ANNUAL REPORT

Wyoming - BOARD OF PAROLE

DEPARTMENT OF PROBATION AND PAROLE

JULY 1, 1978 - JUNE 30, 1979

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Wyoming Board of Parole

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

NCJR - OCT 4 192
ACQUISITIONS

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

BOARD OF PAROLE
Jurisdiction
DEPARTMENT OF PROBATION AND PAROLE
Introduction
CASELOAD STATISTICAL INFORMATION

71133

#### BOARD OF PAROLE

#### 1978-1979

### Jurisdiction: The Board has the power to:

- a. Grant paroles to any person who has been convicted of a felony by a District Court and who is confined in any state institution.
- b. Fix the terms and conditions of parole.
- c. Provide rules and regulations for the granting of good time and special good time allowance.
- d. Adopt rules and regulations for the conduct of proceedings, hearings and meetings.
- e. Promulgate rules and regulations under which parole can be granted and revoked.
- f. Grant paroles to felons under the age of nineteen (19) years sentenced to the Wyoming Industrial Institute by a District Court of this State.
- g. Transfer from the Wyoming State Penitentiary to the Wyoming Industrial Institute any convict under the age of nineteen (19) years if the public interest and welfare of such convict is subserved.
- h. Require clerks of the District Courts of this State to submit information relative to persons sentenced to the Wyoming State Penitentiary.
- i. Perform such duties and powers as may be delegated to it by law and by the provisions of its rules and regulations.

Membership: The Board is comprised of three (3) members appointed by the Governor with consent of the Senate; no more than two (2) members shall be of the same political party. In the initial appointments, the Governor shall appoint one (1) member to a two (2) year term, one (1) member to a four (4) year term, and one (1) member to a six (6) year term. The members shall elect from their number a chairman and vice-chairman.

#### Composition:

- a. Brian Miracle, Chairman, Clinical Psychologist, Lander;
- b. Carole Stevens, Member, Lander;
- c. John Rooney, Member, Attorney at Law, Cheyenne;
- d. Winifred Hickey, Member, Cheyenne;
- e. Thomas G. Gorman, Member, Attorney at Law, Cheyenne

Meetings: The Board meets quarterly at the Wyoming State Penitentiary, Rawlins, and at other places and times as deemed necessary. Administrative meetings can be held at a time and place discretionary with the Board. The quarterly meetings are held on the first Thursday of January, April, July and October, unless a change of the meeting date becomes necessary due to a holiday, inclement weather conditions, lack of a quorum or the like. In the event of a change in the meeting date, the hearing will be rescheduled to take place within ten (10) days.

### Specific Powers: The Board has the power to:

- a. Award or grant to an inmate special good time for unusual and extremely beneficial and exemplary services and actions on recommendations of the Chief Administrative Officer of the institution (Warden). It shall also be granted or awarded in an amount not to exceed one hundred twenty (120) days when acceleration of his release date is desired for administrative purposes and when it is recommended by the Chief Administrative Officer (Warden) of the institution.
- b. Review and recommend to the Governor commutations of sentences.
- c. Restore good time lost by disciplinary action of the Wyoming State Penitentiary.
- d. Automatically review the case of an inmate at the first meeting three (3) months prior to the inmate's eligibility for parole or within the three (3) months prior to the completion of his minimum sentence.
- e. Automatically review long-term and life sentence cases at such time as the inmate has served a minimum of five (5) years in confinement and thereafter on a yearly basis.

Cases Handled: From July 1, 1978, through June 30, 1979, the Board handled the following inmate cases:

Board	Inmates	Non- Appearance	Commutations Recommended	Paroles Granted
July 1978 October 1978 January 1979 April 1979	82 54 61 76	1 1 2 2	3 6 1 7	31 14 28 24

-2-

### DEPARTMENT OF PROBATION AND PAROLE

1978-1979

Introduction: The Department of Probation and Parole operates under the direction of the Governor of the State of Wyoming and the Board of Parole. Agents supervise the conduct of persons granted a parole by the Board of Parole and the Board of Charities and Reform, as well as those granted a conditional release from the State's several correctional institutions (Wyoming State Penitentiary, Wyoming Industrial Institute, Wyoming Girls' School, Wyoming Women's Center). Under direction of the district courts of the state, agents supervise those individuals granted probation by the district and juvenile courts; in addition, they are required to investigate and prepare written reports or social summaries in pre-release, presentence and Interstate Compact matters.

General Information: It is estimated that probation and parole supervision is approximately ten times less costly than maintaining an individual in a correctional institution. Consequently, these forms of conditional release have become an integral part of the criminal and juvenile justice systems, and it is assumed that more and more offenders will be channeled into this by both the courts and the correctional institutions. Against this backdrop of increasing workload and caseload, it has become quite apparent that officers of the agency spend an excessive amount of time on investigations and activities such as report writing, travel and routine paperwork, instead of actual supervision and counseling of individuals under supervision.


Overall Objectives or Mission: Idealistically, the primary objectives would be to rid the world of crime, thus eliminating any need for the existence of this department.

Being unlikely that crime will cease to exist, we can list our objectives as follows.

- a. To provide adequate, imaginative and practicable supervisory services for the rehabilitation and reintegration of juvenile and adult offenders so that they may become and remain lawabiding, productive citizens:
- b. To support the evolution and implementation of innovative and realistic methods of treating individuals subject to the juvenile or criminal justice systems, so that noncriminal behavior becomes possible;

- c. To take such actions as may be necessary and feasible to prevent, consistent with the protection of the public interest and safety, children and adults from becoming delinquent or criminal;
- d. To collect and evaluate information and data relating to the nature, extent and causes of crime and delinquency.
- e. To cooperate with and assist, within the scope of its functions and duties as provided by law, other public and voluntary agencies and organizations in the development and coordination of programs beneficial to offenders;
- f. To supplement its rehabilitative and reintegrative services to offenders by referring them to appropriate social services and activities available to citizens generally;
- q. To encourage that any supervisory condition imposed on an individual be reasonably related to the correctional liberty and compatible with his needs, subject always to the interest and safety of others and of the public generally;
- h. To conduct supervision on the basis of factors relating to the individual offender rather than to the offense committed;
- i. To provide for maximum involvement of the individual offender in determining the nature and direction of his own goals, and the mechanisms for attaining the same;
- j. To be guided by the criteria that no offender should receive more surveillance or help than he requires, and no offender should be supervised or scrutinized more than his potential risk demands.

### OPGANIZATIONAL CHART



### Composition of Department:

John A. Lang	John W. Owens	Mark C. Russler
Cheyenne	Casper	Casper
Robert E. Ortega	Steven Thompson	April L. Goode
Cheyenne	Rawlins	Casper
James W. Demshar	Everett R. Martin	Ebbe J. N. Sandeen
Cheyenne	Green River	Laramie
Linda K. Swartwood	Eric K. Spelman	Paul T. Sironen
Cheyenne	Green River	Cody
Valorie Nagy	Dana Shineberg	James H. Holt
Cheyenne	Green River	Sheridan
Shane Sconce	Charles A. McBride	Christine Martin
Cheyenne	Gillette	Sheridan
Rex E. Dayton	Elizabeth McDermott	Larry E. Humphrey
Evanston	Evanston	Newcastle
William E. Hardy	John R. Horton	S. Chris Sandoval
Lander	Lander	Gillette
Daniel G. Holthus	Larry W. Okes	Steven D. Olmstead
Laramie	Torrington	Jackson
Barry N. Peterson Douglas		Marvin L. Wake Worland
Activities of the Year: O	n July 1, 1978, the beginning	wof this reporting.

Activities of the Year: On July 1, 1978, the beginning of this reporting, there were 1148 cases of probationers and parolees under supervision of the department.

During the ensuing one (1) year period, a total of 1396 new cases were assigned and activated for supervision. During this period, there were 2544 cases under our supervision. Eleven hundred cases were closed during the year.

Agents of this department traveled a total of 214,313 miles in the performance of their duties and in the use of state-owned vehicles.

The department was actively involved in the collection and distribution of \$21,237.22 in court fines, costs, restitution and child support payments.

The department handled an average of 720 incoming and 671 outgoing pieces of correspondence per month. It also had an average of 580 long-distance telephone calls per month.

Preliminary Hearings: On June 29, 1972, the U.S. Supreme Court rendered its decision in Morrissey vs. Brewer and again on May 14, 1973, in Scarpelli vs. Gagnon, which requires informal hearings to decide whether there is probable cause to violate parole or probation. During the course of this reporting period, this department held the following hearings:

# ANNUAL REPORT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS July 1, 1978 through June 30, 1979

JUV	VENILE HEARINGS
Hearings Held	Conditional Release Granting Authority
16	Wyoming Courts
Probable Cause Found	Probable Cause Not Found
15	1
Juveniles Returned	Juveniles Not Returned
13	3
Agents Requesting Hearings	Counties Where Hearings Were Held
Rex E. Dayton	Carbon
AE	DULT HEARINGS
Hearings Held	Conditional Release Granting Authority
11	Wyoming Courts
Probable Cause Found	Probable Cause Not Found
11	. 0
Adults Returned	Adults Not Returned
9	2

ANNUAL REPORT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
3 October 1979
PAGE TWO (2)

Agents Requesting Hearings	Counties Where Hearings Were Held
April Goode	Carbon
JUVENILE	HEARINGS WAIVED
Hearings Waived	Conditional Release Granting Authority
46	Out of State Parole
Agents Accepting Waivers	Counties Where Waived
Rex E. Dayton	Albany.       4         Campbell.       1         Carbon.       1         Converse.       1         Goshen.       3         Fremont.       4         Laramie.       7         Natrona.       9         Sheridan.       7         Sweetwater.       5         Uinta.       2         Washakie.       1         Weston.       1

ANNÚAL REPORT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING 3 October 1979 PAGE THREE (3)

### ADULT HEARINGS WAIVED

Hearings Waived	Conditional Release Granting Authority
19	Out of State Parole
Agents Accepting Waiver	Counties Where Waived
April L. Goode	Albany       1         Campbell       6         Converse       1         Laramie       3         Natrona       2         Platte       3         Uinta       1         Weston       1

SUBJECTS PROCESSED THROUGH
THE STATE DEPARIMENT

OF.

PROBATION AND PAROLE:

FY "79

(July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1979 inclusively)

	FREQ.	% OF TOTAL < 18 YEAR OLDS	% OF TOTAL UNDER SUPERVISION
ADULT PROBATION	110	18.3%	6.0%
JUVENILE PAROLE	202	33.6%	11.0%
ADULT PAROLE	12	2.0%	.78
JUVENILE PROBATION	277	46.1%	15.0%
TOTAL .	601	100.0%	32.7%
	FREQ.	% OF TOTAL 19-25 YEARS	% OF TOTAL UNDER SUPERVISION
ADULT PROBATION	653 ·	83.9%	35.5%
JUVENILE PAROLE	4	. 5%	. 2%
ADULT PAROLE	112	14.4%	6.1%
JUVENILE PROBATION	9	1.2%	.5%
TOTAL	778	100.0%	42.3%
	FREQ.	% OF TOTAL 26-35 YEARS	% OF TOTAL UNDER SUPERVISION
ADULT PROBATION	251	79.4%	13.6%
JUVENILE PAROLE	1	.3%	*
ADULT PAROLE	64	20.3%	3.5%
JUVENILE PROBATION	0	500 Med 100	
TOTAL	31.6	100.0%	17.1%

	FREQ	•	% OF TOTAL  236 YEARS		% OF TOTAL	J Zecenni
ADULT PROBATION	108		73.5%			12101
JUVENILE PAROLE	1				5.9%	
ADULT PAROLE			. 7%		* ,	
	38		25.9%		2.1%	
JUVENILE PROBATION	0					
TOTAL	147		100.0%			
			100.0%		8.0%	
		 3.0				
& OF TOTAL ADULT BRODE		18	19-25	26-35	36+	•
1 OT TOTAL MOOFT PROBY		9.8%	58.2%	22.4%	9.6%	
% OF TOTAL JUVENILE PA	ROLE	97.1%	1.9%			
% OF TOTAL ADULT PAROL	7			. 5%	. 5 ខ	
		5.3%	49.6%	28.3%	16.8%	
% OF TOTAL JUVENILE PRO	DBATION	96.9%	3.1%			

### EDUCATION

	0-11 YEARS		0-11 YEARS 12 YEARS		13 + YEARS	
	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.
ADULT						
PROBATION	492	47.6%	500	76.5%	130	83.9%
JUVENILE PAROLE .	183	17.7%	20	3.1%	5	3.2%
ADULT PAROLE	122	11.8%	88	13.5%	16	10.3%
JUVENILE PROBATION	236	22.8%	46	7.0%	4	2.6%
TOTAL	1033	100.0%	· 654	100.0%	155	100.0%

### PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF EDUCATION BY INDIVIDUAL CLASSIFICATIONS

	ADULT PROBATION	JUVENTLE PAROLE	ADULT PAROLE	JUVENILE PROBATION
0-11	43.9%	88.0%	54.0%	82.5%
12	44.6%	9.6%	38.9%	16.1%
13 +	11.6%	2.48	7.1%	1.48

### RACE

-	ADULT PROBATION		JUVENILE - PAROLE				AD PAR	OLE		NILE ATION
,	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.		
CAUCASIAN	1048	94.9%	. 192	92.3%	189	83.6%	279	97.68		
AFRO-AMERICAN	23	2.1%	1	.5%	9	4.0%	0			
AMERICAN INDIAN .	17	1.5%	5	2.4%	11	4.9%	2	. 7 %		
MEXICAN AMERICAN	26	2.48	10	4.8%	16	7.1%	5	1.7%		
OTHER	8	.7%	0		1	. 48	0			
TOTAL	1122	100.0%	208	100.0%	226	100.0%	286	100.00		

# PERCENT OVERALL RACE BREAKDOWN BY COMBINED CLASSIFICATIONS

	CAUCASIAN	AFRO- AMERICAN	AMERICAN INDIAN	MEXICAN AMERICAN	OTHER
CLASSIFICATIONS	1708	33	35	57	9
OF TOTAL	92.7%	1.8%	1.9%	3.1%	• 5%

### EMPLOYMENT

•				
	ADUL'T PROBATION	JUVENILE PAROLE	ADULT PAROLE	JUVENILE PROBATION
	FREQ.	FREQ.	FREQ.	FREQ.
UNKNOWN	18	7	1	2
% OF TOTAL	1.6%	3.4%	. 48	.7%
PROFESSIONAL	5	О	2	0
% OF TOTAL	.4%		.9%	
WHITE COLLAR	41	1.	2	1.
% OF TOTAL	3.7%	.3%	.98	.3%
BLUE COLLAR	167	3	41	7
% OF TOTAL	14.9%	1.4%	18.1%	2.4%
LABORER	713	39	149	106
% OF TOTAL	63.5%	18.8%	65.9%	37.1%
UNEMPLOYED	164	92	25	106
% OF TOTAL	14.6%	44.2%	11.1%	37.13
IN-SCHOOL TRNG.	13	63	2	53
% OF TOTAL	1.2%	30.3%	.9%	18.5%
IN-SCHOOL TRNG. W/PART TIME WORK	1	2		
% OF TOTAL	.18	3 1.4%	1.8%	11 3.8%
TOTAL	1122	208	226	286
% OF TOTAL	1.00.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
% OF GRAND TOTA (1842 cases)	AL 60.9%	11.3%	12.3%	15.5%

-15-

### MARITAL STATUS

	ADULT PROBATION -		ADULT PAROLE		
•	FREQ.	% OF TOTAL	FREQ.	8 OF TOTAL	
UNKNOWN	6	.5%	0		
NEVER MARRIED	555	49.5%	1.07	47.3%	
MARRIED	265	23.6%	31	13.7%	
DIVORCED	187	16.7%	66	29.2%	
WIDOWED	8	.78	0		
SEPERATED	45	4.0%	11	4.9%	
	37	3.3%	5	2.2%	
RE-MARRIED	19	1.7%	6	2.7%	
COMMON-LAW				100.0%	
TOTAL	1122	100.0%	226	100.0%	

. Adult Probationers "Never Married" category represents the largest percentage with 49.5%, followed closely by the same category under ... Adult Parolees with 47.3%.

	JUVENI	LE PROBATION	JUVENILE PAROLE			
	FREQ.	% OF TOTAL	FREQ.	% OF TOTAL		
UNKNOWN	3	1.0%	2	1.0%		
NEVER MARRIED	274	95.8%	202	97.1%		
MARRIED	7	2.4%	1	. 5%		
ALL OTHERS	2	.6%	3	1.5%		
TOTAL	286	100.0%	208	100.0%		

The largest percentage of Juvenile Probationers and Parolees both fall into the "Never Married" category with 95.8% and 97.1% respectively.

### DEPENDENTS

	NC	)	YES		
	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	
ADULT PROBATION	686	52.2%	436	82.6%	
JUVENILE PAROLE	205	15.6%	3	.68	
ADULT PAROLE	143	10.9%	83	15.7%	
JUVENILE PROBATION	280	21.3%	6	1.4%	
TOTAL	1314	100.0%	528	100.0%	
101112					

DEAKDOWN OF	CLASSIFICATIONS BY	PERCENT YES/NO
BKEWADOMM OT	YES	NO
ADULT PROBATION	38.9%	61.1%
JUVENILE PAROLE	1.4%	98.6%
ADULT PAROLE	36.7%	63.38
JUVENILE PROBATION	2.1%	97.9%

# PAYMENT OF RESTITUTION, FINES, COSTS, AND CHILD SUPPORT

A total of 29 (1.6%) of all our legal classifications have some kind of payment support, with 1813 (98.4%) having no payments or obligations.

### NATIVITY

	WYOMING	ROCKY MOUNTAINS	OTHER	
ADULT PROBATION FREQUENCY FREQUENCY	278 54.9%	275 62.6%	569 63.4%	
JUVENILE PAROLE FREQUENCY % OF FREQUENCY	88	33 7.5%	87 9.7%	
ADULT PAROLE FREQUENCY % OF FREQUENCY	38 7.5%	41 9.3%	147	
JUVENILE PROBATION FREQUENCY	102 20.2%	90 20.5%	94	
TOTAL % OF TOTAL	506 100.0%	439 100.0%	897	

### OVERALL BREAKDOWN BY NATIVITY

	WYOMING	ROCKY MOUNTAINS	OTHER
ALL CLASSIFICATIONS (1492)	 27.5%	23.8%	48.7%

### MILITARY SERVICE

## CLASSIFICATION BREAKDOWN BY PERCENT YES/NO

	-	YES	NO	<u>)</u>
	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.
ADULT PROBATION	335	77.2%	787	55.9%
JUVENILE PAROLE	0		208	14.88
ADULT PAROLE	94	21.7%	132	9.4%
JUVENILE PROBATION	5	1.28	281	20.0%
TOTAL	434	100.0%	1408	100.0%

Adult Probationers and parolees have the largest category of having served some kind of military service, 98.9%.

Overall breakdown is as follows: 23.6% of all the classifications ... did at one time serve some type of military service.

### MILITARY DISCHARGE

•	NONE HON	ORABLE ADM	1INISTRATIVE	BAD CONDUCT	DISHONORAB
ADULT PROBATIONERS	806	264	27	7	18
% OF TOTAL	85.9%	80.2%	69.2%	53.8%	62.1%
ADULT PAROLEES	132	65	12	6	11
% OF TOTAL	14.1%	19.88	30.8%	46.2%	37.9%
TOTAL	938	329	39	1.3	29
% OF TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Eliminating the "None" category, and combining "Honorable" and "Administrative" together, then combining the last two categories together "Bad Conduct" and "Dishonorable" we have the following chart:

www.e. =	HONORABLE/ADMINISTRATIVE		BAD CONDUCT/	DISHONORABLE
	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.
ADULT PROBATION	291	79.1%	25	59.5%
ADULT PAROLE	_77	20.9%	17	40.5%
TOTAL	368	100.0%	4 2	100.0%

Of all the Adult Probationers that served some type of military service 92.1% had a favorable dishcharge.

The Adult Parolees have over a ten percent drop in their type discharges compared to Adult Probationers, 81.9% had a favorable discharge.

\* Juvenile Parolees and Probationers were left out of all the above charts, as they only represented 0.1% of the overall discharges.

#### COUNTY

•	Adult Prob.	Adult Parole	Total	% Of Total		Juv. Prob.	Juv. Parole	Total	% Of Total
County					T	4	33	37	8.1.
NATRONA	149	27	176	18.7%			20	62	13.67
LARAMIE	122 ·	23	145	15.4%		42			4.6"
SHERIDAN	39	8	47	5.0%		6	1.5	21	
SWEETWATER	59	8	67	7.1%		41	33	74	16.23
ALBANY	36	7	43	4.68		4	12	16	3.50
CARBON	42	4	46	4.9%		6	. 4	1, 0	2.20
GOSHEN	25	2	27	2.9%		28	4	32	7.0%
PLATTE	21	4	25	2.7%		3	2	5	1.1%
BIG HORN	1	1	2	.2%		1	4	5	1.17
FREMONT	55	19	74	7.8%		9	25	34	7.5%
PARK	18	5	23	2.4%		1	3	4	·. 9 %
LINCOLN	24	4	28	3.0%		28	4	32	7.0%
CONVERSE	37	2	. 39	4.1%		24	12	36	7.9%
NIOBRARA	0	1	1	*		1	0	1	. 20
HOT SPRINGS	22	2	24	2.5%		2	2	4	.9%
JOHNSON	9	3	12	1.3%		0	0	0	
CAMPBELL	50	8	58	6.2%		10	8	18	3.91
CROOK	7	0	7	.7%		3	2	5	1.1%
UINTA	29	2	31	3.3%		13	4	17	3.78
WASHAKIE	16	2	1.8	1.9%		11	3	14	3.19
· WESTON	6	3	9	1.0%		4	1	5	1.17
TETON	30	4	34	3.6%		8	. 3	11	2.47
SUBLETTE	7	0	7	.7%		11	2	13	2.9%
TOTAL	804	139	943	100.0%	1	260	196	456	100.00

<sup>\*</sup> Less than .1%.

Percentages may be off half a percentage due to the rounding of figures.

• State population - 1399 Out of State - 443 Total Cases 1842

# PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL CLASSIFICATION BROKEN DOWN BY TYPE OFFENSE

N P P O U P	% OF TOTAL
OFFENSE FREQUE	NCY FREQUENCY
Murder (1st Degree) 4	. 28
Murder (2nd Degree)	. 4 %
Manglaughter	1.1%
Kidnapping/Child Stealing	.1%
Pano (let and 2nd Dourse)	0
Pana (2rd Dograp)	.3%
Robbery (General)	2.03
Pohhory ( $\Lambda$ rmod),	1.0%
Arcon (All Dograce)	.5% 1.4%
Breaking and Entering	
Burglary	15.1%
Theft (Targeny) $/8$	4 + 4 0
Aggault and Battery 103	3.08
Car Theft	"I + 1L O
Grand Larceny	7.3%
Larceny by Bailce	. 2 6
Emberglement	J. • 4 6
Disposing Mortaged 2	. 1 %
Obtaining Merchandise/Property by Fraud 15	.83
Misappropriating Public Funds 0	
Checks (No-Account)	1./5
Checks (Insufficient Funds) 28	1.5%
Forgery	
Accessory (Before/After Fact) 15	.8%
Receiving Stolen Goods 23	
Criminal Conspiracy	<del></del>
Telephone Calls (Illegal)	
Gambling Laws	*
Prostitution/Adultery	*
Abortion (Criminal)	
Obscenity-Pornography	)
Sex Crimes (Except Rape)	
Sex Crimes (VS. Children)	1.0%
Drugs/Narcotics Laws 24	7.3.40
Alcohol Laws 16	) · · · ·
Motor Vehicle Laws	1.3%
Weapon Laws	.2%
Rustling (Cattle, Horses, Sheep)	.1%
Offenses (Juvenile vs Family)	7 1.5%
Offenses (Juvenile vs Property)	g 4.2t
Incorrigible (Juvenile) 10	1
Runaway (Juvenile)	1 .00
Juvenile (Other Violations) 6	9 3.78
Escape (Prison/Jail)	3 .2%
Riots (Inciting, Etc.)	0
Other	8 10.7%
184	2 100.0%

<sup>\* -</sup> less than .1%

### ALCOHOL USE

	YES		NO	
ADULT PROBATION				
FREQUENCY % OF FREQUENCY	571.	50.9%	551	49.18
JUVENILE PAROLE				
FREQUENCY % OF FREQUENCY	80	38.5%	128	61.5%
ADULT PAROLE				
FREQUENCY % OF FREQUENCY	147	65.0%	79	35.0%
JUVENILE PROBATION				
FREQUENCY % OF FREQUENCY	96	33.6%	190	66.4%
TOTAL	894		948	
% OF TOTAL		48.5%		51.5%

Representing the largest percent category was Adult Parolees with 65.0% experimentation and/or regular use of alcohol, followed by just at less that 15%, Adult Probationers with 50.9%.

Juveniles are about 20% below the adult categories, perhaps suggesting that if juveniles go ahead and become adult offenders that their alcohol usage will increase.

### PRIOR ADULT OFFENSES

		YES			NO		
•	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	% OF YES/NO	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	% OF YES/NO	
ADULT PROBATION	480	77.7%	42.8%	642	52.5%	57.2%	
JUVENILE PAROLE	1	. 2%	. 5%	207	16.9%	99.5%	
ADULT PAROLE	133	21.5%	58.8%	93	7.6%	41.2%	
JUVENILE PROBATION	4	.6%	1.4%	282	23.0%	98.6%	
TOTAL	618	100.0%	The second secon	1224	100.0%		

.. Interestingly, a large proportion of adult probationers registered the existence of prior offenses - what is unknown is whether they received probation or prison sentences for the past offenses (if they were convicted, of course).

### PRIOR JUVENILE OFFENSES

•	YES			NO		
	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	% OF YES/NO	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	% OF YES/NO
ADULT PROBATION	296	55.0%	26.4%	826	63.3%	73.6%
JUVENILE PAROLE	69	12.8%	33.2%	139	10.7%	66.8%
ADULT PAROLE	72	13.4%	31.9%	154	11.8%	68.18
JUVENILE PROBATION	101	18.8%	35.3%	185	14.2%	64.7%
TOTAL	538	100.0%		1304	100.0%	

Prior Juvenile Offenses are most frequently represented in Juvenile Probationers (35.3%) followed by Juvenile Parolees (33.2%) and Adult Parolees (31.9%) - for Juveniles this may be a function of recency: In other words, because memories are clearer, they tend to remember past offenses. Moreover, their records may be more accurate because the passing of time has not caused the loss of such information. Because of sheer numbers, within the category of "yes", Adult Probationers garner 55.0% of that total.

### PRIOR JUVENILE INSTITUTIONALIZATION

•		YES			<u>NO</u>		
	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	%OF YES/NO	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	% OF YES/NO	
ADULT PROBATION	58	33.3%	5.2%	1064	63.8%	94.8%	
JUVENILE PAROLE	61	35.1%	29.3%	147	8.8%	70.78	
ADULT PAROLE	36	20.7%	15.9%	1.90	11.43	84.10	
JUVENILE PROBATION	19	10.9%	6.6%	267	16.0%	93.4%	
TOTAL	174	100.0%		1668	100.0%		

On the question of past Juvenile Incarcerations, some juvenile parolees could respond with at least one time (the most current); 3 out of every 10 have been institutionalized in the past. Adult Parolees indicate 15.9% have had a similar experience - suggesting perhaps that some of the juveniles are going to follow an identical path.

### PRIOR PENAL COMMITMENT

(Adult)

	YES			NO		
	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	% OF YES/NO	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	% OF YES/NO
ADULT PROBATION	58	41.1%	5.2%	1064	62.6%	94.83
JUVENILE PAROLE	3	2.1%	1.4%	205	12.1%	98.6%
ADULT PAROLE	79	56.0%	35.0%	1.47	8.68	65.0%
JUVENILE PROBATION	<u> </u>	.7%	.3%	285	16.8%	99.7%
TOTAL	141	100.08		1701	100.0%	

The table above quite clearly shows where prior prison incarceration lies: Adult Parolees (35.0%) have the largest category. This lends a certain amount of credibility to the notion that many people in prison have been there before - repeat offenders. Due to an age restriction, most juvenile offenders could not really answer this question, therefore, almost all indicate no.

### PRIOR MENTAL HOSPITAL COMMITMENT

·	YES			NO		
	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	% OF YES/NO	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	% OF YES/NO
ADULT PROBATION	57	60.0%	5.1%	1.065	61.0%	94.9%
JUVENILE PAROLE	13	13.7%	6.2%	195	11.2%	93.8%
ADULT PAROLE	19	20.0%	8.4%	207	11.8%	91.63
JUVENILE PROBATION	6	6.3%	2.1%	280	16.0%	97.98
TOTAL	95	100.0%		1747	100.0%	

The Prior Mental Commitment is a relatively rare occurrence - the highest incidence being in Adult Probationers (57) followed by Adult Parolees (19). The overall proportion of subjects falling into this category is a mere 5.2%.

### FAMILY STATUS

	BROKEN		INTACT			
•	FREQ.	% OF TOTAL	% OF YES/NO	FREQ.	% OF TOTAL	% OF YES/NO
ADULT PROBATION	623	61.9%	55.5%	499	59.7%	44.5%
JUVENILE PAROLE	118	11.7%	56.78	90	1.0.8%	43.3%
ADULT PAROLE	156	15.5%	69.0%	70	8.4%	31.0%
JUVENILE PROBATION	109	10.8%	38.1%	177	21.2%	61.9%
TOTAL	1006	100.0%		836	100.0%	

# OVERALL BREAKDOWN OF CLASSIFICATIONS BY PERCENTAGES

	BROKEN	INTACT
ADULT PROBATION	33.8%	27.1%
JUVENILE PAROLE	6.4%	4.9%
ADULT PAROLE	8.5%	3.8%
JUVENILE PROBATION	5.9%	9.6%
TOTAL	54.68	45.4%

Of the total classifications 54.6% did evidence a broken home life with 45.4% evidencing an intact family status.

### RISK FACTOR

	GOO	D	AVER	AGE	POO	R	NONE/UN	KNOWN
<b>.</b>	FREQ.	- % OF FREΩ.	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.	FREQ.	% OF FREQ.
ADULT PROBATION	186	63.1%	821.	61.6%	31	58.5%	84	51.9%
JUVENILE PAROLE	27	9.2%	158	11.9%	б	11.3%	1.7	10.50
ADULT PAROLE	13	4.43	1.85	13.9%	1.2	22.6%	16	9.9%
JUVENILE PROBATION	69	23.4%	168	12.6%	1	7.5%	45	27.8%
TOTAL	295	100.0%	1332	100.0%	53	100.0%	162	100.03

# OVERALL BREAKDOWN OF CLASSIFICATIONS BY PERCENTAGES

	GOOD	AVERAGE	POOR NON	E/UNKNOWN
ADULT PROBATION	10.1%	44.68	1.7%	4.68
JUVENILE PAROLE	1.5%	8.6%	.3%	.9%
ADULT PAROLE	.7%	10.0%	.7%	.98
JUVENILE PROBATION	3.7%	9.18	. 28	2.48
OOVENEDE INCOME	16.0%	72.3%	2.9%	8.8%

### BACKGROUND INFORMATION

·	FREQ.	% OF TOTAL
ADULT PROBATION	1014	55.0%
JUVENILE PAROLE	1.73	9.4%
ADULT PAROLE	200	10.9%
JUVENILE PROBATION	246	13.4%
TOTAL	1633	88.7%

Out of 1842 Adult/Juvenile Probationers and Parolees, 88.7% did receive a pre-sentence investigation, and/or a pre-release investigation, and/or a social summary.

### PERFORMANCE

<del>k</del>				
,	ADULT PROBATION	JUVENILE PAROLE -	ADULT PAROLE	JUVENILE PROBATION
CASES				
*STILL OPEN	173	26	38	46
DISCHARGED	425	67	72	112
% OF TOTAL	74.03	56.3%	61.0%	73.79
REVOKED .	31	35	11 .	22
% OF TOTAL	5.4%	29.4%	9.3%	14.5%
BENCII WARRANT ISSUED	11	0	0	0
% OF TOTAL	1.9%	<del>-</del>		
TRANSFER, RETURN & OTHER	107	17	35	17
% OF TOTAL	18.6%	14.3%	29.7%	11.2%
TOTAL % OF TOTAL	574 100.0%	119	118	152
% OF GRAND TOTAL (963).	59.6%	12.4%	12.3%	15.8%

Used only the first 1246 cases as the rest of the cases, (596) are still active cases, and cannot be computed because they are still under supervision.

77

<sup>\*</sup> Cases still open are not computed into the percentages. (283).

### PERFORMANCE

	SUCCESSFUL & TRANSFERRED	NON- SUCCESSFUL
ADULT PROBATION		
FREQUENCY % OF FREQUENCY	492 85.7%	82
JUVENILE PAROLE		
FREQUENCY % OF FREQUENCY	68 57.].%	51 42.9%
ADULT PAROLE		
FREQUENCY % OF FREQUENCY	101 85.6%	17
JUVENILE PROBATION		
FREQUENCY % OF FREQUENCY	113 74.3%	39 25.7%
TOTAL	774	189
% OF TOTAL	80.4%	19.6%

<sup>+</sup> Used only the first 1246 cases, as the rest of the cases, 596, are still active cases, and cannot be computed because they are still under supervision.

Cases still open (they fall in a 0 month category), 283, are not computed in the percentages.

### MONTHS UNDER SUPERVISION

PERCENT OF GVEPALL MONTHS UNDER SUPERVISION BROKEN DOWN BY CLASSIFICATIONS.

	1-6	7-12	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49÷
ADULT PROBATION FREQUENCY % OF FREQ.	126 13.1%	184	126	74 7.7%	45 4.7%	10	7
JUVENILE PAROLE FREQUENCY % OF FREQ.	82 8.5%	29 3.0%	7	0	0	l .1%	0
ADULT PAROLE FREQUENCY % OF FREQ.	49 5.1%	25 2.6%	19 2.0%	15 1.6%	6 .6%	2.2%	2 . 2 %
JUVENILE PROBATION FREQUENCY % OF FREQ.	56 5.8%	58 6.0%	22 2.3%	11	3	1 :	1 *
TOTAL % OF TOTAL	313 32.5%	296 30.79	174 18.18	100 10.4%	54 5.6%	14	10. 1.0%

These data present a breakdown of categories of time served under supervision for each type of supervision. In the 1-6 month category, Adult Probationers are followed by Juvenile Parolees in making up that category. All types of supervision tend to decline with each category of increased time under supervision.

<sup>\*</sup> Less than .1%.

<sup>+</sup> Used only the first 1246 cases, as the rest of the cases, 596, are still active cases, and cannot be computed because they are still under supervision.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Cases still open (they fall in a 0 month category), 285, are not computed in the percentages.

### MONTHS UNDER SUPERVISION

### ADULT PROBATION

	FREQUENCY	% OF TOTAL MONTHS	% OF TOTAL CLASSIFICATIONS
1-6	. 1.26	22.0%	40.3%
7-12	184	32.2%	62.2%
13-18	126	22.0%	72.4%
19-24	74	1.2.9%	74.0%
25-36	45	7.9%	83.3%
37-48	1.0	1.7%	71.4%
49 + .	7	1.2%	70.0%
TOTAL	572	100.0%	

### JUVENILE PAROLE

	FREQUENCY	% OF TOTAL MONTIIS	% OF TOTAL CLASSIFICATIONS
1-6	82	68.9%	26.2%
7-12	29	24.4%	9.8%
13-18	. 7	5.9%	4.0%
19-24	0		•• = ····
25-36	0		<del></del> -
37-48	1	.8%	7.1%
49 +	0		
TOTAL	1.1.9	100.0%	

### ADULT PAROLE

	FREQUENCY	% OF TOTAL MONTHS	% OF TOTAL CLASSIFICATIONS
1-6	49	41.5%	15.7%
7-12	25	21.9%	8.4%
13-18	19	16.1%	10.9%
19-24	1.5	1.2.7%	15.0%
25-36	6	5.18	11.1%
37-48	2	1.7%	14.3%
49 +	2	1.7%	20.0%
TOTAL	118	100.0%	

### JUVENILE PROBATION

	FREQUENCY	% OF TOTAL MONTHS	% OF TOTAL CLASSIFICATIONS
1-6	56	36.8%	17.9%
7-12	58	38.2%	1.9.6%
13-18	22	14.5%	1.2.6%
19-24	1.1.	7.2%	11.0%
25-36	3	2.0%	5.6%
37-48	.1.	. 7%	7.1%
49 +	].	.72	1.0.0%
TOTAL	152	100.0%	

#