


National Criminal Justice Reference Service



This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20531

2/10/84

91582

U.S. Department of Justice
National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by
NC Dept. of Human Resources
Division of Youth Services
to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

91582

WHAT THEY NEED IS LOVE

Annual Report
on
Community-Based Alternatives
in
North Carolina

JANUARY 1983

NCJRS
OCT 19 1983
ACQUISITIONS

Prepared by
The Community-Based Alternatives Staff
of
The Division of Youth Services
Department of Human Resources
James B. Hunt, Governor





STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES

DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES

JAMES B. HUNT, JR.
GOVERNOR

SARAH T. MORROW, MD MPH
SECRETARY

705 Palmer Drive
TELEPHONE

RALEIGH
919-733-3011

27603

WILLIAM R. WINDLEY
DIRECTOR

Sarah T. Morrow, M.D., M.P.H.
N. C. Department of Human Resources
325 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, NC - 27604

Dear Dr. Morrow:

I take great pleasure in presenting to you the fifth annual report on the status of Community-Based Alternatives in North Carolina. Some of the major accomplishments of this program are listed in the following historical summary.

CBA HISTORICAL SUMMARY

	1977-78	1978-79	1979-80	1980-81	1981-82
Participating Counties	96	97	99	99	100
Programs Funded	152	202	233	278	302
CBA Funds	940,860	1,805,733	3,173,782	4,140,265	4,492,956
Total Budgets	3,417,204	5,078,787	6,903,163	8,631,009	9,661,481
Youth Served	5,891	17,922	25,717	32,389	43,373
Training School Commitment	1,330	968	876	838	827

In addition to the detailed analysis of program activities for FY 81-82, we are proud to include in this year's report a brief summary of the Governor's One-On-One Program which was initiated last year through the CBA Section. Also included is an update on CBA's involvement in the Positive Youth Development initiative and a report on Youthfest.

Again all of us who are involved in the CBA effort want to thank you for the continuing strong support you have given us throughout the five year history of this program.

Respectfully submitted,

William R. Windley
William R. Windley

WRW:bwr
Enclosure

DEDICATION

To all CBA Programs

Much has happened in the juvenile justice system since 1977. Nothing, however, has been more important than the establishment of local interagency CBA Task Forces. In communities all over the State, local professionals are working together to meet the needs of their troubled youth. To all persons who care enough to put forth the extra effort required to participate in the CBA program, we dedicate this fifth Annual Report.

INTRODUCTION

North Carolina's Community-Based Alternatives (CBA) program, an alternative to training school for young people, was mandated by legislation (HB 456) which was enacted in 1975. A significant part of that enabling legislation was devoted to the establishment of evaluation standards and reporting requirements designed to ensure program accountability. This report fulfills the reporting requirement of that law.

This report is presented in three sections. The first deals with the overall state of the juvenile justice system in North Carolina. The second offers a statistical analysis of the local programs funded through CBA. The third section summarizes several other important activities the CBA program was involved in during the past fiscal year.

CONTENTS

I.	Juvenile Justice Indicators	1 - 5
II.	Community-Based Alternatives Aid To Counties	6
	General Information	7 - 10
	School Related Programs	11 - 18
	Other CBA Program Types	19 - 24
	Five-year Summary	25 - 26
III.	Other CBA Activities	27 - 33
APPENDIX A	Index of Juvenile Justice Indicators By County	
APPENDIX B	Governor's Volunteer Program Planning Committee	
APPENDIX C	Agencies and Organizations Represented on PYD Committee	

LIST OF TABLES

I. Index of Juvenile Justice Indicators	3
II. Ten-year Trends In Selected Juvenile Justice Indicators	4
III. Youth Served By Program Type 1981-82	8
IV. Program Types by Funding Source 1981-82	9
V. Annual Percent Of Local Match	10
VI. CBA Funded School Programs	12
VII. Performance Indicators By County	13 - 17
VIII. New Admissions By Referral Reason and Source of Referral	23
IX. Termination Reason and School Status	23
X. Increase/Decrease In Key Performance Indicators	24
XI. Progress With The Court, School, and Home	24
XII. Five-year Summary	26
XIII. PYD Conference Attendance	32

SECTION I

JUVENILE JUSTICE INDICATORS

SECTION I

The Index of Juvenile Justice Indicators

In the fall of 1980, 99 county task forces began the planning process which culminated in CBA funding for 302 programs. These programs served 43,373 young people in North Carolina during the 12-month period ending June 30, 1982. The first step of the CBA planning process requires the assimilation of certain statistical data from various agencies in each county serving troubled youth. The data is compiled into an annual Index of Juvenile Justice Indicators consisting of key variables believed to be important in assessing the overall status of the juvenile delinquency problem in any community.

The index can be used as a general indication of trends and can provide comparative data with other counties. CBA Task Forces are asked to gather the data each fall as they begin planning for the next CBA funding cycle. The index presents an annual picture of the state's youth who, despite our best efforts, experienced some fairly serious problems during the preceeding year.

In analyzing the information from the county index, the CBA field consultants convert the raw frequencies into rates per 1,000 youth for each of their counties. The field consultants compile all of the data for their region and compute regional average rates. This information is forwarded to Raleigh and statewide rates are computed on each indicator by January of each year. Each task force receives the completed Juvenile Justice Index which includes their individual county rate, the regional rate and the statewide rate.

The statewide rates for the three-year period 1978-81 are included in TABLE I. A county by county summary of five key indicators is included in Appendix A of this report.

The data in TABLE I indicate a downward trend in most of the fourteen (14) indicators. Further research was conducted on those indicators for which uniform data could be located. Those findings are summarized in TABLE II.

TABLE II

Ten-Year Trends in Selected Juvenile Justice Indicators									
	1971-72	72-73	73-74	74-75	75-76	76-77	77-78	78-79	79-80
School Dropouts ¹			43.51	42.32	33.62*	35.32	36.35	35.77	34.39
Training School Commitments ²	2.22	2.22	2.21	2.09	1.91	1.86	1.77	1.20	1.07
Juvenile Court Petitions ³	20.04	23.49	25.34	28.63	26.16	21.13	21.49	19.72	17.86
Youth Committed to Adult Prisons ⁴	.70**	.85	.90	.99	.99	.96	.89	.92	.88

Note: All figures expressed as rate per 1,000 youth between 10-17.

* Major change in DPI's method of estimating school dropouts.

** Department of Correction's figures represent calendar years 1972-1980.

1. Taken from Department of Public Instructions' Statistical Abstract first published in 1975 covering 1973-74 school year.
2. Taken from Division of Youth Services Annual Population Report.
3. Taken from the Administrative Office of the Courts Annual Report. Includes petitions only and covers both delinquency and status offenses.
4. Taken from the Department of Corrections Statistical Abstract. Includes all youth 17 and under who were committed to adult corrections.

The information presented here in TABLES I and II would seem to indicate that—contrary to popular perceptions of an ever increasing youth problem—progress is being made in dealing with the troubled youth of this state. Just as no single factor can explain the problem behaviors young people experience, there is no single explanation for the encouraging trends we are now beginning to identify.

For more than a decade now, attention has been focused on the Juvenile Justice System. Beginning at the federal level in the late 1960's with the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA) and continuing with the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (JJDP) program in 1974, priorities have been established, problem areas identified and significant new funding has been available for state and local initiatives aimed at testing new ideas and challenging old beliefs.

At the state level, we have seen the development of a statewide juvenile court counseling system within the Administrative Office of Courts, the transformation of the state's training school program (from 8 campuses segregated by race and sex to five (5) racially mixed, co-educational, treatment centers), implementation of a statewide screening and intake system within the juvenile court, increasing specialization of district court judges, the transfer of the Division of Youth Services from the Department of Corrections to the Department of Human Resources, the development of the CBA Section of the Division of Youth Services, and the complete revision of the state's juvenile code.

The balance of this report focuses only upon programs funded through the Division of Youth Services' CBA Section and the impact of those programs upon the youth served by them. There is no statistically sound justification for crediting any single factor within the juvenile justice system for the apparent progress in these important indicators. Certainly, the infusion of state funding through CBA and the proliferation and variety of new services these funds have made available, constitutes an important new element in the juvenile justice service delivery system.

SECTION II

COMMUNITY-BASED ALTERNATIVES AID TO COUNTIES

CBA AID TO COUNTIES

The program development philosophy of the CBA Section is to maintain a clear distinction between the role of state government and that of county government in the administration of the CBA Aid-to-Counties Fund. County government is responsible for conducting and updating county assessments of youth need, reviewing program strategies, and determining how best to spend the available CBA funds; providing local match funds and establishing clear guidelines for fiscal accountability between county government and the local programs receiving the funds.

To operationalize an effective program development strategy that is faithful to that philosophy, local interagency task forces have been established in each of North Carolina's 100 counties. The task forces are appointed by the Board of County Commissioners representing the major child serving agencies in each county. The role of the task force is to improve coordination between the schools, juvenile court, DSS, Mental Health and others; and to promote inter-agency planning in the development of new programs. Each spring the task forces complete a three phase planning process by making recommendations to the Board of County Commissioners detailing how the county should allocate its CBA, JJDP and local resources for the coming year.

To provide staff support and technical assistance to these locally appointed inter-agency task forces, the CBA Section of the Division of Youth Services maintains a staff of eight consultants operating out of six regional offices. Each field consultant is assigned to a specific geographic region that includes from twelve to eighteen counties. In addition to working with the county task forces, they are responsible for annual on-site visits to each CBA funded program. They monitor and collect evaluation data, promote extensive information exchange between local programs and ensure the integrity of the task force planning process.

TABLE III details the scope and variety of services and clearly shows that many youth throughout the state are being reached through the CBA network of juvenile justice programs.

Beginning with a \$1 million dollar appropriation in 1977, the CBA Aid-to-Counties Fund has grown to \$4.5 million. The state's willingness to support this effort has encouraged a similar expansion in local support for these programs. With the recent decline in federal support for juvenile justice programs, this core of state and local funding enabled North Carolina to maintain an effective network of services. TABLE IV contains a breakdown by program type of the funding support for CBA programs in FY 1981-82.

TABLE III

Youth Served By Program Type 1981-82

Programs	Admissions	Race			Sex		Beginning Balance	Total Served	
		White	Black	Other	Male	Female			
Group Home	30	261	179	78	4	146	115	109	370
Specialized Foster Care	28	110	67	42	1	51	59	38	148
Emergency Shelter	21	986	699	249	38	477	509	50	1,036
Total Residential	79	1,357	945	369	43	674	683	197	1,554
Counseling	37	1,561	920	579	62	982	579	474	2,035
Volunteer	27	317	174	140	3	223	94	206	523
Recreation & Camping	35	3,171	844	2,315	12	1,973	1,198	144	3,315
Testing & Evaluation	12	280	157	73	50	172	108	2	282
Combination	5	135	110	22	3	50	85	150	285
Total Non-Residential	116	5,464	2,205	3,129	130	3,400	2,064	976	6,440
In-School Suspension	77	16,543	7,798	8,554	191	11,551	4,992	0	16,543
Other School Related	21	3,049	2,312	735	2	1,609	1,440	49	3,098
Total School	98	19,592	10,110	9,289	193	13,160	6,432	49	19,641
OVERALL TOTAL	293	26,413	13,260	12,787	366	17,234	9,179	1,222	27,635

In addition to the direct services listed above, CBA funds are used by several programs that provide informational services to large groups of young people. We classify those as "primary prevention" programs and annually request an estimate on the number of youth who were exposed to their services. The totals for FY 81-82 were:

Primary Prevention	9	15,638	12,361	2,984	573	6,605	9,033	0	15,638
--------------------	---	--------	--------	-------	-----	-------	-------	---	--------

TABLE IV

Program Types by Funding Source 1981-82

	<u>Total Budget</u>	<u>CBA Funds</u>	<u>Local Funds</u>	<u>Federal & Other</u>
Residential	\$ 5,174,186	\$ 1,968,242 (38%)	\$ 1,400,561 (27.1%)	\$ 1,805,383 (34.9%)
Group Homes	2,684,716	966,322	672,154	1,046,240
Specialized Foster Care	772,205	293,997	151,913	326,295
Temporary Shelter	1,717,265	707,923	576,494	432,848
<u>Non-Residential</u>	2,193,398	1,268,157 (57.8%)	533,675 (24.3%)	391,566 (17.9%)
Counseling	840,498	421,416	254,640	164,442
Adult Volunteer	633,204	423,640	118,152	91,412
Psych. Testing	133,969	94,805	35,484	3,680
Rec./Camp/NYPUM	404,134	230,927	99,483	73,724
Combination	147,099	73,515	18,317	55,267
Primary Prevention	34,494	23,854	7,599	3,041
<u>School Related</u>	2,293,897	1,256,557 (54.8%)	577,762 (25.2%)	459,578 (20%)
Other School Alternatives	534,502	306,617	104,568	123,317
In-School Suspension	1,759,395	949,940	473,194	336,261
TOTAL	\$ 9,661,481	\$ 4,492,956 (46.5%)	\$ 2,511,998 (26%)	\$ 2,656,527 (27.5%)

Allocation of Resources

	<u>Total</u>	<u>CBA</u>	<u>Local</u>	<u>Fed. & Other</u>
Residential	53.6%	43.8%	55.8%	68%
Non-Residential	22.7%	28.2%	21.2%	14.7%
School Programs	23.7%	28%	23%	17.3%

The CBA fiscal policies require county governments to provide a minimum match that averages 20% of every CBA dollar received. Since the inception of this program local support as measured by matching funds has far exceeded the minimum state requirement.

TABLE V

Annual Percent of Local Match					
	1977-78	1978-79	1979-80	1980-81	1981-82
CBA	\$ 930,085	\$ 1,805,733	\$ 3,173,782	\$ 4,140,265	\$ 4,492,956
Local	707,028	1,190,479	1,899,684	2,341,528	2,511,998
Percent of local match	76.1%	65.9%	59.8%	56.5%	56.1%

The CBA fiscal policies require county governments to provide a minimum match that averages 20% of every CBA dollar received. Since the inception of this program local support as measured by matching funds has far exceeded the minimum state requirement.

TABLE V

Annual Percent of Local Match					
	1977-78	1978-79	1979-80	1980-81	1981-82
CBA	\$ 930,085	\$ 1,805,733	\$ 3,173,782	\$ 4,140,265	\$ 4,492,956
Local	707,028	1,190,479	1,899,684	2,341,528	2,511,998
Percent of local match	76.1%	65.9%	59.8%	56.5%	56.1%

SCHOOL RELATED PROGRAMS

From its inception, the CBA Section has been heavily involved in the development of school related programs for status offenders, delinquents, and at-risk youth. That involvement is based on the belief that young people who are experiencing success in the public school system are less likely to come to the attention of the juvenile authorities.

Task forces and school systems are allowed a great deal of flexibility to develop programs they believe will meet their local needs. Regardless of the type of program developed, if it is school related, there are four basic evaluation criteria that programs are required to address: expulsions, suspensions, dropouts, and school related court referrals. TABLEs VI and VII which makeup the bulk of this section of the report present statewide as well as individual program results on these four performance indicators.

Program Definitions

In-School Suspension Programs

This program attempts to reduce disruptive classroom behavior by students that normally result in their suspension or expulsion from school. Generally, students are assigned to this program by the principal or his/her designee and remain for a period of three (3) to ten (10) days.

During their stay students are provided with classroom instruction as well as counseling. These services are administered by specially trained teachers and/or counselors.

Other School Related Programs

A wide variety of activities make up this broad category of programs. They include extended day programs, special tutoring programs, summer school programs, home school coordinators and special counseling programs aimed at improving student conduct and achievement.

Generally, these programs involved students in special activities or classes that are longer in duration than the In-School Suspension programs. Assignment to these programs requires the development of an Individual Education Plan (IEP) aimed at returning the student to the regular school program and improving the likelihood of eventual graduation.

IMPACT MEASURES OF CBA SCHOOL RELATED PROGRAMS

To measure the impact of CBA funded school related programs each school is required to provide certain baseline data taken from the school records for the year immediately prior to the year in which the CBA funded program was established. At the end of each subsequent year, that year's data is forwarded to the CBA central office. The data reported in TABLE VI reflects the results from that data collection for the past three (3) years (1979-80, 80-81, and 81-82).

TABLE VI

CBA Funded School Programs FY 79-80 (62 programs)

<u>Indicator</u>	<u>Prior Year</u>	<u>FY 79-80</u>	<u>Increase/Decrease</u>	<u>% In./Dec.</u>
Expulsions	157	107	- 50	- 31.2%
Suspensions	6,871	2,653	- 4,218	- 61.3%
Dropouts	1,918	1,175	- 743	- 38.7%
Court Referrals	369*	146*	- 223	- 60.4%

FY 80-81 (63 programs)

Expulsions	286	107	- 79	- 62.5%
Suspension	6,412	3,307	- 3,105	- 48.4%
Dropouts	2,445	1,875	- 570	- 23.3%
Court Referrals	511	216*	- 295	- 57.7%

FY 81-82 (72 programs)

Expulsions	502	180	- 322	- 64.1%
Suspensions	13,098	4,978	- 8,120	- 61.9%
Dropouts	3,306	2,504	- 802	- 24.2%
Court Referrals	449	221	- 228	- 50.7%

TABLE VII that follows shows the youth served and the performance indicators for each of the seventy-two (72) school related programs that provided data to the Division of Youth Services for the 1981-82 school year.

TABLE VII
Performance Indicators by County
For School Related Programs (72 programs)

Program	Youth Served	Expulsions		Suspensions		Dropouts		Court Referrals	
		Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82
1. Alamance Alt. to Suspension	25	0	3	127	349	5	77	95	20
2. Alexander ISS	148	2	0	44	32	11	6	4	4
3. Ashe ISS	131	0	0	70	11	16	12	0	0
4. Beaufort ISS Aurora	135	0	0	125	12	12	8	5	0
5. Beaufort ISS Chocowinity	283	2	1	100	54	15	14	5	5
6. Beaufort ISS Jones	260	0	0	45	64	3	3	7	46
7. Beaufort ISS Pantego	172	0	0	35	8	3	1	1	8
8. Bertie Alt. Adjustment	206	5	5	83	67	70	36	12	1
9. Bladen Bladenboro	87	0	0	150	46	31	22	4	2
10. Bladen B. T. Washington	23	0	0	40	12	2	1	0	0
11. Bladen Clarkton	83	4	0	49	0	23	13	0	0
12. Bladen East	176	3	0	125	6	65	34	9	5
13. Bladen Elementary	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
14. Bladen Elizabethtown	1	0	0	10	0	1	2	0	0
15. Bladen Spaulding	25	0	0	105	0	0	0	2	2
16. Bladen Tar Heel	182	6	0	175	9	52	28	15	6
17. Brunswick ISS	258	NA	0*	NA	301*	NA	70*	NA	NA
18. Carteret ISS	510	3	7	234	217	212	154	0	0

TABLE VII - continued

Program	Youth Served	Expulsions		Suspensions		Dropouts		Court Referrals	
		Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82
19. Cleveland Kings Mountain	45	84	1	204	7	2	1	0	0
20. Columbus ACME-Delco	94	3	0	142	0	8	1	0	0
21. Columbus West	152	1	2	106	6	42	57	0	0
22. Columbus Whiteville	394	3	1	210	65	53	30	0	1
23. Craven Alt. Learning	262	0	1	148	15	42	44	1	2
24. Cumberland# Behavior Adjust.	783	242	111	4,531	907	904	607	NA	NA
25. Cumberland PAL-Fayetteville City	545	0	0	82	45	0	4	4	13
26. Dare Extended Day	20	3	0	110	49	48	28	1	0
27. DARE ISS	266	1	0	76	49	50	25	0	0
28. Duplin Alt. Learning	209	1	0	51	4	3	0	2	1
29. Edgecombe/Nash Rocky Mt. City	636	0	2	188	539	48	51	23	0
30. Edgecombe North	252	2	3	465	249	75	46	0	0
31. Edgecombe Southwest	345	8	7	366	186	64	82	0	11
32. Edgecombe Tarboro	205	3	0	185	4	87	35	0	0
33. Gates Alt. Learning	101	0	0	104	31	38	27	1	0
34. Granville Alt. Learning	295	2	2	145	36	10	5	0	0
35. Greene ISS	105	5	1	87	13	28	15	NA	4*
36. Halifax Davie	310	3	2	133	72	17	21	0	0
37. Halifax Roanoke Rappids	76	0	0	26	116	0	5	6	7

TABLE VII - continued

Program	Youth Served	Expulsions		Suspensions		Dropouts		Court Referrals	
		Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82
38. Hertford Alt. Class	767	0	0	182	26	57	34	10	1
39. Hoke Turlington	572	0	0	83	5	0	6	0	0
40. Hoke Upchurch	336	0	0	155	17	0	0	0	0
41. Jackson L.E.A.D.	184	0	0	110	102	64	51	7	2
42. Jones PASS	305	5	4	312	33	19	11	0	0
43. Lenoir North	366	4	0	268	100	75	71	0	0
44. Lenoir South	127	0	0	21	26	46	58	0	0
45. Martin ISS	58	9	5	59	22	30	13	5	1
46. Nash Central	299	2	1	105	352	60	60	12	6
47. Northampton Gaston	202	0	4	154	84	7	20	6	1
48. Onslow Dixon	97	27	0	65	58	NA	21*	0	0
49. Onslow Northwoods	254	12	9	25	9	NA	3*	0	0
50. Onslow Southwest	109	5	0	79	48	35	18	0	0
51. Onslow Swansboro	165	0	0	29	0	38	2	0	0
52. Pamlico Alt. Learning	54	0	0	344	144	0	1	6	3
53. Pasquotank Uplift	73	0	0	104	124	59	52	5	13
54. Pender ISS	271	0	1	159	126	40	20	0	0
55. Pitt Fullilove	158	18	0	115	53	51	36	4	1
56. Pitt Project Care	12	0	0	26	3	1	2	1	0
57. Randolph Alt. Learning	112	7	3	179	26	363	309	0	0

TABLE VII - continued

Program	Youth Served	Expulsions		Suspensions		Dropouts		Court Referrals	
		Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82
58. Robeson Lumberton City	122	0	0	231	131	30	25	20	25
59. Rowan West	136	1	1	6	19	1	0	0	0
60. Rowan Knox	215	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
61. Stokes I.S.S.	15	8	1	70	18	46	27	84	16
62. Surry I.S.S.	71	NA	5*	NA	38*	NA	17*	NA	0*
63. Transylvania BHS	223	4	0	80	32	22	11	21	11
64. Transylvania BMS	275	0	0	48	8	NA	3*	8	6
65. Transylvania RHS	89	0	0	53	3	19	28	2	1
66. Tyrell Project Interv.	247	3	2	294	8	14	13	10	0
67. Washington I.S.S.	243	0	0	95	9	15	2	0	0
68. Watauga I.S.S.	123	NA	0*	8	9	83	92	NA	7*
69. Wayne Goldsboro City	244	11	0	43	22	9	1	0	0
70. Wayne County	230	0	0	241	10	11	4	51	0
71. Wilson Beddingfield	461	NA	0*	NA	105*	NA	54*	NA	0*
72. Wilson James Hunt	478	0	0	479	70	71	42	0	0
TOTAL	15,996	502	180	13,098	4,978	3,306	2,504	449	221
% Change		- 64%		- 61.9%		- 24.2%		- 50.7%	

NA Information not available or was not supplied by the local program.

* Information not used in compiling totals or percent of change.

These figures include all 9 junior and senior highs in Cumberland County. Each was served by the same CBA program agreement.

ADDENDUM TO TABLE VII

Information on the following school related programs was inadvertently left off of TABLE VII or the programs submitted incomplete data.

Program	Youth Served	Expulsions		Suspensions		Dropouts		Court Referrals	
		Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82	Base Year	FY 81-82
1. Randolph Educated Options	68	0	0	60	5	363	309	0	0
2. Chowan Parent Co-op Training	112	2	1	141	24	45	33	3	6
3. Currituck People Building & Building Eff. Employ.	210 41	5	10	213	184	45	42	10	0
5. Surry Building Productive Child	104								
6. Duplin Home/School Coordinator	584								
7. Madison Team Project	987								
8. Brunswick New Model Me	195								
9. Chatham School Based CBA	283								
10. Perquimans Guidance Care	109								
11. Pamlico Crisis Intervention	211								
12. Gaston Alternative School	34								
13. Watauga Extended Day	42								
14. Nash County ISS	303								
15. Caldwell Hibriten	168								
16. Caldwell West	285								

School related programs which failed to submit data in time for inclusion in this report include:

1. Statesville Alternative Class - Iredell County
2. In-School Suspension - Lee County
3. Time-Out-Room - Randolph County
4. Alternative Learning Center - Sampson County
5. Home-School Coordinator - Davie County
6. Adolescent Day Learning Services - Alexander County
7. Outdoor Adventure Alternative School - Catawba County
8. Summer School Alternative - Gaston County
9. Alternative Vocational Education - Polk County

INTRODUCTION

Other CBA Program Types

The statistical information presented in this portion of Section II includes state-wide averages on client characteristics and performance indicators for residential and non-residential CBA programs. The statistical data was obtained from client tracking forms filled out by each of these programs on all clients referred and/or served by these programs. This portion of the report includes definitions of statistical summaries of referral and termination reasons and performance indicators on non-school related CBA program types.

DEFINITIONS OF CBA PROGRAM TYPES

Adult Volunteer

These programs utilize individuals (usually adults) who voluntarily provide goods or services without financial gain to the agency.

The majority of volunteers work with children and youth who are within the jurisdiction of the courts. They frequently spend several hours per week functioning as positive role models and chaperoning out-of-town trips for a day or weekend.

Typical programs that are staffed mainly by volunteers are Big Brothers/Big Sisters and Adult Court Volunteer programs. Many of the other programs utilize volunteer services in various aspects of their program design.

Combination Programs

These programs contain two or more distinct service components yet are administratively and structurally under the complete auspices of one agency. An example of such a program is a youth services bureau which might include counseling, adult volunteer, and recreational components.

Counseling and Referral

Most counseling programs are primarily found in youth services bureaus and mental health clinics. However "counseling" in some form is an on-going component of all CBA funded program types.

Funded programs with a predominance of mental health practitioners (i.e., psychologists, psychiatrists, social workers, etc.) normally utilize two helping techniques that emphasize individual counseling. The most common technique used involves the helping professional working face-to-face with the individual in need of help. The other technique, group counseling, utilizes group interaction and support in an effort to help the individual members learn the value of getting along with others and to resolve individual problems through peer support.

Evaluation Intervention

These programs provide psychological evaluation screening and referral for juvenile court and crisis intervention counseling to juvenile offenders and youth-at-risk and their families.

Group Home

A group home provides twenty-four hour care for youth identified as being in danger of becoming formally involved with the juvenile justice system as well as some who have been adjudicated undisciplined or delinquent.

An individual treatment plan is developed for each youth and the families of all youth are encouraged to participate in the total group home program. The ultimate aim is to return the youth to his/her home.

The maximum capacity of a group home is nine. The home must meet all applicable licensing standards.

NYPUM

In National Youth Project Using Minibikes (NYPUM), the bike is used as a tool to attract the interest of the youth. When a participant enters NYPUM, an agreement or contract is made, pertaining to what his or her responsibilities will be in NYPUM. (example: A truant must attend school during the week in order to ride the minibike.) NYPUM, sponsored nationally by the American Honda Corporation and the Y.M.C.A., consists of a group of activities including minibike maintenance and safety sessions, field trips, and group counseling. Training workshops are conducted by Y.M.C.A.s. Regional offices to provide necessary instruction and certification to implement a NYPUM program.

Primary Prevention

The primary focus of these programs is to promote awareness among children and youth of the consequences of engaging in unlawful behavior which may bring them into conflict with legal authorities.

Recreation

Recreation programs provide group structured physical activities for participants. Particular emphasis is placed on physical development, character building, and mental discipline. Group reliance, team building, and respect for others are cornerstones of these programs.

Restitution Programs

Restitution programs provide a vehicle whereby juvenile offenders are held accountable for their conduct by performing a work service for the victim or the community in order to aid in the rehabilitation of the delinquent and to "make whole" or compensate the victim(s) for losses suffered.

Statistical information on CBA funded restitution programs are combined with adult volunteer programs or counseling and referral programs.

Specialized and Temporary Foster Care

These specialized and emergency homes provide care for children with serious behavioral or emotional problems. The foster parents receive special training designed to help them understand and provide needed support for the children living with them.

The length of stay depends primarily on the child's progress and whether there has been improvement in the child's home situation.

This service must also meet all applicable state licensing standards.

Temporary Shelter Group Home

This program type provides both temporary housing (normally ninety (90) days or less) and support services for runaway teenagers and youth who would otherwise be detained in a secure setting.

Most of these programs employ house parents (usually a husband and wife) to act as the surrogate parents for the young people in residence. They assist the youth with meal preparation, personal hygiene, scheduling of group activities, and so forth.

One hundred forty-two CBA funded programs participate in the Management Information System and provide detailed client tracking forms on each child served. The information in the following tables is taken from the data supplied by those programs.

TABLE VIII

New Admissions By Referral Reason and Source of Referral			
Reason	Percent	Source	Percent
Delinquency	17 %	Juvenile Court	16 %
Status Offense	59 %	DSS	13 %
Dependent Neglect or Abused	9 %	School	52 %
Pushed Out	.2 %	Mental Health	2 %
Other	13 %	Law Enforcement	7 %
		Self	5 %
		Clergy	5 %
		Other	NA

TABLE IX

Terminations Reason and School Status			
Termination Reason	Percent	School Status	Percent
Successful Completion	52 %	Actively Enrolled	80 %
Runaway	4 %	Dropped Out	17 %
Removed by Court	4 %	Expelled	1 %
Did Not Adjust	9 %	Graduated	1 %
Removed by Parents	6 %		
Family Relocated	2 %		
Other	22 %		

CBA performance standards require the treatment programs document their impact on the problem behavior of their client population. The statewide performance indicators for: Group Homes, Specialized Foster Care, Shelter Care, Counseling, Adventure Camping, NYPUM, Adult Volunteer and Combination programs are presented here in the tables which follow.

TABLE X

Increase/Decrease in Key Performance Indicators

	Court Contacts	Suspensions	Runaways
Group Homes	- 69%	- 54%	- 69%
Specialized Foster Care	- 47%	- 52%	- 60%
Shelter Care	- 91%	- 88%	- 89%
Counseling	- 60%	- 57%	- 68%
Adult Volunteers	- 80%	- 69%	- 10%
Camping	- 84%	- 53%	- 80%
NYPUM	- 67%	- 50%	- 69%
Combination	- 65%	- 68%	- 72%

The percentages in TABLE X reflect the increase or decrease in the key performance indicators by measuring these variables on each youth served for a one-year period prior to entering the CBA funded program and comparing them with the incidents of those same variables while participating in the program as measured at termination.

Another important measure of program impact is the progress shown by each youth with juvenile court, in school and at home. The program director is asked to rate each youth on a five (5) point scale for each of these variables when they are terminated from the program. Table XI shows the percent of youth which showed improvement in each of these measures.

TABLE XI

Progress with the Court, School and Home

	Juvenile Court	School	Home
Group Homes	83%	72%	56%
Specialized Foster Care	76%	60%	48%
Shelter Care	41%	29%	17%
Counseling	92%	63%	57%
Adult Volunteers	89%	78%	74%
Camping	98%	84%	84%
NYPUM	88%	74%	66%
Combination	96%	73%	68%

Percent of terminations who showed improvement.

SUMMARY

During the first five years of operation, the CBA program has accomplished all of the major objectives that were included in the original legislation.

1. The removal of all status offenders from the state's training schools
2. The reduction of training school population
3. The coordination of local service providers in planning and implementing a continuum of services at the local level
4. The development of program performance measures which can be used to objectively evaluate the impact of CBA funded programs
5. The development of minimum standards for each major program type to assure the highest possible program quality.

TABLE XII summarizes the major activities of the CBA program during the first five years of operation. CBA and the Division of Youth Services are proud of this record of accomplishment. Credit for this success must be shared with Governor Hunt, the Department of Human Resources, and the North Carolina General Assembly for their valuable support; the N. C. Association of County Commissioners and county governments all over the State who have contributed their time, interest and resources to make North Carolina's CBA program a truly community-based effort; and finally and most importantly, we must share credit for CBA's success with the local human services, juvenile justice professionals, teachers, teacher aides, and citizen volunteers who have worked so effectively with the youth who have been served by these programs.

TABLE XII
Five Year Summary
CBA Activities

	1977-78	1978-79	1979-80	1980-81	1981-82
Youth Served	5,891	17,922	25,717	32,389	43,638
Number of Programs	152	162	233	278	302
Group Homes	30	20	21	25	30
Shelter Care	11	8	16	20	28
Specialized Foster Care	18	16	25	29	21
Counseling	22	36	30	52	37
Recreation & Camping	25	19	26	31	35
Adult Volunteers	9	9	14	14	27
Combination	0	0	18	10	5
Prevention	0	0	15	7	9
In-School Suspension	16	35	49	64	77
Alternative Class	21	19	19	26	21
Total Budget	\$ NA	\$5,078,787	\$6,903,163	\$8,631,009	\$9,661,481
CBA Funds	934,660	1,805,733	3,173,782	4,140,265	4,492,956
Local Fund	NA	1,190,479	1,899,684	2,341,528	2,511,998
Other	NA	2,082,575	2,863,321	2,194,216	2,656,527
School Programs	NA	54	68	90	98
Reduction in Suspension	NA	-57 %	-61.3%	-60 %	-61.9%
Reduction in Dropouts	NA	- 3 %	-38.7%	-23.3%	-24.2%
Non-School Programs	NA	108	165	188	204
Reduction in Suspensions	NA	-12.6%*	-37.7%	-53 %*	-57 %*
Reduction in Court Referrals	NA	-47.5%*	-45.8%	-62 %*	-60 %*
Training School Commitments	1,469	992	876	839	827
Juvenile Court Petitions	17,739	16,179	14,589	NA	NA

* Counseling programs only
NA Not available

SECTION III

OTHER CBA ACTIVITIES

SECTION III

The primary responsibility of the CBA program has been the development and expansion of local programs to serve as alternatives for the courts and schools. Over the years, however, there have been numerous other activities in which the CBA program has played a significant role.

This section details three (3) of those activities in which the CBA Section is currently involved:

1. The Governor's One-On-One Program
2. CBA Summer Youthfest
3. Positive Youth Development

GOVERNOR'S ONE-ON-ONE PROGRAM

During the last few months of 1981, Governor Hunt began working on a plan to promote and expand the one-on-one court volunteer programs, a community based alternative program that matches specially trained adult volunteers with youth who are involved in the juvenile justice system. After carefully evaluating several possible options and after reviewing the program capabilities within every major department in state government, the Governor, in his keynote address to the Second Annual Delinquency Prevention Conference on March 12, 1982, announced his decision to establish this new program initiative to be known as the Governor's One-On-One Program through the CBA Section of the Division of Youth Services.

Shortly thereafter, a One-On-One Planning Committee was named to develop an implementation plan. (see Appendix C) A One-On-One Program Development Specialist was added to the CBA central office staff to serve as the lead planner in developing the new program.

Working together over the next thirteen weeks, the CBA administered Governor's One-On-One program began to take shape. A formal written announcement from the Governor to all CBA Task Forces was mailed on April 15 with a follow-up letter from the planning committee on April 23.

On May 11, all CBA funded programs, task forces, county commission chairpersons and chief court counselors received a formal Request For Proposal from the Division of Youth Services. The RFP included general information identifying the contact persons and response deadlines, the purpose statement, a description of the available funding, and other pertinent information. Also included was a historical sketch, the program goals and program expectations. Finally, the RFP described four primary requirements for funding: 1) Statement of Need, 2) program design, 3) organization and administration, and 4) budget information.

On June 1, 1982, 26 counties were represented at a pre-application conference for programs interested in submitting program applications. Additional information on organizational structure, performance indicators and the formal review and selection process was presented at that time and questions were answered on all aspects of program participation.

By June 21, seven formal applications for funding were received and on June 30 four of those were selected for funding.

The first year plan calls for two (2) additional program submission dates (September 30 and November 30) with a total of twelve programs to be selected by January, 1983. A comprehensive 40-hour training program for new directors has been developed and will be offered in August, December, and February at the North Carolina Justice Academy. In addition to these new program directors, this training event will be available on a first come basis to all other CBA funded volunteer programs.

The long range intent of the Governor's volunteer program is to compliment all other juvenile justice programs currently operating in a community by making the one-on-one volunteer resource available to every juvenile court in North Carolina. The program development strategy is to have approximately twelve (12) new one-on-one volunteer programs developed each year for a three-year period and to strengthen and improve the programs already in place so that juvenile court referrals will have access to a court related adult volunteer program. These programs when combined with the locally operated network of community-based services and with other state and federal programs serving young people will give North Carolina the most comprehensive and effective juvenile justice system in the nation. More importantly, it will give North Carolina's young people the opportunity to be all that their individual initiative and natural ability will allow them to be.

POSITIVE YOUTH DEVELOPMENT

A major mandate for CBA Section is delinquency prevention. In efforts to fulfill the intentions of the mandate, CBA became a forerunner in the positive youth development movement. Positive Youth Development is an approach to delinquency prevention designed by Bill Loquist, a native of North Carolina and now with Youth Development Associates in Arizona. The approach focuses on creating conditions in the home, school, and community which promote the well being of youth.

An important premise in implementing the approach and changing conditions is coordination and cooperation between youth-serving agencies, citizens, and youth. With this premise in mind, the CBA Section formed a state-wide committee to promote positive youth development in North Carolina. The committee includes many youth-serving agencies (see Appendix C), citizens, and youth.

The primary goal of the state-wide committee was to select eight pilot PYD local communities and provide technical assistance and training in the positive youth development process. Dare, Davidson, Durham, Onslow, Moore, Gaston, Henderson, and Region A counties (Macon, Jackson, Swain, Haywood, Graham, Clay, Cherokee and the Cherokee Reservation) have been selected by the state-wide committee as pilot PYD counties. As an integral part of the State-wide PYD Committee, CBA field consultants have served as lead technical assistants in initiating positive youth development efforts in most of these communities. They have also been closely involved with the planning and coordination of workshops where over 1,500 people were enlightened on the Positive Youth Development Model.

Some of the positive youth development accomplishments include awareness to the value of youth participation as shown by the formation of several youth councils and youth advisory boards, sponsoring of youth and adult dances, youth leadership workshops, and youth-parent rap sessions; and involving more youth in Community Watch and Community Alert programs. Other projects initiated as a result of Positive Youth Development are a state-wide Positive Youth Development media technical assistance guide, a dropout prevention program, and a Positive Youth Development newsletter.

A breakdown of the conferences and workshops which the CBA Section has been actively involved is presented in TABLE XIII.

TABLE XIII

PYD Conference Attendance*

<u>Conferences</u>	<u>Youth</u>	<u>Adult</u>	<u>Total</u>
1981			
Statewide Delinquency Prevention Conference February 1, 2, and 3		312	312
Eastern Regional Delinquency Prevention Conference April 23 and 24		119	119
Johnston County Delinquency Prevention Conference May 5	115	115	230
Region A Delinquency Prevention Planning Conference May 22		24	24
Community Development Trainers/Trainees Workshop July 20 thru 24	10	31	41
Region A Delinquency Prevention Conference September 10 and 11	64	65	129
North Central Regional Delinquency Prevention Conference September 29 and 30	90	171	261
South Central Regional Delinquency Prevention Conference October 1 and 2	39	56	95
1982			
North Central Regional Delinquency Prevention Conference April	50	75	125
Statewide Delinquency Prevention Conference March 10, 11, and 12	30	233	263
TOTAL	398	1,201	1,599

* These figures are estimated based on registrations.

YOUTHFEST

"The camaraderie between adults and youth"

"The activities were great and the people were all friendly and caring."

"Swimming instruction, mask-making, nature study, mini-bikes, archery, and crafts"

"I got to meet new people and make new friends."

These are just a few of the answers given when adults and youth were asked what they liked best about the CBA sponsored camp, Youthfest.

For the past two summers over two hundred (200) CBA youth and adults have attended Betsy Jeff Penn 4-H Camp to experience the magic of summer camp. Youthfest not only included regular camp activities of horseback riding, swimming, canoeing, art and crafts, but also offered a wide variety of additional activities ranging from mini-bikes to drama. The majority of the youth who attended Youthfest had never had the opportunity to attend camp.

Youthfest was a result of the CBA Section's emphasis on adults and youth spending quality time together and the premise that if there is a caring environment filled with exciting activities, delinquent youth and youth-at-risk will thrive, learn, and grow. This was verified by a six-month follow-up study on the youth who attended Youthfest in 1981. The majority of counselors responding felt that the benefits of Youthfest were vital to some of the strides the youth had made in staying out of trouble.

Youthfest is a project which the CBA Section hopes to continue in years to come.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX A

INDEX OF JUVENILE JUSTICE INDICATORS

In FY 1978-79, the CBA Section revised and simplified its annual planning process. The logic of the new plan calls upon each county task force to collect, examine, and document the statistical data available in each county which most accurately reflects the status of its juvenile justice services. As a starting point in each year's planning process for determining CBA funding priorities the task force in each county is asked to look at last year's failures.

In addition to serving as the starting point for annual CBA planning in the counties, the Index of Juvenile Justice Indicators may also serve a very useful purpose in measuring the yearly changes and fluctuations in the juvenile justice system. By collecting the same statistical data using the same definitions and procedures over a number of years, we hope to establish a measure for juvenile justice problems similar to the FBI's Index of Major Crimes.

In the report which follows, selected data for the three year period since the Index was begun is presented for each county. Since our planning model for local task forces is not mandatory, there have been several counties over the years which for one reason or another failed to submit their "index" information.* In other instances, the information supplied was obvious in error. Where our requests for corrected data were unheeded and where no information was supplied for a given year, the designation "unk" appears throughout this report.

- * In FY 1980-81, 98 counties supplied data for the "Index."
- In FY 1979-80, 92 counties supplied data for the "Index."
- In FY 1978-79, 93 counties supplied data for the "Index."

INDEX OF SELECTED JUVENILE JUSTICE INDICATORS

BY COUNTY

Statewide

	FY 1978-79	FY 1979-80	FY 1980-81
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	28.27	29.52	27.09*
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	13.8	12.31	10.03
Jail Lockups	2.28	1.72	1.53
Secure Detentions	3.81	3.52	3.30
School Dropouts	34.81	32.43	29.82

CBA REGION J

Alleghany

Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	20.47	26.71	13.03
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	32.94	25.82	8.68
Jail Lockups	6.23	6.23	0
Secure Detentions	0	0	1.74
School Dropouts	32.95	34.73	37.36

Ashe

Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	10.26	17.71	23.59
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	10.85	15.90	14.97
Jail Lockups	5.06	5.06	10.36
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	35.06	32.53	37.86

Avery

Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	18.59	37.19	19.79
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	15.83	24.84	53.53
Jail Lockups	1.86	9.76	31.04
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	29.74	31.60	46.33

Buncombe

Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	46.36	53.01	46.44
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	49.21	35.83	43.37
Jail Lockups	1	.05	.1
Secure Detentions	14.63	13.73	11.96
School Dropouts	36.98	31.27	33.42

Cherokee

Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	5.99	26.53	12.22
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	7.71	6.85	5.74
Jail Lockups	1.28	1.71	0
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	40.22	31.66	21.72

Clay

Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	9.22	6.90	34.18
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	5.52	Unk.	2.84
Jail Lockups	4.14	1.38	1.42
Secure Detentions	0	1.38	0
School Dropouts	17.93	22.07	14.22

Graham

Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	23.48	14.94	16.21
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	30.95	18.13	12.16
Jail Lockups	4.27	1.07	1.01
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	55.49	58.70	Unk.

Haywood

Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	23.24	29.60	18.05
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	41.99	45.26	22.12
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	3.10	3.27	1.24
Secure Detentions	0	0	1.24
School Dropouts	40.10	23.92	27.08

* All figures expressed as rate per 1,000 young people aged 10 through 17.

	<u>FY 1978-79</u>	<u>FY 1979-80</u>	<u>FY 1980-81</u>
Henderson			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	25.18	21.38	22.37
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	10.62	18.23	23.13
Jail Lockups	12.53	6.72	5.33
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	33.45	25.66	33.32
Jackson			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	11.77	12.84	13.79
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	29.69	32.10	5.56
Jail Lockups	3.48	1.60	1.59
Secure Detentions	0	.27	0
School Dropouts	25.95	14.45	11.67
Macon			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	15.96	35.79	28.10
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	9.48	28.46	45.98
Jail Lockups	.86	0	0
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	26.30	28.03	29.80
Madison			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	6.22	9.32	10.49
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	3.60	20.74	10.94
Jail Lockups	0	0	0
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	27.96	22.64	24.61
Mitchell			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	17.92	25.76	23.15
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	5.60	11.20	17.51
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	0	2.24	0
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	24.64	43.67	45.17

	<u>FY 1978-79</u>	<u>FY 1979-80</u>	<u>FY 1980-81</u>
Swain			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	6.51	4.55	12.85
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	53.52	58.76	15.31
Jail Lockups	3.26	2.61	.64
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	24.15	16.32	18.64
Transylvania			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	17.15	29.99	20.78
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	17.45	14.69	13.85
Jail Lockups	.92	1.84	1.81
Secure Detentions	.61	0	.30
School Dropouts	33.06	22.04	22.88
Watauga			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	13.40	15.05	15.40
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	7.29	11.29	22.41
Jail Lockups	2.34	1.41	2.33
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	19.98	19.98	19.36
Wilkes			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	25.41	28.97	21.37
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	16.15	14.11	4.25
Jail Lockups	13.60	9.91	9.39
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	50.58	36.22	38.35
Yancey			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	5.71	14.04	17.76
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	2.03	16.64	16.75
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	1.04	1.56	.51
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	Unk.	24.44	Unk.

<u>CBA REGION II</u>	<u>FY 1978-79</u>	<u>FY 1979-80</u>	<u>FY 1980-81</u>
Alexander			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	18.07	22.23	26.21
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	6.79	11.27	9.32
Jail Lockups	5.92	3.56	2.33
Secure Detentions	0	7.41	.29
School Dropouts	19.56	35.58	14.56
Burke			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	18.90	36.16	35.13
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	15.68	16.64	27.10
Jail Lockups	0	6.41	6.98
Secure Detentions	9.09	0	0
School Dropouts	39.67	41.84	39.20
Cabarrus			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	18.10	17.57	11.19
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	4.06	5.11	4.03
Jail Lockups	2.95	3.05	4.59
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	40.89	39.91	31.46
Caldwell			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	37.92	35.30	27.71
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	23.81	21.98	22.47
Jail Lockups	9.72	0	0
Secure Detentions	0	9.18	9.18
School Dropouts	37.27	42.85	34.69
Catawba			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	25.24	30.79	27.70
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	15.20	12.31	9.39
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	6.01	3.87	4.25
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	24.21	28.23	24.65

	<u>FY 1978-79</u>	<u>FY 1979-80</u>	<u>FY 1980-81</u>
Cleveland			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	22.27	18.10	Unk.
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	14.75	6.95	Unk.
Jail Lockups	2.09	2.09	Unk.
Secure Detentions	0	0	Unk.
School Dropouts	29.39	29.98	Unk.
Gaston			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	41.72	40.71	28.13
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	11.52	6.29	3.03
Jail Lockups	0	0	.13
Secure Detentions	4.41	4.02	4.72
School Dropouts	41.28	37.79	39.10
Iredell			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	40.38	26.29	26.29
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	14.88	11.82	10.93
Jail Lockups	7.62	6.92	3.70
Secure Detentions	.17	.44	.72
School Dropouts	40.57	34.09	30.80
McDowell			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	24.21	22.57	23.22
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	14.77	42.48	14.00
Jail Lockups	5.54	10.06	3.20
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	40.43	35.30	17.81
Mecklenburg			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	39.00	39.24	34.44
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	13.88	8.09	5.81
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	.05	.04	.02
Secure Detentions	5.43	6.07	8.75
School Dropouts	33.99	26.97	27.83

	FY 1978-79	FY 1979-80	FY 1980-81
Polk			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	Unk.	11.16
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	Unk.	8.68
Jail Lockups	Unk.	Unk.	1.86
Secure Detentions	Unk.	Unk.	0
School Dropouts	Unk.	Unk.	14.89
Rowan			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	16.73	17.06	20.74
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	6.93	5.49	9.21
Jail Lockups	2.42	2.91	2.11
Secure Detentions	.16	0	0
School Dropouts	34.20	32.26	27.40
Rutherford			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	18.92	38.13	14.43
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	4.06	26.92	4.67
Jail Lockups	4.20	5.47	1.30
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	46.82	38.55	38.59
Stanly			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	33.86	29.82	17.78
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	5.01	13.34	6.58
Jail Lockups	2.91	.59	.49
Secure Detentions	0	4.12	0
School Dropouts	41.48	29.22	34.90
Union			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	44.89	45.20	15.58
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	12.73	13.34	8.50
Jail Lockups	.69	.59	0
Secure Detentions	5.78	4.12	5.73
School Dropouts	33.72	29.22	50.75

	FY 1978-79	FY 1979-80	FY 1980-81
CBA REGION III			
Alamance			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	50.79	52.87	23.37
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	24.83	20.44	13.25
Jail Lockups	1.30	.07	0
Secure Detentions	3.90	4.19	3.06
School Dropouts	32.00	67.46	32.55
Caswell			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	7.14	12.01	11.69
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	2.26	4.86	4.47
Jail Lockups	2.92	.97	1.03
Secure Detentions	.64	.97	.35
School Dropouts	31.40	42.21	39.89
Davidson			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	25.44	26.46	26.83
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	12.29	12.91	8.54
Jail Lockups	2.73	5.13	3.23
Secure Detentions	1.91	2.12	4.40
School Dropouts	35.36	39.38	30.95
Davie			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	30.79	19.83	37.82
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	35.75	17.83	12.81
Jail Lockups	2.64	.66	1.28
Secure Detentions	1.98	3.63	2.88
School Dropouts	32.11	28.75	26.60
Forsyth			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	30.30	21.40	25.28
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	18.39	15.20	16.78
Jail Lockups	.44	.45	.13
Secure Detentions	11.19	11.58	9.28
School Dropouts	16.63	18.46	23.43

	FY 1978-79	FY 1979-80	FY 1980-81
Guilford			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	30.31	27.53	28.58
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	21.80	20.58	12.02
Jail Lockups	.04	0	0
Secure Detentions	12.06	7.85	6.03
School Dropouts	42.61	41.17	39.03
Randolph			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	18.61	12.24	22.16
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	9.02	4.75	8.62
Jail Lockups	3.57	1.78	.25
Secure Detentions	.42	1.70	3.04
School Dropouts	47.61	28.31	28.41
Rockingham			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	34.52	28.27	27.31
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	10.42	3.48	1.70
Jail Lockups	1.64	.18	
Secure Detentions	1.00	1.65	2.56
School Dropouts	44.83	34.49	39.92
Stokes			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	18.26	14.02	14.99
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	5.60	11.92	6.33
Jail Lockups	.23	0	.23
Secure Detentions	1.87	.70	2.11
School Dropouts	Unk.	Unk.	24.37
Surry			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	27.23	38.32	19.24
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	11.89	7.44	6.42
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	.78	1.05	.26
Secure Detentions	.65	.65	1.70
School Dropouts	36.91	33.22	33.12

	FY 1978-79	FY 1979-80	FY 1980-81
Yadkin			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	24.18	50.43	22.74
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	16.55	11.39	12.48
Jail Lockups	0	0	0
Secure Detentions	3.53	4.34	1.11
School Dropouts	31.79	30.91	28.84
CBA REGION IV			
Edgecombe			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	34.60	31.16	30.80
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	3.43	2.85	6.99
Jail Lockups	4.57	3.08	4.98
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	33.90	37.33	31.15
Franklin			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	19.18	20.37	9.45
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	6.07	5.28	3.08
Jail Lockups	7.19	11.98	2.81
Secure Detentions	.72	0	1.27
School Dropouts	44.58	36.19	26.82
Granville			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	15.74	14.75	16.37
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	6.38	4.79	3.89
Jail Lockups	3.79	3.79	5.93
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	20.53	33.69	35.59
Halifax			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	30.38	23.51	28.70
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	13.28	10.55	8.76
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	6.52	4.19	5.30
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	53.20	32.24	53.58

CONTINUED

1 OF 2

	<u>FY</u> <u>1978-79</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>1979-80</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>1980-81</u>
Nash			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	27.08	29.95	28.91
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	4.38	4.96	7.57
Jail Lockups	4.39	3.05	5.18
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	35.10	30.42	30.99
Northampton			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	19.67	13.66	22.33
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	5.74	11.47	11.89
Jail Lockups	4.92	3.55	2.90
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	26.50	23.00	22.04
Vance			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	27.99	34.08	33.19
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	19.28	8.52	3.57
Jail Lockups	12.98	5.68	4.17
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	Unk.	Unk.	50.48
Warren			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	8.48	8.85	10.13
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	3.33	.37	2.10
Jail Lockups	1.48	.73	2.53
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	22.13	Unk.	Unk.
Wilson			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	18.75	29.42	29.77
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	2.39	5.71	10.29
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	1.29	1.72	3.98
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	41.81	29.09	30.66

CBA REGION V

	<u>FY</u> <u>1978-79</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>1979-80</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>1980-81</u>
Chatham			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	14.07	14.00	23.16
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	5.88	4.60	7.3
Jail Lockups	.94	0	0
Secure Detentions	.94	.70	2.0
School Dropouts	35.45	32.40	52.90
Cumberland			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	19.28	44.00	42.7
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	19.19	32.00	16.0
Jail Lockups	2.21	0	0
Secure Detentions	7.12	9.0	6.7
School Dropouts	29.33	31.00	25.9
Durham			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	32.92	31.01	46.0
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	15.05	8.8	3.4
Jail Lockups	.09	.10	.3
Secure Detentions	12.10	6.87	6.6
School Dropouts	22.18	37.10	35.1
Harnett			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	30.38	13.5	19.1
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	13.28	8.1	13.3
Jail Lockups	6.52	0	.1
Secure Detentions	0	1.4	3.1
School Dropouts	53.2	27.4	19.3
Johnston			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	17.82	27.5	19.3
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	10.23	12.0	5.5
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	1.16	0	.1
Secure Detentions	1.05	1.3	1.2
School Dropouts	31.63	35.0	29.1

	<u>FY</u> <u>1978-79</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>1979-80</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>1980-81</u>
Lee			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	60.2	Unk.	36.3
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	46.54	Unk.	13.9
Jail Lockups	6.54	10.10	1.2
Secure Detentions	4.56	Unk.	5.7
School Dropouts	41.79	Unk.	Unk.
Orange			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	Unk.	28.0
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	Unk.	6.4
Jail Lockups	Unk.	Unk.	0
Secure Detentions	Unk.	Unk.	1.3
School Dropouts	Unk.	Unk.	21.4
Sampson			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	22.78	Unk.	13.3
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	4.14	Unk.	3.9
Jail Lockups	.69	Unk.	.6
Secure Detentions	.28	Unk.	.4
School Dropouts	26.09	Unk.	22.7
Wake			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	21.6	16.7
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	4.1	2.6
Jail Lockups	Unk.	0	0
Secure Detentions	Unk.	5.0	5.8
School Dropouts	Unk.	37.00	27.8
CBA REGION VI			
Anson			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	25.82	7.00	14.0
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	4.54	2.00	.6
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	0	2.	.3
Secure Detentions	0	0	.3
School Dropouts	35.06	25	26.6

	<u>FY</u> <u>1978-79</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>1979-80</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>1980-81</u>
Bladen			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	24	23	20.6
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	10.2	9.3	4.1
Jail Lockups	1	4	2.4
Secure Detentions	3	6	4.8
School Dropouts	48	30	26.4
Hoke			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	53	76	48.1
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	10.7	15.6	26.77
Jail Lockups	2.7	2	1.3
Secure Detentions	1.2	.9	1.3
School Dropouts	52	38	37
Montgomery			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	16.7	21.5	18.5
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	2.5	3.8	5.22
Jail Lockups	3.6	2	.74
Secure Detentions	0	.7	.4
School Dropouts	34	28	41.9
Moore			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	23.3	30	27.9
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	5.0	1.9	1.32
Jail Lockups	4.4	3	0
Secure Detentions	0	.6	1.97
School Dropouts	35.1	32.5	26.4
Richmond			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	40.1	31.9	28.1
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	2.7	1	.82
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	1.8	1	.65
Secure Detentions	.5	1	.5
School Dropouts	38.5	40	22.9

	<u>FY 1978-79</u>	<u>FY 1979-80</u>	<u>FY 1980-81</u>
Robeson			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	37.5	43.4	36.9
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	17.9	12.7	10.58
Jail Lockups	3.7	1	.46
Secure Detentions	.5	2	3
School Dropouts	38.8	37	23.73
Scotland			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	24	44	78.03
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	13	27	8.91
Jail Lockups	11	7	7.17
Secure Detentions	0	1	1.09
School Dropouts	46	56	42.39
<u>CBA REGION VII</u>			
Beaufort			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	26.91	28.33	28.64
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	10.16	5.17	5.23
Jail Lockups	2.5	1.96	1.99
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	28.69	24.77	22.21
Bertie			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	24.54	11.96	12.60
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	1.23	12.57	2.91
Jail Lockups	1.23	1.23	2.26
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	32.52	41.72	40.37
Camden			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	6.56	2.18	2.42
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	0	0	3.63
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	0	0	0
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	37.16	17.49	37.53

	<u>FY 1978-79</u>	<u>FY 1979-80</u>	<u>FY 1980-81</u>
Chowan			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	35.91	36.52	37.67
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	2.43	2.44	3.19
Jail Lockups	3.65	0	0
Secure Detentions	0	1.22	.64
School Dropouts	40.22	28.0	31.93
Currituck			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	29.53	56.44	50.33
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	6.57	7.26	2.65
Jail Lockups	1.31	0	3.97
Secure Detentions	0	5.91	0
School Dropouts	37.4	49.87	19.87
Dare			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	34.76	33.12	23.44
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	1.62	5.67	1.56
Jail Lockups	.81	0	0
Secure Detentions	0	.81	.78
School Dropouts	40.42	26.68	21.88
Gates			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	3.89	11.64	11.97
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	1.55	0	0
Jail Lockups	0	0	0
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	24.84	33.39	29.94
Hertford			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	17.68	11.28	26.94
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	4.36	9.48	5.02
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	3.08	2.82	2.62
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	27.94	29.22	32.7

	<u>FY 1978-79</u>	<u>FY 1979-80</u>	<u>FY 1980-81</u>
Hyde			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	23.66	2.52	9.54
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	5.04	2.52	0
Jail Lockups	3.78	0	1.19
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	22.67	15.11	3.58
Martin			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	19.44	13.41	10.85
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	6.31	2.63	2.9
Jail Lockups	2.36	2.10	.54
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	29.15	32.83	28.2
Pasquotank			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	40.40	32.41	36.00
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	0	3.05	1.75
Jail Lockups	.70	0	0
Secure Detentions	0	1.41	1.25
School Dropouts	58.01	55.19	31.24
Perquimans			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	25.06	14.42	12.64
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	3.8	3.04	6.75
Jail Lockups	4.56	0	.84
Secure Detentions	0	.76	0
School Dropouts	43.28	34.17	Unk.
Pitt			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	24.67	22.47
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	2.48	4.42
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	Unk.	3.46	0
Secure Detentions	Unk.	0	7.03
School Dropouts	Unk.	21.36	17.87

	<u>FY 1978-79</u>	<u>FY 1979-80</u>	<u>FY 1980-81</u>
Tyrell			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	26.18	1.75	9.88
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	8.73	0	1.98
Jail Lockups	0	0	1.98
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	54.10	38.39	39.53
Washington			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	24.73	24.01	23.37
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	1.65	1.65	2.60
Jail Lockups	2.06	2.47	1.73
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	21.02	24.73	19.47
CBA REGION VIII			
Brunswick			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	25.23	28.25	13.26
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	14.70	8.28	7.76
Jail Lockups	1.51	1.88	2.27
Secure Detentions	5.08	6.21	3.41
School Dropouts	45.76	39.92	Unk.
Carteret			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	38.44	33.45	24.95
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	6.78	3.97	4.22
Jail Lockups	0	3.98	5.23
Secure Detentions	8.37	0	0
School Dropouts	48.8	33.46	35.61
Columbus			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	22.74	22.36	16.93
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	20.08	13.21	6.43
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	5.21	Unk.	2.23
Secure Detentions	2.67	1.4	1.97
School Dropouts	21.22	25.54	28.74

	<u>FY</u> <u>1978-79</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>1979-80</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>1980-81</u>
Craven			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	24.95	28.88	22.36
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	7.32	4.54	3.68
Jail Lockups	2.89	4.23	2.48
Secure Detentions	0	0	.54
School Dropouts	Unk.	38.16	32.83
Duplin			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	15.78	5.48	24.13
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	2.33	1.84	3.83
Jail Lockups	1.33	.50	0
Secure Detentions	0	.50	0
School Dropouts	Unk.	Unk.	25.17
Greene			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	14.47	46.70	22.07
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	.83	3.72	.90
Jail Lockups	0	.01	1.35
Secure Detentions	0	.01	0
School Dropouts	59.92	39.67	46.85
Jones			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	16.45	6.58	.71
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	15.13	.66	.71
Jail Lockups	.66	0	0
Secure Detentions	0	3.29	0
School Dropouts	25.00	34.87	30.71
Lenoir			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	38.85	34.02	30.44
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	9.55	9.00	4.70
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	1.65	2.09	1.29
Secure Detentions	0	0	0
School Dropouts	49.73	37.09	33.37



	<u>FY</u> <u>1978-79</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>1979-80</u>	<u>FY</u> <u>1980-81</u>
New Hanover			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	45.0	67.8	85.2
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	6.77	25.26	21.07
Jail Lockups	.07	0	.28
Secure Detentions	11.16	10.41	11.56
School Dropouts	31.77	31.49	32.56
Onslow			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	7.03	8.23	18.93
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	1.56	1.82	1.16
Jail Lockups	0	.1	0
Secure Detentions	.05	.26	.9
School Dropouts	13.59	Unk.	39.54
Pamlico			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	Unk.	16.28
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	Unk.	5.43
Jail Lockups	Unk.	Unk.	.78
Secure Detentions	Unk.	Unk.	0
School Dropouts	Unk.	Unk.	20.93
Pender			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	Unk.	15.70
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	Unk.	8.65
Jail Lockups	Unk.	Unk.	0
Secure Detentions	Unk.	Unk.	2.88
School Dropouts	Unk.	Unk.	34.94
Wayne			
Delinquency Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	Unk.	16.85
Status Offense Complaints and Petitions	Unk.	Unk.	3.2
Jail Lockups Secure Detentions	Unk.	Unk.	2.68
Secure Detentions	Unk.	Unk.	2.68
School Dropouts	Unk.	Unk.	21.65

APPENDIX B
GOVERNOR'S VOLUNTEER PROGRAM
Planning Committee

1. Kenneth J. Foster	DYS, 705 Palmer Dr., Raleigh, NC	733-3011
2. Rebecca Eagles	DYS, 705 Palmer Dr., Raleigh, NC	733-3011
3. Leonard Dunston	DYS, 705 Palmer Dr., Raleigh, NC	733-3011
4. Mike Watson	DYS, 705 Palmer Dr., Raleigh, NC	733-3011
5. Gwen Chunn	DYS, 705 Palmer Dr., Raleigh, NC	733-3011
6. Johnny Clark	CBA Field Consultant/Fayetteville	486-1425
7. Tom McGee	CBA Field Consultant/Winston-Salem	761-2452
8. Joe Auten	N. C. Justice Academy Drawer 99 Salemburg, NC 28385	525-4151
9. Doug Cole	Southern Baptist State Convention P. O. Box 26508 Raleigh, NC 27611	833-1605
10. Mary Ann Howard	Phoenix Organization 512 St. Mary's Street, Room 109 Raleigh, NC 27603	829-9323
11. Tommy Lewis	Chief Court Counselor P. O. Box 1341 Henderson, NC 27536	492-6134
12. Jim Burns	DHR/Public Information Office Secretary's Office	733-4471
13. Sally Wade	DOC/Secretary's Office	733-2557
14. Rich Maxson	Assistant for Juvenile Affairs Governor's Office	733-9000
15. Charles Petty	Office of Citizen's Involvement Administration Building	733-2391
16. Will Lindsay	DHR/Office of Volunteer Services	733-4502
17. Sue Glasby	Division of Social Services Albemarle Building	733-7907

APPENDIX C

Agencies and Organizations Represented on PYD Committee

1. Department of Human Resources (DHR)
 - a. Division of Youth Services
 - CBA Section
 - Institutional Services
 - Training Section
 - b. Division of Social Services
 - c. Division of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services
 - Prevention Section
 - Substance Abuse Section
 - d. Division of Health Services
 - e. Office of the Secretary
 - Volunteer Services Section
 - Public Information Office
 - Youth Involvement Office
 - Budget and Analysis Section
2. Department of Administration (GACCY)
3. Department of Public Instruction (Office of Exceptional Children)
4. Department of Crime Control and Public Safety
 - a. Governor's Crime Commission (Juvenile Justice Planning Committee)
 - b. Division of Crime Prevention (Delinquency Prevention Section)
5. Administrative Office of the Courts (Juvenile Services Section)
6. The University System
 - a. East Carolina (Department of Social Work and Corrections)
 - b. UNC - Chapel Hill (The Institute of Government)
 - c. N. C. State
 - Urban Affairs Institute
 - State 4-H Services
7. Governor's Special Assistant On Juvenile Affairs

8. Professional Organizations

- a. Phoenix Organization
- b. Childwatch, Inc.
- c. N. C. Juvenile Police Officers Association
- d. N. C. Association of Community Alternatives for Youth
- e. National Council on Crime and Delinquency
- f. N. C. Juvenile Services Association

9. Local Community-Based Programs

- a. Forsyth County Juvenile Justice Council
- b. Youth and Family Counseling Service (Lexington)
- c. Hillcrest Community Enrichment Project (Asheville)
- d. Bringing It All Back Home (Morganton)
- e. Mecklenburg Youth Service Bureau
- f. Forsyth Volunteers to the Court
- g. Adult Volunteer Counseling Service (Oxford)
- h. Partners (Raleigh)
- i. Randolph County DSS
- j. Best Friends (Wentworth)
- k. Greensboro Youth Services Bureau
- l. Best Friends (Greensboro)
- m. Friends (Lexington)
- n. Adult Friends - Anson County Youth Services Agency
- o. Northampton County School System

END