







U.S. Department of Justice Bureau of Justice Statistics



Bureau of Justice Statistics Builletin

Case Filings in State Courts, 1983

For 1983, 46 States and the District of Columbia reported more than 80 million cases filed in trial courts throughout the Nation. Of these filings, approximately 13 million were civil, 11 million criminal, 1 million juvenile, and 57 million traffic (table 1).

Preliminary data on State court case filings in 1983 indicate that more than 70% of all filings involve traffic cases. In 20 States, parking violations were included in raffic offenses, boosting the overall caseload. For the 24 jurisdictions able to provide this data, felony cases represented less than 2% of all case filings.

Trial court data from Indiana, Mississippi, Nevada and Ohio were not available at the time of this report. However, based on case filings from previous years, these four States could add as many as 4 million filings to the 1983 estimate of 80 million.

Of the 57 million traffic filings, more than 43 million were filed in States that did not distinguish between parking violations and other traffic offenses, which makes it difficult to gauge the impact of nonserious traffic cases on the total reported caseload.

Data from States able to report for both years showed that during the period between 1978 and 1983, civil filings increased nearly 20% and criminal filings increased 23%. Nationwide, the rate of increase for civil cases was slightly less than 4% per year and for criminal cases, slightly more than 4% per year.

October 1984

More than 80 million cases were filed in State trial courts during 1983. Although the large majority of these were traffic cases, civil and criminal cases accounted for 16% and 13%, respectively, of the total number of cases filed. Both civil and criminal caseloads increased by roughly 4% a year between 1978 and 1983.

The most rapid increase in case filings, however, was at the appellate level, where filings rose by 30% over the 5-year period. During this period, seven States established intermediate appellate courts.

These statistics are derived

Individual States vary widely in changes over the 5-year period. Some States show caseload declines, while others show increases of more than 100% (table 2).

Appellate court filings increased at a faster pace than trial court filings. Excluding States that created intermediate appellate courts between 1978 and 1983, appellate filings showed an increase of 30%.

Trial court filings

Civil caseloads include torts (personal injury and property damage cases), contract cases, and the much more numerous small claims, domestic relations, and probate cases. Criminal court case filings. This bulletin initiates a series of annual Bureau of Justice Statistics bulletins releasing preliminary data on court case filings. We wish to express our apprecia-

from preliminary data on State

tion to the Conference of State Court Administrators and the National Center for State Courts for their continuing effort to establish a State court statistical series and to the individual Chief Justices and court administrators for providing these data.

> Steven R. Schlesinger Director

filings, as reported in this bulletin, include felonies and misdemeanors, as well as preliminary hearings in courts of limited jurisdiction, ordinance violations (other than traffic), and miscellaneous criminal cases.

Criminal cases account for less than 13% percent of total filings. However, criminal cases, especially felonies, place far greater demands on court time and resources than other less serious filings.

Only 24 States were able to separate the felony cases from other criminal cases. Although the definition of felony crimes varies from State to State, data for these 24 States do provide an indication of the proportion



				Total	······································	Total
State	Civil	Criminal	Juvenile	excluding traffic	Traffic	including traffic
Total	12,839,400	10,511,116	1,142,271	24,074,511	57,287,920	80,580,851 ^a
Alabama	210,626	136,986	45,173	392,785	208,030	600,815
Alaska ^D	30,065	30,697	1,497	62,259	89,281	151,540
Arizona	151,293	290,080	8,489	449,862	943,181	1,393,043
Arkansas d	153,176	141,737	10,318	305,231	383,236	688,467
California ^C	1,637,247	961,769	100,656	2,699,672	15,219,611	17,919,283
Colorado	194,727	56,396	18,055	269,178	170,703	439,281
Connecticut	199,912	116,633	11,328	327,873	355,473	6&3,346
Delaware ^C	52,975	74,312	(e)	127,287	153,899	281,186
District of Columbia ^d	133,377	31,846	10,091	175,314	13,645	188,959
Florida	565,367	486,361	89,395	1,141,193	2,876,747	4,017,870
Georgia c,f	322,765	383,157	32,350	738,272	178,173	916,445
Hawaii ^c	50,902	30,687	9,006	90,595	900,741	991,336
ldaho	54,209	40,965	6,291	101,465	199,894	301,359
Illinois ^e	590,790	640,239	27,127	1,258,156	6,643,531	7,901,687
Iowa ^e	143,007	23,925	6,469	173,401	653,579	826,980
Kansas ^e	115,426	23,371	10,455	149,252	267,988	417,240
Kentucky	183,970	221,832	35,182	440,984	265,434	706,418
Louisiana ^{c, f}	256,660	471,528	50,489	778,677	438,326	1,217,003
Maine	58,563	37,128	3,240	98,931	146,214	245,145
Maryland ^C	610,718	159,508	33,804	804,030	723,737	1,527,767
Massachusetts ^h	461,922	235,675	43,105	740,702	326,591	1,067,293
Michigan	509,818	272,456	25,036	807,310	1,855,823	2,663,133
Minnesota ^b ,c	236,937	130,203	33,582	400,718	1,483,886	1,884,604
Missouri ^b ,d	208,304	79,186	20,451	307,941	371,719	679,660
Montana Nebraska c,f New Hampshire New Jersey ^C	25,072 75,551 84,959 575,962	2,933 171,698 79,487 462,191	1,111 4,652 7,131 102,481	29,116 251,901 171,577 1,140,634	157,541 194,965 4,595,758	409,442 366,542 5,736,392
New Mexico ^C	72,390	21,484	5,422	99,296	252,615	351,911
New York ^C	1,217,035	717,907	(i)	1,934,942	452,414	2,387,356
North Carolina ^j	401,457	472,104	21,442	895,003	728,517	1,623,520
North Dakota	29,979	19,236	1,463	50,678	125,454	176,132
Dklahoma Dregon Pennsylvania b,k,l,m Rhode Island ^f	223,289 124,920 403,641 42,601	67,890 143,374 578,500 42,967	12,326 51,108 6,282	280,620 1,033,249 91,850	243,263 546,885 3,674,054	827,505 4,707,303
South Carolina ^f yg South Dakota ^D yg Fennessee ^D Fexas ^C	104,444 38,621 99,437 665,175	36,646 16,322 40,116 1,480,519	8,795 1,257 41,725 11,978	149,885 56,200 181,278 2,157,672	122,359 7,256,865	178,559 9,414,537
Jtah ^C	104,136	103,956	34,843	242,935	835,205	1,078,140
/ermont b,C	28,046	19,749	1,600	49,395	100,878	150,273
/irginia C,n	732,290	445,898	128,567	1,306,755	1,152,699	2,459,454
Vashington ^C	204,677	160,939	21,074	386,690	1,546,950	1,933,640
West Virginia ^C Wisconsin ^O Wyoming	126,981 293,913 32,072	101,050 154,448 95,025	8,148 39,277	236,179 487,638	129,718 216,750 85,588	365,897 704,388

Note: These figures represent virtually all cases filed in general jurisdiction courts and between 70 and 80% of cases filed in limited jurisdiction courts for those States reporting. The following courts reported no data: Alabama (Probate Court, Municipal Court), Arkansas (Justice of the Peace Court), Georgia (Municipal Courts of Savannah and Columbus, Civil Court, Small Claims Court, Justice of the Peace Courts, County Recorder's Courts, Municipal Courts-includes Mayor's, Recorder's, Police, and City council Courts), Kansas (Municipal Court), Louisiana (Justice of the Peace Court, Mayor's Court), Maine (Probate Court), Maryland (Orphan's Court), Montana (Justice of the Peace Court, City Court, Municipal Court), Nebraska (Workmen's Compensation Court), New Mexico (Municipal Court, Probate Court), New York (Town and Village Justice Court), Rhode Island (Municipal Court, Probate Court), Tennessee (General

Sessions Court, Muncipal Court, Trial

Justice Court), West Virginia (Municipal

Court, Justice of the Peace Court, District Court), and Wisconsin (54 of the 211

Municipal Courts reported no data). - Data not reported. ^a Total excludes those States that did not

- report filings for all categories.
 Criminal caseload includes driving while intoxicated (DWI) cases.
- c Traffic caseload includes parking cases. In Virginia, however, only contested parking
- d Juvenile caseload includes paternity cases in Arkansas, adoption cases in Missouri, and 5,956 neglect cases in the District of
- Columbia. e Criminal caseload in Delaware includes all juvenile cases and traffic cases in the Court
- of Common Pleas. f Criminal caseload includes some general
- jurisdiction court traffic cases. g Traffic caseload in South Dakota includes
- minor non-traffic cases. Traffic case totals from magistrates and municipal courts in South Carolina are unavailable, but are estimated to be at 600,000.

2

^h Does not include 235,254 decriminalized

- motor vehicle complaints. Civil caseload in New York includes juvenile cases. In addition to the civil caseload reported here, 16,344 fillings were reported for the mandatory arbitration program for cases involving damages of \$6,000 or less, and 1,879 filings for the Small Claims Assessment Review Program for appeals of real property assessments.
- J Number of juvenile hearings in North Carolina was used to represent the number of filings.
- k The data reported were recently submitted to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts by the separate courts of Pennsylvania. Consequently, the Administrative Office cannot confirm, at this time, the
- accuracy of the data.
- Tort figures are 97% complete. ^m Felony figures are 98% complete.
- ⁿ Juvenile caseload in Virginia includes juvenile traffic cases.
- ^o Includes only contested Circuit Court traffic cases.

of felonies among criminal cases according to the laws of each reporting State (table 3).

Traffic filings are difficult to compare between States because some States count parking violations as part of their traffic caseload and others do not. Consequently, large fluctuations in the volume of filings and in caseload trends can be caused by parking violations, which consume relatively little court time.

Table 2. Percentage change in a formation of general and limited jurisdiction, 1978-	CY1978 or FY1977-78
State	206,523
Alabama	22 901
Alaska	118 6308
Arizona	89,404~
Arkansas California	1,391,856
	141,251
Colorado Connecticut	207,361
Dolaware	40,015 ^e 159,682
District of Columbia	477,563
Florida	258,390
Georgia	33,656
Hawaii	48.172.
Idaho	689,701
Illinois Iowa	143,817
	92,076
Kansas	165,994
Kentucky Louisiana	202,575 48,536
Maine	472,980
Maryland	295,117
Massachusetts	25,055
Montana	70,947
Nebraska New Hampshire	65,351 ^e
New Jersey	465,682
New York ⁿ	723,113 ^e
North Carolina	335,341 21,666
North Dakota	181,020
Oklahoma	139,608
Oregon	419,375
Pennsylvania	41 125
Rhode Island	71,169
South Carolina Texas	566,956 63,230
Utah	
Vermont	22,336
Virginia	528,486 176,425
Washington	188,406
Wisconsin	t be used (25
-Data were submitted but could no	
I in this table because of problems	10
comparability. a No data were reported for 6 Justi	ce of the ¹ Cr
Deces Counts and 5 Municipal CO	ui (D)
1 and from approximately Ju	
C The civil caseload does not includ	

paternity cases. Does not include post-judgment actions.

during the year in some of the courts

Criminal caseload includes all juvenile

cases. B The reduction in the civil caseload is

cases and Court of Common Pleas traffic

attributable to a decline of 34% (11,059) in

small claims cases and 20% (21,988) in

landlord tenant cases. The civil caseload for the Probate Court

included.

The number of cases disposed is used as an estimate for the number of cases filed

Appellate filings

Some States have only one court of appeals, the court of last resort, but most have an intermediate appellate court between the trial court and the court of last resort. Appellate court filings include appeals of right, requests to appeal, and original jurisdiction cases, but not petitions for rehearing or other miscellaneous motions (table 4). (Requests to appeal are known by many names, including applications for leave

to appeal, petitions for certiorari, and petitions for review).

Large increases in appellate filings are occurring in almost every State (table 5). These findings are consistent

¹Appeal of right is an appeal that an appellate court is required by law to hear; request to appeal is a petition for review of a judgment made by a lower court or administrator over which the appellate court has discretion to hear or not to hear; original jurisdiction cases are those filed under statutory or constitutional provisions that authorize an appellate court to be the first court to hear the case.

			Criminal filings	
ivil filings	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CY1978 or	CY1983 or	Percent
CY1983 or	Percent	FY1977-78	FY1982-83	change
FY1982-83	change	FIISTING		(1.20)
		121,391	136,986	+13% +24
210,626	+2%	24,688	30,697	+24
30,065	+26			.0
152.186	+28	130,978	141,737	+8
114,282°	+28	794,679	961,769	+21
1,637,247	+18	•	56,396 ^d	+4
	+38	54,482 ^d	50,350	+28
194,727 ^d	-4	91.122	116,633	+39
199,912	+32	53,449 ^e	74,312 ^f	+44
52.975		22,126	31,846	+47
133,377g	-16	330,035	486,361	
\$65,367	+18		383,157 ⁱ	+30
297,488 ^h	+15	293,969	30,687	-24
291,400	+51	40,400	40,965	+40
50,902	+13	29,219	640,239	+24
54,209	-14	517,975	010,200	
590,790	-1			
143,007		25,211	23,371	-7
115,426	+2.5	20,211	221,832	+9
183,970	+11	203,860	471,428	+35
700,010 T00,010	+27	348,4051		
256,660	+21		159,508	+16
58,563	+29	137,325		+4
610,718		209,001	216,860 ^k	
397,564 ^k	+35	2,842	2,933.	+3
25,072	0	168,530 ⁱ	171,698	+2
75,551	+6	41,282	79 487	+93
84,959,	+30	378,250	447,419 ^m	+19
575,2831	+24			+32
	+68	544,411 ^e	717,907	+14
1,217,035		413,679	472,104	+3
401,457	+20	18,719	19,236	+14
29,979	+38	59,348	67,890	+21
223,289	+23	118,660	143,374	
124,920	-11		548,600 ^P	-2
	-4	558,733	42,967 ⁱ	+24
403,6410	+4	34,638 ¹	36,646	+21
42,601	+25	30,308		+35
89,137 ^q	+17	1,099,882 51,314 ^r	1,480,519	+103
665,175		51,314 ^r	103,956t	
104,136 ^s	+65		19,749	+2
28,046	+26	19,299	445,898	+32
732,290	+39	338,923	160 939	+16
134,430	+16	139,273	101,287	+62
204,677 196,348 ^u	+4	62,592	101,201	

5,277 cases) was removed from the 1983 seload figures to make it comparable with

- riminal caseload includes some traffic ases. In Louisiana no traffic cases are
- ncluded in the courts of general jurisdiction nerated in the courts of general jurisdiction only, not in the courts of limited jurisdiction. ncludes 75,166 personal property tax cases no longer filed after 1980.
- k Excludes caseloads of the Superior Court and Boston Municipal Court to make figure
- comparable with 1978.
- Excludes 679 Surrogates Court cases to make figure comparable with 1978.
- m Excludes 14,772 domestic violence cases to make figure comparable with 1978.
- n Civil caseload in New York includes juvenile
- cases. Tort figures are 97% complete for Courts of
- P Felony figures are 98% complete for Courts Common Pleas. of Common Pleas. Excludes 29,900 cases for

3

Pittsburgh Magistrates Court to make figure comparable with 1978. 9 Excludes 15,307 Probate Court cases to make

- figure comparable to 1978. P Does not include 20 of the 181 justices of the
- s 80% of the total increase in civil filings is accounted for by the increase in filings in the City Court, which was replaced by the

Enter

- Circuit Court July 1,1978. Eighty-six percent of the total increase in criminal filings can be accounted for by the increase in Justice Court cases, which handles only misdemeanors and preliminary
- u Excludes 97,565 uncontested small claims cases to make the figure comparable with
- 1978. V 11,858 traffic misdemeanors and 41,303 uncontested ordinance violation cases were removed from the 1983 figures to make them comparable with 1978.

with an earlier study showing that appellate filings grew almost 30% between 1978 and 1982.² Filings in courts of last resort in States with an intermediate appellate court increased by 27% during the period of study, and filings in courts of last resort in States without an intermediate appellate court increased 37%. Filings in intermediate appellate courts increased by 32% during the same period.

This increase in appellate filings may be related to the increased opportunity to appeal in States that have established an intermediate appellate court, expanded the jurisdiction of the appellate court, added judges to existing appellate courts, or created new judgeships for the new appellate court.

The creation of an intermediate appellate court is usually accompanied by the award of discretionary jurisdiction to the court of last resort. Hence, filings in the court of last resort, after the creation of an intermediate appellate court, will contain both appeals of right as well as the more frequent requests to appeal.

Because there is generally a substantial difference in the time a judge requires to decide an appeal of right or a request to appeal granted, and the time required to decide whether or not to grant an appeal or dispose of an

²Marvell, Thomas, "Recent Appellate Caseloads" IV Appellate Court Administration Review (1982-83).

criminal category.

original jurisdiction matter, it is helpful to separate total filings into appeals, requests to appeal, and original jurisdiction cases (table 6).

Conclusion

Since the beginning of this century, there have been numerous calls for statistical documentation of the business of State courts. Such data are needed to show litigation trends, to provide insight on the effect of reform efforts on the pace of justice, to equip State court administrative offices with tools for operational improvements, and to increase public awareness of courtrelated issues.

Until recently, repeated efforts to compile National court data have been frustrated by lack of uniformity in case reports, incomplete and erroneous data, the absence of statistical reporting centers, and a general inability on the part of the judicial system to provide such data.

The statistics reported in this bulletin are a small segment of a more determined effort to develop a National base of State court caseload data that contains sufficient detail for the documentation of litigation trends and current workloads, and for forecasts that can be used to gauge more accurabily the role of courts in the future. Although the data presented here provide only a very general summary of current caseload volumes and may

4

	filings		Other criminal filings			
State	Number	Percent		Number	Percent	
Alaska	1,607	5%		29,090 ⁸	95%	
Arizona	14,519	5		275,561	95	
Arkansas	18,396	13		123,341	87	
California	72,421	8		889,348	92	
Connecticut	8,138	7		108,495 ^D	93	
District of Columbia	4,770	15		27,076	85	
Florida	154,750	32		331,611	68	
ldaho	3,223	8		37,742	92	
Illinois	42,064	7		598,175	93	
Kentucky	15,189	7		206,643	93	
Maine	3,243	9		33,885	90	
Michigan	36,518 ⁸	13		235,938	87	
Minnesota	11,265	9		118,938 ⁸	91	
Missouri	16,811	21		62,375	79	
New Hampshire	3,730	5		75,757	95	
New Jersey	35,855	8		426,336	92	
North Carolina	43,708	9		428,396	91	
Oregon	19,348	13		124,026	87	
Pennsylvania	79,195 [°]	14		499,305	86	
South Dakota	2,743	20		13,579 ^a ,d	80	
Texas	92,045	6		1,388,474	94	
Vermont	2,035 ^a	10		17,714	90	
Washington	15,647	10		145,292	90	
Wisconsin	13,752 ^e	9		140,696 ^e	91	
Washington	15,647 13,752 ^e that dis other criminal innal jurisdiction, st or all of the definition of	10 9 a Includes b Type D for meanors. c Felony fi d Some mis	elony case gure 97% demeanor	145,292	90 91 WI) cas misde- fic filin	

change with the continuing efforts to refine collection procedures, they represent the most accurate, comprehensive, and detailed information on court caseloads now available.

Methodology

For the past 7 years, the National Center for State Courts, under the sponsorship of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, has assembled nationwide compilations of State court caseload statistics from State court annual reports. The years 1975 through 1980 are now completed.³ Because State courts require considerable time to produce their annual reports, the production of the State Court Caseload Statistics: Annual Report series has lagged by 2 to 3 years. Therefore, the Case Filings in State Courts bulletin series has been established to provide preliminary summary data in advance of the annual report for users who need current information on State court caseloads. The first advance report on State court caseloads, published as a BJS special report in February 1983. contained filing information for calendar year 1981 and fiscal year 1981-82. When published, State Court Caseload Statistics: Annual Reports, 1981, 1982 and 1983 should provide an evaluation of the accuracy of data contained in these two preliminary reports.

Data contained in this bulletin come from the 1978 annual report and survey responses on 1982 and 1983 caseload data received from State court administrative offices. After the data were received, telephone contact and followup correspondence were used to collect missing items, confirm the accuracy of submitted data, and determine the legal jurisdiction of each court. The rate of response was excellent; most States provided at least some data. However, because different individuals on each State's administrative staff interpreted and completed the survey, differences in reporting among States are inevitable. For example, some States may count reinstated cases as new filings; others do not. Although every effort to ensure the comparability and accuracy of these data has been made, the inherent restrictions imposed by gathering timely data, in some cases before a State has published its own statistical report, must be considered.

Limitations of the data

All data in this bulletin cover a period of one year (but not necessarily the same period in each State). The

³National Court Statistics Project, <u>State Court</u> Caseload Statistics: Annual Report series for 1975 through 1980 (Williamsburg, Virginia, National Center for State Courts, 1980-83).

survey was first sent, in October 1983, to States that report data by fiscal year, and in late February of 1984 to States that report data by calendar year. A verification questionnaire was distributed in May 1984. The data reported here cover either the 1983 calendar year (CY) or the 1982/83 fiscal year (FY).⁴ In those States where major changes in court structure, jurisdiction, or procedures that would affect case filing volumes had occurred, 5-year comparisons were not made. Such major changes included court consolidations combining a number of courts or legislation creating new courts. It was not possible to take into account all changes in court procedures or jurisdiction that occurred during the 5-year period.

Interstate comparison may be affected substantially by variations in case definitions, court organization, and completeness of the data. If one State uses informations or indictments to identify a criminal case while another counts defendants and a third counts charges, the number of cases reported will vary greatly.⁵ Some courts report total filings without a breakdown by types of cases.

There are numerous differences in case types. Any attempt to compare the number of filings, dispositions, etc., between States must also take into account differences in court organization and jurisdiction. For example, Texas and Oklahoma have two courts of last resort, one for civil matters and one for criminal matters; other States have only one. Some States have intermediate appellate court(s); others do not. Some States have one trial court and no separate courts of limited jurisdiction; others have various specialized trial courts.

In States that have only one trial court, those courts have jurisdiction over cases ranging from parking violations to felonies and from small claims to the largest of civil actions. Other States may have some general jurisdiction courts that hear only felony

⁴Courts of general and limited jurisdiction in the following States report on a fiscal year basis: Alabama, Alaska, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia, Hawaii, Kansas, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont.

Appellate Courts in the following States report on a fiscal year basis: Alabama, Alaska, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia, Hawaii, Kansas, Maryland, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Vermont.

⁵An information is a formal written accusation submitted to a court by a prosecutor alleging that a specified person or persons has committed a specified offense (or offenses); an indictment is a similar charging document submitted to the court by a grand jury.

matters (after a preliminary hearing in a limited jurisdiction court); others have general jurisdiction courts that handle only civil cases involving more than a specified amount (such as \$10,000), and several limited or special jurisdiction courts.

The difficulty in making comparisons at the appellate level is largely the result of differences in the type and

extent of discretionary jurisdiction of courts of last resort. In States with two levels of appellate courts, the more difficult appeals are generally heard in the court of last resort, although the types of cases may vary widely from State to State.

These represent just a few of the many differences in court organization and case types. The accuracy and com-

Table 4. Appellate court caseload: filings and dispositions, CY-1983 or FY-1982-83

			Interme	ediate	Total from all		
B 1 4		of last resort	appella	te courts ^a	appellate courts		
State	Filings	Dispositions	Filings	Dispositions	Filings	Disposition	
Alabama ^b	1,304		2,016	1,866	3,320	_	
Alaska	509	538	584	594	1,093	1,132	
Arizona	1,225	1,165	2,559	2,519	3,784	3,684	
Arkansas	479	474	863	907	1,342	1,381	
California	3,856 ^C	3,827°	16,353 ^d	16,363 ^d	20,209	20,190	
Colorado	971	-,	1,453		•		
				1,381	2,424		
Connecticut	837	711	294	209	1,131	920	
Delaware	413	446			413	446	
District of Columbia	1,679	1,710			1,679	1,710	
Florida	1,686	1,517	13,765	14,118	15,451	15,635	
Georgia	1,589	1,610	2,215	<u> </u>	3,804		
lawaji	´518 [€]	480	.91 e	131	518	611	
daho	472	392	165 ^e	111	472	503	
llinois	1,786	1,758	6,815	7,396	8,601	9,154	
	1,700						
owa	1,781 ^e	1,348	(e)	555	1,781	1,903	
Kansas	218	378	1,087	986	1,305	1,364	
(entucky	998	954	2,822	2,229	3,820	3,183	
ouisiana	2,664	2,885	5,378	4,698	8,042	7,583	
laine	540	530	•	,	540	530	
laryland	753	682	2,063	1,936	2,816	2,618	
lassachusetts	1,183	—	1,416	1,284	2,599		
lichigan	2,112	2,224	7,023		9,135	· · ·	
linnesota	1,312	4,444	682	·	1,994		
		995	002			995	
lississippi	1,232				1,232		
lissouri	1,061	1,264	3,062	2,982	4,123	4,246	
lontana	561	546			561	546	
lebraska	993	993			993	993	
lew Hampshire	587	542			587	542	
lew Jersey	1,798	1,633	7,067	7,312	8,865	8,945	
lew Mexico	621	245	561	522	1,182	767	
lew York ^b	784 ^f	684 ^f	13,219	11,685	14,003	12,369	
orth Carolina	683	689	1,398	1,186	2,081	1,875	
orth Dakota	462	005	1,550	1,100	462	1,075	
)hio	2,103	1,882	9,713			-	
)klahoma ^g	2,103 2,657 ^e		763 ^e	1 050	11,816		
		2,493		1,052	2,657	2,493	
)regon	1,159	1,113	3,702	3,423	4,861	4,536	
ennsylvania ^{b,h}	1,333		.9,338	÷	10,671		
thode Island	670	619			670	619	
outh Carolina	2,267	1,563	270	41	2,537	1,604	
outh Dakota	406	399		••	406	399	
ennessee ^b	986	1,013	1,886	1,830	2,872	2,843	
exasg	4.109	3,987	7,037	8,038	11,146	12,025	
		0,001	1,031	0,000		12,020	
Jtah	784				784		
ermont	602	544			602	544	
irginia	2,073	1,922			2,073	1,922	
ashington	913	947	2,910	2,729	3,823	3,676	
lest Virginia	1,159	409			1,159	409	
Visconsin	804	941	2,418	2,284	3,222	3,225	
voming	276	223			276	223	

Data were not available.

The following States did not have

intermediate appellate courts in 1983:

Delaware, District of Columbia, Maine, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, New

Hampshire, North Dakota, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Virginia,

West Virginia, and Wyoming.

This State has two intermediate appellate

courts. Does not include disciplinary

(judicial/attorney conduct) or executive

clemency cases.

Includes motions to dismiss on clerk's

• certificate. • All appellate cases are filed in the courts of last resort. A portion of this caseload is transferred to the intermediate appellate court for disposition.

Appeals only.

8 These States have two courts of last resort (one civil/one criminal).

h The data reported were recently submitted to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts by the separate courts of Pennsylvania. Consequently, the Administrative Office cannot confirm, at this time, the accuracy of the data.

5

pleteness of the data are also affected by the fact that the data for a number of the States do not include all courts. Most often missing are courts of limited jurisdiction such as probate, municipal, small claims, and other types of special courts. The limitations described in this section and the problems discussed in other parts of this report emphasize the need to obtain more detailed information about each jurisdiction's court structure, operating procedures, and reporting procedures before attempting exact interstate comparisons.

and the second second

bulletin, see the introductions to each volume in the annual report series and the Bureau of Justice Statistics Special Report, State Court Caseload Statistics 1977 and 1981 (February 1983, NCJ-87587). The annual report series includes data on caseflow, workload, disposition type breakdowns, time interval data, court organization, and other related data on trial and appellate courts.

Acknowledgments

Special thanks go to the National Court Statistics Project's Advisory Committee members:

William G. Bohn, North Dakota Hugh M. Collins, Louisiana Sue K. Dosal, Minnesota The Honorable Abraham Gafni, Pennsylvania The Honorable Roy O. Gulley, Illinois Walter J. Kane, Rhode Island Dennis Moran, Wisconsin Larry P. Polansky, Washington, D.C. Professor Richard J. Richardson, University of North Carolina Francis J. Taillefer, North Carolina

Further reading

For a more detailed discussion of the limitations of caseload data as well as definitions of the terms used in this

This report would not have been possible without the cooperation and patience of the many court officials who responded to numerous requests for data verification.

	Court	s of last resor	t	Intermediate appellate courts			All appellate courts		
tate	CY-1978 or	CY-1983 or FY-1982-83	Percent change		CY-1983 or FY-1982-83	Percent change	CY 1978 or FY-1977-78	CY-1983 or FY-1982-83	Percent change
					<u> </u>				
ates with intermediate appellate									
ourt created before 1978								0.000	+71%
Alabama ^a	667	1,304	+96%	1,273	2,016	+58%	1,940	3,320	
Arizona	1,171	1,225	+5	2,183	2,559	+17	3,354	3,784	+13
California	3,881	3,856	-1	13,018	16,353	+26	16,899	20,209	+20
Colorado	854	971	+14	1,119	1,453	+30	1,973	2,424	+23
Connecticut	474	837	+76	223	294	+32	697	1,131	+62
Florida	b	b		9,563	13,765	+44			
Georgia	1,506	1,589	+6	2,000	2,215	+11	3,506	3,804	+9
	1,250	1,786	+42	4,411	6,815	+55	5,661	8,601	+52
Illinois	1,490	1,781	+20	.,	,		1,490	1,781	+20
lowa ^c	1,450	218	+40	792	1,087	+37	948	1,305	+38
Kansas					2,822	+80	2,342	3,820	+63
Kentucky	771	998	+29	1,571	5,378d	+125	4,791	8,042	+68
Louisiana	2,405	2,664	+11	2,386	0,010	+25	2,229	2,816	+26
Maryland	585	753	+29	1,644	2,063	+25	4,660	2,010	- 20
Massachusetts	Ь	Ь		1,050	1,416		C 001	0 1 2 5	+33
Michigan	1,636	2,112	+29	5,248	7,02	+34	6,884	9,135	+33
Missouri	615	1,061	+72	2,255	3,062	+36	2,870	4,123	
New Jersey	1,016	1,798	+77	6,098	7,067	+16	7,114	8,865	+25
New Mexico	654	621	5	539	561	+4	1,193	1,182	-1
New York	614	784	+28	10,599	13,219	+25	11,213	14,003	+25
North Carolina	541	683	+26	1,525	1,398	-8	2,006	2,081	+1
Ohio	1,593	2,103	+32	7,546	9,713	+29	9,139	11,816	+29
Oklahoma ^{c,e}	1,729	2,657	+54	449	763	+69	1,729	2,657	+54
Orialionia	594	1,159	+95	3,106	3,702	+19	3,700	4,861	+31
Oregon	1,944	1,333f	-31	7,692	9,338	+21	9,636	10,671	+11
Pennsylvania		986	+21	1,424	1,886	+32	2,237	2,872	+28
Tennessee ^a	813		+40	2,093	2,910	+39	2,747	3,823	+39
Washington	654	913	740	2,035	2,010	.00	2,111		
ates with intermediate appellate									
ourts created between 1978-83*									
Alaska	630	509	-19		584		630	1,093	+73
Arkansas	584	479	-17		863		584	1,342	+130
Hawaii ^c	374	518	+38		91		374	518	+38
Idaho ^C	340	472	+39		165		340	472	+39
	1,117	1,312	+17		682		1,117	1,994	+79
Minnesota			+217		270		714	2,537	+255
South Carolina	714	2,267	+211		2,0			.,	
ates without intermediate					· · ·				ł
opellate courts				Note: Indian	a and Nevada	aid not subr	nit data on appe	nate court fil	mgs.
Delaware	361	413	+14	Texas was no	t included be	ause of juri	sdictional chang	es, wisconsif	i was not
District of Columbia	1,372	1,679	+22		ause of an adm	nnistrative	change affecting	g the base yea	ir reporting
Maine	422	540	+28	period.					
Mississippi	774	1,232	+59				mparable with 1		
Montana	517	561	+8				uests to appeal a		
Nebraska	646	993	+54	include ap			•.•		a de la composition de la comp
Neoraska New Hampshire	310	587	+89	a These Stat	es have two i	ntermediate	appellate court:	s.	
	278	462	+66	b Data from	these States	were submit	ted, but are not	presented her	re because
North Dakota		462	+50		s with compa		,		
Rhode Island	445						ourts of last res	ort A portio	n of this
South Dakota	279	406	+46						
Utah	625	784	+25				rediate appellat		
Vermont	359	602	+68				part to a chang	e in the court	rs juris-
Virginia	1,846	2,073	+12		mid 1982 to ir				
West Virginia	713	1,159	+62		has two court				
Wyoming	157	276	+76				urisdiction was o	changed to ma	ike it a
,, journe					court in 1981.			-	

6

Table 6. Appellate filings by case type and state, CY-1983 or FY-1982-83^B Int Originial State jurisdiction States with intermediate appellate courts Alabama^b 22 3 25 5,595 17 Alaska Arkansas Californiad Colorado Connecticut ----Hawaii¹ Idaho^{C,1} Iowa¹ ____ · ____ ----50 162 1,583 Kansas Kentucky^C Louisiana Maryland _ Massachusetts 456 Michigan Missouri New Jersey 313 New Mexico North Carolina 670 Ohio Oregon 239 Pennsylvania^b South Carolinag _ ----Tennessee Texas^h ----Washington 315 States without intermediate appellate courts Delaware District of Columbia Maine Mississippi Montena Nebraska New Hampshire North Dakota Rhode Island South Dakota Utah Vermont West Virginia Wyoming NA Not available. — Not included in court's jurisdiction. ^a Includes only States that provided a e In breakdown of the appellate caseload. b Has two intermediate appellate courts. c Appeals in the following courts also include f б т В т sentence review only cases: courts of last resort—Alaska (14), Idaho (3), Kentucky (5), Maine (52), New Mexico (27), Ohio (74); intermediate appellate courts-Alaska (164) New Mexico (248). The caseload of the Courts of Appeal also includes 618 motions to dismiss on the

Bureau of Justice Statistics Bulletins are prepared by BJS staff. Carol B. Kalish, chief of data analysis, edits the bulletins. Marilyn Marbrook, publications unit chief, administers their production, assisted by Millie J. Baldea and Joyce M. Stanford. The authors of this bulletin are Carla Gaskins, program manager of the BJS adjudication unit, and Eugene Flango and Jeanne Ito of the National Center for State Courts.

October 1984, NCJ-95111

	rmediate appel	late courts	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Courts of last resort				
l tion	Requests to appeal	Requests to appeal granted	Appeals	Original jurisdiction	Requests to appeal	Requests to appeal granted	Appeals	
							450	
: ;	74		1,994 343	133 19	499 113		672 363	
í	9	8	837	5	·		474	
, . ,	·		10,140 1,436	617 372	3,205 394	286	320	
•			294	-	74		763 [©]	
	· -		91 165	33 35	21	2	485 418	
•	-		NA	-	NA		1,781	
	100	16	1,037 2,576	55 79	700	104	163 323	
	-		3,795	33	2,527		104	
	142		1,921 1,416	65 505	688 419	160 91	160 350	
•	4,996		2,027	54	2,058	138	138	
-	964	290	2,606 6,393	426 121	488	60 123	207 568	
J		200	248	312	NA		309	
1.			1,398 9,043	4 200	538 1,694	68 173	209 382	
•	-		3,702	252	895	134	146	
1			9,099 2708	85 5	1,222 (g)	183	294 2,262	
•			1,986	84	769		133	
	291		7,037 2,304	2,172 117	1,880 652	438	495 144	
	231		2,004	111	002		111	
				10	106 ⁱ	7	403 1,580	
				2	(j)	· · ·	538	
				363 119			869	
							442 993	
				. 	·		587	
				5 47	123		457 500	
				21	38	9	356	
				41 43 ^k	· -		743 559	
				551 35	· · ·		608 241	
e Inc pli f Ca as:	erk's certificat cludes original inary cases, and e grant of cert ases filed in the signed to the C ie Court of App fective Septent ses heard in Oc is two courts o	jurisdiction of d appeals file ification for a Supreme Co ourt of Appe beals was imp ber 1, 1983, ctober, 1983. f last resort.	d following appeal. ourt and als, olemented and the first Small Claims	ments in th where the p than \$50 foi imprisonme of not more application j The court a over worker requests to k Requests to	rs' compensati appeal were r appeal includ	sion of that of d is a fine of inishable by or less, or by or both, is by nce of an apg ionary jurisdi on cases, but	court less fine peal. ction no	
g Th ef: h Ca h Ha i Re	eview of judgen d Conciliation		e Superior Court	jurisdiction	•			
g Th ef ca h Ha i Re an To	d Conciliation o be added to a it out this page National Crii User Service	Branch of th ny BJS maili e, fill it in an ninal Justice	ng list, copy or	Please p	but me on the r BJS reports luding bulleting	10 to 40 repor and special	ts a year, reports	
g Th ef h Ha i Re an To cl	d Conciliation o be added to a it out this page National Crii User Service: Box 6000 Rockville, M	Branch of th ny BJS maili e, fill it in an minal Justice s Dept. 2 D 20850	ng list, copy or d mail it to: Reference Service	Please p All incl BJS rep	but me on the r BJS reports	10 to 40 repor s and special Special Repo st current jus	ts a year, reports rts—timely tice data	
Thef can h Had i Re an Te cu	d Conciliation o be added to a at out this page National Crit User Service Box 6000 Rockville, M If the name an tached are cor	Branch of th ny BJS maili e, fill it in an ninal Justice s Dept. 2 D 20850 d address on rect, check i your name an	ng list, copy or d mail it to: Reference Service the mailing label tere and send a copy d address differ	Please p All incl BJS rep Cou mod orga	but me on the r BJS reports	10 to 40 reports and special Special Repo st current jus tate court ca e reports, Sta eys	ts a year, reports rts—timely tice data seload surv ate court	
g Th ef: h Ha i Re an To cl	d Conciliation o be added to a at out this page National Crit User Service Box 6000 Rockville, M If the name an itached are cor f the label. If y	Branch of th ny BJS maili e, fill it in an ninal Justice s Dept. 2 D 20850 d address on rect, check i your name an	ng list, copy or d mail it to: Reference Service the mailing label tere and send a copy d address differ	Please p All incl BJS rep Cot mod orga Cor vey	but me on the r BJS reports	10 to 40 report s and special Special Repo st current jus tate court ca e reports, Sta eys tsresults of of jails, prise	ts a year, reports rts—timely tice data seload surv ate court sample sur ons, parole	
g Th ef h Ca h Ha i Re an To cu	d Conciliation o be added to a at out this page National Crit User Service Box 6000 Rockville, M If the name an tached are cor f the label, If y om the label, If y	Branch of th ny BJS maili e, fill it in an ninal Justice s Dept. 2 D 20850 d address on rect, check i your name an	ng list, copy or d mail it to: Reference Service the mailing label tere and send a copy d address differ	Please p All incl BJS rep Cou org Cor vey proi	but me on the n BJS reports	10 to 40 reports and special Reports st current just tate court cate reports, State cys tsresults of of jails, prisoner correction	ts a year, reports rts—timely tice data seload surv ate court sample sur ons, parole, is data	
g Th ef ca h Ha i Re an To cu	d Conciliation o be added to a at out this page National Crit User Service Box 6000 Rockville, M If the name an tached are cor f the label. If y om the label, p ame;	Branch of th ny BJS maili e, fill it in an ninal Justice s Dept. 2 D 20850 d address on rect, check i your name an	ng list, copy or d mail it to: Reference Service the mailing label tere and send a copy d address differ	Please p All incl BJS rep Cot org Cot vey prol Nat	but me on the n BJS reports	10 to 40 report s and special Special Reports tate court can e reports, Sta eys tsresults of of jails, prisoner correction urvey reports	ts a year, reports rts—timely tice data seload surv ate court sample sur ons, parole, is data the Natic	
g Th ef h Ha i Re an To cu	d Conciliation o be added to a it out this page National Crii User Service: Box 6000 Rockville, M If the name an tached are cor f the label. If y om the label, f ame: itle:	Branch of th ny BJS maili e, fill it in an ninal Justice s Dept. 2 D 20850 d address on rect, check i your name an	ng list, copy or d mail it to: Reference Service the mailing label tere and send a copy d address differ	Please p All incl BJS rep Cot mod org Cor vey prol Nat only	but me on the n BJS reports	10 to 40 reports and special Reports st current just tate court can e reports, Sta eys ts—results of of jails, prise er correction urvey reports nal survey of	ts a year, reports rts-timely tice data seload surv ate court sample sur ons, parole, is data the Natio crime vict	
The finance of the second seco	d Conciliation o be added to a it out this page National Crii User Service: Box 6000 Rockville, M If the name an tached are cor f the label. If y om the label, p ame: itle: rganization:	Branch of th ny BJS maili r, fill it in an minal Justice s Dept. 2 D 20850 d address on rect, check <i>l</i> your name an blease fill the	ng list, copy or d mail it to: Reference Service the mailing label tere and send a copy d address differ	Please p All incl BJS rep Con mod org Cor mod org Nat only Sou (and	but me on the n BJS reports	to to 40 reports and special Special Reports to current just tate court cate e reports, State ys tsresults of of jails, prise re correction invey reports nal survey of minal Justice ased data fro	ts a year, reports rts-timely tice data seload surv ate court sample sur ons, parole, s data the Natic crime vict Statistics m 153 sourd	

المتنوي

Bureau of Justice Statistics reports (revised October 1984)

Call toll-free 800-732-3277 (local 251-5500) to order BJS reports, to be added to one of the BJS mailing lists, or to speak to a reference specialist in statistics at the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, National Criminal Justice Reference Service. Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850. Single copies of reports are free; use NCJ number to order. Postage and handling are charged for bulk orders of single reports. For single copies of multiple titles, up to 10 titles are free; 11-40 titles \$10; more than 40, \$20; libraries call for special rates.

Public-use tapes of BJS data sets and other criminal justice data are available from the Criminal Justice Archive and Information Network, P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 (313-764-5199).

National Crime Survey

Criminal victimization in the U.S.: 1982 (final report), NCJ-92820, 11/84 1973-82 trends, NCJ-90541, 9/83 1981 (final report), NCJ-90208 1980 (final report), NCJ-84015, 4/83

- 1979 (final report), NCJ-76710, 12/81
- BJS special reports:

The economic cost of crime to victims, NCJ-93450, 4/84

Family violence, NCJ-93449, 4/84 BJS bulletins:

Criminal victimization 1933, NCJ-93869, 6/84 Households touched by crime, 1983, NCJ-93658. 5/84 Violent crime by strangers, NCJ-80829, 4/82

Crime and elderly, NCJ-79614, 1/82 Measuring crime, NCJ-75710, 2/81

The National Crime Survey: Working papers, vol. I: Current and historical perspectives, NCJ-75374, 8/82

Crime against the elderly in 26 cities, NCJ-76706, 1/82

The Hispanic victim, NCJ-69261, 11/81 Issues in the measurement of crime, NCJ-74682, 10/81

Criminal victimization of California residents,

1974-77, NCJ-70944, 6/81 Restitution to victims of personal and household

crimes, NCJ-72770, 5/81 Criminal victimization of New York State

residents, 1974-77, NCJ-66481, 9/80 The cost of negligence: Losses from preventable household burglaries, NCJ-53527, 12/79

Rape victimization in 26 American cities, NCJ-55878, 8/79

Criminal victimization in urban schools, NCJ-56396, 8/79

Crime against persons in urban, suburban, and rural areas, NCJ-53551, 7/79

An introduction to the National Crime Survey, NCJ-43732, 4/78

Local victim surveys: A review of the issues, NCJ-39973, 8/77

U.S. Department of Justice Bureau of Justice Statistics

Corrections

BJS bulletins and special reports:

Prison admissions and releases 1981, NCJ-95043, 9/84 Capital punishment 1983, NCJ-93925, 7/84 Time served in prison, NCJ-93924, 6/84

Prisoners in 1983, NCJ-85861, 12/82 Prisoners in State and Federal institutions on

Dec. 31, 1982 (final), NCJ-93311, 12/84 Dec. 31, 1981 (final), NCJ-86485, 7/83 Capital punishment 1982 (final), NCJ-91533, 11/84

Capital punishment 1981 (final), NCJ-86484,

1979 survey of inmates of State correctional facilities and 1979 census of State correctional facilities: BJS special report:

Career patterns in crime, NCJ-88672, 6/83 BJS bulletins:

Prisoners and drugs, NCJ-87575, 3/83 Prisoners and alcohol, NCJ-86223, 1/83 Prisons and prisoners, NCJ-80697, 2/82 Veterans in prison, NCJ-79632, 11/81 Census of jails and survey of jail inmates:

Jail inmates 1982 (BJS bulletin), NCJ-87161, 2/83

Census of jails, 1978: Data for individual jails, vols. I-IV, Northeast, North Central, South, West, NCJ-72279-72282, 12/81

Profile of jail inmates, 1978, NCJ-65412, 2/81 Census of jails and survey of jail inmates, 1978, preliminary report, NCJ-55172, 5/79

Parole and probation

BJS bulletins: Probation and parole 1983, NCJ-94776,

9/84 Setting prison terms, NCJ-76218, 8/83 Characteristics of persons entering parole during 1978 and 1979, NCJ-87243, 5/83

Characteristics of the parole population, 1978, NCJ-66479, 4/81 Parole in the U.S., 1979, NCJ-69562, 3/81

Courts

BJS bulletin: Case filings in State courts 1983, NCJ-95111, 10/84

- BJS special reports: Criminal defense systems: A national survey, NCJ-94630, 8/84
- Habeas corpus, NCJ-92949, 3/84 State court caseload statistics, 1977 and
- 1981, NCJ-87587, 2/83 The prosecution of felony arrests, 1979, NCJ-
- 86482, 5/84
- State court organization 1980, NCJ-76711, 7/82 State court model statistical dictionary, NCJ-62320, 9/80
- A cross-city comparison of felony case processing, NCJ-55171, 7/79
- Federal criminal sentencing: Perspectives of analysis and a design for research, NCJ-33683, 10/78
- Variations in Federal criminal sentences, NCJ-33684, 10/78
- Predicting sentences in Federal courts: The feasibility of a national sentencing policy,

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

NCJ-33686, 10/78

Jus 436 THIRD CLASS BULK RATE



Washington, D.C. 20531



State and local prosecution and civil attorney systems, NCJ-41334, 7/78 Expenditure and employment Justice expenditure and employment in the U.S., 1979 (final report), NCJ-87242, 12/83 Justice expenditure and employment in the U.S., 1971-79, NCJ-92596, 11/84

Privacy and security Computer crime: Electronic fund transfer and crime, NCJ-92650, 2/84 Computer security techniques. NC 1-84049, 9/82 Electronic fund transfer systems and crime, NCJ-83736, 9/82

Legislative resource manual, NCJ-78890, 9/81 Expert witness manual, NCJ-77927, 9/81 Criminal justice resource manual, NCJ-61550, 12/79

Privacy and security of criminal history information:

A guide to research and statistical use, NCJ-69790, 5/81

A guide to dissemination, NCJ-40000, 1/79 Compendium of State legislation: NCJ-48981, 7/78

1981 supplement, NCJ-79652, 3/82

Criminal justice information policy:

Information policy and crime control strategies (SEARCH/BJS conference), NCJ-93926, 10/84

Research access to criminal justice data, NCJ-84154, 2/83

Privacy and juvenile justice records, NCJ-84152, 1/83

Survey of State laws (BJS bulletin), NCJ-80836, 6/82

Privacy and the private employer, NCJ-79651, 11/81

General

BJS bulletins: Bank robbery: Federal offenses and offenders, NCJ-94630.8/84

Federal drug law violators, NCJ-92692 2/84 The severity of crime, NCJ-92326, 1/84

The American response to crime: An overview of criminal justice systems, NCJ-91936, 12/83 Tracking offenders, NCJ-91572, 11/83

Victim and witness assistance: New State laws and the system's response, NCJ-87934, 5/83

Federal justice statistics, NCJ-80814, J/82 Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1983, NCJ-91534, 10/84

Information policy and crime control strategies, NCJ-93926, 10/84

Proceedings of the 2nd workshop on law and justice statistics, 1984, NCJ-93310, 8/84 Report to the nation on crime and justice:

The data, NCJ-87068, 10/83 Dictionary of criminal justice data terminology: 2nd ed., NCJ-76939, 2/82

Technical standards for machine-readable data supplied to BJS, NCJ-75318, 6/81

Justice agencies in the U.S., 1980, NCJ-65560, 1/81

A style manual for machine-readable data, NCJ-62766, 9/80

Postage and Fees Paid U.S. Department of Justice



