National Criminal Justice Reference Service

ncjrs

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice United States Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20531

4 1

3-29-82

# State of Maine

# ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS



WAL REPORT

ME

State of Maine

## ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

P.O. Box 4820 DTS 66 Pearl Street Portland, Maine 04112 207-775-1500

Elizabeth D. Beishaw State Court Administrator

March 5, 1979

Chief Justice Vincent L. McKusick Governor Joseph E. Brennan Members of the 109th Legislature

Pursuant to 4 M.R.S.A. § 17, subsection 10, transmitted herewith is the third annual report of the Administrative Office of the Courts. This report is for the calendar year 1978.

Elizabeth D. Belshaw State Court Administrator

NCHRS

580 a pol

Actions

. . . . . . .

1460

EB:kg

#### U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been Judicial Department State of

Maine

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permis-sion of the copyright owner.

Maine Judicial Depa Capsule History of Supreme Judicial Co Superior Court. District Court. . Administrative Cour Administrative Offi Administrative Offi Fiscal.... Facilities Study. County Law Library Personnel System. . Trial Court Administ Forms Committees. . Administrative Court Judicial Department Advisory Committee of Judicial Conference Criminal Justice Sen Judicial Education. Non-Judicial Trainin Superior Court Stati District Court Stati Legislature . . . .

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE NO.
Maine Judicial Department Chart	1
Capsule History of the Maine Judicial Department	2
Supreme Judicial Court and Law Court.	••• 2
Superior Court.	· · <i>Z</i>
District Court.	· · · ·
Administrative Court	•••
Administrative Office of the Courts	• • 4
Administrative Office of the Courts' Relocation	5
Fiscal.	• • 5
Facilities Study	5-10
Facilities Study	10,11
County Law Library Study	11
Personnel System.	12,13
Trial Court Administration Team	. 13
Forms Committees.	. 13,14
Administrative Court Reorganization	. 14,15
Judicial Department Committees.	. 15,16
Advisory Committee on Court Management and Policy	. 16,17
Judicial Conference of Maine	. 17
Criminal Justice Sentencing Institute	. 18
Judicial Education.	. 18,19
Non-Judicial Training	. 19,20
Superior Cour: Statistical Reporting System	. 20.21
District Court Statistical Reporting System	. 21
Legislature	. 21.22
Maintain Liaison	22

PAGE NO

								E NO.
Investigate Complaints	•				•		•	22
Appendices .								
I. Law Court Statistics								23-27
II. Superior Court Statistics	•	•	•••	•	•	•	•	28-129
III. District Court Statistics	•	•	•••	•	•	·	•	130-1/9
IV. Administrative Court Statistics	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	19()

. .

. -

DISTRICT COURT Chief Judge 14 Judges 5 Judges-at-Large<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>One Active Retired Justice. <sup>2</sup>One Active Retired Justice. <sup>3</sup>Five Active Retired Judges.

4 1

A CONTRACT

Construction of the second

Ĩ.

I

## MAINE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT



.

#### A CAPSULE HISTORY OF THE MAINE JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

Until the signing of the Articles of Agreement for Separation in 1820, Maine was a part of Massachusetts and, therefore, Maine's court system was a part of the Massachusetts court system.

In 1820, Article VI, Section 1, of the new Maine Constitution created by the Legislature established the judicial branch of government stating: "The judicial power of the State shall be vested in a Supreme Judicial Court, and such other courts as the Legislature shall from time to time establish". From the start of statehood, the Supreme Judicial Court was both a trial court and an appellate court or "Law Court". The new State of Maine also adopted the same lower court structure as existed in Massachusetts, and the court system remained unchanged until 1852.

The Court Reorganization Act of 1852 increased the jurisdiction of the Supreme Judicial Court to emcompass virtually every type of case, increased the number of justices to seven and authorized the justices to travel in circuits.

The next major change in the system came in 1929, when the Legislature created the statewide Superior Court to relieve the overburdened Supreme Judicial Court.

Meanwhile, the lower courts continued to operate much as they always had until 1961 when the municipal courts and the trial justices system was abolished and the new District Court created.

On July 1, 1978, the Administrative Court was added to the Judicial Department.

The Probate Courts were created in 1820 as county-based courts and have remained so to date.

#### SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT AND LAW COURT

The Supreme Judicial Court is the highest court in Maine, and as the Law Court is the court of final appeal. The Law Court hears appeals of civil and criminal cases from the Superior Court, appeals of decisions of certain administrative agencies, interlocutory criminal appeals, and appeals of decisions of a single justice of the Supreme Judicial Court. A justice of the Supreme Judicial Court has jurisdiction to sit in the Superior Court to hear non-jury civil actions, except divorce or annulment of marriage. In addition, a single justice

Constant of the second

to be a set of the set

m

II.

節

The justices of the Supreme Judicial Court make decisions regarding legislative apportionment and render advisory opinions concerning important questions of law and on solemn occasions when requested by the Governor, Senate or House of Representatives. Three members of the Supreme Judicial Court serve as the Appellate Division for the review of sentences.

The Supreme Judicial Court has seven members; the Chief Justice and six Associate Justices. The justices must be lawyers and are appointed by the Governor for seven year terms, with the consent of the Legislature. The Court determines the number, time and places of its terms depending on the volume of cases. Usually, the Court sits in Portland.

By statute, the Chief Justice is head of the Judicial Department, and the Supreme Judicial Court has general administrative and supervisory authority over the Judicial Department.

Upon retirement, a Supreme Judicial Court justice may be appointed an Active Retired Justice by the Governor, for a seven year term, with the consent of the Legislature. On assignment by the Chief Justice, an Active Retired Justice has the same authority as an active justice.

The Superior Court was created by the Legislature in 1929 as Maine's trial court of general jurisdiction. This means the court has original jurisdiction over all matters (either exclusively or concurrently with other courts) which are not within the jurisdiction of the Supreme Judicial Court sitting as the Law Court or within the exclusive jurisdiction of the District Court. This is the only court in which civil and criminal jury trials are held. The Superior Court is the Supreme Court of Probate and, therefore, is the first appeal court for probate cases. In addition, justices of this court hear appeals from District Court in some criminal, juvenile and divorce cases, and appeals from the Administrative Court.

There are 14 justices of the Superior Court who hold sessions of the Court in each of the 16 counties. The justices must be lawyers and are appointed by the Governor for seven year terms, with the consent of the Legislature. For administrative purposes, the State is divided into three regions, and the Chief Justice appoints a Regional Presiding Justice for each region.

Upon retirement, a Superior Court justice may be appointed an Active Retired Justice by the Governor for a seven year term, with the consent of the Legislature. On assignment by the Chief Justice, an Active Retired Justice has the same authority as an active justice.

-2-

handles post conviction habeas corpus and both admission to the bar and bar disciplinary proceedings.

#### SUPERIOR COURT

#### DISTRICT COURT

The District Court was created by the Legislature in 1961 as Maine's court of limited jurisdiction. The court has original jurisdiction in non-felony criminal cases and ordinance violations, can accept guilty pleas in felony cases and conducts probable cause hearings in felony cases. The court has concurrent jurisdiction with the Superior Court in divorce cases and civil cases involving less than \$20,000. The District Court is the small claims court (for cases involving less than \$800) and the juvenile court. In addition, the court hears mental health, forceable entry and detainer, quiet title and foreclosure cases.

There are 20 judges of the District Court; the Chief Judge who is appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Judicial Court, five judges-at-large who serve throughout the state, and 14 judges who sit within the 13 districts of the court. The judges must be lawyers and are appointed by the Governor, for seven year terms, with the consent of the Legislature.

Upon retirement, a District Court judge may be appointed an Active Retired Judge by the Governor for a seven year term, with the consent of the Legislature. On assignment by the Chief Judge, an Active Retired Judge has the same authority as an active judge.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE COURT

The Administrative Court was created by the Legislature in 1973 and is a statewide court. Prior to July 1, 1978, the Court had jurisdiction over suspension and revocation of licenses by a specific list of executive agencies.

Effective July 1, 1978, the Legislature substantially expanded the jurisdiction of the Administrative Court. Now, other than in emergency situations, the Administrative Court has "..exclusive jurisdiction upon complaint of an agency or, if the licensing agency fails or refuses to act within a reasonable time, upon complaint of the Attorney General, to revoke or suspend licenses issued by the agency, and shall have original jurisdiction upon complaint of a licensing agency to determine whether renewal or reissuance of a license of that agency may be refused..".

There are two judges of the Administrative Court; the Administrative Court Judge and the Associate Administrative Court Judge. The judges must be lawyers and are appointed by the Governor for seven year terms, with the consent of the Legislature.

1975.

The office is directed by the State Court Administrator who is appointed by and serves at the pleasure of the Chief Justice. Staff for the Administrative Office is appointed by the State Court Administrator, with the approval of the Chief Justice, and includes the following permanent positions:

By statute, the office was created to serve the entire Judicial Department in the areas of caseflow management, statistics, facilities, personnel, training, liaison, systems management, fiscal management, budget, complaints, Judicial Conference and general support staff. These duties are enumerated in 4 M.R.S.A. § 17 and are performed under the supervision of the Chief Justice.

5

In October, the Administrative Office of the Courts moved into its present location on the third floor at 66 Pearl Street in Portland, after suffering through 10 months of having a building

The mailing address is P. O. Box 4820 Downtown Station (or DTS), Portland, Maine 04112, and the telephone number is (207)

All expenditure and revenue data are reported for the State fiscal year ended June 30, 1978. The Judicial Department operates from State funds appropriated by the Legislature.

-4-

# ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

The Administrative Office of the Courts was created in

- State Court Administrator
- Regional Court Administrators (4)
- Fiscal Director
- Accountant
- Accounting Clerk (2)
- Secretary

# ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS' RELOCATION

### FISCAL

-5-

#### Expenditures

Judicial Department expenditures for FY 1978 totaled \$7,536,596. Listed below is a breakdown of expenditures for FY 1977 and FY 1978 and the percent change.

	FY 1977	<u>FY 1978</u>	Percent Change
Supreme Judicial Court Superior Court District Court Administrative Office Special Projects	\$ 592,990 2,943,155 2,655,453 235,751 89,425	\$ 801,153 3,268,550 3,203,608 233,915 29,370	35.1 11.1 20.6 (-) .8 (-) <u>67.2</u>
Total	\$6,516,774	\$7,536,5 <u>9</u> 6	15.6

In this report, special grant projects is shown as a separate expenditure category. In the 1977 report, special projects were included in the expenditures of the court with which they were most closely associated. For example, Juvenile Court Intake Project expenditures were included in the District Court; those of the court system personnel study were included in the Supreme Judicial Court.

We have continued to reflect in the Supreme Judicial Court totals expenditures of the Judicial Council, in the Administrative Office of the Courts totals expenditures of the Sentencing Institue, and in the Superior Court totals statutory payments to County Law Libraries.

New special projects have been started since July 1978, but the expenditures for these will not be reflected until the 1979 annual report.

Of the \$233,915 in expenditures shown for the Administrative Office of the Courts, an appreciable amount (\$18,017) are for department-wide costs such as the Sentencing Institute, personnel appeal board expenses, forms revision and printing.

Following are three charts. The first shows the proportion of total FY 1978 Judicial Department expenditures for each department division, and the second shows the proportion of total FY 1978 State operating expenditures for each of the three branches of government. The third shows total Judicial Department FY 1978 expenditures by source of funding.

-6-



CHART 1

CHART 2

Î

M



Revenue

Judicial Department revenue for FY 1978 totaled \$5,838,627. Listed below is a source breakdown of that revenue for FY 1977 and FY 1978 and the percent change.

	FY 1977	FY 1978	Percent Change
Superior Court Fines District Court Fees, Fines and Miscellaneous	\$  370,572 4,768,696	\$ 440,393 5,331,311	$\begin{array}{c}18.8\\11.6\end{array}$
Special Project Grants	278,506	62,448 (	-) <u>77.6</u>
Total	\$5,417,774	\$5,834,152	7.7

All Judicial Department revenue, except grant monies, is deposited in the General Fund. However, some of the revenue from each trial court is dedicated to State and local agencies. Below is a list of agencies receiving dedicated revenue from the Superior Court in FY 1978:

	1. 2.	In1 Mar
Bel from the	low is Dist	a l: rict
	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	Agr: Baxt Cons Fore Heal Inla Mari Muni Publ Tran Wate
Eff fines we tive Jan	ectiv re in uary i	clude
Mon: the fund not be a	ies re s prov llocat	hahiv
The revenues	follo by pr	owing opor
		Dist Fees
	* .	

Î

and Fisheries and Wildlife ine Resources ist of agencies receiving dedicated revenue Court: iculture ter State Park servation estry lth and Welfare and Fisheries and Wildlife ine Resources icipalities lic Utilities Commission nsportation ercraft Registration and Safety Division July 1978, Watercraft Registration and Safety ed with Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, and effec-978, Marine Resources fines were no longer dedicated. ved for grants are dedicated in the sense that are "dedicated" to a specific project and can elsewhere. chart shows total Judicial Department FY 1978 tion from each source. trict Court s and Fines 91.4% 7.5% —— Superior Court Fees and Fines -Federal Grants -TOTAL: \$5,834,152 -9-

.

#### Grants

Federal grants for which the Judicial Department received funds during FY 1978 were:

- 1. Court Planner
- Juvenile Court Intake Project 2.
- Maine Court Management 3.
- Judicial Education 4.
- 5. Non-Judicial Education

#### District Court Building Fund

Pursuant to 4 M.R.S.A. § 163 (3), \$3,000 per month is transferred from the District Court appropriation to the District Court Building Fund. This fund is "to be used solely for the building, remodeling and furnishing of quarters for the District Court ... ". Unlike the remainder of the Judicial Department appropriation, accrued monies in this fund do not revert to the General Fund at the end of each fiscal year, but rather are carried forward from year to year.

During 1978, Chief Judge Danton located new quarters for the Waterville and Calais District Courts and pursued a new location for the Bath District Court.

Renovation of the new space in Waterville was completed and the court moved in December. Renovation of the space in Calais began in December, and the move is planned for March 1979. Also, Bath District Court should be relocated during 1979.

#### FACILITIES STUDY

During 1978, the space problems facing the Judicial Department increased. Too few jury courtrooms for Superior Court, courtrooms that will not accommodate those who must appear in District Court, clerks' office so crowded that copy machines are purchased by size only: These problems and more must be dealt with daily.

In February, 1978, Chief Justice McKusick appointed the Committee on Court Facilities, headed by Associate Justice James P. Archibald of the Supreme Judicial Court and comprised of judges from the Superior and District Courts, to identify the nature and priority of needs for Judicial Department facilities. To assist the Committee in its work, a court facilities consulting group was employed through a grant provided by Maine Criminal Justice Planning and Assistance Agency and, in August, an in-depth analysis cost estimates.

T

The study will be completed early in 1979. Committee recommendations will be forwarded to the Supreme Judicial Court for immediate consideration, and proposed remedial legislation will be submitted to the 109th Legislature.

During 1978, the plight of the County Law Libraries continued to worsen. The rising cost of books, lack of space, loss of books and other problems facing the libraries approached crisis proportions. The emergency financial assistance from the Judicial Department did nothing to allay the problems.

In early summer, federal funds became available, and the long awaited library study became a reality.

This study began in June when two interns provided by the Maine Criminal Justice Planning and Assistance Agency started a volume inventory of each of the 18 library locations. The result of this inventory was a compilation of detailed information concerning the contents of each library, judge's chambers, clerk's office and conference rooms where law books were located.

In September, under a grant provided by the Maine Criminal Justice Planning and Assistance Agency, the Advisory Committee on County Law Libraries, headed by Associate Justice Thomas E. Delahanty of the Supreme Judicial Court, employed a law library consultant to begin the formal study. Products of the study will be an assessment of library needs by location, with recommendations for a standard location collection, a master plan for improvement of library collections and facilities, recommendations for short-term improvements and recommendations regarding monitoring the libraries.

The study is due for completion and submission to the Committee early in 1979 so that Committee recommendations can be forwarded to the Supreme Judicial Court in time to get any necessary legislation before the 109th Legislature.

of court system facilities began. Products of this in-depth study will be a detailed inventory of court facilities, by location; a master plan for the development and improvement of Judicial Department facilities, long range; recommendations for short-term improvements which can be implemented quickly and at minimal cost; a compendium of court facility standards and guidelines; and a phased implementation plan, including

#### COUNTY LAW LIBRARY STUDY

-11-

#### PERSONNEL SYSTEM

Because the compensation scale for court system employees had not been reviewed since its implementation in July 1976, the Supreme Judicial Court instructed the Administrative Office of the Courts to conduct a wage survey to assess whether our employees were receiving pay comparable to that for similar work in a crosssection of the public and private sector. The wage survey conducted showed that we compared well, with a few exceptions. STATES AND

Constant Constant

COUNCILLA ST

Tanan (

Constant of

In our attempts to make use of the information developed during the wage survey, we found there were problems in the existing classification plan as well as in the compensation plan.

In order to solve the existing problems, we began an in-depth six month review of the classification and compensation plans with the assistance of a personnel specialist funded by an LEAA grant. The result of this in-depth review was a new classification plan and a new compensation plan, both of which were promulgated by the Supreme Judicial Court effective December 3, 1978.

Specific problems identified in our former compensation plan and the solutions developed to those problems are as follows:

1. There were no written objectives and policies regarding pay for court system employees. These objectives and policies have been written.

2. There was no basis of comparison for salaries to determine if court employees were underpaid or not. The basis has been established as salaries equivalent to what similar jobs are paid in the relevant marketplace.

3. There was no agreed upon method for changing salary ranges. The policy has been established that salary ranges will be reviewed yearly and adjusted to keep court system pay levels equal to the relevant marketplace, within monies available.

4. There was no clearly established link between pay, performance and experience. A new structure has been designed which provides for periodic progression up the ladder to a "Target Salary". Once an employee reaches the target, progression beyond that point is possible only through superior performance or extensive experience.

5. There was no mechanism for taking inflation into account. The policy has been established that a system-wide cost-of-living adjustment will be made to reflect movement in the Consumer Price Index as modified by appropriate federal guidelines. Obviously, implementation of this policy is dependent upon legislative appropriation. During December, the Administrative Office of the Courts' staff met with the clerks of court to explain the new plans, their structure, function and operation and to answer questions about the changes. Follow-up meetings were held within each clerk's office, during which the clerks explained the new system to their employees and the regional court administrators provided additional information and answered questions.

During the first six months of 1979, additional work will be done to develop required standards of performance necessary for the employee performance evaluation procedure. In addition, the remaining sections of the Court System Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual will be reviewed and revised to be certain they reflect the requirements of the new classification and compensation plans.

The Appeal Board for the court personnel system was established in 1976, pursuant to the Maine Court System Policies and Procedures Manual promulgated by the Supreme Judicial Court. The Board is comprised of three judges, two clerks of court, an official court reporter and a regional court administrator.

During 1978, the Board handled six appeals. Of these appeals one was sustained, three were denied, one was sustained in part and denied in part and one is still pending.

## TRIAL COURT ADMINISTRATION TEAM

In 1977, the Chief Justice and the Chief Judge of the District Court joined the monthly meetings of the Regional Presiding Justices of the Superior Court, the State Court Administrator and the Regional Court Administrators. The monthly meetings now encompass all trial court operations and their purpose is to discuss trial court operation problems, seek internal solutions to those problems and direct implementation of the course of action determined by the group.

The Administration team meets regularly with the Advisory Committee on Court Administration headed by Charles H. Abbott, Esq. In addition, the group meets with staff of the Department of Mental Health and Corrections, court reporters and others involved with court operations to address and resolve the problems raised.

The Superior Court Civil Forms Committee completed its preliminary work during 1978. The proposed set of civil forms is being reviewed initially by the Regional Presiding Justices and will be distributed to Superior Court Justices for their comments before the Committee reconvenes to review the suggestions submitted. Printing and distribution of the complete set of forms is planned for early 1979.

-12-

11 1

#### FORMS COMMITTEES

-13-

During the work sessions, the Committee reviewed all existing civil forms with the resulting recommendation that nine forms be abolished, three consolidated and three new forms created.

The Superior Court Criminal Forms Committee completed its initial work in 1977, when a new set of criminal forms was promulgated throughout the Superior Court.

During 1978, the Committee met to review the existing forms in light of changes in the law and the knowledge accumulated through 12 months of use.

As a result of these meetings, 12 forms were partially or totally revised and three new forms were created.

The District Court Civil Forms Committee completed its preliminary work by the end of 1978. This work involved an in-depth review of every civil form in use in District Court. The result of this review was a proposed set of forms which will be submitted to Chief Judge Danton early in 1979.

During its work sessions, the Committee eliminated 10 of the existing forms, consolidated two others and created two new forms.

Printing and distribution of the new set of District Court civil forms is planned during 1979.

The District Court Criminal Forms Committee began work on an in-depth review of all the existing forms during 1978 and plans to complete its work in 1979. Part of this Committee's work has been to design a set of new juvenile forms necessitated by promulgation of the new Juvenile Code in 1978.

The judges, regional court administrators and clerks on these committees have spent many hours reviewing existing forms, rewriting them as necessary, consolidating forms when possible, eliminating forms when possible and researching and writing new forms as necessary. The result of their work is reviewed by the Regional Presiding Justices (Superior Court forms) and Chief Judge Danton (District Court forms) as well as other interested judges and clerks, so that the final product disseminated for use throughout the system is as correct and useful as possible. The work of these committees has substantial impact on the operation of the court system.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE COURT REORGANIZATION

Pursuant to P. L. 1977 Chapter 551, the Administrative Court was reconstituted and placed within the Judicial Department,

effective July 1, 1978 (see 4 M.R.S.A. § 1151 et seq. and 5 M.R.S.A. § 10051). Implementation of the expanded jurisdiction of the court necessitated a reorganization of its operations which resulted in creation of a clerk's position and two secretarial positions. The Associate Administrative Court Judge position created in 1977 was also filled as part of the implementation process.

in Portland.

12

Ď

M

A limited statistical reporting system was developed for the Administrative Court shortly after July 1, and caseflow statistics for the first six months of the reconstituted court's operations are located in Appendix IV.

There are 14 functional committees within the Judicial Department. The purpose of these committees is to assist the Chief Justice, the Supreme Judicial Court and the Chief Judge of the District Court in carrying out their respective responsibilities.

Membership of the committees includes judges, lawyers and private citizens. Below is a list of the committees subdivided by appointing authority:

#### Committee

Advisory Committe Management and Committee on Cour Committee on Cour Legislation Advisory Committe Law Libraries Committee on Cour Counsel Committee on Cont: Judicial Educat Committee on Court Reporters Advisory Committee Administration Committee on the Judicial Confer

-14-

2 1

The Court established its headquarters at 66 Pearl Street

#### JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT COMMITTEES

#### Chief Justice

#### Chairman

ee on Court d Policy	Associate Justice Sidney W. Wernick
rt Faciĺities rt	Associate Justice James P. Archibald Chief Justice Vincent L. McKusick
ee on County	Associate Justice Thomas E. Delahanty
rt Appointed	Associate Justice Charles A. Pomeroy
tinuing ation	Associate Justice Edward S. Godfrey
rt .	Associate Justice David A. Nichols
ee on Court	Charles H. Abbott. Esq.
1979 erence	Justice Louis Scolnik

-15-

## Supreme Judicial Court

#### Committee

#### Chairman

Gene Carter, Esq.
William B. Troubh, Esq.
Frank E. Hancock, Esq.
· •
Justice Herbert T. Silsby II
5
Colin C. Hampton
*

#### District Court

Committee

## Chairwoman

Court Policy and Advisory Committee

#### Judge Harriet P. Henry

T

#### ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON COURT MANAGEMENT AND POLICY

In 1977, Maine was chosen as one of six pilot states for a national study of court planning capabilities under the auspices of the National Center for State Courts and funded by a discretionary grant from the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration.

In 1978, the Chief Justice appointed the Advisory Committee on Court Management and Policy to direct Maine's project, and a staff person was employed to serve the Committee. The Committee consists of one Associate Justice of the Supreme Judicial Court as Chairman, two Justices of the Superior Court, the Chief Judge of the District Court and one additional District Court Judge.

Rather than develop a court planning capability along the lines of a periodic general statement of court system goals or a court system master plan, the Committee, with the approval of the Supreme Judicial Court, established an issue-oriented structured decision making process through which the Committee studies specific problems or issues and recommends a specific solution to the Supreme Judicial Court. If the Supreme Judicial Court accepts the recommendation of the Committee (and to date the Court has in every instance) implementation of the recommendation follows. The Committee has completed studies and forwarded recommendations to the Supreme Judicial Court in areas as law-oriented as sequestration of jurors and as citizen-oriented as a Juror Handbook. During 1979, the Committee will, at the request of the Judicial Council, complete a study of the judges' pension plan and, on its own initiative, an in-depth review of the small claims process. The First Portland. Purs Conference atto Chief Justice.

The Conference began with an address by Professor Geoffrey Hazard of the Yale University Law School, who is the Reporter for the American Bar Association Commission on Standards of Judicial Administration. During his address Professor Hazard discussed the purpose of the standards and pointed out areas in which Maine's court system complied with or did not comply with the Standards.

After the address, the plenary session discussed in detail the points raised during the address.

Also on the first day's program was a report from the Advisory Committee on Court Administration, chaired by Charles H. Abbott, Esq., on how the Maine Bar sees the Maine court system. Suggestions for improvement in court operations were discussed by the Committee and the judges.

During the morning of the second day of the Conference, Superior Court justices and District Court judges separated into individual groups. The Superior Court justices discussed pre-trial conferences and the District Court judges discussed judicial decorum, statutory provisions regarding commitment of the mentally retarded and court appointed counsel fees.

That afternoon, in the plenary session, the judges discussed the courts and their relationship to the community as well as trial de novo.

The final morning of the Conference was devoted to the individual courts developing a priority list of improvements for the Maine Court System and then convening to develop a joint listing which was presented to and discussed with the Chief Justice and the Supreme Judicial Court.

The Conference ended with luncheon addresses by the Governor and the Chief Justice.

-16-

Maine's project will receive national recognition in 1979 through an article to be published in the National Center for State Courts State Court Journal.

#### JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF MAINE

The First Judicial Conference was held on February 2-4 in Portland. Pursuant to 4 M.R.S.A. § 471, all members of the Conference attended the meeting in that all Maine judges convened to advise and consult with the Supreme Judicial Court and the

-17-

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE SENTENCING INSTITUTE

Pursuant to 4 M.R.S.A. § 454, the second annual Criminal Justice Sentencing Institute was held in Portland on December 14 and 15. Because the number of persons authorized to attend the Institute exceeds the number which any existing penal institution can accommodate, the Judicial Council convened the Institute outside the state correctional facility mandated for the meeting by statute.

All Maine judges, members of the Criminal Division of the • Attorney General's office, prosecutors, representatives of the Department of Mental Health and Corrections, law enforcement officials, members of the bar and the public attended the meeting. Ξī.

T

A subcommittee of the Judicial Council under the chairmanship of Richard S. Cohen, Deputy Attorney General, planned the 1978 program.

After a welcome by the Chief Justice, the first day's agenda consisted of three panel discussions: "Judicial Discretion and Sentence Disparity"; the "Appellate Division of the Supreme Judicial Court"; and, "Public Perception of Sentencing". Panelists and moderators included members of the Appellate Division, Superior Court justices, District Court judges, a prosecutor, a law enforcement official and out-of-state judges and professors recognized as knowledgeable in the area of sentencing.

The second day's program was divided into two sections. The first section comprised presentations by the heads of the state correctional institutions, outlining the available programs for those sentenced to the institution. The second section was a panel discussion by the same group of current problems facing the Bureau of Corrections.

#### JUDICIAL EDUCATION

The Judicial Department continued its existing policy of actively promoting continuing judicial education through funds provided by the Maine Criminal Justice Planning and Assistance Agency. Maine judges attended the following seminars:

1. Thirteen justices of the Superior Court attended the Ten State Regional Education Seminar sponsored by the National Conference of State Trial Judges, the American Bar Association and The National Judicial College.

2. Two justices of the Superior Court attended the General Jurisdiction Court Seminar at The National Judicial College.

3. One Superior Court justice attended a Special National Workshop on Pre-trial Release sponsored by the National Association of Pre-trial Service Agencies and the National Institute for Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice.

4. One justice of the Superior Court served as a faculty advisor at The National Judicial College.

5. Two District Court judges attended the Special Court Jurisdiction seminar at The National Judicial College.

6. Two District Court Judges attended a special Seminar on Child Abuse and Neglect sponsored by The National Judicial College.

7. One Supreme Judicial Court Justice attended the Appellate Judges' Seminar at New York University.

Two training sessions for non-judicial court system personnel were held; the first in June and the second in November. Both training sessions were divided into "northern" and "southern" meetings. The June sessions were held in Lewiston on June 14-16 and in Bangor on June 26-28. To accommodate the clerks' office staff in Houlton and farther north, the Administrative Office of the Courts' staff took the training session to Houlton on June 28.

The first and second days of each session were identical so that one half of the Superior Court and District Court staff could attend the first day and the other half the second day; thereby keeping each clerk's office open and adequately staffed.

The first and second day meetings began in early afternoon with everyone assembled for a discussion of the new Juvenile Code by Joseph M. Jabar, Esq., Chairman of the Juvenile Laws Revision Commission. After this discussion, the Superior Court staff gathered to review appeal to the Law Court, Superior Court procedures, URESA cases and habitual offender cases. At the same time, District Court staff were reviewing civil docketing and being introduced to the new District Court statistical reporting system.

In late afternoon, the two groups came together again for a joint question and answer session with Administrative Office staff. This session covered fiscal reporting problems, personnel system problems and many other areas.

The third day brought together clerks of both courts for a training session on "Communications" conducted by the staff of the Bureau of Public Administration at the University of Maine in Orono.

nales de car (carecera en la carecera de la carecera en la carecera de la carecera de la carecera de la carece A

#### NON-JUDICIAL TRAINING

-19-

The November sessions were held in Bangor on November 8-9 and in Auburn on November 15-16. Both sessions began in the morning with the joint question and answer session with the Administrative Office of the Courts, because this agenda item had been cut short during the June sessions.

After this session, the Superior Court staff reviewed errors and problems in the statistical reporting system, civil and criminal docketing, civil and criminal forms revisions, appeals to the Law Court and an up-date of the Superior Court Policies and Procedures paper.

At the same time, District Court staff were reviewing civil and juvenile docketing, recording machine operation, civil and criminal forms revisions and receiving additional training in the new statistical reporting system.

The second day of the training session brought together all clerks of court for a continuation of the training sessions conducted by the staff of the Bureau of Public Administration at the University of Maine at Orono. This session covered the "Skills and Responsibilities of Effective Supervision".

All the training sessions conducted by the Administrative Office of the Courts to date have been funded through grants from the Maine Criminal Justice Planning and Assistance Agency and have been received enthusiastically and beneficially by court system staff.

#### SUPERIOR COURT STATISTICAL REPORTING SYSTEM

The Superior Court reporting system, established in 1977, was further refined this year.

In the civil area, the number of reporting categories for filings was expanded to include habitual offender cases. For the first time, more extensive information about the different types of civil dispositions will be available. In addition, the case time lag information is more definitive through a breakdown of the time lag averages into specific numbers of days.

In the criminal area, the number of reporting categories for filings and trials has been expanded to include boundovers. For the first time, more extensive information about the types of criminal dispositions is available.

The time lag information has been expanded substantially, not only to include a breakdown of time lag average into

type of case.

Beginning in March 1979, computer printouts of this information will be produced quarterly for use in caseflow management in Superior Court.

During 1978, the Administrative Office of the Courts developed a moderately expanded statistical reporting system for the District Court. Prior to July 1, 1978, only filings by type of case and by court location were compiled to be included in the annual report. Although additional statistics were being compiled at each location, the lack of uniformity in count and definition negated the usefulness of the figures compiled.

Included in the new reporting system are separate categories of count for criminal A, B and C crimes, as well as criminal D and E crimes. Traffic criminal is counted separately, as well as civil infractions.

A major change from previous annual reports is the inclusion of disposition information. For the first time, this report will include the number of dispositions by the same case category as filings, and the number of trials held in each District Court location. State totals are also included.

One time lag measurement has been added. The average number of days from request for trial to trial is computed for each case category by court location and for the state as a whole.

Because of budget and staff limitations, the District Court reporting system remains a manual system. This means that the District Court reporting system is similar to the Superior Court system in that clerical staff complete the reporting forms manually, but differs in that the information on the Superior Court reporting forms is entered into a computer and statistical reports produced quarterly by the computer, while all calculations for the District Court system are made manually by Administrative Office of the Courts' staff. It is hoped that the District Court system can be computerized and expanded further before long.

District Court statistics, and an analysis of those statistics, is located in Appendix II to this report.

During 1978, the Administrative Office of the Courts continued to provide information to individual legislators, the

specific numbers of days, but to include time lag information by

#### DISTRICT COURT STATISTICAL REPORTING SYSTEM

### LEGISLATURE

Joint Standing Committees and the Legislative Finance Office. Included were fiscal impact statements, budget information, statistical information, court procedure information, information on the structure and operation of the court system and various analyses.

#### MAINTAIN LIAISON

The Administrative Office of the Courts continues to maintain active working relationships with many Executive Branch agencies and the Legislature. Pursuant to a change in policy by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, the Chief Justice, one Superior Court justice and the State Court Administrator serve on the Board of the Maine Criminal Justice Planning and Assistance Agency.

Within the court system, members of the Administrative Office of the Courts' staff are in constant contact with justices, judges, court reporters and clerks' office staff, in order to assist in improving court system operations wherever possible.

#### INVESTIGATE COMPLAINTS

In the course of a year, many complaints are addressed to the Administrative Office; everything from questions about lost juror service checks to "why did the judge wait two weeks to sentence the kid who broke into my house". The first type of question can be answered quickly and simply by replacing the lost check. The second question requires building a communication link with the complainant in order to provide an effective explanation of how the legal process works and why.

Every complaint addressed to the Administrative Office is investigated and a response made. In the area of public service, this is a very important function for the Administrative Office of the Courts. The statistical reporting system for the Law Court was instituted in 1976. Some of the categories were revised in 1977, but valid comparisons may be made between the items of major interest. Table 1 reports the Law Court case information for 1978. Table 2 compares the significant categories for the years 1978, 1977 and 1976. Table 3 pertains to the Appellate Division of the Supreme Judicial Court. During 1978, the Appellate Division of the Supreme Judicial Court consisted of Justices Archibald (Chairman), Delahanty and Nichols.

#### TABLE 1.

100

Contract P

R AL

Section I includes information on cases pending, cases filed and cases disposed. The categories of interlocutory appeals (usually appeals by the State pursuant to 15 M.R.S.A. § 2115-A) and reports (pursuant to M.R. Civ. P. 72, and, less often, M.R. Crim. P. 37A) are of interest primarily with regard to the frequency with which procedural devices other than appeal are used to invoke Law Court jurisdiction. Once in the Law Court, these cases are handled substantially the same as other appeals.

Section II shows the number of cases originating in each county. About one half of all cases filed originated in Cumberland, Kennebec and Penobscot Counties.

Section III reports the means of disposition of cases. Cases decided by signed opinions are generally more difficult and time-consuming than cases decided by the shorter per curiam and memorandum decisions.

Advisory opinions are answers of the justices of the Supreme Judicial Court in response to questions propounded by the Governor, House, or Senate pursuant to Me. Const. Art. VI, § 3.

"Disposition ordered by opinion" refers to the action ordered by the Law Court's mandate. The categories of "appeals denied" and "appeals dismissed" generally consist of cases in which the court's opinion addresses the merits of the issues raised on appeal. When it becomes apparent, after submission to the Court, that a case is not within its jurisdiction or is not in a procedural posture making it appropriate for appellate review on its merits, the mandate generally orders that the case be dismissed or remanded.

The discrepancy of 98 cases between the 379 decided by some form of opinion and the 477 total dispositions in 1978 is made up of cases dismissed for want of prosecution, criminal appeals withdrawn by the appellants, and civil appeals withdrawn or settled.

### APPENDIX I

### LAW COURT STATISTICS

-23-

Only one of these proceeded as far as oral argument, so most of these miscellaneous dispositions required relatively little "iudge time".

Section IV contains the pending case information. Cases "not yet at issue" are those in which both briefs had not yet been filed and which, therefore, were not ready for consideration by the Law Court. Cases "at issue awaiting oral argument" were those which the Court heard at its January 1979 term. Cases "orally argued awaiting opinion" are the most important in the pending category as they represent work to be done carried over from 1978 to 1979.

#### TABLE 2.

This table compares the caseflow of the past three years. The figures are abstracted from the 1976, 1977 and 1978 annual statistical reports and are largely self-explanatory. The dramatic increase in 1978 in almost all categories (and the equally significant and related decrease in cases awaiting opinion) are readily apparent. There was a large increase in the number of civil cases pending at the beginning of 1978, and the new filings figures show what appears to be a rapidly accelerating increase in civil cases while new criminal cases dropped back to the 1976 level. This increase in pending and new civil cases may be affected in part by a January, 1978 rules change which brings the typical civil appeal into the Law Court's docket in about 70 days from judgment in Superior Court rather than about 130 days under the former rules.

#### TABLE 3.

This table shows pending, filing and disposition case information for the Appellate Division of the Supreme Judicial Court.

Although the information is available, a comparison of the 1976, 1977 and 1978 figures is not included in this report, because the figures have remained virtually unchanged from year to year in each category.

I

T

T

11

Reports E. Total cas (A + B +F. Dispositi G. Cases pen year (E-F) II. CASES FILED BY COUNTY Androscoggin Aroostook Cumberland Franklin Hancock

- III. DISPOSITION INFORMATION
  - A. Opinions
    - 1. Signed
    - 2. Per cu
    - andum
    - 3. Total
  - B. Disposition opinion

    - 1. Appeal:
    - 2. Appeal
  - 3. Appeal 4. Appeal
  - C. Advisory O
- IV. PENDING CASE
  - A. Not yet at issue

#### TABLE 1

### FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS 1978

CAS	SEFLOW INFORMATION	CIVIL	CRIMINAL	TOTAL
Α.	Cases pending end of previous year	205	164	369
D. E.	New appeals Interlocutory appeals Reports Total caseload (A + B + C + D)	236 0 4 445	121 4 0 289	357 4 4 734
F. G.	Dispositions Cases pending end of year (E-F)	258 187	219 70	477 257

24 16 66 8 17	Kennebec Knox Lincoln Oxford Penobscot	54 20 10 10 60	Piscataquis Sagadahoc Somerset Waldo Washington York	5 6 12 12 12 33
---------------------------	--	----------------------------	---	--------------------------------

	CIVIL	CRIMINAL	TOTAL
d Opinions uriam and memor- opinions	192 26	139 22	331 48
Opinions	218	161	379
on ordered by			
ls denied ls sustained ls dismissed ls remanded Opinions	120 81 13 4 0	135 20 4 2 0	255 101 17 6 2
INFORMATION AT Y	EAR END		

B. At issue awaiting oral argument C. Orally argued awaiting opinion

TABLE	2
-------	---

## CASEFLOW 1976-1978

		1976	CIVIL 1977	1978	C 1976	RIMINA 	L 1978	1976	TOTAL 1977	1978
I.	CASEFLOW INFORMATION									
	Cases pending, begin- ning of year	119	143	205	127	136	164	246	279	369
	New cases during year	145	174	240	124	152	125	266	326	365
	Total dispositions <sup>1</sup>	121	112	258	115	124	219	236	236	477
	Cases pending, end of year	143	205	187	136	164	70	279	369	257
II.	Written Opinions <sup>2</sup>	88	90	218	67	74	161	155	164	379
III.	Cases argued awaiting opinion	-		-	-	-	-	119	173	65

<sup>1</sup>Includes cases decided by written opinions (Part II below) plus cases dismissed by the Court without opinion or withdrawn by parties. <sup>2</sup>Includes only opinions for the Court and not concurring or dissenting opinions.

• \*\*

A. Appeals Pendin Appeals Filed Β. Total Caseload С. Appeals Dispos D. E. Appeals Pendin F. Hearing Held G. Disposition Int

10000000000

D

 $\mathbb{D}$ 

 $\mathbb{D}$ 

D

Ð

層拍

T

 Sentences
 Sentences 3. Sentences

H. Cases Filed by County:

Androscoggin Aroostook Cumberland Franklin Hancock Kennebec Knox Lincoln

I. Cases Pending Because Appeal is Pending in Law Court

4 1

· . . •

-26-

## TABLE 3

## APPELLATE DIVISION

1978

ng at End of Previous Year	42
	55
(A + B)	97
ed	59
g End of Year	38
	2
formation:	
Unchanged Reduced Increased	56 3 0

4 3 14 0 2	Oxford Penobscot Piscataquis Sagadahoc Somerset	3 6 2 1
5 4	Waldo Washington	1 1
2	York	5

21

### APPENDIX II

### SUPERIOR COURT

## CIVIL CASE STATISTICS

The Superior Court statistical reporting system was inaugurated in 1977. Tables 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 show and compare Superior Court filings, dispositions, trials and caseflow during 1977 and 1978.

#### TABLE 1.

This table shows filings and dispositions for the state and each county and includes the percent of increase or decrease compared with the previous year's filings and disposi-tions. In this table, "refilings" are cases which were returned to the Superior Court for further action after having been disposed: e.g., cases remanded for new trial by the Law Court, motions to amend judgments and motions for contempt.

Analysis of this tables reveals the following:

1. Statewide, in 1978, civil filings increase 1% compared to a 9% increase in 1977.

2. In five counties, Cumberland, Oxford, Sagadahoc, Waldo and Washington, the increase in filings exceeded 6% with Sagadahoc and Washington Counties showing increases of 26% and 18% respectively.

3. In five counties, Androscoggin, Knox, Lincoln, Piscataquis and Somerset, filings decreased. The decrease in Piscataquis County exceeded 30%.

4. Statewide, in 1978, civil case dispositions increase 15% compared with a 7% decrease from 1976 to 1977.

5. In all but three counties, there was an increase in dispositions, with four counties showing increases in excess of 20%. Three counties in which dispositions decreased were Knox, Piscataquis and Washington, with Piscataquis showing a 35% decrease. The four counties showing increases in excess of 20% were York, with a 75% increase, Franklin with a 38% increase, Cumberland with a 31% increase and Waldo with a 23% increase.

6. The Superior Court's pending caseload at the end of 1978 is 8% higher than at the end of 1977. Only Franklin and Lincoln Counties showed a decrease in pending caseload. The increase in Sagadahoc and Washington Counties was 38% and 34% respectively.

to 8% in 1978. TABLE 2. judgments and injunctions. TABLE 3. TABLE 4.

D

-28-

Due to the 15% increase in dispositions, however, the increase in Superior Court pending caseload dropped from 19% in 1977

This table shows filings and dispositions for each county by type of case and includes state totals. In this table. "Other" includes Rule 80B appeals, quiet titles, declaratory

Analysis of this table reveals:

1. Statewide, there were 1,499 URESA cases filed. This is a 23% increase over the 1,260 cases filed in 1977.

2. Divorce cases comprised 7% of the civil filings. This percentage is unchanged from 1977.

3. Habitual offender cases, which were not individually categorized in 1977, comprised 7% of the total filings in 1978.

This table shows the number of civil jury and jury waived trials for each county and includes state totals. In addition, the table shows the number of jury and jury waived trials as a percent of total dispositions.

Analysis of this table reveals:

1. Statewide, 2% of all civil dispositions were by civil jury trial. This is a drop from 3.6% in 1977.

2. Statewide, 5% of all civil dispositions were by civil jury waived trial. This is an increase of 0.2% over 1977.

3. In 1978, there were 477 civil trials of which 163 were jury trials and 314 were jury waived trials.

4. Civil jury trials averaged 1,94 days, and civil jury waived trials averaged 0.9 days.

This table compares the 1977 and 1978 dispositions by type of disposition. The table includes both a numerical and percentage comparison by type of disposition for each year.

Analysis of this tables reveals:

-29-

conference. In eight counties, no civil cases reached jury-waived trial within 120 days of pre-trial conference. The eight counties were Aroostook, Franklin, Kennebec, Oxford, Piscataquis, Sagadahoc, Somerset and Washington. In eight counties, less than 46% of the civil cases reached jury-waived trial within 180 days of pre-trial conference. The eight were Androscoggin, 18; Aroostook, 22%; Hancock, 40%; Kennebec, 14%; Knox, 25%; Somerset, 16%; Waldo, 16%; and Washington, 33%. In Franklin and Piscataquis Counties, no civil cases reached jury-waived trial within 180 days of pre-trial conference.

1. Statewide, 38% of all civil dispositions were by settlements, stipluated judgments or 41 (a) dismissals.

2. Statewide, 41 (b) dismissals comprised on 8% of the civil dispositions.

N. THERE AND

Contraction of the second

AND DOCTOR

3. 22% of the dispositions were "final orders". These orders are issued in cases such as habitual offender, URESA's and infant settlements.

4. All other dispositions range from 1% to 6%.

#### TABLE 5.

This table shows time lag figures for significant steps in the movement of a civil case through the Superior Court. These figures can not be compared with those in the 1977 report, because a different method of calculation has been used. Last year's report showed the average number of days it took a case to proceed from pre-trial memo to pre-trial conference, from pre-trial conference to jury trial and from pre-trial conference to jury waived trial. This year's report shows the actual number of cases that fall within five time periods, 0-60 days, 61-120 days, 121-180 days, 181-240 days and 240 days and up.

Analysis of this table reveals:

1. In 1978, 72% of Superior Court civil cases were pre-tried within 120 days from filing of the first pre-trial memo.

2. In five counties, less than 72% of the civil cases were pre-tried within 120 days from filing of the first pre-trial memo. The five were Androscoggin, 51%; Cumberland, 52%; Oxford, 45%; Somerset, 64%; and Waldo, 67%.

3. Statewide, 48% of the civil cases reached jury trial within 120 days of pre-trial conference, and 68% of the cases reached jury trial within 180 days of pre-trial conference.

4. In six counties, less than 48% of the civil cases reached jury trial within 120 days of pre-trial conference. The six were Penobscot, 41%; Aroostook, 40%; Waldo, 33%; Hancock, 10%, Androscoggin, 26%; and Oxford, 0%. In six counties, less than 68% of the civil cases reached jury trial within 180 days of pre-trial conference. The six were Hancock, 50%; York, 63%; Androscoggin, 52%; Kennebec, 62%; Oxford, 25%; and Piscataquis, 66%.

5. Statewide, 25% of civil cases reached jury-waived trial within 120 days of pre-trial conference, and 46% of the cases reached jury waived trial within 180 days.

6. In Androscoggin and Waldo Counties, less than 25% of the civil cases reached jury-waived trial within 120 days of pre-trial

#### SUPERIOR COURT

### CRIMINAL CASE STATISTICS

Tables 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 show and compare the Superior Court criminal filings, dispositions and trials during 1977 and 1978.

#### TABLE 6.

This table shows the number of criminal cases pending at the beginning of 1978, statewide and by county.

Analysis of this table reveals:

1. The number of criminal cases pending at the beginning of 1978 increased 58% over the number pending at the beginning of 1977. Every county in the state showed an increase in pending cases. The increases range from a low of 8% in Waldo County to a high of 146% in Washington County.

2. Statewide, criminal case filings decreased 5% compared to a 23% increase in 1977.

3. In eight counties, case filings increased. The largest increases were in Franklin County, 44%; and Washington County, 35%.

4. Statewide, criminal case dispositions increased 11%. Franklin and Washington Counties showed the largest increases, 66% and 47% respectively. The counties showing decreases in the number of dispositions were Hancock, -31%; Waldo, -16%; and Somerset, -3%.

5. The number of cases pending at the end of 1978 increased 6% over the number pending at the end of 1977. The counties showing the largest increases were Androscoggin, 31%; Washington, 45%; Somerset, 42%; and York, 35%.

6. The pending criminal caseload increased 58% during 1977. Due to the 5% decrease in filings and the 11% increase in dispositions during 1978, the pending caseload increase was only 6%.

TABLE 7.

This table shows, statewide and by county, the number of defendants charged with offenses by class of charge. Traffic offenses are listed under "Title 29", while violations of Title 12, Municipal Ordinances and Inland Fisheries and Wildlife laws are listed under "Other".

Analysis of this table reveals the following:

-32-

# 37% of the defendant with Class A, B or C crimes. 2. 26% of the defendant 3. Statewide, more defendant

A

4. Title 29 filings accounted for 41%, 39% and 36% of the caseload in Hancock, Franklin and Somerset Counties respectively.

1. 37% of the defendants in the Superior Court were charged Class A, B or C crimes.

2. 26% of the defendants were charged with D or E crimes.

3. Statewide, more defendants were charged with Title 29 offenses than any other single category.

TABLE	1
-------	---

# CIVIL FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS 1977-19781

1 ]]

County	1977 Pending Beginning		Percent Change		säutti Tocat 1978	1972 1847 1847 1847	1978	Percent Change of Filings & Refilings	1971 Total Dispositions	
Androscoggin Aroostook Cumberland Franklin Hancock Kennebec Knox Lincoln Oxford Penobscot Piscataquis Sagadahoc Somerset Waldo Washington York	700 396 1797 121 272 945 224 145 210 773 72 125 269 135 154 577	748 466 2146 156 310 1094 250 172 253 870 73 165 361 170 170 889	6 17 19 28 13 15 11 18 20 12 12 34 25 10 54	608 388 1367 120 278 812 198 164 195 743 72 128 316 147 146 613	598 396 1469 128 277 812 174 143 214 745 49 162 281 162 177 631	47 2 10 24 0 3 0 2 0 0 6 11	31 1 6 18 3 11 0 15 1 0 1 3 3 11	-3 1 7 -10 -7 9 26 -12 12 18 2	607 320 1019 95 242 687 172 140 152 648 71 88 230 112 136 312	604 367 1336 132 266 708 164 167 182 661 46 99 270 138 122 549
Statewide	6915	8293	19	6295	6418	114	106	1	5031	5811

<sup>1</sup>Does not include URESA cases.

 $^{2}$ Cases in which additional action is taken after judgment is entered.

-34-

Year End of Change Percent Change Percent Pending 1977 1978 --- 748 773 14 466 496 3 6 6 -- 1 5 11 250 172 263 159 5 - 4 - 7 19 19 253 285 12 1 2 6 -35 870 73 165 969 77 11 5 12 228 38 361 170 17 373 3 23 197 15 22 -10 170 49 75 889 228 982 34 10 11 15 8293 9006 8 [\_] 

ப்பில் வில்லான பில்லான வில்லான வில்லான

TABLE 2

CIVIL FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS BY TYPE OF CASE 1978

STATEWIDE Type of Case	Total Filings and Refilings 1977	Percent of Total Caseload	Total Filings and Refilings 1978	Percent of Total Caseload	Percent Change	Total Disposi- tions 1977
Damages	875	11	942	12	7	632
Personal Injury	956	13	861	11	- 9	735
Contract	1114	15	1318	16	18	933
URESA	1209	16	1499	19	23	827
Divorce	538	7	539	7	25	381
Traffic Infraction				,		201
Appeals	24		33		37	20
Habeas Corpus	56	1	76	l	35	62
Other Appeals from				-	55	02
District Court	200	3	179	2	-10	168
Habitual Offender	N/A	N/A	568	. 7	N/A	N/A
Other	2646	34	2008	25	-23	2100
Total	7618		8023		5	5858
ANDROSCOGGIN						
Damages	113	15	136	18	20	117
Personal Injury	106	14	126	17	18	95
Contract	163	21	128	17	-21	166
URESA	105	14	118	16	12	86
Divorce	56	7	41	5	-26	44
Traffic Infraction Appeal	0		l			0
Habeas Corpus	1		2			5
Other Appeals from						5
District Court	8	1	12	2	50	6
Habitual Offender	N/A	N/A	52	7	N/A	N/A
Other	208	28	131	18	-35	174
Total	760		747		- 1	693

-35-

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total Disposi- tions 1978	Percent Change
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	857 1061 1045	16 13
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	388	N/A
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6856	17
10 66 45 N/A 149 -14 694	100 137 90 53	5 -17 4
45 N/A 149 -14 694	2	-60
	45	N/A
		500 mm

1

D

	AROOSTOOK	Total Filings	Percent	Total Filings	Percent		Total Dispòsi-
	Type of Case	and Refilings 1977	of Total Caseload	and Refilings 1978	of Total <u>Caseload</u>	Percent Change	tions 1977
	Damages	61	12	78	15	27	34
	Personal Injury	62	12	51	10	-17	56
	Contract	20	4	59	12	95	22
	URESA	100	20	113	22	13	59
	Divorce	17	3	20		17	14
	Traffic Infraction Appeals	0		0			0
	Habeas Corpus	3		3			2
	Other Appeals from						2
<b>b</b>	District Court	38	7	8	2	-78	19
•	Habitual Offender	N/A	N/A	54	11	N/A	N/A
	Other	189	39	124	24	-34	173
	Total	490		510		4	379
	CUMBERLAND						
	Damages	235	15	234	13		209
	Personal Injury	188	12	182	10	- 3	153
	Contract	184	12	265	15	44	154
	URESA	235	15	297	17	26	143
	Divorce	113	7	136	8	20	52
	Traffic Infraction Appeal	6		2		-66	4
	Habeas Corpus	15	1	18	1	20	14
	Other Appeals from District Court	31	2	2.4	_		
		N/A	N/A	34	2	9	42
	Habitual Offender Other	596	37	111	6	N/A	N/A
	ULHEF		57	488	28	-17	391
	Total	1603		1767		10	1162

Total Disposi- tions 1978	Percent Change	
41 47 27 88 23 0	20 -16 22 49 64 	
6		
16 45 162	-15 N/A - 6	
455	20	
211 226 208 189 108 0	47 35 32 7	
14		
69 44 456 ~	64 N/A 16	
1525	31	
) []		
		- the state of the trace the data and a strength

FRANKLIN Type of Case	Total Filings and Refilings 1977	Percent of Total Caseload	Total Filings and Refilings 1978	Percent of Total Caseload	Percent Change	Total Disposi- tions 1977	Total Disposi- tions 1978	Percent Change
Damages Personal Injury	21 13	13 8	14 16	8 9	-33	10	20	
Contract	37	23	36	20	23 - 2	18	8	-55
URESA	33	20	47	20	- 2 42	23	46	
Divorce	7	4	12	7	42 71	26	34	30
Traffic Infraction	1	l	1	1	/ 1	6 3	8	33
Appeals Habeas Corpus	•			—		J	0	
Other Appeals from	0		3	2		2	2	
District Court	6					-	<b>2</b>	
Habitual Offender	N/A	4	11	6	83	2	13	50
Other	45	N/A	17	10	N/A	N/A	11	N/A
	45	28	19	. 11	-57	31	24	-22
Total	163		176		7	121	166	37
HANCOCK							100	57
Damages	16	5	21	C	21			
Personal Injury	43	13	31	6 9	31	13	18	38
Contract	55	16	50	9 15	-27	29	28	- 3
URESA	56	17	46	15	- 9 -17	52	61	17
Divorce	67	20	58	18	-17 -13	44	42	- 4
Traffic Infraction	1		2	10	-13	60	70	16
Appeal			-	±		2	2	
Habeas Corpus	2	1	3	1	50	2	2	
Other Appeals from	_ ·			-	20	4	2	
District Court	4	2	3	1	-25	4	1	75
Habitual Offender	N/A	N/A	21	6	N/A	N/A	11	-75
Other	92	27	94	29	2	80	73	N/A - 8
Total	336						15	- 0
IUCAL	220		329		- 2	286	308	7

I

ß

KENNEBEC	Total Filings and Refilings	Percent of Total	Total Filings and Refilings	Percent of Total	Percent	Total Disposi- tions
Type of Case	1977	Caseload	1978	Caseload	Change	1977
Damages	73	8	102	11	39	39
Personal Injury	97	10	102	11	5	61
Contract	123	13	141	15	14	125
URESA	102	11	100	11	- 1	75
Divorce	32	3	31	3	- 3	20
Traffic Infraction Appeals	7	1	7	1		5
Habeas Corpus	6	1	5	l	-16	10
Other Appeals from		•				
District Court	30	32	34	4	13	13
Habitual Offender	N/A	N/A	86	9	N/A	N/A
Other	468	50	322	. 35	-31	414
Total	938		930			762
KNOX						
Damages	19	8	31	13	63	21
Personal Injury	40	17	24	10	-40	26
Contract	56	24	40	17	-28	57
URESA	31	14	54	23	74	21
Divorce	3	1	4	2	33	5
Traffic Infraction Appeal	1		2	ī		1
Habeas Corpus	6	3	11	5	·83	3
Other Appeals from						0
District Court	8	3	2	l	-75	5
Habitual Offender	N/A	N/A	18	8	N/A	N/A
Other	65	28	45	19	-30	54
Total	229		231			1.93

1

Ē.

Ω,	otal			
	sposi-			
t	ions		cent	
	1978	Cha	nge	
	61	5	6	
	98	e	0	
	121 63	- -1	•	
	30			
	1	- 8	80	
	4	-6	0	
	27		7	
	64	N		
	302	-2	6	
	771		1	
	26	2	:3	
	33	2	:5	
	31 48	- 4	5	
	48	2	8 0	
	3 2	-4		,
	10			
	12	-		
	7		0	
	12 38	N/ -2	A 9	
		_		
	212		9	
T		() <sup>ale</sup> and a		
	المهاج وسيابية	اللہ میں	الأسب مستنه	الليويد ويريها

ķ

					·			
LINCOLN	Total Filings	Percent	Total Filings	Doment		Total	Total	
Type of Case	and Refilings 1977	of Total Caseload	and Refilings 1978	Percent of Total <u>C</u> aseload	Percent	Disposi- tions	Disposi- tions	Percent
Damages	27	13	0		onange	1977	1978	Change
Personal Injury Contract	22	5	25 20	14	- 7	17	28	<i></i>
URESA	19	9	20	11	- 9	20	20	64
Divorce	34	17	26	12 14	15	22	20	- 9
Traffic Infraction	10 0	5	7	14 4	-23	24	27	12
Appeals	0		5	3	-30	11	9	-18
Habeas Corpus	l	- <u></u>	_	-		0	5	
Other Appeals from		÷	3	2		2	-	_
District Court Habitual Offender	2	1	4	-		E.	l	-50
Other	N/A	N/A	16	2		2	3	50
	86	43	52	9 29	N/A	N/A	10	N/A
Total	201			29	-39	66	71	9
045055	201		180		-10	164	3.0.4	
OXFORD						T04	194	18
Damages	<b>~</b> 0							
Personal Injury	53 34	22	33	12	27	_		
Contract	43	14	30	11	-37 -11	31	26	-16
URESA	48	18	67	24	55	24	24	
Divorce	20	20 8	60	22	25	22 20	37	68
Traffic Infraction	0		20	7	0	20 16	33 20	65
Appeal Habeas Corpus			2	1		0	20	25
Other Appeals from	0		2	г			0	
District Court	٨		~	1		l	1	· · ·
Habitual Offender	4 N/A	2	4	1	-			
Other	41	N/A 17	24		N/A	1 N / D	4	
		71	32	9 12	-13	N/A 57	16	N/A
Total	243		274			2,	54	- 3
			214		12	172	215	25
							:	

PENOBSCOT Type of Case	Total Filings and Refilings 1977	Percent of Total Caseload	Total Filings and Refilings 1978	Percent of Total <u>Caseload</u>	Percent	Total Disposi- tions	Total Disposi- tions	Percent	
Damages Personal Injury Contract URESA Divorce Traffic Infraction Appeals Habeas Corpus Other Appeals from District Court Habitual Offender Other Total <u>PISCATAQUIS</u>	51 142 169 113 45 0 8 19 N/A 311 858	6 17 20 13 5  1 2 N/A 36	61 130 243 172 60 4 6 23 63 170 932	7 14 26 18 6  1 3 7 18	19         - 8         43         52         33            -25         21         N/A         -45         8	1977 47 138 141 10 34 0 7 18 N/A 263 658	1978 50 107 184 50 45 3 5 25 51 191 711	Change 6 -22 30  32  -28 38 N/A -26 8	
Damages Personal Injury Contract URESA Divorce Traffic Infraction Appeal Habeas Corpus Other Appeals from District Court Habitual Offender Other Total	9 8 19 0 1 0 0 3 N/A 32 72	13 12 26 1  4 N/A 44	8 5 13 8 3 0 1 1 2 11 7 58	14 9 22 14 5  2 3 19 12	-11 -37 -31             	10 4 16 0 2 0 0 0 10 N/A 29 71	6 8 14 0 1 0 0 2 4 11 46	-40 -12  -50  -80 N/A -62 -35	

	Million Charles			and a start of the second of the second s	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	 art united same	1001.200.1200.1	Mana Nessa	
 - 9		1			<u>r</u> t		APRIL CONTRACTOR	and the second second second	nate da l'ange in de ante

SAGADAHOC Type of Case	Total Filings and Refilings 1977	Percent of Total Caseload	Total Filings and Refilings 1978	Percent of Total <u>C</u> aseload	Percent Change	Total Disposi- tions
Damages Personal Injury Contract URESA Divorce Traffic Infraction Appeals Habeas Corpus	18 23 34 42 11 0	11 14 20 25 6	29 24 51 39 6 1	14 12 25 19 3	61 4 50 - 7 -45	1977 8 12 15 33 8 0
Other Appeals from District Court	1 2	1 2	2	l		2
Habitual Offender Other	N/A 39	N/A 23	9 14 26	4 7 13	50 N/A -31	4 N/A
Total SO MERSET	170		201		18	39 121
Damages Personal Injury Contract URESA Divorce Traffic Infraction Appeal Habeas Corpus	47 29 67 54 104 0	13 7 18 14 28	57 25 63 78 78 0	16 7 18 22 22	21 -13 - 5 44 -25	25 27 40 68 78 0
Other Appeals from District Court	7	2	7	2		7
Habitual Offender Other Total	N/A 62	1 N/A 16	7 21 24	2 6 7	16 N/A -61	3 N/A 50
TOLAT	376		360		- 4	298

	Total Disposi- tions 1978 16 15 19 38 4	Percent Change 25 26 15 -50	-	۲۰۰ ۲۰۰ ۲۰۰ ۲۰۰	
•	1 0 9 13 22 137	 25 N/A -43 13			n an an Aria Millio (1911). 1980 - An Aria Millio (1911).
	25 23 56 63 93 0	-14 40 - 7 19 			
	7 12 16 38	 N/A -24			and the second se
	333	11			

	WALDO Type of Case	Total Filings and Refilings 1977	Percent of Total Caseload	Total Filings and Refilings 1978	Percent of Total <u>Casel</u> oad		Total Disposi- tions 1977	Total Disposi- tions 1978	Percent	
	Damages Personal Injury Contract URESA Divorce Traffic Infraction Appeals Habeas Corpus Other Appeals from	16 21 33 16 7 0	10 13 20 9 4 	9 30 51 34 6 0	5 15 26 17 3 	-43 42 54 12 -14	7 9 23 20 6 0	17 17 26 31 8 0	<u>Change</u> 42 88 13 55 33	
- 49-	District Court Habitual Offender Other	9 N/A 60	6 N/A 37	5 12 51	3 6 26	-44 N/A -15	2 7 N/A 58	1 12 9	-50 71 N/A	
	Total WASHINGTON	163		199		22	132	48 169	-17 28	
	Damages Personal Injury Contract URESA Divorce Traffic Infraction Appeal Habeas Corpus	18 19 40 36 . 3 0	10 10 21 19 2 	22 28 31 64 13 0	9 11 13 26 5	22 47 -22 77 33	9 22 37 29 6 0	11 15 25 47 8 0	22 -31 -32 62 33	
	Other Appeals from District Court Habitual Offender Other	4 N/A 67	2 N/A 36	0 9 12 65	4 5 27	25 N/A - 2	1 8 N/A 53	1 7 11 44	12 N/A -16	
	Total	188		244		29	165	169	2	
ſ										

YORK Type of Case	Total Filings and Refilings 1977	Percent of Total Caseload	Total Filings and Refilings 1978	Percent of Total Caseload	Percent Change	Total Disposi- tions 1977
				0	1.0	25
Damages	98	12	82	9	-16	35
Personal Injury	109	13	37	4	-66	41
Contract	52	6	58	6	11	18
URESA	204	25	243	27	19	169
Divorce	42	5	44	5	4	19
Traffic Infraction Appeals	8	1	6	1	-25	5
Habeas Corpus Other Appeals from	4		9	1	25	2
District Court	26	3	12	1	-53	24
Habitual Offender	N/A	N/A	36	4	N/A	N/A
Other	285	34	358	40	26	168
Total	828		885		6	481

Total Disposi- tions 1978	Percent Change
77 88 49 202 39 9	20 14 72 19 5 80
6	
19 26 236	-20 N/A 40
751	56

ľ,

	CIVIL TRIALS <sup>1</sup> 1978						
County	Total Dispositions	Number of Jury Trials	Total Number Jury Trial Days	Percent Dis- posed by Jury Trial	Number of Jury Waived Trials	Total Number	
Androscoggin Aroostook Cumberland Franklin Hancock Kennebec Knox Lincoln Oxford Penobscot Piscataquis Sagadahoc Somerset Waldo Washington York	604 367 1336 132 266 708 164 167 182 661 46 99 270 138 122 549	11 9 34 5 15 4 10 2 11 1 5 6 6 6 35	$   \begin{array}{r}     19.0 \\     13.0 \\     66.0 \\     6.5 \\     8.5 \\     27.5 \\     9.0 \\     17.0 \\     3.5 \\     20.5 \\     1.0 \\     14.0 \\     16.5 \\     11.0 \\     13.0 \\     71.5 \\   \end{array} $	1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 5 1 1 2 5 2 4 4 6	40 6 59 16 21 32 5 24 3 9 11 5 7 48	33 46 15 32 27 4 5 7 4 7 47	
Statewide	5811	163	317.5	2	314	274	

TABLE 3

<sup>1</sup>Does not include URESA cases.

. <u>.</u> 



	CIVII DICD	TABLE 4			
	OIVIL DISP	OSITIONS BY TYPE OF DI 1977-1978	SPOSITION		i i
tatewide ype of Disposition	Dispositions 1977	Percent of Total Dispositions 1977	Dispositions	Percent of Total Dispositions	
efault Judgments 1le 4l (a)l 1le 4l (b) <sup>2</sup> Ismissed by Court	287 1748 512 819	4 29 8	<u>    1978</u> 307 2641 569	<u>1978</u> 4 38 8	·
mmary Judgment nal Order vorce Decree peal Sustained peal Denied	172 1341 262 20	13 2 22 4	427 124 1572 356	6 1 22 5	
it Denied it Granted urt Finding ry Verdict	73 20 8 193 114		19 91 26 10 190	 1  2	
rected Verdict ltiple Judgments <sup>3</sup> her Total	7 32 250 5858	  4	116 3 15 390	1  5	
			6856		
ismissed by plaintiff ismissed by Court (la onsolidated jury and	and also inclu ck of prosecuti	des settlements and st on). es.	ipulated judgment	zs.	
	July warved das	es		<b>.</b>	

1

45-

ANDROSCOGGIN Type of Disposition	Dispositions 1977	Percent of Total Dispositions	Disp
Default Judgments Rule 41 (a)1 Rule 41 (b)2 Dismissed by Court Summary Judgment Final Order Divorce Decree Appeal Sustained Appeal Denied Writ Denied Writ Denied Writ Granted Court Finding Jury Verdict Directed Verdict 3 Multiple Judgments Other Total AROOSTOOK	$ \begin{array}{c} 31\\ 284\\ 56\\ 57\\ 17\\ 150\\ 34\\ 2\\ 10\\ 4\\ 0\\ 13\\ 12\\ 0\\ 0\\ 21\\ 693\\ \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{r}     1977 \\     4 \\     40 \\     8 \\     2 \\     21 \\     4 \\     \\     1 \\     \\     1 \\     1 \\     \\     3 \\   \end{array} $	
Default Judgments Rule 41 (a) <sup>1</sup> Rule 41 (b) <sup>2</sup> Dismissed by Court Summary Judgment Final Order Divorce Decree Appeal Sustained Appeal Denied Writ Denied Writ Granted Court Finding Jury Verdict Directed Verdict 3 Multiple Judgments Other Total	21 20 11 188 7 54 9 4 4 0 0 8 1 0 9 43 379	5 5 2 49 1 14 2 1 1 1  2 2 11	Ĺ

46-

CUMBERLAND		Percent of	
Type of Disposition	Dispositions 1977	Total Dispositions 1977	Dispositions 1978
Default Judgments Rule 41 (a) <sup>1</sup> Rule 41 (b) <sup>2</sup> Dismissed by Court Summary Judgment Final Order Divorce Decree Appeal Sustained Appeal Denied Writ Denied Writ Granted Court Finding Jury Verdict Directed Verdict 3 Multiple Judgments <sup>3</sup> Other Total	80 500 74 111 23 210 34 5 12 4 35 29 0 8 37 1,162	$ \begin{array}{r}                                     $	75 736 169 89 14 246 81 0 11 7 1 16 30 0 0 1,525
<u>FRANKLIN</u> Default Judgments		0	
Rule 41 (a) <sup>1</sup> Rule 41 (b) <sup>2</sup> Dismissed by Court Summary Judgment Final Order Divorce Decree Appeal Sustained Appeal Denied Writ Denied Writ Granted Court Finding Jury Verdict Directed Verdict 3 Multiple Judgments Other Total	4 45 8 4 37 1 0 2 0 2 0 2 4 0 0 2 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 121	$ \begin{array}{r} 3\\37\\6\\3\\30\\\\\\1\\\\1\\5\\\\8\end{array} $	8 55 15 3 4 52 6 1 9 2 0 8 2 0 8 2 1 0 0 166

-47-



HANCOCK		Percent of	
Type of Disposition	Dispositions	Total Dispositions 1977	Dispositions 1978
Default Judgments Rule 41 (a) <sup>1</sup> Rule 41 (b) <sup>2</sup> Dismissed by Court Summary Judgment Final Order Divorce Decree Appeal Sustained Appeal Denied Writ Denied Writ Granted Court Finding Jury Verdict Directed Verdict Multiple Judgments <sup>3</sup> Other Total	11 56 27 33 8 53 48 1 2 1 1 2 1 16 7 0 3 19 286	$ \begin{array}{r}     3 \\     19 \\     9 \\     11 \\     2 \\     19 \\     17 \\     \\     \\     5 \\     2 \\     \\     1 \\     6 \\ \end{array} $	13 87 21 21 11 47 47 47 1 2 1 0 17 5 0 0 17 5 0 0 35 308
<u>KENNEBEC</u> Default Judgments Rule 41 (a) 1 Rule 41 (b) 2 Dismissed by Court Summary Judgment Final Order Divorce Decree Appeal Sustained Appeal Denied Writ Denied Writ Granted Court Finding Jury Verdict Directed Verdict 3 Multiple Judgments Other Total	26 220 134 56 23 232 15 2 5 3 0 10 10 14 1 2 19 762	3 28 17 7 3 30 1   1 1 1 2	38 289 59 20 9 268 20 0 0 2 0 24 12 0 0 30 771

-48-



					at a define the second s	
<u>DX</u> pe of Disposition	Dispositions	Percent of Total Dispositions 1977	Dispositions 1978	Percent of Total Dispositions 1978_		r
ault Judgments Le 41 (a)1 Le 41 (b) <sup>2</sup> smissed by Court nmary Judgment nal Order	13 90 6 9 6 41	6 46 3 4 3 21	7 84 28 4 3 51	3 39 13 1 1 24		• .
vorce Decree Deal Sustained Deal Denied Lt Denied Lt Granted Det Finding Ty Verdict Sected Verdict 3 Ltiple Judgments	1 0 1 1 2 5 0	  1 2 	1 2 7 3 2 4 4 4 0			
her Total NCOLN	3 3 14 193	1 7	0 0 12 212	5		
fault Judgments le 41 (a) <sup>1</sup> le 41 (b) <sup>2</sup> smissed by Court mmary Judgment nal Order vorce Decree peal Sustained peal Denied it Denied	6 67 5 11 4 44 7 0 0	3 40 3 6 2 26 4 	14 85 6 9 4 30 5 1 5 0	$     \begin{array}{r}       7 \\       43 \\       3 \\       4 \\       2 \\       15 \\       2 \\       \\       2 \\       \\       2 \\       \\       2 \\      $		
it Granted it Granted urt Finding ry Verdict rected Verdict 3 ltiple Judgments her Total	1 8 3 1 2 4 164	4 1  1 2	1 12 5 0 3 14 194	6 2  1 7		

-49-

OXFORD		Porcent	
Type of Disposition	Dispositions 1977	Percent of Total Dispositions 1977	Dispositions
Default Judgments Rule 41 (a)1 Rule 41 (b)2 Dismissed by Court Summary Judgment Final Order Divorce Decree Appeal Sustained Appeal Denied Writ Denied Writ Granted Court Finding Jury Verdict Directed Verdict 3 Other Total PENOBSCOT	10 64 18 13 4 31 13 0 1 1 0 9 2 0 0 9 2 0 0 0 6 172	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	$   \begin{array}{r}     1978 \\     11 \\     83 \\     23 \\     9 \\     4 \\     49 \\     12 \\     0 \\     0 \\     1 \\     1 \\     5 \\     2 \\     0 \\     0 \\     15 \\     215 \\   \end{array} $
Default Judgments Rule 41 (a) <sup>1</sup> Rule 41 (b) <sup>2</sup> Dismissed by Court Summary Judgment Final Order Divorce Decree Appeal Sustained Appeal Denied Writ Denied Writ Granted Court Finding Jury Verdict Directed Verdict 3 Multiple Judgments Other Total	51100381842513326251252213015658	7 15 5 27 3 20 3  7 3  7 3  2	52 291 53 68 9 118 38 1 8 3 0 23 11 0 0 23 11 0 0 36 711

1 - 1**751** 5.1

f. 1 1

-50-


## PISCATAQUIS

Default Judgments       1978         Rule 41 (a) <sup>1</sup> 8       11       12         Rule 41 (b) <sup>2</sup> 7       9       5         Dismissed by Court       21       29       6         Final Order       10       14       6         Divorce Decree       0        1         Appeal Sustained       0        0         Writ Granted       0        0         Writ Granted       0        0         Jury Verdict       2       2       1         Other       5        0         Total       71       7       7         SAGADAHOC       28       48       3         Dismissed by Court       11       9       11         Summary Judgments       4       3       4         Rule 41 (a) <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> 35       28       48         Dismissed by Court       11       9       11         Summary Judgments       4       3       3         Final Order       31       25       42         Dismissed by Court       11       9       11         Summary Judgm	<u>PISCATAQUIS</u> Type of Disposition	Dispositions 1977	Percent of Total Dispositions 1977	Dispositions
SAGADAHOC46Default Judgments4Rule 41 (a)13528Rule 41 (b)23528Rule 41 (b)211911911913Summary Judgment543Divorce Decree543Divorce Decree543Divorce Decree543Appeal Sustained1100Writ Granted0000Jury Verdict31232000<	Rule 41 (a) <sup>1</sup> Rule 41 (b) <sup>2</sup> Dismissed by Court Summary Judgment Final Order Divorce Decree Appeal Sustained Appeal Denied Writ Denied Writ Granted Court Finding Jury Verdict Directed Verdict 3 Multiple Judgments <sup>3</sup>	7 21 13 10 0 2 0 0 1 2 2 0 5	11 9 29 18 14  2  1 2 2 2	12 5 6 4 6 1 0 2 0 0 0 1 0 0
Rule 41 (a)1       35       3       4         Rule 41 (b)2       35       28       48         Dismissed by Court       11       9       11         Summary Judgment       11       9       13         Final Order       31       4       3         Divorce Decree       31       25       42         Appeal Sustained       1        0         Writ Denied       1        0         Writ Granted       0        0         Jury Verdict       3       2       3         Directed Verdict       3       2       3         Multiple Judgments       1        0         Other       6        0	SAGADAHOC	7 1		
Total 121 4 1 137	Rule 41 (a)1 Rule 41 (b) <sup>2</sup> Dismissed by Court Summary Judgment Final Order Divorce Decree Appeal Sustained Appeal Denied Writ Denied Writ Granted Court Finding Jury Verdict Directed Verdict 3 Multiple Judgments	35 11 11 5 31 5 1 1 1 0 6 3 0 1 6	28 9 9 4 25 4   4	48 11 13 3 42 3 0 6 0 0 3 3 0 0 1

. 51

		× ·
·		
Percent of		
Percent of Total Dispositions 1978	r -	
4		
76 10 13 8 13 2		
8 13		
2  4		
2		
 15		
2		
2 35 8 9 2 30 2		
9 2 30		-
		,
2 2		
		<b>、</b>
n na		U ·
		1 <b>m</b>

	OMERSET	Dispositions	Percent of Total Dispositions	
<u>1</u>	Type of Disposition	1977	<u> </u>	Dispositions 1978
H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	Default Judgments Rule 41 (a) <sup>1</sup> Rule 41 (b) <sup>2</sup> Dismissed by Court Summary Judgment Final Order Divorce Decree Appeal Sustained Appeal Sustained Frit Denied Frit Granted Court Finding Trected Verdict Directed Verdict Directed Verdict Cultiple Judgments	7 56 44 58 9 47 52 0 1 0 1 0 3 2 0 3 16 298	2 18 14 19 3 15 17   1 1 5	6 79 13 34 4 87 76 0 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 3 0 4 20 333
DRRDSFDAAWWCJDM	ALDO efault Judgments tule 41 (a) 1 ule 41 (b) 2 vismissed by Court ummary Judgment inal Order ivorce Decree ppeal Sustained ppeal Denied rit Denied rit Granted ourt Finding ury Verdict irected Verdict 3 ultiple Judgments ther Total	4 43 9 11 10 35 3 0 5 2 1 2 1 2 1 0 0 6 132	$ \begin{array}{r}  3\\  32\\  6\\  8\\  7\\  26\\  2\\ \\  3\\  1\\ \\  1\\ \\  4\\  4 \end{array} $	6 66 20 13 6 28 5 1 8 0 1 6 1 1 6 1 1 6 1 1 6 1

-52-



## WASHINGTON

.

Type of Disposition	Dispositions	Percent of Total Dispositions	
Default Judgments Rule 41 (a)I	<u>    1977</u> 8	1977	Dispositions
xule 41 (b)2	68 17	4 41	4
Dismissed by Court Summary Judgment Final Order	4 5	10 2	53 12
Divorce Decroo	37	3 22	12 3
Appeal Sustained Appeal Denied	5 1	3	55
Writ Denied Writ Granted	5 1 2 1 .0 5 3	 1	3 3
Jury Verdiat	.0 5		0
Multiple Judgments 3	0	3	3 0 1 6 5 0
Other Total	0 9		0
YORK	165	5	9 169
Default Judgments Rule 41 (a)l	11		109
Rule 41 (a)1 Rule 41 (b)2 Dismissed by Court	92 45	2 19	29
Final Order	48 9	9 9	247 28
Divorce Decree Appeal Sustained	196 9	1 40	12 19
Appeal Denied Writ Denied	2 20	1	265
Writ Granted Court Finding	0	4	6 21
Jury Verdict	19 9	 3	3
Directed Verdict 3 Multiple Judgments Other	0 1	1	30 20
Total	20 481	 4	0 2
	LO T	·	44

-53-



#### TABLE 5

## CIVIL CASEFLOW 1978<sup>1</sup>

# Average No. Days Pre-Trial Memo to Pre-Trial Conf.

Average No. Days Pre-Trial Conf. to Jury Trial

			DAY	5				DAYS		
,	0-	61-	121-	181-	240-	0-	61-	121-	181-	240-
County	60	120	180	240	Up	60	120	180	240	Up
Androscoggin	22	46	26	13	18	0	7	7	5	8
Aroostook	58	11	2	1	3	2	0	2	0	1
Cumberland	27	49	40	6	33	10	12	8	2	5
Franklin	8	13	2	1	3	0		1	0	1
Hancock	16	32	10	3	3	Ō	1	4	4	1
Kennebec	60	35	12	4	13	9	5	4	4	7
Knox	5	13	4	1	2	2	1	0 0	0	1
Lincoln	16	23	5	2	0	3	2	2	1	2
Oxford	2	7	5	1	5	Õ	0	ī	ī	2
Penobscot	20	41	10	5	1	3	ő	6	2	5
Pisacataquis	6	0	0	0	0	2	Õ	Õ	0	1
Sagadaho	10	5	3	0	0	2	ĩ	1	Ő	ñ
Somerset	16	8	. 7	3	3	2	2	0	Ő	1
Waldo	13	6	7	1	1	Ō	2	3	1	Õ
Washington	10	9	2	1	1	1	4	Õ	ō	1
York	36	109	16	· 5	5	9	12	6	6	10
					_	-		5	5	
STATEWIDE	325	407	151	47	91	46	62	45	23	50

1 Includes only cases pre-tried in 1978.

I F T T T T 200 ũ .... 

-54-

		-			
		DAYS			
0	61-	121-	181-	240-	
60	120	180	240	Uр	
~	0	0	0		
0	2	0	0	9	
0	0	2	1	6	
2	10	10	1	8	
0	0	0	2	1	
0	2	0	0	3	
0	0	2	2	10	
1	0	0	2	1	
6	2	2	0	0	
0	0	2	0	0	
0	4	2	1	4	
0	0	0	1	0	
0	0	2	0	0	
0	0	1	2	3	
0	1	0	2	3	
0	0	2	0	4	
4	6	7.	3	15	
13	27	32	18	68	

Average No. Days Pre-Trial Conf. to Jury-Waived Trial

13

1

							eenaara.gBi eenaara.gBi	neorgia: A		₹	-
		CRIM		ABLE 6 GS AND DISPOS 77-1978 <sup>1</sup>	ITIONS					r	
County	19 Pending Beginning 10 of Year	Percent Change	L Total Filings 8261	1011 Total Refilings <sup>2</sup>	Percent Change of Filings & Refilings	10Tal Dispositions 16 18 Percent Change	1975 Pending End of Year	Percent Change			
Androscoggin Aroostook Cumberland Franklin Hancock Kennebec Knox Lincoln Oxford Penobscot Piscataquis Sagadahoc Somerset Waldo Washington York	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	55       850         43       1,246         06       299         30       204         33       752         54       273         59       184         .6       288         70       771         29       122         77       161         94       554         93       205         99       259	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	162 136 358 340	$ \begin{array}{r} 31 \\ - 11 \\ 12 \\ - 6 \\ - 27 \\ 6 \\ 9 \\ - 2 \\ - 16 \\ - 5 \\ - 10 \\ 6 \\ 42 \\ 15 \\ 45 \\ 35 \\ \end{array} $			
Statewide 1 <sub>By Docket Numb</sub> 2 <sub>Cases</sub> in which	2,204 3,502 er. additional acti	58 7,76 .on is taken		38 118 ment is enter	- 5 6,498 red.	7,223 11	3,502 3,717	6			
					•				• •		U. C.

-55-

	STATEWIDE			·	19781			
	Class Of Charge	Pending Begin- 	Filings	<u>Refilings</u> 2	Total Filings & Refilings	Total Percent of Caseload	Dispositions	Pending End of Year
- 56 -	A B C D E Title 29 Other Total	77 325 426 285 445 400 342 2300	285 966 1500 1216 751 2206 643 7567	2 22 15 7 5 10 32 93	287 988 1515 1223 756 2216 675 7660	4 13 20 16 10 29 9	265 986 1365 1200 882 2009 651 7358	99 327 576 308 319 607 366 2602
	ANDROSCOGGIN						7550	2802
	A B C D E Title 29 Other	10 42 52 11 28 0 1	31 101 164 80 26 78 16	0 2 5 1 0 0 0	31 103 169 81 26 78 16	6 20 34 16 5 15 3	31 95 120 84 34 66 10	10 50 101 8 20 12 7
	Total	144	496	8	504		440	208.
		additional action i			s entered.			
۱ 								

# CRIMINAL FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS By CLASS OF CHARGE

TABLE 7

		80 (A)(2, A) 13 (A)(2, A) 13 (A)(2, A)(2, A) 14 (A)(2, A)(2, A) 14 (A)(2, A)(2, A)(2, A) 14 (A)(2, A)(2, A)(	organismin processing of the set because t					
AROOSTOOK								
Class Of Charge	Pending Begin- ning_of_Year	Filings	<u>Refilings<sup>2</sup></u>	Total Filings & Refilings	Total Percent of Caseload	Dispositions	Pending End of Year	
A 3 2 0	6 40	34	0	34	4	21	19	
	40 99	39 179	0	39 180	4	48	31	
2	64	160	0	160	21 18	156 173	123	
E Fitle 29	131	101	0	101	12	181	51 51	
Other	0 8	277 65	1 0	278	32	275	3	
	0	05	0	65	7	55	18	
Total	348	855	2	857		909	296	n na statu
CUMBERLAND								
ł	14	75	0					
3	59	207	0 6	75 213	6 16	. 70	19	
	86	276	1	277	·. 20	204 236	68 127	
]	23 22	173	5	178	13	165	36	e.
itle 29	65	117 345	2 2	119 347	9	112	29	
ther	135	144	7	151	26 11	308 186	104 100	
Total	404	1337	23	1360		1281	483	
RANKLIN								vi, and vigor
L	4	4	٥	А		_		
	5	20	0	4 20	1 7	3 12	5	1 
	14	40	Ō	40	13	31	13 23	an a
	3	45 26	0	45	15	50	2	
itle 29	42	118	U 1	26 119	8	29		
ther	14	51	Ō	51	39 17	128 56	33 9	
Total	35	304	1	305		309	81	
								•

. .

ROOSTOOK				_				
Class f Charge	Pending Begin- ning of Year	Filings	<u>Refilings</u> <sup>2</sup>	Total Filings & <u>Refilings</u>	Total Percent of Caseload	Dispositions	Pending End of Year	
	6 40 99	34 39 179	0 0 1	34 39 180	4 4 21	21	19 31	
itle 29 ther	64 131 0 8	160 101 277 65	0 0 1 0	160 160 101 278 65	18 12 32 7	156 173 181 275 55	123 51 51 3 18	
Total	348	855	2	857		909	296	° -™⊷t// har - • take
UMBERLAND								
itle 29 ther	14 59 86 23 22 65 135	75 207 276 173 117 345 144	0 6 1 5 2 2 7	75 213 277 178 119 347 151	6 16 20 13 9 26 11	70 204 236 165 112 308 186	19 68 127 36 29 104 100	
Total	404	1337	23	1360		1281	483	
RANKLIN								
itle 29 Ther	4 5 14 3 3 42 14	4 20 40 45 26 118 51	0 0 0 0 1 0	4 20 40 45 26 119 51	1 7 13 15 8 39 17	3 12 31 50 29 128 56	5 13 23 2 33 9	
Total	85	304	1	305		309	81	

<u>HANCOCK</u> Class	Double	•		Total	Total		
Of Charge	Pending Begin- ning of Year	Filings	Refilings <sup>2</sup>	Filings &	Percent of Caseload	Dispositions	Pending End of Year
A B C D E Title 29	4 15 16 22 40 72	7 27 32 30 11 89	0 0 0 0	7 27 32 30 11	3 12 14 14 5	11 19 32 41 20	0 23 16 11
Other Total	72 2 171	19 215	1 6 7	90 25 222	41 11	145 15	31 17 12
KENNEBEC				-		283	110
A B C D E Title 29 Other	3 52 8 22 12 46 55	35 127 167 148 79 179 39	0 4 2 0 1 3 7	35 131 169 148 80 182 46	4 17 21 19 10 23 6	26 140 156 130 81 158 58	12 43 21 40 11 70 43
Total	198	774	17	791		749	240
<u>KNOX</u> A	4	Λ					
A B C D E Title 29 Other	2 9 16 44 4 0	4 35 63 30 32 88 21	0 1 0 0 0 3	4 36 63 30 32 88 24	1 13 23 11 12 32 9	2 40 65 37 38 62 19	6 2 7 9 38 30 5
Total	79	273	4	277		263	93

¢

• •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	a na sa	an a	1-1-1-17. Also con de automatica de la	-10 (*-/3,#593571391700/*.1571/30/00/000	alus data Pandatan Juna Jana tang Pang Pang Pang	ಪ್ರದೇಶಕ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಗಿ ಕ್ರಿಯಾ ಕ್ರಿಯಾ ಕ್ರಿಯಾ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಗಿ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಗಿ ಕ್ರಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದು ಕ್ರಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದು ಕ್ರಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದು ಕ್ರಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದು ಕ್ರ	an and same start and an and an and an and an and a start of an and an and a		
	LINCOLN								•	
	Class Of Charge	Pending Begin- ning of Year	<u>Filings</u>	<u>Refilings</u> <sup>2</sup>	Total Filings & Refilings	Total Percent of Caseload	Dispositions	Pending End of Year		¥
	A B	2 18	3 26	0 0	3 26	2 14	2 33	3 11		
	C D	6 10	39 19	0	39	21 10	33 20	12		
	E Title 29	24 0	38 49	0	19 38 49	20 26	47 44	15 5		
	Other	0	11	ĺ	12	6	10	2	ſ	
	Total	60	185	1	186		189	57	va. vo. v ₩222	
1	OXFORD									
59-	A	3	7	0	7	2	4	6	s	ix.
	B C	14 27	27 64	0 0	27 64	9 22	48 55	7 36		
	D E	16 14	47 33	0	47 33	16 11	49 36	14		
	Title 29 Other	2 4 9	93 20	0	93 20	32 7	106 17	11 12		
	Total	107	291	0	291		315	83		
	PENOBSCOT									۰ ۱
	A	1 Q	37	0	<u>م م</u>	F	22			
	A B C	18 35 26 19	37 69 147	7	37 76	5 9	29 86	26 25 22		
	D	19 44	99 97	0	147 99 07	12	151 124	6		
	Title 29	44	253 108	0	97 253	18 12 12 31 13	92 224	49 73		
	Other Total	251	810	7	108 817	13	101	72		
	IULAI	<i>43</i> ±	010	/	στ/		807	261		

Class	Pending Pari			Total	m., 1		
Of Charge	Pending Begin- ning of Year	Filings	Refilings2	Filinger	Total Percent of <u>Caseload</u>	Dienerit	Pending End
A . B	1 4	4 14	0	4	3	Dispositions	of Year
C D	6 14	20	0 0	14 20	11 15	4 5	1 13
E Title 29	 7 14	18 19	0 0	18 19	15 16	22 22	4 10
Other	15	30 17	0 0	30 17	25	20 37	6 7
Total	61	122 <sup>`</sup>	0	122	14	21	11
I SAGADAHOC			-	162		131	52
A B C D E	_						
B	1 2 6	5 19	0 0	5	3	5	
D	4	37 20	0	19 37	12 23	15 35	1 6 8 1 11
Title 29	13 0	12 55	0	20 12	12 7	23 14	8
Other	0	13	0 2	55 15	34 9	54 14	11 1 1
Total	26	161	2	163	-		
SOMERSET						160	29
A	2	4	1	F			
B C	4 19	86 71	1	5 87	1 16	12 100	5
D E	9 6	114 35	0	72 114	13 20	75 91	9 16
Title 29 Other	4.4 25	205 40	0	35 205	6 36	33 132	32
Total	109	555		42	8	32	117 35
		555	5	560		475	194
	-1999 -						
- Bet an of Barran D						The second	
					14	the	

## PISCATAQUIS

C

۰. -										
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				ene accomposition and a substantian a substantia			
									₹.	
: (	WALDO Class Of Charge	Pending Begin- ning_of_Year	Filings	Refilings <sup>2</sup>	Total Filings & Refilings	Total Percent of Caseload	Dispositions	Pending End of Year		r
	A B C D E Title 29 Other	1 16 8 16 36 0 2	2 32 33 41 26 62 11	0 0 0 0 0 3	2 32 33 41 26 62 14	1 15 16 20 12 30 7	7 32 28 37 34 55 5	4 16 13 20 28 7 11		
	Total	79	207	3	210		198	91		
	WASHINGTON A B C D E Title 29 Other	1 6 8 11 6 7 4	5 37 51 61 26 66 44		6 37 52 61 26 66 45	2 13 18 21 9 23 15	6 31 43 50 22 47 31	1 12 17 22 10 26 18		•
	Total	43	290	3	293	20	230	106		
-	YORK									
•	A B C D E Title 29 Other	3 11 36 25 15 38 7	28 100 117 131 73 219 24	) 1 4 1 2 2 0	28 101 121 132 75 221 24	4 14 17 19 11 31 3	32 78 127 104 89 168 21	1 34 30 53 1 91 10		
	Total	135	692	10	702		619	218		

,

•

•

,

, 1

		i orad Sectionar Andria Constant				e naer neur restauris a serien en serien serien de la serie de La serie de la s		Met (1997) 	
WALDO Class Of Charge	Pending Begin- 	Filings	Refilings <sup>2</sup>	Total Filings & Refilings	Total Percent of Caseload	Dispositions	Pending End of Year	r	
A B C D E Title 29 Other	1 16 8 16 36 0 2	2 32 33 41 26 62 11	0 0 0 0 0 3	2 32 33 41 26 62 14	1 15 16 20 12 30 7	7 32 28 37 34 55 5	4 16 13 20 28 7 11		
Total	79	207	3	210		198	91		
WASHINGTON A B C D E Title 29 Other	1 6 8 11 6 7 4	5 37 51 61 26 66 44	1 0 1 0 0 0 1	6 37 52 61 26 66 45	2 13 18 21 9 23 15	6 31 43 50 22 47 31	1 12 17 22 10 26 18		
Total	43	290	3	293		230	106		*
YORK A B C D E Title 29 Other	3 11 36 25 15 38 7	28 100 117 131 73 219 24	) 1 4 1 2 2 0	28 101 121 132 75 221 24	4 14 17 19 11 31 3	32 78 127 104 89 168 21	1 34 30 53 1 91 10		
Total	135	692	10	702		619	218		

### TABLE 8

# CRIMINAL FILINGS & DISPOSITIONS BY TYPE OF CASE 1978

### STATEWIDE

Ê

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-62	Type of Case	Total Filings and Refilings <sup>1</sup> 1977	Percent of Total Caseload		Percent of Total Caseload	Percent Change	Total Disposi- tions _1977
	2- ·	Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	2777 1023 619 2579 460 124 46	36 13 8 33	2677 925 347 2452 544 128 117	36 12 5 33 7 2	- 3 - 9 -43 - 4 18 3 54	2276 841 543 2108 437 100

- - -

 $^{1}$ Refilings are cases in which additional action is taken after judgement is entered.

Total Dispos tions 1978	i-	Percent Change	: -
255 2612 900 450 2275 549 106 76		62 14 7 - 17 25 6 11	
7223		11	
<u>[</u> ]		1}	



## ANDROSCOGGIN

	ANDROSCOGGIN Type of Case Bail Review	Total Filings and Refilings1 1977	Percent of Total <u>Caseload</u>	Total Filings and Refilingsl 1978	Percent of Total Caseload	Percent	Tota Dispo tion
-63-	Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total AROOSTOOK	10 103 57 27 202 31 10 3 443	-60 18 13 6 46 7 2 1	4 122 28 20 266 32 6 2 480	1 25 6 4 55 7 1 1	Change -60 18 -50 -25 31 3 -40 -33 8	197 10 75 44 12 202 30 5 2 380
	Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total CUMBERLAND	31525104139173582411055	3 50 10 13 16 8 2 10	34 399 93 63 184 66 10 3 852	47 11 7 22 8 1	9 -24 -10 -54 6 13 -58  -19	29 425 86 139 141 55 25 1 901
	Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total	63 348 176 42 491 83 34 17 1254	5 28 14 3 9 7 3 1	87 371 165 19 471 107 19 37 1276	7 29 13 1 37 8 1 3	28 -44 1.7	61 217 118 60 383 78 20 18 955

ري سيند (جان	angang manang	na) han gala in danke tiger tyde den sy an dae	n haan dii aa dii maa aa aa yaa ahaa ka	-t-station - Automation	28.5.445
T.		E. ]	[]]		

1 Total si- Disposi- s tions 7 1978	Percent Change
4 124 34 26 195 32 5 2 422	-60 65 -22 16 - 3 6 
34	17
473	11
101	17
70	-49
152	7
68	23
7	-72
3	
908	1
87	42
336	54
152	28
31	-48
421	9
113	44
29	45
24	33
1193	25

FRANKLIN Type of Case	Total Filings and Refilings1 1977	Percent of Total Caseload	Total Filings and Refilings <sup>1</sup> 1978	Percent of Total Caseload	Percent Change	Total Disposi- tions 1977	Total Disposi- tions 1978	Percent Change
Bail Review	4	2	19	6	75	4	19	75
Transfer	104	50	137	46	31	63	143	26
Appeal	44	21	47	16	6	37	55	48
Boundover	8	4	10	3	25	7	6	-14
Indictment	24	12	44	15	83	52	39	-25
Information	19	9	38	13		19	37	94
Juvenile Appeal	5	2	5	2		3	8	66
Other	0		0			0	0	
Total	208		300		44	185	307	66
HANCOCK								
Bail Review	1		1			1	1	
Fransfer	285	59	106	. 50	-62	265	170	-35
ppeal	51	1.1	24	11	-52	16	32	
loundover	16	3	7	3	-56	15	7	-53
Indictment	69	14	49	23	-28	58	47	-18
Information	47	10	12	6	-74	41	13	-68
uvenile Appeal	6'	1	1		-83	5	1	-80
ther	5	1	12	6	40	5	7	40
Total	480		212		-56	406	278	-31
KENNEBEC								
Bail Review	34	5	41	5	20	25	46	84
ransfer	143	19	178	23	24	102	150	47
ppeal	67	9	73	9	8	74	63	-14
oundover	45	6	22	3	-51	52	30	-42
Indictment	409	55	397	52	-02	300	411	37
information	35	5	47	б	34	32	46	43
uvenile Appeal	7	1	6	1	-14	8	2	-75
Other	1		6	1		1	2	
Total	741		770		3	594	750	26

> Provide the part of the standard s Standard stand Standard stand Standard st Standard stand Standard st Standard stand Standard stand

-64-

and the first state of the second state of the

. .. **.** 

-65-

KNOX Type of Case Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	Total Filings and Refilings 1977 3 45 81 46 83 5 6 4	Percent of Total <u>Caseload</u> 1 16 30 17 30 2 2 2 1	Total Filings and Refilings1 1978 4 90 61 27 76 10 . 6 3	Percent of Total <u>Caseload</u> 1 32 22 8 27 4 2 2 1	Percent Change 33  -24 -41 - 8  -25	Total Disposi- tions 1977 3 40 63 28 57 5 7 2	Total Disposi- tions 1978 4 75 60 33 79 10 1 1
Total	273		277		1	205	263
LINCOLN Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total	1 31 69 8 44 5 10 1 169	1 18 41 5 26 3 6 1	2 34 52 13 64 9 9 4 187	1 18 28 7 34 5 5 2	 9 -24 62 45 80 -10  10	1 35 64 8 32 3 8 1 152	2 39 52 12 63 11 10 0 189
OXFORD Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total	1 86 39 52 94 40 4 0 316	1 27 12 16 30 13 1	3 94 33 19 87 43 9 0 288	1 33 11 7 30 15 3	9 -15 -63 - 7 25 	1 62 27 49 77 39 3 0 258	3 112 40 28 85 41 5 0 314



PENOBSCOT Type of Case	Total Filings and Refilings <sup>1</sup> 1977	Percent of Total Caseload	Total Filings and Refilings <sup>1</sup> 1978	Percent of Total Caseload	Percent Change	Total Disposi- tions _ 1977	Total Disposi- tions 1978	Percent Change
Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total	9 374 89 47 332 18 0 1 870	1 43 10 5 38 2 	37 326 110 22 220 20 33 12 780	5 42 14 3 28 3 4 2	11 -12 23 -53 -33 11  -10	8 324 89 39 288 15 00 00 763	38 335 94 28 265 21 15 2 798	75 3 -28 - 7 40  4
PISCATAQUIS Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total	1 79 5 9 24 5 1 5 129	1 61 4 7 19 4 1 4	1 56 6 12 36 5 6 0 122	1 46 5 10 30 4 5	-29 20 33 50  - 5	1 70 4 9 13 6 0 2 105	1 71 7 13 25 5 7 2 131	 1 75 44 92 -16  24
SAGADAHOC Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total	0 38 64 31 23 18 2 1 177	21 36 18 13 10 1 1	0 22 63 17 44 12 3 2 163	13 39 10 27 7 2 1	-42 - 1 -45 91 -33 50  7	0 35 52 20 25 18 2 1 153	0 23 69 27 26 12 1 2 1 2 160	-34 32 35 4 -33 -50 

	i internet i Internet i internet i interne		] [] []						
SOMERSET Type of Case Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total	Total Filings and Refilings 1977 5 236 48 14 267 24 1 2 597	Percent of Total <u>Caseload</u> 1 40 8 2 45 4 5 4	Total Filings and Refilings 1978 9 295 29 20 161 38 5 12 569	Percent of Total Caseload 2 52 5 4 28 7 1 2	Percent Change 80 25 -39 42 -39 58  -4	Total Disposi- tions 1977 5 216 48 9 192 23 0 0 493	Total Disposi- tions 1978 7 192 24 20 185 38 4 9 479	Percent <u>Change</u> 40 -11 -50 22 - 3 65  - 3	
Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total WASHINGTON	6 76 21 37 81 16 6 0 243	2 31 9 15 33 7 2	2 98 16 18 52 17 0 7 210	1 47 8 9 25 8  3	-66 28 -28 -51 -35 6  -13	5 20 25 78 17 6 0 236	2 85 20 33 35 17 0 5 197	-60  32 -55   -16	
Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total	1 65 39 18 72 8 3 3 209	31 19 9 34 4 1 1	1 98 65 11 62 16 1 9 263	37 25 4 24 6  3	50 66 -38 -13  -66  25	1 45 33 7 50 7 2 1 146	1 69 48 16 51 17 2 11 215	53 45 28 2 42  47	

	YORK Type of Case Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total	Total Filings and Refilings 1977 239 69 80 191 48 5 2 636	Percent of Total <u>Caseload</u> 38 11 13 30 8 11	6 251 60 47 239 72 9 8	Percent of Total Caseload 1 36 9 7 35 10 1 1	Percent Change  5 -13 -41 25 50 80	Total Disposi- tions 1977 2 217 66 64 160 49 6 2
-68-	Total	636		692°	1	9	2 566

#### T



			Same and		Sec.	(Contraction)		and the second	 - The Statistics	CLOCIDIAN LANS
	· · •	 9460. <u>2</u> 1	and the second s	the time to						

			TABLE 9				
		CRIN BY 1	MINAL TRIALS TYPE OF CASE 1978				
<u>STATEWIDE</u> Type of Case Bail Review	Total Dispositions	Number of Jury Trials	Total Number Jury Trial Days	Percent Dis- posed by Jury Trial	Number of Jury Waived Trials	Total Number Jury Waived Trial Days	
Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	255 2,614 900 455 2,401 558 107 77	127 95 31 247 5 1 1	$148.0 \\ 102.5 \\ 53.5 \\ 434.5 \\ 6.5 \\ 1.0 \\ 5.0$	4 10 6 10  1	80 69 16 59 3 6 1	55.5 68.0 9.0 41.5 2.0 3.0 .5	
Total ANDROSCOGGIN	7,366	507	751.0	7	234	179.5	
Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Ocher	4 124 34 27 211 33 5 2	6 2 3 13 0 0 0	7.0 2.0 5.0 18.0 0 0	4 5 11 6 	5 3 0 1 0 0 0	5.0 3.0 0 1.0 0	
Total	440	24	32.0	5	9	0 9.0	

9.0

-69-



<u>AROOSTOOK</u> Type of Case Bail Review	い で Dispositions	Number of Jury Trials	Total Number Jury Trial Days	Percent Dis- posed by Jury Trial	Number of Jury Waived Trials	Total Number Jury Waived Trial Davs
Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	473 101 70 152 69 7 3	12 2 1 7 0 0 0	13.0 2.0 1.0 7.0 0 0	2 1 4 	8 9 10 10 0 0 0	5.5 6.0 6.0 7.0 0
Total CUMBERLAND	909	22	23.0	2	37	0 24.5
Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	87 336 152 32 502 118 29 24	8 18 53 0 1 0 1	$     \begin{array}{r}       10.0 \\       24.0 \\       0 \\       115.0 \\       .5 \\       0 \\       5.0 \\     \end{array} $	2 11 10  4	5 7 0 12 0 0 1	8.0 8.5 0 8.0 0 .5
Total	1,280	81	154.5	6	25	.5 25.0

-70-



<u>FRANKLIN</u> <u>Type of Case</u> Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	successions of the second seco	Number of Jury Trials	0000000 Jury Trial Number	Percent Dis- Percent Dis- 1 7 possed by Jury Trial	Number of Jury Waived Trials	7000055 Total Number 0000055 Jury Waived Trial Days
Total	309	22	28.0	 7	0 18	0 9.5
HANCOCK Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total	1 170 32 7 51 14 1 7 283	13 1 2 5 1 0 0 22	7.5 1.5 4.5 6.0 1.0 0 20.5	7 3 28 9 7	5 3 0 1 0 0 0	2.5 1.5 0 .5 0 0 0
		<b>6</b> L	20.5	7	<sup>•</sup> 9	4.5

Percent posed b Waived 0 

KENNEBEC Type of Case	Total Dispositions	Number of Jury Trials	Total Number Jury Trial Days	Percent Dis- posed by Jury Trial	Number of Jury Waived Trials	Total Number Jury Waived Trial Dave
Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	46 150 63 30 411 46 2 2	8 18 2 43 0 0 0	$     \begin{array}{r}             8.5 \\             17.5 \\             1.5 \\             50.5 \\             0 \\             0 \\         $	5 28 3 12 	2 1 0 6 1 0 0	2.0 .5 0 5.0 1.0 0
'fotal KNOX	750	71	78.0	9	10	8.5
Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	4 75 60 33 79 10 1 1	2 1 7 1 0 0	1.5 1.0 15.5 18.0 1.0 0 0	2 1 21 8 10	5 3 1 5 0 0 0	2.5 1.5 .5 2.5 0 0 0
Total	263	18	37.0	6	14	7.0

-72-



<u>LINCOLN</u> Type of Case Bail Review	'Fotal Dispositions	Number of Jury Trials	Total Number Jury Trial Days	Percent Dis- posed by Jury Trial	Number of Jury Waived Trials	Total Number Jury Waived Trial Days
Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	2 39 52 12 63 11 10 0	9 9 1 13 1 0 0	$ \begin{array}{r} 6.5\\ 8.0\\ 1.0\\ 19.0\\ 1.0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\end{array} $	23 17 8 20 9	9 2 0 0 2 0	$13.5 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 0 \\ 1.0 \\ 0 \\ 1.0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1.0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1.0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$
Total OXFORD	189	33	35.5	17	15	16.5
Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	3 112 40 28 86 41 5 0	3 0 7 0 0 0	2.5 4.0 0 25.5 0 0 0 0	2 7  8 	1 0 1 1 0 0 0	.5 0 .5 1.0 0 0
Total	315	13	32.0	4	3	2.0

Vanas IV

73

#### 



<u>PENOBSCOT</u> Type of Case	Total Dispositions	Number of Jury Trials	Total Number Jury Trial Days	Percent Dis- posed by Jury Trial	Number of Jury Waived Trials	Total Number Jury Waived Trial Days
Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	38 335 94 28 275 21 15 2	12 8 2 43 0 0 0	$   \begin{array}{r}     10.0 \\     6.5 \\     2.0 \\     58.0 \\     0 \\     0 \\     0 \\     0   \end{array} $	3 8 7 7 	24 14 1 11 1 0 0	15.0 13.0 .5 7.0 .5 0
Total	808	65	76.5	8	51	36.0
PISCATAQUIS					• •	
Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	1 71 7 13 25 5 7 2	7 0 1 1 0 1 0	16.0 2.0 1.0 0 1.0	9 7 4 	3 1 0 1 0 0	1.5 .5 .5 0 .5 0
Total	131	10	20.0	7	6	3.0

#### - AL3



<u>SAGADAHOC</u> Type of Case	Total Dispositions	Number of Jury Trials	Total Number Jury Trial Days	Percent Dis- posed by Jury Trial	Number of Jury Waived Trials	Total Number Jury Waived Trial Days
Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other Total	23 69 27 26 12 1 2 0 160	0 9 4 2 0 0 0 15	0 8.5 3.5 6.0 0 0 18.0	13 14 7   9	1 7 0 1 0 0 0 9	.5 4.0 0 .5 0 0 0 5.0
SOMERSET Bail Review	7					
Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	192 24 20 186 38 4 9	7 3 10 0 0	9.53.53.026.0000	3 12 5 5 	7 0 1 0 0 0	$4.5 \\ 0 \\ 1.0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\$
Total	480	21	42.0	4	8 *	5.5



<u>WALDO</u> <u>Type of Case</u> Bail Review	N Total Dispositions	Number of Jury Trials	Total Number Jury Trial Days	Percent Dis- posed by Jury Trial	Number of Jury Waived Trials	Total Number Jury Waived Trial Days
Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	87 20 33 35 17 0 5	10 2 9 0 ~ 0 0	$     \begin{array}{r}       10.0 \\       3.0 \\       3.0 \\       13.0 \\       0 \\       0 \\       0 \\       0     \end{array} $	11 10  25 	0 0 2 0 0 0	0 0 3.0 0 0
Total <u>WASHINGT</u> ON	199	23	29.0	11	2	3.0
Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile Appeal Other	1 69 48 19 63 17 2 12	2 4 0 12 0 0 0	2.0 4.0 0 32.5 0 0 0 0	2 8 9 19 	3 4 0 4 0 0 0	$2.0\\11.0\\0\\3.0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0\\0$
Total	231	18	38.5	7	11	16.0

#### 

-76-



• •	and and a second se		
	·		
		•	
en e	-		
		•	
	8-		
		t Č	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		and the second se	n an tao amin' ao amin' ami Amin' amin' amin
	- 22 -		
	оцннврнв сспрорга	YORK	
$\sum_{i=1}^{n}   f_i   \leq \frac{1}{N}$	he: he:		
	r principal Re To Lina Re To Lina Re	O Hin	
	Reviev fer unation ile A Total		
	Bail Review Transfer Appeal Boundover Indictment Information Juvenile App Other Total	Case	
	ew It Appeal		0
	н Н		
			08
	6 1 2	Total	
	215 215 169 619 619	Dispositions	
<b>T</b>			
	4 9 00 00 00 9	Number of Jury Trials	
		Sury ILLAIS	
		Total Number	
	34.5 32.0 86.5	Jury Trial	
		l)ays	
· ·		Percent Dis-	
	8 4 <sup>1</sup> 8 4 4 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	posed by Jury	
		Trial	
		Number of Jury Waived	
	7 0000052	Trials	
	ч 3 н	Total Number	
	4 3 5 0 0 5 5	Jury Waived Trial Days	
		-	

-

• • • •



- <b>1</b>							e ere an confirma an an	en e
							Indictments	Information
ODTMINAL OA	TABLE 10				U U	All 1st Appearancesto Dispositions		
CRIMINAL CA BY	SEFLOW TIME REPORT TYPE OF FILING <sup>1</sup>				m	0-30 Days	407	528
	1978					31-60 Days 61-90 Days	382 279	6
STATEWIDE	Indictments	Information			Î	91-120 Days 121-Up Days	250 743	1 10
Filing to 1st Appearance			M I		n n	Average Days	117	6
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	1,715 102	525 7	<u>an</u>					
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	55 59	5 2			T T	ANDROSCOGGIN		
121-Up Days	130	9				Filing to 1st Appearance		
Average Days	33	7		• •	n I.	0-30 Days 31-60 Days	140	33
lst Appearance to Guilty			(T)			61-90 Days 91-120 Days	7	0
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	260 230	505 3				121-Up Days	2	0
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	153 144	1 1			ll n	Average Days	17	0
121-Up Days	. 267	5	d D		U	lst Appearance to Guilty Plea		
Average Days	87	3		• •		0-30 Days 31-60 Days	15	32
lst Appearance to Jury Tr			(T)			31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days	18 19 23 41	0
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	19 30 33	4 0				121-Up Days	41	0
61-90 Days 91-120 Days					m	Average Days	107	2
121-Up Days	105	0	(#9			lst Appearance to Jury Trial		
Average Days	136	15			<b>I</b>	0-30 Days 31-60 Days	0	0
lst Appearance to Jury Wa	ived Trial		( <b>#</b> ?)	-	100	61-90 Days 91-120 Days	, 0	0
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	6	3	U.			121-Up Days	6	0 0
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	3 10	0 0				Average Days	154	0
121-Up Days	10 29	0				lst Appearance to Jury Waived Trial		
Average Days	154	13				0-30 Days 31-60 Days	0	0
						61-90 Days 91-120 Days	0	0 0
·					M	121-Up Days	0	0
<sup>1</sup> By Defendant	-78-		<b>F</b>		rin .	Average Days	66	0
						-79-		
					]			ann a guard a sta a ta a ta a ta a ta a ta a ta a
4 1				*				,

n sense and an annual sense of the	•	n an an an ann ann ann an an ann an ann an a	n managa mbannan ɗaran a sayar a ayar ara a ana ana ka			y Martin and an and an and an	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
						. · ·		
	Indictments	Information	L.S.				Traditation	
All lst Appearances to Disposit	ions	······································					<u>Indictments</u>	Information
						All lst Appearances to Disposition	S	
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	18 26	32	8.6			0-30 Days	24	67
61-90 Days	24	Ő				31-60 Days . 61-90 Days	12 12	1
91-120 Days	30	0			en e	91-120 Days	14	0
121-Up Days	64	Ţ				121-Up Days	49	ĩ
Average Days	122	9	Q~3			Average Days	123	- 6
			(contract			CUMBERLAND		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
AROOSTOOK			E 1					
Filing to 1st Appearance		•				Filing to 1st Appearance		
0-30 Days	66	60	<u>(, 1</u>			0-30 Days	373	109
31-60 Days	11	4 4			m	31-60 Days 61-90 Days	13	0
61-90 Days	11	2				91-120 Days	4	1
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	3 20	0				121-Up Days	29	2
		J .				Average Days	30	7
Average Days	96	15	. 4.3				50	/
lst Appearance to Guilty Plea						lst Appearance to Guilty Plea		
0-30 Days	9	65			ine ar	0-30 Days	31	103
31-60 Days	6	0			i an	31-60 Days 61-90 Days	26	1
61-90 Days	5	0				91-120 Days	30	0 .
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	5 14	0 1				121-Up Days	41 75	0
						Average Days		
Average Days	113	6	<b>4</b> 2			- ,	106	0
lst Appearance to Jury Trial		•				lst Appearance to Jury Trial		
0-30 Days	0	0	(L)		142 <b>81</b>	0-30 Days	3	1
31-60 Days	Õ	ŏ	<b>C</b> ))			31-60 Days	8	0
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	1	0				61-90 Days 91-120 Days	3	0
121-Up Days	3	0			-	121-Up Days	29	0
						Average Days	151	0
Average Days	216	0	( <u>4.</u> ))					U
lst Appearance to Jury Waived Tr	ial					lst Appearance to Jury Waived Trial		
0-30 Days	0	0				0-30 Days	0	0
31-60 Days	0	0				31-60 Days 61-90 Days	2	0
61-90 Days 91-210 Days	U T	0		· .		91-120 Days	U 3	U
121-Up Days	6	0			na. Arti	121-Up Days	7	ŏ
Average Days	232	0				Average Days	123	0
		U	<b>B</b>					v
-80-						- 81 -		
			<b>Q.1</b>				-	

•

• • •

4 1

1

.

			675			Ner Stelle die Geschaft - Mager Aussen von Beitgen Beiten in Lauren	
	Indictments	Information					
All 1st Appearances to Disposit	ions					Indictments	Informati
0-30 Days	54	109			All 1st Appearances to Dispos	itions	
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	53 52	. 1			0-30 Days	5	37
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	64 196	0			31-60 Days 61-90 Days	11 4	0
Average Days	132	5			91-120 Days 121-Up Days	4	0
NKLIN	102	5			Average Days	145	0
Filing to 1st Appearance	•				HANCOCK		U
0-30 Days	. 31	36			Filing to 1st Appearance		
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	3 0	0 1			0-30 Days	36	13
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	Ő	0			31-60 Days 61-90 Days	1	0
Average Days	16	0	T		91-120 Days 121-Up Days	3	1
st Appearance to Guilty Plea	TO	T			Average Days	44	U 7
0-30 Days	2				lst Appearance to Guilty Plea		,
31-60 Days	3 2	· 34 · 0			0-30 Days	7	
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	0	0		1	31-60 Days	2	12 0
121-Up Days	2	0			61-90 Days 91-120 Days	3	0
Average Days	79	0	1	Cramero Contractores and	121-Up Days	17	1
st Appearance to Jury Trial					Average Days	147	9
0-30 Days	0	1			lst Appearance to Jury Trial		
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	0 0	0	<b>T</b> P		0-30 Days	0	. 0
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	1	0			31-60 Days 61-90 Days	0	0
	5	U	(# <sup>3</sup>		91-120 Days 121-Up Days	1	1 0
Average Days	141	0				4	0
lst Appearance to Jury Waived Tr	ial				Average Days	156	79
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	0	0			lst Appearance to Jury Waived T	rial	
61-90 Days	L 0	0			0-30 Days	1	0
91-120 Days	0	Ō			31-60 Days 61-90 Days	0	0
121-Up Days	U	0	#7%-		91-120 Days	0	0
Average Days	39	0			121-Up Days	0	Ō
-82-			a Ti		Average Days	0	0
02-					-83-		

4 | 4 |

•

Market and a second second

4

.

and a start of the	a an	สารให้สารการแก่งและและกลุ่มีหมายให้หมายให้หมายให้หมายให้เหมาะได้เสียง เป็นสารการเป็นสารให้สารได้เหมาะแนะเป็นเป			10 <sup>1</sup> 11-111	
				-		
	Indictments	Information				
All 1st Appearances to Dispositio	ons					All 1st Appearances
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	11 2	12 0				0-30 Days 31-60 Days
61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	2 4 3 25	0 0 2				61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days
Average Days	154	40			m	Average Day
KENNEBEC						
Filing to 1st Appearance	210			-		<u>KNOX</u> Fi'ing to lst Appea
0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days	319 13 9	43 0 0				0-30 Days 31-60 Days
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	3 23	0 2				61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days
Average Days	42	20				Average Days
lst Appearance to Guilty Plea						lst Appearance to Gu
0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days	47 44 25	40 0 0				0-30 Days 31-60 Days
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	20 42	0			Π	61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days
Average Days	87	1				Average Days
lst Appearance to Jury Trial 0-30 Days	6	0				lst Appearance to Ju
31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days	8 8 8	0 0 0				0-30 Days 31-60 Days
121-Up Days	11 95	0			Ω	61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days
Average Days		0			<b>ST</b> 1	Average Days
lst Appearance to Jury Waived Tri 0-30 Days		-				lst Appearance to Jur
31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days	0 0 0					0-30 Days 31-60 Days
121-Up Days Average Days	3 185	° 0			A	61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days
-84-	COT	0				Average Days
			****£			

.

. . . . .

4 1

\* \*\*

1

-85-

			e siekas		·	
			$[\mathbf{S}]$	7		ана ал торо на селото на селото сулости се около на селото на селото на селото на селото на селото на селото на
			T	T		
	Indictments	Information		ļ		
All 1st Appearances to Dispos	itions		II T	3		
0-30 Days	6				Indictments	Inform
31-60 Days	6 10 19	10 0		All 1st Appearances to Dispositi		Information
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	19	0			ons	
121-Up Days	3 30	0	m l li	0-30 Days	14	10
-	50	0		31-60 Days 61-90 Days	6	0
Average Days	137	0		91-120 Days	12	<b>O</b>
				121-Up Days	6 17	0
LINCOLN				Arrows		0
				Average Days	89	0
Filing to 1st Appearance				OXFORD		
0-30 Days	51	Q		Filing to 1		
31-60 Days	0	9 1	<u>ST</u>	Filing to 1st Appearance		
61-90 Days	2	ō		0-30 Days	•	
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	1	0		. 31-60 Days	46	40
IZI-OP Days	L	0		61-90 Days	3 1	1
Average Days	11	4		91-120 Days 121-Up Days	4	0
		•		121 op Days	10	Õ
lst Appearance to Guilty Plea				Average Days	54	_
0-30 Days	7	9			54	1
31-60 Days	2	0		lst Appearance to Guilty Plea		
61-90 Days	8	0		0-30 Days		
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	2 3	0		31-60 Days 61-90 Days	26	39
121 OP Days	<b>.</b>	0		61-90 Days 91-120 Days	0	2
Average Days	67	0		121-Up Days	6	0
lat Appendiate to The The					11	Ō
lst Appearance to Jury Trial			I n	Average Days	64	1
0-30 Days	0	1		1st'Appearance the to -		T
31-60 Days	1	ō		lst Appearance the Jury Trial		
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	4	0		0-30 Days	1	2
121-Up Days	3	0		31-60 Days	0	0
	4	0		61-90 Days 91-120 Days	Ō	0
Average Days	125	0		121-Up Days	1	õ
1st Appearance to Jury Mained	m : 1				3	0
lst Appearance to Jury Waived	irial		<b>F</b>	Average Days	230	0
0-30 Days	1	0		lst Appearance to Jury Waived Tria		U
31-60 Days	0	0			T	
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	0	0		0-30 Days	0	0
121-Up Days	Ľ O	U O		31-60 Days 61-90 Days	ĩ	0
	~	v		91-120 Davs	0	ŏ
Average Days	70	0		121-Up Days	0	0
					U	0
-86	<b></b>			Average Days	60	0
						-

· •

.

an an an ann an an ann an ann an an Ann An	addaufuar-maanaa uu koloollaatti kokuuraanaa koloonaatta atta mut	Stantan menangkan perioden at han perioden and an and a second statements of the second statement of the second	an ang sa sang mangang ng mga ng m	". About d	a sana ka sa	27.2022.2.4.59.4554.59.50.00.000 view view view view view view view view
			For a second sec			
	Indictments	Information				
All lst Appearances to Dispositions	5				<b>(1n</b> )	
0-30 Days	28	20				All 1st Appearanc
31-60 Days	6	39 2	an l		677 <b>8</b> 4	
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	. 1 8	0				0-30 Days 31-60 Days
121-Up Days	° 21	0	_		(all)	61-90 Days
Average Days	92	2				91-120 Days 121-Up Days
			(77)			Average D
PENOBSCOT						0
Filing to let App.						PISCATAQUIS
Filing to 1st Appearance						
0-30 Days	208	20	U_B .			Filing to lst App
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	17	0	17		775	0-30 Days
91-120 Days	10 9	0				31-60 Days
121-Up Days	8	1	17 <sup>2-0</sup> 7		ά <b>ν</b>	61-90 Days 91-120 Days
Average Days	21	13				121-Up Days
lst Appearance to Guilty Plea			(T)	statistics of the second s		Average D
0-30 Days	4.0					lst Appearance to
31-60 Days	43 45	17				
61-90 Days	21	0			<b>T</b>	0-30 Days
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	15	· · · 0	<u>as</u>			31-60 Days 61-90 Days
121 op hays	15	2	۲ <i>۳</i>		101-1 <b>4</b>	91-120 Days
Average Days	61	24				121-Up Days
lst Appearance to Jury Trial						Average D.
	•					let Appearance to
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	5	0	<u> </u>			lst Appearance to
61-90 Days	4	0			R.P.	0-30 Days
91-120 Days	5	0 0				31-60 Days 61-90 Days
121-Up Days	19	Ő	<b>7</b> -2		Press (197	91-120 Days
Average Days	132	0				121-Up Days
	1. J2	0			(L)	Average Da
lst Appearance to Jury Waived Trial						
0-30 Days	3	0	<b>4</b> .3			lst Appearance to
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	1 2	1			任教	0-30 Days
91-120 Days	2	0				31-60 Days
121-Up Days	3	0				61-90 Days 91-120 Days
Average Day's						121-Up Days
nverage Days	107	52 .				
			<b>F</b>	1	<b>32</b>	Average Da
- 88-						

. --

مرود مرود ومعادوه ومعادية ومروده والمعار

.

•

	Indictments	Information
nces to Dispositions	60 63 39 32 58	17 1 0 0 3
Days	93	35
ppearance		
	13 2 1 0 5	4 0 1 0 0
Days	54	14
co Guilty Plea		
	3 3 2 0 0	3 0 0 0 0
Days	32	0
o Jury Trial		
-	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0
Days	120	0
o Jury Waived Trial		
	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0
Days	0	0

1

-89-

n an	n da baran na gan gin minimis dari na ministra dari na ministra da barantañ en diferendez a mini denes de stabi An	an a	STATES - STATES AND AN AND AN ADAL	1		Charles Contraction Contraction and Contraction Contra
				Version of the second s		
					1	
	Indictments	Information				
All 1st Appearances to Dispositi	ons	·····				
	7	F		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		All 1st Appearance
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	5	0 0			Second and the second second	0-30 Days 31-60 Days
61-90 Days	5	0	- -		(m)	61-90 Days
91-120 Days	1 3	0				91-120 Days
121-Up Days	5	0				121-Up Days
Average Days	64	0	-		- starment	Average 1
SAGADAHOC					and the second second	
Filing to 1st Appearance						SOMERSET
			U			Filing to 1st App
0-30 Days	19	12	æ			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	2 0	0				0-30 Days
91-120 Days	0	0				31-60 Days
121-Up Days	ĩ	Ŏ	a		£1	61-90 Days 91-120 Days
Average Days	14	0				121-Up Days
	14	U	(F)		F	Average I
lst Appearance to Guilty Plea	•					_
0-30 Days	2	12				lst Appearance to
31-60 Days	. 3	0				0-30 Days
61-90 Days	3	0			U	31-60 Days
91-120 Days	0	0	60 <b>7</b> 5			61-90 Days
121-Up Days	1	0				91-120 Days
Average Days	69	0			€_)	121-Up Days
lst Appearance to Jury Trial						Average D
	0	0				. lst Appearance to
0-30 Days	0 0	0			ศา	
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	0	0			Succession of the second	0-30 Days
91-120 Days	2	ŏ			()	31-60 Days
121-Up Days	0	0			17	61-90 Days 91-120 Days
Average Days	107	0				121-Up Days
			( <b>3</b> 74)		(IT)	Average D
lst Appearance to Jury Waived Tr	ial					
0-30 Days	1	1				lst Appearance to
31-60 Days	0	0			Ĩ	0-30 Days
61-90 Days	0	. 0			<u>III</u>	31-60 Days
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0	0				61-90 Days
121 Op Days		Ŭ				91-120 Days
Average Days	0	0				121-Up Days
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						Average D
-90-			羅鞋	1		

	Indictments	Information
ices to Dispositions		
<b>,</b>	4 6 6 2 4	12 0 0 0 0
Days	76	0
pearance		
	130 14 6 27 7	37 1 0 0
Days	38	1
o Guilty Plea		
	48 47 11 9 8	32 0 1 1 0
Days	50	5
o Jury Trial		
	1 3 2 0 4	
Days	94	0
o Jury Waived Trial		
• •	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0
Days	162	0

,

-91-

			and the second states of the second			- 1		e	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			and a second second second					
				~					
				and the second					
	Indictments	Information						Indictments	Information
All 1st Appearances to Dispositi	ons			لاستا			All 1st Appearances to Disposition	ns	
0-30 Days	3	15					0-30 Days	62	35
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	7 3	0		4.2			31-60 Days	61 14	1
91-120 Days	4	Ö		12 12 12 12			61-90 Days 91-120 Days	14 13 34	1
121-Up Days	12	0		e.J			121-Up Days	34	0
Average Days	131	0		And the second sec			Average Days	72	6
WASHINGTON				(I)			WALDO		
Filing to 1st Appearance							Filing to 1st Appearance		
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	50	17					0-30 Days	27	15
61-90 Days	0	0		120			31-60 Days 61-90 Days	2. 0	0
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0	0			CONTRACTO		91-120 Days	0	0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	00	Ŭ				2	121-Up Days	0	0
Average Days	20	0					Average Days	1.0	0
lst Appearance to Guilty Plea				U.			lst Appearance to Guilty Plea.		
0-30 Days	8	16		T			0-30 Days	1	14
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	2	0				J	31-60 Days	6	0
91-120 Days	1	Ő		ſ			61-90 Days 91-120 Days	3	0
121-Up Days	10	0					121-Up Days	5	0
Average Days	104	7					Average Days	110	0
lst Appearance to Jury Trial				ě.			lst Appearance to Jury Trial		
0-30 Days	. 0	. 0					0-30 Days	1	0
31-60 Days	0	0					31-60 Days	1	Ő
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	0 1	0					61-90 Days 91-120 Days	0	0
121-Up Days	7	0					121-Up Days	4	õ
Average Days	257	0					Average Days	113	0
lst Appearance to Jury Waived Tr	ial	•					lst Appearance to Jury Waived Tri	al	
0-30 Days	0	0					0-30 Days	0	0
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	0	0					31-60 Days	0	0
91-120 Days	1	Ő					61-90 Days 91-120 Days	1	Ő
121-Up Days	3	U		0.01			121-Up Days	1	Ø
Average Days -92-	286	0					Average Days	218	0
-92-							-93-		
	une une en el como a como a como a como a como el manere en a ca a como a manere en como de como de como de com No como en encontra como el como a como el como		and a second	and the second se		<b>X</b> 1		n and the second sec	annan an a

.-

. . •

•
				and the second	the second s	
	- <b>x</b>					
	Indictments	Information				
All 1st Appearances to Dispositio	ns					
			Œ	π		All 1st Appeara
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	11 3	$16 \\ 0$				0-30 Days
61-90 Days	1	0				31-60 Days
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	6 32	0 1				61-90 Days 91-120 Days
			<u>a</u> .			121-Up Days
Average Days	182	7	I			
						Average
YORK			<b>6</b> 7		(1)	
Filing to 1st Appearance						
				·		
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	147 8	68				
61-90 Days	3	0 0	Q	-		
91-120 Days	5	0	(T			~
121-Up Days	10	0				
Average Days	23	0	<b></b>			
lst Appearance to Guilty Plea.						
0-30 Days	5	68	g			
31-60 Days	16	0	A LO SANA			•
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	10 12	0 0				
121-Up Days	8	0				
Average Days	85	0				
	00	0				
1st Appearance to Jury Trial						
0-30 Days	0	0				
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	3	0				
91-120 Days	2	0				
121-Up Days	6	0				
Average Days	108	0				
lst Appearance to Jury Waived Tria	1					
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	0	0				
61-90 Days	0	0				
91-120 Days	Ō	Õ	- (1) (1)			
121-Up Days	. 0	0				
Average Days	0	0				
-94-			ſ			
24-			91			
2012/2012 (11)/2012/2012/2012/2012/2012/2012/2012/2	A second seco			and the second second second		

•

124

cances to Dispositions	Indictments	Information
3	21 36 32 24 60	68 0 0 0 0
ge Days	111	0

## TABLE 11

## CRIMINAL CASEFLOW BY TYPE OF FILING<sup>1</sup>

	STATEWIDE	Transfers	Appeals	Juven
	Filing to 1st Appearance 0-30 days 31-60 days	436 461	154	
- 9	61-90 days 91-120 days	366 272	166 132 69	
96-	121-Up days Average Days	669 101	237 98	
	Filing to Guilty Plea 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	162 256 191 155 402	60 82 56 23 150	
	Average Days	110	113	
	Filing to Jury Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days Average Days	5 25 21 15 60 137	3 11 24 11 43	
	average Days	13/	142	

 1<sub>By Defendant</sub>



-97-

### 230.00

STATEWIDE (Continued)	Transfers	Appeals	
Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days	5	6	-
61-90 Days	15	15	
91-120 Days	12	15	
121-Up Days	10 36	7	
Amorean D	58	24	
Average Days	140	105	
Filing to Disposition 0-30 Days		205	
31-60 Days	286	97	
61-90 Days	482 366	156	
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	324	150 78	
op Days	1098	402	
Average Days	136	131	
ANDROSCOGGIN			
Filing to 1st Appearance 0-30 Days			
31-60 Days	23	6	
61-90 Days	6 4	2	
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	4 8	2 1	
121-0p Days	22	7	
Average Days	84	84	
Filing to Guilty Plea			
U-30 Days	13	<b>1</b>	
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	5	1 2	
91-120 Days	0	2	
121-Up Days	4	1	
	12	4	
Average Days	78	107	
		20,	

	,	. #1
		· · · · · 1
		•
	1175.1	
Juvenile Appeals		
	Y Y	
N/A N/A N/A N/A	•	
N/A N/A		
N/A	•	
32		
32 25 23		
11 15		
73		
		-
1 .		
0 0		
1 0 0 2 0		-
66		
0 0 0 0 0		
0 0		
0		
namen o onde menerale earde armentele Haltzenschold opparte Makenzy, blieden versionen versionen versionen vers		
	ţ	a 🖌
		•
	•	
	· ·	

ANDROSCOGGIN (Continued)	Transfers	A
Filing to Jury Trial 0-30 Days		Appeals
31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days	0 0 0 3 3	0 0 0
121-Up Days	3	0 2
Average Days	176	155
Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days		133
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	0	1 0
© 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0 0 4	0 0 2
Average Days	156	134
Filing to Disposition 0-30 Days	1 7	
31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	17 12 2 16 71	2 5 3 2
Average Days	130	21 166
AROOSTOOK		100
Filing to 1st Appearance 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days	34 108	12
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	97 102 131	25 29 9 25
Averace Days	107	88

l U

• •			
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		_	
uvenile Appeals		•	
`			<b>Y</b>
N/A N/A			
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A			
N/A			
N/A	and the second		
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A			`
N/A N/A	a sa		
N/A			
			_
0 2 2	and a second		
143	and a second	١	
3 4 0			
0 0 0			
29			۰ بر این این این این
			ĥ,

AROOSTOOK (Continued)	Transfers	
Filing to Guilty Plea 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	12 37 40 49 85	<u>Appeals</u> 5 7 10 6 15
Average Days	120	106
Filing to Jury Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	1 1 1 8	0 2 0 0 0
Average Days	163	47
Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	2 0 0 1 6	0 3 3 0 3
Average Days	143	100
Filing to Disposition 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days Average Days	25 79 70 96 203	7 16 23 13 41
-richage Days	139	112

Care Care

C HOR

1000

Hard State

-99-

-	
Juvenile Appeals	, ,
0	
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	
N/A 1 4 0 0 2	
87	

CUMBERLAND Filing to 1st Appearance	Transfers	Appeals	Ju
0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	10 4 9 152	7 2 2 4 75	
Average Days	200	190	
Filing to Guilty Plea 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	8 4 1 7 106	3 1 1 0 46	
Average Days	· 198	207	
Filing to Jury Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0 0 1 0 7	0 0 1 0 16	
Average Days	231	258	
Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0 0 0 5	0 0 0 3 4	
Average Days	324	148	

H 6

-100-

L'and and a set

a state of the sta

carola



CUMBERLAND (Continued)	Transfers	Appeals
Filing to Disposition 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	22 9 9 14 276	4 2 7 4 134
Average Days	241	222
FRANKLIN		
Filing to 1st Appearance 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	12 19 35 22 49	3 12 15 9 16
Average Days	114	104
Filing to Guilty Plea 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	7 7 24 11 28	1 9 9 2 4
Average Days	114	80
Filing to Jury Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0 0 1 3 5	0 0 3 1 4
Average Days	169	150

1 .

**御殿、御殿** 

138

-101-

Juvenile Appeals	т
1	
1 9 14 0 5	
5 91	
9 I	
-	
5 0 1	
0 2	
60	
0	
0	
N/A N/A	
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	
N/A N/A	
N/A	

	FRANKLIN (Continued)	Transfers	Appeals
	Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days		
	31-60 Davs	0 0	0
	61-90 Days		0 1
	91-120 Days 121-Up Days	2 1 2	0
	=== of bays	2	2
	Average Days	110	129
	Filing to Disposition		
	U-30 Davs	12	n
Ľ	31-60 Days 61-90 Days	14	3
102	91-120 Days	33	15
Ĩ	121-Up Days	19 60	9
	· · ·	00	16
	Average Days	129	104
	HANCOCK		
	Filing to 1st Appearance		
		17	
	31-60 Davs	-30	4
	61-90 Days	24	4 6 1
	91-120 Days 121-Up Days	15	5
	121-0p Days	69	13
	Average Days	127	130
	Filing to Guilty Plea		200
	U=.)U Neve	7	
	31-60 Davs	7 18	1
	61-90 Davs	15	4
	91-120 Days	12	1
	121-Up Days	33	0 11
	Average Days	121	170
			178
•			

			•	
, na managanan na aran kara ana manganan kara ana kara kara kara kara kara k	Alter and a second s			
			<b>W</b>	
Juvenile Appeals				
N/A N/A N/A N/A	·			,
N/A				
5		9. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 1		
5 0 0				
1 2				•
62				
· 1				
1 0 0				ана алананананананананананананананананан
0 0			٨	-
13		and Research		
0 0 0 0	<b>.</b> 1			
0				
e ne en		•		ł

HANCOCK (Continued)	Transfers	Appeals	
Filing to Jury Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	1 1 2 0 9	0 0 0 0 1	
Average Days	180	161	
Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0 1 0 0 6	0 1 0 0 1	
Average Days	202	111	
Filing to Disposition 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	13 26 27 17 83	2 5 1 4 18	
Average Days	144	165	
KENNEBEC			
Filing to 1st Appearance 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	39 39 21 13 23	9 13 13 6 17	
Average Days	84	89	

-103-

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-	
Juvenile Appeals	r
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	
N/A	•
N/A N/A N/A N/A	
N/A	
1 0 0 0 0	
13	
1 0 0 1 0	
69	
	en e

KENNEBEC (Continued)	Trans - C	
Filing to Guilty Plea	Transfers	Appeals
0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	20 24 10 4 14	5 5 4 2 7
Average Days	82	
Filing to Jury Trial 0-30 Days	02	78
31-60 Days - 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0 2 5 1 0	0 2 7 3 4
Average Days	74	95
Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	· 0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0 0 0
Average Days Filing to Disposition	83	45
0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days Average Days	37 39 25 15 28 88	6 12 15 6 19
		98

				2	
		and the second			
Juvenile Appea	als			r	
0 0					
0 0 0 0					
0					
N/A N/A					
N/A N/A N/A N/A				X	
N/A					
N/A					
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		and the second			
N/A N/A		and the second			
1 0 0 1 0		n general a substantia a substant			
0 69		an a	•		
		And a second			
			e e la constante Norma de la constante Norma de la constante		

<b>WNOW</b>		
KNOX	Transfers	Ame
Filing to 1st Appearance		Appeals
U-JU Davs	14	
31-60 Days	14 21	12
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	14	14 14
121-Up Days	2	14 3
·	13	13
Average Days	73	
Filing to Guilty Plea	15	94
	·	
31-60 Days	3 8	3
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	3	4
121-Up Days	4	<b>4</b> 0
	16	. 22
Average Days	135	
Filing to Jury Trial	100	155
U-30 Days		
31-60 Days	0	0
61-90 Days	1 0	0
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0	0 0
op Buys	1	1
Average Days	122	•
Filing to Lum H	122	268
Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days		
31-60 Days	0	0
61-90 Days	1 0	0
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0	1 2
121-op Days	4	0
Average Days	275	
-	415	99

**建**也

-

1

-105-



KNOX (Continued)	Transfers	Appeals
Filing to Disposition 0-30 Days		
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	9 17	3
91-120 Davs	7	5
121-Up Days	9	3
Average Deres	30	41
Average Days	137	186
LINCOLN		. <b>T O D</b>
Filing to 1st Appearance		
31-60 Dave	11	18
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	· 8 6	17
121-Up Days	6 2 2	9
	2	9 2 3
Average Days	51	
Filing to Guilty Plea	51	51
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	G	
61-90 Days	6 4	4
91-120 Days	7	8
121-Up Days	0	5 2
Average Days	0	0
	43	52
Filing to Jury Trial		J 24
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	1	· _ · ·
61-90 Days	2	2
91-120 Dave	4	5 0
121-Up Days	2 0	1
Average Days		1
	68	70
	and a second and a second a	
		The second secon



		an a			
		ಸರ್ವಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಕ್ರಮವಾಗಗೂ ಕಮ್ಮಾರ್ ಕ್ರಾಮ ಬ್ರಾ.ಕ್ಲೂ ಕ್ರಿಕೆಸ್ ಹಿಡಿಕೆ ರಾಕ್ಸ್ ಕ್ರಾಮ್ ಬ್ರಾಹಿಸಿ ಕ್ರಾ.ಕ್ಲಿ.ಕ್ರಿಕೆಸ್ ಹಿಡಿಕೆ			
LINCOLN (Continued)	Transfers	Appeals	Juvenile Appeals		₹.
Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days				•	
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	0	4	N/A	<u>+</u>	Ÿ
61-90 Days	0 0	1	N/A		
JI-IAU DAVS	0	3 0	N/A		
121-Up Days	0	1	N/A N/A		
Average Days	0	85			
Filing to Disposition	6	60	N/A		-
Filing to Disposition 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days					
31-60 Days	11 9	12	7		
61-90 Days	10	18	2		
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	2	13 3	0 0		
121-0p Days	6	6	0		• •
Average Days	150	72	44		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
OXFORD					
Filing to 1st Appearance 0-30 Days					
31-60 Days	8	2	0		
61-90 Davs	8	6	0		
91-120 Days	11	2	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
121-Up Days	11 56	21	4		· · ·
Average Days	7 5 7		0		· <b>X</b> · ·
-	157	155	104		
Filing to Guilty Plea 0-30 Days 31-60 Days					
31-60 Days	6	]	0		
61-90 Days	4	4	0		
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	6	2	0		
121-Up Days	24	2 7	2		
Average Days	1 7 4				
	134	136	113		
		an a		-	
		•		•	ų.

1

-107-

<b>A 1</b> -1-1			
OXFORD (Continued) Filing to Jury Trial	Transfers	Appeals	
			2
31-60 Dava	0		
01-90 Dave	ĩ	0	
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0	0	
121-0p Days	0	0	
Average Days	2	0	
	128		
Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days	120	193	
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	0	2	
0 91-120 David	0	0	
<sup>6</sup> 121-Up Days	0	0 0	
	0 1	ŏ	
Average Days	±	0	
	264	0	
Filing to Disposition 0-30 Days		0	
JI-60 Dave	0		
D1-9() Dave	8 8	2	
91-120 Dave	15	8 3	
121-Up Days	11	3	
AVERAGE	67	3 22	
Average Days	160	22	
PENOBSCOT	160	150	
Filing to 1st Appearance 0-30 Days			
0-30 Days 31-60 Days			
01-9() Dave	174	59	
91-120 Dawa	70 21	17	
121-Up Days	14	5	
	19	5	
Average Days		3	
	39	. 33	
		ar	
			· · · ·

		t san	
		x I	
		•	
Juvenile Appeals		۲	
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A			
N/A			
N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		X.	
N/A			
0 0 1 4 0			•
104			
7 3 1 0 0			
34	-		
		₿	· · · · ·

	n an			
OBSCOT (Continued)	Transfers	Appeals	Turronilo Arnal	
ing to Guilty Plea 0-30 Days 1-60 Days 1-90 Days 1-120 Days			Juvenile Appeals	Y
1-60 Days	26 63	25 12	0	
1-90 Days	21 23	4	2 0	
I-Op Days	17	4 3	0 0	
Average Days	65	49	-	
ing to Jury Trial 0-30 Days 1-60 Days 1-90 Days 1-120 Days			47	
1-60 Days	0 3	0	N/A	
1-90 Days 1-120 Days	2	1 5	N/A N/A	
1-Up Days	2 5	1	N/A	
Average Days	101	100	N/A	
ing to Jury Waived Trial		100	N/A	
ing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days 1-60 Days 1-90 Days 1-120 Days 1-120 Days	3	1	N/A	
1-90 Days	11 2	4	N/A	
l-Up Days	4 3	0	N/A N/A	
Average Days	74	5	N/A	
	/4	98	N/A	
ing to Disposition 0-30 Days 1-60 Days	71	37		
1-90 Days 1-120 Days	117 41	19	8 5	
L-120 Days L-Up Days	37	15 10	1	
	64	11	Ö	
Average Days	79	65	39	
	<ul> <li>• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •</li></ul>	n an ann an tha an ann an tha an tha an tha ann an tha ann an tha an tha an an tha an tha an an ann an an an an	- Share and the standard and the standard lines and the standard standard standard standard standard standard s	

-109-

. I secondential at the second structure is a track bracker are tracked at the second structure is the second s				
	a a faith fhom the standard the standard sectors and standard sectors and sectors and sectors and sectors and	lan ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a		
ISCATAQUIS	Transfers	Appeals	Turner d 1	
iling to 1st Appearance		<u></u>	Juvenile Appeals	
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	1	0	1	
61-90 Davs	12 8	0	i	
91-120 Davs	11	2 0	4	8 
21-Up Days	29	3		20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2
Average Days	128	128	U C	
ling to Guilty Plea		120	68	1. <b>1.</b>
U-30 Davs	0	•		4 
31-60 Days	4	0 0	. 0	- Polyak Rule - II
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	2	Ő	0	
21-Up Days	4 16	0	ŏ	
Average Days		Ŧ	0	
	125	194	0	
ling to Jury Trial 0-30 Days				1
JI-60 Davs	0	0	N/A	a fair an ann an Anna ann a
61-90 Days 91-120 Days		0	N/A	
91-120 Days 21-Up Days	0	0 0	N/A	1
-t of Days	6	ŏ	N/A N/A	· ·
Average Days	201	0		
ing to Jury Waived Trial		v	N/A	
U-30 Davs	0	Ο		
31-60 Davs	Ő	0 0	N/A N/A	
1-90 Days 1-120 Days	1 0	1	N/A N/A	
21-Up Days	2	0 1	N/A	
Average Down			N/A	
Average Days	138	131	N/A	

-110-

e Na secto de la companya de la company			in the second	
PISCATAQUIS (Continued)	Transfers	Appeals	Juvenile Appeals	ř
iling to Disposition 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 21-UD Days			automic Appears	
31-60 Days	1	0	٦	
61-90 Days	8	0	1	
91-120 Days 21-Up Days	9	2 0	4	
ar op bays	46	5	U	e <sup>4</sup> i
Average Days	146		т. Т	
AGADAHOC	140 	153	78	
ling to 1st Annearance				
iling to 1st Appearance 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days	r			
31-60 Days	6 11	8	0	•
61-90 Days	2	22 17	1	
91-120 Days 21-Up Days	0	± / 6	0	
	2	6	0	
Average Days	53		-	
		68	50	
ling to Guilty Plea 0-30 Days				
JI-60 Davs	5 7	6	0	
61-90 Davs	1	13	0	
91-120 Days 21-Up Days	ō	4 0	0	
	0	6	0	
Average Days	33	<i>c c</i>		
ling to Jury Trial		66	0	
ling to Jury Trial 0-30 Days	0	-		
JI-60 Davs	0	0	N/A	
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	Õ	U R	N/A	
21-Up Days	0	5	N/A N/A	
	U U	l	N/A N/A	
Average Days	0	חוו		
	~	110	N/A	

.111-

	SAGADAHOC (Continued)	Transfers		
	Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days		Appeals	Juv
	31-60 Dave	0	0	
	61-90 Davs	0	2	
	91-120 Days	0	2 3	
	121-Up Days	0 1	0	
	Average Days	222		
	Filing to Disposition		75	
	0-30 Dave	6		
	31-60 Days 61-90 Days	10	9	
	91-120 Days	3	22	
	121-Up Days	1	14	
1	Let op Days	3	9	
)	Average Days	5	14	
	mollage Days	61	88	
	SOMERSET			
	Filing to 1st Appearance			
		50		
	31-60 Days	53 54	1	
	61-90 Days	32	7	
	91-120 Days	16	7	
	121-Up Days	29	3	
	AVATAGO	29	6	
	Average Days	73	05	
	Filing to Guilty Plea 0-30 Days		95	
	31-60 Days	20	_	
	61-90 Days	42	1	
	91-120 Days	24	5	
	121-Up Days	11	3 1	
		13	1 5	
	Average Days			
		76	101	
r			<b>第</b> 1211年 第2121日	_

1

-112-

31 - nt



and the second sec

SOMERSET (Continued)	Transfers	Appeals	Juvenile
Filing to Jury Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0 3 1 0 3	0 1 2 0 0	N N N N N N
Average Days	86	65	N
Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0 2 5 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	N, N, N, N, N,
Average Days	74	0	N,
Filing to Disposition 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	35 64 35 16 40	1 7 6 3 7	
Average Days	92	99	6
WALDO			
Filing to 1st Appearance 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	7 20 36 7 15	3 2 2 0 7	
Average Days	82	110	

113

•

		×		•
ransfers	Appeals	Juvenile Appeals		r
0	0	N/A		
3 1 0 3	1 2 0	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		
	0	N/A N/A	a na san	
86	65	N/A	ст. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	
0 2	0	N/A		
5 0	0 0 0	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	Market Constanting of the	
1	0	N/A N/A		
74	0	N/A		
35	1	2		
35 64 35 16 40	6 3		н 1	
	7	1		
92	99	60		1
		· ·		
7 20	3 2 2	0	•	
20 36 7	2 0	0 0	are the second se	
15	7	0		
82	110	0		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	•		•	V

an 1919 - Nadalah Santa ata ang kang sang sang sang sang sang sang sang s					
an a	n in net eller brekestigtet samme standagt var i rade in i net de demonstrationen av i net en ander	ta 1888 yang menang menangkan ang menangkan sa terbahan ang ditangkan penangkan dengan dengan dengan sebagai k			
WALDO (Continued)					<b>.</b>
	Transfers	Appeals	luvonile Ar 1		
Filing to Guilty Plea 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days			Juvenile Appeals		r
31-60 Days 61-90 Days	3 11	1	0		
91-120 Dave	22	1	0 0		
121-Up Days	5 10	Ō	0 0		
Average Days	92	4	ŏ		
Filing to Jury Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days	52	117	. 0		
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	0				
01-9() Dave	1	0	N/A	1000 - 1000 -	,
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0 2	0	N/A N/A		
	6	0 2	N/A	đ.	
Average Days	189	100	N/A		
Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days		185	N/A		
31-60 Days	0	٥		с с с	
31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days	0. T	0	N/A N/A		
121-Up Days	Ō	0	N/A		
Average Days	0	ŏ	N/A N/A		
	63	0			
iling to Disposition 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days			N/A		
31-60 Days	3	1	0	Υ.	
91-120 Days	15 32	3	0	and the second	
121-Up Days	11 24	1	0		
Average Days		10	0	and the second sec	
6	113	129	0	•	
			U U		
			Series and the series of the s		
יין איז קרבירטירע איז	an a			<b>a</b>  /	
			the second s	F	

L'and

-114-

•						
						• I •
	WASHINGTON					
		Transfers	A., 7			
	Filing to 1st Appearance 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days		Appeals	Juvenile Appeals		Ŷ
	31-60 Days	16	-			1.
	61-90 Days	4	12	0		•
	91-120 Days 121-Up Days	4	7	1		
		13 29	4 17	Ō		
	Average Days	130		0		
	Filing to Guilty Plea	200	104	58	•	
	0-30 Days	Λ			-	
	L 61-90 Days	2	3	0 .		
	Filing to Guilty Plea 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	1	3	0		,
	of Duys	5 14	1	0		
	Average Days		14	ŏ		
	Filing to Jury Trial	120	. 133	0	2.	
	0-30 Days			0		
	31-60 Days 61-90 Days	. 0	1	N/Δ		
•	Filing to Jury Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0	0	N/A		
	121-Up Days	0	Ō	N/A N/A	a to a constant	
	Average Days	2	2	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	- Marine Angeleric - Angeleri-	,
		181	110	N/A		٠ ١
	Filing to Jury Waived Trial 0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days			N/A	1967 ann an Anna an Ann	
	31-60 Days	0	0		the second s	
	61-90 Days 91-120 Days	0	1	N/A N/A		
	121-Up Days	2	0	N/A		
		1	2	N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		
	Average Days	192	132			۵. ۱۰
A mark of the second			<u>م</u> ل ک	N/A		

WASHINGTON (Continued)	Transfers	Appeals	
Filing to Disposition 0-30 Days		Appears	2
31-60 Days	6	6	
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	5 1	6	
121-Up Days	1.3	7 3 26	
Average Days	189		
YORK		129	
Filing to 1st Appearance 0-30 Days			
31-60 Davs	11.	3	
61-90 Days	47 · 49	9	
91-120 Days 121-Up Days	27	5 10	
-	29	5	
Average Days	88	92	
Filing to Guilty Plea		52	
0-30 Days 31-60 Days	2	0	
61-90 Days	16	0 4	
91-120 Days	14 10	3	
121-Up Days	14	2	
Average Days	108		
Filing to Jury Trial	108	73	
0-30 Days	2		
31-60 Days	2 9	0	
61-90 Days 91-120 Days	4	0 2	
121-Up Days	1.	0	
A	3	5	
Average Days	75	168	
		ραφ <sup>αγγ</sup> ιδη (ηβ <sup>α</sup> τικρα) σε στα του του	
CHERREN WAS	the construction of the second second		

-116-



YORK (Continued) Filing to Jury Waived Trial	Transfers	Appeals	Juven
0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	0 0 0 1 0	0 2 0 1	
Average Days Filing to Disposition	99	2 94	
0-30 Days 31-60 Days 61-90 Days 91-120 Days 121-Up Days	10 50 49 38 53	2 16 15 5 11	
Average Days	108	89	

Ļ

011 **1** ile Appeals N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 3 2 2 1 70

a an an an an an an an an an ann an an a	n an al de suits franz <b>State e a fan state e an a</b> l de state and a state and a state and a					
	TABLE CRIMINAL DISI BY TYPE OF DI	POSITIONS ISPOSITION			•	Y
STATEWIDE Type of Disposition District Court Bail Revised District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a)2 Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanity No Bill Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial Convicted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other	1977-19 Dispositions 1977 97 51 169 1852 248 24 12 20 5 0 15 3131 338 199 149 79 29 172 6590	As Percent of Total <u>Dispositions</u> 1  2 8 3  47 85 3 2 1  2	Dispositions 1978 128 75 192 2219 150 35 19 21 1 0 29 3472 337 194 156 65 44 198 7335	As Percent of Total <u>Dispositions</u> 1 1 2 30 2   47 47 4 2 2		
<sup>1</sup> By individual defendant. <sup>2</sup> Dismissed by District Attorney.						2

-118-

<u>[</u>]

### 20**513** - 223 NO. ा<u>द्य</u>ा 0 T Carl Carl Ű. I.T -L. J Mala of 20 de

## ANDROSCOGGIN

<u>ANDROSCOGGIN</u> <u>Type of Disposition</u> District Court Bail Revised District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanity No Bill Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial Convicted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other	Dispositions 1977 5 4 5 98 13 2 2 1 1 198 23 21 13 6 1 9 403	As Percent of Total <u>Dispositions</u> 1  1 24 3   49 5 5 3 1 1  2	Dispositions <u>1978</u> 3 1 8 157 2 1 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 226 13 9 9 1 2 6 440
<u>AROOSTOOK</u> District Court Bail Revised District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanity No Bill Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial	15     10     12     314     29     4     0     11     0     0     405     20	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ 35\\ 3\\\\ 1\\\\ 45\\ 2\end{array} $	15 11 39 308 26 2 0 4 0 4 0 0 1 422 16

-119-





# CONTINUED

# 20F4

AROOSTOOK (Continued) Type of Disposition	Dispositions	As Percent of Total Dispositions	Dispositions 1978	As Percent of Total Dispositions
Convicted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other	35 13 11 2	3 1 1	23 8 14 0	2
Total	14 895	- 1	16 907	1
CUMBERLAND				
District Court Bail Revised District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanity No Bill Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial Convicted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other	45 7 12 348 5 6 2 1 2 0 6 425 55 18 19 9 3 58	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ \\ 1 \\ 34 \\ \\ \\ \\ 41 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ \end{array} $	53 11 29 467 6 14 4 5 0 0 0 7 519 59 20 19 7 8 50	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ \\ 2 \\ 36 \\ \\ 1 \\ \\ \\ 40 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \\ 3 \\ \end{array} $
Total	1021		1278	

-120-

چې د اندې د اندې وروستان تاريخې ووستان م د اې او او د کېږ اې و او سرت و که سلماندا

RANKLIN TPe_of Disposition	Dispositions 1977	As Percent of Total <u>Dispositions</u>	Dispositions	As Percent of Total Dispositions	
strict Court Bail Revised strict Court Bail Affirmed smissed by Court ale 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> led Case avenile Appeal Denied avenile Appeal Sustained avenile Appeal, New Sentence of Guilty, Reason of Insanity Bill cobation Revoked anvicted - Plea anvicted - Jury Trial avenited - Jury Waived Trial equitted - Jury Waived Trial strial her	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 2\\ 1\\ 52\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 96\\ 12\\ 3\\ 10\\ 1\\ 2\\ 2\\ 2 \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{r}                                     $	$ \begin{array}{c}     2 \\     15 \\     13 \\     81 \\     1 \\     0 \\     5 \\     0 \\     0 \\     0 \\     0 \\     152 \\     11 \\     13 \\     9 \\     2 \\     1 \\     4 \\   \end{array} $	<u>Dispositions</u> 4 4 26  1  1  49 3 4 2  1	
Total	188		309		
NCOCK strict Court Bail Revised strict Court Bail Affirmed smissed by Court le 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> led Case venile Appeal Denied venile Appeal Sustained venile Appeal, New Sentence t Guilty, Reason of Insanity Bill obation Revoked nvicted - Plea nvicted - Jury Trial	0 1 13 98 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 238 14	 3 23 2   58 3	1 2 64 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 153 14	 22 3    54 4	

-121-

HANCOCK (Continued)		As Percent	
Type of Disposition	Dispositions	of Total Dispositions	Dispositions 1978
Convicted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other	15 3 8 0 6	3  1 	10 7 4 2 16
Total	409		283
KENNEBEC			
District Court Bail Revised District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanity No Bill Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial Convicted - Jury Trial Acquitted - Jury Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other	9 16 16 130 66 1 1 0 2 285 25 17 19 0 6 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 2\\ 21\\ 11\\\\\\\\ 47\\ 4\\ 2\\ 3\\\\ 1 \end{array} $	$23 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 183 \\ 64 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 359 \\ 50 \\ 9 \\ 19 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10$
Total	595		749

-122



## KNOX

	Type of Disposition	Dispositions 1977	As Percent of Total	Dispositions
-123-	District Court Bail Revised District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanity No Bill Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial Convicted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 1\\ 17\\ 33\\ 11\\ 2\\ 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ 72\\ 21\\ 14\\ 6\\ 9\\ 6\\ 7\\ 202 \end{array} $	Dispositions  8 16 5   35 10 6 2 4 2 3	<u>1978</u> <u>2</u> 23 45 4 0 0 1 137 15 13 7 5 2 5 262
	LINCOLN District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a)2 Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanity No Bill Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial	0 1 7 38 2 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 0 0 66 17	4 25 1  1  44 11	1 10 44 3 5 0 5 0 5 0 0 75 14

- F



## LINCOLN (Continued)

-

P

R .....

ø

(continued)			
Type of Disposition	Dispositions 1977	As Percent of Total Dispositions	Disposit
Convicted - Jury Waived Tria Acquitted - Jury Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Tria Mistrial Other Total	111	6  2 	<u>    1978</u> 1 1
OXFORD			187
District Court Bail Revised District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanit No Bill Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial Convicted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other	9 77 0 2 1 ty 0 0 1 107 12 13	$ \begin{array}{c}\\ 3\\ 28\\\\\\\\ 39\\ 4\\ 4\\ 4\\ 1\\\\ 11 \end{array} $	1 6 89 0 3 0 0 0 186 9 5 3 0 1 5
Total	270		5

.124



PENOBSCOT					
Type of Disposition	Dispositions	As Percent of Total Dispositions	Dispositions 1978	As Percent of Total Dispositions	
District Court Bail Revised District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanity No Bill Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial Convicted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other	$ \begin{array}{c} 12\\ 4\\ 28\\ 172\\ 36\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 399\\ 50\\ 16\\ 16\\ 16\\ 12\\ 2\\ 12 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \\ 3 \\ 22 \\ 4 \\ \\ \\ \\ 52 \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ \\ 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 17\\ 14\\ 14\\ 14\\ 185\\ 10\\ 2\\ 3\\ 2\\ 1\\ 0\\ 1\\ 404\\ 51\\ 35\\ 17\\ 16\\ 7\\ 28\\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ \\ \\ 50 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ \\ 3 \\ \end{array} $	
Total	759		807		
<u>PISCATAQUIS</u> District Court Bail Revised District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanity No Bill Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial	0 1 1 38 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 40 4	 37 1    39 3 3	0 2 7 48 1 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 44 8	$ \begin{array}{c}     \\     5 \\     36 \\     \\     \\     1 \\     \\     \\     33 \\     6 \\ \end{array} $	

. i **u** 

## PISCATAQUIS

<u>Type of Disposition</u> Convicted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other Total	Dispositions <u>1977</u> 7 2 3 0 4 102	As Percent of Total <u>Dispositions</u> 6 1 2  3	Dispos 19
SAGADAHOC District Court Bail Revised District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanity No Bill	0 0 0 49 6 0 0 0 1 0	 31 3 	
Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial Convicted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other Total	0 0 64 16 9 1 3 0 8 157	40 10 5  1 5	1

0

ñ

1\_1

[

1 1

L. j

1



.

	<sup>1999</sup> 1月2日,1991月2日,1991月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月1日,1991月月1日,1991月1日,1991月1日,1991月1月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月月1日,1991月1月1日,1991月月1日,1991月1月1月月1月1月	anda an an an <u>an an an an an an an</u> an				
-12/-		Dispositions 1977 2 0 4 97 37 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 312 16 5 8 2 2 4 4 492	As Percent of Total <u>Dispositions</u>  19 7  63 3 1 1 1	Dispositions 1978 4 3 3 113 6 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 7 294 17 6 3 3 12 476	As Percent of Total <u>Dispositions</u>	
	WALDO District Court Bail Revised District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanity No Bill Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial	2 3 8 49 12 4 0 2 0 0 113 26	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 3 \\ 20 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ \\ \\ \\ 48 \\ 11 \\ \end{array} $	1 6 37 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 104 16	$ \begin{array}{c}\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ 1\\ 53\\ 8\end{array} $	

.

	WALDO (continued) Type of Dispositions	Dispositions 1977	As Percent of Total Dispositions	Disposition 1978
	Convicted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other	2 6 2 1 5	  2	4 8 1 4 5
	Total	235		196
÷ )	WASHINGTON District Court Bail Revised District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanity No Bill Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial Convicted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other	$     \begin{bmatrix}       1 \\       0 \\       4 \\       31 \\       2 \\       2 \\       0 \\       0 \\       0 \\       0 \\       0 \\       0 \\       0 \\       76 \\       12 \\       8 \\       1 \\       3 \\       0 \\       6     $	 2 21 1 1   52 8 5  2  4	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\\ 4\\ 64\\ 5\\ 2\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 2\\ 104\\ 14\\ 10\\ 5\\ 4\\ 2\\ 14\\ \end{array} $
	Total	146		231

128-



YORK Type of Disposition	Dispositions 1977	As Percent of Total	Dispositions	As Percent of Total
District Court Bail Revised District Court Bail Affirmed Dismissed by Court Rule 48 (a) <sup>2</sup> Filed Case Juvenile Appeal Denied Juvenile Appeal Sustained Juvenile Appeal, New Sentence Not Guilty, Reason of Insanity No Bill Probation Revoked Convicted - Plea Convicted - Jury Trial Convicted - Jury Waived Trial Acquitted - Jury Trial Acquitted - Jury Waived Trial Mistrial Other	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 0 \\ 32 \\ 228 \\ 13 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 235 \\ 15 \\ 6 \\ 20 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ \end{array} $	<u>Dispositions</u>  5 40 2   41 2 1 3 	$     \begin{array}{r}                                     $	Disposition  3 46    35 3 2 3  
Total	566		11	1
#### APPENDIX III

#### DISTRICT COURT STATISTICS

#### TABLE 1.

This table shows statewide District Court filings by type of case for the past four fiscal years. Percentage changes for each category and state totals are included.

An analysis of this table reveals:

1. Total District Court filings increased 15% in FY 1978 compared to increases of 2% and 3% in FY 1976 and FY 1977 respectively.

2. Mental Health case filings increased 34% in FY 1978. This increase can be explained, in part, by the new statutory requirement that all mental health commitments be reviewed by the District Court on a regular basis.

3. Criminal case filings increased 19% in FY 1978.

4. Two types of cases showed a decrease in the number of filings. Small Claims filings dropped 1% in FY 1978 compared to an increase of 29% and 16% in FY 1976 and FY 1977 respectively. Reciprocal case filings decreased 84% in FY 1978. This decrease has negligible significance, however, because these cases comprise less than 1% of total District Court filings.

5. Twenty-seven of the 33 District Court divisions showed an increase in case filings in FY 1978. Case filings in nine courts increased by 20% or more in FY 1978. They were Bangor, 25%; Bath, 31%; Biddeford, 38%; Bridgton, 27%; Fort Kent, 20%; Kittery, 38%; Lincoln, 31%; Newport, 32%; and Portland, 22%.

6. In six of the District Court divisions, case filings decreased in FY 1978. They were Bar Harbor, -23%; Ellsworth. -3%; Livermore Falls, -4%; Machias, -10%; Rumford, -4%; and Waterville, -6%.

On July 1, 1978 a new statistical reporting system was implemented in the District Court. The new system counts the number of filings and dispositions by type of case. It also counts the number of cases in which a court appearance has been waived and, therefore, the case disposed without formal judicial action. In addition, the system counts the number of trials by type of case and gathers caseflow data that allows the calculation of the average number of days from request for trial to trial.

Tables 2 and 3 reflect six month figures resulting from the new reporting system.

Caveat: The new District Court statistical reporting system has been in operation only six months, and the six month figures included in this report have not been verified. Training in completing the reporting forms is continuing, and reporting problems are being encountered, e.g. case disposition definitions are still being refined.

> In addition, six month figures tend to distort caseflow variations that dissipate over a 12 month reporting period. For example, this report includes cases filed in Bar Harbor District Court in July and August, which greatly impact the total caseload for this court.

TABLE 2.

This table shows the number of filings and dispositions by type of case statewide and by District Court division. It also shows the number of waivers signed statewide and by division. This table covers the period July 1, 1978 to December 31, 1978.

Analysis of this table reveals:

1. In all categories of cases, except traffic infractions and civil violations, the District Court disposed fewer cases than were filed during this six month period. There were 1,724 fewer civil cases disposed than filed, 420 fewer money judgments disposed than filed, 1,105 fewer small claims disposed than filed, 250 fewer divorces disposed than filed, 57 fewer mental health cases disposed than filed, 77 fewer juvenile cases disposed than filed, 164 fewer criminal A, B and C cases disposed than filed, 1,027 fewer criminal E and D cases disposed than filed, 2,730 fewer criminal traffic cases disposed than filed and 3.424 more civil violations and traffic infractions disposed than filed. Statewide, total filings exceeded total dispositions by 4,130 cases.

### TABLE 3.

This table shows the number of dispositions by type of case, the number of trials held, trials as a percent of dispositions, and the average number of days from request for trial to trial. The information is presented for each division and statewide. This table covers the period from July 1, 1978 to December 31, 1978.

## Analysis of this table reveals:

1. Statewide, there were 7,827 trials in the District Court.

THE STREET

TIT

2. Statewide, 7% of the dispositions were by trial.

3. Six divisions were significantly higher than the state average in their percentage of dispositions as a result of a trial. They were: Bangor, 10%; Dover-Foxcroft, 11%; Lewiston, 14%; Rumford, 22%; Waterville, 14% of Livermore Falls, 14%.

4. Six divisions were significantly lower than the state in the percentage of dispositions as a result of trial. They were: Caribou, 3%; Houlton, 3%; Kittery, 3%; Newport, 3%; Portland, 4% and Rockland, 3%.

5. Statewide, the average number of days from request for trial to trial for all types of cases was 50.

6. It took significantly longer than the statewide average to schedule cases for trial in eight divisions. They were: Augusta, 86 days; Brunswick, 66 days; Farmington, 64 days; Kittery, 61 days; Livermore Falls, 79 days; Machias, 72 days; Portland, 69 days; and Rumford, 74 days.

7. Statewide, mental health contested hearings were scheduled for trial sooner than any other type of case (23 days).

8. It took 40 to 45 days from request for trial to trial in all criminal cases.

-132-



	]	
--	---	--

				FABLE 1		
	STATEWIDE		CAS BY 1	SE FILINGS TYPE OF CASE		
•	Type of Case	FY 74-75	Percent Change	<u>FY 75-76</u>	Percent Change	<u>FY</u> 76-7
	Criminal Civil	135,560 12,972		136,877	3	142,180
L	Small Claims	9,626	- 3 29	12,576 12,511 <sup>1</sup>	- 7 16	11,744
1	Divorce Juvenile	7,262		7,323	- 1	14,551 7,190
	Money Judgments	4,586 5,306	- 1 12	4,517	14	5,142
	Reciprocal	24	70	5,951 41	- 9 107	5,452
	Mental Health Total	304	37	418	14	85 479
	- Ch	175,640	2	186,214	3	186,823

l Small Claims jurisdiction increased from \$200 to \$800.

-133-

6-77	Percent Change	<u>FY 77-78</u>	-
180	19	170,111	
744	3	12,189	
551	- 1	14,350	
190	4	7,486	
142	3	5,350	
452	2	5,562	
85	- 84	13	
⊧79	34	646	
23	15	215,707	
			an a

							*		
								2007 	
	an a' le composition anns an	- 200 Manufert a Reconstruction of American States and American States	a National States and a state of a gamma state and the states and the states of						
			•			der Wenner eine Base des Station auf der Land der Station and der Station auf der Station auf der Station auf d			
-								-	
	Location	Type of Case	FY 1974-75	FY 1975-76	<u>FY 1976-77</u>	FY 1977-78	<ul> <li>A manufacture of the second sec</li></ul>	-	
	Augusta	Criminal Civil	7,227 777	7,959	8,253	10,373	a na an		T
		Small Claims	452	749 772	825 994	831 739			
		Divorce Juvenile	457 228	467 281	448	· 451	214 - 14. - 14.		
		Money Judgments	314	318	294 318	281 422	10		
•		Reciprocal Mental Health	143	218	258	214			
		Total	9,598 (12) <sup>1</sup>	10,764 (5)	<sup>2</sup> 11,390 (1	.6) <sup>3</sup> 13,311	ar an		J.
	Bangor	Criminal Civil	10,362	8,622 1,269	8,771	12,080	NO REAL PROFESSION		
134		Small Claims	1,043 503	1,269 658	8,771 1,151 827	1,116			
.1		Divorce Juvenile	584	583	622	811 611			÷
		Money Judgments	383 433	394 447	414 477	437 375			
		Reciprocal Mental Health	161	200					
2 7 4 4		Total	13,469 (-10) <sup>1</sup>		221 2	203			
-	Por Horthow			12,173 (2)	12,483 (2	5) <sup>3</sup> 15,633	- <b>4</b> 44-1-		• •
	Bar Harbor	Criminal Civil	1,018 98	940 68	955	767			
	• • •	Small Claims Divorce	114	118	131 195	95 86			•
1 		Juvenile	60 40	53 65	43 37	61 21			-
		Money Judgments Reciprocal	26	36	24	38	•		
		Mental Health							
•		Total	1,356 (-5) <sup>1</sup>	1,280 (8)2	2 1,385 (-	23) <sup>3</sup> 1,068			
									· .
	Percent change	e of total caseload f	10m FV 74.75 to 1					A-	
	<sup>2</sup> Percent change	e of total caseload f e of total caseload f	rom FY 75-76 to ]	FY 76-77.					
	- creene change	e of total caseload f	rom FY 76-77 to ]	FY 77-78.					
		8 p		· · · · · · · · · ·		ning and an annual a Annual annual			
	ι	1							ţ,
		a na sa		•					-
	х. 		¥.						

	, <del>-</del>					~	÷	
Location	Type of Case	<u>FY 1974-75</u>	<u>FY 1975-76</u>	<u>FY 1976-77</u>	<u>FY 1977-78</u>			٢
Bath	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	3,032 199 190 203 72 59	2,744 157 250 209 81 76 3	3,164 235 353 190 72 80 4	4,263 384 259 224 97 160 			
	Total	3,755 (-6)	) <sup>1</sup> 3,520 (16	5) <sup>2</sup> 4,098 (3	1) <sup>3</sup> 5,387			
Belfast	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	2,188 298 300 183 105 142 12	2,386 205 564 186 95 122 9	2,549 160 479 167 120 97 4	2,657 240 419 194 105 112 6			N N
	Total	3,228 (10)	) <sup>1</sup> 3,567 (	-) <sup>2</sup> 3,576 (4)	3 3,733			
Biddeford	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	9,410 611 408 421 191 151 	8,447 592 520 404 127 186 	8,577 446 760 434 145 155 	12,269 546 896 439 242 169 		X	
	Total	11,192 (-8)	<sup>1</sup> 10,276 (2)	<sup>2</sup> 10,517 (38	) <sup>3<sup>.</sup></sup> 14,561			

i (lini) a tutt a tuto, a churchadha a	an a dh' a tha ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann a	· · · ·	t to be a giving any function which	, and a sum of more during and an another particular particular particle between 2003,020402					
							×.		
cation	Type of Case	FY 1974-75	<u>FY 1975-76</u>	FY 1976-77	<u>FY 1977-78</u>				
idgton	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile	1,527 111 185 100 80	1,900 111 196 92 101	1,540 90 189 90 127	2,088 100 158 110 169			Y	
	Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	24	56 	50	29				
	Total	2,027 (21)	L 2.456 (-	 17) <sup>2</sup> 2,086 (27	7) <sup>3</sup> 2,654				
unswick	Criminal	4,360	4,429	4,437	5,408				
	Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	194 230 232 124 44	153 315 230 100 61	202 248 216 157 68	207 270 246 158 82	ىلىرى سىڭىمىلەر ئىلىكىيىلىكى بىرىكىيىلىكى بىلىرىكىيىلىكى بىلىرىكى بىلىرىكىيىلىكى بىلىرىكى بىلىرىكى بىلىرىكى بىل مەربى			
	Total	5,184 (2) <sup>1</sup>	5 288 (-	) <sup>2</sup> 5,328 (19	) <sup>3</sup> 6,371				
lais	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	2,141 195 471 105 161 87	2,150 154 427 124 123 88 	2,205 88 228 150 164 103 	2,616 149 269 143 166 57 2	and a second			-
	Total	3,160 (-3)	L 3,066 (-	4) <sup>2</sup> 2,938 (15	5) <sup>3</sup> 3,402				
							•		

-136-

						an a		
						-		
			• .					
ocation	Type of Case	FY 1974-75	FY 1975-76 FY	<u>Y 1976-77</u>	FY 1977-78		٢	
Caribou	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	2,742 274 199 185 52 139	2,849 244 363 195 74 152 7	2,911 215 308 218 128 177 12	3,313 267 265 211 101 153			
	Total	3,591 (8) <sup>1</sup>	3,884 (2) <sup>2</sup>	3,969 (8)	<sup>3</sup> 4,310	te como estes antidas		
Dover-Foxcroft	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	3,302 150 236 119 162 106	3,157 153 231 122 111 88 	2,344 149 384 110 86 110 	2,434 114 463 133 147 143 			
	Total	4,075 (-5) <sup>1</sup>	3,862 (-21) <sup>2</sup>	3,183 (7)	<sup>3</sup> 3,434			
Ellsworth	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	3,240 317 329 158 137 146 	3,289 345 528 170 137 188 	3,385 285 883 168 153 152 	3,434 366 542 174 183 150 		Υ.	•
	Total	4,327 (7) <sup>1</sup>	4,657 (7) <sup>2</sup>	5,026 (-3)	) <sup>3</sup> 4,849			

.

137-

	Location	Type of Case	<u>FY 1974-75</u>	<u>FY 1975-76</u>	FY 1976-77	<u>FY</u> 1977-78
	Farmington	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	2,738 164 279 146 61 102	2,039 141 376 175 60 108	2,324 137 407 170 101 107 	2,525 211 450 203 112 87
	· ·	Total	3,490 (-	20) <sup>1</sup> 2,899 (3	11) <sup>2</sup> 3,246 (10)	<sup>3</sup> 3,588
	Fort Kent	Criminal Juvenile	1,628 35	2,107 46	1,640 20	1,942 54
		Total	1,663 (2	9) <sup>1</sup> 2,153 (-	-29) <sup>2</sup> 1,660 (20)	<sup>3</sup> 1,996
-138-	Houlton	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	4,120 330 146 102 114 102	4,836 365 428 102 91 261	4,466 302 462 98 165 271 	4,993 318 609 120 161 260
		Total	4,914 (23	3) <sup>1</sup> 6,083 (-	5) <sup>2</sup> 5,764 (12)	<sup>3</sup> 6,461
	Kittery	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	5,626 166 137 184 57 40	5,645 154 178 175 29 49	5,911 124 185 174 43 43	8,305 151 214 178 66 38
		Total	6,210 (	-) <sup>1</sup> 6,230 (4	) <sup>2</sup> 6,480 (38)	<sup>3</sup> 8,952

Location	Type of Case	FY 1974-75	FY 1975-76	FY 1976-77	<u>FY 1977-7</u>
Lewiston	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	6,780 1,173 626 640 250 427	9,386 1,139 684 605 340 472	9,306 1,270 827 588 456 500	10,884 1,034 729 659 407 471 
	Total	9,896 (	(27) <sup>1</sup> 12,626 (2)	2 12,947 (9)	3 14,184
Lincoln	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	3,073 136 291 72 92 94 	2,800 95 266 61 106 72	2,655 80 326 68 73 67	3,801 72 206 61 83 60 1
	Total	3,758 (	(-10) <sup>1</sup> 3,400 (-4	) <sup>2</sup> 3,269 (31)	) <sup>3</sup> 4,284
Livermore Falls	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	962 45 98 44 18 19 	1,002 48 97 61 44 21 	1,366 32 103 55 53 29 	1,300 44 93 55 59 18 
	Total	1,186 (	$(7)^{1}$ 1,273 (28	) <sup>2</sup> 1,638 (-4)	$3^{3}$ 1,569

1**%1**271.01783

August ...

-139-

	、			and a second				
ocation	Type of Case	<u>FY 1974-75</u>	<u>FY 1975-76</u>	<u>FY 1976-77</u>		14.5 149 1		
chias	Criminal Civil	1,937	1,556	1,693				Ÿ
	Small Claims Divorce	117 219	146 265	129	1,635 108			
	Juvenile	219 84 54 96	97 101	348 112	265 116	Start of a		
	Money Judgments Reciprocal	96 3	44	112 82 61	95 49	A second s		
	Mental Health		5	5				•
<b>4</b> _	Total	2,510 (13	3) <sup>1</sup> 2,214 (9	9) <sup>2</sup> 2,430 (-	-10) <sup>3</sup> 2,198		•	
awaska	Criminal Civil	907	1,021	893				
	Small Claims Divorce	216 •266	247 323	215	1,112 225	an a		
	Juvenile	53 33	54 34	445 66 35	414 62	and the second		
	Money Judgments Reciprocal	115 8	166 4	169	30 122			
	Mental Health			40				
	Total	1,598 (15)	5) <sup>1</sup> 1,849 (	) <sup>2</sup> 1,863 (5)	、3			
.inocket	Civil	3,362						
	Small Claims	472	332 529	102	97	and the second se		
	Juvenile	130	148	80	342 82		<b></b>	•
•	Reciprocal	201 	190	104 95	85 106			
	Total	4,494 (-15)	, <sup>1</sup> 3,897 (-3	,2) <sup>2</sup> 2,931 (8)	3			
				· · ·	3,170			
							·	
illinocket	Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments	3,362 180 472 149 130 201	2,568 332 529 148 130 190	2,241 102 309 80 104	2,458 97 342 82 85 106			

-140-

	en e	<ul> <li>Statistics of the second s Second second se Second second s</li></ul>		•	• •	
ocation	Type of Case	FY 1974-75	FY_1975-76	FY 1976-77	FY 1977-78	
ewport	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	4,045 131 102 130 104 51	2,681 123 140 136 75 76	2,937 89 255 127 75 73 	4,026 98 314 121 72 77 	<b>,</b>
	Total	4,563 (-41	L) <sup>1</sup> 3,231 (10)	) <sup>2</sup> 3,556 (32	2) <sup>3</sup> 4,708	
ortland	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	25,596 2,918 707 1,255 844 656 	24,873 2,547 910 1,204 774 607 	23,492 2,520 1,026 1,209 773 648	29,761 2,564 1,258 1,278 696 657  229	
	Total	31,976 (-3)	1 30,915 (-4)	) <sup>2</sup> 29,668 (22	2) <sup>3</sup> 36,443	
resque Isle	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	3,405 680 307 202 229 572 	3,785 864 337 204 147 884 13	5,317 620 291 170 206 396 	5,477 675 286 152 190 424	
	Total	5,395 (15)	<sup>1</sup> 6,234 (12)	) <sup>2</sup> 7,000 (2)	<sup>3</sup> 7,204	
-			•			

-141

Civil7198118155Small Claims210244338360Divorce107113137127Juvenile173189251261Money Judgments656787128ReciprocalMental HealthTotal2,593 $(-1)^1$ 2,547 $(41)^2$ 3,610Junct107110100100Money Judgments656787128ReciprocalMental Health3Total2,593 $(-1)^1$ 2,547 $(41)^2$ 3,610 $(-4)^3$ Junct3Total2,593 $(-1)^1$ 2,547 $(41)^2$ 3,610 $(-4)^3$	cation	Type of Case	FY 1974-75	FY 1975-76	<u>FY 1976-77</u>	<u>FY 1977-78</u>
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ckland	Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal	459 414 241 120	414 667 216 76 211 	442 719 202 89 203 4	361 705 246 69 273 4
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Total	3,852 (12	2) <sup>1</sup> 4,336 (18)	2 5,145 (1	0) <sup>3</sup> 5,710
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	mford	Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal	71 210 107 173	98 244 113 189	118 338 137 251	155 360 127 261
Criminal         4,828         6,463         7,399         8,349           Civil         454         499         374         450           Small Claims         454         558         749         761           Divorce         275         271         227         237           Juvenile         134         227         193         258           Money Judgments         335         356         315         302           Reciprocal               Mental Health			2,593 (-1	L) <sup>1</sup> 2,547 (41)	2 3,610 (-	
Total 6,480 (29) <sup>1</sup> 8,374 (10) <sup>2</sup> 9,257 (11) <sup>3</sup> 10,357	owhegan	Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal	4,828 454 275 134 335 	6,463 499 558 271 227 356 	7,399 374 749 227 193 315	450 761 237 258 302
		Total	6,480 (29	9) <sup>1</sup> 8,374 (10)	<sup>2</sup> 9,257 (1	1) <sup>3</sup> 10,357

<u>Loc</u>e Rock

Rum -142-

Sko

	and a state was determined. 	a an		
	Location	Type of Case	FY 1974-75 FY 1975-76 FY 1976-77 FY 1077 70	<ul> <li>The state of the s</li></ul>
	South Paris	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
-143-	Springvale	Total Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
		Total	4,028 (2) <sup>1</sup> $4,132$ (20) <sup>2</sup> $4,960$ (7) <sup>3</sup> 5,355	
	Van Buren	Criminal Juvenile	615       890       838       970         56       58       39       30	
		Total	671 $(41)^1$ 948 $(-8)^2$ 877 $(14)^3$ 1,000	

				EDECUTIVES CONTRACTOR CONTRA			
							-
Location	Type of Case	<u>FY 1974-75</u>	<u>FY 1975-76</u>	<u>FY 1976-77</u>		<ul> <li>- Alto - A</li></ul>	ĩ
South Paris	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	1,533 197 112 116 89 42	1,479 161 249 150 92 69	1,782 1,782 186 383 131 204 70 	FY 1977-78 1,737 212 450 147 170 85		
	Total	2,089 (5) <sup>1</sup>	 2,200 (25)	2 2,756 (1) <sup>3</sup>			
pringvale	Criminal Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal Mental Health	3,206 209 268 196 85 64	3,080 240 433 256 51 72	2,758 (1) 3,940 183 461 250 76 50 	2,801 4,031 288 622 232 95 87		
	Total	4,028 (2) <sup>1</sup>	4,132 (20)2	<sup>2</sup> 4,960 (7) <sup>3</sup>	5 255	a dara dara dara dara dara dara dara da	
in Buren	Criminal Juvenile	615 56	890 58	838 39	5,355 970 30		
	Total	671 (41) <sup>1</sup>	948 (-8) <sup>2</sup>		1,000		N
					•		

Location Type of Case FY 1974-75 FY 1975-76 FY 1976-77 FY 1977-78 Waterville Criminal 4,252 5,152 6,070 5,704 Civil 871 593 626 550 Divorce 291 204 311 328 Monoy Judgmonts 138 122 123 278 Meciprocal 883 122 123 278 Meciprocal 883 1 222 123 778 Monoil Health									
WatervilleCriminal Civil $4,252$ $5,152$ $6,070$ $5,704$ Small Claims $412$ $379$ $589$ $550$ Divorce $291$ $284$ $311$ $328$ Juvenile $114$ $112$ $139$ $169$ Money Judgments $383$ $322$ $323$ $278$ Reciprocal $1$ $$ $$ $$ Mental Health $$ $$ $$ $$ Total $6,324$ $(8)^1$ $6,842$ $(17)^2$ $8,058$ $(-6)^3$ WiscassetCriminal $2,027$ $2,054$ $2,654$ $2,910$ Civil $188$ $170$ $218$ $199$ Small Claims $489$ $506$ $480$ $545$ Divorce $168$ $176$ $159$ $155$ Juvenile $59$ $46$ $68$ $81$ Money Judgments $57$ $86$ $134$ $150$ Reciprocal $$ $$ $$ $$ Total $2,988$ $(1)^1$ $3,038$ $(22)^2$ $3,729$ $(8)^3$ $4,040$	μα, <sub>μα</sub> τα								
WatervilleCriminal Civil4,252 8715,152 593 593 6266,070 512 512 589 550 550 550 350 350 350 350 350 350 350 				107/ 76	` 10-5 76			- the second	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									
WiscassetCriminal $2,027$ $2,054$ $2,654$ $2,910$ Civil188170218199Small Claims489506480545Divorce168176159155Juvenile59466881Money Judgments5786134150Reciprocal16Mental HealthTotal $2,988$ $(1)^1$ $3,038$ $(22)^2$ $3,729$ $(8)^3$ $4,040$		Waterville	Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal	871 412 291 114 383 1	593 379 284 112 322	589 311 139 323	550 328 169 278		
Civil188170218199Small Claims489506480545Divorce168176159155Juvenile59466881Money Judgments5786134150Reciprocal16Mental Health16Total2,988 $(1)^1$ 3,038 $(22)^2$ 3,729 $(8)^3$ 4,040		•	Total	6,324 (8) <sup>1</sup>	6,842 (17)	) <sup>2</sup> 8,058 (-6) <sup>2</sup>	<sup>3</sup> 7,541		
	1 - -	Wiscasset	Civil Small Claims Divorce Juvenile Money Judgments Reciprocal	188 489 168 59 57	170 506 176 46 86	218 480 159 68 134 16	199 545 155 81 150		
GRAND TOTAL 175,640 (2) <sup>1</sup> 180,214 (3) <sup>2</sup> 186,823 (15) <sup>3</sup> 215,707			Total	2,988 (1) <sup>1</sup>	3,038 (22)	) <sup>2</sup> 3,729 (8) <sup>3</sup>	4,040		
			GRAND TOTAL	175,640 (2) <sup>1</sup>	180,214 (3)	<sup>2</sup> 186,823 (15) <sup>3</sup>	215,707		
			•			. · · · ·			
			•						
	· [								ŕ

July 1, 1978 through December 31, 1978

## STATEWIDE

### Type of Case

Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -A-B-C etc. Criminal -D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions

TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers1

#### AUGUSTA

Civi1 Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -A-B-C etc. Criminal D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions

TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers2

Includes all civil violations and traffic infractions which were disposed by waiver of a court hearing and plea of guilty, except for Bar Harbor District Court for the month of December. <sup>2</sup>Includes all civil violations and traffic infractions which were disposed by waiver of a court hearing and plea of guilty. -145-

### TABLE 2

FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS BY TYPE OF CASE

Filings	<u>Dispositions</u>
6,279 2,981 7,798 3,667 432 1,984	4,555 2,561 6,693 3,417 375 1,907
1,588	1,424
13,681 27,033	12,654 24,303
50,217	53,641
115,660	111,530

43	,	327

366 143 421 234 105 141	282 96 406 210 112 91
112	120
770 1,416	590 528
3,163	4,270
6,871	- 6.705

3,290

6,705

## BANGOR

125

				BATH		
Type of Case	Filings	Dispositions		Type of Case		
Civil	507	395		if the of tase	Filings	Dispositions
Money Judgments	212	395 165		T Civil	21.0	
Small Claims	212 454	281		Money Judgments	219 98	145
Divorce	280			Small Claims	173	76
Mental Health	155 188	255 145		Divorce	107	115
Juvenile	188	216		Mental Health	101	130
Criminal -	2.2			Juvenile	31	0 17
A-B-C etc.	88	87		Criminal	3-	± (
Criminal -				A-B-C etc.	42	36
D-E etc.	631	525		Criminal -		50
Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations	2,371	2,339		D-E etc.	275	264
and Traffic	3,705	2 706		Traffic 'Criminal'	728	753
Infractions	5,705	3,796		Civil Violations		
Inflactions				and Traffic Infractions	1,489	1,475
TOTAL	8,591	8,204		Inflactions		2
Number of Cases Disposed	<b>()</b>	0,201		TOTAL		
by Waivers <sup>2</sup>		2,398		Number of Cases Disposed	3,168	3,011
5)		-,550		by Waivers 2		
						1,134
BAR HARBOR						
				BELFAST	·	
Civil	36	83 19 48				
Money Judgments	20	19		Civil	120	
Small Claims	45 35	48		Money Judgments	37	74 110
Divorce	35	38		Small Claims	249	40
Mental Health	0	0		Divorce	83	165 92
Juvenile	16	12		Mental Health	Ő	92
Criminal -	-	_		Juvenile	31	30
A-B-C etc.	5	7		Criminal -	-	00
Criminal	100	1.05		A-B-C etc.	58	59
D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal'	129	105 87		Criminal		22
Civil Violations	99	0(		D-E etc.	486	411
and Traffic	366	360		Traffic 'Criminal'	544	548
Infractions	300	200		Civil Violations		-
				and Traffic	698	725
TOTAL	751	759		Infractions		
Number of Cases Disposed		1 7 2		TOTAL	0.200	
by Waivers <sup>3</sup>		215		Number of Cases Disposed	2,306	2,144
5,			<b>GT</b>	by Waivers 2		
· · ·				Warverb 2		557
<sup>3</sup> Does not include December.						
souther and and and a poly and the				۴ 💏		

4

BATH

-146-

and a set of a construction of a second set of parameters and the set of the set of the second set of

-147-

## BIDDEFORD

.

Type of Case	Filings	Dispositions
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -	255 82 460 207 0 57	145 71 458 171 0 102
A-B-C etc. Criminal -	77	67
D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations	896 1,803	864 1,831
and Traffic Infractions	3,516	3,875
TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers 2	7,353	7,584 3,151
BRIDGTON		
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	45 25 76 40 0 21	50 32 124 52 0 35
Criminal - A-B-C etc.	26	26
Criminal D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal'	234 226	221 290
Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	400	491
TOTAL	1,093	1,321
Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers <sup>2</sup>		492

## BRUNSWICK

100 Martin

Provident of the

and a second

Souther State

**F** 

4

Contraction of

I

## Type of Case

Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -A-B-C etc. Criminal -D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions

TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers 2

## CALAIS

Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -A-B-C etc. Criminal D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions

TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers<sup>2</sup>

-148-

• \*

Filings	Dispositions
98 50 119 86 0 79	61 17 107 77 0 19
32	22
309 866	301 734
2,107	1,966
3,746	3,304
	1,479

57 35 89 49 45	77 60 122 68 0 30
35	30
431 527	451 618
847	. 928
2,115	2,384
	781

-149-

## CARIBOU

			<u>ELLSWORTH</u>
Type of Case	Filings	Dispositions	Type of Case
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal - A-B-C etc. Criminal - D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic	115 75 167 110 0 30 22 209 407 801	96 69 143 112 0 16 21 233 422 918	Civil Money Judgment Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal - A-B-C etc. Criminal - D-E etc. Traffic 'Crimi Civil Violatic
Infractions TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers <sup>2</sup>	1,936	2,030	and Traffic Infractions TOTAL Number of Case by Waivers2
DOVER-FOXCROFT	•		
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal - A-B-C etc. Criminal D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	44 68 269 42 0 32 16 304 294 426	41 79 202 42 0 37 22 310 308 474	FARMINGTONCivilMoney JudgmentSmall ClaimsDivorceMental HealthJuvenileCriminal -A-B-C etc.CriminalD-E etc.Traffic 'CriminCivil Violationand TrafficInfractions
TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers <sup>2</sup>	1,495	1,515 533	Infractions TOTAL Number of Cases by Waivers 2

. -

. . .

ELLSWORTH

ents h minal' ions ic ns

ses Disposed

nts minal' ions ic ns

under of Cases Disposed by Waivers2

Filings	Dispositions
153 113 208 122 0 39	128 60 264 92 0 35
19	14
463 612	<b>435</b> 626
1,214	1,154
2,943	2,808
	959
•	
116 45 256 101 0 66	114 46 224 112 0 72
37	35
249 562	252 573
753	772
2,185	2,200
	671

1

## -151-

FORT KENT

Type of Case	Filings	Dispositions
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -	0 0 0 0 26	0 0 0 0 22
A-B-C etc. Criminal -	8	2
D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal'	312 233	321 260
Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	435	427
TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers2	1,014	1,032 306
HOULTON	•	
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	248 134 261 47 0 39	98 87 231 36 0 36
Criminal - A-B-C etc.	35	39
Criminal D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Vieletiens	265 530	259 504
Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	1,385	1,312
TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed	2,944	2,602
by Waivers <sup>2</sup>		1,204

## KITTERY

H

面

A STATE OF

Purchase and

CLARGE CONTRACTOR

Consecutives

## Type of Case

Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -A-B-C etc. Criminal -D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions

TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers<sup>2</sup>

## LEWISTON

- CTN-0

Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -A-B-C etc. Criminal D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions

TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers<sup>2</sup>

-152-

. . '

Filings	Dispositions
65 25 155 87 6 45	56 20 137 87 3 33
31	37
374 1,337	455 1,429
2,610	2,586
4,735	4,843
	2,414

683 198 473 344 2 148	532 302 190 315 0 131
181	161
939 2,141	737 1,960
4,054	3,744
9,163	8,072
	3,021

## -153-

### LINCOLN

Type of Case	Filings	Dispositions
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -	36 50 119 37 0 60	35 36 109 41 0 49
A-B-C etc. Criminal -	61	38
D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations	195 117	199 106
and Traffic Infractions	1,628	1,618
TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed	2,303	2,231
by Waivers 2		1,110
LIVERMORE FALLS	•	
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -	26 9 36 19 0 71	22 10 41 18 0 63
A-B-C etc. Criminal	13	5
D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations	81 242	88 252
and Traffic Infractions	211	220
TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed	708	719
by Waivers <sup>2</sup>		226

MACHIAS Type of Case Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -A-B-C etc. Criminal -D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers<sup>2</sup> MADAWASKA Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -A-B-C etc. Criminal D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Viclations and Traffic Infractions TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers<sup>2</sup>

I

T

FL

T

. -

Filings	Dispositions
59 32 160 63 0 16	32 7 117 34 0 8
32	14
340 184	304 152
273	253
1,159	921
	194

----

175 175 285 39 0 17	83 176 211 21 0 17
2	2
166 245	157 189
136	121
,240	977 118
	110

•

## -155-

			2 24	
MILLINOCKET				PORTLAND
Type of Case	Filings	Dispositions		Type of Case
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal - A-B-C etc. Criminal - D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	57 58 168 35 0 11 9 397 280 542	34 81 129 26 0 17 3 432 356 629		Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal - A-B-C etc. Criminal - D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions
TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers <sup>2</sup>	1,557	1,707 617		TOTAL Number of Cases D by Waivers <sup>2</sup>
NEWPORT Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal - A-B-C etc. Criminal D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	47 45 128 56 0 24 21 284 376 1,803	37 46 114 64 0 17 8 261 335 1,758		PRESQUE ISLE Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal - A-B-C etc. Criminal D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions
TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers <sup>2</sup>	2,784	2,640 1,460		TOTAL Number of Cases Di by Waivers <sup>2</sup>

Ē

 $\square$ 

-156-

ype of Case	Filings	Dispositions
ivil oney Judgments mall Claims ivorce ental Health uvenile riminal -	1,312 422 622 621 164 351	884 279 715 605 115 289
A-B-C etc.	271	285
riminal - D-E etc. raffic 'Criminal' ivil Violations and Traffic	1,554 4,319 8,337	1,310 3,448 10,368
Infractions TOTAL umber of Cases Disposed by Waivers <sup>2</sup>	17,973	18,298 8,170

	415 259 203 77 0 56	340 209 155 64 0 63
	27	18
al'	276 619	492 501
S	1,606	1,849
Disposed	3,538	3,691
proposed		1,305

-157-

ROCKLAND

Type of Case	Filings	Dispositions	Ø	Type of Case
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	244 112 464 137 0 55	180 92 407 155 0 37		Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile
Criminal - A-B-C etc.	57	32		Criminal - A-B-C etc.
Criminal - D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Vieletieus	477 844	448 815		Criminal - D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations
Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	1,079	1,017		and Traffic Infractions
TOTAL	3,469	3,183		TOTAL Number of Cases Disp
Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers <sup>2</sup>		995		by Waivers <sup>2</sup>
RUMFORD				SOUTH PARIS
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims	86 49 292	65 41 264		Civil Money Judgments Small Claims
Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	55 0 31	44 0 48		Divorce Mental Health Juvenile
Criminal - A-B-C etc. Criminal	9	6		Criminal - A-B-C etc. Criminal
D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal'	359 401	280 412		D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations
Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	530	571		and Traffic Infractions
TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed	1,812	1,731		TOTAL Number of Cases Disp
by Waivers2	•	338		by Waivers <sup>2</sup>

.

-158-

. --

1 - 1.

Filings	Dispositions
182 124 385 117 0 89	153 112 359 120 0 154
76	66
807 1,222	808 1,191
2,374	2,171
5,376	5,134
	2,205

ases Disposed cs<sup>2</sup>

SKOWHEGAN

56 42 199 78 0 52	29 33 163 67 0 63
23	30
. 140 356	141 363
496	550
1,442	1,439
	415

1

ses Disposed

## -159-

### SPRINGVALE

Type of Case	Filings	Dispositions
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	127 56 273 129 0 20	103 37 168 108 0 31
Criminal - A-B-C etc.	52	52
Criminal - D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal'	371 907	301 828
Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	843	834
TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers <sup>2</sup>	2,778	2,462 878
VAN BUREN		
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	0 0 0 0 0 1	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 1
Criminal - A-B-C etc.	15	14
Criminal D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal'	91 90	93 94
Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	183	180
TOTAL	380	382
Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers <sup>2</sup>		93

## WATERVILLE Type of Case Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -A-B-C etc. Criminal -D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions TOTAL Number of Cases Di by Waivers<sup>2</sup> WISCASSET Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal -A-B-C etc. Criminal D-E etc. Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions TOTAL Number of Cases Disposed by Waivers<sup>2</sup>

And a state of the state of the

(and the

「見」

**9**11

7

	Filings	Dispositions
	207 110 293 120 0 55	86 96 288 81 0 88
	70	40
11'	644 945	394 859
	1,387	1,422
Disposed	3,831	3,354
	· · · ·	1,134
	•	

123 78 296 110 0 41	95 67 236 80 0 28
26	26
223 590	212 592
820	807
2,307	2,143
	811

### -161-

## TABLE 3

# DISPOSITIONS AND TRIALS BY TYPE OF CASE

July 1, 1978 through December 31, 1978

## <u>STATEWIDE</u>

-162-

<u>Type of Case</u> Civil	No. of Dispositions	No. of <u>Trials</u>	Trials as % of Total Dispositions
	4,555	579	12.71
Money Judgments	2,561	. 500	19.52
Small Claims	6,693	748	10.82
Divorce	3,417	1,105	32.33
Mental Health	375	217	57.87
Juvenile	1,907	871	45.67
Criminal A-B-C	1,424	176	12.36
Criminal D-E	12,654	1,210	9.56
Traffic 'Criminal'	24,303	1,504	, ,
Civil Violations	53,641		6.19
and Traffic Infractions	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	917	1.62
Total	111,530	7,827	7.01

Aver Days For T	age N from rial	lo. Req to	of ues Tri	t al		
	71					
	69					
	45					
	77					
	23					
	44					
	40					
	45					
	45					
	39					
	50					•
		8				
			a na	Cartonne angli 6 term A 2	Vis to reasonable	

	AUGUS	STA	,	•				
n an an an ann an Anna an An		of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of Total Dispositions	Average No. of Days from Request for Trial to Trial		Ϋ́Υ
and the second	Small Divor Menta Juven	Judgments Claims ce l Health ile	282 96 406 210 112 91	15 3 40 21 88 50	5.32 3.13 9.85 10.00 78.57 54.95	121 231 49 105 9		
	Crimi A- Crimi:	B-C	120	20	16.67	59 60		
	D-1 Traff v Civil		590 528	95 97	16.10 18.37	81 78	<b>.</b>	
	6 . and	l Traffic Fractions	4,270	84	1.97	64		X
•	]	fotal	6,705	513	7.65	86		
	BANGO	R					-ri - sala na seka risa agisa	
	Small Divoro	. Health .le	395 165 281 255 145 216	68 83 33 <b>191</b> 126 170	17.22 50.30 11.74 <b>79.90</b> 86.90 78.70	37 32 32 69 14 59		Υ.
1	A-B Crimin	-C	87	3	4.17	51		
	Civil	c 'Criminal Violations	525 2,339	41 103	7.81 4.40	41 22		
	and	Traffic ractions	3,796	43	1.13	21	ere a source is fully on	
	T	otal	8,204	861	10.49	38		

AUGUSTA	,	•			
Type of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of Total Dispositions	Average No. of Days from Request for Trial to Trial	т 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal	282 96 406 210 112 91	15 3 40 21 88 50	5.32 3.13 9.85 10.00 78.57 54.95	121 231 49 105 9 59	<ul> <li>A second s</li></ul>
A-B-C Criminal	120	20	16.67	60	<b>,</b>
D-E Fraffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations	590 528	95 97	16.10 18.37	81 78	
and Traffic Infractions	4,270	84	1.97	64	
Total	6,705	513	7.65	86	
BANGOR					
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal	395 165 281 255 145 216	68 83 33 <b>191</b> 126 170	17.22 50.30 11.74 <b>79.90</b> 86.90 78.70	37 32 32 69 14 59	
A-B-C Criminal	87	3	4.17	51	
D-E Traffic 'Criminal Livil Violations	525 2,339	41 103	7.81 4.40	41 22	
and Traffic Infractions	3,796	43	1.13	21	
Total	8,204	861	10.49	38	

Type of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of Total Dispositions	Ave Days for
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal	145 · 76 115 130 0 17	6 4 3 0 7	4.14 5.26 2.61 2.31 41.18	
A-B-C Criminal	36	4	11.11	
D-E Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations	264 753	29 45	10.98 5.98	
and Traffic Infractions	1,475	4.9	3.32	
Total	3,011	150	5.00	
BAR HARBOR				
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal	83 19 48 38 0 12	5 0 2 16 0	6.02 4.17 42.11	
A-B-C Criminal	7	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
D-E Traffic 'Criminal Civil Violations	105 - 87	17 4	16.19 4.60	
and Traffic Infractions	360	12	3.33	
Total	759	56	7.38	

<u>,</u> ľ \_\_\_\_ C - **-** -. ៍ត្រ

BATH

verage No. of ys from Request r Trial to Trial 66 67 112 0 28 27 0 39 34 0 41 

•	۰						
	BELFAST Type of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of Total Dispositions	Average No. of Days from Request for Trial to Trial	and the second sec	r
	Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	74 40 165 92 0 30	6 2 7 5 0 10	8.11 5.00 4.24 5.43 	86 25 38 90 0 26	<ul> <li>South and the second secon</li></ul>	
	Criminal A-B-C Criminal	59	15	25.42	51		
	D-E Traffic 'Criminal'	411 548	20 21	4.87 3.83	39 44	An Tanka A Man	
-165	Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	725	14	1.93	34		N.
Ĩ	Total	2,144	100	4.66	43	2 29	
	BIDDEFORD						
	Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal	145 71 458 171 0 102	31 0 46 163 0 11	<b>21.37</b> 10.04 95.32 10.78	110 0 52 33 0 30		-
	A-B-C Criminal	67	0		0		
	D-E Traffic 'Criminal Civil Violations	864 1,831	33 16	3.82 .86	57 81		
	and Traffic Infractions	3,875	5	.13	71		
	Total	7,584	305	4.02	43		

						•
LFAST		s.	Trials	· · · · · ·		-
ype of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of <u>Trials</u>	as Percent of Total Dispositions	Average No. of Days from Request for Trial to Trial	and the definition of the	۲
vil ney Judgments	74 40	6	8.11	86	line and find	
all Claims	165	2	5.00 4.24	86 25 38 90 0		
vorce ntal Health	92 0	5	5.43	<u>9</u> 0	2 	
venile iminal	30	10	33.33	26		
A-B-C iminal	59	15	25.42	51		
D-E	411	20	4.87		s sin t	
affic 'Criminal' vil Violations	548	21	3.83	39 44	* Habitania ( ) in me	
and Traffic Infractions	725	14	1.93	34		х -
Total	2,144	100	4.66	43	<i>13</i> 7	
DDEFORD						
vil	1 45	31	21.37	110		
ney Judgments all Claims	71 458	0 46	10.04	0		· · · ·
vorce ntal Health	171	163	95.32	52 33 0		
venile	0 102	0 11	10.78	0 30		N .
iminal A-B-C	67	0		0		
iminal D-E			3,82			
affic 'Criminal Vil Violations	864 1,831	33 16	.86	57 81		
and Traffic Infractions	3,875	5	.13	71		
Total	7,584	305	4.02	43		
	ann an colorada an come o de colorador mais and de actual de la secondaria.	a un mitma proportier a state of the	lander of a grande factor of contract of occurrently in each of the contract of device contractorization of the			

Type of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of <u>Total Dispos</u> itions	Aver Days
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal A-B-C	50 32 124 52 0 35	7 1 13 0 11	14.00 3.13 10.48 25.00 31.43	<u>for T</u>
Criminal D-E	26	0		
Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations	221 290	3 16	1.36 5.52	
and Traffic Infractions	491	1	.20	
Total	1,321	65	4.92	
BRUNSWICK				
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal	61 17 107 77 0 19	9 5 29 54 0 6	14.75 29.41 27.10 70.13 31.58	3
A-B-C Criminal	22	l	4.55	(
D-E Traffic 'Criminal Civil Violations	301 734	20 60	6.64 8.17	Ì
and Traffic Infractions	1,966	58	2.95	
Total	3,304	242	7.40	6

攒 - 50 

BRIDGTON

-166-

erage No. of s from Request Trial to Trial 47 69 56 31 25 306 32 51 0 26 44 (Fring 1 D 

	• .			-		······································
an a	Zada zana na sina na serena	y Majimini ya sheka ja sheka s	1971 - 1972 - 1974 - 1975 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 - 1974 -			
CALAIS						ч.
Type of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of Total Dispositions	Average No. of Days from Request for Trial to Trial		۲
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce	77 60 122 68	10 14 22 31	12.99 23.33 18.03 45.59	20 51 27 16		
Mental Health Juvenile Criminal	0 30	0 15	50.00	0 26		
A-B-C Criminal	30	3	10.00	26		
D-E Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations	451 618	46 21	10.20 3.40	20 21		
and Traffic Infractions	928	18	1.94	19		<b>x</b>
Total	2,384	180	7.60	23		
CARIBOU						
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health	96 69 143 112 0	2 1 5 3 0	2.08 1.45 3.50 2.68	77 42 22 78 0		
Juvenile Criminal A-B-C	16 21	2	12.50	14		
A-B-C Criminal D-E	233 422	2 13 17	23.81 · 5.58	21 16	n na sea an s	
Fraffic 'Criminal Civil Violations and Traffic	422 918	17 18	5.58 4.03 1.96	17 18		
Infractions						
Total	2,030	66	3.25	31		

	Type of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of <u>Trials</u>	as Percent of Total Dispositions
	Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	77 60 122 68 0 30	10 14 22 31 0 15	12.99 23.33 18.03 45.59 50.00
	Criminal A-B-C	30	3	10.00
	Criminal D-E Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations	451 618	46 21	10.20 3.40
1 1	and Traffic Infractions	928	18	1.94
	Total	2,384	180	7.60
	CARIBOU			
	Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	96 69 143 112 0 16	2 1 5 3 0 2	2.08 1.45 3.50 2.68 12.50
	Criminal A-B-C Criminal	21	5	23.81
	D-E Traffic 'Criminal Civil Violations	233 422	13 17	· 5.58 4.03
	and Traffic Infractions	918	18	1.96
	Total	2,030	66	3.25

Type of Case	No. of <u>Dispositions</u>	No. of <u>Trials</u>	Trials as Percent of <u>Total Dispos</u> itions
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health	41 79 202 42 0	9 41 45 5 0 8	21.95 51.90 22.28 11.90
Juvenile Criminal A-B-C	37	8	21.62
Criminal D-E	22	10	45.45
Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations	310 308	24 18	7.74 5.84
and Traffic Infractions	474	14	2.95
Total	1,515	174	11.49
ELLSWORTH			
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal	128 60 264 92 0 35	20 32 3 85 0 12	15.63 53.33 1.14 92.39  34.29
A-B-C Criminal	14	0	
D-E Traffic 'Criminal Civil Violations	435 626	5 27	1.15 4.31
and Traffic Infractions	1,154	32	2.77
Total	2,808	216	7.70

## DOVER-FOXCROFT

-			
-			
Average No. of		E.	
Average No. of Days from Request for Trial to Trial			r
41 45 44 146 0 18			
17			
27 26			
21			x
39	and the second		
142 25 35 56 0 47			
0			
44 37			
39			
43			
			ti i

				- ination	 	an a	Carry In Strategy	and a second	<u></u>
-	Men in X-rough	ku - ternari	1	Ej					

## FARMINGTON

	Type of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of Total Diagonalist
	Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal A-B-C	114 46 224 112 0 72	8 14 9 3 0 36	<u>Total Dispositi</u> 7.89 30.43 4.02 2.68 50.00
	Criminal D-E	35	6	17.14
1	Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic	252 573	25 20	9.92 3.49
169-	Infractions	772	19	2.46
	Total	2,200	140	6.36
	FORT KENT			
	Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal A-B-C	0 0 0 0 22	0 0 0 0 4	
	Criminal	2	0	
	D-E Traffic 'Criminal Civil Violations	321 260	14 16	4.36 6.15
	and Traffic Infractions	427	19	4.45
	Total	1,032	53	5.14

		- D	
			and the second second

of tions	Average No. of Days from Request for Trial to Trial	
	93 36 88 105 0 58	:
	26	
	69 77	4 
·	83	
	64	가가 가지 않는 것이 아파지 않는 것이 않 않는 않는 것이 않는 않는 않는 것이 않는 않는 않는 않는 않는 않는 않는 않이 않는
	0 0 0 0 58	, το του του του στου του του του του του του του του του
	0	ang bang sa
	21 31	
	14	
	12	
7		
	איז	

ţ,

an an an ann an an an an an an an an an	n ny dianam-panamana a falon, a miny dia di majang matana kaoni na si di manang panaha a si na pada di dia di n	an ann an star an tha tha na star an star an star an tha star a	an a		per varge v <sup>arge</sup> i <sup>na</sup> s	1	
IOULTON	No. of	NT C	Trials	Average No. of			
Type of Case	Dispositions	No. of Trials	as Percent of Total Dispositions	Days from Request for Trial to Trial		-	
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims	98 87 231 36	3 10 8	3.06 11.49	39			Y
)ivorce	231 36	10	11.49 3.46 27.78	23 38 0			
fental Health Tuvenile Criminal	0 36	0 15	41.67	0 18			
A-B-C Criminal	39	4	10.26	26			
D-E 'raffic 'Criminal'	259 504	14 16	5.41 3.17	36 44			
ivil Violations and Traffic	1,312	11	.38	24			
Infractions				27			
Total	2,602	91	3.50	25			
ITTERY							
ivil oney Judgments	56 20	15 6	26.79	94			
oney Judgments mall Claims ivorce	137 87	26 14	30.00 18.98 16.09	59			
ental Health uvenile	3 33	15 6 26 14 3 23	100.00 69.70	94 69 59 103 47 68			
riminal A-B-C	. 37	3	8.11	19		$\mathbf{X}$	
riminal D-E raffic 'Criminal	455 1,429	21	4.62	69 36			
ivil Violations and Traffic	2,586	23	1.61				
Infractions	٠, ١٠٠	32	1.24	47			
Total	4,843	166	3.43	61			
	Contraction of the second seco						

-170-

HOULTON				
Type of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of Total Dispositions	Aveı Days for T
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal	98 87 231 36 0 36	3 10 8 10 0 15	3.06 11.49 3.46 27.78 41.67	
A-B-C Criminal	39	4	10.26	
D-E Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations	259 504	14 16	5.41 3.17	
and Traffic Infractions	1,312	11	.38	
Total	2,602	91	3.50	
KITTERY				
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal	56 20 137 87 3 33	15 6 26 14 3 23	26.79 30.00 18.98 16.09 100.00 69.70	1
A-B-C Criminal	37	3	8.11	
D-E Traffic 'Criminal Civil Violations	455 1,429	21 23	4.62 1.61	
and Traffic Infractions	2,586	32	1.24	
Total	4,843	166	3.43	

-170-



n 1997 - State Parlando, and an angla sa sa sa sa sa sa sa 1997 - State Parlando, ang sa	- A second second second second second second second second second second second second second seco second second sec	Kanatinatina (j. 1964)	19 AM - 1. Alt four and Statestica and motor		
		तिकरणको। सिराणको Gale मार्गको Gale मार्गको	niinen niinen niinen niinen niinen niinen Derenaalii teenaaliist teenaaliist Derenaalii	and the second sec	
LEWISTON Type of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of Total Dispositions	Average No. of Days from Request for Trial to Trial	
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	532 302 190 · 315 0 131	173 7 88 198 0 109	32.52 2.32 46.32 62.86  83.21	47 25 43 54 0 57	
Criminal A-B-C Criminal	161	46	28.57	37	
Criminal D-E Traffic 'Criminal'	737 1,960	201 231	27.27 11.79	119 102	
Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	3,744	102	2.72	105	
Total	8,072	1,155	14.31	59	
LINCOLN					
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	35 36 109 41 0 49	8 13 16 11 0 0	22.86 36.11 14.68 26.83	104 53 33 85 0	
Criminal A-B-C Criminal	38	10	26.32	29	
D-E Traffic 'Criminal	199 106	39 39	19.60 36.79	27 27	
Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	1,618	40	2.47	27	
Total	2,231	176	7.89	39	

Civil	35 36	8	22.86
Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	109 41 0 49	13 16 11 0 0	36.11 14.68 26.83 
Criminal A-B-C	38	10	26.32
Criminal D-E Traffic 'Criminal	199 106	39 39	19.60 36.79
Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	1,618	40	2.47
Total	2,231	176	7.89

							I.
lan danila da la ante en esta nomena antena en en en esta en es		nde viter niekterst. Den oor deter op of ender op deter konst konstans as de	ana dengangan kana tang alawa dengan tang alawa ang pangangan kana kana kana kana ang pangangan kana kana kana				
		·					
vermore falls	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of Total Dispositions	Average No. of Days from Request for Trial to Trial		•	
vil ° ney Judgments all Claims	22 10	3 6	13.64 60.00	, 176			¥
orce	41 18	3 3	7.32 16.67	319 44 94			
tal Health enile	0 63	0 41	65.08	0 21			
minal A-B-C	5	1	20.00	21			
minal D-E	88	14					
ffic 'Criminal'	252	14 32	15.91 12.70	65 24	* *		
vil Violations and Traffic Infractions	220	10	4.55	24			
Total	719	113	15.72	79			х.
CHIAS							
il ou Judomente	32 7	8	25.00	25			
ey Judgments ll Claims	7 117 34	1 2	14.29 1.71	25 28 28 423			
orce tal Health	34 0	2 0	5.88 	423 0			
enile minal	0 8	ĩ	12.50	127			
A-B-C	14	2	14.29	45		N Contraction	
minal D-E	304 152	27	8.88	19 16	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		
ffic 'Criminal il Violations		10	6.58				
and Traffic Infractions	253	4	1.58	24			
Total	921	57	6.19	72			
					Territ		

-172-

#### Ũ 1

MADAWASKA Trials No. of No. of as Percent of Total Dispositions Type of Case Trials Dispositions 90 38 48 43 83 176 15.66 6.25 13 Civil Money Judgments Small Claims 11 12 6 5.69 28.57 211 21 Divorce Mental Health 0 0 17.65 Juvenile 3 17 Criminal 2 0 A-B-C . \_\_\_\_\_ Criminal 12.74 157 20 D-E Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations and Traffic 8.47 27 16 189 2 1.65 11 121 Infractions 83 8.50 Total 977 MILLINOCKET 8.82 43.21 34 81 3 Civil 35 14 6 Money Judgments 10.85 129 Small Claims 23.08 26 Divorce 0 0 ----Mental Health 5.88 17 1 Juvenile Criminal 3 0 \_\_\_ A-B-C Criminal 6.94 30 432 D-E 7.58 356 27 Traffic 'Criminal Civil Violations 8 1.27 and Traffic 629 Infractions 7.26 124 1,707 Total

-173-

Average No. of Days from Request for Trial to Trial 0 9 0 32 30 15 45 45 91 0 4 0 46 45 47 34

na a star og so	a ann an an an Arden a' chuir ann an Arraich an Annaichte an gun ann an ann ann an Arraichte ann an Arraichte a	1					
NE	EWPORT						
	vpe of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of	Average No. of Days from Request	~	
Mon Sma Div Men Juv	vil oney Judgments all Claims vorce ntal Health venile iminal	37 46 114 64 0 17	4 0 16 9 0 12	<u>Total Dispositions</u> 10.81 14.04 14.06 70.59	Days from Request for Trial to Trial 44 0 74 28 0		Y
Cri	A-B-C iminal	8	2	25.00	31 14		
Tra: Civ:	D-E affic 'Criminal' vil Violations	261 335	22 19	8.43 5.67	26 34		
á	and Traffic Infractions	1,758	13	.74	19		
	Total	2,640	97	3.67	27		х.
PORT	TLAND				< (		
Divo Ment Juve Crim A Crim D- Trafi	ey Judgments 11 Claims orce tal Health enile minal A-B-C minal D-E Efic 'Criminal	884 279 715 605 115 289 285 1,310 3,448	(15) <sup>1</sup> 52 44 30 0 113 4 153 208	6.45 18.64 6.15 4.96  39.10 1.40 11.68	$(100)^{1}$ 69 71 0 9 100 85		
ar	and Traffic	3,448 10,368	208 62	11.68 6.03	85 108		
Ir	Infractions Total			.60	90		
		18,298	723	4.00	69		
	ludes December only	y due to compulat	ion errors.				
1 an a 1 a 1							, J

								(38) (38) (38)	1.451
	Contraction in the second	Contract of the local division of the local							

	PRESQUE ISLE		N. C	Trials as Percent of	Avera Days fi
	Type of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of <u>Trials</u>	Total Dispositions	for Tr
1	Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce	<b>340</b> 209 155 64	25 39 45 53	7.35 18.66 29.03 82.81	4 2 3 5
	Mental Health Juvenile	0 63	0 10	15.87	-2
	Criminal A-B-C	18	3	16.67	2
	Criminal D-E Traffic 'Criminal'	492 501	21 32	4.26 6.39	
( - 17	Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	1,849	63	3.41	
1	Total	3,691	291	7.88	
	ROCKLAND				
	Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	180 92 407 155 0 37	5 0 18 2 0 17	2.78 4.42 1.29 45.95	1
) נ ד	Criminal A-B-C	32	5	15.63	
	Criminal D-E Traffic 'Criminal	448 815	23 16	5.13 1.96	
	Civil Violations and Traffic Infractions	1,017	11	1.08	
	Total	3,183	97	3.05	

-175-

l - ene l'est configuration de la contra contra





<u>RUMFORD</u> Type of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of Total Dispositions	Averag Days fr for Tri
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce	65 41 264 44	8 38 24 <b>3</b> 1	12.31 92.68 9.09 70.45	8 4 6 8
Mental Health Juvenile	0 48	0 18	37.50	5
Criminal A-B-C	6	3	50.00	11
Criminal D-E Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations	280 412	73 117	26.07 28.40	7 11
and Traffic Infractions	571	74	12.96	9
Total	1,731	386	22.29	7
SKOWHEGAN				
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile	153 112 359 120 0 154	10 40 18 76 0 41	6.54 35.71 5.01 63.33 26.62	6 6 5 16
Criminal A-B-C	66	3	4.55	Ē
Criminal D-E Traffic 'Criminal Civil Violations	808 1,191	25 34	3.09 2.85	Ę
and Traffic Infractions	2,171	8		L
Total	5,134	255	5.00	Ę

. <u>T</u> /



SOUTH PARIS			<b>-</b>	
Type of Case	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of <u>Total Dispositions</u>	Avera Days f for Tr:
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal	29 33 163 67 0 63	1 0 2 2 0 38	3.45 1.23 2.99 60.32	2 2 4 5
A-B-C Criminal	30	4	13.33	34
D-E Traffic 'Criminal' Civil Violations	141 363	9 16	6.38 4.41	62 50
and Traffic Infractions	550	13	2.36	. 88
Total	1,439	85	5.91	40
SPRINGVALE				
Civil Money Judgments Small Claims Divorce Mental Health Juvenile Criminal	103 37 168 108 0 31	14 3 18 12 0 0	13.59 8.11 10.71 11.11 	60 35 30 64
A-B-C Criminal	52	9	17.31	22
D-E Traffic 'Criminal Civil Violations	* 301 828	17 50	5.65 6.04	46 56
and Traffic Infractions	834	11	1.32	56
Total	2,462	134	5.44	. 37

-177

rage No. of from Request Trial to Trial 26 0 29 49 0 54 56 35 30 .6 6 

<u>VAN BUREN</u> Type of Ca	se	No. of Dispositio	ons	No. o Trial				als cent o posit		Da	verag ys fi r Tri
Civil Money Judg Small Claim	ments	0 0 0		0 0 0			-				(
Divorce		0		0			-				(
Mental Hea	lth	0		0			_				(
Juvenile		1		0			-				(
Criminal A-B-C		14		2			~ ~	he			
Criminal		14		3			21.	43			21
D-E		93		4			4.	30			1:
Traffic 'C		94		5			5.				13
Civil Viola		- 0 -									-,
and Trat Monomial Sector		180		4		2.22			10		
	Lons		,								
Total		382		16	-	4.19					16
								- /			
WATERVILLE											
Civil		86		21			24.	lio			
Money Judgm	nents	96		11			11.4				107 // E
Small Clain	ns	288		125			43.				81
Divorce		81		38			46.				45 81 17
Mental Heal Juvenile	Lth	0		0							C
Criminal		88 40		77 2		87.50 5.00					68
A-B-C											54
Criminal				_			<i>.</i>	00			74
D-E		394		73			18.	53			55 74
Traffic 'Cr	iminal	859		101			11.′	76			74
Civil Viola and Traf		1,422		52	Б		2	66			he
Infracti		1, T22		ےر			3.	50			47
Total		3,354		500			14.9	91			55



ISCASSET						*
ype of Case ivil	No. of Dispositions	No. of Trials	Trials as Percent of Total Dispositions	Average No. of Days from Request for Trial to Trial		,
oney Judgments all Claims vorce ntal Health venile iminal	95 67 236 80 0 28	12 28 12 9 0 10	12.63 41.79 5.08 11.25	68 32 24 55 0	ar ann an Ann Sandairte ag Bail Marsandar	
A-B-C iminal	26	5	35.71 19.23	20	4	
D-E affic 'Criminal' vil Violations	212 592	39 31	18.40 5.24	19		
and Traffic Infractions	807	11	1.36	21 10	and a second	
Total	2,143	157	7.33	20		
				29		
				3		
			•			

-179-

### APPENDIX IV

## ADMINISTRATIVE COURT STATISTICS

Contractions and

(stants)

The second second

Contraction of the second

Contraction of the local data

Effective July 1, 1978, the jurisidiction of the Adminiistrative Court expanded and the Court joined the Judicial Department. Shortly thereafter, a limited, manual statistical reporting system was developed for the Administrative Court. Below are filing and disposition figures for cases filed and disposed between July 1 and December 31, 1978.

The disposition figures exceed the filing figures, because the Administrative Court disposed cases filed prior to July 1, 1978 as well as after that date.

~ ~

Type of Case	Filings	Dispositions
Bureau of Liquor Enforcement Department of Secretary of State	191 41	220 34
Bureau of Maine State Police Department of Human Services Real Estate Commission Maine Department of Business Regulation	18 8 3 1	8 9 1 1
Harness Racing Commission Board of Dental Examiners Board of Commissioners for the Profession of Pharmacy	1 1 0	1 1 1
Appeal from decision of Bureau of Alcoholic Beverages	1	1
State Board of Licensure of Medical Facilities other than Hospitals	1	1
Appeal from decision of Harness Racing Commission	1	1
Totals	267	278

