National Criminal Justice Reference Service

ncjrs

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice United States Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20531

3/8/82

THE INSTITUTIONAL CENTERS Objectives and Progress

January, 1981

Michigan Department of Social Services Office of Children and Youth Services Lansing, Michigan 48909

Winter, 1981



alarah di kanalarah Nationa Michigan Department of Social Services Office of Children and Youth Services Shirley A. Tate, Director

٢

AN UPDATE OF EVALUATION 1974 through 1980

A research report of the INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES DIVISION, O.C.Y.S. 300 S. Capitol Ave. Lansing, Michigan 48909

> Vergil M. Pinckney, Director Dale Shears, Assistant Director Institutional Services Division

In cooperation with the Social Services Evaluation and Analysis Division

... Information from the INSTITUTIONAL DATA CENTER Whitmore Lake, Michigan

Becky Rush, Data Analyst Marilyn Duncan, Clerical Support Terry Drum, Technical Assistant MISSION STATEMENT Institutional Services Division Office of Children and Youth Services Michigan Department of Social Services

To provide temporary residential care which offers effective intervention of delinquent behavior where community diversionary efforts have not succeeded, and which leads to an early return to permanent placement and a delinquency-free lifestyle.



 \bigcirc

MISSION STATEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Page
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ii
TUTIONAL SERVICES DIVISION CENTERS	iv
DIRECTORS	v
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1
980 - 1981	2
L	5
n esponsibility Values	8 9 10
VEMENT	11
ring Above 5.0	14 16 20 21 22 23
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	29
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	37
	45
nds	48 49 54 56 58
	59
ls, 1969 - present	60 61
g List	62

APPENDIX - Statistical Support Studies

(Summary of Studies 1974-1976; Pregnancy; Youth Profiles for Institutions, Camps, Special Programs, Adrian Female; Aggressiveness Pattern for Wayne County; the Violent Offender; Followup into Adult Corrections; Ages at Intake and Release; Youth with Mental Health Placement Histories).



INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES DIVISION OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND YOUTH SERVICES DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Mr. Vergil M. Pinckney, Director Mr. Dale Shears, Assistant Director 300 South Capitol Avenue Lansing, Michigan 48909 Phone: (517) 373-2046

Mr. Richard C. Friz, Director Regional Detention Center 4287 West Pasadena Avenue Flint, Michigan 48504 Phone: (313) 733-4233

REGIONAL DETENTION CENTER

YOUTH REHABILITATION CAMPS

Mr. Richard Manley, Director Camp Nokomis and Camp Shawono Prudenville, Michigan 48651 Phone: (517) 336-5368

W. J. MAXEY CAMPUS

Mr. Neil Wasserman. Campus Administrator W. J. Maxey Campus Box 349 Whitmore Lake, Michigan 48189 Phone: (313) 449-4400

GREEN OAK CENTER, MAXEY CAMPUS

Director	Mr.	Wolfgang	Eggers, Di	rector	r
22, Ext. 283	Phon	e: (313)	Eggers, Di 449-4422,	Ext.	260

SUMMIT CENTER, MAXEY CAMPUS Mr. Kenneth Rimstad, Director Phone: (313) 449-4422, Ext. 316

RECEPTION CENTER, MAXEY CAMPUS Ms. Lynne Listeman, Acting Director Phone: (313) 449-4422, Ext. 215

v

()

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

The Institutional Services Division is responsible for the operation of nine residential care and rehabilitation centers for children who are between the ages of 12 and 17 at the time of admittance. (In addition, the Division has administrative responsibility for the first state-operated regional detention center in Flint. This center provides short-term care and diagnostic services.)

The following report is the eleventh in a series of studies which integrate the Institutional Services Division's evaluation program and its management objectives. Reports are semi-annual (July and January). Division managers renegotiate objectives and evaluation procedures annually.

Foreword

The Institutional Services Division is part of the Office of Children and Youth Services, within the Michigan Department of Social Services. Youth in care are delinquent wards of the State of Michigan.

The Appendix contains statistical support studies.

January, 1981 Lansing, Michigan 1

Objectives

MEASUREMENTS

 \bigcirc

()

()

 \bigcirc

0

()

da

OBJECTIVES

- Self-Concept, Personal Responsibility Ι. and Socialization
 - A. Increase youths' feelings of self-worth.
 - B. Increase youths' belief that they can influence their future life events.
 - C. Decrease youths' identification with delinquent values and attitudes.

II. Survival Skills

- A. (1) For youth who enter Division programs at or above the 5.0 grade level, increase educational performance by (a) 1.5 grade levels per year in the secure institutions, (b) 3.2 grade levels per year in Camps, and (c) 1.2 grade levels per year at Arbor Heights.
 - (2) For youth who enter Division programs at or below 4.9 grade level, increase educational performance by (a) 1.2 grade levels per year in the secure institutions, (b) 2.3 grade levels in Camps, and (c) 1.0 grade levels per year at Arbor Heights.
 - (3) Increase the percentage of students who are released above 5.0 grade level who had pre-tested at 4.9 or below.
- B. Provide General Educational Development (G.E.D.) services to all students declared eligible as part of the individual education plan.

- A. Self-esteem scale of the Youth Opinion Poll.
- B. Locus of Reinforcement Scale of the Youth Opinion Poll.
- C. Delinquent value scales of the Youth Opinion Poll.
- A. (1) Educational transcripts recording pre and post academic levels.
 - (2) Educational transcripts recording pre and post academic levels.
 - (3) Educational transcripts recording pre and post academic levels.
- B. Records at release will note date student is declared eligible or ineligible and date of completion or partial completion.

*Each residential center will declare as part of its management plans for 1980-81 specific objectives in each of these areas.

- C. Provide driver education as part of the individual a 70% completion rate of those eligible.
- D. Monitor the process of dean EPPC at each Center.
- III. Protection of Youth, Staff, and Community from Harm:
 - A. Monitor the number of asand staff which require first aid procedure or medical attention.

 - (2)

(3) Increase the percentage of youth returned from a truancy attempt within six hours to 40%.

for 1980-1981

OBJECTIVES

instruction to all students. unless declared ineligible education plan, and achieve

claring appropriate youth as educationally handicapped. Establish baseline for number of youth screened, referred, and conferenced in

saultive events on youth

B. (1) Maintain the number of youth on the outstanding truancy roster at no more than 10% of the resident population at any one point in time.

> Reduce the incidence of on-campus and off-campus successful truancies in the secure institutional programs to no more than 14% of residents during any six-month period.

MEASUREMENTS

C. Records at release will record date student is declared eligible or ineligible, date enrolled and date of completion.

- D. For the first and second half of each calendar year, Centers will report:
 - (a.) Number of youth entering; (b.) number of youth screened for an EPPC; (c.) number of youth referred for an EPPC conference: and (d.) number of youth successfully conferenced within 25 days.
- A. As documented by the Unusual Incident Reports.

B. (1) Monthly population reports.

(2) Monthly truancy reports.

Q.

(3) Monthly truancy reports.

3

<u>OBJECTIVES</u> (continued)

MEASUREMENTS (continued)

 \bigcirc

 $\langle \rangle$

()

()

Automatical Maint and

C. Truancy return report forms.

Length of stay computations from Data Center by 0-3, 4-7, 8-11 and over 11 month categories.

A. Job/school outcome scale of Community Care Telephone Questionnaire.

B. Community Care Telephone Questionnaire.

C. Outcome Scale of the Community Care Telephone Questionnaire.

D. Weekly Population Information Reports.

C. Monitor the number of felonies committed by youth while on truancy status; study the number of law violations attributed to truants within a twenty mile radius of all Division residential Centers.

IV. Length of Stay:

Release 67% (two-thirds) of youth in secure institutions within 11 months of admission to the Center; release 90% of youth in rehabilitation camps within 7 months of their admission.

- V. Outcome Measures:
 - A. Increase the percentage of youth who are enrolled in school, are in special training, or who are employed three months after release to (1.) 56% for youth released in the Jan. - June period; and (2.) 60% for youth released in the July - Dec. period.
 - B. Increase the number of E.P.P.C.declared youth who are receiving special education services in the community three months after release.
 - C. Decrease the percentage of youth arrested within three months of release from the Center.

D. Accomplish planned completion percentages of (1.) 85% for youth in secure institutions; and (2.) 75% for youth in Camps and Arbor Heights.

Youth Opinion Poll

Assessing	Attitudes a
0226721114	ALLILUUES a

D

(1)

The Youth Opinion Poll is a 120-item test of attitues and values. Items are true/false. The Y.O.P. is administered to all youth at intake and release.

The Poll is administered by audiotape, so that results are independent of reading ability. This procedure is considered essential, given the reading levels of many youth.

The Poll yields a change score on three value scales presumed important to the successful treatment of problem youth -- namely self-esteem, personal responsibility, and delinquent values and attitudes.

In 1979 the Poll was shortened from 240 to 120 items, to reduce testing time and facilitate machine scoring. Self-esteem and personal responsibility scale scores are still comparable to previous scores; delinquency scores have a new baseline, since the scale has been shortened from 100 to 43 items. To permit continued comparison with previous scores, obtained scores on the shortened delinquency scale are expanded by a 43:100 ratio in the reporting.

Problems with Validity:

Research indicates that the Youth Opinion Poll scales display little or no relationship to youth arrest outcomes. In 1978 an item-analysis was completed on the Y.O.P. test items, to determine if it were possible to derive a scale of items that did relate to arrest outcomes of youth. The number of items that proved predictive of arrest was found to be little more than what might have been expected by chance alone.

Desirable changes in attitude and values are still valuable as process or program objectives, however, even though the gains may have no predictive value in youth rehabilitation. The Y.O.P. serves to keep a healthy program focus on attitude/value dimensions felt to be of importance in the care of delinquent youth.

Summary of Major Findings:

- drop.
- ship to arrest outcomes.
 - Preceding page blank

YOUTH OPINION POLL

and Values:

1. Youth display striking and predictable changes in desired directions on attitude/value scales during the course of their stay in Institutional Services Division programs. Scores on Self-Esteem and Locus of Responsibility go up; scores on Delinguent attitudes and values

2. At the same time, personality test scores have little or no relation-

YOUTH OPINION POLL

Objective:

Measurement:

Increase youths' feelings of self-worth. Self-Esteem Scale of the Youth Opinion Poll.

SELF-ESTEEM SCORES*

	BASELINE 74/75				79	
	Pre	Post	Change	Pre	Post	Change
Sequoyah	29.7	38.1	+8.4	35.0	39.4	+4.4*
01ympic	30.9	37.7	+6.8	31.7	39.0	+7.3
Summit	31.1	37.6	+6.5	31.8	41.7	+9.9
ATS (M)	34.3	40.2	+5.9	33.4	39.9	+6.5
ATS (F)	30.6	39.7	+9.1	30.6	38.4	+7.8
G.O.C.	31.4	35.4	+4.0	33.0	39.1	+6.1*
Nokomis	29.5	32.7	+3.2	30.8	35.4	+4.6
Shawono	29.5	32.7	+3.2	31.4	36.9	+5.5
I.T.P.	30.1	33.6	+3.5*	29.8	32.2	+2.4*
A.H.C.	NA	NA	NA	33.3	31.0	-2.3*

	7/79 - 12/79				1/80 - 6/8	0
	Pre	Post	Change	Pre	Post	Change
Sequoyah	34.3	39.2	+4.9	33.1	36.7	+3.6
01ympic	33.1	40.8	+7.7	36.1	41.6	+5.5
Summit	31.9	40.4	+8.5	31.4	41.8	+10.4
ATS(M)	33.8	33.8	+5.0	33.6	38.9	+5.3
ATS (F)	30.9	41.3	+10.4	29.3	40.8	+11.5
G.O.C.	31.5	38.4	+6.9	31.5	39.5	+8.0
Nokomis	32.9	35.9	+3.0	31.6	36.2	+4.6*
Shawono	32.2	36.4	+4.2	30.6	34.9	+4.3
I.T.P.	28.0	37.2	+9.2	26.6	32.2	+5.6*
А.Н.С.	24.0	35.0	+9.0*	28.7	31.9	+3.2*

* All change scores are significant at or beyond .05 level unless indicated with an asterisk. If the number of youth observed in a program is small, even a sizable change may not prove statistically significant. Data for 7/75 - 12/78 available in previous reports.

- Υ(OUTH	OPIN	ION	POLL	•
<u>0</u>	bject	<u>ive</u> :		Inc Tif	
M	easur	emen	<u>t</u> :	Loc	ะน
		,			
					B
			F	re	
Ş	equoy	ah	1	13.3	
0	lympi	c	Ì	3.2	
S	ummit		1	3.0	
A	TS (M)]	4.1	
. A ⁻	TS (F)	- 1	3.6	
G	.0.C.		1	3.6	
No	okomi	S	1	3.7	
S	hawon	o]	3.7	
I	.T.P.		1	3.5	
Α	.H.C.			NA	
1. T. I.				• ••••••• ••	
			F	re	
S	equoya	ah]	.4.3	
	lympi			4.3	
-					

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

٩

Ð

()

A.H.C. 17.0

13.4

13.7

14.5

13.5 14.3

13.7

10.9

Summit

ATS (M)

ATS (F)

G.O.C.

Nokomis Shawono

I.T.P.

8

(continued)

rease youths' belief that they can influence their future events.

us of Responsibility Scale of the Youth Opinion Poll. LOCUS OF RESPONSIBILITY SCORES*

ASELINE	74/75		1/79 - 6/7	9
Post	Change	Pre	Post	Change
15.5	+2.2	13.9	15.4	+1.5*
14.8	+1.6	14.1	15.7	+1.6
15.0	+2.0	13.0	16.2	+3.2
14.9	+0.8*	13.0	15.2	+2.2
15.8	+2.2	13.8	15.0	+1.2
14.8	+1.2	13.0	14.8	+1.8*
14.8	+1.1	14.2	15.1	+0.9*
14.8	+1.1	14.2	15.2	+1.0*
14.6	+1.1*	10.5	10.2	-0.3*
NA	NA	11.0	14.0	+3.0*

7/79 - 12	/79		1/80 - 6/80)
Post	Change	Pre	Post	Change
16.0	+1.7	13.5	15.4	+1.9
16.0	+1.7	14.1	15.9	+1.8
16.4	+3.0	14.0	16.2	+2.2
15,5	+2.8	14.2	15.5	+1.3*
16.5	+2.0	13.5	15.8	+2.3
15.8	+2.3	14.3	16.9	+2.6
15.0	+0.7*	13.9	15.9	+2.0
15.1	+1.4	13.8	14.9	+1.1*
12.9	+2.0*	13.7	14.0	+0.3*
15.0	-2.0*	13.0	14.2	+1.2*

* All change scores are significant at or beyond .05 level unless indicated with an asterisk. If the number of youth observed in a program is small, even a sizable change may not prove statistically significant. Data for 7/75 - 12/78 available in previous reports.

9

22.0

1

n decrease ttitudes.
elinquent

¢	BASELINE 74/75			1/79 - 6/79		
	Pre	Post	Change	Pre	Post	Change
Sequoyah	43.2	32.2	-11.0	35.2	29.1	- 6.1*
01ympic	42.3	31.1	-11.2	39.1	28.1	-10.6
Summit	45.0	33.3	-11.7	42.2	24.2	-18.0
ATS (M)	40.1	31.8	- 8.3	37.2	28.4	- 8.8
ATS (F)	38.4	25.4	-13.0	41.6	27.6	-14.0
G.O.C.	41.3	36.0	- 5.3	37.8	34.1	- 3.7*
Nokomis	40.9	37.4	- 3.5	39.0	35.1	- 3.9
Shawono	40.9	37.4	- 3.5	39.8	33.9	- 5.9
I.T.P.	43.9	33.9	-10.0	49.8	50.2	+ 0.4*
A.H.C.	NA	NA	NA	40.0	36.7	- 3.3*

Γ	7/79 - 12/79			1/80 - 6/80		
F	Pre	Post	Change	Pre	Post	Change
Sequoyah	36.3	26.3	-10.0	. 38.4	29.0	- 9.4
01ympic	39.8	24.6	-15.2	38.5	29.6	8.9
Summit	41.5	24.5	-17.0	41.1	22.8	-18.3
ATS (M)	36.5	28.1	- 8.4	38.9	29.2	- 9.7
ATS (F)	37.2	20.0	-17.2	38.0	24.0	-14.0
G.O.C.	36.8	30.0	- 6.8	42.3	32.0	-10.3
Nokomis	35.8	36.2	- 0.4*	40.2	35.4	- 4.8*
Shawono	42.4	39.3	- 3.1*	40.3	37.4	- 2.9*
I.T.P.	53.0	36.1	-16.9	55.7	45.5	-10.2*
A.H.C.	49.0	28.0	-21.0*	44.1	42.9	- 1.2*

* All change scores are significant at or beyond .05 level unless indicated with an asterisk. If the number of youth observed in a program is small, even a sizable change may not prove statistically significant. Data for 7/75 - 12/78 available in previous reports.

ued)

youths' identification with delinquent values and

value scales of the Youth Opinion Poll.

DELINQUENT VALUES* (7/79-12/79 and 1/80-6/80 data prorated for comparison with earlier periods)

Measuring Achievement:

 $\langle \rangle$

()

()

Throughout the Institutional Services Division educational achievement is assessed through pre and post administration of the Stanford Achievement Test.

Development of a Comparison Index:

In order to compare educational progress in programs with different lengths of stay, an Index of Educational Achievement has been developed. For each program, this index is determined by the formula:

Separate Educational Goals:

Educational goals are now stated separately for youth who enter institutional programs above or below fifth grade level. Separate goals permit closer analysis of educational delivery to those youth who arrive with serious academic deficiencies.

Summary of Major Findings:

- probability.
- 2.
- grade levels per year.

Preceding na

Educational Achievement

 \bigcirc

EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT

Average Gain in Grade Level

Average Length of Stay (in days) \times 365

A major effort is now underway to provide eligibility determinations where appropriate for youth in institutions who are eligible for special services as educationally handicapped.

1. There is a statistically significant relationahip between educa-tional achievement level at release and arrest outcomes of youth. The higher the academic level at release, the lower the rearrest

The institutional centers achieve high rates of educational services delivery with academically disadvantaged youth. In community school programs, these youth have progressed only about .5 grade levels per year; while in institutional care they progress at nearly three times this rate (1.5 grade levels per year in program).

3. The average gain (all Division programs) for youth who enter at low academic levels is 1.2 grade levels per year in program. The average gain for youth entering at the fifth grade level or above is 1.6

14 EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT (continued)

11

. . •

Increase educational performance level of youth who enter Division programs at or above the 5.0 grade level by (a) 1.5 grade levels per year in the secure institutions; (b) 3.2 grade levels per year in Camps; and (c) 1.2 grade levels per year at Arbor Heights. Objective:

Measurement: Stanford Achievement Test educational achievement gains.

EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT Youth Released 7/78 - 12/78							
5 Center	N≖	Avg. Level at Entry	Avg. Level at Release	Avg. Gain	Avg. LOS in Days	Index of Educational Achievement	
Sequoyah	31	7.49	9.05	1.56	321	1.77 yrs.	
Olympic	21	7.84	9.25	1.41	348	1.48 yrs.	
Summit	30	8.08	9.50	1.42	345	1.50 yrs.	
A.T.S. (M)	19	7.62	8.82	1.20	221	1.98 yrs.	
A.T.S. (F)	25	7.25	8.40	1.15	355	1.18 yrs.	
G.O.C.	15	8.81	10.83	2.02	383	1.93 yrs.	
I.T.P. SUBTOTAL (Se-	3	6.00	7.00	1.00	335	1.10 yrs.	
cure Programs)	142	<u>7.77</u>	<u>9.20</u>	1.43	<u>329</u>	<u>1.59 yrs</u> .	
Nokomis	21	7.69	9.67	1.98	177	4.08 yrs.	
Shawono	19	7.39	8.84	1.45	213	2.48 yrs.	
A.H.C. TOTAL (A11	4	7.15	8.02	0.87	429	0.74 yrs.	
Div. Programs)	186	7.71	9.19	1.48	302	<u>1.79 yrs</u> .	

Center	N=	Avg. Level at Entry	Avg. Level at release	Ávg. Gain	Avg. LOS in Days	Index of Educational Achievement
Sequoyah Olympic	39 48	7.76 7.55	9.26 8.94	1.50	360 314	1.52 yrs. 1.62 yrs.
Summit A:T.S. (M)	39 20	7.60 7.13	8.96 9.09	1.36	336 314	1.48 yrs. 2.28 yrs.
A.T.S. (F) G.O.C.	25 25	7.44 8.04	8.95 9.09	1.51	404 405	1.36 yrs. 0.93 yrs.
I.T.P. SUBTOTAL (Se-	3	6.53	6.87	0.34	224	0.55 yrs.
cure Programs)	·	7.59	<u>9.01</u>	1.42	349	1.49 yrs.
Nokomis Shawono A.H.C. TOTAL (All	21 19 2	7.79 7.47 7.83	9.53 9.01 8.66	1.74 1.54 0.83	166 189 319	3.83 yrs. 2.97 yrs. 0.95 yrs.
	249	7.61	9.06	1.45	315	<u>1.68 yrs</u> .

. -

			EDUCAT Youth Re	FIONAL ACHIE Pleased 7/79	VEMENT		
	а. С		Avg. Lev			9	
ŀ	<u>Center</u> Sequoyah	N=	at Entr	el Avg. Lo y at Rele		lvg. Avg. Tain in Da	
	01ympic Summit	35 43 33	8.06	8.42 9.29	-	.47 355	
	A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F)	16		9.49	1	.21 306 .31 333	1.51 y 1.44 v
	G.O.C.	11 18	7.02	9.46 8.36	4.	40 275	1.44 y
	I.T.P. SUBTOTAL	-	7.35 7.97	8.87	1.	52 372	1.31 vi
	cure Progi	rams) 159	7 60	8.17	0.	20 180	1.49 yı 0.41 yı
	Nokomis Shawono	41	<u>7.68</u> 8.07	9.02	<u>1</u> .	<u>34 329</u>	
	A.H.C.	20	8.11	10.03 8.67	1.	96 168	$- \frac{1.49 \text{ yr}}{4.26}$
	TOTAL (ATT	. 0			0.	56 209	4.26 yr 0.98 yr
	iv. Programs	s) <u>220</u>	<u>7,79</u>			499 Babr (
<u> </u>				<u>9.18</u>	<u>1.3</u>	<u>9 288</u>	<u>1.76 yrs</u>
			EDUCATION/ Youth Release	AL ACHIEVEM sed 1/80 - 6	ENT 5/80		
	Center	<u>N=</u>	Ayg. Level at Entry	Avg. Level at Release	Ave	Avg. LOS	
015	luoyah Mpic	29	7.12	9.05		in Days	Achievement
Sun	mit .S. (M)	28 41	7.76 8.00	9.17	$1:93 \\ 1.41$	364 276	1.94 yrs.
A.T	•S. (F)	24 16	7.77	9.42 9.08	1.42	348	1.86 yrs. 1.49 yrs.
G.0	.C.	22	8.92 7.34	10.47	$\begin{array}{c} 1.31 \\ 1.55 \end{array}$	242 394	1.98 vrs.
SI SI	JBTOTAL (Se-	4	6.69	8.39 8.24	1.05	353	1.44 yrs. 1.09 yrs.
	re programs) <u>164</u>	7.74		1.55	345 .	1.64 yrs.
Noko Shaw	mis Ono	25	7.45	9.20	1.46	328	<u>1.62 yrs</u> .
A.H.	C.	20 7	7.18	8.99 7.61	1.54 .43	161	3.49 yrs.
TO	FAL (A11 Programs)	,	6.66	7.13	.43	201 452	. /8 yrs.
n	Produces 1	216					.38 yrs.

£

O

()

C)

Youth 4.9 or Below at Entry

 \bigcirc

O

0

16 EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT (continued)

	a start of wouth who onter
	Increase educational performance level of youth who enter Division programs at 4.9 grade level or below by (a) 1.2 grade levels per year in the secure institutions; (b) 2.3 grade levels per year in Camps; and (c) 1.0 grade levels per year at A.H.C.
Measurement:	Achievement Jost aducational Achievement gains.

EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT Youth Released 7/78 - 12/78						
	1	Avg. Level at Entry	Avg. Level at Release	Avg. Gain	Avg. LOS in Days	Index of Educational Achievement
Center Sequoyah Olympic Summit A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) G.O.C. I.T.P.	N= 21 22 15 10 7 12 9	3.69 3.58 3.60 3.28 3.91 3.45 2.86	4.65 4.67 4.83 4.37 4.76 4.99 3.65	0.96 1.09 1.23 1.09 0.85 1.54 0.79	338 338 311 326 435 410 244	1.04 yrs. 1.18 yrs. 1.44 yrs. 1.22 yrs. 0.71 yrs. 1.37 yrs. 1.18 yrs.
SUBTOTAL (Se- cure Programs) Nokomis Shawono A.H.C.	<u>96</u> 10 7 1	<u>3.52</u> 3.57 4.01 3.30	<u>4.61</u> 3.93 5.51 3.70	<u>1.09</u> 0.36 1.50 0.40	<u>340</u> 188 167 354	<u>1.17 yrs</u> . 0.70 yrs. 3.28 yrs. 0.40 yrs.
TOTAL (All Div. Programs)	114	3.55	4.60	1.05	<u>316</u>	<u>1.21 yrs</u> .

		Youth Relea	ised 1/79 - 6/	79	·	Index of
Canton	N=	Avg. Level at Entry	Avg. Level at Release	Avg. Gain	Avg. LOS in Days	Educational Achievement
Center Sequoyah Olympic Summit A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) G.O.C. I.T.P. SUBTOTAL (Se) cure Programs) Nokomis Shawono A.H.C.	$ \begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 11 \\ 20 \\ 10 \\ 14 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ \underline{85} \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 1 \end{array} $	3.49 3.73 3.51 3.38 4.08 4.07 3.00 <u>3.63</u> 3.64 3.63 3.83	4.75 4.71 4.48 4.30 5.86 6.16 3.99 <u>4.88</u> 4.72 5.40 5.61	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.26\\ 0.98\\ 0.97\\ 0.92\\ 1.78\\ 2.09\\ 0.99\\ \hline 1.25\\ 1.08\\ 1.77\\ 1.78 \end{array} $	369 350 374 367 463 371 302 <u>379</u> 173 214 397	1.25 yrs. 1.02 yrs. 0.95 yrs. 0.91 yrs. 1.40 yrs. 2.06 yrs. 1.20 yrs. <u>1.20 yrs.</u> 2.28 yrs. 3.02 yrs. 1.64 yrs.
TOTAL (A11 Div. Programs)	101	3.63	<u>4.91</u>	1.28	352	<u>1.33 yrs</u> .

3							
				NAL ACHIEVEME ased 7/79 - 1			
	Center	N=	Avg. Level at Entry	Avg. Level at Release	Avg. Gain	Avg. LOS in Days	Index Educational Achievement
	Sequoyah Olympic Summit A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) G.O.C. I.T.P. SUBTOTAL (Se- cure Programs) Nokomis Shawono A.H.C. TOTAL (All	25 18 17 10 5 5 2 <u>82</u> 3 9 1	3.46 3.47 3.90 3.57 3.44 4.13 3.75 <u>3.66</u> 4.70 3.49 3.40	4.86 4.43 5.09 4.88 4.72 5.44 5.55 <u>4.86</u> 5.97 4.41 4.40	1.30 0.96 1.19 1.31 1.28 1.31 1.80 <u>1.20</u> 1.27 0.92 1.00	383 315 394 396 438 262 308 <u>365</u> 163 235 365	1.24 yrs. 1.11 yrs. 1.10 yrs. 1.21 yrs. 1.07 yrs. 1.82 yrs. 2.13 yrs. <u>1.20 yrs.</u> 2.84 yrs. 1.43 yrs. 1.00 yrs.
	Div. Programs)	95	<u>3.67</u>	4.85	<u>1.18</u>	<u>347</u>	<u>1.24 yrs</u> .
	EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT Youth Released 1/80 - 6/80						
	Center	N=	Avg. Level at Entry	Avg. Level at Release	Avg. Gain	Avg. LOS in Days	Index Educational Achievement
	Sequoyah Olympic Summit A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) G.O.C. I.T.P. SUBTOTAL (Se~	22 13 18 13 14 9 7	3.61 3.58 3.77 3.53 3.82 3.97 2.73	4.84 4.55 4.93 4.58 5.34 5.69 3.51	1.23 .97 1.16 1.05 1.52 1.72 .78	364 262 325 297 510 396 233	1.23 yrs. 1.35 yrs. 1.30 yrs. 1.29 yrs. 1.09 yrs. 1.59 yrs. 1.22 yrs.
	cure Programs) Nokomis Shawono A.H.C.	96 6 4 3	3.63 4.06 3.18 2.86	<u>4.84</u> 5.56 3.76 3.54	<u>1.21</u> 1.50 .58 .68	<u>349</u> 183 207 818	<u>1.27 yrs</u> . 2.99 yrs. 1.02 yrs. .30 yrs.
0	Total (All Div. Programs)	109	<u>3.62</u>	<u>4.80</u>	<u>1.18</u>	<u>348</u>	<u>1.24 yrs</u> .

EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT Youth Released 7/79 - 12/79					
Avg. Level at Entry	Avg. Level at Release	Avg. Gain	Avg. LOS in Days	Index Educational Achievement	
3.46	4.86	1.30	383	1.24 yrs.	
3.47	4.43	0.96	315	1.11 yrs.	
3.90	5.09	1.19	394	1.10 yrs.	
3.57	4.88	1.31	396	1.21 yrs.	
3.44	4.72	1.28	438	1.07 yrs.	
4.13	5.44	1.31	262	1.82 yrs.	
3.75	5.55	1.80	308	2.13 yrs.	
3.66	<u>4.86</u>	<u>1.20</u>	<u>365</u>	<u>1.20 yrs</u> .	
4.70	5.97	1.27	163	2.84 yrs.	
3.49	4.41	0.92	235	1.43 yrs.	
3.40	4.40	1.00	365	1.00 yrs.	
<u>3.67</u>	<u>4.85</u>	<u>1.18</u>	<u>347</u>	<u>1.24 yrs</u> .	

17

-

18

EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT (continued)

<u>Objective</u>:

Increase the percentage of students who are released above 5.0 grade level who had pre-tested at 4.9 or below.

<u>Measurement</u>: Stanford Achievement Test scores on educational transcipts at release.

THE PERCENTAGE OF LOW-ACADEMIC YOUTH REACHING A MINIMAL 5TH GRADE PERFORMANCE LEVEL BY RELEASE

	Youth Releas	ed.7/78 - 12/78	
Center	No. of Youth Below 4.9 .at Intake	No. of Youth Reaching 5.0 or Better by Release	% Reaching 5.0
Şequoyah Olympic	21 22	10 11	48% 50%
Summit	15	8	53%
A.T.S. (M)	10	2	20%
A.T.S. (F)	7	3	43%
G.O.C.	12	7	58%
Nokomis	10	1	10%
Shawono	7	5	71%
I.T.P. A.H.C.	9 1	2 0	. 22% 0%
TOTAL	114		43%

	Youth Releas	ed 1/79 - 6/79	
Center	No. of Youth Below 4.9 at Intake	No. of Youth Reaching 5.0 or Better by Release	% Reaching 5.0
Sequoyah Olympic Summit A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) G.O.C. Nokomis Shawono I.T.P. A.H.C.	18 11 20 10 14 7 8 7 5 1	8 5 8 4 11 6 4 5 2 1	44% 45% 40% 79% 86% 50% 71% 40% 100%
TOTAL	101	54	53%

1



 $c_{\rm ell}^{\rm all} = c_{\rm ell}^{\rm all}$

0

0

 \bigcirc

0

 \bigcirc

11 1

THE PERCENTAGE OF LOW-ACADEMIC YOUTH REACHING A MINIMAL 5TH GRADE PERFORMANCE LEVEL BY RELEASE

Youth Relea	ased 7/79 - 12/79	<u>an an a</u>
. of Youth elow 4.9 t Intake	No. of Youth Reaching 5.0 or Better by Release	% Reaching 5.0
25 18 17 10 5 5 3 9 2 1	12 10 10 5 3 3 3 2 2 0	48% 55% 59% 50% 60% 60% 100% 22% 100% 0%
95	50	53%

Youth Rele	eased 1/80 - 6/80	
of Youth low 4.9 Intake	Np. of Youth Reaching 5.0 or Better by Release	% Reaching 5.0
22 13 18 13 14 9 6 4 7 3	9 4 11 5 9 6 3 0 1	41% 31% 61% 38% 64% 67% 50% 0% 14% 0%
109	48	44%
	۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰ ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰۰، ۲۰۰	

2 T ...

19

EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT (continued)

GENERAL TREND IN ACADEMIC SERVICES DELIVERY 1975 - 1980

 \bigcirc

0

 \bigcirc

The table below represents general trend information on overall educational performance delivery in the institutions and camps. All youth are included in this summarization:

AVERAGE EDUCATIONAL GAIN PER YEAR IN PROGRAM (Stanford Achievement Tests)*

	Institutions	Camps
7/75 - 12/75	1.0	3.0
1/76 - 6/76	1.1	2.1
7/76 - 12/76	1.3	2.2
1/77 - 6/77	1.4	3.0
7/77 - 12/77	1.6	2.4
1/78 - 6/78	1.6	3.1
7/78 - 12/78	1.4	3.2
1/79 - 6/79	1.4	3.3
7/79 - 12/79	1.5	3.0
1/80 - 6/80	1.5	2.2

* This index is computed from the general formula:

<u>Average Grade Level Gain</u> Length of Stay in Days X 365

<u>Objective</u> :	declared ine	er education f ligible in an on rate for ei	individual	education p	h, unless lan; achieve					
Measuremen	<u>t</u> : Educational [·]	Transcripts at	Release.							
DRIVER EDUCATION SERVICES DELIVERY Youth Released 1/79 - 6/79										
	Total Transcripts	Declared Ineligible	Remainder Eligible	Dr. Ed. Completed	% Completed					
Sequoyah Olympic Summit A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) G.O.C. Nokomis Shawono I.T.P. <u>A.H.C.</u> TOTAL	57 59 51 47 25 35 41 29 11 9 364	9 5 15 0 0 1 0 30	48 54 36 47 25 35 40 29 11 9 334	30 36 36 12 13 11 36 21 1 0 196	62.5% 67.0% 100.00% 26.0% 52.0% 31.0% 90.0% 72.0% 9.0% 0.0% 59.0%					
	Yout	th Released 7/	/79 - 12/79							
	Total Transcripts	Declared Ineligible	Remainder Eligible	Dr. Ed. <u>Completed</u>	% Completed					
Sequoyah Olympic Summit A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) G.O.C. Nokomis Shawono I.T.P. A.H.C. TOTAL	62 61 52 28 18 25 46 34 5 8 339	3 6 5 0 0 0 3 3 3 0 1 21	59 55 47 28 18 25 43 32 5 7 318	40 38 34 10 5 12 36 25 0 1 201	68.0% 69.0% 72.0% 36.0% 28.0% 48.0% 84.0% 78.0% 0.0% 14.0% 63.0%					
	Yout	th Released 1/	/80 - 6/80							
	Total <u>Transcripts</u>	Declared Ineligible	Remainder Eligible	Dr. Ed. Completed	% Completed					
Sequoyah Olympic Summit A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) G.O.C. Nokomis Shawono I.T.P. A.H.C. TOTAL	52 41 59 38 31 31 31 30 11 12 336	4 5 8 1 5 2 4 4 6 9 48	48 36 51 37 26 29 27 26 5 3 288	35 28 42 12 8 23 27 21 2 2 3 201	73.0% 78.0% 82.0% 32.0% 31.0% 79.0% 100.00% 81.0% 40.0% 100.00% 70.0%					
	Sequoyah Olympic Summit A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) G.O.C. Nokomis Shawono I.T.P. <u>A.H.C.</u> TOTAL Sequoyah Olympic Summit A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) G.O.C. Nokomis Shawono I.T.P. A.H.C. TOTAL Sequoyah Olympic Summit A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) G.O.C. Nokomis Shawono I.T.P. A.H.C. TOTAL	70% completion Measurement: Educational DRIVER I Your Total Transcripts Sequoyah 57 Olympic 59 Summit 51 A.T.S. (M) 47 A.T.S. (F) 25 Sequoyah 62 Total Total	70% completion rate for el Measurement: Educational Transcripts at DRIVER EDUCATION SERVYouth Released 1/ Total Declared Transcripts Ineligible Sequoyah 57 9 Sequoyah 57 9 Olympic 59 5 Summit 51 15 A.T.S. (M) 47 0 A.T.S. (F) 25 0 G.C. 35 0 G.C. 35 0 G.C. 35 0 O.T.P. 11 20 A.H.C. 9 0 Total Declared Transcripts Ineligible Sequoyah 62 3 O TOTAL 364 30 Youth Released 7/ Total Declared Transcripts Ineligible Sequoyah 62 3 O Mokomis 46 3 Shawono 34 3 Total Declared Transcripts Ineligible Sequoyah 62 3 O Mokomis 46 3 Shawono 34 3 Total Declared Transcripts Ineligible Sequoyah 52 4 O Mokomis 46 3 <tr< th=""><th>70% completion rate for eligible yout Measurement: Educational Transcripts at Release. DRIVER EDUCATION SERVICES DELIVE Youth Released 1/79 - 6/79 Total Declared Remainder Transcripts Ineligible Eligible Sequoyah 57 9 48 Olympic 59 5 54 Summit 51 15 36 A.T.S. (M) 47 0 47 A.T.S. (F) 25 0 25 G.O.C. Somotis 41 1 40 Shawono 29 0 29 TOTAL 364 30 334 Youth Released 7/79 - 12/79 Total Declared Transcripts Eligible Total Declared Transcripts Total Total Total Total Total Total Total<</th><th>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</th></tr<>	70% completion rate for eligible yout Measurement: Educational Transcripts at Release. DRIVER EDUCATION SERVICES DELIVE Youth Released 1/79 - 6/79 Total Declared Remainder Transcripts Ineligible Eligible Sequoyah 57 9 48 Olympic 59 5 54 Summit 51 15 36 A.T.S. (M) 47 0 47 A.T.S. (F) 25 0 25 G.O.C. Somotis 41 1 40 Shawono 29 0 29 TOTAL 364 30 334 Youth Released 7/79 - 12/79 Total Declared Transcripts Eligible Total Declared Transcripts Total Total Total Total Total Total Total<	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					

22

4 1

· · · ·

Provide General Educational Development (G.E.D.) services to all students declared eligible as part of the individual education plan. Objective:

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

0

Measurement: Educational Transcripts at Release.

Youth Released 1/79 - 6/79								
	<u>Total Transcripts</u>	<u>Total in G.E.D.</u>	Pass	Partial Completion				
Sequoyah	57	19	19	0				
01ympic	59	24	19	ž				
Summit	51	29	18	7				
A.T.S. (M)	47	9	4	1				
A.T.S. (F)	25	9	9	0				
G.O.C.	35	11	5	2 .				
Nokomis	41	13	13	0				
Shawono	29	19	14	1				
I.T.P.	11	4	1	0				
A.H.C.	9	0	0	0				
TOTAL	364	137	102	13				
1								

G.E.D. SERVICES DELIVERY

Youth	Released	7/79 -	12/79
-------	----------	--------	-------

	<u>Total Transcripts</u>	<u>Total in G.E.D.</u>	Pass	Partial Completion
Sequoyah	62	20	13	3
01ympic	61	27	21	2
Summit	52	23	17	5
A.T.S. (M)	28	12	10	2
A.T.S. (F)	18	6	3	2
G.O.C.	25	10	7	3
Nokomis	46	26	26	0
Shawono	34	22	13	3
I.T.P.	5	1	0	1
A.H.C. TOTAL	8	3	1	2
TUTAL	339	150	111	23

Youth Released 1/80 - 6/80

	Total Transcripts	Total in G.E.D.	Pass	Partial Completion
Sequoyah	52	22	15	1
01ympic	41	18	11	1
Summit	59	32	25	ī
A.T.S. (M)	38	15	11	ī
A.T.S. (F)	31	12	9	ō
G.O.C.	31	11	7	Ō
Nokomis	31	9	6	0
Shawono	30	10	8	Õ
I.T.P.	11	1	Ō	ů Õ
<u>A.H.C.</u>	_12_	5	0	0
TOTAL	. 336	135	92	4
L	-			

. .

. ...

•

			,			
	EDUCATIONAL A	CHIEVEMENT	(continued)			
	<u>Objective</u> :	ιυπατιγ π	and capped.	ESTADIISh	appropriate youth baseline for numbe ced in an EPPC at e	r of vouth
	Measurement:		cational re	·		
			E.P.P.C	. IMPLEMENT	ΔΤΙΩΝ	· · ·
				80 - 6/80		
		No, of Youth Entering	No. Screened for EPPC	No. Referred for EPPC	No. Successfully Conferenced With- in 25 Days	No. Youth Declared 1/80-6/80
	Sequoyah	69	69	25	12	20
\bigcirc	01ympic	66	66	20	6	13
	Summit	70	70	18	1	13
	Adrian	81	81	17	0	30
	G.O.C.	40	7	3	0	1
	Nokomis	56	43	0	0	0
•	Shawono	53	53	4	2	2
	Reception	157	12*	10	0	8
	A.H.C.	. 13	0	11	9	9
•	R.D.C.	221*	211	88	1	1
		•			Tota	1 97

* Only youth referred from CPA to ITP were screened.
 ** Remained in Center longer than 15 days.

1

÷.

Assault

Preceding page blank

Overview:

0

()

0

 \mathcal{O}

An important aspect of residential care programming for troubled youth is the insurance of physical safety. Delinquent youth often exhibit poor controls in the area of physical aggression. A major goal of the Institutional Services Division, therefore, is the guarantee of physical protection for youth and staff.

Early Attempts at Measurement:

Until 1978, goals in the area of assault were monitored by a Behavior Checklist, completed by staff teams throughout the Institutional Services Division. A study in 1977 indicated that most of the "assaults" being reported on the checklist were non-injurious. (Only 9% of the reported incidents for males, and 18% of the reported incidents for females involved any kind of first aid or medical attention.)

The Revised Unusual Incident Report Form:

On July 1, 1978 the Behavior Checklist was terminated and a revision was made in the Unusual Incident Report Form that would provide for notice and detail regarding any assaultive incident that resulted in first aid or medical attention, no matter how minor. Staff complete the U.I.R.F. before leaving shifts whenever an incident of this sort (or any other unusual incident) occurs. Copies go to the Center Director, Medical Services Unit, and Data Center.

The use of a "first aid or medical attention" definition for assault permits closer and more consistent monitoring of assaultive incidents in Division programs.

Preceding page bla

ASSAULT

ASSAULT (continued)

4 1

Objective: Monitor the number of assaultive events on youth and staff which require first aid procedure or medical attention.

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

0

Measurement: Unusual Incident Reports

Center	ASSAULTS ON YOUTH INVOLVING FIRST AID OR MEDICAL ATTENTION							
Sequoyah Olympic Summit Reception G.O.C. A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) Nokomis Shawono A.H.C. (M) A.H.C. (F) TOTAL	7/78 - 12/78 2 12 60 8 1 9 28 2 1 0 0 123	$ \begin{array}{r} 1/79 - 6/79 \\ 18 \\ 6 \\ 37 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ $	$ \frac{7/79 - 12/79}{14} \\ 19 \\ 37 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 34 \\ 36 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 160 $	<u>1/80 - 6/80</u> 15 36 43 9 6 80 39 8 4 6 1 247				

	ASSAULTS ON STAFF							
Center	INVOLVING FIRST AID OR MEDICAL ATTENTION							
Center Sequoyah Olympic Summit Reception G.O.C. A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) Nokomis Shawono A.H.C. (M) A.H.C. (F)	7/78 - 12/78 0 0 2 1 3 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0	1/79 - 6/79 1 0 1 4 1 5 4 0 0 0 0 0	MEDICAL ATTENTION 7/79 - 12/79 0 1 0 1 0 3 0 5 0 0 0 1	<u>1/80 - 6/80</u> 0 2 1 3 2 9 3 0 0 0 2 0				
TOTAL	9	16	10	22				

Truancy

 $\{\underline{b}\}$

A Perennial Problem: centers receive special notice. Measuring Program Effectiveness: vouth. Summary of Findings: release. \bigcirc Preceding page blan

TRUANCY

Truancy is one of the perennial problems in residential care for troubled youth. Chronic truancy is an important predictor of negative outcomes for youth. The recording of truancies has been a regular part of individual program reporting for many years, but only recently has truancy data been described and analyzed within a meaningful comparative framework.

Truancy is an event of particular concern. It disrupts a youth's treatment program, and it engenders real risks to both youth and community. A truancy is defined as "successful" if a youth is truant longer than 6 hours. The Institutional Services Division carefully monitors law violations by truants. Violations that occur within a twenty-mile radius of the residential

A useful index of a Center's success in reducing truancy is one that distinguishes between successful and unsuccessful truancies. When youth attempt to truant and are rapidly apprehended, the event is still undesirable. But the truancy has not "worked" for the youth, and an opportunity arises to deal with the problems that the unsuccessful truancy uncovers. Percentage of youth apprehended within a few hours is therefore a useful measure of program responsiveness and strength.

Off-campus truancies generally represent a risk (not necessarily wellcalculated) taken in the interest of treatment objectives, while on-campus truancies are reflective of daily program difficulty with containment of

1. Institutional Services Division programs have improved dramatically in their ability to reduce the number and percentage of youth on outstanding truancy status. From 37% (270 of 733) of the total population in 1973 to only 7% (53 of 751) in July of 1980, the percentage of youth on truancy status has been substantially reduced.

2. Many youth in institutions will truant at some time during the course of their stay, but 9 in 10 are eventually returned and complete a planned

32

TRUANCY (continued)

Maintain the number of youth on the outstanding truancy roster at no more than 10% of the resident population at any one point in time. Objective:

Measurement: Monthly Population Reports

INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES* **RESIDENT POPULATION AND YOUTH OUT ON TRUANCY**

	<u>1/1/73</u>	1/1/74	<u>1/1/75</u>	1/1/76	<u>1/1/77</u>	1/1/78	1/1/79	1/1/80	6/30/80
Active Rolls	463	558	562	545	583	669	689	681	698
Truancy List	270	256	161	128	87	77	83	77	53
Total	733	814	723	673	670	746	772	758	751
Percentage Truant	37%	31%	22%	19%	13%	10%	11%	10%	7%

a **

* Includes Youth Rehabilitation Camps

. . *

TRUANCY (continued) **Objective:** Measurement: Monthly Truancy Reports 1/78-6/78 36% Sequoyah Olympic 31% 52% 62% 21% 36% 54% 21% 11% Summit Adrian G.O.C. Reception Nokomis Shawono A.H.C. DIVISION TOTAL 38% (Secure and non-secure Programs)

0

0

0

0

D

Increase the percentage of youth returned from a truancy attempt within six hours, to 40%.

PERCENTAGE OF TRUANTS RETURNED

WITHIN SIX HOURS

(On - and Off - Campus Truancies)

7/78-	1/79-	7/79-	1/80-
12/78	6/79	12/79	6/80
40%	30% (11 of 37)	40% (6 of 15)	15% (2 of 13)
12.5%	9% (4 of 46)	22% (11 of 50)	
53%	41% (12 of 29)	32% (8 of 25)	
61%	48% (15 of 31)	61% (20 of 33)	
33%	0% (0 of 8)	0% (0 of 11)	
44%	41% (7 of 17)	37% (7 of 19)	
47%	38% (23 of 61)	28% (11 of 39)	
27%	41% (14 of 34)	22% (9 of 41)	
16%	35% (25 of 72)	39% (13 of 33)	
34%)47% (158 of 334)

Ð

33

TRUANCY (continued)

Reduce the incidence of on-campus and off-campus successful truancies in the secure institutional programs to no more Objective: than 14% of residents during any six-month period.

0

 \mathbf{O}

O

. 1 * ...

 \mathcal{O}

0

Ì

Measurement: Monthly Truancy Reports.

	INCIDENCE OF TRUANCY: 7/78 - 12/78								
ترکی کر	Avg. No. of Youth in Care	Total On-Campus Truancies	Successful On-Campus Truancies	Total Off-Campus Truancies	Successful Off-Campus Truancies	Rate of Successful Truancy			
Sequoyah	117	8	4	a _ 7	5	8%			
Olympic	126	39	33	17	16	39%			
Summit	124	20	5	12	10	12%			
Adrian	139	42	13	7	6	14%			
G.O.C.	70	4	2	11	8	14%			
Reception	50	9	3	9	7	20%			
n.		1	 ويتعينون ويتوريني	-	a an				
SUBTOTAL		0							
Secure Programs	626	122	60	63	52	18%			
Nokomis	35 *	31	13	7	7	57%			
Shawono	36	42	31	2	1	89%			
A.H.C.	_21	58	48	4	<u> 4</u>	248%			
TOTAL, ALI						•			
Program	s 718	253	152	76	64	30%			

	INCIDENCE OF TRUANCY: 1/79 - 6/79									
	Avg. No of Youth in Care	Total On-Campus Truancies	Successful On-Campus Truancies	Total Off-Campus Truancies	Successful Off-Campus Truancies	Rate of Successful Truancy				
Sequoyah Olympic	120 122	21 37	12 30	16 9	14 9	22% 32%				
Summit Adrian G.O.C.	127 127 75	14 26 0	5 8 0	15 5 8	12 5 8	13% 10% 11%				
Reception	48	11	5	6	8 5	21%				
SUBTOTAL Secure Programs	619	109	60	59 Ö	53	18%				
Nokomis Shawono	43 46	55 28	34 15	6 6	4	88% 41%				
A.H.C. TOTAL, ALI	23	71	46	1	** 1	204%				
Programs	731	263	155	72	62	30%				

. .

TRUANCY (continued) 35 \square Reduce the incidence of on-campus and off-campus successful truancies in the secure institutional programs to no more than 14% of residents during any six-month period. **Objective:** Measurement: Monthly Truancy Reports Avg. OF YO in Ca Sequoyah 118 Olympic 121 127 Summit Adrian 123 86 G.O.C. Reception 48 SUBTOTAL Secure 622 Programs Nokomis 41 41 \mathbf{O} Shawono A.H.C. 22 TOTAL, ALL Programs -----726 TNCTDENCE Avg. No. of Youth in Care Sequoyah 116 Olympic 120 Summit 126 137 92 47 Adrian G.O.C. Reception SUBTOTAL Secure 638 Programs Nokomis 40 Shawono 40 A.H.C. () 23 TOTAL, ALL Programs 741

34

11

. . •

No. Outh are	Total On-Campus Truancies	Successful On-Campus	Total Off-Campus	- 12/79 Successful Off-Campus	Rate of
3	13	Truancies	Truances	Truancies	Successful Truancy
	43	32	2	2	8%
, }	18 29	13	7	7 A	32%
	- 3 15	11 3 9	4 8 4	2 8 3	13% 11% 13% 26%
	121	75	32	26	16%
•	37 41 32	26 32 19	2 0 1	2 0 1	68% 78% 91%
	231	152	35	29	25%

	IDENCE OF TRU	JANCY: 1/80	- 6/80	
Total On-Campus <u>Truancies</u> 11 33 30 40 6 8	Successful On-Campus <u>Truancies</u> 8 19 15 15 14 5 2	Total Off-Campus <u>Truancies</u> 5 6 8 4 7 7 7	Successful Off-Campus Truancies 5 6 6 4 6 7	Rate of Successful Truancy 11% 21% 17% 13% 12% 19%
⇒ 128 42 49 64	63 21 28 26	37 9 5 0	34 3 1 0	15% 60% 73% 113%
283	138	51	38	24%

 \bigcirc

36

TRUANCY (continued)

<u>Objective</u>: Monitor the number of felonies commited by youth while on truancy status; study the number of law violations attributed to truants within a twenty-mile radius of all Division residential centers.

Measurement: Truancy Return Report Forms.

FELONIES REPORTED FOR YOUTH ON TRUANCY STATUS

	1/77- 6/77	7/77- 12/77	1/78- <u>6/78</u>	7/78- 12/78	1/79- 6/79	7/79- 12/79	1/80- 6/80
Sequoyah Olympic Summit A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) G.O.C. I.T.P./Reception TOTAL, Secure Programs	1 11 8 2 0 6 4 32	3 8 0 14 0 8 0 33	2 9 10 6 1 0 1 29	3 11 8 9 0 4 5 40	8 6 2 10 0 2 1 29	3 9 21 1 3 <u>20</u> 66 (11 from LOA's)	3 4 6 5 0 4 <u>1</u> 23 (8 from LOA's)
Nokomis Shawono A.H.C. TOTAL All Programs	7 9 <u>NA</u> 48	2 4 <u>2</u> 41	4 9 <u>1</u> 43	1 1 <u>8</u> 50	8 0 <u>2</u> 39	5 7 <u>6</u> 84	20A 37 3 0 <u>0</u> <u>3</u> 6

FELONIES REPORTED IN LOCAL AREA (WITHIN 20 MILES)

Sequoyah Olympic Summit A.T.S. (M) A.T.S. (F) G.O.C. I.T.P./Reception TOTAL, Secure Programs	1/79- 6/79 0 2 0 2 0 0 0 1 5	7/79- <u>12/79</u> 0 5 1 7 0 0 <u>6</u> 19	1/80- 6/80 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 4
Nokomis Shawono A.H.C. TOTAL, All Programs	6 0 <u>2</u> 13	4 5 4 32	1 0 0 5

Length of Stay

 \mathcal{O}

D

 \bigcirc

0

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

()

()

())

Original (1975) length of stay objectives for the Institutional Services Division were: (a) to increase percentage of releases within the 4-7 month period; and (b) to decrease the percentage of releases after 11 months *

In 1976, the Division's evaluation program unearthed evidence that three variables --- Productivity (particularly employability), educational achievement level at release, and age at release (older youth more successful) --- were related to community arrest-outcome success. These variables relate indirectly to length of stay, inasmuch as they may be influenced by the duration and intensity of care provided.

Since 1976, major policy changes have affected the institutional population. Status offenders were removed from institutions, and serious offenders began to be sent to institutions with regularity. Nearly half of all youth now entering care have been adjudicated for a serious felony (assault, robbery, murder, rape, arson). In addition, the percentage of youth with mental health placement histories has been increasing.

In 1980, Division administrators reconsidered the length of stay goal in light of changes in the institutional population. They concluded that, for most youth, the eleven month goal was still appropriate. The current objective is: "Release 67% (two-thirds) of youth in secure institutions within 11 months of admission to the center; release 90% of youth in rehabilitation camps within 7 months of their admission."

S	ummary	

Precedin	g page blank
* <u>Note</u> :	Averages are (because the heavily by a
b.)) At the sam highest le with 74% o
a.,	is now nea objective. remained i figure sin

LENGTH OF STAY

Length of Stay and Population Changes:

titutional males, the Institutional Services Division nearly on target with respect to its length of stay ve. For male youth released 1/80 - 6/80, only 34.5% d in care longer than 11 months. This is the lowest since the original 1974-76 baseline was established.

same time, length of stay for females reached the level ever recorded in the 1/80 - 6/80 period, % of the girls remaining in care beyond 11 months.

are generally poor indicators of length of stay trends the distribution of scores on this variable is skewed y a few long-term youth).

TRENDS IN INSTITUTIONAL LENGTH OF STAY*

Although it is recognized that for some youth a stay longer than eleven months is needed, the current division goal for institutions is to have no more than one-third of all youth remain in care longer than eleven months.

			BOYS			•
Percentage released in:	7./74 - <u>6/76</u> N=727	1/78 - <u>6/78</u> N=231 (Avg. = 334 days)	7/78 - <u>12/78</u> N=218 (Avg. = 334 days)	1/79 - <u>6/79</u> N=262 (Avg. = 343 days)	7/79 - <u>12/79</u> N=233 (Avg. = 334 days)	1/80 - <u>6/80</u> N=233 (Avg. = 321 days)
0 - 3 mos. 4 - 7 mos. 8 - 11 mos. Over 11 mos.	1% 28% 41% 30%	0% 14% 42% 44%	1% 17% 45% 37%	2% 16% 36% 46%	0% 21% 39% 40%	.5% 20% 45% 34.5%

GIRLS

released in:	7/74- <u>6/76</u> N=211	1/78- <u>6/78</u> N=31 (Avg. = 373 days)	7/78 - <u>12/78</u> N=32 (Avg. = 401 days)	1/79 - <u>6/79</u> N=41 (Avg. = 423 days)	7/79 - <u>12/79</u> N=18 (Avg. = 372 days)	1/80 - <u>6/80</u> N=31 (Avg. = 447 days)	
0 - 3 mos.	3%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	
4 - 7 mos.	7%	19%	12%	5%	11%	7%	
8 - 11 mos.	28%	32%	38%	29%	22%	19%	
Over 11 mos.	62%	49%	50%	66%	61%	74%	

* Excluding Camps and Arbor Heights.

40

LENGTH OF STAY

()

()

()

()

 \bigcirc

Objective:

Percentage

released

0 - 3 mos.

4 - 7 mos.

8 - 11 mos.

Over 11 mos.

Over 15 mos.

Percentage released

in: 0 - 3 mos. 4 - 7 mos. 8 - 11 mos. Over 11 mos.

Over 15 mos.

Percentage released

₀ in:

0 - 3 mos.

4 - 7 mos.

8 - 11 mos. Over 11 mos.

Over 15 mos.

والمراجع المراجع المراجع والمراجع المراجع المراجع

in:

Release 67% (two-thirds) of youth in secure institutions within eleven months of admission to the Center; release 90% of youth in rehabilitation camps within seven months of admission.

Measurement: Length of Stay Analysis from Data Center.

BASELINE 7/74 - 12/75 1/79 - 6/79 7/79 - 12/79 1/80 - 6/80 N=135 N=66 N = 63N = 52 Avg. LOS in Avg. LOS in Avg. LOS in Avg. LOS in Days = 302Days = 345Days = 366Days = 3701% 5% 0% 0% 24% 12% 8% 2% 45% 27% 30% 54% 30% 56% 62% 44% ____ ____ NA 9% 16% 15%

SEQUOYAH CENTER

OLYMPIC CENTER

		and the second s	
BASELINE			
7/74 - 12/75	<u> 1/79 - 6/79</u>	<u>7/79 - 12/79</u>	<u> 1/80 - 6/80</u>
N = 130	N = 60	N = 61	N = 42
Avg. LOS in	Avg. LOS in	Avg. LOS in	Avg. LOS in
Days = 307	Days = 320	Days = 303	Days = 268
1%	0%	0%	0%
28%	18%	30%	36%
38%	40%	44%	40%
33%	42%	26%	24%
ى بىت مەن مەن كەن يېچ يىن يان مىز مەن مەن مەن بىد بىد مەن مەن بىد	و روی هی زمن این های این زمن این این وی وی وی وی وی این این این این این این این این این ای		
NA	8%	8%	0%

SUMMIT CENTER

BASELINE			
$\frac{7/74}{12} - \frac{12}{75}$	<u>1/79 - 6/79</u>	$\frac{7/79 - 12/79}{N = 52}$	<u>1/80 - 6/80</u>
N = 98	N = 60		N = 59
Avg. LOS in	Avg. LOS in	Avg. LOS in	Avg. LOS in
Days = 309	Days = 348	Days = 352	Days = 341
0%	2%	0%	0%
30%	13%	12%	8%
37%	43%	40%	56% 36%
33%	42%	48%	30%
NA	- 13%	17%	12%
N/A	- 13%	1/0	12/0

4.1

.

Length of Stay (continued)

ADRIAN TRAINING SCHOOL (GIRLS)					
Percentage released in:	BASELINE $\frac{7/74 - 12/75}{N = 164}$ Avg. LOS in Days = 396	<u>1/79 - 6/79</u> N = 41 Avg. LOS in Days = 423	<u>7/79 - 12/79</u> N = 18 Avg. LOS in Days = 372	$\frac{1/80 - 6/68}{N = 31}$ Avg. LOS in Days = 447	
0 - 3 mos. 4 - 7 mos. 8 - 11 mos. Over 11 mos.	4% 7% 27% 62%	0% 5% 29% 66%	6% 11% 22% 61%	0% 7% 19% 74%	
Over 15 mos.	NA	29%	22%	39%	

· Padias .

----____

n ar a saying

0

O

0

ing an A

ADRIAN TRAINING SCHOOL (BOYS)

Percentage released in:	BASELINE 7/74 - 12/75 N = 33 Avg. LOS in Days = 329	<u>1/79 - 6/79</u> N = 30 Avg. LOS in Days = 331	<u>7/79 - 12/79</u> N = 27 Avg. LOS in Days = 305	<u>1/80 - 6/80</u> N = 38 Avg. LOS in Days = 263
0 - 3 mos.	0%	3%	0%	3%
4 - 7 mos.	27%	20%	37%	45%
8 - 11 mos.	30%	27%	37%	31%
Over 11 mos.	43%	50%	26%	21%
Over 15 mos.	NA	13%	8%	5%

GREEN OAK CENTER

Percentage released in:	.BASELINE 7/74 - 12/75 N = 131 Avg. LOS in Days = 307	<u>1/79 - 6/79</u> N = 35 Avg. LOS in Days = 409	$\frac{7/79 - 12/79}{N = 25}$ Avg. LOS in Days = 337*	<u>1/80 - 6/80</u> N = 31 Avg. LOS in Days = 366*
0 - 3 mos. 4 - 7 mos. 8 - 11 mos. Over 11 mos.	1% 34% 35% 30%	0% 11% 40% 49%	0% 24% 48% 28%	0% 13% 35.5% 51.5%
Over 15 mos.	NA	31%	20% * 390 days if prev. care in other Centers is included	19% * 391 days if prev. care in other Centers is included

. · .

172



	YOUTH CAMPS		
Days = 158	Avg. LOS in Days = 181 <u>Nokomis</u> <u>Shawono</u> N=43 N=29 LOS=162 LOS=208	$\begin{array}{r} \text{Days} = 173\\ \underline{\text{Nokomis}}\\ N=49 \\ \text{LOS}=158 \\ \text{LOS}=195 \end{array}$	Avg. LOS in Days = 177 Nokomis Shawono N=32 N=29 LOS=161 LOS=195
8% 85% 7% 0%	89% 79% 2% 17%	86% 69%	6% 3% 85% 80% 9% 17% 0% 0%
INTENS	IVE TREATMENT PROG	GRAM	
$\frac{7/74 - 12/75}{N = 35}$ Avg. LOS in Days = 220	Avg. LOS in	$\frac{7/79 - 12/79}{N = 5}$ Avg. LOS in Days = 231*	$\frac{1/80 - 6/80}{N = 11}$ Avg. LOS in Days = 274*
3% 54% 37% 6%	0% 36.5% 36.5% 27%	0% 60% 20% 20%	0% 36.5% 36.5% 27%
NA	Ö%	0%	9%
•		prev. care in other centers	* 345 days if prev. care in other centers is included
ARB	OR HEIGHTS CENTER		
BASELINE <u>7/74 - 12/75</u> <u>N = 11</u> Avg. LOS in Day3 = 667	$\frac{1/79 - 6/79}{N = 11}$ Avg. LOS in Days = 234	$\frac{7/79 - 12/79}{N = 8}$ Avg. LOS in Days = 238	<u>1/80 - 6/80</u> N = 11 Avg. LOS in Days = 514
0% 18% 18% 64%	18% 37% 18% 27%	12% 38% 25% 25%	9% 9% 18% 64%
NA	0%	0%	45%

43

ARREST OUTCOMES AT THREE AND TWELVE MONTHS

The Arrest Outcome Scale:

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

()

The primary outcome scale in this evaluation program assesses arrest rates at three and twelve months following release. ("Arrest" means any formal police or court action against a youth. The question of guilt is not addressed.)

All youth in the Institutional Services Division are state delinquent wards, and each has a Community Services Worker. Follow-up on youth is achieved by telephone contact and structured interview with the worker.

Early in the research effort, this scale was tested for reliability against police and court records. It proved highly accurate (96%).

Further Refinements:

The outcome scale has been refined to provide more information on reason for arrest. Arrest may be on (a) aggressive felony, (b) felony, (c) misdemeanor, or (d) juvenile status offense charges.

Juvenile status offenses are those offenses which would not constitute crimes if committed by an adult. Shoplifting and marijuana possession are listed as misdemeanors. Violent felonies include murder rape assault, robbery and arson.

Summary of Major Findings:

- adjustment.
- the probability of success).
- Preceding page

Outcomes

1. Of more than four thousand (4,153) youth released between 7/74 and 6/80, outcome determinations can be made on 98% at three months, and on 90% through twelve months.

2. In the last few years, three-month arrest rates for male youth have dropped. For 655 youth released in 1979, the rearrest rate was only 17% --- down substantially from the 30% rate that applied in 1974-1977. In the first half of 1980, the male arrest rate rose slightly to 21%, but the overall rate remains low.

(Rates for females have averaged 10% over the past two years. Twelvemonth rearrest rates have been 44% for males and 21% for females.)

3. Most of the arrests that occur in the first year happen in the first three months following release. This is a critical period for re-

4. Four out of five arrests for males are on felony charges. (For females, only 40% of all rearrests are on felony charges.)

5. Several program variables have been found to be statistically related to felony-arrest outcome. These include: (1) level of educational achievement at release; (2) productivity (either job or school) in the community; and (3) age at release (the older the youth, the greater

0 OUTCOMES AT THREE MONTHS FOLLOWING RELEASE \bigcirc To decrease the percentage of youths arrested/charged within three months of release. Objective: Measurement: Outcome Scale of Community Care Telephone Questionnaire. SEQUOYAH CENTER INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES DIVISION: TRENDS IN 3-MONTH ARREST OUTCOMES SINCE 1974 No police contact Some contact Arrested Release Group Boys Girls Unknown 7/74 - 6/75 32% (N = 608) 15% (N = 123) Arrests: 7/75 - 6/76 29% (N = 554) 5% (N = 98)Aggressive Feloni 7/76 - 12/76 30% (N = 289) 6% (N = 31)()Felonies Misdemeanors \bigcirc 1/77 - 6/77 30% (N = 239) 15% (N = 27) Status Offenses 7/77 - 12/77 23% (N = 290) 3% (N = 36) 1/78 - 6/78 27% (N = 300) 11% (N = 28) OLYMPIC CENTER 7/78 - 12/78 23% (N = 295) 9% (N = 34) 1/79 - 6/79 17% (N = 335) 11% (N = 44) 7/79 - 12/79 18% (N = 320) 10% (N = 20) 1/80 - 6/80 2% (N = 41) 21% (N = 441) No police contact Some contact Arrested Unknown Arrests: Aggressive Feloni Felonies $\widehat{(A)}$ Misdemeanors Status Offenses ()Œ

.

48

11

	and the second				
.,	BASELINE <u>7/74-12/75</u> N = 159	<u>7/78-12/78</u> N ≖ 53	<u>1/79-6/79</u> N = 58	<u>7/79-12/79</u> N = 62	<u>1/80-6/80</u> N = 76
	53% 14% 27% 6%	75% 2% 17% 6%	71% 8% 19% 2%	85% 2% 13% 0%	64% 17% 16% 3%
nies	40 3 0	3 4 2 0	2 5 4 0	1 5 0 2	2 6 1 3

	BASELINE 7/74-12/75 N = 144	<u>7/78-12/78</u> N = 41	$\frac{1/79-6/79}{N=60}$	<u>7/79-12/79</u> N = 61	<u>1/80-6/80</u> N = 66
	60% 6% 26% 8%	68% 2.5% 27% 2.5%	72% 7% 20% 1%	60.5% 10% 28% 1.5%	70% 10.5% 18% 1.5%
ites	32 4 2	3 6 2 0	0 8 3 1	4 12 1 0	2 4 2 4

49

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

50

(march)

12 41

٠.

OUTCOMES AT THREE MONTHS (continued)

SUMMIT CENTER

	$\frac{\text{BASELINE}}{7/74-12/75}}{\text{N} = 130}$	<u>7/78-12/78</u> N = 46	<u>1/79-6/79</u> N = 60	<u>7/79-12/79</u> N = 52	<u>1/80-6/80</u> N = 84
No police contacts Some contact Arrested Unknown	52% 9% 31% 8%	76% 7% 17% ,0%	80% 2% 16% 2%	79% 11% 10% 0%	79% 8% 12% 1%
Arrests: Aggressive Felonies Felonies Misdemeanors Status Offenses	35 5 1	3 5 0 0	1 8 1 0	0 4 1 0	1 4 0 5

ADRIAN TRAINING SCHOOL

	BASE 7/74-		<u>7/78-</u>	12/78	1/79-0	<u>6/79</u>	7/79-1	2/79	1/80-	6/80
	<u>Girls</u>	<u>Boys</u>	<u>Girls</u>	Boys	<u>Girls</u>	Boys	<u>Girls</u>	Boys	<u>Girls</u>	Boys
	N=165	N=33	N=31	N=30	N=41	N=31	N=18	N=27	N=37	N≈∃1
No police contact	70%	70%	80%	60%	78%	77%	83.5%	78%	89%	65%
Some contact	13%	6%	10%	10%	7%	13%	5.5%	7%	8%	6%
Arrested	12%	21%	10%	30%	12%	3%	5.5%	15%	3%	29%
Unknown	5%	3%	0%	0%	3%	7%	5.5%	0%	0%	0%
Arrests: Aggressive Felonies Felonies Misdemeanors Status Offenses	6 9 4	7 0 0	0 0 2 1	2 5 2 0	1 2 1 1	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0		0 1 0 0	1 5 2 7

 $\cdot 0$

0 *

BAS 7/7 No police contact Some contact Arrested Unknown Arrests: Aggressive Felonies Felonies Misdemeanors Status Offenses OBAS 7/74 N No police contact Some contact Arrested Unknown en un pa un ba ba ba ini ini ini un un pa na na ap ají en un na as ini ini da as in un Arrests: Aggressive Felonies Felonies Misdemeanors Status Offenses

 \mathbf{O}

()

0

O

()

0

i n

OUTCOMES AT THREE MONTHS (continued)

3

GREEN OAK CENTER

ASELINE 74-12/75 N = 125	⁰ <u>7/78-12/78</u> N = 32	<u>1/79-6/79</u> N = 35	<u>7/79-12/79</u> N = 24	<u>1/80-6/89</u> N = 51
50% 13% 36% 1%	72% 0% 28% 0%	74% 9% 14% 3%	75% 8% 17% 0%	59% 8% 31% 2%
بر بي بي من من من من بي بي من	بي هو اين بين بين بين بين بين اين اين اين اين اين اين اين اين اين ا	ن بيد بند بن بن بن حد بد من بر بر بر . /	ی هم چه به افغا به نوا می هم چه هم چه هم هم او هم او ه جل	
42 0 3	2 * 4 ^ 1 * 2	· · 1 • 1 • 0	0 1 3 0	1 3 2 10

INTENSIVE TREATMENT PROGRAM

ASEL INE 74-12/75	7/78-12/78	1/79-6/79	7/79 12/75 N = 5	1/80-6/80
V = 35	N = 13	N = 11	N = 5	N = 20
40% 17% 40% 3%	62% 0% 31% 7%	91% 0% 9% 0%	100% 0% 0% 0%	60% 5% 35% 0%
۰ .		 		ر هيند هيند مريد مريد ميرو جيند بيند جريد مريد جريد هيند مريد هيند قيم هيد 1. 1.
13	0	0	0	1
1	Ō	Ŏ	Ŏ	i
0	0	0	0	5
i				

51

 $\langle \hat{V} \rangle$

52

4 1

OUTCOMES AT THREE MONTHS (continued)

	G	AMP SHAWONO	•		
	BASELINE 7/76-12/76 N=54	<u>7/78-12/78</u> N=37	<u>1/79-6/79</u> N=29	7/79-12/79 N=35	<u>1/80-6/80</u> N= 37
Nø'police contact Some contact Arrested Unknown	69% 5% 24% 2%	70% 3% 27% 0%	66% 14% 17% 3%	77% 6% 17% 0%	67.5% 11% 21.5% 0%
Arrests: Àggressive Felonies Felonies Misdemeanors Status Offenses	8 4 1	1 6 3 0	2 2 1 0	2 3 1 0	2 4 1 1

1.14

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

- ^

AMP	NOK	OMIS
-----	-----	------

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	BASELINE 7/76-12/76 N=42	<u>7/78-12/78</u> N=36	<u>1/79-6/79</u> N=43	<u>7/79-12/79</u> N≕48	<u>1/80-6/80</u> N=46
No police contact Some contact Arrested Unknown	48% 10% 38% 4%	69% 12% 19% 0%	79% 2% 19% 0%	75% 4% 19% 2%	80.5% 4.5% 15% 0%
Arrests: Aggressive Felonies Felonies Misdemeanors Status Offenses	14 2 0	0 5 2 0	0 8 0 0	1 5 3 0	0 3 2 2

OUTCOMES AT THREE MONTHS (continued) ()No police contact Some contact Arrested Unknown Arrests: Aggressive Felonies Felonies Misdemeanors Status Offenses () $(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ No police contact Some contact Arrested Unknown Arrests: Aggressive Felonies Felonies Misdemeanors Status Offenses 0

 \bigcirc

O

BASELINE 7/74-12/75 N = 268	$\frac{7/78-12/78}{N=73}$	<u>1/79-6/79</u> N = 72	<u>7/79-12/79</u> N = 83	$\frac{1/80-6/80}{N=83}$
54%	70%	74%	76%	75%
13%	7%	7%	5%	7%
30%	23%	18%	18%	18%
3%	0%	1%	1%	0%
s	1	2	3	2
69	11	10	8	7 '
11	5	1	4	3
1	0	0	0	3

YOUTH CAMPS (TOTAL)

ARBOR HEIGHTS CENTER

	EL I NE - 6/77	7/78-	12/78	1/79-0	6/79	7/79-	12/79	1/80-	6/80
<u>Girls</u>	Boys	<u>Girls</u>	Boys	<u>Girls</u>	<u>Boys</u>	<u>Girls</u>	Boys	<u>Girls</u>	Boys
N≖14	N=26	N≖3	N=7	N=3	N=8	N=2	N=6	N=4	N=10
50%	73%	33%	72%	100%	50%	50%	16.5%	75%	50%
22%	15%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	16.5%	25%	0%
14%	12%	0%	14%	0%	50%	50%	67%	0%	40%
14%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%
es 0 2 0	2 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 2	0 1 0 0	0 4 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 0 0

.

53

JOB/SCHOOL OUTCOMES AT THREE MONTHS

Objective:

54

Increase the percentage of students who are enrolled in school, are in special training, or who are employed three months after release, to 56% for youth released in the January - June period and 60% for youth released in the July - December period.

Measurement:

Job/School Outcome Scale of the Community Care Telephone Ouestionnaire

THE PRODUCTIVITY (JOB/SCHOOL) OUTCOME SCALE

This outcome scale assesses the rate at which youth achieve job or school participation within three months following release from an institutional center. (Training programs and military are also counted productive.)

Job and school outcomes are combined in one index entitled "Productivity", but the variables are studied separately as well as in combination.

The "Productivity" index is determined by telephone contact with the Community Care Worker at a point 3 months after release from an institutional center. "Productivity" is determined as of the date of the telephone con-tact. (i.e., the Productivity Index is not a summary of the three-month community period.)

Summary of Major Findings:

- 1. <u>Productive status is the most important factor to date predicting arrest</u> outcome success. The felony arrest rate for non-productive youth is nearly four times the arrest rate for productive youth. Even when youth who are in jail or detention are omitted from the comparison (these youth couldn't be productive, since they were in jail) the arrest rate for non-productive youth is still more than double the rate for productive youth (36% to 14% in one study.)
- 2. Since 1974, nearly half of all youth have been totally non-productive (no job or school) three months after release. Supports are needed to help youth achieve productive status in the post-release period.
- 3. There appear to be seasonal fluctuations in productivity, with considerably less productivity in the summer months.

()

 \bigcirc

()

Sequoyah

01ympic

Summit

G.O.C.

Nokomis

Shawono

I.T.P.

Total

()

	- A11	Centers				
1-6/77 (N=260)	7-12/77 (N=327)	1-6/78 (N=296	7-12/78 (N=312)	1-6/79 (N=362)	7-12/79 (N=330)	1-6/80 (N=468)
45%	64%	52%	60%	56%	60%	56%

TRENDS IN PRODUCTIVITY RATES AT THREE MONTHS

PRODUCTIVITY RATES BY CENTER

Release Groups						
7-12/77 (N=327)	1-6/78 (N=296)	7-12/78 (N=312)	1-6/79 (N=362)	7-12/79 (N=330)	1/80-6/80 (N=468)	
72%	52%	60%	56%	70%	60% (N=73)	
64%	46%	58%	70%	48%	66% (N=64)	
59%	76%	56%	52%	65%	54% (N=83)	
63%	50%	73%	48%	52%	63% (N=51)	
64%	36%	54%	35%	63%	54% (N=35)	
50%	51%	43%	52%	52%	43% (N=49)	
70%	58%	67%	68%	62%	53% (N=45)	
73%	44%	73%	57%	60%	51% (N=35)	
44%	25%	50%	73%	100%	60% (N=20)	
100%	0%	67%	12%	67%	44% (N=9)	
100%	0%	0%	67%	0%	75% (N=4)	
64%	52%	60%	56%	60%	56% (N=468)	
	(N=327) 72% 64% 59% 63% 64% 50% 70% 73% 44% 100% 100%	 (N=327) (N=296) 72% 52% 64% 46% 59% 76% 63% 50% 64% 36% 50% 51% 70% 58% 73% 44% 44% 25% 100% 0% 100% 0% 	7-12/77 $1-6/78$ (N=296) $7-12/78$ (N=312) $72%$ $52%$ $60%$ $64%$ $46%$ $58%$ $59%$ $76%$ $56%$ $63%$ $50%$ $73%$ $64%$ $36%$ $54%$ $50%$ $51%$ $43%$ $70%$ $58%$ $67%$ $73%$ $44%$ $73%$ $44%$ $25%$ $50%$ $100%$ $0%$ $67%$ $100%$ $0%$ $0%$	7-12/77 $1-6/78$ $(N=327)$ $7-12/78$ $(N=312)$ $1-6/79$ $(N=362)$ $72%$ $52%$ $60%$ $56%$ $64%$ $46%$ $58%$ $70%$ $59%$ $76%$ $56%$ $52%$ $63%$ $50%$ $73%$ $48%$ $64%$ $36%$ $54%$ $35%$ $50%$ $51%$ $43%$ $52%$ $70%$ $58%$ $67%$ $68%$ $73%$ $44%$ $73%$ $57%$ $44%$ $25%$ $50%$ $73%$ $100%$ $0%$ $67%$ $12%$ $100%$ $0%$ $0%$ $67%$	7-12/77 $1-6/78$ $7-12/78$ $1-6/79$ $7-12/79$ $(N=327)$ $(N=296)$ $(N=312)$ $(N=362)$ $(N=330)$ $72%$ $52%$ $60%$ $56%$ $70%$ $64%$ $46%$ $58%$ $70%$ $48%$ $59%$ $76%$ $56%$ $52%$ $65%$ $63%$ $50%$ $73%$ $48%$ $52%$ $64%$ $36%$ $54%$ $35%$ $63%$ $64%$ $36%$ $54%$ $35%$ $63%$ $50%$ $51%$ $43%$ $52%$ $52%$ $70%$ $58%$ $67%$ $68%$ $62%$ $73%$ $44%$ $73%$ $57%$ $60%$ $44%$ $25%$ $50%$ $73%$ $100%$ $100%$ $0%$ $67%$ $12%$ $67%$ $100%$ $0%$ $0%$ $67%$ $0%$	

56

<u>Objective</u>:

Accomplish planned completion percentages of (a) 85% for youth in secure institutions; and (b) 75% for youth in Camps and Arbor Heights.

()

0

0

 \bigcirc

Weekly Population Information Reports. Measurement:

aning and a second s	REASO	NS FOR C	ASE TERMIN	ATION: 1	/79 - 6/7	79	
Center	N =	Planned Completion		Crisis Completion		Truancy Completion	
Sequoyah Olympic Summit G.O.C. I.T.P. A.T.S. (M) Institutional Male Total	72 91 70 47 20 <u>43</u> 343	57 70 61 39 17 <u>32</u> 276	79% 77% 87% 83% 85% 74% 81%	11 16 8 1 1 <u>9</u> 46	15% 18% 12% 2% 5% <u>21%</u> 13%	4 5 1 7 2 2 2 21	6% 5% 1% 15% 10% <u>5%</u> 6%
A.T.S. (F)	42	42	100%	0	0%	0	0%
A.H.C.	12	2	17%	4	33%	6	50%
Nokomis Shawono Camps Total	53 <u>37</u> 90	39 <u>28</u> 67	74% 76% 74%	7 7 14	13% 19% 16%	7 _2 _9	13% <u>5%</u> 10%

	REASO	INS FOR CA	SE TERMINA	TION 7/7	9 - 12/79	,	
Center	N =	Pla Compl	nned etion	Cri Compl	sis etion		uancy letion
Sequoyah Olympic Summit G.O.C. I.T.P. A.T.S. (M) Institutional Male Total	77 88 64 31 9 <u>33</u> 302	68 66 52 26 6 <u>27</u> 245	88% 75% 81% 84% 67% <u>82%</u> 81%	8 16 7 2 2 4 39	10% 18% 11% 6% 22% 12% 13%	1 6 5 3 1 2 18	2% 7% 8% 10% 11% <u>6%</u>
A.T.S. (F)	19	18	95%	0	0%	1	5%
A.H.C.	8	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	6	75%
Nokomis Shawono Camps Total	53 <u>54</u> 107	44 29 73	83% <u>54%</u> 58%	2 2 4	4% <u>4%</u> 4%	7 <u>23</u> 30	13% <u>42%</u> 28%

Objective:	Accomplis youth in Camps and	sh planne secure i d Arbor H	d completi nstitution eights.	on percen s; and (b	tages of) 75% for	(a) 85% 1 youth in	for 1
<u>Measurement</u> :	Weekly Po	opulation	Informati	on Report	S.		
	REA	SONS FOR	CASE TERM	INATION:	1/80 - 6/	/80	
Center	N =		nned letion		isis letion		uancy oletion
Sequoyah Olympic Summit G.O.C. I.T.P. A.T.S. (M) Institutional	57 80 67 35 13 <u>43</u>	52 47 61 30 12 <u>38</u>	91% 59% 91% 86% 92% 89%	4 17 4 3 1 4	7% 21% 6% 8% 8% 9%	1 16 2 2 0 1	20 20 3 5 6 0 2 2
Male Total	295	240	81%	33	11%	22	8%
A.T.S. (F)	32	32	100%	0		0	
A.H.C.	13	10	77%	2	15%	1	8%
Nokomis Shawono	39 <u>32</u>	31 <u>28</u>	80% 88%	4	10% _0%	4	10% 12%
Camps Total	71	59	83%	4	6%	8	11%

. المريك

1.1

ARREST OUTCOMES AT 12 MONTHS FOLLOWING RELEASE

Although the Institutional Services Division does not maintain a program goal for rearrest rate at twelve months after release, nevertheless the 12 month rate is monitored for general purposes.

		12 Month A	rrest Rate	s by Cente	er	
RELEASE PERIODS:	BASELINE 7/73-6/74	1/77 - 6/77	7/77 - 12/77	1/78 - 6/78	7/78 - 12/78	1/79 - 6/79
Sequoyah	46% (N=352)*	53%	29%	41%	37%	41% (N=58)
Olympic	46% (N=352)*	64%	40%	56%	44%	35% (N=60)
Summit	46% (N=352)*	33%	38%	31%	49%	48% (N=60)
Adrian (M)	54% (N=26)	46%	33%	37%	53%	45% (N=31)
Adrian (F)	18% (N=141)	27%	18%	2.7%	16%	32% (N=41)
G.O.C.	68% (N=53)	56%	44%	32%	59%	49% (N=35)
Nokomis	47% (N=154)**	39%	44%	46%	56%	35% (N=43)
Shawono	47% (N=154)**	39%	38%	51%	46%	46% (N=28)
I.T.P.	56% (N=9)	86%	33%	50%	50%	27% (N=11)
A.H.C. (M)	40% (N=10)	33%	50%	33%	20%	60% (N=5)
A.H.C. (F)	14% (N=7)	100%	0%	25%	20%	0% (N=3)
TOTAL				•		
Males Females	52% (N=604) 19% (N=148)	48% 26%	38% 17%	42% 26%	47% 16%	42% (N=331) 30% (N=44)

Computed as one Maxey figure
 Computed as one Camps figure

11

58

Intake

0

 \bigcirc

0

(

0

Overview:

60

 \bigcirc

()

0

Case flow is a fundamental component of residential care planning and operations. The following section depicts trends in institutional population, waiting lists, and intake from Wayne County and Outstate. Reasons for case termination (planned release, crisis release, or re-lease from truancy) are discussed on pp. 56 and 57, in the Outcomes section of this report.

Summary of Trends:

- the list.
- ing at capacity since 1978.
- 4.

INTAKE AND CASE FLOW

1. The waiting list of youth in detention or jail awaiting insti-tutional placement remains unacceptably high, although the last six months has seen major fluctuations in number of youth on

2. Between 1976 and 1978, institutional programs were expanded by 168 beds in response to intake pressures. All of the expansion was in the boy's programs. The institutions have been operat-

3. Wayne County is consistently contributing 43% of all institu-tional intake for males. (In the period 1973-1975, Wayne County used institutional resources sparingly.)

Approximately 8 in 10 youth admitted to institutional programs (see pp. 56 and 57) will eventually receive a planned completion. Few youth are released or discharged because of truancy. Every institutional center but one meets the goal of 85% successful (planned) completion established by the Institutional Services Division in the summer of 1980.

Preceding page blank

June, 1969 December, 1969 June, 1970 December, 1970 June, 1971 December, 1971 June, 1972 December, 1972 June, 1973 December, 1973 June, 1974 December, 1974 June, 1975 December, 1975 June, 1976 December, 1976 June, 1977 December, 1977 June, 1978 December, 1978 June, 1979 December, 1979 June, 1980

()

 \bigcirc

 \mathbf{O}

0

* Figures include Camps, but not Arbor Heights Center or Regional Detention Center.

Preceding page blank

STUDENTS ON ACTIVE ROLLS

INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES DIVISION*

Institutional Males	Institutional Females	Camps <u>Males</u>	<u>Total</u>
918	316	80	1,314
833	301	65	1,199
838	281	80	1,199
739	270	76	1,085
560	190	6 84	834
578	105	59	742
429	72	70	571
344	67	51	462
324	105	64	493
386	109	63	558
387	111	71	569
384	98	80	562
387	93	70	550
380	85	80	545
405	61	81	547
446	59	78	583
529	69	84	682
513	75	81	669
542	77	85	704
526	75	88	689
551	57	70	678
567	60	54	681
565	57	76	698

61

THE WAITING LIST INTO INSTITUTIONAL CARE

()

 \bigcirc

C

()

 \bigcirc

<u>1976</u> 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter

1977

All Quarters

<u>1978</u> All Quarters

<u>1979</u> 1st Quarter

2nd Quarter

3rd Quarter

4th Quarter

<u>1980</u> 1st Quarter

2nd Quarter

3rd Quarter

<u>1976</u> All Quarters

<u>1977</u> All Quarters

<u>1978</u> All Quarters

<u>1979</u> All Quarters

<u>1980</u> 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter

3rd Quarter

12/77 - 12/80

Waiting list pressures have been pronounced in recent years. Between 1976 and 1978, institutional programs were expanded by opening 168 unused beds in response to intake pressures. The final (40 bed) expansion was in April/ May 1978. Since that time, institutions have been at capacity.

After temporary reduction due to the impact of the 1978 expansion, the active waiting list resumed a steady climb. In June of 1980, there was a sudden, sharp decline in the active list, and a corresponding increase in the hold list. Later in the year, the active list again climbed into the 50's.

Week Ending (date):	ACTIVE WAITING LIST	<u>Holds</u> *	<u>Total</u>
12/30/77	NA	NA	92
2/10/78	NA	NA	89
3/17/78	71	25	96
4/14/78		32	90
5/5/78	34	35	69
6/2/78	24	51	75
6/30/78	36	44	80
8/4/78	51	48	99
9/29/78	64	41	105
10/27/78	67	42	109
11/24/78	69	. 39	108
12/29/78	79	37	114
2/2/79	70	· 47	117
4/20/79/	72	46	118
6/29/79	79	45	124
8/27/79	84	36	120
9/5/79	85	39	124
11/7/79	76	40	116
1/31/80	97	48	145
3/18/80	100	48	145
5/30/80	111	45	156
6/30/80	63	60	123
10/1/80	32		96
12/3/80	53	64	
12/3/00	55	23	76

Youth referred, but unavailable to active waiting list for a variety of reasons including truancy, pending court hearing, pending Youth Parole and Review Board hearing, illness, etc. In October of 1980, the hold list was "cleaned up" by removing youth who had been in hold status longer than 30 days (unless workers requested an extension). INTAKE BY QUARTER - INSTITUTIONAL BOYS

Wayne County 24 (26%) 36 (34%) 44 (36%) 74 (58%) 178 (40%)	Not Wayne County 68 70 77 <u>53</u> 268 (60%)	<u>Total</u> 92 106 121 127 446
266 (50%)	263 (50%)	<i>ି</i> 529
207 (41%)	302 (59%)	509 [°]
62 (46%) 60 (39%) 76 (47%) 54 (40%) 252 (43%)	73 (54%) 95 (61%) 87 (53%) 82 (60%) 337 (57%)	135 155 163 <u>136</u> 589
55 (44%) 64 (42%) 73 (43%)	71 (56%) 88 (58%) 97 (57%)	126 152 170

63

INTAKE BY QUARTER - INSTITUTIONAL GIRLS

<u>Wayne County</u> 13 (27%)	<u>Not Wayne County</u> 36 (73%)	<u>Total</u> 49
32 (41%)	47 (59%)	[.] 79
21 (34%)	41 (66%)	62
14 (33%)	28 (67%)	42
4 (33%) 12 (60%) 8 (89%)	8 (67%) 8 (40%) 1 (11%)	12 20 9

History of RDC and Counties Served:

The Genesee County Children's Facility was transferred to the administration of the Michigan Department of Social Services in October, 1978, and was designated as a Regional Detention Center for the following counties:

Eaton Genesee Gratiot

Purpose of RDC and Youth Accepted:

(•)

 \mathbf{O}

The Center was established to provide short-term custody, assessment, and assistance to youth in preparing them to return to the community, or to an alternative placement. Youth alleged to be delinquent may be detained as ordered by juvenile court pending an adjudication hearing, and/or pending completion of a placement subsequent to a disposition hearing.

Program Description and Services:

Four interdisciplinary teams provide supportive and secure care for up to 68 youth (16 females and 52 males).

Each youth receives a physical examination within 72 hours of admission and a dental examination within one week.

Educationally, each youth is administered the Wide Range Achievement Test (WRAT) their first school day, and has a two week Individual Lesson Plan (ILP) developed for both Basic Education and Career Education. The ILP is updated every two weeks thereafter which assists staff teams in assessing school performance, in completing transcripts, and in screening youth for an educational handicap. Each youth daily receives two 11/2 hour periods of basic education, a $1\frac{1}{2}$ hour period of career education, a $1\frac{1}{2}$ hour period of physical education, and a $1\frac{1}{2}$ hour period of art education.

From a social service standpoint, each youth's adjustment is reviewed weekly at staff team meetings and a formal intake conference is held after two weeks if the youth is still in detention. This allows for the development of individual case plans for detention, the completion of monthly progress reports (as appropriate), and the preparation of release summaries.

Besides the preceding services, which are made available to all youth in the Center, the Juvenile Court or a local DSS office may request the Center to administer a psychological and/or a psychiatric examination, case planning and placement search assistance, and testimony at hearings.

Regional Detention

Preceding page blank

()

REGIONAL DETENTION CENTER (RDC)

Huron	Sanilac
Lapeer	Shiawassee
Tuscola	Livingston

1. <u>Secure Detention Objective:</u>

Provide short-term secure detention to all youth referred to the Center by the 9 Primary Courts and local DSS offices.

	Baseline	
Measurement(s)	(12 Months) 10/78-9/79	(12 Months) 10/79-9/80
 a. # Primary County Admissions 	670	614
b. # Primary County Referrals	691	633
c. % of Referrals Admitted	97%	97%
d. Total # Youth Served	825	707
e. % of Total from Primary Counties	81%	86%

2. Diagnostic/Casework Services Objective:

Of those youth released with 15 or more days the Center, increase the percentage of JC/DSS requests for diagnostics and casework services that are honored.

Baseline

		(5 Mon <u>5/79-</u>		(6 Mor 10/79-	ths) 3/80	(6 Mo 4/80-	nths) 9/80
Mea	<u>asurement(s)</u>	Number Requests	Number Honored	Number Requests	Number Honored	Number Requests	Number Honored
a.	Youth Released with 15+ Days	2(02	21		······································	<u>33</u>
Ь.	Secure Detention Only	62	62	64	64	64	64
c.	Copies of Medical Exam	103	100	94	94	117	116
d.	Copies of Dental Exam	84	81	72	72	102	102
e.	Copies of School Trans.	8	8	19	19	16	16
f.	Copies Case Plan/Rel. Summary	49	46	25	25	31	31
g.	Interim Adjustment Rpr.	40	32	39	39	4]	40
h.	Psychological Exam	82	75	102	101	107	106
i.	Psychiatric Exam	5	5	6	б	17	17
j.	Educational Prg. Plann. Conference	0	0	0	0	1.	1
k.	Placement Recommendation	1 22	19	20	20	35	34
1.	Court or P & R Testimony	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
m.	Placement Counseling	9	9	7	7	4	4
n.	Family Counseling	2	2	1	1	0	0
0.	Total JC/DSS Req.(w/o"b")405	377	385	384	470	467
p.	% of JC/DSS Requests Honored	93		999		99%	

6)

 \bigcirc

()

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

3.

Of those youth released with 15 or more days in the Center, increase the percentage who successfully completed their Individual Education Plan (IEP).

Measurement(s)

- a. Number of with 15+
- b. Number of
- c. Number of completed
- d. Percent of successful
- 4. Jailing Objective:

Determine by the 9 Primary Counties frequency of juveniles booked at a jail and placed in a cell.

	•	Baseline	•	
Measu	rement(s)	(4 Months) 6/79-9/79	(6 Months) 10/79-3/80	(6 Months) 4/80-9/80
a.	Eaton	3	3	0
b.	Genesee	2	4	2
c.	Gratiot	0	7	7
d.	Huron	3	5	3
e.	Lapeer	0	0	3
f.	Livingston	10	17	13*
g.	Sanilac	31	26	27**
h.	Shiawassee	1	3	0***
i.	Tuscola	12	9	1****
j .	Total Youth	62	74	56

- * Does not include May report
 ** Does not include August report
 *** Does not include May, July, August and September reports

Education Plan Objective:

	Baseline		
	(5 Months) 5/79-9/79	(6 Months) 10/79-3/80	(6 Months) 4/80-9/80
f youth released days in the Center	202	217	233
F IEP's prepared	542	756	766
f IEP's successfully	419	575	624
of IEP's Ally completed	77.3%	76.1%	81.5%

1.

**** Does not include July report

5. <u>Status Offender Objective</u>:

Determine by the 9 Primary Counties the number of Status Offenders admitted to the Center where alternatives to detention have not been tried:

	. ,		Baseline		
Mea	<u>surement</u> (s)	•	(4 months) <u>6/79-9/79</u>	(6 months) 10/79-3/80	(6 months) 4/80-9/80
a.	Eaton	••••	0	3	1
b.	Genesee	н. 1997 - С.	1	5	2
c.	Gratiot		0	0	0
d.	Huron		e	1	0
e.	Lapeer		6	1	0
f.	Livingston		6	וו	6
g.	Sanilac		1	1	1
h.	Shiawassee		2	1 1	0
i.	Tuscola		1	3	5
j.	Total Youth	•	18	26	15

6. Length of Stay Objective:

Determine the primary purpose of detention, for the majority of time at RDC, for youth released after 31+ days.

Mea	surement(s)	(5 months) 5/79-9/79	(6 months) 10/79-3/80	(6 months) <u>4/80-9/80</u>
a.	Waiver Hearing	3	1	0
b.	JC Adjudication Hearin	ig 22	30	9
c.	P & R Hearing	16	7	10
d.	DSS Acceptance of Case	0	5	4
e.	Diagnostics	0	1	0
f.	Placement Search	30	37	40
g.	Training School Waiting List	10	18	28
h.	Other Waiting Lists	14	16	13
1.	Other	ן איז ו	6	5
j.	Unknown	0	3	2
k.	Total Youth	96	124	111

70

11

APPENDIX

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

C

- 2)
- Age at Release and Arrest Outcomes:

3)

 \bigcirc

- 5)

STATISTICAL SUPPORT STUDIES January, 1981

INSTITUTIONAL EVALUATION PROGRAM

()

SUMMARIZATION:

STUDIES COMPLETED 1974 - 1976 *

1) Productivity (job or school participation) and Arrest Outcomes:

-/Job/school productivity is <u>highly</u> predictive of success at avoiding arrest within three youths of release (see page 48; this report). Arrest rate of productive youth studied = 14%; non-productive youth = 50%.

- Youth display high rates of non-productivity (more than 50%) three short months after release.

Educational Achievement and Arrest Outcomes:

- The higher the achievement level of students at release, the greater their probability of success at avoiding re-arrest.

- Academic level attained is predictive of success, while the amount of academic gain is not.

Educational Level at Entry and Amount Gained During Stay:

- Youth entering at 2.9 grade level or below do not gain as much as youth entering at higher levels.

- Youth released at 17 years or older have a significantly lower arrest rate than youth released under 17. (Older youth studied = 19% and younger = 33%,)

Length of Stay and Arrest Outcomes:

- Length of stay averages do not describe the relationship between length of stay and arrest probability very well, because the relationship is curvilinear.

- Youth with very <u>short</u> length of stays tend to be lower-risk youth, and their arrest outcomes are indeed better. But contrary to prediction, youth with <u>longer</u> than average stays have better arrest outcomes than youth with average stays. (Apparently, other factors such as age, employability, etc., affect the expected outcome rates, since long-term residents are often difficult, high-risk youth.)

* For detailed analysis, see previous Centers reports.

December, 1980

()

0

 \mathbf{O}

 \bigcirc

()

()

PREGNANCY AND CHILDBIRTH

AMONG ADRIAN GIRLS

12 MONTHS AFTER RELEASE

In 1974-76, of 289 girls released from the Adrian Training School, 63 (22%) were either pregnant or had given birth one year after release, according to their community care workers.

Pregnant	Mothers	Total
38	25	63 (22%)

In 1977-78, of 121 girls released at Adrian, only 19 (16%) were either pregnant or had given birth within one year.

Pregnant		<u>Mothers</u>	Total		
13		6		19 (16%)	

Analysis:

4 1

The rate of pregnancy and childbirth in the period immediately following release has dropped somewhat for girls at Adrian Training School. The decline is not statistically significant, however.

PROFILES OF YOUTH IN INSTITUTIONS

- (a) Institutional Boys (Sequoyah, Olympic, Summit, Adrian)
- (b) Boys in Camps
- (c) Boys in Green Oak Center/ITP
- (d) Girls in Institutions

5)

(3)

December, 1979

()

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

()

 \mathbf{O}

PROFILE:

BOYS IN INSTITUTIONS

The following profile is descriptive of the typical male youth currently entering Institutional Services Division Centers(excluding G.O.C., I.T.P. and Camps).

Age: (intake for 1/78 - 12/78, N=546)

(4)

Average age at entry = 16 years, 11 days Average length of stay = 334 days Average age at release = 16 years, 345 days

Academic Level: (released youths 7/78 - 6/79, N=374)

Average grade level at entry = 6.25 Average grade level at release = 7.58 Average academic gain during stay = 1.33 Average gain "per year in program" = 1.48

1.33 x 365 day 329 days

Previous Offense Patterns: (Most serious adjudications - Intake for 1/79 - 9/79, N=373)

Aggressive felons = 47%Property felons = 51%Misdemeanors = \ 2% Status Offenders 0%*

Previous Actions and Placements: (Intake for 1/79 - 9/79, N=373)

At point of intake, the average youth:

- averaged 3.4 previous court appearances

- averaged 3.1 previous adjudicated offenses

- averaged 1.1 previous out of home placements

- one youth in nine (11%) had seen previous placement in a residential facility for the mentally ill or emotionally disturbed.

* Since 7/1/76

PROFILE:

BOYS IN CAMPS

The following profile is descriptive of the typical male youth currently entering the Institutional Services Division's Youth Rehabilitation Camps.

Age: (Intake for 1/80 - 3/80, N=63)

Average age at entry = 16 years, 113 days Average length of stay = 189 days Average age at release = 16 years, 314 days

Academic Level: * (Youth released 1/80 - 3/80, N=28)

Average grade level at entry = 6.1 Average grade level at release = 7.4 Average academic gain during stay = 1.3Average gain "per year in program" = $2.5 \left(\frac{1.3 \times 365 \text{ days}}{189 \text{ days}}\right)$ 189 davs

Previous Offense Patterns:

Misdemeanors

(Most serious adjudications - Intake from 10/79 - 3/80, N=83)

Aggressive felonies = 13% Property felonies = 77% 5% = Status offenses 5%

Previous Actions and Placements:

- had averaged 2.7 previous court appearances - had averaged 3.1 previous adjudicated offenses - had averaged .8 previous out-of-home placements

* Figures not available for two youths.

December, 1979

PROFILE:

BOYS IN SPECIAL PROGRAMS

The following profile is descriptive of the typical male youth currently entering Green Oak Center and the Intensive Treatment Program

Age: (intake for 1/78 - 12/78, N = 67)

Average age at entry = 16 years, 47 days Average length of stay = 334 days Average age at release = 17 years, 15 days

Academic Level: (released youths 7/78 - 6/79, N = 77)

Average grade level at entry = 6.10 Average grade level at release = 7.44 Average academic gain during stay = 1.34Average gain "per year in program" = 1.34

1.34 x 365 days 365 days

Previous Offense Patterns:

(Most serious adjudications, intake for 1/79 - 9/79, N = 116)

Aggressive felons = 56%Property felons = 44% Misdemeanors = 0% Status offenders = 0%

Previous Actions and Placements: (Intake for 1/79 - 9/79, N = 116)

. ...

At point of intake, the average youth:

- averaged 2.7 previous court appearances
- averaged 2.5 previous adjudicated offenses
- averaged 1.8 previous out of home placements
- nearly one youth in three (30%) had seen previous placement in a residential facility for the mentally ill or emotionally disturbed.

The following profile is descriptive of the typical female youth currently Average age at entry = 15 years, 263 days Average length of stay = 1 year, 22 days (387 days) Average age at release = 16 years, 300 days Average grade level at entry Average grade level at release = 7.0 Average academic gain during stay = 1.1 Average gain per year in program = 1.04 (1.1 x 365 387 days Aggressive felonies (murder, manslaughter, rape, assault, robbery: armed and unarmed, arson criminal sexual conduct). = 52% Property felonies (car theft, breaking and entering, = 33% = 15% = 0%

 $\langle \rangle$

()

()

0

entering Institutional Services Division Centers (Adrian Training School) <u>Age:</u> (Intake for 1/78 - 12/78, N = 69) Academic Level: larceny, drug law violations: excluding marijuana Misdemeanors (malicious destruction of property, drunk and disorderly, shoplifting, marijuana possession, violation of probation). <u>Strius offenses</u> (home and school truancy, - Averaged 2.7 previous court appearances - Averaged 1.8 previous adjudicated offenses - Averaged 1.8 previous out-of-home placements - One youth in five (18%) had seen previous placement in a residential facility for the mentally ill or emotionally disturbed,

Previous Offense Patterns: (Most serious adjudication - 1/78 - 12/78, N = 69)

Previous Actions and Placements: At point of intake, the average youth:

(6)

2

July, 1979 (7)

PROFILE:

GIRLS IN INSTITUTIONS

August 22, 1977

A STUDY OF AGGRESSIVE ADJUDICATION HISTORIES IN INSTITUTIONAL INTAKE:

WAYNE COUNTY AND OUTSTATE

OBSERVATION GROUP: 569 male youth admitted to Sequoyah, Summit, Green Oak Center, and Intensive Treatment between 12/75 and 7/77.

	WAYNE COUNTY	OUTSTATE
Record of Aggressive Felony Adjudication	54% (N = 115)	35% (N = 92)
No Record of Aggressive Felony Adjudication	46% (N = 97)	65% (N = 265)

 X^2 for this distribution (df = 1) = 39.06 which is significant beyond the .001 level of probability.

CONCLUSION: Wayne County youth have significantly greater rates of aggressive felony adjudications than outstate youth.

Aggressive felonies include murder, rape, assault, robbery and arson.

April, 1978

Overview:

()

 $\left(\right)$

()

()

 \bigcirc

One youth in three (34%) currently entering the Institutional Services Division has been adjudicated for a violent felony. The remaining twothirds (66%) have been committed for property felonies. (Currently, the misdemeanant is rare. The status offender is not admitted.)

We know a good deal more today about the outcomes of youth in state institutional care than we have in recent years. This sheet is necessarilv a simplification. (Detailed information available upon request.)

What we know about the violent offender:

1 in 12 for youth admitted as property offenders;

- community, but to himself.

Conclusions:

An intensification of effort is needed to focus on the violent youthful offender group. This is particularly the case in the post-institutional period, where more than half of all institutional releasees are typically idle within three months of release.

THE VIOLENT OFFENDER (OUTCOMES)

- The violent offender is principally male.

- While property offenders have higher rearrest rates, violent offenders are more likely to commit another violent offense.

- In short, property offense predicts probability of rearrest, but violent offense predict: violence.

- The probability of a youth being rearrested on a violent felony charge within one year of release is:

1 in 9 for institutional youth as a whole;

Łut

and

1 in $5\frac{1}{2}$ for youth admitted as violent offenders.

- More than one male delinquent state ward in 100 will be discharged from state care because he is dead. This is three times the normal mortality rate. A disproportionate number of these deaths (about 4 in 5) are among youth who have committed offenses harmful to persons.

- In short, the violent youth is a high-risk youth -- not only to the

The risks -- and the news -- of this group appear extreme.

()

 \bigcirc

O

Longitudinal Tracking of Youth Entering Adult Department of Corrections Programs

Subject Groups:

Three hundred sixty-one male youth released from Institutions between 7/1/74 and 6/30/75; 115 male youth released from Youth Rehabilitation Camps between 12/1/74 and 6/30/75; 119 females released at Adrian, 7/1/74 - 6/30/75.

A comparison group of youth released in 7/77 - 6/78 is now available. This group may be compared (at 2¹/₂ yrs.) with the initial study group.

Procedure:

4 1

Youth in the subject groups were checked annually against Department of Corrections population rosters, to determine if they had entered the adult correctional system. (Note: Names only appeared on the rosters if a young person has drawn a sentence greater than one year. Sentences of less than a year were served in the county jail system.) Figures below include youth who have entered D. of C., served sentences and been released.

	No. of Youth Released 1974/75	2½ Yrs. % in Prison <u>6/30/77</u>	3½ Yrs. % in Prison 6/30/78	4½ Yrs. % in Prison 6/30/79	5½ Yrs. % in Prison <u>6/30/80</u>	No. of Youth Released 1977/1978	2½ Yrs. % in Prison <u>6/30/80</u>
G.O.C.	91	34(37%)	42(46%)	49(54%)	51(56%)	81	28 (35%)
Adrian (N	1) 9*	4(44%)	4(44%)	4(44%)	4(44%)	48	6 (13%)
Sequoyah	89	24(27%)	32(36%)	41(46%)	43(48%)	113	26 (23%)
Olympic	85	20(24%)	29(34%)	33(39%)	35(41%)	88	25 (28%)
Summit	64	9(14%)	17(27%)	20(31%)	25(39%)	105	21 (20%)
Camps	115	16(14%)	26(23%)	30(26%)	33(29%)	176	22 (13%)
Rec./ITP	23	3(13%)	5(22%)	7(30%)	10(43%)	17	3 (18%)
Total (M)) 476	110(23%)	155(33%)	184(39%)	201(42%)	628	131 (21%)
Adrian (1	F) 119	5(4%)	6(5%)	7(6%)	7(6%)	69	0 (0%)

* Adrian figures are small because programming for males was in early stages in 1974-75.

July, 1979

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

Center

Adrian Training School (F) N = 69

Adrian Training School (M) N = 76

Arbor Heights Center N = 35

Green Oak Center N = 41

Olympic Center N = 134

Reception/I.T.P. N = 26

Sequoyah Center N = 134

Summit Center N = 135

All Institutional Males N = 546

N = 181

I.S.D. Total N = 831

AVERAGE AGES 1978

INTAKE

<u>Average Age</u>					
	15	yr.,	263 days		
	16	yr.,	59 days		
,	15	yr.,	7 days		
•	16	yr.,	181 days		
	16	yr.,	47 days		
	15	yr.,	202 days		
	15	yr.,	326 days		
	15	yr.,	363 days		
	16	yr.,	30 days		
	16	yr.,	89 days		
	16	yr.,	39 days		

Youth Rehabilitation Camps

July, 1979

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

0

J.

 \bigcirc

O

0

0. . 0

AVERAGE AGES 1978

RELEASE

 \bigcirc

. -

Center

(12)

Adrian Training School (F) N = 65

Adrian Training School (M) N = 61

Arbor Heights Center N = 27

Green Oak Center N = 68

Olympic Center N = 85

Reception/I.T.P. N = 24

Sequoyah Center N = 112

Summit Center N = 98

All Institutional Males N = 448

Youth Rehabilitation Camps N = 183

I.S.D. Total N = 723

. . 1

34 1

Υ.

Average Age

16 yr., 300 days

17 yr., 75 days

15 yr., 312 days

17 yr., 184 days

16 yr., 362 days

16 yr., 94 days

16 yr., 350 days

16 yr., 350 days 17 yr., 17 days

16 yr., 267 days

16 yr., 330 days

R.

(13)

()

AGE DISTRIBUTIONS 1978 INTAKE FOR INSTITUTIONAL MALES N = 546

13 or under	e E	3%
14	11	13%
15	=	24%
16	=	45%
17	=	15%
18	=	0%

AGE DISTRIBUTIONS: 1978 INTAKE FOR INSTITUTIONAL FEMALES N = 69

13 or	under =	4%
14	=	13%
15	=	48%
16	.=	29%
17		6%
18	=	0%

(14)

 \bigcirc

AGE DISTRIBUTIONS AT RELEASE FOR INSTITUTIONAL MALES (1978) N = 448

13	or	under	=	0%	
14			=	2%	
15			=	12%	
16			=	26%	
17				44%	
18			=	16%	

AGE DISTRIBUTIONS AT RELEASE FOR INSTITUTIONAL FEMALES (1978) N = 65

\hat{n}			
.∦2.	13 or under	=	0%
	14	÷.	6%
	15	=	9%
	16	=	39%
	17	=	35%
	18	= .	11%

Open Programs Green Oak Center Intensive Treatmen Total for males Adrian females

 \odot

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

 \bigcirc

0

Total, males and f

January, 1980 (15)

TRENDS IN INSTITUTIONAL INTAKE:

MALE YOUTH WITH PREVIOUS PLACEMENTS IN A RESIDENTIAL FACILITY FOR THE MENTALLY ILL OR EMOTIONALLY DISTURBED

· · · · · · ·	<u>1977 INTAKE</u>	INTAKE FOR 1/79 - 9/79
	7% (N=302)	11% (N=373)
	14% (N=110)	31% (N=86)
nt Program	16% (N=19)	33% (N=24)*
	9% (N=431)	16% (N=483)
	16% (N=76)	18% (N=40)
females	10% (N=507)	16% (N=523)

* Includes five (5) youths from October & Novæmber 1979

