

CA sent  
9-3-82

**ANNUAL REPORT  
OF THE  
BOARD OF CORRECTIONS  
AND THE  
COMMISSIONER  
OF THE  
SOUTH CAROLINA  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**

**FOR THE  
IOD JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981**



**PRINTED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE  
STATE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD**

83383

**ANNUAL REPORT  
OF THE  
BOARD OF CORRECTIONS  
AND THE  
COMMISSIONER  
OF THE  
SOUTH CAROLINA  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
FOR THE  
PERIOD JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981**



**PRINTED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE  
STATE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD**

U.S. Department of Justice  
National Institute of Justice

83383

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

**The South Carolina Board of  
Corrections**

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
South Carolina State Board of Corrections .....	8
Directory of Key Administrators .....	9
Organization of the South Carolina Department of Corrections ..	13
Institutions of the South Carolina Department of Corrections ...	16
Historical Perspective .....	21
Significant Developments of FY 1981 .....	25
Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan .....	26
Renovations and Maintenance of SCDC Facilities .....	29
Institutional Openings and Closings .....	30
Correctional Reform Emphasizing Community Supervision/ Alternatives to Incarceration .....	30
Extended Work Release Program .....	32
Earned Work Credit Program .....	32
Victim Restitution Project .....	35
Reduction-In-Force .....	36
Automation Efficiency Offsetting Resource Constraints .....	36
Correctional Industries .....	37
Agricultural Production .....	38
Support Services .....	39
Personnel Administration and Training .....	39
Accreditation .....	39
Internal Affairs and Inspections .....	40
Health Services .....	40
Inmate Relations .....	41
Child Care/Development Coordination Project .....	42

## TABLE OF CONTENTS — Continued

	PAGE
CCI Consent Agreement .....	43
Death Row .....	44
Legislation Directly Affecting the South Carolina Department of Corrections .....	45
Federal Assistance During FY 1981 .....	45
Publications/Documents of the South Carolina Department of Corrections During FY 1981 .....	49
Statistical Section .....	50
Appendix .....	131
A. Statutory Authority of the South Carolina Department of Corrections .....	132
B. Youthful Offender Act .....	133
C. Programs and Services Administered by the South Carolina Department of Corrections .....	134
D. Community Programs .....	135
E. Counties Comprising South Carolina Planning Districts and Correctional Regions .....	136
F. Counties Comprising South Carolina Judicial Circuits .....	137
G. Offense Classification .....	138
H. Legislation Relating to Minimum Sentencing/Parole Eligibility For Armed Robbery and Murder .....	140

# TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLE	PAGE
1. Institutions and Centers of the South Carolina Department of Corrections, As of June 30, 1981 .....	18
2. SCDC Average Inmate Population, Calendar Years 1960-1981 .....	54
3. SCDC Average Inmate Population, Fiscal Years 1967-1981 .....	56
4. Per Inmate Costs of the South Carolina Department of Corrections, Fiscal Years 1973-1981 .....	59
5. Expenditures of the South Carolina Department of Corrections, FY 1981 .....	61
6. Flow of Offenders Through the South Carolina Department of Corrections, Fiscal Years 1980 and 1981 .....	62
7. Distribution by Committing County and Region of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .....	65
8. Offense Distribution of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .....	69
9. Most Serious Offense of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .....	72
10. Sentence Length Distribution of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .....	75
11. Age Distribution of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .....	77
12. Distribution of Committing Planning Districts of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .....	79
13. Distribution by Committing Judicial Circuits of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .....	81
14. Distribution by Committing County and Region of SCDC Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	84
15. Type of Offense Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	87
16. Most Serious Offense Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	90
17. Sentence Length Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	93

# TABLES AND FIGURES — Continued

TABLE	PAGE
18. Age Distribution of SCDC Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	95
19. Age at Time of Admission of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	97
20. Custody Grade Distribution by Committing Region, Race and Sex of SCDC Inmates, As of June 30, 1981 .....	99
21. Committing Planning Districts of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	102
22. Committing Judicial Circuits of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	104
23. Remaining Time to Serve of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	106
24. Distribution of Time Served and Type of Release of SCDC Inmates Released During FY 1981 .....	108
25. Distribution of Inmates and Work Credits Earned by Type of Release and Time Served of Inmates Released During FY 1981 .....	110
26. Distribution by Work Credits Earned and Type of Release of SCDC Inmates Released During FY 1981 .....	112
27. Distribution of Average Daily Number of Inmates and Total Earned Work Credits by Job Assignment During FY 1981 .....	113
28. Parole Board Action During FY 1981 .....	122
29. Community Program Statistics, FY 1981 .....	124
30. Youthful Offender Statistics, FY 1981 .....	125
31. Distribution of SCDC Personnel by Race and Sex, As of June 18, 1981 .....	126
32. Distribution of SCDC Security Strength by Facility, As of June 18, 1981 .....	128
33. Number and Percentage of Inmates Admitted to SCDC Under the 1975 Armed Robbery Act/the 1977 Act Specifying 20-Year Parole Eligibility for Certain Life Sentences (FY 1976-1981) .....	130

# TABLES AND FIGURES — Continued

FIGURE NUMBER	PAGE
1 Organizational Structure, South Carolina Department of Corrections .....	12
2 Locations of SCDC Institutions and Centers, As of June 30, 1981 .....	20
3 SCDC Average Inmate Population, Calendar Years 1960-1981	55
4 SCDC Average Inmate Population, Fiscal Years 1967-1981 .	57
5 Location of Average SCDC Inmate Population, FY 1981 ...	58
6 Annual Per Inmate Costs of SCDC, FY 1973-1981 .....	60
7 Race and Sex of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .	64
8 Inmate Admissions by Committing Counties and Correctional Regions During FY 1981 .....	68
9 Offenses of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .....	71
10 Most Serious Offense of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .....	74
11 Sentence Lengths of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .....	76
12 Age Groups of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 ..	78
13 Committing Planning Districts of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .....	80
14 Committing Judicial Circuits of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .....	82
15 Race and Sex of SCDC Inmates, As of June 30, 1981 .....	83
16 Committing Counties and Correctional Regions of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	86
17 Offenses of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	89
18 Most Serious Offense of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	92
19 Sentence Lengths of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	94

# TABLES AND FIGURES — Continued

TABLE	PAGE
20 Age Groups of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	96
21 Age at Time of Admission of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	98
22 Custody Grades of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	101
23 Committing Planning Districts of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	103
24 Committing Judicial Circuits of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	105
25 Remaining Time to Serve of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 .....	107
26 Time Served by SCDC Inmates Released During FY 1981 .	109
27 Average Time Served by SCDC Inmates Released During FY 1981 .....	111
28 Percentage of SCDC Inmates Granted Parole by Fiscal Year (1978-1981) .....	123
29 SCDC Personnel by Race, Sex and Type of Position, As of June 18, 1981 .....	127

## SOUTH CAROLINA STATE BOARD OF CORRECTIONS

Governor Richard W. Riley, *Ex Officio*

*Chairman*, Dr. Clarence E. Watkins ..... Camden

*Vice-Chairman*, Mr. Eugene N. Zeigler ..... Florence

*Secretary*, Mr. Norman Kirkland ..... Bamberg

*Member*, Mr. Charles C. Moore ..... Spartanburg

*Member*, Mr. Goetz B. Easton ..... Anderson

*Member*, Mrs. Betty M. Condon ..... Mt. Pleasant

## DIRECTORY OF KEY ADMINISTRATORS\*

### Headquarters

Commissioner ..... William D. Leeke  
Executive Assistant ..... Sterling W. Beckman  
Administrative Assistant ..... Sandra Jeffcoat  
Legal Advisor ..... Larry C. Batson  
Director, Division of Special Projects ..... Joann B. Morton  
Director, Division of Public Information ..... Sam E. McCuen  
Director, Division of Internal Affairs and Inspections John W. Liddle  
Director, Division of Inmate Relations ..... Janice M. Foy

Deputy Commissioner for Administration ..... Hubert M. Clements  
Director, Division of Resource and  
Information Management ..... John F. Kososki  
Director, Division of Personnel Administration  
and Training ..... Joseph F. Kerenick  
Director, Division of Industries ..... John A. Carullo  
Director, Division of Support Services ..... Fred A. Atkinson

Deputy Commissioner for Operations ..... Charles A. Leath  
Assistant Deputy Commissioner  
for Institutions ..... Jesse W. Strickland  
Director, Division of Construction ..... Nelson W. Meek  
Director, Division of Engineering and  
Maintenance ..... Harry W. Oberlies

Deputy Commissioner for Program Services ..... Paul I. Weldon  
Director, Division of Classification and  
Community Services ..... David I. Morgan  
Director, Division of Human Services ..... William J. Deemer  
Director, Division of Health Services ..... Patricia B. Satterfield

\* As of June 30, 1981.

## Correctional Institutions

### Appalachian Correctional Region

Regional Administrator ..... William D. Catoe  
Blue Ridge Pre-Release/Work Release Center,  
Superintendent ..... James H. Whitworth  
Dutchman Correctional Institution,  
Warden ..... Donald F. Dease  
Givens Youth Correction Center, Warden .. Robert H. Mauney  
Greenwood Correctional Center, Warden ..... Glenn T. Davis  
Northside Correctional Center, Warden .. John C. Hatfield, Jr.  
Oaklawn Correctional Center, Warden ..... Paul D. Taylor  
Perry Correctional Institution, Warden .... Louis M. Mims, Jr.  
Piedmont Work Release Center, Superintendent . John R. Lark

### Division of Institutional Operations, Minimum Security

Director ..... Blake E. Taylor, Jr.  
Aiken Youth Correction Center, Warden ..... R. Brien Ward  
Campbell Work Release Center,  
Superintendent ..... Olin L. Turner  
Catawba Work Release Center, -  
Superintendent ..... Norma P. Johnson  
Goodman Correctional Institution, Warden .. Judy C. Anderson  
Lower Savannah Work Release Center,  
Superintendent ..... George A. Roof  
Walden Correctional Institution, Warden ..... Willie R. Portee  
Wateree River Correctional Institution,  
Warden ..... John H. Carmichael, Jr.  
Watkins Pre-Release Center, Superintendent . Jerry D. Spigner


### Division of Institutional Operations, Medium/Maximum Security

Director ..... James L. Harvey  
Central Correctional Institution, Warden .... Joseph R. Martin  
Kirkland Correctional Institution,  
Warden ..... George N. Martin, III  
Manning Correctional Institution,  
Warden ..... Kenneth D. McKellar  
Maximum Security Center, Warden ..... Bobby J. Leverette  
Midlands Reception and Evaluation Center,  
Warden ..... Laurie F. Bessinger  
Women's Correctional Center, Warden ..... James E. Aiken

## Coastal Correctional Region

Regional Administrator ..... L. J. Allen  
Coastal Work Release Center, Superintendent . Frank A. Smith  
MacDougall Youth Correction Center,  
Superintendent ..... Edsel T. Taylor  
Palmer Work Release Center,  
Superintendent ..... Charles E. Grooms

**FIGURE 1**  
South Carolina Department of Corrections  
Organizational Structure



## ORGANIZATION OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

The South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC) is the administrative agency of South Carolina state government responsible for providing food, shelter, health care, security and rehabilitation services to all adult offenders, age 17 and above, convicted of an offense against the State and sentenced to a period of incarceration exceeding three months. As of June 30, 1981, SCDC had custody over 8,345 incarcerated adult inmates, of whom 873 are serving an indeterminate sentence under the Youthful Offender Act.<sup>1</sup> This Act provides indeterminate sentences of one to six years for offenders between the ages of 17 and 21 (extended to 25 with offender consent), placing them under the Division of Classification and Community Services' Youthful Offender Branch. The Youthful Offender Program essentially operates as a micro-correctional system within the Department, providing all youthful offenders a complete range of administrative, evaluative, parole and aftercare services. There were 938 youthful offenders on parole under SCDC supervision in the community as of June 30, 1981. Parole decisions pertaining to and the parole supervision of adult offenders are generally the responsibilities of the South Carolina Department of Parole and Community Corrections except for those sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act.

SCDC is headed by a Commissioner who is responsible to the State Board of Corrections, a six-member board appointed by the Governor upon advice and consent of the Senate. The Governor also serves on the Board as an ex officio member. The Commissioner has overall responsibility for the agency, supervising all staff functions and ensuring that all departmental policies are practiced and maintained. Under the immediate supervision of the Office of the Commissioner are the Legal Advisor, and the Divisions of Special Projects, Public Information, Internal Affairs and Inspections, and Inmate Relations.

To assist the Commissioner in system operations and program administration are three offices headed by Deputy Commissioners and eleven divisions supervised by Directors. These are described as follows:

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Administration has the major responsibility of coordinating all department-wide activities pertaining to resource and information management, industries, personnel administration and training, and support services. These four areas are individually the management responsibility of a division director, and a description of each is as follows:

<sup>1</sup> The provisions of this Act are summarized in Appendix B, page 133.



1. The Division of Resource and Information Management encompasses the functions of planning, budgeting, statistical reporting and analysis, computer operations, system development and programming, offender records and financial accounting.
2. The Division of Personnel Administration and Training develops and administers departmental personnel policies and procedures, handles all personnel matters and develops and implements employee training programs at all levels to meet agency needs.
3. The Division of Industries administers a prison industry program consisting of several production lines and four farming operations. These programs/operations provide work for inmates to help defray the cost of upkeep, and produce goods for other State agencies, institutions and political subdivisions.
4. The Division of Support Services directs purchasing, canteen, commissary and food service functions of the agency.

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Operations is responsible for managing all security, construction, and engineering and maintenance operations statewide. Reporting to this office are the Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Institutions, the Division of Construction, and the Division of Engineering and Maintenance. The Division of Construction coordinates and supervises all construction projects in SCDC's Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan, while the Division of Engineering and Maintenance coordinates and supervises all major repairs and maintenance activities. Responsibility for the direct supervision of SCDC facilities is divided among two division directors and two regional administrators who report to the Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Institutions. The placement and movement of SCDC inmates to and from local facilities designated to hold state inmates are also the administrative responsibility of the Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Institutions.

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Program Services<sup>2</sup> is administratively responsible for defining, planning and developing an adequate program delivery system which will best meet the needs of the incarcerated. Delivering a broad spectrum of services under the supervision of this office are the Divisions of Classification and Community Services, Human Services, and Health Services. Services rendered by these divisions are described as follows:

1. The Division of Classification and Community Services implements standardized procedures for inmate classification, adminis-

<sup>2</sup> For a list of programs and services administered by SCDC, see Appendix C, page 134.

ters the youthful offender program as directed by the Youthful Offender Act, and supervises the placement of inmates in community programs, that is, the pre-release and work release programs, the Employment Program, the Extended Work Release Program, and the Restitution Program.

2. The Division of Human Services' field staff provides educational, psychological, social and specialized institutional services to inmates, and its central administrative staff provides service coordination and acquires external resources to supplement SCDC's efforts.
3. The Division of Health Services renders medical, dental and psychiatric care to inmates through its medical and dental staff, and contractual agreements. It operates two infirmaries, one psychiatric unit and coordinates the placement of inmates at the State Park Health Center and community hospitals as needed.

The aforementioned organizational structure of SCDC is illustrated in Figure 1, page 12.

## INSTITUTIONS OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

At the end of FY 1981, the Department of Corrections operated a total of 25 institutions, which are listed in Table 1, pages 18-19. Figure 2, page 20, shows their location. Of these, six are work release centers, one is a pre-release center, and one serves dually as a pre-release/work release center. Excluding the pre-release and work release centers, ten institutions are minimum security, one is minimum-medium security, one is medium security, three are medium-maximum security, and two are maximum security. Four SCDC institutions are primarily for younger offenders, and three of these facilities predominantly house inmates sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act. One SCDC institution is exclusively for female inmates.

The total design capacity of these institutions at the end of FY 1981 was 5,190. Design capacity for individual institutions is shown in Table 1, pages 18-19. The regional distributions of the design capacity are as follows: Appalachian Correctional Region — 1,215; Midlands Correctional Region — 3,623; Coastal Correctional Region — 352. The total incarcerated inmate population under SCDC jurisdiction at the end of FY 1981 was 8,345. Of these, 636 were housed in designated facilities, 111 were in the Extended Work Release Program in the community, and 148 were placed in other locations.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, 7,450 inmates were housed in SCDC facilities, which were thus operating at 144% of design capacity.

Institutions of the South Carolina Department of Corrections are located in three divisions of the State known as correctional regions. (See Figure 2.) Institutions in the Appalachian and Coastal Regions are administered by a regional administrator. In the Midlands region the institutions are administered by the central agency headquarters. (See Directory of Key Administrators, Correctional Institutions, pages 10-11.)

Because of overcrowded conditions in SCDC institutions/centers, the Department has been housing state inmates in designated local facilities<sup>4</sup> since FY 1975, as provided for by legislation. During FY 1981, the average number of SCDC inmates held in designated local facilities in 40 counties was 652 — 8% of the total SCDC average inmate population of 8,078.

<sup>3</sup> These include the State Park Health Center, the State Law Enforcement Division, the Governor's Mansion, the Criminal Justice Academy, Alston Wilkes Half-way Houses, all medical facilities, inmates serving out-of-state (ICC), and other authorized absences.

<sup>4</sup> See FY 1975 and FY 1976 SCDC Annual Report for details of the origin of designated facilities.

Besides housing inmates in designated facilities because of overcrowded conditions, SCDC also placed certain inmates in other special locations because of their unique assignments or needs. A 31-bed unit of the State Park Health Center, administered and operated by the Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC), was renovated and designed to hold SCDC inmates undergoing and recuperating from general surgery. Whereas DHEC provides the professional services, SCDC is responsible for the security staffing and procedures. Other locations, where a small number of inmates are housed for special assignments, are the State Law Enforcement Division, the Governor's Mansion and the Criminal Justice Academy.

**TABLE 1**  
**INSTITUTIONS AND CENTERS<sup>1</sup> OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**  
**AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**

	Key to Location Map (Figure 2)	Degree of Security	Description of Resident Population	Design Capacity	Average Daily Population FY 1981	Average Daily Population as Percentage of Design Capacity
<b>APPALACHIAN CORRECTIONAL REGION</b>						
Blue Ridge Pre-Release/Work Release Center (BRPR/WRC)	1	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release	143	188	131.5
Dutchman Correctional Institution <sup>2</sup> (DCI)	5	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	528	375	N/A*
Givens Youth Correction Center (GYCC)	2	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up — primarily Youthful Offenders 17-25	76	136	178.9
Greenwood Correctional Center (CCC)	6	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	48	87	181.2
Northside Correctional Center <sup>3</sup> (NCC)	4	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	174	116	N/A*
Oaklawn Correctional Center (OCC)	3	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	60	103	171.7
Perry Correctional Institution <sup>4</sup> (PCI)	3	Medium/ Maximum	Male, ages 17 and up — includes inmates undergoing reception processing	144	153	106.2
Piedmont Work Release Center (PiWRC)	4	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release	90	102	113.3
<b>DIVISION OF INSTITUTIONAL OPERATIONS/MINIMUM SECURITY</b>						
Aiken Youth Correction Center (AYCC)	7	Minimum	Male, ages 17-21 — primarily Youthful Offenders 17-25	238	223	93.7
Campbell Work Release Center (CWRC)	9	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release	100	155	155.0
Catawba Work Release Center (CaWRC)	8	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release	70	79	112.8
Goodman Correctional Institution (GCI)						
Geriatric/Handicapped Unit	9	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	84	99	117.8
Employment Program Dorm (EPD)	9	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up — participants in the employment program	50	83	166.0
Women's Work Release Dorm (WWRD)	9	Minimum	Female, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release and employment programs	49	65	132.6
Lower Savannah Work Release Center (LSWRC)	7	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	45	58	128.9
Walden Correctional Institution (WCI)	9	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up — primarily trustee grade inmates	150	248	165.3
Wateree River Correctional Institution (WRCI)	12	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up	432	482	111.6
Watkins Pre-Release Center (WPRC)	9	Minimum	Male, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release	129	193	149.6

	Key to Location Map (Figure 2)	Degree of Security	Description of Resident Population	Design Capacity	Average Daily Population: FY 1981	Average Daily Population as Percentage of Design Capacity
19	DIVISION OF INSTITUTIONAL OPERATIONS/MEDIUM-MAXIMUM SECURITY					
	COASTAL CORRECTIONAL REGION					

Source: The Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Institutions' Quarterly Capacities Report, June 30, 1981; Board Reports of the Division of Resource and Information Management, July, 1980 - June, 1981.

<sup>1</sup> The following institution/center closures occurred during FY 1981: Duncan Correctional Center - October 21, 1980; Laurens Correctional Center - October 29, 1980; Cherokee Correctional Center - November 6, 1980; Lexington Correctional Center - December 1, 1980; Travelers Rest Correctional Center - January 13, 1981; Appalachian Reception and Evaluation Center - June 6, 1981; Hillcrest Correctional Center - June 6, 1981.

<sup>2</sup> DCI became operational on October 21, 1980. Phased-in staff and inmate placement resulted in a gradual increase in daily population. As of June 30, 1981, 529 inmates were housed in DCI.

<sup>3</sup> A new addition of 144 beds was opened at NCC during the latter half of FY 1981. Because of this new addition, a comparison of the average daily population and the design capacity would not be meaningful.

<sup>4</sup> Three 48-bed maximum security housing units were operational at PCI, as of June 30, 1981. This includes a Reception and Evaluation Unit (opened June 6, 1981) for the Appalachian Correctional Region, and an Administrative Segregation Unit (opened June 29, 1981). While the design capacity of these units is 144, PCI's design capacity, when totally operational, will be 528.

<sup>5</sup> This center serves as a regional intake service center for both the Midlands and Coastal Regions. The design capacity and FY 1981 average population shown for the MR&EC include both the MR&EC proper (capacity 100) and the leased portion of the Columbia City Jail (capacity 80).

\* (N/A — Not applicable)

## HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

Corrections in South Carolina has evolved, over the years, from county-operated prison systems to state administered institutions; from a single state penitentiary to a network of penal facilities throughout the State; from a punishment-oriented philosophy to a philosophy emphasizing humane treatment, rehabilitative services and community-based correctional programs. The following summary of significant developments and events in this evolution during the last several decades provides a perspective for the current efforts of the South Carolina Department of Corrections.<sup>5</sup>

### Dual Prison System and Creation of SCDC

As a humane alternative to cruelties which had prevailed under county supervision of convicts, in 1866 the General Assembly passed an act which transferred the control of convicted and sentenced felons from the counties to the State and established the State Penitentiary. Although the Act stripped the counties of their responsibility for handling felons, shortly thereafter the counties' demands for labor for building and maintaining roads prompted the reversal of this provision; and by 1930 county supervisors assumed full authority to choose to retain convicts for road construction or to transfer them to the State. This dual prison system of state administered facilities and local prison and jail operations resulted in inequitable treatment of prisoners, and criticism of the system was widespread.

In the midst of the political and legal developments concerning state and county jurisdiction over convicts, the State Penitentiary expanded to a network of penal facilities throughout the State and experienced changes reflecting the evolution of correctional philosophy from retribution and punishment to humane treatment and rehabilitation. Despite notable improvements, overcrowding and mismanagement prevailed; as a result, the state correctional system was reorganized, and the Department of Corrections was created through legislative action in 1960. But the autonomy of the state and local systems remained intact, and the dual prison system continued.


Problems inherent in the dual prison system became increasingly evident as crime soared in the 1960's. The most critical problems were related to the absence of adequate planning and programming, inefficiency of resource utilization and inequitable distribution of rehabilitative services. Therefore, system reform of the total adult corrections system in South Carolina was necessary.

<sup>5</sup> For greater details of these developments and events, see previous SCDC Annual Reports.

FIGURE 2

### LOCATIONS OF SCDC INSTITUTIONS AND CENTERS, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

- 1 Blue Ridge PR/WR Center
- 2 Givens Youth Correction Center
- 3 Oaklawn Correctional Center
- 4 Northside Correctional Center
- 5 Dutchman Correctional Institution
- 6 Greenwood Correctional Center
- 7 Aiken Youth Correction Center
- 8 Catawba WR Center
- 9 Campbell WR Center
- 10 Central Correctional Institution
- 11 Manning Correctional Institution
- 12 Wateree River Correctional Institution
- 13 Palmer WR Center
- 14 MacDougall Youth Correction Center
- 15 Coastal WR Center



### Consolidation of the South Carolina Adult Corrections System

While the problems of the dual prison system and the need for system reform had long been recognized, the major impetus for reform of the South Carolina adult corrections system was the 1973 Adult Corrections Study conducted by the Office of Criminal Justice Programs (OCJP). The major recommendations of this study were the elimination of the dual prison system in favor of a consolidated state system and regionalization of SCDC operations. Under the proposed consolidated system, the State would be responsible for all long-term adult offenders, ensuring their humane treatment, providing confinement, programs and services close to their home communities. Under the proposed regionalization, the State would be divided into ten correctional regions, and a regional corrections coordinating office, headed by a regional administrator, would be established in each region. The regional corrections coordinating office would be responsible for administration of all SCDC facilities in the area, including the development, coordination and support of regional correctional programs in their respective regions, and for coordination with the Department's central headquarters. Such regionalization was designed to provide for improved planning, coordination and administration of SCDC operations and to facilitate effective and efficient utilization of local community resources.

While some recommendations in the Adult Corrections Study were modified in the course of implementation, the overall concept was adopted as policy by the State Board of Corrections, and steps were immediately taken to consolidate and regionalize the adult corrections system in South Carolina. The major step toward consolidation was the closure of county prison operations. Legislation passed in June, 1974 gave the State jurisdiction over all adult offenders with sentences exceeding three months, and counties were required to transfer any such prisoners in their facilities to the Department. Either voluntarily or through negotiations with SCDC officials, counties began transferring their long-term prisoners to the State and closing their prison operations in May, 1973. Since May 1, 1973, 28 counties have closed their prisons or converted them to other use. As of June 30, 1981, only 10 counties operate prisons as a separate facility. Other counties operate combined facilities for detainees and sentenced inmates, county jails, correctional centers, detention centers and/or law enforcement centers.

The assumption of county prisoners and closing of local prison systems enabled the Department to take steps toward the ultimate regionalization of SCDC operations. One of the major steps toward implementation of regionalization was the alignment of contiguous planning districts into correctional regions. Continual in-house study of the geographic distribution of offenders and cost-benefit analysis of resource utilization

resulted in the Department's decision in FY 1975 to reduce the proposed number of correctional regions from the ten originally recommended by the Adult Correctional Study to four. Further in-depth examination of regionalization was undertaken as an integral part of the Ten Year Comprehensive Growth and Capital Improvements Plan developed in FY 1977 by the contract consultant, Stephen Carter and Associates. After studying the distribution of SCDC facilities throughout the State, the commitment trends of the inmate population, the Department's manpower and financial resources and the capital improvement requirements, the consultant recommended that the Department further reduce the number of correctional regions from four to three. This recommendation was implemented; and by the end of FY 1979, three correctional regions — Appalachian, Midlands, and Coastal — were established and became fully operational through regional corrections coordinating offices. As of January 1, 1980, all 32 of the Department's facilities were assigned under the administration of regional administrators through the regional corrections coordinating office in each of the correctional regions. Subsequently, however, because of budgetary constraints, it was necessary to close the Midlands Regional Office on May 14, 1981. The region remained as a geographical area only, and the institutions of that region reverted to the control of the central agency headquarters.

### Population and Financial Crisis in Fiscal Years 1975 and 1976

SCDC's efforts to regionalize were made more difficult by the fact that this occurred during a time of unprecedented increases in crime in South Carolina, as well as throughout the nation. As a result of increasing crime, the counties' transfer of inmates to the State, and the legislative mandate for all long-term prisoners to be under SCDC jurisdiction, the Department experienced an unprecedented influx of offenders through the state corrections system during FY 1975. The number of inmates under state jurisdiction on June 30, 1975, (5,658) was 53% higher than on the same date the previous year (3,693). There was also an increase of more than 30% in the *average* daily population from FY 1974 to FY 1975 (from 3,542 to 4,618). However, this percentage increase was surpassed during FY 1976 when the average daily population under SCDC jurisdiction (6,264) increased by 35.6% over the FY 1975 figure, the largest known yearly increase in average daily population in SCDC history. Such increases in the number of inmates under state jurisdiction have been among the severest in the nation, as indicated by a nationwide survey of the National Clearinghouse for Criminal Justice Planning and Architecture. The state offender commitment rate was also ranked third highest in the nation in 1975. Another survey showed that

South Carolina experienced the nation's second highest percentage increase in state inmate population between January 1, 1975, and January 1, 1976. Between those two dates, SCDC population jumped by 38% as compared with an 11% increase in the total U. S. incarcerated population in state and federal prisons.

The dramatic increases in inmate population in Fiscal Years 1975 and 1976 have resulted in continued and intensified overcrowding in SCDC facilities as well as a constant strain on the Department's financial resources. Therefore, the Department has been forced to focus primary attention on solving the problems of overcrowding and limited financial resources. Short-term and long-range strategies directed toward overcoming either or both problems have involved renovation of existing facilities; realignment of existing space use; acquisition of additional facilities; expanded use of designated facilities; revision of Youthful Offender institutional release policies; revision of fiscal policies and procedures; introduction of economizing measures; revision of capital improvement plans; implementation of the Extended Work Release Program as an alternative to continued incarceration, and implementation of an Earned Work Credit Program, providing reduction in time to serve for inmates participating in productive work.

#### **Stabilized Inmate Population Growth FY 1977-81**

Partly as a result of SCDC's implementation of program alternatives to incarceration and partly because of a stabilization of commitments to the correctional system, the dramatic population increase in Fiscal Years 1975 and 1976 did not persist in subsequent years. Inmate population continued to increase but at a moderate rate, and in FY 1977-81 stabilization in the population level was witnessed. Average daily incarcerated inmate population was 7,167 in FY 1977, an increase of 14.4% over the previous year; 7,447 in FY 1978, an increase of 3.9%; 7,623 in FY 1979, increasing by 2.4%; 7,869 in FY 1980, an increase of 3.2% and 8,078 in FY 1981, an increase of only 2.6%.

#### **SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS IN FY 1981**

FY 1981 paralleled the previous year in many respects. Continued moderate inmate growth sustained overcrowded conditions in the agency's institutions, and a worsening fiscal situation demanded unprecedented management decisions.

The multilateral approach to dealing with overcrowding continued to include implementation of the Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan which provided the Department with 1,008 new bedspaces during the fiscal year, yielding a net increase of 632 beds after the closure of seven older institutions. Also targeted at the State's expanding inmate population, correctional reform emphasizing community supervision/alternatives to incarceration received priority attention from the Legislature and the Governor's Office during the year. Continuation of the Extended Work Release Program, Earned Work Credit Program, and the Victim Restitution Project rounded out the Department's approach to reducing overcrowding. Although all of the aforementioned efforts were primarily conceived as methods for reducing the overcrowding of SCDC's institutions, most of them were also regarded as means for dealing with resource constraints as well.

Primarily targeted at the paucity of resources, financial and otherwise, were the reduction-in-force, a first for SCDC, and the innovative automation of significant administrative functions. Contributing also in this area was an aggressive correctional industries program which realized increased sales of 48% during the year. Expectations from agricultural operations failed to materialize, however, as SCDC experienced difficulties similar to those encountered by South Carolina farmers across the state. Support services activities and personnel administration and training activities completed the significant inventory of inter-agency actions to operate in a more viable manner in the face of resource constraints. Finally, the Accreditation program for implementation of correctional standards, viewed by SCDC as a management tool for providing quality control and accountability, realized significant gains during the year. Also, state standards were completed for local juvenile detention facilities.

In regard to those activities during the year which were directed toward the ever important and continuing mission of exercising SCDC's responsibilities toward the inmate population, the year witnessed notable achievements in the area of Health Services. Inmate relations activities, the Child Care/Development Coordination Project, and the CCI Consent Agreement also contributed significantly in meeting inmate related needs during the year.

All of the developments mentioned briefly above as well as others are elaborated in the pages that follow.



Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan

In 1973 and 1974, in the anticipation of future building needs, the SCDC submitted capital improvements proposals to the State Budget and Control Board and in 1975 developed a master plan for the future growth of the Department through 1982. As the inmate population increased dramatically in 1975 and since continual increase was anticipated, it became apparent that the Department's capital improvements needs had to be reevaluated. In May, 1976, the consulting firm of Stephen Carter and Associates was retained to complete a ten-year capital improvements plan for the Department. The resultant document, *Comprehensive Growth and Capital Improvements Plan*, addressed future population projections, facility construction requirements, cost reducing alternatives to inmate population growth, and future directions for regionalization. The number of inmates in SCDC facilities was forecast to be 8,040 in 1980 and 12,500 by 1986. To accommodate this population level, the consultant recommended a three-phase capital improvements plan which included the construction of 8,064 new bedspaces to replace some existing facilities and to meet additional needs. The total cost was estimated to be \$116 million at the 1976 price level.

The Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan was endorsed by the Budget and Control Board and over a three-year period, a total of \$66,528,978 (\$19,720,760 in 1977; \$16,033,936 in 1978; and \$30,774,282 in 1979) was approved for SCDC implementation of Phase I, Phase II and Phase III projects as proposed in the plan. During FY 1981, various construction activities were ongoing with regard to these projects. The completion of projects in these three phases would yield 2,928 new bedspaces. Details on the funds allocated for and status of these projects at the end of FY 1981 are presented as follows:

STATUS OF APPROVED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECTS

PHASE I

Projects Listing	Construction By*	Status as of June 30, 1981	Estimated Completion	Approved Funds
Prototypical Design	C	A/E Services Continue	N/A	\$ 1,146,597
Perry — 576 Bed Medium/Maximum	C	98% Complete	8-31-81	13,673,831
Perry — Multi-Purpose Building	I	0% Complete	10-31-82	400,000
Dutchman — 528 Bed Minimum	C	98% Complete	8-31-81	8,983,535
Dutchman — Multi-Purpose Building	I	0% Complete	10-31-82	380,000
Wateree Addition — 96 Bed Minimum	I	Completed	N/A	623,163
Abattoir	I	Completed	N/A	435,185
Renovations — Kirkland	I	Completed	N/A	250,000
Renovations — Wateree	I	90% Complete	12-31-81	377,000
Roof Repair — MacDougall	I	Completed	N/A	40,000
Renovations — State Park	I	Completed	N/A	180,000
TOTALS PHASE I (1,200 Beds)				\$26,489,311

\* Construction by: C - Contract; I - Inmate Labor  
N/A - Not applicable.

STATUS OF APPROVED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECTS

PHASE II

Projects Listing	Construction By*	Status as of June 30, 1981	Estimated Completion	Approved Funds
Cross Anchor — 528 Bed Minimum	C	10% Complete	5-28-83	\$10,419,047
Northside Addition — 144 Bed Pre-Release	I	Completed	N/A	1,449,009
Livesay — 96 Bed Work Release	I	65% Complete	11-30-81	981,152
Wateree Addition — 96 Bed Minimum	I	Completed	N/A	623,071
Coastal — 96 Bed Work Release	C	Design Completed	2-28-82	1,157,282
Outpatient Clinic Perry	I	Design Completed	9-30-82	971,371
Renovations Civilian Personnel	I	63% Complete	6-30-82	1,490,000
Construction Equipment				854,000
TOTALS PHASE II (960 Beds)				273,936
				\$18,218,868

\* Construction by: C - Contract; I - Inmate Labor.  
N/A - Not Applicable.



## STATUS OF APPROVED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

### PHASE III

Projects Listings	Construction By*	Status as of June 30, 1981	Estimated Completion	Approved Funds
Francis Lieber — 576 Bed Medium/Maximum	CI	Design Completed	12-31-83	\$17,469,900
Women's Addition — 96 Bed Medium	I	5% Completed	1-31-83	810,289
Midlands — 144 Bed Pre-Release	I	60% Completed	5-31-82	1,722,825
Dairy-Wateree	I	Design Underway	2-28-83	771,110
Warehouse, Food Service	C	Design Completed	5-31-82	223,277
SCDC Headquarters	C	Design Completed	5-31-82	477,340
Warehouse, Industries	I	Pre-construction Activities Ongoing	Under Study	122,981
SCDC Headquarters	I	18% Completed	12-31-81	223,077
Warehouse, Regional Appalachian Region				
Regional Office Appalachian Region				
TOTAL PHASE III (816 Beds)				\$21,820,799

\* Construction by: C - Contract; I - Inmate Labor; CI - Contract and Inmate Labor.

While the Division of Construction monitored the progress of construction projects and implemented the plan's three phases as approved by the Budget and Control Board, SCDC's planning and analysis staff monitored population trends and bedspace demand on an ongoing basis. Based on the experienced and projected impact of the Extended Work Release Program and the Earned Work Credit Program as authorized by the Litter Control Act,<sup>6</sup> the previous population projection utilized by Stephen Carter and Associates in the 1976 Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan was revised. The updated forecast utilized the state's general population and unemployment forecast as the basis of its estimates and assumes no change in legislation, adjudication pattern of courts, or parole decisions which would result in acceleration or deceleration of inmate admissions or affect the sentencing, admission, parole or release of inmates. Based on the forecast, the total average SCDC inmate population was projected to increase to only 9,735 for FY 1991, a considerable reduction from the number previously used for 1986. The result would be a substantial decrease in construction requirements and additional operating costs. Based on these revisions which reflect the impact of earned work credits and a projected stabilization of commitment trends, SCDC estimated its bedspace requirement in FY 1991 would be 8,916 (600 out of the projected 9,735 inmates would be placed in designated facilities and 150 on extended work release in the community, and 69 would be housed in other non-SCDC facilities.) Since Phase I, II and III projects would not yield adequate bedspace supply to meet this demand, additional projects to yield 2,880 new bedspaces were proposed. Of the total 2,880 new bedspaces, 2,064 were planned to be constructed through the inmate construction program and 816 by contract. The total costs of these proposed projects at 1980 dollar levels was \$86,597,588. The proposed new projects were described in an update of the Ten Year Capital Improvements Program completed in December, 1980. This document was submitted to the Budget and Control Board for its review.

### Renovations and Maintenance of SCDC Facilities

Renovations were underway at a number of SCDC institutions throughout FY 1981. Institutional maintenance personnel, with guidance from the Division of Engineering and Maintenance, performed minor renovations, while major renovations were performed by the Division of Engineering and Maintenance personnel. Major renovation projects included the addition of a kitchen facility at Midlands Reception and Evaluation Center, installation of television surveillance equipment

<sup>6</sup> Descriptions of these two programs are contained in pages 32 and 32-33, respectively.

at Maximum Security Center, reconstruction of the two-story dormitory at Aiken Youth Correction Center, and kitchen renovations at Central Correctional Institution and Aiken Youth Correction Center. Roofing repairs and renovations continued to be on-going at all of the older facilities, and painting also continued throughout most of the year. An additional major project was completed on state property known as the Lace House during the year. All maintenance work was performed with minimum civilian personnel using inmate work crews and, in several instances, using inmate supervisors.

### **Institutional Openings and Closings**

Fiscal Year 1981 witnessed the opening of two new institutions in the Appalachian Region as planned for in the Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan. Dutchman Correctional Institution, a 528 bed minimum security institution opened on October 21, 1980 and Perry Correctional Institution, a 576 bed medium-maximum security institution opened on June 6, 1981. The 362 new positions required to staff these two institutions contributed significantly to jobs available for local residents of the area.

As a result of the new bedspaces that became available from the opening of Dutchman and Perry it was then feasible to proceed with the planned phase-out of the smaller, less cost-effective institutions that had earlier been acquired from the counties for the purpose of relieving overcrowding. The seven institutions which were thus closed, and their closing dates, are Duncan Correctional Center on October 21, 1980; Laurens Correctional Center on October 29, 1980; Cherokee Correctional Center on November 6, 1980; Lexington Correctional Center on December 1, 1980; Travelers Rest Correctional Center on January 13, 1981; and Appalachian Reception and Evaluation Center and Hillcrest Correctional Center on June 6, 1981.

### **Correctional Reform Emphasizing Community Supervision/ Alternatives to Incarceration**

Correctional reform received priority attention from the Legislature and the Governor's Office during FY 1981. As a concerted effort among these two branches of government and criminal justice agencies, Act S.234 was passed and signed into law June 18, 1981, providing for the expansion of community supervision of non-violent offenders and modifying parole eligibility requirements in order to stabilize/reduce the incarcerated population. The Act specifically acknowledged overcrowded conditions in SCDC's institutions, South Carolina's highest rate of incarceration per capita in the United States, the prohibitive cost

of constructing more prisons, and the need for compensating victims. The Act also authorized the expansion of the Probation, Parole and Pardon Board and renamed it the Department of Parole and Community Corrections and the Board of Parole and Community Corrections.

Specific sections of the Act which would impact significantly on SCDC's future average daily inmate population and the demand for bedspace pertain to the following:

- After January 1, 1984, parole eligibility would be  $\frac{1}{4}$  instead of  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the sentence for inmates with not more than 40 years sentence and 10 years for lifers and inmates with sentences over 40 years. Offenders sentenced for the crime of murder, armed robbery, criminal sexual assault, assault and battery with intent to kill, or kidnapping would still have to serve  $\frac{1}{3}$  before eligible for parole considerations.
- The extended work release program may become available to offenders with records of violent or premeditated crimes if they meet other program requirements such as satisfactory performance on work release.
- Work credits would become applicable towards parole eligibility for inmates with life sentences or convicted of armed robbery.
- A supervised furlough program would be developed and implemented permitting carefully screened and selected inmates who have not committed the crime of murder, armed robbery, criminal sexual assault, assault and battery with intent to kill, or kidnapping to be placed on furlough from SCDC and under the supervision of the state Probation and Parole agents.

Toward the end of the fiscal year, SCDC and the Department of Parole and Community Corrections' representatives were jointly developing policies, procedures, and cooperative guidelines for the furlough program as well as specific requirements and a timetable for the completion of a case classification system and a community corrections plan. SCDC's Division of Resource and Information Management also completed an impact analysis, the preliminary results of which estimate that SCDC's projected total population in FY 1991 can be potentially reduced by 12% if all the provisions for the alternatives to incarceration in S.234 are implemented full-scale and there are no changes in sentencing patterns among future admissions.

Just as SCDC cooperated closely with the Governor's Office and the Legislature in the formulation of S.234, its staff also coordinated with efforts of the Legislative Audit Council in a corrections study to examine in depth the problems and implications of overcrowding. One focus of

the study is the application of various previously validated predictive scales to the inmate population in estimating their potential for violence, and recidivism (return to prison). Another focus pertains to an analysis of sentencing patterns for selected offenses. It was anticipated that the Council's study would be completed around mid-FY 1982 and offer realistic cost-effective strategies to further reduce institutional overcrowding without compromising public safety.

#### **Extended Work Release Program (EWRP)**

Since legislative authorization on June 13, 1977, the EWRP has continued to facilitate the placement of eligible inmates in communities residing with family sponsors, thereby relieving work release bedspace for other inmates. Amended June 15, 1981, selection criteria for the EWRP now provides the exceptional regular work release resident, convicted of a first and not more than a second offense, the opportunity of residing with an approved community sponsor and to be gainfully employed in the community.<sup>7</sup> Extended work release participants must have satisfactorily participated in regular work release, exhibited a desire to become a law-abiding citizen, and satisfied other standardized procedures set forth by departmental policy. Participants on EWRP are responsible to the assigned work release centers and are required to reimburse SCDC \$21.00 a week for supervision.

During FY 1981, 361 inmates were placed on EWRP; 269 successfully completed the program and were released or paroled from SCDC, and 48 were transferred to other programs, whereas 46 were terminated for rule violations. The number of inmates in the program averaged 145 during the fiscal year and on June 30, 1981, 118 program participants were residing with community sponsors rather than being housed in SCDC facilities.

#### **Earned Work Credit Program (EWCP)**

The Earned Work Credit Program was authorized as part of the Litter Control Act signed into law by the Governor on May 5, 1978. In addition to providing for the use of inmates for litter control and removal, the Act amended Section 24-13-230 of the 1976 S. C. Code of Laws, and authorized SCDC's Commissioner to allow a reduction of the term of sentence of inmates assigned productive duty. Earned Work Credits were to be awarded on the basis of performance on the assigned job as

---

<sup>7</sup> Before the amendment, only inmates convicted of non-violent crime were allowed to participate in the EWRP.

well as the classification level. The job levels and the credits for a full-time job requiring more than four hours work a day are as follows:

Level 2: One Earned Work Credit for each two days worked.

Level 3: One Earned Work Credit for each three days worked.

Level 5: One Earned Work Credit for each five days worked.

Level 7: One Earned Work Credit for each seven days worked.

Those assigned to part-time jobs, requiring up to four hours work each work day, can earn one-half of the amount of credits shown above.

During FY 1981, an average of 5,827 inmates (or 72 % of the SCDC average daily population) were engaged productively on jobs and earning credits toward their time to serve. An additional 1,002 inmates, on the average, worked on jobs but due to their sentence category were not eligible for motivational work credits as specified by the Litter Control Act. Among those eligible for motivational work credit, a total of 430,627 motivational work credits were earned during this period for a productivity average of 74 credit days per inmate. These credits ultimately will result in an early release date for each of these inmates at an average of 57 days per 100 credit days earned for those released with sentence served and 100 days per 100 credit days for those paroled. A detailed breakdown of the daily average number of inmates in each job assignment, and the total and average numbers of work credits generated by each job during this period is presented in Table 27 in the Statistical Section, pages 113 through 121. The profile of inmates at each job level of productive work close to the end of FY 1981 was as follows:

Level	Full Time	Part Time	Number of Inmates
2 (One day credit for each two days worked)	1,653 <sup>8</sup>	4	1,657
3 (One day credit for each three days worked)	1,584	15	1,599
5 (One day credit for each five days worked)	1,325	49	1,374
7 (One day credit for each seven days worked)	1,070	198	1,268
Unassigned <sup>9</sup>	2,447	—	2,447
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8,079</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>8,345</b>

<sup>8</sup> Out of this, 62 jobs are assigned to the Litter Control Program at Horry, Richland and York Counties.

<sup>9</sup> Unassigned inmates are primarily those housed in the R & E Center, Perry R & E Center, the Maximum Security Center, and facilities for Youthful Offenders. These individuals were either undergoing the intake process, or were confined under maximum security, or were participating in education/rehabilitation program on a full-time basis.

The Earned Work Credit Program was conceived as a strategy to stabilize inmate population, thereby controlling the spiralling long-term capital improvements and operating costs. Although the program has been authorized for only three years and was fully operational for about 2½ years through the end of FY 1981, the effects of earned work credits had already impacted on the SCDC population level and operational costs through the reduction in time served of released inmates. Between July 1, 1980 and June 30, 1981, 4,485 inmates were released from SCDC. Out of that number 2,660 inmates (59 %) had their time served reduced via the productive work provisions of the Litter Control Act.<sup>10</sup> Collectively, these 2,660 released inmates had their time reduced by 245,785 inmate days (or an average of 92 days per inmate affected). Thus, due to Earned Work Credit provisions, the average decrease in bedspace needs was 673. The population count on June 30, 1981, would have been 614 higher without the provisions of the Litter Control Act authorizing earned work credits. Using the FY 1981 average daily cost

<sup>10</sup> Of the remaining 1,825 inmates released, 269 had earned work credits totalling 6,931 but because of a combination of circumstances were not affected in their release eligibility.

per inmate of \$16.62 of state funds (or \$17.78 of total funds) the reduction of time served of the 2,660 released inmates generated a savings (or reduced the need) of \$4,084,947 in state funds (or \$4,370,057 in total funds).

The total impact of the Earned Work Credit Program since its inception on May 5, 1978, has been tremendous. Since the program became operational on July 3, 1978, 13,771 inmates have been released from SCDC. Of this number 7,364 inmates (53%) had their time served reduced as a result of this program. These 7,364 released inmates had their time reduced by 497,522 inmate days (or an average of 68 days per inmate affected). Using the average daily cost per inmate, for the period FY 80-81, of \$16.62 of state funds (or \$17.78 of total funds) the reduction of time served of the 7,364 released inmates generated a savings (or reduced the need) of \$8,268,816 in state funds (or \$8,845,941 in total funds).

Whereas these statistics were encouraging evidence of the population stabilization and cost saving effects of the Earned Work Credit Program, its potential and full impacts have yet to be seen. As the program continues and the time period in which inmates have accrued work credits lengthens, the program's results and impacts are expected to accumulate at an accelerating rate.

#### Victim Restitution Project

During FY 1980, SCDC explored restitution as another alternative to incarceration to reduce overcrowding. In November, 1979, the SCDC received from the National Institute of Corrections a \$24,831 grant to conduct a restitution program planning project. Project activities included: review of literature and legislation on applicable restitution programs, development of information relative to restitution in South Carolina, drafting of appropriate legislation, development of program policies and procedures, and designing evaluation procedures to monitor the program implementation and results. By June, 1980, the plan for implementing a restitution program in SCDC neared completion, and the Victim Restitution Program was fully implemented in November of 1980.

Under the restitution program, the Department of Corrections is able to place the non-violent, first and not more than second, offenders sentenced to not more than seven years in the work release program earlier so that the offender may pay back the victim for the property stolen and/or damages incurred at the time of the offense. For victimless crimes, the offenders may be required to pay into the program's administrative fund. An important element in the restitution program is the judge's action at the time of sentencing. The presiding judge, at the time

of sentencing, must indicate on the commitment order the maximum amount of property loss and/or damage, to be utilized by SCDC in the implementation of the restitution program. Without this input from the judge, by law, the Department cannot proceed with the restitution agreement. The offender, in addition, must agree to participate in the program. If he/she agrees to participate, he will be required to pay victim restitution in an amount not to exceed 10% of his weekly work release salary. As of June 30, 1981, there were 22 inmates participating in the Victim Restitution Program, 6 of whom were making restitution to various victims across the State.

#### **Reduction-In-Force**

In August, 1980, SCDC officially notified the State Budget and Control Board of an anticipated deficit of approximately \$1.9 million dollars for FY 1981. In compliance with a directive from the Budget and Control Board, an Austerity Plan was developed and implemented in order to absorb the anticipated deficit. Subsequent to submitting the Austerity Plan to the Budget and Control Board, several events beyond SCDC's control occurred which increased the projected deficit by approximately \$530,000. The contributors to this increased deficit included the rate increase for water and sewer proposed and later implemented by the City of Columbia, the loss of military surplus clothing, and the inability of Prison Industries to continue to subsidize certain utility costs.

The only alternative available to the Department to absorb the increased deficit and to meet the Budget and Control Board's mandate of 7% budget cut for state agencies was to implement a reduction-in-force. In January, 1981, the Department was forced to lay-off 53 employees and abolish an additional 25 vacant positions in order to absorb the increased deficit. Employees whose positions were abolished were placed in other essential positions or were recalled as vacancies became open due to normal attrition. During the development of the reduction-in-force policy and its implementation, uncertainty adversely affected morale among employees; however, overall disruption to agency operation was minimized and no grievances were filed. By working very closely with the Budget and Control Board, enforcing the Austerity Plan to ensure its success, and by implementing the reduction-in-force, the Department was able to end the fiscal year without a deficit.

#### **Automation Efficiency Offsetting Resource Constraints**

Since inmate population and service needs have increased and are projected to increase at a faster rate than funds available, the automation of most administrative functions has become a real solution to the

dilemma that the agency faced in terms of both constrained resources and increasing demands for services. The efficiency gained in inmate processing and record management has been exemplified in the automated mathematical calculation of inmate release dates and work credits, as well as on-line entry and retrieval of inmate data at all institutions. SCDC's inmate information system is among the most advanced in the nation in being accurate, real-time-on-line, manual record eliminating and computationally decision-making.

To further increase efficiency, efforts were made during FY 1981 to meet additional data processing needs. Among the identified needs were automated record capability at SCDC's reception and evaluation centers with direct access to central jails and SLED data files, word processing hardware/software capabilities, and on-line programming. The scope of such hardware/software needs, cost, and other operational considerations had dictated the necessity for SCDC's acquisition of an independent host computer instead of relying on support from the Division of General Services. After considering all relevant factors, the Computer Systems Management Office (CSM) of the Budget and Control Board approved SCDC establishing its own independent host data center. Subsequently, a request for proposal (RFP) was developed and submitted to CSM in May, 1981. The hardware procurement included a host computer system; needed tape, disk storage and associated peripherals; telecommunication lines; three local processing devices and 17 programmer terminals. Among the operating software procurements are the host and line handling software, a data base system including an integrated data dictionary, statistical/report units software, and teleprocessing/on-line programming/utility/interface software. Such a new system is still within the budget of the existing system and would take advantage of the more efficient technological gains and cost reductions currently available in the computer industry with new hardware and software.

#### **Correctional Industries**

During Fiscal Year 1981, Correctional Industries increased sales by 48%. This increase was mainly caused by substantial increases at the KCI Furniture Factory and the Apparel Plant with other shops reporting moderate gains. The furniture sales increase was partially the result of the promotion of a new market area for refinishing and repair of furniture among hotels and motels at Myrtle Beach and other major metropolitan areas throughout the state. Additionally, Industries was successful in facilitating the repair of school furniture for school systems during the year. The additional furniture repair business from hotels

during the winter months and the ability to schedule school furniture repairs throughout the year rather than only in summer months resulted in a more uniform and efficient utilization of SCDC production capacity. The previous cyclical difference in production capacity utilization was reduced significantly.

FY 1981 also witnessed the near completion of two new industrial plants in the Appalachian Region. With contractual services from the John R. Wall Company, a janitorial product plant was established at the new Dutchman Correctional Institution. The plant was projected to be operational in September, 1981, with a plant manager appointed to handle the necessary preparations. The second plant is a new wood working unit at Perry Correctional Institution scheduled to be operational in October, 1981. Whereas Correctional Industries heretofore provided productive employment for about 10% of the inmate population, this percentage is expected to increase as these two plants become operational.

Among other significant developments were the efforts to promote sales during the fiscal year. The Correctional Industries' catalog was updated and distributed to all state agencies and other tax-supported institutions. Industries' sales staff also made numerous presentations on Correctional Industries' products at workshops and conventions to promote business. Other developments included a feasibility study of completely rebuilding the laundry at Manning Correctional Institution which had become technologically inefficient. To facilitate the preparation of financial statements and decision making, a technical assistant grant was obtained from the Systems Development Division, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, Department of Justice to develop computer software in accounts payable, inventory control and accounts receivable.

### **Agricultural Production**

SCDC's agricultural operations encountered difficulties similar to those encountered by South Carolina farmers across the state during the year. The increased cost of feed and supplies and the severe drought resulted in a tremendous setback causing decrease in revenue and livestock inventories and only moderate increase in the swine operation. Soybeans and corn production in FY 1981 declined from the level in FY 1980 although small grains increased by 32.6%. A decrease in beef market prices as well as a decrease in live weight shipped to slaughter resulted in a decrease of just over \$30,013 (11.6% of the FY 1980 level) in cattle revenue. Total beef cattle inventory, however, increased by 20%. Similarly, setbacks were experienced in the dairy operations stemming from excessive culling caused by a mastitis outbreak. Consequently,

22,923 fewer gallons of milk were shipped to SCDC Food Services during the fiscal year. The number of swine shipped to slaughter increased by 35% and market value increased by 64.7%. Improvements in the swine operation were witnessed in the building of breeding pens, and the reduction of the weaned pig mortality rate.

### **Support Services**

FY 1981 saw the new abattoir placed into operation, providing SCDC the capability of processing all of the beef and pork production received from the farm, thereby meeting the total menu requirements for these items. Moreover, equipment in the new abattoir made it possible to train inmate abattoir workers in the various phases of butchery and meat processing. Certificates were awarded by Midlands Technical College to all inmates who attended the required number of hours in this training. Twenty-three cafeterias were in operation during FY 1981, each having attained Class "A" Health Certificates. The cost of feeding one inmate per day was approximately \$1.64, of which \$1.04 was State funds, 30 cents was from the U. S. Department of Agriculture, and 34 cents was from the SCDC farm. Canteen sales reached an all time high in FY 1981, totalling more than \$2,800,000. Losses were notably low at only .8%.

### **Personnel Administration and Training**

Recognizing that personnel stability and quality contribute significantly to agency efficiency and effectiveness, SCDC focused on reducing security staff turnover, management and supervisory training, and improved scheduling. The turnover rate for security personnel continued its decline from the 21% in FY 1980 to 19% in FY 1981. Agency trainers continued to utilize in-house training materials and conducted management/supervisory training for middle/lower managers. Because of improved information/reporting mechanisms and scheduling for correctional officers, a substantial amount of accrued compensatory time was eliminated.

### **Accreditation**

In the fall of 1974, the American Correctional Associations' Commission on Accreditation for Corrections was established to provide a voluntary accreditation program for implementation of correctional standards. The South Carolina Department of Corrections viewed the accreditation program as a management tool for providing quality control and accountability, as a means of protecting the legal rights of inmates as delineated by numerous federal court decisions, and as an incentive to meet professional standards. Consequently, following the approval of



the Board of Corrections in July, 1980, the Agency proceeded with a review of standards and began to revise Agency policy and procedures in order to move toward a better position to apply for accreditation.

In March, 1981, the Agency contracted with the Commission on Accreditation for Corrections for accreditation of the Parole Field Services of the Youthful Offender Branch. All nine field offices completed the required self-evaluation and Parole Field Services are expected to be accredited early in FY 1982. Also in 1981, the revised editions of *Standards for Adult Community Residential Centers* and *Standards for Adult Correctional Institutions* were distributed to all institutions. All work release and pre-release centers completed in-house self-evaluations which will be used to select a center to apply for accreditation during FY 1982. The remaining institutions will complete self-evaluations and two additional institutions will be selected to enter into the accreditation process in FY 1982.

#### **Internal Affairs and Inspections**

The development of Minimum Standards for Detention of Juveniles in Local Facilities was completed during the first half of the year with final coordination of the standards among all interested outside agencies. In December of 1980 these standards were approved by the Board of Corrections and the S. C. Association of Counties. A training program in use of the standards was presented to 464 jail administrators and trainers involved with juvenile facilities, and each such facility was provided with a policy and procedures manual to assist in complying with standards requiring written policies and procedures. Beginning July 1, 1981, annual inspections of local detention facilities will be based on both Adult and Juvenile Standards, if juveniles are detained.

#### **Health Services**

FY 1981 was marked by the continued enhancement of quality inmate health care through a number of innovations. First, in the area of education and training, the Health Services Division initiated a Nursing Education Program, an Emergency Medical Training Program for correctional officers, and a Cardio-Pulmonary Resuscitation Certification program for professionals and paraprofessionals. In the medical records area, improvements were achieved through the initiation of an automated records system which will provide each institution immediate access to inmate medical records as needed, thus facilitating timely medical treatment.

Other significant innovations were the introduction of University of South Carolina nursing students into SCDC out-patient clinics through

an affiliation arrangement between SCDC and the University, and the initiation of a health care quality assurance program. This latter program entails a continuing audit of medical records by a committee of health care professionals in order to identify and correct any possible deficiencies in treatment approaches. In the area of mental health, with the relicensing in September, 1980, of the Mental Health Unit by the Department of Mental Health, came the establishment of a new treatment philosophy and a broadened admission criteria to include a wider range of psychological problems. Also initiated was a program of written treatment plans. A final noteworthy development in FY 1981 was the passage of a law requiring autopsies of any person who dies while incarcerated in a state correctional facility. This law gives the coroner authority to order such autopsies rather than leaving the matter to the person's next of kin.

Direct health care costs rose from \$2,421,475 in FY 1980 to \$3,575,000 by the end of FY 1981. However, a significant part of this increase resulted from the opening of Dutchman Correctional Institution and Perry Correctional Institution during the year, and the inclusion of the Mental Health Unit costs in the Division of Health Services budget area for the first time in FY 1981. Moreover, approximately \$250,000 of the increase is accounted for by hospital and professional fees for outside inmate health care. Per capita health care costs rose from \$303 in FY 1980 to \$442 in FY 1981.

#### **Inmate Relations**

Maintaining fair and standardized disciplinary procedures and providing channels for resolving inmate grievances have become increasingly important in view of prison overcrowding and growing court intervention. Inmate relations received special attention during Fiscal Year 1981 when two positions were created to oversee disciplinary hearings and grievances, respectively.

The position of Hearing Officer was created in March, 1980 for the purpose of providing professional and technical guidance to the agency's internal administrative disciplinary hearing process. The Hearing Officer presides over disciplinary hearings held at institutions in the Columbia area, helps to ensure adherence to agency policies, rules on questions of procedure and evidence, and assists disciplinary committees in attempting to arrive at fair and equitable decisions. The Hearing Officer also examines current policies to ensure that all federal and state mandates in the area of disciplinary hearings are adequately addressed, and can recommend changes or alterations in practices or policies to the Commissioner.

The position of Inmate Grievance Coordinator was established at the close of the fiscal year to provide training and assistance to all SCDC institutions in the planning, development, and implementation of the Inmate Grievance Procedure, a system designed to help remedy both potential and active conflicts in the State's correctional system. Through this mechanism, SCDC policies or actions of concern to a single inmate, or to the entire inmate population, may be reduced to writing and brought to the attention of appropriate officials for reply. The Inmate Grievance Coordinator provides technical assistance to institutional personnel at all stages of this process, and also oversees the operation of the process at all levels within the SCDC to ensure that the goal of resolving conflict through this process is effectively achieved. The Grievance Coordinator is also charged with the responsibility of seeing that all SCDC policies in this area conform with the standards for inmate grievance procedures set forth by the U. S. Attorney General's Office (28 CFR Part 40), so that the U. S. Attorney General may certify this grievance procedure. Upon certification, the SCDC may gain the benefit of requiring inmates to exhaust this system prior to their filing suits in federal courts under 42 USC Sec. 1983.

#### Child Care/Development Coordination Project

Building upon the successes of SCDC's award winning Sesame Street Child Care Center project,<sup>11</sup> the Department continued efforts to expand this extremely beneficial service and in July, 1980, was awarded a child care/development grant from the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration through the Governor's Office of Criminal Justice Programs. As with the Sesame Street project, the primary objectives of the grant were to alleviate noisy and overcrowded visiting rooms, and to provide activities to occupy the minds and time of young children who visit incarcerated family members. A significant activity of the grant was an extensive survey of the visiting facilities and routines in all SCDC institutions. Through this survey seven institutions indicated a need for some type of day care services for children. A manual was developed to guide in the implementation of child care centers and plans are underway to implement child activity centers in four institutions: Central Correctional Institution; Givens Youth Correction Center; Dutchman Correctional Institution; and Northside Correctional Center. Technical assistance will continue to be available to other institutions that have a need for child day care services.

<sup>11</sup> Details on this project are contained in SCDC's FY 1979 Annual Report, pages 53-54.

#### CCI Consent Agreement

On February 23, 1976, during a period when SCDC's population was increasing at an unprecedented rate, a civil suit was filed in U. S. District Court by several CCI inmates. The complaint, Mattison, et al. versus S. C. Department of Corrections, et al., as amended on January 5, 1977, alleged that in the operation of CCI the defendants had violated and were continuing to violate the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments, the Civil Rights Law, and the Laws of South Carolina in failing to provide the plaintiffs with a safe and healthy environment, reasonable preventive health care, and reasonable protection from violence. In essence, the overcrowded living conditions, the inadequate numbers of correctional officers, and the deteriorating physical conditions at CCI were being challenged.

On July 26, 1978, SCDC signed an agreement, known as the Consent Agreement, to settle the suit. From the date of the agreement, SCDC was to accomplish certain actions at CCI within 30 months, 48 months and 60 months, hereafter referred to as Phases I, II and III, respectively. These actions and their status as of the end of the fiscal year are outlined as follows:

*Phase I Actions. To be accomplished within 30 months, i.e., by December 31, 1980:*

- Employment of additional security officers sufficient to provide one officer at each ward on a 24-hour basis, seven days per week.
- Reduction of population in CB-1 such that all cells have only one occupant.
- Removal of the interior steel cells on CB-1 and installation of recreational facilities.
- Development and implementation of a classification system to identify and control violent inmates and to remove them from the wards.
- Housing only volunteers in CB-1.

*Status:* All of the actions cited above have been accomplished.

*Phase II Actions. To be accomplished within 48 months, i.e., by July 26, 1982:* Reduction of population in Wards 1 through 10 to no more than 55 per ward, and in the Honor Ward to no more than 100.

*Status:* Wards 1 through 10 have been reduced to 75 each and the Honor Ward to 125. Further reductions to 55 and 100 respectively is assured well before the deadline.



*Phase III Actions. To be accomplished within 60 months, i.e., by July 26, 1983:* Certain inmates will be in single cells, i.e., psychiatric patients, safekeepers, those with death sentences, protective custody, and with some exceptions, disciplinary cases.

*Status:* SCDC is currently largely in compliance, with full compliance expected well before the deadline.

In addition to the aforementioned actions, SCDC is required to confine no more than 1,713 inmates at CCI (i.e., the population level on the day of execution of the Consent Agreement.) Moreover, compliance with all aspects of Phase II of the Agreement will limit the inmate population to 1,300 by July 26, 1982, and Phase III requirements will limit the population to 1,229 by July 26, 1983. Current plans assure that these ceilings will be met well within the permitted time frame.

#### Death Row

As set forth by S. C. Law, for those persons convicted of murder and sentenced to death, the SCDC has the responsibility of "providing a death chamber and all necessary appliances for inflicting such penalty by electrocution" (Section 24-3-540, S. C. Code of Laws). Since the Amendment and passage of the current death penalty law in June, 1977, SCDC has been housing these inmates on Death Row at CCI as safekeepers for the counties. At the beginning of this fiscal year, there were 12 inmates housed on Death Row. During the year, 10 more inmates were added to death row, whereas one inmate's sentence was commuted to life. Accordingly, the number on Death Row increased. Of the 21 inmates on Death Row at fiscal year end, 13 were white and 8 were non-white; all were male and sentenced for murder. Their ages ranged from 19 to 40, with an overall average age of 27. They were engaged in varying stages of the appeals process with an average stay on Death Row of 31 months.

As the result of an appeal, one inmate's death sentence, after being housed on Death Row for 32 months, was commuted to a life sentence on June 15, 1981. Including this most recent commutation, a total of 2 inmates have now had their death sentences commuted to life since the reinstatement of capital punishment in 1977.

#### LEGISLATION DIRECTLY AFFECTING THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

The following Acts or Joint Resolutions affecting SCDC were passed by the General Assembly in the FY 1980-81 legislative session:

- H2350 — A joint resolution to amend Section 186 or Part I of Act 517 of 1980 (General Appropriation Act) relating to the authorized number of personnel employed by the state, so as to provide that the Department of Corrections may transfer up to \$1,467,714 of unused funds appropriated for new positions to be used for operating expenses (signed by the Governor, March 18, 1981).
- H2428 — An Act to authorize the South Carolina Department of Corrections to transfer and exchange foreign national prisoners under specific circumstances (signed by the Governor, April 27, 1981).
- H2427 — An Act to amend Section 24-3-330, *Code of Laws of South Carolina*, 1976, relating to the purchase of products produced by convict labor so as to allow the Department of Corrections to purchase prison goods from other states for resale to other agencies and political subdivisions of the State; and to amend Section 24-3-410, as amended, relating to the sale of prison-made products on the open market so as to allow the Department of Corrections to provide for adult work activities centers through contract with private sector businesses and to provide work for the physically handicapped and mentally retarded or aged inmate (signed by the Governor, May 5, 1981).
- S234 — An Act to amend the *Code of Laws of South Carolina*, 1976, as it relates to corrections, jail, probation, paroles, and pardons (signed by the Governor, June 15, 1981). See page 33 for discussion of this Act.

#### FEDERAL ASSISTANCE DURING FY 1981

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION (LEAA)

*Action Grants through the Division of Public Safety Programs, Office of the Governor.*

- Improvement of Security Officer Training: \$68,815 for January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980; \$31,478 for January 1, 1981 to July 31, 1981.
- Management Information System: \$126,882 for January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980; \$56,181 for January 1, 1981 to September 30, 1981.

- Prison/Jail Standards. Development of standards for inspection of South Carolina's juvenile detention facilities: \$60,533 for August 1, 1980 to July 31, 1981.
- Child Care Development/Coordination Project: \$27,229 for July 1, 1980 to September 30, 1981.
- In-service training for SCDC personnel: \$8,283 for April 1, 1980 to March 31, 1981.
- Expansion and improvement of the 30-day pre-release program at Blue Ridge Community Pre-Release Center and Watkins Pre-Release Center: \$44,651 for May 1, 1980 to April 30, 1981.
- Provision of extra-agency community-based program services to SCDC inmates: \$15,698 for June 1, 1980 to May 31, 1981.
- Psychological evaluations of work release candidates: \$28,200 for October 1, 1979 to September 30, 1980; \$19,001 for October 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981.
- Increased supervision of Youthful Offenders: \$113,472 for October 1, 1979 to September 30, 1980; \$92,915 for October 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981;
- Staff Training and Development: Two grants totaling \$804 to send professional staff to workshops and seminars from July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981.

#### *Discretionary Grants*

- Free Venture Project, designed to develop a self-supporting prison industry and provide ex-offenders experience for employment in private industry: \$105,000 for July 28, 1980 to August 13, 1981.
- Victim Restitution Project to develop a model for victim restitution which may be used to reduce the need for institutionalization of offenders: \$24,831 for November 1, 1979 to July 31, 1980 (funded through the National Institute of Corrections).

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

The following grants were funded through the CETA Division, Office of the Governor, under the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA):

- Multi-Skills Training Project providing instruction in Brick Masonry, carpentry, and plumbing at Kirkland Correctional Institution: \$87,538 for October 1, 1979 to September 30, 1980; \$90,000 for October 1, 1980 to September 30, 1981.
- Individualized Training in self-concept improvement, reading, mathematics and other complimentary skills to inmates at Central Correctional Institution: \$141,576 for October 1, 1979 to Sep-

tember 30, 1980; \$80,000 for October 1, 1980 to September 30, 1981.

- Assessment, counseling, instruction, referral, and follow-up services for incarcerated youths at five SCDC institutions: \$230,120 for October 1, 1979 to September 30, 1980; \$265,400 for October 1, 1980 to September 30, 1981.
- Manpower Services Delivery Coordination Project to minimize the duplication of employment and training services through the development and implementation of a comprehensive CETA Service Delivery System for Offenders: \$19,973 for October 1, 1979 to September 30, 1980; \$18,735 for August 1, 1980 to September 30, 1980; \$109,139 for October 31, 1980 to September 30, 1981.

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AND U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Through the South Carolina State Department of Education:

- Adult Basic Education: \$146,543 for July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981. (\$128,178 of this money is State funds.)
- Title I education funds for disadvantaged youth to upgrade education programs in SCDC: \$407,153 for July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981.
- Specialized vocational training programs (auto mechanics, electricity, carpentry, masonry, and welding) at Central Correctional Institution, Kirkland Correctional Institution, MacDougall Youth Correction Center, Givens Youth Correction Center, Northside Correctional Center, and the Women's Correctional Center: \$361,138 for July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981.
- Title IV, Part B funds for instructional materials and equipment: \$2,596 for October 31, 1980 to September 30, 1981.
- Direct Service Delivery to improve financial support of educational programs for handicapped youths, ages 17-21: \$10,968 for July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981.

Through the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

- Upgrade wastewater facilities at Oaklawn, Travelers Rest, and Wateree River Correctional Institutions, and MacDougall Youth Correction Center: \$446,271 for August 30, 1979 to December 31, 1980.

Through the S. C. State Library Board

- Provide reading materials to inmates: \$13,000 for October 1, 1979 to September 30, 1980.

Through the Executive Office of Policy and Programs

- Provide internship opportunities for students: \$4,935 for June 6, 1980 to August 14, 1980; \$3,797 for September 22, 1980 to December 12, 1980; \$5,498 for February 2, 1981 to April 24, 1981.

Through the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education

- Provide vocational training in horticulture: \$25,000 for October 1, 1980 to September 30, 1981.

**PUBLICATIONS/DOCUMENTS OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS  
DURING FY 1981<sup>12</sup>**

**Regular Reports**

Annual Report of the Board of Corrections and the Commissioner of the South Carolina Department of Corrections

Monthly Report to the Board of Corrections

Semi-Annual Statistical Report, Division of Resource and Information Management

Inmate Guide

SCDC Adjustment Committee Guide, Division of Inmate Relations

**Newsletters**

*Intercom*, quarterly newsletter prepared by the Department's Public Information Director for employees, inmates, and related organizations

*About Face*, bi-monthly newsletter prepared by the Department of Corrections' inmates

**Special Reports**

A Guide for Implementing Child Care Centers in Correctional Institutions

Ten-Year Capital Improvements Program (For Fiscal Years 1981-82 through 1990-91)

A Five-Year Program Plan for the South Carolina Department of Corrections (1980-84)

Minimum Standards for Local Detention Facilities in South Carolina, Vols. I-IV

Youthful Offender Services, Information Guide

Division of Community Services, Resident Guide

SCDC Inmate Grievance Procedure Training Manual

Escapes Relative to Staffing and Training at the Dutchman Correctional Institution and the South Carolina Department of Corrections: Overview and Analysis

<sup>12</sup> For previous SCDC publications and documents, see previous SCDC Annual Reports.

## STATISTICAL SECTION

## STATISTICAL SECTION

Detailed inmate statistics are presented on pages 54 to 130. Tables 7 to 13 therein delineate the characteristics of inmates admitted to SCDC during FY 1981. Tables 14 to 23 describe the inmate population in SCDC at the end of FY 1981. Tables 24 to 26 pertain to inmates released from SCDC during FY 1981. The following provides an overview of inmate population flow and characteristics.

### Average Population and Facility Occupancy in FY 1981.

- During FY 1981, on an average daily basis, SCDC had 8,078 incarcerated inmates under its custody. For every 100 inmates, 92 were housed in SCDC facilities and 8 in Designated Facilities.
- SCDC's average daily population in FY 1981 was a moderate increase of 2.6% from that of FY 1980.
- SCDC facilities continued to be overcrowded in FY 1981, even though major construction was completed during the year to provide some relief in bed spaces available. Overall, SCDC facilities were housing about one and one-half times the number of inmates they were designed to hold.
- Individually, Kirkland Correctional Institution was the most overcrowded, housing two and one-half times as many as its design capacity. Three other facilities holding close to twice their respective design capacities were: Palmer Work Release Center, Greenwood Correctional Center, Given Youth Correction Center, and MacDougall Youth Correction Center.
- In only 3 of the 27 SCDC facilities was there a lack of overcrowding on an average daily basis.

### Profile of Inmates Admitted to SCDC During FY 1981.

Of the 5,511 admissions recorded by the Correctional Information System during FY 1981, their profile was as follows:

- For every 100 inmates admitted, 44 were white male, 51 non-white male, 2 white female and 3 non-white female.
- Forty (40) out of every 100 inmates admitted were from the Appalachian Region, 32 from the Midlands Correctional Region and 28 from the Coastal Region.
- The leading (most common) offenses among admissions were: Larceny (47 out of 100 inmates admitted were convicted of this offense), Burglary (19/100), traffic offenses<sup>13</sup> (16/100), Dangerous Drugs (15/100), Robbery (11/100), and Assault (9/100).
- The average age for inmates admitted in FY 1981 was 27 years 6 months (six months older than FY 1980 admissions). Generally as

<sup>13</sup> Including Driving Under the Influence.

groups, non-whites were slightly younger (one to two years) than whites, and males slightly younger than females.

- For every 100 inmates admitted, 18 were 19 years of age or younger and 49 between 20 to 29 years of age (more than half, therefore were 30 or younger).
- On an average, inmates admitted in FY 1981 had an average sentence of five years and two months. (This average is seven months higher than that in FY 1980.)
- Generally, non-white male admissions had longer average sentences than white males (five years six months for the former, four years and four months for the latter). Noted differences in offenses/nature of crimes may contribute to variations in sentence. Female admissions had shorter average sentences than males.
- For every 100 admissions, 18 had a YOA sentence and 29 had a sentence of a year or less. Both the number and proportion of YOA's decreased in FY 1981 as compared to FY 1980. The reverse was true of the one year or less category.

#### Profile of Inmates in SCDC as of June 30, 1981.

There was a total of 8,345 inmates in SCDC as of June 30, 1981 (258 or 3.2% more than about the same date a year ago). The characteristics of these inmates were as follows:

- For every 100 inmates in SCDC, 40 of them are white males, 56 non-white males, 2 white females and 2 non-white females.
- There were about the same proportion of non-white males in the system on June 30, 1981 (56%), as there were on June 28, 1980 (55%). The same was true of white males (40% and 41%, respectively).
- Out of every 100 inmates, 13 were in AA custody, 42 in A, 31 in B, 11 in C, and 3 in M. This custody grade composition had no major difference from that on June 28, 1980.
- Leading offenses for inmates in SCDC on June 30, 1981, were: Larceny (45 out of every 100 inmates were convicted of this offense), Robbery (27/100), Homocide (17/100), Burglary (20/100), Assault (14/100), and Dangerous Drugs (12/100). (This configuration was about the same as that of the population on June 28, 1980.)<sup>14</sup>

<sup>14</sup> Because of the relatively fast turnover with short sentences, the leading offenses for the inmate population in SCDC on specific dates were quite different from those for admission cohorts. Traffic offenses which carry relatively short sentences were the second leading (most common) among admissions cohorts, but ranked number eight among offenses for the inmate population as of June 30, 1981. Only 7.0% of inmate population as of that date were convicted of traffic offenses, whereas 19% of FY 1981 admissions were serving time for the same crimes.

- The average age among all inmates in SCDC on June 30, 1981 was 28 years 8 mos. of age (28 years 7 mos. a year ago). This average was the same for females. Non-white males were about the same age as their white counterparts (28 years and 29 years, respectively).
- The average sentence of the SCDC inmate population on this date was 12 years 1 month. For the non-white males, the average was 12 years 8 months, as compared to 11 years 6 months for white males. White females had an average sentence of 8 years 2 months; non-white females, 9 years 2 months.
- There were fewer YOA's in SCDC on June 30, 1981 than a year ago (822 or 9.8% versus 859 or 10.6%). There was an increase in the number of lifers (661 (7.9%) on June 30, 1981 versus 610 (7.5%) a year ago).
- On June 30, 1981, there were relatively more non-white males (8.1%) than white males (7.6%) in the life category, whereas, there were more white males (12.4%) than non-white males (8.0%) in the YOA sentence category.

#### Statistics on Inmates Released from SCDC During FY 1981.

During FY 1981, SCDC released 4,485 inmates. Out of every 100 inmates released, 21 were youthful offenders paroled by the Youthful Offender Branch of SCDC's Division of Classification and Community Program; 33 were paroled by the Probation, Parole and Pardon Board; 34 had served the maximum term of their sentence after consideration for good time credits; and 6 were placed on probation. The remaining 6 were released upon paying a fine or appeal bond or death.

- For every 100 inmates released, over half (53) served one year or less while close to one and one-half served ten or more years. The average time served for all inmates released was 1 year and 10 months.
- Of those inmates eligible and considered for parole at parole hearings in FY 1981, 1,624 (58%) were granted parole.

TABLE 2

**SCDC AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION  
1960-1981**

(CALENDAR YEARS)

Year	In SCDC Facilities	In Designated Facilities <sup>1</sup>	Total Under SCDC Jurisdiction	Absolute Change Over Previous Year	Percent Change Over Previous Year
1960	2,073	...	2,073	...	...
1961	2,132	...	2,132	59	2.9
1962	2,226	...	2,226	94	4.4
1963	2,304	...	2,304	78	3.5
1964	2,378	...	2,378	74	3.2
1965	2,396	...	2,396	18	0.8
1966	2,287	...	2,287	-109	-4.6
1967	2,333	...	2,333	46	2.0
1968	2,362	...	2,362	29	1.2
1969	2,519	...	2,519	157	6.7
1970	2,705	...	2,705	186	7.4
1971	3,111	...	3,111	406	15.0
1972	3,300	...	3,300	189	6.1
1973	3,396	...	3,396	96	2.9
1974	3,931	...	3,931	535	15.8
1975	5,105	379	5,484	1,553	39.5
1976	6,064	675	6,739	1,255	22.9
1977	6,618	762	7,380	641	9.5
1978	6,838	725	7,563	183	2.5
1979	6,976	703	7,679	116	1.5
1980	7,349	670	8,019	340	4.4
1981 <sup>2</sup>	7,436	633	8,069	50	0.6


<sup>1</sup> Since April 1, 1975, suitable county and local facilities have been designated as facilities to hold State inmates as a temporary measure to alleviate overcrowded conditions in SCDC facilities.

<sup>2</sup> Average calculated from January - June population figures.

FIGURE 3

**SCDC AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION  
(Calendar Years 1960-1981)**

Average Number  
of Inmates



**TABLE 3**  
**SCDC AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION**  
**1967-1981**  
**(FISCAL YEARS)**


Year	In SCDC Facilities	In Designated Facilities <sup>1</sup>	Total Under SCDC Jurisdiction	Absolute Change Over Previous Year	Percent Change Over Previous Year
1967	2,287	...	2,287	...	...
1968	2,378	...	2,378	91	4.0
1969	2,355	...	2,355	-23	-1.0
1970	2,537	...	2,537	182	7.7
1971	2,859	...	2,859	322	12.7
1972	3,239	...	3,239	380	13.3
1973	3,341	...	3,341	102	3.1
1974	3,542	...	3,542	201	6.0
1975	4,582	36	4,618	1,076	30.4
1976	5,696	568	6,264	1,646	35.6
1977	6,419	748	7,167	903	14.4
1978	6,709	738	7,447	280	3.9
1979	6,910	713	7,623	176	2.4
1980	7,187	682	7,869	246	3.2
1981	7,426	652	8,078	209	2.6

<sup>1</sup> Since April 1, 1975, suitable county and local facilities have been designated as facilities to hold State inmates as a temporary measure to alleviate overcrowded conditions in SCDC facilities.


57

**FIGURE 4**

**SCDC AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION**  
**(Fiscal Years 1967-1981)**



**FIGURE 5**  
**LOCATION OF AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION**  
**FY 1981**



\*These are inmates assigned to the Criminal Justice Academy, SLED Headquarters, the State Park Health Center, the Governor's Mansion, and the Commissioner's Home.

**TABLE 4**  
**PER INMATE COSTS OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA**  
**DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**  
**FISCAL YEARS 1973-1981<sup>1</sup>**

Fiscal Year	Based on State Funds Spent		Based on all Funds <sup>2</sup> Spent	
	Annual Per Inmate Costs	Daily Per Inmate Costs	Annual Per Inmate Costs	Daily Per Inmate Costs
1973	\$2,419	\$ 6.63	\$3,145	\$ 8.62
1974	2,886	7.91	3,707	10.16
1975	3,430	9.40	4,147	11.36
1976	3,322	9.10	4,102	11.24
1977	3,384	9.27	4,075	11.16
1978	4,114	11.27	4,826	13.22
1979	4,796	13.14	5,488	15.03
1980	4,995	13.65	5,666	15.47
1981	6,067	16.62	6,489	17.78


Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

<sup>1</sup> Calculation of the SCDC per inmate costs is based on the average number of inmates in SCDC facilities and does not include state inmates held in designated facilities.

<sup>2</sup> That is, state and federal funds and other revenues.



**FIGURE 6**  
**ANNUAL PER INMATE COSTS OF SCDC**  
**(FY 1973-1981)**



**TABLE 5**  
**EXPENDITURES OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA**  
**DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**  
**FY 1981**

Office	Total Expenditures*
1. Office of the Commissioner (Includes Special Projects, Legal Advisor, Divisions of Inmate Relations, Public Information, and Internal Affairs and Inspections) . . . . .	\$ 1,111,234.00
2. Administration (Includes Divisions of Industries, Support Services, Personnel Administration and Training, and Resource and Information Management) . . . . .	3,316,595.00
3. Operations (Includes Divisions of Construction, Engineering and Maintenance, Institutional Operations-Medium/Maximum Security and Institutional Operations-Minimum Security, and Appalachian and Coastal Correctional Regions) . . . . .	38,359,455.00
4. Program Services (Includes Divisions of Classification and Community Services, Human Services, and Health Services) . . . . .	5,402,293.00
GRAND TOTAL SCDC . . . . .	\$48,189,577.00

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

\* Includes state appropriations, federal funds, and other revenues. Also included in these figures are employer contributions and fringe benefits. Excludes capital improvement expenditures.

**TABLE 6**  
**FLOW OF OFFENDERS THROUGH THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**  
**FISCAL YEARS 1980 and 1981**

	Fiscal Year 1980	Fiscal Year 1981	Absolute Change	Percentage Change
<b>SCDC INMATE GAINS</b>				
New Inmates Received by R & E Centers .....	5,337	5,617	280	5.2
Direct from courts .....	3,893	4,054	161	4.1
Transfers from counties .....	8	1	-7	-87.5
Parole revocation .....	135	195	60	44.4
Probation revocation .....	32	30	-2	-6.2
YOA parole revocation .....	52	39	-13	-25.0
Revocation of suspended sentence .....	124	239	115	92.7
YOA 5b <sup>1</sup> .....	133	126	-7	-5.3
YOA 5c <sup>1</sup> .....	954	926	-28	-2.9
YOA 5d <sup>1</sup> .....	0	0	—	—
Transfers from DYS <sup>2</sup> .....	0	0	—	—
Transfers, ICC <sup>3</sup> .....	6	7	1	16.7
(Women) <sup>4</sup> .....	(285)	(242)	(-43)	(-15.1)
Other Inmates Received .....	845	855	10	1.2
From DYS .....	0	0	—	—
Safekeepers .....	32	31	-1	-3.1
Hospital patients from counties .....	575	559	-16	-2.8
Escapees returned ...	206	262	56	27.2
Readmitted to count .....	32	3	-29	-90.6
<b>TOTAL SCDC INMATE GAINS .....</b>	<b>6,182</b>	<b>6,472</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>4.7</b>

TABLE 6 (Continued)

	Fiscal Year 1980	Fiscal Year 1981	Absolute Change	Percentage Change
<b>SCDC INMATE LOSSES</b>				
Released Less Good Time <sup>5</sup> .....	2,928	2,919	-9	-0.3
Released Per Court Order .....	251	199	-52	-20.7
Paroled <sup>6</sup> .....	1,619	2,194	575	35.5
Pardoned .....	0	0	—	—
Escapes .....	218	314	96	44.0
Transferred to Counties .....	601	558	-43	-7.2
Transferred to State Hospital .....	142	85	-57	-40.1
Transferred to DYS .....	0	0	—	—
Transferred, ICC .....	0	0	—	—
Released to U. S. Marshal .....	2	3	1	50.0
Death .....	17	25	8	47.0
<b>TOTAL SCDC INMATE LOSSES .....</b>	<b>5,778</b>	<b>6,297</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>9.0</b>
<b>NET GAIN/LOSS .....</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup> See Section B of the Appendix, page 133, for a detailed explanation of the Youthful Offender Act.

<sup>2</sup> DYS — Department of Youth Services.


<sup>3</sup> ICC — Interstate Corrections Compact; through the ICC, an offender convicted of a crime in a party state may be transferred to his home state to serve his sentence, subject to the rules and regulations of the state in which he was convicted.

<sup>4</sup> Female offenders are initially received through Midlands R & E Center for photographing and fingerprinting only; they are transferred to the Women's Correctional Center for evaluation. The number of inmates received from each category includes both males and females. The total number of females received from all categories is also reported separately in the parentheses here. When totalling the number of inmates received, the numbers appearing in parentheses should not be included since it would result in double counting of females.

<sup>5</sup> Included in this category are also youthful offenders conditionally and unconditionally released by the SCDC's Division of Classification and Community Services.

<sup>6</sup> That is, paroled by the Parole and Community Corrections Board. The numbers shown in this category do not include youthful offenders paroled (or conditionally released) by the Division of Classification and Community Services' Parole Board.

FIGURE 7  
RACE AND SEX OF SCDJ INMATES ADMITTED  
DURING FY 1981



**TABLE 7**  
**DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING COUNTY AND CORRECTIONAL REGION OF SCDC INMATES**  
**ADMITTED DURING FY 1981**  
**(JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)**

65

Committing County	Male				Female				Total		
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White				
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent	Rank <sup>2</sup>
APPALACHIAN											
CORRECTIONAL REGION	1,156	47.6	945	33.7	67	49.3	44	30.3	2,212	40.1	—
Abbeville .....	13	0.5	7	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	0.4	41
Anderson .....	107	4.4	42	1.5	6	4.4	2	1.4	157	2.8	12
Cherokee .....	89	3.7	67	2.4	3	2.2	2	1.4	161	2.9	11
Edgefield .....	5	0.2	28	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	33	0.6	37
Greenville .....	440	18.1	385	13.7	25	18.4	22	15.2	872	15.8	1
Greenwood .....	58	2.4	85	3.0	1	0.7	2	1.4	146	2.6	14
Laurens .....	28	1.2	31	1.1	2	1.5	2	1.4	63	1.1	23
McCormick .....	4	0.2	10	0.4	0	0.0	1	0.7	15	0.3	46
Oconee .....	51	2.1	11	0.4	1	0.7	0	0.0	63	1.1	25
Pickens .....	121	5.0	34	1.2	14	10.3	1	0.7	170	3.1	9
Saluda .....	6	0.2	18	0.6	0	0.0	1	0.7	25	0.4	40
Spartanburg .....	234	9.6	227	8.1	15	11.0	11	7.6	487	8.8	2
MIDLANDS											
CORRECTIONAL REGION	626	25.8	1,027	36.6	45	33.1	74	51.0	1,772	32.2	—
Aiken .....	49	2.0	55	2.0	2	1.5	3	2.1	109	2.0	16
Allendale .....	5	0.2	31	1.1	1	0.7	1	0.7	38	0.7	35
Bamberg .....	9	0.4	33	1.2	1	0.7	0	0.0	43	0.8	32
Barnwell .....	12	0.5	19	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	31	0.6	39
Calhoun .....	3	0.1	13	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	0.3	44
Chester .....	18	0.7	32	1.1	2	1.5	1	0.7	53	1.0	30
Clarendon .....	16	0.6	40	1.4	1	0.7	3	2.1	60	1.1	26
Fairfield .....	9	0.4	23	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	32	0.6	38
Kershaw .....	15	0.6	34	1.2	0	0.0	1	0.7	50	0.9	31
Lancaster .....	30	1.2	30	1.1	3	2.2	0	0.0	63	1.1	23
Lee .....	4	0.2	12	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	0.3	44
Lexington .....	92	3.8	58	2.1	11	8.1	7	4.8	168	3.0	10

TABLE 7 (Continued)

**DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING COUNTY AND CORRECTIONAL REGION OF SCDC INMATES  
ADMITTED DURING FY 1981  
(JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)**

Committing County	Male				Female				Total		
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White				
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent	Rank <sup>2</sup>
Newberry .....	20	0.8	34	1.2	1	0.7	2	1.4	57	1.0	28
Orangeburg .....	46	1.9	87	3.1	6	4.4	8	5.5	147	2.7	13
Richland .....	101	4.2	277	9.9	9	6.6	27	18.6	414	7.5	3
Sumter .....	71	2.9	96	3.4	4	2.9	9	6.2	180	3.3	8
Union .....	32	1.3	26	0.9	0	0.0	1	0.7	59	1.1	27
York .....	94	3.9	127	4.5	4	2.9	11	7.6	236	4.3	5
COASTAL CORRECTIONAL											
REGION .....	646	26.6	830	29.6	24	17.6	27	18.6	1,527	27.7	—
Beaufort .....	36	1.5	40	1.4	0	0.0	3	2.1	79	1.4	18
Berkeley .....	43	1.8	19	0.7	2	1.5	0	0.0	64	1.2	22
Charleston .....	104	4.3	218	7.8	6	4.4	9	6.2	337	6.1	4
Chesterfield .....	26	1.1	39	1.4	0	0.0	1	0.7	66	1.2	20
Colleton .....	15	0.6	21	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	36	0.6	36
Darlington .....	49	2.0	60	2.1	1	0.7	1	0.7	111	2.0	15
Dillon .....	24	1.0	15	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	39	0.7	34
Dorchester .....	36	1.5	33	1.2	0	0.0	2	1.4	71	1.3	19
Florence .....	96	4.0	113	4.0	6	4.4	3	2.1	218	4.0	6

TABLE 7 (Continued)

Georgetown .....	20	0.8	36	1.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	56	1.0	29
Hampton .....	7	0.3	13	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	0.4	41
Horry .....	115	4.7	86	3.1	9	6.6	5	3.4	215	3.9	7
Jasper .....	11	0.4	8	0.3	0	0.0	1	0.7	20	0.4	41
Marion .....	34	1.4	53	1.9	0	0.0	1	0.7	88	1.6	17
Marlboro .....	24	1.0	42	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	66	1.2	20
Williamsburg .....	6	0.2	34	1.2	0	0.0	1	0.7	41	0.7	35
OUT-OF-STATE .....	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	—
TOTAL .....	2,428	100.0	2,802	99.9	136	100.0	145	99.9	5,511	100.0	—

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.  
<sup>1</sup> Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.  
<sup>2</sup> Ranking is in descending order according to number of commitments; the county having the largest number of total commitments is ranked number one.

## INMATE ADMISSIONS BY COMMITTING COUNTIES AND CORRECTIONAL REGIONS DURING FY 1981





TABLE 8

**OFFENSE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981**  
**(JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)**

Offense Classification <sup>1</sup>	Male		Female		Total		
	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Number	Percent	Rank <sup>2</sup>
Homicide .....	114	196	10	17	337	6.1	9
Kidnapping .....	3	10	0	1	14	0.3	27
Sexual Assault .....	23	23	0	0	46	0.8	23
Robbery .....	171	396	5	15	587	10.6	5
Assault .....	157	297	8	18	480	8.7	6
Abortion .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Arson .....	35	19	2	1	57	1.0	21
Extortion .....	5	7	2	1	15	0.3	26
Burglary .....	449	557	8	5	1,019	18.5	2
Larceny .....	1,187	1,278	42	62	2,569	46.6	1
Stolen Vehicle .....	154	150	3	1	308	5.6	10
Forgery and Counterfeiting .....	146	201	41	27	415	7.5	7
Fraudulent Activities .....	148	99	87	40	374	6.8	8
Embezzlement .....	1	1	0	4	6	0.1	30
Stolen Property .....	101	130	0	2	233	4.2	13
Damage to Property .....	62	57	1	3	123	2.2	19
Dangerous Drugs .....	498	290	30	15	833	15.1	4
Sex Offense .....	66	92	0	1	159	2.9	16
Obscene Materials .....	4	1	0	0	5	0.1	32
Family Offenses .....	105	126	4	2	237	4.3	12
Gambling .....	0	2	0	0	2	0.0*	33
Commercialized Sex Offenses .....	0	0	0	1	1	0.0*	35
Liquor .....	19	7	1	0	27	0.5	24
Drunkenness .....	135	232	5	3	240	4.4	11
Obstructing the Police .....	79	95	1	10	185	3.3	14

TABLE 8 (Continued)

OFFENSE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981  
(JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)

Offense Classification <sup>1</sup>	Male		Female		Total		
	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Number	Percent	Rank <sup>2</sup>
Flight/Escape .....	100	37	1	3	141	2.6	17
Obstructing Justice .....	26	18	2	8	54	1.0	22
Bribery .....	3	3	0	0	6	0.1	30
Weapon Offense .....	57	98	2	4	161	2.9	15
Public Peace .....	31	40	1	6	78	1.4	20
Traffic Offenses .....	512	367	14	5	898	16.3	3
Invasion of Privacy .....	6	18	0	0	24	0.4	25
Smuggling .....	5	2	1	0	8	0.1	29
Anti-Trust .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Tax Revenue .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Conservation .....	1	0	0	0	1	0.0*	35
Vagrancy .....	1	0	0	0	1	0.0*	35
Crimes Against Persons .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Property Crimes .....	10	3	0	0	13	0.2	28
Morals/Decency Crimes .....	0	2	0	0	2	0.0*	33
Public Order Crimes .....	73	38	5	8	124	2.2	18
TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENSES <sup>3</sup>	4,487	4,892	276	263	9,783	—	—
TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENDERS <sup>3</sup>	2,428	2,802	136	145	5,511	—	—

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.  
<sup>1</sup> An elaboration of these offenses is included in Section G of the Appendix, pages 138-139.  
<sup>2</sup> Ranking is in descending order according to offense; the offense category with the largest total number is ranked number one.  
<sup>3</sup> All offenses committed by an inmate are counted; therefore, because of multiple offenses for some inmates, the total number of offenses exceeds the total number of inmates.  
\* Percentage is less than 0.1%.

**FIGURE 9**  
**OFFENSES OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED**  
**DURING FY 1981**




TABLE 9

**MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981  
(JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)**

Offense Classification <sup>1</sup>	Male		Female		Total		
	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Number	Percent	Rank <sup>2</sup>
Homicide <sup>3</sup> .....	103	174	10	17	304	5.5	6
Kidnapping .....	2	6	0	1	9	0.2	24
Sexual Assault .....	18	17	0	0	35	0.6	19
Robbery <sup>4</sup> .....	122	275	3	9	409	7.4	5
Assault .....	98	186	6	13	303	5.5	7
Abortion .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Arson .....	19	16	0	1	36	0.6	18
Extortion .....	1	5	2	1	9	0.2	24
Burglary .....	207	280	3	3	493	8.9	2
Larceny .....	688	780	18	37	1,523	27.6	1
Stolen Vehicle .....	74	87	0	0	161	2.9	10
Forgery and Counterfeiting .....	63	100	23	14	200	3.6	9
Fraudulent Activities .....	63	41	34	16	154	2.8	12
Embezzlement .....	1	0	0	2	3	0.0*	31
Stolen Property .....	72	87	0	0	159	2.9	11
Damage to Property .....	34	30	1	2	67	1.2	17
Dangerous Drugs .....	261	170	18	10	459	8.3	3
Sex Offenses .....	48	73	0	1	122	2.2	13
Obscene Materials .....	3	1	0	0	4	0.1	29
Family Offenses .....	88	111	4	2	205	3.7	8
Gambling .....	0	2	0	0	2	0.0*	33
Commercialized Sex Offenses .....	0	0	1	0	1	0.0*	34
Liquor .....	4	3	0	0	7	0.1	26
Drunkenness .....	80	39	2	0	121	2.2	14
Obstructing the Police .....	38	47	0	7	92	1.7	15
Flight/Escapes .....	13	7	0	0	20	0.4	22

TABLE 9 (Continued)

Offense Classification <sup>1</sup>	Male		Female		Total		
	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Number	Percent	Rank <sup>2</sup>
Obstructing Justice .....	11	7	1	4	23	0.4	21
Bribery .....	3	2	0	0	5	0.1	28
Weapon Offense .....	27	40	1	2	70	1.3	16
Public Peace .....	9	10	0	1	20	0.4	22
Traffic Offenses .....	253	185	6	1	445	8.1	4
Invasion of Privacy .....	0	6	0	0	6	0.1	27
Smuggling .....	2	0	1	0	3	0.0*	31
Anti-Trust .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Tax Revenue .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Conservation .....	1	0	0	0	1	0.0*	34
Crimes Against Persons .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Property Crimes .....	2	2	0	0	4	0.1	29
Morals/Decency Crimes .....	0	1	0	0	1	0.0*	34
Public Order Crimes .....	20	12	2	1	35	0.6	19
TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENSES	2,428	2,802	136	145	5,511	—	—
TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENDERS .....	2,428	2,802	136	145	5,511	—	—

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup> An elaboration of these offenses is included in Section C of the Appendix, pages 138-139.


<sup>2</sup> Ranking is in descending order according to offense; the offense category with the largest total number is ranked number one.

<sup>3</sup> Of the total number of inmates sentenced for homicide, 44 (14.5%) were under the mandatory 20-year parole eligibility act. Details of this act are given in Section H of the Appendix, page 140.

<sup>4</sup> Of those inmates who were convicted of robbery, 170 (41.6%) were sentenced under the Armed Robbery Act of 1975, a description of which is contained in Section H of the Appendix, page 140.

\* Percentage is less than 0.1%.

### MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981



**SENTENCE LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981**  
(JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)

Sentence Length	Male				Female				Total	
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White			
	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>
YOA .....	496	20.4	438	15.6	21	15.4	18	12.4	973	17.6
3 Months or Less .....	130	5.4	99	3.5	5	3.7	8	5.5	242	4.4
3 Months 1 Day — 1 Year .....	387	15.9	461	16.4	32	23.5	26	17.9	906	16.4
1 Year .....	190	7.8	238	8.5	14	10.3	17	11.7	459	8.3
1 Year 1 Day — 2 Years .....	273	11.2	290	10.3	21	15.4	20	13.8	604	11.0
2 Years 1 Day — 3 Years .....	221	9.1	290	10.3	8	5.9	18	12.4	537	9.7
3 Years 1 Day — 4 Years .....	93	3.8	80	2.8	6	4.4	3	2.1	182	3.3
4 Years 1 Day — 5 Years .....	144	5.9	166	5.9	12	8.8	6	4.1	328	6.0
5 Years 1 Day — 6 Years .....	75	3.1	105	3.7	4	2.9	7	4.8	191	3.5
6 Years 1 Day — 7 Years .....	34	1.4	51	1.8	1	0.7	4	2.8	90	1.6
7 Years 1 Day — 8 Years .....	27	1.1	38	1.4	0	0.0	2	1.4	67	1.2
8 Years 1 Day — 9 Years .....	43	1.7	49	1.7	3	2.2	0	0.0	95	1.7
9 Years 1 Day — 10 Years .....	71	2.9	104	3.7	2	1.5	4	2.8	181	3.3
10 Years 1 Day — 20 Years .....	143	5.9	222	7.9	4	2.9	5	3.4	374	6.8
20 Years 1 Day — 30 Years .....	57	2.3	103	3.7	1	0.7	3	2.1	164	3.0
Over 30 Years .....	11	0.4	19	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	0.5
Life <sup>2</sup> .....	27	1.1	44	1.6	2	1.5	4	2.8	77	1.4
Death .....	6	0.2	5	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	0.2
TOTAL .....	2,428	99.6	2,802	99.7	136	99.8	145	100.0	5,511	99.9
Average Sentence Length <sup>3</sup> .....	4 yrs. 8 mos.		5 yrs. 6 mos.		2 yrs. 11 mos.		3 yrs. 8 mos.		5 yrs.	

<sup>3</sup> This average does not include life, death and YOA sentences.

FIGURE 11

SENTENCE LENGTHS OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981




TABLE 11

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981 (JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)

77

Age	Male				Female				Total	
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White			
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>
Under 17 . . . .	5	0.2	8	0.3	0	0.0	1	0.7	14	0.2
17-19 . . . . .	478	19.7	492	17.6	17	12.5	24	16.6	1,011	18.3
20-24 . . . . .	743	30.6	866	30.9	38	27.9	45	31.0	1,692	30.7
25-29 . . . . .	418	17.2	592	21.1	26	19.1	40	27.6	1,076	19.5
30-34 . . . . .	256	10.5	409	14.6	22	16.2	18	12.4	705	12.8
35-39 . . . . .	165	6.8	174	6.2	11	8.1	6	4.1	356	6.4
40-44 . . . . .	120	4.9	114	4.1	10	7.4	7	4.8	251	4.6
45-49 . . . . .	101	4.2	65	2.3	7	5.1	2	1.4	175	3.2
50-54 . . . . .	73	3.0	28	1.0	4	2.9	1	0.7	106	1.9
55-59 . . . . .	33	1.4	26	0.9	1	0.7	0	0.0	60	1.1
60-64 . . . . .	22	0.9	20	0.7	0	0.0	1	0.7	43	0.8
65-69 . . . . .	12	0.5	6	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	0.3
70 & Over . . .	2	0.1	2	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	0.1
TOTAL . . . . .	2,428	100.0	2,802	100.0	136	99.9	145	99.9	5,511	99.9
Special Age Groupings										
17 . . . . .	130		118		1		3		252	
18 and Over .	2,293		2,676		135		141		5,245	
21 and Over .	1,786		2,111		112		111		4,120	
24 and Under .	1,226		1,366		55		70		2,717	
62 and Over .	19		17		0		1		37	
65 and Over .	14		8		0		0		22	
Average Age .	28		27		29		26		27	

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.  
<sup>1</sup> Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

**CONTINUED**

**1 OF 2**



FIGURE 12

AGE GROUPS OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED  
DURING FY 1981




TABLE 12

DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING PLANNING DISTRICTS OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED  
DURING FY 1981  
(JULY 1, 1980 - JUNE 30, 1981)

Planning Districts <sup>1</sup>	Male				Female				Total	
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White			
	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>
I. Appalachian .....	1,042	42.9	766	27.3	64	47.0	38	26.2	1,910	34.6
II. Upper Savannah.....	114	4.7	179	6.4	3	2.2	6	4.1	302	5.5
III. Catawba.....	174	7.2	215	7.7	9	6.6	13	9.0	411	7.4
IV. Central Midlands .....	222	9.1	392	14.0	21	15.4	36	24.8	671	12.2
V. Lower Savannah.....	124	5.1	238	8.5	10	7.4	12	8.3	384	7.0
VI. Santee-Wateree .....	106	4.4	182	6.5	5	3.7	13	9.0	306	5.6
VII. Pee Dee .....	253	10.4	322	11.5	7	5.1	6	4.1	588	10.7
VIII. Waccamaw .....	141	5.8	156	5.6	9	6.6	6	4.1	312	5.7
IX. Tri-County .....	183	7.5	270	9.6	8	5.9	11	7.6	472	8.6
X. Low Country .....	69	2.8	82	2.9	0	0.0	4	2.8	155	2.8
Out-of-State .....	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL .....	2,428	99.9	2,802	100.0	136	99.9	145	100.0	5,511	100.1

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.  
<sup>1</sup> Counties comprising each planning district are listed in Section E of the Appendix, page 136.  
<sup>2</sup> Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 13**  
**COMMITTING PLANNING DISTRICTS OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED**  
**DURING FY 1981**




TABLE 13

**DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING JUDICIAL CIRCUITS OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED  
DURING FY 1981  
(JULY 1, 1980 - JUNE 30, 1981)**

Judicial Circuits <sup>1</sup>	Male				Female				Total	
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White			
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>
1 .....	85	3.5	133	4.7	6	4.4	10	6.9	234	4.2
2 .....	70	2.9	107	3.8	3	2.2	3	2.1	183	3.3
3 .....	97	4.0	182	6.5	5	3.7	13	9.0	297	5.4
4 .....	123	5.1	156	5.6	1	0.7	2	1.4	282	5.1
5 .....	116	4.8	311	11.0	9	6.6	28	19.3	464	8.4
6 .....	57	2.3	85	3.0	5	3.7	1	0.7	148	2.7
7 .....	323	13.3	294	10.5	18	13.2	13	9.0	648	11.8
8 .....	119	4.9	157	5.6	4	2.9	6	4.1	286	5.2
9 .....	147	6.0	237	8.4	8	5.9	9	6.2	401	7.3
10 .....	158	6.5	53	1.9	7	5.1	2	1.4	220	4.0
11 .....	107	4.4	114	4.1	11	8.1	9	6.2	241	4.4
12 .....	130	5.4	166	5.9	6	4.4	4	2.8	306	5.6
13 .....	561	23.1	419	15.0	39	28.7	23	15.9	1,042	18.9
14 .....	74	3.0	113	4.0	1	0.7	5	3.4	193	3.5
15 .....	135	5.6	122	4.4	9	6.6	5	3.4	271	4.9
16 .....	126	5.2	153	5.5	4	2.9	12	8.3	295	5.4
Out-of-State .....	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL .....	2,428	100.0	2,802	99.9	136	99.8	145	100.1	5,511	100.1

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup> Counties comprising each judicial circuit are listed in Section F of the Appendix, page 137.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 14

COMMITTING JUDICIAL CIRCUITS OF SCDC  
INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981




FIGURE 15

RACE AND SEX OF SCDC INMATES,  
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 14

DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING COUNTY AND CORRECTIONAL REGION OF SCDC TOTAL  
INMATE POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

84

Committing County	Male				Female				Total		
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White				
	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Rank <sup>2</sup>
APPALACHIAN											
CORRECTIONAL REGION	1,449	44.0	1,360	29.0	90	55.1	68	36.3	2,967	35.4	—
Abbeville .....	22	0.6	35	0.7	0	0.0	1	0.5	58	0.7	35
Anderson .....	187	5.7	101	2.1	8	4.9	5	2.7	301	3.6	8
Cherokee .....	107	3.2	70	1.5	6	3.7	2	1.1	185	2.2	15
Edgefield .....	7	0.2	50	1.1	0	0.0	1	0.5	58	0.7	35
Greenville .....	479	14.6	469	10.0	32	19.6	22	11.8	1,002	12.0	1
Greenwood .....	53	1.6	128	2.7	3	1.8	4	2.1	188	2.2	14
Laurens .....	57	1.7	50	1.1	3	1.8	4	2.1	114	1.4	21
McCormick .....	3	0.1	15	0.3	0	0.0	3	1.6	21	0.2	46
Oconee .....	84	2.6	19	0.4	2	1.2	2	1.1	107	1.3	22
Pickens .....	155	4.7	55	1.2	13	8.0	2	1.1	225	2.7	11
Saluda .....	6	0.2	22	0.5	0	0.0	1	0.5	29	0.3	44
Spartanburg .....	289	8.8	346	7.4	23	14.1	21	11.2	679	8.1	4
MIDLANDS											
CORRECTIONAL REGION	914	27.6	1,775	37.7	43	26.2	75	40.0	2,807	33.5	—
Aiken .....	78	2.4	107	2.3	5	3.1	1	0.5	191	2.3	12
Allendale .....	3	0.1	38	0.8	0	0.0	2	1.1	43	0.5	39
Bamberg .....	14	0.4	43	0.9	1	0.6	0	0.0	58	0.7	35
Barnwell .....	12	0.4	24	0.5	0	0.0	1	0.5	37	0.4	42
Calhoun .....	7	0.2	22	0.5	0	0.0	1	0.5	30	0.4	43
Chester .....	30	0.9	60	1.3	2	1.2	0	0.0	92	1.1	28
Clarendon .....	22	0.7	56	1.2	1	0.6	4	2.1	83	1.0	30
Fairfield .....	14	0.4	37	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	51	0.6	38
Kershaw .....	31	0.9	67	1.4	0	0.0	2	1.1	100	1.2	25
Lancaster .....	58	1.8	59	1.2	2	1.2	0	0.0	119	1.4	18

TABLE 14 (Continued)											
Committing County	Male				Female				Total		
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White				
	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Rank <sup>2</sup>
Lee .....	8	0.2	33	0.7	0	0.0	1	0.5	42	0.5	40
Lexington .....	92	2.8	89	1.9	6	3.7	2	1.1	189	2.3	13
Newberry .....	27	0.8	69	1.5	3	1.8	4	2.1	103	1.2	24
Orangeburg .....	64	1.9	148	3.1	7	4.3	9	4.8	228	2.7	9
Richland .....	178	5.4	525	11.2	6	3.7	28	15.0	737	8.8	2
Sumter .....	77	2.3	143	3.0	3	1.8	4	2.1	227	2.7	10
Union .....	28	0.8	42	0.9	3	1.8	3	1.6	76	0.9	33
York .....	171	5.2	213	4.5	4	2.4	13	7.0	401	4.8	5
COASTAL											
CORRECTIONAL REGION	916	27.7	1,560	33.2	30	18.2	44	23.5	2,550	30.5	—
Beaufort .....	52	1.6	75	1.6	0	0.0	2	1.1	129	1.5	17
Berkeley .....	58	1.8	37	0.8	2	1.2	1	0.5	98	1.2	26
Charleston .....	181	5.5	501	10.6	8	4.9	17	9.1	707	8.5	3
Chesterfield .....	25	0.8	61	1.3	1	0.6	2	1.1	89	1.1	29
Colleton .....	31	0.9	50	1.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	81	1.0	31
Darlington .....	49	1.5	85	1.8	1	0.6	1	0.5	136	1.6	16
Dillon .....	34	1.0	41	0.9	0	0.0	2	1.1	77	0.9	32
Dorchester .....	57	1.7	56	1.2	2	1.2	1	0.5	116	1.4	20
Florence .....	128	3.9	177	3.8	4	2.4	8	4.3	317	3.8	7
Georgetown .....	29	0.9	76	1.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	105	1.2	23
Hampton .....	9	0.3	17	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	0.3	45
Horry .....	175	5.3	156	3.3	10	6.1	6	3.2	347	4.2	6
Jasper .....	15	0.4	22	0.5	1	0.6	2	1.1	40	0.5	41
Marion .....	34	1.0	84	1.8	0	0.0	1	0.5	119	1.4	18
Marlboro .....	31	0.9	63	1.3	1	0.6	0	0.0	95	1.1	27
Williamsburg .....	8	0.2	59	1.2	0	0.0	1	0.5	68	0.8	34
OUT-OF-STATE .....	11	0.3	10	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	0.2	—
TOTAL .....	3,290	99.6	4,705	100.1	163	99.5	187	99.8	8,345	99.6	—

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup> Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

<sup>2</sup> Ranking is in descending order according to number of commitments; the county having the largest number of total commitments is ranked number one.



TABLE 15

**TYPE OF OFFENSE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,  
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**

Offense Classification <sup>1</sup>	Male		Female		Total		
	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Number	Percent	Rank <sup>2</sup>
Homicide .....	474	834	42	65	1,415	17.0	4
Kidnapping .....	33	32	1	1	67	0.8	21
Sexual Assault .....	137	254	1	0	392	4.7	11
Robbery .....	657	1,553	26	40	2,276	27.3	2
Assault .....	376	728	10	24	1,138	13.6	5
Abortion .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Arson .....	58	26	2	0	86	1.0	20
Extortion .....	6	8	1	1	16	0.2	27
Burglary .....	709	920	8	7	1,644	19.7	3
Larceny .....	1,812	1,855	41	64	3,772	45.2	1
Stolen Vehicle .....	223	227	2	1	453	5.4	10
Forgery and Counterfeiting .....	232	274	33	37	576	6.9	9
Fraudulent Activities .....	117	98	69	21	305	3.6	14
Embezzlement .....	5	1	0	1	7	0.1	30
Stolen Property .....	122	200	0	3	325	3.9	13
Damage to Property .....	84	64	1	2	151	1.8	18
Dangerous Drugs .....	533	413	36	18	1,000	12.0	6
Sex Offenses .....	123	165	1	1	290	3.5	15
Obscene Materials .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Family Offenses .....	59	55	3	2	119	1.4	19
Gambling .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Commercialized Sex Offenses .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Liquor .....	10	4	1	0	15	0.2	28
Drunkenness .....	20	25	2	0	47	0.6	22
Obstructing the Police .....	76	86	1	6	169	2.0	17
Flight/Escape .....	429	249	11	9	698	8.4	7
Obstructing Justice .....	22	17	0	1	40	0.5	23
Bribery .....	4	3	0	0	7	0.1	30



TABLE 15 (Continued)  
TYPE OF OFFENSE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE  
POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

Offense Classification <sup>1</sup>	Male		Female		Total		
	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Number	Percent	Rank <sup>2</sup>
Weapon Offense .....	114	204	6	5	329	3.9	12
Public Peace .....	10	12	1	0	23	0.3	26
Traffic Offenses .....	358	214	6	3	581	7.0	8
Invasion of Privacy .....	4	7	0	0	11	0.1	29
Smuggling .....	18	14	1	0	33	0.4	24
Anti-Trust .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Tax Revenue .....	2	0	0	0	2	0.0*	32
Conservation .....	1	0	0	0	1	0.0*	33
Vagrancy .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Crimes Against Persons .....	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Property Crimes .....	18	10	0	0	28	0.3	25
Morals/Decency Crimes .....	0	1	0	0	1	0.0*	33
Public Order Crimes .....	157	113	4	7	281	3.4	16
TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENSES <sup>3</sup> .....	7,003	8,666	310	319	16,298	—	—
TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENDERS <sup>3</sup> .....	3,290	4,705	163	187	8,345	—	—

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.  
<sup>1</sup> An elaboration of these offenses is included in Section G of the Appendix, pages 138-139.  
<sup>2</sup> Ranking is in descending order according to offense; the offense category with the largest total number is ranked number one.  
<sup>3</sup> All offenses committed by an inmate are counted; therefore, because of multiple offenses for some inmates, the total number of offenses exceeds the total number of inmates.  
\* Percentage is less than 0.1%.

FIGURE 17

OFFENSES OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,  
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 16 (Continued)

Offense Classification	Male		Female		Total		
	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	Number	Percent	Rank <sup>1</sup>
Flight/Escapes	10	3	0	0	13	0.2	22
Obstructing Justice	7	3	0	0	10	0.1	23
Bribery	3	3	0	0	6	0.1	25
Weapon Offense	23	35	1	2	61	0.7	17
Public Peace	2	4	0	0	6	0.1	25
Traffic Offenses	136	84	1	1	222	2.7	10
Health/Safety	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Invasion of Privacy	1	0	0	0	1	0.0*	29
Smuggling	0	0	1	0	1	0.0*	29
Anti-Trust	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Tax Revenue	1	0	0	0	1	0.0*	29
Conservation	1	0	0	0	1	0.0*	29
Crimes Against Persons	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Property Crimes	2	2	0	0	4	0.0*	27
Morals/Decency Crimes	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	—
Public Order Crimes	44	41	2	0	87	1.0	14
TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENSES <sup>3</sup>	3,290	4,705	163	187	8,345	—	—
TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENDERS <sup>3</sup>	3,290	4,705	163	187	8,345	—	—

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

<sup>1</sup> An elaboration of these offenses is included in Section G of the Appendix, pages 138-139.

<sup>2</sup> Ranking is in descending order according to offense; the offense category with the largest total number is ranked number one.

<sup>3</sup> Of the total number of inmates sentenced for homicide, 197 (15.0%) were under the mandatory 20-year parole eligibility act. Details of this act are given in Section H of the Appendix, page 140.

<sup>4</sup> Of those inmates who were convicted of robbery, 845 (55.1%) were sentenced under the Armed Robbery Act of 1975, a description of which is contained in Section H of the Appendix, page 140.

\* Percentage is less than 0.1%.

FIGURE 18

MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE  
POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 17

SENTENCE LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC INMATE POPULATION,  
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

Sentence Length	Male				Female				Total	
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White			
	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>
YOA .....	407	12.4	376	8.0	25	15.3	14	7.5	822	9.8
3 Months or Less .....	15	0.4	12	0.2	2	1.2	2	1.1	31	0.4
3 Months 1 Day — 1 Year .....	99	3.0	140	3.0	8	4.9	6	3.2	253	3.0
1 Year .....	88	2.7	136	2.9	8	4.9	7	3.7	239	2.9
1 Year 1 Day — 2 Years .....	199	6.0	223	4.7	16	9.8	15	8.0	453	5.4
2 Years 1 Day — 3 Years .....	251	7.6	348	7.4	8	4.9	18	9.6	625	7.5
3 Years 1 Day — 4 Years .....	139	4.2	129	2.7	6	3.7	6	3.2	280	3.4
4 Years 1 Day — 5 Years .....	236	7.2	288	6.1	13	8.0	11	5.9	548	6.6
5 Years 1 Day — 6 Years .....	165	5.0	222	4.7	10	6.1	15	8.0	412	4.9
6 Years 1 Day — 7 Years .....	85	2.6	122	2.6	3	1.8	8	4.3	218	2.6
7 Years 1 Day — 8 Years .....	65	2.0	91	1.9	0	0.0	6	3.2	162	1.9
8 Years 1 Day — 9 Years .....	102	3.1	137	2.9	5	3.1	0	0.0	244	2.9
9 Years 1 Day — 10 Years .....	210	6.4	351	7.5	8	4.9	13	7.0	582	7.0
10 Years 1 Day — 20 Years .....	538	16.4	875	18.6	24	14.7	35	18.7	1,472	17.6
20 Years 1 Day — 30 Years .....	341	10.4	681	14.5	10	6.1	14	7.5	1,046	12.5
Over 30 Years .....	87	2.6	187	4.0	1	0.6	1	0.5	276	3.3
Life <sup>2</sup> .....	250	7.6	379	8.0	16	9.8	16	8.6	661	7.9
Death .....	13	0.3	8	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	0.2
TOTAL .....	3,290	99.9	4,705	99.9	163	99.8	187	100.0	8,345	99.8
Average Sentence Length <sup>3</sup> .....	11 Yrs. 6 Mos.		12 Yrs. 8 Mos.		8 Yrs. 2 Mos.		9 Yrs. 2 Mos.		12 Yrs. 1 Mo.	

Source: Division of Resource and Information Managment.  
<sup>1</sup> Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.  
<sup>2</sup> Out of 661 inmates in this sentence category, 464 are eligible for parole after serving 10 years and 197 after serving 20 years.  
<sup>3</sup> This average does not include life, death and YOA sentences.

FIGURE 19  
SENTENCE LENGTHS OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,  
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 18

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

95

Age <sup>1</sup>	Male				Female				Total	
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White			
	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>
Under 17 ....	4	0.1	3	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.5	8	0.1
17-19 .....	354	10.8	386	8.2	15	9.2	21	11.2	776	9.3
20-24 .....	1,014	30.8	1,363	29.0	42	25.8	46	24.6	2,465	29.5
25-29 .....	742	22.6	1,280	27.2	31	19.0	59	31.6	2,112	25.3
30-34 .....	440	13.4	868	18.4	26	16.0	25	13.4	1,359	16.3
35-39 .....	283	8.6	348	7.4	20	12.3	11	5.9	662	7.9
40-44 .....	171	5.2	178	3.8	12	7.4	10	5.3	371	4.4
45-49 .....	133	4.0	117	2.5	10	6.1	10	5.3	270	3.2
50-54 .....	74	2.2	64	1.4	6	3.7	0	0.0	144	1.7
55-59 .....	26	0.8	42	0.9	1	0.6	2	1.1	71	0.8
60-64 .....	28	0.8	31	0.6	0	0.0	2	1.1	61	0.7
65-69 .....	13	0.4	17	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	0.4
70 & Over ...	8	0.2	8	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	0.2
TOTAL .....	3,290	99.9	4,705	100.1	163	100.1	187	100.0	8,345	99.8
Special Age Groupings										
17 .....	43		52		1		2		98	
18 and Over .	3,243		4,650		162		184		8,239	
21 and Over .	2,746		4,053		141		157		7,097	
24 and Under	1,372		1,752		57		68		3,249	
62 and Over .	30		41		0		1		72	
65 and Over .	21		25		0		0		46	
Average Age .	29		28		30		29		29	

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.  
<sup>1</sup> This distribution reflects the age of inmates as of June 30, 1981.  
<sup>2</sup> Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 20  
AGE GROUPS OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,  
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 19

AGE AT TIME OF ADMISSION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,  
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

97

Age At Time of Admission	Male				Female				Total	
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White			
	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>
Under 17 . . . .	14	0.4	24	0.5	0	0.0	2	1.1	40	0.5
17-19 . . . . .	677	20.6	998	21.2	24	14.7	31	16.6	1,730	20.7
20-24 . . . . .	1,105	33.6	1,595	33.9	42	25.8	57	30.5	2,799	33.5
25-29 . . . . .	584	17.8	998	21.2	30	18.4	49	26.2	1,661	19.9
30-34 . . . . .	341	10.4	535	11.4	24	14.7	21	11.2	921	11.0
35-39 . . . . .	215	6.5	230	4.9	19	11.6	8	4.3	472	5.6
40-44 . . . . .	152	4.6	141	3.0	13	8.0	11	5.9	317	3.8
45-49 . . . . .	102	3.1	85	1.8	6	3.7	4	2.1	197	2.4
50-54 . . . . .	46	1.4	36	0.8	4	2.4	1	0.5	87	1.0
55-59 . . . . .	27	0.8	31	0.6	1	0.6	2	1.1	61	0.7
60-64 . . . . .	15	0.4	21	0.4	0	0.0	1	0.5	37	0.4
65-69 . . . . .	8	0.2	9	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	0.2
70 & Over . . .	4	0.1	2	0.0*	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	0.1
TOTAL . . . . .	3,290	99.9	4,705	99.9	163	99.9	187	100.0	8,345	99.8
Special Age Groupings										
17 . . . . .	165		241		4		5		415	
18 and Over .	3,111		4,440		159		180		7,890	
21 and Over .	2,340		3,340		131		143		5,954	
24 and Under	1,796		2,617		66		88		4,569	
62 and Over .	16		22		0		1		39	
65 and Over .	12		11		0		0		23	
Average Age .	27		26		29		27		26	

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.  
<sup>1</sup> Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.  
\* Percentage is less than 0.1%.

FIGURE 21  
AGE AT TIME OF ADMISSION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,  
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 20  
CUSTODY GRADE DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING REGION, RACE AND  
SEX OF SCDC INMATES, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

66

Custody Grade	Male				Female				Total	
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White			
	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>
Appalachian Region										
AA Trusty	119	8.2	111	8.2	21	23.3	17	25.0	268	9.0
A Trusty	675	46.6	668	49.1	38	42.2	23	33.8	1,404	47.3
B Medium	389	26.8	427	31.4	27	30.0	23	33.8	866	29.2
C Close	216	14.9	127	9.3	4	4.4	5	7.4	352	11.9
M Maximum	42	2.9	26	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	68	2.3
Protective	8	0.6	1	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	0.3
TOTAL	1,449	100.0	1,360	100.0	90	99.9	68	100.0	2,967	100.0
Midlands Region										
AA Trusty	119	13.0	261	14.7	12	27.9	16	21.3	408	14.5
A Trusty	344	37.6	668	37.6	13	30.2	20	26.7	1,045	37.2
B Medium	279	30.5	597	33.6	14	32.6	30	40.0	920	32.8
C Close	132	14.4	198	11.2	4	9.3	9	12.0	343	12.2
M Maximum	34	3.7	48	2.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	82	2.9
Protective	6	0.6	3	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	0.3
TOTAL	914	99.8	1,775	100.0	43	100.0	75	100.0	2,807	99.9
Coastal Region										
AA Trusty	128	14.0	238	15.2	8	26.6	9	20.4	383	15.0
A Trusty	386	42.1	671	43.0	12	40.0	14	31.8	1,083	42.5
B Medium	247	27.0	505	32.4	7	23.3	18	40.9	777	30.5
C Close	127	13.9	107	6.8	3	10.0	3	6.8	240	9.4
M Maximum	21	2.3	35	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	56	2.2
Protective	7	0.8	4	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	0.4
TOTAL	916	100.1	1,560	99.9	30	99.9	44	99.9	2,550	100.0

TABLE 20 (Continued)

**CUSTODY GRADE DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING REGION, RACE AND  
SEX OF SCDC INMATES, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**

Custody Grade	Male				Female				Total	
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White			
	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>
Out-of-State										
AA Trusty .....	3	27.3	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	23.8
A Trusty .....	1	9.1	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	14.3
B Medium .....	5	45.4	6	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	52.4
C Close .....	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.8
M Maximum .....	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.8
Protective .....	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL.....	11	100.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	100.1
SCDC Total										
AA Trusty .....	369	11.2	612	13.0	41	25.2	42	22.4	1,064	12.8
A Trusty .....	1,406	42.7	2,009	42.7	63	38.6	57	30.5	3,535	42.4
B Medium .....	920	28.0	1,535	32.6	48	29.4	71	38.0	2,574	30.8
C Close .....	476	14.5	432	9.2	11	6.7	17	9.1	936	11.2
M Maximum .....	98	3.0	109	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	207	2.5
Protective .....	21	0.6	8	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	29	0.3
TOTAL.....	3,290	100.0	4,705	100.0	163	99.9	187	100.0	8,345	100.0

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

<sup>1</sup> Percentage distribution may not add up to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 22

CUSTODY GRADES OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE  
POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981






TABLE 21

**COMMITTING PLANNING DISTRICTS OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,  
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**

Planning Districts <sup>1</sup>	Male				Female				Total	
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White			
	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>
I. Appalachian .....	1,301	39.5	1,061	22.6	84	51.5	54	28.9	2,500	30.0
II. Upper Savannah .....	148	4.5	300	6.4	6	3.7	14	7.5	468	5.6
III. Catawba .....	287	8.7	374	7.9	11	6.7	16	8.6	688	8.2
IV. Central Midlands .....	311	9.4	720	15.3	15	9.2	34	18.2	1,080	12.9
V. Lower Savannah .....	178	5.4	382	8.1	13	8.0	14	7.5	587	7.0
VI. Santee-Wateree .....	138	4.2	299	6.4	4	2.4	11	5.9	452	5.4
VII. Pee Dee .....	301	9.1	511	10.9	7	4.3	14	7.5	833	10.0
VIII. Waccamaw .....	212	6.4	291	6.2	10	6.1	7	3.7	520	6.2
IX. Tri-County .....	296	9.0	593	12.6	12	7.4	19	10.2	920	11.0
X. Low Country .....	107	3.2	164	3.5	1	0.6	4	2.1	276	3.3
Out-of-State .....	11	0.3	10	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	0.2
TOTAL .....	3,290	99.7	4,705	100.1	163	99.9	187	100.1	8,345	99.8

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

<sup>1</sup> Counties comprising each planning district are listed in Section E, page 136, of the Appendix.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

**FIGURE 23**  
**COMMITTING PLANNING DISTRICTS OF SCDJ TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,**  
**AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**




TABLE 22

**COMMITTING JUDICIAL CIRCUITS OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,  
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**

Judisical Circuits <sup>1</sup>	Male				Female				Total	
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White			
	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>
1 .....	128	3.9	226	4.8	9	5.5	11	5.9	374	4.5
2 .....	104	3.2	174	3.7	6	3.7	2	1.1	286	3.4
3 .....	115	3.5	291	6.2	4	2.4	10	5.3	420	5.0
4 .....	139	4.2	250	5.3	3	1.8	5	2.7	397	4.8
5 .....	209	6.4	592	12.6	6	3.7	30	16.0	837	10.0
6 .....	102	3.1	156	3.3	4	2.4	0	0.0	262	3.1
7 .....	396	12.0	416	8.8	29	17.8	23	12.3	864	10.4
8 .....	159	4.8	282	6.0	9	5.5	13	7.0	463	5.5
9 .....	239	7.3	538	11.4	10	6.1	18	9.6	805	9.6
10 .....	271	8.2	120	2.6	10	6.1	7	3.7	408	4.9
11 .....	108	3.3	176	3.7	6	3.7	7	3.7	297	3.6
12 .....	162	4.9	261	5.5	4	2.4	9	4.8	436	5.2
13 .....	634	19.3	524	11.1	45	27.6	24	12.8	1,227	14.7
14 .....	110	3.3	202	4.3	1	0.6	6	3.2	319	3.8
15 .....	204	6.2	232	4.9	10	6.1	6	3.2	452	5.4
16 .....	199	6.0	255	5.4	7	4.3	16	8.6	477	5.7
Out-of-State .....	11	0.3	10	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	21	0.2
TOTAL .....	3,290	99.9	4,705	99.8	163	99.7	187	99.9	8,345	99.8

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

<sup>1</sup> The counties comprising each judicial circuit are listed in Section F, page 137, of the Appendix.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 24

**COMMITTING JUDICIAL CIRCUITS OF SCDC  
TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,  
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**




TABLE 23

## REMAINING TIME TO SERVE OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

Remaining Time To Serve <sup>1</sup>	Male				Female				Total	
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White			
	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>
Youthful Offender (indeterminant sentence) . . . . .	432	13.1	399	8.5	27	16.6	15	8.0	873	10.5
3 Months or Less . . . . .	218	6.6	334	7.1	17	10.4	16	8.6	585	7.0
3 Months 1 Day — 6 Months . . . . .	199	6.0	262	5.6	9	5.5	11	5.9	481	5.8
6 Months 1 Day — 9 Months . . . . .	151	4.6	174	3.7	8	4.9	8	4.3	341	4.1
9 Months 1 Day — 12 Months . . . . .	128	3.9	165	3.5	9	5.5	10	5.3	312	3.7
1 Year 1 Day — 2 Years . . . . .	429	13.0	564	12.0	23	14.1	32	17.1	1,048	12.6
2 Years 1 Day — 3 Years . . . . .	333	10.1	497	10.6	14	8.6	15	8.0	859	10.3
3 Years 1 Day — 4 Years . . . . .	212	6.4	328	7.0	5	3.1	12	6.4	557	6.7
4 Years 1 Day — 5 Years . . . . .	174	5.3	246	5.2	10	6.1	18	9.6	448	5.4
5 Years 1 Day — 6 Years . . . . .	143	4.3	237	5.0	7	4.3	7	3.7	394	4.7
6 Years 1 Day — 7 Years . . . . .	104	3.2	170	3.6	5	3.1	10	5.3	289	3.5
7 Years 1 Day — 8 Years . . . . .	81	2.5	184	3.9	3	1.8	5	2.7	273	3.3
8 Years 1 Day — 9 Years . . . . .	106	3.2	153	3.3	4	2.4	4	2.1	267	3.2
9 Years 1 Day — 10 Years . . . . .	70	2.1	115	2.4	3	1.8	1	0.5	189	2.3
10 Years 1 Day — 15 Years . . . . .	194	5.9	397	8.4	2	1.2	5	2.7	598	7.2
15 Years 1 Day — 20 Years . . . . .	37	1.1	69	1.5	0	0.0	1	0.5	107	1.3
20 Years 1 Day — 30 Years . . . . .	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Life/Death . . . . .	279	8.5	411	8.7	17	10.4	17	9.1	724	8.7
TOTAL . . . . .	3,290	99.8	4,705	100.0	163	99.8	187	99.8	8,345	100.3
Average Time <sup>3</sup> To Serve . . . . .	3 yrs. 9 mos.		4 yrs. 3 mos.		2 yrs. 9 mos.		3 yrs. 2 mos.		4 yrs.	

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

<sup>1</sup> Full impact for statutory, meritorious, and work credit as earned have been included; projections as to credits to be accrued have not been made in time remaining calculations.<sup>2</sup> Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.<sup>3</sup> Excludes youthful offenders and inmates with life or death sentences.

FIGURE 25


REMAINING TIME TO SERVE OF SCDC  
TOTAL INMATE POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

TABLE 24

**DISTRIBUTION OF TIME SERVED BY SCDC INMATES RELEASED DURING FY 1981**  
(July 1, 1980 — June 30, 1981)

Time Served	Male				Female					
	White		Non-White		White		Non-White		Total	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Percent <sup>1</sup>
3 Months or Less .....	222	10.8	213	9.9	11	8.5	20	13.4	466	10.4
3 Months 1 Day — 6 Months .....	275	13.4	238	11.1	30	23.3	31	20.8	574	12.8
6 Months 1 Day — 9 Months .....	389	18.9	370	17.2	31	24.0	30	20.1	820	18.3
9 Months 1 Day — 12 Months .....	240	11.7	237	11.0	14	10.8	16	10.7	507	11.3
1 Year 1 Day — 2 Years .....	384	18.7	406	18.9	25	19.4	26	17.4	841	18.8
2 Years 1 Day — 3 Years .....	192	9.3	187	8.7	8	6.2	9	6.0	396	8.8
3 Years 1 Day — 4 Years .....	130	6.3	152	7.1	2	1.6	3	2.0	287	6.4
4 Years 1 Day — 5 Years .....	75	3.6	97	4.5	3	2.3	10	6.7	185	4.1
5 Years 1 Day — 6 Years .....	52	2.5	67	3.1	2	1.6	1	0.7	122	2.7
6 Years 1 Day — 7 Years .....	32	1.6	70	3.3	2	1.6	2	1.3	106	2.4
7 Years 1 Day — 8 Years .....	20	1.0	40	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	1.3
8 Years 1 Day — 9 Years .....	11	0.5	22	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	33	0.7
9 Years 1 Day — 10 Years .....	10	0.5	17	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	0.6
10 Years 1 Day — 15 Years .....	18	0.9	33	1.4	1	0.8	1	0.7	50	1.1
15 Years 1 Day — 20 Years .....	3	0.2	2	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	0.1
20 Years 1 Day — 30 Years .....	2	0.1	2	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	0.1
Over 30 Years .....	1	0.0*	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0*
TOTAL.....	2,056	100.0	2,150	100.1	129	100.1	149	99.8	4,485	99.9
Average Time Served .....	1 yr. 9 mos.		2 yrs. 1 mo.		1 yr. 2 mos.		1 yr. 3 mos.		1 yr. 10 mos.	

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

<sup>1</sup> Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

\* Percentage is less than 0.1%.

FIGURE 26

**TIME SERVED BY SCDC INMATES  
RELEASED DURING FY 1981**




TABLE 25  
DISTRIBUTION OF INMATES AND WORK CREDITS EARNED BY TYPE OF RELEASE AND TIME SERVED OF  
INMATES  
RELEASED DURING FY 1981 (July 1, 1980 — June 30, 1981)


Time Served <sup>1</sup>	YOA Parole		Paroled by DP&CC <sup>2</sup>		Released Less Good Time (Expiration of Sentence)		Placed on Probation		Other Releases <sup>5</sup>		Total Released	
	Number of Inmates	Number of Work Credits Earned	Number of Inmates	Number <sup>3</sup> of Work Credits Earned	Number of Inmates	Number <sup>4</sup> of Work Credits Earned	Number of Inmates	Number <sup>4</sup> of Work Credits Earned	Number of Inmates	Number of Work Credits Earned	Number of Inmates	Number of Work Credits Earned
1 Year or Less .....	669	0	327	15,436	961	13,855	172	3,814	237	405	2,366	33,510
1 Yr. 1 Day — 2 Yrs. ....	184	0	354	35,026	238	17,830	51	3,589	13	791	840	57,236
2 Yrs. 1 Day — 3 Yrs. ....	38	0	201	32,093	139	18,321	16	1,644	6	766	400	52,824
3 Yrs. 1 Day — 4 Yrs. ....	42	0	162	24,834	69	8,988	13	1,013	1	285	287	35,120
4 Yrs. 1 Day — 5 Yrs. ....	3	0	149	27,024	29	2,617	3	395	1	38	185	30,074
5 Yrs. 1 Day — 6 Yrs. ....	0	0	83	15,639	33	4,806	0	0	6	184	122	20,629
6 Yrs. 1 Day — 7 Yrs. ....	0	0	79	15,303	26	2,257	1	151	0	0	106	17,711
7 Yrs. 1 Day — 8 Yrs. ....	0	0	43	7,612	15	946	0	0	2	74	60	8,632
8 Yrs. 1 Day — 9 Yrs. ....	0	0	26	5,058	6	774	0	0	1	0	33	5,832
9 Yrs. 1 Day — 10 Yrs. ....	0	0	24	3,860	3	465	0	0	0	0	27	4,325
10 Yrs. 1 day — 30 Yrs. ....	0	0	45	2,328	12	1,245	0	0	2	0	59	3,573
Over Thirty Years .....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL .....	936 (20.9%)*	0 <sup>6</sup>	1,493 (33.3%)*	184,213	1,531 (34.1%)*	72,104	256 (5.7%)*	10,606	269 (6.0%)*	2,543	4,485	269,466
Average Time Served .....	1 yr.		3 yrs. 2 mos.		1 yr. 5 mos.		1 yr.		7.2 mos.		1 yr. 10 mos.	

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management  
<sup>1</sup> Time served is calculated as the difference between release date and sentence start date.  
<sup>2</sup> Department of Parole and Community Corrections.  
<sup>3</sup> This is equivalent to the number of days reduced in time served.  
<sup>4</sup> Only approximately 57% of the credits earned are equivalent to the number of days reduced in time served because of considerations for statutory and meritorious good time.  
<sup>5</sup> Other releases include inmates discharged by court order, released on appeal bond, discharged upon paying fine or died.  
<sup>6</sup> Youthful offenders do not earn work credits although they have work assignments.  
\* Percentages are based on a total of 4,485 inmates released.

III

FIGURE 27

AVERAGE TIME SERVED BY SCDC INMATES RELEASED DURING FY 1981



\*Department of Parole and Community Corrections

<sup>1</sup>Other releases include inmates discharged by court order, released on appeal bond, discharged upon paying fine, or died.

TABLE 26

DISTRIBUTION BY WORK CREDITS EARNED AND TYPE OF RELEASE OF SCDC INMATES RELEASED DURING FY 1981  
(July 1, 1980 — June 30, 1981)

Work Credits Earned	Type of Release					Total
	YOA Parole	Parole By Probation Parole and Pardon Board	Expiration of Sentence	Other Releases <sup>1</sup>	Placed on Probation	
Not Applicable .....	936 <sup>2</sup>	0	25	9	0	970
0 .....	0	42	324	189	30	585
1-50 .....	0	351	712	48	149	1,260
51-100 .....	0	352	235	11	51	649
101-150 .....	0	262	94	5	15	376
151-200 .....	0	161	71	2	9	243
201-250 .....	0	144	40	3	2	189
251-300 .....	0	94	18	2	0	114
301-350 .....	0	57	10	0	0	67
351-400 .....	0	21	2	0	0	23
401-450 .....	0	6	0	0	0	6
451-500 .....	0	2	0	0	0	2
501-550 .....	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total Releases .....	936	1,493	1,531	269	256	4,485
Total Work Credits Earned ....	0	184,213	72,104	2,543	10,606	269,466
Average Credits Earned Per Inmate Released <sup>3</sup> ...	0	128.0	61.7	54.1	47.3	93.6

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management  
<sup>1</sup> Other releases include inmates discharged by court order, released on appeal bond, discharged upon paying fine or who died.  
<sup>2</sup> Youthful offenders do not earn work credits although they have work assignments.  
<sup>3</sup> Inmates who did not participate in the motivational work program and for whom work credits are not applicable are excluded from the computation of these averages.

TABLE 27

DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCDC INMATES BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS DURING FY 1981  
(JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981)

Job Description	Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period					Total Earning Credits*	Total Number of Credits	Average No. of Credits Per Job**
	Full-Time With Credit	Full-Time No Credit	Part-Time With Credit	Part-Time No Credit	Total Inmates*			
Level 2								
Baker Supervisor .....	23	1	0	0	24	23	3,704	162
Boiler Room Supervisor .....	5	1	0	0	6	5	800	160
Butler Room Supervisor .....	9	5	0	0	14	9	1,453	162
Cafeteria Super./Senior Cook ...	107	10	0	0	117	107	17,564	165
Carpenter Supervisor .....	27	2	0	0	29	27	3,201	119
Inmate Grievance Clerk .....	4	2	0	0	5	4	468	117
SCDC Inmate Adv. Rep. ....	4	2	0	0	5	4	541	136
Design Engineer .....	1	0	0	0	1	1	71	71
Electrician Supervisor .....	18	1	0	0	19	18	2,353	131
General Construction Sup. ....	17	1	0	0	18	17	1,899	112
Grade Super. Ht. & Ac. ....	2	0	0	0	2	2	64	32
Heat/Air Cond. Supervisor .....	12	1	0	0	13	12	1,394	117
Industries Grp./Sect. Leader ...	91	10	1	0	101	91	10,953	121
Inventory Supervisor .....	23	3	0	0	26	23	2,922	128
Maintenance Supervisor .....	39	8	0	0	46	39	5,517	142
Mason Supervisor .....	20	1	0	0	21	20	2,472	124
Material Cutt./Mark Supervisor .	3	0	0	0	3	3	319	107
Painter Supervisor .....	22	4	0	0	25	22	2,590	118
Plumber Supervisor .....	14	3	0	0	16	14	1,626	117
Professional Personnel .....	36	6	0	0	42	36	4,442	124
Senior Wardkeeper .....	63	12	0	0	75	63	9,789	156



TABLE 27 (Continued)

**DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCDC INMATES BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS DURING FY 1981  
(JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981)**

Job Description	Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period				Total Inmates*	Total Earning Credits*	Total Number of Credits	Average No. of Credits Per Job**
	Full-Time With Credit	Full-Time No Credit	Part-Time With Credit	Part-Time No Credit				
Shop Supervisor .....	19	1	1	1	21	20	2,324	117
Teacher Assistant Supervisor ....	16	2	0	0	17	16	1,922	121
Truck Driver, Heavy .....	101	9	0	0	110	101	12,758	127
Warehouse Supervisor .....	8	1	0	0	9	8	927	116
Welding Supervisor .....	20	2	0	0	22	20	2,254	113
Heavy Eq. Oper., Skilled .....	55	6	0	0	60	55	6,718	123
Heavy Farm Eq. Operator, Skilled .....	9	1	0	0	9	9	1,177	131
Bindery Supervisor .....	2	0	0	0	2	2	112	56
Dark Room & Plant Supervisor .	1	0	0	0	1	1	101	101
Press Supervisor .....	1	0	0	0	1	1	118	118
Typesetter Supervisor .....	2	0	0	0	2	2	159	80
Litter Control Program .....	48	0	0	0	48	48	6,009	126
Sanitation Worker .....	20	0	0	0	20	20	2,434	122
Dog Handler (Skilled) .....	4	0	0	0	4	4	641	161
Dental Lab. Technician .....	3	1	0	0	3	3	153	51
Drafter (Professional) .....	1	0	0	0	1	1	92	92
Quality-Control Tech. ....	1	0	0	0	1	1	35	35
Sewing Machine Repairer .....	2	1	0	0	2	2	164	82
Canteen Supervisor .....	8	2	0	0	9	8	1,326	166
Work Release .....	560	81	1	0	642	561	56,056	100
Extended Work Release .....	151	1	0	0	152	151	11,691	78

Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period								
Job Description	Full-Time With Credit	Full-Time No Credit	Part-Time With Credit	Part-Time No Credit	Total Inmates*	Total Earning Credits*	Total Number of Credits	Average No. of Credits Per Job**
Employment Program .....	94	5	0	0	98	94	11,601	124
Education Release .....	15	1	0	0	16	15	348	24
Community Transit. Service ....	38	0	0	0	38	38	973	26
Unemployed Comm. Prog. Part .	10	2	0	0	11	10	931	94
<b>Level 3</b>								
Baker .....	61	3	1	0	64	61	6,340	104
Barber .....	20	2	2	2	24	21	1,627	78
Belt Loader .....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Boiler Maker .....	1	0	0	0	1	1	42	42
Boiler Operator .....	11	2	0	0	12	11	982	90
Bookkeeper .....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Brickmason .....	31	3	1	0	34	31	2,532	82
Butcher .....	14	1	0	0	15	14	1,058	76
Canteen Operator .....	25	3	0	0	28	25	2,466	99
Carpenter .....	52	5	0	0	57	52	3,960	77
Chaplain Assistant .....	11	2	0	0	13	11	982	90
Chief Clerk .....	71	22	4	1	96	74	5,931	81
Classroom Leader .....	13	3	0	1	17	13	1,105	85
Commissary Operator .....	17	1	0	0	17	17	1,373	81
Concrete Finisher .....	24	1	1	0	24	24	1,711	72
Cook .....	181	9	1	0	191	182	18,324	101
Custodial Supervisor .....	42	8	2	1	51	43	3,838	90
Dining Room Supervisor .....	27	1	0	0	27	27	2,558	95
Dip Tank Operator .....	3	1	0	0	3	3	227	76
Dog Handler .....	6	0	0	0	6	6	499	84
Drafter .....	3	1	0	0	4	3	180	60
Driver .....	67	18	0	1	85	67	6,270	94

TABLE 27 (Continued)

**DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCDC INMATES BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS DURING FY 1981  
(JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981)**

Job Description	Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period				Total Inmates*	Total Earning Credits*	Total Number of Credits	Average No. of Credits Per Job**
	Full-Time With Credit	Full-Time No Credit	Part-Time With Credit	Part-Time No Credit				
Electrician .....	30	5	0	0	35	30	2,172	73
Farm Machine Operator .....	24	1	0	0	25	24	1,736	73
Furniture Assembler .....	18	3	0	0	21	18	1,389	78
Furniture Repairer .....	7	3	0	0	10	7	428	62
Groundskeeper Supervisor .....	28	5	1	0	32	28	2,321	83
Hand Tool Repairer .....	4	0	0	0	4	4	355	89
Heavy Eq. Operator, Semi-Skilled .....	21	1	0	0	21	21	1,609	77
Housekeeper .....	28	3	0	0	31	28	2,497	90
Instrument Fitter .....	0	1	0	0	1	0	..	..
Insulator .....	4	1	0	0	4	4	328	82
Inventory Clerk .....	20	4	0	0	23	20	1,443	73
Ironworker .....	3	0	0	0	3	3	93	31
License Tag Quality Control Op. ....	6	2	0	0	8	6	453	76
Livestock Caretaker .....	41	2	0	0	42	41	4,070	100
Locksmith .....	2	0	0	0	2	2	165	83
Machine Operator .....	127	12	11	2	151	137	10,110	74
Material Cutter/Marker .....	2	1	0	0	3	2	98	49
Material Handling Eq. Op. ....	2	1	0	0	3	2	146	73
Meat Cutter .....	15	0	0	0	15	15	1,231	83
Mechanic .....	64	4	0	0	67	64	5,175	81
Medical Assistant .....	1	0	0	0	1	1	17	17
Milking Machine Operator .....	5	0	0	0	5	5	479	96
Milk Processor .....	9	0	0	0	9	9	880	98
Millwright .....	1	1	0	0	1	1	22	22
Painter .....	59	6	0	0	65	59	4,569	78

Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period								
Job Description	Full-Time With Credit	Full-Time No Credit	Part-Time With Credit	Part-Time No Credit	Total Inmates*	Total Earning Credits*	Total Number of Credits	Average No. of Credits Per Job**
Pattern Maker .....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Photographer .....	1	0	0	0	1	1	119	119
Pipe Fitter .....	8	1	0	0	8	8	562	71
Plumber .....	30	3	0	0	32	30	2,369	79
Print Machine Operator .....	2	1	0	0	2	2	134	67
Radio Dispatcher .....	14	5	0	0	19	14	1,263	91
Recreation Assistant .....	29	8	3	0	38	31	2,568	83
Roofer .....	10	2	0	0	11	10	741	75
Safety Security Clerk .....	1	2	0	0	3	1	54	54
Secretary .....	1	1	0	0	1	1	81	81
Shipping & Receiving Clerk .....	16	3	0	0	18	16	1,005	63
Silk Screen Operator .....	1	1	0	0	2	1	18	18
Storekeeper .....	13	3	0	0	15	13	951	74
Switchboard Operator .....	8	4	1	1	12	8	738	93
Teacher Assistant .....	44	16	7	1	67	51	3,391	67
Tier Keeper .....	13	3	0	0	16	13	1,429	110
Timekeeper .....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tray Line Supervisor .....	20	2	0	0	21	20	2,186	110
Typesetter .....	1	2	0	0	2	1	3	3
Upholsterer .....	13	2	0	0	14	13	952	74
Vegetable Preparation Sup. ....	6	0	0	0	6	6	545	91
Wardkeeper .....	100	15	0	0	115	100	9,792	98
Warehouse Sup. Assistant .....	4	0	0	0	4	4	181	46
Waste Treatment Super. ....	3	0	0	0	3	3	213	71
Welder .....	31	2	0	0	33	31	2,254	73
Litter Control Pg. Part. ....	12	0	0	0	12	12	884	74
Landscape Gardener .....	6	1	0	0	7	6	576	96
Sandblaster .....	3	0	0	0	3	3	245	82
Laminator .....	6	1	0	0	7	6	477	80
Para-Prof. Couns., Skilled .....	11	1	0	0	12	11	1,099	100
Hort. Spec. Grower, Inside ....	13	2	0	0	14	13	1,035	80
Dental Lab Tech. Skilled .....	6	1	0	0	7	6	383	64

TABLE 27 (Continued)

**DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCDC INMATES BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS DURING FY 1981  
(JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981)**

Job Description	Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period				Total Inmates*	Total Earning Credits*	Total Number of Credits	Average No. of Credits Per Job**
	Full-Time With Credit	Full-Time No Credit	Part-Time With Credit	Part-Time No Credit				
Level 5								
Food Svs. Aide .....	13	3	1	0	15	13	515	40
Barber Apprentice .....	13	5	1	1	19	13	567	44
Boilermaker Helper .....	1	0	0	1	1	1	11	11
Boiler Operator Helper .....	5	2	0	0	7	5	159	32
Brickmason Helper .....	28	11	2	0	41	30	1,282	43
Food Svs. Aide .....	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
Canteen Operator Helper .....	10	5	0	0	14	10	443	45
Carpenter Helper .....	28	9	1	1	38	29	1,295	45
Commissary Oper. Helper .....	5	1	0	0	5	5	105	21
Concrete Finisher Helper .....	3	1	0	0	3	3	52	18
Food Svs. Aide .....	46	9	0	0	54	46	1,933	43
Dairy Helper .....	14	3	0	0	16	14	682	49
Dip Tank Operator Helper .....	3	0	0	0	3	3	132	44
Drafter Helper .....	1	0	0	0	1	1	13	13
Electrician Helper .....	25	3	1	1	29	26	1,144	44
Furniture Assembler Hlp. ....	11	1	0	2	14	11	504	46
Furniture Repair Helper .....	20	1	0	0	21	20	848	43
Gate Attendant .....	15	3	0	0	17	15	663	45
Hauler .....	25	2	0	0	26	25	1,065	43
Heavy Equip. Operator Hlper ..	7	0	0	0	7	7	290	42
Instrument Fitter Hlper .....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Insulator Helper .....	3	0	0	0	3	3	89	30
Ironworker Helper .....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Laminator Helper .....	5	1	0	0	5	5	217	44
Laundry Helper .....	38	10	2	0	50	40	1,642	42
Laundry Room Attendant .....	66	14	0	0	80	66	3,349	51
Library Helper .....	13	5	0	0	17	13	624	48

Job Description	Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period				Total Inmates*	Total Earning Credits*	Total Number of Credits	Average No. of Credits Per Job**
	Full-Time With Credit	Full-Time No Credit	Part-Time With Credit	Part-Time No Credit				
Licen. Tag Qu. Ctl. Op. Hlp. ....	1	0	0	0	1	1	29	29
Livestock Caretaker Hlp. ....	16	1	0	0	16	16	804	51
Locksmith Helper .....	0	1	0	0	1	0	..	..
Machine Operator Helper .....	7	2	1	1	10	8	238	30
Mailroom Clerk .....	1	2	0	0	3	1	65	65
Material Cut/Mark Hlp. ....	1	1	0	0	1	1	16	16
Food Svs. Aide .....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Mechanic Helper .....	36	5	2	1	43	37	1,544	42
Medical Orderly .....	10	2	0	0	12	10	551	56
Millwright Helper .....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Night Watchman/Clockman ....	1	1	0	0	1	1	29	29
Office Clerk .....	18	6	0	0	24	18	818	46
Painter Helper .....	17	4	0	1	22	17	793	47
Para-Professional Consl. ....	2	0	0	0	2	2	87	44
Pattern Maker Helper .....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Pipe Fitter Helper .....	9	1	0	0	9	9	338	38
Plumber Helper .....	19	3	1	1	22	19	750	40
Printing Machine Op. Hlp. ....	1	1	0	0	2	1	..	..
Receptionist .....	1	0	0	0	1	1	17	17
Recreation Aide .....	14	2	1	2	17	14	747	54
Roofer Helper .....	7	2	0	0	8	7	255	37
Safety Hat Control Clrk .....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Service Stat. Attendant .....	8	2	1	1	11	9	502	56
Ship & Receiving Clk Hlp. ....	6	4	0	0	9	6	199	34
Silk Screen Operator Hlp. ....	1	1	0	0	2	1	12	12
Stock Clerk .....	6	2	0	0	8	6	199	34
Supply Clerk .....	3	1	0	0	3	3	171	57
Teacher Aide .....	32	12	0	1	44	32	1,390	44
Tier Keeper Assistant .....	4	3	0	0	6	4	216	54
Tool Clerk .....	5	4	4	1	13	9	278	31
Food Svs. Aide .....	12	1	0	1	13	12	651	55
Typesetter Helper .....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Upholsterer Helper .....	17	2	0	0	19	17	714	42
Wardkeeper Assistant .....	109	18	3	5	132	111	6,927	63

TABLE 27 (Continued)

**DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCDC INMATES BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS DURING FY 1981  
(JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981)**

Job Description	Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period				Total Inmates*	Total Earning Credits*	Total Number of Credits	Average No. of Credits Per Job**
	Full-Time With Credit	Full-Time No Credit	Part-Time With Credit	Part-Time No Credit				
Warehouse Attendant .....	14	2	0	0	15	14	555	40
Waste Treatment Assistant .....	1	0	0	0	1	1	31	31
Welder Helper .....	17	8	2	1	27	19	675	36
Auto Body Repair Helper .....	2	1	0	0	3	2	81	41
Electronics Repair Hlper .....	3	0	0	0	3	3	121	41
Custodial Attdn., State House ..	10	2	0	0	11	10	449	45
Custodial Attdn., Gov. Mansion ..	11	1	0	0	11	11	451	41
Custodial Attdn., Visiting Room ..	9	1	0	0	10	9	482	54
Admin. Runner/Messenger .....	8	1	2	1	11	10	310	31
Food Service Aide .....	340	55	1	2	397	341	19,823	59
Custodian Helper .....	8	2	0	1	10	8	394	50
Sander .....	7	1	0	0	8	7	336	48
<b>Level 7</b>								
Clerk Helper .....	2	1	0	0	3	2	28	14
Construction Worker .....	5	1	1	2	7	5	150	30
Custodial Worker .....	323	69	63	63	516	386	12,993	34
Food Svs. Aide .....	11	2	0	0	12	11	38	4
Elevatory Operator .....	1	1	0	0	2	1	5	5
Farm Worker .....	58	7	1	1	65	59	1,767	30
Garment Worker .....	1	0	1	0	1	1	18	18
General Worker .....	259	55	26	71	410	285	7,309	26

Job Description	Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period				Total Inmates*	Total Earning Credits*	Total Number of Credits	Average No. of Credits Per Job**
	Full-Time With Credit	Full-Time No Credit	Part-Time With Credit	Part-Time No Credit				
Horticulture Trainee.....	19	6	1	3	28	20	513	26
Industries Trainee.....	37	3	1	0	40	37	1,065	29
Food Svs. Aide .....	30	14	2	0	46	32	505	16
Laundry Worker .....	26	19	0	0	44	26	762	30
Machine Operator Trainee .....	4	1	3	3	9	7	125	18
Road Maintenance Worker .....	157	1	1	0	158	157	4,594	30
Runner/Messenger .....	13	8	1	0	21	14	480	35
Sanitation Worker .....	34	1	0	0	35	34	1,088	32
Wash Rack Attendant.....	3	1	1	0	4	4	90	23
Auto Body Repair Trainee .....	12	5	6	5	28	18	480	27
Construction Trainee .....	55	14	5	14	86	60	1,683	29
Electrician Trainee .....	18	1	0	0	19	18	611	34
Electronic Repair Trainee .....	7	0	3	0	9	9	262	30
Heavy Eq. Mechanic Trainee ...	8	0	0	0	8	8	250	32
Heavy Eq. Operator Trainee ...	10	0	0	0	10	10	327	33
Mechanic Trainee .....	5	6	4	10	22	8	179	23
Welder Trainee .....	11	14	4	3	32	15	298	20
Dental Lab Tech. Trainee .....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Landscape Laborer .....	4	1	0	1	5	4	129	33
TOTAL .....	5,562	809	161	192	6,727	5,827	430,627	74

\* Because of rounding, these two columns may not be exactly the total or subtotal of the previous columns.

\*\* Average computed based on the number of full-time and part-time inmates assigned and earning work credits.



TABLE 28

**PAROLE<sup>1</sup> STATISTICS, FY 1981**  
**(July 1, 1980-June 30, 1981)**

Locations	Considered	Numbered Paroled			Percent Paroled		
		Paroled	Provisional Parole	Total	Parole	Provisional Parole	Total
Community Work Release Centers .....	537	366	30	396	68%	6%	74%
Medium Custody Institutions .....	1,006	176	223	399	17%	22%	39%
Minimum Custody Institutions .....	940	261	236	497	28%	25%	53%
Women .....	141	53	20	73	38%	14%	52%
Designated Facilities .....	284	134	48	182	47%	17%	64%
TOTAL .....	2,908	990	557	1,547	34%	19%	53%

Source: Department of Parole and Community Corrections and Division of Resource and Information Management

<sup>1</sup> This table represents the outcome of parole hearings held by the Department of Parole and Community Corrections during the fiscal year and does not include youthful offenders paroled by the Division of Classification and Community Services.

FIGURE 28


PERCENTAGE OF SCD C INMATES GRANTED PAROLE BY FISCAL YEAR  
(1978-1981)

TABLE 29

**COMMUNITY PROGRAM STATISTICS, FY 1981**  
**(JULY 1, 1980-JUNE 30, 1981)**

	Community Programs <sup>1</sup>			
	30-Day Pre-Release Program	Employment Program	120-Day Accelerated Work Release, Regular Work Release, Work-Study Release, Federal Referral Program	Extended Work Release Program
<b>Inmate Flows</b>				
Participants in Program at Beginning .....	148	98	619	120
Admitted During Fiscal Year .....	2,240	179	1,662	361
Total Loss During Fiscal Year .....	2,219	150	1,723	363
Dismissed .....	74	12	363	46
Released .....	1,512	0	635	106
Paroled .....	365	0	353	163
Transferred to Other Programs .....	268	138	372	48
Participants in Program at End .....	169	127	558	118

Source: Division of Classification and Community Services

<sup>1</sup> See Section D, page 135, of the Appendix for details of these programs.

**TABLE 30**  
**YOUTHFUL OFFENDER STATISTICS,**  
**FISCAL YEARS 1980-1981**

	Fiscal Year 1980	Fiscal Year 1981	Absolute Change	Per- centage Change
Total YOA Admissions .....	1,157	1,134	- 23	- 2.0
5b's <sup>1</sup> .....	130	129	- 1	- 0.8
5c's <sup>1</sup> .....	1,027	995	- 32	- 3.1
5d's <sup>1</sup> .....	0	0	..	..
Total YOA Releases .....	984	1,015	31	3.2
Conditional .....	896	941	45	5.0
Unconditional .....	88	74	14	-15.9
Total Number Under Supervision at End of Fiscal Year .....	2,448	1,919*	-529	-21.6
Number Incarcerated at End of Fiscal Year .....	933	873	- 60	- 6.4
5b's .....	25	17	- 8	-32.0
5c's .....	908	856	- 52	- 5.7
5d's .....	0	0	..	..
Number of Conditional Releases Under Supervision at End of Fiscal Year .....	1,515	938*	-577	-38.1

Source: Division of Classification and Community Services

<sup>1</sup> See Section B of the Appendix, page 133, for a detailed explanation of the Youthful Offender Act.

\* Effective January 15, 1981, the period of parole supervision was reduced from two years to one year.

TABLE 31

**DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC PERSONNEL BY  
SEX, RACE AND TYPE OF POSITION,  
AS OF JUNE 18, 1981**

	Male		Female		Total
	White	Non-White	White	Non-White	
Security Personnel <sup>1*</sup> ..	463 (21.9%)	479 (22.7%)	88 (4.2%)	132 (6.3%)	1,162 (55.0%)
Non-Security Personnel* ...	422 (20.0%)	144 (6.8%)	285 (13.5%)	98 (4.6%)	949 (45.0%)
SCDC TOTAL*.	885 (41.9%)	623 (29.5%)	373 (17.7%)	230 (10.9%)	2,111 (100.0%)

Source: Division of Personnel Administration and Training

<sup>1</sup> Security personnel include all uniformed personnel: correctional officers, correctional officer assistant supervisors, correctional officer supervisors, and chief correctional officer supervisors.

\* Percentages are based on the grand total of 2,111 employees.

FIGURE 29

**SCDC PERSONNEL BY RACE, SEX AND  
TYPE OF POSITION, AS OF JUNE 18, 1981**




TABLE 32

DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC SECURITY STRENGTH BY FACILITY, AS OF JUNE 18, 1981<sup>1</sup>

Facilities	Number of Correctional Officers Authorized	Number of Correctional Officers Actually Assigned			Average Inmate Population	Number of Inmates Per Authorized Correctional Officer
		Male	Female	Total		
Appalachian Correctional Region .....	289	250	59	309	1,454	5.0
Appalachian Reception & Evaluation Ctr. ....	27	17	5	22	101	3.7
Blue Ridge Pre-Release/Work Release Ctr. ....	11	10	1	11	188	17.1
Dutchman Correctional Institution .....	2	64	15	79	375	*
Givens Youth Correction Center .....	13	11	2	13	136	10.5
Greenwood Correctional Center .....	14	9	3	12	87	6.2
Hillcrest Correctional Center .....	11	10	1	11	93	8.4
Northside Correctional Center .....	12	21	3	24	116	9.7
Oaklawn Correctional Center .....	14	12	0	12	103	7.4
Perry Correctional Institution .....	173	88	27	115	153	**
Piedmont Work Release Center .....	9	7	2	9	102	11.3
Regional Training and Transportation Office .....	3	1	0	1	..	..
Division of Institutional Operations/						
Minimum Security .....	168	130	38	168	1,685	10.0
Aiken Youth Correction Center .....	33	17	11	28	223	6.8
Campbell Work Release Center .....	11	11	0	11	155	14.1
Catawba Work Release Center .....	8	6	1	7	79	9.9
Goodman Correctional Institution .....	14	11	3	14	99	7.1
Employment Program Dorm .....	8	6	1	7	83	10.4
Women's Work Release Dorm .....	6	1	5	6	65	10.8
Lower Savannah Work Release Center .....	6	5	2	7	58	9.7
Walden Correctional Institution .....	16	15	5	20	248	15.5
Wateree River Correctional Institution .....	45	40	6	46	482	10.7
Watkins Pre-Release Center .....	21	18	4	22	193	9.2

TABLE 32 (Continued)

Division of Institutional Operations/						
Medium-Maximum Security .....	618	486	114	600	3,625	5.9
Central Correctional Institution .....	272	220	29	249	1,522	5.6
Kirkland Correctional Institution .....	159	143	23	166	1,102	6.9
Manning Correctional Institution .....	59	48	9	57	460	7.8
Maximum Security Center .....	30	29	0	29	98	3.3
Midlands Reception & Evaluation Center .....	34	33	1	34	181	5.3
Women's Correctional Center .....	64	13	52	65	262	4.1
Coastal Correctional Region .....	52	51	4	55	620	11.9
Coastal Work Release Center .....	8	6	2	8	92	11.5
MacDougall Youth Correction Center .....	36	38	1	39	426	11.8
Palmer Work Release Center .....	8	7	1	8	102	12.8
TOTAL SCDC FACILITIES .....	1,127 <sup>2</sup>	917	215	1,132 <sup>3</sup>	7,384	6.6

Source: Division of Personnel Administration and Training

<sup>1</sup> This date is closest to the end of the period in which information for developing this table is available.

<sup>2</sup> This number excludes 16 authorized for the State Park Health Center, 4 for the Division of Construction, and 6 for the Criminal Justice Academy.

<sup>3</sup> This number excludes 16 assigned to State Park Health Center, 7 for the Division of Construction, and 7 for the Criminal Justice Academy.

\* The ratio of inmate to authorized correctional officer cannot be accurately computed because only two officers were authorized at the end of the period but 79 officers were actually assigned.

\*\* Because of the ongoing phase-in of inmate and staff at the Perry Correctional Institution, a ratio of inmate to correctional officer would not be meaningful.

TABLE 33  
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF INMATES ADMITTED TO  
SCDC UNDER THE 1975 ARMED ROBBERY ACT/THE 1977 ACT  
SPECIFYING 20-YEAR PAROLE ELIGIBILITY FOR CERTAIN  
LIFE SENTENCES (FY 1976-1981)

Fiscal Year	Total Admissions	Inmates Sentenced Under the Armed Robbery Act of 1975			Inmates Sentenced Under A Life Sentence with 20-Year Parole Eligibility	
		Number	Percent of Total Admissions	Average Sentence Length	Number	Percent of Total Admissions
1976	5,408	249	4.6%	18 yrs. 1 mo.	N/A <sup>2</sup>	---
1977	5,130	243	4.7%	22 yrs. 2 mos.	10	0.2%
1978	5,150	218	4.2%	19 yrs. 2 mos.	46	0.9%
1979	4,683	202	4.3%	21 yrs. 1 mo.	37	0.8%
1980	5,049	191	3.8%	22 yrs.	57	1.1%
1981	5,511	236	4.3%	20 yrs. 6 mos.	33	0.6%

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

<sup>1</sup>Details of these two Acts are contained in Section H of the Appendix, page 140.

<sup>2</sup>Not applicable — Act was not legislated until June 8, 1977.

APPENDIX

- A. Statutory Authority of the South Carolina Department of Corrections
- B. Youthful Offender Act
- C. Programs and Services Administered by the South Carolina Department of Corrections
- D. Community Programs
- E. Counties Comprising South Carolina Planning Districts and Correctional Regions
- F. Counties Comprising South Carolina Judicial Circuits
- G. Offense Classification
- H. Legislation Relating to Minimum Sentencing/Parole Eligibility For Armed Robbery and Murder



## APPENDIX A

### STATUTORY AUTHORITY OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

The South Carolina Department of Corrections was created in 1960 by Section 55-292, South Carolina Code of Laws as follows: "There is hereby created as an administrative agency of the State government the Department of Corrections. The functions of the Department shall be to implement and carry out the policy of the State with respect to its prison system, as set forth in 55-291, and the performance of such other duties and matters as may be delegated to it pursuant to Law."

Section 55-291 as referred to in Section 55-292 sets out the Declaration of Policy as follows: "It shall be the policy of this State in the operation and management of the Department of Corrections to manage and conduct the Department in such a manner as will be consistent with the operation of a modern prison system and with the view of making the system self-sustaining, and that those convicted of violating the law and sentenced to a term in the State Penitentiary shall have humane treatment, and be given opportunity, encouragement and training in the matter of reformation."

Further significant statutory authority was provided the Department by Section 14, Part II, the permanent provisions of the 1974-75 General Appropriations Act which was signed on June 28, 1974. Section 14 is, in effect, an amendment of Section 55-321 and places all prisoners convicted of an offense against the State in the custody of the Department when their sentences exceed three months. The text of the statute is as follows:

"Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 55-321 of the 1962 Code, or any other provision of law, any person convicted of an offense against the State of South Carolina shall be in the custody of the Board of Corrections of the State of South Carolina, and the Board shall designate the place of confinement where the sentence shall be served. The Board may designate as a place of confinement any available, suitable and appropriate institution or facility, including a county jail or work camp whether maintained by the State Department of Corrections or otherwise, but the consent of the officials in charge of the county institutions so designated shall be first obtained. Provided, that if imprisonment for three months or less is ordered by the court as the punishment, all persons so convicted shall be placed in the custody, supervision and control of the appropriate officials of the county wherein the sentence was pronounced, if such county has facilities suitable for confinement."

This statute was amended by an added provision in the 1975-76 General Appropriations Act to provide for notification to the Department of Corrections of the closing of county prison facilities as follows: "Section 14, Part II, of Act 1136 of 1974 is amended by adding the following proviso at the end thereof: Provided, further, that the Department of Corrections shall be notified by the county officials concerned not less than six months prior to the closing of any county prison facility which would result in the transfer of the prisoners of the county facility to facilities of the Department."

## APPENDIX B

### YOUTHFUL OFFENDER ACT

The Youthful Offender Act provides for indeterminate sentencing of offenders between the ages of 17 and 21, extended to 25 with offender consent. The specific provisions of the Act are as follows:

Section 5b — This section allows the court to release the youthful offender to the custody of the Department's Division of Classification and Community Services prior to sentencing for an observation and evaluation period of not more than 60 days.

Section 5c — This section allows the court to sentence the youthful offender, between 17 and 21, without his consent, indefinitely to the custody of the Department's Division of Classification and Community Services for treatment and supervision until discharge. The period of such custody will not exceed six years. If the offender has reached 21 years of age but is less than 25 years of age, he may be sentenced in accordance with the above procedure if he consents thereto in writing.

Section 5d — This section provides that if the court finds that the youthful offender will not derive benefits from treatment, it may sentence the youthful offender under any other applicable provision.

APPENDIX C

PROGRAMS AND SERVICES ADMINISTERED BY  
THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Responsible Division	Program Area/Activity
Human Services	Title I Supplementary Educational Services; Adult Basic Education and other Educational Services in accordance with Public Law 94-142; Vocational Education and Apprenticeship Programs; Post Secondary Education Programs; Library Services; Psychological Services; Institutional Mental Health Counseling Services; Reception and Evaluation Services; Special Learning Unit; Recreational Services; Residential Institutional Therapeutic Community; Horticulture Program; CETA Transition Services; Morris Village and Alston Wilkes Community Halfway House Furlough Programs; SCDC/S. C. Department of Vocational Rehabilitation Inter-Agency Contract; Arts-in-Prison Program.
Health Services	Medical/Dental Outpatient Services; Infirmary Services; General Surgery and Orthopedic Surgery; Internal Medicine; Psychiatric Services; Optometric Services; Referral Services — Dermatology, Physical Therapy, Neurology and Urology.
Classification and Community Services	Classification and Assignment; Work Release; Extended Work Release; 30-Day Pre-Release; 120-Day Accelerated Work Release; Youthful Offender Referrals; Educational Release; Federal Offender Referrals; Employment Program; Economic Development Pilot Program; Provisional Parolees Referrals; Inmate Furlough; Casework; Pre-sentence Investigation; Institutional Services; Parole and Aftercare Services for Youthful Offenders.
Inmate Relations	Interview inmates in regard to grievances; represent inmates in cases involving infractions of rules; resolution of inmate grievances; represent inmates who appear before institutional adjustment committees.

APPENDIX D

COMMUNITY PROGRAMS

1. **30-Day Pre-Release Program:** All inmates who are to be released from the SCDC or to be placed in the 120-Day Accelerated Work Release or Employment Programs participate in the 30-Day Pre-Release Program. This program offers participants a series of pre-release training sessions at the Watkins Pre-Release Center and the Blue Ridge Community Pre-Release Center. Inmates on the 30-Day Pre-Release Program do not work in the community. Furthermore, participants in the 30-Day Program can be transferred to any one of the community programs except the Extended Work Release Program.
2. **Employment Program, 120-Day Accelerated Work Release, Regular Work Release, Work-Study Release, Federal Referral Programs:** Inmates participating in the Employment Program, the 120-Day Accelerated Work Release, Regular Work Release, Work-Study Release, and Federal Referral Programs work in the community during the day and reside in SCDC work centers or the Employment Dormitory. These programs have similar selection criteria but differ in terms of the inmates' remaining time to serve before eligible for parole or other forms of release. The Federal Bureau of Prisons refers some of their inmates to SCDC who are legal residents of South Carolina and meet all the criteria for the SCDC Regular Work Release Program. For details of the programs' respective eligibility requirements, users of this report should consult the Division of Classification and Community Services. Participants in the Employment Program can be transferred to the 120-Day Accelerated Work Release, the Regular Work Release or Work-Study Release Programs.
3. **Extended Work Release Program:** This program allows the exceptional work release inmate to continue employment in the community and reside with an approved community sponsor. Program participants continue to be responsible to the work release center assigned and are maintained as authorized absentees. Information on eligibility criteria can be obtained from the Division of Classification and Community Services.

**APPENDIX E**  
**COUNTIES COMPRISING SOUTH CAROLINA**  
**PLANNING DISTRICTS AND CORRECTIONAL REGIONS**

**APPALACHIAN REGION**

Planning District I (Appalachian)	Planning District II (Upper Savannah)
Anderson	Abbeville
Cherokee	Edgefield
Greenville	Greenwood
Oconee	Laurens
Pickens	McCormick
Spartanburg	Saluda

**MIDLANDS REGION**

Planning District III (Catawba)	Planning District IV (Central Midlands)
Chester	Fairfield
Lancaster	Lexington
Union	Newberry
York	Richland

Planning District V (Lower Savannah)	Planning District VI (Santee-Wateree)
Aiken	Clarendon
Allendale	Kershaw
Bamberg	Lee
Barnwell	Sumter
Calhoun	
Orangeburg	

Planning District VII (Pee Dee)
Chesterfield
Darlington
Dillon
Florence
Marion
Marlboro

**COASTAL REGION**

Planning District VIII (Waccamaw)	Planning District IX (Berkeley- Charleston-Dorchester)
Georgetown	Berkeley
Horry	Charleston
Williamsburg	Dorchester

Planning District X (Low Country)
Beaufort
Colleton
Hampton
Jasper

**APPENDIX F**  
**COUNTIES COMPRISING SOUTH CAROLINA**  
**JUDICIAL CIRCUITS**

Judicial Circuit #1  
 Calhoun  
 Dorchester  
 Orangeburg

Judicial Circuit #2  
 Aiken  
 Bamberg  
 Barnwell

Judicial Circuit #3  
 Clarendon  
 Lee  
 Sumter  
 Williamsburg

Judicial Circuit #4  
 Chesterfield  
 Darlington  
 Dillon  
 Marlboro

Judicial Circuit #5  
 Kershaw  
 Richland

Judicial Circuit #6  
 Chester  
 Fairfield  
 Lancaster

Judicial Circuit #7  
 Cherokee  
 Spartanburg

Judicial Circuit #8  
 Abbeville  
 Greenwood  
 Laurens  
 Newberry

Judicial Circuit #9  
 Charleston  
 Berkeley

Judicial Circuit #10  
 Anderson  
 Oconee

Judicial Circuit #11  
 Edgefield  
 Lexington  
 McCormick  
 Saluda

Judicial Circuit #12  
 Florence  
 Marion

Judicial Circuit #13  
 Greenville  
 Pickens

Judicial Circuit #14  
 Allendale  
 Beaufort  
 Colleton  
 Hampton  
 Jasper

Judicial Circuit #15  
 Georgetown  
 Horry

Judicial Circuit #16  
 Union  
 York

# APPENDIX G OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION

Homicide	
Willful Killing/Family	Housebreaking
Willful Killing/Non-Family	Grand Larceny
Willful Killing/Public Officer	Pickpocket
Negligible Manslaughter w/Vehicle or Weapon	Stolen Vehicle
Involuntary Manslaughter	Theft/Sale/Stripping Stolen Vehicle
Voluntary Manslaughter	Receiving Stolen Vehicle
Poisoning	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Vehicle
Kidnapping	Aircraft Theft
Kidnapping for Ransom	Unauthorized Use of Vehicle
Kidnapping to Sexually Assault	
Hostage for Escape	Forgery and Counterfeiting
Abduction, No Ransom or Assault	Forgery of Checks/ID Objects
Hijacking Aircraft	Passing/Distributing Counterfeit Items
	Forgery Free Text
Sexual Assault	
Rape, With or Without Weapon	Fraudulent Activities
Sodomy	Swindling
Statutory Rape	Mail Fraud
Carnal Abuse	Impersonation
Buggery	False Statement
Intent to Ravish	Fraudulent Use of Credit Cards
	Insufficient Funds for Checks
Robbery	
Robbery of Business, With or Without Weapon	Embezzlement
Street Robbery, With or Without Weapon	Stolen Property
Pursesnatching	Sale of Stolen Property
Bank Robbery	Transportation of Stolen Property
Highway Robbery	Receiving/Possession of Stolen Property
Accessory to Armed Robbery	
Assault	Damage to Property
Aggravated	Damage to Property (Business, Private or Public Property)
Assault/Family/Non-Family/Public Officer, With or Without Weapon	Damage to Business/Private/Public Property with Explosive
Intimidation	
Assault and Battery	Dangerous Drugs
	Distribution/Sale/Possession/Smuggling of:
Extortion	Hallucinogen
	Heroin
Burglary	Opium
Forcible Entry to	Cocaine
Residence/Non-Residence	Synthetic Narcotics
Non-Forcible Entry to	Marijuana
Residence/Non-Residence	Amphetamines
Possession of Burglary Tools	Barbiturates
	Legend Drugs
Larceny	Possession of Narcotic Equipment
Pursesnatching without Force	
Shoplifting	

Sex Offenses	
Fondling of Child	Misconduct of Judicial Officer
Homosexual Act	Contempt of Congress/Legislature
Incest	Parole/Probation/Conditional Release Violation
Indecent Exposure	Failure to Appear
Bestiality	
Peeping Tom	Bribery
Seduction	Bribe Giving/Offering/Receiving
	Conflict of Interest
Obscene Materials	Gratuity Giving/Offering/Receiving
Manufacture/Sale/Mail/ Possession/Distribution/ Communication of Obscene Materials	Kickback Giving/Offering/Receiving
Family Offenses	Weapon Offenses
Neglect	Altering Weapon
Cruelty Toward Child/Wife	Carrying Concealed/Prohibited Weapon
Bigamy	Teaching Use, Transporting or Using Incendiary Device/Explosives
Contributing to Delinquency of Minor	Firing/Selling Weapon
Non-Support	Threat to Burn/Bomb
Gambling	Public Peace
Bookmaking	Anarchism
Card/Dice Operation	Engaging In/Inciting Riot
Possession/Transportation/Non-Registration of Gambling Device/Goods	Unlawful Assembly
Lottery	False Fire Alarm
Sports Tampering	Harassing Communication
Transmitting Wager Information	Desecrating Flag
	Disorderly Conduct
Commercialized Sex Offenses	Disturbing the Peace
Keeping/Frequenting House of Ill Fame	Curfew Violation
Procurement for Prostitution	Littering
Prostitution	
	Traffic Offenses
Liquor	Hit and Run
Manufacture/Sale/Possession of Liquor	Transporting Dangerous Material
	Driving Under the Influence of Drugs/Liquor
Drunkenness	Driving Under Suspension
Obstructing the Police	Health/Safety
Resisting Officer	Misbranded Drugs/Food/Cosmetics
Obstructing Criminal Investigation	Adulterated Drugs/Food/Cosmetics
Making False Report	
Evidence Destroying	Invasion of Privacy
Refusing to Aid Officer	Eavesdropping Information/Order
Unauthorized Communication with Prisoner	Divulge Eavesdropping Equipment
Failure to Report Crime	Open Sealed Communication
	Trespassing
Flight/Escape	Wiretapping
Aiding Prison Escape	
Harboring Escapee	Smuggling
Attempted Escape	Smuggling Contraband
	Smuggling in Prison
Obstructing Justice	Smuggling to Avoid Paying Duty
Perjury	
Contempt of Court	

Tax Revenue	Morals/Decency Crimes
Income/Sales/Liquor Tax	
Conservation	Public Order Crimes
Animals/Birds/Fish	Abortion
Environment	Self of Other
License Stamp	Submission to Abortion Act
Crimes Against Persons	Anti-Trust
Property Crimes	Vagrancy

## APPENDIX H

### LEGISLATION RELATING TO MINIMUM SENTENCING/PAROLE ELIGIBILITY FOR:

#### 1. Armed Robbery

The Armed Robbery Act, signed on June 24, 1975, pertains to the sentencing of armed robbers, and provides: "(1) for a mandatory ten year minimum sentence with seven years having to be served before parole eligibility; (2) for under twenty-one year old offenders sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act, a three year minimum sentence, all of which must be served; (3) that no person between the ages of twenty-one and twenty-five sentenced under the Act may be sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act; (4) that it shall be a misdemeanor for anyone to carry a concealed weapon anywhere other than on his own premises; and (5) that a person convicted of attempted robbery shall be sentenced to a term of not more than twenty years at the discretion of the judge."

#### 2. Murder

This Act, signed into law on June 8, 1977, provides: "that a person who is convicted of or pleads guilty to murder shall be punished by death or by life imprisonment and shall not be eligible for parole until the service of twenty years."

**END**