













Such meetings offer a greater opportunity than that afforded by group meetings to tailor advice and guidance to an offender's specific needs and behaviors. Moreover, individual meetings may be more effective than group meetings at enhancing the legitimacy of law enforcement in the eyes of wary offenders through extended interaction with a police officer in their social support role. The logic of individualized deterrence is similar to that of providing individualized tutoring and other educational services to students experiencing academic difficulties. In both cases, the focus is on the specific problems, needs, and progress of an individual, which is likely to be more difficult to achieve in a group setting. Finally, there may be practical reasons to prefer individual meetings over group sessions. It simply may be easier to hold multiple meetings with the same individual than to continually organize group sessions.

In summary, the St. Louis Police Partnership shares with other focused deterrence programs the delivery of a two-pronged surveillance and support message in face-to-face meetings with persons at high risk for criminal offending and victimization. The key difference is that the meetings are held with individuals in the Police Partnership and with groups in other programs. The current evaluation of the Police Partnership also differs in two fundamental respects from most evaluations of focused deterrence programs. Our study of the Police Partnership is based on a randomized controlled experiment that compares outcomes across treatment and control groups, and the outcomes are criminal and prosocial behaviors of individual research subjects rather than area crime rates.























































































