


National Criminal Justice Reference Service



This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20531

4-12-82



Government of Canada

Gouvernement du Canada

CRJ-5-10-82

National Parole Board

Commission nationale des libérations conditionnelles

The National Parole Board



U.S. Department of Justice 81412
National Institute of Justice


This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by
Minister of Supply and
Services Canada

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

81412



Eligibility Table

Length of Sentence	Time to be Served Before Eligibility			Number of Members Required to Vote (3)
	Temporary Absence (1)	Day Parole	Full Parole	
0 to 2 years less a day	N/A	1/2 time before PED (2)	1/3 of sentence	2
2 to 5 years	If entered penitentiary before March 1/78, 6 months after entrance; on or after March 1/78, 6 months after sentencing or 1/2 time before PED, whichever is longer.	For 2 to 12 year sentences, 6 mos. or 1/2 time to PED whichever is longer For sentences of 12 years or more, 2 years before PED	1/3 of sentence or 7 years whichever is less except if violent conduct (described in the Parole Act and Regulations) is involved, then it is: 1/2 of sentence or 7 years whichever is less	3
5 to 10 years				5
10 years or more excluding life sentences				5
Life as a maximum punishment (for crimes other than 1st or 2nd degree murder)	If entered penitentiary before March 1/78, 6 months after entrance; on or after March 1/78, 3 years before PED	5 years	7 years	7
Preventive detention (as a habitual or dangerous sexual offender)	1 year	1 year	1 year	
Detention for an indeterminate period (since Oct. 15/77 as a dangerous offender)	3 years	3 years	3 years	
Life for murder before Jan. 4/68	3 years after entered penitentiary	3 years before PED	7 years	
Life for murder Jan. 4/68 to Jan. 1/74			10 years	
Life: death commuted before Jan. 1/74			10-20 years; Judicial Review possible at 15 years	
Life for murder, Jan. 1/74 to July 26/76				
Life: death commuted by Jan. 1/74 to July 26/76				
Life: death not commuted by July 26/76				
Life for 1st degree murder on or after July 26/76	3 years before PED		25 years; Judicial Review possible at 15 years	
Life for 2nd degree murder on or after July 26/76				

NOTE: 1) When 3 or 5 votes are required for a first release on TA, subsequent TAs require only 2 votes.

2) PED refers to full parole eligibility date. It is calculated from sentencing date except for lifers where it is calculated from date of arrest.

3) A simple majority of affirmative votes is required to grant release for all cases with the exception of life and indeterminate sentences, for which two-third of the votes

must be favorable. Any Board Member may ask for additional votes but the total number of votes in any case may not exceed nine.

**Offices of the
National Parole Board**

Headquarters:

National Parole Board
340 Laurier Avenue West
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0R1

Atlantic Region:

(N.B., N.S., P.E.I. & Nfld.)
1222 Main Street, 4th floor
Moncton, N.B.
E1C 8T6

Quebec Region:

505 Sherbrooke Street East
2nd Floor
Montreal, P.Q.
H2L 4N3

Ontario Region:

86 Clarence Street
Kingston, Ontario
K7L 4X1

Prairies Region:

(Man., Sask., Alta. & NWT)
Financial Building, 7th Floor
230-22nd Street East
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan
S7K 0E9

Pacific Region:

(B.C. and the Yukon)
4664 Lougheed Highway,
Room 230
Burnaby, B.C.
V5C 5T5

Table of Contents

Origin of Parole	2
Parole in Canada	3
The Board	4
Membership	4
Organization	4
Jurisdiction	4
Authority	5
Provincial Parole Boards	5
Types of Release	5
Temporary Absence	5
Day Parole	6
Full Parole	6
Mandatory Supervision	6
Eligibility for Parole	7
Provincial Inmates	7
Violent Offences — Repeaters	7
Habitual Criminals	8
Dangerous Sexual Offenders	8
Dangerous Offenders	8
Lifers	8
Parole by Exception	8
The Decision to Release	9
Studying the Case	9
Sharing of Information	10
The Hearing	10
The Decision to Release	10
Voting	11
Supervision	13
Suspension and Revocation	14
Re-Examination of Decisions	15
Pardons	15
In Summary	16

NCJRS

OCT 1 1981

ACQUISITIONS

© Minister of Supply and Services Canada 1981

Cat. No. JS92-8/1981

ISBN 0-662-51205-7

In the last twenty years, much literature and discussion in the field of corrections has been focused on the re-integration of the offender into the community. Although the traditional objective of punishment as a deterrent is still a dominant consideration, attention is being directed at alternatives to incarceration. Parole is one of these alternatives allowing carefully selected offenders to serve — under specific conditions — a part of their sentence in the community.

Origin of Parole

Although the term "parole" was not used until 1846, the concept of conditional release reaches much further back, traceable to the original systems of conditional pardon, clemency indenture, transportation of criminals and Ticket-Of-Leave.

The Order of the English Privy Council of 1617 made it government policy to transport convicts overseas. Soon, these convicts were being sent to the new world as indentured servants. After serving their time or purchasing their freedom, many of these convicts became the settlers whose branches spread into our present civilization.

With the advent of the Revolutionary War, England sent convicts to New South Wales in Australia where the Governor was given the power of conditional pardon which developed into the Ticket-Of-Leave system.

The Ticket-Of-Leave system is the root of the "good-time" or remission principle. It was the last step of a graded system through which convicts had the opportunity to progress. Progress was measured by "marks" earned through good conduct and labour. The steps were:

- (1) strict imprisonment,
- (2) work on chain gangs,
- (3) periods of partial freedom,
- (4) Ticket-Of-Leave, during which the convict was required to report to the local constabulary and conform to a series of rules.

Those persons familiar with the present parole system will note certain parallels between the Ticket-Of-Leave system and the contemporary parole system.

Parole in Canada

Parole in Canada is identified with "An Act to Provide for the Conditional Liberation of Convicts" passed by Parliament in 1899. Prior to 1899, prisoners were released from custody by Order of the Governor General upon the advice of a Minister of the Crown as an expression of the Royal Prerogative of Mercy. These releases were unconditional and approved mainly on the basis of humanitarian considerations.

Under the terms of the new Act, the Governor General could grant a conditional release. This conditional liberation was seen as a method of bridging the gap between the control and restraints of institutional life and the freedom and responsibility of community life.

Canada was sparsely settled and the task of developing a system of parole supervision difficult. Much reliance was placed on the monthly reporting of the parolee to the police, and the guidance and supervision volunteered by the Salvation Army.

The administration of the Act was the responsibility of officers of the Department of Justice who constituted a section of the Department known as the Remission Service.

The depression years were characterized by an increase in prison population and a concurrent increase in the number of Tickets-Of-Leave to join the Armed Forces or to accept employment in war industry through what was known as the "Special War Purposes Ticket-Of-Leave".

During the post-war years, considerable expansion of social services took place. In the field of corrections, the Salvation Army expanded and developed their services and the John Howard and Elizabeth Fry Societies and

other agencies became involved in parole supervision. The increasing availability of such services underlined the importance of making the supervision of parolees an integral part of the Ticket-Of-Leave system itself, and thus a part of the conditional release of all persons on parole.

In 1953 the Minister of Justice appointed a Committee of Inquiry into the Remission Service. The Committee's report, which became known as the Fauteux Report, recommended the enactment of legislation to create a National Parole Board.

On February 15, 1959, the Parole Act was proclaimed, transferring the authority to grant conditional release to an independent Board with Members appointed by the Governor in Council.

The 1950s in Canada were characterized by the emergence of a number of new penological systems focussing on the provision of services outside of or as alternatives to imprisonment. Two of these systems, probation and parole, grew into substantial services during the early 1960s. "Corrections" became professionalized, drawing its staff from graduates in criminology and social work, as well as from other related disciplines.

The early enthusiastic and unrealistic expectations of these and other correctional programs have not been realized; in effect they have not proven to be the answer to the crime problem. However they have contributed to the creation of a penal system which is less severe in its impact on the minor or accidental offender, and which is more humane and equitable in its administration.

The Board

Membership

The National Parole Board comprises 26 full-time Members. Members are appointed for a period of up to 10 years, by the Governor in Council (the Cabinet) upon the recommendation of the Solicitor General. Temporary Members may also be appointed to assist the Board in its duties. Members may be reappointed. One of the Members is designated as Chairman, another as Vice-Chairman.

There are no specific qualifications to become a Member of the Board. The present Members have experience encompassing criminology, psychology, social work, law, corrections, law enforcement, and journalism. Major ethnic groups are also represented.

Besides these Members, representatives from police forces, local governments, business and industry, professional associations, trade unions, or community associations are called upon, in certain cases, to assist in the Board's decision-making process. These members are known as Regional Community Board members and are appointed by the Solicitor General to the regional offices of the Board. They act as regular Board Members when release is being considered for inmates serving life for murder, or inmates serving sentences of preventive detention as dangerous offenders, dangerous sexual offenders, or habitual criminals. (See explanations on page 8.)

Organization

The Board is an agency within the Department of the Solicitor General, which also includes the Correctional Service of Canada and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. It is com-

pletely independent of outside control and in the exercise of its decision-making role, except, of course, the ultimate control of Parliament, through the exercise of its legislative function.

In addition to its headquarters in Ottawa, the Board has five regional offices (see list on inside front cover) where cases are studied and the vast majority of decisions are made to grant or not to grant conditional release to eligible inmates. This decision making process is initiated in the regions; usually Ottawa is not involved, except when a case requires more than three votes. On the other hand only Ottawa Members are called upon to re-examine certain refusals as the need arises and to make recommendations to the Governor in Council concerning the granting of pardons.

The Correctional Service of Canada, is responsible for preparing reports on the cases that come before the Board and providing supervision of those granted parole or released on mandatory supervision, under terms defined by the Board.

Jurisdiction

The Board has exclusive jurisdiction and absolute discretion to grant, deny or revoke day parole and full parole for inmates in both federal and provincial prisons, except for cases under the jurisdiction of provincial parole boards, mentioned below. The Board is ultimately responsible for the granting of unescorted temporary absences; however, in some instances the Board delegates its authority to grant unescorted temporary absences to direc-

tors of institutions. The Board also has the authority to revoke mandatory supervision.

The Board has jurisdiction over persons who are serving a sentence of imprisonment as a result of any federal offence but it has no jurisdiction over juveniles within the meaning of the Juvenile Delinquents Act, or over anyone in custody who is serving a sentence intermittently.

Authority

Parole may be granted, according to the Parole Act, when:

- the requirement of the law or regulations as to the time that must be served before becoming eligible for parole has been met;
- the reform and rehabilitation of the inmate will be aided by the grant of parole;
- the release of the inmate on parole would not constitute an undue risk to society;
- in the case of full parole, the inmate has derived the maximum benefit from imprisonment.

Under the Act, the Board has authority to impose the conditions under which the parolee or inmate under mandatory supervision will live in the community.

The Board is also required, when requested by the Solicitor General to do so, to make enquiries in connection with the exercise of the Royal Prerogative of Mercy.

In addition, the Board has the responsibility, under the Criminal Records Act, to make recommendations to the Solicitor General concerning applications for pardon.

Provincial Parole Boards

Until recently, only Ontario and British Columbia had their own parole boards. These boards had a limited jurisdiction since they were concerned only with the paroling of inmates serving the

indefinite portion of a definite/indefinite sentence. (This particular type of sentence existed only in Ontario and British Columbia).

Since the 1st of September 1978, it has been possible by law for any interested province to establish its own parole board, with jurisdiction over all inmates serving a definite sentence in provincial institutions. To date, Ontario, Quebec and British Columbia have taken advantage of this new section of the Act.

It occasionally happens, under a transfer agreement between the federal government and the provinces, that dangerous offenders or inmates serving life as a minimum sentence, or life as a commuted death sentence, are found in provincial institutions. The National Parole Board maintains its jurisdiction over these cases.

The provincial boards are bound by the provisions of the Parole Act, and Parole Regulations made under it, that specifically apply to provincial boards. The provinces may develop their own rules and regulations in order to tailor the administration of the Act to their particular needs, so long as they do not conflict with the Act or Regulations.

Types of Release

Temporary Absence

A temporary absence (TA) from a penitentiary is usually the first release a penitentiary inmate will be granted. TAs are given for any of four purposes: medical, humanitarian, rehabilitative or administrative. They may be with or without escort. Escorted temporary absence means that the inmate, either by himself or as a member of a group, is accompanied by an escorting officer. Unescorted TAs are the responsibility

of the National Parole Board. In certain instances of inmates serving sentences of less than five years the Board delegates its authority in this area to the director of an institution who is also responsible for granting TAs without escort.

The frequency and length of temporary absences vary according to type. Rehabilitative TAs, which usually do not exceed 72 hours each three-month period, are the most frequently requested and granted of the four types. In addition to a 72 hour rehabilitative TA, other forms of temporary absence may be granted in the same three-month period if the Board or institutional authorities consider they are justified or necessary.

Day Parole

The Board also grants day parole. This type of release is usually granted to an inmate who the Board considers will be a potential candidate for full parole. It is used as a kind of testing ground for that inmate. For the duration of his day parole, the inmate must return periodically (often every night) to the institution, a community correctional centre or a community residential centre (half-way houses). Day parole may last a maximum of 12 months but is usually granted for periods of less than four months for one of the following reasons:

- to allow an inmate to complete his education or training, when the facilities are not available in the institution;
- to give him the opportunity to take part in forestry projects, a community service, harvesting;
- for re-acquaintance with his family.

Day parole helps prevent the shock and frustration of an abrupt release from the institution without adequate plans, job, accommodation, or re-established family ties. It also gives the Board an opportunity to assess how well the

inmate might do if released full time, under conditions of more intensive supervision than would apply with full parole, and under conditions which facilitate a quick return to custody if conduct deteriorates. When successfully completed, day parole may lead to full parole.

Full Parole

Full parole is the full-time conditional release of an inmate. When paroled, an individual is allowed to serve his sentence in the community until its expiry date, unless he fails to adhere to the conditions of his release or the Board has sufficient grounds to believe that he is returning to criminal activity, or the parolee actually commits a new crime. In such circumstances, parole is suspended and usually revoked.

Mandatory Supervision

Penitentiary inmates who are not released on parole are entitled by law to serve in the community, under supervision, the time accumulated by statutory or earned remission. (Inmates whose penitentiary time began before July, 1970, however are not supervised). Remission, commonly known as "time off for good behaviour", can be as much as one third of an inmate's sentence. If the inmate does not like the idea of being supervised in the community under conditions similar to those of parole, he has the option of remaining in the institution until the expiry date of his sentence, thus in effect cancelling his remission.

Contrary to parole, which is a discretionary act by the Board, release under mandatory supervision is a legal right over which the Board has no control. However, the Board has the authority to revoke mandatory supervision and send individuals back to prison to serve the remaining portion of their sentence if the conditions of the release are violated or if the inmate commits a new crime.

Eligibility for Parole



To serve a part of his sentence in the community other than on mandatory supervision, an inmate must be eligible under the Parole Act and Regulations or the Criminal Code, and must be considered ready for release by the Board. Even when the inmate has become eligible for a particular type of release, the Board has the discretion to grant or not to grant that release; it is never an automatic process.

Eligibility for conditional release by the Board is based on the length and date of the sentence. (For more details, consult the eligibility table in the pocket of the booklet.)

A federal inmate serving a definite sentence other than life, usually becomes eligible for consideration for full parole after serving one-third of the sentence or after seven years whichever comes first. Review by the Parole Board is undertaken automatically once the eligibility date is reached.

Eligibility for day parole and unescorted temporary absence ranges from six months

after sentencing or one-half the time before the eligibility date for full parole for those sentenced to definite terms of imprisonment, to three years before full parole eligibility in the case of murderers sentenced for life.

Provincial Inmates

An inmate serving a sentence of less than two years in a provincial institution under federal law, may be paroled by the National Parole Board when the inmate is not under the jurisdiction of a provincial parole board. Eligibility for full parole normally comes after the inmate has served one-third of his sentence, however, the National Parole Board reviews the case only if it receives an application.

Violent Offences — Repeaters

However, an inmate sentenced a second time within 10 years for an offence that is considered under the Parole Regulations to have involved violent conduct, has to serve one-half of his

sentence or seven years, whichever is less, before becoming eligible for parole.

Habitual Criminals

Anyone serving a sentence of preventive detention because he was declared to be an habitual criminal must have his case reviewed by the Board once a year, as stated in the Criminal Code. This legal requirement for yearly review is a means of ensuring that those who are serving indeterminate sentences are not forgotten in the prison cells. By no means should the automatic reviews be understood as likely to lead, at the beginning or perhaps for many years, to a grant of parole.

Dangerous Sexual Offenders

The Board is also required to review, once a year, the cases of inmates sentenced before October 15, 1977 to an indeterminate term as dangerous sexual offenders. Following this date the old category of dangerous sexual offender was abolished and replaced with a new and broader category, the dangerous offender.

Dangerous Offenders

Since October 15, 1977, the courts can impose indeterminate sentences on any individual who they consider to be dangerous offenders, in other words, those offenders who have been convicted of serious personal injury offences and have backgrounds of persistent aggressive or violent behavior.

A dangerous offender becomes eligible for parole three years after being taken into custody and must have his case reviewed at that time and every two years thereafter. In practice, few inmates with such a sentence are paroled before at least eight to 10 years have been served.

Lifers

An offender sentenced to life imprisonment for

a crime other than murder becomes eligible for consideration after seven years. Eligibility dates for inmates sentenced to life imprisonment before July 26, 1976 vary considerably (see Eligibility Chart in the pocket of this booklet for details).

Since July 26, 1976, there have been two categories of murder: first and second degree. First degree murder covers all planned and deliberate murders and certain other murders (e.g. contracted murders; murder of a police officer, a prison employee or any other person authorized to work in a prison, when he is on duty). The mandatory period to be served before parole eligibility is 25 years.

Persons who have committed second degree murder (i.e. any murder that is not first degree murder) can become eligible for parole after serving between 10 and 25 years of their sentence, as determined by the sentencing judge.

Anyone convicted of murder who must serve more than 15 years before parole eligibility may apply after 15 years for a judicial review by a Superior Court judge and a jury to either reduce the remaining period before eligibility or to be declared eligible for parole immediately.

Parole by Exception

The Parole Regulations allow for the paroling of inmates (excluding certain categories such as dangerous offenders and murderers) before their parole eligibility date in exceptional circumstances, namely:

- (a) the inmate is terminally ill;
- (b) the inmate's physical or mental health is likely to suffer serious damage if he continues to be held in confinement; or
- (c) there is a deportation order made against the inmate under the Immigration Act, 1976 and the inmate is to be detained under that Act until deported.

The Decision to Release



To grant or to refuse conditional release is a difficult and crucial decision. The interests of the inmate must be carefully balanced with those of the community.

A decision is only rendered by the Board after it has followed certain defined steps. Procedural safeguards, which are aimed at protecting both the inmate and the community, play an important role in this process.

Studying the Case

Before making a decision on the type of release, the Board studies the case in depth. For each inmate, there is a file containing information on him gathered from many sources. It consists of a number of reports and documents, the major ones being:

- the inmate's criminal record (known as his finger-print statement or F.P.S.);
- a police report describing in detail the offence, the effect of the crime on the victim, and the role that the offender (and

- any accomplices) played;
- institutional reports written by social workers, correctional officers, shop instructors and other staff;
- reports from the social agencies that have dealt with the inmate;
- the parole officer's report assessing the reaction of the community to which the inmate wishes to return;
- the parole officer's report based on a detailed interview with the inmate and his assessment;
- an up-to-date police report with an opinion about the possible return of the inmate to the community;
- psychological profiles and assessments and any psychiatric reports;
- sometimes a statement from the judge who sentenced the inmate;
- letters from family members, friends, employers and others.



Sharing of Information

With the exception of certain information protected under the Canadian Human Rights Act, the Board advises the individual verbally at the hearing of the information in its possession that it considers relevant to its decision to grant or not to grant parole.

The Hearing

Once the investigation is completed, the Board begins its review, which for penitentiary cases generally includes a hearing. There are usually two or three Board Members at the hearing, depending on the length of the inmate's sentence. A hearing is held not only with inmates being considered for full parole but also with inmates who apply for their first unescorted temporary absence or for day parole. The hearing, which usually lasts at least 30 minutes, allows the Board Members to ask questions of the inmate himself and seek clarification about matters contained in the case file and previously studied by the Board Members

involved. It also gives a dimension to the Board's evaluation that could never be achieved by file study, however good the reports might be.

The Decision to Release

The major elements that Board Members take into consideration when making a decision are:

- the criminal record, kinds of offences and their pattern, and length of crime-free periods between convictions;
- the nature and seriousness of the current offence;
- the inmate's understanding of his criminal behaviour and the concrete action he has taken to change;
- the inmate's accomplishments while incarcerated; training, participation in activities, grades obtained;
- the inmate's behaviour when granted a temporary absence release or day parole if it applies;



- previous parole violations;
- the inmate's relationship with his family and friends;
- his release plan: for instance where he would live, who could help him outside, what plans he has for employment or training, and how definite they are, and how he feels all of these will keep him out of further trouble with the law;
- the possible effects on the community; he were to commit another crime.

The experience of the Board during the last several years has been that parole has been granted in about 40% of cases of inmates in federal institutions and in about 50% of cases of inmates in provincial institutions.

Voting

All Board Members have an equal vote, and for each type of sentence a minimum number of votes is needed to grant a parole. The length of the sentence determines the number of votes

required. Any Board Member may, however, request that more than the minimum number of Members vote on a particular case but the total number of votes cast may not exceed nine. The Regional Board Members are the first ones to cast their votes at the hearing but the number of their votes usually does not exceed three. Cases requiring more votes are then sent to Ottawa where the required number of Board Members also review the case and vote in the light of information contained in the file.

A simple majority of the required votes is needed to authorize temporary absence or to grant day parole or full parole for all inmates except lifers or persons serving indeterminate sentences; in these cases, a minimum of seven votes must be cast, two of them by Regional Community Board Members. Two-thirds of these votes must be positive in order for any type of release to be granted.

For more details, consult the eligibility table in the pocket of the booklet.



Supervision

When the Board has decided to grant parole, the inmate must sign a document which prescribes the conditions of his release. He may, in addition to the standard conditions, be given some specific conditions related to a particular behavioural pattern (for instance, not to frequent specified areas).

The Correctional Service of Canada is responsible for supervising inmates released on parole by the Board and those who are released, by law, on mandatory supervision. The Correctional Service either supervises inmates directly or provides supervision through private after-care agencies such as the John Howard Society and the Elizabeth Fry Society or the Allied Indian and Metis Society.

In general, the method and frequency of parole supervision are determined by the individual parole supervisor under guidelines laid down by the Board. The Board bears the ultimate responsibility for deciding whether a parole should be cancelled and the inmate returned to incarceration as a result of violations of parole or mandatory supervision conditions.

The standard conditions of release are:

- to remain, until the expiry of his sentence, under the authority of the designated representative of the National Parole Board;
- to proceed immediately and directly to the area designated in the instructions and, immediately upon arrival and at least once a month thereafter to report to the police nearest the place of residence or as instructed by the supervisor;
- to remain in a designated area and not to leave this area without obtaining permission beforehand from the representative of

the Board;

- to endeavour to maintain steady employment and to report at once to the supervisor any change or termination of employment or change in any other circumstances such as accident or illness;
- to obtain approval from the representative of the Board before purchasing a motor vehicle, incurring debts by borrowing money or instalment buying, assuming additional responsibilities such as marriage, and owning or carrying firearms or other weapons;
- to communicate immediately with the representative of the Board if arrested or questioned by police regarding any offence;
- to obey the law and fulfill all legal and social responsibilities.

The conditions apply to all parolees, day parolees and inmates released on mandatory supervision and are designed to ensure, among other things, that careful thought is given to assuming additional responsibilities. Violation of any of these conditions or of any special condition may result in suspension and perhaps revocation of the release by the Board.

By imposing these conditions, the Board places certain restrictions on the freedom of the parolee or person under mandatory supervision. However, the change from the controlled environment of a prison to the relatively free one of the community is not always easy, and the conditions serve as a protection not only for society but also for the inmate himself. A parole supervisor is responsible for ensuring that the person he supervises abides by the conditions of the contract.

Suspension and Revocation



In general, a suspension occurs when a parole officer given authority by the Board decides it is necessary to suspend parole or mandatory supervision because of a violation of the release conditions or because he has reasonable grounds to believe that a continuation of the release will entail a risk to the public.

When his conditional release is suspended, the parolee or person on mandatory supervision is returned to custody and an investigation is commenced immediately. At any time during the next 14 days the suspension may be cancelled by the parole officer in authority if explanations by the inmate indicate that reasons for the suspension are not of continuing concern. However, if it is a serious case, it is

referred to the Board which has the jurisdiction to cancel the suspension or revoke the release. Revocation is not ordered until the inmate has had a chance to make explanations to the Board. If the release is revoked, the person is sent back to incarceration.

Eligibility for consideration of future release depends on the nature of the revocation and the nature of the new offence and the length of the new term. Normally, when revocation is not accompanied by a new sentence, the next review date for full parole will be in six months to two years. After revocation, if the inmate is given a new sentence, the remaining portion of the first sentence and the new one are calculated together as one term.

Re-Examination of Decisions

If the Board denies day or full parole to an inmate or revokes release on mandatory supervision it must give its reasons in writing. The inmate may then request a re-examination of the decision within 30 days of the date he is notified.

Although requests for re-examination are not limited to any specific grounds, the inmate usually applies if he feels that:

- there was significant information available at the time of the review which was not considered by the Board when it reached

its decision;

- there is new information which has a direct bearing on the case and which was not available at the time;
- there was an error made by the Board either by law or in fact;
- the reasons given by the Board do not support the decision.

Members who did not participate in the original decision will re-examine the case and may uphold, modify, or reverse that decision.

Pardons

As a general rule, anyone convicted of an offence by way of summary conviction may apply for a pardon two years after the sentence ends. Anyone convicted of an indictable offence may apply after five years. The Board has the responsibility, under the Criminal Records Act, for recommending whether a pardon should be granted by the Governor in Council.

A pardon indicates that, after a thorough investigation, the person is considered to be of good behaviour and that the conviction for the offence should no longer reflect adversely on his character. It may also remove any disqualification that came about under any act of Parliament or related regulation as a result of the conviction. All records relating to the conviction

which are in the possession of any federal agency are set apart and may not be revealed without approval of the Solicitor General.

If the pardon is subsequently revoked for bad behaviour, for another conviction, or for providing a false statement to obtain the pardon, the records will be placed back with the active files.

Because the Criminal Records Act is a federal statute, its provisions for setting records apart do not apply to provincial or municipal jurisdictions unless similar legislation is passed in the province where the conviction was made. A pardon does not erase a conviction nor does it permit a person to declare that he does not have a criminal record.

In Summary



In making a decision to release an inmate on parole, Board Members must judge the readiness of the individual to begin re-integrating into the community and must also consider any risk to public safety. Since most prisoners eventually return to the community whether released by the Board or not, the challenge is to decide what is the most opportune time to release an inmate — that point in time when he has gained maximum benefit from incarceration and is most likely to complete parole successfully.

The Board believes that in the long run the public is better protected when the inmate

undergoes a gradual release program and is supervised in the community, than when he is abruptly released at the expiry of his sentence.

That is why more and more inmates are placed on carefully planned temporary absence and day parole programs before they are granted full parole.

The Parole Board observes these inmates attentively each step of the way and will grant full parole only after it has become confident that it is the inmate's true intent to become a law-abiding citizen.

— March 1981

END