National Criminal Justice Reference Service



This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



4

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice United States Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20531



AN ADVISORY GROUP TO THE CORRECTIONAL SERVICE OF CANADA

THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

NORWAY

Background Report No. 2

of the

Strategic Planning Committee

November, 1980

MF-

*

Correctional Service Canada Service correctionnel Canada

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

John Rama

Canadian Correctional Service

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permis-sion of the copyright owner.

THE CIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

NORWAY

A Background Report No. 2 of the

Strategic Planning Committee

n 17

Citta

11 1

. .

NCJRS OCT 1 1981 ACMUIGITIONS

ال ا

11

0

Ø

U

6

A CONTRACTOR

1011

 \Box

的

-

Ň,

1. 1

We are grateful to Professor J. Andenaes, Rector, University of Oslo, Norway, for his critical comments on this report.

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM Т
 - Philosophy A)
 - Administration B)
 - Operations C)
 - Sentencing D)
 - Sanctions E)
 - Trends F)

CORRECTIONAL SYSTEM II

- Philosophy A)
- B) Policy
- Administration C)
- Conditions D)
- Operations E)
- Evaluation F)
- Trends G)

CONCLUSION ON SYSTEM'S OPERATION III

APPENDICES IV

- Statistics A)
- External Factors B)
- Organizational Charts C)
- Reform Proposals D)
- Update E)

A) Philosophy choice. B) Administration

Т

11

- courts independent.

C) Operations

- Prosecution;

- D) Sentencing

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

- presently there is a shifting toward Scandanavian neo-classical premise of law, with emphasis on the control aspects. Depenalization considered a policy

- Investigating Police and Prosecution under the same administrative agency (State Director of Public Prosecution); Chiefs of Police, all legally trained, part of the Public Prosecution Authorities; - Ministry of Justice responsible for correctional operations;

- wide police and prosecution discretion; - prosecutional waiver of charge or withdrawal of proceedings operative (veto at higher levels possible); - police proceed on misdemeanors; state prosecutors proceed on all other crimes except treason/serious offences, which are dealt with by State Director of

- apprehended suspect must appear before court within twenty-four hours;

- lower courts handle cases where maximum punishment is 5 years or less, lay judges utilized;

- Jury courts handle cases where maximum punishment is greater than 5 years imprisonment;

- bail system operative, but rarely used;

- if offence is punishable by less than 6 months imprisonment, accused must be released pending trial;

- criminal code - divided into crimes and misdemeanors; - the question of intent - 'guilty mind' - relevant; - insanity plea - operative;

- judge sets maximum remand time (usually 3-4 weeks); - appeal of sentence length possible.

- age of criminal responsibility is 14; - offender between 14 - 18 years old usually transferred by the Prosecution to the Child Welfare Boards; - Capital punishment abolished 1902; - Capital punishment under military code abolished 1979;

.../2

- maximum sentence set; minimums set for certain offences;
- statute of limitations applicable for all crimes (range 1 - 25 years);

- 2 -

- judge must set maximum on preventive detention sentence (usually 5 years).
- E) Sanctions
 - i) fines
 - no maximum or minimum defined in statutes;
 - based on gravity of offence and offenders ability to pay;
 - imprisonment for non-payment (1 day 3 months).
 - ii) suspended sentence
 - similar to probation;
 - conditions stipulated by judge;
 - with/without supervision;
 - sometimes imposed with a fine.
 - iii) <u>loss of rights</u>
 - judge may impose residence restrictions; forbid offender to hold public office; serve in armed forces; etc.
 - iv) imprisonment

1

- terms range from 21 days to 15 years; up to 20 years for multiple offences or life.
- v) special measures
 - preventive detention used for dangerous and/or mentally ill offender; and certain specified crimes (in practice obsolete);
 - security measures (1) release on supervision to

police/residence restrictions;

.../3

- (2) release to private care;(3) committal to psychiatric
- committal to psychiatric hospital;
- (4) committal to detention in prison;
- Prosecution and Courts decide if security measures to be implemented; courts set maximum sentence length;
- Ministry of Justice can change security measure order, within the limits fixed by the Court.

vi) youth imprisonment

F) Trends

 \mathbb{D}

 $\{ \}$

U

 abolished by Act of Parliament 1975; sanctions for young offenders now include fines, prison sentence or suspended sentence; applicable for offenders aged 14-21.

increase in number of recorded juvenile crime;
increase in crime rate; (although crime rate low, comparatively speaking);
increase in number of persons convicted;

increased use of prisons for young persons due to lack of Child Welfare institutions for this group;
very low murder rate;
moderation of harsh prison terms.

.../4

- 3 -

CORRECTIONAL SYSTEM II

A) Philosophy

- declining belief in rehabilitation and individual prevention as purposes of imprisonment;
- return to Scandanavian neo-classicalism imprisonment is seen as punishment; emphasis on symbolic affects not severity in punishment.
- re-adjustment to society seen as priority.

- 4 -

B) Policy

- separation of young/adult offenders;
- resocialization emphasized;
- firm and considerate treatment of prisoners.

Administration C)

- Prison Service Administration part of the Ministry of Justice;
- PSA responsible for institutions and aftercare;
- prison service divided into four districts.

D) Conditions

- open/closed institutions, refers to differences in security and prison regime; - security relatively tight at closed institutions; - 3 open institutions; - one prison for women; - youth prison separate; for offenders 14 - 21, was rehabilitative-oriented; sanction abolished (1975); - local institutions - archaic in physical structure;

```
- limited capacity;
```

- overcrowding;
- bed capacity ranges from 17 448; 20 100 for colonies;
- total institutional capacity 2,187 (1975).

E) Operations

i) local prisons

- used for remand and short term prisoners;
- small; limited space;
- few training/treatment programs operative.



iv)

ii)

1

- weekly visits;
- censorship;

- treatment;

i) discipline

- treatment.

open institutions

a) prison colonies - used for low risk offenders and gradual release purposes from closed prisons; b) forestry camp - capacity for 60 inmates.

iii) closed institutions

- used for long sentences; - usually have open auxiliary institutions.

- 5 -

special institutions

- used for preventive detention inmates and longterm prisoners (i.e. one to six years); - more treatment/security oriented.

Operations - General

- extensive leave system - special short leaves - welfare leaves for community contacts - eligibility after six months or one-third of sentence; - institutional programs focus on work, training and

- payment for work;

- work usually assembly line/mechanical production; - treatment programs encompass social, medical and psychiatric therapies.

- Institutional Board - composed of institutional staff, responsible for discipline, treatment appropriations, transfers and parole decisions; - discipline measures - solitary confinement for one month, beyond and up to one year is a Prison Administration decision - exclusion from work for 14 days - cancellation of pay; - Prison Administration may extend length of sentence up to one month; - Board of Supervisors - community representation function to advise on prison administration and

.../6

- ii) parole
 - inmates eligible after serving two-thirds of sentences, remand time counted as part of sentence. Under exceptional circumstances parole can be awarded after serving one half of the sentence;

- 6 -

- applies to sentences greater than four months;
- lifers eligible for parole after serving twelve years;
- preventive detainees if paroled, supervised for five years;
- supervision given to Rehabilitative Societies (private organizations government funded);
- pre-release semi-liberty schemes operative where possible work in community days, returning to institution at night.
- iii) inmate profile
 - average inmate is a young single male from a working class background, convicted of theft.
- iv) inmate rights
 - to date there are no effective "due process" routes available to inmates. Ombudsmen operations not fully utilized.

F) Evaluation Research

- Research conducted within Scandanavian Research Studies - focus on special treatment methods for offenders.
- G) Trends
 - increase in recidivism rate;
 - lowest rate of imprisonment of all Nordic countries.

.../7

.

ŧ١

()

Social defense is seen as the main aim of the criminal justice system. This goal is achieved, theoretically, through general deterrence (including attitude shaping), and resocialization of the offender while under control of the correctional system. Limited recidivism statistics indicate a 34.3% reconviction rate within a 3 year period.

- 7 --

The Norwegian system shares many similarities with other Nordic countries, i.e. broad police/prosecutional discretionary powers; centralized bureaucracy, comprehensive network of institutions, etc. The emphasis on rehabilitation juxtaposed with deterrence can be seen in the treatment programs for offenders. Social, psychiatric and psychological theropies play a large role in inmate programs, knowledge of their impact is not available.

A current problem in Norway focuses on youth offenders. With the abolition of youth imprisonment, a Borstal system protégé, the number of young offenders incarcerated in traditional prisons has increased due to the hesitancy of Child Welfare Authorities, who can intervene up to age eighteen, to provide institutions for persistent young recidivists. Thus the prosecution and the courts feel inclined to pass sentences of imprisonment.

As in other Nordic nations, Norway has a penal reform movement (KROM), which have been and can be influencial in penal policy.

.../8

III CONCLUSION ON SYSTEM'S OPERATION

		· · · · ·			n an		a and a second sec	1			لمنهد الفناري مرزاد				Lan alam arrange (pik Nerrisia a a di mederanaka referensisa	a and a second and a second a
	Г													ផា		
3	(4 · · · · ·										N	•	
, ì	$\left\{ \right\}$															
	() ()															PERSONS
									4							
	}														196 7,26	
	1															
										a i i				} } }	PEI	RSONS IMPRIS
	1				1 1											
	sa) San														Custody Fines (su	ubsidiary im
1												-			sonmer Prison, y milita	outh prison
· · ·	П												•.	f f i	Hard labo Security	ary arrest our (workhou detention
	L.														a in the second s	
4 1	1,				IV APP	ENDICES								E	•	
•	- 17	i i												-		
					A) Sta	tistics									14 to 17	vears
	[]														14 to 17 18 to 20 21 to 24	11
. .														1	21 to 24 25 to 29 30 to 39	1/
															40 to 49 50 to 59 60	" " and c
	15						4 1						•	0	00	and C T
•	5		•							1				\int		
																THE
	U			•						• • • • •					Men:	1965 1,781
•	n									•			• •	Γ	Women:	48
•	U n		•												Total:	1,829
•	6														Source:	Social Defe
	5												×	51		
											ала (⁴ 1					
e .		an an an Taonachta An an Anna Anna		n an an thair tha Thairte Chailte Chailte Thairte Chailte Chailte							an a	ł				
											an tente para sultanen artan dan s				nto 8 n.	a ya mamana a sa a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a

		8 -			
	NCED, FINE SUSPENDED	-		AIVED	
10	966 19	67 19	68 19	69 19	70
	739 8,1		34 9.1		
SONED .	IN PENAL I	NSTITUTIC)NS – NE	W PRISONE	RS
	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970
	4,626	4,723	5,406	5,524	5,584
npri-	2,349	1,924	1,771	1,920	711
and	3,484	3,483	3,629	3,602	3,919
ise)	13	10 4	5 3	2	1 4
	10,479	10,144	10,814		10,219
	•				•
	NEW PRISON	ERS BY AC	æ		
	1966	 1967	 1968	1969	1970
	358	427	455	601	739
	895	958	1,041	999	1,347
	1,569	1,625	1,873	2,020	2,302
	1,245 2,090	1,253 1,886	1,450 2,106	1,503 2,143	1,545 1,893
		2,106		2,020	1,381
	1,464	1,276	1,267	1,286	783
over	720	613	540	481	229
otal	10,479	10,114	10,814	11,053	10,219
1			· · · ·		
DAILY	AVERAGE I	N PENAL I	NSTITUTIC	<u>INS</u>	
1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971
1,737 43	1,820 43	1,831 42	1,786 36	1,664 28	· · · ·
1,780	1,863		1,822		
	•				

ence in Norway, 1977.

.../9

	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970
Central prison for men Central prison and work-	287	242	205	233	215
house for women	248	264	227	304	218
Security measures insti-					
tution	97	60	90	105	90
Youth prison	45	58	83	8.3	88
Workhouse for men	260	268	283	246	376
Local prisons	9,469	9,203	9,943	10,301	9,307
Total	10,406	10,095	10,831	11,272	10,294

- 9 -

PERSONS WITH AND WITHOUT NEW CHARGES IN A 3 YEAR PERIOD

	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	
Within 1 year Within 2 years Within 3 years No new charges	1,506 2,238 2,705 6,567	1,619 2,360 2,849 6,187	1,699 2,550 3,123 6,345	1,842 2,740 3,292 7,113	2,119 3,061 3,636 6,967	2,449 3,483	2,706	
Total	9,272	9,035	9,468	10,405	10,603	11,074	11,781	

		PERCENTAGE	OF	RECIDIVISM			
	1964	1965	196	6 1967	1968	1969	1970
Within l year Within 2 years Within 3 years Without	16.2 24.1 29.2 70.8	26.1 31.5	17. 26. 33. 67.	9 26.3 0 31.6	20.0 28.9 34.3 65.7	22.1 31.5	23.0

1.1

Source: Social Defence in Norway, 1977.

4 1

terms a
- one-thi
are pre
- 3,500 a
vers) (
- average
- 1,000 y
- average
- average
- average

1

Name of Street

()

- one-half of those imprisoned for traditional property crimes; less than 10% for crimes of violence.

- rate of imprisonment - 43/100,000.

.../10

General Statistics (1977)

Population: 4 million

- 90% of criminal cases receive a prison sentence; 4 out of 5, the sentence is unconditional (excluding drunk drivers).

- two-thirds of persons receiving unconditional prison terms are drunk drivers (7,000).

- one-third to one-fourth of daily number of prisoners are pre-trial detainees.

- 3,500 annually sent to prison (excluding drunk drivers) (unconditional sentence).

- average daily prison population is 1,800 (1977).

- 1,000 young offenders sent to prison annually.

- average time served for life sentence - 11 1/2 years.

- average conditional prison sentence is 5 1/2 months, excluding drunk drivers.



- hereditary constitutional monarchy; - politically stable; - compulsory military service; - population 4 million.

- rapid economic expansion, due to North Sea oil.

- expensive health care system (i.e. free hospital and - compulsory schooling for ages 7 - 16; religously and ethnically homogeneous;
compulsory National Pension Scheme; - large social welfare system.

- invaded and occupied by the Nazis during World War

- belief in collective security within the NATO-system; - tolerant (non-violent) people.

.../12

C) Organizational Chart

-

11

0

[]

ſ

C

D

0

- Not Available

۹.,

.

D) Reform Proposals

. **.** .

Ø

[]

[]

 $\left[\right]$

 $\left[\right]$

[]

 $\{\cdot\}$

U

U

11

Reform Proposals:

Government Report - "On Criminal Policy" (1978) Ministry of Justice. (Still Under Parliamentary Debate).

Recommendations:

(1

1

0

[]

 $\left[\right]$

0

- 1. General diminished utilization of imprisonment.
- Reduction in minimum term of imprisonment from 21 to 7 days.
- 3. Abolish subsidary imprisonment, due to unpaid fines.
- 4. Abolish internment and lifelong confinement.
- 5. Raise age of criminal responsibility from 14 to 15 years.
- 6. Reduction in use of imprisonment for certain traditional property offences.
- Stricter punishments for modern forms of economic crimes. (i.e. Tax evasion).

E) Update

<u>_</u>

 Π

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Andenaes, J., "Developments in Criminal Law and Penal Systems, Norway", Criminal Law Review 1977 (406-409).
- Bjornsen, B., "The Obstacles to Prison Reform in Norway", The Center Magazine, Jan./Feb. 1980, (25-32).
- Bondeson, U., "A Critical Survey of Correctional Treatment Studies in Scandinavia 1945-1974", Chapter V, Comparative Criminal Justice # 208 (251-333).
- Evensen, A., "Social Defence in Norway", U.S. Department of Justice, Washington D.C., (Film 1976).
- Mathiesen, T., "The Future of Control Systems the Case of Norway", International Journal of the Sociology of Law 1980, 8 (149-164).

1

- "Scandinavian Studies in Criminology", Scandinavian University Books, Norway, 1971, Chapter 2.
- Snare, Annika, "Imprisonment in Norway", Paper presented at 1979 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Criminology, Philadelphia, Nov. 7-10, 1979.

