If you have issues viewing or accessing this file contact us at NCJRS.gov.



NCJ# 75433

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER

Washington, D.C. 20202



THIS DOCUMENT has been printed exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated do not necessarily represent official National Institute of Education position or policy.

Prepared by ERIC Document Reproduction Service Operated by

Computer Microfilm International Corporation P. O. Box 180 Arlington, Virginia 22210

The quality of this document accurately represents the quality of the original document from which it was reproduced.

.

,

DOCUMENT RESUME

| ED 194 720 | CG 008 637 |
|------------------------------------|---|
| AUTHOR TITLE | Crr, Prudie L.; Cochran, Samuel W. Mersonality Characteristics of Farents of Delinquent and Nondelinquent Adolescent Boys as Measured by the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory. |
| PUB DATE NOTE AVAILABLE FROM | [69] 19p. Prudie L. Orr, Department of Psychology, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701 |
| EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS | MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 Plus Postage *Adolescents; *Delinquency Causes; Delinquent Pehavior; Family Life; *Individual Characteristics; Parent Child Relationship; *Parent Influence; Parents; *Psychopathology; Research Projects |
| IDENTIFIERS | Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory |

ABSTRACT

This article describes research conducted to determine the influence of parental personality characteristics on the delinquent behavior of adolescent boys. Two groups of parents (N=18 couples, each group) were administered the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory. Experimental couples' suns had been recognized by the juvenile courts as being delinguent, while control group parents were those whose sons had not engaged in delinguent behavior. Both mothers and fathers of the experimental group parents were found to have more personality disturbance than did parents in the control group. The personality profiles of mothers in the experimental group indicated greater psychopathology than those of the fathers in the same group. (Author/SJL)

NCJRS FEB 10 1981 ACQUISITIONS

| 4 | ****** | * 卒 |
|---|---|-----|
| | Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished | ж, |
| 1 | * materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort | * |
| × | aterials not available from other sources, stone of marginal | 邥 |
| শ | to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal | * |
| | Augibilian are often encountered and this directs the quarter | * |
| | af the migrofiche and hardcopy reproductions fric makes available | |
| | ALA DATE DAENDON' REPRODUCTION SELVICE (SUND). AUGO 10 HUG | 凖 |
| | , Via the Exit Document Reproductions | * |
| 3 | * responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions | ׿ |
| | | |
| | | * * |

· · ·

· · •

· · · ·

•

-*

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL HATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FOLICATION POSITION OR POLICY

PERSONALITY CHARACTERISTICS OF PARENTS OF DELINQUENT AND NONDELINQUENT ADOLESCENT BOYS AS MEASURED BY THE MINNESOTA HULTIPHASIC PERSONALITY INVENTORY Prudie L. Orr¹ and Samuel W. Cochran

East Texas State University

11

Identity theory holds that the child attempts to mold his own ego after the one he has taken as a model (Preud, 1955). Hiller and Dollard (1950) in discussing the process of personality development have paralleled Freud in their emphasis of the importance of the early years of life with general agreement that the child, through identification with his parents, learns basic and lasting behavioral patterns.

In an attempt to better understand and correct psychopathological behavior in children considerable research has been devoted to the study of personality patterns of parents in relation to the disturbed behavior of the child (Goodstein & Rowley, 1950; Lauterbach, Luden & Brian, 1961; Loeb & Price, 1966; and Anderson, 1969). The present study used the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (HMPI) to obtain personality profiles of two groups of

l_{Now} at University of Arkansas. Requests for reprints should be sent to Prudie L. Orr, Department of Fsychology, University of Arkansas, Payetteville, Arkansas 72701.

.

.

۰. ۲

. .

•

.

. د.

•

÷., parents, (1) parents of delinquent adolescent males and (2) parents of nondelinquent adolescent males, to determine the whether there were significant differences in the major personality characteristics of the two groups.

Method

Subjects

Experimental Ss (N=18 couples) were the parents of boys who were referred by county juvenile courts to the Juvenile Services Unit (JSU) of a Hegional Mental Health/Mental Retardation Center for counseling as a result of delinquent behavior. All of the delinquents were white males, ages 13 through 17 with IQs of at least 84 and free of brain damage or gross physical handicaps. Fifteen of the couples were natural parents of their sons and three couples were adoptive parents. However, the adopted sons had lived in these nuclear families before their first birthday. All parents were married and living together at the time of administration of the WEPI and testing was conducted over a three month period.

Control Ss (N=18 couples) were parents who meet the same criteria for selection as experimental Ss, except their sons were not delinquent and had no record of delinquent behavior. Procedure

Experimental Ss were administered the HHPI by JSU personnel according to standardized instructions. Parents were tested in separate testing areas, free of extraneous

3

2

STREET.ST

.

. .

1. Some state and the second se Second seco second sec

•

. • •

:. :.

distractions. Control <u>S</u>s were tested by the senior author under standardized testing procedure. All scoring of the MMPI was performed by Mental Health Center personnel in accordance with standardized procedures and instructions (Marks & Seeman, 1963). Raw scores were obtained and <u>K</u>corrections added according to conventional scoring techniques and the resulting data were converted to <u>T</u> scores.

Results .

The mean scores of the ten clinical scales for experimental and control mothers are listed in Table 1 and for experimental fathers in Table 2. The <u>t</u> test for two independent samples, corrected for unequal ponulation variances, demonstrated that differences between the two mothers' grups were statistically significant on six of the ten scales--Hypochondrissis, Depression, Psychopathic deviate, Psychasthenia, Schizophrenia, and Social introversion. In the fathers' groups, results of the <u>t</u> test showed statistically significant differences on the Psychopathic deviate scale culy. In every case where significant differences were found, they were in the direction of greater elevation of the scales of the two experimental groups.

As an index of degree of disturbance, a frequency count of all clinical scales with scores equal to or greater than 70 was made. Thirteen (72:22%) of the experimental mothers;

4

· · . .

.

•••••• • •

-*** · · ·

e and a second · • • •

and two (11.11%) of the control mothers had \underline{T} scores equal to or greater than 70. In the fathers' group the incidence of <u>Ss</u> having high scores was more evenly divided between experimental and controls. Nine (50%) of the experimental fathers and seven (30.88%) of the control fathers had \underline{T} scores equal to or greater than 70.4

Assuming that the mean score for a normal population is 50, a <u>t</u> test for one independent sample was mide on all scales for each group. Mean scores of experimental mothers, Table 3, showed statistically significant differences on eight of the ten scales--Hypochondriasis, Depression, Hysteria, Psychopathic deviate, Paranoia, Fsychasthenia, Schizophrenia, and Sccial introversion. Hean scores for control mothers, Table 4, showed statistically significant differences on two scales, Hysteria and Paranoia. Hean scores for the experimental fathers, Table 5, were significantly different from 50 on five scales, Hypochondriasis, Depression, Hysteria, Psychopathic deviate, and Hypomania; and mean scores for control fathers, Table 6, showed significant differences on six scales--Hypochondriasis, Hysteria, Psychopathic deviate, Hasculinity-femininity, Paranoia, and Psychasthenia.

Discussion

Group mean profiles for all four groups of Ss were compared to the standardized HNFI population means in order

Э

to obtain basic interpretations.

The control mothers' group showed significant elevations on only two scales, Hysteria and Paranoia. Persons having their highest, or primary, elevations on these two scales tend to repress their feelings and are unaware of competitive impulses. They have a somewhat naive outlook, coupled with a rosy acceptance of things as they are.

In contrast to the control mothers' profile, that of the experimental mothers showed significant elevations on eight of the ten scales when compared to the population mean. Primary elevations were on Depression, Hysteria, Social introversion and Psychopathic deviate scales. Persons with these scales as primary elevations have general neurotic tendencies; they are immature, use self-blame for their difficulties and have problems in getting along with others. They tend to be depressed and are generally cold, ineffectual and rejecting in their interpersonal relationships. This group also had elevations on Paranoia, Psychasthenia, Schizophrenia and Hypochondriasis' scales. While these scales were not included in the primary elevations they were statistically significant in their elevations from the standardized mean and are associated with suspiciousness and aloofness.

Control fathers had significant elevations, when compared to the population mean, on Hypochondriasis, Hysteria,

.

. . · · · · ·

and the second second

•

٠.

۰.

1 -1 - 1 - 1

 $\{1,\dots,n,n\} \in \{1,\dots,n\}$

and a second · · , and the second second

.

.

. (

Psychopathic deviate, asculinity-femininity, Paranoia and Psychasthenia scales with primary elevations occurring on Hysteria and fasculinity-femininity scales. Overall profile for the control fathers was notable for its lack of marked fluctuations between scales; this type profile is indicative of stability and tends to attenuate the significance of the interpretation of primary elevations.

The experimental fathers had significant elevations on Hypochondriasis, Depression, Hysteria, Psychopathic deviate and Hypomania scales with primary elevations on Psychopathic deviate, Depression and Hypochondriasis. The distinguishing high-point or peak on the profile occurred on the Psychopathic deviate scale. Usual personality characteristics associated with this pattern include noninternalization of social norms, tendency to have noor impulse control and lack of regard for others. Adherence to socially accepted conventions may be verbalized, but these statements are often disregarded in actual behavior. Excessive regard for self is usually present, coupled with emotional isolation, immaturity and hos\$ility.

Although comparisons of the groups to the standardized means were necessary to demonstrate basic interpretative summaries, the central purpose of the study was comparison of two different groups of parents. Ss were controlled for their marital status (all married and living together), for race (Caucasian), for

· . .

. -•

: ••••

• •.

: • • . •

• $(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) = (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) + (\mathbf{r$

ages of children (13 through 17) and for sex of children (all males). Exclusion of all but intact families insured continuous interaction between parents and sons. Some uncontrolled factors were ages of parents, number of children and ordinal position of the \underline{Ss} ' sons in the family:

Comparisons of HEPIs between the two mothers' groups revealed that all significant differences were in the direction of greater pathology for the experimental mothers.

In personality make-up, the experimental mothers, as compared to controls, exhibited essentially the same characteristics as described in their comparison to the population norm. They demonstrated coldness, lack of ability to have meaningful and close relationships with others, and an immature and unstable outlook. They had a tendency to have a great deal of concern for themselves and their own wellbeing (to the exclusion of others) and to react to stress with symptoms of depression. The control mothers displayed more stability and emotional maturity in all single scales as well as in inter-scale relationships.

The only between-group difference for the fathers was found in the Psychopathic deviate scale, in the direction of greater pathology for the experimental fathers. While we control fathers did have an elevation of the Psychopathic deviate scale (p<.05) when compared to the population mean,

ð

they did not display elevation of this scale in relation to their total profile.

8

The Psychopathic deviate scale was important in that it was found to be elevated in both the fathers' experimental group and in the mothers' experimental group. The mothers' Psychopathic deviate was elevated significantly from the population mean (p<.001) as well as being higher (p<.01); than the control mothers' score. Experimental fathers had Psychopathic deviate as their primary elevation, significant at the p<.001 level compared to the population mean, and at the p<.01 level in comparison with control fathers. Elevations of the scale are indicative of pcor impulse control and a tendency to display anti-social behavior, coupled with lack of concern for others. It is notable that although no direct MPI comparisons were obtained for some, delinquent behavior is often associated with the characteristics descriptive of elevated Psychopathic deviate scales.

While many interpretations of these data may be made, one possible conclusion is that the delinquent sons, through identification with their parents, adopt the paramts' personality patterns including the tendency to have poor impulse control and disregard for social conventions so etrikingly displayed by the fathers, and to a lesser though significant extent by the mothers. Further, the immaturity, coldness and concern for self found in the

Ŷ

· .

ŝ.

•

· • :

and the second second

a a a star a mothers, combined with the fathers' lack of regard for others, their hostility and tendency to impulsive behavior is productive of an atmosphere lacking in affection, companionship consistent discipline and warmth. Such an atmosphere is analagous to the conditions described by Glueck & Glueck (1962) in their formulation of familial interaction factors correlated with juvenile delinquency.

This study suggests the possibility of use of the EMPI as a screening device for early detection of parents whose personality characteristics are likely to foster future delinquent behavior in their sons.

10

.

. . . .

40 10

•

.•

•••• • • • •

. L

•

References

Alexander, F., & Selesnick, S. The history of psychiatry. New York: Harper & Row, 1966.

Anderson, L. Personality characteristics of parents of neurotic, aggressive and normal pre-adolescent boys. Journal of consulting & clinical psychology, 1956,33, 575-581.

Bandura, A., & Malters, R. Adolescent appression. Mey York: Ronald Press, 1959.

Butcher, J. H. H. PI characteristics of externatizing and internalizing boys and their parents. Paper presented at the First Conference on Recent Developments in the Use of the HEPI Hinneapolis, Harch, 1966.

Butcher, J. H. (Ed.) <u>MIPI: Research developments and</u>

clinical applications. New York: McGraw-Hill Co., 1969.

Dahlstrom, M. G., & Melsh, G. S. <u>An MEPI handbook</u>. Hinneavolis: University of Hinnesota Press, 1960.

English, H. B., & English, A. C. <u>A comprehensive dictionary</u> of psychological and psychoanalytical terms. Toronto: Longmans, Green & Co., 1958.

Freud, S. Group psychotherapy and the analysis of the ego. <u>Standard edition of the complete psychological works</u> <u>of Sigmund Freud</u>. Volume 18. London: Hogarth Press, 1955.

. . .

•

Glueck, S., & Glueck, E. <u>Unraveling juvenile delinquency</u>. New York: Commonwealth Fund, 1950.

Glueck, S., & Glueck, E. <u>Predicting juvenile delinquency &</u> <u>crime</u>. Cambridge, Hassachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1959.

Glueck, S., & Glueck, E. Family environment and delinquency; Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1962.

Goodstein, L. D., & Rowley, V. H. A further study of MIPI differences between parents of disturbed and nondisturbed children. <u>Journal of consulting psychology</u>, 1961,25,460.
Kleinmuntz, F. <u>Personality measurement</u>. Homewood, Filinois: Dorsey Press, 1967.

Lauterbach, C., London, P., & Bryan, Jr. [19]PI's of parents of child guidance cases. Journal of clinical psychology, 1961, 27, 151-154.

- Loeb, J., & Price, J. R. Fother and child personality characteristics related to parental marital status in child guidance cases. <u>Journal of consulting psychology</u>, 1966, 30,112-117.
- The actuarial description of <u>abnormal personality</u>. Baltimore: Williams & Williams Co., 1963.

12

-

н

and the second

· .

•

•

Hiller, H. E., & Dollard, Jr. <u>Personality and psychotherapy</u>. Rev York: EcCrav-Hill, 1950.

12

Nye, F. I., Child Adjustment in broken and unbroken unhappy homes. <u>Harriage and family living</u>, 1957,19,356-361. Saranson, I. G. <u>Personality: An objective approach</u>.

New York: Miley & Sons, 1967.

Sherman, S. N., in Ackerman, N. W., Reatman, F. L., & Sherman, M. H., (Eds.) <u>Exploring the base for family</u> <u>therapy</u>. New York: Family Service Association of America, 1962.

Stern, R. S. Broken homes and the violation of delinquency norms in 1st offenses among juveniles. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pennsylvania) Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1962.

Thompson, R. E. A validation of the Glueck social prediction scale for proneness to delinquency. <u>Journal of criminal</u> <u>law</u>, criminal & police science, 1952,43,451-478.

Thompson, R. E. Further validation of the Glueck social predictions table for identifying potential delinquents. <u>Journal of criminal law, criminal & police science</u>, 1957, 175-184.

14 · · ·

•

- **x***

· · · · .:

. .

Table 1

Mean MMPI Clinical Scale \underline{T} Scores for Two Independent Samples

Mothers

Experimental Group

Control Group

13

| MMPI Scales | Mean | Standard Deviation | Mean | Standard De vi ation | <u>t</u> test |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Hypochondriasis | 60.00 | 13.50 | 51.61 | 6.03 | 2.40* |
| Depression | 66.72 | 13.03 | 51.00 | 7.62 | 4.43### |
| Hysteria | 66.33 | 12.48 | 58.17 | 5.49 | .86 |
| Psychopathic deviate | 63.28 | 10.54 | 52 .7 2 | 7.41 . | 3.47** |
| Masculinity-femininity | 47.78 | 9.55 | 46.2 7 | 9.21 | .40 |
| Paranoia | 60.61 | 12.93 | 56.50 | 7.16 | 1.18 |
| Psychasthenia | 59.94 | 12.09 | 48.89 | 8.59 | 3.16** |
| Schizophrenia | 60.11 | 12.82 | 50.94 | 5.75 | 2.76* |
| Hypomania | 59.67 | 9.83 | 50.50 | 6.15 | .30 |
| Social introversion | 63.16 , | 10.05 | 49.44 | 8.30 | 4.47*** |
| | | | | | |

<u>N</u> = 18, each group <u>df</u> = 34 ^{*}p .05 ^{**}p .01 ^{****}p .001

.

···· · · · · ·

· .

. .

• .

Table 2

Hean $\operatorname{He}\operatorname{PI}$ Clinical Scale <u>T</u> Scores for Two Independent Samples

Fathers

| Experimental | Group | | Control Group | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| MMPI Scales | Nean | Standard Deviation | Mean | Standard Deviation | <u>t</u> test |
| liypochondriasis | 59.89 | 16.42 | 56.55 | 8.28 | .77 |
| Depression | 60.11 | 12.97 | 53.39 | 13.58 | 1.52 |
| llysteria | 60.06 | 10.75 | 57.17 | 5.51 | .31 |
| Psychopathic deviate | 65.00 | 8.72 | د بر پر | 9.07 | 3.13** |
| asculinity-femininity | 51.44 | 8.13 | 57.05 | 12.09 | 1.64 |
| Paranoia | 52,22 | 14.61 | 54.50 | 6.60 | .60 |
| Psychasthenia | 54.22 | 11.47 | 55.05 | 9.03 | .24 |
| Schizophrenia | 57.17 | 15.47 | 53.44 | 7.20 | .88 |
| llypomania | 54.72 | 8.37 | 52.72 | 10.60 | .62 |
| Social introversion | 52.00 | 8.35 | 47.50 | 6.37 | 1.54 |
| N = 18, each grou | n station | | • | | |

<u>df</u> = 34 ·

« p .01

15

? .

•

•

•

| liean il PI | Clinical Scale <u>T</u> Sco | res for | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------|---------------------|
| One Sample: Hypo | thesis that liean of l | Population | 1s 50 | |
| E | xperimental lothers | | • • | · · · |
| H.P.I | Hean Standardized | Rean of | S.D., | <u>t</u> |
| Scales | Population Score | Sample | Sample | test |
| Hypochondriasis | 50 | 60.00 | 13.50 | 3.14* |
| Depression | 50 | 66.72 | 13.03 | 5.45*** |
| liysteria | 50 | 56.33 | 12.48 | 5·55### |
| Psychopathic deviate | 50 | 63.28 | 10.54 | 5.35 ^{###} |
| Masculinity-femininity | 50 | 47.78 | 9.55 | •99 |
| Paranoia | 50 | 60.61 | 12,93 | 3.48** |
| Psychasthenia | 50 | 59.94 | 12,09 | 3.49** |
| Schizophrenia | 50 | 60.11 | 12.82 | 3.35** |
| Hypomania | 50 | 49.67 | 9.83 | •14 |
| Social introversion | 50 | 63.16 | 10.05 | 5.55*** |

Table 3

<u>N</u> = 18 <u>df</u> = 17 *p .05 **p .01

^{###}₽ .001

16

· · · · · ·

, ,

. .

· · ·

| Fable | _4 | |
|-------|----|--|
|-------|----|--|

Mean MMPI Glinical Scale T Scores for

One Sample: Hypothesis that Mean of Population is 50

| | Control Mothers | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------|--------------|----------------------|
| MMPI | Mean Standardized | Mean of | S.D., | t |
| Scales | Population Score | Sample | Sample | test |
| Hypochondriasis | S0 | 51.61 | 6.03 | 1.13 |
| Depression | 50 | 51.00 | 7.62 | .56 |
| Hysteria | 50 | 58.17 | 5.49 | 6.33 ⁸²³ |
| Psychopathic deviate | 50 | 52.72 | 7.41 | 1.55 |
| Masculinity-femininity | 50 | 46.27 | 9.21 | 1.72 _{- 40} |
| Paranoia | - 50 | 56.50 | 7.16 | 3.85 ^{##} |
| Psychasthenia | 50 | 48.89 | 8. 59 | • 55 |
| Schizophrenia | 50 | 50.94 | 5.75 | .69 |
| Hypomania | 50 | 50.50 | 6.15 | . 34 |
| Social introversion | 50 | 49.44 | 8.30 | .29 |
| | | | | |

 $\underline{N} = 18$ $\underline{df} = 17$ $\underline{awp} \cdot 01$ $\underline{awp} \cdot 001$

* * •

•

na poste de la construcción de la c

. . .

an an an an ann an tha bhaile an an tha an an tha an tha an tha an tha an tha an tha an the second second secon The second sec The second se

| Tabl. | e 5 | |
|-------|-----|--|
|-------|-----|--|

Mean MidPI Clinical Scale \underline{T} Scores for

One Sample: Hypothesis that Mean of Population is 50

| Experimental | Rathang |
|--------------|---------|
| EXDerimental | rauners |
| | |

| • | | _ | | • |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------|--------|-----------------------------|
| THAL | Nean Standardized | Mean of | S.D., | t |
| Scales | Population Score | Sample | Sample | test |
| Hypochondriasis | 50 | 59.89 | 16.42 | 2.56# |
| Depression | 50 | 60.11 | 12.97 | 3 . 30 ^{#4} |
| Hysteria | 50 | 60.06 | 10.75- | 3.98*** |
| Psychopathic deviate | 50 | 65.00 | 8.72 | 7 · 28868 |
| Masculinity-femininity | 50 | 51.44 | 8.13 | •75 |
| Paranoia | 50 | 52.22 | 14.61 | .65 |
| Psychasthenia | 50 | 54.22 | 11.47 | 1.56 |
| Schizophrenia | 50 ,^ | 57.17 | 15.47 | 1.96 |
| Hypomania | 50 | 54.72 | 8.37 | 2.40* |
| Social introversion | 50 | 52.00 | 8,35 | 1.02 |
| | | | | |

 $\underline{N} = 18$ $\underline{df} = 17$ $\overset{\times}{\underline{p}} \cdot 05$ $\overset{\times}{\underline{p}} \cdot 01$ $\overset{\times}{\underline{p}} \cdot 001$

18

•

Ч.,

· . . ,

· . ·

•

9. . .

and the production and the second to the second the second structure second second to be a second second second

Mean MMPI Clinical Scale \underline{T} Scores for One Sample: Hypothesis that Kean of Population is 50

Table 6

| | Control Fathers | • | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------|--------|---------|
| MMPI | Mean Standardized | Mean of | S.D., | t |
| Scales | Population Score | Sample | Sample | test |
| Hypochondriasis | 50 | 56.55 | 8.28 | 3.36** |
| Depression | 50 | 5:.39 | 13.58 | 1.06 |
| Hysteria | 50 | 57.17 | 5.51 | 5.52*** |
| Psychoprthic deviate | 50 | 55.72 | 9.07 | 2:67* |
| Masculinity-femininity | 50 | 57.05 | 12.09 | 2-478 |
| Paranoia | 50 | 54.50 | 6.60 | 2.88* |
| Psychasthenia | 50 | 55.05 | 9.03 | 2.37* |
| Schizophrenia | 50 | 53.44 | 7.20 | 2.02 |
| Hypoman la | 50 | 52.72 | 10.60 | 1.09 |
| Social introversion | 50 | 47.50 | 6.37 | 1.67 |
| <u>N</u> = 18 | | | | |
| <u>df = 17</u> | · · | | | |

18

19

.05

.01

.001

₽¤p

^{a a a} p

. .

-: ,