If you have issues viewing or accessing this file contact us at NCJRS.gov.





Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement Criminal Justice Information System Division LOUISIANA CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEM

# CRIME IN LOUISIANA 1979

AN ANNUAL REPORT ON THE PROBLEMS OF CRIME

AND THE ACTION OF THE

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM IN LOUISIANA.

NCJRS

APRIL, 1980

AUG 1 4 1980

ACQUISITIONS

LOUISIANA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT LOUISIANA CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEM DIVISION 1885 WOODDALE BOULEVARD, ROOM 502 BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70806

## LOUISIANA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT

BAILEY GRANT SHERIFF OF OUACHITA PARISH CHAIRMAN

JOHN M. MAMOULIDES DISTRICT ATTORNEY, 24TH DISTRICT VICE-CHAIRMAN

> ELMER B. LITCHFIELD EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was prepared by the LCJIS staff. Significant contributions were made by Louisiana's law enforcement agencies, district attorneys' offices, crime laboratories, local prisons, Louisiana Department of Corrections, and Department of Public Safety.

This public document was published at an annual cost of \$8.77 per copy by the Division of Administration, Administrative Services, P.O. Box 44095, Baton Rouge, Louisiana,70804, to inform the public of the number of offenses reported and arrests made in Louisiana in 1979 under authority of Title 15, Louisiana Revised Statutes. This material was printed in accordance with the standards for printing by state agencies established pursuant to R.S. 43:31.

いたの行う

LOUIS ANA CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEM ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



\*Project Director, Annual Report - Linda Robinson

## THE MEMBERS OF THE LOUISIANA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE

HONORABLE TOMMY J. ADKINS SHERIFF CARLO P. LISTI SHERIFF ELTON ARCENEAUX JUDGE JOAN B. ARMSTRONG JUDGE BERNARD J. BAGERT HONORABLE SIDNEY J. BARTHELEMY JUDGE RUCHE J. MARINO JUDGE C, J, BOLIN, JR, SHERIFF GEORGE A, BROOM HONORABLE OSSIE B, BROWN HONORABLE PASCAL F, CALOGERO COLONEL MALCOLM R, MILLET MR, JOHN P, CAMPBELL HONORABLE PAUL J, CARMOUCHE MR. WALTER COMEAUX HONORABLE HARRY CONNICK SHERIFF VOL S. DOOLEY, JR. MR, RAYMOND J, FAGOT, SR. MS. BERNADINE FONTANA SHERIFF BAILEY GRANT MR, RAY HERD JUDGE GUY E, HUMPHRIES, JR, MR, LEE H, JACOBS, JR, MR. HOWARD E. KIDDER MRS, JEANETTE T, KNOLL MR, RONALD J, LAULAND MR, ARTHUR A, LEMANN, III

MR. EDWIN A. LOMBARD MR, ELLIS C, MAGEE HONORABLE JOHN M. MAMOULIDES MR. JULIAN A. MARTIN HONORABLE RONALD C. MARTIN SHERIFF-ELECT WAYNE MCGUFFEE SHERIFF ROLAND R. MITCHELL MR. WALTER MORANT MR. JAMES MORRIS MR, EUGENE MURRET JUDGE JOHN P. NAVARRE SHERIFF J. R. OAKES MR, C, PAUL PHELPS JUDGE ALWINE M. RAGLAND JUDGE PATRICK M. SCHOTT HONORABLE J. NATHAN STANSBURY JUDGE THOMAS W. TANNER SHERIFF HAROLD L, TRIDICO SHERIFF GERARD H. WATTIGNY MR, EDWIN WHITTLE MR, GENE YOES, JR,

ii

#### TO THE PEOPLE OF LOUISIANA:

An informed citizenry is one of the cornerstones of our democratic way of government. Provided with sufficient, reliable information about a problem. you the electorate can effect a reasonable solution through the actions of your local, state and federal representatives. The problem of crime, like any other problem facing us, cannot be attacked without having information about its size, scope and nature. Crime in Louisiana has been prepared with the objective of providing as much of this information as is available. Great efforts have been made to compile and present the best available data regarding crime. Recent years have seen a significant improvement in the quality and comprehensiveness of this data. The year 1979 revealed essentially complete reporting from law enforcement agencies in the Louisiana Uniform Crime Reporting System which represented 99 percent of the state's population. This is a significant accomplishment and we commend all of these agencies for a job well done. Based on our information, crime is rapidly growing. The increasing use of crime information by you and your elected representatives will make possible new insights and solutions to this problem.

The year 1979 also disclosed the significant progression of an immensely important project to aid our criminal justice system in combatting crime. The complete and accurate criminal history record of an individual is a most valuable tool to the police, prosecutors, courts and correctional agencies. Since the criminal is generally a very mobile person, it is equally important that this record be quickly available to all criminal justice agencies throughout the state. The LCJIS Division, in cooperation with the criminal justice community, began implementing an information gathering system that tracks an offender through the criminal justice system and records all significant dispositions of that offender. Now operating in all metropolitan areas of the state, this information system constructs a complete and accurate criminal history record on each offender arrested on a state statute violation. The information is stored at the Central State Repository for dissemination to all eligible agencies. Expansion of the system to include all areas of the state is scheduled for completion in 1980.

Strides in improving our knowledge about crime and the criminal are significant towards enhancing our chances of reducing crime. Much remains to be done but encouraging progress has been made. The reader may be assured that LCJIS will continue to strive to keep you, the citizen, better informed about crime in Louisiana.

Sincerely, blut man A.

Elmer Litchfield ( Executive Director

EL:cce

## LOUISIANA CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEM ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

MR, ALWYNN CRONVICH, CHAIRMAN MR. BENNY G. HARRIS DR, CHENEY C, JOSEPH MR, PETE ADAMS CAPTAIN WAYNE LEVET MR. JOHN V. BAIAMONTE, JR. MR, DAVID BOURLAND HONORABLE CHARLES R, LINDSAY MR. JOHN NIPPER DR. HUGH COLLINS MR, ROBERT R, ROCHESTER SHERIFF CHARLES FOTI MR, FRANK SERPAS MR, BEN GARRIS COLONEL GROVER W, GARRISON COLONEL FRED SLIMAN, JR. SHERIFF BAILEY GRANT HONORABLE LOY F, WEAVER MR, EDWIN WHITTLE HONORABLE ANTHONY J, GRAPHIA MR, ROBERT HARPER MR, GEORGE C, WOOLMAN

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE
Introduction
Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System I-1
Louisiana Complete Disposition Reporting
Privacy and Security of Criminal History Record Information
THE VOLUME AND LOCATION OF CRIME IN LOUISIANA
Crime in Louisiana Its Volume and Location I-21
Summary Findings About Crime in Louisiana, 1979 I-25
Crime - Volume and Location, 1979
Crime Trends for Louisiana, Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA) and Non-Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, 1978-1979
A Comparison of Louisiana's Seven Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA) and Non-Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas, 1979
Comparison Between Louisiana's 1979 Crime Rates and the Crime Rates of Each Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA)
Offenses Reported by Month, 1978-1979
Louisiana Crime Projections, 1980
Summary Arrest Analysis, 1979
Narcotic and Dangerous Drug Law Violations, 1979 I-107
HOW THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM RESPONDS TO CRIME
Louisiana Criminal Justice System
Louisiana Law Enforcement, 1979

									PAGE
Louisiana	District At	corneys, 19	979 .	••	•	•	• • •	•	I-131
Louisiana	's Courts, 19	79	• • •	• •	a s	•	 • . •	•	I-139
Louisiana	Adult Correc	ctions, 19	79.	• •	• •	ŧ	• • •	•	I-151
Louisiana	Juvenile Co:	crections,	1979	• •	•	•			I-163
Louisiana	Crime Labora	atories, 19	979.	۰.	• o	ņ			I-169

## APPENDIX

TABLE		PAGE
1	Louisiana's Index Offenses Reported by Parish, 1979	II-2
2	Louisiana's Index Offenses Per 100,000 Population by Parish, 1979	II-4
3	Percent Distribution of Index Offenses Reported in Louisiana by Parish, 1979	II-6
4	Comparison of Louisiana's Population, Total Index Offenses, and Total Index Crime Rates by Parish, 1978-1979	II-8
5	Comparison of Louisiana's Index Violent Crime Incidences by Parish, 1978-1979	II-10
6	Comparison of Louisiana's Index Violent Crime Rates by Parish, 1978-1979	II-12
7	Comparison of Louisiana's Index Property Crime Incidences by Parish, 1978-1979	II-14
8	Comparison of Louisiana's Index Property Crime Rates by Parish, 1978-1979	II-16
9	Crime Within Louisiana's Major Cities Compared to the Surrounding Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), 1979	II-18
10	Comparison of Crime in Louisiana's Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), 1978-1979	II-20
11	Louisiana's Index Crimes by Month, 1978-1979	II-23
12	Offenses Reported and Crime Rates by Population Group, 1978-1979	II-24
13	Louisiana's Total Drug Arrests by Parish, 1978-1979.	II-26
14	Louisiana's Juvenile Drug Arrests by Parish, 1978- 1979	II-28
15	Drug Arrests in Louisiana's Major Cities and Surrounding Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), 1978-1979	II-30

TABLE

PAGE

16	Juvenile Arrests in Louisiana's Major Cities and Surrounding Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), 1978-1979
17	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Criminal Homicide, 1978-1979
18	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Forcible Rape, 1978- 1979II-33
19	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Robbery, 1978-1979 II-34
20	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Aggravated Assault, 1978-1979
21	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Total Violent Offenses, 1978-1979
22	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Burglary, 1978-1979 II-37
23	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Larceny-Theft, 1978-1979 . II-38
24	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Motor Vehicle Theft, 1978-1979
25	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Total Property Offenses, 1978-1979
26	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Total Index Offenses, 1978-1979
27	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Sale and/or Manufacturing of Marijuana, 1978-1979
28	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Sale and/or Manufacturing of Other Drugs, 1978-1979
29	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Total Sale and/or Manufacturing of Drugs, 1978-1979
30	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Possession of Marijuana, 1978-1979
31	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Possession of Other Drugs, 1978-1979
32	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Total Possession of Drugs, 1978-1979
33	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Total Drug Violations, 1978-1979

TABLE		PAGE
34	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Curfew and/or Loitering, 1978-1979	II-49
35	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Runaway, 1978-1979	II-50
36	Louisiana Arrest Summary: Total Offenses, 1978-1979	II-51
37	Comparison of Louisiana Law Enforcement Information by Parish, 1979	II-52
38	Comparison of 1978 and 1979 Law Enforcement Expenditures	II-54
39	Screening Practices Among Louisiana District Attorneys, 1979	II-56
40	Types of Criminal Cases Handled by Louisiana District Attorneys, 1979	II-57
41	Types of Civil Cases Handled by Louisiana District Attorneys, 1979	II-58
42	Diversion Programs in District Attorneys' Offices, 1979	II-59
43	Louisiana District Attorneys Offering Special Programs, 1979	II-60
44	Staffing Levels and Operations Expenditures of Louisiana's District Attorneys, 1979	II-61
45	Louisiana District Courts: Three Year Trend in Activity	II-62
46	Louisiana's District Court Resources, 1979	II-65
47	Ratio of District Judges to Their District Population, January 1, 1980	II-66
48	Louisiana District Courts Information Summary, 1979.	II-68
49	Louisiana City and Parish Courts; Three Year Trend in Activity, 1977-1979	II-70
50	Louisiana Family and Juvenile Courts: Three Year Trend in Activity, 1977-1979	II-72
51	Adult Admissions to the Louisiana Department of Corrections by Race and Sex, Fiscal Years 1977-1978; 1978-1979	II-73

#### TABLE

52	Adult Admissions by Length of Sentence, Fiscal Years 1977-1978; 1978-1979	II-74
53	Adult Exits by Race, Sex and Age, Fiscal Year 1978-1979	II-75
54	Adult Exits by Institution and Type of Release, Fiscal Year 1978-1979	II-76
55	Average Daily Populations of Louisiana Department of Corrections' Adult Institutions, Fiscal Year 1978-1979	II-77
56	Louisiana Department of Corrections Employee Turnover Rates for Adult Institutions, Fiscal Year 1978-1979	II-78
57	Workload Data for Local Correctional Facilities in Louisiana, 1979	II-80
58	Local Correctional Facility Population by Age Group on January 3, 1980	II-82
<i>»</i> 59	Local Correctional Facility Population by Race and Sex on January 3, 1980	II-84
60	Local Correctional Facility Personnel and Personnel Attrition, 1979	II-86
61	Meeting the Inmates' Needs in Local Correctional Facilities, 1979	II-88
62	Louisiana Department of Corrections Average Daily Population of Juvenile Institutions, Fiscal Year 1978-1979	II-90
63	Turnover Rate in Louisiana Department of Corrections Juvenile Institute, Fiscal Year 1978-1979	II-91
64	Summary Description of Louisiana's Clerks of Court, 1979	II-92
<b></b>	Complete Disposition Reporting System (CDR) - Sample Printouts	II-94
	Glossary of Terms	II-104

## <u>I N T R O D U C T I O N</u>

The purpose of this report is to provide the reader with the best and most currently available information on the nature, extent and location of crime in the state and the response of Louisiana's criminal justice system to crime. The information is designed to increase public awareness of the complexity of crime and to increase public understanding of the operations of the criminal justice system's efforts to handle these problems. In addition, the report underscores the limitations of the available data and the need for more information on certain aspects of crime and criminal justice operations.

<u>Crime in Louisiana</u> is designed as a working tool for government officials and private citizens concerned with the impact of crime and interested in developing rational and effective efforts to solve this problem.

<u>Crime in Louisiana</u> was produced with funds obtained through a federal grant from the Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Criminal Justice. All work associated with the production of this report was completed by the Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division. LOUISIANA CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEM

## THE LOUISIANA CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEM. . . THE ADMINISTRATION OF INFORMATION IN LOUISIANA

The sound administration of criminal justice depends heavily on the timely and accurate collection, assimilation, and retrieval of pertinent information and its dissemination to appropriate government agencies and the public. The major mission of the Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division (LCJIS) has been the development, implementation, and maintenance of data collection systems. It provides essential information on: (1) crime as it occurs within the state; (2) the offenders who are apprehended and enter the criminal justice system; and (3) the manner in which the system responds to both offenses and individual offenders.

Three systems for collecting, reporting, and processing information have been designed and implemented to provide needed information to the criminal justice community. The Louisiana Uniform Crime Reporting System gathers information on the seven Index Crimes reported to law enforcement agencies in order to define specific statewide crime patterns and problems.<sup>1</sup> Also gathered by this system are data on arrests made by law enforcement agencies and the value of property stolen and recovered. The Complete Disposition Reporting - Offender Based Transaction Statistics System collects relevant information on: (1) all individuals entered and processed into the criminal justice system for violation of state criminal statutes; (2) the nature and disposition of all charges and proceedings involving each offender; and (3) the manner in which the system is affected by and responds to offenders it handles. The Louisiana Management and Administrative (M&A) Statistics System collects data on personnel, equipment, budget and operations of the state's criminal justice system. Further information on the types of data collected and processed by the Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division is presented in the flow chart on page I-5.

The LCJIS Division is also responsible for assuring that criminal justice information meets federal and state regulations regarding privacy and security. The agency developed the Louisiana Privacy and Security of Criminal History Records Information Regulations in 1977. Under this plan the LCJIS Division oversees the compliance of criminal history record information for completeness, accuracy, timeliness and availability. Monitoring and accessing procedures have been developed and training sessions on Privacy and Security procedures are being conducted. LCJIS also provides technical assistance in meeting the privacy and security requirements of all affected agencies.

The Research and Analysis Section of LCJIS oversees the collection and processing of crime and criminal justice information. This Section performs the quality control function and assures the accuracy and completeness of the data. It also serves as a statewide clearinghouse for criminal justice information. It analyzes data collected by the above information systems, and issues regular and recurring reports .

<sup>1</sup>See Appendix Glossary of Terms for a detailed description of the Uniform Crime Reporting System.

#### The Development of LCJIS

Congress first officially recognized the need to understand more about the problem of crime in the United States in 1930. At that time, the FBI was authorized to act as a clearinghouse for national crime statistics. In the same year, a voluntary national program for the uniform compilation and reporting of known Index Crime Offenses was launched by the International Association of Chiefs of Police. For several decades almost all information on crime in the nation was derived from law enforcement agencies voluntarily reporting directly to the FBI.

Two factors emerged which spurred efforts to improve crime information. First, the existing system was found to be largely inadequate to meet state and local needs, both in accurately defining problems and providing timely crime information. More importantly, it rapidly became evident that while information regarding the problem of crime was being gathered, no similar effort was being made to examine the problems associated with the volume of criminals as they were processed by agencies of the criminal justice system. In addition, it was becoming increasingly evident that while "career criminals" committed a disproportionate percentage of the offenses known to law enforcement, no system for accurately tracing the history of inal offenders was available.

In response to developing needs, states began to assume the direct responsibility for the collection of crime statistics. Rapid improvement in offense reporting was noticed. Automation of manual systems affected additional improvement by eliminating lengthly delays in producing much needed offense statistics.

Further improvement was promoted through efforts of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. In 1972, a comprehensive program was initiated to assist states in the development of systems for the collection of information on crime, individual offenders, and the nature of the processing activities of the user agencies in the criminal justice system. Louisiana received a series of large awards in federal funds in order to develop an automated information system capability.

Because Louisiana's Uniform Crime Reporting program remained a voluntary effort (employing direct agency reports to the FBI) until July 1975, the system itself developed somewhat slowly. In July 1974, while under federal supervision, crime reports were submitted by 34 sheriffs' offices and 43 police departments. By December 1977, as a state administered effort, participation in the Uniform Crime Reporting program had expanded to 63 sheriffs' offices and 103 police departments. Over 99 percent of Louisiana's population is encompassed by the jurisdictional coverage of law enforcement agencies currently reporting. A significant achievement of complete reporting by all eligible law enforcement agencies (166) was accomplished in 1978. This high level continued into 1979, with 99.0 percent reporting.

The Complete Disposition Reporting - Offender Based Transaction Statistics System, (originally OBTS/CCH) resulted from the widespread recognition that existing data on operations of the criminal justice system were extremely limited. Each component of the system had different methods of counting and measuring its respective workloads. Police used number of arrests; the district attorneys used charges; the courts used cases; and

THE LOUISIANA CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEM ...

WHAT IT PROVIDES



H J V corrections used number of offenders. As a result, a uniform system for measuring workload and agency activities was non-existent. No comparison existed between activities of the components. Also, no measurement could be made of the movement of offenders through the system, or the effect the activities of one component had upon the other. Further problems evolved due to the utilization of different ways of measuring agency and component activities. In addition, no method existed for compiling a complete record of what happened to individual offenders processed by various agencies. Because of the lack of individual offender records, the ability of the system to successfully apprehend, prosecute, sentence, and provide corrective treatment to multiple offenders was weakened. Because of poor records, many criminals escaped justice. Those arrested, but subsequently determined innocent, were often damaged in later activities due to the stigma attached to an arrest record without final disposition information.

The solution devised was the development of a system which collected and recorded data on individuals as they proceeded through the criminal justice system. Therefore, collection sub-systems were first established for each phase of the criminal justice process. These sub-systems — FINDEX, DADR, JAMIS, CAJUN — ultimately, would be capable of translating their activities in terms of what happened in relation to individuals. No information would be lost; instead, the existing information would be linked and interpreted in light of the relative effect produced on or by the individual offenders.

In 1977, the OBTS/CCH system was redesigned and finalized as the Complete Disposition Reporting - Offender Based Transaction Statistics System (CDR/ OBTS). The CDR system is designed primarily as an operational tool to aid all components of the criminal justice system (law enforcement, prosecution, courts and corrections) by providing complete, accurate and timely criminal history record information. A secondary product of this system will be the OBTS reports measuring offender flow and processing that will provide planning and management information for local, regional and state policy makers. The CDR implementation process was initiated in the latter part of 1977. The state's seven metropolitan areas were surveyed to determine which areas would comprise the pilot phase of implementation. East Baton Rouge, Lafayette, and Rapides Farishes were selected on the basis of their capacity to participate and the affected agencies' interest in the program. By the end of 1978, using data from these parishes, the CDR system was thoroughly tested and evaluated. In accordance with the implementation program, the seven SMSA's began participating by the end of 1979, with other areas phasing into the system on an ability to participate basis. The end of 1980 is the target date for full implementation of the CDR system.

In order for LCJIS to successfully accomplish its designed tasks, two distinct types of operations are necessary. The first is the data collection and processing function, the second is the statistical analysis function. These two tasks are graphically presented on the following page. Although separate in the type and use of data collected and processed, both LUCR and CDR share common collection and processing procedures as well as manpower requirements.

The major portion of both LUCR and CDR/OBTS data is collected through the use of manual forms. The LCJIS Field Services Section is charged with the

L.C.J.I.S. DATA COLLECTION AND PROCESSING FLOW



I-7

\*<u>STATISTICAL PACKAGE FOR THE SOCIAL SERVICES</u> AUTOMATED RESEARCH TOOL PROVIDING FAST, SOPHISTICATED STATISTICAL ANALYSIS responsibility of training the contributors, coordinating collection and additional training in order to ensure that the data from the agencies are accurate. As the data collection forms continue through the processing phase, they are reviewed and accountability procedures are maintained by the Data Processing Verification Section of LCJIS for both LUCR and CDR/OBTS. Once prepared for automation, the data from both systems are sent to data processing at the Department of Public Safety Computer Center.

When the data are in the appropriate automated system, reports can be obtained for analysis. The second major function of the LCJIS operation, statistical analysis, can now be discussed. The Research and Analysis Section is tasked to examine, analyze, and compare the raw data reports from the various systems and sub-systems. From these reports, meaningful information can be provided for planning management and operational use. Although independent of the operational function of LUCR and CDR/OBTS, the Research and Analysis Section is dependent upon those systems for the raw data needed to conduct the required analyses, studies, and research.

#### The Research and Analysis Section (R&A)

15

The Research and Analysis Section (formerly SAC) is the collection base for criminal justice statistics for the state. Its mission is to provide objective, interpretive analysis of the state's criminal justice problems. As the information center for the entire LCJIS operation, R&A is constantly involved in answering requests from legislators, criminal justice officials and personnel, state and local agencies, and private citizens. In 1979, 123 information requests were filled. Furthermore, it supplies various analysis components to the Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement Comprehensive Plan.

While primarily addressing state and local criminal justice information needs, R&A coordinates a joint federal-state data collection for producing a regular statistical series. One of the products is the quarterly report derived from LUCR data, Crime Update. During 1979, R&A continued its expansion of reports dealing with the descriptive analysis of the nature, size and trend of crime. A report analyzing actual versus attempted Forcible Rapes and Burglaries was published using statistics from the Louisiana Uniform Crime Reporting (LUCR) System. An in-depth analysis of Criminal Homicide statistics from the LUCR system was also produced. An arrest report analyzing the 1978 statistics is being published. For 1980, in addition to the above reports, a detailed analysis will be performed which examines various sub-categories of information on Robbery, Burglary, Larceny-Theft and Motor Vehicle Theft. In 1979, R&A also completed its Crime Statute Digest, a milestone in terms of useful, reference-type information for the state's criminal justice community. The Digest will be updated annually and will provide the user with a convenient, concise and comprehensive compendium of information on state statutes. For 1980, a juvenile supplement to the Digest is slated to be developed and published. Finally, R&A expects to publish a handbook on statistics for operational use by local police administrators.

The R&A Section is constantly monitoring data being reported into the LUCR and CDR/OBTS information systems in terms of its accuracy and completeness.

Working closely with the LCJIS Field Services staff, R&A screens all data produced by these two systems comparing it to data reported in previous years. Suspect data is brought to the attention of the Field Services staff for investigation and, if necessary, correction.

The R&A Section introduced in 1978 the use of the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), a series of packaged statistical analyses programs for use in manipulating a wide variety of data files. SPSS enables the R&A Section to have quick response to information requests involving any of the data currently available. LOUISIANA COMPLETE DISPOSITION REPORTING

THE LOUISIANA COMPLETE DISPOSITION REPORTING SYSTEM: AN AUTOMATED APPROACH TO CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORDS KEEPING

The ready availability of complete and accurate criminal history information is important to all criminal justice agencies. In fact, criminal histories are used for two purposes: criminal information (arrest investigations, plea negotiations and jury selection) and non-criminal information (security checks for employment and license application decisions). However, the criminal history information currently available at the state level often does not meet these needs adequately. The manual record system, maintained by the Louisiana State Police, is hindered by inaccurate and incomplete data and by lengthy request processing time.

The Complete Disposition Reporting (CDR) System was designed by LCJIS to eliminate these problems. The CDR System is an automated system which collects final dispositions for each arrest, as well as all significant transactions between arrest and final disposition. Due to the collection of final dispositions, the CDR System facilitates compliance with Federal Privacy and Security regulations requiring the inclusion of final dispositions in arrest records within 90 days of the disposition of the arrest. The CDR System also collects information on correctional status changes, such as parole, sentence completion, etc. The quality of this information is insured through constant auditing of the data recorded. Since the CDR System is automated, information recorded can be accessed and disseminated much more rapidly than is feasible by the manual system. When the CDR System is converted to an on-line computerized criminal history system, criminal justice agencies will be able to obtain immediate access of summary criminal history information through the state's teletype communication network.

The CDR System is comprised of the following interactive components:

1. <u>FINDEX</u> - The Louisiana State Police's automated fingerprint/name index system used to identify the fingerprints of each offender arrested for a state statute violation.

FINDEX modifications will allow immediate on-line access of summary criminal histories. More detailed criminal histories can be printed by the CDR System on command from a terminal at the Louisiana State Police Bureau of Identification.

2. <u>Arrest Component</u> - Individual arrest disposition reports submitted by law enforcement agencies.

Detailed information on every arrest made for a violation of a state statute is submitted by law enforcement agencies to the CDR System. Each offender is identified by fingerprints and a unique identification number. This identification allows the arrest information to supplement the criminal history records in FINDEX. 3. <u>Prosecutive Component</u> - Individual prosecutive and judicial disposition reports submitted by the district attorneys.

Using the unique identifier supplied by law enforcement agencies, district attorneys provide prosecutive and court dispositional information, including sentencing. These data update the arrest records in the CDR System and supplement the criminal history records in FINDEX.

4. CAJUN - The Louisiana Department of Corrections offender status system.

CAJUN will interface with the CDR System, providing the major status changes of an offender in the state correctional system. These data will also be used to update FINDEX, thus completing the criminal history record cycle of an offender's record.

5. Local Corrections Component - A collection system which gathers major status changes of all offenders in the local correctional facilities for violation of state statutes.

This component will serve the same purpose as CAJUN but on the local level, updating and supplementing the criminal history records in FINDEX.

#### Status of the Implementation of the CDR System

The CDR System is presently operational in seventeen parishes, representing the seven major metropolitan area of the state. The metropolitan areas, inclusive parishes and beginning reporting dates are

MAJOR METROPOLITAN AREAS	PARISHES	DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION
Alexandria	Rapides	May 8, 1978
Baton Rouge	East Baton Rouge	April 24, 1978
Lafayette	Acadia Lafayette Vermilion	June 1, 1979 May 15, 1978 June 1, 1979
Lake Charles	Calcasieu	January 1, 1980
Monroe	Morehouse Ouachita	June 1, 1979 June 1, 1979
New Orleans	Jefferson Orleans Plaquemines St. Bernard St. Tammany Washington	August 6, 1979 August 6, 1979 August 6, 1979 August 6, 1979 August 6, 1979 August 6, 1979
	nashtingcon	August 0, 1979

#### MAJOR METROPOLITAN AREAS

#### PARISHES

#### DATE OF IMPLEMENTATION

Shreveport

Bossier		
Caddo		
Webster		

July	1,	1979
July	1,	1979
July	1,	1979

Since the majority of arrests occur in the metropolitan areas, over threefourths of all arrests for state statute violations are now being reported to the CDR System (based on 1979 LUCR data).

The remainder of the state is scheduled for implementation in 1980. The Parishes of East and West Feliciana began reporting March 1, 1980. The remaining parishes are scheduled to begin reporting on a phased schedule during the coming year. However, since many parishes not presently reporting are facing administration changes in their sheriff's offices (due to the election of new sheriffs), the implementation schedule for the remaining parishes is not finalized.

Many scheduled modifications to the CDR and FINDEX Systems which will allow the generation of computerized criminal histories have been completed. Modifications to the FINDEX System for improvement of efficiency and reponse time have been developed. These modifications, along with the capability to generate final disposition reports and criminal histories, will become operational once the CDR and FINDEX Systems are converted to the Computerized Criminal History (CCH) System. The conversion process will result in on-line input for arrest records, reduced information duplication, enhanced posting capabilities, and the capability to enter older arrest data from manual files. The conversion is scheduled to be accomplished by July, 1980. After the conversion, the Louisiana State Police Bureau of Criminal Identification will become the clearinghouse for all computerized criminal histories, thus making possible the rapid dissemination of computerized "Rap" sheets to local agencies.

By the end of 1980, all modifications should be in place, allowing the CDR/ CCH System to provide timely, complete and accurate criminal histories to criminal justice agencies. The provision of this data will insure that participating agencies meet state and federal requirements and regulations for the privacy and security of criminal records, as well as aiding the agencies in their daily operations. PRIVACY AND SECURITY OF CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORD INFORMATION

## PRIVACY AND SECURITY OF CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORDS INFORMATION

In December, 1979, the President signed into law the bill reorganizing and extending the life of the federal grant-in-aid program for improving state and local criminal justice.

The Justice System Improvement Act of 1979 (S. 241) becomes the successor to the Crime Control Act of 1968 as amended in 1973. It therefore also forms the backbone and enabling legislation which addresses the subject of the Privacy and Security of Criminal History Record Information (CHRI).

Section 818 (b) of the Justice Improvement Act of 1979 states:

"(b) All criminal history information collected, stored, or disseminated through support under this title shall contain, to the maximum extent feasible, disposition as well as arrest data where arrest data is included therein. The collection, storage, and dissemination of such information shall take place under procedures reasonably designed to insure that all such information is kept current therein; the Office of Justice Assistance, Research, and Statistics shall assure that the security and privacy of all information is adequately provided for and that information shall only be used for law enforcement and criminal justice and other lawful purposes. In addition, an individual who believes that criminal history information concerning him contained in an automated system is inaccurate, incomplete, or maintained in violation of this title, shall, upon satisfactory verification of his identity, be entitled to review such information and to obtain a copy of it for the purpose of challenge or correction."

It should be noted that the foregoing language is, except for the specific naming of the federal agency responsible for privacy and security (i.e., the Office of 'ustice Assistance, Research, and Statistics instead of simply, "the Administration") exactly the same as that cited in previous legislation. Consequently, there are no <u>substantive</u> changes envisioned in either the Federal or the State Privacy and Security Plan or Regulations at this time. However, some consideration is being given to revising the State Regulations in 1980 in the interests of simplifying some of the more complex requirements and forms. This action would support the results observed during annual audits performed by the LCJIS staff on some 12 criminal justice agencies in 1979.

During the audits conducted in 1979, it was determined that except for some technical deficiencies, the agencies were generally in compliance with the intent and spirit of the Regulations. Among the more pronounced deficiencies were the following:

- . Security While physical security was with few exceptions, generally excellent, personnel security particularly where the agency shared computer services with a non-criminal justice agency was often marginal. This deficiency has been corrected in most instances.
- . Completeness and Accuracy The basic problem here was the obtaining of dispositions. The LCJIS Complete Disposition Reporting System (CDR) is making good progress on solving the problem where the system is fully operative.
- . Audits and Quality Control Some agencies had not been performing their internal, systematic audits as required by the Regulations. Training visits and observation of the State annual audits have assisted in relieving this deficiency.

Agency cooperation in meeting the requirements of the State Privacy and Security Plan has been outstanding. LCLE/LCJIS is particularly pleased with the personal interest expressed by all agency heads in fulfilling the objectives of the Regulations.

## THE VOLUME AND LOCATION OF CRIME IN LOUISIANA

## CRIME IN LOUISIANA. . . ITS VOLUME AND LOCATION

#### INTRODUCTION

This section addresses the subject of crime in Louisiana for 1979. The Governor's Office and the Legislature have a vital interest in this topic because, as the state's chief policy makers and resource allocation authorities, they are in the best position to provide the means for attacking the problem of crime. Certainly, they are the closest to being an authority over what is loosely termed the "Louisiana Criminal Justice System."

A proper response to the complex and pervasive problem of crime requires that effective policy decisions and appropriate allocations of scarce resources be made by the Governor and Members of the Legislature. This response basically consists of four major tasks: identification of the problem; selection of a solution; implementation of the solution; and monitoring and evaluation of the solution. This analysis deals only with the task of identification of the problem.

Problem identification entails three major sub-tasks: (1) the determination of the present crime situation; (2) the projection of future crime trends; and (3) the measurement of the criminal justice system capacity. The first involves the collection and evaluation of available crime data and its conversion into information about the nature, volume and location of crime. The second sub-task involves calculation of anticipated changes in crime trends and patterns. These projections utilize data provided by the first sub-task. The third sub-task collects management and administrative data on the operations of the component agencies of the criminal justice system (law enforcement, prosecution, courts and corrections) to establish a measure of the response of criminal justice to the challenge of crime. This section presents the findings of the first two sub-tasks. The findings of sub-task three are presented in the next section of this report.

Specifically, this section first presents a summary analysis of the findings about crime in Louisiana in 1979. This is followed by the analysis of LUCR Reported Index Offense data presenting the volume and location of Index Crime for 1979 and the change in the rate of Index Crime from 1978 to 1979. The next part of the analysis describes the intra-state distribution of Index Offenses. Index Offense rates are compared on the dimension of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA) versus Non-SMSA and against the total state crime rates. This part of the report can be used to identify those jurisdictions with crime rates differing significantly from comparable jurisdictions. The comparisons are followed by an arrest analysis that examines the characteristics of those arrested by particular offense and a separate analysis of Drug Offense arrests. The concluding part of this section projects state crime rates for each Index Offense for 1980. These projections are based on reported data for the years 1972 through 1979.

In order to minimize misunderstanding and misleading use of the information contained in this section, it is necessary to describe the qualifications and limitations of the data used in the analysis. The Louisiana Uniform Crime Reporting<sup>1</sup> (LUCR) system provided the, 1976 through 1979 crime data that appear in the report. Previous years' data have been extracted for the National Federal Bureau of Investigation's (F.B.I.) UCR program.

The crime data that are captured by the LUCR system understate the actual extent and volume of crime. LUCR reports only certain offenses, (Criminal Homicide, Forcible Rape, Robbery, Aggravated Assault, Burglary, Larceny-Theft and Motor Vehicle Theft) - defined as Index Offenses, known to the police. The reader is directed to the Glossary of Terms, page II-105, for the definitions of these Index Offenses. All other crimes known to the police are not included in the LUCR system. Obviously undetected crime, whether Index or other, cannot be included in any reporting system. Of the Index Offenses, Criminal Homicide and Motor Vehicle Theft are considered the most reliable, since these are most likely to be reported. Nevertheless, there are no doubt unreported and undetected Criminal Homicides and Motor Vehicle Thefts. National victimization surveys indicate that the true crime rate may be two or three times higher than the LUCR base crime rate.

Other problems with LUCR data arise from peculiarities in the reporting and scoring requirements established by the FBI to assure uniformity and comparability of data. The hierarchy rule requires reporting only the most serious offenses in a multiple offense or multiple charge arrests. For example, a criminal event combining Criminal Homicide, Forcible Rape and Motor Vehicle Theft would appear in the LUCR crime report only as a Criminal Homicide. Similarly, an arrest including Aggravated Assault, Burglary and Motor Vehicle Theft would appear in the arrest report as an arrest for Aggravated Assault.

Another example of reporting peculiarities could occur in the case of a reported gang rape involving one victim and five offenders. The LUCR system would score one offense of Forcible Rape in the area of Offenses Reported from which all crime rate and volume statistics are derived. Assuming all offenders were arrested the same LUCR system would require the recording of five separate arrests. Since both offenses reported and arrest statistics are separate aggregate statistics, there is no way to connect subsequent arrest totals with Offenses Reported totals, or to make any valid inferences between the two. In addition, an individual arrested five times over the course of a year is counted as five arrests. This is reasonable as an indicator of police activity but easily misinterpreted as an indicator of the number of offenders processed or waiting to be processed by other components of the criminal justice system such as courts and corrections.

Furthermore, there is no legitimate way to construct connections between offense and arrest information or to infer from these data to other processes of the criminal justice system. Though LUCR information pertains directly to a portion of the police function, it does not capture any of their non-crime activities. Consequently, it does not provide an adequate indicator of police activity or effectiveness.

See Glossary for a definition of the Uniform Crime Reporting System.

Another qualification concerns the limitations of the analysis projecting crime rates for 1980. An inherent risk of projections derived from such a small data base is the possibility that the reality on which the projections are based may not conform to the assumption of linearity. If the real distribution is curvilinear, the projections may have caught an upward or downward trend. If this is the case, the projections may be wildly off target.

Finally, crime data are what social scientists call "soft data." Increases or decreases in particular crimes or in particular jurisdictions may or may not reflect actual changes in criminal activity. The changes may simply be an artifact of reporting, or may be a combination of changes in crime and changes in reporting. Consequently, it would be wise to regard any areas of concern pinpointed by this report as indicators of situations meriting further investigation, rather than conclusive findings. Ideally, such information as is presented herein relative to specific crime situations, should be coordinated with all other pertinent data before conclusive analysis can be attempted.

Except for Orleans Parish, LUCR crime statistics by agency totals cannot be associated with a particular geographic area. The reason for this limitation can be attributed to the overlapping jurisdictions between the sheriff's office and the municipal law enforcement agencies of all the parishes except Orleans. Since sheriff's offices operate within city limits (except for New Orleans), the city police figures will typically understate the reported crime that occurs within city limits.

> NOTE: Under Uniform Crime Report philosophy, all <u>attempts</u> to commit Index Crimes are counted as actual occurrences of the particular crime involved, except for Attempted Criminal Homicide, which is scored as an Aggravated Assault.

SUMMARY FINDINGS ABOUT CRIME IN LOUISIANA, 1979

### SUMMARY FINDINGS ABOUT CRIME IN LOUISIANA, 1979

The purpose of the following crime summary is to provide an overview of crime in Louisiana in 1979, and in particular, to acquaint the reader with the concept of crime per capita. Crime per capita, as used in this and all subsequent analyses and presentations in the report, is a measure of the number of Index Offenses, as defined by the Uniform Crime Report (UCR) system, occurring among standardized units of population. Specifically, the term "Crime Rate" refers to the number of offenses reported per 100,000 population, and is a nationally accepted crime statistic suitable for cross-jurisdictional crime comparisons, since it compensates for population density.

As an additional method of illustrating crime density, the SMSA/Non-SMSA concept, as defined earlier, is utilized throughout this report to provide means of understanding the Louisiana crime problem. Although generally considered a rural rather than urban state, in actuality 63.4 percent of Louisiana's population reside in SMSA locales - and as the subsequent analysis will document, over 83 percent of all Index Offenses occurred in the seven SMSA's.

This crime summary also points out changes in crime rates in 1979 as compared to 1978, as a means of providing a brief glimpse into crime trends. A more detailed treatment of Louisiana crime trends, covering several years and including future projections, is presented in a later section of this report.

#### Violent Crime Summary

#### Criminal Homicide

The Criminal Homicide Crime Rate in Louisiana showed an overall 5.7 percent increase from a rate of 15.7 in 1978 to 16.6 in 1979. This increase was primarily due to a 11.7 percent Criminal Homicide Rate increase within Louisiana's SMSA locales, which as a group accounted for 75.9 percent of all 1979 Criminal Homicides. This larger proportion of Criminal Homicides occurring within SMSA's versus outside of SMSA's overshadowed the fact that the Non-SMSA Criminal Homicide rate actually decreased 7.6 percent, from 11.8 in 1978, to 10.9 in 1979.

#### Forcible Rape

The Forcible Rape Crime Rate for Louisiana rose from 34.5 in 1978 to 38.4 in 1979, for a net increase of 11.3 percent. The state SMSA locales reported a 13.5 percent rate increase, from 42.9 in 1978 to 48.7 in 1979, and there was a 4.0 percent rate increase for Forcible Rape in Louisiana's Non-SMSA locales, from 19.9 percent in 1978, to 20.7 percent in 1979.
#### Total Property Offenses

In 1979, Louisiana's Total Property Crime Rate, which includes as a group the offenses of Burglary, Larceny-Theft and Motor Vehicle Theft, was 4,664.4, which represents an increase of 11.7 percent over the 1978 rate of 4,176.4. In terms of population density, the state SMSA rate was 6,174.2, or an increase of 12.3 percent over 1978's rate of 5,504.6. The Non-SMSA rate showed 10.0 percent increase, going from 1,876.2 in 1978 to 2,064.3 in 1979.

#### Total Index Crime Summary

### Total Index Offenses

The combined Crime Rate for all seven Index Crimes, taken as a group, showed an overall 12.1 percent increase in 1979 over 1978 (a 1978 rate of 4,759.4 versus the 1979 rate of 5,337.5). The SMSA Index Crime Rate rose 12.5 percent, from 6,237.4 in 1978 to 7,017.8 in 1979. A similar increase was found in the state's Non-SMSA rate, which rose from 2,199.8 in 1978 to 2,444.0 in 1979, or 11.1 percent.

To further illustrate the concept of crime density, the following brief treatment on Urban/Rural crime in Louisiana is presented:

- 1. Residents of Louisiana SMSA locales in 1979 were twice as likely to be the victim of a Violent Crime, than were Non-SMSA locale residents (SMSA rate of 843.5 compared to Non-SMSA rate of 379.7).
- SMSA residents in 1979 were almost three times more likely to be the victim of a Property Crime than were Non-SMSA residents (SMSA rate of 6,174.2 versus Non-SMSA rate of 2,064.3).
- Overall, the chances of being a victim of one of the Index Offenses as a group were over 2 3/4 times greater for SMSA residents than Non SMSA residents (SMSA rate of 7,017.8 versus Non-SMSA rate of 2,444.0).

The above illustrations were developed from parish-wide statistics, and consequently tend to generalize the crime situation throughout the areas involved in an attempt to provide some insight into Louisiana's urban crime situation. While such area-wide statistics are helpful in many ways, it should not be overlooked that they also tend to obscure or understate high-crime density areas within a parish, and also overstate the crime problem as it actually exists for residents of other areas in the parish.

#### Robbery

The 1979 state Robbery Crime Rate increased 26.9 percent overall, with the SMSA rate increasing 26.9 percent, and the Non-SMSA rate increasing 30.1 percent. At the state level, the Robbery rate was 218.7, compared to 1978's 172.3. The 1979 SMSA rate was 321.6, compared to 253.4 in 1978; the state Non-SMSA locales reported a 1979 rate of 41.5, compared to 31.9 in 1978.

### Aggravated Assault

Louisiana's 1979 overall Aggravated Assault Crime Rate rose 10.8 percent over 1978, going from 360.3 to 399.3. The largest increase in terms of population density occurred in Louisiana's Non-SMSA locales, which reported a 17.9 percent rate increase (259.9 in 1978 to 306.5 in 1979) as compared to the SMSA rate increase of only 8.3 percent (418.4 in 1978 to 453.1 in 1979).

### Total Violent Offense

Louisiana's Total Violent Crime Rate, which includes the offenses of Criminal Homicide, Forcible Rape, Robbery and Aggravated Assault as a group, rose 15.5 percent, from 582.9 in 1978 to 673.1 in 1979. This increase was reflected uniformly in terms of population density, with the SMSA rate rising 15.1 percent, from 732.7 to 843.5, and the Non-SMSA rate going up 17.3 percent, from 323.6 to 379.7.

#### Property Crime Summary

#### Burglary

Louisiana's Burglary Crime Rate increased 9.9 percent from 1,265.5 in 1978 to 1,391.2 in 1979. The state SMSA rate rose 11.5 percent, from 1,636.1 to 1,825.0 with the Non-SMSA rate showing a much smaller rate of increase, rising only 3.3 percent over 1978 (623.6 to 644.1).

#### Larceny-Theft

The Larceny-Theft Crime Rate in Louisiana showed a increase of 12.3 percent over 1978, going from a rate of 2,552.2 to 2,866.6 in 1979. This increase was consistent with regard to population density with the SMSA Larceny-Theft rate increasing 12.4 percent (from 3,357.7 to 3,775.2), and the Non-SMSA locales increasing 12.5 percent, going from 1,157.2 in 1978 to 1,302.1 in 1979.

#### Motor Vehicle Theft

The crime rate for Motor Vehicle Theft rose 13.3 percent, from 358.7 in 1978 to 406.4 in 1979. The SMSA rate went up 12.4 percent, from 510.7 to 573.9 but the largest increase occurred in the Non-SMSA locales, where Motor Vehicle Theft rose 23.8 percent in 1979 (from 95.3 to 118.0).

CRIME - VOLUME AND LOCATION, 1979

# TOTAL INDEX OFFENSES

TOTAL INDEX OFFENSES IS THE SUMMARY CATEGORY INCLUDING THE SEVEN OFFENSES OF CRIMINAL HOMICIDE, FORCIBLE RAPE, ROBBERY, AGGRAVATED ASSAULT, BURGLARY, LARCENY-THEFT, AND MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT.

215,329 OFFENSES REPORTED IN 1979



# TOTAL INDEX OFFENSES IN LOUISIANA, 1979

### VOLUME AND LOCATION

A total of 215,329 actual Index Offenses were reported in 1979. This is equivalent to 5,337.5 offenses per 100,000 population.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

In 1979, 179,107 or 83.2 percent of the total Index Offenses were reported in the seven Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), which accounted for 63.4 percent of the state's population.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Orleans, East Baton Rouge and Jefferson parishes reported 117,658 or 54.6 percent of all 1979 Index Offenses.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### GREATEST CRIME LOCALES

Offenses Reported			Crime Rate
92,282	New Orleans	<u>SMSA</u>	New Orleans 7,930.7
35,542	Baton Rouge		Baton Rouge 7,792.4
22,210	Shreveport		Shreveport 6,172.0
52,479	Orleans	Parish	E.Baton Rouge 9,505.1
33,057	Jefferson		Orleans 9,220.9
32,122	E.Baton Rouge		Jefferson 7,650.0
16,977	Caddo		Caddo 6,918.6
8,701	Calcasieu		Calcasieu 5,338.5
52,479	New Orleans	<u>Major City</u>	Baton Rouge 10,491.8
23,923	Baton Rouge		New Orleans 9,220.9
15,375	Shreveport		Alexandria 8,448.8

# CRIMINAL HOMICIDE

CRIMINAL HOMICIDE IS DEFINED AS THE WILLFUL (NON-NEGLIGENT) KILLING OF ONE HUMAN BEING BY ANOTHER.

673 OFFENSES REPORTED IN 1979



SOURCE: LOUISIANA CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEM DIVISION

# CRIMINAL HOMICIDE IN LOUISIANA, 1979

### VOLUME AND LOCATION

A total of 673 Criminal Homicides were reported in Louisiana in 1979. This is equivalent to a Crime Rate of 16.6 Criminal Homicides per 100,000 population, and represents 2.5 percent of all Violent Offenses.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

In 1979, 511 or 75.9 percent of Criminal Homicides were reported in the seven Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), which accounted for 63.4 percent of the state's population.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Orleans, Caddo and Jefferson parishes reported 347 or 51.6 percent of all 1979 Criminal Homicides.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

GREATEST CRIME LOCALES

Offer	nses Reported		Crime	Rate
307 69 60	New Orleans Shreveport Baton Rouge	SMSA	New Orleans Shreveport Lake Charles	26.3 19.1 17.9
		Parish		
242	Orleans		Orleans	42.5
55	Caddo		Winn	40.4
 50	Jefferson		W.Baton Rouge	
43	E.Baton Rouge		Claiborne	29.8
29	Calcasieu		Richland	27.5
		Major City		
242	New Orleans	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	New Orleans	42.5
46	Shreveport		Alexandria	24.4

46	Shreveport	•	
25	Baton Rouge		

I-35

21.1

Shreveport

# FORCIBLE RAPE

FORCIBLE RAPE IS DEFINED AS THE CARNAL KNOWLEDGE OF A PERSON FORCIBLY AND AGAINST THEIR WILL.

1,550 OFFENSES REPORTED IN 1979



 $\square$ 

## FORCIBLE RAPE

### IN

### LOUISIANA, 1979

### VOLUME AND LOCATION

A total of 1,550 Forcible Rapes were reported in Louisiana in 1979. This is equivalent to a Crime Rate of 38.4 per 100,000 population, and represents 5.7 percent of all Violent Offenses.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

In 1979, 1,243 or 80.1 percent of Forcible Rapes were reported in the seven Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), which accounted for 63.4 percent of the state's population.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Orleans, Jefferson and East Baton Rouge parishes reported 768 or 49.5 percent of all 1979 Forcible Rapes.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### GREATEST CRIME LOCALES

Offe	nses Reported		Crime	Rate
660	New Orleans	<u>SMSA</u>	New Orleans	56.7
175	Baton Rouge		Lafayette	48.7
173	Shreveport		Shreveport	48.0
423	Orleans	Parish	Orleans	74.3
190	Jefferson		Lincoln	64.3
155	E.Baton Rouge		St. Charles	59.6
130	Caddo		Caddo	52.9
67	Lafayette		Bossier	52.4
423	New Orleans	<u>Major City</u>	New Orleans	74.3
100	Baton Rouge		Alexandria	67.5
87	Shreveport		Monroe	61.7

## ROBBERY

ROBBERY IS DEFINED AS THE TAKING OR ATTEMPTING TO TAKE ANYTHING OF VALUE FROM THE CARE, CUSTODY OR CONTROL OF A PERSON OR PERSONS BY FORCE OR THREAT OF FORCE OR VIOLENCE AND/OR BY PUTTING THE VICTIM IN FEAR.

8,825 OFFENSES REPORTED IN 1979



### ROBBERY

### IN

## LOUISIANA, 1979

#### VOLUME AND LOCATION

A total of 8,825 Robberies were reported in Louisiana in 1979. This is equivalent to a Crime Rate of 218.7 Robberies per 100,000 population, and represents 32.5 percent of all Violent Crimes.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

In 1979, 8,209 or 93.0 percent of all Robberies were reported in the seven Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), which accounted for 63.4 percent of the state's population.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Orleans, Jefferson and East Baton Rouge parishes reported 6,995 or 79.3 percent of all 1979 Robberies.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

GREATEST CRIME LOCALES

Offenses Reported

Crime Rate

558.6

146.7

New Orleans

Shreveport

Baton Rouge 148.4

SMSA

6,500	New Orleans
677	Baton Rouge
528	Shreveport

#### Parish

5,276	Orleans	en e	Orleans	927.0
1,114	Jefferson		Jefferson	257.8
605	E.Baton Rouge		W.Baton Rouge	184.6
443	Caddo		Caddo	180.5
170	Lafayette		E.Baton Rouge	179.0

#### Major City

5,276	New Orleans		New Orleans	927.0
488	Baton Rouge		Baton Rouge	214.0
416	Shreveport		Alexandria	206.3

# AGGRAVATED ASSAULT

AGGRAVATED ASSAULT IS DEFINED AS AN UNLAWFUL ATTACK BY ONE PERSON UPON ANOTHER FOR THE PURPOSE OF INFLICTING SEVERE OR AGGRAVATED BODILY INJURY. THIS TYPE OF ASSAULT USUALLY IS ACCOMPANIED BY THE USE OF WEAPON OR BY MEANS LIKELY TO PRO-DUCE DEATH OR GREAT BODILY HARM.

16,109 OFFENSES REPORTED IN 1979



# AGGRAVATED ASSAULT

### IN

## LOUISIANA, 1979

### VOLUME AND LOCATION

A total of 16,109 Aggravated Assaults were reported in 1979. This is equivalent to a Crime Rate of 399.3 Aggravated Assaults per 100,000 population, and represents 59.3 percent of all Violent Offenses.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

In 1979, 11,566 or 71.8 percent of all Aggravated Assaults were reported in the seven Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), which accounted for 63.4 percent of the state's population.

### \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Orleans, East Baton Rouge, and Jefferson parishes reported 6,988 or 43.4 percent of all 1979 Aggravated Assaults.

#### \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### GREATEST CRIME LOCALES

Offens	es Reported			Crime Rate
+		SMSA		· ·
5,212	New Orleans		Baton Rouge	579.9
2,645	Baton Rouge		Lafayette	471.9
•	Shreveport		New Orleans	447.9
		Parish		

#### Larran

	Orleans E. Baton Rouge Jefferson Caddo Calcasieu		Cameron Madison St. Charles E. Baton Rouge Bossier	1,187.5 1,067.6 872.1 675.5 653.9
		Major City		
2,953	New Orleans		Baton Rouge	753.5

2,953	New Orleans	Baton Rouge	753.5
1,718	Baton Rouge	Monroe	601.0
675	Shreveport	Alexandria	551.4

# TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES

TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES IS THE GENERAL VIOLENT CRIME INDICATOR DERIVED FROM THE SUMMATION OF CRIMINAL HOMICIDE, FORCIBLE RAPE, ROBBERY, AND AGGRAVATED ASSAULT,

27,157 OFFENSES REPORTED IN 1979



I-42

# TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES

### IN

### LOUISIANA, 1979

### VOLUME AND LOCATION

A total of 27,157 Violent Offenses were reported in 1979. This is equivalent to a Crime Rate of 673.1 Violent Offenses per 100,000 population, and represents 12.5 percent of all Index Offenses.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

In 1979, 21,529 or 79.3 percent of all Violent Offenses were reported in the seven Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), which accounted for 63.4 percent of the state's population.

Orleans, Jefferson and East Baton Rouge parishes reported 15,086 or 55.6 percent of all 1979 Violent Offenses.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### GREATEST CRIME LOCALES

Offenses Reported

### Crime Rate

		SMSA		
12,679	New Orleans		New Orleans	1,089.6
3,557	Baton Rouge		Baton Rouge	779.8
2,169			Lafayette	656.6
		Parish		
8,894	Orleans	·	Orleans	1,562.7
3,106	Jefferson		Cameron	1,187.5
3,086	E. Baton Rouge		Madison	1,137.3
1,440	Caddo		St. Charles	985.7
936	Calcasieu		E. Baton Roug	e 913.1
		Major City		
8,894	New Orleans		New Orlenas	1,562.7
2,331	Baton Rouge		Baton Rouge	1,022.3
1,224	Shreveport		Alexandria	849.6

## BURGLARY

BURGLARY IS DEFINED AS THE UNLAWFUL ENTRY OF A STRUCTURE TO COMMIT A FELONY OR A THEFT.

56,125 OFFENSES REPORTED IN 1979



# BURGLARY IN

# LOUISIANA, 1979

### VOLUME AND LOCATION

A total of 56,125 Burglaries were reported in 1979. This is equivalent to a Crime Rate of 1,391.2 Burglaries per 100,000 population, and represents 29.9 percent of all Property Offenses.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

In 1979, 46,579 or 83.0 percent of Burglaries were reported in the seven Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), which accounted for 63.4 percent of the state's population.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Orleans, Jefferson and East Baton Rouge parishes reported 30,708 or 54.7 percent, of all 1979 total Burglaries.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### GREATEST CRIME LOCALS

Offenses Reported	CMCA	Crime Rate
23,689 New Orleans 9,793 Baton Rouge 5,880 Shreveport	SMSA	Baton Rouge 2,147.0 New Orleans 2,035.8 Lafayette 1,765.7
	Dowich	
12,810 Orleans 8,985 Jefferson 8,913 E.Baton Rouge 4,466 Caddo 2,428 Lafayette	<u>Parish</u>	E.Baton Rouge 2,637.4 Orleans 2,250.8 Jefferson 2,079.2 Caddo 1,820.0 Lafayette 1,765.7
12,810 New Orleans 6,413 Baton Rouge	<u>Major City</u>	Baton Rouge 2,812.5 Lafayette 2,275.0
4,031 Shreveport		New Orleans 2,250.8

LARCENY-THEFT IS DEFINED AS THE UNLAWFUL TAKING, CARRYING, LEADING, OR RIDING AWAY OF PROPERTY FROM THE POSSESSION OR CONSTRUCTIVE POSSESSION OF ANOTHER,

115,648 OFFENSES REPORTED IN 1979.



# LARCENY-THEFT IN LOUISIANA, 1979

#### VOLUME AND LOCATION

A total of 115,648 Larceny-Thefts were reported in Louisiana in 1979. This is equivalent to a Crime Rate of 2,866.6 Larceny-Thefts per 100,000 population, and represents 61.5 percent of all Property Offenses.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

In 1979, 96,350 or 83.3 percent of Larceny-Thefts were reported in the seven Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), which accounted for 63.4 percent of the state's population.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Orleans, East Baton Rouge and Jefferson parishes reported 60,637 or 52.4 percent of all 1979 Larceny-Thefts.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### GREATEST CRIME LOCALES

Offenses	Reported	SMSA	Cr	ime Rate
46,083	New Orleans		Baton Rouge	4,409.7
20,113	Baton Rouge		New Orleans	3,960.4
12,937	Shreveport		Shreveport	3,595.1
24,687	Orleans	<u>Parish</u>	E.Baton Rouge	5,380.8
18,184	East Baton Rouge		Orleans	4,337.7
17,766	Jefferson		Caddo	4,116.4
10,101	Caddo		Jefferson	4,111.3
4,992	Calcasieu		Lafayette	3,202.6
24,687	New Orleans	<u>Major City</u>	Baton Rouge	5,985.1
13,647	Baton Rouge		Alexandria	5,358.1
9,235	Shreveport		Lafayette	4,588.5

# MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT

MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT IS DEFINED AS THE THEFT OR ATTEMPTED THEFT OF A MOTOR VEHICLE.

16,399 OFFENSES REPORTED IN 1979.



SOURCE: LOUISIANA CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEM DIVISION

# MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT IN LOUISIANA, 1979

#### VOLUME AND LOCATION

A total of 16,399 Motor Vehicle Thefts were reported in Louisiana in 1979. This is equivalent to a Crime Rate of 406.4 Motor Vehicle Theft Offenses per 100,000 population and represents 8.7 percent of all Property Offenses.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

In 1979, 14,649 or 89.3 percent of Motor Vehicle Thefts were reported in the seven Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), which accounted for 63.4 percent of the state's population.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Orleans, Jefferson and East Baton Rouge parishes reported 11,227 or 68.5 percent of all 1979 Motor Vehicle Thefts.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

GREATEST CRIME LOCALES

Offenses Reported		Crime Rate
	SMSA	
9,831 New Orleans 2,079 Baton Rouge 1,224 Shreveport		New Orleans844.8Baton Rouge455.8Shreveport340.1
	Parish	

6,088	Orleans	Orleans 1,069.7
3,200	Jefferson	Jefferson 740.5
1,939	E. Baton Rouge	E.Baton Rouge 573.7
970	Caddo	Caddo 395.3
484	Calcasieu	St. Tammany 336.9

### Major City

6,088	New Orleans		New Orleans	1,069.7
1,532	Baton Rouge		Baton Rouge	671.9
885	Shreveport	an a	Shreveport	406.6

## TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSES

TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSES IS THE GENERAL PROPERTY CRIME INDICATOR DERIVED FROM THE SUMMATION OF BURGLARY, LARCENY-THEFT, AND MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT.

188,172 OFFENSES REPORTED IN 1979



# TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSES IN LOUISIANA, 1979

### VOLUME AND LOCATION

A total of 188,172 Property Offenses were reported in Louisiana in 1979. This is equivalent to a Crime Rate of 4,664.4 Property Offenses per 100,000 population, and represents 87.4 percent of all Index Offenses.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

In 1979, 157,578 or 83.7 percent of the Total Property Offenses were reported in the seven Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSA), which accounted for 63.4 percent of the state's population.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Orleans, Jefferson and East Baton Rouge parishes reported 102,572 or 54.5 percent of all 1979 Property Offenses.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

GREATEST CRIME LOCALES

Offenses Reported

Crime Rate

		SMSA			
79,603	New Orleans		Baton Rouge	7,012.6	
31,985	Baton Rouge		New Orleans	6,841.1	
20,041	Shreveport		Shreveport	5,569.2	
		Parish			
43,585	Orleans		E.Baton Rouge	8,592.0	
29,951	Jefferson		Orleans	7,658.2	
29,036	E.Baton Rouge		Jefferson	6,931.2	
15,537	Caddo		Caddo	6,331.8	
7,765	Calcasieu		Lafayette	5,284.7	
		Major City			
43,585	New Orleans		Baton Rouge	9,469.5	
21,592	Baton Rouge		New Orleans	7,658.2	
14,151	Shreveport		Alexandria	7,599.3	

CRIME TRENDS FOR LOUISIANA, STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS (SMSA) AND NON-STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS 1978 - 1979 PERCENT CHANGE IN LOUISIANA'S VIOLENT OFFENSE RATES, 1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>



A 15.5 percent increase in the Total Violent Crime Rate occurred between 1978 and the end of 1979, with all individual Violent Offenses showing increases.

Robbery, up 26.9 percent in Crime rate over 1978, led all Violent Offense categories in terms of increased rate over 1979.

An 11.3 percent in the Forcible Rape rate continues an erratic, but slowly increasing trend.

The Criminal Homicide rate increased by 5.7 percent over 1978, compared to the 1977-1978 increase of 1.9 percent.

Aggravated Assault increased by 10.8 percent between 1978 and 1979.

<sup>1</sup>See Glossary for the definition of crime rate.

# PERCENT CHANGE IN LOUISIANA'S PROPERTY AND TOTAL INDEX CRIME RATES, 1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>



The 1979 Property Offense Crime Rate increased 11.7 percent over 1978.

The Motor Vehicle Theft rate, up 13.3 percent over 1978, showed the largest increase of any Property Offense.

The Burglary rate, up 9.9 percent over 1978, had the smallest increase of any Property Offense.

The Total Index Offense Crime Rate rose 12.1 percent over 1978.

<sup>1</sup>See Glossary for the definition of crime rate.

PERCENT CHANGE IN VIOLENT CRIME RATES OF LOUISIANA'S STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS (SMSA), 1978 - 19791

CRIMINAL HOMICIDE			17.	20.0	11.7%
	1'4	16	. 18	20	
FORCIBLE RAPE		42.9			13.5%
	41	43	45	45	49
ROBBERY	2	53.4 *********			26.9% 321.6
	250	270	290	310	330
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT		418.	4 ***********	<b>453.1</b>	8.3%
	400	420	440	460	
TOTAL VIOLENT	7.	32.7		843	15.1% .5
<b>* 78 🐯 '7</b> 9	7	40 7	80 8	20 8	60

All the Violent Crime categories experienced increases in crime rate of at least 8.3 percent.

Robbery, with an increase of 26.9 percent in crime rate over 1978, had the largest rise of all the Violent Crimes.

The Aggravated Assault rate, up only 8.3 percent over 1978, had the smallest rate increase of all Violent Offenses.

The 843.5 rate for Total Violent Offenses in 1979 represents a 15.1 percent increase over 1978.

The Criminal Homicide rate increased by 11.7 percent over the 1978 SMSA rate.

The Forcible Rape rate increased by 13.5 percent over 1978.

1See Glossary for the definitions of crime rate and Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas.

PERCENT CHANGE IN PROPERTY AND TOTAL INDEX CRIME RATES OF LOUISIANA STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS, 1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>



All three Property Offense Crime Rates in Louisiana's SMSA's showed increases in 1979 over 1978 with the Total Property Offense rate increasing 12.3 percent.

The Burglary Crime Rate for 1979 increased 11.5 percent over 1978.

Both the Larceny-Theft rate and the Motor Vehicle Theft rate increased 12.4 percent over 1978.

The Total Index Offenses rate for Louisiana's SMSA's in 1979 increased 12.5 percent over the 1978 rate.

<sup>1</sup>See Glossary for the definitions of the crime rate and Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas.

PERCENT CHANGE IN VIOLENT CRIME RATES FOR LOUISIANA'S NON-STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS (SMSA), 1978-1979<sup>1</sup>



The Criminal Homicide Crime Rate of 10.9 offenses per 100,000 population represents a 7.6 percent <u>decrease</u> for Louisiana's Non-SMSA population compared to the 1978 rate of 11.8 percent.

The Forcible Rape rate of 20.7 for 1979 represents an increase of 4.0 percent over the 1978 rate.

The Robbery rate for the Non-SMSA portion of Louisiana increased 30.1 percent over 1978, and was the largest increase of all Violent Offense rates.

The Aggravated Assault Crime Rate increased from 259.9 in 1978 to 306.5 in 1979, for a significant net increase of 17.9 percent.

Overall, Louisiana's Non-SMSA population reported a 17.3 percent increase in the 1979 Total Violent Offenses Crime Rate over the 1978 rate.

<sup>1</sup>See Glossary for the definitions of crime rate and Non-Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area. PERCENT CHANGE IN PROPERTY AND TOTAL INDEX CRIME RATES FOR LOUISIANA'S NON-STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL (SMSA) AREAS, 1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>



The 1979 Burglary Crime Rate increased only 3.3 percent over 1978.

The Larceny-Theft rate in 1979 showed a 12.5 percent increase compared to 1978.

One possible problem area for Louisiana's Non-SMSA population is Motor Vehicle Theft, which shows an increase in crime rate of 23.8 percent over 1978.

Overall, the Total Property Offenses Crime Rate for the Non-SMSA population showed a 10.0 percent increase over 1978.

The Total Index Offenses Crime Rate increased 11.1 percent over 1978.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Glossary for the definitions of crime rate and Non-Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area.

A COMPARISON OF LOUISIANA'S SEVEN STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS (SMSA) AND NON-STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS, 1979

# PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION BY LOUISIANA'S SMSA AND NON-SMSA, 19791



TOTAL 4,034,203

<sup>1</sup>SMSA-Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area. Source: Louisiana Tech University, <u>The Louisiana Economy</u>

# COMPARISON OF CRIMINAL HOMICIDE AND RAPE IN LOUISIANA'S SMSA'S, 1979<sup>1</sup>



The seven SMSA's, representing 63.3 percent of Louisiana's population, accounted for 75.9 percent of all Criminal Homicides. The New Orleans SMSA, which experienced 45.6 percent of all Criminal Homicides while encompassing only 28.8 percent of the state's population, was easily the major contributor to this statistic.

For the offense of Forcible Rape, 80.2 percent occurred within the seven SMSA's. Again the New Orleans SMSA predominated, accounting for 42.6 percent of all Forcible Rapes, which is over twice as many as the entire Non-SMSA Total for the state,

<sup>1</sup>SMSA-Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area

# COMPARISON OF ROBBERY AND AGGRAVATED ASSAULT IN AND OUT OF LOUISIANA'S SMSA'S,



The distribution of Robbery Offenses compared to population distribution reveals one very startling statistic: Almost three-fourths (73.7%) of all robberies in Louisiana in 1979 occurred in the New Orleans SMSA, which encompasses only 28.8 percent of the population. In comparison, the six remaining SMSA's had lower Robbery distributions than population. This extremely high New Orleans SMSA offense distribution was the principle factor in the fact that the seven SMSA's as a group totaled 93.0 percent of all Robberies. This is further illustrated by the fact that all six remaining SMSA's had lower Robbery distribution than population.

32.48

8.78

In regards to Aggravated Assault, only the Baton Rouge SMSA experienced an offense distribution which was 5 percent or greater than its population (a 16.7 Aggra-vated Assault rate compared to an 11.1% share of the population).

<sup>1</sup>SMSA-Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area

New Orleans SMSA

Shreveport SMSA

# COMPARISON OF TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES AND BURGLARY IN LOUISIANA'S SMSA'S, 1979<sup>1</sup>



When considered as a group, 79.3 percent of all Violent Offenses occurred in Louisiana's seven SMSA's, with the New Orleans SMSA accounting for 46.7 percent, or well over one-half of the entire SMSA total. Baton Rouge, with 13.1 percent of Violent Offenses compared to 11.3 percent of population was the only other SMSA with a higher Violent Offense proportion than population.

The SMSA's of Alexandria and Monroe were the only two of the seven that reported lower percentages of total Burglaries than total population. Of the other five, the New Orleans and Baton Rouge SMSA's had offense distribution at least 2.5 percent greater than their population distributions.

<sup>1</sup>SMSA-Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area.



The Alexandria and Monroe SMSA's reported the same percentage of offenses as their population (3.5 percent and 3.3 percent, respectively). The other five SMSA's all had higher offense proportions than population, with the New Orleans, Baton Rouge and Shreveport SMSA's all reporting offense distribution in excess of 2 percent higher than population distributions.

The seven Louisiana SMSA's as a group reported 89.3 percent of all Motor Vehicle Thefts. Only the New Orleans SMSA (59.9 percent offenses versus 28.8 percent population) and the Baton Rouge SMSA (12.7 lercent offenses versus 11.3 percent population) reported Motor Vehicle Theft offenses in excess of population distribution.

<sup>1</sup>SMSA-Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area.
# COMPARISON OF TOTAL PROPERTY AND TOTAL INDEX OFFENSES FOR LOUISIANA'S SMSA'S, 19791

TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSE	S (188,172)
Non-SMSA Total	16.3%
Alexandria	3.1%
Baton Rouge	17.0%
Lafayette	3.9%
Lake Charles	4.1%
Monroe	2.7%
New Orleans	42.3%
Shreveport	10.7%
TOTAL INDEX OFFENSES (215,329)	
Non-SMSA Total 16.8%	
Alexandria 3.0%	
Baton Rouge 16.5%	
Iafayette 3.8%	
E Lake Charles 4.0%	
Monroe $2.7\%$	
New Orleans 42.9%	
Shreveport 10.3%	

Five of the seven SMSA's (excluding Monroe and Alexandria SMSA's) reported higher Property Offense distributions than population. The SMSA's of New Orleans 42.3 versus 28.8), Baton Rouge (17.0 versus 11.3), and Shreveport (10.7 versus 8.9), Lake Charles (4.1 verus 4.3), and Lafayette (3.9 versus 3.4), all reported offense distributions which were higher than their population distributions.

The distribution of Total Index Offenses among Louisiana's SMSA and Non-SMSA populations is an almost exact match of the Total Property Offense distribution, in that there is less than one percent difference in offense proportion between Total Index and Total Property Offenses for any SMSA or the Non-SMSA Total. The New Orleans and Baton Rouge SMSA's reported 42.9 percent and 16.5 percent of all Index Offenses, respectively.

<sup>1</sup>SMSA-Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area.

COMPARISON BETWEEN LOUISIANA'S 1979 CRIME RATES AND THE CRIME RATES OF EACH STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA (SMSA)

#### TOTAL INDEX OFFENSES CRIME RATES



Five of the seven Louisiana SMSA's exhibited Total Index Offenses Crime Rates which were in excess of the overall state rate of 5,337.5 Index Offenses per 100,000 population. The New Orleans, Baton Rouge and Shreveport SMSA's had rates which were significantly above the state figure with New Orleans having the highest rate of 7,930.7. The Monroe SMSA, which in 1978 was above the state rate, had the lowest crime rate in 1979 with a 4,363.7 figure.





I 71



AGGRAVATED ASSAULT RATE



I-72



Only two (Baton Rouge, New Orleans) of the state's SMSA's exhibited crime rates for Total Violent Offenses which exceeded the state rate of 673.1 offenses per 100,000 population. The New Orleans SMSA also had the highest crime rate for each of the individual Violent Index Offenses with the exception of Aggravated Assault for which the Baton Rouge SMSA led all areas. The Robbery crime rate (558.6) for New Orleans is exceptionally higher than the other areas, being over 10 times greater than the lowest SMSA rate, exhibited by the Monroe SMSA.



LARCENY-THEFT RATE



1-74



Except for the crime of Motor Vehicle Theft, most SMSA's had crime rates for individual Property Index Offenses which exceeded the state rate. It should also be noted that the Motor Vehicle Theft crime rate of 844.8 for the New Orleans SMSA is significantly higher than the rate for the state and the other SMSA's, being more than twice the state rate. OFFENSES REPORTED BY MONTH, 1978 - 1979



The total monthly number of Criminal Homicides for 1978 ranged from a high of 66 in November to a low of 42 in February. In 1979, the high was 82 in December; the low was 45 in September.

The overall trend for Criminal Homicide reflected a slight but steady increase.



Forcible Rape totals in 1978 ranged from 77 in February to 178 in July. The low for 1979 was 75, recorded in February. The high was 162, recorded in June.

While the overall trend for 1978 reveals that the higher totals generally occurred during the warmer months of May through August, the totals for 1979 reveal an overall increase from January through December.



The total Robberies in 1978 was highest in December at 972, and lowest in April at 384. In 1979, the high of 915 occurred in March. The low for 1979 was 616 in May.

With the exception of November and December, monthly totals of Robberies in 1979 were consistently higher than in 1978.



The highest total number of Aggravated Assaults occurred in July for 1978, when the total was 1,399. The low for that year occurred in February, when the total was 859. The highest total in 1979 was 1,523 in July; the low, 1,125 in January.

As with Forcible Rape, the trend for greater numbers of Aggravated Assaults occurred in the warmer months, March through October. However, this trend was more constant in 1978 than in 1979. The totals for 1979 were consistently higher than the 1978 totals.



The total monthly number of Violent Crimes in 1978 ranged from a low of 1,494 in February to a high of 2,316 in December. In 1979, the high was the March total of 2,581. The low for 1979 was 1,939, which occurred in November.

The totals for Violent Crimes reflected the overall increase from 1978 to 1979, with higher totals occurring in the warmer months.



The largest number of Burglaries in 1978 was 4,805 in July; the smallest, 3,629 in February. In 1979, the totals ranged from 4,129 in March to 5,245 in July. Again, the totals for 1979 were consistently higher than the 1978 totals.



The monthly totals for Larceny-Theft in 1978 were highest in August (9,568) and lowest in February (7,034). The August 1979 total was highest at 10,842. The February 1979 total was lowest at 7,885. The overall trend for Larceny-Theft over the year increased for both 1978 and 1979. The totals for 1979 were consistently higher than those for 1978.



The largest number of Motor Vehicle Thefts in 1978 occurred in July (1,446) and the lowest in February (990). In 1979, the high of 1,591 occurred in July, the low of 1,211 in February. With the exception of June, the monthly totals for 1979 were consistently higher than those for 1978.



The 1978 monthly totals for all Property Crimes had a high of 15,591 in August and a low of 11,653 in February. The 1979 totals had a high and low in the same months, 17,431 in August and 13,298 in February. The overall trend for the two years was increasing, with a peak in August. The totals for 1979 were consistently higher than the 1978 totals.



The peak of the total Index Crimes in 1978 occurred in August, when the total was 17,736. The low for 1978 was 13,147 in February. The high total for 1979 occurred in July, when the total was 19,842. The low for 1979, 15,446 occurred in February. The monthly totals of Index Crimes in 1979 reflect the variations which are evident in the totals for the individual Index Offenses. However, it is readily evident that the totals for 1979 exceed the totals for 1978 in every month.

LOUISIANA CRIME PROJECTIONS, 1980

## INDEX CRIME RATE PROJECTIONS, 1979

<u>Crime in Louisiana, 1978</u> included crime rate projections for 1979 Index Offenses. The analysis calculated a specific rate and projected range at the 90 percent confidence level for each offense. The range was the more meaningful statistic. It represented the upper and lower crime rate limits within which the specific crime rate would be expected to fall. At the 90 percent confidence level, a specific rate outside the projected range could be expected only ten times out of a hundred. An actual crime rate outside the predicted range represents a significant change in the crime rate and warrants further investigation.

The following table presents the predicted and actual rates for 1979:

Index Crime	197 Projectic <u>(Low-High Cr</u>	on Range	Specific 1979 Projected Rate	Actual 1979 Crime Rate
Criminal Homicide*	N/	'A	N/A	16.6
Forcible Rape	30.7 -	- 38.1	34.4	38.4
Robbery*	N/	Ά	N/A	218.7
Aggravated Assault	355.7 -	390.1	372.9	399.3
TOTAL VIOLENT	538.9 -	626.3	582.6	673.1
Burglary	1,259.3 -	1,376.3	1,318.1	1,391.2
Larceny- Theft	2,591.4 -	3,016.4	2,803.9	2,866.6
Motor Vehicle Theft*	N/	'A	N/A	406.4
TOTAL PROPERTY	4,227.7	4,682.3	4,455.0	4,664.4
TOTAL INDEX	4,833.0 -	5,242.4	5,037.7	5,337.5
		and the second		

\*Projections not calculated because the eight-year trend includes changes of sufficient magnitude to negate the assumptions of linearity.

#### INDEX CRIME RATE PROJECTIONS, 1980

Index Crime rates for 1980 have been projected from actual annual rates for the years 1972-1979. Both a specific rate and a range within which the rate can be expected to fall have been calculated at the 90 percent confidence level for each Index Offense, Total Violent, Total Property and Total Index Offenses. The expected range is more significant, statistically. It represents the upper and lower crime rate limits within which the specific actual crime rate can be expected to fall. At the 90 percent confidence level, a specific actual crime rate outside the projected range could be expected by chance alone, only ten times out of a hundred. Therefore, an actual 1980 crime rate outside the projected range will represent a significant change and will warrant further research.

The following graphs are the projected ranges for 1980 with the specific projected rates calculated with the Linear Regression Method at a 90 percent confidence level.

The following table presents a summary of the 1980 projections:

Index Crime	1980 Projection Range (Low-High Crime Rates)	Specific 1980 Projected Rate
Criminal Homicide*	N/A	N/A
Forcible Rape	34.3 - 42.5	38.4
Robbery*	N/A	N/A
Aggravated Assault	382.9 - 426.9	404.9
TOTAL VIOLENT*	N/A	N/A
Burglary	1,343.6 - 1,479.8	1,411.7
Larceny-Theft	2,809.3 - 3,191.1	3,000.2
Motor Vehicle Theft*	N/A	N/A
TOTAL PROPERTY	4,541.0 - 5,014.8	4,777.9
TOTAL INDEX	5,177.9 - 5,689.5	5,433.7

\*Projections not calculated because the seven-year trend includes directional changes of sufficient magnitude to negate the assumptions of linearity.





\*Prediction line not calculated because the eight-year trend includes directional changes of sufficient magnitude to negate the assumption of linearity.





\*Prediction line not calculated because the eight-year trend includes directional changes of sufficient magnitude to negate the assumption of linearity.

















SUMMARY ARREST ANALYSIS, 1979

#### SUMMARY ARREST ANALYSIS

LUCR arrest data have limitations similar to offense data. The arrest reports record the number of arrests made within a given time period. An individual may be arrested several times over the course of a year for similar or different violations. Each separate arrest is counted. Therefore, LUCR arrest information cannot be used as a measure of the number of individuals processed by law enforcement or other component agencies such as courts or jails. Furthermore, in the event an individual is arrested for several offenses, under the LUCR hierarchy rule, only the most serious charge is scored. Conversely, if two or more persons are arrested for the same offense, each arrest is counted. Therefore, there is no linkage in the LUCR system between offenses reported and arrests reported. The former refers to events that may involve more than one person; the latter refers to the arrest process that may involve more than one offense.

Within the limitations of the data, the LUCR arrest information can be used as an indicator of law enforcement activity and workload, and is also useful in defining the characteristics of the risk populations; that is, those individuals most likely to be arrested for particular offenses. Arrest data combined with population characteristics can also be used to project future arrest and offense trends.

Arrests and offenses can be located by geographic area. Arrests, however, can be further located within specific population categories. The following analysis describes the age, race and sex of offenders at the state level by total arrests and type of offense.

Total Arrests (adult and juvenile) decreased from 192,726 in 1978 to 189,310 in 1979, 1.8 percent. Total Index Offense Arrests increased by 2.0 percent from 49,601 in 1978 to 50,596 in 1979. Total Arrests for Drug Law Violations substantially decreased by 20.1 percent, from 9,827 in 1978 to 7,851 in 1979.

Total juvenile arrests decreased from 29,144 in 1978 to 25,665 in 1979, a decrease of 11.9 percent. Juvenile arrests comprised 13.6 percent of the Total Arrests in 1979 as compared to 15.1 percent in 1978.

Total arrests of females decreased by 2.5 percent, from 32,330 in 1978 to 31,520 in 1979. Arrests of females accounted for 16.6 percent of all arrests in 1979, as compared to 16.8 percent in 1978.

Total arrests of Whites declined 2.4 percent, from 104,928 in 1978 to 102,375 in 1979. White arrests comprised 54.1 percent of the Total Arrests in 1979 as compared to 54.4 percent in 1978.

Total arrests of Blacks decreased by 1.0 percent, from 87,317 in 1978 to 86,433 in 1979. Arrests of Blacks comprised 45.7 percent of the Total Arrests in 1979, as compared to 45.3 percent in 1978.

#### INDEX OFFENSE ARRESTS

Total Index Offense Arrests increased by 2.0 percent, from 49,601 in 1978 to 50,596 in 1979. Index Arrests accounted for 26.7 percent of the Total Arrests in 1979 and 25.7 percent of the Total Arrests in 1978. Of the Index Arrests, the Total Arrests for Violent Crimes increased from 12,732 in 1978 to 14,029 in 1979, an increase of 10.2 percent. The Total Arrests for Property Crimes decreased by 0.8 percent, from 36,829 in 1978 to 36,567 in 1979. Arrests for Property Crimes clearly outnumbered arrests for Violent Crimes with 72.3 percent of all Index Crime arrests being related to Property Crimes.

Of the arrests for individual offenses, the totals for all types of Violent Crimes increased. Criminal Homicide Arrests increased by 5.3 percent, from 565 in 1978 to 595 in 1979. Forcible Rape Arrests increased by 6.9 percent, from 683 in 1978 to 730 in 1979. Arrests for Robbery increased by 21.4 percent, from 2,453 in 1978 to 2,977 in 1979. Arrests for Aggravated Assault increased by 8.2 percent, from 7,840 in 1978 to 8,479 in 1979. Two of the arrest totals for Property Offenses decreased from 1978 to 1979. Burglary Arrests decreased 4.0 percent, from 9,933 in 1978 to 9,535 in 1979. Larceny-Theft Arrests decreased 0.2 percent, from 25,310 in 1978 to 25,250 in 1979. However, arrests for Motor Vehicle Theft increased by 9.6 percent, from 1,626 in 1978 to 1,782 in 1979.

Of the juvenile arrests for individual offenses, arrests of juveniles for all types of Violent Crimes increased with the exception of Criminal Homicides which declined slightly. Of the arrests of juveniles for Property Crimes, juvenile arrests for Burglary and Larceny-Theft both decreased from 1978 to 1979, the former by 21.8 percent and the latter by 8.5 percent. However, arrests of juveniles for Motor Vehicle Theft increased by 5.0 percent. The majority of juvenile arrests were for Larceny-Theft, which represented 57.6 percent of all juvenile Index Crime arrests.

Index Arrests of females decreased by 2.8 percent, from 10,493 in 1978 to 10,201 in 1979. Arrests of females accounted for 20.2 percent of all arrests for Index Offenses, as compared to 21.2 percent in 1978. The proportion of females arrested for a particular offense was greatest for Larceny-Theft, with females accounting for 30.7 percent of all Larceny-Theft arrests. The majority of Index Offense Arrest of females were for Larceny-Theft (75.9 percent). Of the total number of arrests of females, 32.4 percent were arrested for Index Offenses. Of the total number of male arrests, 25.6 percent were arrested for Index Offenses.

Arrests of Whites comprised 41.8 percent of Index Arrests in 1979, as compared to 40.7 percent in 1978. Total Index Arrests of Whites increased 4.7 percent, from 20,178 in 1978 to 21,133 in 1979. Larceny-Theft, which accounted for 50.2 percent of the Index Arrests of Whites in 1979, was the most common Index Offense for which Whites were arrested. Arrests of Blacks accounted for 58.0 percent of Index Arrests in 1979 and 59.1 percent in 1978. Larceny-Theft Arrests comprised 49.7 percent of all Index Arrests of Blacks in 1979 and 51.8 percent in 1978. Index Arrests account for 34.0 percent of all arrests of Blacks in 1979. Predominant categories for Index Arrests for both 1979 and 1978 were persons aged 18 to 24, Blacks and males.

#### DRUG OFFENSES

Arrests for offenses involving drugs comprised 4.1 percent of all arrests in 1979, as compared to 5.1 percent in 1978. Arrests for marijuana related offenses decreased from 1978 to 1979; arrests for Sale or Manufacture of Marijuana by 5.7 percent; and arrests for Possession of Marijuana by 43.9 percent. All other types of drug arrests showed large increases over the same time period: Sale or Manufacture of Drugs Other than Marijuana, by 72.9 percent; and Possession of Drugs Other than Marijuana, by 44.0 percent.

Marijuana related arrests accounted for 61.3 percent of all arrests for Drug Law Violations in 1979, as compared to 79.9 percent in 1978. Arrests for Possession of Marijuana comprised 79.1 percent of marijuana related arrests and 48.5 percent of all arrests for drug violations. Total Arrests for Possession of Marijuana in 1979 decreased in all age, sex and race groups.

Total Drug Arrests decreased 20.1 percent, from 9,827 in 1978 to 7,851 in 1979. Arrests of males for Drug Violations, which comprised 84.4 percent of the Total Drug Arrests, decreased 21.0 percent from 1978 to 1979. Arrests of females for Drug Violations decreased 15.2 percent over the same time period. Arrests of Whites, which accounted for 68.9 percent of all arrests for Drug Law Violations in 1979, decreased 17.6 percent from 1978 to 1979. Total Drug Arrests decreased in all age, sex and race groups, with exception of the age group 35-54, which increased 6.5 percent from 1978 to 1979.

# TOTAL ARREST PROFILE 1979

- 83.4 percent of Total Arrests were male.
- 54.1 percent of Total Arrests were White.
- 86.4 percent of Total Arrests were adult.
- 64.2 percent of Total Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 34.
- 39.0 percent of Total Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.

# PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF INDEX CRIME ARRESTS 1979

0.3	percent of	Total	Arrests	were	for	Criminal Homicides.
0.4	percent of	Total	Arrests	were	for	Forcible Rapes.
1.6	percent of	Total	Arrests	were	for	Robberies.
5.1	percent of	Total	Arrests	were	for	Aggravated Assaults.
7.4	percent of Offenses.	Total	Arrests	were	for	Total Violent
5.0	percent of	Total	Arrests	were	for	Burglaries.
13.3	percent of	Total	Arrests	were	for	Larceny-Thefts.
0.9	percent of	Total	Arrests	ware	for	Motor Vehicle Thefts.
19.3	percent of Offenses.	Total	Arrests	were	for	the Total Property
26.7	percent of	Total	Arrests	were	for	Total Index Offenses.

## PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NON-INDEX CRIME ARRESTS

1979

- 0.5 percent of Total Arrests were for Sale and/or Manufacture of Marijuana.
- 0.6 percent of Total Arrests were for Sale and/or Manufacture of Other Drugs.
- 1.2 percent of Total Arrests were for Total Sale and/or Manufacture of Drugs.
- 2.0 percent of Total Arrests were for Possession of Marijuana.
- 1.0 percent of Total Arrests were for Possession of Other Drugs.
- 3.0 percent of Total Arrests were for Total Possession of Drugs.
- 4.1 percent of Total Arrests were for Total Drug Law Violations.
- 0.5 percent of Total Arrests were for Curfew or Loitering Violations.
- 1.2 percent of Total Arrests were for Runaways.

# INDEX OFFENSE ARREST PROFILE 1979 CRIMINAL HOMICIDE

84.7	percent of Criminal Homicide Arre	ests were	male.
71.3	B percent of Criminal Homicide Arre	ests were	Black.
96.3	B percent of Criminal Homicide Arre	ests were	adult.
73.1	percent of Criminal Homicide Arre the ages of 17 and 34.	ests were	e between
37.6	percent of Criminal Homicide Arre	ests were	between

### FORCIBLE RAPE

the ages of 17 and 24.

99.2	percent	of	Forcible	Rape	Arrests	were	male.	
67.1	percent	of	Forcible	Rape	Arrests	were	Black.	
89.9	percent	of	Forcible	Rape	Arrests	were	adult.	
76.4			Forcible and 34.	Rape	Arrests	were	between	the
48,6	percent ages of		Forcible and 24.	Rape	Arrests	were	between	the

#### ROBBERY

- 93.3 percent of Robbery Arrests were male.
- 77.4 percent of Robbery Arrests were Black.
- 82.0 percent of Robbery Arrests were adult.
- 78.5 percent of Robbery Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 34.
- 60.2 percent of Robbery Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.

#### AGGRAVATED ASSAULT

84.4	percent of Aggravated Assault Arrests were male.	
59.7	percent of Aggravated Assault Arrests were Black.	
90.1	percent of Aggravated Assault Arrests were adult.	
67.1	percent of Aggravated Assault Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 34.	n
38.7	percent of Aggravated Assault Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.	n

#### TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES

- 87.1 percent of Total Violent Offense Arrests were male.
- 64.4 percent of Total Violent Offense Arrests were Black.
- 88.7 percent of Total Violent Offense Arrests were adult.
- 70.2 percent of Total Violent Offense Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 34.
- 43.7 percent of Total Violent Offense Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.

#### BURGLARY

- 94.5 percent of Burglary Arrests were male.
- 52.1 percent of Burglary Arrests were Black.
- 69.4 percent of Burglary Arrests were adult.
- 64.8 percent of Burglary Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 34.
- 49.9 percent of Burglary Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.

#### LARCENY - THEFT

69.3	percent	of	Larceny-Th	eft	Arrests	were	male.
------	---------	----	------------	-----	---------	------	-------

- 57.8 percent of Larceny-Theft Arrests were Black.
- 72.2 percent of Larceny-Theft Arrests were adult.
- 59.6 percent of Larceny-Theft Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 34.
- 40.9 percent of Larceny-Theft Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.

#### MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT

- 93.2 percent of Motor Vehicle Theft Arrests were male.
- 55,9 percent of Motor Vehicle Theft Arrests were White.
- 63.2 percent of Motor Vehicle Theft Arrests were adult.
- 57.4 percent of Motor Vehicle Theft Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 34.
- 44.6 percent of Motor Vehicle Theft Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.

#### TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSES

77.1	percent	of Total	Property	Offense	Arrests	were	male.
55.6	percent	of Total	Property	Offense	Arrests	were	Black.
71.0	percent	of Total	Property	Offense	Arrests	were	adult.
60.8		of Total the ages			Arrests	were	
43.4	percent between	of Total the ages	Property of 17 and	Offense 1 24.	Arrests	were	

## TOTAL INDEX OFFENSES

		_ <b>`</b> _				
63.5	percent of Total the ages of 17 an		Offense	Arrests	were	between
75.9	percent of Total	Index	Offense	Arrests	were	adult.
58.0	percent of Total	Index	Offense	Arrests	were	Black.
79.8	percent of Total	Index	Offense	Arrests	were	male.

43.5 percent of Total Index Offense Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.

#### SALE AND/OR MANUFACTURE OR MARIJUANA

- 84.4 percent of Sale and/or Manufacture of Marijuana Arrests were male.
- 79.5 percent of Sale and/or Manufacture of Marijuana Arrests were White.
- 94.6 percent of Sale and/or Manufacture of Marijuana Arrests were adult.
- 87.6 percent of Sale and/or Manufacture of Marijuana Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 34.
- 60.4 percent of Sale and/or Manufacture of Marijuana Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.

#### SALE AND/OR MANUFACTURE OF OTHER DRUGS

- 79.0 percent of Sale and/or Manufacture of Other Drug Arrests were male.
- 62.5 percent of Sale and/or Manufacture of Other Drug Arrests were White.
- 97.8 percent of Sale and/or Manufacture of Other Drug Arrests were adult.
- 84.6 percent of Sale and/or Manufacture of Other Drug Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 34.
- 51.7 percent of Sale and/or Manufacture of Other Drug Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.

#### TOTAL SALE AND/OR MANUFACTURE OF DRUGS

- 81.4 percent of Total Sale and/or Manufacture of Drug Arrests were male.
- 70.2 percent of Total Sale and/or Manufacture of Drug Arrests were White.
- 96.4 percent of Total Sale and/or Manufacture of Drug Arrests were adult.
- 86.0 percent of Total Sale and/or Manufacture of Drug Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 34.
- 55.7 percent of Total Sale and/or Manufacture of Drug Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.

#### POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA

87.2	percent	of	Possession	of	Marijuana	Arrests	were	male.
73.4	percent	of	Possession	of	Marijuana	Arrests	were	White.
87.2	percent	of	Possession	of	Marijuana	Arrests	were	adult.
83.4			Possession e ages of l'			Arrests	were	
<pre>c1 1</pre>	norgant	<u>م</u> ج	Doggogaion	. of	Marijuana	Arrocte	MORO	

# 62.2 percent of Possession of Marijuana Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.

#### POSSESSION OF OTHER DRUGS

- 82.4 percent of Possession of Other Drug Arrests were male.
- 57.9 percent of Possession of Other Drug Arrests were White.
- 96.1 percent of Possession of Other Drug Arrests were adult.
- 84.9 percent of Possession of Other Drug Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 34.
- 53.3 percent of Possession of Other Drug Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.

## TOTAL POSSESSION OF DRUGS

85.6	percent	of	Total	Possession	of	Drug	Arrests	were	male.
68.4	percent	of	Total	Possession	of	Drug	Arrests	were	White.
90.1	percent	of	Total	Possession	of	Drug	Arrests	were	adult.
83.9				Possession of 17 and 3		Drug	Arrests	were	

59.3 percent of Total Possession of Drug Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 24.

#### TOTAL DRUG ARRESTS

- 84.4 percent of Total Drug Arrests were male.
- 68.9 percent of Total Drug Arrests were White.
- 91.9 percent of Total Drug Arrests were adult.

68.

- 84.5 percent of Total Drug Arrests were between the ages of 17 and 34.
- 61.3 percent of Total Drug Arrests involved Marijuana.
- 48.5 percent of Total Drug Arrests were for Possession of Marijuana.

I-103

# JUVENILE ARREST PROFILE 1979 TOTAL JUVENILE ARRESTS

- 77.0 percent of Juvenile Arrests were male.
- 57.1 percent of Juvenile Arrests were 15 or 16 years old.
- 31.0 percent of Juvenile Arrests were 16 years old.

#### JUVENILE TOTAL INDEX OFFENSE ARRESTS

- 47.5 percent of Juvenile Arrests were for Index Offenses.
- 49.7 percent of male Juvenile Arrests were for Index Offenses.
- 40.0 percent of female Juvenile Arrests were for Index Offenses.
- 86.9 percent of Juvenile Index Arrests were for Property Offenses.
- 57.6 percent of Juvenile Index Arrests were for Larceny-Theft.

- 53.9 percent of Juvenile Index Arrests were 15 or 16 years old.
- 28.7 percent of Juvenile Index Arrests were 16 years old.
#### JUVENILE DRUG ARRESTS

- 2.5 percent of Juvenile Arrests were for Drug Law Violations.
- 77.1 percent of Juvenile Drug Arrests were male.
- 84.6 percent of Juvenile Drug Arrests involved Marijuana.
- 77.4 percent of Juvenile Drug Arrests were 15 or 16 years old.
- 50.0 percent of Juvenile Drug Arrests were 16 years old.

#### STATUS OFFENSE ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

- 12.0 percent of Juvenile Arrests were for Status Offenses.
- 52.1 percent of Juvenile Status Offense Arrests were male.
- 57.6 percent of Juvenile Runaway Arrests were female.
- 60.9 percent of Juvenile Status Offense Arrests were 15 or 16 years old.
- 29.6 percent of Juvenile Status Offense Arrests were 16 years old.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Arrests for Loitering Law Violations were collected on the LUCR Form (ASRJ) in conjunction with Curfew. Loitering Law Violations are not Status Offenses, therefore, the figures for this Status Offense were inflated.

NARCOTICS AND DANGEROUS DRUG LAW VIOLATIONS, 1979

#### DRUG LAW VIOLATIONS IN LOUISIANA, 1979

Popular interest in the "drug problem" dictates that some consideration be focused on drug violations. Unfortunately, drug violations are not among the UCR Index Crimes and the only data collected are arrests for Drug Law Violations. Trying to define the nature, extent or location of Drug Law Violations on the basis of arrest statistics is not feasible, and thus not recommended. With this in mind, the interested reader is invited to note the following drug arrest summary.

Arrests for violations of drug laws in Louisiana have decreased from 9,827 in 1978 to 7,851 in 1979 (20.1 percent). Arrests of juveniles accounted for 8.1 percent of all arrests for Drug Law Violations in 1979, a decrease of 34.7 percent.

Arrests for the sale, manufacture or possession of marijuana comprised 61.3 percent of the Total Drug Arrests for adults and juveniles in 1979, a smaller proportion than the 79.9 percent indicated for 1978. Arrests of juveniles for the sale, manufacture or possession of marijuana comprised 84.6 percent of all juveniles arrested for Drug Law Violations in 1979, as compared to 89.3 percent in 1978. There was a decrease in both Total Drug Arrests (20.1 percent) and arrests for Marijuana Violations (38.7 percent) in 1979.

Total Drug Arrests in 1979 were characterized by a predominance of males, (84.4 percent), while Whites represented 68.9 percent. These proportions differed only slightly from 1978, with males accounting for 85.3 percent and Whites accounting for 66.8 percent.

#### TOTAL DRUG ARRESTS

DRUG ARRESTS INCLUDE ALL ARRESTS FOR VIOLATION OF STATE AND LOCAL LAWS, SPECIFICALLY THOSE RELATING TO THE UNLAWFUL POS-SESSION, SALE, USE, GROWING, MANUFACTURE AND MAKING OF NARCOTIC DRUGS.

- 7,851 DRUG ARREST REPORTED IN 1979
- 5,643 ARRESTS REPORTED FOR POSSESSION OF DRUGS
- 2,208 ARRESTS REPORTED FOR SALE AND/OR MANUFACTURE OF DRUGS



SOURCE: LOUISIANA CRIMINAL JUSTICE INFORMATION SYSTEM DIVISION

#### JUVENILE DRUG ARRESTS

JUVENILE DRUG ARRESTS INCLUDE ALL ARRESTS FOR VIOLATIONS OF STATE AND LOCAL LAWS, SPECIFICALLY THOSE RELATING TO THE UNLAWFUL POS-SESSION, SALE, USE, GROWING, MANUFACTURE AND MAKING OF NARCOTIC DRUGS FOR PERSONS UNDER THE AGE OF 17.

> 638 JUVENILE DRUG ARRESTS REPORTED IN 1979 558 ARRESTS REPORTED FOR POSSESSION OF DRUGS 80 ARRESTS REPORTED FOR SALE AND/OR MANUFACTURE OF DRUGS



# HOW THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM RESPONDS TO CRIME

LOUISIANA CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

續

## THE LOUISIANA CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM AND ITS RESPONSE TO CRIME

Understanding more fully the problem of crime in Louisiana entails an appreciation of the system which exists to counteract it. The volume and frequency of offenses in Louisiana are useful indicators for understanding crime; however, to gain a complete picture of the problem involves understanding the complex processes which occur as a direct result. While it is necessary to know the circumstances surrounding crime, it is of equal importance to know the facts surrounding the apprehension and process of the offender through the system. Only in this way, is it possible to put the problem of crime in appropriate context.

In Louisiana, more than 900 public agencies exist to combat the problem of crime. This vast network of related agencies is generally referred to as the criminal justice system. They share the objective of reducing crime and pursuing the effective administration of justice. The activities of the agencies within the criminal justice system complete the picture of crime in Louisiana.

The purpose of this section is to report on the activities of member agencies of the Louisiana criminal justice system: (1) how each functional component pursues its respective mission; (2) the general processes involved; (3) the resources expended; and (4) the results obtained. In brief, the system's response to crime is described using information currently available.

The analysis of each of the components is limited, however, by gaps in the available information and often, by a lack of information altogether. Some of the deficiencies will be noted in the discussion of the various components of the criminal justice system. Steps are being taken to remedy this situation. These also will be noted in the following discussions. The most promising prospect for adding to our knowledge is the statewide implementation of the Complete Disposition Reporting System. As an offender is tracked through the criminal justice system by CDR, it should increase our understanding of how the system responds to crime.

## COMPLETE DISPOSITION REPORTING: OFFENDER BASED TRANSACTION STATISTICS

The most well known and oldest system for the collection of crime statistics is the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) System. Another agency which collects crime statistics is the Federal Bureau of Prisons. In addition, almost all criminal justice agencies (law enforcement, prosecution, courts, and corrections) at all levels of government collect some statistical information and report summary tabulations. Typically, the data collected describe only one segment of the criminal justice system and reflect the interests of the collecting agency. Little information is available regarding the operations and interactions of the complex processes and institutions comprising the criminal justice system. Systematic programs for the collection of crime related statistics were recently developed.

However, recent developments in public policy and appplications of computer technology to the field of criminal justice have combined to bring society within reach of answering fundamental questions regarding the impact of crime. Joint federal-state efforts for the expansion of the criminal justice data base and quality improvements have created information systems that provide data necessary for rational planning in response to the crime problem. The application of systems theory and automated data processing techniques to the actions of the criminal justice process permits the collection of data linking the offender to the offense and traces the progress of each through the system.

The primary purpose of the Complete Disposition Reporting (CDR) System is the production of Criminal History Record Information (CHR1) is an operational aid to criminal justice agencies. As a secondary product, the system has the capacity of generating Offender Based Transaction Statistics (OBTS). These aggregate data will provide system flow information and measure processing time, enabling analysts to pinpoint unreasonable delays at any stage of processing and guiding researchers in discovering the causes. The OBTS reports will also provide information on the fall-out points of the criminal justice system. An additional product of OBTS will be realistic recidivism and career criminal data. The OBTS will also be used in examining system interaction patterns and in providing a base for projections and simulation analysis. The statistics provided by OBTS reports will not positively identify problem areas or their causes, but will indicate areas needing examination.

The OBTS reports will provide policy makers and planners at the state or local level with the necessary information for rational planning and evaluation of criminal justice programs and policies. Thus far, three OBTS reports have been designed and programmed. These are the District Attorney Criminal Case Workload Analysis, the Judicial Criminal Case Disposition Analysis and the CDR Offender Flow/Time Analysis. These reports are generated for each parish anl judicial district reporting to the CDR System. A state total report summarizing the activities of all parishes reporting to the CDR System is also available. These reports can be run for any time period. They will be produced quarterly, annually and on request. Each district attorney reporting to the CDR System will receive copies of the OBTS reports applicable to his judicial district. The data in these reports are intended to supplement a district attorney's internal management system, not replace it. Since the CDR System currently may not gather information on all cases processed by a district attorney or a court system, these statistics in no way purport to measure the total activity of an office.

The District Attorney Criminal Case Workload Analysis displays the total number of cases in each phase of the prosecutor system (i.e., total bills of information, total bills of indictment, total cases prosecuted, total prosecution declined actions, etc.). The cases in each phase are given by: offense (i.e., murder, manslaughter, aggravated battery, etc.); total violent offenses; total property offenses; total felonies; and total misdemeanors. The report is printed for five combination of cases: single individuals, single charges; multiple individuals, single charges; multiple individuals, multiple charges; single individuals, multiple charges; and all individuals, all charges.

The Judicial Criminal Case Disposition Analysis is similar in basic design to the District Attorney Criminal Case Workload Analysis. The Judicial Analysis provides totals of court dispositions by type of trial (i.e., jury trials, non-jury trials and total trials). The disposition categories covered are: convictions, acquitals, other disposition actions and total dispositions. A conviction rate (the number of convictions divided by the number of trials and multiplied by 100) is given for both jury trials and non-jury trials. The offense categories used in this report are the same as those used in the District Attorney Criminal Case Workload Analysis. The Judicial Criminal Case Disposition Analysis is generated for the same five combinations of cases as the District Attorney Criminal Case Workload Analysis.

The CDR Offender Flow/Time Analysis describes the major pathway of Offenders through the criminal justice system. The number of offenders per disposition is shown for each of the five stages of the system: arrest; prosecution; arraignment; trial and corrections. The percentage of the total population represented by the number of offenders is shown for each type of disposition, as are percentages within each stage. The report also contains the mean average (the median and the modal number of days spent by offenders in each stage of the system). The smallest and largest number of days spent by an offender in each stage also is shown.

Examples of the first two of these reports can be found in the Appendix. The examples contain state totals for 1979. However, at this point the state totals include only seventeen parishes, not all of which reported for the entire year. Therefore, the totals do not reflect all cases processed in the state. They do provide an example of how the data will appear when the CDR System is implemented statewide and are included herein for that purpose alone. The maintenance of the computer portion of the CDR System was turned over to the Data Processing Center of the Department of Public Safety (DPS) in September of 1978. Since then, all program modifications have been made by the DPS programmers assigned to the CDR System. It is possible for new reports to be created by DPS staff as the need arises. However, some statistical needs are being satisfied by the production of reports with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). The SPSS is a packaged set of computer programs facilitating the generation of basic statistics from a given set of data. The SPSS is now operational and currently being used on CDR data in response to data requests from criminal justice agencies. It is also used in generating in-house reports for the purposes of system monitoring and quality control. LOUISIANA LAW ENFORCEMENT, 1979

#### LAW ENFORCEMENT. . . LOUISIANA'S INITIAL RESPONSE TO CRIME

The first contact most citizens have with the criminal justice system is generally with the law enforcement component. Its efforts are the first step in the process of administering criminal justice and are concerned with enforcing the laws and maintaining order. While all sections of the criminal justice system are involved in these functions, law enforcement has been delegated the primary responsibility for their performance. Because this responsibility entails an extensive contact with all elements of the public, law enforcement becomes the most visible and symbolic segment of the system. As a result, law makers and the public tend to judge the entire response of the criminal justice system on the basis of their opinion of the effectiveness or failures of law enforcement.

The effectiveness of law enforcement agencies is generally measured by their activities and workload, and the usual indicators are arrests, clearances and calls for services. The following analyses of law enforcement activities in Louisiana focus on those indicators because they are the most readily available, but they only give a partial description of the activities of law enforcement.

Obtaining a complete picture of law enforcement and a full determination of its effectiveness involves more than looking at law enforcement's response to offenses. It necessitates examining all the responsibilities of law enforcement and looking at all the resources at its disposal. Unfortunately, it is often either difficult to measure these other aspects or the information is not available. It is hard to fully determine the impact of community crime prevention programs, or totally gauge the effects of the physical presence of police in patrolling or walking a beat. Little current information is available on specialized police units, in terms of manpower allocation, agency investment and results, which is needed in order to determine whether other such units are desirable and/or effective. Also, there is no information available concerning career criminals and repeat offenders that provides the number of times and reasons they come in contact with law enforcement agencies. Information of this nature would give a valuable insight into the workload requirements of law enforcement.

Strides are being made to reach a point where a full assessment can be made of the effectiveness of law enforcement. Arrest and clearance information is available through the LUCR program. Also, surveys by the Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division provide general resource information. The Peace Officers Standards and Training Council has determined which officers have had basic training, developed a basic training curriculum and certified law enforcement training academies. As this type of information is collected on a regular, systematic and comparable basis, and as the Complete Disposition Reporting System is implemented statewide and integrated with this crime and resource information, a judgment on law enforcement's effectiveness can then be made. Until this time only a partial analysis of law enforcement activities, such as that which follows, can be accomplished.

#### LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY ARRESTS IN LOUISIANA, 1979

The following illustration provides a percentage distribution by offense of the number of Index Offense Arrests. Arrest is defined as the taking of one person into custody by another. To constitute an arrest there must be an actual restraint of the suspect. Restraint may be imposed by force or may be the result of submission to a law enforcement officer by the suspect. There were 50,596 Index Offense Arrests reported in 1979. Approximately 72 percent of the arrests were for Property Crimes, with Larceny-Theft alone accounting for almost 50 percent. Aggravated Assault, the Violent Crime reported to the police most frequently, accounted for 19.2 percent of all Index Offense Arrests.

Arrests are primarily a measure of police activity. They are not a measure of the number of individuals taken into custody in a given time period because the same person may be arrested more than once during that time period. Furthermore, the LUCR system <u>does not</u> tie specific reported arrests to specific reported offenses.

One type of data which links the offender to a specific offense will be generated by the Complete Disposition Reporting (CDR) System. Research into career criminal patterns and recidivism will be feasible with CDR data. LARCENY-THEFT ACCOUNTED FOR NEARLY HALF OF THE TOTAL INDEX ARRESTS IN LOUISIANA, 1979



Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division I-125

## LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY: OFFENSES CLEARED BY ARREST IN LOUISIANA,

#### 1979

Law enforcement agencies clear a crime when they identify the offender, have sufficient evidence to charge him, and take him into custody. The arrest of one person may clear several crimes or several persons may be arrested in the process of clearing one offense. An arrest may also clear a crime which was committed in a previous reporting period such as a prior month or year.

Approximately 72 percent of all clearances were for Property Crimes, with Larceny-Theft accounting for about 49 percent of this total. Violent Crime clearances accounted for the remaining 28 percent, with Aggravated Assault contributing 21.3 percent. LARCENY-THEFT ACCOUNTED FOR APPROXIMATELY 49 PERCENT OF THE INDEX CRIME CLEARANCES (BY ARREST) IN LOUISIANA, 1979



Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

#### LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY: NUMBER OF CALLS FOR SERVICE, 1979

Another indicator of law enforcement workload is the number of calls received for service. During 1979, agencies within the six metropolitan parishes reported 835,834<sup>1</sup> such calls. The percentage distribution of these calls and the law enforcement officers is illustrated in the following graph.

The number of calls (plus arrest and clearance data) and number of officers is an indication of the distribution of the workload in a law enforcement agency. However, the total workload cannot be determined for several reasons:

- 1. The number of sworn officers represents dispatchers, desk sergeants, etc., as well as line or field officers. This number does not reflect the actual number responding to offenses.
- 2. Law enforcement has other responsibilities besides responding to offenses, such as crime prevention, traffic, patrol and investigation.
- 3. The number of calls is only a measure of those calls received and does not include dispatches or response time.

<sup>1</sup>Excludes East Baton Rouge Parish since a major agency did not report.

### PERCENT OF SWORN OFFICERS AND CALLS FOR SERVICE IN LOUISIANA'S METRO CITIES, 1979<sup>1</sup>



City

<sup>1</sup>The numbers reflect the total of both the police department and the sheriff's office except in the case of New Orleans where only the police department reports.

<sup>2</sup>Excludes East Baton Rouge parish since a major agency did not report.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division



<sup>1</sup>Except in the case of the New Orleans, expenditure totals reflect amounts reported by both the police department and the sheriff's office. For example, the total for Alexandria includes the amount for the police department as well as the amount reported by the Rapides Sheriff's Office.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

LOUISIANA DISTRICT ATTORNEYS, 1979

#### THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY. . . . WHO SHOULD DEFEND THE PUBLIC'S INTEREST?

The district attorney is generally the second link in the criminal justice system and is considered part of the courts component. Greatly simplified, the function of the district attorney's office is to prosecute cases referred by law enforcement. He is also the representative of the state before the grand jury in his district and the legal advisor to the grand jury. The district attorney also has a civil function of representing various governmental bodies within his jurisdiction.

Evaluating the workload and effectiveness of the district attorney component is complicated by the differing philosophies under which an office may operate. Under one philosophy the district attorney sees his duty as representing the public's interest in the fair application of the laws. In this case, the district attorney assumes the role of "watchdog," concerned with identifying those who break the public statutes and the administration of justice as the public would have it.

District attorneys adopting a second philosophy view their prosecutorial function as restricted to one of prejudgment, reviewing evidence to determine whether laws have been violated and whether chances for conviction justify the expense and effort of prosecution. Holding a third philosophy, a district attorney would feel that he must prosecute every charge referred to his office by law enforcement and that he lacks any discretion to interpret on behalf of the public whether any offenses have occurred or whether the public justice would be best served by prosecution. Louisiana law neither prescribes nor prohibits any of these philosophies.

Added to this difficulty in judging the district attorney component is that, depending upon the procedures used by a particular office, units of measure of prosecutorial activities, such as charges or bills of information, are defined differently. Also compounding the difficulties in interpreting the district attorney function is that needed information is often unavailable. For example, recidivism and career criminal information needed in order to determine what type of offenders provide the greatest demand on prosecutorial resources are not available. Also unavailable, despite the need for current information regarding prosecutorial case flow, is information regarding case processing time.

Information that partially assesses the district attorneys' operations, was gathered by the District Attorney's Activity Report, developed in 1978 through a contract with the Louisiana District Attorneys' Association and distributed in 1979 by the Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System. This activity report incorporates the diversity of prosecutorial operations and collects data on criminal and civil workload and resources. While the responses to the activity report were not at the levels hoped for, they were sufficient to present summary information included in this section. The statewide implementation of the Complete Disposition Reporting System, with its tracking offenders through the criminal justice system, should provide answers to the questions about career criminals and case processing time. It also should provide additional insight into the district attorney's criminal workload on a uniform statewide basis. However, while a perfected activity report and a fully implemented CDR System would allow a more complete analysis of the effectiveness of the prosecutorial function, they would still not allow comparisons between district attorney jurisdictions due to the variety of practices.

The analysis which follows provides summary information about the most common practices of the state's district attorneys and also provides some indicators of their workload. Because of the limitations discussed above, no real attempt can be made in determining the district attorney's achievement.

#### LOUISIANA DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S INFORMATION SUMMARY, 1979

In 1979, 39 district attorneys' offices were surveyed with 30 responding. Employment in these offices was 265 assistant district attorneys; 102 investigators; and 398 administrative, clerical and support personnel. Where figures were supplied, the starting annual salary for assistant district attorneys ranged from \$11,740 to \$24,500, with the average annual salary being \$21,430.

Data collected from 30 responding district attorneys' offices disclosed that 76.7 percent of assistants were permitted to engage in private practice; 50 percent of juvenile probation officers were granted the authority to file petitions on juveniles; and 40 percent operated a section for screening all cases. The Judicial Districts operating a screening section are:

lst	Judicial	District	(Caddo Parish)
4th	Judicial	District	(Morehouse and Ouachita Parishes)
9th	Judicial	District	(Rapides Parish)
10 th	Judicial	District	(Natchitoches Parish)
12th	Judicial	District	(Avoyelles Parish)
18th	Judicial	District	(Iberville, Pointe Coupee and West Baton Rouge
			Parishes)
			(Jefferson Parish)
26th	Judicial	District	(Bossier and Webster Parishes)
28th	Judicial	District	(LaSalle Parish)
36th	Judicial	District	(Beauregard Parish)
39th	Judicia1	District	(Red River Parish)
Orleans Criminal District Court			

In 20 percent of the responding district attorneys' offices, formal diversion programs were operative. The Judicial Districts included are:

4th Judicial District (Morehouse and Ouachita Parishes)
7th Judicial District (Catahoula and Concordia Parishes)
19th Judicial District (East Baton Rouge Parish)
24th Judicial District (Jefferson Parish)
35th Judicial District (Grant Parish)
Orleans Criminal District Court

The most frequently offered special program was IV-D; a program enforcing payment of child support in AFDC and non-AFDC cases (supported by federal funds).

I - 135

### DISTRICT ATTORNEY ACTIVITY: CRIMINAL AND CIVIL CASELOAD, 1979

One of the activities of the district attorneys' offices deals with the prosecution of criminal cases and representation of governmental agencies in civil cases. This indicates areas where limited time and resources are being utilized.

Statistics gathered from the 30 district attorneys' offices that responded to the questionnaire indicated that 100 percent of them prosecuted felony and misdemeanor cases; 87 percent prosecuted violations of parish ordinances; 20 percent prosecuted city ordinance violations; and 93 percent prosecuted juvenile offenses and traffic cases.

In the area of civil caseload, 80 percent of the reporting district attorneys indicated representation of school boards in civil matters; 87 percent for police juries; and 80 percent for other governmental bodies. Comparison between district attorneys is prevented because of differing philosophies, written legislation concerning representation of governmental bodies, and the existence of different governmental agencies within their jurisdiction.



#### CIVIL CASELOAD

\*Includes Hospitals, Drainage and Levee Boards, Airport Authorities, etc.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division Louisiana District Attorneys Association District Attorney's Activity Report, 1979





Criminal Caseload

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division Louisiana District Attorneys Association District Attorney's Activity Report, 1979

## LOUISIANA'S COURTS, 1979

## THE COURTS . . . INTERPRETING THE LAWS

All the components of the criminal justice system meet and interact in the courtroom. Law enforcement provides evidence; the district attorney prosecutes the case against the accused; the defense attorney represents the accused; and the judge presides over and directs the proceedings. Also involved in the operation of a court are members of the public, as either victim, witness or juror. A final consideration is the effect that any decision made in court will have on state and local corrections.

The operations of the judicial branch are further complicated by the stratification of the types of courts. The 54 city and parish courts have the most localized jurisdictions, being primarily concerned with misdemeanors, parish and municipal ordinances, traffic violations and some juvenile proceedings. The 39 district courts and the Orleans Criminal District Court handle state law violations, both civil and criminal, and in most jurisdictions, juvenile matters. Juvenile and family courts operate in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson and Orleans Parishes. Finally, the Supreme Court has jurisdiction over controversial cases which are appealed from local and district courts. Clerks of court maintain records for all these courts.

From this description, it is evident that the courts component is very complex, and any assessment of its effectiveness must be made on a court by court basis. A successful and full assessment is hampered, however, by needed information that is often missing. For instance, recidivism and career criminal information is lacking in all components of the judicial system. Also, while criminal and civil workload information is available, the frequency of cases settled outside the courtroom is not known. Finally, complete and comparable resource information is not available at the present time.

There is information collected that allows the courts to be evaluated to an extent. A survey conducted by the Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division and the Judicial Planning Committee collects descriptive management and resource information. In 1979, 84.1 percent of the District Courts and 96.9 percent of the clerks of court responded to the survey. Due to the low level of response of the city and parish courts in the past, they were not surveyed in 1979.

The Judicial Administrator's Office collects workload data from all levels of the courts, city and parish up to the Supreme Court, mainly in the form of cases filed and cases terminated, and processes it through the Judicial Administrator's Management Information System (JAMIS). The information received through JAMIS for inclusion in this year's report is from the 1979 Annual Report of the Judicial Council.

The following analysis, based on information collected, is a summary providing a statewide view of Louisiana's city, parish, juvenile, and district courts. In the future, a more thorough analysis will be possible with the implementation of the Complete Disposition Reporting System which will provide recidivism, career criminal and offender flow data.

)

### LOUISIANA DISTRICT COURT INFORMATION SUMMARY, 1979

The Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division and the Judicial Planning Committee surveyed 44 District, Juvenile and Family Courts. There were 37 responses designating that 544 individuals were employed in 1979.

Total filings have increased by 135,287 from the level of 282,483 in 1970 to 417,770 in 1979, an increase of 47.9 percent. Both civil and criminal case filings have increased in 1979. Criminal case filings increased by 15.4 percent from 229,541 filings in 1978 to 264,805 in 1979, and civil case filings increased by 8.5 percent from 141,000 filings in 1978 to 152,965 in 1979. In 1979, civil cases comprised 36.6 percent of filing, whereas 63.4 percent were criminal cases.

In the juvenile and family courts, 35,880 new cases were filed in 1979, an increase of 27.1 percent from the 28,240 filings in 1978. This also represented an increase of 88.0 percent from the 19,085 filings in 1970.

From the courts that responded to the survey, the reported expenditures for district, juvenile and family courts totaled \$6,634,754 in 1979.

Source: 1979 Annual Report of the Judicial Council, Louisiana Criminal Justice System Division and Judicial Planning Committee, Courts Survey, 1979 CRIMINAL AND CIVIL CASE FILINGS IN LOUISIANA DISTRICT COURTS, 1975 - 1979



Source: 1979 Annual Report of the Judicial Council

#### LOUISIANA



#### TOTAL DISTRICT COURT FILINGS,



I - 145



Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division Judicial Planning Committee, Court Survey, 1979

I-146





I-147

## LOUISIANA CITY AND PARISH COURTS INFORMATION SUMMARY, 1979

There were 604,812 cases filed in the city and parish courts in 1979. This represents an increase of 6.0 percent over the 570,661 cases filed in 1978. The percentage distribution of cases filed in 1979 were as follows: 11.5% for civil cases; 20.2% for criminal cases; 66.4% for traffic cases; and 2.0% for juvenile cases.

Source: 1979 Annual Report of the Juvenile Council

I-148



Source: 1979 Annual Report of the Judicial Council
THE STATE JUDICIARY'S BUDGET REPRESENTS LESS THAN ONE-HALF OF ONE PERCENT OF THE STATE'S TOTAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1979 - 1980



Source: 1979 Annual Report of the Judicial Council

I - 150

LOUISIANA ADULT CORRECTIONS, 1979

# LOUISIANA ADULT CORRECTIONS: WHAT HAPPENS TO SENTENCED OFFENDERS?

The corrections component is the last segment in the criminal justice system. The success, or lack of success, of the entire criminal justice system is most visibly reflected in the corrections component. In general, the main function of corrections is to provide rehabilitative services for the incarcerated and to protect society from those who cannot be rehabilitated.

Evaluating the success of corrections in fulfilling its mission is complicated not only by the activities of the other components of the criminal justice system, but also by the fact that correctional facilities exist at both the state and local level. The Louisiana Department of Corrections has the responsibility for corrections at the state level and collects a substantial amount of information about their activities. Unfortunately, at the time this report was written, the only information available for 1979 was limited data concerning admissions and releases, with some resource information and some institution population data. However, this still gives a good description of the activities of the Department during 1979.

The Department of Corrections has developed a working definition of recidivism adequate for its own needs; however, this definition is not as useful for other components of the criminal justice system.<sup>1</sup> Neglected in this definition are the number of offenders who have once again come in contact with any component of the criminal justice system, and the number of offenders who have been released for over a year and returned to the Department of Corrections. Also omitted are the number of repeat offenders, and the number of recidivists who were probation and/or parole violators.

Evaluating local corrections is more difficult due to a lower volume of information at the local level. Recidivism and career criminal information is non-existent. Also, in-depth information on the crimes committed by every offender and inmate profile information is either incomplete or unavailable at the local level. Additionally, manpower, facility and expenditure data are often incomplete and not comparable.

Even with these limitations, information is gathered that allows a partial analysis of local corrections. A survey conducted by the Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division collects specific management and resource information on a statewide basis. The implementation of Complete Disposition Reporting will aid in understanding the impact of recidivism and career criminals, not only on local corrections but also at the state level.

<sup>1</sup>See Glossary for the definition of recidivism.

The following analysis provides a summary description of the corrections component at the state and local levels using the currently available information. It does not encompass, by any means, the entire scope of the Department of Corrections activities in 1979, but it does provide insight into the type of analysis which could be performed if other information were available.

# RESOURCE SUMMARY LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS FISCAL YEAR 1978 - 1979

#### Personnel

There were 3,305 authorized positions for the Department of Corrections' Headquarters unit and adult institutions in Fiscal Year 1978-1979. The 1,239 separations in that fiscal year resulted in a turnover of 37.5 percent. Such a high turnover means a constant recruitment of new employees (1,481 in Fiscal Year 1978-1979), who automatically demand orientation and training. This places an additional burden on monetary and personnel resources within the Department. Until this problem can be alleviated, funds will be expended in a manner which does not facilitate inmate rehabilitation.

#### Facilities

Adult facilities include Louisiana State Penitentiary (Angola), Louisiana Correctional and Industrial School (DeQuincy), Dixon Correctional Institute (Jackson), Adult Reception and Diagnostic Center (Jackson), Work Training Facility (New Orleans - Formerly Corrections Special Treatment Unit), Hunt Correctional Center (St. Gabriel), Louisiana Correctional Institute for Women (St. Gabriel) and Office of Adult Services (State Police Barracks, Sheriffs' Maintenance and Sheriffs' Work Release). The average daily number of inmates assigned to these facilities in Fiscal Year 1978-1979 was 6,299, and the average daily number of inmates physically present was 6,129.4. The average daily number of inmates "in transit" was 169.6, which included those who were on leave, on furlough, in the hospital, at court or had escaped.

#### Expenditures

In Fiscal Year 1978-1979, the Department of Corrections had \$43,510,663 in actual expenditures for its adult institutions. This represents an increase of 22.0 percent over the \$35,665,742 in expenditures in Fiscal Year 1977-1978, and an increase of 55.1 percent over the expenditures of \$28,047,887 in Fiscal Year 1976-1977. The average daily cost per inmate in Fiscal Year 1978-1979 was \$20.10; a 15.7 percent increase from Fiscal Year 1977-1978.

LOUISIANA'S CORRECTIONAL PROCESS, ADMISSIONS AND RELEASES FISCAL YEARS 1977-1978 - 1978-1979



The number of admissions to the Department of Corrections decreased 9.2 percent in Fiscal Years 1978-1979, while at the same time releases increased by only 0.8 percent.

Source: Louisiana Department of Corrections, Preliminary Figures

I - 155

AVERAGE DAILY COST PER INMATE IN LOUISIANA'S ADULT INSTITUTIONS FISCAL YEAR 1969-70 - FISCAL YEAR 1978-79



Cost Per Day Per Inmate

# THE RISING COSTS OF LOUISIANA'S STATE ADULT INSTITUTIONS, FISCAL YEARS 1969-70 - 1978-79



Dollars In Millions

# LOCAL CORRECTIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION SUMMARY, 1979

In 1979, 70 local correctional facilities were surveyed with 66 agencies responding to the survey.

As of December 31, 1979, 1,430 personnel were employeed by local correctional facilities. The jailer to inmate ratio was 1,239/4,744 or approximately one jailer to every 3.8 inmates. Jailer to inmate ratios ranged from a high of 1:32 in Concordia Parish to a low of 1:1.3 in East Carroll Parish.

The state turnover of personnel for local corrections was 39.4 percent. A turnover of this size causes a considerable burden because of the constant replacement of personnel and with the continuous training and orientation of new employees. During 1979, local correctional facilities reported that 1,235 (86.4 percent) received on-the-job and/or correctional training. However, training course information is unavailable at the present time.

Generally, local correctional facilities in all parts of the state are attempting to meet the needs of incarcerated offenders. Drug rehabilitation programs were operating in 27 agencies. Alcohol rehabilitation units were operating in 29 agencies. In 35 of the agencies who responded to the survey, specialized programs are enhanced by classification procedures which evaluate offenders and place them in appropriate programs.

In 1979, the total annual operating budget for the reporting local correctional facilities was \$22,452,157.

# TO WHAT EXTENT ARE LOCAL CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES IN LOUISIANA OPERATING OVER THEIR DESIGNED CAPACITY?

#### PERCENTAGE OVER CAPACITY

Avoyelles	2.8%
Lafayette	56.5%
Lafourche	14.78
Orleans	20.6%



Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

17-35 YEAR OLDS ACCOUNTED FOR 80.8% OF THE INMATES IN LOCAL CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES DURING 1979



Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

# BLACK MALES ACCOUNTED FOR 60.9% OF THE INMATES HELD IN LOCAL CORRECTIONS FACILITIES DURING 1979







Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

LOUISIANA JUVENILE CORRECTIONS, 1979

#### REHABILITATION OF YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS

Juvenile correctional institutions aid in the rehabilitation of delinquent youth committed to their custody by courts of juvenile jurisdiction. A delinquent act refers to an offense which would be a crime if committed by an adult. The Louisiana Department of Corrections has the responsibility for juvenile custodianship. Within the Department of Corrections, the Office of Juvenile Services performs the following functions:

- 1. Provides custody, evaluation, placement and rehabilitation services;
- 2. Establishes and maintains juvenile offenders' records;
- 3. Provides medical, educational, psychological, psychiatric, and social histories of juvenile offenders;
- 4. Provides shelter and food services;
- 5. Provides special treatment to juvenile offenders' relative psychological, psychiatric, and medical needs in response to behavioral problems; and
- 6. Provides a learning environment to clarify and promote understanding and accepting role differentials between parents and juvenile offenders.

The resources of the Office of Juvenile Services within the Department of Corrections are summarized herein. Since many offenders never come in contact with the Department of Corrections, the information provided describes only a portion of the juvenile justice system. Services (including probation, community-based treatment facilities, and other alternatives) are provided by the Division of Youth Services, city and district courts and local (private and public) organizations, and fall outside the scope of this report.

#### Personnel

The juvenile institutions of the Department of Corrections had 683 authorized positions in Fiscal Year 1978-1979. There were 218 new employees and 215 separations in that year, resulting in a turnover of 31.5 percent. Again, such a high turnover results in expending funds and valuable resources on the orientation and training of new employees rather than on the rehabilitation of youthful offenders.

#### Facilities

Juvenile facilities include Juvenile Reception and Diagnostic Center (Baton Rouge), the Juvenile Adjustment Center (Baton Rouge), LTI - Baton Rouge, LTI - New Orleans, LTI - Monroe and LTI - Ball. The average daily number of juveniles on record at these facilities was 1,115.6 in Fiscal Year 1978-1979, and the average daily number physically present was 840.0. The average daily number of juveniles "in transit" was 275.6, which included those who were on leave, on furlough, in the hospital, at court or had escaped.

#### Expenditures

The Department of Corrections had \$9,884,019 in actual expenditures for juvenile institutions in Fiscal Year 1978-1979. This was an increase of 5.8 percent over the \$9,340,926 expenditures in Fiscal Year 1977-1978 and an increase of 21.9 percent over the \$8,106,160 expenditures in Fiscal Year 1976-1977. The average daily cost per juvenile in an institution was \$32.24 in Fiscal Year 1978-1979. This was a decrease of 3.1 percent from \$33.27 during the previous fiscal year, but represented an increase of 13.1 percent over the \$28.51 average daily cost in Fiscal Year 1976-1977.

# THE AVERAGE DAILY COST PER STUDENT IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS JUVENILE INSTITUTIONS, FISCAL YEARS 1969-70 - 1978-79<sup>1</sup>



Cost Per Day Per Student

<sup>1</sup>Federal funds were received prior to 1975-76, but were not included in computations.

# THE RISING COSTS OF JUVENILE INSTITUTIONS FISCAL YEARS 1969-70 - 1978-79<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Federal Funds were received prior to 1975-76 but were not included in computations.

LOUISIANA'S CRIME LABORATORIES, 1979

#### LOUISIANA CRIME LABORATORIES

Louisiana's crime labs are located in every part of the State, serving those jurisdictions in closest proximity. In effect, the laboratories operate on a regional basis. These crime laboratories augment the criminal justice system's response to crime by providing technical services to all of its components.

The following information provides a summary and description of manpower, and monetary resources and workloads of the crime laboratories. A full analysis of the information is rendered impossible for a variety of reasons. First of all, the budgetary information is not comparable, since some laboratories are independent agencies and must pay for their own buildings, while other laboratories are part of a law enforcement agency and are provided operating space.

Secondly, there is a diversity of operations among the laboratories and in addition, the records keeping methods of each laboratory vary. Of the six crime laboratories reporting, three provided workload information according to the survey questionnaire sent to them by the Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division and three submitted information based on records kept on the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors (ASCLD) Workload Report Form.

The workload information does not entirely reflect the activities of the crime laboratories. The figures reported are for cases received or re-ferrals and work may not have actually been done on a case. Additionally, more than one laboratory activity may be performed for a single case.

#### RESOURCE SUMMARY OF CRIME LABORATORIES AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1979

Managers/Professionals	Technicians	Clerical Staff	Number Hired			
Full Part Time Time	Full Part <u>Time Time</u>	Full Part <u>Time Time</u>	In 1979	Voluntary	Involuntary	Total Expenditures
N/R N/R	N/R N/R	N/R N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
5 0	0 0	1 0	1		0	\$114,301.46
4 0	Il O	30	2	3	0	\$269,700.00
9 0	28 0	2 0	0	O	0	N/R
1 0	90	2 1	2	2	0	\$300,000.00
6 0	0 0	1 0	0	0	٥	\$163,888.25
	Full Time Part   Time Time   N/R N/R   5 0   4 0   9 0   1 0	Full     Part     Full     Part       Time     Time     Time     Time       N/R     N/R     N/R     N/R       5     0     0     0       4     0     11     0       9     0     28     0       1     0     9     0	Full     Part     Full     Part     Full     Part     Time     Time <th< td=""><td>Full Part Time   Full Part Time   Full Part Time   Full Part Time   Part Time   Hired In 1979     N/R   N/R   N/R   N/R   N/R   N/R   N/R     5   0   0   0   1   0   1     4   0   11   0   3   0   2     9   0   28   0   2   0   0     1   0   9   0   2   1   2</td><td>Full     Part     Full     Part     Full     Part     Full     Part     In     In     In     Understand     Voluntary       N/R     N/R</td><td>Full TimePart TimeFull TimePart TimeFull Full TimePart TimeHired In InTerminatedN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/R50001011040110302309028020000109021220</td></th<>	Full Part Time   Full Part Time   Full Part Time   Full Part Time   Part Time   Hired In 1979     N/R   N/R   N/R   N/R   N/R   N/R   N/R     5   0   0   0   1   0   1     4   0   11   0   3   0   2     9   0   28   0   2   0   0     1   0   9   0   2   1   2	Full     Part     Full     Part     Full     Part     Full     Part     In     In     In     Understand     Voluntary       N/R     N/R	Full TimePart TimeFull TimePart TimeFull Full TimePart TimeHired In InTerminatedN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/RN/R50001011040110302309028020000109021220

<sup>1</sup>N/R Agency did not respond to question.

<sup>2</sup>Northwest Crime Lab is located in Shreveport with satellites in Monroe and Alexandria.

<sup>3</sup>Southwest Regional Crime Lab is located in Lake Charles.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

I-172

# WORKLOAD BY TYPE OF ANALYSIS CALENDAR YEAR 1979

Type of Analysis	Jefferson Crime Lab	New Orleans Crime Lab	Northwest Crime Lab
Blood Alcohol Analysis	53	101	2,500
Drug Analysis	1,100	1,500	2,000
Toxicology	0	0	0 
Forensic Serology	434	503	300
Toolmarks and Firearms Examination	103	252	200
Trace Evidence Examination	620	164	150
Highway Collision Analysis	0	0	50
Handwriting Analysis	0	0	250
Document Examination	0	64	50
Fingerprint Examination	75	0	30
TOTALS	2,385	2,584	5,930

SOURCE: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

I - 173

# REFERRALS BY LABORATORY ACTIVITY CALENDAR YEAR 1979<sup>1</sup>

Laboratory Activity	Acadiana Crime Lab	La. State Police Crime Lab	Southwest Crime Lab
Controlled Substances and Dangerous Drugs	962	2,174	746
Toxicology	221	1,366	143
Criminalistics	169	283	171
Serology	119	299	312
Firearms & Toolmarks	89	239	184
Documents	0	1	99
Latent Prints	0	378	863
Photography	0	6	104
Other <sup>2</sup>	3	79	340
TOTALS <sup>3</sup>	1,563	4,825	2,962

<sup>1</sup>From the ASCLD Workload Report Forms.

<sup>2</sup>Includes polygraph, voice print, accident investigation, art illustration, etc.

<sup>3</sup>Totals do not agree with Referrals by Type of Case, since more than one laboratory activity may be performed for a single case.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

I - 174

# REFERRALS BY TYPE OF CASE

# CALENDAR YEAR 1979<sup>1</sup>

Type of Case	Acadiana	La. State Police	Southwest
	Crime Lab	Crime Lab	Crime Lab
Homicide	65	163	42
Rape	54	137	52
Robbery	9	50	28
Assault	21	55	65
Burglary	38	. 223	417
Larceny-Theft	3	34	48
Auto Theft	4	33	45
Arson	14	79	33
Forgery & Counterfeiting Fraud Embezzlement Stolen Property Vandalism Weapons Sex Offense Controlled	0 0 0 30 14 9	5 1 0 6 48 7	108 3 1 10 92 0 5
Substances	948	2,139	554
DWI	154	1,177	100
Liquor Violations	14	70	26
Kidnapping	1	0	2
Hit & Run	74	93	20
Other Traffic	14	21	2
Game Laws	0	5	6
Other Criminal	19	103	33
	NON-CR	IMINAL	
Death, Non-Homicide	29	40	35
Other, Non-Criminal	6	0	21
TOTALS	1,520	4,489	1,748

1From the ASCLD Workload Report Forms.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division



#### TABLE 1

LOUISIANA'S INDEX OFFENSES REPORTED BY PARISH,

1979

	Total Index Offenses Reported	% of State Index Offenses	Criminal Homicide	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Total Violent	Burglary	Larceny- Theft	Motor Vehicle Theft	Total Property
LOUISIANA	215,329	100.2	673	1,550	8,825	16,109	27,157	56,125	115,648	16,399	188,172
Acadia	1,017	0.5	2	12	16	96	126	297	541	53	891
Allen	401	0.2	0	1	5	9	15	92	263	31	386
Ascension	1,579	0.7	5	10	23	145	183	380	934	82	1,396
Assumption	285	0.1	3	3	0	24	30	134	103	18	255
Avoyelles	472	0.2	2	3	5	144	154	130	177	11	318
Beauregard	659	0.3	1	2	9	59	71	166	382	40	588
Bienville	132	0.1	3	4	0	33	40	27	55	10	92
Bossier	4,320	2.0	9	38	80	474	601	1,176	2,319	224	3,719
Caddo	16,977	7.9	55	130	443	812	1,440	4,466	10,101	970	15,537
Calcasieu	8,701	4.0	29	64	133	710	936	2,289	4,992	484	7,765
Caldwell	213	0.1	0	• 3	0	12	15	65	126	7	198
Cameron	294	0.1	Ó.	0	0	119	119	25	146	4	175
Catahoula	348	0.2	1	1	1	45	48	123	166	11	300
Claiborne	234	0.1	5	2	3	23	33	82	107	12	201
Concordia	807	0.4	1	3	10	98	112	149	517	29	695
DeSoto	269	0.1	2	1	1	50	54	50	152	13	215
East Baton Rouge	32,122	14.9	43	155	605	2,283	3,086	8,913	18,184	1,939	29,036
East Carroll	263	0.1	1	0	6	12	19	65	179	0	244
East Feliciana	194	0.1	1	3	4	46	54	67	66	7	140
Evangeline	549	0.3	1	9	3	1.31	144	155	235	15	405
Franklin	65	*	2	2	1	12	17	4	39	5	48
Grant	266	0.1	2	1	2	59	64	71	127	4	202
Iberia	1,710	0.8	5	16	36	55	112	532	990	76	1,598
Iberville	772	0.4	1	14	11	117	143	197	410	22	629
Jackson	362	0.2	3	5	2	48	58	118	177	9	304
Jefferson	33,057	15.4	50	190	1,114	1,752	3,106	8,985	17,766	3,200	29,951
Jefferson Davis	693	0.3	4	2	16	15	37	157	475	24	656
Lafayette	8,170	3.8	17	67	170	649	903	2,428	4,404	435	7,267
Lafourche	1,839	0.9	4	4	28	207	243	421	1,063	112	1,596
LaSalle <sup>1</sup>	75	*	0	· 0	0	. 2	2	16	56	1	73
Lincoln	1,287	0.6	6	24	8	160	198	355	698	36	1,089
Livingston	1,304	0.6	5	6	1,5	126	152	394	717	41	1,152
Madison	575	0.3	3	3	4	153	163	138	263	11	412
Morehouse	1,146	0.5	4	3	3	37	47	325	721	53	1,099
Natchitoches	748	0.3	7	9	17	80	113	265	354	16	635
Orleans	52,479	24.4	242	423	5,276	2,953	8,894	12,810	24,687	6,088	43,585

#### TABLE 1 (CONTINUED)

	Total Index Offenses Reported	<pre>% of State Index Offenses</pre>	Criminal Homicide	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Total Violent	Burglary	Larceny- Theft	Motor Vehicle Theft	Total Property
Ouachita	5,766	2.7	8	57	72	481	618	1,000	3,819	329	5,148
Plaquemines	851	0,4	5	2	12	64	83	183	510	75	768
Point Coupee	141	0.1	2	1	4	49	56	22	59	4	85
Rapides	6,170	2.9	19	46	127	411	603	1,429	3,875	263	5,567
Red River	101	*	2	1	2	47	52	17	24	8	49
Richland	296	0.1	6	7	7	30	50	88	144	14	246
Sabine	418	0.2	3 4	2	3	26	34	126	238	20	384
St. Bernard	2,088	1.0	2	4	61	130	197	442	1,240	209	1,891
St. Charles	1,426	0.7	3	21	16	307	347	347	638	94	1,079
St. Helena	148	0.1	0	3	1	22	26	49	63	10	122
St. James	391	0.2	3	5	7	63	78	136	163	14	313
St. John	658	0.3	2	7	11	1.37	157	112	345	44	501
St. Landry	1,334	0.6	12	18	14	218	262	396	613	63	1,072
St. Martin	530	0.2	1	11	11	131	154	141	225	7	376
St. Mary	2,636	1.2	7	23	63	304	397	684	1,361	194	2,239
St. Tammany	4,658	2.2	13	43	49	377	482	1,452	2,390	334	4,176
Tangipahoa	2,859	1.3	16	20	55	289	380	544	1,803	132	2,479
Tensas	179	0.1	2	1	1	15	19	64	92	1	160
Terrebonne	3,369	1.6	13	12	107	351	483	1,137	1,549	200	2,886
Union	601	0.3	2	9	4	122	137	169	278	17	464
Vermilion	1,106	0.5	2	4	32	68	106	231	712	57	1,000
Vernon	1,443	0.7	4	12	41	252	309	367	702	65	1,134
Washington	1,662	0.8	4	12	26	155	197	470	923	72	1,465
Webster	913	0.4	5	5	5	113	128	238	517	30	785
West Baton Rouge	537	0.2	7	4	34	91	136	106	278	17	401
West Carroll	112	0.1	3	3	1	23	30	11	67	4	82
West Feliciana	205	0.1	1	1	4	22	28	31	138	8	177
Winn	347	0.2	7	3	5	61	76	63	190	18	271

<sup>1</sup>Parish where the major law enforcement agency in the parish had three or more months of LUCR delinquent in 1979.

\*Number below 0.1.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

# TABLE 2

LOUISIANA'S INDEX OFFENSES PER 100,000 POPULATION BY PARISH,

1979

	Total Index Crime Rate	Criminal <u>Homicide</u>	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated _Assault	Total Violent	Burglary	Larceny- Theft	Motor Vehicle Theft	Total Property
LOUISIANA	5,337.5	16.6	38.4	218.7	399.3	673.1	1,391.2	2,866.6	406.4	4,664.4
Acadia	1,832.8	3.6	21.6	28.8	173.0	227.0	535.2	975.0	95.5	1,605.8
Allen	1,910.7	0.0	4.7	23.8	42.8	71.4	438.3	1,253.2	147.7	1,839.3
Ascension	3,420.7	10.8	21.6	49.8	314.1	396.4	823.2	2,023.4	177.6	3,024.3
Assumption	1,335.3	14.0	14.0	0.0	112.4	140.5	627.8	482.6	84.3	1,194.8
Avoyelles	1,194.5	5.0	7.5	12,6	364.4	389.7	328.9	447.9	27.8	804.7
Beauregard	2,396.2	3.6	7.2	32.7	214.5	258.1	603.6	1,389.0	145.4	2,138.1
Bienville	775.4	17.6	23.4	0.0	193.8	234.9	158.6	323.1	58.7	540.4
Bossier	5,960.4	12.4	52.4	110.3	653.9	829.2	1,622.5	3,199.5	309.0	5,131.2
Caddo	6,918.6	22.4	52.9	180.5	330.9	586.8	1,820.0	4,116.4	395.3	6,331.8
Calcasieu	5,388.5	17.9	39.6	82.3	439.7	579.6	1,417.5	3,091.5	299.7	4,808.8
Caldwel_	1,981.0	0.0	27.9	0.0	111.6	139.5	604.5	1,171.8	65.1	1,841.5
Cameron	2,933.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,187.5	1,187.5	249.4	1,456.9	39.9	1,746.3
Catahoula	2,973.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	384.5	410.1	1,051.0	1,418.4	93.9	2,563.4
Clairborne	1,396.3	29.8	11.9	17.9	137.2	196.9	489.3	638.5	71.6	1,199.4
Concordia	3,571.9	4.4	13.2	44.2	433.7	495.7	659.4	2,288.3	128.3	3,076.1
DeSoto	1,112.7	8.2	4.1	4.1	206.8	223.3	206.8	628.7	53.7	889.3
East Baton Rouge	9,505.1	12.7	45.8	179.0	675.5	913.1	2,637.4	5,380.8	573.7	8,592.0
East Carroll	2,289.1	8.7	0.0	52.2	104.4	165.3	565.7	1,558.0	0.0	2,123.7
East Feliciana	1,188.8	6.1	18.3	24.5	281.8	330.9	410.5	404.4	42.8	857.9
Evangeline	1,651.6	3.0	27.0	9.0	394.1	433.2	466.3	706.9	45.1	1,218.4
Franklin	271.6	8.3	8.3	4.1	50.1	71.0	16.7	162.9	20.8	200.5
Grant	1,742.8	13.1	6.5	13.1	386.5	419.3	465.2	832.1	26.2	1,323.5
Iberia	2,612.4	7.6	24.4	54.9	84.0	171.1	812.7	1,512.4	116.1	2,441.3
Iberville	2,493.1	3.2	45.2	35.5	377.8	461.8	636.2	1,324.0	71.0	2,031.3
Jackson	2,149.5	17.8	29.6	11.8	285.0	344.3	700.6	1,051.0	53.4	1,805.1
Jefferson	7,650.0	11.5	43.9	257.8	405.4	718.7	2,079.2	4,111.3	740.5	6,931.2
Jefferson Davis	2,197.2	12.6	6.3	50.7	47.5	117.3	497.7	1,506.0	76.0	2,079.8
Lafayette	5,941.4	12.3	48.7	123.6	471.9	656.6	1,765.7	3,202.6	316.3	5,284.7
Lafourche	2,325.3	5.0	5.0	35.4	261.7	307.2	532.3	1,344.1	141.6	2,018.1
LaSalle <sup>1</sup>	484.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.9	12.9	103.3	361.7	6.4	471.5
Lincoln	3,452.0	16.0	64.3	21.4	429.1	531.0	952.2	1,872.2	96.5	2,920.9
Livingston	2,433.1	9.3	11.1	27.9	235.1	283.6	735.1	1,337.8	76.5	2,149.4
Madison	4,012.2	20.9	20.9	27.9	1,067.6	1,137.3	962.9	1,835.1	76.7	2,874.8
Morehouse	3,416.8	11.9	8.9	8.9	110.3	140.1	968.9	2,149.6	158.0	3,276.6
Natchitoches	2,066.8	19.3	24.8	46.9	221.0	312.2	732.2	978.1	44.2	1,754.5
Orleans	9,220.9	42.5	74.3	927.0	518.8	1,562.7	2,250.8	4,337.7	1,069.7	7,658.2

**II-4** 

#### TABLE 2 (CONTINUED)

II-5

	Total Index Crime Rate	Criminal <u>Homicide</u>	Forcible <u>Rape</u>	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Total <u>Violent</u>	Burglary	Larceny- Theft	Motor Vehicle Theft	Total Property
Ouachita	4,363.7	6.0	43.1	54.4	364.0	467.7	756.8	2,890.2	248.9	3,896.9
Plaquemines	3,132.4	18.4	7.3	44.1	235.5	305.5	673.6	1,877.2	276.0	2,826.9
Point Coupee	617.2	8.7	4.3	17.5	214.4	245.1	96.3	258.2	17.5	372.0
Rapides	4,887.3	15.0	36.4	100.5	325.5	477.6	1,131.9	3,069.4	208.3	4,409.6
Red River	1,067.3	21.1	10.5	21.1	496.6	549.5	179.6	253.6	84.5	517.8
Richland	1,358.9	27.5	32.1	32.1	137.7	229.5	404.0	661.1	64.2	1,129.4
Sabine	2,044.7	14.6	9.7	14.6	127.1	166.3	616.3	1,164.2	97.8	1,878.3
St. Bernard	3,302.5	3.1	6.3	96.4	205.6	311.5	699.1	1,961.3	330.5	2,991.0
St. Charles	4,050.9	8.5	59.6	45.4	872.1	985.7	985.7	1,812.3	267.0	3,065.1
St. Helena	1,457.1	0.0	29.5	9.8	216.5	255.9	482.4	620.2	98.4	1,201.1
St. James	1,939.4	14.8	24.8	34.7	312.5	386.9	674.6	808.5	69.4	1,552.5
St. John	2,202.5	6.6	23.4	36.8	458.5	525.5	374.8	1,154.8	147.2	1,676.9
St. Landry	1,586.5	14.2	21.4	16.6	259.2	311.6	470.9	729.0	74.9	1,274.9
St. Martin	1,446.9	2.7	30.0	30.0	357.6	420.4	393.1	614.2	19.1	1,026.5
St. Mary	4,238.4	11.2	36.9	101.2	488.8	638.3	1,099.8	2,188.3	311.9	3,600.0
St. Tanmany	4,699.0	13.1	43.3	49.4	380.3	486.2	1,464.8	2,411.0	336.9	4,212.8
Tangipahoa	3,682.9	20.6	25.7	70.8	372.2	489.5	700.7	2,322.6	170.0	3,193.4
Tensas	2,172.8	24.2	12.1	12.1	182.0	230.6	776.8	1,116.7	48.5	1,942.2
Terrebonne	3,672.2	14.1	13.0	116.6	382.5	526.4	1,239.3	1,688.4	218.0	3,145.7
Union	2,944.9	9.8	44.1	19.6	597.8	671.3	828.1	1,362.2	83.3	2,273.6
Vermilion	2,294.9	4.1	8.2	66.3	141.0	219.9	479.3	1,477.3	118.2	2,074.9
Vernon	3,012.2	8.3	25.0	85.5	526.0	645.0	766.1	1,465.4	135.6	2,367.2
Washington	3,785.0	9.1	27.3	59,2	352.9	448.6	1,070.3	2,102.0	163.9	3,336.3
Webster	2,174.2	11.9	11.9	11.9	269.1	304.8	566.7	1,231.2	71.4	1,869.4
West Baton Rouge	2,916.5	38.0	21.7	184.6	494.2	738.6	575.7	1,509.8	92.3	2,177.9
West Carroll	879.3	23.5	23.5	7.8	180.5	235.5	86.3	526.0	31.4	643.7
West Feliciana	1,965.4	9.5	9.5	38.3	210.9	268.4	297.2	1,323.1	76.7	1,697.0
Winn	2,004.9	40.4	17.3	28.8	352.4	439.1	364.0	1,097.8	104.0	1,565.8

<sup>1</sup>Parish where the major law enforcement agency in the parish had three or more months of LUCR delinquent in 1979.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division,

Louisiana Tech University, The Louisiana Economy

TABLE 3

# PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF INDEX OFFENSES REPORTED IN LOUISIANA BY PARISH,

1979

		Total Index Offenses Reported	Criminal <u>Homicide</u>	Forcible	Robbery	Aggravated _Assault	Total Violent <sup>1</sup>	Burglary	Larceny Theft	Motor Vehicle Theft	Total Propertyl	Total Index Offenses <sup>1</sup>
LOUISIANA		215,329	0.3	0.7	4.1	7.5	12.6	26.1	53.7	7.6	87.4	100.0
				1				20.1	JJ	1.0	01.4	100.0
Acadia		1,017	0.2	1.2	1.6	9.4	12.4	29.2	53.2	5.2	87.6	100.0
Allen		401	0.0	0.2	1.2	2.2	3.7	22.9	65.6	7.7	96.3	100.0
Ascension		1,579	0.3	0.6	1.5	9.2	11.6	24.1	59.2	5.2	88.4	100.0
Assumption		285	1.0	1.0	0.0	8.4	10.5	47.0	36.1	6.3	89.5	100.0
Avoyelles		472	0.4	0.6	1.1	30.5	32.6	27.5	37.5	2.3	67.4	100.0
Beauregard		659	0.2	0.3	1.4	9.0	10.8	25.2	58.0	6.1	89.2	100.0
Bienville		132	2.3	3.0	0.0	25.0	30.3	20.5	41.7	7.6	69.7	100.0
Bossier		4,320	0.2	0.9	1.9	11.0	13.9	27.2	53.7	5.2	86.1	100.0
Caddo		16,977	0.3	0.8	2.6	4.8	8.5	26.3	59.5	5.7	91.5	100.0
Calcasieu		8,701	0.3	0.7	1.5	8.2	10.8	26.3	57.4	5.6	89.2	100.0
Caldwell		213	0.0	1.4	0.0	5.6	7.0	30.5	* 59.2	3.3	93.0	100.0
Cameron	· · · ·	294	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.5	40.5	8.5	49.7	1.4	59.5	100.0
Catahoula		348	0.3	0.3	0.3	12.9	13.8	35.3	47.7	3.2	86.2	100.0
Claiborne		234	2.1	0.9	1.3	9.8	14.1	35.0	45.7	5.1	85.9	100.0
Concordia		807	0.1	0.4	1.2	12.1	13.9	18.5	64.1	3.6	86.1	100.0
DeSoto		269	0.7	0.4	0.4	18.6	20.1	16.6	56.5	4.8	79.9	100.0
East Baton Roug	8	32,122	0.1	0.5	1.9	7.1	9.6	27.7	56.6	6.0	90.4	100.0
East Carroll		263	0.4	0.0	2.3	4.6	7.2	24.7	68.1	0.0	92.8	100.0
East Feliciana		194	0.5	1.5	2.1	23.7	27.8	34.5	34.0	3.6	72.2	100.0
Evangeline		549	0.2	1.6	0.5	23.9	26.2	28.2	42.8	2.7	73.8	100.0
Franklin		65	3.1	3.1	1.5	18.5	26.2	6.2	60.0	7.7	73.8	100.0
Grant		266	0.8	0.4	0.8	22.2	24.1	26.7	47.7	1.5	75.9	100.0
Iberia		1,710	0.3	0.9	2.1	3.2	6.5	31.1	57.9	4.4	93.5	100.0
Iberville		772	0.1	1.8	1.4	15.2	18.5	25.5	53.1	2.8	81.5	100.0
Jackson		362	0.8	1.4	0.6	13.3	16.0	32.6	48.9	2.5	84.0	100.0
Jefferson		33,057	0.2	0.6	0.3	5.3	9.4	27.2	53.7	9.7	90.6	100.0
Jefferson Davis		693	0.6	0.3	2.3	2.2	5.3	22.7	68.5	3.5	94.7	100.0
Lafayette		8,170	0.2	0.8	2.1	7.9	11.1	29.7	53.9	5.3	88.9	100.0
Lafourche		1,839	0.2	0.2	1.5	11.3	13.2	22.9	57.8	6.1	86.8	100.0
LaSalle <sup>2</sup>		75	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.7	21.3	74.7	1.3	97.3	100.0
Lincoln		1,287	0.5	1.9	0.6	12.4	15.4	27.6	54.2	2.8	84.6	100.0
Livingston		1,304	0.4	0.5	1.2	9.7	11.7	30.2	55.0	3.1	88.3	100.0
Madison		575	0.5	0.5	0.7	26.6	28.3	24.0	45.7	1.9	71.7	100.0
Morehouse		1,146	0.3	0.3	0.3	3.2	4.1	28.4	62.9	4.6	95.9	100.0
Natchitoches		748	0.9	1.2	2.3	10.7	15.1	35.4	47.3	2.1	84.9	100.0
Orleans		52,479	0.5	0.8	10.1	5.6	16.9	24.4	47.0	11.6	83.1	100.0

#### TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

11-7

	Total								••		
	Index Offenses <u>Reported</u>	Criminal <u>Homicide</u>	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Total <u>Violent</u>	Burglary	Larceny <u>Theft</u>	Motor Vehicle Theft	Total Propertyl	Total Index <u>Offenses<sup>1</sup></u>
Ouachita	5,766	0.1	0.1	1.2	8.3	10.7	17.3	66.2	5.7	89.3	100.0
Plaquemines	851	0.6	0.2	1.4	7.5	9.8	21.5	59.9	8.8	90.2	100.0
Pointe Coupee	141	1.4	0.7	2.8	34.8	39.7	15.6	41.8	2.8	60.3	100.0
Rapides	6,170	0.3	0.7	2.1	6.7	9.8	23.2	62.8	4.3	90.2	100.0
Red River	101	2.0	1.0	2.0	46.5	51.5	16.8	23.8	7.9	48.5	100.0
Richland	296	2.0	2.4	2.4	10.1	16.9	29.7	48.6	4.7	83.1	100.0
Sabine	418	0.7	0.5	0.7	6.2	8.1	30.1	56.9	4.8	91.9	100.0
St. Bernard	2,088	0.1	0.2	2,9	6.2	9.4	21.2	59.4	10.0	90.6	100.0
St. Charles	1,426	0.2	1.5	1.1	21.5	24.3	24.3	44.7	6.6	75.7	100.0
St. Helena	148	0.0	2.0	0.7	14.9	17.6	33.1	42.6	6.8	82.4	100.0
St. James	391	0.8	1.3	1.8	16.1	19.9	34.8	41.7	3.6	80.1	100.0
St. John	658	0.3	1.1	1.7	20.8	23.9	17.0	52.4	6.7	76.1	100.0
St. Landry	1,334	0.9	1.3	1.0	16.3	19.6	29.7	46.0	4.7	80.4	100.0
St. Martin	530	0.2	2.1	2.1	24.7	29.1	27.2	42.5	1.3	70.9	100.0
St. Mary	2,636	0.3	0.9	2.4	11.5	15.1	25.9	51.6	7.4	84.9	100.0
St. Tammany	4,658	0.3	0.9	1.1	8.1	10.3	31.2	51.3	7.2	89.7	100.0
Tangipahoa	2,859	0.6	0.7	1.9	10.1	13.3	19.0	63.1	4.6	86.7	100.0
Tensas	179	1.1	0.6	0.6	8.4	10.6	35.8	51.4	2.2	89.4	100.0
Terrebonne	3,369	0.4	0.4	3.2	10.4	14.3	33.7	46.0	5.9	85.7	100.0
Union	601	0.3	1.5	0.7	20.3	22.8	28.1	46.3	2.8	77.2	100.0
Vermilion	1,106	0.2	0.4	2.9	6.1	9.6	20.9	64.4	5.2	90.4	100.0
Vernon	1,443	0.3	0.8	2.8	17.5	21.4	25.4	48.6	4.5	78.6	100.0
Washington	1,662	0.2	0.7	1.6	9.3	11.9	28.3	55.5	4.3	88.1	100.0
Webster	913	0.5	0.5	0.5	12.4	14.0	26.1	56.6	3.3	86.0	100.0
West Baton Rouge	537	1.3	0.7	6.3	16.9	25.3	19.7	51.8	3.2	74.7	100.0
West Carroll	112	2.7	2.7	0.9	20.5	26.8	9.8	59.8	3.6	73.2	100.0
West Feliciana	205	0.5	0.5	2.0	10.7	13.7	15.1	67.3	3.9	86.3	100.0
Winn	347	2.0	0.9	1.4	17.6	21.9	18.2	54.8	5.2	78.1	100.0

<sup>1</sup>Percentages may not be equal Total Violent, Total Property, or Total Index Offenses.

2Parish where the major law enforcement agency in the parish had three or more months of LUCR delinquent.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

TABLE 4

COMPARISON OF LOUISIANA'S POPULATION, TOTAL INDEX OFFENSES, AND TOTAL INDEX CRIME RATES BY PARISH,

1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

	Pc	opulation			State ation <sup>3</sup>		l Reporte lex Crime			State Crime <sup>3</sup>		tal Index cime Rate	• • • •
	1978	1979	<u>₩</u>	<u>1978</u>	1979	1978	1979	<u> </u>	<u>1978</u>	1979	1978	1979	8∆
LOUISIANA	3,992,798	4,034,203	1.04		<b></b>	190,034	215,329	13.3			4,759.4	5,337.5	12.1
Acadia	54,330	55,486	2.13	1.4	1.4	1,120	1,017	-9.2	0.6	0.5	2,061.4	1,832.8	-11.1
Allen	20,729	20,986	1.24	0.5	0.5	365	401	9.9	0.2	0.2	1,760.8	1,910.7	8.5
Ascension	44,363	46,159	4.05	1.1	1.1	1,218	1,579	29.6	0.6	0.7	2,745.5	3,420.7	24.6
Assumption	20,795	21,342	2.63	0.5	0.5	199	285	43.2	0.1	0.1	956.9	1,335.3	39.5
Avoyelles	39,209	39,514	0.78	1.0	1.0	436	472	8.3	0.2	0.2	1,111.9	1,194.5	7.4
Beauregard	27,628	27,501	-0.46	0.7	0.7	589	659	11.9	0.3	0.3	2,131.8	2,396.2	12.4
Bienville	17,226	17,022	-1.18	0.4	0.4	159	132	-17.0	0.1	0.1	923.0	775.4	-16.0
Bossier	71,716	72,478	1.06	1.8	1.8	3,501	4,320	23.4	1.8	2.0	4,881.7	5,960.4	22.1
Caddo	242,406	245,380	1.23	6.]	6.1	15,625	16,977	8.7	8.2	7.9	6,445.7	6,918.6	7.3
Calcasieu	157,730	161,473	2.37	4.0	4.0	7,948	8,701	9.5	4.2	4.0	5,038.9	5,388.5	6.9
Caldwell	10,247	10,752	4.93	0.3	0.3	225	213	-5.3	0.1	0.1	2,195.7	1,981.0	-9.8
Cameron	9,528	10,021	5.17	0.2	0.2	274	294	7.3	0.1	0.1	2,875.7	2,933.8	2.0
Catahoula	11,726	11,703	~0.20	0.3	0.3	302	348	15.2	0.2	0.2	2,575.4	2,973.5	15.5
Claiborne	16,682	16,758	0.46	0.4	0.4	201	234	16.4	0.1	0.1	1,204.8	1,396.3	15.9
Concordia	22,237	22,593	1.60	0.6	0.6	742	807	8.8	0.4	0.4	3,336.7	3,571.9	7.0
DeSoto	23,704	24,175	1.99	0.6	0.6	309	269	-12.9	0.2	0.1	1,303.5	1,112.7	-14.6
East Baton Rouge	332,262	337,942	1.71	8.3	8.4	29,723	32,122	8.1	15.6	14.9	8,945.7	9,505.1	6.3
East Carroll	11,654	11,489	-1.42	0.3	0.3	270	263	-2.6	0.1	0.1	2,316.8	2,289.1	-1.2
East Feliciana	16,522	16,318	-1.23	0.4	0.4	128	194	51.6	0.1	0.1	774.7	1,188.8	53.5
Evangeline	33,320	33,240	-0.24	0.8	0.8	410	549	33.9	0.2	0.3	1,230.4	1,651.6	34.2
Franklin	23,971	23,931	-0.17	0.6	0.6	105	65	-38.1	0.1	*	438.0	271.6	-38.0
Grant	15,151	15,262	0.73	0.4	0.4	1.84	266	44.6	0.1	0.1	1,214.4	1,742.8	43.5
Iberia	64,636	65,456	1.27	1.6	1.6	1,355	1,710	26.2	0.7	0.8	2,096.3	2,612.4	24.6
Iberville	30,788	30,965	0.57	0.8	0.8	607	772	27.2	0.3	0.4	1,971.5	2,493.1	26.5
Jackson	16,895	16,841	-0.32	0.4	0.4	284	362	27.5	0.1	0.2	1,680.9	2,149.5	27.9
Jefferson	427,019	432,117	1.19	10.7	10.7	27,093	33,057	22.0	14.3	15.4	6,344.6	7,650.0	20.6
Jefferson Davis	31,535	31,540	0.02	0.8	0.8	540	693	28.3	0.3	0.3	1,712.3	2,197.2	28.3
Lafayette	134,166	137,509	2.49	3.4	3.4	6,635	8,170	23.1	3.5	3.8	4,945.3	5,941.4	20.1
Lafourche	76,903	79,084	2.84	1.9	2.0	1,811	1,839	1.5	1.0	0.9	2,354.9	2,325.3	-1.3
LaSalle <sup>2</sup>	15,242	15,480	1.56	0.4	0.4	246	75		0.1	*	1,613.9	484.4	-1.3
Lincoln	37,198	37,282	0.23	0.9	0.9	1,097	1,287	17.3	0.6	0.6	2,949.0	3,452.0	17.1
Livingston	49,931	53,594	7.34	1.3	1.3	1,210	1,304	7.8	0.6	0.6	2,423.3	2,433.1	0.4
Madison	14,646	14,331	-2.15	0.4	0.4	505	575	13.9	0.3	0.3	3,448.0	4,012.2	16.4
Morehouse	33,692	33,540	-0.45	0.8	0.8	1,115	1,146	2.8	0.6	0.5	3,309.3	3,416.8	3.2
Natchitoches	36,171	36,191	0.06	0.9	0.9	501	748	49.3	0.3	0.3	1,385.0	2,066.8	49.2
Orleans	585,814	569,125	-2.85	14.7	14.1	45,826	52,479	14.5	24.1	24.4	7,822.6	9,220.9	17.9
		,				-101020	561215	74.2	4 H 4	44.4	1,022.0	5,440.9	T1.3

#### TABLE 4 (CONTINUED)

	Ро	pulation		% of State Population <sup>3</sup>			al Reportendex Crime			State Crime <sup>3</sup>	Total Index Crime Rate		
	1978	1979	8 △	1978	1979	1978	1979	₹Δ	1978	1979	1978	1979	8∆
Ouachita	130,703	132,133	1.09	3,3	3.3	6,476	5,766	-11.0	3.4	2.7	4,954.7	4,363.7	-1.1.9
Plaquemines	26,696	27,167	1.76	0.7	0.7	783	851	8.7	0.4	0.4	2,933.0	3,132.4	6.8
Pointe Coupee	22,646	22,845	0.88	0.6	0.6	139	141	1.4	0.1	0,1	613.7	617.2	0.6
Rapides	124,917	126,245	1.06	3.1	3.1	5,603	6,170	10.1	2.9	2.9	4,485.3	4,887.3	9.0
Red River	9,250	9,463	2.30	0.2	0.2	100	101	1.0	0.1	*	1,081.0	1,067.3	-1.3
Richland	21,524	21,781	1.19	0.5	0.5	378	296	-21.7	0.2	0.1	1,756.1	1,358.9	-22.6
Sabine	20,090	20,443	1.76	0.5	0.5	353	418	18.4	0.2	0.2	1,757.0	2,044.7	16.4
St. Bernard	62,261	63,223	1.55	1.6	1.6	1,609	2,088	29.8	0.8	1.0	2,584.2	3,302.5	27.8
St. Charles	34,715	35,202	1.40	0.9	0.9	1,271	1,426	12.2	0.7	0.7	3,661.2	4,050.9	10.6
St. Helena	9,893	10,157	2.67	0.2	0.3	57	148	159.6	*	0.1	576.1	1,457.1	152.9
St. James	19,940	20,160	1.10	0.5	0.5	277	391	41.2	0.1	0.2	1,389.1	1,939.4	39.6
St. John	28,602	29,875	4.45	0.7	0.7	413	658	59.3	0.2	0.3	1,443.9	2,202.5	52.5
St. Landry	83,178	84,081	1.09	2.1	2.1	1,328	1,334	0.5	0.7	0.6	1,596.5	1,586.5	-0.6
St. Martin	35,987	36,628	1.78	0.9	0.9	571	530	-7.2	0.3	0.2	1,586.6	1,446.9	-8.8
St. Mary	61,699	62,193	0.80	1.5	1.5	2,454	2,636	7.4	1.3	1.2	3,977.3	4,238.4	6.6
St. Tammany	92,585	99,126	7.06	2.3	2.5	3,760	4,658	23.9	2.0	2.2	4,061.1	4,699.0	15.7
Tangipahoa	76,350	77,628	1.67	1.9	1.9	2,456	2,859	16.4	1,.3	1.3	3,216.7	3,682.9	14.5
Tensas	8,375	8,238	-1.64	0.2	0.2	229	179	-21.8	0.1	0.1	2,734.3	2,172.8	-20.5
Terrebonne	89,438	91,743	2.58	2.2	2.3	2,812	3,369	19.8	1.5	1.6	3,144.0	3,672.2	16.8
Union	20,089	20,408	1.59	0.5	0.5	506	601	18.8	0.3	0.3	2,518.7	2,944.9	16.9
Vermilion	46,972	48,193	2.60	1.2	1.2	740	1,106	49.5	0.4	0.5	1,575.4	2,294.9	45.7
Vernon	45,901	47,904	4.36	1.1	1.2	1,497	1,443	-3.6	0.8	0.7	3,261.3	3,012.2	-7.6
Washington	43,127	43,910	1.82	1.1	1.1	1,286	1,662	29.2	0.7	0.8	2,981.8	3,785.0	26.9
Webster	42,068	41,991	-0.18	1.1	1.0	931	913	-1.9	0.5	0.4	2,213.0	2,174.2	-1.8
West Baton Rouge	18,090	18,412	1.77	0.5	0.5	538	537	-0.2	0.3	0.2	2,974.0	2,916.5	-1.9
West Carroll	12,835	12,737	-0.76	0.3	0.3	127	112	-11.8	0.1	0.1	989.4	879.3	-11.1
West Feliciana	9,865	10,430	5.73	0.2	0.3	180	205	13.9	0.1	0.1	1,824.6	1,965.4	7.7
Winn	17,230	17,307	0.45	0.4	0.4	302	347	14.9	6.2	0.2	1,752.7	2,004.9	14.4

lpercent changes (%  $\Delta$  ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Percent changes (% Δ) were not computed for the parish where the major law enforcement agency in the parish had three or more months of LUCR delinquent in 1979.

<sup>3</sup>Percent may not equal 100% due to rounding.

\*Number below 0.1

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division, Louisiana Tech University, <u>The Louisiana Economy</u>

11-9

# CONTINUED

\*\*\*



#### TABLE 5

### COMPARISON OF LOUISIANA'S INDEX VIOLENT CRIME INCIDENCES BY PARISH,

1978 - 19791

	Criminal Homicide			Forcible Rape			Robbery			Aggrav	ated Ass	ault	Total Violent			
	Offenses Reported 1978 1979 %∆			Offenses Reported 1978 1979 %∆			Offenses Reported 1978 1979 %∆			Offenses Reported 1978 1979 そム			Offenses Reported 1978 1979 $\Delta$			
LOUISIANA	627	673	7.3	1,378	1,550	12.5	6,882	8,825	28.2	14,390	16,109	11.9	23,277	27,157	16.7	
Acadia	3	2		5	12	_	6	16	-	84	96	14.3	98	126	28.6	
Allen	0	0	-	3	1	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	10	5	· · <u>-</u>	9	9		22	. 15		
Ascension	4	5		12	10		21	23	· -	154	145	-5.8	191	183	-4.2	
Assumption	· . 0	3		·. 3	3		3	0		22	24		28	30	-	
Avoyelles	. 8	2		4	- 3	-	. 4	5	· · ·	131	144	9.9	147	154	4.8	
Beauregard	1	1	-	3	2	-	9	9	-	48	59		61	71	16.4	
Bienville	2	3	· · -	0	4	·	1	0		39	33		42	40	-	
Bossier	9	9	- 1	23	38	: <del>-</del>	60	80	33.3	303	474	56.4	395	601	52.2	
Caddo	44	55	. <b></b>	115	130	13.0	348	443	27.3	780	812	4.1	1,287	1,440	11.9	
Calcasieu	21	29		51	64	25.5	129	133	3.1	580	710	22.4	781	936	19.8	
Caldwell	0	0	·	· · · 0	3		3	0	- <b></b>	12	12	·	15	15	· _	
Cameron	0	0	· •	0	0	<u> </u>	1	0	-	110	119	8.2	111	119	7.2	
Catahoula	0	1	-	1.6	1	· ·	0	1	· · · ·	29	45	-	45	48		
Claiborne	4	5		2	2	-	2	3		24	23	-	32	33	-	
Concordia	2	1	<b>-</b> ","	2	3		18	10	·	84	98	16.7	106	112	5.7	
DeSoto	3	2	. <del>.</del>	1	1		0	1	-	67	50	-25.4	71			
East Baton Rouge	35	43	-	171	155	-9.4	479	605	26.3	1,997	2,283	14.3	2,682	3,086	15.1	
East Carroll	. 0	1		2	0	-	0	6	-	57	12	_	59	19		
East Feliciana	0	1		2	3	-	5	4		42	46	··	49	54	·	
Evangeline	4	1	, <del>-</del>	2	9	· -	6	3	-	105	131	24.8	117	144	23.1	
Franklin	5	2	-	1	2	-	4	1	<del>-</del>	24	12	<u> </u>	34	17	· · · · ·	
Grant	1	2	-	1	1	-	1	2	÷ • -	36	59	· · ·	39	64	. —	
Iberia	7	. 5		12	16		30	36	-	46	55	· · · ·	95	112	17.9	
Iberville	2	1	<del></del>	9	14	· · ·	7	11	· -	78	117	50.0	96	143	49.0	
Jackson	2	3		3	5	. —	0	2	· - ·	37	48	-	42	58	-	
Jefferson	42	50	-	138	190	37.7	829	1,114	34,4	1,600	1,752	9.5	2,609	3,106	19.0	
Jefferson Davis	3	4	<u>-</u> -	1	2	1 . <del></del>	18	16		29	15	· -	51	. 37	-	
Lafayette	10	17	-	29	67		108	170	57.4	547	649	18.6	694	903		
Lafourche	2	- 4		6	4		14	28		145	207	42.8	167	243		
LaSalle <sup>2</sup>	1	0		0	0	÷	0	· 0	-	52	2	· -	53	2		
Lincoln	3	6		18	24	· · -	18	8	· ••	132	160	21.2	171	198		
Livingston	6	5		9	6		12	15		148	126	-14.9	175	152		
Madison	4	3		12	3		8	4	-	114	153	34.2	138	163		
Morehouse	2	4	·	4	3	-	9	3		53	37	-	68	47		
Natchitoches	3	7	-	3	. 9		4	17		69	80	15.9	. 79	113		
Orleans	219	242	10.5	406	423	4.2	4,164	5,276	26.7	2,849	2,953	3.7	7,638	8,894	16.4	

II-10

	Ро	pulation			State ation <sup>3</sup>		tal Report Index Crime			State Crime <sup>3</sup>	Total Index Crime Rate			
	1978	1979	8 △	1978	1979	1978	1979	<b>ξ</b> Δ	1978	1979	1978	1979	<u>&amp;∆</u>	
Ouachita	130,703	132,133	1.09	3.3	3.3	6,476	5,766	-11.0	3.4	2.7	4,954.7	4,363.7	-11.9	
Plaquemines	26,696	27,167	1.76	0.7	0.7	783	851	8.7	0.4	0.4	2,933.0	3,132.4	6.8	
Pointe Coupee	22,646	22,845	0.88	0.6	0.6	139	141	1.4	0.1	0.1	613.7	617.2	0.6	
Rapides	124,917	126,245	1.06	3.1	3.1	5,603	6,170	10.1	2.9	2.9	4,485.3	4,887.3	9.0	
Red River	9,250	9,463	2.30	0.2	0.2	100	101	1.0	0.1	*	1,081.0	1,067.3	-1.3	
Richland	21,524	21,781	1.19	0.5	0.5	378	296	-21.7	0.2	0.1	1,756.1	1,358.9	-22.6	
Sabine	20,090	20,443	1.76	0.5	0.5	353	418	18.4	0.2	0.2	1,757.0	2,044.7	16.4	
St. Bernard	62,261	63,223	1.55	1.6	1.6	1,609	2,088	29.8	0.8	1.0	2,584.2	3,302.5	27.8	
St. Charles	34,715	35,202	1.40	0.9	0.9	1,271	1,426	12.2	0.7	0.7	3,661.2	4,050.9	10.6	
St. Helena	9,893	10,157	2.67	0.2	0.3	57	148	159.6	*	0.1	576.1	1,457.1	152.9	
St. James	19,940	20,160	1.10	0.5	0.5	277	391	41.2	0.1	0.2	1,389.1	1,939.4	39.6	
St. John	28,602	29,875	4.45	0.7	0.7	413	658	59.3	0.2	0.3	1,443.9	2,202.5	52.5	
St. Landry	83,178	84,081	1.09	2.1	2.1	1,328	1,334	0.5	0.7	0.6	1,596.5	1,586.5	-0.6	
St. Martin	35,987	36,628	1.78	0.9	0.9	571	530	-7.2	0.3	0.2	1,586.6	1,446.9	-8.8	
St. Mary	61,699	62,193	0.80	1.5	1.5	2,454	2,636	7.4	1.3	1.2	3,977.3	4,238.4	6.6	
St. Tammany	92,585	99,126	7.06	2.3	2.5	3,760	4,658	23.9	2.0	2.2	4,061.1	4,699.0	15.7	
Tangipahoa	76,350	77,628	1.67	1.9	1.9	2,456	2,859	16.4	1.3	1.3	3,216.7	3,682.9	14.5	
Tensas	8,375	8,238	-1.64	0.2	0.2	229	179	-21.8	0.1	0.1	2,734.3	2,172.8	-20.5	
Terrebonne	89,438	91,743	2.58	2.2	2.3	2,812	3,369	19.8	1.5	1.6	3,144.0	3,672.2	16.8	
Union	20,089	20,408	1.59	0.5	0.5	506	601	18.8	0.3	0.3	2,518.7	2,944.9	16.9	
Vermilion	46,972	48,193	2.60	1.2	1.2	740	1,106	49.5	0.4	0.5	1,575.4	2,294.9	45.7	
Vernon	45,901	47,904	4.36	1.1	1.2	1,497	1,443	-3.6	0.8	0.7	3,261.3	3,012.2	-7.6	
Washington	43,127	43,910	1.82	1.1	1.1	1,286	1,662	29.2	0.7	0.8	2,981.8	3,785.0	26.9	
Webster	42,068	41,991	-0.18	1.1	1.0	931	913	-1.9	0.5	0.4	2,213.0	2,174.2	-1.8	
West Baton Rouge		18,412	1.77	0.5	0.5	538	537	-0.2	0.3	0.2	2,974.0	2,916.5	-1.9	
West Carroll	12,835	12,737	-0.76	0.3	0.3	127	112	-11.8	0.1	0.1	989.4	879.3	-11.1	
West Feliciana	9,865	10,430	5.73	0.2	0.3	180	205	13.9	0.1	0.1	1,824.6	1,965.4	7.7	
Winn	17,230	17,307	0.45	0.4	0.4	302	347	14.9	0.2	0.2	1,752.7	2,004.9	14.4	

lpercent changes ( $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Percent changes (% △ ) were not computed for the parish where the major law enforcement agency in the parish had three or more months of LUCR delinquent in 1979.

<sup>3</sup>Percent may not equal 100% due to rounding.

\*Number below 0.1

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division, Louisiana Tech University, <u>The Louisiana Economy</u>
## TABLE 5 (CONTINUED)

	Crimi	nal Hom	icide	Forc.	ible R	ape	R	obbery		Aggrava	ted As	sault	Total	. Viol	ent
	Offen 1978	ses Rep 1979		Offense 1978	es Repo 1979		Offense 1978	es Rep 1979		Offense 1978	s Rep 1979		Offense 1978	s Rep 1979	
Ouachita	15	8		48	57		56	72	28.6	735	481	-34.6	854	618	-27.6
Plaquemines	2	5		1	2	5. <del>4</del>	5	12	-	89	64	-28.1	97		-14.4
Pointe Coupee	3	2		- 10 <b>- 1</b> 0 - 1	1	-	3	4	-	38	49		44	56	_
Rapides	21	19		24	46	-	92	127	38.0	366	411	12.3	503	603	19.9
Red River	2	2	-	2	1		3	2		41	47		48	52	-
Richland	4	6	-	6	. 7		6	7		52	30	-	68		-26.5
Sabine	3	3	-	2	2		1	3		21	26		27	34	_
St. Bernard	3	2		10	4	-	33	61	- <b>-</b>	116	130	12.1	162	197	21.6
St. Charles	8	3	<b>—</b>	12	21	-	18	16	-	190	307	61.6	228	347	52.2
St. Helena	1	. 0	-	4	3	-	1	1	-	28	22		34	26	
St. James	1	. 3	-	5	5		3	7		64	63	-1.6	73	78	6.8
St. John	5	2	-	8	7		8	11		82	137	67.1	103	157	52.4
St. Landry	10	12		11	18		24	14	·	245	218	-11.0	290	262	-9.7
St. Martin	7	1		23	11		5	11		143	131	-8.4	178		-13.5
St. Mary	10	7	_	15	23	-	44	63	<b>_</b> ·	237	304	28.3	306	397	29.7
St. Tammany	12	13	- i - <u>-</u>	35	43		46	49	<b>_</b>	217	377	73.7	310	482	55.5
Tangipahoa	12	16	-	24	20	ta e <del>e</del> se se	30	55		127		127.6	193	380	96.9
Tensas	0	2		4	1	_	0	1		26	15		30	19	
Terrebonne	16	13	<b></b> <sup>1</sup>	20	12		51	107	109.8	169	351	107.7	256	483	88.7
Union	4	2		2	9	-	1	4		101	122	20.8	108	137	26.9
Vermilion	2	2		. 7	4	ata .	20	32	÷	46	68		75	106	41.3
Vernon	TO	4		12	12		35	41	· -	254	252	-0.8	311	309	-0.6
Washington	8	4		13	12		25	26		116	155	33.6	162	197	21.6
Webster	6	5		11	5		17	5		66	113	71.2	100	128	28.0
West Baton Rouge	6	. 7	<b></b>	4	4		20	34	<u> </u>	97	91	-6.2	127	136	7.1
West Carroll	Ō	3	$a_{1} + a_{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq 1$	3	3		1	. 1		13	23		17	30	· • •
West Feliciana	Ö	1	-	ī	1		3	4	. <b></b> .	12	22	-	16	28	
Winn	4	7		2	<u> </u>	-	õ	5	·	63	61	-3.2	69	76	10.1
							· · · ·								

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (%  $\Delta$  ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

2Percent changes (% △ ) were not computed for the parish where the major law enforcement agency in the parish had three or more months of LUCR delinquent in 1979.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## COMPARISON OF LOUISIANA'S INDEX VIOLENT CRIME RATES BY PARISH,

1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

	Crimi	nal Ho	micide	Ford	ible I	Rape	R	obbery		Aggrav	ated Assa	ult	Tota	l Violent	5
	C	rime Ra	ite	Cr	ime Ra	ate	Cr	ime Rat	e	Cr	ime Rate		Cr	ime Rate	
	1978	1979	<u>* </u>	<u>1978</u>	1979	8 △	1978	1979	8∆	1978	1979	8∆	1978	1979	<u>₹∆</u>
LOUISIANA	15.7	16.6	5.7	34.5	38,4	11.3	172.3	218.7	26.9	360.3	399.3	10.8	583.0	673.1	15.5
Acadia	5.5	3.6	-	9.2	21.6	<b>-</b>	11.0	28.8	-	154.6	173.0	11.9	180.3	227.0	25.9
Allen	0.0	0.0	-	14.4	4.7	· - ·	48.2	23.8		43.4	42.8		106,1	71.4	-
Ascension	9.0	10.8	-	27.0	21.6	-	47.3	49.8	-	347.1	314.1	-9.5	430.5	396.4	-7.9
Assumption	0.0	14.0		14.4	14.0	· · · ·	14.4	0.0	· –	105.7	112.4		134.6	140.5	
Avoyelles	20.4	5.0		10.2	7.5		10,2	12.6	· -	334.1	364.1	9.1	374.9	389.7	3.9
Beauregard	3.6	3.6	· · · ·	10.8	7.2	-	32.5	32.7		173.7	214.5	-	220.7	258,1	16.9
Bienville	11.6	17.6	·	0.0	23,4		5.8	0.0	· · ·	226.4	193.8	- 14	243.8	234.9	
Bossier	12.5	12.4		32.0	54.4	· · · ·	83.6	110.3	31.9	422.4	653.9	54.8	550.7	829.2	50.6
Caddo	18.1	22.4	. · · · ·	47.4	52.9	11.6	143.5	180.5	25.8	321.7	330.9	2.9	530.9	586.8	10.5
Calcasieu	13.3	17.9	' . <del>.</del> .	32.3	39.6	22.6	81.7	82.3	0.7	367.7	439.7	19.6	495.1	579.6	17.1
Caldwell	0.0	0.0	· · · ·	0.0	27.9	-	29.2	0.0	-	117.1	111.6		146.3	139.5	
Cameron	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		10.4	0.0	-	1,154.4	1,187.5	2.9	1,154.9	1,187.5	1.9
Catahoula	0.0	8.5	-	136.4	8.5	-	0.0	8.5	_	247.3	384.5	- <b>-</b>	383.7	410.1	
Claiborne	23.9	29.8	-	11.9	11.9	-	11.9	17.9		143.8	137.2	·	191.8	196.9	<b>_</b> '
Concordia	8.9	4.4		8.9	13.2	-	80.9	44.2		377.7	433.7	14.8	476.6	495.7	1.0
DeSoto	12.6	8.2	j	4.2	4.1		0.0	4.1	· 🔔	282.6	206.8	-26.8	299.5	223.3	
East Baton Rouge	10.5	12.7	_	51.5		-11.1	144.1	179.0	24.2	601.0	675.5	12.4	807.2	913.1	13.1
East Carroll	0.0	8.7	-	17.1	0.0		0.0	52.2		489.1	104.4		506.2	165.3	
East Feliciana	0.0	6.1		12.1	18.3	-	30.2	24.5	-	254.2	281.8	-	296.5	330.9	**
Evangeline	12.0	3.0		6.0	27.0	_	18.0	9.0		315.1	394.1	25.1	351.1	433.2	23.4
Franklin	20.8	8.3		4.1	8.3	1	16.6	4.1	-	100.1	50.1		141.8	71.0	
Grant	6.6	13.1	-	6.6	6.5		6.6	13.1	-	237.6	386.5	-	257.4	419.3	-
Iberia	10.8	7.6	_	18.5	24.4	-	46.4	54.9		71.1	84.0	·	146.9	171.1	16.5
Iberville	6.4	3.2	· · · ·	29.2	45.2	 	22.7	35.5		253.3	377.8	49.2	311.8	461.8	48.1
Jackson	11.8	17.8	_	17.7	29.6	_	0.0	11.8	· _ ·	218.9	285.0		248.5	344.3	10.1
Jefferson	9.8	11.5		32.3	43.9	35.9	194.1	257.8	32.8	374.6	405.4	8.2	610.9	718.7	17.6
Jefferson Davis	9.5	12.6		3.1	6.3		57.0	50.7	-	91.9	47.5	-	161.7	117.3	T1.0
Lafayette	7.4	12.3	-	21.6	48.7	· _	80.4	123.6	53.7	407.7	471.9	15.7	517.2	656.6	27.0
Lafourche	2.6	5.0		7.8	5.0		18.2	35.4		188.5	261.7	38.8	217.1	307.2	41.5
LaSalle <sup>2</sup>	6.5	0.0	_	0.0	0.0	· · ·	0.0	0.0		341.1	12.9		347.7	12.9	
Lincoln	8.0	16.0	_	48.3	64.3	·	48.3	21.4	- <u> </u>	354.8	429.1	20.9	459.7	531.0	15.5
Livingston	12.0	9.3	_	18.0	11.1	_	24.0	27.9		296.4		-20.7	350.4	283.6	
Madison	27.3			81.9	20.9	_	54.6	27.9		778.3	1,067.6	37.2	942.2		20.7
	27.3	20.9					26.7					37.2		1,137.3	20.7
Morehouse Natchitoches		11.9		11.8	8.9			8.9	· .	157.3	110.3		201.8	140.1	<u> </u>
	8.2	19.3	1 2 0	8.2	24.8		11.0	46.9	20 4	190.7	221.0	15.9	218.4	312.2	42.9
Orleans	37.3	42.5	13.9	69.3	74.3	7.2	710.8	927.0	30.4	486.3	518.8	6.7	1,303.8	1,562.7	19.9

## TABLE 6 (CONTINUED)

	Criminal Homicide	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Total Violent
	Crime Rate				
	<u>1978 1979 &amp;</u>	<u>1978 1979 &amp; </u>	<u>1978 1979 &amp; A</u>	<u> 1978 1979 %스</u>	<u>1978 1979 % </u>
Ouzchita Plaquemines Pointe Coupee Rapides Red River Richland Sabine St. Bernard St. Charles St. Helena St. James	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
St. John St. Landry St. Martin St. Mary St. Tammany Tangipahoa Tensas Terrebonne Union Vermilion Vermilion Vernon Washington Webster West Baton Rouge West Carroll West Feliciana Winn	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (% $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Percent changes (%Δ) were not computed for the parish where the major law enforcement agency in the parish had three or more months of LUCR delinquent in 1979.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division, Louisiana Tech University, <u>The Louisiana Economy</u>

## COMPARISON OF LOUISIANA'S INDEX PROPERTY CRIME INCIDENCES BY PARISH, 1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

	В	urglary		Lar	ceny-Thef	t	Motor	Vehicle 1	'heft	Tot	al Propert	У
	Offen 1978	ses Repo 1979	rted <u>%∆</u>	Offen 1978	ses Repor 1979	ted ∦∆	Offen 1978	ses Report 1979	ted %∆	Offe: 1978	nses Repor 1979	ted %∆
LOUISIANA	50,529	56,125	11.1	101,905	115,648	13.5	14,323	16,399	14.5	166,757	188,172	12.8
Acadia	354	297	-16.1	632	541	-14.4	36	53	<b>-</b> '	1,022	891	-12.8
Allen	77	92	19.5	253	263	4.0	13	31	-	343	386	12.5
Ascension	356	380	6.7	615	934	51.9	56	82	46.4	1,027	1,396	35.9
Assumption	91	134	47.3	68	103	51.5	12	18		171	255	49.1
Avoyelles	90	130	44.4	184	177	-3.8	15	11	-	289	318	10.0
Beauregard	177	166	-6.2	305	382	25.2	46	40		528	588	11.4
Bienville	29	27	·	84	55	-34.5	4	10	-	117	92	-21.4
Bossier	1,000	1,176	17.6	1,932	2,319	20.0	174	224	28.7	3,106	3,719	19.7
Caddo	4,479	4,466	-0.3	8,971	10,101	12.6	888	970	9.2	14,338	15,537	8.4
Calcasieu	2,175	2,289	5.2	4,543	4,992	9.9	449	484	7.8	7,167	7,765	8.3
Caldwell	70	65	-7.1	135	126	-6.7	5	7	-	210	198	-5.7
Cameron	30	25	- 11 <u>-</u>	132	146	10.6	1	4	·	163	175	7.4
Catahoula	98	123	25.5	151	166	9.9	8	11		257	300	16.7
Claiborne	59	82	39.0	104	107	2.9	6	12	-	169	201	18.9
Concordia	178	149	-16.3	432	517	19.7	26	29	· –	636	695	9.3
DeSoto	96	50	-47.9	137	152	10.9	5	13	-	238	215	-9.7
East Baton Rouge	7,730	8,913	15.3	17,476	18,184	4.1	1,835	1,939	5.7	27,041	29,036	7.4
East Carroll	68	65	-4.4	141	179	27.0	2	0		211	244	15.6
East Feliciana	42	67	-	33	66	-	4	7	-	. 79		77.2
Evangeline	91	155	70.3	186	235	26.3	16	15	~	293	405	38.2
Franklin	20	- 4	<b>-</b> .	44	39	· -	7	5		71	48	-
Grant	44	71		100	127	27.0	· · · 1	. 4	<b>4</b> 7	145		39.3
Iberia	454	532	17.2	731	990	35.4	75	76	1.3	1,260		26.8
Iberville	157	197	25.5	344	410	19.2	10	22	·	511		23.1
Jackson	81	118	45.7	153	177	15.7	8	. 9		242		25.6
Jefferson	7,621	8,985	17.9	14,293	17,766	24.3	2,570	3,200	24.5	24,484		22.3
Jefferson Davis	141	157	11.3	338	475	40.5	10	24	: <b>-</b>	489		34.2
Lafayette	2,481	2,428	-2.1	3,057	4,404	44.1	403	435	7.9	5,941		22.3
Lafourche	355	421	18.5	1,220	1,063	-12.9	69	112	62.3	1,644		-2.9
LaSalle <sup>2</sup>	106	16	-	79	56		8	. 1	-	193		· · · ·
Lincoln	276	355	28.6	611	698	14.2	 39	36	·	926		17.6
Livingston	365	394	7.9	638	717	12.4	32	41	🛥	1,035		11.3
Madison	155	138	-11.0	189	263	39.2	23	11	-	367		12.3
Morehouse	365	325	-11.0	643	721	12.1	39	53	· •	1,047		5.0
Natchitoches	212	265	25.0	197	354	79.7	13	16	-	422		50.5
Orleans	10,514	12,810	21.8	22,183	24,687	11.3	5,491	6,088	10.9	38,188	43,585	14.1

## TABLE 7 (CONTINUED)

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
Plaquemines212183-13.740751025.3677511.9686766Pointe Coupee4322-4959-34-9588Rapides1,4031,4291.93,4563,87512.12412639.15,1005,56Red River2517-1424-138-52460Richland11488-22.8176144-18.22014-310244Sabine9112638.52252385.81020-326386St. Bernard36544221.19171,24035.216520926.71,4471,892St. Charles31534710.2655638-2.6739428.81,0431,073St. Landry30939628.2660613-9.91114-204310St. James8513660.010816350.91114-204313St. James8513660.010816350.91114-204St. James8513660.010816350.91114-204St. Martin156144-7.7226225-0.4117-393377S	······································
Vernon423367-13.2735702-4.52865-1,1861,13Washington31947047.373692325.469724.31,1241,46Webster305238-22.05075172.01930-83178	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
West Carroll   22   11   -   81   67   -17.3   7   4   -   110   8     West Feliciana   49   31   -   109   138   26.6   6   8   -   164   17	- 110 82 -25.5 - 164 177 7.9

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (%  $\Delta$  ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Percent changes ( $\$ \Delta$ ) were not computed for the parish where the major law enforcement agency in the parish had three or more months of LUCR delinquent in 1979.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## COMPARISON OF LOUISIANA'S INDEX PROPERTY CRIME RATES BY PARISH,

1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

		E	urglary		Larc	eny-Theft			Motor	Vehicle Th	neft		Total	Property	
		Cr	ime Rate		Cri	me Rate			Cri	me Rate			Cri	me Rate	
		1978	1979	8 △	 1978	1979	8∆_		1978	1979	₹ Δ		1978	1979	₹ Δ
	LOUISIANA	1,265.5	1,391.2	9.9	2,552.2	2,866.6	12.3	<b>i</b> .	358.7	406.4	13.3		4,176.4	4,664.4	11.7
	Acadia	651.5	535.2	-17.9	1,163.2	975.0	-16.2		66.2	95.5	· 😐		1,881.0	1,605.8	-14.6
	Allen	371.4	438.3	18.0	1,220.5	1,253.2	2.7		62.7	147.7	· -		1,654.6	1,839.3	11.2
	Ascension	802.4	823.2	2.6	1,386.2	2,023.4	46.0		126.2	177.6	40.7	- 1 - E	2,314.9	3,024.3	30.6
	Assumption .	437.6	627.8	43.5	327.0	482.6	47.6		57.7	84.3	-		822.3	1,194.8	45.3
	Avoyelles	229.5	328.9	43.3	469.2	447.9	-4.5		38.2	27.8	·		737.0	804.7	9.2
	Beauregard	640.6	603.6	-5.8	1,103.9	1,389.0	25.8		166.4	145.4	· · · ·		1,911.1	2,138.1	11.9
	Bienville	168.3	158.6	-	487.6	323.1	-33.7		23.2	58.7			679.2	540.4	-20.4
	Bossier	1,394.3	1,622.5	16.4	2,693.9	3,199.5	18.8		242.6	309.0	27.4		4,330.9	5,131.2	18.5
	Caddo	1,847.7	1,820.0	-1.5	3,700.8	4,116.4	11.2		366.3	395.3	7.9		5,914.8	6,331.8	7.1
	Calcasieu	1,378.9	1,417.5	2.8		3,091.5	7.3		284.6	299.7	5.3		4,543.8	4,808.8	5.8
	Caldwell	683.1	604.5	-11.5	1,317.4	1,171.8	-11.1		48.7	65.1	-		2,049.3	1,841.5	-10.1
	Cameron	314.8	249.4		1,385.3	1,456.9	5.2		10.4	39.9	-		1,710.7	1,746.3	2.1
	Catahoula	835.7	1,051.0	25.8	1,287.7	1,418.4	10.1		68.2	93.9	-		2,191.7	2,563.4	17.0
	Claiborne	353.6	489.3	38.4	623.4	638.5	2.4		35.9	71.6	-		1,013.0	1,199.4	18.4
	Concordia	800.4	659.4	-17.6	1,942.7	2,288.3	17.8		116.9	128.3			2,860.0	3,076.1	7.6
	DeSoto	404.9	206.8	-48.9	577.9	628.7	8.8		21.0	53.7	<u> </u>		1,004.0	889.3	-11.4
	East Baton Rouge	2,326.4	2,637.4	13.4	5,259.7	5,380.8	2.3		552.2	573.7	3.9		8,138.4	8,592.0	5.6
	East Carroll	583.4	565.7	-3.0	1,209.8	1,558.0	28.8		17.1	0.0	J.J.		1,810.5	2,123.7	17.3
	East Feliciana	254.2	410.5	-3.0	199.7	404.4	20.0		24.2	42.8	_		478.1	857.9	79.4
	Evangeline	273.1	466.3	70.7	558.2	706.9	26.6		48.0	45.1			879.3	1,218.4	38.6
	Franklin	83.4	16.7		183.5	162.9	20.0		29.2	20.8			296.1	200.5	50.0
	Grant	290.4	465.2	-	660.0	832.1	26.1		6.6	26.2	- 2		957.0	1,323.5	38.3
	Iberia	702.3	403.2			1,512.4	33.7		116.0	116.1	0.1		1,949.3	2,441.3	25.2
	Iberville	509.9	636.2	15.7	1,130.9					71.0	-		1,659.7	2,031.3	22.4
	Jackson	479.4		24.8	1,117.3	1,324.0	18.5		32.4				1,432.3	1,805.1	26.0
	Jefferson		700.6	46.1	905.5	1,051.0	16.1		47.3	53.4	23.0		5,733.7	6,931.2	20.9
		1,784.6	2,079.2	16.5	3,347.1	4,111.3	22.8		601.8	740.5					
	Jefferson Davis	447.1	497.7	11.3	1,071.8	1,506.0	40.5	. <sup>196</sup> -	31.7	76.0			1,550.6	2,079.8	34.1
	Lafayette	1,849.2	1,765.7	-4.5	2,278.5	3,202.6	40.6		300.3	316.3	5.3		4,428.0	5,284.7	19.3
	Lafourche	461.6	532.3	15.3	1,586.4	1,344.1	-15.3		89.7	141.6	57.9		2,137.7	2,018.1	-5.6
	LaSalle <sup>2</sup>	695.4	103.3	-	518.3	361.7			52.4	6.4	-		1,266.2	471.5	
	Lincoln	741.9	952.2	28.3	1,642.5	1,872.2	14.0		104.8	96.5			2,489.3	2,920.9	17.3
	Livingston	731.0	735.1	0.6	1,277.7	1,337.8	4.7		64.0	76.5	· · · · ·		2,072.8	2,149.4	3.7
	Madison	1,058.3	962.9	-9.0	1,290.4	1,835.1	42.2		157.0	76.7			2,505.8	2,874.8	14.7
,	Morehouse	1,083.3	968.9	-10.6	1,908.4	2,149.6	12.6		115.7	158.0	-		3,107.5	3,276.6	5.4
	Natchitoches	586.1	732.2	24.9	544.6	978.1	79.6		35.9	44.2			1,166.6	1,754.5	50.4
	Orleans	1,794.7	2,250.8	25.4	3,786.6	4,337.7	14.6		937.3	1,069.7	14.1		6,518.7	7,658.2	17.5

## TABLE 8 (CONTINUED)

	Вι	urglary		Larc	eny-Theft			Motor	Vehicle	Theft	Total	Property	•
	Cri	ime Rate		Cri	me Rate			Cri	me Rate		Cri	me Rate	
	1978	1979	8 △	_1978	1979	8 ∆		1978	1979	8∆	1978	1979	€∆
Ouachita	864.5	756.8	-12.5	3,184.3	2,890.2	-9.2		252.4	248.9	-1.4	4,301.3	3,896.0	-9.4
Plaquemines	794.1	673.6	-15.2	1,524.5	1,877.2	23.1		250.9	276.0	10.0	2,569.6	2,826.9	10.0
Pointe Coupee	189.8	96.3		216.3	258.2	-		13.2	17.5	<b>—</b> 1	419.5	372.0	-11.3
Rapides	1,123.1	1,131.9	0.8	2,766.6	3,069.4	10.9		192.9	208.3	8.0	4,082.7	4,409.6	8.0
Red River	270.2	179.6	<del></del>	151.3	253,6	. · •		140.5	84.5	÷.	562.1	517.8	
Richland	529.6	404.0	-23.7	817.6	661.1	-19.1		92.9	64.2	-	1,440.2	1,129.4	-21.6
Sabine	452.9	616.3	36.1	1,119.9	1,164.2	4.0		49.7	97.8		1,622.6	1,878.3	15.8
St. Bernard	586.2	699.1	19.3	1,472.8	1,961.3	33.2		265.0	330.5	24.7	2,324.0	2,991.0	28.7
St. Charles	907.3	985.7	8.6	1,886.7	1,812.3	-3.9		210.2	267.0	27.0	3,004.4	3,065.1	2.0
St. Helena	101.0	482.4		121.2	620.2	· · · -		10.1	98.4	-	232.4	1,201.1	. · · ·
St. James	426.2	674.6	58.3	541.6	808.5	49.3		55.1	69.4		1,023.0	1,552.5	51.8
St. John	251.7	374.8	48.9	790.1	1,154.8	46.2		41.9	147.2	· — .	1,083.8	1,676.9	54.7
St. Landry	371.4	470.9	26.8	817.5	729.0	-10.8		58.9	74.9	· ·	1,247.9	1,274.9	2.2
St. Martin	433.4	393.1	-9.3	628.0	614.2	-2.2		30.5	19.1	-	1,092.0	1,026.5	-6.0
St. Mary	1,256.0	1,099.8	-12.4	1,867.1	2,188.3	17.2		358.1	311.9	-12.9	3,481.4	3,600.0	3.4
St. Tammany	1,452.7	1,464.8	0.8	2,004.6	2,411.0	20.3		268.9	336.9	25.3	3,726.3	4,212.8	13.1
Tangipahoa	704.6	700.7	-0.6	2,133.5	2,322.6	8.9		125.7	170.0	35.2	2,963.9	3,193.4	7.7
Tensas	895.5	776.8	-13.3	1,420.8	1,116.7	-21.4		59.7	48.5	-	2,376.1	1,942.2	-18.3
Terrebonne	1,254.5	1,239.3	-1.2	1,456.8	1,688.4	15.9		146.4	218.0	48.9	2,857.8	3,145.7	10.1
Union	841.2	828.1	-1.6	1,035.3	1,362.2	31.6		104.5	83.3	<b>-</b>	1,981.1	2,273.6	14.8
Vermilion	515.2	479.3	-7.0	828.1	1,477.3	78.4		72.3	118.2		1,415.7	2,074.9	46.6
Vernon	921.5	766.1	-16.9	1,601.2	1,465.4	-8.5		61.0	135.6	· –	2,583.8	2,367.2	-8.4
Washington	739.6	1,070.3	44.7	1,706.5	2,102.0	23.2		159.9	163.9	2.5	2,606.2	3,336.3	28.0
Webster	725.0	566.7	-21.8	1,205.1	1,231.2	2.2		45.1	71.4	-	1,975.3	1,869.4	-5.4
West Baton Rouge	552.7	575.7	4.2	1,575.4	1,509.8	-4.2		143.7	92.3	<del>.</del>	2,271.9	2,177.9	-4.1
West Carroll	171.4	86.3		631.0	526.0	-16.6		54.5	31.4	· · ·	857.0	643.7	-24.9
West Feliciana	496.7	297.2	-	1,104.9	1,323.1	19.7	· ·	60.8	76.7	-	1,662.4	1,697.0	2.1
Winn	452.6	364.0	-19.6	864.7	1,097.8	27.0		34.8	104.0		1,352.2	1,565.8	15.8
											1.		

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (% $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

 $^{2}$ Percent changes (%  $\triangle$  ) were not computed for the parish where the major law enforcement agency in the parish had three or more months of LUCR delinquent in 1979.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division, Louisiana Tech University, The Louisiana Economy

# CRIME WITHIN LOUISIANA'S MAJOR CITIES COMPARED TO THE SURROUNDING STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS (SMSA),

TABLE 9

19791

	Pop	ulation	Crimi	nal Homicio	le	Fo	rcible Rape	
	<u>1979</u>	<pre>% of SMSA Population</pre>	Offenses Reported	Crime <u>Rate</u>	<pre>% Total SMSA</pre>	Offenses <u>Reported</u>	Crime <u>Rate</u>	% Total <u>SMSA</u>
Alexandria Baton Rouge Lafayette Lake Charles Monroe New Orleans Shreveport	53,321 228,017 85,540 80,684 63,230 569,125 217,651	37.7 50.0 62.2 50.0 47.9 48.9 60.5	13 25 9 16 5 242 46	24.4 11.0 10.5 19.8 7.9 42.5 21.1	61.9 41.7 52.9 55.2 62.5 78.8 66.7	36 100 44 19 39 423 87	67.5 43.9 51.4 23.5 61.7 74.3 40.0	76.6 57.1 65.7 29.7 68.4 64.1 50.3

		Robbery	n na shekara na shekar Mara na shekara na sheka	Aggra	vated Assault	<u></u> ]	Cotal Violent	
	Offenses Reported	Crime Rate	% Total SMSA	Offenses Reported	Crime % Total Rate SMSA	Offenses Reported	Crime Rate	<pre>% Total SMSA</pre>
Alexandria	110	206.3	85.3	294	551.4 62.6	453	849.6	67.9
Baton Rouge	488	214.0	72.1	1,718	753.5 65.0	2,331	1,022.3	65.5
Lafavette	151	176.5	88.8	457	534.3 70.4	661	772.7	73.2
Lake Charles	78	96.7	58.6	171	211.9 24.1	284	352.0	30.3
Monroe	48	75.9	66.7	380	601.0 79.0	472	746.5	76.4
New Orleans	5,276	927.0	81.2	2,953	518.8 56.7	8,894	1,562.7	70.1
Shreveport	416	191.1	78.8	675	310.1 48.2	1,224	562.4	56.4

## TABLE 9 (CONTINUED)

		Burglary	<u> </u>	Larcer	y - Theft		Motor Vehicle Theft
	Offenses Reported	Crime <u>Rate</u>	<pre>% Total SMSA</pre>	Offenses Reported	Crime % Total Rate SMSA	Offense Reporte	
Alexandria Baton Rouge Lafayette Lake Charles Monroe New Orleans Shreveport	1,001 6,413 1,946 1,018 653 12,810 4,031	1,877.3 2,812.5 2,275.0 1,261.7 1,032.7 2,250.8 1,852.0	66.7 65.5 80.1 44.5 65.3 54.1 68.6	13,647 3,925 2,393 2,341 24,687	358.1   71.4     985.1   67.9     588.5   89.1     965.9   47.9     702.4   61.3     337.7   53.6     243.0   71.4	194 1,532 328 265 244 6,088 885	671.9   73.7     383.4   75.4     328.4   54.8     385.9   74.2     1,069.7   61.9

	То	tal Property		<b>T</b> C	otal Index		
	Offenses Reported	Crime Rate	<pre>% Total SMSA</pre>	Offenses Reported	Crime Rate	% Total SMSA	Ranking by Total Index Crime Rate
Alexandria	4,052	7,599.3	70.2	4,505	8,448.8	70.0	3
Baton Rouge	21,592	9,469.5	67.5	23,923	10,491.8	67.3	1
Lafavette	6,199	7,246.9	85.3	6,860	8,019.6	84.0	$\overline{4}$
Lake Charles	3,676	4,556.0	47.3	3,960	4,908.0	45.5	7
Monroe	3,238	5,121.0	62.9	3,710	5,867.5	64.3	6
New Orleans	43,585	7,658.2	54.8	52,479	9,220.9	56.9	2
Shreveport	14,151	6,501.7	70.6	15,375	7,064.1	69.2	5. State 1

<sup>1</sup>Each of these cities is within an SMSA and the percent comparison is made to that SMSA.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division Louisiana Tech University, The Louisiana Economy

## TABLE 10 COMPARISON OF CRIME IN LOUISIANA'S STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS (SMSA), 1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

		Population		% of State	Population
	1978	1979	₹ △	1978	1979
Alexandria Baton Rouge Lafayette Lake Charles Monroe New Orleans Shreveport	140,068 444,646 134,166 157,730 130,703 1,167,679 356,190	141,507 456,107 137,509 161,473 132,133 1,163,591 359,849	1.0 2.6 2.5 2.4 1.1 -0.4 1.0	3.5 11.1 3.4 4.0 3.3 29.2 8.9	3.5 11.3 3.4 4.0 3.3 28.8 8.9
Total SMSA	2,531,182	2,552,169	0.8	63.4	63.3
Total Non-SMSA	1,461,616	1,482,034	1.4	36.6	36.7
LOUISIANA	3,992,798	4,034,203	1.0	100.0	100.0*

	Total R	eported Index	Crime	% of Index	State Crime	Total	Index Crime I	Rate
	1978	1979	\$∆	1978	1979	1978	1979	₹Δ_
Alexandria Baton Rouge Lafayette Lake Charles Monroe New Orleans Shreveport	5,787 32,689 6,635 7,948 6,476 78,288 20,057	6,436 35,542 8,170 8,701 5,766 92,282 22,210	11.2 8.7 23.1 9.5 -11.0 17.9 10.7	3.0 17.2 3.5 4.2 3.4 41.2 10.6	3.0 16.5 3.8 4.0 2.7 42.9 10.3	4,131.6 7,351.6 4,945.3 5,038.9 4,954.7 6,704.5 5,630.9	4,548.1 7,792.4 5,941.4 5,388.5 4,363.7 7,930.7 6,172.0	10.1 6.0 20.1 6.9 -11.9 18.3 9.6
Total SMSA	157,880	179,107	13.4	83.1	83.2	6,237.4	7,017.8	12.5
Total Non-SMSA	32,154	36,222	12.7	16.9	16.8	2,199.8	2,444.0	11.1
LOUISIANA	190,034	215,329	13.3	100.0	100.0	4,759.4	5,337.5	12.1

\*Total may not add to 100.0 due to rounding.

## TABLE 10 (CONTINUED)

	Crimi	nal Ho	micide	Fc	rcible	Rape		Robbery		Aggr	avted As	sault	Т	otal Vic	olent
	Offen	ses Re	ported	Offe	nses Re	ported	Offen	ses rep	orted	Off	enses Re	ported	Off	enses Re	ported
SMSA	1978	1979	<u>₹</u> ∆	1978	1979	- € △	1978	1979	<u>₹ ∆</u>	<u>1978</u>	1979	& ∆	1978	1979	₹۵_
Alexandria Baton Rouge Lafayette Lake Charles Monroe New Orleans Shreveport	22 51 10 21 15 276 59	21 60 17 29 8 307 69	17.6  11.2 16.9	25 196 29 51 48 589 149	47 175 67 64 57 660 173	-10.7 25.5 12.1 16.1	93 532 108 129 56 5,072 425	129 677 170 133 72 6,500 528	38.7 27.3 57.4 3.1 28.6 28.2 24.2	402 2,396 547 580 735 4,782 1,149	470 2,645 649 710 481 5,212 1,399	16.9 10.4 18.6 22.4 -34.6 9.0 21.8	542 3,175 694 781 854 10,719 1,782	667 3,557 903 936 618 12,679 2,169	23.1 12.0 30.1 19.8 -27.6 18.3 21.7
Total SMSA	454	511	12.6	1,087	1,243	14.4	6,415	8,209	28.0	10,591	11,566	9.2	18,547	21,529	16.1
Total Non-SMSA LOUISIANA	173 627	162 673	-6.4 7.3	291 1,378	307 1,550	5.5 12.5	467 6,882	616 8,825	31.9 28.2	3,799 14,390	4,543 16,109	19.6 11.9	4,730 23,277	5,628 27,157	19.0 16.7

	Criminal Homicide	Forcible Rape	Robbery	Aggravated Assault	Total Violent
	Crime Rate	Crime Rate	Crime Rate	Crime Rate	Crime Rate
SMSA	<u>1978 1979 % </u>	<u>1978 1979 % 🛆 </u>	<u>1978 1979 %</u>	<u>1978 1979 % </u>	<u>1978 1979 %A</u>
Alexandria Baton Rouge Lafayette Lake Charles Monroe New Orleans Shreveport	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	66.491.137.2119.6148.424.180.4123.653.781.782.30.742.854.427.1434.3558.628.6119.3146.723.0	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	387.0   471.3   21.8     714.1   779.8   9.2     517.2   656.6   27.0     495.1   579.6   17.1     653.3   467.7   -28.4     917.9   1,089.6   18.7     500.2   602.7   20.5
Total SMSA	17.9 20.0 11.7	42.9 48.7 13.5	253.4 321.6 26.9	418.4 453.1 8.3	732.7 043.5 15.1
Total Non-SMSA	11.8 10.9 -7.6	19.9 20.7 4.0	31.9 41.5 30.1	259.9 306.5 17.9	323.6 379.7 17.3
LOUISIANA	15.7 16.6 5.7	34.5 38.4 11.3	172.3 218.7 26.9	360.3 399.3 10.8	582.9 673.1 15.5

## TABLE 10 (CONTINUED)

		Burglary		Larceny-Theft		t	Motor	Vehicle !	Theft	rot	al Proper	ty
	Offe	nses Repo	rted	Offe	nses Repor	ted	Offen	ses Repo	cted	Offe	nses Repo	rted
SMSA	1978	1979	<u> </u>	1978	1979	8∆	1978 .	1979	8 △	1978	1979	₽Q
Alexandria	1,447	1,500	3.7	3,556	4,002	12.5	242	267	10.3	5,245	5,769	10.0
Baton Rouge	8,551	9,793	14.5	19,014	20,113	5.8	1,949	2,079	6.7	29,514	31,985	8.4
Lafayette	2,481	2,428	-2.1	3,057	4,404	44.1	403	435	7.9	5,941	7,267	22.3
Lake Charles	2,175	2,289	5.2	4,543	4,992	9.9	449	484	7.8	7,167	7,765	8.3
Monroe	1,130	1,000	-11.5	4,162	3,819	-8.2	330	329	-0.3	5,622	5,148	-8.4
New Orleans	19,845	23,689	19.4	39,249	46,083	17.4	8,475	9,831	16.0	67,569	79,603	17.8
Shreveport	5,784	5,880	1.7	11,410	12,937	13.4	1,081	1,224	13.2	18,275	20,041	9.7
Total SMSA	41,413	46,579	12.5	84,991	96,350	13.4	12,929	14,649	13.3	139,333	157,578	13.1
Total Non-SMSA	9,116	9,546	4.7	16,914	19,298	14.1	1,394	1,750	25.5	27,424	30,594	11.6
LOUISIANA	50,529	56,125	11.1	101,905	115,648	13.5	14,323	16,399	14.5	166,757	188,172	12.8
		Burglary		La	rceny-Thef	t	Motor	Vehicle	Theft	To	tal Prope	rty
		Crime Rat	e	C	rime Rate		c	rime Rat	e	(	Crime Rate	9
01/07	1079	1070	۰ ۹	1978	1979	¢∆	1978	1979	<b>ξ</b> Δ	1978	1979	8∆
SMSA	1978	1979	<u> </u>		1979	<u>60</u>	19/0					
Alexandria	1,033.1	1,060.0	2.6	2,538.8	2,828.1	11.4	172.8	188.6	9.1	3,744.6	4,076.8	8.9
Baton Rouge	1,923.1	2,147.0	11.6	4,276.2	4,409.7	3.1	483.3	455.8	4.0	6,637.6	7,012.6	5.6
Lafayette	1,849.2	1,765.7	-4.5	2,278.5	3,202.6	40.6	300.3	316.3	5.3	4,428.0	5,284.7	19.3
Lake Charles	1,378.9	1,417.5	2.8	2,880.2	3,091.5	7.3	284.6	299.7	5.3	4,543.8	4,808.8	5.8
Monroe	864.5	756.8	-12.5	3,184.3	2,890,2	-9.2	252.4	248.9	-1.4	4,301.3	3,896.0	-9.4
New Orleans	1,699.5	2,035.8	19.8	3,361.2	3,960.4	17.8	725.7	844.8	16.4	5,786.6	6,841.1	18.2
Shreveport	1,623.8	1,634.0	0.6	3,203.3	3,595.1	12.2	303.4	340.1	12.1	5,130.6	5,569.2	8.5
Total SMSA	1,636.1	1,825.0	11.5	3,357.7	3,775.2	12.4	510.7	573.9	12.4	5,504.6	6,174.2	12.3
Total Non-SMSA	623.6	644.1	3.3	1,157.2	1,302.1	12.5	95.3	118.0	23.8	1,876.2	2,064.3	10.0
LOUISIANA	1,265.5	1,391.2	9.9	2,552.2	2,866.6	12.3	358.7	406.4	13.3	4,176.4	4,664.4	11.7

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (% $\Delta$ ) were not computed in those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division, Louisiana Tech University, <u>The Louisiana Economy</u>

## TABLE 11 LOUISIANA'S INDEX CRIMES BY MONTH,

1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

		Jan.	Feb.	March	April	May	June	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Total
Criminal	1979	68	47	60	47	61.	50	46	50	45	61	56	82	673
Homicide	1978	44	42	47	51	57	47	59	51	52	48	66	63	627
	• △	electric (c. 🗖	-	-	-	7.0	-		-2.0	-	-	-15.2	30.2	7.3
Forcible	1979	108	75	105	101	142	162	141	151	154	157	107	147	1,550
Rape	1978	84	77	79	89	141	116	178	143	131	134	106	100	1,378
	&∆	28.6	-2.6	32.9	13.5	0.7	39.7	-20.8	5.6	17.6	17.2	0.9	47.0	12.5
Roboery	1979	815	899	915	662	616	630	707	743	717	785	617	719	8,825
	1978	544	516	436	384	443	471	569	579	633	632	703	972	6,882
	₽Δ	49.8	74.2	109.9	72.4	39.1	33.8	24.3	28.3	13.3	24.2	-12.2	-26.0	28.2
Aggravated	1979	1,125	1,127	1,501	1,397	1,339	1,352	1,523	1,459	1,403	1,518	1,159	1,206	16,109
Assault	1978	907	859	1,047	1,162	1,278	1,304	1,399	1,372	1,387	1,343	1,151	1,181	14,390
	*∆	24.0	31.2	43.4	20.2	4.8	3.7	8.9	.6.3	1.2	13.0	0.7	2.1	11.9
Total	1979	2,116	2,148	2,581	2,207	2,158	2,194	2,417	2,403	2,319	2,521	1,939	2,154	27,157
Violent	1978	1,579	1,494	1,609	1,686	1,919	1,938	2,205	2,145	2,203	2,157	2,026	2,316	23,277
	*∆	34.0	43.8	60.4	30.9	12.5	13.2	9.6	12.0	5.3	16.9	-4.3	-7.0	16.7
Burglary	1979	4,586	4,202	4,852	4,313	4,567	4,253	5,245	5,174	4,762	4,907	4,129	5,135	56,125
	1978	4,116	3,629	3,721	3,792	4,088	4,020	4,805	4,709	4,607	4,374	4,116	4,552	50,529
	₽∆	11.4	15.8	30.4	13.7	11.7	5.8	9.2	9.9	3.4	12.2	0.3	12.8	11.1
Larceny-	1979	8,454	7,885	9,855	9,342	9,562	9,629	10,589	10,842	9,791	10,732	9,290	9,677	115,648
Theft	1978	7,127	7,034	7,913	8,095	8,869	8,943	9,224	9,568	8,639	8,847	8,671	8,975	101,905
	\$∆	18.6	12.1	24.5	15.4	7.8	7.7	14.8	13.3	13.3	21.3	7.1	7.8	13.5
Motor Vehicle	1979	1,279	1,211	1,419	1,383	1,317	1,228	1,591	1,415	1,468	1,463	1,367	1,258	16,399
Theft	1978	1,143	990	1,000	1,075	1,159	1,243	1,446	1,314	1,338	1,281	1,156	1,178	14,323
	₽∆	11.9	22.3	41.9	28.7	13.6	-1.2	10.0	7.7	9.7	14.2	18.3	6.8	14.5
Total	1979	14,319	13,298	16,126	15,038	15,446	15,110	17,425	17,431	16,021	17,102	14,786	16,070	188,172
Property	1978	12,386	11,653	12,634	12,962	14,116	14,206	15,475	15,591	14,584	14,502	13,943	14,705	166,757
	€ Δ	15.6	14.1	27.6	16.0	9.4	6.4	12.6	11.8	9.9	17.9	6.0	9.3	12.8
Total	1979	16,435	15,446	18,707	17,245	17,604	17,304	19,842	19,834	18,340	19,623	16,725	18,224	215,329
Index	1978	13,965	13,147	14,243	14,648	16,035	16,144	17,680	17,736	16,787	16,659	15,969	17,021	190,034
	∗ Δ	17.7	17.5	31.3	17.7	9.8	7.2	12.2	11.8	9.3	17.8	4.7	7.1	13.3

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (\*  $\Delta$  ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparisons were less than 50.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## TABLE 12 OFFENSES REPORTED AND CRIME RATES BY POPULATION GROUP, 1978 - 19791

Criminal Forcible Aggravated Total Homicide Rape Robbery Assault Violent POPULATION % OF Off. Off. Off. Off. Off. GROUP State Pop. Rep't Rate Rep't Rate Rep't Rep't Rate Rate Rep't Rate 8.000-1979 2.0 7 8.5 10 12.1 15 18.2 294 357.4 326 396.3 11,998 1978 2.0 3 3.7 36.0 29 11 13.6 315 391.1 358 444.5 (N=8) 8 ∆ 0.0 \_ ---\_ -6.7 -8.6 --8.9 -10.8 12.000-1979 9.4 58 15.2 62 16.3 97 25.5 1.022 269.5 1,239 326.7 24,999 1978 9.4 49 13.0 54 14.3 87 23.1 999 265.5 1,189 316.0 (N=20) 80 0.0 --14.8 14.0 11.5 10.4 2.3 1.5 4.2 3.4 25,000-1979 16.9 62 9.1 156 22.9 264 38.8 2,241 329.3 2,723 400.2 49,999 1978 16.7 84 12.5 152 22.7 241 36.0 1.933 289.4 2,410 360.8 (N=18) 8Δ 1.2 -26.2 -27.2 2.6 0.9 9.5 7.8 15.9 13.8 13.0 10.9 50,000-1979 18.6 83 11.0 25.3 190 509 67.8 2,501 333.2 3,283 437.4 89,999 1978 18.4 84 11.4 161 21.9 338 46.1 1,689 230.3 2,272 309.9 (N=10) 80 1.1 -1.2 ~3.5 18.0 15.5 50.6 47.1 48.1 44.7 44.5 41.1 120,000-1979 13.8 73 13.0 234 41.9 502 90.0 2,251 403.8 3,060 549.0 159,000 1978 13.7 12.2 67 152 27.7 385 70.3 2,228 406.9 2.832 517.2 (N=4)8∆ 0.7 9.0 6.6 53.9 51.3 30.4 28.0 1.0 -0.8 8.1 6.1 Over 1979 390 39.3 24.6 56.6 898 7,438 469.4 7,800 492.2 16.526 1.042.9 1978 200,000 39.8 340 21.4 830 52.2 5,820 366.6 7,226 455.1 14,216 895.4 (N=4)%Δ -1.3 14.7 15.0 8.2 8.4 27.8 28.0 7.9 8.2 16.2 16.5 LOUISIANA 1979 673 16.6 1,550 38.4 8,825 218.7 16,109 399.3 27,157 673.1 1978 1,378 14,390 627 15.7 34.5 6,882 \_\_\_\_ 172.3 360.3 23,277 582.9 (N=64) 7.3 ₹Δ 5.7 12.5 11.9 10.8 11.3 28.2 26.9 16.7 15.5

## TABLE 12 (CONTINUED)

POPULATION		Burglary Off	Larceny-Theft Off	Motor Vehicle Theft Off	Total Property Off	Total Index Crime Off
GROUP		Rep't Rate	Rep't Rate	Rep't Rate	Rep't Rate	Rep't Rate
8,000- 11,999 (N=8)	1979 1978 %∠	439 533.7 425 527.7 3.3 1.1	934 1,135.5 813 1,009.4 14.9 12.5	52 63.2 41 50.9	1,425 1,732.4 1,279 1,588.0 11.4 9.1	1,751 2,128.7 1,637 2,032.5 7.0 4.7
12,000 <sup>-</sup>	1979	1,669 440.2	3,342 881.4	258 68.0	5,269 1,389.7	6,508 1,716.5
24,999	1978	1,680 446.5	2,957 785.9	221 58.7	4,858 1,291.1	6,047 1,607.2
(N=20)	%ム	-0.7 -1.4	13.0 12.2	16.7 15.8	8.5 7.6	7.6 6.8
25,000-	1979	4,616 678.4	9,675 1,421.9	784 115.2	15,075 2,215.6	17,798 2,615.8
49,999	1978	4,274 639.9	7,942 1,189.0	589 88.1	12,805 1,917.1	15,215 2,278.0
(N=18)	% △	8.0 6.0	21.8 19.6	33.1 30.8	17.7 15.6	17.0 14.8
50,000-	1979	7,081 943.5	13,869 1,847.9	1,597 212.7	22,547 3,004.2	25,830 3,441.7
89,999	1978	6,617 902.6	12,052 1,643.9	1,265 172.5	19,934 2,719.1	22,206 3,029.0
(N=10)	き ム	7.0 4.5	15.1 32.4	26.2 23.3	13.1 10.5	16.3 13.6
120,000-	1979	7,146 1,282.1	17,090 3,066.2	1,511 271.0	25,747 4,619.4	28,807 5,168.4
159,999	1978	7,189 1,313.0	15,218 2,779.4	1,423 259.9	23,830 4,352.3	26,662 4,869.6
(N=4)	そム	-0.6 -2.4	12.3 10.3	6.2 4.3	8.0 6.1	8.0 6.1
Over	1979	35,174 2,219.7	70,738 4,464.1	12,197 769.7	118,109 7,453.7	134,635 8,496.6
200,000	1978	30,344 1,911.4	62,923 3,963.6	10,784 679.3	104,051 6,554.3	118,267 7,449.8
(N=4)	%∆	15.9 16.1	12.4 12.6	13.1 13.3	13.5 13.7	13.8 14.1
LOUISIANA (N=64)	1979 1978 %∆	56,125 1,391.2 50,529 1,265.5 11.1 9.9	115,6482,866.6101,9052,552.213.512.3	16,399 406.4 14,323 358.7 14.5 13.3	188,172 4,664.4 166,757 4,176.4 12.8 11.7	215,329     5,337.5       190,034     4,759.4       13.3     12.1

 $^{l}\ensuremath{"N"}$  represents the number of parishes within a particular population group.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## LOUISIANA'S TOTAL DRUG ARRESTS BY PARISH,

1978 - 1979

		juana ession 1979	Dr	tal ug ession 1979	Mari Sa 1978	juana le 1979	To Dr Sa 1978		Dr	tal ug ests 1979	
LOUISIANA	6,789	3,806	8,065	5,643	1,067	1,006	1,762	2,208	9,827	7,851	
Acadia	34	19	38	22	6	0	6	2	44	24	
Allen	11	3	11	4	0	11	0	11	11	15	
Ascension	190	112	215	167	8	16	10	22	225	189	
Assumption	21	8	21	8	4	3	4	3	25	11	
Avoyelles	52	12	54	12	5	8	6	11	60	23	
Beauregard	14	3	14	4	0	8	0	10	14	14	
Bienville	18	8	25	8	2	3	3	3	28	11	
Bossier	64	52	91	73	15	26	30	44	121	117	
Caddo	346	168	383	221	53	37	65	78	448	299	
Calcasieu	114	29	126	47	20	7	58	52	184	99	
Caldwell	51	8	51	14	1	7	3	7	54	21	
Cameron	18	11	18	13	0	11	2	11	20	24	
Catahoula	98	30	99	37	1	5	6	5	105	42	
Claiborne	1	1	1	1	2	17	2	24	3	25	
Concordia	75	52	75	54	16	4	17	5	92	59	
DeSoto	14	7	19	14	5	6	11	6	30	20	
East Baton Rouge	797	532	910	671	23	62	95	123	1,005	794	
East Carroll	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	
East Feliciana	10	12	12	12	7	I.	7 .	1	19	13	
Evangeline	45	32	51	35	0	2	2	3	53	38	
Franklin	23	60	25	67	3	2	3	2	28	69	
Grant	17	12	17	12	5	0	5	. Ó	22	12	
Iberia	45	32	46	33	4	15	4	32	50	65	
Iberville	103	109	110	111	5	1.5	5	15	115	126	
Jackson	28	7	28	8	2	2	2	4	30	12	
Jefferson	662	451	864	667	97	48	216	193	1,080	860	
Jefferson Davis	20	15	21	15	5	0	6	0	27	15	
Lafayette	169	214	189	239	43	30	58	44	247	283	
Lafourche	166	100	169	108	26	41	26	41	195	149	
LaSallel	12	0	12	0	1	3	1 - 1 - <b>1</b>	3	13	3	
Lincoln	31	3	32	5	6	3	6	5	38	10	
Livingston	52	40	67	46	10	16	22	29	89	7.5	
Madison	16	11	16	11	5	3	5	3	21	14	
Morehouse	51	24	57	31	11	27	11	28	68	59	
Natchitoches	32	18	33	19	5	7	5	7	- 38	26	
Orleans	1,288	289	1,784	1,236	233	14	448	579	2,232	1,815	
	· · · · · ·										

## TABLE 13 (CONTINUED)

			ijuana ession	Dr	tal ug ession			Ljuana ale		Tot Dru Sal	ıg	Tot Dru Arre	ıg
		1978	1979	1978	1979		1978	1979		1978	1979	1978	1979
_						1. A		~ -					
Ouachita		309	178	349	198		31	85	1. <u>1</u> . 1.	47	100	396	298
Plaquem:		112	64	117	92		18	15		22	25	139	117
Pointe (	Coupee	1	1	1	1		3	2		4	2	5	3
Rapides		339	163	381	196	•	104	55		126	89	507	285
Red Rive		0	0	0	3		0	0		. 1	3	1	6
Richland	1	21	10	25	10		16	14		19	15	44	25
Sabine		°15	18	18	19		4	1		5	1	23	20
St. Bern		75	83	102	121		34	34		73	103	175	224
St. Chai	rles	84	54	88	63		3	43		4	55	92	118
St. Hele	ena	1	0	4	0		. 0	0		0	0	4	0
St. Jame	2S	18	21	22	30		16	19		21	22	43	52
St. Johr	n en	51	50	59	51		0	0		0	0	59	51
St. Land	lry	139	71	167	96		10	2		14	- 5	181	101
St. Mari	tin	52	53	56	59		. 7	22		7	23	63	82
St. Mary	<b>7</b>	217	116	260	141		35	11		35	31	295	172
St. Tamr		172	94	187	116		18	57		23	79	210	195
Tangipal		66	70	80	115		37	38		47	65	127	180
Tensas		3	2	3	2		2	3		2	3	5	5
Terrebo	nne	53	24	59	30		37	39		49	50	108	80
Union		18	14	18	15		3	0		3	0	21	15
Vermilio	n	21	26	22	27	- 1 - 1	3	4		5	4	27	31
Vernon	ΨΠ	124	- 73	131	82		37	70		73	87	204	169
Washing	ton	62	20	65	26	11 A. A.	3	6		12	6	77	32
Webster	LOII	39	40	50	43		Ř	11		10	12	60	55
	ton Dougo	45	31	47	34		า			1	13	48	47
	ton Rouge		31	35	9		Ō	1		ō	- ī	35	10
West Ca		35			26		1	- A		1	Ā 1	. 14	30
West Fe	LICIANA	10	26	13 20	20					à	4	28	15
Winn		17	9	20	11		/	Т			т		

<sup>1</sup>The major law enforcement agency in the parish had three or more months of LUCR delinquent in 1979. Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## LOUISIANA'S JUVENILE DRUG ARRESTS BY PARISH,

1978 - 1979

	Marijua Possess 1978		Tot Drug Pos 1978	al session 1979	Mariju Sale 1978		Total Drug Sale 1978 19	<u>79</u>	Tota Drug An 1978	
LOUISIANA	813	486	883	558	59	54	94	80	977	638
LOUISIANA Acadia Allen Ascension Assumption Avoyelles Beauregard Bienville Bossier Caddo Calcasieu Caldwell Cameron Catahoula Claiborne Concordia DeSoto East Baton Rouge East Carroll East Feliciana Evangeline Franklin Grant Iberia Iberville Jackson Jefferson Davis Lafayette Lafourche										
LaSalle <sup>1</sup> Lincoln Livingston Madison Morehouse Natchitoches Orleans	0 0 10 0 2 6 126	0 9 0 1 0 89	0 0 10 0 2 6 146	0 9 0 1 0 100	0 0 0 3 0 5	0 0 1 0 5 0 1	0 0 0 3 0 6	0 0 1 0 5 0 3	0 0 10 5 6 152	0 0 10 6 0 103

## TABLE 14 (CONTINUED)

	Marijuana Possession 1978 1979	Total Drug Posse 1978		Marijuana Sale 1978 1979		tal Sale 1979	Total Drug Arrest 1978 1979
Ouachita Plaquemines Pointe Coupee Rapides Red River Richland Sabine St. Bernard St. Charles St. Helena St. James St. John St. Landry St. James St. John St. Landry St. Martin St. Martin St. Marty St. Tammany Tangipahoa Tensas Terrebonne Union Vermilion Vermon Washington Webster West Baton Rouge West Carroll West Feliciana Winn	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6 0 23 0 2 9 10 0 9 10 0 0 3 12 2 23 45 2 0 13 2 1 1 3 12	21 1 0 4 0 1 2 9 6 0 2 6 3 1.3 26 2 0 7 2 1 5 7 10 5 2 1 0	1   3     0   0     5   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     1   0     0   0     1   0     0   0     1   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0     0   0	2 0 5 0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 4 3 1 0 1 3 0 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 1 0 0 0 0 2 0 5 0 0 5 0 0 6 2 6 0 0 6 2 6 0 0 9 0 0 4 0 0 0 1 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

<sup>1</sup>The major law enforcement agency in the parish had three or more months of LUCR delinquent in 1979.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## DRUG ARRESTS IN LOUISIANA'S MAJOR CITIES AND

## SURROUNDING STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS (SMSA),

## 1978 - 1979

	Mari Posse 1978	juana ssion 1979	Total Posse 1978		Mariju 1978	ana Sale 1979	Total Dr 1978	ug Sale 1979	Total Dr 1978	ug Arrest 1979
Alexandria SMSA	356	175	398	208	109	55	131	89	529	297
Alexandria	130	55	147	72	9	17	12	36	159	108
Baton Rouge SMSA	1,084	715	1,239	918	42	103	128	187	1,367	1,105
Baton Rouge	422	238	496	341	19	36	54	64	550	405
Lafayette SMSA	169	214	189	239	43	30	58	44	247	283
Lafayette	76	148	90	165	41	2	52	5	142	170
Lake Charles SMSA	114	29	126	47	20	7	58	52	184	99
Lake Charles	84	19	88	24	8	0	15	0	103	24
Monroe SMSA	309	178	349	198	31	85	47	100	396	298
Monroe	112	49	132	51	1	41	1	44	133	95
New Orleans SMSA	2,197	917	2,937	2,140	382	153	760	954	3,697	3,094
New Orleans	1,288	289	1,784	1,236	233	14	448	579	2,232	1,815
Shreveport SMSA	449	260	524	337	76	74	105	134	629	471
Shreveport	283	137	302	175	27	28	37	62	339	237
Total SMSA	4,678	2,488	5,762	4,087	703	507	1,287	1,560	7,049	5,647
Total Non-SMSA	2,111	1,318	2,303	1,556	364	499	475	648	2,778	2,204
Louisiana	6,789	3,806	8,065	5,643	1,067	1,006	1,762	2,208	9,827	7,851

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## JUVENILE ARRESTS IN LOUISIANA'S MAJOR CITIES AND SURROUNDING STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS (SMSA),

1978 - 1979

	Mariju Posses 1978		Tota Drug Pos 1978		Marij Sal 1978		Tota Drug S 1978		Tot Drug A 1978	
Alexandria SMSA	22	5	. 24	5	5	0	5	0	29	5
Alexandria	17	1	19	1	2	0	2		21	1
Baton Rouge SMSA	163	81	173	97	3	8	10	8	183	105
Baton Rouge	85	26	88	35	2	4	3	4	91	39
Lafayette SMSA Lafayette	43 15	37 21	43 15	43 23	0	1 1	олого Оролого Спорти Оролого Оролого	1 1	43 15	44 24
Lake Charles SMSA	12	2	12	2	0	0	1	0	13	2
Lake Charles	10	0	10	0	0	0		0	11	0
Monroe SMSA	33	19	39	21	l	3	2	5	41	26
Monroe	8	6	14	8	l	3	1	3	15	11
New Orleans SMSA	291	219	322	247	16	4	27	17	349	264
New Orleans	126	89	146	100	5	1	6	3	152	103
Shreveport SMSA	34	21	39	21	5	0	7	1	46	22
Shreveport	32	7	34	7	0	0	2	1	36	8
Total SMSA	598	384	652	436	30	16	52	32	704	468
Total Non-SMSA	215	102	231	122	29	38	42	48	273	170
LOUISIANA	813	486	883	558	59	54	94	80	977	638

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

11-31

TABLE 17 LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: CRIMINAL HOMICIDE, 1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 & Under 11 - 12		13 - 14 15		16	Total Juvenile		
	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female Total		
1979 1978	0 0	1 0 0 0	3 2 2 0	5 0		19 3 22 25 1 26		
8 0	이번 가운 것을 가운 것이 같다.				- o			

#### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

17		18 - 24 25 - 34		35 - 54	55 & Over	Total Adult		
	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	<u>Male Female Total</u>		
1979 1978 そ <i>ム</i>	15 1 23 1 	178 30 151 22 17.9 -	177 34 148 21 19.6 -	86 21 110 29 -21.8 -	29 2 32 2 	485     88     573       464     75     539       4.5     17.3     6.3		

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

	Juveniles				Adults				
	White Black	Other Total	White	Black	Other	Total			
						· · · · ·			
1979	8 30	0 38	161	394	2	557			
1978	7 43	0 50	150	363	2	515 2			
\$∆		a de la companya de l	7.3	8.5	<del>.</del>	8.2			

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979	169	424	2	595 <sub>2</sub>	1979	504	91	595
1978	157	406	2	565	1978	489	76	565
% <b>Δ</b>	7.6	4.4	-	5.3	衆 △	3.1	19.7	5.3

 $^{1}$ Percent changes (%  $\Delta$  ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category, therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

TABLE 18 LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: FORCIBLE RAPE, 1978 - 19791

### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	$10 \& Under \qquad 11 - 12$		13 - 14	15	16	Tota]	Total Juvenile		
	Male Female	Male Female	<u>Male Female</u>	Male Female	Male Female	<u>Male F</u>	Female Total		
1979	0 0	5 0	26 0	19 0	24 0	74	0 74		
1978	1 1	20	22 1	15 0	21 0	61	2 63		
ξ Δ	<b>—</b> 1997 <b>—</b> 1997		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			21.3	- 17.5		

### ADULT AGE SEX SUMMARY

	17 18 - 24		- 24		25 - 34 35 - 54			54	55 &	Over	Total Adult				
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Ma	le Female		Male 1	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979		35	0	316	4	20	1 2		93	0	5	0	650	6 1 4 4	656
1978		30	1	293	7	19	б 2		79	. 1	11	0	609	. 11	620
8∆		-		7.8	-		2.6		17.7	<b></b>		-	6.7	-	5.8

### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

		Juveniles	•				
	White	Black Other	Total	White	Black	Other	Total
1979 1978	30 23	79 0 71 0	109 94	208 208	411 380	2 1	621 589
\$∆	-	11.3 -	16.0	0.0	8.2	. <b>–</b> 1. sty.	5.4

### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979 1978 %∆	238 231 3.0	490 451 8.6	2 1	730 683 6.9	1979 1978 そ	724 670 8.1	6 13 -	730 683 6.9

lpercent changes (%  $\Delta$  ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50. Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: ROBBERY 1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 & Under 11 - 12			13 - 14 15		16		Total Juvenile					
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
												1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
1979	9	0	22	0	123	12	150	13	192	14	496	39	535
1978	3	0	19	1	94	6	166	8	219	10	501	25	526
€Δ	-	•••• 1	-	-	30.9	-	-9.6	e († 🕂	-12.3		-1.0	· •	1.7

### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

		<b>.</b> .	7	18 -	- 24	25 -	34	35 -	54	55 & O	ver	То	tal Adu	lt
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male 1	Female	Male	Female	Male F	emale	Male	Female	Total
1979 1978		223 187	<b>7</b> 15	1,455	107 62	507 386	37	93 86	9	4	0	2,282 1,806	160 121	2,442
8∆		19.3	-	27.4	72.6	31.3		8.1	-	-	-	26.4	32.2	

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

			Juveniles				Adults				
		White	Black	Other	Total	White	Black	Other	Total		
1979		113	652	0	765	556	1,652	4	2,212		
1978 %∆		106 6.6	621 5.0	1	728 5.1	458 21.4	1,266 30.5	1 -	1,725 28.2		

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979	669	2,304	4	2,977	1979	2,778	199	2,977
1978	564	1,887	2	2,453	1978	2,307	146	2,453
%∆	18.6	22.1	-	21.4	%∆	20.4	36.3	21.4

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes ( $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50. Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY, AGGRAVATED ASSAULT,

1978 - 19791

### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 &	Under		11	- 12	13	- 14		L5	1	.6	Tot	al Juveni	le
a. 1	Male	Femal	<u>e</u>	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979	35		7	62	13	171	75	213	52	273	59	754	206	960
1978	44	· · · · ·	)	60	19	200	44	160	47	247	50	711	169	880
8 △		-	•	3.3	-	-14.5		33.1	-	10.5	18.0	6.0	21.9	9.1

#### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	1	7	18	- 24	25	- 34	35 ·	- 54	55 æ	Over	Тс	otal Adul	t
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979 1978	250 270	38 41	2,944	532	2,342	420	1,588	283 318	336 334	34 45	7,460 6,840	1,307 1,311	8,767 8,151
\$∆	-7.4	41.	2,557	458 16.2	2,126 10.2	449 -6.5	1,553 2.3	-11.0	0.6	45	9.1	-0.3	•

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

		Juven	iles			Adu	lts	
	White	Black	Other	Total	White	Black	Other	Total
1979	421	822	5	1,248	3,473	4,989	17	8,479,
1978	376	811	4	1,191	3,064	4,756	20	7,840
€ Δ	12.0	1.4		4.8	13.3	4.9		8.2

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979	3,894	5,811	22	9,727	197		1,513	9,727
1978	3,440	5,567	24	9,031 <sup>2</sup>	197		1,480	9,031
%∆	13.2	4.4	-	7.7	% ム		2.2	7.7

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (%  $\Delta$  ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category; therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

II-35

TABLE 21 LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: TOTAL VIOLENT OFFENSES,

1978 - 19791

### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

		nder	11 -	7.4		14	15		16		Tot	al Juven:	ile
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male F	emale	Male F	emale	Male 1	Female	<u>Male</u>	Female	Total
1979 1978 %∆	44 48 -	7 10 -	90 81 11.1	13 20 -	323 318 1.6	89 51 74.5	387 347 11.5	65 55 18.2	499 504 -1.0	74 61 21.3	1,343 1,298 3.5	248 197 25.9	1,591 1,495 6.4

#### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	17		18 -	24	25 -	- 34	35	- 54	55 & (	Over	To	tal Adu	
	Male I	Female	Male	Female	<u>Male</u>	Female	Male	Female	Male 1	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979 1978	523 510	46 58	4,893 4,143	673	3,227	493	1,860	313 357	374	36	10,877	1,561	12,433 11,237
<i>8</i> Ω	2.5	-	4,143	549 22.6	2,856	-2,8	1,828 1.8	-12.3	382 -2.1	47	9,719 11.9	2.	

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

		Juven:	lles	•		Adu	lts	
	White	Black	Other	Total	White	Black	Other	Total
79	572	1,583	5	2,160	4,398	7,446	25	11,869
78	512	1,546	5	2,063	3,880	6,765	24	10,669 <sup>2</sup>
7	11.7	2.4	-	4.7	1.3.4	10.1		11.2

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979 1978 %∆	4,970 4,392 13.2	9,029 8,311 8.6	30 29	14,029 12,732 <sup>2</sup> 10.2	1979 1978 <sub>発</sub> ム	12,220 11,017 10.9	1,809 1,715 5.5	14,029 12,732 10.2

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (% $\Delta$  ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category, therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

1979 1978 & ∆

## TABLE 22 LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: BURGLARY 1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 & <u>Male</u>	Under Female	ll - 12 Male Fema	le Mal	13 - 14 .e Female	15 <u>Male F</u>	'emale	1 <u>Male</u>	6 Female	Tota <u>Male</u>	al Juven Female	ile Total
1979 1978 %∆	153 180 -15.0	7 26 -	276 394 -29.9	.9 783 9 1,033 24	77	687 868 -20.9	40 37	857 1,043 -17.8	29 46	2,756 3,518 -21.7	162 215 -24.7	2,918 3,733 -21.8

### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	1	.7	18 -	24	25 ·	- 34	35	- 54		55 8	Over	To	tal Adul	.t
	Male	Female	Male 1	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	1	lale	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979 1978 %∆	766 905 -15.4	19 30 -	3,759 3,406 10.4	214 180 18.9	1,333 1,200 11.1	90 80 12.5	352 334 5.4	36 29 -		44 31 -	4 5 -	6,254 5,876 6.4	363 324 12.0	6,617 6,200 6.7

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

				Juy	veniles		•		1	Adults	
			White	Black	<u></u>	Other	 Total	White	Black	Other	Total
1979			1,825	1,871		7	3,703	2,733	3,092	7	5,832
1978			2,210	2,452		6	4,668	2,594	2,669	2	5,265
8∆		1. C	-17.4	-23.7	1.1		-20.7	5.4	15.8		10.8

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1978	4,804	5,121	8	9,933	1978	9,394	539	9,535 9,933 -4.0

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (%  $\Delta$  ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

 $^2$ Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category, therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: LARCENY - THEFT,

1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 & Under	11 - 12	13 - 14	15	1.6	Total Juvenile
	Male Female Total					
1979	370 82	663 217	1,586 628	1,220 458	1,281 514	5,120 1,899 7,019
1978	431 67	786 256	1,900 706	1,206 469	1,335 519	5,658 2,017 7,675
8 △	-14.2 22.4	-15.6 -15.2	-16.5 -11.0	1.2 -2.3	-4.0 -1.0	-9.5 -5.9 -8.5

### ADULT AGE ANS SEX SUMMARY

	1	7	18	- 24	25	- 34	35	- 54	55	& Over	To	tal Adult	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979 1978	1,041 1,126	423 483	6,208 5,605	2,654 2,767	3,161 2,773	1,560 1,525	1,584	950 1,005	391 355	259 329	12,385 11,526		.8,231 .7,635
\$∆	-7.5	-12.4	10.8	-4.1	14.0	2.3	-5.0	-5.5	10.1	-21.3	7.5	-4.3	3.4

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

	Juve	Juveniles			Adu		
	White Black	Other	Total	White	Black	Other	Total
						<b></b>	
1979	3,217 5,241	25	8,483	7,391	9,341	35	16,767,
1978	3,266 6,002	16	9,284	6,813	9,182	31	16,026
€∆	-1.5 -12.7		-8.6	8.5	1.7		4.6

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

White	Black_	Other .	Total		Male	Female	Total
0,608 0,079 5.2	14,582 15,184 -4.0	60 47 -	25,250 25,310 <sup>2</sup> -0.2	1979 1978 %∆	17,505 17,184 1.9	7,745 8,126 -4.7	25,250 25,310 -0.2

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes ( $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category, therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## TABLE 24 LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT, 1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

#### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 & Under 11 - 12		13 - 14 15		16	Total Juvenile		
	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female Total		
1979	12 0	27 1	147 14	195 15	219 25	600 55 655		
1978	2 0	25 4	146 1.5	176 17	221 18	570 54 624		
8 \	ال المراجع المراجع الألامي المراجع المراجع . المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع .	n an an Air an an Air an Ai Air an Air an	0.7 -	10.8 -	-0.9 -	5.3 1.9 5.0		

### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	17	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 54	55 & Over	Total Adult
	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female Total
1979	146 5	604 40	215 13	85 8	10 1	1,060 67 1,127
1978 %∆	137 7 6.6 -	518 22 16.6 -	185 11 16.2 -	90 18 -5.6 -	13 1	943 59 1,002 12.4 13.6 12.5

### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

	Juveni	les			Adults	
	White Black	Other Total	Ÿ	White Blac	ck Other	Total
					en al fair an an tha an an an the	
1979	464 342	0 806	1	533 440	3	976
1978	414 352	2 768	4	189 367	2	858 2
	12.1 -2.8	- 4.9		9.0 19.	.9 —	13.8

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979 1978	997 903	782 719	3	1,782 1,626 <sup>2</sup>	1979 1978 9 A	1,660 1,513 9 7	122 113 8.0	1,782 1,626 9.6

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes ( $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category, therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: TOTAL PROPERTY OFFENSES,

1978 - 19791

### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 & Under 11 - 12		13	13 - 14 15				16	Total Juvenile		
	Male	Female	Male Fema	le <u>Male</u>	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male Female Total	
1979	535	89	966 237	2,516	709	2,102	513	2,357	568	8,476 2,116 10,592	
1978	613	93	1,205 289	3,079	798	2,250	523	2,599	583	9,746 2,286 12,032	
€ 🛆	-12.7	-4.3	-19.8 -18	.0 -18.3	-11.2	-6.6	-1.9	-9.3	-2.6	-13.0 -7.4 -12.0	
김 사람이 가지 않는 것이 좋아요.								4 · · ·			

### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	17	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 54	55 & Over	Total Adult
	Male Fema	le Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	<u>Male Female</u>	Male Female Total
1979	1,953 447 2,168 520	10,571 2,908	4,709 1,663	2,021 994	445 264 399 335	19,699 6,276 25,975
1978 %∆	-9.9 -14	9,529 2,969 .0 10.9 -2.1	4,158 1,616 13.3 2.9	2,091 1,052 -3.3 -5.5	399	18,345 6,492 24,837 7.4 -3.3 4.6

### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

			Juvenil	es			Adul	ts	
		White	Black	Other-	Total	White	Black	Other	Total
1979		5,506	7,454	32	12,992	10,657	12,873	45	23,575
1978	1	5,890	8,806	24	14,720	9,896	12,218	35	22,149 <sup>2</sup>
۶∆		-6.5	-15.4		-11.7	7.7	5.4	<b>—</b> • .	6.4

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979	16,163	20,327	77	36,567	1979	28,175	8,392	36,567
1978	15,786	21,024	59	36,869 <sup>2</sup>	1978	28,091	8,778	36,869
%∆	2.4	-3.3	30.5	-0.8	%∆	0.3	-4.4	_0.8

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (% $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category; therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: TOTAL INDEX OFFENSES, 1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

10 & Under		11 - 12	13 - 14	15	16	Total Juvenile	
	<u>Male Female</u>	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	<u>Male Female</u>	Male Female Total	
1979 1978	579 96 661 103	1,056 250 1,286 309	2,839 798 3,397 849	2,489 578 2,597 578	2,856 642 3,103 644	9,819 2,364 12,183 11,044 2,483 13,527	
€ Δ	-12.4 -6.8	-17.9 -19.1	-16.4 -6.0	-4.2 0.0	-8.0 -0.3	-11.1 -4.8 -9.9	

#### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	17		18	- 24	25	- 34	35 -	- 54	55 & (	Over	То	tal Adul	t
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male 1	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979 1978	2,476 2,678	493 578	15,464 13,672	3,581 3,518	7,936 7,014	2,156 2,123	3,881 3,919	1,307 1,409	819 781	300 382	30,576 28,064		38,413 36,074
<b>8</b> Δ	-7.5	-14.7	13.1	1.8	13.1	1.6	-1.0	-7.2	4.9	-21.5	9.0	-2.2	6.5

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

Juveniles							Adults				
4. <sup>1</sup> .		White	Black	Other	Total	White	Black	Other	Total		
1979	· · · · ·	6,078	9,037	37	15,152	15,055	20,319	70	35,444		
1978		6,402	10,352	29	16,783	13,776	18,983	59	32,8184		
₹Δ		-5.1	-12.7	-	-9.7	9.3	7.0	18.6	8.0		

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979	21,133	29,356	107	50,596	1979	40,395	10,201	50,596
1978	20,178	29,335	88	49,601 <sup>2</sup>	1978	39,108	10,493	49,601
% △	4.7	0.1	21.6	2.0	そム	3.3	-2.8	2.0

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (% $\Delta$  ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category, therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: SALE AND/OR MANUFACTURE OF MARIJUANA 1978 - 19791

### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	۱٥ ۵ <u>Male</u>	Under Female	Ma	11 - ale 1	12 Female	13 <u>Male</u>	- 14 <u>Female</u>	Male	15 Female	Male	16 Female	Tot <u>Male</u>	al Juven Female	ile <u>Total</u>
1979 1978	0 2	0		1 2	0 1	9	5 2	4 13	3 2	29 23	3 5	43 49	11 10	54 59
ક △	-	-		-		. 🗕	-		-	-	·			-8.5

### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

		17		17 18 - 2		- 24	25 - 34	35 - 54 55 & Over			Total Adult		
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total		
1979	36	8	477	87	233 40	54 9	6	2	806	146	952		
1978	46	10	529	85	242 29	56 8	7	0	876	132	1,008		
۶∆	-	-	-9.8	2.4	-3.7 -	-3.6 -	·	-	-8.0	10.6	-5.6		

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

		Juver	iles	Adults				
	White	Black	Other	Total	White	Black	Other	Total
1979	80	15	3	98	720	176	12	908
1978	82	26	3	111	591	360	5	9564
ղե	-2.4	· —	-	-11,7	21.B	-51.1		-5.0

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979	800	191	15	1,006	1979	849	157	1,006
1978	673	386	8	1,067 <sup>2</sup>	1978	925	142	1,067
≩∆	18.9	-50.5	-	-5.7	%∆	-8.2	10.6	-5.7

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes ( $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category, therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: SALE AND/OR MANUFACTURING OF OTHER DRUG(s),

## 1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 & Under Male Female	ll - 12 Male Female	13 - 14 <u>Male Female</u>	15 <u>Male Female</u>	l6 Male Female	Total Juv Male Femal	
1979 1978 %∆	0 0 1 0 	0 C 1 0	3 2 5 3	7 3 5 2 	8 3 15 3	18 8 27 8	26 35 -
			ADULT AGE AND	) SEX SUMMARY			
	17 Male Female	18 - 24 <u>Male Female</u>	25 - 34 Male Female	35 - 54 <u>Male Female</u>	55 & Over Male Female	Total Ad Male Femal	
1979 1978 %∆	19 10 19 12 	461 131 249 68 85.1 92.6	327 69 189 47 73.0 -	115 34 51 20 125.5 -	9 1 5 0 	931 245 513 147 81.5 66	
			RACE SUMMARY (AGE 1	7 UNDER JUVENILES)			
	White	Juveniles Black (	Other Total		P White Black	dults Other	Totai
1979 1978 %∆	44 61 -	11 5 -	0 55 0 66 16.7		707     440       436     192       62.2     129.2	0 1 -	1,147 629 82.4
			TOTAL A	ARRESTS			
	White	Black Othe	r Total		Male	Female	Total
1979 1978 %∆	751 497 51.1	451 0 197 1 128.9 -	1,202 695 72.9	197 197 その		253 155 63.2	1,202 695 72.9

 $l_{Percent}$  changes (% $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50. Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: TOTAL SALE AND/OR MANUFACTURING OF DRUGS,

## 1978 - 19791

### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 &	Under	11	- 12	13	- 14	15		1	6	Tot	al Juveni	ile
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	<u>Male Fe</u>	emale	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979	0	0	1	0	12	7	11	6	37	6	61	19	80
1978	3	Û Û	3	1	14	5	18	4	38	8	76	18	94
8∆	<b>-</b>			-		-		<b>-</b> 14		-	-19.7	- '	-14.9

#### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	17	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 54	55 & Over	Total Adult
	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	<u>Male Female</u>	<u>Male Female</u>	Male Female Total
1979 1978 %∆	55 18 61 22 -9.8 -	938 218 778 153 20.6 42.5	560 109 431 76 29.9 43.4	169 43 107 28 57.9 -	15 3 12 0	1,737 391 2,128 1,389 279 1,668 25.1 40.1 27.6

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

		Juvenil	es				Adu	lts	
	White	Black	Other	<u>Total</u>	1	White	Black	Other	Total
	124	26	3	153		1,427	616	12	2,055
	143	31	3	177		1,027	552	6	1,585 <sup>2</sup>
	-13.3		an a	-13.6		38.9	11.6		29.7

				TOTAL AR	RESTS			
	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979 1978 %∆	1,551 1,170 32.6	642 583 10.1	15 9 -	2,208 1,762 <sup>2</sup> 25.3	1979 1978 %∆	1,798 1,465 22.7	410 297 38.0	2,208 1,762 25.3

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes ( $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category, therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

**II-44** 

1979 1978 €Δ

## LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA,

1978 - 19791

### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 & Under 11 - 12		13 - 14	15	16	Total Juvenile		
Mal	e Female Ma	ale Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Total	
1979 1	0	8 3	67 23	109 33	195 47	380 106	486	
1978 1	0	17 3	98 43	195 27	372 57	683 130	813	
\$∆ -		-	-31.6 -	-44.1 -	-47.6 -	-44.4 -18.5	-40.2	

#### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	] Male	.7 Female	18 - 2 Male Fe	4 male Ma	25 - 34 le Female	e Mal	35 - 54 e Female		5 & Over e Female		tal Adult Female Total
				<u>110</u>	<u>re remar</u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	Mare	remare iocar
1979	210	24	1,872 2	60 73	2 78	116	17	8	3	2,938	382 3,320
1978	432	54	3,408 4	94 1,19	3 142	196	36	18	3	5,247	729 5,976
ક્ર∆	-51.4	<b>-</b> 1997	-45.1 -	47.4 -3	8.6 -45.	L -40	.8 -	·		-44.0	-47.6 -44.4

### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

		Juveniles			Adults		
	White	Black Other	Total	White	Black Other	Total	
1979 1978 & ∆	581 959 -39.4	137 2 340 0 -59.7 -	720 1,299 -44.6	2,213 3,627 -39.0	866 7 1,857 6 -53.4 -	3,0862 5,490 -43.8	

				TOTAL ARRE	STS				
	White	Black	Other	Total			Male	Female	Total
1979 1978 %∆	2,794 4,586 -39.1	1,003 2,197 -54.3	9 6 -	3,806 <sub>2</sub> 6,789 <sup>2</sup> -43,9		1979 1978 %∆	3,318 5,930 -44.0	488 859 -43.2	3,806 6,789 -43.9

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes ( $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category, therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: POSSESSION OF OTHER DRUGS,

1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

10 & Under		r	11 - 12 13 - 14				5	16	Total Juvenile		
	Male Fem	ale <u>Ma</u>	ale Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	<u>Male Female</u>	Male	Female	Total
1979	2	0	0 2	12	6	14	2	23 11	51	21	72
1978	0	0	2 1	12	5	14	6	24 6	52	18	70
8 △		-	· · · · · ·	-	-	-			-1.9		2.9

### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	17	18 - 24	25 - 34	35 - 54	55 & Over	Total Adult
	<u>Male Female</u>	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female Total
1979 1978 %∆	62 18 34 3	738 161 485 145 52.2 11.0	501 80 304 84 64.8 -4.8	152 41 104 34 46.2 -	9 3 12 1 	1,462 303 1,765 939 267 1,206 55.7 13.5 46.4

### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

			Juv	reniles				Adı	ilts	
	Whi	te	Black	Other	 Total		White	Black	Other	Total
1979	105	5	47	0	152		958	727	0	1,685
1978	85	5 .	, 22	0	107		725	443	1	1,169
<b>%</b> Δ	23	3.5	, . <del>.</del>	-	42.1		32.1	64.1	-	44.1

ሞርሞልፒ.	ARRESTS
TOTUD	AUGOTO

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979	1,063	774	0	1,837	1979	1,513	324	1,837
1978	810	465	1	1,276	1978	991	285	1,276
%∆	31.2	66.5	-	44.0	%∆	52.7	13.7	44.0

lpercent changes (%  $\Delta$  ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50. Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division
## LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: TOTAL POSSESSION OF DRUGS,

## 1978 - 19791

#### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 &	Under	11 ·	- 12	13	- 14	1 <b>1</b> .	5	]	.6	Tot	al Juveni	.le
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979	3	0	8	5	79	29	123	35	218	58	431	127	558
1978	1	0	19	4	110	48	209	33	396	63	735	148	883
ե⊽	-	-			-28.2		-41.1	-	-44.9	-7.9	-41.4	-14.2	-36.8

#### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	e standarde en e	17	18	- 24	25	- 34	35 -	- 54	55 &	Over	То	tal Adul	t
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979 1978	272 466	42 57	2,610 3,893	421 639	1,233 1,497	158 226	268 300	58 70	17 30	6	4,400 6,186	685 996	5,085 7,182
\$∆	-41.6	-	-33.0	-34.1	-17.6	-30.1	-10.7	-17.1	-	-	-28.9	-31.2	

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

		Juven	iles		•	Adul	ts	
	White	Black	Other	Total	White	Black	Other	<u> </u>
19 19 %∆	686 1,044 -34.3	184 362 -49.2	2 0 -	872 1,406 -38.0	3,171 4,352 -27.1	1,593 2,300 -30.7	7 7	4,771 6,659 <sup>2</sup> -28.4

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979	3,857	1,777	9	5,643	1979	4,831	812	5,643
1978	5,396	2,662	7	8,065 <sup>2</sup>	1978	6,921	1,144	8,065
ぇム	-28.5	-33.2	-	-30.0	%	-30.2	-29.0	-30.0

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (% $\Delta$  ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category, therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

# LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: TOTAL DRUG VIOLATIONS,

1978 - 19791

#### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 &	Under	11	- 12	13 -	14	1	.5	1	6	Tot	al Juven	ile
	<u>Male</u>	Female	Male	Female	<u>Male</u>	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979	3	0	9	5	91	36	134	41	255	64	492	146	638
1978	4	0	22	5	124	53	227	37	434	71	811	166	977
₽∆		-	-	-	-26.6	-	-41.0		-41.2	-9.9	-39.3	-12.0	-34.7

#### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

		17	1.1	18	- 24	25	- 34	35 -	- 54	55 8	k Over	То	tal Adul	t
	Ma	le	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979	32	7	60	3,548	639	1,793	267	437	101	32	9	6,137	1,076	7,213
1978	52	7	79	4,671	792	1,928	302	407	98	42	4	7,575	1,275	8,850
€Δ	-3	3.0	-24.1	-24.0	-19.3	-7.0	-11.6	7.4	3.1	-	-	-19.0	-15.6	-18.5

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

		Juvenile	S				Adu	lts	
	White	Black	Other	Total		White	Black	Other	Total
1979 1978 %∆	810 1,187 -31.8	210 393 -46.6	5 3 -	1,025 1,583 -35.2		4,598 5,379 -14.5	2,209 2,852 -22.5	19 13	6,826 <sub>2</sub> 8,244 -17.2

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979	5,408	2,419	24	7,851	1979	6,629	1,222	7,851
1978	6,566	3,245	16	9,827 <sup>2</sup>	1978	8,386	1,441	9,827
% 🛆	-17.6	-25.5	-	-20.1	%∆	-21.0	-15.2	-20.1

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes ( $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup> Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category, therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## TABLĘ 34

LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: CURFEW AND/OR LOITERING,

1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

#### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 &	Under	11 -		13 -	74	15		16	5	Tot	al Juven	ile
	 Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979	11	1	48	9	137	53	207	62	272	78	675	203	878
1978	12	1	40	9	215	90	225	105	367	118	859	323	1,182
€ △	· -	• • • • •	-	<b></b>	-36.3	-41.1	-8.0	-41.0	-25.9	-33.9	-21.4	-37.2	-25.7

#### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	1	7	18	- 24	25 -	34	35 -	- 54	55 &	Over	Te	otal Adult	2
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979	58	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	3	61
1978	37	4	0	0	0	0	Ó	Ő	Û.	0	37	4	41
\$∆	-	-	-	-	· -	<del>.</del>	-	-	-	-	-		· ••

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

		Juven	iles			Adu	lts	
	White	Black	Other	Total	White	Black	Other	Total
1979	697	237	5	939	0	0	0	0
1978	983	238	2	1,223	0	0	0	0
8 △	-29.1	-0.4	-	-23.2	· · · · ·		-	-

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979	697	237	5	939	1979	733	206	939
1978	983	238	2	1,223	1978	896	327	1,223
윷스	-29.1	-0.4	-	-23.2	% △	-18.2	-37.0	-23.2

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes ( $\& \Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50. Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

# TABLE 35 LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: RUNAWAY, 1978 - 19791

#### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

	10 & Under	11 - 12	13 - 14	15	16	Total Juvenile
	Male Femal	e Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female Total
1979 1978 %∆	58 17 64 15 -9.4 -	99 58 91 78 8.8 -25.6	278 437 366 507 -24.0 -13.8	260 436 302 448 -ï3.9 -2.7	238 325 281 393 -15.3 -17.3	933 1,273 2,206 1,104 1,441 2,545 -15.5 -11.7 -13.3

#### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

		17	18	- 24		25	- 34	35	- 54	55 8	. Over	Tot	tal Adult	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	1	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979	11	12	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	12	23
1978	9	14	0	0		Ó	0	0	0	0	0	9	. 44	23
€ Δ	·	-	· · ·			-		-	-	-	-	-		_ 1

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

		Juven	iles			Adults				
	White	Black	Other	Total	White	Black	Other	Total		
1979	1,859	350	20	2,229	0	0	0	0		
1978	2,088	470	10	2,568	0	0	0	0		
8 △	-11.0	-25.5		-13.2	· _	·				

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979 1978 %∆	1,859 2,088 -11.0	350 470 -25.5	20 10	2,229 2,568 -13.2	1979 1978 %ム	944 1,113 -15.2	1,285 1,455 -11.7	2,229 2,568 -13.2

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (% $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50. Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

# LOUISIANA ARREST SUMMARY: TOTAL OFFENSES,

1978 - 1979<sup>1</sup>

TABLE 36

#### JUVENILE AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

10 & Under 11 - 12 1	3 - 14 15	16	Total Juvenile
Male Female Male Female Male	Female Male Female	Male Female	Male Female Total
1979 1,202 186 1,986 466 5,270	1,895 5,037 1,672	6,258 1,693	19,753 5,912 25,665
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2,259 5,491 1,791 4 -16.1 -8.3 -6.6	6,859 1,882 -8.8 -10.0	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

#### ADULT AGE AND SEX SUMMARY

		17	18	- 24	25	- 34	35 -	54	55 8	Over	T	otal Adul	t
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	<u>Male</u>	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1979	5,962	1,040	55,620	11,230	40,085	7,637	29,417	4,967	6,953	734	138,037	25,608	163,645
1978	6,454	1,128	53,887	11,103	38,722	7,461	31,770	5,091	7,142	824	137,975		163,582
¥ △	-7.6	-7.8	3.2	1.1	3.5	2.4	-7.4	-2.4	-2.6	~10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0

#### RACE SUMMARY (AGE 17 UNDER JUVENILES)

			Juveni	les	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Adu	lts	
		White	Black	Other	Total	White	Black	Other	Total
1979		16,853	15,695	119	32,667	85,522	70,738	383	156,643
1978	1	18,899	17,758	69	36,726	86,029	69,559	412	156,0002
<b>8</b> Δ		-10.8	-11.6	72.5	-11.1	-0.6	1.7	-7.0	0.4

#### TOTAL ARRESTS

	White	Black	Other	Total		Male	Female	Total
1979	102,375	86,433	502	189,310	1979	157,790	31,520	189,310
1978	104,928	87,317	481	192,726 <sup>2</sup>	1978	160,396	32,330	192,726
% △	-2.4	-1.0	4.4	-1.8	%∆	-1.6	-2.5	-1.8

<sup>1</sup>Percent changes (% $\Delta$ ) were not computed for those instances where the units of comparison were less than 50.

<sup>2</sup>Due to a programming error the race categories in 1978 did not equal the total adult category; therefore a manual adjustment was made to the "Other Race" category.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

# COMPARISON OF LOUISIANA LAW ENFORCEMENT INFORMATION BY PARISH,

1979

	Population	<pre># Of Index     Offenses</pre>	Index Crime Rate	<pre># Of Officers</pre>	<pre># Of Index Arrests</pre>	# Of Index Clearances
Louisiana	4,034,203	215,329	5,337.5	9,650	50,596	51,417
Acadia	55,486	1,017	1,832.8	114	476	489
Allen	20,986	401	1,910.7	56	100	81
Ascension	46,159	1,579	3,420.7	137	637	614
Assumption	21,342	285	1,335.3	50	95	76
Avoyelles	39,514	472	1,194.5	55	322	279
Beauregard	27,501	659	2,396.2	53	233	245
Bienville	17,022	132	775.4	16	109	105
Bossier	72,478	4,320	5,960.4	153	973	1,075
Caddo	245,380	16,977	6,918.6	507	2,791	3,304
Calcasieu	161,473	8,701	5,388.5	454	1,421	2,189
Caldwell	10,752	213	1,981.0	19	71	39
Cameron	10,021	294	2,933.8	39	163	165
Catahoula	11,703	348	2,973.5	25	143	135
Claiborne	16,758	234	1,396.3	14	110	101
Concordia	22,593	807	3,571.9	47	274	281
DeSoto	24,175	269	1,112.7	28	147	145
East Baton Rouge	337,942	32,122	9,505.1	996	6,006	6,037
East Carroll	11,489	263	2,289.1	33	133	154
East Feliciana	16,318	194	1,188.8	22	148	116
Evangeline	33,240	549	1,651.6	145	251	253
Franklin	23,931	65	271.6	29	77	66
Grant	15,262	266	1,742.8	25	100	106
Iberia	65,456	1,710	2,612.4	169	153	572
Iberville	30,965	772	2,493.1	118	360	383
Jackson	16,841	362	2,149.5	30	105	109
Jefferson	432,117	33,057	7,650.0	9,87	6,236	5,633
Jefferson Davis	31,540	693	2,197.2	52	183	164
Lafayette	137,509	8,170	5,941.4	301	1,541	2,178
Lafourche	79,084	1,839,	2,325.3	160	718,	700
LaSalle	15,480	<b>7</b> 5 <sup>2</sup>	$484.4^{2}$	22	112	18 <sup>2</sup>
Lincoln	37,282	1,287	3,452.0	46	407	457
Livingston	53,594	1,304	2,433.1	94	452	419
Madison	14,331	575	4,012.2	41	263	266
Morehouse	33,540	1,146	3,416.8	62	289	271
Natchitoches	36,191	748	2,066.8	69	345	350
Orleans	569,125	52,479	9,220.9	1,486	11,353	9,404

# TABLE 37 (CONTINUED)

II-53

	Population	<pre># Of Index    Offenses</pre>	Index Crime Rate	# Of <u>Officers</u>	# Of Index Arrests	<pre># Of Index Clearances</pre>
Ouachita	132,133	5,766	4,363.7	276	1,736	2,227
Plaquemines	27,167	851	3,132.4	N/R <sup>1</sup>	220	210
Pointe Coupee	22,845	141	617.2	28	131	128
Rapides	126,245	6,170	4,887.3	235	1,513	1,226
Red River	9,463	101	1,067.3	9	94	93
Richland	21,781	296	1,358.9	21	216	171
Sabine	20,443	418	2,044.7	38,	161	156
St. Bernard	63,223	2,088	3,302.5	N/R <sup>1</sup>	627	619
St. Charles	35,202	1,426	4,050.9	113	464	470
St. Helena	10,157	148	1,457.1	10	43	62
St. James	20,160	391	1,939.4	50	159	137
St. John	29,875	658	2,202.5	68	281	369
St. Landry	84,081	1,334	1,586.5	173	704	586
St. Martin	36,628	530	1,446.9	64	249	240
St. Mary	62,193	2,636	4,238,4	141	1,155	1,141
St. Tammany	99,126	4,658	4,699.0	201	1,210	1,632
Tangipahoa	77,628	2,859	3,682.9	38 <sup>2</sup>	921	982
Tensas	8,238	179	2,172.8	13	66	69
Terrebonne	91,743	3,369	3,672.2	198	831	1,133
Union	20,408	601	2,944.9	18	184	179
Vermilion	48,193	1,106	2,294.9	115	241	228
Vernon	47,904	1,443	3,012.2	62	675	677
Washington	43,910	1,662	3,785.0	81	495	613
Webster	41,991	913	2,174.2	70	438	423
West Baton Rouge	18,412	537	2,916.5	48	314	308
West Carroll	12,737	112	879.3	17	61	61
West Feliciana	10,430	205	1,965.4	20	48	51
Winn	17,307	347	2,004.9	33	151	247
State Police	-			856		

<sup>1</sup>Agency did not respond to question.

<sup>2</sup>A major agency did not report.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

COMPARISON OF 1978 AND 1979

LAW ENFORCEMENT EXPENDITURES

Acadia         \$ 1,231,510         \$ 1,586,663         28.8           Allen         669,067         707,438         5.7           Ascension         2,020,806         2,238,408         10.8           Assumption         533,000         784,033         47.1           Avoyelles         883,395         818,991         -7.3           Beauregard         960,892         919,520         -4.3           Bienville         369,620         370,128         0.1           Bossier         2,858,192         3,178,967         11.2           Caddo         10,290,383         11,644,011         13.2           Calcasieu         6,502,725         6,745,166         3.7           Caddo         10,290,383         11,644,011         -5.6           Cameron         789,848         550,000         -30.3           Cataboula         406,667         462,042         13.6           Clabornel         N/R         -         -           Concordia         922,790         886,991         -3.9           DeSoto         230,229         398,502         24.4           East Falciana         327,988         349,709         6.6           Evangeline		1978 Expenditures	1979 Expenditures	Percent Change
Allen $669,067$ $707,438$ $5.7$ Ascension $2,020,806$ $2,238,408$ $10.8$ Assumption $533,000$ $784,033$ $47,1$ Avoyelles $883,395$ $818,991$ $-7.3$ Beauregard $960,892$ $919,520$ $-4.3$ Bienville $366,620$ $370,128$ $0.1$ Dossier $2,658,192$ $3,78,967$ $11.2$ Caddo $10,290,333$ $11,644,011$ $13.2$ Calcasieu $6,502,725$ $6,745,166$ $3.7$ Caldwell $360,199$ $340,104$ $-5.6$ Cameron $789,848$ $550,000$ $-30.3$ Catahoula $406,867$ $462,042$ $13.6$ Clabornel $N/R$ $N/R$ $-$ Concordia $922,790$ $886,991$ $-3.9$ DeSoto $320,229$ $398,502$ $24.4$ East Eaton Rouge $16,721,806$ $18,035,450$ $7.9$ Cast Carroll $259,000$ $392,992$ $N/A^2$ Cast Carroll $249,237$ $445,953$ $4.9$ Grant $406,183$ $654,130$ $61.0$ Iberville $1,425,000$ $1,972,824$ $N/A^2$ Jackson $309,690$ $94,132$ $N/A^2$ Jackson $309,690$ $94,132$ $N/A^2$ Jackson $309,690$ $937,921$ $12.2$ Lafourche $2,912,173$ $2,844,736$ $-14.7$ Lafourche $2,912,173$ $2,804,736$ $-14.7$ Jafferson Davis $1,72,975$ $785,948$ $-33.$	Acadia	\$ 1,231,510	\$ 1,586,663	28.8
Ascension         2,020,806         2,238,408         10.6           Assumption         533,000         744,033         47.1           Avoyelles         883,395         818,991         -7.3           Beauregard         960,892         919,520         -4.3           Bienville         369,620         370,128         0.1           Bossier         2,858,192         3,178,967         11.2           Caddo         10,290,383         11,644,011         13.2           Calcasieu         6,502,725         6,745,166         3.7           Caldwell         360,667         462,042         13.6           Cataboula         406,667         462,042         13.6           Claibornel         NR         -         -           Concordia         922,790         886,991         -3.9           DeSoto         320,229         398,502         24.4           East Baton Rouge         16,721,806         18,035,450         7,9           Crast Carroll         259,000         392,992         N/A <sup>2</sup> East Feliciana         327,988         349,709         6.6           Evangeline         848,215         907,624         7.0	Allen	669,067		
Assumption       533,000       784,033       47,1         Avoyelles       883,395       818,991       -7,3         Beauregard       960,892       919,520       -4.3         Bienville       369,620       370,128       0.1         Bossier       2,858,192       3,178,967       11.2         Cadoa       10,290,383       11,644,011       13.2         Calcasieu       6,502,725       6,745,166       3.7         Caldwell       360,199       340,104       -5.6         Cameron       789,848       550,000       -30.3         Cataboula       406,667       462,042       13.6         Claiborne <sup>1</sup> N/R       N/R       -         Concordia       922,790       886,991       -3.9         DeSoto       320,229       396,502       24.4         East Eaton Rouge       16,721,806       18,035,450       7.9         Cast Carroll       259,000       392,992       N/A <sup>2</sup> Carat       406,183       654,130       61.0         Iberia       2,439,626       1,138,320       N/A <sup>2</sup> Jackson       309,690       94,132       N/A <sup>2</sup> Jackferson Davis	Ascension	2,020,806		
Avoyelles       883,395       816,991       -7,3         Beauregard       960,892       919,520       -4.3         Bienville       369,620       370,128       0.1         Bossier       2,858,192       3,178,967       11.2         Caddo       10,290,333       11,644,011       13.2         Calcasieu       6,502,725       6,745,166       3.7         Caldwell       360,199       340,104       -5.6         Cameron       789,848       550,000       -30.3         Claibornel       N/R       N/R       -         Concordia       922,790       886,991       -3.9         Desoro       320,229       398,502       24.4         East Baton Rouge       16,721,806       18,035,450       7.9         Cast Carroll       259,000       392,992       N/A <sup>2</sup> East Feliciana       327,988       349,709       6.6         Evangeline       848,215       907,624       7.0         Berville       1,425,000       1,972,824       N/A <sup>2</sup> Grant       406,183       654,130       61.0         Iberia       2,439,626       1,138,320       N/A <sup>2</sup> Jackson	Assumption			
Beauregard         960,892         919,520         -4.3           Bienville         369,620         370,128         0.1           Bossier         2,858,192         3,178,967         11.2           Caddo         10,290,383         11,644,011         13.2           Calcasieu         6,502,725         6,745,166         3.7           Caldwell         360,199         340,104         -5.6           Cameron         789,848         550,000         -30.3           Claibornel         N/R         N/R         -           Concordia         922,790         886,991         -3.9           DeSoto         320,229         398,502         24.4           East Baton Rouge         16,721,806         18,035,450         7.9           Cast Carroll         259,000         392,992         N/A <sup>2</sup> East Feliciana         327,988         349,709         6.6           Evangeline         848,215         907,624         7.0           Franklin         453,947         475,953         4.9           Iberia         2,439,626         1,138,320         N/A <sup>2</sup> Jackson         309,690         94,132         N/A <sup>2</sup> Jack	Avoyelles	883,395		
Bienville         369,620         370,128         0.1           Bossier         2,858,192         3,178,967         11.2           Caddo         10,290,383         11,644,011         13.2           Calcasieu         6,502,725         6,745,166         3.7           Caldwell         360,199         340,104         -5.6           Cameron         789,848         550,000         -30.3           Cataboula         406,667         462,042         13.6           Claiborne <sup>4</sup> N/R         -         -           Concordia         922,790         886,991         -3.9           DeSoto         320,229         398,502         24.4           East Baton Rouge         16,721,806         18,035,450         7.9           Last Carroll         259,000         392,992         N/A <sup>2</sup> East Faliciana         327,998         349,709         6.6           Evangeline         648,215         907,624         7.0           Franklin         453,947         475,953         4.9           Jackson         309,690         94,132         N/A <sup>2</sup> Jackson         309,690         94,132         N/A <sup>2</sup> Jackson </td <td>Beauregard</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Beauregard			
Bossier         2,858,192         3,178,967         11.2           Caddo         10,290,383         11,644,011         13.2           Calcasieu         6,502,725         6,745,166         3.7           Caddwell         360,199         340,104         -5.6           Cameron         789,848         550,000         -30.3           Cataboula         406,867         462,042         13.6           Claibornel         N/R         -         -           Concordia         922,790         886,991         -3.9           DeSoto         320,229         398,502         24.4           East Baton Rouge         16,721,806         18,035,450         7.9           Cast Carroll         259,000         392,992         N/A <sup>2</sup> East Feliciana         327,988         349,709         6.6           Evangeline         848,215         907,624         7.0           Franklin         453,947         475,953         4.9           Iberia         2,439,626         1,138,320         N/A <sup>2</sup> Jackson         309,690         94,132         N/A <sup>2</sup> Jackson         309,690         94,132         N/A <sup>2</sup> Jackson	Bienville			
Cadao       10,290,383       11,644,011       13.2         Calcasieu       6,502,725       6,745,166       3.7         Caddwell       360,199       340,104       -5.6         Cameron       789,848       550,000       -30.3         Catahoula       406,867       462,042       13.6         Claibornel       N/R       -       -         Concordia       922,790       886,991       -3.9         DeSoto       320,229       398,502       24.4         East Baton Rouge       16,721,806       18,035,450       7.9         Chast Carroll       259,000       392,992       N/A <sup>2</sup> East Feliciana       327,988       349,709       6.6         Evangeline       848,215       907,624       7.0         Franklin       406,183       654,130       61.0         Iberia       2,439,626       1,138,320       N/A <sup>2</sup> Jackson       309,690       94,132       N/A <sup>2</sup> Jefferson Davis       1,172,975       785,948       -33.0         Lafourche       2,912,173       2,484,736       -14.7         Jackson       636,630       937,921       12.2         Lafourche <td>Bossier</td> <td>2,858,192</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Bossier	2,858,192		
Calcasieu       6,502,725       6,745,166       3.7         Caldwell       360,199       340,104       -5.6         Cameron       789,848       550,000       -30.3         Catahoula       406,867       462,042       13.6         Claibornel       N/R       N/R       -         Concordia       922,790       886,991       -3.9         DeSoto       320,229       398,502       24.4         Cast Carroll       259,000       392,992       N/A²         East Baton Rouge       16,721,806       18,035,450       7.9         Last Carroll       259,000       392,992       N/A²         Evangeline       848,215       907,624       7.0         Franklin       453,947       475,953       4.9         Grant       2,439,626       1,138,320       N/A²         Jackson       309,690       94,132       N/A²         Jefferson       16,608,929       17,775,444       7.0         Jefferson Davis       1,172,975       785,948       -33.0         Lafourche       2,912,173       2,444,736       -14.7         Lasalle       429,213       570,025       32.8         Lafourche	Caddo	10,290,383		
Caldwell       360,199       340,104       -5.6         Cameron       789,848       550,000       -30.3         Catahoula       406,867       462,042       13.6         Claibornel       N/R       N/R       -         Concordia       922,790       886,991       -3.9         DeSoto       320,229       398,502       24.4         East Baton Rouge       16,721,806       18,035,450       7.9         East Feliciana       327,988       349,709       6.6         Evangeline       848,215       907,624       7.0         Franklin       453,947       475,953       4.9         Grant       406,183       654,130       61.0         Iberville       1,425,000       1972,824       N/A2         Jackson       309,690       94,132       N/A2         Jefferson Davis       1,172,975       785,948       -33.0         Lafourche       2,912,173       2,484,736       -14.7         Lafourche       2,912,173       570,025       32.8         Lafourche       2,912,173       570,025       32.8         Lafourche       2,912,173       570,025       32.8         Lafourche	Calcasieu			
Cameron       789,848       550,000       -30.3         Catahoula       406,867       462,042       13.6         Claibornel       N/R       N/R       -         Concordia       922,790       886,991       -3.9         DeSoto       320,229       398,502       24.4         East Baton Rouge       16,721,806       18,035,450       7.9         F.ast Carroll       259,000       392,992       N/A2         East Feliciana       327,988       349,709       6.6         Evangeline       648,215       907,624       7.0         Franklin       453,947       475,953       4.9         Grant       406,183       654,130       61.0         Iberia       2,439,626       1,138,320       N/A2         Jackson       309,690       94,132       N/A2         Jackson       309,690       94,132       N/A2         Jefferson Davis       1,172,975       785,948       -33.0         Lafourche       2,912,173       2,484,736       -14.7         Lasalle       429,213       570,025       32.8         Lincoln       835,630       937,921       12.2         Madison       543,109	Caldwell	360,199		
Catahoula,       406,867.       462,042       13.6         Claiborne <sup>1</sup> N/R       N/R       -         Concordia       922,790       886,991       -3.9         DeSoto       320,229       398,502       24.4         East Baton Rouge       16,721,806       18,035,450       7.9         East Feliciana       327,988       349,709       6.6         Evangeline       848,215       907,624       7.0         Franklin       453,947       475,953       4.9         Grant       406,183       654,130       61.0         Iberia       2,439,626       1,138,320       N/A2         Jackson       309,690       94,132       N/A2         Jefferson Davis       1,172,975       785,948       -33.0         Lafayette       5,067,334       6,452,636       27.3         Lafourche       2,912,173       2,484,736       -14.7         Lasalle       429,213       570,025       32.8         Livingston       1,539,558       2,251,460       46.2         Madison       543,109       636,739       17.2         Morehouse       1,119,057       1,206,594       7.8	Cameron			
Claiborne <sup>1</sup> N/R         N/R         -3.9           Concordia         922,790         886,991         -3.9           DeSoto         320,229         398,502         24.4           East Baton Rouge         16,721,806         18,035,450         7.9           Cast Carroll         259,000         392,992         N/A²           East Feliciana         327,988         349,709         6.6           Evangeline         848,215         907,624         7.0           Franklin         453,947         475,953         4.9           Grant         406,183         654,130         61.0           Iberia         2,439,626         1,138,320         N/A²           Jackson         309,690         94,132         N/A²           Jefferson Davis         1,172,975         785,948         -33.0           Lafayette         5,067,394         6,452,636         27.3           Lafourche         2,912,173         2,484,736         -14.7           Lasalle         429,213         570,025         32.8           Liroln         835,630         937,921         12.2           Lafourche         2,912,173         2,484,736         -14.7	Catahoula	· · · · · ·		
Concordia922,790886,991-3.9DeSoto320,229398,50224.4DeSoto320,229398,50224.4East Baton Rouge16,721,80618,035,4507.9Tast Carroll259,000392,992N/A2Bast Feliciana327,988349,7096.6Evangeline848,215907,6247.0Franklin453,947475,9534.9Grant406,183654,13061.0Iberia2,439,6261,138,320N/A2Jackson309,69094,132N/A2Jefferson Davis1,172,975785,948-33.0Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafayette2,912,1732,484,736-14.7LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln1,539,5582,251,46046.2Morehouse1,19,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Claiborne <sup>1</sup>			
DeSoto320,229398,50224.4East Baton Rouge16,721,80618,035,4507.9Tast Carroll259,000392,992N/A2East Feliciana327,988349,7096.6Evangeline848,215907,6247.0Franklin453,947475,9534.9Grant406,183654,13061.0Iberia2,439,6261,138,320N/A2Iberville1,425,0001,972,824N/A2Jackson309,69094,132N/A2Jefferson Davis1,172,975785,948-33.0Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafourche2,912,1732,484,736-14.7Lasalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Madison543,109636,7397.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Concordia			-3,9
East Baton Rouge16,721,80618,035,4507.9Cast Carroll259,000392,992N/A2East Feliciana327,988349,7096.6Evangeline848,215907,6247.0Franklin453,947475,9534.9Grant406,183654,13061.0Ibervile1,425,0001,972,824N/A2Jackson309,69094,132N/A2Jefferson Davis1,172,975785,948-33.0Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafourche2,912,1732,484,736-14.7Lafourche835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	DeSoto			
East Feliciana327,988349,7096.6Evangeline848,215907,6247.0Franklin453,947475,9534.9Grant406,183654,13061.0Iberia2,439,6261,138,320N/A2Iberville1,425,0001,972,824N/A2Jackson309,69094,132N/A2Jefferson Davis1,172,975785,948-33.0Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafayette2,912,1732,484,736-14.7LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,59,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Matchitoches1,124,4381,275,0815.0	East Baton Rouge			
East Feliciana327,988349,7096.6Evangeline848,215907,6247.0Franklin453,947475,9534.9Grant406,183654,13061.0Iberia2,439,6261,138,320N/A2Iberville1,425,0001,972,824N/A2Jackson309,69094,132N/A2Jefferson Davis1,172,975785,948-33.0Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafayette2,912,1732,484,736-14.7LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,59,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Matchitoches1,124,4381,275,0815.0	East Carroll			N/A <sup>2</sup>
Evangeline848,215907,6247.0Franklin453,947475,9534.9Grant406,183654,13061.0Iberia2,439,6261,138,320N/A2Jackson309,69094,132N/A2Jefferson16,608,92917,775,4447.0Jefferson Davis1,172,975785,948-33.0Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafourche2,912,1732,484,736-14.7LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	East Feliciana			
Franklin453,947475,9534.9Grant406,183654,13061.0Iberia2,439,6261,138,320N/A2Iberville1,425,0001,972,824N/A2Jackson309,69094,132N/A2Jefferson16,608,92917,775,4447.0Jefferson Davis1,172,975785,948-33.0Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafourche2,912,1732,484,736-14.7LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Evangeline			
Grant406,183654,13061.0Iberia2,439,6261,138,320N/A2Iberville1,425,0001,972,824N/A2Jackson309,69094,132N/A2Jefferson16,608,92917,775,4447.0Jefferson Davis1,172,975785,948-33.0Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafourche2,912,1732,484,736-14.7LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Franklin	453,947		
Iberia2,439,6261,138,320N/A2Iberville1,425,0001,972,824N/A2Jackson309,69094,132N/A2Jefferson16,608,92917,775,4447.0Jefferson Davis1,172,975785,948-33.0Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafourche2,912,1732,484,736-14.7LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Grant	406,183		
Jackson309,69094,132N/A2Jefferson16,608,92917,775,4447.0Jefferson Davis1,172,975785,948-33.0Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafourche2,912,1732,484,736-14.7LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Iberia	2,439,626		N/A <sup>2</sup>
Jackson309,69094,132N/A2Jefferson16,608,92917,775,4447.0Jefferson Davis1,172,975785,948-33.0Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafourche2,912,1732,484,736-14.7LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Iberville	1,425,000		$N/A^2$
Jefferson16,608,92917,775,4447.0Jefferson Davis1,172,975785,948-33.0Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafourche2,912,1732,484,736-14.7LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Jackson	309,690	94,132	$N/A^2$
Jefferson Davis1,172,975785,948-33.0Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafourche2,912,1732,484,736-14.7LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Jefferson	16,608,929		7.0
Lafayette5,067,3946,452,63627.3Lafourche2,912,1732,484,736-14.7LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Jefferson Davis	1,172,975		
Lafourche2,912,1732,484,736-14.7LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Lafayette	5,067,394	6,452,636	27.3
LaSalle429,213570,02532.8Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Lafourche			-14.7
Lincoln835,630937,92112.2Livingston1,539,5582,251,46046.2Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	LaSalle	429,213		
Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Lincoln			
Madison543,109636,73917.2Morehouse1,119,0571,206,5947.8Natchitoches1,214,4381,275,0815.0	Livingston	1,539,558		46.2
Morehouse         1,119,057         1,206,594         7.8           Natchitoches         1,214,438         1,275,081         5.0	Madison			
Natchitoches 1,214,438 1,275,081 5.0	Morehouse			
	Natchitoches	1,214,438		
	Orleans	37,888,833		

# TABLE 38 (CONTINUED)

	1978 Expenditures	1979 Expenditures	Percent Change
Ouachita	\$ 3,943,954	\$ 4,592,803	16.5
Plaquemines⊥	N/R	N/R	-
Pointe Coupee	394,677	436,076	10.5
Rapides	4,230,048	4,539,317	7.3
Red River	209,347	210,618	0.6
Richland	547,287	569,165	4.0
Sabine	596,326	611,491	2.5
St. Bernard <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	<b>—</b>
St. Charles	1,598,010	1,430,049	-10.5
St. Helena	263,061	299,808	14.0
St. James	685,500	780,000	13.8
St. John	1,321,729	1,406,556	6.4
St. Landry	2,588,345	3,047,566	17.7
St. Martin	1,248,746	1,297,564	3.9
St. Mary	2,397,185	2,511,327	4.8
St. Tammany	3,160,339	3,642,133	15.3
Tangipahoa	445,000	570,207	28.1
Tensas	254,185	247,267	-2.7
Terrebonne	3,110,484	4,157,283 .	33.7
Union	441,314	371,365	-15.9
Vermilion	1,580,830	1,722,104	8.9
Vernon	1,024,182	1,149,042	12.2
Washington	1,876,756	1,661,263	-11.5
Webster	1,153,162	1,199,744	4.0
West Baton Rouge	930,341	1,024,793	10.2
West Carroll	263,733	331,896	25.9
West Feliciana	654,732	532,459	-18.7
Winn	539,706	707,252	31.0
State Police	25,000,000	34,144,454	36.6
State Total	182,101,266	208,222,284	14.3

1 Agency did not respond to question

<sup>2</sup>A major agency did not respond in either 1978 or 1979, therefore percent change was not calculated. Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## SCREENING PRACTICES AMONG LOUISIANA DISTRICT ATTORNEYS,

1979

Judicial District	Section Screen All	to <u>Cases</u>	A.D.A. Sci Own Case	Bills Filed All Cases	<u>Other</u>
lst 2nd 3rd	X		X X		
4th 5thl 6th 7th	X N/R		N/R X X	N/R	N/R
9th 10th	N/R X X		N/R	N/ R	N/R
10th 11th 12th 13th <sup>1</sup>	х			х	
14th 15th	N/R		N/R	N/R	N/R X X
16th 17th <sup>1</sup> 18th	N/R X		X N/R	N/R	N/R
19th 20th 21st 22nd			X X X		X
23rd± 24th	N/R X		N/R	N/R	N/R
25th <sup>1</sup> 26th 27th <sup>1</sup>	N/Ŕ X		N/R	N/R N/R	N/R N/R
28th 29th <sup>1</sup>	N/R X N/R		N/R N/R	N/R	N/R
30th 31st 32nd			x		x
33rd 34th 35th	N/R		N/R	N/R	X N/R X
36th 37th 38th	X X			х	• <b>-</b> -
Orleans	X			*•	

lAgency did not respond to question.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division, Louisiana District Attorney's Association, District Attorney's Activity Report, 1979

TABLE 40	T	AB	LE	40	
----------	---	----	----	----	--

OF CRIMINAL	CASES	HANDLED BY	LOUISIANA	DISTRICT	ATTORNEYS,	
		1979				
	OF CRIMINAL	OF CRIMINAL CASES		OF CRIMINAL CASES HANDLED BY LOUISIANA 1979		OF CRIMINAL CASES HANDLED BY LOUISIANA DISTRICT ATTORNEYS, 1979

			2070			
Judicial District	Felony	Misdemeanor	Parish Ordinances	City Ordinances	Juvenile Offenses	Traffic
lst	X	X	X		X	х
2nd	X	X	X	X	x	
3rd	X	X	X	X	X X	X X
4th 5th	X	X	X	N/R	N/R	N/R
6th	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	X	N/K
7th	X X	X X	X		X	X X
8thl	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
9th	X	X	X	117 11	X	x
lOth	x	X	x	X	X	x
llth	x	X	x	x	x	x
12th	x	x	x		x	х
13thl	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
14th	X	x	x	•	X	X
15th	х	X	х		Х	x
l6th	X	X	X		X	x
17thl	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
18th	X	Х	Х		X	X
19th	X	X			х	X
20th	X	X	X			X
21st	X	х	Х		X	X X
22nd	х	Х	X		X	X N/D
23rdl	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
24th	X	X	X	X	X N/R	X N/R
25thl	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	X	X
26th	X	X	X	N/R	N/R	N/R
27thl	N/R X	N/R X	N/R X	N/R	X	X
28th 29thl	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
30th	X	X	X	11/ 11	x	X
31st	x	x	x		x	x
32nd	x	X	x ···		x	x
33rd	X	X	x		X	X X
34thl	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
35th	X	X	X		x	х
36th	x	X X				X
37th	X	X	X	X	X X	X X
38th	X	X	X		Х	X
Orleans	X	х			Х	

lAgency did not respond to question.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division, Louisiana District Attorneys Association, District Actorney's Activity Report, 1979

# TYPES OF CIVIL CASES HANDLED BY LOUISIANA DISTRICT ATTORNEYS,

1979

TABLE 41

Judicial District	School Board	Police Juries	Other Governme	nt Agencies <sup>2</sup>
lst		X	x	
2nd	X	X	X X	
3rd	x	x	x	
4th_	••	X	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5thl	N/R	N/R	N/R	
6th	X	X	X	
7th_	X	X	x	
8th <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	
9th	X	X	X	
lOth	X	X	X	
llth	Α	Λ	<b>^</b>	
12th	X	X		
13th <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N /D	N/R	
14th		N/R X	X	
15th	X X	X	X	
1 C H K		X	X	
16th <sub>1</sub> 17th <sup>1</sup>	X			
1/th	N/R	N/R	N/R	
18th	x	Х	X	
l9th			K	
20th	X	X	X	
21st	X	Х	X	
22nd	X	X	X	
23rd <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	
24th 25th <sup>1</sup>				
25th-	N/R	N/R	N/R	
26th $27th^1$	X	X	X	
27th-	N/R	N/R	N/R	
28th 29th <sup>1</sup>	X	x	X	
29th <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	
30th	Х	X		
31st	X	х	Х	
32nd	X	х	Х	
33rd	X	X	X	
33rd 34thl	N/R	N/R	N/R	
35th	x	x	X	
36th	x	x	x	
37th	x	x	x	
38th	x	x	X	
Orleans				

<sup>1</sup>Agency did not respond to question.

<sup>2</sup>Includes Hospitals, Levee Boards, Drainage Districts, Airport Authorities, etc.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division, Louisiana District Attorneys Association, District Attorney's Activity Report, 1979

# CONTINUED



# DIVERSION PROGRAMS IN DISTRICT ATTORNEYS' OFFICES,

1979

Judicial District		Office Has Formal Diversion Program		Number	Number Diverted		
		Yes	No	Felony	Misdemeanor		
lst 2nd			x x				
3rd 4th 5thl		X N/R	X N/R	N/R <sup>1</sup> N/R	N/R <sup>l</sup> N/R	N/R <sup>1</sup> N/R	
6th 7th 8th <sup>1</sup> 9th		X N/R	X N/R	25 N/R	78 N/R	15 N/R	
9th 10th 11th			X X X				
12th 13th <sup>1</sup>		N/R	X N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	
14th 15th 16th			X X X				
17thl 18th		N/R	N/R X	N/R	N/R	N/R	
19th		X	x	321	264	68	
20th 21st <sup>1</sup>		N/R	N/R X	N/R	N/R	N/R	
22nd 23rd <sup>1</sup> 24th 25th <sup>1</sup>		N/R X	N/R	N/R 2422	N/R	N/R 22 N/R	
25th 26th 27th		N/R N/R	N/R X N/R	N/R N/R	N/R N/R	N/R	
29th 29th 30th		N/R	X N/R X	N/R	N/R	N/R	
31st 32nd			X X				
33rd 34th 35th 36th		N/R X	X N/R X	N/R 11	N/R 23	N/R N/R	
37th 38thl Orleans	5	N/R X	X N/R	N/R 341	N/R 4	N/R 36	

<sup>1</sup>Agency did not respond to question.

<sup>2</sup>Figure is total of Felony and Misdemeanor.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

# LOUISIANA DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFERING SPECIAL PROGRAMS,

## 1979

				s 1 = 1
	lst	Judicial	District	IV D <sup>1</sup>
	2nd	Judicial	District	IV D, Juvenile Counseling Program
	3rd	Judicial	District	IV D
	4th	Judicial	District	First Offender - Drug User Diversion
	10th	Judicial	District	IV D
	12th	Judicial	District	IV D
	14th	Judicial	District	IV D, Victim/Witness Assistance Program, Traffic Violations Bureau, Worthless Check Section, 24 Hour Emergency Call Pro- gram for Law Enforcement, Conference on Juvenile Services, Rape Crisis Organization
	15th	Judicial	District	Victim/Witness Program, Non-Support Division, Law Enforcement Training
	16th	Judicial	District	IV D, Juvenile Assistance Program, Victim/Witness Program
	18th	Judicial	District	IV D
	19th	Judicial	District	Stop Rape Crisis Center, Victim/Witness Assistance Bureau, Pre- Trial Intervention, Worthless Check Section, Economic Crime and Fraud Section, Career Criminal Bureau, Child Abuse Section, Family Law Section
	20th	Judicial	District	IV D
	21st	Judicial	District	IV D
	22nđ	Judicial	District	IV D
-4	24th	Judicial	District	Pre-Trial Intervention, Sex Crimes and Child Abuse Program, Child Support Enforcement, Career Criminal Bureau
	26th	Judicial	District	IV D
	31st	Judicial	District	IV D
	32nd	Judicial	District	Drug Abuse Instructional Program, Rape Crisis
	33rd	Judicial	District	IV D
	35th	Judicial	District	D.A. Probation, Juvenile
	36th	Judicial	District	IV D
	37th	Judicial	District	IV D
	38th	Judicial	District	"Hot Check" School
	Orlea	ans		Diversionary Program, Release on Recognizance, Economic Crime Unit, Victim/Witness Assistance Bureau, Child Support Enforce ment Division, Career Criminal Bureau, Post Conviction Track- ing Unit, Record Tracking System

 $^{1}$ IV D is a program to enforce payment of child support in AFDC and non-AFDC cases.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division, Louisiana District Attorneys Association, District Attorney's Activity Report, 1979

## STAFFING LEVELS AND OPERATING EXPENDITURES OF LOUISIANA'S DISTRICT ATTORNEYS,

1979

Judicial District	Total Number Assistant District Attorneys	Number of Investigators	Number of Admin., Clerical and Support Personnel	Operating Expenditures
lst	15	0	18	\$ 411,297
2nd	4	0	12	75,000
3rd	3	0	4	56,211
4th	10	7	19	105,627
5th <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
6th	3	1	7	12,884
7th,	3	2	6	60,800
8th <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
9th	9	1	12	185,302
lOth	5	1	6	N/R <sup>1</sup>
llth	4	0	3	N/R <sup>1</sup>
12th 13th	2	2	3	115,000
222	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
14th	11	3	18	545,755
15th	11	0	14	225,742
16th,	12	7	16	537,000
17th <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R <sub>1</sub>
18th	7	0	3	N/R <sup>1</sup>
19th	26	37	45	2,212,989
20th	2	1	<b>1</b>	25,418
21st	9	4	5	79,790
22nd	10	2	12	N/R <sup>1</sup>
23rd <sup>⊥</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
24th	30	12	57	900,000
$25 \text{th}^{\perp}$	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
26th	10	1	8	84,309
27th	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
28th	1	0	2	33,000
29th	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
30th	2	1	3	43,923
31st	1	0	3	70,268
32nd	4 2	4	10	381,464
33rd1	Z N/R	0	2	N/R <sup>1</sup>
34th 35th	N/R 2	N/R	N/R	N/R
36th	2 1	0	2	36,395
37th	0	1	2 2	7,500
38th	0	1 7	2	38,011
Orleans	66	1 13	101	62,289 260 0612
OFTERNS	υü	тэ	TAT	369,061 <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agency did not respond to question.

<sup>2</sup> Partial expenditures.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division, Louisiana District Attorneys Association, District Attorney's Activity Report, 1979

# LOUISIANA DISTRICT COURTS: THREE YEAR TREND IN ACTIVITY

		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		Cases Filed		
District	Parish	1977 <u>Total</u>	1978 Total	1979 <u>Total</u>	1979 <u>Civil</u>	1979 Criminal
1	Caddo	17,129	17,469	18,875	8,683	10,192
	DISTRICT TOTALS	17,129	17,469	18,875	8,683	10,192
2	Bienville	1,547	1,991	2,160	814	1,346
	Claiborne	2,002	2,033	2,493	554	1,939
	Jackson	1,817	1,844	1,651	755	896
	DISTRICT TOTALS	5,366	5,868	6,304	2,123	4,181
3	Lincoln	1,423	2,826	3,409	953	2,456
	Union	1,847	2,421	2,287	725	1,562
	DISTRICT TOTALS	3,270	5,247	5,696	1,678	4,018
4	Morehouse	2,552	2,662	3,534	868	2,666
	Ouachita	18,264	6,683	14,968	4,508	10,460
	DISTRICT TOTALS	20,816	9,345	18,502	5,376	13,126
5	Franklin	1,302	2,436	2,834	760	2,074
	Richland	2,676	2,664	2,767	768	1,999
	West Carroll	1,497	750	967	563	404
	DISTRICT TOTALS	5,475	5,850	6,568	2,091	4,477
6	East Carroll	1,203	7,385	1,451	393	1,058
	Madison	2,294	1,668	1,644	475	1,169
	Tensas	1,307	1,706	1,791	318	1,473
	DISTRICT TOTALS	4,804	10,759	4,886	1,186	3,700
7	Catahoula	2,526	3,099	2,094	389	1,705
	Concordia	3,342	2,286	3,392	745	2,647
	DISTRICT TOTALS	5,868	5,385	5,486	1,134	4,352
8	Winn	2,027	2,167	2,137	804	1,333
	DISTRICT TOTALS	2,027	2,167	2,137	804	1,333
9	Rapides	14,880	13,803	15,852	5,134	10,718
	DISTRICT TOTALS	14,880	13,803	15,852	5,134	10,718
10	Natchitoches	4,599	5,558	5,937	1,616	4,321
	Red River	1,699	1,652	2,135	495	1,640
	DISTRICT TOTALS	6,298	7,210	8,072	2,111	5,961
11	DeSoto	2,929	3,117	3,204	999	2,205
	Sabine	1,366	3,022	3,320	811	2,509
	DISTRICT TOTALS	4,295	6,139	6,524	1,810	4,714
12	Avoyelles	3,566	3,280	3,700	1,924	1,776
	DISTRICT TOTALS	3,566	3,280	3,700	1,924	1,776
13	Evangeline	2,888	3,098	3,503	1,713	1,790
	DISTRICT TOTALS	2,888	3,098	3,503	1,713	1,790
14	Calcasieu	19,215	19,930	21,583	7,327	14,256
	DISTRICT TOTALS	19,215	19,930	21,583	7,327	14,256

# TABLE 45 (CONTINUED)

				Cases Filed		
District	Parish	1977 <u>Total</u>	1978 Total	1979 <u>Total</u>	1979 <u>Civil</u>	1979 <u>Criminal</u>
15	Acadia	3,079	5,630	6,834	1,920	4,914
	Lafayette	13,581	13,637	16,646	6,014	10,632
	Vermilion	3,122	3,487	4,354	1,608	2,746
	DISTRICT TOTALS	19,782	22,754	27,834	9,542	18,292
16	Iberia	5,616	5,860	8,819	2,559	6,260
	St. Martin	4,434	3,854	7,646	1,474	6,172
	St. Mary	9,171	8,386	8,185	2,691	5,494
	DISTRICT TOTALS	19,221	18,100	24,650	6,724	17,926
17	Lafourche	9,009	7,539	8,421	2,286	6,135
	DISTRICT TOTALS	9,009	7,539	8,421	2,286	6,135
18	Iberville	5,082	6,122	5,411	1,384	4,027
	Point Coupee	3,518	2,814	2,915	839	2,076
	West Baton Rouge	6,550	5,277	7,787	816	6,971
	DISTRICT TOTALS	15,150	14,213	16,113	3,039	13,074
19	East Baton Rouge	21,185	21,664	22,649	14,724	7,925
	DISTRICT TOTALS	21,185	21,664	22,649	14,724	7,925
20	East Feliciana	1,917	1,991	2,715	904	1,811
	West Feliciana	1,718	1,559	2,089	455	1,634
	DISTRICT TOTALS	3,635	3,550	4,804	1,359	3,445
21	Livingston	4,516	4,761	5,017	2,198	2,819
	St. Helena	458	773	761	341	420
	Tangipahoa	9,592	10,563	11,910	3,038	8,872
	DISTRICT TOTALS	14,566	16,097	17,688	5,577	12,111
22	St. Tammany	10,218	9,518	11,922	4,630	7,292
	Washington	5,338	4,247	4,768	2,231	2,537
	DISTRICT TOTALS	15,556	13,765	16,690	6,861	9,829
23	Ascension	9,408	12,167	12,972	1,790	11,182
	Assumption	2,393	2,714	1,994	643	1,351
	St. James	1,590	2,028	2,628	733	1,895
	DISTIRCT TOTALS	13,391	16,909	17,594	3,166	14,428
24	Jefferson	15,539	15,597	16,328	13,375	2,953
	DISTRICT TOTALS	15,539	15,597	16,328	13,375	2,953
25	Plaquemines	5,025	5,183	4,859	958	3,901
	DISTRICT TOTALS	5,025	5,183	4,859	958	3,901
26	Bossier	3,932	7,848	11,598	2,800	8,798
	Webster	3,631	1,887	4,132	1,276	2,856
	DISTRICT TOTALS	7,563	9,735	15,730	4,076	11,654
27	St. Landry	6,840	4,381	9,472	3,009	6,463
	DISTRICT TOTALS	6,840	4,381	9,472	3,009	6,463
28	LaSalle	2,303	2,559	2,714	813	1,901
	DISTRICT TOTALS	2,303	2,559	2,714	813	1,901
29	St. Charles	11,701	10,075	8,177	1,536	6,641
	St. John	6,186	4,864	4,289	1,109	3,180
	DISTRICT TOTALS	17,887	14,939	12,466	2,645	9,821
30	Vernon	7,542	9,844	10,165	1,291	8,874
	DISTRICT TOTALS	7,542	9,844	10,165	1,291	8,874

# TABLE 45 (CONTINUED)

			مەمىمىيىر	Cases Filed	<u>L </u>	
District	Parish	1977 <u>Total</u>	1978 Total	1979 <u>Total</u>	1979 <u>Civil</u>	1979 <u>Criminal</u>
31	Jefferson Davis	3,045	2,703	3,941	1,266	2,675
	DISTRICT TOTALS	3,045	2,703	3,941	1,266	2,675
32	Terrebonne	11,215	10,585	11,087	3,964	7,123
	DISTRICT TOTALS	11,215	10,585	11,087	3,964	7,123
33	Allen	2,690	2,589	2,760	778	1,982
	DISTRICT TOTALS	2,690	2,589	2,760	778	1,982
34	St. Bernard	7,359	8,109	6,118	2,365	3,753
	DISTRICT TOTALS	7,359	8,109	6,118	2,365	3,753
, 35	Grant	2,832	2,713	2,822	529	2,293
	DISTRICT TOTALS	2,832	2,713	2,822	529	2,293
36	Beauregard	4,328	4,157	4,750	1,029	3,721
	DISTRICT TOTALS	4,328	4,158	4,750	1,029	3,721
37	Caldwell	1,380	3,086	1,917	362	1,555
	DISTRICT TOTALS	1,380	3,086	1,917	362	1,555
38	Cameron	1,977	2,011	3,129	525	2,604
	DISTRICT TOTALS	1,977	2,011	3,129	525	2,604
	Orleans Civil Criminal DISTRICT TOTALS	19,636 4,827 24,463	18,882 5,327 24,209	19,413 5,776 25,189	19,413 NONE 19,413	NONE 5,776 5,776
	STATEWIDE TOTALS	369,379	370,541	417,770	152,965	264,805

Source: 1979 Annual Report of the Judicial Council

## LOUISIANA'S DISTRICT COURT RESOURCES,

## 1979

	Has Own Juvenile Probation	Has Own Presentence Investigation	Makes Referrals to Drug Program	Court Has Access To Computer	Court Has Microfilm Capacity
lst Judicial District	No	No	Yes	No	No
2nd Judicial District	No	No	Yes	No	No
3rd Judicial District	No	No	Yes	No	No
4th Judicial District	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
5th Judicial District <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
6th Judicial District	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
7th Judicial District	No	No	No	Yes	No
8th Judicial District	No	No	Yes	No	No
9th Judicial District	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
10th Judicial District	No	No	Yes	No	No
11th Judicial District	No	No	No	No	No
12th Judicial District	NO	No	No	No	No
13th Judicial District	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
14th Judicial District	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
15th Judicial District	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
16th Judicial District	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
17th Judicial District	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
18th Judicial District	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
19th Judicial District	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
20th Judicial District	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
21st Judicial District	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
22nd Judicial District	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
23rd Judicial District	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
24th Judicial District	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
25th Judicial District <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
26th Judicial District	No	No	Yes	No	No
27th Judicial District	No	No	Yes	No	No
28th Judicial District	No	No	No	No	No
29th Judicial District	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
30th Judicial District <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
31st Judicial District	No	No	Yes	No	No
32nd Judicial District <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
33rd Judicial District	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
34th Judicial District	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
35th Judicial District	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
36th Judicial District	No	NO	No	No	No
37th Judicial District	No	No	Yes	No	No
38th Judicial District	Yes	Yes	Yes	NO	No
Orleans Civil Court <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Orleans Criminal Court <sup>2</sup>	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
Caddo Juvenile Court <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
EBR Family Court	Yes	Yes	Yes	NO	NO
Jefferson Juvenile Court <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Orleans Juvenile Court	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
State Total	14 (Yes)	8 (Yes)	32 (Yes)	6 (Yes)	9 (Yes)

<sup>1</sup>Agency did not respond to question.

 $^{2}$  Only one section of the Orleans Criminal Court responded to the questionnaire.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division, Judicial Planning Committee, Courts Survey, 1979

# TABLE 47 RATIO OF DISTRICT JUDGES TO THEIR DISTRICT POPULATION, JANUARY 1, 1980

District	Parishes Within District	1979 Population	Number of Judges	Population Per Judge
1	Caddo	245,380	8	30,673
2	Bienville, Claiborne, Jackson	50,621	3	16,874
3	Lincoln, Union	57,690	2	28,845
4	Morehouse, Ouachita	165,673	5	33,135
5	Franklin, Richland, West Carroll	58,449	3	19,483
6	East Carroll, Madison, Tensas	34,058	2	17,029
7	Catahoula, Concordia	34,296	2	17,148
8	Winn	17,307	1	17,307
9	Rapides	126,245	6	21,041
10	Natchitoches, Red River	45,654	2	22,827
11	DeSoto, Sabine	44,618	2	22,309
12	Avoyelles	39,514	1	39,514
13	Evangeline	33,240	1	33,240
14	Calcasieu	161,473	6	26,912
15	Acadia, Lafayette, Vermilion	241,188	7	34,455
16	Iberia, St. Martin, St. Mary	164,277	5	32,855
17	Lafourche	79,084	4	19,771
18	Iberville, Pointe Coupee, West Baton Rouge	e 72,222	3	24,074
19	East Baton Rouge	337,942	15	22,529
20	East Feliciana, West Feliciana	26,748	l	26,748
21	Livingston, St. Helena, Tangipahoa	141,379	5	28,276
22	St. Tammany, Washington	143,036	5	28,607
23	Ascension, Assumption, St. James	87,661	3	29,220
24	Jefferson	432,117	13	33,240
25	Plaquemines	27,167	2	13,584
26	Bossier, Webster	114,469	4	28,617
27	St. Landry	84,081	3	28,027
28	LaSalle	15,480	1	15,480
29	St. Charles, St. John	65,077	4	16,269
30	Vernon	47,904	2	23,952

## TABLE 47 (CONTINUED)

<u>District</u>	Parishes Within District	1979 Population	Number of Judges	Population Per Judge
31	Jefferson Davis	31,540	1	31,540
32	Terrebonne	91,743	5	18,349
33	Allen	20,986	1	20,986
34	St. Bernard	63,223	3	21,074
35	Grant	15,262	1	1.5,262
36	Beauregard	27,501	1	27,501
37	Caldwell	10,752	1	10,752
38	Cameron	10,021	1	10,021
Orleans	Orleans	569,125	30	18,971
STATEWIDE		4,034,203	165	24,450

Sources: 1979 Annual Report of the Judicial Council Louisiana Tech University, The Louisiana Economy

# TABLE 48 LOUISIANA DISTRICT COURTS INFORMATION SUMMARY, 1979

#### Total Number of Court Employees

	Male		Female		Operating
	Black	White	Black N	Vhite	Expenditures
			······		
lst Judicial District	1	11	1	16	\$ 435,343
2nd Judicial District	0	1	0	2	J
3rd Judicial District	0	2	0	4	5 0
4th Judicial District	0	10	0	7	27
5th Judicial District <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	1
6th Judicial District	0	2	0	4	53,000
7th Judicial District	0	2	0	2	25,000
8th Judicial District	0	1	0	2	19,239
9th Judicial District	0	12	1	12	326,315
10th Judicial District	0	3	0	6	90,000
llth Judicial District	0	1	0	3	N/R <sup>1</sup>
12th Judicial District	0	1	0	1	66,000
13th Judicial District	Ö	1	. 0	3	N/R <sup>1</sup>
14th Judicial District	0	9	0	17	360,000
15th Judicial District	0	10	1	18	134,400
16th Judicial District	0	8	0	9	N/R <sup>1</sup>
17th Judicial District	0	8	0	10	151,968
18th Judicial District	0	0	Q .	0	350,612
19th Judicial District	2	14	1	62	1,099,430
20th Judicial District	2	1.	1	2	N/R <sup>L</sup>
21st Judicial District	0	0	0	3	182,969
22nd Judicial District	0	14	0	9	362,330
23rd Judicial District	0	5	0	4	N/R <sup>1</sup>
24th Judicial District	1	24	1	33	717,146
25th Judicial District	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
26th Judicial District	0	4	0	8	N/R <sup>1</sup>
27th Judicial District	0	3	0	6	95,000
28th Judicial District	0	1	0	2	N/R <sup>L</sup>
29th Judicial District	0	2	0	4	N/R <sup>1</sup>
30th Judicial District	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
31st Judicial District	0	3	0	0	N/R <sup>1</sup>
32nd Judicial District	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
33rd Judicial District	0	3	0	2	N/R <sup>1</sup>
34th Judicial District	0	4	0	6	N/R <sup>1</sup>
35th Judicial District	0	3	0	2	N/R <sup>1</sup>
36th Judicial District	0	1	0	2	N/R <sup>1</sup>
37th Judicial District	0	1	0	3	25,000
38th Judicial District	0	3	0	0	N/R <sup>1</sup>
Orleans Civil Court <sup>1</sup>	N/R 0	N/R	N/R O	N/R 1	N/R
Orleans Criminal Court <sup>2</sup>	N/R	4 N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R <sup>1</sup>
Caddo Juvenile Court <sup>1</sup> East Baton Rouge Family Court	18	17	15	N/K 31	N/R 1,078,100
Jefferson Juvenile Court	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Orleans Juvenile Court	0	4	1	9	662,768
orreans outcarte coure	v	- <b>-</b>	<b>▲</b> .	ъ	0000000
State Total	24	193	22	305	6,634,754
	~ 7		d		010031103

1Agency did not respond to question.

20nly one section of the Orleans Criminal Court responded to questionnaire.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division Judicial Planning Committee Courts Survey, 1979 THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK TO PRESERVE TABLE SEQUENCE

LOUISIANA CITY AND PARISH COURTS: THREE YEAR TREND IN ACTIVITY,

1977 - 1980

Court	1977 Total <u>Filings</u>	1978 Total <u>Filings</u>	1979 Total Filings	1979 Civil Filings	1979 Criminal Filings	1979 Traffic <u>Filings</u>	1979 Juvenile Filings
Abbeville	2,450	2,588	2,734	261	666	1,633	174
Alexandria	8,423	10,515	8,350	1,474	2,207	3,930	739
Ascension	8,102	10,497	10,899	212	8,776	1,709	202
Baker	2,126	1,640	1,388	122	298	889	79
Bastrop	3,529	4,346	4,767	976	956	2,534	301
Baton Rouge	73,138	80,445	73,514	6,515	4,919	60,115	
Bogalusa	2,677	1,380	3,162	673	1,266	849	1,965 374
Bossier City	7,013	7,789	7,878	984	1,200	5,090	314
Breaux Bridge	2,336	1,685	1,146	108	567	332	139
Bunkie	2,245	2,097	2,349	100	1,099	1,076	67
Crowley	3,372	3,176	3,251	266	1,227	1,078	343
Denham Springs	3,482	4,460	6,064	249	607		
DeRidder	2,269	2,119	1,411	48	418	4,917 821	291
Eunice	3,770	4,652	4,368	544	963		124
Franklin	943	1,168	997	12	396	2,757	104
Hammond	7,077	6,966	6,824	808		464	125
Houmal	,,	0,900	0,024	000	1,716	3,713	587
Jeanerette Jefferson:	1,191	1,236	1,149	175	365	560	49
First Parish Court	37,447	38,751	35;914	3,713	1,875	30,326	0
Second Parish Court <sup>2</sup>	25,049		29,386	2,758	4,660	21,968	0
Jennings	1,437	1,618	1,128	152	4,880	21,968	99
Kaplan	1,051	1,053	1,454	87	146	1,147	74
Lafayette	26,635	23,334	20,495	1,211	2,676	15,641	967
Lake Charles	25,652	23,415	24,238	2,071	2,070	19,144	569
Leesville	4,163	5,817	7,128	101	2,454	4,054	101
Marksville <sup>3</sup>	1,315	5,011	1,830	333	427	1,051	19
Minden	1,641	1,312	1,652	780	382	442	48
Monroe	24,028	26,737	25,878	3,871	11,417	9,873	717
Morgan City	4,383	5,161	5,526	5,871	2,396	2,948	120
Natchitoches	3,690	4,289	3,575	529	1,390	1,502	154
New Iberia	8,655	8,091	7,114	707	2,508	3,545	354
New Orleans:	0,000	0,091	//174	101	2,508	5,545	204
First City Court	26,337	24,901	26,044	26,044	0	0	0
Second City Court	2,472	3,089	2,413	2,413	0	0	0
Municipal	40,688	45,350	44,591	2,410	44,591	0	0 0
Traffic	123,981	137,117	141,039	, Ö,	44,551 0	141,039	ŏ

11-70

## TABLE 49 (CONTINUED)

Court	1977 Total <u>Filings</u>	1978 Total <u>Filings</u>	1979 Total <u>Filings</u>	1979 Civil Filings	1979 Criminal <u>Filings</u>	1979 Traffic Filings	1979 Juvenile Filings
Oakdale	1,547	1,699	1,803	185	799	647	172
Opelousas	7,297	7,213	7,036	498	1,727	4,438	373
Pineville <sup>3</sup>	2,027	-	908	223	205	480	0
Plaquemine <sup>1</sup>		ang terter di setter	이 같은 것 같은 바람이 많이 했다.		e de la servició <del>de</del> contra se	-	
Port Allen	1,098	779	1,034	15	223	796	0
Rayne	1,500	1,467	1,746	177	608	805	156
Ruston <sup>4</sup>	2,411		2,659	737	1,332	530	60
Shreveport	38,432	42,799	39,850	5,432	5,657	28,761	0
slidel1 <sup>5</sup>	5,143	1,074	4,701	383	726	3,175	417
Springhill	1,434	1,218	1,360	550	284	443	83
Sulphur	3,897	5,134	11,155	596	1,156	8,987	416
Thibodaux	2,860	2,900	2,273	123	728	1,238	184
Vidalia	1,028	959	961	5	184	709	63
Ville Platte	1,026	1,006	1,263	473	290	193	307
West Monroe	6,459	5,866	5,810	1,138	1,711	2,478	483
Winnfield <sup>5</sup>	839	314	1,137	94	331	692	20
Winnsboro	624	738	547	106	348	93	0
Zachary	520	701	913	122	78	687	26
STATE TOTAL	570,909	570,661	604,812	69,223	122,328	401,302	11,959

## <sup>l</sup>Data unavailable.

<sup>2</sup>Data unavailable for 1978 and filings for 1977 are an estimation based on actual counts for 9 months.

<sup>3</sup>Data unavailable for 1978 and filings for 1977 are an estimation based on actual counts for 8 months.

<sup>4</sup>Data unavailable for 1978.

<sup>5</sup>Filings for 1977 are an estimation based on actual counts for 9 months.

Source: 1979 Annual Report of the Judicial Council

# LOUISIANA FAMILY AND JUVENILE COURTS: THREE YEAR TREND IN ACTIVITY,

# 1977 - 1979

가장 모두 모양 가지 않는 것이 있는 것을 가장 같아. 같은 것이 가지 않는 것을 많은 것은 것을 같이 같이 있는 것이 같이 있는 것이 같이 있는 것이 같이 있는 것이 같이 있다. 것이 같이 있는 것이 같이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는 것이 있는		CASES FILE	ED
	<u>1977</u>	<u>1978</u>	<u>1979</u>
Caddo Juvenile <sup>1</sup>	5,153	6,868	4,523
East Baton Rouge Family	8,219	8,008	11,295
Jefferson Juvenile	4,300	5,197	5,827
Orleans Juvenile	8,545	8,167	14,235
State Totals	26,217	28,240	35,880

<sup>1</sup>Caddo Juvenile Court's 1977 filings were derived from new case numbers assigned and counts of dispositions and may not be comparable to the other courts.

Source: 1979 Annual Report of the Judicial Council

# TABLE 51 ADULT ADMISSIONS TO THE LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS BY

# RACE AND SEX; FISCAL YEARS 1977-1978, 1978-1979

	1977-	-1978	1978-	1979
Race/Sex	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White Male	808	36.1	745	36.7
White Female	58	2.6	27	1.3
Black Male	1,298	.58.1	1,191	58.7
Black Female	69	3.1	65	3.2
Other Male	2	0.1	2	0.1
Other Female	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	2,235	100.0	2,030	100.0

Source: Louisiana Department of Corrections, Preliminary Figures

# ADULT ADMISSIONS BY LENGTH OF SENTENCE; FISCAL YEARS 1977 - 1978, 1978 - 1979

Length Of		-1978		1978-1979			
Sentence (Years)	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
<1	9	0.4	4	0.2			
1	185	8.3	132	6.5			
2 - 3	640	28.6	606	29.8			
4 - 5	524	23.5	447	22.0			
6 - 10	422	18.9	452	22.3			
11 - 15	· 133	6.0	134	6.6			
16+	210	9.4	160	7.9			
Life	71	3.2	34	1.7			
Death	1	0.0	0	0.0			
Unknown	40	1.7	61	3.0			
TOTAL	2,235	100.0	2,030	100.0			
Average Length	7.9		7.3				

Source: Louisiana Department of Corrections, Preliminary Figures

ADULT EXITS BY RACE, SEX AND AGE;

## FISCAL YEAR 1978 - 1979

	Age Level	Whi <u>Male</u>	te Female	Bl <u>Male</u>	ack Female	Ot <u>Male</u>	her Female	To <u>Male</u>	tal Female	Total Male	Percent Female
	<18	5	0	3	0	0	0	8	0	0.4	0.0
	18	9	0	23	0	0	0	32	0	1.7	0.0
	19	35	3	34	0	0	0	69	3	3.6	2.9
	20	47	3	59	3	0	0	106	6	5.5	5.9
	21	68	2	86	4	0	0	154	6	8.1	5.9
	22	67	2	89	4	0	0	156	6	8.1	5.9
	23	45	1	87	7	0	0	132	8	6.9	7.8
	24	39	1	77	4	na a 11 i a 1 <b>0</b> i a	0	116	5	6.1	4.9
н	25	45	3	84	5	0	0	129	8	6.7	7.8
H	26-30	156	5	306	17	0	0	462	22	24.1	21.6
j.	31-35	94	7	153	7	1	0	248	14	13.0	13.7
J	36-40	44	4	80	7	1	0	125	11	6.5	10.8
	41-45	34	2	44	3	<b>O</b> ff	0	78	5	4.1	4.9
	46-50	19	3	31	1	0	0	50	4	2.6	3.9
	51-55	15	1	16	0	0	· . O	31	1	1.6	1.0
	56-60	7	2	4	0	0	0	11	2	0.6	2.0
	61-65	2	0	3	1	0	0	5	1	0.3	1.0
	66+	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0.1	0.0
	Total	732	39	1,180	63	2	0	1,914	102	ware body with	
	Percent	36.3	1.9	58.5	3.2	0.1	0.0	94.9	5.1	100.0	100.0

Average Age - Male: 28.2 years Average Age - Female: 30.1 years

Source: Louisiana Department of Corrections, Preliminary Figures

ADULT EXITS BY INSTITUTION AND TYPE OF RELEASE,

FISCAL YEAR 1978 - 1979

RELEASE	LSP	LCIW	LCIS	DCI	WTC	OAS	HCC	TOTAL	PERCENT
Court Order	9	1	3	2	0	0	8	23	1.1
Expiration	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0.1
Commutation	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	0.1
Good Time	694	69	182	233	65	33	157	1,433	41.5
Pardon	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.0
Death - Cause Unknown	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	. 1	0.0
Conviction Reversed	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	6	0.2
Release to Detainer	1	0	3	1	1	0	l	7	0.3
Other Release	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	4	0.1
Parole	70	28	124	43	31	31.	102	429	17.5
Parole to Detainer	3	0	1	5	0	0	2	11	0.5
Death - Result of Non-Criminal Act	5	0	0	1	0	0	1 1	7	0.3
Escape	8	3	15	2	14	3	42	87	4.1
TOTAL	794	102	330	288	112	68	323	2,017	1. 
PERCENT	39.3	5.0	16.3	14.2	5.5	3.3	16.0	анан алар 1997 - Алар Алар <del>Т.</del>	100.0

LSP: Louisiana State Penitentiary (Angola) LCIW: Louisiana Correctional Institute for Women (St. Gabriel) LCIS: Louisiana Correctional and Industrial School (DeQuincy) DCI: Dixon Correctional Institute (Jackson) WTC: Work Training Facility (New Orleans) OAS: Office of Adult Services (State Police Barracks, Sheriffs' Maintenance and Sheriffs' Work Release) HCC: Hunt Correctional Center (St. Gabriel)

Source: Louisiana Department of Corrections, Preliminary Figures

# AVERAGE DAILY POPULATIONS OF LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS' ADULT INSTITUTIONS, FISCAL YEAR 1978 - 1979

Institutions	Average No. of Inmates Assigned	Average No. Physically Present
La. State Penitentiary	3,992.6	3,875.7
Hunt Correctional Center	141.5	135.7
Dixon Correctional Institute	725.6	716.6
Adult Reception and Diagnostic Center	57.0	55.8
La. Correctional and Industrial School	825.0	810.0
Work Training Facility	139.6	132.9
Office of Adult Services	180.8	177.5
Monroe Satellite Facility	25.4	23.6
La. Correctional Institute for Women	211.5	201.6
TOTAL	6,299.0	6,129.4

Source: Louisiana Department of Corrections

# TABLE 56 LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS EMPLOYEE TURNOVER RATES FOR ADULT INSTITUTIONS, FISCAL YEAR 1978 - 1979

Institutions	Authorized Positions	New Employees	Separations	Turnover Percent
Headquarters	450	. 122	86	19.1
La. State Penitentiary	1,553	762	768	49.5
La. Correctional and Industrial School	218	56	71	32.6
La. Correctional Institute for Women	74	26	22	29.7
Dixon Correctional Institute	310	133	110	35.5
Work Training Facility	94	36	63	67.0
Agribusiness	69	15	17	24.6
Hunt Correctional Center	537	331	102	19.0
TOTAL	3,305	1,481	1,239	37.5

Source: Louisiana Department of Corrections

# THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK TO

PRESERVE TABLE SEQUENCE

# WORKLOAD DATA FOR LOCAL CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES IN LOUISIANA,

1979

<u>Parish Prison</u>	Average Daily Population of Parish Prisoners	Average Daily Population of State Prisoners	Total Daily Average Population	Ratio of Jailers/Prisoners		Designed Inmate Capacity	Operating Above Capacity
Acadia	42	5	47	6/47	= 1:7.8	55	
Allen	7	Ğ	13	6/13	= 1:2.2	52	
Ascension .	43	4	47	6/47	= 1:7.8	56	
Assumption	N/R	N/R	N/R		N/R	N/R	
Avoylles	30	7	37	3/37	= 1:12.3	36	X
Beauregard <sup>2</sup>	김 동생은 이외에 가장 귀우는 것이 없다.			-,		40	
Bienville	14	2	16	2/16	= 1:8	49	
Bossier	63	12	75	6/75	= 1:12.5	128	
Caddo	45	ī	46	29/46	= 1:1.6	66	
Calcasieu	136	28	164	20/164	= 1:8.2	256	
Caldwell <sup>3</sup>	24	- i -	25	2/25	= 1:12.5	44	
Cameron	18	3.	21	1/21	= 1:21	29	
Catahoula	8	3	11	0/11	= 0	20	
Claiborne	21	1	22	5/22	= 1:4.4	32	
Concordia	21	11	32	1/32	= 1:32	36	
DeSoto	25	2	27	17/27	= 1:1.6	50	
East Baton Rouge <sup>2</sup>						423	
East Carroll	5	-4	5	4/5	= 1:1.3	42	
East Feliciana	21	5	26	5/26	= 1:5.2	28	
Evangeline	21	10	31	15/31	= 1:2.1	36	
Franklin	10	<u>1</u> 4	11	2/11	= 1:5.5	26	
Grant	12	_4	12	3/12	= 1:4	32	
Iberia	32	7	39	1/39	= 1:39	69	
Iberville	75	1	76	14/76	= 1:5.4	120	
Jackson	10	1	11	2/11	= 1:5.5	43	
Jefferson	346	45	391	114/391	= 1:3.4	434	
Jefferson Davis	25	7	32	5/32	= 1:6.4	78	
Lafavette	106	27	133	36/133	= 1:3.7	85	Х
Lafourche	69	<u> </u>	78	15/78	= 1:5.2	68	X
LaSalle	8	<u>9</u> _4	8	1/08	= 1:8	26	
Lincoln	11	2	13	0/13	= 0	32	
Livingston	32	11	43	3/43	= 1:14:3	46	
Madison	16	ī	17	7/17	= 1:2.4	18	
Morehouse	35	11	46	4/46	= 1:11.5	65	
Natchitoches	33	8	41	2/41	= 1:20.5	70	
Orleans <sup>5</sup>	1,316	158	1,474	614/1,47		998	X
	.,			, -, .,			

# TABLE 57 (CONTINUED)

Parish Prison	Average Daily Population of Parish Prisoners	Average Daily Population of State Prisoners	Total Daily Average Population	Ratio Jailers/P		Designed Inmate <u>Capacity</u>	Operating Above Capacity
Ouachita ,	111	11	122	18/122	= 1:6.8	156	
Plaquemines <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R		N/R	N/R	
Pointe Coupee	25	1	26	1/26	= 1:26	38	
Red River	8	3	11	1/11	= 1:11	40	
Richland	18	5	23	1/23	= 1:23	25	
Sabine ,	22	8	30	2/30	= 1:15	34	
St. Bernard <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	-/ -/	N/R	N/R	
St. Charles	30	2	32	7/32	= 1:4.6	44	
St. Helena	18		19	9/19	= 1:2.1	30	
St. James	20	$\frac{1}{4}$	20	5/20	= 1:4	40	
St. John	23	7	30	5/30	= 1:6	70	
St. Landry	54	10	64	23/64	= 1:2.8	84	
St. Martin	21	3	24	7/24	= 1:3.4	57	
St. Mary	85	7	92	12/92	= 1:7.7	116	
St. Tammany	68	7	75	13/75	= 1:5.8	81	
Tangipahoal	N/R	N/R	N/R	,	N/R	N/R	
Tensas	1	2	3	2/3	= 1:1.5	36	
Terrebonne	71	2	73	14/73	= 1:5.2	87	
Union	13	7	20	2/20	= 1:10	24	
Vermilion	35	2	37	7/37	= 1:5.3	54	
Vernon	45	17	62	7/62	= 1:8.9	68	
Washington	36	15	51	13/51	= 1:3.9	52	
Webster	39	12	51	4/51	= 1:12.8	72	
West Baton Rouge	42	5	47	5/47	= 1:9.4	60	
West Carroll	6	- - -	10	2/10	= 1:5	24	
West Feliciana	11	3	14	4/14	= 1:3.5	32	
Winn	15	ĩ	16	2/16	= 1:8	28	
Caddo Correctional Institut		49	366	77/366	= 1:4.8	408	
Ouachita Multi-Parish Prisc		21	128	10/128	= 1:12.8	166	
Prison District 1	0	46	46	7/46	= 1:6.6	65	
Rapides Multi-Parish Prisor		25	139	16/139	= 1:8.7	152	
Southwest Regional	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Rehabilitation Center	34	9	43	12/43	= 1:3.6	96	
STATE TOTAL	4,069	675	4,744	1,239/4,74	4 = 1:3.8	5,927	4

<sup>1</sup>Agency did not respond to survey.

<sup>2</sup>Questionnaire was incomplete.

<sup>3</sup>Averages based on 9 months data.

 $^{4}$  Average was less than one.

<sup>5</sup>Approximately 270 inmates are housed at the House of Detention. Also, the Total Average Daily Population may be misleading since the Orleans Parish Prison experienced a 42% increase in inmates from January to December, 1979.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division
# TABLE 58 LOCAL CORRECTIONAL FACILITY POPULATION BY AGE GROUP ON

JANUARY 3, 1980

<u>Parish Prison</u> Acadia	Younger Than 17 Years	<u>17-35 Years</u>	<u>35-50 Years</u>	50 Years and Over	Total
Allen	0	42	3	0	45
Ascension	0	7	0	<b>O</b>	7
Assumption1	0	36	2	õ	38
Avoyelles	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Beauregard	0	28	4	0	32
Bienville	0	17	6	ī	24
Bossier	0	11	2	ī	14
Caddo	0	79	8	ī	88
Calcasieu	1	34	6	0 - 1	41
Caldwell	U	118	24	4	146
Cameron	U O	8	1	0	9
Catahoula	0	24	1	1	26
Claiborne	U	<u>7</u>	0	0	. 7
Concordia	0	7	. <b>9</b>	3	19
Desoto	0	11	8	0	19
East Baton Rouge <sup>1</sup>	N/R	17	1	3	21
East Carroll	NAK	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
East Feliciana	2	4	0	0	4
Evangeline	Ó	24	3	1	30
Franklin	Ŭ	39	15	0	54
Grant	0	12	0	<b>0</b>	12
Iberia	1	14 39	2	1	17
Iberville	1		4	0	44
Jackson	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	58	9	1	69
Jefferson	Ő	353	2	1	7
Jefferson Davis	ŏ	14	44	4	401
Lafayette	ŏ	14 114	4	1	19
Lafourche	ŏ	54	16	· 1	131
LaSalle	ŏ	54 11	10	5	69
Lincoln	õ	11	3	2	16
Livingston	ñ	33	U 14	0	11
Madison	Ő	8	1	2	42
Morehouse	ŏ	39	10	U	8
Natchitoches	ĩ	44	10	5	52
Orleans	5	1,425	۲ د د د	1	47
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,460	221	49	1,700

# TABLE 58 (CONT'D)

II-83

Parish Prison	Younger Than 17 Years	<u> 17-35 Years</u>	35-50 Years	50 Years and Over	Total
Ouachita ,	O	109	14	5	128
Plaquemines <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Pointe Coupee	0	19	3	0	22
Red River	0	2	2	Ů,	4
Richland	2	26	3	. <u>t</u>	32
Sabine	0	22	5	2	29
St. Bernard <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
St. Charles	0	24	4	Ų	28
St. Helena	0	9	0	1	10
St. James	0	10	2	Ļ	13
St. John	0	26	3	Ţ	30
St. Landry	0	64	13	2	79
St. Martin	0	20	3	1	24
St. Mary	0	71	12	3	86
St. Tammany	<b>0</b>	59	9	4	72
Tangipahoal	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Tensas	0	12	2	0	14 85
Terrebonne	0	68	17	U U	26
Union	0	22	3	1	
Vermilion	0	31	3	U U	34
Vernon	0	48	9	4	61 40
Washington	0	34	4	2	52
Webster	0	41	10	<u>1</u>	52 51
West Baton Rouge	Ó	43	6	2	
West Carroll	1	2	0	U	د ۸
West Feliciana	0	3	1	Ŭ	15
Winn	• 1	9	4	1	374
Caddo Correctional Institute	0	311	49	14	103
Ouachita Multi-Parish Prison	0	70	22	11	103 54
Prison District 1	0	47	6.	I I	
Rapides Multi-Parish Prison	0	79	20	4	103
Southwest Reg. Rehabilitation Center	0	24	3	1	28
Orleans Central Lockup	0	32	13	9	54
Orleans House of Detention	0	145	96	67	308
State Total	15	4,228	767	225	5,235

1Agency did not respond to question.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

## TABLE 59 LOCAL CORRECTIONAL FACILITY POPULATION BY RACE AND SEX ON JANUARY 3, 1980<sup>1</sup>

<b>9</b>		Adult			Juvenile	
	White	Black	Other	White	Black	Other
Farish Prison	Male Female	Male Female	<u>Male Female</u>	<u>Male Female</u>	Male Female	Male Female
Acadia	18 1	24 2	0 0	ο σ	0 0	<u> </u>
Allen	6 0	-i õ	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
Ascension	16 0	23 1	õ õ	0 0	0 0	0 0
Assumption <sup>2</sup>	N/R N/R	N/R N/R	N/R N/R	N/R N/R		0 0
Avoyelles	10 1	21 0				N/R N/R
Beauregard	14 0	ĩõ õ	i o o	0 0	0 0	
Bienville	6 0	8 0	0 0		0 0	0 0
Bossier	43 3	37 5	ů ů	0 0	0 0	0 0
Caddo	13 1	24 2	0 0	1 0	0 0	0 0
Calcasieu	62 4	77 3	0 0			0 0
Caldwell	3 1	4 0	0 0		0 0	0 0
Cameron	13 2	1 0	10 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
Catahoula	2 0	5 0	10 0			0 0
Claiborne		15 0	0 0	0 0		0 0
Concordia	9 0	10 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0 0
DeSoto	4 0	17 0	0 0	0 0		<b>v</b>
East Baton Rouge <sup>2</sup>	N/R N/R	N/R N/R	N/R N/R	N/R N/R	•	0 0
East Carroll	0 0	3 0		0 0	N/R N/R 0 0	N/R N/R
East Feliciana	10 2	15 I	0 0	0 0		0 0
Evangeline <sup>2</sup>	N/R N/R	N/R N/R	N/R N/R	N/R N/R		0 0
Franklin	2 0	8 2		$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{N} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{R} \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}$	N/R N/R 0 0	N/R N/R
Grant	9 1	7 0	0 0			0 0
Iberia	24 1	19 0	0 0			0 0
Iberville	28 2	38 1	0 0			0 0
Jackson	3 0	5 0	0 0	0 0		0 0
Jefferson	179 9	203 10	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
Jefferson Davis	14 0	10 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
Lafayette	56 1	68 2	4 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
Lafourche	40 0	28 1	0 0		0 0	0 0
LaSalle	9 1	4 1		0 0	0 0	0 0
Lincoln	<b>4 0</b>	7 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
Livingston	38 0	4 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
Madison	2 0	6 0	0 0	0 0		0 0
Morehouse	15 0	36 1	0 0		0 0 .	0 0
Natchitoches	16 0	30 0	0 0			-
Orleans	277 15	1,329 74	0 0	1 0	4 0	0 0
or realls	211 10	1,567 14	υυυ	1 U	4 U	U U

II-84

.

### TABLE 59 (CONTINUED)

			Ađ	ult					Juve	enile		
Parish Prison	Whi <u>Male</u>	te <u>Female</u>	Bl Male	ack Female		her <u>Female</u>	Wh <u>Male</u>	ite Female	Bla Male	ack <u>Female</u>	Otl 	ner <u>Female</u>
Ouachita	48	7	70	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Plaquemines <sup>2</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	0 N (D
Pointe Coupee	3	ò	19	Ó	0	0	~ 0	0	0	0	0	N/R 0
Red River	2	0	2	0	0	ō	Ő	õ	ŏ	ŏ	0	0
Richland	11	0	20	1	0	0	ī	õ	ĩ	õ	Ö.	Ö
Sabine	23	0	б	0	Ö	0	ō	õ	5	ŏ	Ö	° Ö
St. Bernard <sup>2</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
St. Charles	16	0	10	2	0	. 0	0	0	0	0		0
St. Helena	2	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	õ	õ	ŏ	Ő
St. James	1	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	ō	Ō	õ	õ
St. John	14	0	16	1	0	0	0	0	Ō	Ō	Ō	Ō
St. Landry	20	5	52	0	0	0	0	0	0	Õ	Ō	õ
St. Martin	11	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō
St. Mary	51	1	33	1 1	0	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	Ō
St. Tammany	54	0	27	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō
Tangipahoa <sup>2</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	NR	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Tensas	6	0	8	0	0	Ó	0	0	ò	ò	Ö	Ó
Terrebonne	48	0	34	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Union	13	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	0	0
Vermilion	11	0	22	0	1	0	 0	0	0	0	0	0
Vernon	35	2	21	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	16	0	21	3	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Webster	16	0	36	0	· 0	0	0	0	Ó	0	0	0
West Baton Rouge	10	0	40	1	· .0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Carroll	1	0	0	1	0	0	 0	0	1	0	0	0
West Feliciana	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Winn	6	2	6	0	· 0 ·	0	1	0	0	. 0	0	0
Caddo Correctional												
Institute	78	2	280	14	0	0	· · · O	0	0	0	0	0
Ouachita Multi-Parish												
Prison	58	0	45	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0
Prison District 1	15	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rapides Multi-Parish												
Prison	42	0	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southwest Regional												
Rehabilitation Center	13	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans Central Lockup	23	0	29	2	0	• 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orleans House of												
Detention	204	4	97	3	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	0
State Total	1,801	68	3,157	144	17	0	5	0	10	l	0	0

 $^{\rm l}{}_{\rm Total}$  may not agree with those reported on previous table due to reporting inaccuracies. 2Agency did not respond to the question,

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

# TABLE 60 LOCAL CORRECTIONAL FACILITY PERSONNEL AND PERSONNEL ATTRITION,

1979

Parish Prison	Total Prison Personnel	Hired in 1979	Voluntary Resignations	Non-Voluntary Resignations
Acadia	6	1	Ο	0
Allen	6	1	1	0
Ascension 1	6	2	1	0
Assumption	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Avoyelles	3	1	1	0
Beauregard	3	<b>1</b>	1	0
Bienville	2	2	0	1
Bossier	6	1	2	0
Caddo	29	10	13	0
Calcasieu	20	6	6	0
Caldwell	2	0	0	0
Cameron	1	0	0	0
Catahoula	0		0	0
Claiborne	5	0	оны сала <b>о</b> ни се на селото на Селото на селото на се	0
Concordia	1	1	0	0
DeSoto	17	0	0	0
East Baton Rouge	52	15	19	2
East Carroll	4	2	· · · · · · · · ·	0
East Feliciana	5	0	0	0
Evangeline	15	1	2	<b>D</b> 10 1
Franklin	2	1	2	<b>0</b>
Grant	3	2	2	0
Iberia	1	0	<b>O</b>	1
Iberville	14	48	39	0
Jackson	2	0	2	1
Jefferson	114	39	12	15
Jefferson Davis	5	3	1	0
Lafayette	36	26		2
Lafourche	15	2	4	0
LaSalle	ĩ	<b>O</b>	0	0
Lincoln	õ	0	1	1
Livingston	3	1	ī	0
Madison	7	2	2	0
Morehouse	4	ī	ī	0
Natchitoches	2	$\overline{0}$	0	0
Orleans	614	285	236	43
		n geografie a statue a		

## TABLE 60 (CONTINUED)

II-87

<u>Parish Prison</u>	Total Prison Personnel	Hired in 1979	Voluntary Resignations	Non-Voluntary Resignations
Ouachita	18	8	4	0
Plaquemines <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Pointe Coupee	1	0	0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Red River	<b>1</b>	1	2	ŏ
Richland	1	3	3	ŏ
Sabine	2	1. State <b>1</b> . State 4.	Ō	Õ
St. Bernard <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
St. Charles	7	5	4	Ő
St. Helena	9	3	2	Õ
St. James	5	1	0	0
St. John	5	2	1	1
St. Landry	23	0	0	0
St. Martin	7	2	0	0
St. Mary	12	2	2	0
St. Tammany	13	6	0	0
Tangipahoa <sup>1</sup>	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Tensas	2	0	1	0
Terrebonne	14	2	0	1
Union	2	0	0	0
Vermilion	7	1. <b>1</b>	2	0
Vernon	7	1	0	0
Washington	13	3	5	2
Webster	4	0	- Maria and Angeletica ( <b>0</b>	0
West Baton Rouge	5 <b>5</b> 5	7	5	0
West Carroll	2	1	1 <b>1</b>	0
West Feliciana	4	2	0	1
Winn	_2	0	0	0
Caddo Correctional Institute	77	63	37	23
Ouachita Multi-Parish Prison	10	2	0	0
Prison District 1	7	0	0	0
Rapides Multi-Parish Prison	16	5	2	0
Southwest Reg. Rehabilitation Center	12	10	6	2
Orleans Central Lockup	66	27	29	2
Orleans House of Detention	70	5	6	1
State Total	1,430	617	465	99

State Average Employee Turnover Rate = 39.4%

<sup>1</sup>Agency did not respond to question.

Source: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division

# TABLE 61

# MEETING THE INMATES' NEEDS IN LOCAL CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES,

1979

Parish Prison	Classification Procedure	Drug Rehab.	Alcohol Rehab.	Recreational	Work Release	Vocational Release	Educational Release	Psychological Consultation	Psychiatric Consultation
			······						······································
Acadia	x	X	X	X	X			X	X
Allen	x				X				
Ascension 1				X			/		
Assumption	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Avoyelles		x	X	X				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Beauregard		X	X		X	and the second second	X	X	X
Bienville	x	x	X				X	나는 것 같은 것 같아.	
Bossier	X	x	X			X	X	X	X
Caddo	X	x	X					X	X
Calcasieu	X						X		
Caldwell					X				X
Cameron			and the second second						
i Catahoula									
Claiborne	X			X	en e	X	X		
P Concordia				Х					
DeSoto	X							X	
East Baton Rouge					Х	X	X		X
East Carroll	X	X	X		х				
East Feliciana		X	x	X	Х			X	X
Evangeline				X	X				
Franklin		X	X					X	
Grant				X					
Iberia	x			X		X			
Iberville	X	x		X	X	X	X		
Jackson	N/R <sup>1</sup>			X					
Jefferson	x	X	x	X			X	X	X
Jefferson Davis	x	x	X	x	x	x	х	X	X
Lafayette	x	x	x	X	X		x	$\mathbf{X}$ is a second sec	X
Lafourche	x	x	x	х	X		X	X	X
LaSalle			1771	x					
Lincoln									
Livingston	x			x					
Madison	x			-					
Macison Morehouse	•				x				
	x	X	х		x				
Natchitoches	x X	X	X	x	x	x	X	X	X
Orleans	Δ.	Δ	A	4	· · · · · · ·				
	(a) A set of the se								

# TABLE 62 LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION OF JUVENILE INSTITUTIONS, FISCAL YEAR 1978 - 1979

Institution	Average Total <u>on Record</u>	Average Total Physically Present
Juvenile Reception and Diagnostic Center	69.6	68.6
Juvenile Adjustment Center	40.3	31.3
LTI - Baton Rouge	382.6	251.6
LTI - New Orleans	177.6	136.9
LTI - Monroe	299.9	246.7
LTI - Monroe (Pecan Lodge)	14.0	13.5
LTI - Ball	131.6	91.4
TOTAL	1,115.6	840.0

Source: Louisiana Department of Corrections

# TABLE 63 TURNOVER RATE IN LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS JUVENILE INSTITUTIONS, FISCAL YEAR 1978 - 1979

	Institutions	Authorized Positions	New Employees	Separations	Turnover Percent
	Juvenile Reception and Diagnostic Center	89	38	46	51.7
н	LTI - Monroe	161	75	71	44.1
<u> 1-9</u>	LTI - Baton Rouge	234	<b>6</b> 9	55	23.5
<b>سر</b>	LTI - New Orleans	117	28	34	29.1
	LTI - Ball	82	8	<b>9</b>	11.0
	TOTAL	683	218	215	31.5

Source: Louisiana Department of Corrections

# TABLE 64

# SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF LOUISIANA'S CLERKS OF COURT,

1979

Clerks of Court	Employees Support Clerks Personnel	Annual Starting Salary for Clerks	Staff Receiving Training	Operating Expenditures
Clerks of Court Allen Acadia Ascension Assumption Avoyelles Beauregard Bienville Bossier Caddo Calcasieu Caldwell Cameron Catahoula Claiborne Concordia DeSoto East Baton Rouge East Carroll East Feliciana Evangeline Franklin Grant Iberia Iberville Jackson Jefferson Davis Lafayette Lafourche LaSalle Lincoln Livingston	Clerks       Personnel         5       0         21       0         13       3         3       0         1       11         10       3         5       0         15       8         1       45         30       16         5       2         4       0         6       0         6       2         11       0         12       1         117       72         6       0         4       3         8       3         1       10         4       2         6       1         14       2         6       1         198       60         11       1         58       1         13       19         6       4         6       1         21       9			
Madison Morehouse Natchitoches Orleans Civil Criminal	4 2 1 11 13 0 1 40 78 0	7,200 5,642 6,000 6,600 6,672	0 12 0 0	90,000 152,100 193,750 N/R <sup>1</sup> 721,278

#### TABLE 64 (CONTINUED)

II-93

Clerks of Court	Emplo Clerks	oyees Support Personnel	Annual Starting Salary for Clerks	Staff Receiving Training	Operating Expenditures
Ouachita	30	ана ала се	\$ N/R <sup>1</sup>	0	$s N/R_1^1$
Plaquemines ,	4	1	4,800	0	N/R <sup>1</sup>
Pointe Coupee	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Rapides	35	0	7,800	0	450,000
Red River	1	2	7,800	0	60,000
Richland	9	0	7,800	0	80,000
Sabine	5	0	8,400	0	110,000
St. Bernard	24	2	6,000	0	323,550
St. Charles	13	5 5 5	5,400	0	300,000
St. Helena	4	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	6,600	2	61,393
St. James	5	Ö	6,600	0	110,000
St. John	9	Ō	8,400	0	180,500
St. Landry	31	3	6,480	2	475,000
St. Martin	20	4	4,800	0	296,068
St. Mary	20	Ā	4,800	0	298,459
St. Tammany	47	Ō	N/R <sup>1</sup>	0	N/R <sup>1</sup>
Tangipahoa	28	3	7,800	Q	512,202
Tensas	1	3	6,700	<b>O</b>	68,404
Terrebonne	N/R	N/R	N/R,	N/R	N/R
Union	5	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	N/R <sup>1</sup>	D	91,935
Vermilion	10	2	9,000	Ō	193,938
Vernon	1	9	6,000	Ō	149,539
Washington	15	2	5,700	6	96,886
Webster	14	ñ	6,500	- 	224,463
West Baton Rouge	7	5	6,000	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	164,773
West Carroll	ι <b>΄</b>	Ő	7,200	$\mathbf{\tilde{i}}$	75,000
West Feliciana	3	ĩ	6,000	ō	5,227
Winn	5	· <b>→</b>	6,000	ī	113,971
AA TTITT	ų	V			
STATE TOTAL	1,086	377		109	17,426,830

<sup>1</sup>Agency did not respond to question.

Sources: Louisiana Criminal Justice Information System Division Judicial Planning Committee, Clerks of Court Survey, 1979

PROGRAM DTD843	LCLE - LCJIS		DIST	A TOIS		CRIMI		SE WORK	LOAD AN	NALYSIS			DAT	E PREP	ARED	03/04/8
PERIOD STARTING	01/01/79					STATE	TOTAL	_ S						-		
PERIOD ENDING		SIN	IGLE	INDIV	IDUALS	- SING	LE CI	ARGES	- FELON	NIES			PAG	E NUMB	EN.	1
		(PROSEC	UTED)	( D	NOT PF	ROSECUT	ED ) G H	(E) T	TRAJUC	DISPI	( ) M		ARRGN	ANAL		<u>)</u>
HURDER		3 1	4	2	0		0 2	0	D	0 C	0	N D	0	n	Q	R
MANSLAUGHTER		2	3	1	٥	0	0 1	1	D	0 1	Ū	1	2	ĩ	3	4
AGGRAVATED BATTER		25 (	25	88	0	0.	0 88	6	0	0 6	0	5	16	5	21 11	3
AGGRAVATED RAPE/F		1 1	8	9	0		О 9 П 6	3	0	0 3	0	8	7	0	7 1	1
CRIMI DAMAGE T		3 0	3	2	2	0	0 4	u n	0 N		0	2	1	2	1 3	7 7
AGGP TED TED A		2 0	2	6	ō	ō	D 6	ō	Ď	0 0	ŏ	ō	2	ō	2	8
SIMULBURGL		90 C		49	5	0	0 54	6	0	1 7	0	22	60	22	82 14	4
ARME		13 [	1.13	13	D	D	0 13	D	D	0 0	· 0	2	11		13 2	
STMPL BERY		10 0 67 r		5	0 31		0 5	1	0	0 1	0	2	7	2	9 1	
F LEIVING DLEN	THINGS	12 [			31 Mar 2		0 26	4	0	0 4 2 5	1	25 2	34		60 15 10 3	1 Per
ALLESS WELLESS	CHECKS	10 0		Ve	D D		0 3	5	D.	0 5	· ŭ	2	5	2	7 1	
CARIAL HELEDGET	IND. BEANVIO	4 C			D 🖌		0 7	1	ū	0 1	0	2	ĩ	2	3 1	
NARCOTICS		62 4	66	A 3	4		0 41		0	08	1	14	49	15	64 10	7
OTHER FELONIES		72 1	73	₿′ໝ			0 73	Net a		1 7	0	21	49	21	70 14	6
VIOLENT OFFENSES		e e	63				0 118			11	<b>~</b>	10	47	10	57 18	
PROPERTY OFFENSES	1	59 0		114	36		0 150		o A	11			96		57 18 44 30	
TOTAL FELONIES	1997 - Alexandre S	580 11	391	381		P.	D 428		0	4 48		100	257	102 3	59 81	9
		SIN	GLE	TNDTV		- SING	IF GA		- MTSOF	EMEANOR						
				111019	LDOALS	3100			- NISUL 9	LUCANOR		1				
		A E	C	D	E	F	G H	I	J	K L		N	A 0	P	Q	
SIMPLE BATTERY		0 0	0	0	0		0 0	0	Ū,	0 0		0	0	D	0	i 🖌 🖣
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT	l se	24 C		26	1		3 30 0 72	7	0	3 10 3 19			6	11		
RECEIVING STOLEN	HINGS	17 0		24	15		0 72 2 31	16	U 0	3 19 1 2	<u> </u>	9	29	49		
ISSUING WORTHLESS		90.0		42	ī		0 43	15	<del>-</del>	11 26	ő	56	10	56 💐	3	3
CONCEALED WEAPON		10 0		10	O		2 12	2	0	0 2	- Õ,	8	2		10 2	28.2
DWI DECKLESS ODEDATION		206 0		14	0		0 14	7	1	1 9	0	142			97 22	
RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PER		18 C 68 C		48	0		1 2 1 49	1	0	0.1	0 0	13	5		18 2	(1) A 1 A 1 A 1
OBSCENITY	· • •	10 0		48	. U D	-	1 49 1 8	10	0	4 14		48	Г. Г		55 11 10 1	
RESISTING AN OFFIC	ER	11 0		12	ū		2 14	3	D · · ·	0 3	Ď	9	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10 2	
SIMPLE ESCAPE		5 0		3	0		0 3	D	0	0 0	i o	5	Ō	5		8
POSSESSION OF MAR		37 0	37	44	2		D 46	8	0	3 11	0	24	2	1	26 8	
OTHER MISDEMEANORS	> 3	109 1	310	256	19	ס 2	3 298	59	נס	12 71	1	170	88	171 2	59 60	8
TOTAL MISDEMEANORS	5 8	189 1	890	534	43	0 4	5 622	130	1	38 169	1	550	216	551 7	67 151	2
				E	XPLANA	TION O	F COLUM	IN HEAD	INGS							
A = BILLS OF INFO	RMATTON		Ē	- 0744	R NON-	DDACEA	UTTON A	OTTONS	فر	- CARE	TNUO	LUTHE	GUTI T		A TO 1	ESSER CH
B = BILLS OF IND			Н	= TOT	LL CASE	S NOT	PROSECU	JTED	N N							ILL CHAP
C = TOTAL CASES F										= CASES						
D = PROSECUTION D		Rei (N.	J	= QUAS	SHED AC	TIONS			P	= TOTAL	CASE	S INV	OLVING	GUILT	Y PLEA	S
E = DA PROBATION			ĸ	= OTHE	R EXTR	A JUDI	CIAL DI	SPOSIT	IONS Q	= TOTAL	CASE	S INV	OLVING	PLEAS		
T - PRESCRIPTION	PERIOD (PASSED)	ACTION	s L	- 101/	LLXTR	A JUDI	CIAL DI	ISPOSIT	LONS R	= IUTAL	. CASE	5 PRO	LESSED	BY DA		

	(PROS	ECUTER)	(N	OT PROSE	CUTED )	(FXTRA	JUD DISP)		CASE APPG	N. ANALYS	TS I
	A	.e. C	n	F F	G H	T J	K L		N D	P Q	<b>x 3</b> 7
MURDER	e e	n a	1	ō n	D 1	ů ů	0 0	'n	0 0	0 0	î
MANSLAUGHTER	<b>U</b>	n 0		0 0	0 0	ōē	ū ū	ñ	0 0	o o	Ô
AGGRAVATED PATTERY	2	1 3	3	0 0	<u>р</u> 5	υ σ	0 0	n	0 3	0 3	11
ACGRAVATED RAPE/FCRCIBLE FAPE	1	2 1	,	0 7	0 2	n c	0 0		0 1	0 1	3
SIMPLE RAPE/SEXUAL BATTERY	L	ē ā	2	Ö C	0 2	ō ō	0 0	n U	Ö Ö	0 0	J 3
CPIMINE PARAGE TO PROPERTY	2	2	ů	0 C	n 4	0 0	0 0	n n	0 2	0 2	۲ ۲
AGGENTED		n n	1	<u>.</u>	Ū I	0 0	0 0		0 0	0 0	,
SIM	14	C 14	27	1 0	0 24	0 0	0 0	-	5 9	5 14	38
ARMA SPER		1 2		0 0	0 3	0 0	0 0	n	0 1	0 1	
SIMPL		.n 1	n	ច ត	a n	0 0	0 0	- D	1 0	1 1	이 가슴 특히 가슴 가슴 가슴 가슴 가슴 가슴
TATET	10	C 10	• •	15 C	0 76	0 0	0 0	-	3 6	3 9	86
FEIVIN DLEN THINGS	2	0 2	ALC: NO.	o r	D 4	i c	0 1	. n	0 1	í ő í	6
LING W HLESS CHECKS	2	ים ב ב		n c	0 1	n n	o o		0 2	0 2	3
CAR A. KM MEEDGE/IND. BEAKVIO	ែរប	0 0	and the second second	n All	<b>END</b> 2	0. D	0 0			0 0	2
NARCOTICS	10	2 12	0 2	1	U 27	-1 D	0 1	n	3 8	3 11	39
OTHER FELONIES	17	3 20		2	C 47	C State State C	. 0 3	n	0 18		67
					- 14 - 14			<b>D</b>	U 10	U 10	
VIOLENT OFFENSES	The second second	2 7			0 14			'n			21
PROPERTY OFFENSES	21	0 24	9.5		0 101			- Pro-	1 5	1 6 8 23	
	•				0 101			0 1		0 23	153
TOTAL FELONIES	62	7 69	9 82		0 202		5	n A	51	12 63	271
	44				0 202				71	12 05	C I L
	\$	INGLE	TNDTVTD	ILAIS - M	ULTIPLE CH	- M	SDEMEANOR	< /			
		I HOLL	1,401,410	9869 D			LODCHERNOR				
		в с	D		<b>n</b>		K L	M	N 0-	D 0	
STEPLE BATTERY	A .	·· •			G H	1 ~ J					
SIMPLE BATTERY	A C 12	ព ០	C		o n		0 0				A A
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	12	0 0 0 12	C 15	1 0	0 1 1 17	1 0	0 0	A			
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT Theft	12 8	10 0 12 0 8	C 15 32	1 0 6 0	0 0 1 17 0 38	1 0 3 0	0 0 0 1 0 3	D		00 39 45	
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT Theft RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS	12 8 2	0 0 0 12 0 8 0 2	6 15 32 5	1 0 6 0 2 0	0 0 1 17 0 38 0 7	1 0 3 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0	0		3 9 4 5 1 2	
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS	12 8 2 2	0       0         0       12         0       8         0       2         0       2         0       2	6 15 32 5 3	1 0 6 0 2 0 0 0	0 0 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 0		0		39 45 12 0 8	
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON	12 8 2	0 0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5	6 15 32 5 3 10	1 0 6 0 2 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0		4 2	39 45 12 0 2 46	
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI	12 8 2 2 5 6	0 0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6	6 15 32 5 3 10 2	1 0 6 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 3 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1		4 2 5 0	39 45 12 0 2 46 55	
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RFCKLESS OPERATION	12 8 2 2 5 6 3	0 0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 0 3	6 15 32 5 3 10 2 6	1 0 6 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4 2 8	1 0 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 2		4 2 5 0 1 1	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 2 4 6 5 5 1 2	11
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RFCKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE	12 8 2 5 6 3 9	0 0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 1 3 0 9	6 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45	1 0 6 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4 2 8 1 47	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 3 0	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 2 1 4		4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 2 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7	11 56
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE ORSCENITY	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 0 3 0 9 2 1	6 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3	1 0 6 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4 2 6 1 47 C 3	1 0 3 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0		4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 8 4 6 5 5 1 2 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1	11 56 4
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 0 6 0 3 0 9 2 1 0 20	6 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 58	1 0 6 0 2 c 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0	0 7 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4 2 6 1 47 C 3 7 66	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 3 0 3 0	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4		4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 8 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1 16 22	11 56 4 86
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 U	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 0 3 0 9 1 0 2 0 0 0 0	6 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 58 4	1 0 6 0 2 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4 2 4 1 47 C 3 7 66 0 4	1 0 3 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0		4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 0	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 8 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 4 7 1 1 16 22 0 0	11 56 4 86 4
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RFCKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 U 6	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 0 5 0 6 0 3 0 9 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 58 4 10	1 0 6 0 2 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4 2 6 1 47 C 3 7 66 0 4 0 10	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 1 4 0 0 1		4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 0 4 1	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 8 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1 16 22 0 0 5 6	11 56 4 86 4 16
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 U	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 0 3 0 9 1 0 2 0 0 0 0	5 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 58 4 10	1 0 6 0 2 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4 2 4 1 47 C 3 7 66 0 4	1 0 3 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0		4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 0	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 8 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 4 7 1 1 16 22 0 0	11 56 4 86 4
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA OTHER MISDEMEANORS	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 0 6 52	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 0 3 0 9 1 0 20 0 6 0 3 0 9 1 0 0 6 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 58 4 10 153	1 0 6 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 3 0	0 0 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4 2 6 1 47 C 3 7 66 0 4 0 10 7 173	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 0 0 2 0 3 0 0 0 1 0 19 C	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 20		4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 0 4 1 17 23	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 2 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1 16 22 0 0 5 6 18 41	11 56 4 86 4 16 225
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RFCKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 U 6	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 0 5 0 6 0 3 0 9 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 58 4 10 153	1 0 6 0 2 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4 2 6 1 47 C 3 7 66 0 4 0 10	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 1 4 0 0 1		4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 0 4 1	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 8 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1 16 22 0 0 5 6	11 56 4 86 4 16 225
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA OTHER MISDEMEANORS	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 0 6 52	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 0 3 0 9 1 0 20 0 6 0 3 0 9 1 0 0 6 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 58 4 10 153 346	1       0         6       0         2       c         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         1       0         0       0         1       0         0       0         13       0         24       C	0       1       17         0       38       0       7         0       3       1       11         2       4       2       6         1       47       2       6         1       47       2       6         0       47       6       0         0       10       7       173         21       391       391       391	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 1 0 19 C 33 C	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 20 4 37		4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 0 4 1 17 23	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 2 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1 16 22 0 0 5 6 18 41	11 56 4 86 4 16 225
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA OTHER MISDEMEANORS	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 0 6 52	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 0 3 0 9 1 0 20 0 6 0 3 0 9 1 0 0 6 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 58 4 10 153 346	1       0         6       0         2       c         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         1       0         0       0         1       0         0       0         13       0         24       C	0 0 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4 2 6 1 47 C 3 7 66 0 4 0 10 7 173	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 1 0 19 C 33 C	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 20 4 37		4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 0 4 1 17 23	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 2 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1 16 22 0 0 5 6 18 41	11 56 4 86 4 16 225
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA OTHER MISDEMEANORS TOTAL MISDEMEANORS	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 0 6 52	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 0 3 0 9 9 1 0 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	G 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 5 8 4 10 153 346 EX	1 0 6 0 2 c 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 7 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4 2 6 1 47 C 3 7 66 0 4 0 10 7 173 21 391 N OF COLUM	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 0 0 3 0 1 0 1 9 C 3 3 C N HEADING	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 1 2 0 4 37 5		4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 0 4 1 17 23 60 44	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 8 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1 16 22 0 0 5 6 18 41 62 106	11 56 4 86 4 16 225 517
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RFCKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA OTHER MISDEMEANORS TOTAL MISDEMEANORS	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 0 6 52	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	G 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 5 8 4 10 153 346 EX = OTHER	1 0 6 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4 2 6 1 47 C 3 7 66 0 4 0 10 7 173 21 391 N OF COLUM SECUTION A	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 0 0 3 0 1 0 1 0 1 9 C 3 3 C N HEADING	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 1 1 20 4 37 5 M = CASE	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 2 2 5 INVOLV	4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 0 4 1 17 23 60 44	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 8 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1 16 22 0 0 5 6 18 41 62 106	11 56 4 86 4 16 225 517 TO LESSER CHARGE
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RFCKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA OTHER MISDEMEANORS TOTAL MISDEMEANORS A = BILLS OF INFORMATION S = BILLS OF INDICTMENT	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 0 6 52	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 0	G 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 5 8 4 10 153 346 EX = 0THER = TOTAL	1 0 6 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 3 0 24 0 PLANATIO NON-PRO CASES N	0 0 1 17 0 38 0 7 0 3 1 11 2 4 2 8 1 47 C 3 7 66 0 4 0 10 7 173 21 391 N OF COLUM SECUTION A 0T PPOSECU	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 2 0 3 0 0 0 3 0 1 0 1 0 1 9 0 3 3 0 N HEADING: CTIONS TED	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 5 4 37 5 M = CASE N = CASE	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 0 4 1 17 23 60 44 VING GUIL VING GUIL	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 4 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1 16 22 0 0 5 6 18 41 62 106 ITY ΡLΕΑ ITY ΡLΕΑ	11 56 4 86 4 16 225 517 TO LESSER CHARGE TO BILL CHARGE
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RFCFIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RFCKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA OTHER MISDEMEANORS TOTAL MISDEMEANORS A = BILLS OF INFORMATION S = BILLS OF INFORMATION C = TOTAL CASES PROSECUTED	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 0 6 52 126	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 1 2 0 5 0 6 1 2 0 5 0 6 1 2 0 5 0 6 1 2 0 5 0 6 1 2 0 5 0 6 1 1 0 1 2 0 5 0 6 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	G 15 32 5 3 10 2 45 3 5 8 4 10 153 346 EX = OTHER = TOTAL = DISMI	1 0 6 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 3 0 24 C PLANATIO NON-PRO CASES N SSED NOL	0 1 1 17 0 38 0 7 G 3 1 11 2 4 2 8 1 47 C 3 7 66 0 4 0 4 0 10 7 173 21 391 N OF COLUM SECUTION A OT PPOSECU LE PROSEOU	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 2 0 3 0 0 0 3 0 1 0 1 0 1 9 0 3 3 0 N HEADING: CTIONS TED	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 20 4 37 5 M = CASE N = CASE 0 = CASE 0 = CASE	S INVOLV S INVOLV	4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 0 4 1 17 23 60 44 VING GUIL VING GUIL VING NOT	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 3 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1 6 22 0 0 5 6 18 41 62 106 ITY PLEA ITY PLEA GUILTY PL	11 56 4 86 4 16 225 517 TO LESSER CHARGE TO BILL CHARGE EA
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA OTHER MISDEMEANORS TOTAL MISDEMEANORS A = BILLS OF INFORMATION S = BILLS OF INFORMATION S = BILLS OF INDICTMENT C = TOTAL CASES PROSECUTED E = PPOSECUTION DECLINED ACT	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 0 6 52 126	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 0	G 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 5 8 4 10 153 346 EX = OTHER = TOTAL = DISMI = QUASH	1       0         6       0         2       °         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         1       0         0       0         13       0         24       °         NON-PRO       CASES         SSED       NOL         ED       ACTIO	0 1 1 17 0 38 0 7 G 3 1 11 2 4 2 8 1 47 C 3 7 66 0 4 0 10 7 173 21 391 N OF COLUM SECUTION A OT PPOSECU LE PROSECU NS	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 1 0 3 0 1 0 1 9 0 3 3 0 N HEADINGS CTIONS TED I ACTIONS	0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 20 4 37 5 M = CASE N = CASE 0 = CASE P = TOTA	S INVOLV S INVOLV S INVOLV L CASES	4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 0 4 1 17 23 60 44 VING GUIL VING GUIL VING NOT INVOLVIN	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 8 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1 16 22 0 0 5 6 18 41 62 106 ITY PLEA GUILTY PLI G GUILTY PLI	11 56 4 86 4 16 225 517 TO LESSER CHARGE TO BILL CHARGE EA
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA OTHER MISDEMEANORS TOTAL MISDEMEANORS TOTAL MISDEMEANORS A = BILLS OF INFORMATION S = BILLS OF INDICTMENT C = TOTAL CASES PROSECUTED C = PPOSECUTION DECLINED ACT F = DA PROBATION ACTIONS	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 0 4 52 126	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	G 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 5 8 4 10 153 346 EX EX EX EX DISMI = OTHER = OTHER = OTHER	1 0 6 0 2 c 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 7 1 17 0 38 0 7 G 3 1 11 2 4 2 6 1 47 C 3 7 66 0 4 0 10 7 173 21 391 N OF COLUM SECUTION A OT PPOSECU LE PROSECU LE PROSECU UDICIAL GI	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 0 0 2 0 3 0 1 0 1 0 1 9 0 3 0 1 9 0 3 0 1 9 0 5 10 1 9 0 5 10 1 9 0 5 10 5	0 0 1 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 20 0 1 1 20 4 37 5 5 M = CASE N = CASE P = TOTA 5 Q = TOTA	S INVOLV S INVOLV S INVOLV L CASES L CASES	4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 1 17 23 60 44 VING GUIL VING GUIL VING NOT INVOLVIN INVOLVIN	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 8 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1 16 22 0 0 5 6 18 41 62 106 18 41 62 106 17 PLEA GUILTY PLI G GUILTY PLI G GUILTY PLI	11 56 4 86 4 16 225 517 TO LESSER CHARGE TO BILL CHARGE EA
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA OTHER MISDEMEANORS TOTAL MISDEMEANORS A = BILLS OF INFORMATION S = BILLS OF INFORMATION S = BILLS OF INDICTMENT C = TOTAL CASES PROSECUTED E = PPOSECUTION DECLINED ACT	12 8 2 5 6 3 9 1 20 0 4 52 126	0 0 12 0 8 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 6 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	G 15 32 5 3 10 2 6 45 3 5 8 4 10 153 346 EX EX EX EX DISMI = OTHER = OTHER = OTHER	1 0 6 0 2 c 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 7 1 17 0 38 0 7 G 3 1 11 2 4 2 6 1 47 C 3 7 66 0 4 0 10 7 173 21 391 N OF COLUM SECUTION A OT PPOSECU LE PROSECU LE PROSECU UDICIAL GI	1 0 3 0 0 0 1 C 0 0 2 0 3 0 0 0 2 0 3 0 1 0 1 0 1 9 0 3 0 1 9 0 3 0 1 9 0 5 10 1 9 0 5 10 1 9 0 5 10 5	0 0 1 0 1 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 2 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 4 0 0 1 20 0 1 1 20 4 37 5 5 M = CASE N = CASE P = TOTA 5 Q = TOTA	S INVOLV S INVOLV S INVOLV L CASES L CASES	4 2 5 0 1 1 4 3 1 0 16 6 0 1 17 23 60 44 VING GUIL VING GUIL VING NOT INVOLVIN INVOLVIN	3 9 4 5 1 2 0 8 4 6 5 5 1 2 4 7 1 1 16 22 0 0 5 6 18 41 62 106 18 41 62 106 17 PLEA GUILTY PLI G GUILTY PLI G GUILTY PLI	11 56 4 86 4 16 225 517 TO LESSER CHARGE TO BILL CHARGE EA

FERIOD STARTING 01/01/79

II-95

PPOGRAM GTE843 LCLE - LCJIS

FERIOD ENDING 12/31/79 SINGLE INDIVIDUALS - MULTIPLE CHARGES - FELONIES

CISTRICT ATTORNEY CRIMINAL CASE WORKLOAD ANALYSIS STATE TOTALS

STATE OF LOUISIANA

DATE PREPARED 03/04/80

PAGE NUMBER

u 3704780 2

PROGRAM DIG843 LCLE - LCJI Feriod Starting D1/01/79	S	PISTO	ICT ATTO	STATE OF RNEY CRIMIN STATE		WORKLOA	D ANALYSI	5	-		PREPARE	0 0	3/04/80
FERIOD ENDING 12/31/79	ML	LTTPLE	INDIVIDU	ALS - SINGL	E CHA	RGES - F	ELONIES			PAGE	NUMBER		3
	(PPOSE	CUTEDI	( N)	T PROSECUTE	0)	(EXTRA	JUD DISP	) ) (,	CASE	ARRGN.	ANALYSI	S }	
MURDER	4	F C		E F G		IJ		M	N	0	P Q	R	
MANSLAUGHTER	0	2 0 n 1		0 0 0 0 0 0		6 D D D		0	0	Ū,	0 0	0	
AGGFAVATED BATTERY		C 0		0 0 0 0 0 0	u h	0 0		0 0 1 0	- 0 0	1		1	
GOPAVATED RAPE/FORCIBLE PAPE	- <u>1</u>	0 0		υ <u>Γ</u> Ο	1	0 0		ט נ	0	D	0 0		
TMPLE RAPE/SEXUAL BATTERY	ŭ	<u>n</u> n		0 0 0		n 0			0	ŭ	0 0	1	
RIMI DANGE TO PLOPERTY	1	0 1		0 0 0	ñ	0 0		0 0	ĩ	ũ	1 1	1	
CGT TED CAPY	U	n	1	u c u	1	0 0	0	0 0	D	0	ō ō	1	
IMPERUNGLAS	14	0 14	A	2 0 0	P	0 0	0 1	0 0	. 4	9	4 13	22	
RMESBERY	2	0 2	ņ	0 0 0	D	0 0	0 1	ם מ	0	2	0 2	2	
IMPLE	en e la construcción de la constru	0 0	<u> </u>	0 <u>0</u> 0	a	0.0	1 - 1 <b>0</b> 1 - 11	0 0	0	0 D	0 0	0	
FT NEW A	9	0 9	5	2 0 0	7	1.0		1 0	. 3	5	3 8	16	
EIVING LEN THINCS	Ŭ	0 0	VIII	1 0 0	4	0 0		ס כ	0	0	0 0	4	
A DE ALESS CHECKS	D	0 0		0 0 0	0	0 0		0 0	0	0	0 0	0	
ARNAL WELEDGE/IND. BELVIO	1	0 1 7 2			Q	0 0	•	0 0	1	· . D. ·	1 1	1	
THER FELONIES		c n		L Service L	8.7			0 C	0	2	0 2	10	
		u u							. U	0	0 0	7	
ICLENT OFFENSES		n 3	5	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	5			a a	n	3	0 3	8	
POPERTY OFFENSES	23	0 2.74	12	4	16	D D	E.E.S.S.F.2004		Trans.	. 14	7 21	39	
							-	Ĭ		7 .		- <b>1</b>	
OTAL FELONIES	30	0 30	35	1	41	Arr d	0	1 0	9	19	9 28	71	
	мі			ALS - SINGL	-		ISDEMEANO						2 m
			TWOIVIDU	ALS - SINGL			ISULMEANU	KS (					
	А	B C	D	E F G	н	I	н к	ι 📠	N	al a	P 0		
IMPLE BATTERY	۵	n c	n -	0 0 0	0	0 0			0		0 0		
GGRAVATED ASSAULT	D	α α	4	0 0 0	- <b>- 4</b>	0 0	0 0	0			0 0		
HEFT	9	0 9	3	1 0 0	4	0 0	ו מ	ס כ		4	5 9	5 10 1	
CEIVING STOLEN THINGS		0 1		0 0	0	0 0	1 0	o o	. 0	1	0 1	1	
SUING WORTHLESS CHECKS	0	0 0	•	0 0 0	0	0 0	) 0	0 0	0	0	0	() - O	
INCEALED WEAPON		C 0		0 0	1	0 0		0 0	0	0	0 0	1	
W1		0 0		0 0 0		0 0		0 0	0	0	0 0	0	and the second se
ECKLESS OPERATION		0 0		0 0 0		0 0	-	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	
ISTURBING THE PEACE		05	-	0 7 0 0 7 0		0 0		0 C	3	2	3 5	15	
ESISTING AN OFFICEP						00			0	0	0 0	· · · · ·	and a second
IMPLE ESCAPE		0 0 0 0		0 C 0 0 0 0	1	0 0	· · · ·	0 0 0	0	0	0 0	1	
OSSESSION OF MARIJUANA		0 5		0 0 0 0 0 0	1	0 0				0	5 5	6	
THER MISDEMEANORS	7	C 7		2 12 1	23	2 0		2.0	2	3	2 5	30	
											- · •		
OTAL MISDEMEANORS	27	0 27	40	3 C 1	44	2 0	0	2 0	15	10	15 25	71	
			EXP	LANATION OF	COLUMN	HEADING	S						
A T DILLS OF THEODMATTON			- ATUES	NON-DEDEECU	TTON AC	TTONE	u - 015			****	N DIEA T		
A = BILLS OF INFORMATION B = BILLS OF INDICTMENT				NON-PROSECU							Y PLEA T		
C = TOTAL CASES PROSECUTED				CASES NOT P SED NOLLE P							Y PLEA T		L CHARD
D = PROSECUTION DECLINED ACTION	N.5			D ACTIONS	TUSEVUI	ACITONS					GUILTY PLE		
T A PROBLECTION DECLINED ACTION	/ · · · ·			EXTRA JUDIC	TAL DTC	POSTTON						LEAD	
- DR INCORTION ACTIONS - PDF5CPTDTTON DEUTOD IPACCE	DI ACTTO												

ПТ-96

F = PRESCRIPTION PERIOD (PASSED) ACTIONS L = TOTAL EXTRA JUDICIAL DISPOSITIONS R = TOTAL CASES PROCESSED BY DA

FROGRAM DTU243 LCLE - LCJIS	STATE OF LOUISIANA PISTRICT ATTORNEY CRIMINAL CASE WORKLOAD ANALYSIS	ĎÅ
	STATE TOTALS	
PERIOD STARTING 01/01/79	이 물건 물건 가슴 감사가 다 물건이 다 물건이 많다. 것 같아요. 나는 것 같아요. 가 나는 것 같아요. 가 나는 것이 같아요. 가 나는 것이 같아요. 가 나는 것이 같아요. 나는 것이 않아요. 나는 않아요. 나는 것이 않아요. 나는 않아요. 나는 것이 않아요. 나는 않아요. 나는 것이 않아요. 나는 않 아니 않아요. 나는 것이 않아요. 나는 않아요. 나는 것이 않아요. 나는 않아요. 나는 것이 않아요. 나는 것이 않아요. 나는 않아요. 나	PÅ
FERIOD ENDING 12/31/79	VULTIPLE INDIVIDUALS - MULTIPLE CHARGES - FELONIES	
	이 방법에 가지 않는 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요. 이 가지 않는 것 않는 것 같아요. 이 가지 않는 것 않는	

DATE PREPARED 03/04/80

4

AGE NUMBER

	(PPOSECUTED)	I NOT	PROSECUTED	) (EXTR	A JUD DISP	) ( CASE	ARRGN. ANA	LYSTS )	
	A P C	D E	F G	нI	JK	LMN	0 P	Q R	
MURDER	0 C J	n n	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0	
MANSLAUGHTER	0 0	0 0	C 0	0 0	0 0	0 0 0	o o	0 0	
AGGRAVATED BATTERY	2 7 2	ה <u>ה</u>	0 0	n 0	0 0	0 0 0	2 0	2 2	
AGGPAVATED RAPE/FORCIBLE PAPE	E C J	0 - 1 0 - 1 0	0 0	n 0	0 0	0 0 0	ō ō	n n	
SIMPLE EAPE/SEXUAL BATTERY	C 0	<u>n</u> 0	n 0	0 0	C D	o o o	0 0	o n	
CRIMI DAMAGE TO PROPERTY	0 0 0	0 10	C D	0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	. n . n	
ACGE TED LARY	σ α σ	C C	0 0	r 0	ōŌ	0 0 0	0 0	0.0	8 . L
SIMPRURGL	6 7 6	5 1	0 0	6 0	o o i	0 0 1	4 1	5 12	
APMELER	1 1 2	1 0	0 0	1 0	0 0		2 0	2 3	
STAPLE	้อ่าง น	n n	Ó Ő	0 0	c c				
TAFT NEW A	6 0 6		a a	14 0	c c	0 0 3	3 3	6 20	
F EIVING DLEN THINGS	1 0 1	G	0 0	2 0	0 0	0.0.0	1 0	1 3	
ING W HLESS CHECKS	n n	n in the second se		ο ο . Ο	0 0	0 0 0	0 0		
CARNAL HE LEDGE/IND. BL AVIO	le n j	a a		0 0		0 0 0	0 0		
NARCUTICS	7 7 7	A Z	n n	10					
OTHER FELONIES	1 1 2		D .	14	0 U	3 0 1 0 0 1	5 1	6 17	
						U U I	1 1	2 16	
VIOLENT OFFENSES	3 1 4	1	e i c				. n		
PFOPERTY OFFENSES	12 0 13	16		1 20			4 0	4 5	
			u u		U	U U Press	<b>F</b> <sup>7</sup> 4	11 32	
TOTAL FELONICS	24 2 26		o 0	47		3 0 6			
FOTRE FLEGHIES		40 820	e cipital	- 4 / <b>Martin</b>	U U	3 U 6	18 6	24 73	
	MULTTPLE	TNDTVTDHA	LS - MULTIP	F enders C	MISDEMEANO				Reason
	01/1/11	1.00111008			PISUCHERNU				
	A R C	D E	FG	НІ	J K				
SIMPLE BATTERY	a a a	0 0	0 0	0 0	J K S				
AGGPAVATED ASSAULT	0 7 3	2 0	0 0	2 0					
THEFT	2 1 2	5 5							
RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS		3.0		10 0			2 0	2 2 2 2	
ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS		3 0		3 0		0 0, 0	1 0	Res diama 4	
CONCEALED WEAPON			0 0	3 0		0 0 0	0 0	3	
DWI		2 0	0 0	2 0		0 0 0	0 0	0 2	
RECKLESS OPERATION		0 0	n n	0 0		0 0 0	0.0	0 0	
DISTURBING THE FEACE		0 0	0 0	n o		0 0 0	0 0	0 0	
OBSCENITY	0 0 0	3 0	0 0	3 0		0 0 0	0 0	0 3	
	1 0 1	. 0 0	0 0	n o		0 0 0	1 0	1 1	
RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE	3 0 3	3 1	0.0	4 1		1 0 2	0 2	2 7	
	0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		0 0 0	0 0	0 0	
POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA	0 0 0	4 0	0 0	4 0		0 0 0	0 0	0 4	
OTHER MISDEMEANORS	16 0 10	19 4	n 0.	23 3	0 0	3 0 5	2 5	7 33	
TOTAL MISDEMEANORS	17 0 17	44 10	0 0	54 4	0 0	4 0 7	6 7	13 71	
	11 0 11		0 U	24 4	u u	4 0 /	0 /	12 (1	
		EXPL	ANATION OF	COLUMN HEADIN	GS				
A = BILLS OF INFORMATION	C	= OTHER NO	ON-PROSECUT:	ION ACTIONS	M = CAS	ES INVOLVING	GUILITY PL	EA TO LESSE	R CHARG
P = BILLS OF INDICTHENT	н	= TOTAL CI	ASES NOT PRO	DSECUTED		ES INVOLVING			
C = TOTAL CASES PROSECUTED				DSECUI ACTION		ES INVOLVING			
D = PROSECUTION DECLINED ACTION		= QUASHED				AL CASES INV			
- = DA PROBATION ACTIONS	ĸ			AL DISPOSITIO					
F = PRESCRIPTION PERIOD (PASSE)				AL DISPOSITIO					

PROGRAM DTO847 LCLE - LCJ	IS		CISTR	A TOIS	TTORNE	Y CRIM		· · ·		DANAL	YSIS			DATE	PREPA	RED	03/04/0	2 20 1 2
FERIOL STARTING 01/01/79														PAGE	NUMBE	R	5	
FERIOD ENDING 12/31/70		ALL		INDIV	IDUALS	- ALL		CHARG	ES - F	ELONIE	ES							
	100	ROSLC	117771	1. •	NOT P	ROSECL	TED		LEXTRA	illo i		,	CASE	10000				
	Δ	103LC	c c		E	F	G	ы. Ш	1 C A L N A - T	0001	12551	. M	N	ARRGN	- ANALI	212 1		
IUFDER		· .	. <u> </u>	र	้อั	n	Ö	7	ບໍ່ ກ	. n	Ō	n		<u> </u>	r .	4 . K		
ANSLAUGHTER	7	1	<u>ц</u>	1	ü	0	0	1	1 r	п	1	0	· 1	3	1	4 I 14 5		
GGPAVATED BATTERY	29	1	30	105	. 0	<b>n</b>		on .	6 D	0	. 6	0	5	21	5 2	6 130		
GGRAVATED RAPE/FORCIBLE PAPE	с. с,		5	12	D.	С		12	3 D		3	n	Ö	8	ີ <u>ດ</u> ິ 4	8 21		
IMPLE SAPE/SEXUAL BATTERY	1	0	r.		Ŭ	ö	0		0 0	n	0		ŭ	1	n	1 10		
RIM CAGE TO PROPERTY	- 6	- n	· 6	6	2	о С	õ	, 0	0 D		· 0	n	3	3	3	6 14		
GGT TEC LAPY	2	r	2		ć	Ū.	0	<u>л</u>	0 0	- · · 0	0	n .	0	2	0	2 10		
IMEEUPGL	124		124	8.3	9	ö		92	ь r	1	7	ñ	32	82		4 216		
RMERER	17		19	17	ΰ	. 0		17	n n	n	Ď	ñ	2	16		8 36		
LMPL	11		îí	÷ (	D D	n in	D	5	1 0	0	1	0	3	7		10 16		
	92			136	51	n n	- ·	87	5 0	0	5	1	34	48		33 279		
SELVING DLEN THINGS	15	ې			123	n i		36	4 D	2	6	Ď	2	10		12 51		
LING WE CHLESS CHECKS	12	, in	12			ALC:	r	U .	5 0	. ը	5	D	2	7	2	9 16		
ARNAL MELEDGE/INC. BHAVI	5	D	5		n A		n in	9	1 0	. 0	ĩ	· ñ	3	- i -	3	4 19		
ARCOTICS	F1		87					86 8000	2 0	D	12	1	18	64	. =	33 173		
THER FELONIES	90	5	95				-	41		L 1	10	Ô	22	68		236		
					sea a								~ ~	00	~ ~			
IOLENT OFFENSES	A A	9	7 盤	1 7	NY n A		0 1	38	n n		11	n.	11	59	11	70 215	·	
ROPERTY OFFENSES	218	, r	2.	2.2		0		87	n n		12	R. Sam	Conc.	132		79 505		
		•	The second se	A'			U 2							176				
OTAL FELONIES	496	20	516	639	E	K n	1 7	18		4	57		27	345	129 4	74 1234		
					and the second second					•	• •			3.0		Alexan		
		ALL		INDIV	IDUALS	- ALL	-		S - M	ISDEM	EANORS							
							•						1					8
	A	P	C	D	ε	F	G	H T	Т J	ĸ	L		N	~~O	P	Q		1
IMPLE BATTERY	ú	0	0	0	0	<u>n</u> -	0	0	0 0	0	9		0	0	0	0		1
GGRAVATED ASSAULT	36	. O	36	47	2	0	4	53	8 G	3	1 1	100	145	12	14	26		
HEFT	103	. 0	103	87	27	а <b>С</b> – 2 М	10 1	24 1	9. 0	. 3	22	0		36	58	94		
ECEIVING STOLEN THINGS	21	0	21	32	1	0	2	41	1 0	1	2	Ð	10	<b>1</b> 0	10	2		
SSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS	92	0	92	48	1	0	0	49 1	6 0	11	27	Ó	56	10	56 📓	4 7		
ONCEALED WEAPON	. 15	D	15	23	0	- D	3	26	2 0	- 0	2	0	12	4	12	16 41		
WI	212	0	212	16	- Ci	¢	2	18	7 1	2	10	0	147	55	147 2	22 230	ACK AND A	
ECKLESS OPERATION	21	D	21	7	. 0	0	-	10 -	3 0	0	3	0	14	6	- · ·	20 31	•	
ISTURBING THE PEACE	83	, n		106	1	Ø	2 1	09 1	3 (1	5	18	0	55	12		57 191		
BSCENITY	12		12	10	0	0	1	11	1 0	0	1	D	7	5	7	12 23	5	
ESISTING AN OFFICER	34	n		74	2	Ċ		85	7 0	1	8	D	27	7	27	34 119	7	
IMPLE ESCAPE	5	n	5	7	۵	D	0	7	0 0	0	Ð	0	5	0	5	5 12	-	
OSSESSION OF MARIJUANA	48	· 0	48	59	2	0	D	61	9 0	- 3	12	1	33	3	34	37 109	7	
THER MISDEMEANORS	378	1	379	448	38	0	31 5	17 8	3 0	13	96	2	194	116	196 3	12 896	5	
OTAL MISDEMEANORS	1059	1	1060	964	80	D	67 11	11 16	9 1	42	212	3	632	276	635 9	11 217	Ļ	
										_								
					EXPLAN	ATION	OF CO	LUMN H	EADING	5								
			-						0.110	<b>N</b> =		<b>T 1 1 1 1</b>			*			
			G	= 011	IER NON	-PFOSE	CUTIO	N ACTI	ONS	M =	CASES	INVO	LVING	GUILI	TY PLE	A TO LE	SSER CH	ARG
A = BILLS OF INFORMATION								トピリエドロ		N 2	CASES	TNVO	LVING	ី សារា រី	TY PIF	A TO BI	LLL CHAR	6E
A = BILLS OF INFORMATION Β = BILLS OF INDICTMENT			H.	= 101	AL CAS	LS NU	Frus									A 10 64		
A = BILLS OF INFORMATION B = BILLS OF INDICTMENT C = TOTAL CASES PROSECUTED			H	= TOT = DIS	MISSED	NOLLE	PPOS	EQUI A	CTIONS	0 =	CASES	INVO	VING	NOT G	UILTY	PLEA		
C = TOTAL CASES PROSECUTED C = PROSECUTION DECLINED ACTI	ONS		I J	= DIS = QUA	SMISSED SHED A	NOLLE	E PPOS S	EQUI A	CTIONS	0 = P =	CASES	INVO	LVING S INV	NOT G	UILTY GUILT	PLEA Y PLEAS		
C = TOTAL CASES PROSECUTED C = PROSECUTION DECLINED ACTI	0 N S		к Л І	= DIS = QUA = OTH	SMISSED SHED A HER EXT	NOLLE CTIONS RA JUI	E PROS S Diciál	EOUI A DISPO	CTIONS SITION	0 = P = S Q =	CASES TOTAL TOTAL	INVO CASE CASE	LVING S INV S INV	NOT G OLVING OLVING	UILTY GUILT PLEAS	Y PLEAS		

86-II

PRUGRAM DTQ846 LCLE - LC	S1لئ	JUDICIAL CRIMINAL CASE		DAT	E PREPARED 03/04	/80
PERIOD STARTING U1/01/79 PERIOD ENDING 12/31/79	SINGLE	STATF INDIVIDUALS - SINGLE		ΡΑ	SE NUMBER 1	
MURDER MANSLAUGHFER AggRAVATLU BATTERY	( A B 1 0 U 0 5 2	U 1 100 U 0 0 U 7 71	( NON-JURY TPIAL A B C 0 0 0 0 0 1 3 0 9	D E // 0 0 1 1 0 ( 12 25 8	2 9	) D 1 1 19
AGGRAVATED RAPE/FORCIBLE RAPE SIMPLE RAPE/SEXUAL BATTERY CRIMIN FED AMAGE TO PROPERTY AGGRING FED FROLARY SIMPLE BURGLA ARMEL BURGLA SIMPLE BURGLA SIMPLE BERT THEFT REELVING FELEN THINGS INCLUS WOODLESS CHECKS	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 20 2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 2 0 1 54 6 4 24 6
VIULENT OFFENSES	DR U 0 1 1 3 2 8 2		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		9 25	4 22 44 32
PROPERTY OFFENSES	20 9	1 12 58 1 31 67	15 4 34	67 22 2; 159 50		79 189
	SINGLE	INDIVIDUALS - SINGLE	CHARGE MISDEMEAN			
SIMPLE BATTERY AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA	A     B       0     0       0     0       2     2       0     0       0     1       0     3       0     0       0     1	U       0       0         0       0       0         0       4       50         0       0       0         0       0       0         0       1       0         0       0       0         0       1       0         0       0       0         0       1       0         0       1       0         0       1       0         0       0       0         0       0       0         0       0       0	$\begin{array}{c cccc} A & C \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 6 & 6 & 17 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 12 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 24 & 20 & 19 \\ 1 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$	8 25 4 50 5 80 0 0	A B C 0 0 3 3 8 1 4 23 20 4 23 20 4 5 2 2 5 2 2 1 4 1 0 0 0 0 0 3 2	D 0 1 1 4 10 9 5 5 5 0 11
OTHER MISDEMEANORS	8 3 14 14	5 0 11 73	24 44 48 93 104 128	116 21 3 325 29 10	2 47 48	127 355
		EVELANIATION OF	COLUMN HEADINGS			

EXPLANATION OF COLUMN HEADINGS

A = CONVICTIONS B = ACQUITALS C = OTHER DISPOSITION ACTIONS D = TOTAL E = CONVICTION RATE

11-99

PRUGRAM LT0846 LULE - LCUIS		JUDICIAL CRI	STATE OF LOU MINAL CASE DI STATE TOT	SPOSITION AN	ALYSIS		DATE PREP	ARED	03/04/8	0
PERIOD STARTING U1/01/79 PERIOD ENDING 12/31/79	SINGLE	INDIVIDUAL		CHARGES - FE	LONIES		PAGE NUMB	IER	. 2	
MUKDER MANSLAUGHTER AGGRAVATED BATTERY AGGRAVATED RAPE/FORCIBLE KAPE SIMPLE RAPE/SEXUAL BATTERY CRIMING DAMAGE TO PROPERTY AGGRATED BERA SIMPLE BERA SIMP	( A B U 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		) U E 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	( NON-JUR A B 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Y TRIALS C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 1 0 1 0 1 3 3	) $E$ C C C C C C C C	A 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	C 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	) D 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 4 1 0 2 0 1 0 3 5 2 7
TOTAL (ELONIES	S1:IGLE		5 - MOLTIPLE		SDEMEANOR	16 C	5	1 1 B	.2 10	8
SIMPLE BATTERY AGGRAVATED ASSAULT THEFI RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURGING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA OTHER HISDEMEANORS	A B U 0 U 1 U 0 U 0 U 0 U 0 U 0 U 0 U 0 U 0	C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	D E 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	A 0 0 2 2 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SDEMEANOR C 0 1 2 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 2 0 1 8	D       D         0       5       0         3       1       0         0       .0       1         1       100       0         1       00       0         1       00       0         1       0       0         0       0       0         6       17       0         0       0       2         14       14	A 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0	B 0 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 5		D 0 0 1 0 6 0 2 6
TOTAL MISDEMEANORS	1 2	U	3 33	9 10	16	35 26	10 1	12 1	16 3	58
			ATTON OF TON	UM DEADTHCC						

EXPLANATION OF COLUMN HEADINGS

A = CONVICTIO<sub>N</sub>S B = ACQUITALS C = OTHER DISPOSITION ACTIONS D = TOTAL E = CONVICTIO<sub>N RATE</sub>

Ś.

PPOGRAM DTOB46 LCLE - LCJIS		JUDIC	IAL CRI	MINAL		OUISIAN DISPOSI OTALS		ALYSIS			DATE	PREPARED	0 03/0	14/80
PERIOD STARTING 01/01/79 Feriod Ending 12/31/79	MULTI	FLE IND	IVIDUAL				ES - FE	LONIES			PAGE	NUMBER	3	
	e <b>t</b> a series	1	TRIALS	5	)			Y TRIALS	)		С. С.	TOTAL	TRIALS	,
	A	Ŗ	ç	D	E	A	B	C	D	E	A	B	C	D
MURCER MANSLAUGHTER	1	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
AGGPAVATED BATTERY	U C	C C	U G	U N	0	D	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
AGGRAVATED RAPE/FORCIBLE RAPE	6	с П	0	n	0	0	0	0	. U N	n n		រ	ก	- n
SIMPLE RAPE/SEXUAL BATTERY	Ď	Ω Ω	ũ	ō	D	ō	ō	õ	ŏ	- O	ŏ		D D	õ
CRIMI DAMAGE TO PROPERTY	0	C	0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	Ō	Ō	0	0
AGGY TED TED LARY STATISTICS	Ũ	0	۵	0	0	0	0	0	D	0	0	0	0	0
SIMBURGL	1	Û	0	1	100	1	0	6	7	14	2	0	6	8
APME	2	C	ů S	2	100	0	0	0	0	Ū	2	0	D	2
SIMPL BERY	C N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	D	0
FIVIN DLEN THINGS	0	0		0	0	1	0	1	1	50	<b>n</b>	0	1	2
SNING W HLESS CHECKS	Ö			n	ō	D	ŭ	. ô	ō	0		0	Ō	n
CARVEL KY LEDGE/IND. BEH /IOF	0	C		0	Real Property	Ō	0	Ō	ō	ō	ō	ō	0	õ
NAFCOTICS	0	0	9 M	- <b>Q</b>	0	Ū	1	3	4	0	D	1	3	4
OTHER FELONIES	0		ų į	d.	Ū	D		0	D.	0	D	O	0	0
										i i i				
VICLENT OFFENSES	3				100	0			1	0	3	0	1	4
FRUPERIT UFFENSES	· 1				100	2	м.		9.		3	0	7	10
TOTAL FELONIES	4	C	6 V m		100	. 2			15	1	6	1	12	19
				Sar Prairie			18 19	- COLUMN CO		- Biston		-	the second	
	MULTI	PLE IND	IVIDUAL	.s - s	INGLE	CHARC	MI MI	SDEMEANOR	55		Ĭ			
						4			· · ·			1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -		
CT MDL C. DATTCOM	A	B	C	D	E	A		C	D		A	8	C	D
SIMPLE BATTERY AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		U	0	U	
THEFT	и Л	0	n n	.u n	0	0	U D	0	υ Ω			, U. D		
RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0		1		
ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS	Õ	0	Ŭ la la	0	0	õ	ō	ō	- ô	ŏ	0	Ō		6 AR
CONCEALED WEAPON	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Û	All and a second second	D
DWI CONTRACTOR AND	a ·	מ	0	0	0	0	0	<b>D</b>	0	0	0	0	0	
RECKLESS OPERATION	0	0	0	0	D	Ŭ.	0	0	٥	۵	0	0	D	0
DISTURBING THE PEACE	0	D	0	0		Q	0	ລ	0	0	0	0	0	D
OBSCENITY DESTSTING AN OFFICED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0
RESISTING AN OFFICEP SIMPLE ESCAPE	บ ฏ	0	n.	0	0	0	a D	0	0	0	. U 0	0	0	U D
POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA	Ŭ	0	0	0	0	0	ŭ	0	Ď	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER MISDEMEANORS	2	D	D S	2	100	2	. 2	ĩ	5	40	4	2	1	7
TOTAL MISDEMEANOPS	2	0	0	2	100	2	3	1	6	33	4	3	1	8
			- <b>-</b>					7					-	
			FXPLA	NATTO	N OF C	OLUMN H	EADINGS							

A = CONVICTIONS

B = ACCUITALS
 C = OTHER DISPOSITION ACTIONS
 D = TOTAL
 E = CONVICTION RATE

		STATE OF LOUISIANA		
PPOGRAM DTD846	LCLF - LCJIS	JUDICIAL CRIMINAL CASE DISPOSITION ANALY	ISTS D	AT
FERIOD STARTING	fi1/01/79	STATE TOTALS		AG
	12/31/79	MULTIPLE INDIVIDUALS - MULTIPLE CHARGES - FELON		AG

ATE PREPARED 03/04/80

AGE NUMBER 4

	1		TRIALS		<b>)</b>	( NON	-JURY T		<u>)</u>			AL TRIALS	•
MUDDLD	A	P	C	D	Ł	A	В	C	D	E	A B	C	D
MURDER MANSLAUGHTER	U N	C	D N	n n	0	0	D	0	0	D	0 0	0	D
AGGPAVATED BATTERY	а п	C	n	n n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0
	บ ปี	C.	n	n	n	D D	0	0	0	0	0 0	. U	. 0
AGGRAVATED RAPE/FORCIBLE RAPE SIMPLE <u>BA</u> PE/SEXUAL BATTERY	ม โป	n	ប ក	n n	- ·			U D		~	0 0	0	0
CRIMIN DAMAGE TO PROPERTY	ີມ 1	U D	U C	Ç i	0	ີ ເ	0	- U 	0	0	0 0	U	0
AGGR TED AT LARY	Ţ	.n	ີ ບ 3	1 10 D	10	n.	0	0	u D	0	1 U	. บ	L
SIMPEURGL	L D	D .	о G	n D	D	u O	. U . D	บก	0	0	0 0	U	
ARME	0	G	n		0	0	0	1	1	u n	0 0	U 1	u .
SIMPLE	a	0	ก	n	n	с П	D.	. 0	0	0	0 0	1	1
TUFT	0 D	0	й 	ົດ	0	0	0	0	Δ	0	0 0	. U	
RELIVING LEN THINGS	0	n Se	State -	n	0	D	1	0	ŭ j	õ	0 1	n	
ING W ALESS CHECKS	Ő	0		ñ	0	D	Ô.	0	n i	ñ	0 0	ň	0
CARINE HALLEDGE/IND. BAVILLE	ũ	C I			n	л.:	D	. Ď	'n	n v	0 0	n	u
NAFCOTICS	Ō	0 1			n	na-	n	1	1	õ	0 0	1	1
OTHER FELONIES	บั	C A			õ	n V	. 0 w	0	ŝ	õ	0 0	<b>Š</b>	ñ
													Ų
VIOLENT OFFENSES	• 0	п 🖉	E TEST		0	o and	0	<b>A</b> .1	1 -	a n	0 0	1	•
PROPERTY OFFENSES	บ				D			D D	D W	Rea.	0 0		D.
								¥ .					
TOTAL FELCNIES	1	D	5 pc		ים מי			2	3	226	1	2	4
									))en j			ALC: NO.	
	MULTI	PLE IND	TVIDUAL	S - MUL'	TIPLE 6	s	- MISDE	MEANORS				<b>Veter</b>	Rectan
	A	P	С	D	ε	A	В	<b>C</b>	D 🖉	E A	A B		
SIMPLE BATTERY	0	<b>D</b>	0	σ	D	n	0	0	_ PÉRES	D	0.0		
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	0	0, 2, 2, 2, 2,	Ũ	0	0	0	0	0		D.	0 0		0
THEFT	0	0	н <mark>О</mark> рана, се <sup>с</sup> е	<b>D</b> 1. 1	٥	0	0	0	0		0 0		🦉 D
RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS	D	0	D	0	0 111	D	0	0	0	0	0 Q		0
ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS	0	0	0	0,	Ŭ	D	0	0	0	0	0 , 49	D N	0
CONCEALED WEAPON	0	0	0	a	0	D	0	۵	0	D	0 0		0
DWI	0	0	0	0	0	D ·	0	D	0	0	0 0	0	
RECKLESS OPERATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	D	0 0	0	0
DISTURBING THE PEACE	0 .	0	G	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0 0	0	0
OBSCENITY	0	0	D	0	0	0	1	D	1	0	0 1	0	1
RESISTING AN OFFICER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	۵	0 0	· · · · ·	0
SIMPLE ESCAPE	۵	D	0	0	D		D	0	D	D	0 0		D
POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA	0	0	0	0	0	- <b>D</b>	0	0	0	0	0 0	. <b>O</b> .	0
OTHER MISDEMEANORS	0	D	0	D	0	1	1	0	Z	50	1 1	0	2
TOTAL MISDEMEANORS	0	0	D	D	٥	1	2	D	3	33	1 2	۵	3
	1.4		EXPLA	NATION	OF COLU	IMN HEAD	INGS						

A = CONVICTIONS

E = ACQUITALS

C = OTHER DISPOSITION ACTIONS

D = TOTAL

F = CONVICTION RATE

PROGRAM DT1846 LCLE - LCJIS FFRICD STARTING 01/01/79 FERIOD ENDING 12/31/79	۸LL		UDICIAL Individ	CRIMIN	STATE	DISPOS Totals	NA ITION ANA GES - FEL					PREPARED	03/0 5	)4/80
MURDER MANSLAUGHTER AGGRAVATEC BATTFRY AGGRAVATED RAPE/FORCIPLE RAPE SIMPLE PAPE/SEXUAL BATTERY CRIMIE DAMAGE TO PROPERTY AGGA ATED SLARY SIMPLE BEPA SIMPLE BEPY TEFT CEIVIN OLEN THINGS LING D HLESS CHECK CANAL MALEDGE/INC. HAV NARCOTICS OTHER FELONIES	( A 2 5 3 0 1 0 6 3 1 2 1 0 2 3	5 0 3 7 0 1 3 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2	JUPY TRI C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		<pre></pre>	( A 0 0 0 0 0 13 1 1 5 1 0 0 0 2 3 1 1 0 0 0 13	NON-JURY B D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D		S) 0 2 12 1 2 0 1 56 7 3 26 7 5 0 27 44	E D 25 0 0 23 14 33 19 14 0 26 20	( A 2 0 8 0 0 1 1 9 4 2 7 2 0 9 9 12	TOTAL B D 3 0 0 1 5 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 4 9	TRIALS C 2 9 1 2 0 1 4 2 5 2 19 5 5 0 17 28	) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 4 2 8 5 0 3 0 30 49
VIOLENT OFFENSES PPOPERTY OFFENSES TOTAL FELONIES	11 8 26	10			79 62 70	1 L		19 61 137	25 83	20 22 21	16 26 66	4 8 24	19 62 138	39 96 230
SIMPLE BATTERY AGGPAVATED ASSAULT THEFT RECEIVING STOLEN THINGS ISSUING WORTHLESS CHECKS CONCEALED WEAPON DWI RECKLESS OPERATION DISTURBING THE PEACE OBSCENITY RESISTING AN OFFICER SIMPLE ESCAPE POSSESSION OF MARIJUANA OTHER MISDEMEANORS	ALL A J O 2 D O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	B C 1 2 0 1 3 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 4	INDIVID C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	D 0 1 4 0 1 4 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 4 15	ALL E 0 50 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	A D 3 7 3 0 1 25 1 2 2 5 0 3 29	B 0 5 6 0 1 20 4 1 20 4 1 2 4 0 3 5 1	DEMEAN C 4 19 1 12 2 19 5 6 1 2 0. 3 57	ORS 32 10 12 4 64 10 9 5 11 0 9 137	E 0 30 0 25 39 10 22 40 45 0 33 21	A 0 3 9 3 0 1 25 1 25 1 2 5 0 7 40	B 0 2 23 4 2 3 4 0 3 55	C Q 1 1 1 2 2 0 5 6 1 2 0 3 57	D 0 13 36 10 12 5 68 10 10 6 11 0 13 152
TOTAL MISDEMEANORS	17	16	2	35	49	105	119	145	369	28	122	135	147	404
			EX	PLANATI	UN OF (	OLUMN	HEADINGS							

A = CONVICTIONS B = ACQUITALS C = OTHER DISPOSITION ACTIONS D = TOTAL

E = CONVICTION RATE

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

<u>ARREST</u>: Arrest is the taking of one person into custody by another. To constitute arrest there must be an actual restraint of the person. The restraint may be imposed by force or may result from the submission of the person arrested to the custody of the one arresting him.

<u>CLEARANCE</u>: The solution of a case; the linkage of an offense to a particular offender.

<u>COMPLETE DISPOSITION REPORTING (CDR)</u>: An information system which provides for the collection and automated processing and storage of criminal history information on each offender arrested in Louisiana for the violation of a state criminal statute. The CDR information system traces the movement of individual state offenders through the criminal justice system from arrest to final exit. It provides a record of the dispositions through each step of the criminal justice process. The major objective of the CDR System is to significantly improve the completeness and accuracy of criminal history records stored at the state's central repository. In addition, CDR significantly reduces the time required to process a criminal history information request.

<u>CRIME RATE</u>: The number of Index Offenses Reported, within a specific geographic area, divided by the population of the area, produces a crime rate per capita statistic. This is then scaled to represent some standard population unit, such as the factor 100,000 utilized by the FBI in scaling their national and regional crime statistics. Thus, "Crime Rate Per Capita" multiplied by 100,000 produces the statistic commonly referred to as "Crime Rate Per 100,000 Population," or more frequently, simply, "Crime Rate." Single and multi-jurisdictional areas can then be compared to each other, without regard to population variation.

<u>CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM</u>: The President's Commission on Law Enforcement, in 1967, introduced the term "Criminal Justice System" as a modeling device for investigating the flow of offenders from apprehension by law enforcement agencies to their various stages of release. It is also used in connection with a loose grouping of independent governmental agencies which carry out the enforcement, prosecution, defense, adjudication, punishment, and rehabilitation functions with respect to penal sanctions.

INDEX OR SERIOUS CRIME: A term devised by the International Association of Chiefs of Police for use in their Uniform Crime Report Program, commonly referred to as UCR. It has also been adopted by the Louisiana UCR Program. The IACP determined that law enforcement would tabulate the number of criminal acts as defined by the UCR Program as these acts were brought to the attention of law enforcement. Recognizing the problem of coping with mere volume, it was decided that only those criminal acts deems "serious" would be counted. A criminal act is considered "serious" if it meets a set of criteria; namely, that the act would occur regardless of geographical location; that it would be an offense most likely to be reported to law enforcement, that it would affront the moral sensitivities of our society's rational being, and that it would occur with sufficient frequency to make it statistically significant. Seven such criminal acts, or offenses, were chosen for tabulation as a "Crime Index," and are individually referred to as "Index Crimes."

These offenses and their definitions are listed below:

- a. <u>CRIMINAL HOMICIDE</u>: The willful (non-negligent) killing of one human being by another. This includes the crimes of murder and non-negligent manslaughter. Excluded are attempts to kill, assaults to kill, suicides, accidental deaths, justifiable homicides, and manslaughter by negligence. Justifiable homicides are limited to: (1) the killing of a person by a law enforcement officer in the line of duty; and, (2) the killing of a person in the act of committing a felony by a private citizen. Manslaughter by negligence pertains to any death which the police investigation established was primarily attributable to gross negligence of some individual other than the victim (not counted in this analysis).
- b. FORCIBLE RAPE: The carnal knowledge of a person, forcibly and against his or her will in the categories of rape by force, assault to rape, and attempted rape. Excludes statutory offenses (no force used victim under age of consent).
- c. <u>ROBBERY</u>: Stealing or taking anything of value from the care, custody, or control of a person by force, violence or by putting in fear, such as in the case of strongarm robbery, stickups, armed robbery, assaults to rob, and attempts to rob.
- d. <u>AGGRAVATED ASSAULT</u>: Assault with intent to kill or for the purpose of inflicting severe bodily injury by shooting, cutting, stabbing, maiming, poisoning, scalding, or by the use of acids, explosives, or other means. Excludes simple assaults.
- e. <u>BURGLARY (Breaking or Entering)</u>: House-breaking, safe-cracking, or any breaking or unlawful entry of a structure with the intent to commit a felony or a theft. Includes attempted forcible entry. The UCR definition does <u>not</u> include auto burglaries, burglary of moveables, or a wide variety of such incidents as included in some statutes.
- f. LARCENY-THEFT (Except Motor Vehicle Theft): The unlawful taking, carrying, leading, or riding away of property from the possession or constructive possession of another. Thefts of bicycles, automobile accessories, shoplifting, pocket-picking, or any stealing of property or article which is not taken by force or violence or by fraud. Excludes embezzlement, "con" games, forgery, worthless checks, etc.
- g. <u>MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT</u>: Unlawful taking or stealing or attempted theft of a motor vehicle. A motor vehicle is a self-propelled

vehicle that travels on the surface but not on rails. Specifically excluded from this category are motor boats, construction equipment, airplanes, and farming equipment.

NON-STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA: The forty-eight parishes which are not listed in the definition of Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas.

OFFENDER-BASED TRANSACTION STATISTICS (OBTS): A by-product of the CDR System, Offender-Based Transaction Statistics are derived from information concerning law enforcement, court and corrections proceedings recorded in such a way that the system identity of the person subject to the proceedings is preserved throughout data collection and analysis. The use of the individual offender or alleged offender as the basic unit tracked by the statistical system provides the mechanism for linking events in the different parts of the criminal justice system. The output of one agency can be linked to the input of another agency, and the flow of offenders (alleged and actual) through the system can be observed over long periods of time. This capability permits the study of the relationship between decisions and dispositions made at one point with those made at another point in the criminal justice process. OBTS data do not include personal identifiers.

OFFENSES REPORTED: Sometimes referred to as crime incidences, this term refers to actual offenses which are reported or made known to Louisiana's law enforcement agencies. Offenses reported, but later determined to be "unfounded", are excluded.

STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA (SMSA): The U. S. Bureau of Census defines Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area as a parish or groups of contiguous parishes which contain at least one central city of 50,000 inhabitants or more, or "twin cities" with a combined population of at least 50,000. In addition to the parish, or parishes, containing such a city or cities, contiguous parishes are included in a Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area, if according to certain criteria they are essentially metropolitan in character and are socially and economically integrated with the central city. The following parishes and central cities are classified as major metropolitan areas:

SMSA	PARISH	CENTRAL CITY
Alexandria	Grant Rapides	Alexandria
Baton Rouge	Ascension East Baton Rouge Livingston West Baton Rouge	Baton Rouge
Lafayette	Lafayette	Lafayette
Lake Charles	Calcasieu	Lake Charles

-----

------

auri

#### SMSA (CONTINUED)

SMSA

Monroe

New Orleans

PARISH

Ouachita

Jefferson Orleans St. Bernard St. Tammany

Shreveport

Bossier Caddo Webster CENTRAL CITY

Monroe

New Orleans

Shreveport

RECIDIVISM: A return to incarceration within twelve months of last release date, according to the Louisiana Department of Corrections definition.

<u>RISK POPULATION</u>: Those individuals most likely to be arrested for particular offenses.

UNIFORM CRIME REPORTING PROGRAM: The UCR Program was conceived, developed, and implemented by law enforcement for the express purpose of serving law enforcement as a tool for operational and administrative purposes. Under the auspices of the Internationl Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP), the UCR Program was developed in 1930. Prior to that date, no comprehensive system of crime information on a national scale existed. This was primarily due to the fact that the criminal statutes varied so greatly from state to state in their use of terminology to define criminal behavior. To overcome this probelm, a set of definitions for specific criminal acts was devised. It was determined that law enforcement would tabulate the number of criminal acts as defined by the UCR Program as these acts were brought to the attention of law enforcement. Recognizing the problem of coping with mere volume, it was decided that only those criminal acts deemed serious would be counted. Since the inception of the UCR Program, the FBI has acted as administrator, by Congressional mandate, of the program.

During that period of time when UCR was still a concept, it was recognized that the individual states would also need crime information of particular interest to the state but of no great importance to the national view of crime. It was not until the latter part of the 1960's that funds became available for states to consider the development of their own individual reporting systems.

The purpose of state UCR Programs is multifaceted. First, within the framework of a state program, more direct and meaningful contact with individual contributors can be realized. Second, the ability to expand contributorship is enhanced through the availability of state personnel to lend assistance. As an example, nearly every state thus far enjoying the services of a state UCR Program has enacted mandates requiring law enforcement agencies to participate. Third, mandatory participation insures that law enforcement agencies will either enhance already existing records systems or institute systems capable of producing the needed data. Fourth, with state personnel reviewing information emanating from law enforcement contributors and this information being further checked at the national level, the validity as well as completeness of data is further insured. Fifth, individual state programs can address problems that are unique to the state. For example, numerous northern states are vitally concerned over the theft of snowmobiles while this data is of little or no interest to those states in more southern climates.

The state programs are expected to provide feedback to individual contributors concerning information required by the agencies for administrative and operational purposes. State programs are urged to maintain close and direct contact with the contributors to insure that needs of law enforcement are being met.

VICTIM: A person who has suffered death, physical or mental suffering, or loss of property, as the result of an actual or attempted criminal offense committed by another person.

