27 12	42 10	8 3	828 549	74.8 62.4	54.3 42.2	53.4 65.5	33.5 24.6	6.1 5.3	6.0 3.5	1.0 1.1
District 9																	
Franklin	Fel Mis	109 127	179.6 212.8	138.0 201.5	32 20	22 22	17 18	30 53	8 14	86 223	135.8 227.2	94.3 159.7	26.6 9.9	38.4 28.7	7.0 17.5	23.3 27.8	4.7 16.1
Granville	Fel Mis	50 72	325.2 225.5	205.4 94.0	9 26	6 13	6 8	15 8	14 17	124 146	320.4 270.0	154.5 167.5	24.8 6.8	17.8 21.2	16.2 24.7	21.8 24.7	19.4 22.6
Person	Fe] Mis	50 130	237.7 214.9	$115.5 \\ 198.0$	15 33	10 24	5	6 38	14 29	55 157	164.2 133.7	90.0 85.3	21.9 38.8	30.9 28.7	10.9 14.0	34.5 9.6	1.8 8.9
Vance	Fel Mis	111 79	127.5 200.5	123.7 107.3	33 19	21 22	29 7	26 21	2 10	223 245	120.2 178.9	83.0 140.6	28.5 16.8	41.2 26.5	13.4 24.1	15.2 20.4	1.7
Warren	Fel Mis	52 57	299.8 221.3	173.0 159.2	18 8	4 8	4 23	11 11	15 7	83 83	345.7 241.5	258.4 170.3	5.0 7,2	9.6 21.7	19.2 21.7	30.1 21.7	36.1 27.7
District Totals	Fel Mis	372 465	208.2 214.3	130.2 159.2	107 106	63 89	61 62	88 131	53 77	571 854	203.1 204.9	107.8 145.5	23.2 16.3	30.1 26.1	13.7 20.4	21.9 21.2	11.1 16.0
District 10																	
Wake	Fel Mis	1,097 577	261.6 154.0	136.3 61.0	218 280	288 95	111 44	137 83	343 75	1,957 1,511	126.2 65.6	82.7 39.2	33.0 66.0	31.9 17.8	11.0 8.0	18.9 6.5	5.3 1.7
District 11																	
Harnett	Fel	56	121.2	108.9	12	36	2	4	2	137	255.5	51.0	54.1	18.2	5.1	6.6	16.0
Johnston	Mis Fel	19 78 68	157.3 205.6 111.7	120.6 149.0 64.5	4 17 28	5 13 19	7 24	251	1 19 6	80 208	104.7 68.3	36.0 33.8	61.0 67.3	26.3 17.3	2.6	5.0 10.1	5.1 1.0
Lee	Mis Fel Mis	13 138	404.6	485.0 88.5	28 2 50	19 0 45	14 0 26	1 0 15	11 2	166 · 31 208	54.8 277.0 64.8	35.0 142.0 54.6	67.5 35.5 56.6	20.5 6.5 32.3	9.0 9.7 9.6	2.4 16.1 .5	.6 32.2 1.0
District Totals	Fel	147	191.1	109.3	31	49	26	9	32	376	153,7	41.3	59.8	16.7	5.1	9.3	9.1
	Mis	225	110.9	88.0	82	39	47	18	9	454	68.2	47.8	61.4	26.9	8.1	2.0	1.6
<u>District 12</u>																	
Cumberland	Fel Mis	215 175	162.9 77.3	96.1 54.2	66 114	64 23	35 23	29 15	21 0	765 550	156.2 98.5	105.3 63.0	26.6 47.3	31.0 27.5	14.3 7.9	19.0 15.1	9.1 2.2
Hoke	Fel	37 61	319.1	114.0	5	14	2	2	14	101	107.5	65.2	47.5	31.7	9.9	7.9	3.0
District Totals	Mis Fel	252	168.2 185.9	189.7 96.2	10 71	6 78	9 37	33 31	3 35	132 866	179.5 150.5	130.5 98.9	23.4 28.8	21.2 31.1	23.5 13.9	16.7 17.7	15.2 8.5
2.301100 100013	Mis	236	100.8	57.1	124	29	32	48	3	682	114.2	74.0	42.9	26.2	10.9	15.4	4.6

### Ages of Cases Pending 6/30/79 and Ages of Cases Disposed During Fiscal Year 1978-79

						Ages of P	ending Ca	ses (Days)					Age	s of Case	es at Disp	osition (Da	iys)	
	District 13		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0~60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	% > 365
-	Bladen Brunswick	Fel Mis Fel Mis	50 53 117 22	99.7 53.5 98.5 111.1	94.0 19.2 108.7 86.5	12 33 12 6	25 17 99 11	5 0 5 2	8 3 1 3	0 0 0 2	92 79 112 73	113.8 148.3 81.8 65.5	98.0 104.0 59.5 48.0	22.8 13.9 49.9 64.4 17.8	42.4 39.2 33.9 23.3 57.3	17.4 20.3 8.1 8.2 12.6	14.1 17.7 4.5 2.7 6.9	3.3 8.9 3.6 1.4 5.4
	Columbus	Fel Mis	57 56	118.4 332.2	102.6 165.5	17 17	22 7	10 5	6 4	23	333 225	134.5 111.7	106.0 64.0	44.3	32.9	10.7	6.7	5.4 4.6
	District Totals	Fel Mis	224 131	103.8 182.3	103.2 86,2	41 56	146 35	20 7	15 10	2 23	537 377	119.9 110.4	94.5 68.7	25.4 41.9	49.9 32.4	12.5 12.2	7.6 8.2	4.6
	<u>District 14</u>																	
	Durham	Fel Mis	277 83	118.6 100.0	82.0 67.4	118 37	81 26	37 7	28 10	13 3	1,091 398	89.9 95.1	75.0 63.5	41.3 48.4	37.9 26.7	12.3 12.6	6.4 6.8	2.1 5.5
	District 15A																20 F	7.0
	Alamance	Fel Mis	275 127	159.2 88.7	61.1 67.5	77 52	118 51	12 12	38 9	30 3	625 282	196.7 114.6	147.8 76.8	17.4 33.2	20.8 40.8	22.1 12.8	32.5 9.6	7.2 3.6
	<u>District 15B</u>																	
	Chatham Orange	Fel Mis Fel	39 7 106	329.4 170.8 98.3	561.1 52.0 43.0	16 4 69	0 0 10	0 0 11	0 2 10	23 1 6	51 90 388	95.5 193.0 98.4	66.6 154.5 61.0	43.1 28.9 46.0	29.4 20.0 35.3	23.5 10.0 10.8	2.0 25.6 2.8	2.0 15.5 5.1
	orunge	Mis	27	99.0	72.0	12	9	Ō	6	0	106	88.9	54.5	54.6	29.3	6.6	5.7	3.8
	District Totals	Fel Mis	145 34	160.4 113.7	43.4 65.5	85 16	10 9	11 0	10 8	29 1	439 196	98.0 136.7	61.2 69.5	45.5 42.8	34.7 25.0	12.3 8.2	2.7 14.8	4.8 9.2
	District 16																:	10.0
	Robeson Scotland	Fel Mis Fel	219 202 191	98.0 76.5 204.8	95.3 57.7 158.6	69 110 35	108 59 32	28 19 82	9 12 23	5 2 19	604 463 139	213.5 129.5 187.1	114.5 83.1 91.3	38.0 41.8 33.2	12.6 25.9 31.6	7.0 11.7 12.9	22.5 12.1 7.9	19.9 8.5 14.4
		Mis	85	215.1	123.5	21	20	8	19	18	180	135.5	176.5	26.1	12.2	37.8	23.3	.6 18.9
	District Totals	Fel Mis	410 288	147.8 117.9	107.6 85.8	104 131	140 79	110 27	32 31	24 20	743 643	208.6 131.2	104.0 98.6	37.1 37.6	16.2 22.1	8.0 18.9	19.8 15.2	6.2
	District 17														<b>0</b> - 0		10 7	41.7
	Caswell	Fel Mis	36 40	137.8 62.2	140.5 27.8	9 27	8	12 3	6 1	1 1	24 57	353.6 95.5	227.1 40.7	16.6 54.4	25.0 19.3	0.0 10.5	16.7 12.3	3.5
	Rockingham	Fel Mis	155 213	129.5	67.3 3.4	57 136	34 45	17 19	38 5	9 8	540 511	139.5 85.7	73.1 64.6	46.0 49.1	23.7 26.4	5.4 12.0	15.0 11.5 16.9	9.9 1.0 13.0
	Stokes	Fel Mis	18 25	216.3 97.1	46.1 46.0	10 16	2	2	01	4 1	77 108	178.3 137.8	99.2 106.5	22.0 26.8	32.5 24.1	15.6 22.2	24.1	2.8
	Surry	Fel Mis	108	104.1 90.6	72.3	33 118	42 85	21 46	11 21	1 5	281 543	149.8 117.9	100.2 77.0	28.4 42.0	29.2 29.5	24.2 10.2	9.3 10.5	8.9 7.8
	District Totals	Fel Mis	317 553	126.7 74.8	71.9 45.0	109 297	86 141	52 72	55 28	15 15	922 1,219	151.5 105.1	85.5 74.2	38.1 44.4	26.1 27.2	11.8 12.0	13.4 12.2	10.6 4.2

					Ages of F	ending Ca	ses (Days)					Ag	es of Cas	es at Disp	osition (Da	ys)	
District 18		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	% > 365
Guilford Greensboro	Fel Mis	693 200	156.4 198.2	78.7 193.5	292 63	123 27	79 7	134 77	65 26	1,843 1,216	225.0 190.3	106.2 77.3	23.0 40.5	31.7 27.0	13.2	10.8	21.3 18.8
High Point	Fel Mis	274 52	244.5 234.3	296.5 51.0	79 26	33	17	107 4	38 16	541 270	226.3 340.9	104.0 112.5	23.8 28.5	30.8 22.6	14.5	14.2 10.0	16.7 29.7
District Totals	Fel Mis	967 252	181.3 205.6	95.5 155.5	371 89	156 30	96 10	241 81	103 42	2,384 1,486	225.3 217.6	106.1 82.1	23.3 38.3	31.5 26.2	13.4 5.8	11.6 8.9	20.2 20.8
District 19A																	
Cabarrus Rowan	Fel Mis Fel Mis	130 215 118 93	172.8 207.3 253.1 208.4	65.0 68.0 58.5 57.0	63 98 59 49	13 20 12 9	15 25 13	26 32 10	13 40 24	533 582 449	82.9 103.5 89.9	67.2 85.3 56.3	45.7 30.9 50.9	34.7 43.1 32.3	9.4 13.8 6.2	9.6 10.8 8.0	.6 1.4 2.6
District Totals	Fel Mis	248 308	211.0	65.0 65.2	122 147	25 29	7 28 32	18 36 50	10 37 50	389 982 971	103.9 86.1 103.7	61.0 63.0 78.1	49.5 48.1 38.6	24.7 33.6 35.7	11.3 7.9 12.7	12.1 8.9 11.3	2.3 1.5 1.7
District 19B										271	100.7	/0.1	30.0	33.7	12.7	11.5	1.7
Montgomery	Fel Mis	63 68	157.6 737.1	49.6 290.5	41 29	4	3 1	0	15 33	128 112	78.6	40.6 42.5	69.6 62.4	8.6 17.0	10.9 15.2	8.6 4.5	2.3
Randolph	Fel Mis	89 188	121.7 77.8	51.6 51.8	47 106	9 51	14 19	8 7	11 5	475 472	190.9 213.2	150.7	19.8 24.2	19.1 16.1	29.7 13.0	18.9 28.0	12.5 18.7
District Totals	Fel Mis	152 256	136.6 253.0	49.6 52.1	88 135	13 52	17 20	8 11	26 38	603 584	167.1 187.2	132.7 133.5	30.4 31.6	16.9 16.3	25.7 13.4	16.7 23.5	10.3 15.2
District 20																	
Anson Moore	Fel Mis Fel	32 43 179	312.2 63.5 165.2	94.5 17.0	12 37	4	6 2	5 1	5	221 137	107.7 99.3	42.8 60.0	57.1 48.9	16.7 34.3	16.7 9.5	.9 4.4	8.6 2.9
Richmond	Mis Fel	76 195	259.2 100.3	30.0 156.1 45.8	132 28 134	7 7 27	6 8 10	5 9 6	29 24 18	335 269 626	135.2 91.6 66.3	63.3 67.6 34.3	45.6 44.6 64.4	27.2 29.0 20.9	7.2 16.0 7.8	13.7 8.9 5.8	6.3 1.5 1.1
Stanly	Mis Fel Mis	105 26	149.6 257.1	59.2 86.8	53 9	30	1 0	12 0	9 9	313 184	104.5 151.6	71.2 98.5	36.8 19.6	38.0 32.6	8.6 25.0	13.7 19.0	2.9 3.8
Union	Fel Mis	80 183 67	170.5 161.4 88.4	104.5 101.6 40.3	13 55 43	32 49 7	19 18 8	11 45 7	5 16 2	310 331 263	119.4 53.1 65.9	84.8 38.2 36.6	33.0 75.9 70.0	35.5 18.1 17.5	16.1 3.3 5.7	10.0 .6 4.9	5.4 2.1 1.9
District Totals	Fel Mis	615 371	155.0 155.5	53.0 68.7	342 174	95 77	40 38	61 40	77 42	1,697	92.0 97.0	48.1 67.3	57.1 45.4	22.4 31.0	9.8 11.5	7.1 9.1	3.6 3.0
District 21										-							
Forsyth	Fel Mis	231 289	66.5 49.2	65.9 39.8	103 192	102 73	19 22	3 2	4 0	1,100 1,161	70.9 63.5	42.8 44.4	65.2 68.2	21.4 18.4	5.7 7.6	6.5 5.3	1.2

					Ages of I	ending Ca	ses (Days)						Ag	es of Cas	es at Disp	osition (Da	ys)	
District 22		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365		Total Disposed	Mean Áge	Median Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	% > 365
Alexander Davidson Davie Iredell District Totals	Fel Mis Fel Mis Fel Mis Fel	29 23 164 59 30 32 220 83 443	34.0 148.9 66.1 103.2 93.1 83,3 111.4 66.4 88.3	6.1 79.7 38.8 51.5 51.0 71.5 43.1 22.4 39.3	25 11 99 35 18 9 167 58 309	1 4 33 11 3 18 12 11 49	2 3 25 7 4 8 6 39	1 3 6 3 5 1 10 6 22	0 2 1 3 0 23 2 24		64 243 262 368 91 50 422 330 839	94.3 224.8 103.9 62.8 136.9 96.6 144.9 76.3 127.4	77.5 267.2 40.5 32.5 92.9 62.5 94.5 56.9 69.2	37.4 21.3 72.0 79.6 25.2 44.0 31.3 54.3 44.0	43.8 16.5 13.0 11.4 38.5 36.0 41.5 33.3 32.4	6.3 9.9 4.6 3.8 6.6 9.4 4.2 7.3	12.5 48.6 5.0 2.2 26.4 10.0 9.5 8.2 10.1	0.0 3.7 5.4 3.0 3.3 4.0 8.3 0.0 6.2
	Mis	197	89.8	46.6	113	44	20	13	7		991	108.7	53.4	55.2	21.2	5.5	15.9	2.2
<u>District 23</u> Alleghany Ashe Wilkes Yadkin District Totals	Fel Mis Fel Mis Fel Mis Fel Mis	12 6 13 17 48 49 61 49 134 121	35.8 399.1 155.6 117.8 121.5 101.2 106.5 105.2 110.3 119.9	42.5 487.5 240.4 16.4 68.0 45.0 58.5 52.6 65.9 52.0	12 2 4 9 11 29 34 27 61 67	0 0 22 13 5 10 29 23	0 0 0 2 4 1 4 3	0 0 7 8 14 4 15 8 37 20	0 4 0 1 1 2 3 8		17 25 74 165 188 72 133 328 424	309.0 390.2 146.4 144.5 182.8 149.5 127.0 129.8 168.9 156.6	104.7 268.0 111.5 109.9 99.9 85.3 117.1 82.7 100.2 93.5	5.9 28.0 41.8 30.7 15.8 30.9 23.6 43.5 23.0 34.7	52.9 8.0 31.1 46.2 49.7 30.8 43.0 23.3 44.2 29.9	0.0 0.0 6.8 5.1 9.7 15.4 12.5 8.3 9.1 10.4	0.0 24.0 14.9 14.1 11.5 11.2 16.7 21.8 12.8 15.8	41.2 40.0 5.4 3.9 13.3 11.7 4.2 3.1 10.9 9.2
<u>District 24</u> Avery Madison Mitchell Watauga Yancey District Totals	Fel Mis Fel Mis Fel Mis Fel Mis Fel	61 26 45 19 15 37 9 14 17 172 86	221.7 272.3 479.9 238.6 253.5 197.1 148.8 69.3 195.9 200.7 274.2 216.3	121.2 209.5 428.0 162.0 129.0 146.3 128.2 68.7 123.5 185.7 155.5 162.5	20 4 4 3 0 5 3 1 2 33 13	6 7 0 1 6 8 6 5 1 20 22	17 0 6 4 5 16 0 1 5 44 16	4 9 11 2 3 1 5 0 6 8 29 20	14 6 24 5 4 3 3 0 1 1 46 15	•	75 35 12 20 24 17 131 35 45 37 287 144	273.3 277.7 160.0 124.5 114.2 137.7 120.2 201.0 94.5 108.8 157.4 177.9	310.9 208.5 69.5 81.0 46.8 99.0 83.0 174.0 62.8 71.7 94.2 126.0	18.8 17.0 41.7 30.0 62.4 23.6 38.1 31.2 44.4 21.7 36.3 24.3	6.6 8.6 16.6 30.0 20.9 29.4 24.4 11.5 20.0 43.2 18.4 23.6	4.0 17.2 8.3 10.0 17.6 14.5 8.6 6.7 29.7 9.1 17.3	49.3 42.9 16.7 25.0 8.3 29.4 19.1 42.9 28.9 2.7 27.5 28.5	21.3 14.3 16.7 5.0 8.4 0.0 3.9 5.8 0.0 2.7 8.7 6.3

					A nes of I	Ponding Ca	ses (Days)					4.0	ne of Cor	es at Disp	nition (De		
		Total	Mean	Median	Ages of 1	couning Ca	363 (124333)			Total	Mean	Median	%	% at Dispo	% %	(ys) %	%
District 25		Pending	Age	Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365	Disposed	Age	Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365
Burke	Fel	125	172.1	80.5	44	38	6	16	21	297	126.1	93.0	30.3	26.3	14.8	25.9	2.7
Caldwell	Mis Fel Mis	65 60 35	170.3 141.9 56.7	58.2 121.0 40.9	37 26 27	6 3 2	4 15 4	8 14 2	10 2 0	208 295 252	179.2 120.1 148.3	91.1 78.9 70.1	35.1 34.7 40.5	22.2 33.5 34.5	24.0 7.8 11.1	9.6 20.3 8.3	9.1 3.7 5.6
Catawba	Fel Mis	399 236	242.8 277.6	140.5 129.5	86 66	101 45	45 14	81 40	86 71	790 429	147.5	119.7 110.6	12.2 14.9	37.5 39.9	22.3	25.4 16.3	2.6
District Totals	Fel Mis	584 336	217.3 233.8	115.3 92.5	156 130	142 53	66 22	111 50	109 81	1,382 889	137.1 153.0	108.2 97.0	20.8 26.9	34.2 34.2	17.6 19.8	24.5 12.5	2.9 6.6
District 26																	
Mecklenburg	Fel Mis	667 479	298.1 213.0	96.0 134.6	247 152	117 77	10 94	99 82	194 74	1,854 1,586	162.1 110.3	107.7 85.9	25.2 34.6	28.6 30.5	15.5 16.8	22.3 16.0	8.4 2.1
District 27A																	
Gaston	Fel Mis	428 98	121.5 151.8	29.2 59.3	270 50	33 13	36 8	54 16	35 11	1,162 353	106.5 104.4	75.3 48.5	38.5 57.6	38.6 19.2	9.8 4.2	8.0 10.8	5.1 8.2
District 27B																	
Cleveland	Fel Mis	63	128.0	158.0	22	7	26	5	3	390	67.8	60.5	49.3	42.5	5.9	1.5	.8
Lincoln	Fel Mis	28 80 16	82.3 97.1 110.8	43.0 100.0 94.7	18 28 4	4 20 7	1 28 3	5 4 2	0 0 0	137 156 90	66.4 67.4 80.2	45.6 42.1 56.8	66.5 66.1 52.2	20.4 23.7 34.5	6.6 5.1 5.5	3.6 3.2 5,6	2.9 1.9 2.2
District Totals	Fel Mis	143 44	110.7 92.7	101.6 56.5	50 22	27 11	54 4	9 7	3 0	546 227	67.6 71.9	54.2 53.7	54.1 60.8	37.2 26.0	5.6 6.2	2.0 4.4	1.1 2.6
District 28																	
Buncombe	Fel Mis	242 134	102.0 52.7	78.1 24,5	103 97	75 24	29 6	29 5	6 2	800 264	93.6 79.2	83.6 55.3	33.4 50.8	47.2 31.4	11.0 9.5	6.8 6.8	1.6 1.5
District 29																	
Henderson	Fel	63	147.9	71.4	23	21	4	10	5	259	161.2	93.0	34.0	21.2	23.2	13.9	7.7
McDowe11	Mis Fel	23 58	153.4 205.7	86.7 93.6	5 22	.13	0 8	4	1 11	96 116	172.0 152.3	77.5 84.0	34.4 31.0	25.0 37.9	14.6 9.5	13.5 11.2	12.5 10.4
Polk	Mis Fel	21 45	206.9	170.0 190.2	2	5 17	4	7	3	46	202.8	91.5	23.9	39.1	10.9	2.2	23.9
	Mis	16	162.1	101.0	1 4	5	4	15 4	8 1	56 34	172.7 147.1	140.7 100.5	16.1 32.5	9.0 17.6	41.0 17.6	23.2 29.4	10.7 2.9
Rutherford	Fel Mis	124 75	255.5 280.5	67.5 162.7	61 17	17 14	6 11	8 15	32 18	229 148	226.3 241.9	148.2 177.5	4.8 12.9	37.1 25.0	22.7 14.8	21.0 25.7	14.4 21.6
Transylvania	Fel Mis	37 12	367.4 381.2	152.0 130.0	0	16 6	-6 0	3 1	12 5	126 41	242.4 171.7	120.5 117.0	16.0 26.9	33.3 24.4	2.4	19.8 19.5	28.5 14.6
District Totals	Fel Mis	327 147	234.9 245.5	94.1 134.0	107 28	80 43	28 17	44 31	68 28	786 365	192.7 201.9	119.0 123.2	20.9 23.3	29.3 26.0	19.0 14.5	17.2 19.2	13.6 17.0

Ages of Cases Pending 6/30/79 and Ages of Cases Disposed During Fiscal Year 1978-79

					Ages of	Pending Ca	ises (Days)					Age	s of Cas	es at Dispo	osition (Da	ys)	
District 30		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	≈ > 365
Cherokee	Fel Mis	50 46	210.2 203.2	232.1 152.0	9 7	6 11	9 6	19 16	7 6	50 31	175.8 232.3	148.7 211.0	6.0 16.1	32.0 19.4	22.0 12.9	34.0 32.3	6.0 19.3
Clay	Fel Mis	10 1	51.6 156.0	41.1 156.0	7	0	3	0	0	43 4	233.3 473.2 175.0	96.0 343.5 111.3	6.9 0.0 30.7	48.8 50.0 23.1	4.7 0.0 15.4	4.7 0.0 23.1	34.9 50.0 7.7
Graham	Fel Mis	6 11 22	383.3 127.1 652.0	114.5 66.0 625.0	5	3	0	2	1 22	13 28 58	173.1 357.6	88.5 309.5	39.3 0.0	25.0 1.8	7.1	14.3 48.3	14.3 46.5
Haywood Jackson	Fel Mis Fel	216 68	182.6 450.0	106.5 484.7	72 10	42 7	32 1	38 1	32 49	402 90	160.3 186.6	127.1 146.5	27.2 14.5	16.9 14.4	23,1 38,9	27.1 21.1	5.7 11.1
Macon	Mis Fel	41 69	255.2	255.2 428.5	0 11	8 18	12 1	17 2	4 37	72 43	175.9 79.4	152.5 59.9	8.3 44.2	33.4 30.2	18.0 9.3	34.7 16.3	5.6
Swain	Mis Fel Mis	34 11 5	295.9 324.6 370.0	305.5 324.0 274.0	6 0 0	3 0 0	7 0 0	6 10 3	12 1 2	22 29 27	173.4 292.2 229.7	96.3 208.8 146.0	13.7 0.0 11.2	54.5 7.0 22.2	4.5 17.2 18.5	9.1 44.8 25.9	18.2 31.0 22.2
District 「ota	ls Fel Mis	236 354	366.4 205.4	359.5 141.0	37 90	34 67	14 58	33 82	118 57	326 586	216.3 172.5	174.6 128.7	13.0 23.4	21.1 21.3	18.7 20.2	27.3 26.8	19.9 8.3
STATE TOTALS	Fel Mis	11,734 8,164	183.8 167.2	88.6 73.5	4,371 3,513	2,647 1,611	1,411 877	1,558 1,146	1,747 1,017	30,979 23,608	127.9 116.1	69.3 61.5	39.9 45.1	30.0 26.1	11.2 11.3	12.4 11.8	6.5 5.7

109

# Part IV, Section 3

0

8

T.C.

80

F

96<sup>344</sup>

# **District Court Division Caseload Data**

1

0

÷÷

\$ 5. D \$

137 55

8.0

ं २ *च*ि The District Court presentation in this section will divide all district court matters into criminal and civil categories except for juvenile cases, which fall into district court jurisdiction but receive special treatment in the courts in accord with the juvenile code.

For reporting purposes, civil district cases are categorized as general civil, domestic relations, and civil magistrate cases. The implications of the title "general civil" describe these cases accurately, and this category covers those civil cases that do not fit into the other named categories. Domestic relations cases involve such issues as divorce, custody and support of children. Civil magistrate cases are those assigned by the chief district court judge to a magistrate. These cases involve small claims up to \$500 in monetary value. The magistrate has simplified trial procedures, and appeals from him go to the district court judge for a new trial. Among these three categories, civil magistrate cases composed approximately 64% of the district court civil filings during the 1978-79 fiscal year, but because these cases generally require only a small amount of time from filing to disposition, this category constituted the smallest pending caseload at the end of the year. Graphs included in this division compare caseloads among these three categories. The aging table for civil district cases is not broken into categories; rather, the data is lumped as a whole for space saving reasons and because a breakdown of ages into civil categories is of questionable interest. An aging graph is provided to supplement the data.

The two juvenile tables in the pages that follow summarize juvenile proceedings during the 1978-79 fiscal year. The first deals with petitions initiated against children who are "delinquent," "dependent," "neglected," "undisciplined," or who have violated probation. With the exception of the last column in this table, the numbers presented record the offenses alleged to have been committed and conditions alleged to have existed during the year and will not give the actual number of children before the court. One petition may include several offenses or conditions, and more than one petition may be filed against a child during the year. The second table presents the number of hearings for juvenile cases and divides those into "retained" or "dismissed" petitions. Juvenile petitons may be dismissed for failure to prove that a child is delinquent, undisciplined, dependent, or neglected or if a child fitting into one of these categories is not in need of the care, protection, or discipline of the state. Petitions not dismissed are recorded in the "retained" column.

Presentation of criminal offenses at district court level remains fairly straightforward. District court has exclusive original jurisdiction over misdemeanor cases, but district court authority in felony cases extends only to conducting preliminary hearings to determine whether there is probable cause to bind the defendant over to superior court. District court criminal cases constitute the bulk of all district court cases. Caseload summary tables for this division record 1,152,519 criminal filings for the year as opposed to 279,548 civil filings. Traffic cases comprise 69% of the criminal filings, the remainder being composed of a variety of offenses that are categorized as non-motor vehicle cases. Criminal cases that were disposed of this year passed through the courts rapidly. The median age for the 787,465 traffic cases disposed of statewide was 21 days, very close to the 19-day median for the 347,174 non-motor vehicle cases.

As indicated before, the tables in this section are compiled from information reported by the clerks of superior court. In addition, the District Attorney in District 15B has furnished the Administrative Office of the Courts with information that indicates that the district court criminal data for Orange County may contain inaccuracies. Also a recent on-site verification in Mecklenburg County has indicated that the district court criminal pending case statistics for Mecklenburg County contained in this report are inflated. As of the printing of this report these discrepancies have not been completely resolved to allow printing of corrected data.

This section of the Annual Report depicts the 1978-79 fiscal year in the district courts. The caseload volume is obviously very large compared to that of the appellate or superior courts, and this volume is summarized in the pages that follow. CASELOAD TRENDS IN THE DISTRICT COURTS 1971-1979

0



DISTRICT COURT, AS A WHOLE, HAS EXPERIENCED INCREASED CASELOADS OVER THE PAST EIGHT YEARS. COMPARED TO 1971, THE 1978-79 FIGURES INDICATE A 52.6% INCREASE IN FILINGS, A 48.3% RISE IN THE NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS, AND WHILE IT IS NOT OBVIOUS FROM THE GRAPH, PENDING CASELOAD HAS MORE THAN DOUBLED SINCE 1971.

# FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS IN THE DISTRICT COURT DIVISION 1978-79



These pie charts show marked similarities. Dispositions as a percentage of filings are: Motor Vehicle – 98.9%, General Civil – 89.5%, Domestic Relations – 90.0%, Civil Magistrate – 99.2%, and Non-Motor Vehicle – 97.4%.

# CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR CIVIL CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

			Fi	lings					
District 1	Pending 7/1/78	Total	General Civil	Domestic Relations	Small Claims	Total Caseload	Disposed	% Disposed to Caseload	Pending 6/30/79
Camden Chowan Currituck	11 125 117	130 832 453	18 195 90	23 41 46	89 596 317	141 957 570	120 776 423	85.1 81.0 74.2	21 181 147
Dare Gates Pasquotank	167 87 323	455 376 1,120	102 41 106	100 23 326	253 312 688	622 463 1,443	393 398 1,229	63.1 85.9 85.1	229 65 214
Perquimans District Totals	43 873	263	27 579	40	196 2,451	306	245 3,584	80.0	61 918
	010	0,023	075	000	2,101	.,	5,001	7510	
District 2 Beaufort Hyde Martin Tyrrell Washington District Totals	379 28 454 28 179 1,068	2,404 176 1,525 147 962 5,214	139 22 94 6 82 343	419 17 214 45 129 824	1,846 137 1,217 96 751 4,047	2,783 204 1,979 175 1,141 6,282	2,429 168 1,674 129 1,017 5,417	87.2 82.3 84.5 73.7 89.1 86.2	354 36 305 46 124 865
District 3	1,000	01111	010	ŰĽ (	.,	0,000	0,11		
Carteret Craven Pamlico Pitt	476 1,023 88 1,561	1,466 3,117 285 4,128	246 685 39 667	367 834 67 772	853 1,598 179 2,689	1,942 4,140 373 5,689	1,286 3,177 290 4,004	66.2 76.7 77.7 70.3	656 963 83 1,685
District Totals	3,148	8,996	1,637	2,040	5,319	12,144	8,757	72.1	3,387
District 4 Duplin Jones Onslow Sampson	471 78 1,241 362	2,238 344 3,879 3,198	263 44 315 299	312 104 1,285 440	1,663 196 2,279 2,459	2,709 422 5,120 3,560	2,120 344 3,721 3,039	78.2 81.5 72.6 85.3	589 78 1,399 521
District Totals	2,152	9,659	921	2,141	6,597	11,811	9,224	78.0	2,587
District 5	1.055						c. 000	71.0	0.047
New Hanover Pender	1,956 178	6,411 805	1,237 154	1,257 152	3,917 499	8,367 983	6,020 755	71.9 76.8	2,347 228
District Totals	2,134	7,216	1,391	1,409	4,416	9,350	8,775	72.4	2,575
District 6									
Bertie Halifax Hertford Northampton	257 737 245 227	1,177 2,545 1,242 1,065	78 207 272 186	172 448 183 36	927 1,890 787 843	1,434 3,282 1,487 1,292	1,030 2,333 1,073 1,044	71.8 71.0 72.1 80.8	404 949 414 248
District Totals	1,466	6,029	743	839	4,447	7,495	5,480	73.1	2,015
<u>District 7</u> Edgecombe Nash	591 776	4,298 3,412	392 428	599 524	3,307 2,460	4,889 4,188	4,093 3,224	83.7 76.9	796 764
Wilson District Totals	596 1,963	4,093 11,803	479 1,299	686 1,809	2,928 8,695	4,689 13,766	3,802 11,119	81.0 80.7	٤87 2,647
District 8	1,505	11,000	1,200	1,005	0,000	15,700	11,115	00.7	2,047
Greene Lenoir Wayne	100 1,065 1,733	545 4,413 5,433	27 712 1,143	128 866 1,181	590 2,835 3,109	645 5,478 7,166	534 4,080 4,808	82.7 74.4 67.0	111 1,398 2,358
District Totals	2,898	10,391	1,882	2,175	6,334	13,289	9,422	70.9	3,867
District 9	445		170	051	750				
Franklin Granville Person Vance Warren	446 192 424 539 332	1,174 1,691 1,575 2,271 855	173 177 198 175 119	251 177 251 393 153	750 1,337 1,126 1,703 583	1,620 1,883 1,999 2,810 1,187	1,204 1,551 1,322 2,356 755	74.3 82.3 66.1 83.8 63.6	416 332 677 454 432
District Totals	1,933	7,566	842	1,225	5,499	9,499	7,188	75.6	2,311
<u>District 10</u> Wake	5,237	15,586	4,480	2,376	8,730	20,823	13,698	65.7	7,125

### CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR CIVIL CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

			Fil	ings					
District 11	Pending 7/1/78	Total	General Civil	Domestic Relations	Small Claims	Total Caseload	Disposed	% Disposed to Caseload	Pending 6/30/79
Harnett Johnston Lee	1,021 1,173 487	2,312 3,332 1,887	433 528 649	492 890 9	1,387 1,914 1,229	3,333 4,505 2,374	2,230 3,081 1,714	66.9 68.3 72.1	1,103 1,424 660
District Totals	2,681	7,531	1,610	1,391	4,530	10,212	7,025	68.7	3,187
<u>District 12</u>									
Cumberland Hoke	2,858 178	12,106 835	1,707 232	2,630 88	7,769 515	14,964 1,013	11,615 802	77.6 79.1	3,349 211
District Totals	3,036	12,941	1,939	2,718	8,284	15,977	12,417	77.7	3,560
District 13									
Bladen Brunswick Cclumbus	389 630 896	1,466 550 2,835	373 200 457	126 206 422	967 144 1,956	1,855 1,180 3,731	1,373 357 2,800	74.0 30.2 75.0	482 823 931
District Totals	1,915	4,851	1,030	754	3,067	6,766	4,530	66.9	2,235
District 14								-	4 570
Durham	4,088	15,385	1,947	1,643	11,795	19,473	14,795	75.9	4,678
District 15A Alamance	450	3,317	542	1,021	1,754	3,767	3,157	83.8	610
District 15B									
Chatham Orange	336 798	1,304 1,911	111 315	• 265 503	928 1,093	1,640 2,709	1,370 1,853	83.5 68.4	270 856
District Totals	1,134	3,215	426	768	2,021	4,349	3,223	74.1	1,126
District 16									
Robeson Scotland	1,483 376	5,955 1,516	1,101 143	1,143 230	3,711 1,143	7,438 1,892	5,760 1,406	77.4 74.3	1,678 486
District Totals	1,859	7,471	1,244	1,373	4,854	9,330	7,166	76.8	2,164
District 17	<b>*</b> 2								
Caswell Rockingham Stokes Surry	127 599 155 780	650 2,955 804 3,269	41 389 94 561	139 680 195 429	470 1,886 515 2,279	777 3,554 959 4,049	620 2,970 821 3,265	79.7 83.5 85.6 80.6	157 584 138 784
District Totals	1,661	7,678	1,085	1,443	5,150	9,339	7,676	82.1	1,663
District 18 Guilford	5,175	21,187	3,590	3,720	13,877	26,362	21,309	80.8	5,053
<u>District 19A</u>	١								
Sabarrus Rowan	759 538	3,066 3,497	737 505	688 644	1,641 2,348	3,825 4,035	2,672 3,281	69.8 81.3	1,153 754
District Totals	1,297	6,563	1,242	1,332	3,989	7,860	5,953	75.7	1,907
District 19B									
Montgomery Randolph	386 378	1,062 2,107	175 299	104 667	783 1,141	1,448 2,485	980 2,055	67.6 82.6	468 430
District Totals	764	3,169	474	771	1,924	3,933	3,035	77.1	898
District 20									
Anson Moore Richmond Stanly Union	302 485 1,950 502 445	911 2,140 2,593 1,955 2,024	87 261 220 311 281	151 349 398 228 378	673 1,530 1,975 1,416 1,365	1,213 2,625 4,543 2,457 2,469	1,010 2,048 2,808 1,900 1,907	83.2 78.0 61.8 77.3 77.2	203 577 1,735 557 562
District Totals	3,684	9,623	1,160	1,504	6,959	13,307	9,673	72.6	3,634

### CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR CIVIL CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

			Fi	lings	1.				
	Pending 7/1/78	Total	General Civil	Domestic Relations	Small Claims	Total Caseload	Disposed	% Disposed to Caseload	Pending 6/30/79
<u>District 21</u> Forsyth	2,172	12,772	2,670	2,513	7,589	14,944	12,348	82.6	2,596
District 22									
Alexander Davidson Davie Iredell	124 747 111 600	586 3,169 541 3,527	50 465 90 593	120 948 131 683	416 1,756 320 2,251	710 3,916 652 4,127	548 3,027 496 3,318	77.1 77.2 76.0 80.3	162 889 156 809
District Totals	1,582	7,823	1,198	1,882	4,743	9,405	7,389	78.5	2,016
District 23									
Alleghany Ashe Wilkes Yadkin	78 123 622 196	296 534 2,509 1,704	94 54 824 175	71 88 452 222	131 392 1,233 1,307	374 657 3,131 1,900	275 570 2,145 1,598	73.5 86.7 68.5 84.1	99 87 986 302
District Totals	1,019	5,043	1,147	833	3,063	6,062	4,588	75.6	1,474
District 24									
Avery Madison Mitchell Watauga Yancey	114 63 53 257 64	547 255 356 795 391	188 29 74 288 57	2 67 61 185 99	357 159 221 322 235	661 318 409 1,052 455	560 236 341 787 366	84.7 74.2 83.3 74.8 80.4	101 82 68 265 89
District Totals	551	2,344	636	414	1,294	2,895	2,290	79.1	605
District 25									
Burke Caldwell Catawba	649 460 882	2,288 2,388 3,969	303 302 928	654 520 785	1,331 1,566 2,256	2,937 2,848 4,851	2,282 2,192 3,603	77.6 76.9 74.2	655 656 1,248
District Totals	1,991	8,645	1,533	1,959	5,153	10,636	8,077	75.9	2,559
District 26 Mecklenburg	14,764	29,578	4,994	6,235	18,349	44,342	29,897	67.4	14,445
District 27A									
Gaston	1,735	5,736	610	1,717	3,409	7,471	5,511	73.7	1,960
District 27B			· .						
Cleveland Lincoln	488 183	2,979 1,204	374 282	659 315	1,946	3,467 1,387	2,810 1,159	81.0 83.5	657 228
District Totals	671	4,183	656	974	2,553	4,854	3,969	81.7	885
District 28									
Buncombe	1,672	6,081	1,158	1,558	3,365	7,753	5,498	70.9	2,255
District 29									
Henderson McDowell Polk	339 332 82	1,269 814 253	231 147 16	481 206 79	557 461 158	1,608 1,146 335	1,144 757 262	71.1 66.0 78.2	464 389 73
Rutherford Transylvania	161 353	1,401 854	198 152	349 221	854 481	1,562	1,251 868	80.0 71.9	311 339
District Totals	1,267	4,591	744	1,336	2,511	5,858	4,282	71.9	1,576
District 30									
Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson Macon Swain	133 21 40 419 225 152 55	417 168 125 1,618 616 477 311	27 35 14 253 138 93 85	146 18 31 265 126 111 70	244 115 80 1,100 352 273 156	550 189 165 2,037 841 629 366	285 151 112 1,563 627 401 268	51.8 79.8 67.8 76.7 74.5 63.7 73.2	265 38 53 474 214 228 98
District Totals	1,045	3,732	645	767	2,320	4,777	3,407	71.3	1,370
STATE TOTALS	79,085	279,548	46,397	54,063	179,088	358,633	267,879	74.6	90,754



THIS GRAPH SHOWS A STEADY GROWTH RATE FOR FILINGS, DISPOSITIONS, AND PENDING CASES, THE 1978-79 FIGURES SHOW AT LEAST A 90% INCREASE OVER 1971 COUNTS AND AT LEAST A 26% INCREASE OVER THE 1975 CASELOAD.



THE BULK OF CIVIL DISTRICT CASES RECEIVES A MAGISTRATE'S ATTENTION, DURING THE 1978-79 YEAR, 64.1% OF THE FILINGS AND 66.3% OF THE DISPOSITIONS IN THE CIVIL DISTRICT COURTS WERE CIVIL MAGISTRATE CASES. THIS CATEGORY ALSO RETAINS THE SMALLEST PENDING CASELOAD, 25.5% OF ALL PENDING CIVIL CASES.

**a** 16-

#### July 1, 1978 — June 30, 1979

		G	eneral Civi	1				Dom	estic Rel:				Small	Claims	
District 1	Total Disposed	Judge	Jury	Clerk	Voluntary* Dismissal	Other	Judge	Jury	Clerk	Voluntary* Dismissal	Other	Magis- trate	Clerk	Voluntary* Dismissal	Other
Camden Chowan Currituck Dare Gates Pasquotank Perquimans	120 776 423 393 398 1,229 245	1 73 17 28 7 19 12	2 1 0 0 1 1	3 23 9 11 13 75 7	4 16 9 14 7 17 3	8 78 28 19 17 61 3	10 28 41 77 31 278 32	0 0 1 1 0 1 0	3 2 0 0 0 1 0	3 1 3 4 0 7 3	3 11 3 10 2 31 3	83 543 291 222 221 738 129	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 17 2 43 0 7	0 4 5 57 0 45
District Totals	3,584	157	5	141	70	214	497	3	6	21	63	2,227	0	69	111
District 2 Beaufort Hyde Martin Tyrrell Washington District Totals	2,429 168 1,674 129 1,017 5,417	60 11 33 4 16 124	2 0 2 1 7	58 3 50 1 51 163	11 1 9 0 10 31	24 1 17 3 19 64	422 9 310 24 118 883	1 1 0 1 3	6 0 28 0 8 42	2 0 3 4 6 15	5 2 11 5 15 38	1,701 140 1,207 85 771 3,904	0 0 2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0	137 0 2 1 1 141
<u>District 3</u> Carteret Craven Pamlico Pitt District Totals	1,286 3,177 290 4,004 8,757	67 151 13 160 391	1 9 0 1	41 307 6 227 581	25 112 5 78 220	42 114 11 156 323	227 691 59 640 1,617	0 1 0 0	0 6 0 4 10	10 44 2 13 69	22 85 5 136 248	360 1,647 188 2,286 4,481	0 3 0 23 26	174 3 0 18 195	317 4 1 262 584
<u>District 4</u> Duplin Jones Onslow Sampson District Total:	2,120 344 3,721 3,039 5 9,224	73 22 150 110 355	4 0 5 1 10	87 9 83 90 269	32 13	37 2 49 65 153	258 111 1,000 346 1,715	0 0 1 0	5 2 34 9 50	11 67 4 83	10 2 59 28 99	1,595 191 2,241 2,372 6,399	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 2 0 1 9
<u>District 5</u> New Hanover Pender District Total	6,020 755 s 6,775	311 48 359	19 2 21	386 51 437	15	137 14 151	1,040 119 1,159	2 0 2	30 2 32	34 2 36	55 9 64	3,858 465 4,323	0 0 0	2 24 26	1 4 5
<u>District 6</u> Bertie Halifax Hertford Northampton District Total	1,030 2,333 1,073 1,044 s 5,480	27 36 17 103 183	1 2 0 5	20 75 151 35 281	7 12 2	16 31 41 27 115	70 315 88 11 484	0 0 0 0	2 0 5 0 7	0 4 1 0 5	27 47 65 46 185	858 896 689 819 3,262	1 23 0 0 24	0 2 0 0 2	0 895 2 1 898

#### July 1, 1978 --- June 30, 1979

			(	General Civ	il				Don	iestic Rel	ations			Small	Claims	
District 7	Total Disposed	-	Judge	Jury	Clerk	Voluntary* Dismissal	Other	Judge	Jury	Clerk	Voluntary* Dismissal	Other	Magis- trate	Clerk	Voluntary* Dismissal	Other
Edgecombe Nash Wilson	4,093 3,224 3,802		69 72 217	0 3 2	160 144 92	30 43 31	41 52 56	351 442 489	0 0 0	3 9 2	7 8 14	177 15 15	3,255 ~ 2,436 2,155	0 0 1	0 0 715	0 0 13
District Totals	5 11,119		358	5	396	104	149	1,282	0	14	29	207	7,846	1	715	13
District 8																
Greene Lenoir Wayne	534 4,080 4,808		15 310 142	0 2 9	2 213 408	4 29 170	7 36 160	112 706 739	0 - 0 0	0 6 7	1 8 64	2 10 69	391 2,758 2,320	0 0 0	0 2 717	0 0 3
District Totals	5 9,422		467	11	623	203	203	1,557	0	13	73	81	5,469	0	719	3
<u>District 9</u> Franklin	1,204		54	2	45	19	24	251	1	2	14	19	588	0	183	2
Granville Person Vance Warren	1,551 1,322 2,356 755		62 52 98 74	0 1 0 0	43 74 54 43 26	18 10 47 3	15 42 81 42	116 155 351 102	1 0 0 0 0	15 4 2 1	14 10 5 13 0	14 13 56 26	1,226 961 1,658 474	0 14 0	183 1 0 6 0	0 10 1 7
District Totals	5 7,188		340	3	242	97	204	975	1	24	43	128	4,907	14	190	20
<u>District 10</u> Wake	13,698		1,139	12	1,303	429	394	1,732	- 4	26	54	65	6,482	0	1,083	975
District 11																
Harnett Johnston Lee	2,230 3,081 1,714		85 145 265	4 3 0	137 93 125	107 76 11	126 114 129	332 626 9	2 1 0	8 1 0	37 18 0	24 115 11	1,361 1,889 1,154	4 0 6	2 0 1	1 0 3
District Totals	5 7,025		495	7	355	194	369	967	3	9	55	150	4,404	10	3	4
District 12 Cumberland	11,615		448	12	650	163	325	1,895	1	94	75	363	5,912	1,190	214	273
Hoke	802		28	ō	62	0	111	44	ô	Ő	Ő	31	526	0	0	0
District Totals	5 12,417		476	12	712	163	436	1,939	1	94	75	394	6,438	1,190	214	273
District 13																
Bladen Brunswick Columbus	1,373 357 2,800		135 62 132	2 5 22	129 31 129	27 39 90	42 42 90	81 136 342	0 0 0	0 1 1	6 11 50	7 18 18	910 9 1,924	18 0 0	12 0 1	4 3 1
District Totals	\$ 4,530		329	29	289	156	174	559	Ó	2	67	43	2,843	18	13	8

### July 1, 1978 — June 30, 1979

		Ge	eneral Civi	I				Dom	estic Reli			<b>h 11</b>	Small	Claims Voluntary*	
	Total Disposed	Judge	Jury	Clerk	Voluntary* Dismissal	Other	Judge	Jury	Clerk	Voluntary* Dismissal	Other	Magis- trate	Clerk	Dismissal	Other
<u>District 14</u> Durham	14,795	242	10	665	226	479	1,212	0	28	19	319	11,592	1	0	2
<u>District 15A</u> Alamance	3,157	134	6	128	104	95	760	2	19	51	140	1,398	2	171	147
<u>District 15B</u> Chatham Orange	1,370 1,853	36 136	0	44 181	35	20 34	256 365 621	0 0 0	1 2 3	15 12 27	11 10 21	975 1,074 2,049	0 0 0	-	0 3 3
District Total <u>District 16</u>	s 3,223	172	0	225		54		-	-				-		
Robeson Scotland	5,760 1,406	431	6 0	385 59	19	139 15	894 187	10 0 10	4 0 4	1	41 14 55	3,568 758 4,326	2 0 2	288	21 38 59
District Total District 17	s 7,166	458	6	444	246	154	1,081	10	4	13	55	4,520	-	001	
Caswell Rockingham Stokes Surry	620 2,970 821 3,265	12 89 22 74	0 6 1 7	14 189 28 252	58 14 52	13 47 25 81	126 614 154 305	1 1 0 4	5 5 1 1	30 18 13	23 71 26 99	412 1,860 532 1,881	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 273	0 0 223
District Total	s 7,676	197	14	483	126	166	1,199	6	12	72	219	4,685	C	2/3	224
<u>District 18</u> Guilford	21,309	1,158	24	1,502	610	645	3,320	2	8	108	169	13,747	5	3	8
<u>District 19A</u> Cabarrus Rowan	2,672 3,281	74 145	7 8	258 176		60 95	520 517	0 1	5 5	i 0		1,011 2,254	(2	2 0	21
District Total	s 5,953	219	15	434	120	155	1,037	1	10	19	83	3,265	2	2 230	363
<u>District 19B</u> Montgomery Randolph District Total	980 2,055 s 3,035	42 84 126	0 0 0	23 127 150	7 51	31 27 58	75 568 643	0 0 0	1 16 17	5 20	12	776 1,144 1,920	( (	) 6	6 0
DISCRICE IDEAL	5 0,000	120	Ŭ	10.		••		_							

.

#### July 1, 1978 — June 30, 1979

		Ge	neral Civil					Dom	estic Rel	ations			Small	Claims	
	Total isposed	Judge	Jury		Voluntary* Dismissal	Other	Judge	Jury	Clerk	Voluntary* Dismissal	Other	Magis- trate	Clerk	Voluntary* Dismissal	Other
Anson Moore Richmond Stanly	1,010 2,048 2,808 1,900 1,907	44 64 63 74 64	0 8 5 1 14	19 91 88 132 80	10 32 0 28 30	42 56 61 37 63	130 243 304 191 309	0 0 2 0 1	0 2 4 1 5	5 9 0 7 7	53 48 25 10 30	655 1,377 2,231 1,414 1,161	52 98 0 0	0 13 1 1 0	0 7 24 4 143
District Totals	9,673	309	28	410	100	259	1,177	3	12	28	166	6,838	150	15	178
<u>District 21</u> Forsyth 1:	2,348	771	18	792	417	524	2,022	3	12	96	181	7,374	6	87	45
Davie Iredell	548 3,027 496 3,318 7,389	18 96 33 78 225	0 6 2 9 17	21 153 19 203 396	3 66 11 84 164	14 63 23 81 181	81 841 74 539 1,535	0 1 0 2 3	2 17 1 7 27	5 28 4 36 73	4 29 27 55 115	399 1,725 300 2,167 4,591	0 0 4 4	1 0 1 39 41	0 2 1 14 17
<u>District 23</u> Alleghany Ashe Wilkes Yadkin	275 570 2,145 1,598	35 16 143 23	6 2 10 7	15 21 332 70	11 14 106 19	17 10 108 18	58 85 390 181	0 0 1 1	0 0 11 1	6 6 16 13	13 8 15 21	111 227 784 1,243	0 1 7 1	1 0 118 0	2 180 110 0
District Totals	4,588	217	25	438	150	153	714	2	12	41	57	2,365	3	119	292
District 24 Avery Madison Mitchell Watauga Yancey District Totals	560 236 341 787 366 2,290	88 22 15 66 10 201	1 0 2 1 4	49 0 20 87 3 159	21 0 5 62 7 95	34 29 51 26 144	2 57 42 157 64 322	0 0 3 0 0 3	- 0 0 3 0 3	0 1 5 7 13	0 6 8 27 47	365 147 217 346 220 1,295	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 2 0 3
	69230	201	7	100	55	177	021	5	5	10		1,250		•	•
Caldwell Catawba	2,282 2,192 3,603 8,072	100 99 199 398	4 2 2 8	81 126 353 560	51 40 86 177	47 39 121 207	521 384 621 1,526	2 0 2	1 2 4 7	19 20 33 72	13 21 51 85	1,433 1,456 2,117 5,006	3 0 0 3	6 1 7 14	1 2 9 12
<u>District 26</u> Mecklenburg 2	9,897	1,504	15	1,640	263	1,007	4,645	4	24	21	192	20,413	166	0	3

#### July 1, 1978 --- June 30, 1979

		Ge	neral Civi	e di F				Dom	estic Rela				Small	Claims	
	Total Disposed	Judge	Jury	Clerk	Voluntary* Dismissal	Other	Judge	Jury	Clerk	Voluntary* Dismissal	Other	Magis- trate	Clerk	Voluntary* Dismissal	Other
<u>District 27A</u> Gaston	5,511	198	10	144	81	100	1,591	1	11	42	46	3,280	0	5	2
District 27B															
Cleveland Lincoln	2,810 1,159	138 43	0 5	62 125	43 29	59 58	579 270	0	1 2	20 11	15 10	1,881 596	0 0	9 3	3 7
District Totals	3,969	181	5	187	72	117	849	0	3	31	25	2,477	0	12	10
<u>District 28</u> Buncombe	5,498	477	25	245	152	119	1,329	6	10	77	81	2,533	1	355	88
District 29															_
Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford Transylvania	1,144 757 262 1,251 868	89 54 12 69 79	4 2 0 2 5	47 45 2: 48 40	35 10 4 17 34	24 17 4 19 11	352 158 55 276 213	1 0 0 0 1	11 3 2 0 2	24 4 5 5 14	21 12 13 3 4	535 446 164 810 465	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 1 0	0 6 1 0 0
District Totals	4,282	303	13	182	100	75	1,054	2	18	52	53	2,420	1	2	7
District 30 Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson Macon Swain District Totals	285 151 112 1,563 627 401 268 3,407	5 4 7 114 86 11 32 259	0 0 1 4 0 1 6	6 15 7 82 23 14 14 14	0 5 21 17 8 6 58	23 1 2 67 29 27 6 155	55 19 24 226 67 78 63 532	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	4 0 1 8 1 1 0 15	0 2 0 8 4 3 1 18	21 1 2 8 18 13 7 70	158 103 56 1,017 373 245 136 2,088	3 0 2 3 0 0 0 8	0 0 7 3 5 1 2 18	9 1 3 5 0 0 0 18
STATE TOTALS	267,879	12,922	387	15,137	5,306	7,796	42,535	70	584	1,526	3,918	166,647	1,639	4,884	4,528



METHODS OF DISPOSITION OF DISTRICT COURT CIVIL CASES 1978-79

6.5% of civil district dispositions were by clerks, .1% by jury, 62.2% by magistrates, 20.7% by judges, 4.4% by voluntary dismissal, and 6.1% by other methods.

				Augo of I	Pending Ca	nor ( Dour)					 	or of Cor	es at Dispo	sition (Day	(r)	
	Total	Mean	Median	Ages of I	enong ca	ses (Days)			Total	Mean	Median	85 01 Cas %	es at Dispo %	511011 (Day %	s) %	%
<u>District 1</u>	Pending	Age	Age	0-90	91-180	181-365	366-730	> 730	Disposed	Age	Age	0-90	91-180	181-365	366-730	> 730
Camden Chowan Currituck Dare Gates Pasquotank Perquimans	21 181 147 229 65 214 61	82.3 199.9 209.2 479.8 221.7 429.6 202.0	17.0 49.7 86.4 259.7 72.0 164.7 53.0	14 102 74 58 34 81 32	2 38 15 28 9 35 9	5 13 32 66 10 28 9	0 13 16 38 5 20 8	0 15 10 39 7 50 3	120 776 423 393 398 1,229 245	64.0 69.4 82.0 110.9 73.6 96.0 80.5	23.2 30.7 27.4 26.4 26.6 21.3 19.7	87.5 84.1 78.7 75.3 84.7 80.4 87.3	2.5 6.8 9.2 10.2 7.5 5.4 1.6	5.8 4.5 6.9 2.5 8.3 4.5	4.2 3.4 3.8 4.3 3.5 2.8 3.7	0.0 1.2 1.4 3.3 1.8 3.1 2.9
District Totals	918	323.7	126.9	395	136	163	100	124	3,584	85.7	26.4	81.5	6.6	6.2	3.4	2.3
District 2																
Beaufort Hyde Martin Tyrrell Washington	354 36 305 46 124	231.0 300.5 287.9 278.6 198.5	61.3 170.5 43.6 143.0 88.8	208 16 191 17 64	32 3 28 6 22	45 4 24 5 15	42 10 34 13 15	27 3 28 5 8	2,429 168 1,674 129 1,017	68.1 41.7 228.3 64.5 57.1	14.4 18.1 37.1 28.3 13.6	86.8 91.6 76.8 91.4 83.2	7.3 2.4 7.8 2.3 8.8	1.7 4.2 5.0 3.1 4.7	1.7 1.8 1.7 1.6 2.9	2.5 0.0 8.7 1.6 .4
District Totals	865	251.8	61.0	496	91	93	114	71	5,417	114.6	19.9	83.2	7.5	3.4	1.9	4.0
District 3																
Carteret Craven Pamlico Pitt	656 963 83 1,685	308.8 308.0 429.3 507.9	171.7 134.8 232.7 270.3	242 401 28 559	107 148 11 152	99 170 12 216	126 136 10 301	82 108 22 457	1,286 3,177 290 4,004	88.4 125.3 109.5 150.7	24.1 29.5 17.8 32.1	78.4 76.2 76.7 78.0	7.9 9.2 10.3 11.8	9.2 3.5 4.5 2.6	2.1 7.7 4.1 1.5	2.4 3.4 4.4 6.1
District Totals	3,387	410.6	198.5	1,230	418	497	573	669	8,757	131.0	29.1	77.3	10.2	4.0	3.9	4.6
District 4																
Duplin Jones Onslow Sampson	589 78 1,399 521	258.0 348.4 205.8 121.0	94.4 148.5 122.0 38.9	277 26 585 369	127 14 291 55	79 13 285 46	42 13 176 37	64 12 62 14	2,120 344 3,721 3,039	73.3 95.7 144.7 53.7	28.5 17.0 35.7 23.9	79.4 85.2 73.2 86.3	12.2 3.2 7.1 9.0	5.9 4.1 9.2 2.0	1.4 2.3 5.1 1.7	1.1 5.2 5.4 1.0
District Totals	2,587	204.9	95.3	1,257	487	423	268	152	9,224	96.5	27.6	79.4	8.8	5.9	3.0	2.9
District 5																
New Hanover Pender	2,347 228	410.9 307.7	261.4 158.5	705 87	328 38	297 44	426 32	591 27	6,020 755	70.0 73.4	23.5 27.2	83.2 79.1	10.4 9.9	3.3 7.9	1.4 2.6	1.7
District Totals	2,575	401.8	243.4	792	366	341	458	618	6,775	70.4	23.7	82.7	10.4	3.8	1.5	1.6
<u>District 6</u>																
Bertie Halifax Hertford Northampton	404 949 414 248	344.1 385.6 184.4 268.1	171.5 241.7 79.5 93.5	149 314 216 118	57 92 62 26	71 164 78 46	68 229 35 25	59 150 23 33	1,030 2,333 1,073 1,044	51.6 58.9 75.8 76.2	19.4 27.4 31.2 24.3	85.0 90.3 81.5 83.8	11.0 4.8 5.9 7.8	2.1 2.3 10.5 3.8	.8 1.5 1.3 2.2	1.1 1.1 .8 2.4
District Totals	2,015	321.5	168.6	797	237	359	357	265	5,480	64.2	27.3	86.3	6.8	4.2	1.4	1.3

				Ages of P	ending Ca	ses (Days)					.,		•	sition (Day		
District 7	Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-90	91-180	181-365	366-730	> 730	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-90	% 91-180	% 181-365	% 366-730	% > 730
Edgecombe Nash Wilson	796 964 887	397.7 453.6 209.7	198.5 283.5 96.1	300 292 430	92 109 155	113 135 161	116 191 91	175 237 50	4,093 3,224 3,802	33.1 36.4 48.9	20.9 16.6 12.4	94.8 93.3 87.7	2.8 3.4 6.3	1.3 2.3 2.3	.9 .9 3.3	.2 .1 .4
District Totals	2,647	355.0	163.0	1,022	356	409	398	462	11,119	39.4	17.5	91.9	4.2	1.9	1.8	.2
District 8																
Greene Lenoir Wayne	111 1,398 2,358	309.9 443.2 440.5	99.0 222.5 288.5	53 434 661	22 233 293	9 145 337	11 210 507	16 376 560	534 4,080 4,808	50.1 51.1 86.3	19.8 20.9 17.7	86.6 86.1 86.2	7.9 10.1 6.4	3.4 1.9 2.5	1.7 .9 1.8	.4 1.0 3.1
District Totals	3,867	437.7	266.8	1,148	548	491	728	952	9,422	69.0	20.6	86.2	8.1	2.3	1.4	2.0
District 9																
Franklin Granville Person Vance Warren	416 332 677 454 432	444.9 106.0 507.5 222.1 429.7	262.5 25.7 261.2 74.5 276.5	121 228 182 239 95	45 35 102 61 65	91 48 114 57 110	73 14 160 72 82	86 7 119 25 80	1,204 1,551 1,322 2,356 755	174.8 68.7 53.8 149.8 245.0	25.0 15.7 16.0 23.7 28.4	81.3 89.8 90.7 83.9 71.1	4.7 3.7 2.3 2.9 7.3	3.4 2.2 4.2 .9 5.7	2.6 1.7 1.7 3.4 5.7	8.0 2.6 1.1 8.9 10.2
District Totals	2,311	368.0	173.0	865	308	420	401	317	7,188	128.8	20.5	84.6	3.7	2.7	2.9	6.1
District 10																
Wake	7,125	643.5	340.8	1,876	790	1,010	1,439	2,010	13,698	54.2	27.1	86.0	8.8	3,2	1.5	.5
District 11																
Harnett Johnston Lee	1,103 1,424 660	450.2 383.8 371.1	313.2 242.0 274.5	287 421 219	91 136 54	211 306 108	287 338 172	227 223 107	2,230 3,081 1,714	130.4 105.6 67.5	31.0 26.3 18.6	73.6 79.4 83.3	13.9 9.7 9.2	3.0 3.9 2.9	3.6 2.4 2.6	5.9 4.6 2.0
District Totals	3,187	404.2	276.7	927	281	625	797	557	7,025	104.2	25.4	78.5	10.9	3.4	2.8	4.4
District 12																
Cumberland Hoke	3,349 211	190.9 305.7	94.4 155.0	1,632 78	511 49	539 25	566 24	101 35	11,615 802	80.6 56.1	28.7 25.4	74.2 90.9	16.3 4.9	3.7 2.1	$5.1 \\ 1.1$	.7 1.0
District Totals	3,560	197.7	96.3	1,710	560	564	590	136	12,417	79.0	28.3	75.2	15.6	3.6	4.8	.8
District 13																
Bladen Brunswick Columbus	482 823 931	232.9 423.3 319.1	115.0 392.7 197.0	226 216 323	62 52 131	85 100 154	68 318 209	41 137 114	1,373 357 2,800	83.8 239.0 121.7	34.3 83.7 31.4	72.0 51.8 74.8	15.2 12.9 13.0	8.9 11.2 2.4	3.4 17.4 5.0	.5 6.7 4.8
District Totals	2,236	338.9	238.9	765	245	339	595	292	4,530	119.4	34.1	72.0	13.7	5.1	5,5	3.7

				Ages of P	ending Ca	ses (Days)							•	sition (Day	-	ç,	
Distant 14	Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-90	91-180	181-365	365-730	> 730	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-90	% 91-180	% 181-365	% 366-730	> 730	
<u>District 14</u> Durham	4,678 <sup>.</sup>	701.8	388.8	1,115	409	741	1,165	1,248	14,795	124.0	14.1	87.3	5.2	1.6	1.2	4.7	
Di-1																	
<u>District 15A</u> Alamance	610	147.8	68.0	352	115	83	39	21	3,157	61.3	25.8	84.6	10.5	2.8	.7	1.4	
<u>District 15B</u> Chatham	270	290.1	95.1	131	24	34	48	33	1,370	65.9	25.7	87.5	5.3 4.6	3.4 10.3	2.6 8.8	1.2 3.6	
Orange	856	307.4	202.8	249	143	170	205 253	89 122	1,853	133.3 104.6	27.2 26.7	72.7 79.0	4.0 4.9	7.4	6.2	2.5	
District Totals	1,126	303.3	191.1	380	167	204	203	122	3,223	104.0	2017	/510					
District 16							000	100	5,760	106.0	20.8	80.1	6.1	4.7	3.9	5.2	
Robeson Scotland	1,678 486	312.5 579.2	137.2 338.0	694 161	288 46	212 40	296 62	188 177	1,406	41.9	23.0	90.2	6.8	2.0	.9	.1	
District Totals	2,164	372.4	148.6	855	334	252	358	365	7,166	93.5	21.4	82.0	6.3	4.2	3.3	4.2	
District 17								_	500		17.0	78.1	8.7	4.0	3.5	5.7	
Caswell Rockingham	157 584	129.6 150.6	54.0 57.0	98 356	22 79	16 78	19 53	2 18	620 2,970	130.5 85.3	17.2 22.6	81.5	8.1	4.0	4.1	2.3	
Stokes	138 784	223.4	127.2 149.7	51 290	34 160	27 184	16 118	10 32	821 3,265	82.3 96.0	28.2 30.7	83.5 80.1	7.6 9.9	2.7 4.7	4.3 2.8	1.9 2.5	
Surry District Totals	1,663	189.3	96.4	795	295	305	206	62	7,676	93.2	27.5	80.7	8.9	4.2	3.6	2.6	
Distant 10	-																
<u>District 18</u> Guilford	5,053	266.9	120.0	2,194	884	886	668	421	21,309	97.5	19.4	79.0	7.1	4.8	7.3	1.8	
<u>District 19A</u> Cabarrus	1,153	258.8	154.6	468	189	185	227	84	2,672	75.9	23.3	78.4	10.0	8.0	2.7 1.2	.9 .2	
Rowan	754	185.3	74.0	395	90	136	96	37	3,281 5,953	49.6 61.4	22.9 23.0	88.9 84.3	5.0 7.2	4.7 6.1	1,2	.2	
District Totals	1,907	229.7	120.6	863	279	321	323	121	5,955	01.4	23.0	04.5	/,	0.1	1.5		
District 19B	460	272 0	101.5	227	47	30	52	112	980	97.6	41.5	88.1	6.3	1.5	1.2	2.9	
Montgomery Randolph	468 430	372.0 177.3	81.0	226	79	63	43	19	2,055	78.4	25.5	79.0	10.9	4.6	4.2	1.3 1.9	
District Totals	898	278.8	88.0	453	126	93	95	131	3,035	84.6	34.2	81.9	9.4	3.6	3.2	1.9	

	<b>.</b> .			Ages of l	Pending Ca	ses (Days)	)				~		es at Dispo	•		
District 20	Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-90	91-180	181-365	366-730	> 730	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-90	% 91-180	% 181-365	% 366-730	% > 730
Anson Moore Richmond Stanly Union	203 577 1,735 557 562	499.0 285.1 506.9 381.8 231.4	225.2 137.0 488.0 175.7 124.5	61 238 282 209 248	23 71 105 74 83	41 92 327 74 115	41 120 687 107 83	37 56 334 93 33	1,010 2,048 2,808 1,900 1,907	179.8 92.0 165.3 87.7 81.4	20.2 25.8 27.8 19.8 23.1	73.7 82.0 72.8 85.2 82.2	8.1 8.7 4.4 5.7 8.0	4.6 3.4 7.8 5.2 3.7	5.2 2.4 10.7 1.2 4.1	8.4 3.5 4.3 2.7 2.0
District Totals	3,634	409.5	304.7	1,038	356	649	1,038	553	9,673	119.5	25.0	79.1	6.7	5.2	5.2	3.8
<u>District 21</u> Forsyth	2,596	152.0	80.9	1,360	526	419	224	67	12,348	73.1	25.6	84.4	5.2	5.0	4.0	1.4
District 22 Alexander Davidson Davie Iredell District Totals	162 889 156 809 2,016	247.8 251.7 164.0 164.8 209.7	176.7 123.8 78.0 120.6 120.8	59 365 86 345 855	27 154 23 207 411	45 105 24 149 323	16 198 18 98 330	15 67 5 10 97	548 3,027 496 3,318 7,389	43.8 64.0 82.2 58.9 61.4	28.5 22.7 34.5 28.0 27.3	92.5 80.1 81.3 86.4 83.9	5.1 11.6 10.5 6.4 8.7	2.0 5.8 2.4 4.3 4.7	.2 2.1 4.2 2.7 2.4	.2 .4 1.6 .2 .3
<u>District 23</u> Alleghany	99	133.1	81.3	53	25	13	7	1	275	97.8	34.2	71.0	14.5	8.0	4.0	2.5
Ashe Wilkes Yadkin	87 986 302	180.2 178.7 141.5	93.2 94.8 29.5	42 480 227	20 134 31	12 187 12	9 183 15	4 2 17	570 2,145 1,598	97.0 79.4 47.0	20.8 47.4 27.9	78.2 77.8 86.7	6.3 13.2 9.8	6.0 6.4 2.4	7.9 2.2 .8	1.6 .4 .3
District Totals	1,474	168.1	71.1	802	210	224	214	24	4,588	71.4	36.1	80.6	11.2	5.1	2.5	.6
<u>District 24</u> Avery Madison Mitchell Watauga Yancey	101 82 68 265 89	242.9 214.4 147.3 170.2 139.9	103.0 176.5 73.5 88.3 81.0	42 36 35 133 46	22 5 12 57 21	19 25 14 37 15	6 11 6 25 4	12 5 1 13 3	560 236 341 787 366	70.8 76.3 62.8 105.6 79.6	27.9 29.5 31.4 53.2 23.5	81.4 77.1 85.3 64.5 77.5	10.0 10.6 8.8 19.7 10.7	4.3 8.9 3.8 11.3 5.5	3.6 3.0 1.5 3.2 6.3	.7 .4 .6 1.3 0.0
District Totals	605	181.3	94.5	292	117	110	52	34	2,290	83.6	33.5	75.1	13.3	7.3	3.5	.8
<u>District 25</u> Burke Caldwell Catawba District Totals	655 656 1,248 2,559	265.1 265.9 238.5 252.3	94.0 81.9 117.5 102.5	323 343 531 1,197	102 102 197 401	66 69 241 376	82 58 186 326	82 84 93 259	2,282 2,192 3,603 8,077	71.1 79.8 69.4	32.8 28.0 27.5 29.0	83.5 84.9 82.7 83.6	6.5 5.7 6.7 6.4	6.1 5.4 7.5 6.5	3.4 2.3 2.6 2.7	.5 1.7 .5 .8
District 26	2,009	292.0	102+3	1,13/	401	370	320	203	0,077	72.7	29.0	03.0	0.4	0.5	2.1	
Mecklenburg	14,445	487.4	354.1	3,794	1,605	1,911	3,083	4,052	29,897	167.6	26.6	74.2	5.9	4.2	5.1	10.6

				Ages of P	ending Ca	ses (Days)						••		-	ition ( Day %	5)	%
	Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-90	91-180	181-365	366-730	> 730		`otal sposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-90	91-180	181-365	366-730	> 730
<u>District 27A</u> Gaston	1,960	367.2	228.0	616	219	410	423	292	5	,511	124.2	22.1	80.5	6.6	1.9	5.7	5.3
<u>District 27B</u> Cleveland Lincoln District Totals	657 228 885	167.5 149.3 162.8	94.9 93.5 94.7	321 113 434	115 45 160	135 45 180	73 23 96	13 2 15	1	,810 ,159 ,969	54.9 54.4 54.7	21.7 27.7 22.9	84.4 86.7 85.2	8.5 7.5 8.2	4.6 4.0 4.4	2.4 1.4 2.1	.1 .4 .1
District 28 Buncombe	2,255	227.5	152.4	815	516	487	321	116	5	,498	89.9	34.2	74.8	8.7	9.9	6.3	,3
<u>District 29</u> Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford Transylvania District Totals	464 389 73 311 339 1,576	227.0 685.9 100.4 186.0 355.4 353.9	162.5 306.0 73.0 109.7 151.2 161.9	151 111 41 139 121 563	90 53 19 51 65 278	118 50 12 70 43 293	98 68 0 40 51 257	7 107 1 11 59 185	1	1,144 757 262 1,251 868 4,282	94.8 94.8 169.9 44.7 162.3 98.5	26.0 34.2 42.1 20.3 39.7 27.5	74.0 76.9 66.4 92.0 66.6 77.8	9.6 11.0 12.2 3.5 6.3 7.6	9.6 6.3 4.6 2.6 9.9 6.7	5.6 3.8 8.4 1.5 13.4 5.8	1.2 2.0 8.4 .4 3.8 2.1
District 30 Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson Macon Swain District Totals	265 38 33 474 214 228 98 1,370	187.0 63.8 175.4 175.7 202.2 583.2 212.2 249.3	162.9 36.5 103.0 73.7 120.5 206.8 110.5 121.8	74 28 26 250 95 82 44 599	92 5 65 39 27 20 254	63 5 10 79 50 41 19 267	31 0 11 68 23 26 11 170	5 0 12 7 52 4 80		285 151 112 1,563 627 401 268 3,407	96.7 91.5 87.9 77.0 134.7 73.7 69.4 89.3	42.8 28.0 22.5 28.2 38.8 26.9 28.6 29.9	72.6 78.1 74.2 83.6 67.5 79.8 80.6 78.4	10.2 6.0 9.8 3.8 8.0 8.2 10.1 6.4	10.9 11.3 7.1 7.4 12.1 8.0 6.0 8.7	6.3 1.3 8.9 4.6 10.8 3.5 2.2 5.6	0.0 3.3 0.0 .6 1.6 .5 1.1 .9
STATE TOTALS	90,754	379.4	184.1	32,652	12,485	14,268	16,459	14,890	26	7,879	97.1	24.0	81.0	7.8	4.3	3.7	3.2

AGE BREAKDOWN OF CIVIL DISTRICT CASES PENDING ON JUNE 30, 1979



A SUMMARY OF PENDING CIVIL DISTRICT CASES HIGHLIGHTS AGING PROBLEMS. THE GENERAL CIVIL CATEGORY HAS THE HIGHEST CONCENTRATION OF OLD CASES; 21.9% OF CASES IN THIS CATEGORY ARE OVER TWO YEARS OLD, AS COMPARED TO 17.5% FOR DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASES, AND 5.7% FOR CIVIL MAGISTRATE CASES.

### OFFENSES AND CONDITIONS ALLEGED IN JUVENILE PETITIONS IN THE DISTRICT COURTS

July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

		Dalla	quent	0	FFENSES				CONDIT			Children
		Other	Misde-		Probation Violation		Indiscipline		Dependent	Neglected	Grand	Before Court For
District 1	Capital	Felony	meanor	Total		Truancy	Other	Total			Total	First Time
Camden Chowan Currituck Dare Gates Pasquotank Perquimans District Totals	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 8 15 8 1 23 8 63	3 19 18 14 1 39 18 112	3 27 33 23 2 62 26 176	0 12 1 0 7 0 20	0 2 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 5 0 5	0 0 2 1 0 9 0	3 39 38 24 2 83 26 215	3 27 19 24 1 37 10 121
	-			270		-	0	-	5	12	215	161
<u>District 2</u> Beaufort Hyde Martin Tyrrell Washington District Totals	0 0 0 0 0	12 1 29 2 12 56	24 6 15 4 18 67	36 7 44 6 30 123	9 0 5 0 0 14	0 0 1 2 3	4 2 0 0 8	4 2 1 2 11	14 1 3 0 0 18	40 2 5 3 4 54	103 12 59 10 36 220	61 11 30 10 17 129
District 3 Carteret Craven Pamlico Pitt District Totals	0 0 0 0	3 55 0 73 131	51 70 6 56 183	54 125 6 129 314	7 23 1 10 41	5 7 1 2 15	4 15 0 8 27	9 22 1 10 42	10 17 1 38 66	16 16 5 12 49	96 203 14 199 512	90 102 13 140 345
District 4		101	100	514	- <b>+</b>			76	00	22	512	545
District 4 Duplin Jones Onslow Sampson District Totals	1 0 0 1	4 9 104 1 118	49 10 102 68 229	54 19 203 69 348	0 0 0 0	0 4 1 0 5	3 1 7 6 17	3 5 8 6 22	5 2 16 13 36	6 4 30 9 49	68 30 260 97 455	68 30 151 81 330
	1	110	229	340	U	. 3	17	2.2	30	49	455	330
<u>District 5</u> New Hanover Pender	0	214 24	227 26	441 50	65 5	9 0	23 1	32 1	46 9	98 16	682 81	304 42
District Totals	0	238	253	491	70	9	24	33	55	114	763	346
District 6 Bertie Halifax Hertford Northampton	0 0 0	27 56 10 14	9 56 26 14	36 112 36 28	0 1 12 1	0 0 0	0 22 4 4	0 22 4 4	1 19 3 9	5 15 10 2	42 169 65 44	42 119 39 28
District Totals	0	107	105	212	14	0	30	30	32	32	320	228
<u>District 7</u> Edgecombe Nash Wilson District Totals	0 0 0	85 72 105 262	125 128 23 276	210 200 128 538	38 27 2 67	11 1 11 23	30 20 4 54	41 21 15 77	48 28 25 101	50 48 13 111	387 324 183 894	169 173 87 429
District 8												
Greene Lenoir Wayne District Totals	0 0 0	2 39 33 74	14 163 31 208	16 202 64 282	0 20 12 32	1 2 4 7	4 22 24 50	5 24 28 57	7 9 22 38	7 56 50 113	35 311 176 522	19 163 109 291
	Ū	• 1	200		56	4			56	110		
District 9 Franklin Granville Person Vance Warren District Totals	0 0 0 0	13 38 25 3 2 81	27 23 3 68 5 126	40 61 28 71 7 207	5 3 0 2 0 10	0 0 1 6 2 9	6 12 1 20 3 42	6 12 26 5	12 3 1 5 0 21	10 15 8 5 46	73 94 39 112 17 335	52 35 38 76 17 218
<u>District 10</u> Wake	1	104	275	380	66	0	117	117	51	42	656	358

### OFFENSES AND CONDITIONS ALLEGED IN JUVENILE PETITIONS IN THE DISTRICT COURTS

		Delin	quent	Ö	FFENSES Probation		ndiscipline	ed	CO'NDI' Dependent			Children Before
	Capital	Other Felony	Misde- meanor	Total	Violation	Truancy	Other		• •Þ	0	Grand Total	Court For First Time
District 11	-	-										
Harnett Johnston Lee	1 0 0	18 26 29	49 47 137	68 73 166	15 22 16	8 0 12	22 10 3	30 10 15	25 47 52	30 29 15	168 181 264	94 118 118
District Totals	1	73	233	307	53	20	35	55	124	74	613	330
District 12												
Cumberland Hoke	0 0	131 4	252 23	383 27	0 2	30 0	145 3	175 3	404 10	158 8	1,120 50	1,029 46
District Totals	0	135	275	410	2	30	148	178	414	166	1,170	1,075
District 13												
Bladen Brunswick Columbus	0 1 0	1 8 31	21 30 91	22 39 122	0 5 19	2 19 7	7 17 30	9 36 37	0 14 25	12 46 61	43 140 264	39 121 130
District Totals	1	40	142	183	24	28	54	82	39	119	447	290
District 14												
Durham	0	100	121	221	107	7	91	- 98	136	46	608	239
<u>District 15A</u> Alamance	0	- 16	30	46	0	14	26	40	29	27	142	136
District 15B												
Chatham Orange	0 2	0 35	25 32	25 69	0 4	1 11	4 14	5 25	9 19	8 25	47 142	31 118
District Totals	2	35	57	94	4	12	18	- 30	28	33	189	149
District 16												
Robeson Scotland	0 1	161 28	236 56	397 85	12 6	28 8	85 29	113 37	71 16	68 18	661 162	301 115
District Totals	1	189	292	482	18	36	114	150	87	86	823	416
District 17												
Caswell Rockingham Stokes	0 2 0	4 67 12	5 143 21	9 212 33	0 9 5	0 18 5	8 29 8	8 47 13	5 12 7	12 42 2	34 322 60	33 130 29
Surry District Totals	0	45 128	43 212	88 342	0 14	18 41	22 67	40 108	7 31	38 94	173 589	106 298
	<b>د</b>	120	212	542	14	41	07	100	51	54	203	290
<u>District 18</u> Guilford	0	207	527	734	136	97	218	315	196	141	1,522	671
District 19A												
Cabarrus Rowan	2 0	19 33	90 147	111 180	30 21	1 29	16 7	17 36	18 84	27 94	203 415	95 200
District Totals	2	52	237	291	51	30	23	53	102	121	618	295
District 19B												
Montgomery Randolph	0	4 17	24 35	28 53	4 34	1 6	6 44	7 50	2 17	0 29	41 183	34 155
District Totals	J.	21	59	81	38	7	50	57	19	29	224	189
District 20												
Anson Moore	0	34	52	86	2	0	0	0	0 5	9	97	27
Richmond	0 1	85 34	75 72	160 107	10 3	0	11 7	11 7	38	41 20	227 175	64 97
Stanly Union	0 0	3 33	364 185	367 218	13 41	0	7 19	7 21	18 20	11 58	416 358	85 128
District Totals	1	189	748	938	69	2	44	46	81	139	1,273	401

July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

### OFFENSES AND CONDITIONS ALLEGED IN JUVENILE PETITIONS IN THE DISTRICT COURTS

July 1, 1978-June 3	0, 197	9
---------------------	--------	---

	Delinquent				FFENSES Probation		Indisciplin	ed		TIONS		Children Before	
	Capital	Other Felony	Misde- meanor	Total	Violation	Truancy	Other	Total			Grand Total	Court For First Time	
<u>District 21</u> Forsyth	0	200	287	487	76	21	154	175	53	74	865	451	
District 22													
Alexander Davidson Davie Iredell	0 4 0 0	16 55 11 5	16 92 19 152	32 151 30 157	0 29 0 4	0 2 1 5	6 79 21 58	6 81 22 63	5 129 1 25	7 108 18 37	50 498 71 286	38 229 31 169	
District Totals	4	87	279	370	33	8	164	172	160	170	905	467	
District 23													
Alleghany Ashe Wilkes Yadkin	0 0 0	7 5 20 3	0 2 50 38	7 7 70 41	0 6 91 22	1 3 3 3	2 3 11 5	3 5 14 8	0 4 47 35	5 16 104 63	15 39 326 169	13 22 116 62	
District Totals	0	35	90	125	119	10	21	31	86	188	549	213	
<u>District 24</u> Avery Madison Mitchell Watauga Yancey	0 2 0 0 0	20 0 6 0	5 3 6 15 1	25 5 6 21 1	6 0 0 0 0	9 5 0 2 0	10 0 2 10 2	19 5 2 12 2	4 2 1 28 1	19 2 1 6 3	73 14 10 67 7	25 15 5 60 6	
District Totals	2	26	30	58	6	16	24	40	36	31	171	111	
District 25													
Burke Caldwell Catawba	0 0 0	40 70 97	62 98 70	102 168 167	41 28 23	32 21 11	82 61 52	114 82 63	38 31 20	46 23 28	341 332 301	150 144 170	•
District Totals	0	207	230	437	92	64	195	259	89	97	974	464	
District 26 Mecklenburg	. 1	765	673	1,439	43	6	142	148	84	97	1,811	678	
District 27A Gaston	3	156	406	565	0	45	155	200	44	9	818	410	
District 27B													
Cleveland Lincoln	0 0	8 23	242 54	250 77	10 4	20 2	24 9	44 11	13 9	33 13	350 114	150 64	
District Totals	0	31	296	327	14	22	33	55	22	46	464	214	
<u>District 28</u> Buncombe	2	112	208	322	13	38	330	368	37	40	780	358	
District 29													
Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford Transylvania	0 0 0 0	43 8 4 18 4	56 32 5 50 13	99 40 9 68 17	36 4 0 18 4	18 19 1 1 7	33 14 4 8 4	51 33 5 9 11	13 6 0 19 24	35 24 1 19 11	234 107 15 133 67	101 78 14 87 41	
District Totals	0	77	156	233	62	46	63	109	62	90	556	321	
District 30													
Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson Macon Swain	0 0 0 0 0 0	3 2 15 8 0 0	1 0 7 19 10 8 2	4 2 7 34 18 8 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 4 1 1 0	5 0 1 35 21 2 18	5 0 1 39 22 3 18	4 1 0 3 0 2 1	4 0 13 6 3 4	17 3 89 46 16 25	17 3 8 9 46 16 25	
District Totals	0	28	47	75	. 0	6	82	88	11	30	204	204	
STATE TOTALS	26	4,143	7,469	11,638	1,308	679	2,620	3,299	2,393	2,569	21,207	11,175	

# ADJUDICATORY HEARINGS FOR JUVENILE CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

	Delinquency Hearings				sciplined H	earings	Dene	ndency Her	arings	N	Total		
District 1		Dismissed		The second se	Dismissed			Dismissed	Total		eglect Hear I Dismissed		Hearings
Camden Chowan Currituck Dare Gates Pasquotank Perquimans	0 36 31 6 2 53 13	3 0 5 21 0 12 8	3 36 37 27 2 65 21	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 5 0	0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 6 0	0 0 4 1 0 6 0	0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 4 1 0 7 0	3 36 42 28 2 78 21
District Totals	141	49	190	1	1	2	5	1	6	11	1	12	210
District 2													
Beaufort Hyde Martin Tyrrell Washington	38 3 37 4 20	32 3 19 2 8	70 6 56 6 28	2 0 0 1	9 1 2 1 1	11 1 2 1 2	13 0 0 0 0	4 1 6 0 0	17 1 6 0 0	28 0 5 2 2	16 4 1 1 2	44 4 6 3 4	142 12 70 10 34
District Totals	102	64	166	3	14	17	13	11	24	37	24	61	268
District 3 Carteret Craven Pamlico Pitt	44 103 11 150	16 77 14 49	60 180 25 199	4 18 0 22	3 15 2 16	7 33 2 38	7 14 1 35	0 8 0 17	7 22 1 52	11 47 1 29	2 8 1 10	13 55 2 39	87 290 30 328
District Totals	308	156	464	44	36	80	57	25	82	. 88	21	109	735
<u>District 4</u> Duplin Jones Onslow Sampson	0 4 153 71	6 15 40 27	6 19 193 98	0 4 4 0	1 1 1 2	1 5 5 2	0 1 10 24	1 1 0 4	1 2 10 28	0 0 40	1 4 1	1 4 41	9 30 249
District Totals	228	88	316	. 8	5	13	35	4 6	41	17 57	17 23	34 80	162 450
District 5 New Hanover	489	17	506	31	1	32	46	0	46	97	1	98	682
Pender District Totals	50 539	5 22	55 561	1 32	0 1	1 33	8 54	1 1	9	16	0	16	81
District 6			501	JL	1	33	54	1	55	113	1	114	763
Bertie Halifax Hertford Northampton	30 70 20 11	6 53 69 7	36 123 89 18	0 2 1 0	0 22 4 11	0 24 5 11	0 21 1 7	1 15 3 2	1 36 4 9	2 12 5 2	3 6 13 2	5 18 18 4	42 201 116 42
District Totals	131	135	266	3	37	40	29	21	50	21	24	45	401
<u>District 7</u> Edgecombe Nash Wilson	189 188 115	52 29 18	241 217 133	24 16 17	17 6 0	41 22 17	30 34 20	4 4 1	34 38 21	51 38 28	8 5 0	59 43 28	375 320 199
District Totals	492	99	591	57	23	80	84	9	93	117	13	130	894
District 8	1 - F												
Greene Lenoir Wayne	21 113 169	9 61 63	30 174 232	2 8 20	4 10 27	6 18 47	2 4 70	0 3 24	2 7 94	8 20 118	4 23 38	12 43 156	50 242 529
District Totals	303	133	436	30	41	71	76	27	103	146	65	211	821
District 9 Franklin Granville Person Vance Warren District Totals	21 22 33 58 1 135	6 5 8 15 7 41	27 27 41 73 8	5 5 2 11 5	3 8 4 15 4	8 13 6 26 9	2 5 0 4 0	4 1 0 2 0	6 6 0 6 0	8 3 9 6 9	2 1 3 1 2	10 4 12 7 11	51 50 59 112 28
District 10	120	41 .	176	28	34	62	11	7	18	35	9	44	300
Wake	413	41	454	47	16	63	47	3	50	44	7	51	618

### ADJUDICATORY HEARINGS FOR JUVENILE CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS

•	July	1,	197	8-J	une	30,	1979	

	Delín	auency Hea	cy Hearings Undisciplined Hearin			rines	Dene	ndency Hear	rings	Neg	Total		
District 11		Dismissed	Total	Retained		Total		Dismissed	Toist	Fetained 1		Total	Hearings
Harnett Johnston Lee	145 61 88	91 118 25	236 179 113	32 7 26	34 35 13	66 42 39	25 46 129	19 79 14	44 125 143	119 30 34	34 42 4	153 72 38	499 418 333
District Totals	294	234	528	65	82	147	200	112	312	183	80	263	1,250
District 12													
Cumberland Hoke	325 21	89 4	414 25	114 0	40 2	154 2	322 9	13 0	335 9	102 6	6 1	108 7	1,011 43
District Totals	346	93	439	114	42	156	331	13	344	108	7	115	1,054
District 13													
Bladen Brunswick Columbus	22 8 118	17 11 17	39 19 135	10 7 10	7 4 13	17 11 23	1 3 13	0 3 5	1 6 18	21 2 30	6 25 15	27 27 45	84 63 221
District Totals	148	45	193	27	24	51	17	8	25	53	46	99	368
District 14													
Durham	165	121	286	40	47	87	116	2	118	29	5	34	525
District 15A													
Alamance	65	12	77	37	2	39	22	4	26	33	4	37	179
District 15B									11	_		-	70
Chatham Orange	32 97	11 41	43 138	5 23	8 10	13 33	5 31	5 9	10 40	5 24	2 14	7 38	73 249
District Totals	129	52	<b>\81</b>	28	18	46	36	14	50	29	16	45	322
District 16													
Robeson Scotland	293 90	41 8	334 98	54 29	1 9	55 38	49 30	7 2	56 32	46 22	11 1	57 23	502 191
District Totals	383	49	432	83	10	93	79	9	88	68	12	80	693
District 17													
Caswell Rockingham	4 145	7 59	11 204	10 33	14 15 3	24 48 16	3 10 5	3 2 4	6 12 9	7 41 1	18 23 3	25 64 4	66 328 59
Stokes Surry	23 59	7 31	30 90	13 23	11	34	10	2	12	21	13	34	170
District Totals	231	104	335	79	43	122	28	11	39	70	57	127	623
District 18 Guilford	578	306	884	148	203	351	163	35	198	90	66	156	1,589
District_19A													
Cabarrus Rowan	104 133	9 55	113 188	16 49	3 30	19 79	19 117	2 52	21 169	25 193	3 41	28 234	181 670
District Totals	237	64	301	65	33	<u>98</u>	136	54	190	218	44	262	851
District 19B													
Montgomery Randolph	72 69	11 11	83 80	15 47	6 25	21 72	0 13	2 8	2 21	2 12	2 14	4 26	110 199
District Totals	141	22	163	62	31	93	13	10	23	14	16	30	309
District 20													
Anson Moore Richmond Stanly Unicn	56 136 100 375 179	11 6 68 14 79	67 142 168 389 258	0 2 5 2 16	0 6 18 5 11	0 8 23 7 27	0 9 35 15 27	0 1 5 1 22	0 10 40 16 49	5 4 39 16 146	2 2 1 41	7 6 41 17 187	74 166 272 429 521
District Totals	846	178	1,024	25	40	65	86	29	115	210	48	258	1,462

# ADJUDICATORY HEARINGS FOR JUVENILE CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

	Deli	iquency H	learings	Undisciplined Hearings			Deper	ndency He	arings	N	Total		
District 21		Dismisso			d Dismissed		Retained				Dismissed		Hearings
Forsyth	266	91	357	85	76	161	47	4	51	45	13	58	627
<u>District 22</u> Alexander Davidson Davie Iredell	13 283 34 107	15 79 27 24	28 362 61 131	3 69 18 23	2 51 15 11	5 120 33 34	3 218 1 8	0 66 0 4	3 284 1 12	6 263 39 46	2 36 8 5	8 299 47 51	44 1,065 142 228
Districe Totals	437	145	582	113	79	192	230	70	300	354	51	405	1,479
<u>District 23</u> Alleghany Ashe Wilkes Yadkin	7 4 172 50	0 13 11 13	7 17 183 63	2 6 17 8	1 7 1 0	3 13 18 8	0 4 32 26	0 1 0 9	0 5 32 35	5 4 106 51	0 0 10 12	5 4 116 63	15 39 349 169
District Totals	233	37	270	33	9	42	62	10	72	166	22	188	572
District 24 Avery Madison Mitchell Watauga Yancey	14 16 11 4 49	13 4 69 1 8	27 20 80 5 57	6 11 4 3 25	3 4 27 4 5	9 15 31 7 30	4 5 15 6 31	0 6 7 2 1	4 11 22 8 32	41 7 15 0 27	4 2 15 0 2	45 9 30 0 29	85 55 163 20 148
District Totals	94	95	189	49	43	92	61	16	77	90	23	113	471
District 25 Burke Caldwell Catawba District Totals	146 208 225 579	34 93 38 165	180 301 263 744	119 104 39 262	50 51 20 121	169 155 59 383	74 54 16 144	37 19 4 60	111 73 20 204	174 29 27 230	16 15 5 36	190 44 32 266	650 573 374 1,597
<u>District 26</u> Mecklenburg	712	364	1,076	49	51	100	102	25	127	48	14	62	1,365
<u>District 27A</u> Gaston	264	111	375	105	37	142	55	7	62	10	3	13	592
District 27B Cleveland Lincoln	159 53	68 16	227 69	29 6	10 4	39 10	13 6	0 1	13 7	31 11	3	34 12	313 ?8
District Totals	212	84	296	35	14	49	19	1	20	42	4	46	411
District 28 Buncombe	68	140	208	78	210	288	4	15	19	3	14	17	532
District 29 Henderson McDowell Polk Rutherford Transylvania District Totals	115 38 5 59 11 228	21 2 7 17 8 55	136 40 12 76 19 283	35 30 6 11 8 90	15 4 0 2 2 23	50 34 6 13 10 113	11 1 0 36 13 61	1 0 1 4 6	12 1 0 37 17 67	26 21 15 22 2 86	4 0 0 2 6	30 21 15 22 4 92	228 96 33 148 50
District 30	220	55	203	90	23	113	01	o	67	80	D.	92	555
Cherokee Clay Graham Haywood Jackson Macon Swain	1 0 1 7 2 1 2	3 2 6 21 15 5 0	4 2 7 28 17 6 2	1 0 3 1 1 8	4 1 30 13 2 10	5 1 33 14 3 18	3 0 0 0 0 1	1 0 1 0 1 0	4 1 0 1 0 1 1	3 0 1 2 2 0	0 0 3 3 1 4	3 0 4 5 3 4	16 4 8 66 36 13 25
District Totals	14	52	66	14	61	75	4	4	8	8	11	19	168
STATE TOTALS	9,462	3,447	12,909	1,939	1,507	3,446	2,427	630	3,057	2,856	786	3,642	23,654

### CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR CRIMINAL CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

			Motor Vel	ticle Cases			Non-Motor Vehicle Cases							
	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload	Disposed	% Disposed to Caseload	Pending 6/30/79	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload		% Disposed to Caseload			
<u>District 1</u> Camden Chowan	123 88	739	862 1,678	789 1,556	91.5 92.7	73 122	16 31	167 634	183 665	174 604	95.0 90.8	9 61		
Currituck Dare Gates	386 318 193	2,289 3,739 1,949	2,675 4,057	2,449 3,496	91.5 86.1	226 561	143 96	463 842	606 938	546 705	90.0 75.1	60 233		
Pasquotank Perquimans	262 109	3,388	2,142 3,650 1,366	1,910 3,421 1,246	89.1 93.7 91.2	232 229 120	30 99 31	315 1,487 318	345 1,586 349	338 1,480 288	97.9 93.3 82.5	7 106 61		
<b>Cistrict Totals</b>	1,479	14,951	16,430	14,867	90.4	1,563	446	4,226	4,672	4,135	88.5	537		
<u>District 2</u> Beaufort	411	6,904	7,315	6,840	93.5	475	120	2,468	2,588	2,430	93.8	158		
Hyde Martin Tyrrell	45 348 24	434 3,461 467	479 3,809 491	457 3,590 460	95.4 94.2	22 219	10 120	362 1,580	372 1,700	345 1,595	92.7 93.8	27 105		
Washington	б8	1,432	1,500	1,449	93.6 96.6	31 51	8 29	197 696	205 725	181 702	88.2 96.8	24 23		
District Totals	896	12,698	13,594	12,796	94.1	798	287	5,303	5,590	5,253	93.9	337		
<u>District 3</u> Carteret	990	6,292	7;282	6,122	84.0	1,160	666	3,374	4,040	3,106	76.8	934		
Craven Pamlico	960 89	12,962 1,065	13,922 1,154	12,728 1,101	91.4 95.4	1,194 53	339 32	4,256 439	4,595 471	4,164 460	90.6 97.6	431 11		
Pitt District Totals	1,291 3,330	11,317 31,636	12,608 34,966	11,375	90.2 89.5	1,233 3,640	964 2,001	7,092 15,161	8,056 17,162	6,880 14,610	85.4 85.1	1,176 2,552		
District 4							-,				0011	2,004		
Duplin Jones	629 188	6,185 1,534	6,814 1,722	6,076 1,572	89.1 91.2	738 150	303 49	2,301 565	2,604 613	2,143	82.2 94.9	461 31		
Onslow Sampson	1,821 1,427	16,813	18,634 12,179	16,482	88.4 89.1	2,152	804 547	7,711 2,772	8,515 3,319	7,558	88.7 77.7	957 740		
District Totals	4,065	35,284	39,349	34,982	88.9	4,367	1,702	13,349	15,051	12,862	85.4	2,189		
District 5														
New Hanover Pender	1,182 499	13,681 3,717	14,863 4,216	13,444 3,706	90.4 87.9	1,419 510	959 139	9,054 1,055	10,013 1,194	8,609 1,048	85.9 87.7	1,404 146		
District Totals	1,681	17,398	19,079	17,150	89.8	1,929	1,098	10,109	11,207	9,657	86.1	1,530		
<u>District 6</u> Bertie	324	3,699	4,023	3,690	91.7	333	84	520	904	75*	83.0	153		
Halifax Hertford	872 519	11,660 4,602	12,532 5,121	11,218 4,497	89.5 87.8	1,314	297 157	3,512 1,318	3,809	3,335 1,311	87.5 88.8	474 164		
Northampton District Totals	428 2,143	6,234 26,195	6,662 28,338	6,225 25,630	93.4 90.4	437 2,708	27 565	624 6,274	651 6,839	619 6,016	95.0 87.9	32 823		
District 7		,150	20,000	00,000	500.1	2,700	50,5	0,274	0,005	0,010	0715	023		
Edgecombe Nash	524 926	5,136 8,556	5,660 9,482	5,197 8,479	91.8 89.4	463 1,003	607 484	4,778	5,385	4,875	90.5 89.1	509 580		
Wilson	878	9,061	9,939	8,695	87.4	1,243	460	4,863 4,472	5,347 4,932	4,767 4,112	83.3	820		
District Totals	2,328	22,753	25,081	22,372	89.1	2,709	1,551	14,113	15,664	13,755	87.8	1,909		
<u>District 8</u> Greene	105	1,652	1,757	.00	91.0	157	56	794	850	793	93.2	57		
Lenoir Wayne	635 1,345	9,112 13,681	9,747 15,026	ч,063 13,599	92.9 90.5	684 1,427	334 629	4,877 6,220	5,211 6,849	4,894 6,098	93.9 89.0	317 751		
District Totals	2,085	24,445	26,530	24,262	91.4	2,268	1,619	11,891	12,910	11,785	91.2	1,125		
District 9	965	4 151	A 410	4 010	01.0	207			1 500	1 270	06 F	010		
Franklin Granville Person Vance	265 345 359 359	4,151 4,352 3,393 4,605	4,416 4,697 3,752 4,964	4,019 4,273 3,381 4,452	91.0 90.9 90.1 89.6	397 424 371 512	85 96 159 262	1,497 1,719 1,669 2,540	1,582 1,815 1,828 2,802	1,370 1,707 1,625 2,550	86.5 94.0 88.8 91.0	212 108 203 252		
Warren District Totals	270 1,598	2,556	2,826	2,340	82.8	486	185	929	1,114	885	79.4	229		
District 10tars	1,000	19,057	20,655	18,465	89.3	2,190	787	8,354	9,141	8,137	89.0	1,004		
Wake	5,580	47,911	53,491	46,789	87.4	6,702	3,366	24,778	28,144	23,775	84.4	4,369		
### CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR CRIMINAL CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS

July	1,	1978	une	30,	1979
------	----	------	-----	-----	------

			Motor Vel	nicle Cases				N	on-Motor V	ehicle Case	s	<u></u>	
District 11	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload		% Disposed to Caseload	Pending 6/30/79	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload		% Disposed to Caseload		
Harnett Johnston	611 1,381	7,958 13,864	8,569 15,245	7,763 13,471	88.3	806 1,774	343 433	3,251 3,375	3,594 3,808	3,203 3,188	89.1 83.7	391 620	
Lee District Totals	328 2,320	4,126 25,948	4,454 28,268	3,968 25,202		486 3,066	338 1,114	3,905 10,531	4,243 11,645	3,913 10,304	92.2 88.4	330 1,341	
District 12													
Cumberland Hoke	4,700 461	38,205 3,635	42,905 4,096	38,690 3,735	90.1 91.1	4,215 361	2,456 110	22,491 1,477	24,947 1,587	22,418 1,250	89.8 78.7	2,529 337	
District Totals	5,161	41,840	47,001	42,425	90.2	4,576	2,566	23,968	26,534	23,668	89.1	2,866	
<u>District 13</u> Bladen	915	6,795	7,710	6,831	88,5	879	304	2,379	2,683	2,413	89.9	270	
Brunswick Columbus	435 1,039	4,600 9,503	5,035 10,542	4,553	90.4 88.5	482 1,204	153 557	1,929 3,750	2,082 2,082 4,307	1,809 3,767	86.8 87.4	273 540	
District Totals	2,389	20,898	23,287	20,722	88.9	2,565	1,014	8,058	9,072	7,989	88.0	1,083	
<u>District 14</u> Durham	2,388	18,741	21,129	18,483	87.4	2,646	1,427	12,174	12 601	11,720	05 1	1,881	
District 15A	2,300	10,741	21,129	10,400	07.4	2,040	1,427	1691/4	13,001	11,720	86.1	1,001	
Alamance	1,084	11,716	12,300	11,694	¥1.3	1,106	403	4,702	5,105	4,616	90.4	489	
District 15B													
Chatham Orange	292 1,607	5,412 10,240	5,704 11,847	5,338 10,521	93.5 88.8	366 1,326	72 480	224, 1 3,243	1,296 3,723	1,126 3,379	91.5 90.7	110 344	
District Totals	1,899	15,652	17,551	15,859	90,3	1,692	552	4,467	5,019	4,565	90.9	454	
District 16 Robeson	1,650	17,532	19,182	17,405	90.7	1,777	895	8,787	9,682	8,737	90.2	945	
Scotland	523	4,948	5,471	5,161	94.3	310	479	3,074	3,553	2,894	81.4	659	
District Totals	2,173	22,480	24,653	22,566	91.5	2,087	1,374	11,861	13,235	11,631	87.8	1,604	
District 17 Caswell Rockingham Stokes Surry	308 1,163 362 880	2,164 9,601 3,541 6,200	2,472 10,764 3,903 7,080	2,211 9,607 3,537 6,449	89.4 89.2 90.6 91.0	261 1,157 366 631	51 565 83 518	769 5,052 1,038 3,298	820 5,617 1,121 3,816	697 5,014 1,003 3,311	85.0 89.2 89.4 86.7	123 603 118 505	
District Totals	2,713	21,506	24,219	21,804	90.0	2,415	1,217	10,157	11,374	10,025	88.1	1,349	
<u>District 18</u> Guilford	6,167	42,710	48,877	41,995	85.9	6,882	4,644	19,461	24,105	19,618	81.3	4,487	
<u>District 19A</u>													
Cabarrus Rowan	1,089 975	12,624 10,889	13,713 11,864	12,238 10,857	89.2 91.5	1,475 1,007	391 389	3,765 4,446	4,156 4,835	3,872 4,477	93.1 92.5	284 358	
District Totals	2,064	23,513	25,577	23,095	90.2	2,482	780	8,211	8,991	8,349	92.8	642	
District 19B	401	4 400	4 001	4 070	00.7	540		1 076	0 100	1 760		400	
Montgomery Randolph	401 845	4,420 9,086	4,821 9,931	4,278 9,025	88.7 90.8	543 906	310 287	1,876 2,865	2,186 3,152	1,763 2,913	80.6 92.4	423 239	
District Totals	1,246	13,506	14,752	13,303	90.1	1,449	597	4,741	5,338	4,676	87.5	662	
<u>District 20</u> Anson Moore Richmond Stanly	417 502 632 746	4,429 6,301 5,877	4,846 6,803 6,509	4,529 6,357 5,703	93.4 93.4 87.6	317 446 806 731	136 279 370	1,427 3,509 3,037	1,563 3,788 3,407	1,456 3,422 2,965	93.1 90.3 87.0	107 366 442	
Union	662	6,641 7,089	7,390 7,751	6,659 7,179	90.1 92.6	731 572	431 285	2,184 3,200	2,615 3,485	2,033 3,159	77.7 90.6	582 326	
District Totals	2,959	30,340	33,299	30,427	51-3	2,872	1,501	13,357	14,858	13,035	87.7	1,823	

### CASELOAD SUMMARIES FOR CRIMINAL CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

	·····		Motor Vel	<u>ticle Cases</u>				N	on-Motor V	ehicl - Case	5	
	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total Caseload	Disnosod	% Disposed to Caseload	Pending 6/30/79	Pending 7/1/78	Filed	Total		% Disposed	Pending
District 21	,,,,,,	i neu	Casciona	Dishosed	to Caseloau	0/30/17	//1//0	rneu	Caseload	Disposed	to Caseload	6/30/79
Forsyth	3,696	38,178	41,874	37,358	89.2	4,516	2,113	13,563	15,676	13,628	86.9	2,048
District 22												
Alexander Davidson	178 1,188	1,888	2,066	1,927	93.2	139	99	1,002	1,101	1,022	92.8	79
Davie	391	13,298 4,821	14,486 5,212	13,065 4,573	90.1 87.7	1,421 639	689 64	5,338 970	6,027 1,034	5,160 852	85.6 82.3	867 182
Iredell District Totals	916	10,254	11,170	10,131	90.6	1,039	356	4,759	5,115	4,576	89.4	539
	2,673	30,261	32,934	29,696	90.1	3,238	1,208	12,069	13,277	11,610	87.4	1,667
District 23 Alleghany	40	760	800	710	00.1	06		005			10 T	
Ashe	40 141	769 1,786	809 1,927	713 1,781	88.1 92.4	96 146	17 68	295 931	· 312 999	280 942	39.7 94.2	32 57
Wilkes Yadkin	831 172	6,528 2,902	7,359 3,074	6,697 2,831	91.0 92.0	662 243	330 82	2,984 1,209	3,314 1,291	2,927 1,213	88.3 93.9	387 78
District Totals	1,184	11,985	13,169	12,022	91.2	1,147	497	5,419	5,916	5,362	90.6	554
District 24												
Avery	122	1,817	1,939	1,651	85.1	288	111	589	700	599	85.5	101
Madison Mitchell	281 103	2,500 1,296	2,781 1,399	2,536 1,145	91.1 81.8	245 254	67 40	356 335	423 375	336 322	79.4 85.8	87 53
Watauga Yancey	479 113	4,092 1,543	4,571 1,656	4,120 1,467	90.1 88.5	451 189	206 54	1,108	1,314 606	947 548	72.0	367
District Totals	1,098	11,248	12,346	10,919	88.4	1,427	478	2,940	3,418	2,752	90.4 80.5	58 666
District 25	•	•						-,	-,	-,		
Burke	965	9,696	10,661	9,688	90.8	973	260	2,960	3,220	3,013	93.5	207
Caldwell Catawba	641 1,346	7,379 12,983	8,020 14,329	7,364 13,144	91.8 91.7	656 1,185	456 648	3,019 6,347	3,475 6,995	3,062 6,332	88.1 90.5	413 663
District Totals	2,952	30,058	33,010	30,196	91.4	2,814	1,364	12,326	13,690	12,407	90.6	1,283
District 26												
Mecklenburg	10,079	48,764	58,843	46,766	79.4	12,077	6,438	20,677	27,115	18,501	68.2	8,614
District 27A												
Gaston	2,057	14,992	17,049	15,595	91.4	1,454	1,485	12,536	14,021	12,541	89.4	1,480
District 27B												
Cleveland	1,094	10,336	11,430	10,271	89.8	1,159	597	4,562	5,159	4,579	88.7	580
Lincoln District Totals	382 1,476	4,543 14,879	4,925 16,355	4,448 14,719	90.3 89.9	477 1,636	276 873	1,911 6,473	2,187 7,346	1,876 6,455	85.7 87.8	.311 891
	2,00	11,075	10,000	11,715	00.0	1,000	010	0,470	7,040	0,400	07.0	051
District 28 Buncombe	1,424	16,261	17,685	16,204	91.6	1,481	818	10,502	11,320	10,102	89.2	1,218
District 29	-,					-,	010	10,001	,	,	0011	.,
Henderson	1,084	6,794	7,878	6,780	86.0	1,098	393	3,369	3,762	3,270	86.9	492
McDowell Polk	489 351	5,479 2,351	5,968 2,702	5,130 2,428	85.9 89.8	838 274	227 124	1,426 661	1,653 785	1,260 656	76.2 83.5	393 129
Rutherford	455	3,827	4,282	3,881	90.6	401	234	2,393	2,627	2,265	86.2	362
Transylvania District Totals	341 2,720	2,382 20,833	2,723 23,553	2,351 20,570	86.3 87,3	372 2,983	132 1,110	917 8,766	1,049 9,876	873 8,324	83.2 84.2	176 1,552
	-,,	10,000	20,000	20,070	07,0	2,505	1,110	0,700	5,0/0	0,524	04.2	1,002
<u>District 30</u> Cherokee	186	2,398	2,584	2,305	89.2	279	94	674	768	631	82.1	137
Clay	57	585	642	579	90.1	63	42	249	291	262	90.0	29
Graham Haywood	82 673	457 6,274	539 6,947	468 6,115	86.8 88.0	71 832	49 572	279 2,753	328 3,325	281 2,417	85.6 72.6	47 908
Jackson Macon	358 190	2,744 3,114	3,102 3,304	2,842 3,028	91.6 91.6	260 276	135 149	629 630	764 779	644 574	84.2 73.6	120 205
Swain	98	2,018	2,116	1,869	88.3	247	101	561	662	502	75.8	160
District Totals	1,644	17,590	19,234	17,206	89.4	2,028	1,142	5,775	6,917	5,311	76.7	1,606
STATE YOTALS	88,751	796,227	884,978	787,465	88.9	97,513	47,537	356,292	403,829	347,174	85.9	56,655



IN TERMS OF VOLUME OF CASES, THE BULK IS LOCATED IN THE CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURTS OF NORTH CAROLINA. CASES LEFT PENDING AT THE END OF A YEAR ARE SMALL IN NUMBER COMPARED TO THE NUMBER OF FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS THAT OCCUR.

MOTOR VEHICLE VS. NON-MOTOR VEHICLE CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS----1978-79



TRAFFIC CASES REPRESENT THE MAJORITY OF CRIMINAL CASES HANDLED BY THE DISTRICT COURTS, IN FACT, THEY HOLD A GREATER THAN 2 TO 1 MARGIN OVER OTHER DISTRICT COURT CRIMINAL FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS.

## MANNER OF DISPOSITION OF MOTOR VEHICLE (MV) AND NON-MOTOR VEHICLE (N-MV) CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

			W	aiver	Guilty	Plea	Not Gu	ilty Plea			Speedy**		
		Total	Magis-			Magis-	ludaa	Magis- trate*		Dismissal		Other	% Disposed By Waiver
District 1		Disposed	trate	Clerk	Judge	trate*	Judge	trate	Hearing	by D.A.	D131113541	Other	by maner
Camden	MV	789	31	464	141	-	55	-	1	50	0	47	62.7
Chowan	N-MV MV N-MV	174 1,556 604	3 134 47	0 898	39 327 176	47 51	17 85 85	0 - 0	22 3 43	27 27 80	0 0 0	19 82 118	1.7 66.3 8.4
Currituck	MV N-MV	2,449	117 5	1,425 4	456 93	141	133 73	- 0	4 40	42 55	Ŏ	272 135	63.0 1.6
Dare	MV N-MV	3,496 705	183 18	1,987 12	711 178	116	120 75	4	17 45	300 165	0	178 92	62.1 4.3
Gates	MV N-MV	1,910	93 59	1,376 18	257 64	78	113 30	1	3 44	45 14	0 0	23 30	76.9 22.8
Pasquotank	MV N-MV	3,421 1,480	587 106	1,644 86	768 539	142	172 224		6 144	129 167	0	115 72	65.2 13.0
Perquimans	MV N-MV	1,246 288	27 3	877 1	189 72	42	60 62	ō	1 41	78 27	0	14 40	72.6 1.4
District Totals	MV N-MV	14,867 4,135	1,172 241	8,671 125	2,849 1,161	- 617	738 566	- 5	35 379	671 535	0 0	731 506	66.2 8.9
<u>District 2</u>													
Beaufort	MV N-MV	6,840 2,430	2,404 337	2,150 108	1,041 676	199	466 371	2	135 193	541 196	0	103 348	66.6 18.3
Hyde	MV N-MV	457 345	84 7	189 25	73 52	- 86	71 58	ō	1 34	13 40	0	26 43	59.7 9.3
Martin	MV N-MV	3,590 1,595	484 160	1,538 176	911 560	39	367 190	-	9 80	146 127	õ	135 262	56.3 21.1
Tyrrell	MV	460	47	292	47	-	37	- 0	1	12	Ŏ	24	73.7 13.8
Washington	N-MV MV N-MV	181 1,449 702	17 471 141	8 481 55	38 226 172	34 	46 169 162	- 3	9 1 50	18 82 67	0	11 19 28	65.7 27.9
District Totals	MV N-MV	12,796 5,253	3,490 662	4,650 372	2,298 1,498	382	1,110 827	- 6	147 366	794 448	0 0	307 692	63.6 19.7
District 3													
Carteret	MV	5,122	945	2,383	1,616	-	131	÷	5	547	0	495	54.4
Craven	N-MV MV	3,105 12,728	116 2,664	104 5,233	813 3,126	359	167 644	4	79 22	890 1,019	Õ	574 20	7.1 62.0
Pamlico	N-MV MV	4,164 1,101	899 129	81 354	976 373	294	527 71	3	554 43	715 101	ა 0	115 30	23.5 43.9
Pitt	N-MV MV	460 11,375	11 2,649	11 4,018	103 3,101	96	55 609	1 -	51 38	111 902	0	21 58	4.8 58.6
District Totals	N-MV MV	6,880	1,437	732 11,988	2,124 8,216	229	843	1	491	883 2,569	3 0	137 603	31.5 58.7
	N-MV	31,326 14,610	6,387 2,463	928	4,016	978	1,455 1,592	9	108 1,175	2,509	3	847	23.2
District 4		c 075	1 075	0.000	1 700		- 7		-		•	105	<b>50 0</b>
Duplin	MV N-MV	6,076 2,143	1,275 455	2,269 301	1,708 458	23	57 57	ō	7 100	575 388	0	185 361	58.3 35.3
Jones	MV N-MV	1,572 582	144 29	738 10	381 161	44	38 41	ō	0 63	204 221	0	67 13	56.1 6.7
Onslow	MV N-MV	16,482 7,558	3,845 815	5,000 373	4,961 2,566	292	285 400	ō	0 202	2,170 1,743	0	221 1,167	53.7 15.7
Sampson	MV N-MV	10,852 2,579	1,177 549	5,311 292	3,070 740	59	106 49	8	14 89	599 571	Ŭ O	575 222	59.8 32.6
District Totals	MV N-MV	34,982 12,862	6,441 1,848	13,318 976	10,120 3,925	418	486 547	- 8	21 454	3,548 2,923	0 0	1,048 1,763	56.5 22.0
District 5													
New Hanover	MV	13,444	5,356	1,514	3,183	-	1,377	-	160	1,758	0	96	51.1
Pender	N-MV MV	8,609 3,706	665 273	332 1,713	2,972 910	482	1,262 229	0	944 1	1,511 462	0	441 118	11.6 53.6
District Totals	N-MV MV	1,048 17,150	3 5 629	0 3,227	296	270	121 1,606	9	82 161	144 2 220	0 0	123 214	.3
Statilet Jotata	N-MV	9,657	5,629 668	3,227	4,093 3,268	752	1,383	9	161 1,026	2,220 1,655	0	564	51.6 10.4

\*This type of disposition cannot occur for motor vehicle cases. \*\*The data in this disposition category is for the six-month period from January 1, 1979—June 30, 1979. Before January 1, 1979, data on this type of disposition was not available.

## MANNER OF DISPOSITION OF MOTOR VEHICLE (MV) AND NON-MOTOR VEHICLE (N-MV) CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

.

			<u>W</u>	aiver	Guilty	Plea	Not Gu	ilty Plea			Speedy**		
		Total Disposed	Magis- trate	Clerk	Judge	Magis- trate*	Judge	Magis- trate*	Prelim. Hearing	Dismissal by D.A.	Triai Dismissal	Other	% Disposed By Waiver
<u>District 6</u> Bertie	MV	3,690	529	2,039	564	-	121	-	21	132	0	284	69.6
lialifax	N-MV MV	751 11,218	66 1,950	46 4,010	158 2,629	98	136 325	2	52 27	88	ŏ	105 1,184	14.9 53.1
Hertford	N-MV MV	3,335	228 821	48	764 750	517	458 262	11	321 1)	694 379	ŏ	294 50	8.3 67.7
Northampton	N-MV MV	1,311 6,225	387 597	2,979	231 938	57	188 146	16	63 25	197 431	Ŭ 0	145 1,109	31.6
	N-MV	619	82	40	145	69	74	1	39	98	Ö	71	57.4 19.7
District Totals	MV N-MV	25,630 6,016	3,897 763	11,252 161	4,881 1,298	741	854 856	30	84 475	2,035 1,077	0 0	2,627 615	59.1 15.4
<u>District 7</u>													
Edgecombe	MV N-MV	5,197	1,559	1,785	1,027	-	215	-	12	588	Q	11	64.3
Nash	MV	4,876 8,479	714 2,021	286 3,443	1,423 1,459	413	630 326	6	352 24	783 1,191	0	269 15	20.5 64.4
Wilson	N-MV MV	4,767 8,696	977 3,157	350 3,151	1,066 1,116	355	475 287	0	512 40	801 599	0	231 346	27.8 72.5
District Totals	N-MV MV	4,112 22,372	629 6,737	128 8,379	1,032 3,602	187	335 828	8	373 76	587 2,378	3 0	830 372	18.4 67.6
	N-MV	13,755	2,320	764	3,521	955	1,440	14	1,237	2,171	3	1,330	22.4
<u>District 8</u>													
Greene	MV N-MV	1,600 793	445 79	568 9	373 212	- 59	36 103	- 0	0 92	70 187	0 0	108 52	63.3 11.1
Lenoir	MV N-MV	9,063 4,894	378 119	4,804 2	2,114 1,676	587	249 552	2	63 387	1,013 1,250	0 0	442 319	57.2
Wayne	MV N-MV	13,599 6,098	1,631 565	6,898 783	2,753	221	386 480	-	53 294	1,772	Ŏ	106 381	62.7 22.1
District Totals	MV N-MV	24,262	2,454 763	12,270 794	5,240	867	671	- 3	116	2,855	0	656 752	60.7
District 9	14-1.14	11,785	703	754	3,599	007	1,135	3	773	3,099	U	752	13.2
<u>District 9</u> Franklin	MV	4,019	1,191	1,036	1,113	-	302	-	9	352	0	16	55.4
Granville	N-MV MV	1,370 4,273	304 1,351	34 1,149	354 1,119	66	210 166	0	134 5	234 446	0	34 37	24.7 58.5
Person	N-MV MV	1,707 3,381	314 1,316	116 443	608 1,095	143	211 240	2	97 2	164 255	ŭ 0	52 30	25.2 52.0
Vance	N-MV MV	1,625	119	15	516	215	369	0	107	233	Ó	51	8.2
	N~MV	4,452 2,550	1,524 437	1,121	858 739	39	245 338	12	18 167	397 334	0	289 351	59.4 22.4
Warren	MV N-MV	2,340 885	404 84	945 32	612 249	102	114 172	2	1 33	176 136	0 Ö	88 75	57.6 13.1
District Totals	MV N-MV	18,465 8,137	5,786 1,258	4,694 330	4,797 2,466	565	1,067 1,300	16	35 538	1,625 1,101	0	460 563	56.8 19.5
District 10													
Wake	MV N-MV	46,789 23,775	1,661 681	25,011 5,202	9,638 7,625	1,611	3,156 2,079	ō	68 912	7,139 4,247	0 0	116 1,418	57.0 24.7
<u>District 11</u>													
Harnett	MV N-MV	7,763 3,203	1,982 368	2,472 235	1,901 880	256	319 306	6	14 125	775 705	0 0	300 322	57.4 18.8
Johnston	MV	13,471	2,003	5,248	2,997	-	640	-	19	1,462	0	1,102	53.8
Lee	N-MV MV	3,188 3,968	419 1,587	248 973	1,105 962	51	441	7	178	487 284	2	250	20.9 64.5
District Totals	N-MV MV	3,913 25,202	998 5,572	118 8,693	1,342 5,860	7 -	347 1,104	0	263 39	501 2,521	0 0	337 1,413	28.5 56.6
	N-MV	10,304	1,785	601	3,327	314	1,094	13	566	1,693	2	909	23.2
District 12													<b></b>
Cumberland	MV N-MV	38,690 22,418	2,144 675	19,999 3,355	8,128 4,456	684	2,202 1,740	-7	75 147	5,703 5,209	0 0	439 6,145	57.2 18.0
Hoke	MV N-MV	3,735	367 103	1,844 133	964 403	7	149 202	ō	3 38	255 297	0 0	153 67	59.2 18.9
District Totals	MV N-MV	42,425 23,668	2,511 778	21,843 3,488	9,092 4,859	691	2,351 1,942	-7	78 185	5,958 5,506	0	592 6,212	57.4 18.0

\*This type of disposition cannot occur for motor vehicle cases. \*\*The data in this disposition category is for the six-month period from January 1, 1979—June 30, 1979. Before January 1, 1979, data on this type of disposition was not available.

## MANNER OF DISPOSITION OF MOTOR VEHICLE (MV) AND NON-MOTOR VEHICLE (N-MV) CASES IN THE DISTRICT COLIRTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

			, i	Vaiver	Guilt	y Plea	Not Gu	ilty Plea			Speedy **		
		Total	Magis			Magis-		Magis-		Dismissa	Trial	<b>O</b> .1	% Disposed
District 13		Disposed	trate	Clerk	Judge	trate*	Judge	trate*	Hearing	by D.A.	Dismissal	Other	By Waiver
Bladen	MV N-MV	6,831 2,413	884 106	3,200 138	1,640 814	391	131 269	-1	- 7 92	872 473	- 0 0	97 129	59.8 10.1
Brunswick	MV N-MV	4,553 1,809	1,655 150	1,028	1,110 411	189	151 180	- 0	3	279	0	327	58.9
Columbus	MV N-MV	9,338 3,767	862 252	3,889 615	1,642	534	1,244	ō	193 9 157	376 1,355 578	0 0 0	293 337 228	9.2 50.9 23.0
District Totals	MV N-MV	20,722 7,989	3,401 508	8,117 770	4,392 2,272	1,114	1,526 805	-1	19 442	2,506 1,427	0 Q	761 650	55.6 16.0
District 14			,										
Dırbam	MV N-MV	18,483 11,720	354 795	10,285 1,086	4,589 5,140	99	728 1,232	ī	24 658	2,127 2,356	0	376 343	57.6 16.0
District 15A										<b>.</b> .			
Alamance	MV N-MV	11,694 4,615	2,674 485	4,101 19	2,820 1,673	249	817 716	0	14 309	806 931	0 0	462 234	57.9 10.9
District 15B													1
Chatham	MV N-MV	5,338 1,186	758 98	2,109 40	1,877 378	161	170 113	ō	0 81	374 251	0	50 64	53.7 11.6
Orange	MV N-MV	10,521 3,579	2,242 435	3,662 88	2,623	134	490 394	-1	15 312	656 797	ů O	833 262	56.1 15.5
District Totals	MV N-MV	15,859 4,565	3,000 533	5,771 128	4,500 1,334	295	660 507	-	15 393	1,030 1,048	0	820 326	55.3 14.5
District 16													
Robeson	MV	17,405	4,540	4,816	4,398	-	494	-	17	330	0	2,810	53.8
Scotlard	N-MV MV N-MV	8,737 5,161 2,894	1,371 1,864 425	210 1,548 71	3,274 1,051 892	351 - 282	811 110 354	1 16	477 0 113	315 151 223	0 0 0	1,927 437 518	18.1 65.1 17.1
District Totals	MV N-MV	22,566 11,631	6,404 1,796	6,364 281	5,449 4,166	633	604 1,165	17	17 590	481 538	0 0	3,247 2,445	56.6 17.9
District 17													
Caswell	MV N-MV	2,211 697	637 60	708 2	500 178	- 93	108	-	2	206	0	.50	60.8
Rockingham	MV	9,607	3,070	3,069	2,008	-	126	1	33 9	79 778	0	125 120	8.9 63.9
Stokes	N-MV MV	5,014 3,537	630 572	55 1,761	1,454 320	339	822 508	0 -	173 0	805 343	0 0	73L 33	13.7 66.0
Surry	N-MV MV	1,003 6,449	105 3,006	25 708	151 1,408	230	160 273	0.	40 6	116 631	- 0 0	176 417	13.0 57.6
District Tatala	N-MV	3,311	307	64	834	149	452	1	287	544	0	673	11.2
District Totals	MV N-MV	21,804 10,025	7,285 1,102	6,246 146	4,236 2,617	811	1,442 1,560	2	17 533	1,958 1,544	0	ზ20 1,710	62.1 12.4
District 18													
Guilford	MV N-MV	41,995 19,518	3,162 264	22 213 407	8,970 8,300	1,444	2,283 2,111	75	43 527	4,845 4,644	0	479 1,846	60.4 3.4
<u>District 19A</u>													
Cabarrus	MV N-MV	12,238 3,872	3,579 288	5,125 98	1,420 1,145	350	808 814	-	9	1,207	0	90	71.1
Rowan	MV N-MV	10,857 4,477	1,872 254	5,472 209	1,455 1,198	266	782 747	2 - 7	467 6 421	573 506 582	1 0 26	134 764 793	10.0 67.6 10.3
District Totals	MV N-MV	23,095 8,349	5,451 542	10 <sub>1</sub> 597 307	2,875 2,343	616	1,590 1,561	9	15 888	1,713 1,155	0 1	854 927	69.5 10.2
District 19B													
Montgomery	MV N-MV	4,278 1,763	3,112	1	683 204	-	216	-	5	248	Ő	13	72.8
Randolph	MV N-MV	9,025 2,913	253 1,979 584	4,427 1	304 1,394 747	56 - 141	207 500 341	0 - 0	143 3 236	335 611 694	0 0 0	464 111 169	14.4 71.0 20.1
District Totals	MV N-MV	13,303 4,676	5,091 837	4,428 2	2,077 1,051	- 197	716 548	ō	8 379	859 1,029	0	124 633	71.6 17.9

\*This type of disposition cannot occur for motor vehicle cases. \*\*The data in this disposition category is for the six-month period from January 1, 1979—June 30, 1979. Before January 1, 1979, data on this type of disposition was not available.

## MANNER OF DISPOSITION OF MOTOR VEHICLE (MV) AND NON-MOTOR VEHICLE (N-MV) CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

		Total Disposed	W Magis- trate	aiver Clerk	_Guilty Judge	Plea Magis- trate*	<u>Not Gy</u> Judge	ilty Plea Magis- trate*	Prelim. Hearing	Dismissal	Speedy** Trial Dismissal	Other	% Disposed By Waiver
District 20		Disposed			- augu				erent ing	2, 2	2.00000000	0,	
Anson	MV	4,529	1,062	1,805	1,059	-	170	-	2	242	0	189	63.3
Moore	N-MV MV	1,456	53 1,475	5 1,929	401 1,970	144	220 161	2	179 12	318 352	0	134 458	4.0 53.5
Richmond	N-MV MV	3,422 5,703	607 1,408	151 1,889	720 1,436	131	239 235	1	330 24	611 248	0 0	632 463	22.2 57.8
Stanly	N-MV MV	2,965 6,659	285 1,960	37 2,039	661 1,818	178	11 41	0	479 25	760 717	0	154 59	10.9 60.1
Union	N-MV MV N-MV	2,033 7,179 3,159	358 1,828 505	20 2,642 12	755 1,656 824	104 105	75 359 504	2	148 49 430	506 244 618	0 0 0	65 401 154	18.6 62.3 16.4
District Towals	MV N-MV	30,427 13,035	7,733 1,808	10,304 225	7,939 3,361	- 662	966 1,449	12	112 1,566	1,803 2,813	0	1,570 1,139	59.3 15.6
District 21													
Forsyth	MV N-MV	37,358 13,628	54 13	23,899 2,191	5,867 4,085	119	2,666	- 5	38 1,049	4,660	0 0	174 642	64.1 16.2
District 22													
Alexander	MV	1,927	692	418	517	-	114	_	0	173	0	13	57.6
Davidson	N-MV MV	1,022 13,065	92 3,011	10 5,149	200 2,662	249	95 443	1	78 8	268 1,693	0 0	29 99	10.0 62.5
Davie	N-MV MV	5,160 4,573	244 2,988	120 403	1,690 629	343	828 101	2	352 1	1,321 354	0	260 97	7.1 74.2
Iredell	N-MV MV N-MV	852 10,131 4,576	72 4,154 561	4 2,482 19	204 1,961 1,321	30 - 399	72 389 453	2 10	55 11 462	144 1,010 1,187	0 0 0	269 124 164	8.9 65.5 12.7
District Totals	MV N-MV	29,696 11,610	10,845 969	8,452	5,769 3,415	1,021	1,047 1,448	15	20 947	3,230 2,920	0	333 722	65.0 9.7
District 23													
Alleghany	MV	713	312	106	173	-	48		6	42	0	26	58.6
Ashe	N-MV MV	280 1,781	35 312	0 686	64 459	35	39 185	- 1	12 6	54 62	0 0	40 71	12.5 56.0
Wilkes	N-MV MV	942 6,697	56 2,158	61 1,687	274 1,539	77	153 586	1	93 10	30 398	0 0	197 319	12.4 57.4
Yadkin	N-MV MV N-MV	2,927 2,831 1,213	381 793 170	41 1,010 32	682 604 299	209 - 92	540 196 182	2 0	135 2 112	579 96 151	0 0 0	358 130 175	14.4 63.7 16.7
District Totals	MV N-MV	12,022 5,362	3,575 642	3,489 134	2,775	413	1,015 914	-4	24 352	598 814	0	546 770	58.8 14.5
District 24													
Avery	MV	1,651	773	358	239	-	75		8	179	0	19	68.5
Madison	n-mv mv	599 2,536	75 260	3 1,210	97 175	55	65 52	4	71 15	180 792	0	49 32	13.0 58.0
Mitchell	N-MV MV	336 1,145	1 194	2 443	53 208	21	46 53	0	18 3	137 222	0 0	58 22	.9 55.6
Watauga	N-MV MV	322 4,120	15 729	7 1,909	87 747	34	40 148	1	22 0	76 556	0 0	40 31	6.8 64.0
Yancey	N-MV MV N-MV	947 1,467 548	149 225 9	78 659 4	162 166 63	80 215	83 71 71	26 1	47 7 55	264 328 113	0 0 0	58 11 17	24.0 60.3 2.4
District Totals	MV N-MV	10,919 2,752	2,181 249	4 ,579 94	1,535	405	399 305	32	33 213	2,077	0	115 222	61.9 12.5
District 25													
Burke	MV	9,688	1,444	4,550	2,188	-	209	_	4	1,059	0	234	61.9
Caldwell	N-MV MV	3,013 7,364	273 3,213	60 789	870 2,311	169	271 257	2	207 6	891 557	0 0	270 231	11.1 54.3
Catawba	N-MV MV N-MV	3,062 13,144 6,332	202 4,118 649	0 3,474 97	731 3,780 1,483	297 - 374	358 421 628	1	174 9 415	982 818 1,417	0 0 0	317 524 1,269	6.6 57.8 11.8
District Totals	MV N-MV	30,196 12,407	8,775 1,124	8,813 157	8,279 3,084	840	887 1,257	-3	19 796	2,434 3,290	0 0	989 1,856	58.2 10.3

\*This type of disposition cannot occur for motor vehicle cases. \*\*The data in this disposition category is for the six-month period from January 1, 1979—June 30, 1979. Before January 1, 1979, data on this type of disposition was not available.

## MANNER OF DISPOSITION OF MOTOR VEHICLE (MV) AND NON-MOTOR VEHICLE (N-MV) CASES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

				Waiver	Guilt	y Plea	Not Gi	uilty Plea			Speedy**			
		Total Disposed	Magis- l trate	- Clerk	Judge	Magis- trate*	Judge	Magis- trate*	Prelim. Hearing	Dismissal		Other	% Disposed By Waiver	
District 26		Distroser		Cicia	oudge		ouuge	trate	incaring	by DiA.	17150115541	Office	by waiver	
Mecklenburg	MV N-MV	46,766 18,501	1,427 895	24,904 151		2,206	2,701 1,600	- 1	51 1,252	7,862 6,697	1 5	206 997	56.3 5.7	
District 27A														
Gaston	MV N-MV	15,595 12,541	6,782 884	2,076 17		817	743 1,322	2	5 167	2,549 2,599	0	158 3,683	56.8 7.2	
District 27B														
Cleveland	MV	10,271	5,007	1,332		·	187	_	2	864	0	513	61.7	
Lincoln	N-MV MV N-MV	4,4-8	462 1,613 190	27 926 92	1,007	194 113	380 121 198	9	263 5 111	1,387 755 552	0 0 0	423 21 167	10.7 57.1 15.0	
District Totals	MV N-MV	14,719 6,455	6,620 652	2,258 119	3,373	- 307	308 578	- 9	7 374	1,619 1,939	0	534 590	60.3 11.9	
District 28														
Buncombe	MV N~MV	16,204 10,102	5,402 1,218	5,302 431	3,518 4,096	519	488 682	ī	38 645	1,297 1,631	0	159 879	66.1 16.3	
District 29														
Henderson	MV	6,780	2,821	1,462	993	· "	156	: . <b>_</b>	1	906	0	438	63.2	
McDowell	N∽MV MV N∽MV	3,270 5,130 1,260	4 3,310 50	36 125 3	804 904 366	743  206	191 184 12%	2	132 25 115	596 331 219	0 0 0	702 251 172	1.2 67.0	
Polk	MV N-MV	* 2,428 656	83	1,436	387	· - ·	71	-	3	66	0	382	4.2 62.6	
Rutherford	MV	3,881	1,900	5 451	205 783	36	63 260	9 -	63 12	148 164	0	121 311	1.7	
Transylvania	N-MV MV N-MV	2,265 2,351 873	171 420 36	4 1,123 53	578 412 239	44 <u>:</u> 137	395 102 80	1 21	190 2 14	366 212 188	0	119 80 105	7.7 65.6 10.2	
District Totals	MV N-MV	20,570 8,324	8,534 267	4,597 101	3,479 2,192	1,563	773 855	36	46 574	1,679	0	1,462	63.8 4.4	
District 30														
Cherokee	MV	2,305	33	1,428	384	-	15	· _	3	360	0	82	63.4	
Clay	N-MV MV	631 579	2 18	36 314	192 96	37	7 8	0 -	60 2	199 46	0 0	98 95	6.0 57.3	
Graham	N-MV MV	262 +68	0 13	0 272	33 97	87	8 20	0	35	72 59	Ŭ O	27 7	60,9	
Haywood	N-MV MV	281 6,115	3 3,293	1 1.6	50 1,268	116	13 149	7	2 11	70 676	0	19 701	1,4	
Jackson	N-MV MV N-MV	2,417 2,842	348 445	14 1,196	501 551	218	125 32	19 -	251 7	725 287	2 0	214 324	15.0 57.7	
Macon	MV	644 3,028	1 539	12 999	82 358	138	11 40	10	12	143 148	0	235 933	2.0	
Swain	N-MV MV N-MV	574 1,869 502	30 965 14	3 367 7	89 214 69	117 140	27 9 16	1 - 5	59 1 14	113 108 135	0 1 0	135 204 102	5.7 71.3 4.2	
District Totals	MV N-MV	17,206 5,311	5,306 398	4,592 73	2,978 1,016	853	273 207	42	25 433	1,684 1,457	2	2,346 830	57.5 8.9	
STATE TOTALS	MV N-MV	787,465 347,174	155,793 30,211	315,383 21,065	169,002 102,123 2	24,074	38,058 38,299	- 388	1,558 21,183	82,131 70,981	3 16	25,537 38,834	59.8 14.8	•

\*This type of disposition cannot occur for motor vehicle cases. \*\*The data in this disposition category is for the six-month period from January 1, 1979—June 30, 1979. Before January 1, 1979, data on this type of disposition was not available.



Waivers composed a major portion of district court criminal dispositions, 46% of disposed cases were waived. 26% of all dispositions were pleas of guilty, 6.8% were pleas of not guilty, 13.5% were dismissed, and 7.7% were disposed in some other way.

					Ages of	Pending C	ases (Days	)					Ag	es of Cas	es at Disp	usition (Da	145)		
District 1		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365		Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	% > 365	
Camden	MV	73	41.3	23.1	59	9	4	0	. 1		789	40.1	21.6	82.5	11.6	2.4	2.9	c	
Chowan	NMV MV NMV	9 122 61	25.2 35.0 64.1	19,2 22.0 36.3	9 100 37	0 19	0	0	0		174 1,556	24.6 25.3	12.0 18.4	89.2 92.1	8.0	1.7 2.9	1.1	6. 0.0 0.0	
Currituck	MV NMV	226	56.2	32.0	146	14 58	6 12	3 7	1 3		604 2,449	24.7 45.5	11.5 22.1	95.7 80.9	1.9 9.4	1.1 4.4	.3 3.8	$1.0 \\ 1.5$	
Dare	MV	60 561	192.6 70.3	50.5 30.8	32 384	16 77	2 25	2 71	8 4		546 3,496	85.7 28.3	22.6 13.6	76.7 90.5	7.0 4.7	1.1 3.0	4.8 1.1	10.4	
Gates	NMV MV NMV	233 232	199.6 132.7	136.0 31.8	82 148	26 19	19 9	88 28	18 28		705 1,910	42.9 39.5	17.3	85.2 90.0	9.1 5.0	1.5 1.0	1.1 1.1 1.8	3.1 2.2	
Pasquotank	MV	7 229 106	27.1 25.2 31.8	11.6 15.0 10.8	6 201 99	1 22	0 5	0 1	0		338 3,421	35.9 30.5	13.6 20.1	89.8 90.3	5.1	1.2 2.3	2.7	1.2	
Perquimans	MV NMV	120 61	54.8 81.7	26.0 25.5	93 47	3 14 4	1 5 3	1 6 4	2 2 3		1,480 1,246 288	25.7 38.7 61.8	16.7 16.8 13.4	93.0 88.8 92.4	5.0 6.6 2.8	1.0 2.4 1.0	.8 1.1 1.0	.2 1.1 2.8	
District Totals	s MV NMV	1,563 537	65.6 131.7	26.1 32.0	1,131 312	218 64	61 31	115 98	38 32		14,867 4,135	34.3 39.7	17.6 15.4	88.3 89.6	6.4 5.4	2.7 1.1	1.7 1.5	.9 2.4	
District 2																			
Beaufort	MV NMV	475	84.2	19.4	337	49	20	43	26		6,840	23.3	14.1	94.3	2.2	1.4	1.9	.2	
Hyde	MV	158 22	245.4 46.5	155.5 10.0	55 18	14 1	36 1	20 2	33 0		2,430	16.1 26.9	5.8 18.8	96.6 89.7	2.0 8.1	.4 2.0	.4	.6 0.0	
Martin	NMV MV NMV	27 219	41.5	31.6 15.7	22 178	3 34	2 4	0 3	0 0		345 3,590	16.7 30.3	8.8 15.5	94.8 89.6	4.6	.3 2.4	.3 2.3	0.0	
Tyrrell	MV	105 31	119.4 93.0	23.1 61.0	69 14	4	8 2	16 8	8	1 - A	1,595 460	28.4 20.5	12.1 13.5	90.6 93.9	4.5 3.7	2.1	1.9 0.0	.9 0.0	
Washington	MV NMV	24 51 23	57.2 30.0 66.6	17.3 12.0 37.0	16 41 17	4 9 2	1 1 2	3 0 1	0 0 1		181 1,449 702	15.3 21.9 16.3	10.3 14.1 8.7	98.8 94.5 95.6	1.2 2.7 3.4	0.0 1.3	0.0 1.5 .6	0.0 0.0 0.0	
District Totals	MV NMV	798 337	65.6 164.2	19.0 39.0	588 179	100 27	28 49	56 40	26 42		12,796 5,253	25.1 19.9	14.4 7.3	93.0 94.6	3.4 3.0	1.7 .9	1.8 .9	.1 .6	
District 3																			
Carteret	MV NMV	1,160 934	184.0	74.0 143.1	534 339	125	87	188	226		6,122	42.9	19.4	85.6	8.0	3.3	1.3	1.8	
Craven	MV NMV	1,194	94.1	31.2	792	93 166	82 101	130 86	290 49	1	3,106	41.0 27.5	17.9 14.3	85.0 92.0	8.5 4.0	3.1	1.9 3.1	1.5 .4	
Pamlico	MV	431 53	143.2	38.6 18.8	241 47	80 4	19 1	45 1	46 0		4,164 1,101	29.1 24.6	13.1 14.5	91.6 89.6	5.2	.9 2.0	1.6 1.1	.7	
Pitt	MMV MV NMV	11 1,233 1,176	26.5 215.0 265.1	24.0 67.8 173.0	10 589 461	1 110 72	0 55 70	0 177	0 302	1	460 1,375	28.7 27.1	10.7 17.4	90.2 91.4	6.6 5.6	.4 1.6	$1.5 \\ 1.3$	1.3	
District Totals	MV	3,640	162.8	47.2	401 1,962	73 405	70 244	171 452	401 577		6,880 31,326	27.7	18.3	91.1	6.2	1.2	1.3	.2	
		2,552	249.9	112.7	1,051	247	171	346	737		4,610	30.3 30.9	$15.6 \\ 16.1$	90.4 90.0	5.5 6.3	1.5 1.6	2.0 1.5	.6 .6	

Ages of Cases Pending 6/30/79 and Ages of Cases Disposed During Fiscal Year 1978-79

					Ages of l	Pending C	ases (Days	)						•	osition (D:	-	
District 4		Total Pending	Mcan Age	Median Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	% > 365
Dupîin Jones	MV NMV MV	738 461 150	137.7 257.7 56.0	57.8 128.0 49.8	384 167 97	132 56 40	85 49 8	55 54 5	82 135 0	6,076 2,143 1,572	35.7 31.6 38.9	20.9 14.8 22.2	85.1 86.3 79.0	8.9 9.0 13.3	3.8 2.2 6.3	1.8 2.0 1.3	.4
Onslow Sampson	NMV MV NMV MV	31 2,152 957 1,327	75.3 55.7 37.4 207.1	57.0 31.0 23.8 74.0	16 1,442 779 606	10 424 132 173	4 185 36 47	0 97 6 205	4 4 296	582 16,482 7,558 10,852	37.4 42.8 33.6 34.3	24.5 21.9 19.8 20.7	80.1 82.4 83.8 86.1	14.9 8.9 11.7 7.9	3.1 2.6 2.4 4.0	1,9 5,9 2,0 1,9	0.0
District Totals	NMV s MV NMV	740 4,367 2,189	344.3 115.6 188.1	306.3 43.4 51,5	217 2,529 1,179	49 769 247	41 325 130	159 362 219	274 382 414	2,579 34,982 12,862	40.0 38.7 34.8	25.7 21.3 20.6	80,5 84,0 83,5	13.9 8.7 11.8	3.8 3.4 2.6	1.5 3.7 1.9	.3 .2 .2
District 5																	
New Hanover Pender	MV NMV MV NMV	1,419 1,404 510 146	82.3 121.7 188.7 380.0	31.9 40.0 74.1 240.0	913 819 230 50	238 169 69 8	81 98 47 6	123 175 77 16	64 143 87 66	13,444 8,609 3,706 1,048	27.5 35.0 41.9 27.8	18.8 14.6 16.1 8.8	90.1 84.9 83.9 88.9	5,5 8,2 6,4 5,9	2.8 2.6 2.8 1.9	1.4 3.8 6.2 2.6	.2 .5 .7 .7
District Totals	s MV NMV	1,929 1,550	110.4 146.0	39.7 44.0	1,143 869	307 177	128 104	200 191	151 209	17,150 9,657	30.6 34.2	15.1 14.3	88.8 85.4	5.7 7.9	2.8 2.5	2.4 3.6	.3 .6
District 6																	
Bertie Halifax	MV NHV MV	333 153 1,314	62.8 239.3 85.9	32.1 128.0 40.4	211 61 860	81 14 261	27 6 40	9 35 73	5 37 80	3,690 751 11,218	30.5 15.6 29.5	14.6 7.2 21.7	88.7 96.6 89.8	6.1 2.8 7.3	3.7 .1 2.8	.4 .5 .1	1.1 0.0 0.0
Hertford Northampton	NMV MV NMV MV	474 624 164 437	157.2 116.9 178.0 29.7	67.7 61.1 95.5 11.9	232 296 73 379	41 99 14 32	14 45 9 20	124 162 50 5	63 22 18 1	3,335 4,497 1,311 6,225	30.3 35.0 30.4 18.9	14.9 13.6 13.0 10.0	86.3 86.6 87.1 93.5	9.9 7.8 8.4 5.6	2.9 1.7 1.8 .6	.5 2.0 2.1 .1	.4 1.9 .6 .2
District Totals	NMV 5 MV NMV	32 2,708 823	48.3 81.1 172.4	12.0 35.5 82.0	25 1,746 391	2 473 71	3 132 32	2 249 211	0 108 118	619 25,630 6,016	22.7 28.0 27.7	9.5 15.7 12.7	94.8 90.0 88.7	2.9 6.8 7.9	.6 2.2 2.1	0.0 .5 .8	1.7 .5 .5
District 7																	
Edgecombe Nash	MV NMV MV	463 509 1,003	53.9 70.8 59.1	26.3 31.5 30.3	358 337 687	65 94 183	19 22 55	11 39 63	10 17 15	5,197 4,876 8,479	35.8 34.5 35.9	20.5 17.1 17.5	85.0 85.1 81.2	9.0 10.0 12.8	3.8 2,6 4,4	1.8 1.9 1.4	.4 .2
Wilson	NMV MV NMV	580 1,243 820	73.7 86.9 145.6	31.9 32.4 72.1	377 804 349	117 201 155	25 60 83	41 127 180	20 51 53	4,767 8,696 4,112	33.2 36.4 36.3	16.6 20.7 15.3	84.8 82.5 80.2	9.7 9.9 13.3	3.5 5.7 3.7	1.7 1.7 2.5	.3 .2 .3
District Totals	s MV NMV	2,709 1,909	71.0 103.8	31.8 45.5	1,849 1,063	449 366	134 130	201 260	76 90	22,372 13,755	36.1 34.6	19.2 16.7	82.7 83.5	10.8 10,9	4.7 3.3	1.6 2.0	.2 .3

يد ب کې

					Ages of l	Pending C	ases (Days	)						es at Disp	•		
District 8		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	% > 365
Greene	MV	157	54.8	32.2	102	33	13	9	Q	1,600	28.4	13.6	88.4	6.7	2.9	1.8	.2
Lenoir	nmv Mv	57 684	98.0 44.3	32.0 25.9	38 516	6 116	3 29	5 21	5 2	793 9,063	24.8 29.7	11.3 16.5	92.7 87.2	4.5 8.3	.8 3.5	1.6 1.0	.4 0.0
Wayne	nmv Mv Nmv	317 1,427 751	39.5 75.1 98.8	23.2 31.6 44.7	270 947 422	27 253 129	12 90 70	6 85 80	2 52 50	4,894 13,599 6,098	27.1 32.6 35.1	15.0 16.7 17.6	89.2 86.3 86.1	8.0 7.9 8.4	1.6 4.2 1.6	$1.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 3.3$	.1 .5 .6
District Totals	MV NMV	2,268 1,125	64.4 82.0	30.9 35.2	1,565 730	402 162	132 85	115 91	54 57	24,262 11,785	31.3 31.0	16.4 15.9	86.8 87.8	8.0 7.9	3.8 1.6	1.1 2.3	.3 .4
<u>District 9</u>																	
Franklin	MV NMV	397 212	63.0 136.1	32.1 96.5	274 92	72 21	25 58	17 25	9 16	4,019 1,370	31.7 24.7	20.6 11.2	89.2 91.6	6.0 5.2	2.6 1.8	2.1 .9	.1 .5
Granville	MV NMV	424 108	51.1 174.6	29.1 45.8	305 62	93 18	9	13	4 12	4,273	25.8	14.1	88.8	7.6	2.9	.6	.1
Person	MV	371	169.3	51.1	203	54	11	11 41	62	1,707 3,381	19.2 28.7	8.) 17.5	93.4 89.9	4.2 6.6	1.8 3.0	.5	.1 .1
Vance	NMV MV	203 512	229.1 34.4	$51.1 \\ 21.9$	107 412	18 87	7 11	20 2	51 0	1,625 4,452	24.7 31.4	14.5 20.7	91.8 86.7	6.0 9.0	1.0 3.4	1.1 .8	.1 .1
Warren	NMV MV NMV	252 486 229	80.3 105.6 281.2	29.3 32.3 215.0	149 312 54	61 74 9	15 14 17	21 36 78	6 50 71	2,550 2,340 885	27.8 54.4 50.4	11.8 23.3 18.0	89.1 85.1 86.4	6.7 6.0 8.3	1.6 2.8 1.0	2.3 2.5 1.7	.3 3,6 2.6
District Totals	MV NMV	2,190 1,004	81.4 178.1	31.3 72.8	1,506 464	380 127	70 102	109 155	125 156	18,465 8,137	32.6 27.3	18.9 12.2	88.0 90.7	7.2 5.9	3.0 1.5	1.2 1.4	.6 .5
<u>District 10</u>																	
Wake	MV NMV	6,702 4,369	108.3 141.0	39.5 46.8	4,197 2,470	1,078 688	393 265	432 412	602 534	46, 89 23,775	39.9 45.2	27.7 28.2	81.8 76.9	12.0 - 15.3	4.4 4.8	1.7 2.8	.1 .2
District 11																	
Harnett	MV NMV	806 391	67.Q 66.5	32.3 39.5	514 256	172 76	52 28	59 24	9 7	7,763 3,203	31.7 34.7	17.3 21.2	85.9 83.5	9.4 12.3	3.7 2.4	.9 1.5	.1 .3
Johnston	MV NMV	1,774	115.1 204.7	38.5 117.5	1,062	219 68	97 81	227 121	169 108	13,471 3,188	34.6 33.8	19.2 15.9	82.5 84.6	12.3 9.7	4.4 3.5	1.5 .6 1.9	.2
Lee	MV NMV	486 330	93.4 170.9	25.0 39.5	351 189	67 29	14 15	13 37	41 60	3,968 3,913	29.9 24.4	19.6 15.2	87.7 91.1	9.7 9.7 6.9	2.0	.4	.3 .2 .1
District Totals	MV NMV	3,066 1,341	99.0 156.1	32.2 53.9	1,927 687	458 173	163 124	299 182	219 175	25,202 10,304	33.0 30.5	18.7 16.5	84.4 86.6	11.0 9.5	3.9 2.4	.6 1.3	.1 .2
District 12					•												
Cumberland	MV NMV	4,215 2,529	58.6 76.7	35.6 45.8	2,854 1,486	902 513	194 260	229 224	36 46	38,690 22,418	42.5 43.0	24.0 20.1	79,8 77.7	10.6 12.4	6.5 5.3	2.9 3.8	.2
Hoke	MV NMV	2,529 361 337	70.0 97.6	45.8 26.1 85.9	250 23	37 178	200 21 20	48 39	40 5 7	3,735	43.0 36.2 39.7	19.8 17.5	84.4 83.8	8.9 10.8	4.6 2.3	1.7 1.6	.4 1.5
District Totals	MV NMV	4,576 2,866	59.5 79.1	32.4 50.5	3,104 1,579	939 691	215 280	277 263	41 53	42,425 23,668	41.9 42.8	23.4 20.0	80.1 78.2	10.5 12.2	6.4 5.1	2.8 3.7	.2 .8

					Ages of 1	Pending C	ases (Days	;}		<i>7</i>		-		ses at Disp			
<u> Uistrict 13</u>		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	。 >365
Bladen	MV	879	98,8	19.1	600	107	46	53	73	6,831	40.4	19.8	86.6	6.1	2.9	3,9	.5
Brunswick	MV MV NMV	270 482 273	85.6 50.9 69.9	31.1 29.6 29.6	188 377 200	26 69 23	14 8 11	29 21	13 7 4	2,413 4,553 1,809	58.2 37.6	18.8 21.0	86.4 81.4	6.6 11.2	1.7 5.3	2.7	2.6 .1
Columbus	MV NMV	1,204	94.8 362.1	29.8 29.3 117.2	834 230	180 42	74	35 41 24	75 207	9,338 3,767	34.4 37.6 30.6	15.5 20.6 18.2	83.3 83.0 86,4	$     \begin{array}{r}       11.1 \\       9.9 \\       10.0     \end{array} $	2.5 3.9 2.1	3.0 2.9 1.2	.1 .3 .3
District Tota	als MV NMV	2,565 1,083	87.9 219.5	29.1 45.1	1,811 618	356 91	128 62	115 88	155 224	20,722 7,989	38.5 39.8	20.4 18.2	83.7 85.7	9.0 9.2	4.0 2.1	3.0 2.1	.3
District 14																	
Durham	MV NMV	2,646 1,881	106.9 155.9	38.1 64.8	1,623 886	317 309	194 128	328 228	184 330	18,483 11,720	61.5 50.2	22.1 14.4	86.5 84.8	6,5 8,2	2.0 2.4	2.2 2.1	2,8 2,5
District 15A																	
Alamance	MV NMV	1,106 489	34.8 48.1	21.5 24.7	922 372	138 71	24 16	20 24	2 6	11,694 4,616	35.3 35.1	22.1 19.3	88.6 88.7	7.9 8.0	2.1 1.3	.7 .9	.7 1.1
District 15B																	
Chatham	MV NMV	366	30.2	17.8	303	55	8	0	0	5,338	27.5	18.1	90.6	6.7	2.2	.5	0.0
Orange	MV NMV	110 1,326 344	48.6 41.9 49.1	23.5 25.1 24.0	91 1,065 287	13 172 24	2 59 11	1 22 17	3 8 5	1,186 10,521 3,379	28.6 55.7 64.4	15.3 24.3 19.9	85.4 80.9 77.4	11.1 7.3 9.3	1.9 2.9 4.0	1.6 6.6 5.4	0.0 2.3 3.9
District Tota	a'is MV NMV	1,692 454	39.4 49.0	24.9 24.0	1,368 378	227 37	67 13	22 18	8 8	15,859 4,565	46.2 55.1	22.1 18.5	84.3 79.6	7.0 9.7	2.7 3.4	4.5 4.4	1.5 2.9
<u>District 16</u>																	
Robeson	MV NMV	1,777	44.3	25.9	1,357	336	50	21	13	17,405	30.7	16.2	83.8	11.2	4.5	.5	0.0
Scotland	MV	945 310 659	65.0 75.8 288.3	29.6 24.1 241.1	649 237 170	122 45 48	69 5 49	96 5 170	9 18 222	8,737 5,161 2,894	38.4 34.8 33.8	20.5 15.1 15.2	78.6 88.4 89.3	15.9 4.7 6.3	4.4 4.0 1.0	1.0 .8 1.1	.1 2.1 2.3
District Tota	ils MV NMV	2,087 1,604	49.0 156.8	25.7 57.7	1,594 819	381 170	55 118	26 266	31 231	22,566 11,631	31.7 37.2	15.9 18.6	84.8 81.4	9.8 13.5	4.4 3.4	.6 1.0	.4 .7
District 17																	
Caswell	MV	261	83.5	25.8	177	38	12	17	17	2,211	43.7	27.0	83.5	8.7	4.9	2.1	.8
Rockingham	NMV MV NMV	123 1,157 603	126.4 77.7 144.0	28.3 31.8 54.1	81 750 307	8 187 96	3 66 38	20 120 92	11 34 70	697 9,607 5,014	30.0 39.5 38.4	16.4 21,3 17.2	89.2 84.6 83.0	6.7 7.2 10.0	2.9 4.2 4.0	1.1 3.5 2.2	.1 .5 .8
Stokes	MV NMV	366 118	51.3 88.8	30.6 38.5	296 90	37 11	12 3	14 7	777	3,537	38.2	21.9	81.8	11.0	5.3	1.8	.1
Surry	MV	631 505	51.7 49.9	38.5 31.5 24.0	437 371	116 70	58 45	19 17	1 2	1,003 6,449 3,311	38.2 45.5 62.0	20.4 19.3 27.8	82.9 82.2 75.5	11.2 .7.6 12.4	3.3 6.0 4.7	1.9 2.2 3.9	.7 2.0 3.5
District Tota	its MV NMV	2,415 1,349	67.6 102.4	31.0 31.8	1,660 849	378 185	148 89	170 135	59 90	21,804 10,025	41.5 45.6	21.4 21.4	83.4 81.0	8.1 10.7	4.9 4.0	2.7 2.7	.9 1.6

# CONTINUED 20F3

					Ages of I	Pending Ca	ises (Days	1				-		•	osition (Da	•		
District 18		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	≈ > 365	
Guilford	MV NMV	6,882 4,487	140.7 205.3	60.9 112.6	3,393 1,779	869 525	609 384	1,206 846	805 953	41,995 19,618	45.7 82.9	28.3 33.1	82.8 70.5	11.3 15.7	2.5 4.5	1.8 3.1	1.6 6.2	
District 19A																		
Cabarrus Rowan	MV NMV MV NMV	1,475 284 1,007 358	48.4 78.8 52.2 113.3	26.2 25.7 25.7 30.0	1,149 185 776 240	210 38 149 42	35 26 37 16	67 24 30 27	14 11 15 33	12,238 3,872 10,857 4,477	34.9 30.2 33.2 29.2	24.0 18.5 21.7 14.2	85.7 89.1 85.9 91.5	8.0 7.3 9.6 4.9	5.2 1,8 3,5 1,2	1.0 1.6 .9 1.6	.1 .2 .1 .7	
District Totals	MV NMV	2,482 642	49,9 98.1	26.1 26.3	1,925 425	359 80	72 42	97 51	29 44	23,095 8,349	34.1 29.6	22.7 15.9	85.8 90.4	8.8 6.0	4,4 1,5	.9 1.6	.1 .5	
District 19B Montgomery	MV	543	178.6	52.2	287	67	22	45	122	4,278	27.3	15.5	90.1	5.9	2.6	1.3	.1	
Rando1ph	NMV MV NMV	423 906 239	428.4 69.3 86.4	311.9 39.3 18.6	116 568 191	12 143 30	12 92 4	98 102 5	185 1 9	1,763 9,025 2,913	24.7 39.7 65.2	14.2 21.8 20.8	91.2 86.5 84.7	6.1 7.5 9.3	1,6 2,1 2,5	.9 3.5 1.3	.2 .4 2.2	
District Totals	MV NMV	1,449 662	110.3 304.9	39.9 89.3	855 307	210 42	114 16	147 103	123 194	13,303 4,676	35.7 48.3	19.9 17.8	87.6 87.2	7.0 8.1	2.3 2.1	2.8 1.2	.3 1.4	
District 20																		
Anson	MV NMV	317 107	81.9 76.5	25.7 19.1	225 80	30 12	13 6	33 4	16 5	4,529 1,456	$31.0 \\ 32.0$	14.4 18.6	89.1 88.6	6.3 7.2	2,1 2.6	1.8 1.2	.7 .4	
Moore Richmond	MV NMV MV	446 366 806	89.3 73.8	53.9 22.3	240 247	89 57	44 29	69 22	4	6,357 3,422	31.8 36.0	15.7 18.3	87.9 83.2	6.5 10.6	3.0	2.2	.4	
Stanly	NMV MV	442 731	104.1 150.6 115.5	25.1 52.1 54.3	529 230 381	87 37 123	45 42 78	94 75 109	51 58 40	5,703 2,965 6,659	43.5 41.2 35.7	17.5 15.7 14.9	88.4 88.9 88.0	5.1 5.7 5.3	3.9 1.0 4.1	1.6 1.8 1.2	1.0 2.6 1.4	
Union	NMV MV NMV	582 572 326	224.8 69.9 77.1	205.0 32.3 25.8	168 369 205	31 101 51	57 42 19	189 57 50	137 3 1	2,033 7,179 3,159	52.3 27.0 24.0	14.6 14.0 13.8	86.0 90.1 93.5	3.8 6.7 4.4	2.0 2.1 1.2	2.6	5.6	
District Totals	MV. NMV	2,872 1,823	95.5 141.4	39.0 53.8	1,744 930	430 188	222 153	362 340	114 212	30,427 13,035	33.6 36.4	15.1 15.4	88.7 88.0	5.9	3.0 2.4	1.5 1.4	.9 1.6	
District 21 Forsyth	MV NMV	4,516 2,048	113.7 132.1	27.1 49.2	2,759 1,186	401 201	261 149	688 274	407 238	37,358 13,628	35.6 70.2	18.1 18.1	93.9 85.7	2.4 3.8	.4 .7	1.0 2.0	2.3 7.8	

					Ages of	Pending C	ases (Days	) )				Ag	es of Cas	es at Disp	osition (D	ays)	
District 22		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365	Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	∽ > 365
Alexander	MV NMV	139 79	61.4 87.3	26.2 50.7	105 41	12 19	7 10	14 5	1 4	1,927 1,022	30.5 30.6	20.5 14.1	93.9 91.6	4.1 4.1	.1 1.0	1.3 2.4	.6 .9
Davidson Davie	MV NMV MV	1,421 867 639	130.9 220.8 104.8	39.8 60.9 31.3	835 426 415	138 96 54	107 45 20	148 76 103	193 224 47	13,065 5,160 4,573	27.5 40.9 28.5	17.4 19.8 20.3	89.6 84.2 91.0	7.4 9.9 7.1	2.1 3.1 .9	.9 2.1 .8	0.0 .7 .2
Iredell	NMV MV NMV	182 1,039 539	91.1 41.3 39.3	51.5 25.8 23.5	114 807 454	36 179 54	17 37 15	8 14 13	7 2 3	852 10,131 4,576	21.3 31.9 31.3	15.5 21.1 17.2	93.1 88.9 90.4	6.4 7.6 6.6	.1 2.0 1.1	.4 1.0 .8	0.0 .5 1.1
District Totals	MV NMV	3,238 1,667	94.0 141.6	31.5 38.7	2,162 1,035	383 205	171 87	279 102	243 238	29,696 11,610	29.4 34.8	19.3 17.9	89.8 88.0	7.2 7.8	1.8 1.9	$1.0 \\ 1.5$	.2
District 23			· · ·														
Alleghany	MV NMV	96 32	135.6 98.8	61.5 61.0	47 15	9 8	5	24 6	11 2	713 280	21.9 30.7	12.9 13.3	92.0 93.5	5.7 1.4	2.0	.1 .7	.2 2.9
Ashe	MV NMV	146 57	42.1 143.7	18.7 19.0	114 36	20 2	6 5	6 9	0 5	1,781 942	34.7 34.5	19.2 14.0	86.9 91.6	8.6 4.7	$1.3 \\ 1.5$	2.1 1.2	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$
Wilkes Yadkin	MV NMV MV	662 387 243	57.5 102.1 43.4	31.2 46.8 22.2	466 224 186	118 69 36	47 23 16	21 42 4	10 29 1	6,697 2,927	48.4	21.4 15.0	82.9 87.3	9.8 6.8	$3.5 \\ 1.6 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.6 \\ 3.0 $	1.5 .9	2.3 3.4
	NMV	78	33.1	15.0	69	4	3	2	. 0	2,831 1,213	26.1 28.1	14.4 15.8	89.3 86.9	6.9 9.2	2.9 3.2	.8 .5	.1 .2
District Totals	MV NMV	1,147 554	59.1 96.5	29.4 39.6	813 344	183 83	74 32	55 59	22 36	12,022 5,362	39.5 39.0	$\begin{array}{c} 18.5\\ 14.8\end{array}$	85.6 88.2	8.6	3.0 2.0	1.3	1.5 2.3
District 24																	
Avery	MV NMV	288 101	76.8 123.6	32.1 98.7	177 33	48 31	22 18	35 15	6 4	1,651 599	33.9 60.1	22.7 28.2	89.0 77.5	8.2 10.8	1.4 4.9	.7 4.5	.7
Madison	MV NMV	245 87	86.2 205.0	47.2 47.0	143 49	61 7	14 1	18	9 21	2,536 336	40.1 54.0	20.8 26.5	79.1 76.1	9.9 14.0	9.0 4.2	1.8 4.5	.2 1.2
Mitchell	MV NMV	254 53	172.8 103.2	130.0 47.3	112 28	14 10	11 4	113 8	4	1,145 322	33.1 40.0	21.9 24.0	88.2 85.3	6.8 9.4	3.5 2.8	1.1 1.9	.4
Watauga	my Nmv	451 367	93.4 225.3	32.9 159.3	277	73 49	29 82	48 55	24 104	4,120 947	41.7 55.7	20.4 21.1	84.4 83.4	7.8 8.9	2.9 1.8	3.6 2.1	1.3 3.8
Yancey	MV NMV	189 58	95.5 58.7	51.0 19.2	96 44	22 4	32 4	39 6	0	1,467 548	27.2 32.7	$15.9 \\ 13.5$	91.8 88.5	4.5 7.5	$1.6 \\ 1.1$	1.8 .9	.3 2.0
District Totals	MV NMV	1,427 666	103.2 183.0	46.8 123.0	805 231	218 101	108 109	253 93	43 132	10,919 2,752	37.3 50.0	20.5 21.6	85.4 82.4	7.8 9.7	3.9 2.8	2.2 2.7	.7 2.4

					Ages of	Pending C	ases (Days	)					Ag	es of Cas	ses at Disp	osition (D	ays}	
District 25		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365		Total Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 6-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	% > 365
Burke	MV	973	69.1	26.3	721	125	31	56	40		9,688	29.8	16.1	87.7	7.8	3.1	1.1	.3
Caldwell	NMV MV	207 656	94.8 59.2	22.8 25.8	157 463	19 99	8 35	8 45	15 14		3,013 7,364	34.1 34.5	18.2 17.8	86.1 86.6	9.5 7.2	2.5 3.5	1.2	.7 .5
Catawba	NMV MV NMV	413 1,185 663	103.0 70.3 101.5	39.1 31.5 38.2	252 783 377	41 212 122	42 94 69	41 63 46	37 33 49		3,062 13,144 6,332	47.8 31.3 34.1	20.5 19.8 16.0	83.8 86.8 87.7	9,2 7,9 7,6	2.0 4.4 2.2	2.5 .9 1.0	2.5 0.0 1,5
District Totals	MV NMV	2,814 1,283	67.3 100.9	29.6 32.3	1,967 786	436 182	160 119	164 95	87 101		30,196 12,407	31.6 37.5	18.2 17.6	87.1 86.4	7.7 8.5	3.7 2.2	1.3 1.4	.2 1.5
<u>District 26</u>																		
Mecklenburg	MV NMV	12,077 8,614	297.0 602.3	101.6 463.8	5,187 1,876	1,122 470	532 284	1,150 1,192	4,086 4,792		46,766 18,501	44.0 33.3	24.4 22.8	82.0 85.1	8.6 12.3	5.0 1.7	3.9 .7	.5 .2
District 27A																		
Gaston	MV NMV -	1,454 1,480	92.1 144.9	31.7 32.4	974 915	257 201	97 77	41 72	85 215		15,595 12,541	46.6 43.9	22.8 18.6	80.9 83.7	9.3 9.7	6.2 2.2	2.1 2.4	1.5 2.0
District 27B																		
Cleveland	MV NMV	1,159 580	66.3 78.8	38.9 39.0	762 380	230 85	97 49	47 44	23		10,271 4,579	37.9 39.4	22.3 22.4	81.1 79.7	11.2 14.2	6.1 3.9	1.5 1.9	.1 .3
Lincoln	MV NMV	477 311	62.7 57.7	26.3 30.5	320 221	81 57	22 13	49 16	5		4,448 1,876	31.8 36.7	19.4 22.4	86.7 85.0	8.1 10.7	3.4 1.9	1.7	.1
District Totals	MV NMV	1,636 891	65.2 71.4	38.5 34.0	1,082 601	311 142	119 62	96 60	28 26		14,719 6,455	36.1 38.6	21.3 22.4	82.8 81.2	10.3 13.2	5.2 3.3	1.6 2.0	.1
District 28																		
Buncombe	MV NMV	1,481 1,218	110.3 111.7	46.8 47.1	810 635	206 135	162 162	210 223	93 63		16,204 10,102	30.1 29.1	14.5 16.3	91.6 90.9	3.1 5.7	1.6 1.2	2.7	1.0 .6
District 29																		
Henderson	MV NMV	1,098 492	62.4 67.1	40.1 44.6	686 312	274 112	93 24	36 35	· 9		6,780 3,270	46.9	25.0 21.1	78.0 78.6	7.8 11.6	10.4 4.5	3.5 4.6	.3 .7
McDowe11	MV NMV	838 393	116.6 181.8	54.4 250.3	425 122	124 33	68 25	203 191	18 22		5,130	37.1	24.1 21.1	83.7 79.8	9.5 8.6	5.6 3.3	1.0	.2 2.7
Polk	MV NMV	274	74.9	64.0	131	83	51	.8	- 1		2,428	50.4	23.3	76.3	7.3	10,9	4.6	.9
Rutherford	MV	129 401	42.9 123.2	38.9 40.4	114 236	11 59	3 34	0 35	1 37		656 3,881	53.2 46.5	22.5 21.0	74.6 84.4	10.5	6.3 4.5	7.2 1.3	1.4 1.3
Transylvania	nmv Mv Nmv	362 372 176	167.8 108.2 126.9	46.7 66.3 72.7	225 163 74	44 89 40	12 48 15	31 59 36	50 13 11	•	2,265 2,351 873	42.3 50.8 57.1	14.2 27.2 21.8	88.4 77.0 75.2	6.0 13.5 10.9	1.9 3.7 4.0	1.2 4.6 7.9	2.5 1.2 2.0
District Totals	MV NMV	2,983 1,552	92.7 124.4	50.4 50.5	1,641 847	629 240	294 79	341 293	78 93		20,570 8,324	45.2 48.0	23.9 18.1	80.3 80.9	9.0 9.4	7.3 3.7	2.7 4.4	.7 1.6

	Ages of Pending Cases (Days)										Ages of Cases at Disposition (Days)								
District 30		Total Pending	Mean Age	Median Age	0-60	61-120	121-180	181-365	> 365		Totat Disposed	Mean Age	Median Age	% 0-60	% 61-120	% 121-180	% 181-365	<sup>%</sup> > 365	
Cherokee	MV NMV	279 137	65,7 123.7	35.8 56.6	194 76	43 25	22 9	15 19	5 8		2,305 631	31.9 44.6	20.2 27.4	87.2 77.7	7.9	3.1 5.4	1.6 3.5	.2	
Clay	MV NMV	63 29	83.8 83.7	32.3 45.2	39 20	10 2	- 7 3	4	3 2		579 262	39.3 66.1	16.8 5.8	89.4 80.9	4.0 5.0	2.6	1.7 1.9	2.3 8.7	
Graham	MV NMV	71 47	260.6 183.0	236.0 53.8	23 27	2 0	6 1	19 10	21 9		468 281	49.0 53.1	18.9 13.8	84.5 81.5	5.9 9.2	2.4 4.6	4.7 0.0	2.5 4.7	
Haywood	MV NMV	832 908	149.4 236.2	70.7 183.0	376 216	185 127	48 109	142 284	81 172		6,115 2,417	34.8 100.8	6.4 26.0	78.4 77.8	13.4 8.9	6.7 2.2	.9 2.3	.6 8.8	
Jackson	MV NMV	260 120	123.4 136.7	85.5 73.5	115 48	36 30	30 7	71 28	8 7		2,842 644	46.0 78.5	5.9 19.0	82.0 75.3	7.4 7.8	3.2 3.2	4.4 6.8	3.0 6.9	
Macon	MV NMV	276 205	177.1 313.8	65.5 220.0	136 71	35 16	8	87 39	60 71		3,028	21.1 38.5	4.2 19.7	92.6 85.6	3.6 9.1	$1.3 \\ 1.1$	2.1 2.3	.4 1.9	
Swain	MV NMV	247 160	83.7 126.9	25.8 54.1	170 82	24 13	10 27	31 20	12 18		1,869 502	22.5 41.6	$\begin{array}{c} 11.3\\11.1\end{array}$	92.8 82.4	4.2 7.2	1.7 3.4	1.1 6.2	.2 .8	
District Totals	MV NMV	2,028 1,606	132.2 213.9	56.6 150.1	1,053 540	335 213	131 164	319 402	190 287		17,206 5,311	33.1 74.8	8.3 21.9	84.8 79.1	8.4 9.0	3.9 2.9	1.9 3.2	1.0 5.8	
STATE TOTALS		97,513 56,655	119.6 219.3	38.7 65.6	59,395 27,333	14,124 6,911	5,767 3,848	8,956 7,433	9,271 11,130		787,465 347,174	37.2 42.0	21.0 19.0	85.7 83.9	8.1 9.7	3.5 2.7	2.0 2.1	.7 1.6	

				Superior Court		District Court			
Judicial Division	Judicial District	Civil	Crimi Felonies M	nal isdemeanors	Estates	Special Proceedings	Civil	Crim Motor Vehicle	inal Non-Motor Vehicle
· <b>I</b>	1	20	2	14	28	30	8	10	12
	2	24	20	27	15	31	1	1	1
	3	31	9	16	11	19	26	20	27
	4	9	33	8	18	22	11	27	26
	5	30	16	11	29	20	25	19	25
	6	33	32	33	24	32	22	11	16
	7	13	17	19	4	26	7	24	19
	8	4	6	3	12	8	29	6	3
II	9 10 11 12 13 14 15A 15B 16	22 1 29 12 18 21 19 3 16	30 28 18 8 23 5 25 11 27	30 21 28 17 18 6 25 25 24	16 32 22 5 26 25 2 23 8	13 18 27 5 33 23 7 11 9	19 33 30 12 32 15 2 20 14	22 30 25 14 26 29 8 12 3	11 28 13 10 15 24 7 4 17
III	17	15	12	26	6	21	4	17	14
	18	28	19	1	21	3	6	32	30
	19A	25	4	15	7	4	17	13	2
	19B	23	3	23	9	14	13	15	21
	20	32	14	12	33	24	24	7	20
	21	10	1	7	14	1	3	23	23
	22	7	26	5	13	16	10	16	22
	23	5	21	10	3	6	18	9	5
IV	24	6	29	31	30	29	9	28	31
	25	8	24	20	27	15	16	4	6
	26	27	13	13	20	12	31	33	33
	27A	17	15	9	17	10	21	5	8
	27B	14	7	4	1	2	5	18	18
	28	2	10	29	19	17	28	2	9
	29	26	22	22	10	25	23	31	29
	30	11	31	32	31	28	27	21	32

### RANKINGS FOR THE 33 JUDICIAL DISTRICTS BASED UPON PERCENT DISPOSITION TO TOTAL CASELOAD July 1, 1978-June 30 1979

### RANKINGS FOR THE 100 COUNTIES BASED UPON PERCENT DISPOSITION TO TOTAL CASELOAD July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

				Superior Court				District Court	
		Civil		<u>riminal</u>	Estates	Special	Civil	Crim	inal
District	County		Felonies	Misdemeanors		Proceedings		Motor Vehicle	Non-Motor Vehicle
1	Camden Chowan Currituck Dare Gates Pasquotank Perquimans	79 63 75 76 15 11 64	18 16 1 37 78 5 6	36 3 41 85 50 17 38	1 74 98 84 25 60	17 96 67 90 98 23 60	8 27 66 97 4 7 36	27 15 26 92 70 6 33	5 25 35 96 1 14 81
2	Beaufort	36	19	56	51	97	2	9	11
	Hyde	84	97	62	58	71	24	3	19
	Martin	26	89	79	33	37	10	5	12
	Tyrrell	99	100	94	70	34	69	7	53
	Washington	95	42	73	28	86	1	1	3
3	Carteret	92	81	92	31	85	91	97	93
	Craven	83	10	13	27	45	54	30	28
	Pamlico	44	4	53	72	69	43	2	2
	Pitt	57	40	48	48	24	81	52	72
4	Duplin	90	53	75	39	68	40	69	83
	Jones	34	3	11	32	74	25	32	6
	Onslow	58	13	8	83	50	72	78	50
	Sampson	2	44	29	21	19	6	71	92
5	New Hanover	52	38	24	80	33	75	49	66
	Pender	100	91	80	16	93	53	83	55
6	Bertie	98	98	88	68	84	77	22	80
	Halifax	94	94	95	79	92	79	62	56
	Hertford	78	54	90	50	83	74	84	49
	Northampton	85	85	98	57	64	31	12	4
7	Edgecombe	56	15	14	19	62	14	20	29
	Nash	62	25	40	35	77	51	64	45
	Wilson	19	87	87	3	39	28	87	78
8	Greene	81	48	60	7	76	20	37	15
	Lenoir	7	2	5	24	42	61	14	10
	Wayne	25	46	9	63	12	89	48	47
9	Franklin	46	92	78	94	55	62	38	63
	Granville	20	55	69	6	11	23	40	8
	Person	93	86	93	76	81	92	57	48
	Vance	32	64	47	29	58	12	61	24
	Warren	86	76	86	20	20	96	98	88
10	Wake	5	73	54	85	44	94	89	74
11	Harnett	35	57	20	52	94	90	47	46
	Johnston	96	49	58	69	13	85	79	76
	Lee	17	58	83	87	70	73	72	22
12	Cumberland	40	29	46	14	8	45	55	38
	Hoke	23	45	66	81	73	39	35	90
13	Bladen	61	71	84	47	47	67	75	37
	Brunswick	42	90	42	97	99	100	50	61
	Columbus	51	11	25	49	88	58	77	57
14	Durham	53	26	16	73	54	57	88	65
15A	Alamance	49	60	63	10	25	13	31	32
158	Chatham	39	80	1	45	49	17	8	23
	Orange	8	28	30	78	22	84	73	26
16	Robeson	37	43	61	26	14	46	43	34
	Scotland	69	93	68	61	79	63	4	85

### **RANKINGS FOR THE 100 COUNTIES BASED UPON** PERCENT DISPOSITION TO TOTAL CASELOAD

Haywood

Jackson

Macon

Swain

July 1, 1978-June 30, 1979

60

71

97

