


National Criminal Justice Reference Service

ncjrs

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20531

**REPORT
ON APPLICATIONS FOR
ORDERS AUTHORIZING
OR APPROVING THE
INTERCEPTION OF WIRE OR
ORAL COMMUNICATIONS**

for the period January 1, 1980
to December 31, 1980

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF
THE UNITED STATES COURTS

Washington, D.C.

8/228

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Public Domain, Administrative
Office of the United States
Courts
to the National Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

**Report
On Applications for
Orders Authorizing
Or Approving the
Interception of Wire
Or Oral Communications
For the Period January 1, 1980
to
December 31, 1980**

**Prepared by:
Statistical Analysis and
Reports Division
Administrative Office of the
United States Courts**

**Washington, DC
April 1981**

NCJRS

SEP 8 1981

ACQUIS

**REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE
OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS**


**on
Applications for Orders Authorizing or
Approving the Interception of Wire or Oral
Communications**

This report is submitted under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2519(3). The statute requires that each April the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall transmit to Congress a report concerning the number of applications for orders authorizing or approving the interception of wire or oral communications. This report includes statistics on the number of applications and extensions granted or denied during calendar year 1980, together with a summary and analysis of the data required by law to be filed with the Administrative Office of the United States Courts by federal and state judges and prosecuting officials.

This is the thirteenth report filed under the Wiretapping and Electronic Surveillance provisions of Title III of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, approved on June 18, 1968 (82 Stat. 218). This report summarizes the period from January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980.

The requirements of the statute and the regulations are explained in Sections I and II of the text. Section III provides a summary and analysis of the reports submitted by judges, while Section IV presents a summary and analysis of reports completed by prosecuting officials. The last two sections of the narrative are concerned with information reported in calendar year 1980 which relates to all intercepts authorized since 1969.

The appendix consists of four tables. Table A-1 contains all reports filed by federal judges and prosecuting officials for intercepts terminated during calendar year 1980. Table B-1 presents the same information for reports filed by state judges and state prosecuting officials. The supplementary reports submitted by federal and state prosecuting officials (concerning additional activity during 1980 as a result of intercepts previously reported) are provided in tables A-2 and B-2, respectively.


William E. Foley
Director

April 1981

I. Reporting Requirements of the Statute

Title 18 U.S.C. Section 2519(1) requires that every state and federal judge file a written report with the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts on each application for an order authorizing the interception of a wire or oral communication. This report, furnished within 30 days of the denial or expiration of the court order (including all extensions), must contain certain detailed information including the name of the applicant, the offense specified in the application, the type of interception device, the type of location, and the duration of the authorized intercept.

Prosecuting officials, who applied for intercept orders, are required to submit reports to the Administrative Office in January of each year for each order which expired the previous calendar year. These reports contain information relating to the cost of the intercept, the number of days the intercept device was in actual operation, the total number of intercepts, and the number of incriminating intercepts recorded. The results of the intercepts in terms of arrests, trials, convictions, and the number of motions to suppress evidence obtained through the use of the intercept are also provided.

The judge or the prosecutor does not submit information concerning the identity (name, address, or phone number) of the parties to the interception. The Administrative Office is not authorized to collect this type of information.

This report tabulates the number of applications for interceptions which were granted or denied, as reported by judges, as well as the number of applications where interception devices were installed, as reported by prosecuting officials. No statistics are available on the number of devices installed for each application.

II. Regulations

First developed by the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts in November 1968, the regulations and reporting forms were last revised in April 1979. Additional changes are being made in 1981 to further simplify completion of the reporting form. Copies of the regulations, and the federal wiretapping statute may be obtained by writing the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C. 20544.

According to the statute only the Attorney General (or his designee) may authorize an application to a federal judge for an order authorizing interception of wire or oral communications. For those states with wiretapping legislation applications may be made only by a prosecuting attorney, "if such attorney is authorized by a statute of that State to make an application to a State court judge of competent jurisdiction." Data on wiretaps terminated during the current year appear in appendix tables A-1 (federal) and B-1 (state).

Since many wiretap orders are related to large scale criminal investigations which cross county and state boundaries, arrests, trials, and convictions resulting from these interceptions often do not occur within the same year as the installation of the intercept device. Therefore, Title 18 U.S.C. Section 2519(2) requires that supplementary reports be filed by prosecuting officials concerning additional court or police activity occurring as a result of intercepts reported in prior years. Appendix tables A-2 (federal) and B-2 (state) describe the additional activity reported by prosecuting officials in their supplementary reports.

Table 1 lists the Federal government and 27 states which have laws authorizing courts to issue orders permitting wiretapping and electronic surveillance. Two states, Idaho and Louisiana, have been added since last year. Neither state reported the use of any wiretap during 1980.

III. Summary and Analysis of Reports by Judges

The name of the judge responsible for the original authorization appears in the appendix. The data, reported for calendar year 1980, includes only those orders for which the period of interception concluded during 1980.

During calendar year 1980, 566 applications for orders to intercept wire or oral communications were made to state and federal judges. One of these applications was denied by a federal judge in the Western District of Oklahoma, while another was denied by a state judge in Norfolk County, Massachusetts. Of the 564 applications granted, 483 (85.6 percent) were granted by state judges, while federal judges issued 81 wiretap orders.

State judges in New Jersey authorized 177 applications, accounting for 31.4 percent of the total. This represents a substantial 20.4 percent increase over the 147 orders issued by New Jersey state judges a year ago. State judges in New York signed 128 orders. Intercepts authorized and approved in New York and New Jersey comprised 54.1 percent of all wiretap authorizations during 1980.

Table 2 summarizes the intercept orders authorized by each reporting jurisdiction, the intercept orders reported as amended, the extensions granted, and the average length of the original authorizations and extensions. The 564 wiretap orders authorized in 1980 was a modest 2.0 percent increase over the 553 authorized in 1979. This rise in authorizations reversed a six year decreasing trend. The number of authorizations this year was still 34.7 percent below the 864 wiretap authorizations during calendar year 1973, the record high year. State authorizations rose 3.6 percent during 1980, while orders issued by federal judges decreased 6.9 percent. Table 2 also reflects the total number of days the intercepts were actually in operation, and the location where the interception of communication occurred.

A. Authorized Length of Intercepts

The authorized length of time for the 564 applications granted varied from one to 180 days. The longest (which included five extensions) was for a federal wiretap in the Eastern District of Louisiana. The average length of an original authorization was 24 days - the same as in 1979; while the average length of the 201 extensions was 21 days (compared to 20 days in 1979). The total number of days in operation where communications were intercepted varied from one day to 175 days for the same federal wiretap in the Eastern District of Louisiana. Seventeen original intercepts were reported as amended. The amendments usually required an addition or change to a telephone line, the persons to be monitored, or the offenses under investigation.

B. Offenses

There was a wide range of offenses specified in the applications for court orders. Many applications specified more than one criminal violation. Table 3 illustrates the most serious offense named in the applications. In this report, where the offense listed was related to any violation of drug laws, the offense appears as "narcotics." Narcotics was the most serious offense specified in 282 wiretap orders, or exactly one-half the total. Gambling offenses (including bookmaking) were under investigation in 199 authorizations, accounting for 35.3 percent of the total. Racketeering was cited as the major offense in 29 applications, all of which were approved by federal judges.

C. Locations

For the twelfth consecutive year the location most often reported was a "single family dwelling" which accounted for 232, or 41.1 percent of the total. Apartments were the location for 153 interceptions (27.1 percent), while 89 intercepts (15.8 percent) were placed in business locations. Other locations of authorized interceptions of wire or oral communications included 17 multiple dwellings and another 40 were combinations of business and living quarters. In 33 interceptions, the location specified was a public pay telephone, an automobile, a briefcase, or social club.

IV. Summary and Analysis of Reports by Prosecuting Officials

Eighty-four state jurisdictions, as well as the U. S. Department of Justice, submitted reports on wiretap authorizations. Five jurisdictions did not submit any information on the intercepts reported by judges as terminated in 1980, while one jurisdiction submitted reports on some, but not all, of their communications intercepts. For those jurisdictions, the phrase "No prosecutor's report" is used in the appendix tables.

The reporting numbers used in the appendix tables are reference numbers assigned by the Administrative Office of the United States Courts and do not correspond to the authorization or application numbers used by the reporting jurisdiction. The same reference number is used for any supplemental information which may be reported for the communications intercept in subsequent reports (in Appendix tables A-2 and B-2).

A. Nature of Intercepts

Of the 564 applications granted, there were 524 prosecutors' reports which included information on the average number of intercepts per day, the number of persons intercepted, the total number of communications intercepted and the number of incriminating intercepts. Twenty-one authorized intercepts were reported as never installed, while an additional 19 prosecutors' reports were not received.

The average number of intercepts per day ranged from zero to 592 for a federal wiretap in the District of Minnesota which was in operation for 30 days. The average number of persons whose conversations were intercepted was 136 per installed intercept, a substantial 130.5 percent rise over the same figure in 1979. The number of conversations overheard ranged from zero to 22,673 for a federal wiretap in the Eastern District of Louisiana. The average number of intercepts was 1,058 per order. The largest number of conversations intercepted in a single state wiretap was 14,790 by the State Attorney General of New York. Almost 30 percent of the conversational intercepts produced incriminating evidence. A summary of the average number of intercepted communications by jurisdiction appears in Table 4.

A telephone wiretap was the electronic surveillance device used in 475 or 90.6 percent of the 524 installed intercepts. Twenty-seven wiretaps used microphone eavesdrops while more than one type of device was used in 22 cases. Table 6 presents the type of surveillance used for each intercept installed.

B. Cost of Intercepts

For the fifth consecutive year, costs for installing and monitoring intercepts increased. The average cost for the 500 intercept orders for which a cost figure was reported was \$17,146, a 4.3 percent rise over the average cost of \$16,437 reported in 1979. Over the last five years costs have risen 146.0 percent. A summary of the cost information by jurisdiction appears in Table 5.

The highest reported cost for a single federal wiretap authorization was \$446,844 for a combined telephone wiretap and microphone eavesdrop in the Eastern District of Louisiana. It was in operation a total of 56 days and the offenses specified were racketeering, bribery, and obstruction of justice. Ten other federal wiretaps had costs in excess of \$100,000.

For state wiretaps, the highest cost for a single authorization was recorded by the Sixth Judicial Circuit of Florida which includes Pasco and Pinellas Counties. This telephone wiretap cost \$81,000 for 71 days of operation. The offense specified was narcotics and resulted in 17 arrests.

C. Arrests and Convictions

There were 1,871 persons arrested as a result of intercepts installed during calendar year 1980. Of these, 259 defendants (13.8 percent) were convicted. These figures are incomplete because many of the criminal cases for which electronic surveillance has been reported in 1980 are still under active investigation. Additional costs, arrests, trials, motions to suppress, and convictions resulting from these intercepts will be reported by prosecutors in future supplementary reports. Table 6 shows the number of persons arrested and convicted as a result of 1980 interceptions.

V. Summary January 1 - December 31, 1970-1980

Table 7 summarizes authorized intercepts as to location and major offense for calendar years 1970 through 1980 as well as the average number of persons intercepted. Costs in 1980 were as follows:

Costs of Intercepts	
Reported Cost	Number of Intercepts
Total	500
\$1,001 or less	39
\$1,001 to \$2,000	59
\$2,001 to \$5,000	117
\$5,001 to \$10,000	87
\$10,001 to \$25,000	110
\$25,001 to \$50,000	49
More than \$50,000	39
Average Cost Per Intercept	\$17,146

Detailed statistics on applications for the interception of communications as reported in 1980 by judges and prosecutors appear in Appendix tables A-1 and B-1 of this report.

VI. Supplementary Reports

During 1980, there were 704 arrests and 645 convictions at an additional cost of \$551,946 as a result of 315 authorized intercepts completed in previous years. Table 8 summarizes the additional police and court activity by year and jurisdiction for wiretaps terminated from 1969 through 1980. The total number of arrests and convictions resulting from intercept devices installed in calendar years 1969 through 1980 is reflected in Table 9. Detailed data on all supplementary reports submitted in 1980 appear in appendix Tables A-2 and B-2 of this report.

Table 1
Jurisdictions with Statutes Authorizing
the Interception of Wire or Oral Communications*
Effective During the Period
January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980

Jurisdiction	Statutory Citation**	Reported Use of Wiretap in 1980
Federal	18:2510 - 2520	Yes
Arizona	13-1051 - 13-1061; 13-3004 - 13-3014	Yes
Colorado	16-15-101	Yes
Connecticut	54-41a - 54-41s	Yes
Delaware	11 Del. C. Sect. 1336	Yes
District of Columbia	23:541 - 23:556	Yes
Florida	934.01 - 934.10	Yes
Georgia	26-3004	Yes
Hawaii	803-41 - 803-50	Yes
Idaho	18-6701 - 18 - 6710	No
Kansas	22-2514 - 22-2516	Yes
Louisiana	15:1301 - 15:1312	No
Maryland	10-401 - 10-401(2)	Yes
Massachusetts	272:99	Yes
Minnesota	626A.01 - 626A.23	Yes
Nebraska	86-701 - 86-707	Yes
Nevada	179.410 - 179.515	Yes
New Hampshire	570-A:1 - 570-A:11	No
New Jersey	2A:156A-1 - 156A-26	Yes
New Mexico	30-12-2 - 30-12-11	Yes
New York	813-J - 813-M; 814 - 825	Yes
Oregon	133.723 - 133.739	No
Pennsylvania	18:5701	No
Rhode Island	12-5.1-1 - 12-5.1-16	Yes
South Dakota	23-13A-1 - 23-13A-11	No
Utah	77-54(A)-1 - 77-54(A)-11	Yes
Virginia	19.2-61	Yes
Wisconsin	968.27 - 968.33	Yes

* Pursuant to provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, Title 18, United States Code, Section 2519.

** Includes only those jurisdictions which enacted legislation during or before calendar year 1980.

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges during the
Period January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in days)		Total Number of Days in Operation	Place or Facility Authorized in Original Application					
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed ¹		Original Authorization	Extension		Single Family Dwelling	Apartment	Multi-dwelling	Business	Combination ²	Other
Total	564*	17	19	21	524	201	24	21	11,939	232	153	17	89	40	33
Federal	81	2	-	2	79	47	28	28	2,763	23	7	1	20	21	9
Arizona															
Cochise	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	30	91	2	-	-	-	-	-
Maricopa	7	-	-	-	7	2	28	25	214	3	-	-	-	4	-
Colorado															
State Attorney General	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	NR	-	-	-	1	-	-
Arapahoe	1	-	-	-	1	-	19	-	19	-	1	-	-	-	-
Connecticut															
Judicial District of Ansonia/ Milford	2	-	-	-	2	1	10	10	23	2	-	-	-	-	-
Judicial District of Danbury	4	-	-	-	4	-	10	-	33	3	-	1	-	-	-
Judicial District of Fairfield	4	-	4	-	-	1	10	10	NR	3	-	-	1	-	-
Judicial District of Hartford	6	-	-	-	6	-	10	-	29	4	-	-	1	1	-
Judicial District of Litchfield	1	-	-	-	1	-	10	-	8	-	1	-	-	-	-
Judicial District of New Haven	1	-	-	-	1	-	10	-	5	1	-	-	-	-	-
Judicial District of Waterbury	4	-	-	-	4	5	10	10	59	3	1	-	-	-	-
Delaware															
State Attorney General	10	-	-	-	10	-	16	-	105	4	5	-	-	1	-
District of Columbia	2	-	-	-	2	1	16	30	59	-	1	-	-	-	1
Florida															
State Attorney General	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	58	1	-	-	1	-	-
Sixth Judicial Circuit (Pasco and Pinellas Counties)	10	1	-	-	10	4	28	30	318	5	3	-	1	1	-
Eighth Judicial Circuit (Alachua County)	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	22	1	1	-	-	-	-
Ninth Judicial Circuit (Orange County)	5	-	-	-	5	-	14	-	55	-	4	-	-	-	1
Fifteenth Judicial Circuit (Palm Beach County)	11	-	-	4	7	4	19	23	164	5	2	1	3	-	-
Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (Broward County)	12	-	-	-	12	2	30	30	174	8	4	-	-	-	-
Georgia															
Fulton	2	-	-	-	2	2	20	20	74	1	-	-	-	1	-
Gwinnett	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-
Whitfield	1	-	-	-	1	-	20	-	14	1	-	-	-	-	-
Hawaii															
Honolulu	3	-	3	-	-	3	30	15	NR	2	1	-	-	-	-
Kansas															
Shawnee	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	43	2	-	-	-	-	-
Maryland															
Anne Arundel	3	-	3	-	-	2	30	30	NR	2	1	-	-	-	-
Baltimore City	7	-	-	-	7	-	30	-	76	-	-	4	3	-	-
Baltimore County	8	1	-	-	8	5	27	25	282	2	6	-	-	-	-
Howard	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	23	1	-	-	-	-	-
Prince George's	3	-	-	-	3	-	27	-	47	1	2	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts															
Essex	6	-	-	1	5	-	15	-	61	5	1	-	-	-	-
Middlesex	2	-	-	-	2	2	30	8	45	-	2	-	-	-	-
Norfolk	4	-	-	-	4	1	8	15	36	3	-	-	-	-	1
Plymouth	1	-	-	-	1	1	15	15	30	1	-	-	-	-	-
Suffolk	4	-	-	-	4	3	15	15	59	-	2	-	1	-	1
Worcester	2	-	-	-	2	3	30	15	52	1	1	-	-	-	-

Table 2
Intercept Orders Issued by Judges during the
Period January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980
(Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions	Avg. Length (in days)		Total Number of Days in Operation	Place or Facility Authorized in Original Application					
	Authorized	Amended	No Prosecutor's Report	Never Installed	Installed ¹		Original Authorization	Extension		Single Family Dwelling	Apartment	Multi-dwelling	Business	Combination ²	Other
Minnesota															
St. Louis	1	-	-	-	1	-	4	-	4	-	-	-	-	1	-
Nebraska															
Douglas	9	-	-	-	9	3	30	30	191	4	2	-	-	3	-
Nevada															
Clark	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	45	1	-	-	1	-	-
Elko	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	1	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey															
State Attorney General	27	3	-	4	23	12	17	10	411	9	4	-	8	-	6
Bergen	15	-	-	-	15	2	20	10	238	6	5	2	1	-	1
Camden	5	-	-	-	5	2	20	10	87	3	2	-	-	-	-
Cape May	2	-	-	-	2	1	20	10	35	2	-	-	-	-	-
Essex	39	6	-	-	39	10	20	10	514	5	27	1	2	-	4
Gloucester	4	-	-	-	4	3	20	10	105	4	-	-	-	-	-
Hudson	20	1	-	-	20	9	20	10	392	11	5	-	4	-	-
Mercer	9	-	-	-	9	2	20	10	175	3	2	-	3	-	1
Middlesex	13	-	-	-	13	7	20	11	312	8	2	-	1	2	-
Monmouth	3	-	-	-	3	-	20	-	19	1	2	-	-	-	-
Morris	3	-	-	-	3	-	20	-	31	2	1	-	-	-	-
Ocean	3	-	-	-	3	-	20	-	35	3	-	-	-	-	-
Passaic	5	-	-	-	5	2	20	10	97	1	3	1	-	-	-
Somerset	4	-	-	-	4	2	20	10	70	4	-	-	-	-	-
Union	25	-	-	1	24	10	20	10	447	9	9	-	7	-	-
New Mexico															
State Attorney General	3	-	-	-	3	-	30	-	71	1	-	-	-	2	-
Dona Ana	2	-	-	1	1	-	30	-	15	2	-	-	-	-	-
New York															
State Attorney General	7	-	-	-	7	6	29	30	256	-	5	-	-	-	2
Broome	1	-	-	-	1	-	14	-	14	1	-	-	-	-	-
Chemung	4	-	-	1	3	-	30	-	69	3	-	-	1	-	-
Cortland	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	9	1	-	-	-	-	-
Erie	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	36	1	-	-	1	-	-
Herkimer	2	-	-	-	2	1	30	30	79	2	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	9	-	7	-	2	4	30	30	66	1	1	-	5	1	1
Madison	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	15	-	-	-	1	-	-
Monroe	9	-	-	1	8	-	18	-	58	1	4	-	4	-	-
Montgomery	1	-	-	-	1	-	9	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
Nassau	22	1	-	2	20	8	30	30	596	11	3	3	4	-	1
New York	16	-	-	-	16	3	29	27	331	-	10	-	4	1	1
Niagara	1	-	-	-	1	4	30	30	150	1	-	-	-	-	-
Onondaga	4	-	-	-	4	-	30	-	29	1	3	-	-	-	-
Queens	4	-	-	-	4	-	30	-	102	-	3	-	1	-	-
Rensselaer	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	30	1	-	-	-	-	-
Rockland	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	25	-	1	-	-	-	-
Schenectady	7	-	-	1	6	2	30	30	133	2	3	-	2	-	-
Seneca	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Steuben	2	-	-	-	2	-	30	-	33	-	1	1	-	-	-
St. Lawrence	1	-	1	-	-	-	30	-	NR	1	-	-	-	-	-
Suffolk	14	1	-	-	14	2	30	30	310	12	1	-	1	-	-
Wayne	1	-	-	-	1	-	30	-	6	-	-	1	-	-	-
Westchester	16	-	-	2	14	8	28	30	464	4	6	-	4	1	1
Rhode Island															
State Attorney General	12	-	-	-	12	2	30	30	409	11	-	-	-	-	1
Utah															
Utah County	1	-	-	-	1	-	10	-	10	-	-	-	1	-	-
Virginia															
State Attorney General	9	1	-	-	9	4	30	26	304	5	2	-	1	-	1
Wisconsin															
Milwaukee	1	-	-	-	1	1	7	30	37	-	-	1	-	-	-

* Two applications were denied in 1980 - one by a federal judge in the Western District of Oklahoma and another by a state judge in Norfolk County, Massachusetts.
¹ Based on the actual number of intercept devices installed as reported by the prosecuting official.
² Combination refers to the number of authorized interceptions where more than one location was reported.
 NR - Not reported.

Table 3
Major Offense for Which Court-Authorized Intercepts were Granted Pursuant
To Title 18, United States Code, Section 2519, January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980

Reporting Jurisdiction	Total	Bribery	Burglary and Robbery	Gambling	Homicide and Assault	Kidnapping	Larceny and Theft	Loansharking, Usury, and Extortion	Narcotics	Possession, Transport, or Receipt of Stolen Property	Racketeering	Other
Total	564	1	7	199	13	4	10	9	282	2	29	8
Federal	81	1	1	6	1	2	-	4	33	2	29	2
Arizona												
Cochise	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Maricopa	7	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	4	-	-	-
Colorado												
State Attorney General	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Arapahoe	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Connecticut												
Judicial District of Ansonia/ Milford	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Judicial District of Danbury	4	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Judicial District of Fairfield	4	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Judicial District of Hartford	6	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judicial District of Litchfield	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Judicial District of New Haven	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judicial District of Waterbury	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Delaware												
State Attorney General	10	-	-	6	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
District of Columbia	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Florida												
State Attorney General	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Sixth Judicial Circuit (Pasco and Pinellas Counties)	10	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
Eighth Judicial Circuit (Alachua County)	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Ninth Judicial Circuit (Orange County)	5	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Fifteenth Judicial Circuit (Palm Beach County)	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (Broward County)	12	-	1	9	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Georgia												
Fulton	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Gwinnett	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Whitfield	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hawaii												
Honolulu	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Kansas												
Shawnee	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
Maryland												
Anne Arundel	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Baltimore City	7	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Baltimore County	8	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Howard	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Prince George's	3	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Massachusetts												
Essex	6	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Middlesex	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Norfolk	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Plymouth	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Suffolk	4	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Worcester	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

Table 3
Major Offense for Which Court-Authorized Intercepts were Granted Pursuant
To Title 18, United States Code, Section 2519, January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980
(Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Total	Bribery	Burglary and Robbery	Gambling	Homicide and Assault	Kidnapping	Larceny and Theft	Loansharking, Usury, and Extortion	Narcotics	Possession, Transport, or Receipt of Stolen Property	Racketeering	Other
Minnesota												
St. Louis	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nebraska												
Douglas	9	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Nevada												
Clark	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Elko	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New Jersey												
State Attorney General	27	-	-	5	-	-	7	-	14	-	-	1
Bergen	15	-	-	11	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Camden	5	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Cape May	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Essex	39	-	-	20	-	-	-	-	19	-	-	-
Gloucester	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hudson	20	-	-	9	1	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
Mercer	9	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Middlesex	13	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
Monmouth	3	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Morris	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Ocean	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Passaic	5	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Somerset	4	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Union	25	-	1	10	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	1
New Mexico												
State Attorney General	3	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dona Ana	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York												
State Attorney General	7	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Broome	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Chemung	4	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Cortland	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Erie	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Herkimer	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Kings	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	6	-	-	1
Madison	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monroe	9	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	2
Montgomery	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nassau	22	-	-	5	-	-	1	3	13	-	-	-
New York	16	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	-
Niagara	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Onondaga	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Queens	4	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Rensselaer	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rockland	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Schenectady	7	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Seneca	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Steuben	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
St. Lawrence	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Suffolk	14	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
Wayne	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Westchester	16	-	-	5	3	1	-	-	7	-	-	-
Rhode Island												
State Attorney General	12	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Utah												
Utah	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia												
State Attorney General	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
Wisconsin												
Milwaukee	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Note: This table shows generally the most serious offense for each court - authorized interception.

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire Or Oral Communications
January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders Where Intercepts Installed	Average Number Per Order Where Installed		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
Total	564	524	136	1,058	315
Federal	81	79	609*	2,855*	408*
Arizona					
Cochise.....	2	2	8	991	50
Maricopa.....	7	7	155	1,693	248
Colorado					
State Attorney General.....	1	NR	NR	NR	NR
Arapahoe.....	1	1	100	1,108	235
Connecticut					
Judicial District of Ansonia/ Milford.....	2	2	35	389	187
Judicial District of Danbury.....	4	4	19	114	67
Judicial District of Fairfield.....	4	NR	NR	NR	NR
Judicial District of Hartford.....	6	6	14	191	157
Judicial District of Litchfield.....	1	1	52	87	12
Judicial District of New Haven.....	1	1	12	106	66
Judicial District of Waterbury.....	4	4	23	250	53
Delaware					
State Attorney General.....	10	10	28	352	190
District of Columbia	2	2	49	514	275
Florida					
State Attorney General.....	2	2	36	487	82
Sixth Judicial Circuit (Pasco and Pinellas Counties).....	10	10	36	1,158	208
Eighth Judicial Circuit (Alachua County).....	2	2	44	495	139
Ninth Judicial Circuit (Orange County).....	5	5	31	266	99
Fifteenth Judicial Circuit (Palm Beach County).....	11	7	50*	847	402
Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (Broward County).....	12	12	26	428	162
Georgia					
Fulton.....	2	2	30	1,602	1,532
Gwinnett.....	1	1	272	215	90
Whitfield.....	1	1	306	153	-
Hawaii					
Honolulu.....	3	NR	NR	NR	NR
Kansas					
Shawnee.....	2	2	NR	144	NR
Maryland					
Anne Arundel.....	3	NR	NR	NR	NR
Baltimore City.....	7	7	83	585	202
Baltimore County.....	8	8	65	2,048	328
Howard.....	1	1	15	329	28
Prince George's.....	3	3	37	1,311	444
Massachusetts					
Essex.....	6	5	19	1,021	612
Middlesex.....	2	2	125	1,682	776
Norfolk.....	4	4	24	193	39
Plymouth.....	1	1	145	474	44
Suffolk.....	4	4	28	627	36
Worcester.....	2	2	80	1,128	96

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire Or Oral Communications
January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980
(Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders Where Intercepts Installed	Average Number Per Order Where Installed		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
Minnesota					
St. Louis.....	1	1	57	746	432
Nebraska					
Douglas.....	9	9	19	515	419
Nevada					
Clark.....	2	2	152	2,668	539
Elko.....	1	1	1	2	2
New Jersey					
State Attorney General.....	27	23	111	407	62
Bergen.....	15	15	36	618	296
Camden.....	5	5	22	267	180
Cape May.....	2	2	751	376	116
Essex.....	39	39	27	350	145
Gloucester.....	4	4	21	427	169
Hudson.....	20	20	20	683	248
Mercer.....	9	9	15	625	167
Middlesex.....	13	13	68	1,717	860
Monmouth.....	3	3	8	131	73
Morris.....	3	3	21	365	112
Ocean.....	3	3	38	187	98
Passaic.....	5	5	8	865	195
Somerset.....	4	4	22	464	151
Union.....	25	24	22	470	115
New Mexico					
State Attorney General.....	3	3	52	577	374
Dona Ana.....	2	1	8	300	90
New York					
State Attorney General.....	7	7	71	4,222	3,123
Broome.....	1	1	32	285	118
Chemung.....	4	3	37*	705	239
Cortland.....	1	1	16	190	24
Erie.....	2	2	24	741	592
Herkimer.....	2	2	6	1,130	1,065
Kings.....	9	2	94	1,797	278
Madison.....	1	1	10	71	4
Monroe.....	9	8	2*	283	221
Montgomery.....	1	1	2	2	2
Nassau.....	22	20	136	1,170	490
New York.....	16	16	60	1,015	103
Niagara.....	1	1	NR	2,130	425
Onondaga.....	4	4	44	470	100
Queens.....	4	4	30	942	89
Rensselaer.....	1	1	7	33	19
Rockland.....	1	1	4	750	-
Schenectady.....	7	6	16	501	385
Seneca.....	1	-	-	-	-
Steuben.....	2	2	1,111	592	117
St. Lawrence.....	1	NR	NR	NR	NR
Suffolk.....	14	14	40	914	569
Wayne.....	1	1	119	59	12
Westchester.....	16	14	54	831	227
Rhode Island					
State Attorney General.....	12	12	36*	791	622
Utah					
Utah.....	1	1	2	10	-
Virginia					
State Attorney General.....	9	9	168*	683	145
Wisconsin					
Milwaukee.....	1	1	30	741	-

NR - Not reported.

* Excludes those reports where the number of persons intercepted, the number of intercepts, and the number of incriminating intercepts were not reported.

Table 5
Average Cost Per Order
January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders Where Intercepts Installed	Orders With Cost Reported*	Average Cost Per Order \$
Total	524	500	17,146
Federal	79	77	54,838
Arizona			
Cochise	2	2	23,790
Maricopa	7	7	27,038
Colorado			
State Attorney General	NR	NR	NR
Arapahoe	1	1	21,000
Connecticut			
Judicial District of Ansonia/ Milford	2	2	8,384
Judicial District of Danbury	4	4	3,354
Judicial District of Fairfield	NR	NR	NR
Judicial District of Hartford	6	6	2,473
Judicial District of Litchfield	1	1	3,075
Judicial District of New Haven	1	1	2,445
Judicial District of Waterbury	4	4	15,636
Delaware			
State Attorney General	10	10	6,191
District of Columbia	2	2	25,111
Florida			
State Attorney General	2	2	1,176
Sixth Judicial Circuit (Pasco and Pinellas Counties)	10	10	14,826
Eighth Judicial Circuit (Alachua County)	2	2	5,838
Ninth Judicial Circuit (Orange County)	5	5	5,215
Fifteenth Judicial Circuit (Palm Beach County)	7	6	16,155
Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (Broward County)	12	12	13,048
Georgia			
Fulton	2	2	26,185
Gwinnett	1	1	750
Whitfield	1	1	3,310
Hawaii			
Honolulu	NR	NR	NR
Kansas			
Shawnee	2	NR	NR
Maryland			
Anne Arundel	NR	NR	NR
Baltimore City	7	4	1,682
Baltimore County	8	8	10,939
Howard	1	1	9,700
Prince George's	3	3	18,090
Massachusetts			
Essex	5	5	9,600
Middlesex	2	2	18,000
Norfolk	4	4	6,375
Plymouth	1	1	1,200
Suffolk	4	NR	NR
Worcester	2	2	22,183

Table 5
Average Cost Per Order
January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980
(Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Authorized Orders Where Intercepts Installed	Orders With Cost Reported*	Average Cost Per Order \$
Minnesota			
St. Louis	1	1	3,395
Nebraska			
Douglas	9	9	5,522
Nevada			
Clark	2	2	20,719
Elko	1	1	-
New Jersey			
State Attorney General	23	23	13,752
Bergen	15	15	8,403
Camden	5	5	3,230
Cape May	2	2	11,896
Essex	39	39	4,416
Gloucester	4	4	13,648
Hudson	20	20	5,280
Mercer	9	9	4,837
Middlesex	13	13	5,955
Monmouth	3	3	1,184
Morris	3	3	2,400
Ocean	3	3	2,263
Passaic	5	5	6,771
Somerset	4	4	1,384
Union	24	24	5,419
New Mexico			
State Attorney General	3	3	5,844
Dona Ana	1	1	3,300
New York			
State Attorney General	7	7	27,686
Broome	1	1	-
Chemung	3	3	8,633
Cortland	1	1	-
Erie	2	2	4,641
Herkimer	2	2	3,025
Kings	2	2	46,886
Madison	1	1	763
Monroe	8	8	2,957
Montgomery	1	1	-
Nassau	20	20	14,894
New York	16	16	30,023
Niagara	1	1	32,600
Onondaga	4	4	6,245
Queens	4	4	19,503
Rensselaer	1	1	750
Rockland	1	1	16,925
Schenectady	6	NR	NR
Seneca	-	-	-
Steuben	2	2	6,563
St. Lawrence	NR	NR	NR
Suffolk	14	14	9,566
Wayne	1	1	1,398
Westchester	14	8	7,819
Rhode Island			
State Attorney General	12	12	5,085
Utah			
Utah	1	1	1,500
Virginia			
State Attorney General	9	9	27,336
Wisconsin			
Milwaukee	1	1	13,798

* Includes costs for orders where intercepts were installed but not used.
NR - Not reported.

Table 6
Type of Surveillance Used, Arrests and Convictions Where Intercepts Installed
January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders Where Intercepts Installed	Phone Wire	Microphone/Eavesdrop	Combination*	Number of Persons Reported	
					Arrested During 1980	Convicted During 1980
Total	524	475	27	22	1,871	259
Federal	79	47	11	21	132	34
Arizona						
Cochise.....	2	2	-	-	12	3
Maricopa.....	7	7	-	-	17	2
Colorado						
State Attorney General.....	NR	-	-	-	-	-
Arapahoe.....	1	1	-	-	-	-
Connecticut						
Judicial District of Ansonia/ Milford.....	2	2	-	-	10	6
Judicial District of Danbury.....	4	4	-	-	5	4
Judicial District of Fairfield.....	NR	-	-	-	-	-
Judicial District of Hartford.....	6	6	-	-	-	-
Judicial District of Litchfield.....	1	1	-	-	-	-
Judicial District of New Haven.....	1	1	-	-	2	2
Judicial District of Waterbury.....	4	4	-	-	17	-
Delaware						
State Attorney General.....	10	10	-	-	67	1
District of Columbia	2	1	1	-	5	5
Florida						
State Attorney General.....	2	2	-	-	13	-
Sixth Judicial Circuit (Pasco and Pinellas Counties).....	10	10	-	-	40	10
Eighth Judicial Circuit (Alachua County).....	2	2	-	-	4	1
Ninth Judicial Circuit (Orange County).....	5	4	1	-	8	-
Fifteenth Judicial Circuit (Palm Beach County).....	7	6	1	-	27	7
Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (Broward County).....	12	12	-	-	20	-
Georgia						
Fulton.....	2	2	-	-	31	25
Gwinnett.....	1	1	-	-	5	-
Whitfield.....	1	1	-	-	-	-
Hawaii						
Honolulu.....	NR	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas						
Shawnee.....	2	2	-	-	2	1
Maryland						
Anne Arundel.....	NR	-	-	-	-	-
Baltimore City.....	7	7	-	-	19	-
Baltimore County.....	8	8	-	-	52	-
Howard.....	1	1	-	-	-	-
Prince George's.....	3	3	-	-	6	-
Massachusetts						
Essex.....	5	5	-	-	47	5
Middlesex.....	2	2	-	-	28	-
Norfolk.....	4	3	1	-	15	8
Plymouth.....	1	1	-	-	2	-
Suffolk.....	4	3	1	-	18	2
Worcester.....	2	2	-	-	10	4

Table 6
Type of Surveillance Used, Arrests and Convictions Where Intercepts Installed
January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980
(Continued)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Orders Where Intercepts Installed	Phone Wire	Microphone/Eavesdrop	Combination*	Number of Persons Reported	
					Arrested During 1980	Convicted During 1980
Minnesota						
St. Louis.....	1	1	-	-	-	-
Nebraska						
Douglas.....	9	9	-	-	49	3
Nevada						
Clark.....	2	2	-	-	36	-
Elko.....	1	1	-	-	4	-
New Jersey						
State Attorney General.....	23	21	2	-	25	-
Bergen.....	15	15	-	-	69	-
Camden.....	5	5	-	-	14	-
Cape May.....	2	2	-	-	31	-
Essex.....	39	39	-	-	160	5
Gloucester.....	4	4	-	-	55	-
Hudson.....	20	20	-	-	103	-
Mercer.....	9	9	-	-	29	13
Middlesex.....	13	13	-	-	56	-
Monmouth.....	3	3	-	-	3	-
Morris.....	3	3	-	-	11	2
Ocean.....	3	3	-	-	3	-
Passaic.....	5	5	-	-	28	13
Somerset.....	4	4	-	-	17	-
Union.....	24	24	-	-	68	6
New Mexico						
State Attorney General.....	3	3	-	-	-	-
Dona Ana.....	1	1	-	-	1	-
New York						
State Attorney General.....	7	6	1	-	6	4
Broome.....	1	1	-	-	12	-
Chemung.....	3	3	-	-	3	-
Cortland.....	1	1	-	-	3	3
Erie.....	2	2	-	-	4	-
Herkimer.....	2	2	-	-	6	6
Kings.....	2	1	1	-	38	-
Madison.....	1	1	-	-	-	-
Monroe.....	8	7	1	-	14	3
Montgomery.....	1	1	-	-	2	-
Nassau.....	20	17	3	-	80	7
New York.....	16	14	2	-	53	16
Niagara.....	1	1	-	-	23	-
Onondaga.....	4	4	-	-	4	-
Queens.....	4	4	-	-	3	2
Rensselaer.....	1	1	-	-	2	1
Rockland.....	1	1	-	-	-	-
Schenectady.....	6	6	-	-	24	24
Seneca.....	-	-	-	-	-	-
Steuben.....	2	1	1	-	6	-
St. Lawrence.....	NR	-	-	-	-	-
Suffolk.....	14	14	-	-	56	30
Wayne.....	1	1	-	-	1	1
Westchester.....	14	14	-	-	8	-
Rhode Island						
State Attorney General.....	12	12	-	-	81	-
Utah						
Utah.....	1	-	-	1	-	-
Virginia						
State Attorney General.....	9	9	-	-	66	-
Wisconsin						
Milwaukee.....	1	1	-	-	-	-

* Combination refers to the number of installed intercepts where more than one type of surveillance was used.
NR - Not reported.

Table 7
Summary Report on Authorized Intercepts Granted Pursuant to
Title 18, United States Code, Section 2519
January 1 - December 31, 1970 through 1980

Summary Item	Calendar Year										
	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
I. Intercept applications requested	596	816	860	866	730	704	688	626	572	553	566
II. Intercept applications authorized	596	616	855	864	728	701	686	626	570	553	564
Federal	182	285	206	130	121	108	137	77	81	87	81
State	414	531	649	734	607	593	549	549	489	466	483
Average length of original author- ization (days)	22	22	22	24	23	22	23	25	24	24	24
Number of extensions	237	228	246	286	358	172	145	165	133	142	201
Average length of extensions (days)	20	24	24	26	27	24	20	20	21	20	21
Location of authorized intercepts:											
Single family dwelling	203	342	351	319	259	264	276	253	249	241	232
Apartment	163	211	218	237	160	191	157	157	112	152	153
Multiple dwelling	39	45	56	61	59	39	53	31	28	18	17
Business	121	134	120	156	160	138	117	102	125	87	89
Business and living quarters & multiple locations	30	40	48	32	49	45	42	49	33	27	40
Not indicated or other	40	44	62	59	41	24	39	34	23	28	33
Major offense specified in application:											
Arson and explosives	13	2	2	1	3	2	4	3	-	-	4
Ribery	16	16	9	25	25	21	24	17	15	4	1
Extortion (includes usury and loansharking)	17	5	13	21	14	27	17	13	14	15	9
Gambling	325	570	497	446	381	408	378	265	241	204	199
Homicide and assault	21	18	35	47	21	16	10	22	25	29	13
Larceny and theft	31	31	22	36	22	5	9	20	7	7	10
Narcotics	127	128	230	229	199	178	190	237	195	250	282
Robbery	13	17	9	5	7	6	8	2	5	6	7
Other	33	31	38	54	56	38	46	47	68	38	39
III. Intercept applications installed*	582	792	841	812	694	676	635	601	560	533	524
Federal	179	281	205	130	120	106	136	77	81	87	79
State	403	511	636	682	574	570	499	524	479	446	445
For authorized intercepts installed:											
Total number of days in operation	11,190	14,582	15,561	15,382	17,887	13,136	11,880	11,930	10,721	11,244	11,939
Average number of persons intercepted	44	40	51	49	59	71	54	72	68	59	136
Average number of intercepted communications	656	643	800	610	850	654	662	658	738	866	1,058
Average number of incriminating intercepted communications	296	399	303	304	431	305	272	268	205	252	313
Number of authorizations where cost reported	589	776	805	798	679	671	632	593	539	516	500
Average cost where cost reported	\$5,534	\$4,599	\$5,435	\$5,632	\$8,087	\$6,970	\$8,482	\$9,699	\$11,275	\$16,437	\$17,146
Number of orders costing:											
\$ 1,000 or less	178	241	206	189	128	132	117	74	60	48	39
1,001 - 2,000	88	163	156	167	106	93	88	65	75	59	59
2,001 - 5,000	159	162	185	216	165	166	155	152	144	111	117
5,001 - 10,000	88	114	124	113	124	128	111	141	104	93	87
10,001 - 25,000	78	82	134	113	156	152	161	161	156	205	110
25,001 - 50,000**	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49
50,001 and over**	NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39

* Installed intercepts includes only those intercepts where a report was received from a prosecuting official.
** Classification prior to 1980 included with \$10,001 and under.

Table 8
Summary of Supplementary Reports as a Result of Intercepts
Terminated in Calendar Years 1969 - 1979
(Report as of December 31, 1980)

Report Year and Jurisdiction	Total Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					
	Number of Reports	Cost \$	Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Number of Persons Convicted
Total All Years	315	551,946	704	202	21G,184D,278P	645
Total 1969	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total 1970	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total 1971	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total 1972	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total 1973	4	-	-	-	-	4
Federal	1	-	-	-	-	3
New Jersey State Attorney General	3	-	-	-	-	1
Total 1974	6	-	-	-	-	2
New Jersey State Attorney General	6	-	-	-	-	2
Total 1975	4	-	-	-	-	2
New Jersey State Attorney General	3	-	-	-	-	1
Mercer	1	-	-	-	-	1
Total 1976	9	-	3	5	4D	19
Federal	2	-	3	3	4D	16
New Jersey State Attorney General	5	-	-	-	-	1
Cape May	1	-	-	1	-	1
New York Erie	1	-	-	1	-	1

* Motions: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

Table 8
Summary of Supplementary Reports as a Result of Intercepts
Terminated in Calendar Years 1969 - 1979
(Report as of December 31, 1980)

Report Year and Jurisdiction	Total Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					
	Number of Reports	Cost \$	Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Number of Persons Convicted
Total 1977	40	8,900	10	11	1G,11D	48
Federal	1	-	1	1	-	1
Maryland Wicomico	1	-	-	1	-	1
New Jersey State Attorney General	25	-	-	2	1G,10D	30
Bergen	3	-	-	2	-	2
Camden	2	-	-	-	-	3
Ocean	1	8,900	9	-	-	2
New York New York	3	-	-	1	-	1
Queens	2	-	-	4	1D	6
Rhode Island State Attorney General	2	-	-	-	-	2
Total 1978	70	14,512	106	56	1G,16D,9P	146
Federal	8	-	6	11	-	30
Arizona Maricopa	8	-	44	-	8P	9
District of Columbia	1	-	-	1	1D	-
Maryland Baltimore County	2	-	-	5	-	4
Massachusetts Plymouth	1	-	23	23	1D	23
New Jersey State Attorney General	32	-	6	1	8D	25
Bergen	2	-	-	6	-	6
Ocean	1	9,000	7	-	-	3
New York Erie	1	380	1	-	-	-
Kings	1	-	3	-	-	1
Nassau	2	-	-	5	-	5
New York	1	-	-	-	-	1
Oneida	2	5,132	16	-	1G,3D	12
Queens	5	-	-	3	2D	22
Rhode Island State Attorney General	3	-	-	1	1D,1P	5

* Motions: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

Table 8
Summary of Supplementary Reports as a Result of Intercepts
Terminated in Calendar Years 1969 - 1979
(Report as of December 31, 1980)

Report Year and Jurisdiction	Total Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					
	Number of Reports	Cost \$	Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Number of Persons Convicted
Total 1979	182	528,534	585	130	19G,153D,269P	424
Federal	19	-	90	10	12G,65D,14P	88
Arizona Maricopa	2	-	36	-	2P	4
Pima	3	-	52	-	58P	4
Connecticut Judicial District of Danbury	1	-	4	-	-	4
Judicial District of Hartford	6	250	26	1	2D,8P	15
Judicial District of Middlesex	1	-	2	-	-	2
Judicial District of New Haven	3	-	22	-	15P	5
Delaware State Attorney General	1	-	-	-	3P	3
Florida State Attorney General	2	-	14	3	2D	17
Sixth Judicial Circuit (Pasco, Pinellas)	2	9,868	19	-	-	-
Seventh Judicial Circuit (Volusia)	1	-	37	-	36P	1
Eighth Judicial Circuit (Alachua)	4	-	-	-	3D	4
Eleventh Judicial Circuit (Dade)	5	132,667	13	1	4D	11
Twelfth Judicial Circuit (Sarasota)	8	-	17	17	-	17
Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (Broward)	6	22,000	17	2	5D	4
Twentieth Judicial Circuit (Lee)	1	-	7	4	4D,95P	4
Georgia Cobb	2	31,300	10	-	6D	-
Fulton	3	-	18	1	1D	18
Gwinnett	1	1,594	8	1	2D	1
Hawaii Honolulu	1	-	1	1	1D	1
Kansas Sedgwick	1	10,740	5	-	2P	-
Maryland Baltimore County	3	-	-	7	1D	4

* Motions: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

Table 8
Summary of Supplementary Reports as a Result of Intercepts
Terminated in Calendar Years 1969 - 1979
(Report as of December 31, 1980)

Report Year and Jurisdiction	Total Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					
	Number of Reports	Cost \$	Number of Persons Arrested	Number of Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Number of Persons Convicted
1979 continued						
Massachusetts						
Middlesex	1	-	-	2	-	2
Plymouth	1	-	19	-	5D,2P	-
Suffolk	2	-	2	-	-	7
Worcester	2	-	14	14	-	14
Nevada						
Clark	1	-	4	1	-	2
New Jersey						
State Attorney General	26	-	44	1	4D	37
Bergen	5	-	-	8	-	8
Burlington	1	-	-	-	-	5
Camden	2	-	-	-	-	13
Hunterdon	1	733	26	26	-	6
Mercer	4	-	11	-	3P	18
Monmouth	1	-	4	-	1G,1D	17
Morris	2	-	3	-	-	-
Ocean	5	6,812	7	1	1G	3
New Mexico						
State Attorney General	1	4,252	-	-	-	-
Bernalillo	2	8,504	-	-	-	-
New York						
Bronx	2	-	1	5	2G	10
Nassau	9	7,344	3	10	3D,6P	27
New York County	15	-	28	3	34D,5P	27
Ontario	1	9,500	4	-	-	-
Orange	2	-	8	2	3G,3P	7
Oswego	1	-	1	-	-	3
Queens	5	-	-	-	4D	3
Westchester	4	-	1	7	5D	7
Rhode Island						
State Attorney General	2	-	-	-	17P	-
Virginia						
State Attorney General	8	282,970	2	2	1D	1

* Motions: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

Table 9
Arrests and Convictions as a Result of Intercept Orders Installed
Calendar Years 1969 - 1980

Year of Intercepts	Year Reported												Total All Years	
	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975*	1976*	1977*	1978*	1979*	1980*	Number	Percent
Number of Intercepts Authorized and Installed	270	582	792	841	812	694	676	635	601	560	533	524	-	-
1969														
Arrests	625	269	71	-	-	13	25	-	-	-	-	-	1,003	100.0
Convictions	34	69	191	87	16	11	18	7	34	-	-	-	467	46.6
1970														
Arrests	-	1,874	528	91	6	1	17	-	-	-	-	-	2,517	100.0
Convictions	-	151	440	398	62	46	86	46	81	1	-	-	1,311	52.1
1971														
Arrests	-	-	2,811	641	150	178	493	-	-	-	-	-	4,273	100.0
Convictions	-	-	322	708	441	252	461	52	35	1	1	-	2,273	53.2
1972														
Arrests	-	-	-	2,861	532	129	270	9	-	-	-	-	3,801	100.0
Convictions	-	-	-	402	1,091	433	291	68	62	1	-	-	2,348	61.8
1973														
Arrests	-	-	-	-	2,306	293	403	21	7	-	-	-	3,030	100.0
Convictions	-	-	-	-	409	607	597	146	46	21	1	4	1,831	60.4
1974														
Arrests	-	-	-	-	-	2,162	707	91	19	13	-	-	2,992	100.0
Convictions	-	-	-	-	-	179	676	413	161	30	9	2	1,470	49.1
1975														
Arrests	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,234	538	203	53	16	-	3,044	100.0
Convictions	-	-	-	-	-	-	336	615	412	155	22	2	1,542	50.7
1976														
Arrests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,189	647	145	26	3	3,010	100.0
Convictions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	358	772	246	75	19	1,470	48.8
1977														
Arrests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,191	492	50	10	2,743	100.0
Convictions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	372	502	198	48	1,120	40.8
1978														
Arrests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,825	274	106	2,205	100.0
Convictions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	337	303	146	786	35.6
1979														
Arrests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,717	585	2,302	100.0
Convictions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	368	424	792	34.4
1980														
Arrests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,871	1,871	100.0
Convictions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	259	259	13.8

* Includes arrests and convictions which occurred prior to the year in which they were reported.

APPENDIX

Statistical Tables

Table A-1. Reports by Federal Judges and Prosecuting Officials (Department of Justice) pursuant to Title 18, U.S.C. Section 2519, concerning court authorized intercepts of wire or oral communications during the period January 1, 1980 through December 31, 1980, by reporting jurisdiction.

Table A-2. Supplementary Reports by Federal Prosecuting Officials (Department of Justice) on additional arrests, trials, and convictions in 1980 as a result of intercepts terminated during calendar years 1973 and 1976 through 1979 - report as of December 31, 1980. There was no additional activity reported for the years 1969 through 1972, 1974 and 1975.

Table B-1. Reports by State Judges and Prosecuting Officials pursuant to Title 18, U.S.C. Section 2519, concerning court authorized intercepts of wire or oral communications during the period January 1, 1980 through December 31, 1980, by reporting jurisdiction.

Table B-2. Supplementary Reports by State Prosecuting Officials on additional arrests, trials, and convictions in 1980 as a result of intercepts terminated during calendar years 1973 through 1979 - report as of December 31, 1980. There was no additional activity reported for the years 1969 through 1972.

TABLE A-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ²	Location ³	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁵	Persons Convicted
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN																				
*1.	Peckham	Heymann	Racketeering	PW	S,B	05-24-79	30	-	30	30	195	389	5,859	42	61,345	2,181	-	-	-	-
*2.	Sweigert	Heymann	Racketeering, conspiracy	M/E	B	07-09-79	30	-	30	23	6	9	129	23	12,815	1,340	-	-	-	-
*3.	Sweigert	Heymann	Racketeering, conspiracy	PW	S,B	07-09-79	30	1	60	60	129	630	7,739	118	85,328	4,144	-	-	-	-
*These three wiretaps were terminated in 1979, but were not reported at that time because they were part of an ongoing investigation.																				
4.	Conti	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	10-17-80	20	1	40	40	20	30	813	102	6,425	-	-	-	-	-
5.	Peckham	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	11-25-80	20	-	20	19	40	40	760	37	8,100	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA EASTERN																				
*6.	Wilkins	Heymann	Racketeering, fraud, embezzlement, conspiracy	PW	B,O ¹	04-18-79	30	-	30	30	346	698	10,379	59	125,756	6,194	-	-	-	-
*This wiretap was terminated in 1979, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.																				
7.	MacBride	Heymann	Narcotics, racketeering, conspiracy	PW	B,O ²	06-07-80	30	4	150	127	7	1,894	944	46	284,789	11,697	13	-	1P	-
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL																				
8.	Gray	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	04-25-80	30	-	30	27	60	85	1,607	189	51,981	6,669	-	-	-	-
9.	Byrne	Heymann	Racketeering, bribery, usury	PW	S,Q ³	06-23-80	30	-	30	30	77	12	2,303	-	53,093	2,931	-	-	-	-
10.	Byrne	Heymann	Racketeering	PW	S,B	06-25-80	30	1	60	58	155	350	8,990	-	18,875	4,359	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN																				
*11.	Schwartz	Heymann	Racketeering, conspiracy	PW	S	04-18-79	30	3	120	106	8	103	900	204	176,829	33,698	-	-	-	-
*This wiretap was terminated in 1979, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.																				
12.	Turrentine	Heymann	Narcotics, racketeering	PW	S,B	08-20-80	30	-	30	27	115	40	3,097	77	16,075	869	-	-	-	-
COLORADO																				
13.	Winner	Heymann	Narcotics	PW M/E	A	08-22-80	30	1	60	41	14	71	561	107	45,730	636	6	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT																				
14.	Clarie	Heymann	Murder, narcotics, gambling, racketeering, extortion	PW M/E	A,B	05-13-80	30	1	60	60	138	28,956	8,273	2,031	243,962	6,357	-	-	-	-

¹The Attorney General personally approved each of the reported applications and, as authorized by provisions of Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 2516, specially designated an Assistant Attorney General to authorize its filing.

²TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported

³LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other

⁴NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED

⁵MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

¹Mobile home
²Pay phones
³Prison

TABLE A-1

**REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ²	Location ³	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁵	Persons Convicted
CONNECTICUT (CONT.)																				
15.	Clarie	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	10-01-80	20	-	20	20	33	37	653	274	16,080	82	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA																				
*16.	Richey	Heymann	Racketeering, fraud, conspiracy	PW	S,B	05-04-79	30	4	150	135	88	700	11,829	1,691	161,743	431	-	-	-	-
*This wiretap was terminated in 1979, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.																				
FLORIDA MIDDLE																				
17.	Black	Heymann	Narcotics, racketeering	PW	S,A	07-16-80	30	1	60	60	62	87	3,707	23	51,668	1,816	-	-	-	-
18.	Castagna	Heymann	Narcotics, racketeering	M/E O ¹	B	09-29-80 (10-13-80)	30	-	30	26	4	15	114	-	20,866	2,454	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA SOUTHERN																				
19.	Atkins	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	M/E	A	04-07-80	30	-	30	27	53	10	1,424	122	39,571	1,080	3	3	1D	-
20.	Davis	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	06-09-80	30	-	30	12	11	13	137	4	4,003	202	-	-	-	-
21.	Davis	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	06-16-80	28	-	28	26	83	59	2,150	223	9,655	230	12	-	-	-
22.	Paine	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	07-06-80	30	-	30	4	19	58	74	19	1,701	989	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS NORTHERN																				
*23.	Parsons	Heymann	Gambling, racketeering, fraud	PW M/E	S	04-07-79	30	2	90	90	20	20	1,800	20	36,086	1,035	-	-	-	-
*24.	Parsons	Heymann	Gambling, racketeering, fraud	PW	S	05-22-79	30	-	30	30	5	4	150	-	10,303	390	-	-	-	-
*25.	Parsons	Heymann	Racketeering	PW	B	07-27-79	30	-	30	21	245	280	5,153	159	72,452	9,327	-	-	-	-
*These three wiretaps were terminated in 1979, but were not reported at that time because they were part of an ongoing investigation.																				
26.	Parsons	Heymann	Transportation of stolen property, conspiracy	M/E O ¹	B	10-29-79	30	2	90	40	25	125	1,000	111	17,600	10,145	-	-	-	-
27.	Parsons	Heymann	Racketeering, embezzlement	PW	A	12-18-79	30	-	30	1	2	4	2	-	759	350	-	-	-	-
28.	Parsons	Heymann	Racketeering, bribery, extortion	PW M/E	O ²	09-26-80	30	2	68	44	100	393	4,388	40	16,347	1,094	-	-	-	-

¹The Attorney General personally approved each of the reported applications and, as authorized by provisions of Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 2516, specially designated an Assistant Attorney General to authorize its filing.²TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported³LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other⁴NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED⁵MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending¹closed circuit television
²Public building

TABLE A-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ²	Location ³	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁵	Persons Convicted
ILLINOIS SOUTHERN																				
*29.	Juergens	Heymann	Narcotics, racketeering	PW	S,B,O ¹	06-25-79	30	-	30	11	3	19	30	-	9,786	320	1	-	-	-
*This wiretap was terminated in 1979, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.																				
INDIANA SOUTHERN																				
30.	Steckler	Heymann	Racketeering, fraud, conspiracy	PW	B	02-22-80	20	-	20	14	42	128	582	22	7,675	531	-	-	-	-
LOUISIANA EASTERN																				
*31.	Schwartz	Heymann	Racketeering, bribery, fraud	PW	S,B	06-14-79	30	5	180	175	130	918	22,673	269	171,631	12,001	-	-	-	-
*32.	Schwartz	Heymann	Racketeering, bribery, fraud	M/E	B	07-27-79	30	3	120	116	13	65	1,518	40	120,674	4,509	-	-	-	-
*These two wiretaps were terminated in 1979, but were not reported at that time because they were part of an ongoing investigation.																				
33.	Schwartz	Heymann	Racketeering, bribery, obstruction of justice	PW M/E	S,B	12-08-79	30	1	60	56	132	1,682	7,418	89	446,844	18,969	-	-	-	-
34.	Schwartz	Heymann	Bribery, conspiracy	M/E	O ²	01-15-80	10	-	10	Installed but not used.					628	125	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND																				
35.	Miller	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	12-18-79	30	-	30	30	6	70	182	-	28,917	3,078	3	-	-	-
36.	Kaufman	Heymann	Narcotics, racketeering, extortion, conspiracy	PW	S	12-21-79	30	-	30	21	32	65	672	180	63,116	320	4	-	-	3
37.	Howard	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	04-09-80	20	-	20	20	76	37	1,518	197	42,932	1,590	11	-	1P	2
38.	Jones	Ferguson	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	07-18-80	30	-	30	22	126	122	2,778	521	64,023	1,693	11	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS																				
39.	Keeton	Heymann	Narcotics	M/E	A	08-15-80	20	-	20	13	106	24	1,379	13	9,945	550	-	-	-	-
40.	McNaught	Heymann	Extortion	PW	B	09-02-80	30	-	30	22	147	400	3,228	120	77,198	600	2	-	-	-
MICHIGAN EASTERN																				
41.	Feikens	Heymann	Gambling, conspiracy	PW M/E	S	03-24-80	30	-	30	29	21	63	623	73	24,836	5,022	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA																				
42.	Devitt	Heymann	Racketeering	PW	S,A	06-26-80	30	-	30	30	592	1,356	17,770	17,289	89,556	17,775	-	-	-	-

¹ The Attorney General personally approved each of the reported applications and, as authorized by provisions of Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 2516, specially designated an Assistant Attorney General to authorize its filing.

² TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported

³ LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other

⁴ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED

⁵ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

¹ Mobile home
² Fishing camp

TABLE A-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ²	Location ³	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁵	Persons Convicted
MISSOURI WESTERN																				
*43.	Collinson	Heymann	Racketeering, bribery, counterfeiting	M/E	S	04-05-79	30	-	30	1	1	7	1	1	3,046	1,050	-	-	-	-
*44.	Clark	Heymann	Racketeering, bribery, counterfeiting	PW M/E	S, B	07-29-79	30	2	90	90	203	725	18,250	100	130,980	22,102	-	-	-	-
*These two wiretaps were terminated in 1979, but were not reported at that time because they were part of an ongoing investigation.																				
NEVADA																				
45.	Reed	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW M/E O ¹	A, B, O ²	06-12-80	30	-	30	26	121	127	3,140	20	5,997	1,410	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY																				
46.	Meanor	Heymann	Transportation of stolen property	PW M/E	A, O ²	02-25-80	30	-	30	30	4	40	118	-	8,519	953	-	-	-	-
47.	Gerry	Heymann	Racketeering	PW M/E O ¹	B	09-19-80	30	-	30	1	5	2	5	1	2,369	1,068	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO																				
*48.	Mechem	Heymann	Racketeering, usury	PW	S	10-15-79	30	-	30	24	29	72	696	37	10,074	100	-	-	-	-
*This wiretap was terminated in 1979, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.																				
49.	Burciaga	Ferguson	Racketeering, usury	M/E	B	07-14-80	30	-	30	30	36	23	1,080	128	24,875	327	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK SOUTHERN																				
50.	Cannella	Ferguson	Usury	M/E O ¹	O ³	03-20-80	30	-	30	22	19	10	425	10	16,075	2,817	-	-	-	-
51.	Leval	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	05-28-80	20	-	20	16	107	115	1,706	126	11,437	1,775	6	1	1D	6
52.	Carter	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	09-19-80	20	2	70	41	10	25	420	150	59,917	20,000	10	1	3P	-
53.	Carter	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	09-19-80	20	2	70	41	10	25	420	150	(Related to No. 52)					
54.	Carter	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	O ⁴	10-16-80	20	-	20	15	-	-	-	-	200	200	-	-	-	-
55.	Owen	Heymann	Racketeering, conspiracy to use explosives	PW M/E	M, O ²	11-20-80	20	2	70	25	3	24	82	29	35,034	1,000	7	-	-	-

¹The Attorney General personally approved each of the reported applications and, as authorized by provisions of Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 2516, specially designated an Assistant Attorney General to authorize its filing.

²TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported

³LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other

⁴NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED

⁵MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

¹Closed circuit television

²Automobile

³Social club

⁴Pay phone

TABLE A-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ²	Location ³	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁵	Persons Convicted
NEW YORK EASTERN																				
56.	Nickerson	Ferguson	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	10-12-79	30	2	90	90	14	108	1,283	109	202,646	1,280	9	-	-	-
57.	Mishler	Heymann	Narcotics, gambling, hijacking, extortion	PW M/E	B	02-28-80	30	-	30	29	320	48	9,292	250	63,091	2,248	6	-	-	-
58.	Weinstein	Heymann	Narcotics	PW	S	08-07-80	20	1	40	29	15	75	435	50	90,520	43,000	9	1	57D	9
NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN																				
59.	Jones	Harmon	Unlawful use of explosives	PW	O ¹	11-08-80	30	-	30	30	57	994	1,700	64	44,810	818	-	-	-	-
OHIO NORTHERN																				
*60.	Lambros	Heymann	Racketeering, conspiracy	M/E	O ²	06-08-79	30	-	30	NI										
*This wiretap was terminated in 1979, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.																				
61.	Lambros	Civiletti	Bank robbery	PW M/E	B	02-25-80	2	-	2	2	2	5	3	1	876	-	2	1	-	2
62.	Lambros	Heymann	Kidnapping	M/E	O ³	02-28-80	30	-	30	Installed but not used.					109	-				
63.	Krupansky	Heymann	Kidnapping	M/E	O ³	04-09-80	30	1	60	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
64.	Manos	Heymann	Mailing threatening communications	M/E	O ⁴	06-20-80	30	-	30	NI										
OKLAHOMA WESTERN																				
65.	West	Ferguson	Racketeering, fraud	PW	S, B	07-08-80	30	-	30	Application denied.										
66.	Daugherty	Ferguson	Racketeering, fraud	PW	S, B	07-09-80	30	-	30	18	76	270	1,371	13	34,167	584	2	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN																				
67.	Giles	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	03-13-80	20	-	20	20	23	21	453	31	26,761	500	-	-	-	-
68.	Giles	Heymann	Narcotics, racketeering, usury	PW M/E	B	06-06-80 (06-16-80)	30	-	30	23	72	2,433	1,664	80	59,627	815	-	-	-	-
69.	Broderick	Heymann	Usury	PW	B	06-25-80	30	-	30	29	123	41	3,559	2,542	56,400	1,160	-	-	-	-
70.	Pollak	Heymann	Usury	PW	B	08-07-80	30	-	30	30	94	41	2,808	1,575	57,606	540	2	-	1P	-
71.	Hannum	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	11-19-80	30	-	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

¹ The Attorney General personally approved each of the reported applications and, as authorized by provisions of Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 2516, specially designated an Assistant Attorney General to authorize its filing.

² TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported

³ LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other

⁴ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED

⁵ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

¹ Mobile home

² Automobile

³ Briefcase

⁴ Ransom package

TABLE A-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS											
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Attorney General ¹	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ²	Location ³	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ⁴	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF				
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁵	Persons Convicted	
PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN (CONT.)																					
72.	Hannum	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	11-26-80	30	-	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE																					
73.	Nealon	Heymann	Racketeering, jury tampering	PW M/E	B	12-21-79	30	1	60	54	206	991	11,106	164	142,002	4,488	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND																					
74.	Pettine	Ferguson	Racketeering, conspiracy	M/E	B	07-11-80	30	-	30	25	40	30	1,000	30	32,686	875	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA																					
75.	Hemphill	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	04-16-80	30	-	30	17	58	28	990	125	21,271	11,777	12	1	-	-	11
TEXAS WESTERN																					
76.	Sessions	Heymann	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A,B	03-04-80	20	-	20	20	127	253	2,542	188	65,212	15,395	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN EASTERN																					
*77.	Warren	Heymann	Gambling, racketeering	PW M/E	B	10-20-79	30	1	60	58	50	35	2,900	90	68,073	2,897	-	-	-	-	-
*This wiretap was terminated in 1979, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.																					
78.	Warren	Heymann	Racketeering	PW M/E	B	12-28-79	30	-	30	30	60	40	1,800	100	40,548	1,889	-	-	-	-	-
79.	Warren	Heymann	Racketeering, conspiracy	PW M/E	B	02-12-80	30	-	30	30	65	85	1,950	200	20,714	1,209	-	-	-	-	-
80.	Warren	Heymann	Gambling, racketeering	PW	S,A	02-12-80	30	-	30	30	25	12	750	400	16,913	572	-	-	-	-	-
81.	Warren	Heymann	Racketeering	M/E	B	04-09-80	30	1	51	41	43	42	1,770	70	53,679	746	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN WESTERN																					
82.	Crabb	Ferguson	Gambling, usury	PW	M	02-29-80	30	-	30	21	40	55	845	10	7,544	1,420	1	-	-	-	1

¹The Attorney General personally approved each of the reported applications and, as authorized by provisions of Title 18, U.S.C., Sec. 2516, specially designated an Assistant Attorney General to authorize its filing.

²TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported

³LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other

⁴NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED

⁵MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE A-2

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1973
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 1973 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
Florida, Southern	27	12-06-73	-	-	-		3	Gambling, racketeering, conspiracy

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE A-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1976
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 1976 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
Arizona	4	03-18-76	-	2	1	4D	2	Transportation of stolen property
Florida, Southern	28	09-07-76	-	1	2	-	14	Racketeering, obstruction of justice, conspiracy

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE A-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1977
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 1977 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
New York, Southern	51	05-05-77	-	1	1	-	1	Racketeering, extortion, fraud

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE A-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1978
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 1978 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
Arizona	3	10-06-78	-	2	-	-	-	-
Illinois, Northern	13	12-20-77	-	-	5	-	5	Racketeering, extortionate credit transactions
New York, Eastern	51	08-25-77	-	-	1	-	9	Racketeering, labor laws, perjury, conspiracy
New York, Southern	53	12-17-77	-	-	1	-	5	Racketeering
Pennsylvania, Eastern	71	04-25-78	-	1	1	-	1	Civil contempt
Pennsylvania, Eastern	75	10-06-78	-	3	3	-	2	Narcotics, mail fraud
Virginia, Eastern	78	01-25-78	-	-	-	-	3	Racketeering, tax evasion
Virginia, Eastern	80	12-14-78	-	-	-	-	5	Racketeering, mail fraud

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE A-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1979
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 1979 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
California, Central	2	10-23-79	-	34	1	-	1	Racketeering
California, Southern	5	08-31-79	-	-	-	-	5	Narcotics, conspiracy
Connecticut	6	08-06-79	-	7	-	10D, 10P	7	Gambling
Florida, Middle	9	03-16-79	-	15	-	4P	1	Racketeering
Florida, Southern	12	06-22-79	-	1	-	-	6	Wire fraud, conspiracy
Kansas	20	09-07-79	-	-	-	-	3	Theft, obstruction of justice, conspiracy
Maryland	22	10-31-79	-	3	-	-	3	Forgery, conspiracy
Missouri, Eastern	33	04-25-79	-	1	1	8D	1	Mail fraud
Missouri, Western	38	09-24-78	-	3	1	1D	3	Racketeering, bribery
Missouri, Western	39	11-10-78	-	3	1	1D	3	Racketeering, bribery
Missouri, Western	40	11-22-78	-	3	1	1D	3	Racketeering, bribery
Missouri, Western	45	09-10-79	-	-	-	-	3	Theft, obstruction of justice, conspiracy
New Jersey	51	04-03-79	-	-	-	1D	-	-
New York, Northern	53	08-01-79	-	-	-	-	1	Labor laws
North Carolina, Middle	66	01-31-79	-	17	1	17D	17	Gambling
Oklahoma, Northern	75	12-29-78	-	-	1	12G, 12D	7	Gambling, conspiracy

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE A-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1979
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

U.S. District Court	A.O. Report Number in 1979 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
Oklahoma, Western	79	07-19-79	-	-	1	14D	11	Narcotics, conspiracy
Texas, Northern	83	04-03-79	-	3	2	-	10	Narcotics
Texas, Southern	85	07-31-79	-	-	-	-	3	Conspiracy

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE ARIZONA

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
COCHISE COUNTY																				
1.	Borowiec	Jenney	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	11-07-79	30	2	90	68	23	8	1,588	30	(Related to No. 2)					
2.	Borowiec	Jenney	Narcotics	PW	S	12-28-79	30	-	30	23	17	8	394	70	47,579	3,205	12	-	-	3
MARICOPA COUNTY																				
1.	Coulter	Hyder	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S,B	01-07-80	30	1	50	47	63	180	2,950	109	34,623	546	-	-	-	-
2.	McDonald	Hyder	Bookmaking, bribery, theft, fraud, loansharking, usury, obstructing justice	PW	S,B	01-19-80	30	1	60	60	54	107	3,213	296	33,148	673	17	-	-	2
3.	Coulter	Hyder	Narcotics	PW	S	02-07-80	30	-	30	30	33	35	1,002	369	45,000	5,000	-	-	-	-
4.	McDonald	Hyder	Bookmaking, bribery, theft, usury, extortion	PW	S,B	03-04-80	13	-	13	13	43	30	554	21	2,556	200	(Related to No. 2)			
5.	French	Hyder	Kidnapping, narcotics, theft	PW	S	04-25-80	30	-	30	30	26	40	775	309	25,820	320	-	-	-	-
6.	McDonald	Hyder	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	05-09-80	30	-	30	18	66	602	1,284	509	14,974	380	-	-	-	-
7.	McDonald	Hyder	Narcotics, theft, fraud, usury, conspiracy	PW	S,B	10-08-80	30	-	30	16	130	88	2,076	126	33,148	673	-	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE COLORADO

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL																				
1.	Lilly	MacFarlane	Narcotics	PW	B	02-29-80	30	-	30	No prosecutor's report.										
ARAPAHOE COUNTY																				
1.	Kelley	Gallagher	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	11-21-80	19	-	19	19	58	100	1,108	235	21,000	2,000	-	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE CONNECTICUT

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF ANSONIA/MILFORD (New Haven County)																				
1.	Armentano Sponzo Parskey	Kelly	Gambling	PW	S	04-09-80	10	-	10	4	74	24	295	262	2,477	391	8	-	-	6
2.	Armentano Sponzo Parskey	Kelly	Narcotics	PW	S	11-20-80	10	1	20	19	25	46	482	112	14,290	285	2	-	-	-
JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF DANBURY (Fairfield County)																				
1.	Sponzo Bieluch Armentano	Flanagan	Gambling	PW	M	12-21-79	10	-	10	7	39	28	276	250	1,490	320	5	-	-	4
2.	Armentano Bieluch Shea	Flanagan	Murder, narcotics	PW	S	08-04-80	10	-	10	8	5	13	36	5	3,535	342	-	-	-	-
3.	Sponzo Armentano Bieluch	Flanagan	Narcotics	PW	S	08-07-80	10	-	10	9	7	13	67	12	4,284	439	-	-	-	-
4.	Sponzo Shea Bieluch	Flanagan	Narcotics	PW	S	08-28-80	10	-	10	9	8	23	75	1	4,105	260	-	-	-	-
JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF FAIRFIELD (Fairfield County)																				
1.	Sponzo Armentano Bieluch	Browne	Narcotics	PW	S	01-03-80	10	-	10	No prosecutor's report.										
2.	Sponzo Armentano Bieluch	Browne	Narcotics	PW	S	01-17-80	10	-	10											
3.	Sponzo Armentano Bieluch	Browne	Robbery, burglary, larceny	PW	B	06-02-80	10	-	10											
4.	Sponzo Armentano Bieluch	Browne	Robbery, burglary, larceny	PW	S	06-02-80	10	1	20											

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE CONNECTICUT

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF HARTFORD (Hartford County)																				
1.	Bieluch Daly Shea	Bailey	Gambling	PW	B	07-29-80	10	-	10	5	51	18	256	125	2,311	112	-	-	-	-
2.	Sponzo Shea Bieluch	Bailey	Gambling	PW	S	08-13-80	10	-	10	4	47	22	187	176	2,072	-	-	-	-	-
3.	Sponzo Shea Bieluch	Bailey	Gambling	PW	S	08-13-80	10	-	10	5	29	12	144	129	2,259	400	-	-	-	-
4.	Sponzo Shea Bieluch	Bailey	Gambling	PW	S	08-28-80	10	-	10	5	18	4	88	75	2,067	322	-	-	-	-
5.	Sponzo Shea Bieluch	Bailey	Gambling	PW	S	09-17-80	10	-	10	4	10	8	40	22	3,195	1,067	-	-	-	-
6.	Sponzo Bieluch Daly	Bailey	Gambling	PW	S,A	10-01-80	10	-	10	6	72	22	431	417	2,933	166	-	-	-	-
JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF LITCHFIELD (Litchfield County)																				
1.	Sponzo Armentano Parskey	Santore	Narcotics	PW	A	06-26-80	10	-	10	8	11	52	87	12	3,075	166	-	-	-	-
JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF NEW HAVEN (New Haven County)																				
1.	Bieluch Sponzo Armentano	Markle	Gambling	PW	S	04-02-80	10	-	10	5	21	12	106	66	2,445	188	2	-	-	2
JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF WATERBURY (New Haven County)																				
1.	Sponzo Armentano Bieluch	McDonald	Narcotics	PW	S	03-19-80	10	1	20	17	11	29	185	54	8,961	149	1	-	-	-
2.	Sponzo Armentano Bieluch	McDonald	Narcotics, robbery	PW	A	04-01-80	10	2	30	16	17	9	279	51	38,005	189	3	-	1P	-

¹TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
²LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE CONNECTICUT

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS											
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF				
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted	
JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF WATERBURY (New Haven County) (CONT.)																					
3.	Parskey Sponzo Armentano	McDonald	Narcotics, robbery	PW	S	04-10-80	10	1	20	8	37	13	296	50	6,300	300	11	-	6P		
4.	Sponzo Armentano Parskey	McDonald	Narcotics	PW	S	04-15-80	10	1	20	18	13	40	241	57	9,276	465	2	-	4P	-	

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted, D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519STATE DELAWARE

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL																				
1.	Walsh	Gebelein	Gambling	PW	A	02-11-80	15	-	15	14	46	100	640	377	7,863	1,000	4	-	4P	-
2.	Walsh	Gebelein	Gambling	PW	A	03-01-80	15	-	15	15	64	15	959	826	8,000	1,000	5	-	5P	-
3.	Walsh	Gebelein	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	03-20-80	15	-	15	6	38	17	225	129	4,460	500	4	-	4P	-
4.	Walsh	Gebelein	Gambling	PW	S,A	04-29-80	10	-	10	5	31	25	156	124	3,500	800	5	-	5P	-
5.	Walsh	Gebelein	Murder, kidnapping, assault	PW	S	08-11-80	15	-	15	15	19	35	283	14	11,174	794	1	1	1P	1
6.	Walsh	Gebelein	Murder, kidnapping, assault	PW	S	08-21-80	15	-	15	13	21	24	277	24	11,174	794	(Related to No. 5)			
7.	Walsh	Gebelein	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	09-26-80	15	-	15	12	6	20	75	41	3,694	180	23	-	23P	-
8.	Walsh	Gebelein	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	09-26-80	15	-	15	5	76	30	380	351	2,098	180	23	-	23P	-
9.	Walsh	Gebelein	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	11-24-80	20	-	20	14	34	14	470	16	6,970	250	2	-	-	-
10.	Walsh	Gebelein	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	12-02-80	20	-	20	6	9	4	53	1	2,980	100	-	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA (NON-FEDERAL)

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS											
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF				
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extension	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted	
1.	Green	Ruff	Narcotics	PW	A	06-09-80	30	1	60	59	17	97	1,027	550	50,000	10,000	5	-	-	5	
2.	Moultrie	Ruff	Narcotics	M/E	O*	06-24-80	1	-	1	Installed but not used.					221	-					

¹TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
²LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Automobile

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE FLORIDA

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL																				
1.	Martin	Smith	Narcotics	PW	S	10-17-80	30	-	30	29	21	31	608	96	2,351	316	13	-	13P	-
2.	Martin	Smith	Narcotics	PW	B	10-17-80	30	-	30	29	13	40	366	67	(Related to No.1)					
SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (Pasco and Pinellas Counties)																				
1.	Federico	Russell	Narcotics	PW	S	01-11-80	30	-	30	29	22	56	624	44	12,670	1,270	-	-	-	-
2.	McGarry	Russell	Bookmaking	PW	S	01-16-80	30	-	30	8	12	5	95	27	3,686	550	2	-	1D	2
3.	Andrews	Russell	Narcotics	PW	A	03-04-80	30	1	60	60	16	7	955	55	13,556	-	-	-	-	-
4.	Beach	Russell	Narcotics	PW	S	03-05-80 (03-07-80)	30	2	90	71	77	225	5,500	1,000	81,000	1,000	17	2	2D	2
5.	Federico	Russell	Narcotics	PW	B	04-18-80	30	-	30	15	74	3	1,111	14	3,840	-	-	-	-	-
6.	McGarry	Russell	Narcotics	PW	S	06-24-80	30	-	30	30	52	21	1,573	461	8,910	3,510	12	-	-	4
7.	McGarry	Russell	Narcotics	PW	S	07-02-80	22	-	22	22	19	5	416	40	4,440	480	4	-	-	2
8.	Beach	Russell	Narcotics	PW	A,O*	09-09-80	30	1	60	51	12	7	631	51	10,432	-	-	-	-	-
9.	Andrews	Russell	Bookmaking	PW	A	09-19-80	20	-	20	21	24	20	495	275	7,232	-	3	-	-	-
10.	Andrews	Russell	Bookmaking	PW	A	10-13-80	30	-	30	11	16	11	177	116	2,496	-	2	-	-	-
SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (Volusia County)																				
A wiretap for the Seventh Judicial Circuit which was terminated during calendar year 1979 is being reported below. Information concerning this wiretap is contained in summary table 8 in the text portion of this report.																				
1.	Watson	Boyles	Narcotics	PW	S	08-06-79	30	2	90	82	25	55	2,050	300	NR	NR	37	-	36P	1
EIGHTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (Alachua County)																				
1.	Fagan	Whitworth	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	03-17-80	30	-	30	4	40	22	266	9	1,036	140	3	-	-	1
2.	Fagan	Whitworth	Narcotics	PW	S	08-01-80	30	-	30	18	40	65	723	268	10,640	2,000	1	-	1P	-
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (Orange County)																				
1.	Muszynski	Eagan	Bookmaking	PW	A	12-12-79	26	-	26	19	17	83	325	26	8,077	249	-	-	-	-
2.	Muszynski	Eagan	Bookmaking	PW	A	01-02-80	4	-	4	4	18	12	71	41	904	64	1	-	-	-
3.	Paul	Eagan	Narcotics, conspiracy	M/E	O**	05-17-80	10	-	10	3	33	9	98	27	7,383	600	4	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Boat
**Motel room

TABLE 1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE FLORIDA

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS											
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF				
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted	
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (Orange County) (CONT.)																					
4.	Muszynski	Eagan	Bookmaking	PW	A	12-03-80	19	-	19	19	35	43	668	313	6,452	1,020	2	-	-	-	
5.	Muszynski	Eagan	Bookmaking	PW	A	12-12-80	10	-	10	10	17	10	169	90	3,220	340	1	-	-	-	
ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (Dade County)																					
Wiretaps for the Eleventh Judicial Circuit which were terminated during calendar year 1979 are being reported below. Information concerning these wiretaps is contained in summary table 8 in the text portion of this report. (Numbers 1 - 7 are contained in the 1979 report.)																					
8.	Levy	Reno	Narcotics	PW	M	01-22-79	30	-	30	21	15	15	322	15	19,049	2,014	-	-	-	-	
9.	Levy	Reno	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	01-26-79	30	-	30	18	24	23	434	37	16,327	1,726	3	-	1D	2	
10.	Levy	Reno	Theft, forgery	PW	S,A,B	03-13-79	30	2	90	10	25	14	250	31	8,895	1,295	1	1	1D	1	
11.	Levy	Reno	Theft, fraud	PW	S	12-10-79	30	-	30	10	51	15	511	59	NR	NR	9	-	2D	8	
FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (Palm Beach County)																					
1.	Kapner	Bludworth	Narcotics	PW	S	12-28-79	30	-	30	9	20	30	180	20	2,890	730	2	-	-	-	
2.	Johnson	Bludworth	Narcotics	PW	M	02-21-80	30	-	30	NI											
3.	Mounts	Bludworth	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	03-04-80	30	-	30	10	25	37	247	66	16,000	1,000	6	2	-	6	
4.	Johnson	Bludworth	Narcotics	PW	A	06-17-80	30	1	40	NI											
5.	Harper	Bludworth	Narcotics	PW	B	06-19-80	29	1	49	49	57	NR	2,782	2,173	37,442	7,641	-	-	-	-	
6.	Johnson	Bludworth	Narcotics	M/E	A	06-26-80	4	-	4	NI											
7.	Harper	Bludworth	Narcotics, conspiracy	M/E	B	07-14-80	24	-	24	24	13	NR	311	25	37,442	7,641	-	-	-	-	
8.	Johnson	Bludworth	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	08-03-80	30	2	90	68	34	176	2,320	500	NR	NR	13	-	-	-	
9.	Johnson	Bludworth	Narcotics, bribery	PW	B	09-11-80	1	-	1	1	6	2	6	4	1,135	845	2	-	-	1	
10.	Johnson	Bludworth	Narcotics	PW	S	11-17-80	1	-	1	NI											
11.	Mounts	Bludworth	Narcotics	PW	S	11-17-80	3	-	3	3	27	6	80	25	2,020	820	4	-	-	-	
SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (Broward County)																					
1.	Seay	Satz	Narcotics	PW	S	12-14-79	30	1	60	36	26	61	928	158	59,529	1,814	6	-	1G	-	
2.	Franza	Satz	Bookmaking	PW	A	01-17-80	30	-	30	3	-	-	-	-	271	91	-	-	-	-	

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE FLORIDA

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (Broward County) (CONT.)																				
3.	Futch	Satz	Bookmaking	PW	S	04-17-80	30	-	30	11	1	5	10	7	1,226	876	2	-	1P	-
4.	Futch	Satz	Bookmaking	PW	A	05-08-80	30	-	30	10	42	21	423	387	2,834	1,254	3	-	2P	-
5.	Tyson	Satz	Burglary	PW	A	09-06-80	30	1	60	60	33	66	1,956	197	53,313	200	3	-	-	-
6.	Coker	Satz	Bookmaking	PW	S	09-19-80	30	-	30	7	91	35	639	487	2,101	601	3	-	3P	-
7.	Futch	Satz	Bookmaking	PW	A	09-29-80	30	-	30	14	31	19	438	403	1,319	329	-	-	-	-
8.	Futch	Satz	Narcotics	PW	S	10-28-80	30	-	30	19	25	68	472	108	31,400	1,000	-	-	-	-
9.	Korda	Satz	Bookmaking	PW	S	10-31-80	30	-	30	3	2	6	6	-	566	116	-	-	-	-
10.	Korda	Satz	Bookmaking	PW	S	10-31-80	30	-	30	3	2	6	6	-	506	116	-	-	-	-
11.	Grossman	Satz	Bookmaking	PW	S	11-12-80	30	-	30	3	65	10	194	192	1,900	900	2	-	1P	-
12.	Coker	Satz	Gambling	PW	S	11-24-80	30	-	30	5	14	12	69	6	1,610	110	1	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE GEORGIA

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS											
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF				
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted	
COBB COUNTY																					
Wiretaps for Cobb County which were terminated during calendar year 1979 are being reported below. Information concerning these wiretaps is contained in summary table 8 in the text portion of this report.																					
1.	Hames	Charron	Bribery	PW	B	01-12-79	18	-	18	18	NR	6	NR	NR	4,900	400	4	-	-	-	
2.	Hames	Charron	Narcotics	PW	B	05-29-79	20	1	40	40	89	13	3,549	429	26,400	2,400	6	-	6D	-	
FULTON COUNTY																					
1.	Alverson	Slaton	Murder, gambling, prostitution	PW	S,B	02-25-80	20	1	40	38	39	50	1,500	1,500	26,170	1,375	22	1	1B	20	
2.	McKenzie	Slaton	Narcotics	PW	S	03-21-80	20	1	40	36	47	10	1,704	1,563	26,200	1,200	9	1	8G,8D	5	
GWINNETT COUNTY																					
1.	Merritt	Huff	Gambling	PW	S	12-28-79	20	-	20	2	108	272	215	90	750	270	5	-	-	-	
A wiretap for Gwinnett County was terminated during calendar year 1979 is being reported below. Information concerning this wiretap is contained in summary table 8 in the text portion of this report.																					
1.	Merritt	Huff	Gambling	PW	S	12-13-79	20	-	20	6	32	350	196	75	1,594	250	8	1	2D	1	
WHITFIELD COUNTY																					
1.	Temples	Williams	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	01-11-80	20	-	20	14	11	306	153	-	3,310	-	-	-	-	-	

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE HAWAII

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
HONOLULU COUNTY										No prosecutor's report.										
1.	Huddy	Nakagawa	Narcotics	PW	A	05-19-80	30	1	45											
2.	Huddy	Nakagawa	Narcotics	PW	S	06-25-80	30	2	60											
3.	Chun	Nakagawa	Narcotics	PW	S	08-07-80	30	-	30											

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE KANSAS

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS											
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF				
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted	
SHAWNEE COUNTY																					
1.	Vickers	Olander	Theft, conspiracy	PW	S	01-04-80	30	-	30	25	7	NR	186	NR	NR	NR	2	-	-	1	
2.	Vickers	Olander	Theft, conspiracy	PW	S	01-10-80	30	-	30	18	6	NR	102	NR	NR	NR	(Related to No. 1)				

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

**REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519**

STATE MARYLAND

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS													
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF						
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted			
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY																							
1.	Turk	Duckett	Narcotics	PW	A	03-10-80	30	1	60														
2.	Thieme	Duckett	Narcotics	PW	S	07-21-80	29	1	59	No prosecutor's report.													
3.	Goudy	Duckett	Narcotics	PW	S	07-23-80	30	-	30														
BALTIMORE CITY																							
1.	Allen	Swisher	Gambling (lottery)	PW	B	04-08-80	30	-	30	14	46	199	641	8	NR	NR	-	-	-	-			
2.	Allen	Swisher	Gambling (lottery)	PW	B	04-08-80	30	-	30	14	37	129	519	2	NR	NR	-	-	-	-			
3.	Allen	Swisher	Gambling (lottery)	PW	B	04-08-80	30	-	30	14	25	151	360	-	NR	NR	-	-	-	-			
4.	Allen	Swisher	Gambling (lottery)	PW	M	10-14-80	30	-	30	6	91	25	545	259	1,682	129	(Related to No. 6)						
5.	Allen	Swisher	Gambling (lottery)	PW	M	10-14-80	30	-	30	6	72	25	434	243	1,682	129	(Related to No. 6)						
6.	Allen	Swisher	Gambling (lottery)	PW	M	11-13-80	30	-	30	11	69	25	755	426	1,683	130	19	-	-	-			
7.	Allen	Swisher	Gambling (lottery)	PW	M	11-13-80	30	-	30	11	76	25	840	473	1,682	129	(Related to No. 6)						
BALTIMORE COUNTY																							
1.	Hormes	O' Connor	Narcotics, robbery	PW	S	06-23-80	29	1	44	30	112	105	3,361	611	6,262	921	34	-	-	-			
2.	Hormes	O' Connor	Narcotics	PW	S	07-11-80	29	-	29	26	74	79	1,922	312	2,260	325	(Related to No. 1)						
3.	Sfekas	O' Connor	Gambling (lottery), conspiracy	PW	A	07-25-80	28	1	56	56	57	31	3,170	745	31,500	500	6	-	-	-			
4.	Sfekas	O' Connor	Gambling (lottery), conspiracy	PW	A	08-05-80	17	1	45	41	55	31	63	63	(Related to No. 3)								
5.	Land	O' Connor	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	08-13-80 (09-05-80)	28	1	56	56	67	30	3,772	75	29,200	760	4	-	-	-			
6.	Hormes	O' Connor	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	09-05-80	28	1	56	49	54	173	2,632	349	9,438	600	5	-	-	-			
7.	Sfekas	O' Connor	Gambling (lottery), conspiracy	PW	A	09-11-80	28	-	28	18	64	30	1,143	386	7,200	80	3	-	-	-			
8.	Hormes	O' Connor	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	10-17-80	28	-	28	6	54	39	323	84	1,650	-	(Related to No. 6)						
HOWARD COUNTY																							
1.	Fischer	Hymes	Narcotics	PW	S	02-01-80	30	-	30	23	25	15	329	28	9,700	1,200	-	-	-	-			

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE MARYLAND

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY																				
1.	Ross	Marshall	Gambling	PW	A	12-18-79	30	-	30	12	117	2	1,408	482	2,429	125	4	-	-	-
2.	Femia	Marshall	Narcotics	PW	A	09-25-80	25	-	25	25	87	75	2,180	700	39,108	906	-	-	-	-
3.	Femia	Marshall	Narcotics	PW	S	10-15-80	25	-	25	10	35	35	346	150	12,734	-	2	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NJ in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE MASSACHUSETTS

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
ESSEX COUNTY																				
1.	Barton	Burke	Narcotics	PW	S	04-22-80	15	-	15	NI										
2.	Keating	Burke	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	05-06-80	15	-	15	14	58	20	815	707	11,000	3,000	7	-	3P	1
3.	Keating	Burke	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	05-13-80	15	-	15	6	13	6	78	58	4,000	1,000	(Related to No. 2)			
4.	Keating	Burke	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	05-21-80	15	-	15	15	147	30	2,200	1,274	11,000	3,000	14	-	5P	4
5.	Keating	Burke	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	05-30-80	15	-	15	11	112	22	1,231	761	11,000	3,000	15	-	10P	-
6.	Keating	Burke	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	07-09-80	15	-	15	15	52	15	780	260	11,000	3,000	11	-	10P	-
MIDDLESEX COUNTY																				
1.	Donohue	Droney	Gambling, loansharking	PW	A	10-16-80	30	1	37	22	38	70	836	175	12,000	2,000	14	-	-	-
2.	Donohue	Droney	Gambling	PW	A	11-03-80	30	1	38	23	110	180	2,528	1,376	24,000	4,000	14	-	-	-
NORFOLK COUNTY																				
1.	Chmielinski	Delahunt	Narcotics	PW	S	06-10-80	15	1	30	22	14	45	297	72	14,000	3,000	-	-	-	-
2.	Donahue	Delahunt	Narcotics	PW	S	07-15-80	15	-	15	13	33	35	435	83	9,000	1,000	15	-	-	8
3.	Donahue	Delahunt	Murder	PW	S	07-24-80	1	-	1	1	38	16	38	-	2,500	500	-	-	-	-
4.	Mulkern	Delahunt	Narcotics	PW	S	07-30-80	15	-	15	Application denied.										
5.	Fine	Delahunt	Murder	M/E	O*	08-04-80	1	-	1	Installed but not used.										
PLYMOUTH COUNTY																				
1.	Donahue	O'Malley	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	12-21-79	15	1	30	30	16	145	474	44	1,200	1,200	2	-	1P	-
SUFFOLK COUNTY																				
1.	Nolan	Flanagan	Narcotics	PW	A	12-21-79	15	1	30	23	32	47	742	98	NR	NR	16	-	-	-
2.	McGuire	Flanagan	Robbery	PW	A	03-19-80	15	1	30	18	89	49	1,610	45	NR	NR	2	-	-	2
3.	Barton	Flanagan	Gambling, loansharking	M/E	B	07-23-80	15	1	30	18	9	16	155	2	NR	NR	-	-	-	-
4.	Barton	Flanagan	Gambling, loansharking	PW	O**	09-15-80	15	-	15	Installed but not used.										

¹TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported
²LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Automobile
**Pay phone

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE MASSACHUSETTS

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS											
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF				
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted	
WORCESTER COUNTY																					
1.	Meagher	Conte	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	02-25-80	30	2	60	32	58	89	1,845	138	35,182	2,670	10	4	1D	4	
2.	Mulkern	Conte	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	04-04-80	30	1	45	20	21	70	411	53	9,184	1,324	-	-	-	-	

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-wiretap; O = Other; NR = Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE MINNESOTA

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
ST. LOUIS COUNTY																				
1.	Litman	Mitchell	Gambling	PW	S,A,B	12-04-80	4	-	4	4	187	57	746	432	3,395	1,115	-	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE NEBRASKA

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
DOUGLAS COUNTY																				
1.	Clark	Knowles	Narcotics	PW	S	12-21-79	30	-	30	25	7	9	172	64	16,949	149	4	-	4D	-
2.	Murphy	Knowles	Gambling	PW	A	12-26-79	30	-	30	10	36	40	360	342	1,750	150	8	-	1P	-
3.	Murphy	Knowles	Narcotics	PW	S	02-07-80	30	1	60	40	12	20	463	274	10,002	202	1	1	-	1
4.	Grant	Knowles	Narcotics	PW	A	05-09-80	30	-	30	12	8	12	94	31	3,113	133	2	2	-	2
5.	Hickman	Knowles	Gambling	PW	S	09-26-80	30	-	30	11	27	25	293	277	1,303	103	5	-	1P	-
6.	Murphy	Knowles	Narcotics	PW	S	10-03-80	30	1	60	35	12	11	549	207	8,800	200	6	-	-	-
7.	Murphy	Knowles	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S,A	10-23-80	30	1	60	32	65	23	2,095	2,074	5,130	490	23	-	-	-
8.	Murphy	Knowles	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S,A	11-10-80	30	-	30	15	32	23	478	470	1,633	333	(Related to No. 7)			
9.	Murphy	Knowles	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S,B	11-25-80	30	-	30	11	10	7	132	30	1,019	179	(Related to No. 7)			

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE NEVADA

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
CLARK COUNTY																				
1.	Christensen	Miller	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	10-07-80	30	-	30	28	145	219	4,073	987	31,099	1,149	36	-	-	-
2.	Christensen	Miller	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	11-03-80	30	-	30	17	74	84	1,263	91	10,338	488	(Related to No. 1)			
ELKO COUNTY																				
1.	McDaniel	Stringfield	Narcotics	PW	S	10-03-80	30	-	30	30	-	1	2	2	-	-	4	-	4P	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL																				
1.	Schoch	Degnan	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	11-30-79	20	2	40	40	47	100	1,860	98	19,777	352	-	-	-	-
2.	Schoch	Degnan	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	11-30-79	20	2	40	40	14	80	572	44	19,054	276	-	-	-	-
3.	Schoch	Degnan	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	11-30-79	20	2	40	40	5	60	195	20	20,833	276	-	-	-	-
4.	Schoch	Degnan	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	01-14-80	20	-	20	4	12	17	47	4	6,133	77	-	-	-	-
5.	Schoch	Degnan	Escape, conspiracy	PW	A	02-06-80	20	-	20	20	16	157	312	19	34,962	244	-	-	-	-
6.	Schoch	Degnan	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	03-11-80	10	-	10	9	20	10	180	152	3,814	94	2	-	-	-
7.	Trautwein	Degnan	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	04-08-80	20	-	20	20	14	53	273	-	17,589	194	-	-	-	-
8.	Trautwein	Degnan	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	04-08-80	20	-	20	20	31	257	613	-	13,241	159	-	-	-	-
9.	Trautwein	Degnan	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	04-08-80	20	-	20	20	25	314	497	-	13,277	195	-	-	-	-
10.	Trautwein	Degnan	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	04-08-80	20	-	20	20	26	306	529	-	14,465	1,347	-	-	-	-
11.	Trautwein	Degnan	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	04-08-80	20	-	20	20	21	297	413	-	14,397	1,314	-	-	-	-
12.	Trautwein	Degnan	Narcotics, gambling, theft, conspiracy	PW	S	04-10-80	20	-	20	6	-	2	1	-	3,270	108	-	-	-	-
13.	Trautwein	Degnan	Narcotics, gambling, theft, conspiracy	M/E	S	04-10-80	20	-	20	6	-	1	1	-	3,265	102	-	-	-	-
14.	Schoch	Degnan	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	09-19-80	11	-	11	7	10	11	67	5	2,768	108	(Related to No. 15)			
15.	Schoch	Degnan	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	09-19-80	11	-	11	7	24	15	172	89	2,768	108	2	-	-	-
16.	Schoch	Degnan	Theft, conspiracy	M/E	O*	10-01-80	20	1	30	NI										
17.	Schoch	Degnan	Theft, conspiracy	M/E	O*	10-01-80	20	-	20	NI										
18.	Schoch	Degnan	Theft, conspiracy	M/E	O*	10-01-80	20	-	20	NI										
19.	Schoch	Degnan	Theft, conspiracy	M/E	O*	10-01-80	20	1	30	12	14	36	169	67	5,067	140	(Related to No. 20)			
20.	Schoch	Degnan	Theft, conspiracy	PW	O*	10-15-80	6	1	16	8	22	116	176	46	6,030	118	8	-	-	-
21.	Schoch	Degnan	Theft, conspiracy	PW	O*	10-15-80	6	1	16	NI										
22.	Trautwein	Degnan	Theft, loansharking, usury, conspiracy	PW	S	10-23-80 (11-07-80)	20	1	30	30	69	217	2,077	598	28,772	452	4	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Hotel rooms

CONTINUED

1 OF 2

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL (CONT.)																				
23.	Simpson	Degnan	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	10-24-80	11	-	11	11	8	24	93	63	3,049	156	(Related to No. 24)			
24.	Simpson	Degnan	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	10-31-80	11	-	11	9	7	21	61	47	1,560	140	2	-	-	-
25.	Schoch	Degnan	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	11-10-80 (11-24-80)	20	-	20	20	3	117	69	29	16,531	214	(Related to No. 27)			
26.	Schoch	Degnan	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	11-10-80 (11-24-80)	20	-	20	20	26	213	528	30	28,068	214	(Related to No. 27)			
27.	Schoch	Degnan	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	12-02-80	20	1	30	22	21	128	455	108	37,601	242	7	-	-	-
BERGEN COUNTY																				
1.	Trautwein	Breslin	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	S	12-26-79	20	-	20	19	14	12	265	65	3,820	250	8	-	-	-
2.	Trautwein	Breslin	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	O*	01-10-80	20	-	20	11	44	175	484	-	16,000	460	-	-	-	-
3.	Trautwein	Breslin	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	01-10-80	20	-	20	8	20	30	157	-	2,270	140	-	-	-	-
4.	Trautwein	Breslin	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	02-05-80	20	-	20	14	29	10	406	36	10,450	340	-	-	-	-
5.	Trautwein	Breslin	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	S	03-20-80	20	-	20	11	5	8	59	7	1,085	170	1	-	-	-
6.	Trautwein	Breslin	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	A	03-20-80	20	-	20	19	29	25	550	300	8,225	500	12	-	-	-
7.	Trautwein	Breslin	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	A	03-31-80	20	-	20	20	60	20	1,200	800	12,700	520	9	-	-	-
8.	Trautwein	Breslin	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	05-16-80	20	1	30	30	35	35	1,051	266	34,410	370	13	-	-	-
9.	Trautwein	Breslin	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	06-10-80	20	-	20	10	10	11	96	9	6,125	105	-	-	-	-
10.	Trautwein	Breslin	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	06-19-80	20	-	20	12	42	30	499	63	6,660	132	3	-	-	-
11.	Trautwein	Breslin	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	B	10-31-80	20	-	20	17	27	55	459	105	7,580	680	2	-	-	-
12.	Trautwein	Breslin	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	10-31-80	20	-	20	17	14	15	238	155	4,320	420	2	-	-	-
13.	Trautwein	Breslin	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	M	11-13-80	20	1	30	24	88	41	2,113	1,755	2,195	320	8	-	-	-
14.	Trautwein	Breslin	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	M	11-21-80	20	-	20	16	78	32	1,250	810	2,430	330	11	-	-	-
15.	Trautwein	Breslin	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	12-04-80	20	-	20	10	45	41	447	76	7,772	132	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN COUNTY																				
1.	Rizzi	Mariano	Narcotics, burglary	PW	A	02-04-80	20	1	30	23	10	25	220	23	9,011	491	1	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Garage

TABLE B-1

**REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519**

STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS											
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF				
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted	
CAMDEN COUNTY (CONT.)																					
2.	Rizzi	Mariano	Bookmaking	PW	S	06-02-80	20	-	20	17	13	17	215	159	1,902	215	3	-	-	-	
3.	Simpson	Mariano	Bookmaking	PW	A	10-29-80	20	-	20	9	6	18	50	26	1,521	133	-	-	-	-	
4.	Simpson	Mariano	Bookmaking	PW	S	11-26-80	20	1	30	26	29	40	745	619	1,789	109	7	-	-	-	
5.	Simpson	Mariano	Bookmaking	PW	S	11-26-80	20	-	20	12	9	11	103	73	1,926	176	3	-	-	-	
CAPE MAY COUNTY																					
1.	Schoch	Charles	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	05-20-80	20	1	30	19	19	714	357	99	12,859	506	18	-	-	-	
2.	Schoch	Charles	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	05-23-80	20	-	20	16	25	788	394	132	10,932	523	13	-	1P	-	
ESSEX COUNTY																					
*1.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	B	11-21-79 (12-06-79)	20	-	20	20	24	49	477	55	4,039	339	(Related to No. 3)				
*2.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	A	12-05-79 (12-13-79)	20	-	20	15	7	49	108	4	5,134	274	(Related to No. 3)				
*These two wiretaps were terminated in 1979, but were not reported at that time because they were part of an ongoing investigation.																					
3.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	A	12-14-79	20	1	30	26	8	49	213	23	1,674	352	15	-	-	-	
4.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	M	12-17-79	20	-	20	9	11	15	102	27	2,183	223	3	-	-	-	
5.	Blake	Coburn	Gambling (Lottery)	PW	O*	12-19-79	20	-	20	13	17	12	218	35	1,187	177	(Related to No. 6)				
6.	Blake	Coburn	Gambling (Lottery)	PW	A	01-02-80	20	-	20	6	2	12	9	8	657	232	1	-	-	-	
7.	Blake	Coburn	Bookmaking	PW	O*	01-09-80	20	-	20	8	56	14	445	85	13,161	471	-	-	-	-	
8.	Blake	Coburn	Bookmaking	PW	A	01-10-80	20	1	30	25	26	33	639	551	2,887	447	(Related to No. 10)				
9.	Blake	Coburn	Bookmaking	PW	A	01-10-80	20	1	30	27	28	33	764	572	5,553	398	(Related to No. 10)				
10.	Blake	Coburn	Bookmaking	PW	S	01-17-80	20	-	20	12	36	33	430	351	2,077	357	24	-	-	-	
11.	Blake	Coburn	Gambling (Lottery)	PW	A	02-25-80	20	-	20	5	9	11	44	9	661	171	-	-	-	-	
12.	Blake	Levenson	Narcotics	PW	O*	02-28-80	20	-	20	13	51	44	668	109	12,481	461	(Related to No. 14)				
13.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	A	03-12-80	20	-	20	5	40	44	198	9	2,272	192	(Related to No. 14)				

¹TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported²LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other³NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED⁴MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Pay phone

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
ESSEX COUNTY (CONT.)																				
14.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	A	03-20-80	20	-	20	7	27	44	186	29	5,868	368	14	-	-	-
15.	Blake	Coburn	Bookmaking	PW	A	03-31-80 (04-03-80)	20	-	20	15	11	50	169	37	3,400	275	(Related to No. 16)			
16.	Blake	Coburn	Bookmaking	PW	A	04-16-80	20	-	20	7	66	50	461	268	1,609	219	34	-	-	4
17.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	B	04-18-80	20	1	30	26	65	38	1,679	24	16,982	702	(Related to No. 30)			
18.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	A	04-23-80	20	-	20	9	7	15	59	20	1,589	179	14	-	-	-
19.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics, unlawful possession of weapons	PW	A	05-02-80	20	-	20	10	34	23	337	61	3,276	246	7	-	-	-
20.	Blake	Coburn	Gambling	PW	S	05-16-80	20	-	20	8	14	11	108	8	1,400	190	-	-	-	-
21.	Blake	Coburn	Gambling (lottery)	PW	A	05-20-80	20	-	20	12	37	16	443	336	3,835	255	5	-	-	-
22.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	S	05-30-80	20	-	20	18	22	38	339	1	7,525	305	(Related to No. 30)			
23.	Trautwein	Coburn	Bookmaking	PW	A	07-21-80	20	-	20	Installed but not used.					-	-				
24.	Trautwein	Coburn	Bookmaking	PW	A	07-23-80	20	-	20	4	77	15	308	251	676	176	1	1	-	1
25.	Blake	Levenson	Narcotics, gambling	PW	O*	08-19-80	20	-	20	5	54	19	269	11	1,438	158	-	-	-	-
26.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	A	09-10-80	20	-	20	9	24	12	220	18	5,139	269	3	-	-	-
27.	Blake	Coburn	Gambling	PW	A	09-29-80	20	-	20	2	2	12	4	1	492	132	2	-	-	-
28.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	A	10-03-80	20	2	40	40	24	43	953	52	14,249	559	(Related to No. 39)			
29.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics, gambling	PW	A	10-06-80 (10-09-80) (11-03-80)	20	1	30	29	34	12	975	758	9,669	589	(Related to No. 39)			
30.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	A	05-20-80 (05-30-80)	20	1	30	18	12	38	214	19	11,369	529	8	-	-	-
31.	Blake	Coburn	Gambling	PW	S	10-09-80	20	1	30	24	4	14	84	65	2,363	393	-	-	-	-
32.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics, gambling	PW	A	10-16-80 (10-30-80)	20	-	20	20	22	43	446	305	7,208	328	(Related to No. 39)			
33.	Blake	Coburn	Gambling	PW	A	10-20-80	20	-	20	8	10	12	83	31	1,142	202	-	-	-	-
34.	Blake	Coburn	Gambling	PW	A	10-27-80	20	-	20	4	15	14	60	47	920	180	-	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Pay phone

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
ESSEX COUNTY (CONT.)																				
35.	Blake	Coburn	Gambling	PW	A	11-07-80	20	-	20	2	1	14	2	-	392	142	-	-	-	-
36.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	A	11-13-80	20	-	20	13	23	43	294	46	6,382	252	(Related to No. 39)			
37.	Blake	Coburn	Gambling	PW	A	11-14-80	20	1	30	24	61	20	1,464	1,358	4,590	410	11	-	-	-
38.	Blake	Coburn	Gambling	PW	S	11-21-80	20	-	20	4	15	14	59	37	1,488	168	3	-	-	-
39.	Blake	Coburn	Narcotics	PW	A	12-03-80	20	-	20	12	9	43	113	32	5,253	233	15	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER COUNTY																				
1.	Rizzi	Cotton	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	05-01-80	20	1	30	29	16	38	476	286	19,294	185	21	-	-	-
2.	Rizzi	Cotton	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	05-13-80	20	-	20	18	36	35	654	320	15,851	130	33	-	-	-
3.	Rizzi	Cotton	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	09-02-80	20	2	40	38	10	6	347	58	13,871	380	1	-	-	-
4.	Gruccio	Cotton	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	10-10-80	20	-	20	20	11	6	232	11	5,577	225	(Related to No. 3)			
HUDSON COUNTY																				
1.	Trautwein	O'Halloran	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	01-16-80	20	-	20	20	14	13	281	48	6,140	380	14	-	-	-
2.	Trautwein	O'Halloran	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	01-29-80	20	2	40	38	60	52	2,287	259	7,600	600	(Related to No. 1)			
3.	Trautwein	O'Halloran	Gambling (lottery), conspiracy	PW	S	02-08-80	20	1	30	21	37	15	775	750	4,936	400	15	-	-	-
4.	Trautwein	O'Halloran	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	02-13-80	20	2	40	30	46	11	1,392	256	7,520	520	(Related to No. 1)			
5.	Trautwein	O'Halloran	Gambling (lottery), conspiracy	PW	B	02-26-80	20	-	20	16	15	6	245	150	1,488	336	6	-	-	-
6.	Trautwein	O'Halloran	Gambling (lottery), conspiracy	PW	S	03-17-80	20	-	20	18	40	6	722	415	1,536	240	6	-	-	-
7.	Trautwein	McLaughlin	Gambling (lottery), conspiracy	PW	B	03-18-80	20	1	30	21	15	6	320	170	1,928	416	6	-	-	-
8.	Trautwein	O'Halloran	Gambling (lottery), conspiracy	PW	S	04-07-80	20	-	20	20	8	4	160	60	1,580	160	1	-	-	-
9.	Blake	O'Halloran	Narcotics, firearms	PW	S	05-06-80	20	-	20	18	15	35	275	7	6,000	1,000	-	-	-	-
10.	Blake	O'Halloran	Homicide	PW	S	05-21-80	20	-	20	10	22	15	215	-	3,450	150	-	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

**REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519**

STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
HUDSON COUNTY (CONT.)																				
11.	Blake	O'Halloran	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	06-24-80	20	2	40	40	36	53	1,431	118	14,450	-	-	-	-	-
12.	Blake	McLaughlin	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	07-03-80	20	-	20	20	12	35	234	34	10,450	-	-	-	-	-
13.	Blake	O'Halloran	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	08-11-80 (08-20-80)	20	-	20	15	30	40	456	28	9,720	-	-	-	-	-
14.	Trautwein	O'Halloran	Gambling (lottery)	PW	S	10-22-80	20	-	20	17	11	6	179	94	2,636	224	6	-	-	-
15.	Trautwein	McLaughlin	Gambling (lottery)	PW	A	11-03-80	20	-	20	17	45	12	757	748	4,859	368	12	-	-	-
16.	Trautwein	Ruvoldt	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	11-19-80	20	-	20	9	19	20	175	50	2,656	226	8	-	-	-
17.	Trautwein	Ruvoldt	Gambling (lottery)	PW	A	11-24-80	20	1	30	23	45	15	1,121	941	8,904	480	12	-	-	-
18.	Trautwein	Ruvoldt	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	11-28-80	20	-	20	20	108	43	2,157	763	4,350	350	9	-	-	-
19.	Trautwein	Ruvoldt	Gambling (lottery)	PW	B	12-11-80	20	-	20	9	21	4	186	16	734	96	4	-	-	-
20.	Trautwein	Ruvoldt	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	12-12-80	20	-	20	10	30	8	296	50	4,670	150	4	-	-	-
MERCER COUNTY																				
1.	Schoch	Mulvihill	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	12-17-79	20	-	20	18	16	8	293	184	4,814	185	6	-	-	5
2.	Schoch	Mulvihill	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	O*	01-03-80	20	-	20	19	26	7	495	94	3,019	195	-	-	-	-
3.	Schoch	Mulvihill	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	B	01-09-80	20	-	20	11	6	3	64	14	334	130	4	-	-	2
4.	Schoch	Mulvihill	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	03-28-80	20	1	30	30	67	18	2,001	397	12,255	351	12	-	-	4
5.	Schoch	Mulvihill	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	04-15-80	20	-	20	18	76	18	1,373	376	7,201	289	5	-	-	2
6.	Schoch	Mulvihill	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	11-05-80	20	1	30	29	8	15	239	136	6,708	276	-	-	-	-
7.	Schoch	Mulvihill	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	12-02-80	20	-	20	19	41	25	787	197	3,455	179	-	-	-	-
8.	Schoch	Mulvihill	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	12-02-80	20	-	20	20	10	25	203	55	3,379	187	-	-	-	-
9.	Schoch	Mulvihill	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	12-11-80	20	-	20	11	16	15	172	53	2,365	157	2	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX COUNTY																				
1.	Demos	Rebeck	Narcotics, gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	12-06-79	20	3	60	56	80	150	4,500	4,000	8,000	1,000	(Related to No. 5)			

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Social club

TABLE B-1

**REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519**

STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
MIDDLESEX COUNTY (CONT.)																				
2.	Demos	Rebeck	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	12-21-79	20	-	20	20	20	30	400	150	2,800	300	(Related to No. 5)			
3.	Demos	Rebeck	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	12-21-79	20	-	20	20	20	30	400	100	2,700	200	(Related to No. 5)			
4.	Demos	Rebeck	Narcotics, gambling, conspiracy	PW	B	01-09-80	20	2	40	40	100	125	4,000	2,000	13,000	1,000	(Related to No. 5)			
5.	Demos	Rebeck	Narcotics, gambling, conspiracy	PW	S, B	02-08-80	20	-	20	20	50	75	1,000	200	9,750	750	29	-	29P	-
6.	Demos	Rebeck	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	05-20-80	20	-	20	20	25	100	500	50	3,000	500	-	-	-	-
7.	Demos	Rebeck	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	09-18-80	20	-	20	20	25	25	500	100	3,950	750	-	-	-	-
8.	Demos	Rebeck	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	09-11-80	20	-	20	20	63	50	1,250	750	4,200	1,000	2	-	2P	-
9.	Demos	Rebeck	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	11-05-80	20	-	20	16	100	75	1,600	800	3,580	700	4	-	4P	-
10.	Demos	Rebeck	Narcotics, receiving stolen property	PW	S	11-05-80	20	2	40	39	126	80	4,875	1,461	13,182	1,950	17	-	17P	-
11.	Blake	Rebeck	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	11-14-80	20	-	20	7	100	50	700	540	1,760	500	(Related to No. 9)			
12.	Blake	Rebeck	Narcotics, receiving stolen property	PW	S, B	12-03-80	20	-	20	16	50	45	800	250	5,408	800	(Related to No. 10)			
13.	Blake	Rebeck	Gambling	PW	S	12-12-80	20	-	20	18	100	45	1,800	780	6,084	900	4	-	-	-
MONMOUTH COUNTY																				
1.	Demos	Lehrer	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	10-27-80	20	-	20	7	18	8	126	33	1,515	160	-	-	-	-
2.	Demos	Lehrer	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	11-06-80	20	-	20	2	3	2	6	-	256	56	(Related to No. 3)			
3.	Schoch	Lehrer	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	11-14-80	20	-	20	10	26	14	262	187	1,781	141	3	-	-	-
MORRIS COUNTY																				
1.	Blake	Manahan	Narcotics, gambling	PW	S	04-28-80	20	-	20	12	19	7	232	58	2,565	349	4	-	-	2
2.	Blake	Manahan	Narcotics	PW	S	08-13-80	20	-	20	14	38	46	529	197	3,860	500	4	-	-	-
3.	Blake	Manahan	Narcotics	PW	A	11-21-80	20	-	20	5	67	10	335	82	774	150	3	-	-	-
OCEAN COUNTY																				
1.	Blake	Turnbach	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	07-31-80	20	-	20	15	24	39	364	232	3,172	292	1	-	-	-

¹TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported²LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other³NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED⁴MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
OCEAN COUNTY (CONT.)																				
2.	Blake	Turnbach	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	10-15-80	20	-	20	10	14	47	143	52	1,814	273	2	-	-	-
3.	Blake	Turnbach	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	10-15-80	20	-	20	10	6	29	55	10	1,804	263	(Related to No. 2)			
PASSAIC COUNTY																				
1.	Joelson	Falcone	Escape, conspiracy	PW	A	02-01-80	20	2	40	37	41	3	1,509	54	19,586	226	2	-	-	-
2.	Joelson	Falcone	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	02-24-80	20	-	20	20	85	5	1,702	277	7,886	226	-	-	-	-
3.	Joelson	Falcone	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	M	03-14-80	20	-	20	11	25	19	279	140	2,550	65	19	-	-	13
4.	Joelson	Falcone	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	05-28-80	20	-	20	10	19	6	189	141	1,105	60	6	-	-	-
5.	Trautwein	Falcone	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	12-01-80	20	-	20	19	34	6	644	363	2,730	110	1	-	-	-
SOMERSET COUNTY																				
1.	Schoch	Linett	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	S	10-03-80	20	-	20	9	12	22	105	49	1,302	92	-	-	-	-
2.	Schoch	Linett	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	S	10-31-80	20	1	30	28	40	36	1,119	336	2,085	435	9	-	-	-
3.	Schoch	Linett	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	S	11-13-80	20	1	30	23	19	18	435	184	1,495	295	4	-	-	-
4.	Schoch	Linett	Bookmaking, conspiracy	PW	S	11-28-80	20	-	20	10	20	12	198	34	655	125	4	-	-	-
UNION COUNTY																				
1.	Demos	Stamler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	12-28-79	20	-	20	15	7	20	98	41	4,118	67	15	-	-	-
2.	Demos	Stamler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	12-28-79	20	-	20	15	14	20	212	54	4,130	79	-	-	-	-
3.	Demos	Stamler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	01-30-80	20	1	30	30	15	11	450	45	13,695	130	-	-	-	-
4.	Demos	Stamler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	01-30-80	20	1	30	30	15	11	450	45	13,683	118	-	-	-	-
5.	Demos	Stamler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	01-30-80	20	-	20	NI										
6.	Demos	Stamler	Arson, conspiracy	PW	A	03-17-80	20	2	40	40	17	98	700	87	15,612	204	1	-	-	-
7.	Demos	Stamler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	03-18-80	20	1	30	30	19	30	580	80	14,106	238	5	-	5D	5
8.	Demos	Stamler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	04-09-80	20	-	20	13	10	6	150	8	6,163	124	1	-	1D	1
9.	Demos	Stamler	Narcotics, receiving stolen property	PW	B	04-30-80	20	1	30	28	65	50	1,683	215	6,703	204	7	-	-	-

¹TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported
²LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

**REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519**

STATE NEW JERSEY

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercept- per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
UNION COUNTY (CONT.)																				
10.	Demos	Stamler	Narcotics, receiving stolen property, conspiracy	PW	B	04-30-80	20	1	30	28	25	30	698	72	6,612	113	3	-	-	-
11.	Demos	Stamler	Narcotics, receiving stolen property, conspiracy	PW	B	04-30-80	20	1	30	28	62	55	1,724	182	6,703	204	4	-	-	-
12.	Demos	Stamler	Narcotics, receiving stolen property, conspiracy	PW	B	04-30-80	20	1	30	28	30	25	840	75	6,606	207	5	-	-	-
13.	Demos	Stamler	Narcotics, gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	05-01-80	20	1	30	27	8	13	227	10	5,412	84	-	-	-	-
14.	Demos	Stamler	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	05-01-80	20	-	20	10	17	8	168	-	2,540	73	-	-	-	-
15.	Demos	Stamler	Burglary, theft, conspiracy	PW	S	07-15-80	20	-	20	18	17	15	300	184	5,736	158	7	-	-	-
16.	Demos	Stamler	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	B	09-02-80	20	-	20	8	17	6	135	50	1,031	61	1	-	-	-
17.	Demos	Stamler	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	B	09-02-80	20	-	20	8	19	8	153	47	1,037	67	(Related to No. 16)			
18.	Demos	Stamler	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	09-11-80	20	-	20	14	60	12	845	672	2,455	141	1	-	-	-
19.	Demos	Stamler	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	09-11-80	20	-	20	14	21	35	295	251	2,398	84	2	-	-	-
20.	Demos	Stamler	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	09-11-80	20	-	20	4	14	5	55	-	757	56	-	-	-	-
21.	Demos	Stamler	Gambling, theft, conspiracy	PW	B	09-18-80	20	-	20	19	40	15	765	250	3,469	118	4	-	-	-
22.	Demos	Stamler	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	09-25-80	20	-	20	11	21	20	226	153	1,786	67	2	-	-	-
23.	Demos	Stamler	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	09-25-80	20	-	20	11	23	19	248	185	1,868	73	3	-	-	-
24.	Demos	Stamler	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	09-25-80	20	-	20	11	7	10	80	22	1,747	67	3	-	-	-
25.	Schoch	Stamler	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	11-18-80	20	-	20	7	29	12	205	36	1,695	73	4	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

**REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519**

STATE NEW MEXICO

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL																				
1.	Baiamonte	Bingaman	Gambling	PW	S, B	09-26-80	30	-	30	22	30	56	665	212	3,758	400	-	-	-	-
2.	Baiamonte	Bingaman	Gambling	PW	S	10-22-80	30	-	30	26	15	38	387	252	6,353	400	-	-	-	-
3.	Baiamonte	Bingaman	Gambling	PW	S, A, B	11-13-80	30	-	30	23	29	62	678	657	7,421	400	-	-	-	-
A wiretap for the State Attorney General which was terminated during calendar year 1979 is being reported below. Information concerning this wiretap is contained in summary table 8 in the text portion of this report.																				
1.	Cole	Bingaman	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	12-03-79	30	-	30	27	3	24	81	29	4,252	157	-	-	-	-
BERNALILLO COUNTY																				
Wiretaps for Bernalillo County which were terminated during calendar year 1979 are being reported below. Information concerning these wiretaps is contained in summary table 8 in the text portion of this report.																				
1.	Cole	Robinson	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	11-21-79	30	-	30	18	8	24	138	54	4,252	157	-	-	-	-
2.	Cole	Robinson	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	12-15-79	30	-	30	15	12	24	177	160	4,252	157	-	-	-	-
DONA ANA COUNTY																				
1.	Burks	Garza	Narcotics	M/E	S	12-15-79	30	-	30	NI										
2.	Burks	Garza	Narcotics	PW	S	11-10-80	30	-	30	15	20	8	300	90	3,300	900	1	-	1P	-

¹TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported²LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other³NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED⁴MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL																				
1.	Rosenberger	Abrams	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	12-04-79	30	2	90	87	170	50	14,790	14,769	72,045	8,834	-	-	-	-
2.	Rosenberger	Abrams	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	12-10-79	25	2	85	65	37	25	2,405	2,389	49,230	2,105	-	-	-	-
3.	Rosenberger	Abrams	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	12-10-79	30	1	60	42	18	337	775	38	25,865	6,769	3	-	2D	2
4.	Rosenberger	Abrams	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	A	12-21-79	30	1	60	39	294	50	11,500	4,654	29,538	1,263	-	-	-	-
5.	Rosenberger	Abrams	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	01-09-80	30	-	30	16	5	37	87	10	9,973	2,910	3	-	1D	2
6.	Rosenberger	Abrams	Gambling, bribery, conspiracy	M/E	O*	02-05-80	30	-	30	Installed but not used.					3,433	1,654				
7.	Haft	Abrams	Gambling	PW	O**	09-19-80	30	-	30	7	-	-	-	-	3,720	360	-	-	-	-
BROOME COUNTY																				
1.	Smyk	Monserate	Narcotics	PW	S	02-13-80	14	-	14	14	20	32	285	118	-	-	12	-	11P	-
CHEMUNG COUNTY																				
1.	Monroe	Crew	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	B	03-19-80	30	-	30	9	6	NR	58	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
2.	Monroe	Crew	Narcotics	PW	S	08-28-80	30	-	30	30	32	35	973	292	13,094	1,713	-	-	-	-
3.	Monroe	Crew	Narcotics	PW	S	10-08-80	30	-	30	30	36	39	1,084	421	12,804	1,522	3	-	-	-
4.	Monroe	Crew	Narcotics	PW	S	11-24-80	30	-	30	NI										
CORTLAND COUNTY																				
1.	Mullen	Shay	Narcotics	PW	S	02-11-80	30	-	30	9	21	16	190	24	-	-	3	-	-	3
ERIE COUNTY																				
1.	Kasler	Cosgrove	Gambling	PW	B	06-16-80	30	-	30	6	25	20	150	72	192	42	4	-	1P	-
2.	Kasler	Cosgrove	Gambling	PW	S	11-08-80	30	-	30	30	44	28	1,332	1,112	9,090	750	-	-	-	-
HERKIMER COUNTY																				
*1.	O'Donnell	Laraia	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	10-25-79	30	1	60	53	20	6	1,050	984	4,425	525	6	-	-	6
*This wiretap was terminated in 1979, but was not reported at that time because it was part of an ongoing investigation.																				
2.	O'Donnell	Laraia	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	12-27-79	30	-	30	26	47	6	1,210	1,146	1,625	325	(Related to No. 1)			

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Automobile
**Pay phone

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
KINGS COUNTY																				
1.	Ryan	Keating	Narcotics	PW	B	11-08-79	30	1	60	52	69	185	3,581	548	73,507	14,275	38	-	-	-
2.	Damiani	Gold	Narcotics	M/E	O*	12-11-79	30	-	30	14	1	3	13	8	20,264	1,200	-	-	-	-
3.	Mollen	Gold	Rape, sexual abuse	O**	B	12-27-79	30	-	30											
4.	Damiani	Gold	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	01-10-80	30	-	30											
5.	Damiani	Gold	Narcotics	M/E	A,O*	01-22-80	30	-	30											
6.	Mollen	Keating	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	02-19-80	30	-	30	No prosecutor's report.										
7.	Slavin	Gold	Narcotics, gambling, usury, forgery, conspiracy	M/E	B	03-14-80	30	2	90											
8.	Slavin	Gold	Usury, conspiracy	PW	B	05-15-80	30	1	60											
9.	Slavin	Gold	Usury, conspiracy	PW	B	07-15-80	30	-	30											
MADISON COUNTY																				
1.	Tait	O'Brien	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	B	12-10-79	30	-	30	15	5	10	71	4	763	113	-	-	-	-
MONROE COUNTY																				
1.	Mark	Kurlander	Narcotics	PW	A	05-02-80	15	-	15	2	1	1	2	-	360	60	-	-	-	-
2.	Mark	Chesworth	Gambling	PW	A	07-22-80	30	-	30	3	-	-	-	-	561	48	-	-	-	-
3.	Mark	Chesworth	Gambling	PW	S	08-18-80	30	-	30	4	15	2	59	46	1,608	59	2	-	-	-
4.	Mark	Chesworth	Gambling	PW	A	08-20-80	30	-	30	18	56	3	1,015	1,012	6,834	297	10	-	-	3
5.	Mark	Kurlander	Gambling	PW	A	08-25-80	30	-	30	13	40	3	720	692	4,921	200	(Related to No. 4)			
6.	Mark	Kurlander	Arson	M/E	B	10-08-80	2	-	2	NI										
7.	Mark	Kurlander	Narcotics	PW	B	11-18-80	10	-	10	6	39	3	233	10	2,406	150	-	-	-	-
8.	Mark	Kurlander	Narcotics	PW	B	11-24-80	10	-	10	11	21	NR	236	8	6,628	250	2	-	-	-
9.	Mark	Kurlander	Arson	M/E	B	12-06-80	2	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	240	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY																				
1.	White	Aison	Gambling, larceny, possession of stolen property	PW	S	02-18-80	9	-	9	1	2	2	2	2	-	-	2	-	1P	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Automobile
**Videotape

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS											
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF				
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted	
NASSAU COUNTY																					
1.	Morrison	Dillon	Narcotics	PW	S	11-27-79	30	1	60	46	54	51	2,484	603	27,508	1,012	11	5	3D,2P	4	
2.	Morrison	Dillon	Usury, conspiracy	PW	B	11-27-79	30	1	60	39	96	2,000	3,757	8	6,472	856	-	-	-	-	
3.	Collins	Dillon	Usury, conspiracy	PW	S	12-13-79	30	-	30	19	20	21	386	-	4,066	418	-	-	-	-	
4.	Gulotta	Dillon	Larceny, forgery, conspioracy	PW	A	02-15-80	30	-	30	23	10	16	222	31	13,915	667	2	1	1D	1	
5.	Morrison	Dillon	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	B	02-15-80	30	-	30	17	-	1	2	2	2,822	374	(Related to No. 6)				
6.	Morrison	Dillon	Gambling, usury	M/E	B	03-11-80	30	-	30	29	42	65	1,204	54	17,342	638	6	2	-	2	
7.	Gulotta	Dillon	Narcotics, cohspiracy	PW	S	03-11-80	30	1	60	43	3	25	150	102	25,754	986	(Related to No. 13)				
8.	Morrison	Dillon	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	03-18-80	30	1	60	43	26	85	1,126	251	25,754	986	16	-	-	-	
9.	Morrison	Dillon	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	03-27-80	30	1	60	41	13	51	523	186	24,518	902	(Related to No. 8)				
10.	Morrison	Dillon	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	03-27-80	30	1	60	26	22	76	576	80	15,548	572	-	-	-	-	
11.	Morrison	Dillon	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	04-09-80	30	-	30	19	6	9	121	17	7,754	458	4	-	-	-	
12.	Morrison	Dillon	Usury, conspiracy	PW	S	04-09-80	30	-	30	19	6	9	121	17	7,754	458	4	-	-	-	
13.	Dontzin	Dillon	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	04-14-80 (04-24-80)	30	-	30	13	12	24	155	109	7,774	286	11	-	-	-	
14.	Dontzin	Dillon	Narcotics, conspiracy	M/E	A	04-14-80	30	-	30	NI											
15.	Morrison	Dillon	Gambling, conspiracy	M/E	O*	07-08-80	30	-	30	15	13	23	205	28	5,940	180	-	-	-	-	
16.	Morrison	Dillon	Narcotics, conspiracy	M/E	S	10-07-80	30	-	30	28	98	15	2,730	75	18,072	972	-	-	-	-	
17.	Morrison	Dillon	Narcotics, conspiracy	M/E	B	10-08-80	30	-	30	NI											
18.	Gulotta	Dillon	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	10-09-80	30	1	60	58	130	90	7,526	7,372	35,562	2,154	(Related to No. 20)				
19.	Gibbons	Dillon	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	M	10-27-80	30	-	30	27	21	56	577	277	16,379	827	6	-	-	-	
20.	Morrison	Dillon	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	10-29-80	30	1	60	52	20	50	1,022	455	11,232	1,248	20	-	-	-	
21.	Gibbons	Dillon	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	M	11-07-80	30	-	30	20	4	20	85	41	12,140	620	(Related to No. 19)				
22.	Cohen	Dillon	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	M	11-07-80	30	-	30	19	22	38	424	101	11,583	639	(Related to No. 19)				

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Social club

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
NASSAU COUNTY (CONT.)																				
Wiretaps for Nassau County which were terminated during calendar year 1979 are being reported below. Information concerning these wiretaps is contained in summary table 8 in the text portion of this report. (Numbers 1 - 14 are contained in the 1979 report.)																				
15.	Lawrence	Dillon	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	12-29-78	30	-	30	12	10	17	118	101	2,472	168	3	-	-	-
16.	Lawrence	Dillon	Larceny, conspiracy	M/E	B	10-27-79	30	-	30	8	49	4	393	-	4,872	264	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK COUNTY																				
1.	Lang	Morgenthau	Narcotics, usury, larceny	M/E	O*	12-13-79	30	1	60	20	27	5	530	22	36,396	828	1	-	1D	-
2.	Williams	Morgenthau	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	02-26-80	30	-	30	21	17	37	351	66	68,000	2,000	6	-	-	-
3.	Williams	Morgenthau	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	02-29-80	30	-	30	Installed but not used.				-	-					
4.	Williams	Morgenthau	Narcotics	PW	A	03-03-80	30	1	51	51	30	56	1,505	337	68,000	2,000	6	-	-	-
5.	Lang	Morgenthau	Gambling	PW	B	04-17-80	30	1	60	20	300	250	6,000	350	18,097	1,910	3	-	-	3
6.	Lang	Morgenthau	Gambling	M/E	B	04-17-80	30	-	30	14	48	10	530	-	12,132	801	(Related to No. 5)			
7.	Roberts	Morgenthau	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	05-12-80	30	-	30	30	76	160	2,267	400	70,000	10,000	25	-	1D, 2P	13
8.	Lang	Morgenthau	Gambling	PW	B	05-20-80	26	-	26	2	30	15	60	3	1,725	196	(Related to No. 5)			
9.	Lang	Morgenthau	Gambling	PW	A	06-11-80	30	-	30	30	32	34	945	-	39,304	-	1	-	-	-
10.	Rothwax	Morgenthau	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	07-22-80	30	-	30	21	25	55	532	15	12,192	432	-	-	-	-
11.	Rothwax	Morgenthau	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	B	07-22-80	30	-	30	26	20	38	522	39	17,016	156	-	-	-	-
12.	Rothwax	Morgenthau	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	08-08-80	12	-	12	5	10	10	51	-	2,730	210	-	-	-	-
13.	Haft	Morgenthau	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	09-26-80	30	-	30	10	24	26	237	48	13,747	17	-	-	-	-
14.	Roberts	Morgenthau	Narcotics	PW	A	10-07-80	30	-	30	30	41	192	1,244	213	18,438	410	5	-	-	-
15.	Haft	Morgenthau	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	10-09-80	30	-	30	30	45	52	1,360	146	46,329	139	3	-	-	-
16.	Haft	Morgenthau	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A, B	11-26-80	30	-	30	21	5	14	111	10	56,260	-	3	-	-	-
NIAGARA COUNTY																				
1.	Hannigan	Coogan	Narcotics	PW	S	05-05-80	30	4	150	150	14	NR	2,130	425	32,600	6,600	23	-	16P	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

**REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519**

STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS											
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF				
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted	
ONEIDA COUNTY																					
Wiretaps for Oneida County which were terminated during calendar year 1978 are being reported below. Information concerning these wiretaps is contained in summary table 8 in the text portion of this report.																					
1.	Walsh	Enders	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	B	10-10-78	30	-	30	18	34	25	614	59	2,376	125	5	-	1G	2	
2.	Walsh	Enders	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	11-09-78	30	-	30	24	40	63	953	784	2,756	125	11	-	3D	10	
ONONDAGA COUNTY																					
1.	Burke	Hennessy	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	07-17-80	30	-	30	9	53	117	475	110	11,400	650	3	-	-	-	
2.	Burke	Hennessy	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	07-17-80	30	-	30	6	98	9	585	137	2,120	320	-	-	-	-	
3.	Burke	Hennessy	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	07-28-80	30	-	30	7	81	6	565	89	2,420	320	-	-	-	-	
4.	Burke	Hennessy	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	07-30-80	30	-	30	7	36	45	255	64	9,040	690	1	-	-	-	
QUEENS COUNTY																					
1.	Agresta	Santucci	Gambling, possession of stolen property	PW	B	03-31-80	30	-	30	18	56	15	1,006	15	25,500	500	2	-	-	2	
2.	Williams	Santucci	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	04-01-80	30	-	30	30	33	38	980	90	18,910	510	-	-	-	-	
3.	Williams	Santucci	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	05-02-80	30	-	30	24	22	21	536	50	13,884	444	-	-	-	-	
4.	Williams	Santucci	Narcotics	PW	A	06-13-80	30	-	30	30	41	45	1,244	201	19,716	516	1	-	-	-	
RENSSELAER COUNTY																					
1.	Dwyer	Wilcox	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	01-07-80	30	-	30	30	1	7	33	19	750	750	2	-	-	1	
ROCKLAND COUNTY																					
1.	Edelstein	Gribetz	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	01-31-80	30	-	30	25	30	4	750	-	16,925	425	-	-	-	-	
SCHENECTADY COUNTY																					
1.	Dier	Poersch	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	01-14-80	30	-	30	12	30	10	360	180	NR	NR	2	-	-	2	
2.	Graves	Poersch	Gambling	PW	A	03-21-80	30	2	90	72	30	46	2,160	1,900	NR	NR	14	-	-	14	
3.	Cerrito	Poersch	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	04-14-80	30	-	30	5	15	4	75	60	NR	NR	4	-	-	4	
4.	Cerrito	Poersch	Gambling	PW	B	06-24-80	30	-	30	2	30	20	60	-	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	
5.	Cerrito	Poersch	Narcotics	PW	A	06-26-80	30	-	30	24	7	3	170	18	NR	NR	-	-	-	-	

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

**REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519**

STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS											
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF				
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted	
SCHENECTADY COUNTY (CONT.)																					
6.	Cerrito	Poersch	Gambling	PW	A	07-17-80	30	-	30	18	10	13	180	150	NR	NR	4	-	-	4	
7.	Cerrito	Poersch	Gambling	PW	B	09-29-80	30	-	30	NI											
SENECA COUNTY																					
1.	DePasquale	Miller	Narcotics	PW	S	04-05-80	30	-	30	NI											
STEBEN COUNTY																					
1.	Purple	Bates	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	10-07-80	30	-	30	8	40	653	321	44	3,181	212	-	-	-	-	
2.	Purple	Bates	Narcotics, conspiracy	M/E	M	10-22-80	30	-	30	25	34	1,569	862	190	9,945	687	6	-	-	-	
ST. LAWRENCE COUNTY																					
1.	Duskas	Power	Murder, kidnapping	PW	S	11-18-80	30	-	30	No prosecutor's report.											
SUFFOLK COUNTY																					
1.	Bracken	Henry	Gambling, robbery, conspiracy	PW	S	01-02-80	30	-	30	27	35	14	945	319	11,664	324	6	-	-	5	
2.	Lazer	Henry	Narcotics, bribery, larceny	PW	B	01-17-80	30	-	30	8	65	300	520	75	3,456	96	9	-	2D	9	
3.	Aspland	Henry	Narcotics, usury	PW	S	01-24-80	30	-	30	23	28	52	495	30	9,936	276	-	-	-	-	
4.	Underwood	Henry	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	01-25-80	30	1	60	45	89	30	4,000	3,300	19,440	540	14	-	-	12	
5.	Corso	Henry	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	02-19-80	30	-	30	25	15	16	375	320	10,800	300	(Related to No. 4)				
6.	Corso	Henry	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	03-12-80	30	-	30	3	8	10	25	20	1,296	36	(Related to No. 4)				
7.	Underwood	Henry	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	04-18-80	30	-	30	7	24	20	166	143	3,024	84	3	-	3D	1	
8.	Underwood	Henry	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	04-30-80	29	-	29	8	28	25	225	195	3,456	96	(Related to No. 7)				
9.	Aspland	Henry	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	05-16-80	30	-	30	14	71	5	1,000	200	6,048	168	2	-	-	2	
10.	Underwood	Henry	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	08-26-80	30	-	30	24	8	5	200	20	10,368	288	1	-	-	1	
11.	Underwood	Henry	Narcotics, burglary, conspiracy	PW	S	10-21-80 11-10-80	30	1	60	49	51	15	2,500	2,400	21,168	588	8	-	-	-	
12.	Lazer	Henry	Narcotics, usury, larceny	PW	S	10-24-80	30	-	30	22	51	26	1,118	-	9,504	264	4	-	-	-	

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE NEW YORK

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
SUFFOLK COUNTY (CONT.)																				
13.	Underwood	Henry	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	11-13-80	30	-	30	27	37	15	1,000	850	11,664	324	3	-	-	-
14.	Corso	Henry	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	A	11-18-80	30	-	30	28	8	20	224	90	12,096	336	6	-	-	-
WAYNE COUNTY																				
1.	Oliver	Sirkin	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	M	04-04-80	30	-	30	6	20	119	59	12	1,398	48	1	-	-	1
WESTCHESTER COUNTY																				
1.	Couzens	Vergari	Narcotics, burglary	PW	B	01-09-80	30	2	90	59	9	142	531	79	16,075	523	6	-	6D	-
2.	Couzens	Vergari	Narcotics, burglary	PW	S	01-09-80	30	2	90	84	22	150	1,693	143	25,032	840	(Related to No. 1)			
3.	Nastasi	Vergari	Gambling	PW	A	01-29-80	30	-	30	15	60	10	899	879	2,264	264	1	-	1P	-
4.	Hecht	Vergari	Gambling	PW	A	02-07-80	30	-	30	27	26	27	691	223	NR	NR	-	-	-	-
5.	Hecht	Vergari	Gambling	PW	A	02-07-80	30	-	30	3	7	8	21	17	NR	NR	-	-	-	-
6.	Hecht	Vergari	Gambling	PW	A	02-12-80	30	-	30	25	62	37	1,553	1,161	NR	NR	-	-	-	-
7.	Couzens	Vergari	Gambling, usury	PW	A	02-14-80	30	2	90	83	18	34	1,495	371	NR	NR	-	-	-	-
8.	Couzens	Facelle	Narcotics	PW	A	02-20-80	30	-	30	18	7	50	131	1	4,032	168	(Related to No. 1)			
9.	Couzens	Vergari	Narcotics	PW	S	03-25-80	30	-	30	20	21	102	422	107	6,109	229	(Related to No. 1)			
10.	Couzens	Facelle	Narcotics	PW	S	04-01-80	30	-	30	17	23	107	384	134	5,050	750	(Related to No. 1)			
11.	Couzens	Vergari	Homicide	PW	B	05-22-80	30	-	30	16	19	38	299	5	2,262	722	1	-	1P	-
12.	Couzens	Vergari	Homicide	PW	S	05-22-80	30	-	30	16	16	19	261	16	1,728	128	(Related to No. 11)			
13.	Couzens	Vergari	Homicide	M/E	B	05-22-80	30	-	30	NI										
14.	Couzens	Vergari	Narcotics, gambling, usury	PW	S,B	07-02-80	30	1	60	43	7	18	301	23	NR	NR	-	-	-	-
15.	Couzens	Vergari	Narcotics, gambling, usury	PW	B	09-29-80	30	1	60	38	4	8	152	25	NR	NR	-	-	-	-
16.	Marasco	Vergari	Kidnapping, possession of a weapon	M/E	O*	10-28-80	1	-	1	NI										

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Jail

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE RHODE ISLAND

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL																				
1.	Giannini	Roberts	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	03-03-80	30	-	30	30	11	13	336	299	4,670	170	(Related to No. 2)			
2.	Giannini	Roberts	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	03-03-80	30	-	30	30	16	36	489	389	4,670	170	9	-	-	-
3.	Giannini	Roberts	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	04-01-80	30	-	30	30	42	56	1,258	1,037	4,705	205	27	-	-	-
4.	Giannini	Roberts	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	04-01-80	30	-	30	30	45	45	1,347	1,144	4,705	205	(Related to No. 3)			
5.	Giannini	Roberts	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	04-25-80	30	-	30	30	11	63	334	74	9,152	678	-	-	-	-
6.	Giannini	Roberts	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	05-02-80	30	-	30	29	20	51	574	355	3,955	155	5	-	-	-
7.	Giannini	Roberts	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	O*	05-30-80	30	-	30	30	19	22	574	181	3,962	162	(Related to No. 8)			
8.	Giannini	Roberts	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	05-20-80	30	-	30	30	14	35	426	261	3,968	168	11	-	-	-
9.	Giannini	Roberts	Narcotics, conspiracy	PW	S	06-06-80	30	-	30	30	4	7	114	21	9,332	858	-	-	-	-
10.	Giannini	Roberts	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	07-02-80	30	1	60	60	36	NR	2,142	2,075	3,970	170	24	-	-	-
11.	Giannini	Roberts	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	07-02-80	30	1	60	60	26	NR	1,575	1,408	3,969	169	(Related to No. 10)			
12.	Giannini	Roberts	Gambling, conspiracy	PW	S	09-04-80	30	-	30	20	16	27	325	222	3,957	157	5	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Pay phone

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519STATE UTAH

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
UTAH COUNTY																				
1.	Sam	Pitt	Burglary	PW, M/E	B	10-02-80	10	-	10	10	1	2	10	-	1,500	-	-	-	-	-

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone-eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-1

**REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL
COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519**

STATE VIRGINIA

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS										
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF			
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL																				
1.	Cundiff	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	B	02-27-80	30	1	60	40	36	401	1,458	175	43,477	7,017	8	-	-	-
2.	Cundiff	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	A	03-14-80	30	1	60	52	14	234	737	287	43,165	7,572	13	-	-	-
3.	Cundiff	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	S	03-27-80	30	-	30	29	4	52	123	25	19,781	3,754	8	-	-	-
4.	Cundiff	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	S	04-20-80	30	-	30	30	16	97	488	66	20,455	4,112	9	-	-	-
5.	Gates	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	S	05-06-80	30	-	30	26	16	NR	406	75	16,232	4,285	(Related to No. 7)			
6.	Gates	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	S	05-06-80	30	-	30	26	8	NR	195	47	14,067	2,120	(Related to No. 7)			
7.	Gates	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	S	05-06-80	30	-	30	26	11	NR	277	55	14,067	2,120	9	-	1P	-
8.	Gates	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	O*	05-22-80	30	-	30	10	1	NR	6	-	170	170	(Related to No. 7)			
9.	Koontz	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	A	06-27-80 (08-29-80)	30	2	75	65	38	56	2,456	571	74,614	13,937	19	-	-	-
Wiretaps for the State Attorney General which were terminated during calendar year 1979 are being reported below. Information concerning these wiretaps are contained in summary table 8 in the text portion of this report. (Numbers 1 - 5 are contained in the 1979 report.)																				
6.	Wilkinson	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	A	06-21-79	30	-	30	30	13	6	388	14	20,879	3,993	-	-	-	-
7.	Wilkinson	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	S	06-21-79	30	-	30	30	12	6	352	19	20,892	4,004	-	-	-	-
8.	Wilkinson	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	S	06-21-79	30	-	30	30	20	6	589	42	20,892	4,004	-	-	-	-
9.	Jennings	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	S	06-26-79	30	-	30	29	31	15	887	5	33,031	5,061	-	-	-	-
10.	Winston	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	B	06-26-79	30	-	30	29	30	15	882	5	33,031	5,061	-	-	-	-
11.	Winston	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	B	06-26-79	30	1	60	59	31	15	1,847	18	66,794	10,891	-	-	-	-
12.	Wilkinson	Coleman	Narcotics	PW	S	07-31-79	30	-	30	1	28	1	28	-	4,305	1,077	(Related to No. 13)			
13.	Spain	Coleman	Narcotics, bribery	PW	S	09-06-79	30	1	60	60	30	1	1,777	204	83,146	16,765	2	2	1D	1

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone eavesdrop; O = Other; NR = Not Reported² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

*Pay phone

TABLE B-1

REPORTS CONCERNING COURT AUTHORIZED INTERCEPTS OF WIRE OR ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PURSUANT TO TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE, SEC. 2519

STATE WISCONSIN

CALENDAR YEAR 1980

REPORTS BY JUDGES										REPORTS BY PROSECUTING OFFICERS											
A.O. Report Number	Judge	Official Authorizing Application	Offense Specified	Type of Intercept ¹	Location ²	Date of Application and (Amendments)	AUTHORIZED LENGTH			Number of Days in Operation ³	Average Number of Intercepts per Day	NUMBER OF			COSTS		NUMBER OF				
							Original Order (Days)	Number of Extensions	Total Length (Days)			Persons Inter- cepted	Intercepts	Incrim- inating Intercepts	Total (\$)	Other than Manpower (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials	Motions to Suppress Intercepts ⁴	Persons Convicted	
MILWAUKEE COUNTY																					
1.	Manion	McCann	Murder	PW	M	04-10-80	7	1	37	37	20	30	741	-	13,798	-	-	-	-	-	

¹ TYPE: PW = Phone wire; M/E = Microphone eavesdrop; O = Other; NR: Not Reported
² LOCATION: S = Single family dwelling; A = Apartment; M = Multiple dwelling; B = Business location; O = Other
³ NI in this column indicates NEVER INSTALLED
⁴ MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1973
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1973 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
<u>New Jersey</u>								
State Attorney General	37	07-24-73	-	-	-	-	1	Narcotics
	38	07-24-73						
	40	08-31-73						

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1974
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1974 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
New Jersey								
State Attorney General	17	11-04-74	-	-	-	-	2	Bookmaking, conspiracy
	18	11-04-74						
	19	11-04-74						
	20	11-04-74						
	21	11-04-74						
	23	11-22-74						

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1975
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1975 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
<u>New Jersey</u>								
State Attorney General	42	11-25-75	-	-	-	-	1	Loansharking
	43	11-25-75						
	45	12-10-75						
Mercer	15	11-10-75	-	-	-	-	1	Narcotics, conspiracy

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1976
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1976 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
<u>New Jersey</u> State Attorney General	19	04-07-76	-	-	-	-	1	Gambling (lottery)
	20	04-26-76						
	23	05-09-76						
	26	05-25-76						
	27	06-10-76						
Cape May	1	06-01-76	-	-	1	-	1	Conspiracy
<u>New York</u>								
Erie	15	11-10-76	-	-	1	-	1	Gambling

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1977
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1977 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
<u>Maryland</u>								
Wicomico	1	10-23-77	-	-	1	-	1	Murder
<u>New Jersey</u>								
State Attorney General	3	01-26-77	-	-	1	-	5	Bookmaking, contempt
	4	01-28-77						
	8	02-04-77						
State Attorney General	9	02-11-77	-	-	-	-	1	Narcotics
State Attorney General	11	03-10-77	-	-	1	-	4	Bookmaking, conspiracy
	12	03-10-77						
	15	03-25-77						
	16	03-25-77						
	18	04-01-77						
	19	04-01-77						
State Attorney General	20	04-18-77	-	-	-	-	4	Narcotics, conspiracy
State Attorney General	24	05-10-77	-	-	-	-	1	Bookmaking
	25	05-25-77						
State Attorney General	26	05-31-77	-	-	-	-	2	Bookmaking
	27	06-27-77						
State Attorney General	31	06-30-77	-	-	-	5D	1	Narcotics
	32	07-21-77						
	33	07-21-77						
State Attorney General	35	10-24-77	-	-	-	-	5	Bookmaking, conspiracy
	36	10-24-77						

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1977
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1977 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980							
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted		
<u>New Jersey (Cont.)</u>										
State Attorney General	38	11-15-77	-	-	-	1G, 2D	-	-		
	39	11-15-77								
	41	11-30-77								
State Attorney General	40	11-22-77	-	-	-	3D	7	Bookmaking, disorderly conduct		
	43	12-15-77								
Bergen	2	02-09-77	-	-	1	-	1	Bookmaking, conspiracy		
	3	02-16-77								
Bergen	5	04-18-77	-	-	1	-	1	Bookmaking		
Camden	2	02-17-77	-	-	-	-	1	Narcotics		
Camden	4	03-10-77	-	-	-	-	2	Narcotics		
Ocean	1	01-18-77	8,900	9	-	-	2	Narcotics		
<u>New York</u>										
New York	4	02-18-77	-	-	1	-	1	Perjury, contempt		
	5	02-18-77								
	6	02-18-77								
Queens	6	09-19-77	-	-	-	-	1	Conspiracy		
Queens	7	10-16-77	-	-	4	1D	5	Narcotics, conspiracy		
<u>Rhode Island</u>										
State Attorney General	1	11-12-76	-	-	-	-	1	Receiving stolen goods		

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1977
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1977 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
<u>Rhode Island (Cont.)</u> State Attorney General	2	08-19-77	-	-	-	-	1	Narcotics

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1978
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1978 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
<u>Arizona</u>								
Maricopa	2	05-11-78	-	38	-	3P	5	Narcotics, conspiracy
	3	05-25-78						
	4	06-09-78						
	5	06-16-78						
	6	06-26-78						
	7	07-06-78						
Maricopa	8	07-25-78	-	6	-	5P	4	Narcotics, conspiracy
	9	08-17-78						
<u>District of Columbia</u>	1	12-21-77	-	-	1	1D	-	-
<u>Maryland</u>								
Baltimore County	1	02-24-78	-	-	1	-	1	Narcotics
Baltimore County	8	09-28-78	-	-	4	-	3	Gambling, conspiracy
<u>Massachusetts</u>								
Plymouth	1	12-04-78	-	23	23	1D	23	Gambling, conspiracy
<u>New Jersey</u>								
State Attorney General	1	12-02-77	-	6	1	6D	7	Gambling, robbery, loansharking, extortion, conspiracy
	2	12-02-77						
	3	12-02-77						
	4	12-02-77						
	6	01-23-78						
	7	01-23-78						
	8	01-23-78						
	9	01-23-78						

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1978
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1978 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
<u>New Jersey (Cont.)</u>								
State Attorney General (Cont.)	13	03-02-78						
	14	03-02-78						
	15	03-03-78						
	18	03-27-78						
	19	03-27-78						
	20	03-27-78						
	21	03-27-78						
	23	04-20-78						
	27	06-11-78						
	28	08-05-78						
	29	08-05-78						
	30	08-05-78						
State Attorney General	31	08-05-78						
	32	08-07-78						
	11	02-15-78	-	-	-	2D	12	Narcotics, conspiracy
	12	02-15-78						
State Attorney General	16	03-08-78						
	17	03-08-78						
State Attorney General	25	05-03-78	-	-	-	-	4	Bookmaking, conspiracy
	26	05-03-78						
State Attorney General	33	10-13-78	-	-	-	-	2	Bookmaking, conspiracy
	34	10-13-78						
	35	10-20-78						
	36	10-20-78						
Bergen	5	10-18-78	-	-	4	-	4	Gambling, conspiracy

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1978
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1978 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
<u>New Jersey (Cont.)</u>								
Bergen	6	11-13-78	-	-	2	-	2	Gambling, conspiracy
Ocean	1	03-13-78	9,000	7	-	-	3	Narcotics, extortion
<u>New York</u>								
Erie	1	03-06-78	380	1	-	-	-	-
Kings	1	10-06-78	-	3	-	-	1	Gambling
Nassau	3	03-16-78	-	-	4	-	4	Usury
Nassau	16	12-07-78	-	-	1	-	1	Attempted murder
New York	2	03-15-78	-	-	-	-	1	Narcotics
Oneida	1,2	(See Appendix Table B-1 of this report.)						
Queens	1	12-06-77	-	-	1	1D	3	Narcotics
Queens	4	03-09-78	-	-	-	-	8	Conspiracy
Queens	5	03-10-78	-	-	2	1D	8	Possession of stolen property
Queens	7	05-16-78	-	-	-	-	2	Usury
Queens	9	09-15-78	-	-	-	-	1	Narcotics
<u>Rhode Island</u>								
State Attorney General	1	03-10-78	-	-	-	-	2	Robbery

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1978
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1978 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
<u>Rhode Island (Cont.)</u>								
State Attorney General	2	07-28-78	-	-	1	1D, 1P	1	Burglary, possession of firearm
State Attorney General	3	10-26-78	-	-	-	-	2	Narcotics

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1979
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1979 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
<u>Arizona</u>								
Maricopa	2	02-28-79	-	27	-	2P	1	Narcotics, conspiracy
Maricopa	8	09-13-79	-	9	-	-	3	Pornography, stolen property
Pima	1	07-24-79	-	1	-	11P	-	-
Pima	2	08-28-79	-	36	-	36P	-	-
Pima	3	09-12-79	-	15	-	11P	4	Narcotics
<u>Connecticut</u>								
Judicial District of Danbury (Fairfield)	4	12-05-79	-	4	-	-	4	Gambling
Judicial District of Hartford (Hartford)	1	10-15-79	-	2	-	1D	1	Gambling
Judicial District of Hartford (Hartford)	2	10-31-79	-	8	-	1P	7	Gambling
Judicial District of Hartford (Hartford)	3	10-31-79	-	1	-	1P	-	-
Judicial District of Hartford (Hartford)	4	11-08-79	125	2	-	1P	1	Gambling
Judicial District of Hartford (Hartford)	5	11-08-79	125	4	-	2P	2	Gambling

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1979
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1979 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts *	Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
<u>Connecticut (Cont.)</u>								
Judicial District of Hartford (Hartford)	6	11-08-79	-	9	1	1D, 3P	4	Gambling
Judicial District of Middlesex (Middlesex)	1	10-23-79	-	2	-	-	2	Gambling
Judicial District of New Haven (New Haven)	2	07-19-79	-	14	-	11P	1	Narcotics
Judicial District of New Haven (New Haven)	3	07-26-79	-	3	-	2P	1	Gambling
Judicial District of New Haven (New Haven)	4	11-08-79	-	5	-	2P	3	Narcotics
<u>Delaware</u>								
State Attorney General	2	10-11-79	-	-	-	3P	3	Narcotics, conspiracy
<u>Florida</u>								
State Attorney General	1	03-14-79	-	2	2	-	2	Narcotics, conspiracy
State Attorney General	2	09-20-79	-	12	1	2D	15	Narcotics
Sixth Judicial Circuit (Pasco and Pinellas)	1	01-22-79	8,900	19	-	-	-	-
Sixth Judicial Circuit (Pasco and Pinellas)	2	02-20-79	968	-	-	-	-	-

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1979
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1979 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
<u>Florida (Cont.)</u>								
Seventh Judicial Circuit (Volusia)	1	(See Appendix Table B-1 of this report.)						
Eighth Judicial Circuit (Alachua)	1	10-03-79	-	-	-	3D	4	Narcotics, racketeering
	2	10-17-79						
	3	11-26-79						
	4	12-01-79						
Eleventh Judicial Circuit (Dade)	6	01-05-79	88,396	-	-	-	-	-
Eleventh Judicial Circuit (Dade)	8-11	(See Appendix Table B-1 of this report.)						
Twelfth Judicial Circuit (Sarasota)	1	02-28-79	-	1	1	-	1	Extortion
Twelfth Judicial Circuit (Sarasota)	2	03-06-79	-	1	1	-	1	Extortion
Twelfth Judicial Circuit (Sarasota)	4	04-24-79	-	4	4	-	4	Narcotics
Twelfth Judicial Circuit (Sarasota)	5	05-03-79	-	3	3	-	3	Narcotics
Twelfth Judicial Circuit (Sarasota)	6	06-05-79	-	2	2	-	2	Narcotics

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1979
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1979 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts *	Persons Convicted	
<u>Florida (Cont.)</u>								
Twelfth Judicial Circuit (Sarasota)	7	06-25-79	-	2	2	-	2	Narcotics
Twelfth Judicial Circuit (Sarasota)	8	07-20-79	-	2	2	-	2	Narcotics
Twelfth Judicial Circuit (Sarasota)	9	08-03-79	-	2	2	-	2	Narcotics
Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (Broward)	2	01-26-79	22,000	-	-	-	-	-
Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (Broward)	3	08-28-79	-	-	-	2D	2	Narcotics
Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (Broward)	4	10-12-79	-	2	-	1D	-	-
Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (Broward)	6	10-24-79	-	11	-	-	-	-
Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (Broward)	7	11-14-79	-	3	1	1D	1	Bookmaking
Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (Broward)	8	11-15-79	-	1	1	1D	1	Gambling
Twentieth Judicial Circuit (Lee)	1	06-22-79	-	7	4	4D, 95P	4	Narcotics

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1979
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1979 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
<u>Georgia</u>								
Cobb	1,2	(See Appendix Table B-1 of this report.)						
Fulton	1	09-24-79	-	18	1	1D	18	Gambling, prostitution, terroristic threats
	2	10-30-79						
	3	11-19-79						
Gwinnett	1	(See Appendix Table B-1 of this report.)						
<u>Hawaii</u>								
Honolulu	1	08-20-79	-	1	1	1D	1	Murder
<u>Kansas</u>								
Sedgwick	1	09-18-79	10,740	5	-	2P	-	-
<u>Maryland</u>								
Baltimore County	1	11-29-78	-	-	3	1D	1	Narcotics
Baltimore County	2	03-05-79	-	-	1	-	1	Gambling
Baltimore County	4	04-10-79	-	-	3	-	2	Gambling

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1979
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1979 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
<u>Massachusetts</u>								
Middlesex	1	11-28-79	-	-	2	-	2	Gambling
Plymouth	5	04-06-79	-	19	-	5D, 2P	-	-
Suffolk	1	03-30-79	-	-	-	-	5	Receiving stolen property
Suffolk	2	03-30-79	-	2	-	-	2	Narcotics
Worcester	1	10-02-79	-	14	14	-	14	Gambling
	2	10-31-79						
<u>Nevada</u>								
Clark	1	08-26-79	-	4	1	-	2	Robbery, possession of firearms
<u>New Jersey</u>								
State Attorney General	5	02-01-79	-	18	1	-	17	Narcotics, conspiracy
State Attorney General	6	02-03-79	-	-	-	-	8	Narcotics, conspiracy
	8	02-23-79						
	9	03-01-79						
State Attorney General	7	02-16-79	-	1	-	-	-	-
	12	03-15-79						
	18	04-19-79						
	24	05-24-79						
	34	10-22-79						
	36	10-26-79						

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1979
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1979 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
<u>New Jersey (Cont.)</u>								
State Attorney General	13	03-26-79	-	-	-	-	1	Bookmaking, conspiracy
	16	04-05-79						
	17	04-05-79						
State Attorney General	14	03-28-79	-	2	-	-	1	Gambling
	20	04-25-79						
	25	05-29-79						
State Attorney General	15	04-03-79	-	6	-	-	-	-
	19	04-24-79						
State Attorney General	26	07-12-79	-	8	-	-	-	-
State Attorney General	27	08-09-79	-	-	-	3D	4	Gambling
	30	09-14-79						
	31	09-14-79						
	32	09-14-79						
State Attorney General	28	08-13-79	-	1	-	-	-	-
State Attorney General	35	10-24-79	-	8	-	1D	6	Narcotics, conspiracy
	38	11-07-79						
Bergen	2	06-12-79	-	-	1	-	1	Narcotics, conspiracy
Bergen	6	10-23-79	-	-	1	-	1	Bookmaking
Bergen	7	11-08-79	-	-	1	-	1	Gambling
Bergen	8	11-08-79	-	-	1	-	1	Bookmaking, conspiracy

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1979
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1979 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	Offense for Which Convicted
<u>New Jersey (Cont.)</u>								
Bergen	9	11-27-79	-	-	4	-	4	Bookmaking, conspiracy
Burlington	1	03-08-79	-	-	-	-	5	Bookmaking, conspiracy
Camden	1	01-04-79	-	-	-	-	8	Bookmaking
Camden	8	05-18-79	-	-	-	-	5	Narcotics
Hunterdon	1	02-23-79	733	26	26	-	6	Narcotics
Mercer	1	12-15-78	-	-	-	3P	4	Gambling, conspiracy
Mercer	3	03-19-79	-	-	-	-	5	Narcotics, conspiracy
Mercer	5	11-13-79	-	9	-	-	8	Gambling, conspiracy
Mercer	6	12-10-79	-	2	-	-	1	Gambling, conspiracy
Monmouth	5	09-20-79	-	4	-	1G, 1D	17	Gambling
Morris	2	10-22-79	-	8	-	-	-	-
	3	11-02-79						
Ocean	1	12-28-78	1,022	1	-	-	1	Gambling
Ocean	2	01-05-79	975	4	-	1G	-	-
	3	01-05-79						

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1979
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1979 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
<u>New Jersey (Cont.)</u>								
Ocean	4	01-25-79	4,815	2	1	-	2	Narcotics
	5	01-25-79						
<u>New Mexico</u>								
State Attorney General	1	(See Appendix Table B-1 of this report.)						
Bernalillo	1,2	(See Appendix Table B-1 of this report.)						
<u>New York</u>								
Bronx	1	06-25-79	-	-	5	2G	9	Usury, conspiracy
Bronx	4	10-16-79	-	1	-	-	1	Bribery
Nassau	1	12-01-78	-	-	2	1D	3	Gambling
Nassau	4	03-06-79	-	-	-	=	4	Narcotics
Nassau	8	06-13-79	-	-	1	2D, 2P	5	Gambling
Nassau	10	07-09-79	-	-	-	-	4	Gambling
Nassau	11	08-03-79	-	-	-	-	2	Gambling
Nassau	13	10-11-79	-	-	6	3P	8	Gambling

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1979
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1979 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
<u>New York (Cont.)</u>								
Nassau	14	11-07-79	-	-	1	1P	1	Gambling
Nassau	15,16	(See Appendix Table B-1 of this report.)						
New York	1	02-01-79	-	-	-	7D	7	Narcotics
	6	03-02-79						
	10	04-23-79						
New York	11	05-02-79	-	-	-	7D	2	Narcotics, conspiracy
	15	06-14-79						
	21	08-28-79						
	23	09-13-79						
	24	10-12-79						
	26	11-27-79						
	27	11-27-79						
New York	16	06-18-79	-	9	3	9D	7	Contempt
	20	08-22-79						
New York	17	07-03-79	-	3	-	2P	-	-
New York	18	08-06-79	-	11	-	11D	10	Narcotics, conspiracy
New York	22	09-11-79	-	5	-	3P	1	Bribery
Ontario	1	09-21-79	9,500	4	-	-	-	-
Orange	1	02-20-79	-	2	2	2G, 2P	-	-
Orange	2	03-21-79	-	6	-	1G, 1P	7	Gambling

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

TABLE B-2

**SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT BY PROSECUTORS
FOR INTERCEPTS TERMINATED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1979
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1980**

State, County	A.O. Report Number in 1979 Report	Date of Application	Additional Activity During Calendar Year 1980					Offense for Which Convicted
			Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested	Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress Intercepts*	Persons Convicted	
<u>New York (Cont.)</u>								
Oswego	1	07-06-79	-	1	-	-	3	Narcotics
Queens	1	11-27-78	-	-	-	-	1	Narcotics
Queens	4	01-15-79	-	-	-	2D	2	Narcotics
	7	03-05-79	-	-	-	-	-	-
Queens	5	01-19-79	-	-	-	2D	-	-
	6	01-26-79	-	-	-	-	-	-
Westchester	2	02-02-79	-	-	1	1D	1	Forgery
Westchester	7	04-17-79	-	-	2	2D	2	Narcotics
Westchester	8	05-31-79	-	-	2	-	2	Murder
Westchester	10	07-18-79	-	1	2	2D	2	Manslaughter
<u>Rhode Island</u>								
State Attorney General	1	12-11-78	-	-	-	4P	-	-
State Attorney General	2	04-02-79	-	-	-	13P	-	-
<u>Virginia</u>								
State Attorney General	6-13	(See Appendix Table B-1 of this report.)						

*MOTIONS: G = Granted; D = Denied; P = Pending.

END