National Criminal Justice Reference Service

----



This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice United States Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20531 Date Filmed

3/01/81



State of Wisconsin \ OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

WISCONSIN COUNCIL ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 122 WEST WASHINGTON AVENUE MADISON, WISCONSIN 53702 (608) 266-3323

James E. Baugh, Ph.D. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

A Special Study of the Wisconsin Council on Criminal Justice Affirmative Action/Equal Employment

Opportunity Program

Prepared by:

Michael R. Moskoff

Research, Evaluation and Policy Section Wisconsin Council on Criminal Justice

August 1980

Lee Sherman Dreyfus Governor

Criminal Justice

A Special Study of the Wisconsin Council on Affirmative Action/Equal Employment Opportunity Program

# Introduction

At the May 20, 1980 meeting of the Wisconsin Council on Criminal Justice (WCCJ), members asked staff to report on the effect the Council's Affirmative Action/Equal Employment Opportunity Program (AA/EEO) has had on Wisconsin's criminal justice system. Following are survey results compiled by WCCJ staff.

### Methodology

Upon request of WCCJ staff, Wisconsin's Crime Information Bureau cooperated in collecting employment data from state law enforcement agencies (See Attachment #1). Pursuant to the Council's request the following types of data were collected:

- 1. Males Employed
- 2. Females Employed
- Minorities<sup>1</sup> Employed 3.
- Full-time Employees 4.
- Part-time Employees 5.
- 6. Officers
- 7. Civilians

In surveying courts and corrections agencies we asked for the numbers of professionals and clericals employed instead of officers and civilians. A computer printout was provided by LEAA. All agencies (74) funded from 1979 through July 1, 1980 were mailed the questionnaire<sup>2</sup>. Attachment #2 is the survey instrument used.

A number of idiosyncrasies accompanied returns from several agencies. For instance, three (3) agencies did not fill out the questionnaire because there is "a new project director" or "some of the questions were not relevant". Seven (7) agencies answered Part I (statistical information) but did not fill out any of Part II.

### Caveats

Because baseline data relating to minorities do not exist, the purpose of this study is to develop such statistics for future studies and provide WCCJ with information available to date. At this time no claims can be made regarding the change effect of WCCJ's AA/EEO program upon the Wisconsin criminal justice system's employment status.

<sup>1</sup>Minority is defined as including Blacks, Hispanics (Spanish-Surname Americans), American Indians and Asian Americans.

<sup>2</sup>These include 'domestic abuse', 'juvenile justice including shelter care', 'statewide projects', etc.

NUV NIS 1000

ACQUISITION

# Findings - Part I

.

In June the Department of Justice, Crime Information Bureau mailed questionnaires to 647 law enforcement agencies throughout Wisconsin; 591 agencies responded. Table I is a summary of the numbers and types of positions in these agencies from 1975 through July 1, 1980.

## TABLE I:

# FULL-TIME LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYEE DATA SUMMARIES OF 1975 THROUGH JULY 1, 1980

						July 1,
	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
# Employees	10,633	10,804	10,936	12,124	12,245	12,545
& Officers	87%	86%	85%	85%	84%	84%
& Civilians	13%	14%	15%	15%	16%	16%
# Officers	9,249	9,339	9,342	10,267	10,271	10,554
% Male	98%	97%	97%	97%	96%	96%
% Female	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%
# Civilians	1,384	1,465	1,594	1,857	1,974	1,991
% Male	39%	39%	39%	35%	37%	34%
% Female	61%	61%	61%	65%	63%	66%

The data reveal that, over time, the percentage of male officers has remained fairly constant. A small change occurred in the percentage of male civilians which decreased; female civilians increased. The proportion of female officers, although small, has doubled since 1975.

# part-time employees.

- 1

			FILLED	POSITIONS	- NUMBER	AND	······
			PER	CENT OF STA	TE TOTAL		
	·	TOTAL		FULL-TIM	IE	PART-1	IME
	Male	11,617	(78%)	10,110	(81%)	1,507	(62%)
OFFICERS	Female	562	(4%)	444	(4%)	118	(5%)
	Total	12,179	(81%)	10,554	(84%)	1,625	(67%)
	Male	1,012	(7%)	674	(5%)	338	(14%)
CIVILIANS	Female	1,768	(12%)	1,317	(10%)	451	(19%)
	Total	2,780	(19%)	1,991	(16%)	789	(33%)
	Male	12,629	(84%)	10,784	(86%)	1,845	(76%)
TOTAL	Female	2,330	(16%)	1,761	(14%)	569	(24%)
STATE TOTAL		14,959	(100%)	12,545	(100%)	2,414	(100%)

The vast majority of full-time positions in law enforcement agencies are filled by males (86%). The percentage of females employed part-time in 1980 has increased (from 14% full-time to 24%). The majority of the increase (100%) is in civilian positions (from 16% full-time to 33% parttime). Women account for approximately 4% of all sworn officers.

Table II provides law enforcement data for male and female full-time and

TABLE II: ACTUAL LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYEES AS OF JULY 1, 1980

-3-

Table III shows minority law enforcement employees as of July 1, 1980.

TABLE III: MINORITY LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYEES AS OF JULY 1, 1980\*

		OTAL	FU	LL-TIME	PART-TIME		
-	Number of Minorities as		Number of	Number of Minorities as		Minorities as	
	Positions	Percentage	Positions	Percentage	Positions	Percentage	
	Filled by	of all	Filled by	of all	Filled by	of all	
	Minorities		Minorities	Filled	Minorities	Filled	
r		Positions		Positions		Positions	
Officers	394	3%	376	4%	18	1%	
Civilians	149 5%		119	6%	30	4%	
State Total	543	4%	495	4%	48	2%	

The data in Table III shows minority personnel account for approximately 4% of all law enforcement employees. As revealed in Table IIIa there are 336 male sworn officers and 40 females working full-time (Total N = 376). Part-time officers are 16 male and 2 female. Male and female civilians working full-time are 47 and 72 (N = 119) with part-time being 10 and 20, respectively. (The City of Milwaukee has a total of 2,286 law enforcement employees of which 210 are minorities. Therefore, 9% of Milwaukee's Police Department is comprised of minorities.)

### Table IIIa: MINORITY LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYEES BY SEX

	TOTAL		FU	LL-TIME	PART-TIME		
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Officers	352	42	336	40	16	2	
Civilians	57	92	92 47 72		10	20	
State Total	409	134	383	112	26	22	

\*A total of 73 of the 591 law enforcement agencies that responded to the survey employ minorities (12%); 56 agencies reported full-time minority officers; 11 agencies reported part-time minority officers.

-4-

### Findings - Part II

Of 74 agencies mailed the WCCJ questionnaire, 33 (45%) responded (See Table IV). Returns were highest by Shelter Care, Domestic Abuse and Corrections projects and lowest in the Courts, Statewide and Juvenile Justice area.

AREA
Courts
Corrections
Juvenile Justice
Shelter Care
Domestic Abuse
Statewide
TOTALS

1

Of all full-time employees (N = 2,245), 49% are male and 51% are female (See Table V) with women out-numbered amongst professionals but dominant in the clerical field. Minorities account for 9% of the full-time workers. This figure is the average for all agencies responding. (A closer look at the data shows that three agencies (Urban League of Racine, Wisconsin Correctional Service and Menominee Indian Tribe) accounted for 48% (N = 101) of the minorities employed by responding agencies.) Of the total 211 minorities employed full-time, 75 are professionals and 36 are clericals; 103 are male, 108 female (See Table VI).

### TABLE IV: CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES RESPONDING TO SURVEY

# MAILED	# RETURNED
9	1
13	8
16	7
18	10
8	5
10	2
74	33

-5-

# Table V:Full-Time Employees in WCCJ-Funded Agencies As of July 1, 1980

----

Г		Profess	ional	[	Cleri	cal		Total Em	ip1oyees
Project	Males		Minorities	Males	Females	Minorities	Males	Females	Minorities
ourts									
Jinnebago County	6	n	0	0	٨	()	ĥ	4	0
Cenosha County									
Portage County									
Marathon County						·			
Dane County Price County									
LaCrosse County									[
Milwaukee County									
Richland County									
			'i						
Corrections							}		
Jewish Voc. Services Jrban League of Racine	18	16	27	0	11	6	18	27	33
Ryan Community	5	3	0	O	0	0	5	3	0
Friniteam Inc.	2	2	0	0	1	0	2	3	0
Jestern Dairyland	45	55	1	0	6	0	45	61	1
Ananda Marga	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	7	0
New Concepts				<b> </b>		·			
Lac du Flambeau				0	0	0	5	0	1
Vets House	5	0	1		·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·
Rock County Horizons	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	5	3
Citizen/Offender, Inc.							······ ····		1
Financial & Debt Coun-				l					
seling Services				1					
							t	†	1 -
Juvenile Justice				ŀ		· · · ·	1	1	
Winnebago County				<b>}</b>	<u> </u>		<b> </b>	<u> </u>	
Brown County				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Outagamie County Walworth County					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<del> </del>		+
Chippewa County	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Dunn County		<u>w</u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>                                      </u>	1	1	1
Washburn County	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Manitowoc County									
Richland County				ļ			ļ	L	
Central Baptist				L	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+
Washington County	2	3	0	0	1	0	2	12	0
Waples House	6 10	4	0	2	1	0	12	5	0
Renewal Unlimited Tellurian Community	10	6	2	1	2	0	12	8	2
Kenosha Youth Develop-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~	<u> </u>	+	†		- <u></u>	
ment, Inc.	5	11	1	0	2	0	5	13	1 1
Community Relations Soc.		í ·····						1	
Development Commission									
							+	+	
Shelter Care									
Sheboygan County	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
Fond du Lac County Sauk County				<u> </u>	+				
Dodge County	6	16	1	0	8	0	6	24	1
Lincoln County	4	4	0	1 1	12	0	5	16	0
Clark County	9	25	0	0	0	0	9	25	0
Ashland County	I			.l					
Racine County	130	40	13	0		<u> </u>	130	40	13
Ozaukee County Washington County	7	15	0	0	4	1	7	19	1
St. Croix County	<u> </u>	1			+				
Polk County		1	1	1	1		1		
Grant County	10	20	1	1	22	0	11	42	1
Walworth County	0	1	0	2	2	0	2	3	0
Shawano County	6	4	0	0	11	0	6	15	0
Marinette County	<b></b>	ļ	.		+				
Buffalo County	h	<u>-</u>					+	+	
Waushara County	5	9	0	0	1	0	5	10	0
Domestic Abuse	1	1			1				
Catholic Social Services	1	1	ļ		1		1		•
Dane County	0	11	0	0	1	0	0	12	0
Southwestern CAP	11	17	0	28	65	1	39	82	1
Women's Resource Center									
Wis. Correctional Service		52	33	0	9	7	49	61	40
Fox Cities	0	. 1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Winnebago Rape Action Committee	1	1		1				1	1
Green Bay Rape Crisis	+		+			+	+		
Center	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
		<b>_</b>		- I		4	_ <u> </u>		
	_ <u></u>				1	1.	1		1
Statewide				1 .			1		
Supreme Court						3	24	8	28
Supreme Court Menominee Indian Tribe	24	5	25	0	3				1
Supreme Court Menominee Indian Tribe Center for Public		1							
Supreme Court Menominee Indian Tribe Center for Public Representation	3	5	0	0	1	0	3	6	0
Supreme Court Menominee Indian Tribe Center for Public Representation DHSS/DOC		1					3 681	6	0 85
Supreme Court Menominee Indian Tribe Center for Public Representation DHSS/DOC UW-Superior	3	5	0	0	1	0			
Supreme Court Menominee Indian Tribe Center for Public Representation DHSS/DOC UM-Superior Center for Public Policy	3	5	0	0	1	0			
Supreme Court Menominee Indian Tribe Center for Public Representation DHSS/DOC UW-Superior	3 662	5	0	0	1	0			
Supreme Court Menominee Indian Tribe Center for Public Representation DHSS/DOC UW-Superior Center for Public Policy Department of Justice Great Lakes Inter-Tribal Council	3 662	5	0	0	1	0			
Supreme Court Menominee Indian Tribe Center for Public Representation DHSS/DOC UW-Superior Center for Public Policy Department of Justice Great Lakes Inter-Tribal Council Public Defender	3 662	5	0	0	1	0			
Supreme Court Menominee Indian Tribe Center for Public Representation DHSS/DOC UW-Superior Center for Public Policy Department of Justice Great Lakes Inter-Tribal Council	3 662	5	0	0	1	0			

Males Professional 102 Clerical 1 TOTAL 103

Omitting the five (5) larger employing agencies including Racine County and the Division of Corrections as well as the three (3) stated on page 5 we find minority personnel accounting for 2% of the positions in the remaining 28 agencies. Of the 33 responding agencies, 19 (58%) do not employ minorities.

Some reasons account for some findings in this area. Minorities comprise approximately 5% of Wisconsin's population. A vast majority of survey respondents stated that the major reason for low or no minority employment was that there are few if any minorities living in their jurisdictions.

employ females.

1

Table VII shows data for part-time employees. A total of 461 employees work part-time in the responding agencies. Females account for 335 (73%) of these positions while 126 are male; 42 are of minority groups (9%).

	-					
Full-Time				Part-Tim		
s	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total	Grand Total
	73	175	17	16	33	208
	35	36	1	- 8	9	45
	108	211	18	24	42	253

TABLE VI: MINORITIES EMPLOYED IN WCCJ-FUNDED AGENCIES AS OF JULY 1, 1980

Other projects explain other heavy populations. For instance, Horizon House, Ananda Marga and Dane County Advocates for Battered Women all

Table VII:Part-Time Employees in WCCJ-Funded Agencies As of July 1, 1980

ſ		Profes	sional		Clerical		Total Employ		plovees
Project	Males	Females	Minorities	Males	Females	Minorities	Males	Females	Minorities
Courts									
Winnebago County	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
Kenosha County									
Portage County Marathon County									
Dane County				{					
Price County									
LaCrosse County									
Milwaukee County									
Richland County									
Corrections	1							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Jewish Voc. Services		1 1		( · )				{	} }
Urban League of Racine	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	1
Ryan Community	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Triniteam Inc.	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	11	0
Western Dairyland Ananda Marga	0	<u>12</u> 0	0	0	0	0	1	12	0
New Concepts	<u>-</u>	Ŭ		"					
Lac du Flambeau									
Vets House	l	2	0	1	1	1	2	3	1
lock County									
llor1zonn	0	2	1	0	2	2	0	4	3
Citizen/Offender, Inc. Financial & Debt Coun-			l T	[]					
seling Services									
				l					
Juvenile Justice		(		7					
Winnebago County									
Brown County		ļ		ļ				·	
Outagamie County	Į	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Walworth County Chippewa County	0			0	1	0	0	<del> </del>	<u>+</u>
Dunn County	<u>├</u>	0	ļ		<sup>1</sup>	·	<u> </u>	11	
Washburn County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Manitowoc County	<u>├</u>	<u>├Ŭ</u>	i	<u> </u>	·		<u>~</u>	†	<u> </u> −−−−−−
Richland County	-								
Central Baptist									
Washington County									
Waples House	1	1	0	0	3	0	1	4	0
Renewal Unlimited	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tellurian Community Kenosha Youth Develop-	1	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
ment, Inc.	4	1	1 1	0	2	0	4	3	1
Community Relations Soc.			<u> </u>						
Development Commission		1		Į į	•		{	}	
-	ļ	<u> </u>	<u> -</u>	ļ			L	·	-ll
Shelter Care	ł	· · ·		1		1			
Sheboygan County	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Fond du Lac County Sauk County	·	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Dodge County	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	
Lincoln County	0	- ő	0	0	1	0	0	1 1	0
Clark County	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
Ashland County							1		
Racine County	50	65	14	0	163	0	50	228	14
Ozaukee County	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0
Washington County	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
St. Croix County Polk County	+	÷	+	+		+		+	
Grant County	1	3	0	0	2	0	1	5	0
Walworth County	0	0	0	0	0	0			0
Shawano County	ō	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
Marinette County			L					Ť.	
Buffalo County	1		ļ	1			L		
Waushara County	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Domentic Abuse	+	+	1	1		+	<u> </u>		+
Catholic Social Services	1	1 .			[ .			1	
Dane County	0	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0	0
Southwestern CAP	0	2	0	2	4	0	2	6	0
Women's Resource Center					<u> </u>	1	1	<u> </u>	
Wis. Correctional Service		5	2	0	6	0	2	11	2
Fox Cities	0	0	0	0	n	0	0	0	0
Winnebago Rape Action Committee		1		1	1		}	1	
Green Bay Rape Crisis		+		+	+	·{	+	+	
Center	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0
	$\downarrow$					U U			
Statewide				1	1	1	1	1	1
Supreme Court				1			1	1	
Menominee Indian Tribe	5	2	7	0	1	1	5	3	8
Center for Public					1				
Representation	3	6	0	0	3	1 .	3	9	1
DHSS/DOC UW-Superior	47_	14-		3	20	4	50	34	10
Center for Public Policy		· <del> </del>		+	+		ļ		
Department of Justice	-		+		+	+		+	
Groat Lakon Inter-Tribal		+		+	<u> </u>		+	-+	
Council	1	I		1			1	1	
Public Defender					1				
WCCJ		-							
STATIOL	119	119	32	7	216	10	126	335	42
	)	1	1	1	1 210	1	1 10	1	1 14

Part II of the survey instrument asked questions relating to assistance provided by Wisconsin Council on Criminal Justice's Affirmative Action/Equal Employment Opportunity program. Following are the results for these agencies responding:

(WCCJ)?

A majority of the respondents received financial assistance between 1977 and 1979. The following shows the years in which respondents received WCCJ funds:

Year	<u>N =</u>
1975	5
1976	9
1977	14
1978	23
1979	25
1980	13

ACTION (EO/AA) PLAN:

Yes		=	25
No	:	=	3
Don't	: Know	=	2
	respon their		

PLAN?

A. 8 B. <u>5</u> c. \_4 D. 3

- --had plans --
  - through phone calls
  - provided

1

1. WHEN DID YOU RECEIVE FUNDS FROM THE WISCONSIN COUNCIL ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2. WERE YOU REQUIRED BY THE WCCJ TO DEVELOP AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE

stated that they already had a plan; one said they im county plan.

3. IF YES, HOW HELPFUL WAS THE WCCJ IN ASSISTING YOU TO DEVELOP YOUR EO/AA

Helpful

Somewhat Helpful

Very Helpful

Not Helpful

Several projects had the following comments:

Two said this question was not applicable because they already

One project said they received written instructions and advice Two projects said they were unaware if technical assistance was

Three projects did not ask for help nor receive it One project received help through the WCCJ regional office

-9-

4. DID THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDED TO YOU FOR DEVELOPING AN EO/AA PLAN RESULT IN AN IMPROVEMENT IN THE FOLLOWING AREA? (PLEASE CHECK AS APPROPRIATE.)

	112101	IN THE FOLLOWING AREA?	(PLEASE )	CHECK AS APPROPRIATE.)			B. Increased overal
			Yes	No			minorities and w
	Α.	Increased number of minorities applying for position;	2	18			C. Increased overal employees;
	В.	Increased number of women applying for position;	6	_14_		7.	WHAT WAS THE NUMBER O ASSISTANCE?
	с.	Increased minorities on oral selection boards;	<u> </u>	_17	•	. 8.	Total Number = 7 WHAT IS THE NUMBER OF
	D.	Increased number of women on oral selection boards;	6	12			Total Number = 1
	E.	Increased number of minorities appointed to positions;	2	17		9.	WHAT WAS THE NUMBER OF
	F.	Increased number of women appointed to positions;	7			10.	Total Number = 20 WHAT IS THE NUMBER NOT
	G.	Increased number of minorities receiving training;	2			11	Total Number = 3
	н.	Increased number of women receiving training;	5	20			IF NO DIFFERENCE EXIS
	I.	Increased number of minorities receiving promotions;	<u> </u>				In addition to " availability of r was in place pric "there is only or
	J.	Increased number of women being promoted;	4	15			PLEASE PROVIDE ANY OTH
	ques	respondent replied he had "inadequate inform tion". Seven answered that some of the ques technical assistance was not provided.)	ation rega tions were	arding this e not applicable.			WCCJ FUNDS. - We're gratef
5.	IF N WOME	O IMPROVEMENTS WERE MADE IN INCREASING APPOI N, PLEASE STATE WHY: I.E., BUDGET CUTS, NO N, ETC.	NTMENTS F( IMPLEMENT#	OR MINORITIES AND ATION OF AN EO/AA			<ul> <li>There is a C</li> <li>No minority</li> <li>We've worked</li> <li>Plan</li> <li>The developm</li> </ul>
		The following reasons were offered:		· · · · ·		•	the whole pr
					2 I		

Insufficient funds to attract minorities to area

\_

- No minorities applied
- Unavailability of minorities \_
- Very small agency

6. DID THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDED BY WCCJ RESULT IN:

Yes No

8

\_11

A. Improved personnel policies and practices for your agency;

-10-

	Yes	No
ll opportunities for		
women;	5	13
ll opportunities for <u>all</u>		
	7	10

OF MINORITIES ON YOUR STAFF BEFORE THE WCCJ'S TECHNICAL

8<sup>1</sup>2

MINORITIES NOW?

22<sup>1</sup>2

OF WOMEN ON YOUR STAFF BEFORE WCCJ'S TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE? 68

W?

31

TS, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY YOU BELIEVE A CHANGE HAS NOT

insufficient funds to attract minorities" and "unminorities" respondents stated that an "AA/EEO plan or to WCCJ funding". "very little staff turnover", ne staff position".

HER INFORMATION ON YOUR EO/AA EFFORTS SINCE RECEIVING

Ful for technical assistance provided County AA/EEO plan which was reviewed by WCCJ in 1979 population in area closely with the City of Madison regarding our AA

ment of an AA/EEO plan has had a positive effect on ogram

-11-

# Summary and Conclusion

As stated earlier, the purpose of this study was, where possible, to determine changes in hiring practices since the implementation of WCCJ's AA/EEO program. We have learned how many women are sworn officers in Wisconsin law enforcement agencies and determined changes between 1975 and July 1, 1980. We have developed baseline data for minorities employed in Wisconsin criminal justice agencies. Future studies will be able to compare these numbers over time.

What we did not glean from this study was the effect of the AA/EEO program on the employment of women and minorities in criminal justice agencies. Based upon criminal justice agencies responding to our survey our findings are summarized below:

- Women account for 4% of all sworn law enforcement officers -
- 12% of Wisconsin's law enforcement agencies employ minorities
- -Minority personnel account for 4% of all law enforcement personnel (3% officers; 5% civilians)
- In other criminal justice fields (i.e., courts, corrections), more \_ women are employed than men (51% - 49%)
- Women hold ten times the number of clerical jobs than men
- Men out-number women almost 2:1 in professional positions
- Minorities account for 9% of the full-time and part-time workers ----Minority women are employed less in professional positions than minority men
- \_
- Women-related projects employ mostly females
- 83% of WCCJ-funded projects were required to submit an AA/EEO Plan ----
- Most projects reported AA/EEO staff was helpful ----
- A majority of projects reported technical assistance by AA/EEO staff ---did not increase
  - Minorities applying for positions
  - Women applying for positions
  - Minorities on oral selection boards
  - Minorities appointed to positions
  - Minorities receiving training
  - Women receiving training
  - Minorities receiving promotions
  - Women being promoted
  - Agencies believe technical assistance provided by AA/EEO staff improved personnel policies and procedures but did not increase opportunities for minorities and women nor all employees
- Numbers of minorities and women employed in agencies increased after the time AA/EEO staff provided technical assistance.
- No improvements were made in increasing appointments for minorities because "no minorities applied", "unavailability of minorities", "agency too small".

# ATTACHMENTS

# LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYE INFORMATION

AS OF JULY 1, 1980

Attachment #1

(See Reverse Side for Instructions)



Date of Report\_\_\_\_\_, 1980

Contributing Agency

Name of Person Preparing Report

Signature of Agency Administrator

DO NOT USE	THIS SPACE
RECORDED	
VERIFIED	
ADJUSTED	
KEYED/VER.	

DJ-LE-218 (rev. 6/80)

WISCONSIN CRIME INFORMATION BUREAU

-14-



# INSTRUCTIONS FOR PREPARING REPORT

This is the annual return used to collect information concerning law enforcement employes. Please note that we are asking for this form to be completed and returned in the pre-addressed envelope to the Crime Information Bureau no later than July 7, 1980.

- Employe data should be reported as of July 1, 1980.
- Include both full-time and part-time employes, in the appropriate boxes as indicated.
- Include seasonal employes if they were employed as of July 1.
- Include employes on leaves of absence.
- Include specially-funded employes. "Specially-Funded" is defined as being paid 50% or more with Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA) funds or with Federal, State or private grants (for example, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration/Wisconsin Council on Criminal Justice).
- Full-time employes are those persons who work your normal full-time workweek (for example, 40 hours per week).
- ACTUAL SWORN LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS. (Include Chief or Sheriff.) Include only law enforcement officers on your agency's payroll as of July 1. Do not count special officers, merchant police or others who are not paid with law enforcement funds.
- ACTUAL CIVILIAN EMPLOYES. (Do not count school crossing guards.) Include all civilian employes on your department's payroll as of July 1. Include clerks, stenographers, mechanics, etc., who do not have police powers. If they are not paid from police funds do not count them.
- AUTHORIZED EMPLOYES. In this column, please report the authorized number of employes for your agency as of July 1, 1980. The types of employes to be included are as defined above.
- TOTAL EMPLOYES includes minority employes. •
- MINORITY EMPLOYES includes all Non-White employes plus White Hispanic employes. Use the following standard Uniform Crime Reporting definitions for Minority employes.
  - BLACK. A person having origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa.
  - AMERICAN INDIAN or ALASKAN NATIVE. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North America, and who maintains cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community recognition.
  - ASIAN or PACIFIC ISLANDER. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent or the Pacific
  - WHITE HISPANIC. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, North Africa or the Middle East and also of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central or South American or other Spanish culture or origin. Persons of Mexican birth or ancestry who are not definitely Indian or of other Non-White race should be counted as White Hispanics.

Please notify us if any of the TOTAL ACTUAL FULL-TIME EMPLOYE information you report now changes as of October 31. (We are required to report employe data to the National UCR Program as of the end of October.)



WISCONSIN COUNCIL ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 122 WEST WASHINGTON AVENUE MADISON, WISCONSIN 53702 (808) 268-3323

James E. Baugh, Ph.D. EXECUTIVE DIRECTO

July 17, 1980

Dear Project Director:

The Wisconsin Council on Criminal Justice is studying hiring and employment practices by agencies supported with LEAA funds. Your agency has been selected to participate in this study. Your cooperation and return of the attached questionnaire is vital to the success of this effort.

Part II asks for information regarding technical assistance provided to you by WCCJ's Affirmative Action/Equal Employment Opportunity staff in the development, implementation and continuation of your AA/EEO Plan. Please return the questionnaire by July 31. In advance I thank you for

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (608)

Sincerety Michael R. Moskoff, Chief

MRM/mjw

attachment

cc: James E. Baugh, Executive Director

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

Lee Sherman Dreyfus Governor

Please note there are two parts to this study. The first asks for statistics - the numbers of individuals employed by your agency. Please fill in the boxes with the numbers of individuals employed as of July

Research, Evaluation and Policy Section

1017	<u>TAL</u> FULL-TIME EMPLOYES As of July I (Include Minorities)				FULL-TIME MINORITY EMPLOYES as of July 1 (Include These Minority Employes		loyes		
	ACTUAL			in the TOTAL FULL-TIME Employ Figures to the Left)		nploye			
	MALE	FE- MALE	TOTAL	AUTH- ORIZED			ACTU	AL.	
Professional						MALE	FE- MALE	TOTAL	
Clerical					Professional				
			<b>i</b>	1 1					1
Total	1				Clerical		{		4
	— As c	of July I	MPLOYE	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	emplo	YES as	NORITY of July 1		
	As c (Include	-TIME E of July 1 Minorit	ies)	یے ا در ا	Total PART-1 EMPLO (Include The: in the TOTAL	YES as se Minor , PART-	of July 1 ity Emp TIME Er	loves	
	As c (Include	of July 1 Minorit CTUAL FE-	ies)	AUTH-	Total PART-1 EMPLO (Include The: in the TOTAL	YES as a se Minor	of July 1 ity Emp TIME Er	loyes nploye	
	As c (Include	of July I Minorit CTUAL	ies)		Total PART-1 EMPLO (Include The: in the TOTAL	YES as se Minor , PART-	of July 1 rity Emp TIME Er e Left)	loyes nploye	
TOTA	As c (Include	of July 1 Minorit CTUAL FE-	ies)	AUTH-	Total PART-1 EMPLO (Include The: in the TOTAL	YES as of se Minor PART- es to the	of July 1 rity Emp TIME Er 2 Left) ACTUA FE-	loyes nploye	
TOTA	As c (Include A MALE	of July 1 Minorit CTUAL FE-	ies)	AUTH-	Total PART-1 EMPLO (Include The: in the TOTAL Figure	YES as of se Minor PART- es to the	of July 1 rity Emp TIME Er 2 Left) ACTUA FE-	loyes nploye	

Contributing Agency

Project Title

-17-



PART II

Yes

No

1. When did you receive funds from the Wisconsin Council on Criminal Justice (WCCJ)? (Please circle as appropriate)

> 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980

2. Were you required by the WCCJ to develop an Equal Opportunity/ Affirmative Action (EO/AA) plan?

> Yes No

Don't Know

- 3. If yes, how helpful was the WCCJ in assisting you to develop your EO/AA plan?
  - Α. Helpful
  - Somewhat helpful Β.
  - с. Very helpful
  - D. Not helpful
- 4. Did the Technical Assistance provided to you for developing an EO/AA plan result in an improvement in the following area? (Please check as appropriate)
  - A. Increased number of minorities applying for position;
  - B. Increased number of women applying for position;
  - C. Increased minorities on oral selection boards:
  - D. Increased number of women on oral selection boards:
  - E. Increased number of minorities appointed to positions;

------

- F. Increased number of women appointed to positions;
- G. Increased number of minorities receiving training;

- training;
  - ing promotions;
  - moted;
- - A. Improved pe tices for v
  - B. Increased of minorities
  - C. Increased or all employe
- Technical Assistance?
- 8. What is the number of minorities now?
- Technical Assistance?
- 10. What is the number now?
- 11. If no difference exists, please explain why you believe a change has not occurred?
- 12. since receiving WCCJ funds.

Attachment #2 Page: 4 Yes No

H. Increased number of women receiving

I. Increased number of minorities receiv-

J. Increased number of women being pro-

5. If no improvements were made in increasing appointments for minorities and women, please state why; i.e., budget cuts, no implementation of an EO/AA plan, etc.

6. Did the Technical Assistance provided by WCCJ result in:

		Yes	No
ersonnel policies and your agency;	prac-		
overall opportunities and women;	for		
overall opportunities es;	for		

7. What was the number of minorities on your staff before WCCJ's

9. What was the number of women on your staff before the WCCJ's

Please provide any other information on your EO/AA efforts