.

8/3/84

National Criminal Justice Reference Service

ncjrs

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice United States Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20531

#### U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

93486

This document has been rep bduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Washington Office of Financial Management

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

93486

METHODS RESULTS

0

This report was prepared in part under Grant number X-C-85-50-00-01, by Glenn Olson under the direction of John O'Connell, and with data processing by Stephen Harrison. Special thanks goes to the Board of Prison Terms and Paroles that allowed us access to case files.

NCJRS

- 64

APR 4 18-12

Acquisitions

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES AND CHARTS	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
DATA SOURCES	3
METHODS	7
RESULTS	, 10

i

# LIST OF TABLES AND CHARTS

	CRIME TYPE, BY NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED	3
TABLE 2:	COMPREHENSIVE LIST OF REASONS FOR EARLY OR LATE RELEASE	,
	PARULE BOARD FILES	5 7
	HIERARCHY OF RELEASE REASONS, BY TYPE OF REASON	7
TABLE 4:	INMATES RELEASED EARLY, LATE, AND ON TIME, BY	
	REASON CATEGORY	11
TABLE 5:		
	INMATES IN EACH REASON CATEGORY	13
TABLE 6:		
	BY CRIME TYPE, VOLUME AND PERCENT	15
	MEAN TIME EARLY OR LATE RELEASED, BY REASON CATEGORY	16
	MEAN TIME EARLY OR LATE RELEASED, BY CRIME CATEGORY	16
UTAKI IA:	NO REASON, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED	17
CHART 1B:	BY TIME DIFFERENCE CATEGORY	17
CHART 10: CHART 2A:	NO REASON, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED BY CRIME TYPE INTENSIVE PAROLE, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED	17
CHART ZA:	BY TIME DIFFERENCE CATEGORY	17
CHART 2B:	INTENSIVE PAROLE, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED	17
UNANT 20.	BY CRIME TYPE	17
CHART 3A:	MANDATORY RELEASE, PERCENT OF INMATES	17
	RELEASED BY TIME DIFFERENCE CATEGORY	18
CHART 3B:	MANDATORY RELEASE, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED BY	10
<u>j</u>	CRIME TYPE	18
CHART 4A:	OTHER GOOD REASONS, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED	10
	BY TIME DIFFERENCE CATEGORY	18
CHART 4B:	OTHER GOOD REASONS, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED	
	BY CRIME TYPE	18
CHART 5A:	SERIOUS BAD REASONS, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED	
	BY TIME DIFFERENCE CATEGORY	19
CHART 58:	SERIOUS BAD REASONS, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED	
_	BY CRIME TYPE	19
CHART 6A:	MINOR BAD REASONS, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED	
	BY TIME DIFFERENCE CATEGORY	19
CHART 6B:		
	BY CRIME TYPE	19
CHART /A:	ESCAPE TIME, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED	
CHADT 30.	BY TIME DIFFERENCE CATEGORY	20
CHART OA.	ESCAPE TIME, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED BY CRIME TYPE	20
CHART OA:	WORK RELEASE VIOLATION, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED BY TIME DIFFERENCE CATEGORY	20
CHART SR.	WORK RELEASE VIOLATION, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED	20
official obt	BY CRIME TYPE	20
CHART 9A:		20
J	BY TIME DIFFERENCE CATEGORY	21
CHART 98:	PAROLE PLAN DENIED, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED	41
	BY CRIME TYPE	21
CHART 10A:	DATA NOT AVAILABLE, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED	
	BY TIME DIFFERENCE CATEGORY	21
CHART 10B:	DATA NOT AVAILABLE, PERCENT OF INMATES RELEASED	
	BY CRIME TYPE	21

<u>Purpose of Study</u> This report presents a comparison of the difference between the estimated and actual release dates for inmates released from the Washington Department of Corrections during FY 1982. The study was conducted in order to more accurately forecast inmate populations.

The Washington State prison and inmate population forecasts rely upon a simulation method for estimating the inmate population. Traditionally, the component in the forecast subject to the greatest error has been inmate releases. The error lies in the method used to estimate the release date of individual inmates. By monitoring annual inmate forecasts it was discovered that the estimated release date, called the Earliest Possible Release Date (EPRD) underestimated the actual amount of time inmates spent in prison<sup>1</sup>, in turn causing an underestimation of the inmate population.

4

ŝ

а. В

\*

£

たいの

The Washington State Board of Prison Terms and Paroles (Parole Board), at its discretion, assigns an EPRD to each inmate after his or her maximum sentence has been established by the court. The Parole Board arrives at the EPRD by first assigning a release date for the minimum term to be served. Then the minimum term is reduced by 33 percent for good time that may be earned, and an average 17 percent reduction for a Public Safety score assigned to each inmate. Therefore the EPRD usually represents about half of the minimum term.

This study has provided a valuable base from which to estimate, by type of crime, the time difference that may be expected between estimated and actual release dates. It has also revealed interesting and hitherto unexplored aspects of Washington's criminal justice system, i.e., the reasons for early and late releases, and how those reasons correspond to the different types of crime.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Corrections inmates are housed in prisons and camps, or at work release facilities. In the remainder of the report the term "prison" will mean all of those facilities, and "prisoner" will mean inmates housed in any of those facilities.

## INTRODUCTION

# Summary of Findings

The files kept on each inmate released during FY 1982 were examined to find how many inmates were released early, late, or on time, and, what the reasons given for an early or late release were. The reasons were analyzed to determine if each one was uniquely associated with specific a range of time difference between EPRDs and actual release dates. Next, the reasons were analyzed to see if there was a relationship between the type of crime committed and the reasons for release found on inmate files. Finally, the time differences between EPRDs and actual release dates were analyzed for the different crime types of inmates in order to determine whether certain categories of inmates were released earlier or later than others.

Results showed a strong relationship between reasons for release and the amount of time for early or late release, ranging from an average 20 months early for mandatory releases to 12 months late for escape time. It was discovered that 43 percent of violent offenders and only 21 percent of nonviolent offenders earned reasons falling under the broad heading of meritorious behavior. Conversely, only 18 percent of violent offenders had infractions listed on their files while 33 percent of non-violent offenders were listed with infractions. Violent offenders who were released early were released an average 16 months before their EPRDs. Property offenders who were released late were released an average 8 months after their EPRDs. The remainder of cases either had no reasons listed on their files (most of them were released on time), or had no data available.

The Parole Board keeps records on each inmate released that specify the inmate's sex, crime type, EPRD, actual release date, and reason(s), if any, for an early or late release. All data for this study were from releases during FY 1982. There were other years for which release data were available, but during those years there were early release programs in effect, making releases during those years unrepresentative of normal conditions.

There were 1383 recorded releases during FY 1982. Of those, 33 were actually court ordered releases, escapes, or deaths, and 32 cases were missing EPRD information. Consequently, there were 1318 cases remaining for analysis. Ninety-three percent of those were male and seven percent were female.

Crime Type

in each category.

analysis.

t

2

#### DATA SOURCES

Table 1 shows the categories of crime types used, and the number of inmates

# TABLE 1 CRIME TYPE BY NUMBER OF INMATES RELEASED STATE OF WASHINGTON: FY 1982

CRIME TYPE	NUMBER
Murder 1	1
Murder 2	17
Manslaughter	31
Sex Crimes	67
Robbery	150
Assault	138
Property Crimes	600
Drug Crimes	72
Parole Violators	225
Other	15
Unknown	2
Total	1318

Due to the small number of murder 1 cases, the murder 1 and murder 2 categories were combined into the single category of murder for purposes of

Parole violators are recidivists, defined as any person on parole who was readmitted to the Department of Corrections within a five year period after being released from a previous incarceration. The majority of parole violators are readmitted as a result of felonious activities.

The category "other" involves many crime types that are relatively low volume. However, crimes in that category are frequently severe. They include arson, kidnapping, felonious escape, perjury, and white collar crimes.

#### Reason Categories

There were 103 separate reasons for early or late release listed in the Parole Board files. Additional categories for "no reason" and "data not available" were created. Cases with no reason listed were typically cases released on or near their EPRD. Cases with data not available were from files that were either in current use during data collection, or were archived. Reasons were collapsed into eight categories, plus two additional categories for no reason and data not available. All reasons fall into one of two generic categories, meritorious behavior or infractions, frequently referred to in this report simply as good and bad behavior. Table 2 shows the complete list of reasons and the categories they were collapsed into.

# TABLE 2 COMPREHENSIVE LIST OF REASONS FOR EARLY OR LATE RELEASE PAROLE BOARD FILES STATE OF WASHINGTON: FY 1982

#### MERITORIOUS BEHAVIOR

Intensive

Mandatory

Release

Other

Work

Release

Violations

-<u>y</u>-i-

Good Behavior

Parole

Intensive Parole

Appeal Affirmed Board Accelerated EPRD Sentence Commuted by Governor Court Action Changes Stay Paroled to Deportation Serious Paroled to Fed/St Detainer Bad Paroled to Alch, Drug, Behavior Mental Rehab. Release for Medical Reasons Old Age Young Age Post Vietnam Syndrome Good Parole Plan Family Support Community Support Institutional Support Board Waived Sentence Good Work Record -1 Good Work Record -2 Clean Conduct Record Lack of Prior Convictions Judged Unlikely to Reoffend Change in Attitude Has Gone College Successful Programming Good Psych Report Victim's Attitude to Inmate Crime Class Change Credit For Time in Other Inst. Crime Circum Early Release Minor Died in Prison Ead

## INFRACTIONS

Work Release Termination Fail Adjust Work Release Fail Turn in Income Fired with Cause Fail to Find Job Falsify Work Records Fail to Maintain Employment Leave Facility W/O Permission Drive W/O License Ouit Job W/o Permission Alcohol Violation Poss/Use Alcohol Escape Use Drugs Time Possession Drug Paraphernalia "Dirty" Urine Analysis Miscellaneous Fail Attend Alch/Drug Treat Parole Plan Denied

Criminal Assistance Fighting Assault Inmate Threaten Bodily Harm Murder Inmate Assault Guard Possess Contraband Throw Material at Staff Possess Weapon Mutilate Self -1 Mutilate Self -2 Attempt Suicide Cause/Participate Riot Leave State W/O Perm (F) Use Drugs (F) Commit Crime (W/R) Interfere Administrative Duties Interfere With Count In Unauthorized Area Refuse Shakedown Interfere With Staff Duties Refuse to Obey an Order Safety Detriment Contribute to General Infraction Refuse Return to Cell Possession Unauthorized Goods Possession of Money Possession Stolen Property Steal Food Abuse Staff Behavior Abuse Staff Verbally Tatooing Destroy State Property Fail Program -1 Fall Program -2 Fail Work Detail Fail to Attend Class Sex With Consenting Inmates Phone or Mail Violations Violate Furlough (F) Leave County W/O Perm (F) Poss/Use Alcohol (F) -1 Poss/Use Alcohol (F) -2 Escape Time Wickert Time Parole Plan Parole Plan Denied

Possession/Use of Narc/Alch

Rape Inmate

Attempt Escape

 ${}^{1}F = Furlough$ 

Parole Plan Denied Poor Parole Risk Late Parole Plan Submission Prior Recent Escapes/Abscond Negative Psych Rep Appeal Lost

#### METHODS

Some Parole Board files for a single inmate contained as many as seventeen different reasons for an early or late release. The mulitiplicity of reasons found in the files posed a problem: How to select the single most significant reason for a given inmate being released early or late. The problem was partially solved by collapsing the reasons into the eight categories shown on Table 2. However there were still many files with reasons that spanned two or more categories. (It should be noted, however, that very few files listed meritorious behavior and infractions together on a single inmate's file.) In order to select the most significant reason, a hierarchy of the eight reason categories was developed. The highest ranking reason category on each file was selected as the explanation for any time difference found there. The highest ranking reason always excluded any lower ranking reasons found on the same file. Table 3 shows, in descending order, the heirarchical order of reason categories.

#### TABLE 3 HIERARCHY OF RELEASE CATEGORIES BY TYPE OF REASON

# MERITORIOUS BEHAVIOR

#### INFRACTIONS

Intensive Parole
Mandatory Reduction
4.
5.
6. Good Behavior
7.
8.

Serious Bad Behavior Escape Time Work Release Violation

# Minor Bad Behavior Parole Plan Denied

The following is a list of definitions for each of the reason categories named in Table 3. These definitions also provide the rationale for the order into which the reasons were hierarchically arranged.

Intensive Parole is an option provided for some inmates that make them eligible for parole almost immediately upon entry to prison. The vast majority of Intensive Parole candidates are non-violent offenders, and frequently first offenders. The program exposes such individuals to the reality of prison confinement without a long term exposure to the prison social structure.

Preceding page blank



This reason preceeds any other because intensive parole releasees are selected soon after their admission to prison. These persons are rarely in prison long enough to be affected by reasons listed lower on the hierachy.

The Mandatory Release category is comprised mainly of inmates that ο are serving a mandatory minimum term (not initial'y subject to the discretion of the Parole Board). If those inmates have proven to be serving meritorious time it is possible that their minimum term will be reconsidered and reduced. Other releases included in the Mandatory Release category are due to: Successful appeals, reviews of sentences by the court, and sentences commuted by the Governor.

Mandatory release applies only to persons who are sentenced under a statutory determinate sentence. Person's with prison infractions listed lower in the hierarchy are not normally considered for this group, and credit for good behavior is often associated with mandatory releases, so is therefore subsumed by the mandatory release category.

- Serious Bad Behavior involves a serious infraction of prison codes, 0 or a crime committed in prison. It is possible for inmates with these reasons cited on their records to have also earned some lower ranking good behavior credit, though this is rare. However, it is assumed that serious infractions outweigh that credit.
- Escape Time is the penalty added to the prison term for escape. It is 0 a special case of serious bad behavior. Escape time was treated as a separate category because escapes represent a special corrections problem.
- Work Release Violations are violations of work release rules. Many 0 infractions in this category correspond to infractions in prison. The loss of good time for a work release violation can offset, in most cases, any of the good reasons that may shorten a prison stay. The lower ranked minor bad behavior has less impact. Work release violations were studied separately to see whether corresponding irfractions in prison and in work release are regarded with the same degree of severity.
- Good Behavior involves any of the meritorious activities detailed in ο Table 2. It is ranked higher than minor bad behavior because it is believed time gained through good behavior can offset loss of good time due to only minor infractions.
- Minor Bad Behavior involves a minor infraction of prison codes. These are viewed as contributing factors to higher ranking bad reasons (or minor offsetting incidents for higher ranking good reasons). Inmates listed with only minor infractions are expected to have small deviations from their expected release dates.
- Parole Plan Denied is the situation when an inmate is eligible for parole, but his parole plan is not accepted (i.e., no residence, no job, etc.) Inmates in this category have none of the above listed good or bad reasons on their records. The only thing delaying their release is a placement problem.

The time difference in months between the EPRD and the actual release date was calculated for each case and used as the dependent variable. The analysis of the time difference was aimed at answering three questions: How large was the time difference corresponding to each reason? Was there a relationship between the reasons for early/late release and crime type? Following from the second question, was there a relationship between crime type and time difference?

The study was designed to deal with the frequency of time differences by reason and by crime type. By calculating time differences in months the total number of cases was divided into 77 categories of time differences, ranging from -38 months to +38 months (76 months plus the zero category).

this report.

1

The zero category is actually a range from -29 to +29 days. Inmates released within this range around their EPRDs were considered to be released on time, primarily because almost all cases released within a month of their EPRD listed no reason for the time difference. The implication is that there is a normal variation around the EPRD that is purely administrative.

Frequencies, means, and standard deviations of the time differences were all calculated over monthly increments of the time differences. Those results have been collapsed into six month categories for presentation in

# RESULTS

. .

Table 4 shows the number of inmates who were released early, late, or on time, by reason category. There are clear patterns in the time differences associated with each reason. Not surprising is the fact that cases falling under the broad category of good behavior are almost exclusively released early.

Seventy-six percent of cases with no reason listed are released on time. Seventy-nine percent of cases with Intensive Parole listed as a reason are released 1 to 18 months early, while less than 5 percent of those cases are released on time or late. Mandatory Releases show a fairly even distribution of early releases. Eighteen percent of Mandatory Releases are 38+ months early, more than for any other reason. For Other Good Behavior, 60 percent of inmates are released between 1 and 12 months early. Ó

As would be expected, infractions are uniformly associated with late releases. In all of those categories the largest percentage of releases fall between 1 and 6 months late. Serious Bad Reasons and Escape Time account for most of the releases that are later than six months. Work Release Violations also account for a significant percentage of late releases past six months.

	Months Diff.	No Reason	Intensive Parole	Mandatory Release	Other Good Behavior	Serious Bad Behavior	Minor Bad Behavior	Escape Time	Work Release Violation	Parole Plan Denied	Data Not Available	Total
	E 38+	0	2	7	15	1	1	0	0	0	12	38
	Col %	0.00	1.94	17.50	7.46	0.52	4.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.56	2.88
	E 25 to 37	1	4	5	15	0	0	1	0	0	14	40
	Col %	0.38	3.88	12.50	7.46	0.00	0.00	1.35	0.00	0.00	4.15	3.03
	£ 19 to 24	0	11	5	15	0	0	0	0	0	10	41
	Col ≵	0.00	10.68	12.50	7.46	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.97	3.11
	E 13 to 18	1	19	8	23	2	0	1	0	0	11	65
	Col ¥	0.38	18,45	20.00	11.44	1.03	0.00	1.35	0.00	0.00	3.26	4.93
	E7 to 12	2	37	4	40	1	0	0	0	0	32	116
	Col t	0.76	35.92	10.00	19.90	0.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.50	8,80
	E 1 to 6	33	25	10	80	1	1	2	0	0	57	209
	Col %	12.55	24.27	25.00	39.80	0.52	4.76	2.70	0.00	0.00	16.91	15.86
	On Time <sup>1</sup>	199	3	0	9	12	2	2	7	1	26	261
	Col え	75.67	2.91	0.00	4.48	6.19	9.52	2.70	11.29	4.35	7.72	19.80
	L 1 to 6	25	2	1	3	99	14	24	42	20	98	328
	Col %	9.51	2.94	2.50	1.49	51.03	66.67	32.43	67.74	86.96	29.08	24.89
	L 7 to 12	2	0	0	1	48	2	16	8	1	47	125
	Col 北	0.76	0.00	0.00	0.50	24.74	9.52	21.62	12.90	4.35	13.95	9.48
	L 13 to 18	0	0	0	0	15	0	15	3	۱	16	50
	Col ≵	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.73	0.00	20.27	4.84	4.35	4.75	3.79
	L 19 to 24	0	0	0	0	9	0	5	0	0	4	18
	Col %	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.64	0.00	6.76	0.00	0.00	1.19	1.37
	E 25 to 37	0	0	0	0	5	0	6	2	0	5	18
	Col ≵	00,0	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.58	0.00	8.11	3.23	0.00	1.48	1.37
	L 38+	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	5	9
	Col 发	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.52	4.76	2.70	0.00	0.00	1.48	0.68
	Total	263	103	40	201	194	21	74	62	23	337	1318
·	Percent Per Reason	19.95	7.81	3.03	15.25	14.72	1.59	5.61	4.70	1.75	25.57	
	1 <sub>+</sub> 29 Days											

# TABLE 4 INMATES RELEASED EARLY, LATE, AND ON TIME BY REASON CATEGORY STATE OF WASHINGTON: FY 1982

Table 5 shows the reasons listed for the release of inmates in each crime type. Table 5 reveals that there are definite patterns among the kinds of reasons that are assigned to different crime types. In general, a larger percentage of inmates in serious crime categories are listed with good behavior reasons than they are with bad behavior reasons. Conversely, inmates in less serious crime categories are listed with a larger percentage of reasons that fall under the heading of bad behavior.

.

Notable is that 78 percent of murderers are released early, with Mandatory Release or Other Good Behavior listed as the reason. However, it is important to be aware that this statistic is calculated over a very small N of 18. Still, this pattern persists for other violent crimes with a greater number of cases. Large percentages of inmates in the manslaughter, sex crime, robbery, and assault crime categories are released with reasons listed under Other Good Behavior.

In contrast to violent offenders, property and drug offenders are released with Other Good Behavior only eight and eleven percent of the time, respectively. The largest percentage of inmates released with Serious Bad Behavior is found in the property offender category, at 19 percent.

	No Reason	Intensive Parole	Mandatory Release		Serious Bad Behavior		Escape Time	Work Release	Parole Plan Denied	Data Not Available	Total
Murder <sup>1</sup>	2	0	5	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	18
Row %	11.11	0.00	27.78	44.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	
Manslaughter	8	1	1	11	2	1	2	0	1	4	31
Row %	25.81	3.23	3.23	35.48	6.45	3.23	6.45	0.00	3.23	12.90	
Sex Crimes	9	3	6	23	4	1	1	2	1	17	67
Row %	13.43	4.48	8,96	34.33	5.97	1.49	1.49	2.99	1.49	25.37	
Robbery	27	6	9	36	15	1	7	7	1	41	150
Row な	18.00	4.00	6.00	24.00	10.00	0.67	4.67	4.67	0.67	27.33	
Assault	24	10	8	47	14	0	4	5	2	24	138
Row %	17-39	7.25	5.80	34.06	10.14	0.00	2.90	3.62	1.45	17.39	
Property	100	72	6	49	115	12	41	27	9	169	600
Row 劣	16.67	12.00	1.00	8.17	19.17	2.00	6.83	4.50	1.50	28.17	
Drug Crimes	17	6	1	8	8	2	2	7	2	19	72
Row %	23.61	8.33	1.39	11.11	11.11	2.78	2.78	9.72	2.78	26.39	
Parole Viol	73	4	3	18	34	3	15	14	6	55	225
Row %	32.44	1.78	1.33	8.00	15.11	1.33	6.67	6.22	2.67	24.44	
Other	3	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	1	3	15
Row 2	20.00	6.67	6.67	6.67	13.33	6.67	13.33	0.00	6.67	20.00	
Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Row %	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	
Total	263	103	41	201	194	21	75	62	23	337	1318
Row そ	19.92	7.80	3.11	15.23	14.70	1.59	5.68	4.70	1.74	25.53	

<sup>1</sup>Includes murder 1 and murder 2.

# TABLE 5 CRIME TYPE OF INMATES RELEASED INMATES IN EACH REASON CATEGORY STATE OF WASHINGTON: FY 1982

Tables 4 and 5 are summarized in Table 6. In addition, Table 6 shows the <u>amount</u> of time that inmates were released early or late.

Since it has been shown that violent offenders more frequently accrue good behavior reasons than do non-violent offenders, it should be expected that they receive larger sentence reductions. Table 6 shows that this has been the case. Fifty percent of the 18 murder cases studied were released 36 or more months early. Other violent offenders were also typically released early. Thirty-five percent of manslaughter cases were released early by 1 to 12 months. Another 15 percent were released 13 to 38+ months early, making a total of 50 percent of manslaughter cases that were released early. A total of 70 percent of sex crime cases were released early, with 38 percent being released more than a year early.

Robbery and assault showed similar patterns. Forty-nine percent of robbery cases were released early, 19 percent were released on time, and 32 percent were released late. Sixty-two percent of assault cases were released early and 22 percent were released late.

Half of property offenders were released late, with 29 percent being released one to six months late. Seventeen percent were released on time, leaving only 33 percent that were released early.

Thirty-eight percent of drug offenders were released one to six months late, 18 percent on time, and 21 percent 1 to 6 months early. Thus, about 77 percent of drug offenders were released within six months of their EPRD.

About half of parole violators and other offenders were released late, each with large percentages in the on time category.

٩.

\*

	Months Diff.	Murder	Mans laughter	Sex	Robbery	Assault	Property	Drugs	Parole Violators	Other	Unknown	Total
U	E 38+	9	1	3	6	10	6	1	1	0	1	38
	Row %	50.00	3.23	4.48	4.00	7.25	1.00	1.39	0.44	0.00	50.00	2.88
6	E 25 to 37	3	2	10	4	16	3	1	1	0	0	40
	Row %	16.67	6.45	14.93	2.67	11.59	0.50	1.39	0.44	0.00	0.00	3.03
	E 19 to 24	2	2	6	10	13	6	0	2	0	0	41
	Row %	11.11	6.45	8.96	6.67	9.42	1.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.00	3.11
	E 13 to 18	0	0	6	11	14	25	4	3	2	0	65
	Row %	0.00	0.00	8.96	7.33	10.14	4.17	5.56	1.33	13.33	0.00	4.93
	E 7 to 12	3	3	6	13	11	63	7	8	2	0	116
	Row %	16.67	9.68	8.96	8.67	7.97	10.50	9.72	3.56	13.33	0.00	8.80
	E 1 to 6	0	8	16	30	22	95	15	22	1	0	209
	Row %	0.00	25.81	23.88	20.00	15.94	15.83	20.83	9.78	6.67	0.00	15.86
	On Time <sup>1</sup>	0	9	7	28	23	102	13	76	3	0	261
	Row &	0.00	29.03	10.45	18.67	16.67	17.00	18.06	33.78	20.00	0.00	19.80
	L 1 to 6	1	3	6	26	16	176	27	67	5	1	328
	Row %	5.56	9.68	8.96	17.33	11.59	29.33	37.50	29.78	33.33	50.00	24.89
	L 7 to 12	0	1	5	13	9	67	2	27	1	0	125
	Row \$	0.00	3.23	7.46	8.67	6.52	11.17	2.78	12.00	6.67	0.00	9.48
	L 13 to 18	0	1	0	4	2	32	1	9	1	0	50
	Row \$	0.00	3.23	0.00	2.67	1.45	5.33	1.39	4.00	6.67	0.00	3.79
	L 19 to 24	0	0	1	0	1	13	0	3	0	0	18
	Row %	0.00	0.00	1.49	0.00	0.72	2.17	0.00	1.33	0.00	0.00	1.37
	L 25 to 37 Row %	0 0.00	1 3.23	1 1.49	3 2.00	0 0.00	9 1.50	0.00	4 1.78	0 0.00	0 0.00	18 1.37
	L 38+	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	2	0	0	9
	Row &	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.33	0.72	0.50	1.39	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.68
	Total	18	31	67	, 150	138	600	72	225	15	2	1318
	1 <u>+</u> 29 days.											-

# TABLE 6 INMATES RELEASED EARLY, LATE, AND ON TIME BY CRIME TYPE, VOLUME AND PERCENT STATE OF WASHINGTON: FY 1982

Tables 7 and 8 summarize the findings of this report. They show how many inmates were released early, late, or on time, and the average amount of time each inmate was released early or late. Table 7 shows these results by reason category.

# TABLE 7 MEAN TIME EARLY OR LATE RELEASED BY REASON CATEGORY

	No Reason	Intensive Parole	Mandatory Release	Other Good Behavior	Serious Bad Behavior	Minor Bad Behavior	tscape Time	Work Release Violation Parole	Parole Plan Denied
# Released Early %	37 14.07	98 95,15	39 97•5	188 93.53	5 2.57	2	4 5.41	0	0
Mean Time Diff. S.D.	2.62	14.45	15.72	12.53	16.40	9.52 22.50 24.75	12.00	0.0	0.0
# On Time	199	3	0	9	12	24.75	10.00	- 7	-
\$	75.67	2.91	0.0	4.48	6.19	9.52	2.70	11.29	4.35
∦ Released Late %	27 10.27	2 1.94	1 2.50	4 1.99	177 91.24	17 80.95	68 91.89	55 88.71	22 95.65
Mean Time Diff. S.D.	2.63 2.83	3.00 1.41	2.00	3.50 3.32	7.79 7.18	5.41 9.39	11.81 9.54	5.64 6.15	3.41 2.94

Table 8 shows the same data by crime category.

# TABLE 8 MEAN TIME EARLY OR LATE RELEASED BY CRIME CATEGORY

	Murder	Mans laughter	Sex Crimes	Robbery	Assault	Property Crimes	Drug Crimes	Parole Violators	Other
∦ Released Early	17	16	47	74	86	198	28	37	5
%	94.44	51.61	70.15	49.33	62.32	33.00	38.89	16.44	33.33
Mean Time Diff.	31.35	11.50	16.30	12.54	17.76	8.58	7.96	7.59	11.60
S.D.	12.28	12.23	13.41	11.91	13.12	8.13	9.74	9.15	4.51
# On Time	0	9	7	28	23	102	13	76	3
そ	0.00	29.03	10.45	18.67	16.67	17.00	18.06	33.78	20.00
∦ Released Late % Mean Time Diff. S.D.	1 5.56 5.00	6 19.35 10.16 13.12	13 19.40 8.69 7.59	48 32.00 9.46 10.62	29 21.01 7.41 7.95	300 50.00 7.80 7.39	31 43.06 4.90 7.12	112 49.78 7.67 8.28	7 46.67 4.57 4.50













•