Appendix C

Charts Summarizing Facility Investigations of Inmate-on-Inmate and Staff-on-Inmate Sexual Assaults

Table of Contents for Appendix C

Prisons	
Prisons with Low Incidence of Sexual Victimization	
Federal Correctional Institution Elkton	
Inmate-on-Inmate Sexual Assaults	1
Staff-on-Inmate Sexual Assaults	5
Bridgeport Pre-Parole Transfer Facility ¹	
Prisons with High Incidence of Sexual Assault	
Fluvanna Correctional Center for Women	
Inmate-on-Inmate Assaults	11
Staff-on-Inmate Assaults	19
James V. Allred Unit	
Inmate-on-Inmate Assaults	25
Staff-on-Inmate Assaults	94
Elmira Correctional Facility	
Inmate-on-Inmate Assaults	131
Staff-on-Inmate Assaults	135
Jails	
Jails with Low Incidence of Sexual Victimization	
Hinds County Work Center ²	
David L. Moss Criminal Justice Center	
Inmate-on-Inmate Sexual Assaults	163
Staff-on-Inmate Sexual Assaults	176
Jails with High Incidence of Sexual Victimization	
Clallam County Corrections Facility ³	
Miami-Dade Pre-Trial Detention Center	
Inmate-on-Inmate Sexual Assaults	184
Staff-on-Inmate Sexual Assaults	195
Sum of minute Street Laboure	1).
0	

Orleans Parish Prison⁴

¹ The Bridgeport Pre-Parole Transfer Center had no reported incidents of sexual assaults in 2008 and 2009.

² The Hinds County Work Center had no reported incidents of sexual assaults in 2008 and 2009.

³ The Clallam County Jail had no reported incidents of sexual assaults in 2008 and 2009.

⁴ The Orleans Parish Prison (OPP) reported no incidents of sexual assault in 2008 and 2009 for the South White Street Jail. The OPP did provide information on investigations of sexual assault for the prison as a whole. This information appears in the Report. See supra pp. 70-71.

Elkton, OH

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
1.	Date of incident	03/01/2008	08/24/2009	03/04/2009		
		05/16/2008,	08/24/2009,	03/04/2009,		
2.	Date of complaint and complaint number	Complaint numbers are not assigned if the charge is not sustained.	Complaint numbers are not assigned if the charge is not sustained.	Complaint numbers are not assigned if the charge is not sustained.		
	To whom complaint was					
3.	made (Internal and/or External)	Internal	Internal	Internal		
4.	Date of investigation	05/16 to 06/12/2008	08/24 to 09/14/2009	03/09 to 04/07/2009		
5.	Nature of offense	Rape	Unwanted touching	Unwanted sexual advances		

Elkton, OH

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault		
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male		
8.	Inmate no. and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male [Redacted] – Male [Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male [Redacted] – Male [Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male [Redacted] – Male		
9.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes		
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]		
	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11.	categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes		

Elkton, OH

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	Results of	Charges were not	Charges were not	Charges were not		
12.	investigation	sustained.	sustained.	sustained		
	Name and job title					
	of person who					
	approved closure of					
12	investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]		
13.		Complainant was				
		transferred to				
		another facility due		Commissionet		
		to the seriousness of	C1-:	Complainant was		
	****	the allegations	Complainant was	reassigned to		
	What happened to	which were	released to the	another housing		
14.	complainant?	unfounded.	general population.	unit.		
			Perpetrator was	Perpetrator was		
		Perpetrators were	found not guilty and	found not guilty and		
	What happened to	released to the	was released to the	was released to the		
15.	perpetrator?	general population.	general population.	general population.		

Elkton, OH

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	Was perpetrator					
	referred to					
	authorities for					
	potential					
16.	prosecution?	No	No	No		
10.	If yes, to whom and					
	what was the					
	outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A		
17.	outcome.	1,71	1771	1771		
	Was complainant					
	provided victim					
10	services?	Yes	Yes	Yes		
18.		Complainant	Complainant	Complainant		
		received counseling	received counseling	received counseling		
		services from	services from	services from		
	If yes, state services	institution staff	institution staff	institution staff		
	that were provided.	psychologist.	psychologist.	psychologist.		
19.	mat were provided.	Pojenorogist.	poj enorogist.	poj enorogist.		

Federal Correctional Institution Elkton, OH Staff-On-Inmate Assaults (Prisons)

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
1.	Date of incident	12/01/2008	12/01/2008			
	Date of complaint and complaint	02/12/2009,	12/29/2008,			
2.	number	OIA-2009-01373	OIA-2009-01997			
	To whom complaint was made (Internal and/or External)	Internal	Internal			
3. 4.	Date of investigation	03/12 to 04/16/2009	01/26 to 02/19/2009			
5.	Nature of offense	Unwanted touching	Unprofessional conduct of a sexual nature			
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual assault	Sexual assault			

Federal Correctional Institution Elkton, OH Staff-On-Inmate Assaults (Prisons)

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
			[Redacted] – Male			
	Inmate no. and gender of		[Redacted] – Male			
7.	complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male			
	Was there an investigatory policy					
8.	in place?	Yes	Yes			
	Staff name and gender of					
9.	perpetrator	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female			
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]			
	Did the facility follow its own					
11.	policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes			

Federal Correctional Institution Elkton, OH

Staff-On-Inmate Assaults (Prisons)

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
			The local			
			investigation			
			revealed sufficient			
			evidence to support			
			the allegations of			
			Unprofessional			
			Conduct of a Sexual			
			Nature, Preferential			
			Treatment of			
			Inmates, Breach of			
			Security,			
			Introduction of			
			Contraband, and			
			Soliciting or			
	Results of	Charges were not	Accepting Anything			
12.	investigation	sustained.	of Value.			
	Name and job title					
	of person who					
	approved closure of					
13.	investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]			
13.		Complainant was	Complainant was			
	What happened to	released to general	released to general			
	complainant?	population.	population.			
14.	complainant.	Population.	Population.			

Federal Correctional Institution Elkton, OH

Staff-On-Inmate Assaults (Prisons)

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	No action was taken since charges were not sustained.	Perpetrator resigned on [Redacted].			
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No			
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A			
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	No	Yes			
19.	If yes, state services that were provided.	N/A	Complainant received services from psychology staff at FCI Elkton			
20.	Did perpetrator resign or was he/she terminated?	No	Perpetrator resigned on [Redacted]	_	_	_

Federal Correctional Institution Elkton, OH Staff-On-Inmate Assaults (Prisons)

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	Were there other					
	sexual abuse					
	complaints against					
	perpetrator in	NT-	NT-			
21.	2008-2009?	No	No			
	If yes, provide					
	cross-references to					
	relevant complaint,					
	investigatory, and					
	disciplinary					
22.	documents.	N/A	N/A			
	Are there related					
	disciplinary					
	records concerning					
23.	the perpetrator?	No	No			
23.	If yes, provide					
	cross-references to					
	relevant					
	documents.	N/A	N/A			
24.						

Federal Correctional Institution Elkton, OH Staff-On-Inmate Assaults (Prisons)

	Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
Were there any	No. All BOP and local institution policies are reviewed on an annual basis. However, should a PREA incident dictate a change in policy or protocols, the Director at the national level and the warden at the local level would make the necessary changes as they	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
changes in policies, protocols, or staffing as a result of the complaint/	deem appropriate. The Director would make the final decision if a change was implemented for				
investigation?	all BOP facilities.	No			

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
1.	Date of incident	03/25/2008	Complainant did not give a date.	11/16/2008	11/14/2008	09/09/2008
2.	Date of complaint	03/29/2008	10/07/2008	11/16/2008	11/16/2008	09/09/2008
3.	To whom complaint was made (Internal and/or External)	External	Internal	Internal	Internal	External
4.	Date of investigation	03/31/2008	10/07 to 10/14/2008	11/18/2008 to 01/20/2009	11/17/2008	09/11 to 09/22/2008
5.	Nature of offense	Unwanted sexual gestures	Unwanted touching	Assault and battery	Assault and battery	Unwanted touching
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Rape	Rape	Sexual assault
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
8.	Inmate no. and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Female				
9.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
		The results of the investigation were inconclusive.				
12.	Results of investigation	Complainant signed sworn statement that she did not feel threatened by perpetrator. Complainant was assigned to another cell/room.	Charges were not sustained.	Charges were sustained.	Charges were not sustained.	Charges were not sustained.
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	Warden Barbara Wheeler	Keith Dent, Assistant Chief, OIG	Keith Dent, Assistant Chief, OIG	Sgt. Horn, FCCW	Keith Dent, Assistant Chief, OIG
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was moved to another cell/room.	No actions were taken on allegations.	Complainant was released to North Carolina authorities on [Redacted].	No action taken – third party allegation	Complainant remained in cell/room.

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
				There was a		
				disciplinary report		Perpetrator was
				filed – perpetrator		placed in isolation
			No actions were	received 10 days in		confinement until
	What happened to	Perpetrator remained	taken on unfounded	isolation	No action taken –	investigation was
15.	perpetrator?	in her cell/room.	allegations.	confinement.	third party allegation	completed.
	Was perpetrator					
	referred to					
	authorities for					
	potential					
	prosecution?	No	No	Yes	No	No
16.						
				Fluvanna		
	If yes, to whom and			Commonwealth		
	what was the			attorney declined to		
	outcome?	N/A	N/A	prosecute charges.	N/A	N/A
17.	XX/					
	Was complainant	N- 111				
	provided victim	No, based on her	NT/A	*7	NT/A	NT/A
18.	services?	sworn statement.	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
				FCCW counseling staff provided		
	If yes, state services			counseling support		
19.	that were provided.	N/A	N/A	services.	N/A	N/A

				- 1- 110		
		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	
1.	Date of incident	10/08/2009	05/28/2009	06/11/2009	07/25/2009	
1.	Date of incluent	10/06/2007	03/20/2007	00/11/2007	01/23/2009	
2.	Date of complaint	10/08/2009	05/28/2009	06/11/2009	07/30/2009	
	To whom					
	complaint was					
	made (Internal					
3.	and/or External)	Internal	Internal	Internal	Internal	
	Date of					
4.		10/13 to 10/23/2009	05/28 to 06/01/2009	06/11 to 06/18/2009	07/25 to 07/30/2009	
4.	investigation	10/13 10 10/23/2009	03/28 10 00/01/2009	00/11 10 00/16/2009	Sexual advances	
				Sexual gestures and	toward another	
5.	Nature of offense	Unwanted touching	Unwanted touching	masturbating	inmate	
٥.	Nature of offense	Onwanted touching	Onwanted touching	masturbating	Illinate	
	Substance of					
6.	allegations	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	
	Inmate no. and					
	gender of					
7.	complainant	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	
	Inmate no. and					
	gender of					
8.	perpetrator	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	
	Was there an					
	investigatory policy					
9.	in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	
		Πειαεπι πο	Πιειαεπι π7	Πιειαεπι πο	Incluent π)	
	Name and job title					
10.	of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	
	Did the facility					
	follow its own					
	policy? If not,					
11.	categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
			Both inmates			
			received disciplinary			
			reports and were		Charges were	
			given 10 days each		sustained of making	
	Results of	Charge was not	in isolation	Charges were not	sexual advances to	
12.	investigation	sustained.	confinement.	sustained.	another inmate.	
	Name and job title					
	of person who					
10	approved closure of	55. 1 . 17	FD 1 . 13	55 1 13	57. 1	
13.	investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	
			Complainant			
	****	Complainant was	received disciplinary	Complainant was	Complainant	
	What happened to	moved to a separate	report for aiding and	moved to another	remained in general	
14.	complainant?	housing unit.	abetting.	cell/room.	housing unit.	
					Perpetrator received	
					15 days in	
		D		D	disciplinary	
	****	Perpetrator was	D	Perpetrator was	segregation and was	
	What happened to	moved to a separate	Perpetrator received	moved to another	moved to another	
15.	perpetrator?	housing unit.	disciplinary report.	cell/room.	cell/room.	

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9
	Was perpetrator	222020220 // 0		222020220 11 0	22200220112
	referred to				
	authorities for				
	potential				
16.	prosecution?	No	No	No	No
	If yes, to whom and				
	what was the				
17.	outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Was complainant				
	provided victim				
18.	services?	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes
					FCCW counseling
					staff provided
	If yes, state services				counseling support
19.	that were provided.	N/A	N/A	N/A	services.

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
1.	Date of incident	01/06/2008	Summer 2008	11/06/2007	02/19/2009	05/11/2009
2.	Date of complaint	01/06/2008	01/02/2009	01/25/2008	07/01/2009	05/11/2009
3.	To whom complaint was made (Internal and/or External)	Internal	Internal	External	Internal	External
4.	Date of investigation	04/09 to 07/08/2008	01/02 to 01/09/2009	02/12 to 04/16/2008	07/01 to 10/29/2009	05/11 to 05/19/2009
5.	Nature of offense	Sexual battery, sexual misconduct	Sexual misconduct	Carnal knowledge	Unwanted sexual advances	Rape
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female
8.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
9.	Staff name and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] –Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] - Male
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
			Charges were unfounded and complainant	Carnal knowledge charge was inconclusive; fraternization with		Unfounded. [Redacted] did not make the PREA telephone call. Another inmate made the call and received a disciplinary report for lying to staff as
12.	Results of investigation	Charges were not sustained.	received disciplinary report.	inmate was sustained.	Inconclusive	the offense did not happen.

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was placed in protective custody.	Complainant received a disciplinary report for lying to staff.	Complainant was released from FCCW on 12/12/2007.	Complainant was transferred to another facility.	Complainant was not involved in this incident.
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	Perpetrator was referred to Fluvanna County Commonwealth Attorney for prosecution.	No action was taken since the charges were unfounded.	Perpetrator resigned from FCCW on [Redacted]	Perpetrator remained an employee at FCCW.	Charges were not sustained against perpetrator.
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	Yes	No	No. Complainant refused to cooperate in investigation and prosecution	No	No

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
		Fluvanna County				
	If yes, to whom and	Commonwealth				
	what was the	Attorney declined				
17.	outcome?	prosecution.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Complainant was				
		returned to the				
	Was complainant	mental health unit				
	provided victim	where she continued				
18.	services?	to receive services.	N/A	Yes	No	N/A
				Victim services for		
				complainant were		
	If yes, state services			provided by		
19.	that were provided.	N/A	N/A	probation officer.	N/A	N/A
	Did perpetrator	Perpetrator was		Perpetrator resigned		
	resign or was	reprimanded by		from FCCW on		
20.	he/she terminated?	warden.	No	[Redacted].	No	No
	Were there other					
	sexual abuse					
	complaints against					
	perpetrator in					
	2008-2009?	No	No	No	No	N/A
21.						

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
22.	If yes, provide cross-references to relevant complaint, investigatory, and disciplinary documents.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
23.	Are there related disciplinary records concerning the perpetrator?	Yes	No	No	No	No

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
		FCCW				
		documentation				
		indicates that				
		[Redacted] was				
		investigated for				
		similar allegations in				
		1999. The case				
		number was				
		[Redacted] and the				
		allegations in that				
		investigation were				
		not sustained. Even				
		though this did not				
	If yes, provide	take place during				
	cross-references to	2008 or 2009, this				
	relevant	incident was worth				
24.	documents.	noting.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Were there any					
	changes in policies,					
	protocols, or					
	staffing as a result					
	of the complaint/					
25.	investigation?	No	No	No	No	No

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
1.	Date of incident	11/2007 to 01/2008	04/24/2008	12/28/2008	Sometime in 2006	11/2007
	Date of complaint and complaint	05/07/2008,	04/25/2008,	01/06/2009,	06/18/2008,	11/04/2008,
2.	number	I-06756-05-08	I-05190-04-08	I-17374-12-08	I-07879-06-08	I-15390-11-08
	To whom complaint was		Internal – [Redacted],			
	made (Internal and/or External)	Internal – [Redacted]	[Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]
3.	Date of					
4.	investigation	05/27/2008	04/25/2008	01/06/2008	06/18/2008	11/04/2008
5.	Nature of offense	Anal and oral sex by intimidation	Anal sex by intimidation	Anal sexual assault by force	Anal rape by two other offenders	Anal rape on three occasions

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
6.	Substance of allegations	Complainant was continually threatened by another inmate, and intimidated into having a sexual relationship.	Complainant was threatened with violence to comply with anal sex. Hair gel was used as lubricant. Perpetrator removed penis and masturbated semen into the cell toilet.	Perpetrator forced complainant to the floor in cell. He tied his hands with what complainant thought was a shoestring and proceeded to anally rape him.	While in the shower, two inmates began hitting complainant before raping him anally.	Complainant was threatened with either paying \$100 or having sex with perpetrator. The sex took place on three occasions and caused anal bleeding. Complainant did not report incidents out of fear and shame. Complainant was also told that perpetrator would protect him from other black inmates.
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
8.	Inmate no. and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	Two unknown assailants were male.	[Redacted] – Male

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	Was there an					
	investigatory policy					
	in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.						
	Name and job title					
	of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
10.						
	Did the facility					
	follow its own					
	policy? If not,					
	categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.						

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
12.	Results of investigation	Allegations by complainant were substantiated. Complainant was transferred to Transient Housing unit before receiving unit transfer by UCC.	Complainant was given medical evaluation and a rape kit was used to gather evidence. Complainant was placed in Transient Housing. Redness and possible tearing of the anus were present.	Medical examination of complainant revealed redness on wrist, upper arm, and anus. Disciplinary action was taken with perpetrator. Final status of complainant was unclear, as Section XIII of Offender Protection Investigations (OPI) was not completed by UCC.	There was no action taken. The investigator was unable to substantiate this complaint. Current status of complainant was maintained.	Complainant was placed in Transient Housing unit and referred to medical department staff for further services.
	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
13.	mvesugauun	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
				Complainant was		Complainant was
				placed in passive		placed in Transient
				recreation yard as a		Housing unit as
			Complainant was	short-term remedy.		short-term remedy
		Complainant was	placed in Transient	A long-term		and referred to
		placed in Transient	Housing unit as a	disposition is		medical department
		Housing unit as a	short-term remedy.	unknown. OPI final	Complainant was	staff for follow-up
		short-term remedy.	As a long-term	page was not	referred for a	services.
		He was eventually	solution, he was	completed, as it	psychological	Complainant was
		transferred to	transferred to	relates to UCC	evaluation. The	ultimately placed in
	What happened to	another more	another housing	review and	current status was	another housing unit
14.	complainant?	permanent unit.	unit.	recommendation.	maintained by UCC.	for safekeeping.

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	Perpetrator was charged with disciplinary code 20.	Perpetrator was charged with 7.0 for sexual abuse offense and was coded as sexual predator in electronic records. He received a loss of privileges, reduction in line class, and loss of good time. Criminal charges were "No Billed," or not prosecuted.	Perpetrator was placed in administrative segregation as Level 3-A offender for protection of other inmates. He was charged with Level 1 code 7.0: Sexual Abuse.	Information was not available.	He was maintained in current status due to charge being unsubstantiated.
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	Information was not available.	Information was not available.
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	If yes, state services that were provided.	Complainant received a medical examination and was referred for a psychological evaluation. There was no Sexual Representative service offered to complainant.	Complainant received a rape kit/medical examination. A Sexual Representative was assigned and accepted by complainant.	Complainant received a medical examination. There was no Sexual Representative offered to complainant.	A medical examination was not conducted. A Sexual Representative was not assigned. Complainant was referred for psychological services, but it is not known if he took advantage of these services.	Complainant received a medical referral only. No Sexual Representative was offered.

		Incident # 6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
1.	Date of incident	08/20 to 08/21/2011	09/04/2009	09/03/2009	06/09/2009	10/30/2009
	Date of complaint and complaint	08/23/09,	09/04/2009,	09/09/2009,	09/23/2009,	11/03/2009,
2.	number	I-13582-08-09	I-14342-09-09	I-14597-09-09	I-15433-09-09	I-17610-11-09
3.	To whom complaint was made (Internal and/or External)	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	[Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]
4.	Date of investigation	08/23/2009	09/04/2009	09/09/2009	09/23/2009	11/03/2009
5.	Nature of offense	Sexual assault was alleged after complainant and perpetrator engaged in a physical fight.	Anal sex penetration	Forced anal sex	Complainant stated that perpetrator rubbed penis against complainant while complainant was attempting to sleep.	Unwanted fondling of penis

		Incident # 6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual assault	Complainant physically assaulted alleged perpetrator resulting in perpetrator receiving 12 sutures. Complainant stated he was anally penetrated while sleeping. Alleged perpetrator claimed he was assaulted due to being wrongly accused of taking a photograph belonging to complainant.	Complaint stated that perpetrator thought that complainant was a homosexual and forced him to have anal sex.	Complainant stated that perpetrator rubbed penis against complainant while complainant was attempting to sleep. Complainant waited until UCC hearing to report event because he no longer wanted to room with perpetrator because of this incident.	Complainant claimed perpetrator fondled complainant's penis while complainant was sleeping.
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male

		Incident # 6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
	Inmate no. and gender of					
8.	perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
	Was there an investigatory policy					
9.	in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not,					
11.	categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
		Complainant admitted that the sex acts were consensual. The incident did not meet the definition of	Both complainant and perpetrator were given	There was no finding of guilt due to delay in reporting assault, and there was no forensic	Both complainant and perpetrator were	No determination was made due to
12.	Results of investigation	sexual assault under Texas Law.	unspecified disciplinary action.	evidence due to delay in reporting.	placed in separate housing units.	lapse of time and lack of evidence.

		Incident # 6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
	Name and job title of person who approved closure of					
13.	investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
		Complainant was transferred to the Transient Housing unit pending the Offender Protection Investigation (OPI). Upon completion of the investigation there was no change in living status. Complainant was charged with Level 2	Complainant was moved to another housing unit and	Complainant was placed in predetention housing during investigation. Final review and recommendation section of Offender Protection Investigation form was not completed or signed so final disposition of	Complainant was	
	What happened to	Code 21.0 offense	received unspecified	complaint was not	placed in separate	Information was not
14.	complainant?	for fighting.	disciplinary action.	determined.	housing unit.	available.

		Incident # 6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	Perpetrator was placed in pre-hearing detention. He was charged with Level 2 code 21.0 offense for fighting.	Perpetrator received unspecified disciplinary action.	Perpetrator was placed in predetention housing during investigation.	Perpetrator was placed in separate housing unit.	Perpetrator was placed in prehearing detention. He was downgraded to housing assignment by UCC.
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome? Was complainant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
18.	provided victim services?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident # 6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
		Complainant	Complainant was	Complainant	Complainant	Complainant
		received a medical	placed in medical	received a medical	received a medical	received a medical
		examination and	unit for observation	examination and	examination and	examination and
	If yes, state services	Offender Protection	due to suicidal	Offender Protection	Offender Protection	Offender Protection
	that were provided.	Investigation.	remarks.	Investigation.	Investigation.	Investigation.
19.						

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
				Ongoing, most		
				recent events		
				occurred three		
				weeks prior to		
1.	Date of incident	11/03/2009	Some time in 2008	complaint date.	12/18/2009	07/07/2008
	Date of complaint	11/03/2009,	11/05/2009,	12/15/2009,	12/21/2009,	05/01/2009,
	and complaint	,		·	ŕ	ŕ
2.	number	I-17616-11-09	I-17745-11-09	I-19849-12-09	I-20190-12-09	I-06811-05-09
2.	To whom					
	complaint was					
	made (Internal	Internal –				
	and/or External)	[Redacted]	Internal - [Redacted]	Internal - [Redacted]	Internal - [Redacted]	Internal - [Redacted]
3.	·		. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,
	Date of					
4.	investigation	11/03/2009	11/05/2009	12/15/2009	12/21/2009	07/14/2008
		Forced oral sex by				Unwanted touching
		three unknown				of breast and
5.	Nature of offense	perpetrators	Forced anal sex	Forced oral sex	Forced oral sex	buttocks

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
		Complainant alleged			Complainant stated	
		he was returning			that an unknown	
		from interview with			assailant entered his	
		staff when accosted			cell and forced him	
		by three offenders.			to perform oral sex.	
		Internal count sheets			He alleged that	Complainant alleged
		revealed		Complainant alleged	evidence was on his	he was sexually
		complainant could		he would be "beat	blanket and on a	assaulted but later
		not have been at	Complainant could	up" by cellmate if he	tissue he kept for	changed his
	Substance of	location at the time	not recall details of	did not comply with	DNA evidence in his	allegation to
	allegations	of the incident.	alleged offense.	sexual acts.	cell.	unwanted touching.
6.	Inmate no. and					
	gender of					
	complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
7.	complantation	[reducted] Ware	[reducted] Wate	[reducted] Wate	[reducted] Ware	[reducted] Ware
						[Redacted] – Male
	Inmate no. and					[Redacted] – Male
	gender of	Three unknown				[Redacted] - Marc
	perpetrator	males	[Redacted] - Male	[Redacted] - Male	N/A	[Redacted] – Male
8.	XX/					
	Was there an					
	investigatory policy	Vac	Yes	Vac	Yes	Yes
9.	in place?	Yes	1 es	Yes	168	168

	Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Results of investigation	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated. Perpetrator was not identified.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated. Two of the offenders could not have committed offenses, as they were assigned to a different housing unit than complainant. Perpetrator actions did not constitute a sexual assault under the Texas Penal code.			

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
	Name and job title of person who					
13.	approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
14.	What happened to complainant?	N/A	N/A	Complainant was moved to a separate housing unit.	Complainant was placed in Transient Housing unit.	Complainant received no change in status.
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	N/A	There was no change in status for perpetrator.	There was no disciplinary action taken.	N/A	There was no disciplinary action taken.
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
	Was complainant			Complainant was offered medical		
	provided victim services?	Yes	Yes	services but refused them.	Yes	Yes
18.	services.	103	103	them.	103	
						Complainant was referred and
		Complainant received medical examination and	Complainant received medical examination and		Complainant received medical examination and	evaluated by Psychiatric Services on the date the
	If yes, state services	Offender Protection	Offender Protection		Offender Protection	allegation was made,
19.	that were provided.	Investigation.	Investigation.	N/A	Investigation.	May 1, 2009.

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
1.	Date of incident	04/30/2009	05/10/2009	05/20/2009	06/10/2009	06/09/2009
	Date of complaint and complaint	05/06/2009,	05/11/2009,	05/28/2009,	06/10/2009,	06/11/2009,
2.	number	I-07148-05-09	I-07415-05-09	I-08502-05-19	I-09262-06-09	I-09308-06-09
	To whom complaint was					
	made (Internal	Internal –	Internal –	Internal –	Internal –	Internal –
3.	and/or External)	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
4.	Date of investigation	05/06/2009	05/11/2009	05/28/2009	06/10/2009	06/11/2009
			Anal and oral sex	Unwanted oral sex		
		Asking for sexual	without weapon,	by perpetrator by		Forced oral sex
5.	Nature of offense	favors	threat, or violence	intimidation	Anal rape	under verbal threat

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
	Substance of	Masturbating in front of complainant and asking for	Complainant alleged that, on three or four occasions, perpetrator had anal and oral sex with him that was unwanted but no violence, weapons	The complainant alleges that there was unwanted oral sex by perpetrator and coerced masturbation by intimidation by perpetrator. This incident was brought to attention of staff when complainant broke perpetrator's	Complainant alleged he was raped. He said physical evidence remained, as perpetrator ejaculated in complainant's anal cavity. Complainant also alleged coerced	Forced to perform oral sex under verbal
6.	allegations	sexual favors.	or threats were used.	fan.	oral sex acts.	threat.
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
8.	Inmate no. and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
9.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not,					
11.	categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
					During the OPI, the	
					investigating officer	
					was unable to	
					substantiate	
					complainant's	
					claims. However,	
				Investigation was	the UCC	
				concluded as	recommended to the	
				"unable to	State Classification	
				substantiate	Committee that both	
				subject's	inmates be marked	
				allegations." The	"Not For Same Unit	
				case was referred to	As" (one another),	
				the Office of the	and also	
				Inspector General	recommended a unit	
				and concluded with	transfer for	
				"Inactivated: Not	complainant.	Complainant
		Allegations by	Allegations by	presented for	Complainant was	requested that these
	Results of	complainant were	complainant were	prosecution" under	transferred to	allegations not be
12.	investigation	not substantiated.	not substantiated.	case #09-1686	another facility.	pursued.

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was moved to another housing unit.	Complainant was referred for psychological evaluation.	Complainant was to receive "appropriate disciplinary" action but there was no documentation provided. There was no change in status as a result of the Offender Protection Investigation.	Complainant was transferred to another facility.	Complainant was transferred to another housing unit.

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	No action was taken on unfounded allegations.	No action was taken on unfounded allegations.	Alleged perpetrator remained in his current status. There was no action taken. He was paroled in February 2010.	The Office of the Inspector General, case #09-1830, presented evidence for prosecution. Records indicate the case is awaiting trial. On the unit level, the alleged perpetrator was found not guilty of any changes. Perpetrator has been transferred to another unit for chronic health care.	Perpetrator remained in his current status. However, he was transferred to another facility in September 2009. Office of Inspector General opened criminal case #09-1851. The criminal case has since been administratively closed
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
	Was complainant provided victim services?	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
18.	services.	140	103	103	103	140
					Complainant	
				Complainant was	received a forensic	
				placed in	examination. A	
			Complainant	psychological	Sexual	
			received a	observation cell due	Representative was	
	If yes, state services		psychological	to depression related	assigned and	
19.	that were provided.	N/A	evaluation.	to sexual assault.	accepted.	N/A

		Incident #21	Incident #22	Incident #23	Incident #24	Incident #25
_	Date of incident	Some time in 2003 or 2004	07/08/2009	07/09/2009	07/01/2009	07/01/2009
1.	Date of complaint	06/18/2009,	07/09/2009,	07/13/2009,	07/09/2009,	07/27/2009,
2.	and complaint number	I-09753-06-09	I-11059-0709	I-11276-07-09	I-11386-07-09	I-12087-07-09
	To whom complaint was	Internal – Complaint made to an				
	made (Internal	undetermined staff	Internal –	Internal – Grievance	Internal –	Internal –
3.	and/or External)	person	[Redacted]	form	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
4.	Date of investigation	06/18/2009	07/09/2009	07/13/2009	07/09/2009	07/27/2009
5.	Nature of offense	Anal rape	Unwanted touching	Anal rape	Oral sex by intimidation	Anal Rape

		Incident #21	Incident #22	Incident #23	Incident #24	Incident #25
6.	Substance of allegations	Complainant alleged that as a former gang member he was targeted and raped on two occasions by two separate perpetrators. This investigation began as a result of staff witnessing the complainant performing oral sex on an inmate who was identified by an alias, but not offender number.	Complainant alleged that perpetrator touched his penis through his underwear.	Complainant alleged he was ordered to disrobe in his cell, at which time he was anally penetrated by his cellmate. No ejaculation took place.	Complainant was on a bus being transferred to another unit and was handcuffed to an unknown inmate. Complainant was physically forced to touch the perpetrator's penis, and was then instructed to perform oral sex upon perpetrator if complainant wanted to avoid performing oral sex on a number of other passengers on the bus.	Complainant alleged that he was anally raped by three unknown offenders in recreation yard.
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male

		Incident #21	Incident #22	Incident #23	Incident #24	Incident #25
	Inmate no. and gender of	Information was not available since complainant was unable to name	[Dadagted] Mala	[Dadagtad] Mala	The unidentified perpetrator's number could not be	According to the investigation, the perpetrators were "several offenders," which the complainant was unable or unwilling to identify. Therefore, no TDCJ numbers of alleged perpetrators were
8.	perpetrator	perpetrators.	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	determined.	obtainable.
9.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	6					

		Incident #21	Incident #22	Incident #23	Incident #24	Incident #25
				Allegations by		
		Allegations by		complainant were		
		complainant were		inconclusive. There		
		not substantiated.		was no indication		
		According to the		the perpetrator was		
		Safe Prisons		interviewed by		
		Program		administrative staff.		
		Coordinator at the		However, the Office		
		Bill Clements Unit		of Inspector General		
		where the		opened criminal case		
		allegations were		#09-2214 of the		
		made, it was		incident. The		
		reported to them by		administrative		
		James Allred Unit		investigation		Allegations were not
		investigators that		determined the		sustained since
		they were unable to		incident to be		complainant only
		identify the offender		"unsubstantiated"		knew aliases of
		named [Redacted],	There was no record	due to lack of	Allegations by	perpetrators and not
	Results of	or anyone with the	of interview with	evidence to support	complainant not	their names or where
12.	investigation	a/k/a [Redacted]	perpetrator.	allegations.	substantiated.	they were housed.

		Incident #21	Incident #22	Incident #23	Incident #24	Incident #25
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
14.	What happened to complainant?	There was no information provided, as documentation revealed only that investigation is ongoing.	Information not available.	Complainant was already being moved to a new housing assignment due to physical altercation with perpetrator over a mattress, when he made the allegations.	Complainant was returned to his assigned cell.	Complainant remained in his housing unit.

		Incident #21	Incident #22	Incident #23	Incident #24	Incident #25
	What happened to	There was no information provide, as documentation revealed only that investigation was	Information was not	"NFSPA" (Not For Same Pod As) was recommended by the Unit Classification Committee for both complainant and perpetrator in this	The perpetrator was unable to be identified. Therefore, no action was taken against perpetrator. The Office of the Inspector General opened case #09-2261on this incident. The case has since been administratively	Perpetrators were not able to be identified so no action was taken. In an update to the investigation it was documented that the complainant reported he had not been sexually assaulted; that he was threatened by his ex-lover and told to claim sexual assault in order to be transferred off the unit. Office of Inspector General opened criminal case #09-2349. However, the case has been administratively
15.	perpetrator?	ongoing.	available.	incident.	closed.	closed.

		Incident #21	Incident #22	Incident #23	Incident #24	Incident #25
	Was perpetrator					
	referred to					
	authorities for					
	potential					
16.	prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
10.	If yes, to whom and					
	what was the					
	outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
17.						
	Was complainant					
	provided victim	27/4	T 7	T 7	*7	*7
18.	services?	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.			Complainant	Complainant	Complainant	Complainant
	If yes, state services		received a medical	received a medical	received a medical	received a medical
10	that were provided.	N/A	examination.	examination.	examination.	examination.
19.						

		T	nate-On-Innate As		I	
		Incident #26	Incident #27	Incident #28	Incident #29	Incident #30
1.	Date of incident	01/04/2008	10/2008 or 11/2008	07/09/2009	11/2007	02/01/2008
	Date of complaint					
	and complaint	01/04/2008,	01/15/2008,	01/16/2008,	01/28/2008,	07/27/2009,
2.	number	I-00123-01-08	I-50611-01-08	I-00635-01-08	I-01176-01-08	I-12087-07-09 ¹
	To whom					Internal – Complaint
	complaint was		Internal – Unit	Internal – Through		made to an
	made (Internal	Internal –	Classification	the I-60 form to the	Internal –	undetermined staff
3.	and/or External)	[Redacted]	Committee (UCC)	medical unit staff	[Redacted]	person
	Date of					
4.	investigation	01/04/2008	01/15/2009	01/16/2008	01/29/2008	02/207/2009
7.	mvestigation	01/04/2000	01/13/2007	Forced anal sex by	01/2//2000	02/201/2007
		Rape, forced anal	Rape, forced anal	three unnamed	Oral sex by	Unwanted touching
5.	Nature of offense	sex	sex	assailants	intimidation	of buttocks
	1100010 01 01101150	7 7 7 7	Complainant alleged			
			that on two			
			occasions			
			perpetrator	Complainant alleged		
		Complainant alleged	committed forced	he was attacked by	Complainant was	Complainant alleged
		that his cellmate	anal rape, and, on	three "Mandingo	forced to have oral	that perpetrator
	Substance of	raped him against	another occasion, by	Warriors" gang	sex with unknown	grabbed his buttocks
6.	allegations	his will.	second perpetrator.	members.	"Crips" members.	while in food line.
	Inmate no. and					
	gender of					
7.	complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
	Inmate no. and					
	gender of		[Redacted] – Male			
8.	perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	Unknown males	Unknown males	[Redacted] – Male

¹ This complaint number matches Incident #25. The Panel does not have a case on file to match this description.

		Incident #26	Incident #27	Incident #28	Incident #29	Incident #30
			Complainant alleged			
			that on two			
			occasions			
			perpetrator	Complainant alleged		
		Complainant alleged	committed forced	he was attacked by	Complainant was	Complainant alleged
		that his cellmate	anal rape, and, on	three "Mandingo	forced to have oral	that perpetrator
	Substance of	raped him against	another occasion, by	Warriors" gang	sex with unknown	grabbed his buttocks
6.	allegations	his will.	second perpetrator.	members.	"Crips" members.	while in food line.
	Inmate no. and					
	gender of					
7.	complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
	Inmate no. and		[Redacted] – Male			
	gender of					
8.	perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	Unknown males	Unknown males	[Redacted] – Male
	Was there an					
	investigatory policy					
0	in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	Name and job title					
10.	of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

		Incident #26	Incident #27	Incident #28	Incident #29	Incident #30
	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not,					
11.	categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Results of investigation	Perpetrator claimed the sex was consensual.	There was no forensics examination due to length of time between alleged incident and report. Sgt. McGrath, Safe Prisons Coordinator, was notified.	Allegations by complainant were inconclusive.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.
	Name and job title of person who					
13.	approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

			Incident #26	Incident #27	Incident #28	Incident #29	Incident #30
			Complainant was				
			taken to medical				
			department. He				
			refused a Sexual			Complainant was at	
			Representative. He			a different facility	
			was then taken to			when he made this	
			United Regional			allegation; so he was	Complainant was
			Health Care System		Complainant was	not transferred to	charged internally
		What happened to	Hospital for forensic	Information was not	moved to another	another housing	with assault for
		complainant?	examination.	available.	housing unit.	unit.	striking perpetrator.
_	14.		Dome at wat on vivas				
			Perpetrator was returned to his cell				
			and received				
		What happened to			Information was not		Information was not
		perpetrator?	"appropriate disciplinary" action.	No action was taken.	available.	No action was taken.	available.
	15.	perpetrator:	discipilitary action.	No action was taken.	avanabie.	No action was taken.	avanable.
		Was perpetrator					
		referred to					
		authorities for					
		potential					
	4.0	prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
	16.						

		Incident #26	Incident #27	Incident #28	Incident #29	Incident #30
	If yes, to whom and					
	what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
17.		11//11	1 1/1 1	1 1// 1 1	11/11	1771
	Was complainant provided victim					
18.	services?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
		Complainant				
		received a medical				
		examination, and he	Complainant	Complainant	Complainant	Complainant
	If yes, state services	refused a Sexual	received a medical	received a medical	received a medical	received a medical
19.	that were provided.	Representative.	examination.	examination.	examination.	examination.

James V. Allred Unit Texas Department of Criminal Justice Inmate-On-Inmate Assaults (Prisons)

	imitate of imitate rassaures (Trisons)									
		Incident #31	Incident #32	Incident #33	Incident #34	Incident #35				
1.	Date of incident	Sometime in 2007	10/14/2008	12/2007	11/16/2008	11/22/2008				
1.	Date of complaint	Sometime in 2007	10/14/2000	12/2007	11/10/2000	11/22/2000				
	_	10/10/2009	10/27/2009	11/10/2009	11/10/2009	11/26/2009				
•	and complaint	10/10/2008,	10/27/2008,	11/10/2008,	11/19/2008,	11/26/2008,				
2.	number	I-13573-10-08 ²	I-14507-10-08	I-15193-11-08	I-15627-11-08	I-15978-11-08				
			Internal –							
			Complainant							
	To whom	Internal –	announced to staff							
	complaint was	Complainant wrote a	he would not move							
	made (Internal	letter to James V.	until he talked with	Internal –	Internal –	Internal –				
3.	and/or External)	Allred staff.	an investigator.	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]				
	Date of			11/10 to						
4.	investigation	10/10/2008	10/27 to 10/28/2008	11/12//2008	11/19 to 11/20/2008	11/26/2008				
	. .									
		Alleged sexual		Anal sexual						
5.	Nature of offense	assault	Oral sex acts	penetration	Touching buttocks	Unwanted kissing				
		Complainant alleged								
		that another inmate								
		identified only as				Complainant alleged				
		[Redacted] had				that, while he was				
	Substance of	grabbed his				asleep, his cellmate				
6.	allegations	buttocks.	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	tried to kiss him.				
	Inmate no. and									
	gender of									
7.	complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] - Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male				

_

² This complaint number refers to a Staff-On-Inmate Assault case.

		Incident #31	Incident #32	Incident #33	Incident #34	Incident #35
6.	Substance of allegations	Complainant alleged that another inmate identified only as [Redacted] had grabbed his buttocks.	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Complainant alleged that, while he was asleep, his cellmate tried to kiss him.
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
8.	Inmate no. and gender of perpetrator	Unknown male	[Redacted] – Male	Unknown black male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
9.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident #31	Incident #32	Incident #33	Incident #34	Incident #35
			Allegations by			
			complainant were			
			not substantiated.			
			Complainant refused			
		Allegations by	to cooperate with the investigation after he	Allegations by	Allegations by	Allegations by
	Results of	complainant were	reported allegation	complainant were	complainant were	complainant were
	investigation	not substantiated.	to authorities.	not substantiated.	not substantiated.	not substantiated.
12.	mvesugation	not substantiated.	to authornes.	not substantiated.	not substantiated.	not substantiated.
	Name and job title					
	of person who					
	approved closure of					
13.	investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
13.		Complainant was				
		placed in Transient			No action was taken	
		Housing pending		Complainant was	since complainant	Complainant was
	What happened to	outcome of the	No action was taken	referred to medical	recanted his	moved to another
	complainant?	investigation.	on allegation.	unit for evaluation.	allegation.	housing unit.
14.		No action was talvan				
		No action was taken	No action was taken	No action was taken		No action was taken
	What happened to	against perpetrator since his identity	on unfounded	on unfounded		on unfounded
	perpetrator?	was never disclosed.	allegations.	allegations.	N/A	allegations.
15.	perpenant:	was never disclosed.	anegations.	anegations.	11//1	anegations.

		Incident #31	Incident #32	Incident #33	Incident #34	Incident #35
	Was perpetrator referred to					
	authorities for					
	potential					
16.	prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
	If yes, to whom and					
	what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
17.		1771	1771	17/11	1771	1771
	Was complainant provided victim					
	services?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
18.						
			Complainant refused			
			to leave his cell to see medical staff.			
			Therefore, medical			
			staff went to			
		Complainant	complainant's cell to	Complainant		Complainant
	If yes, state services	received a medical	perform a medical	received a medical		received a medical
19.	that were provided.	examination.	examination.	examination.	N/A	examination.

		Incident #36	Incident #37	Incident #38	Incident #39	Incident #40
1.	Date of incident	11/22/2008	12/03/2008	12/16/2008	12/30/2008	06/2008
	Date of complaint and complaint	11/24/2008,	12/12/2008,	12/18/2008,	12/30/2008,	06/09/2008,
2.	number	I-16231-12-08	I-16624-10-08	I-17119-12-08	I-17500-12-08	I-07436-06-08
	To whom complaint was made (Internal	Internal –	Internal –	Internal –	Internal –	Internal –
3.	and/or External)	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
4.	Date of investigation	11/24 to 12/15/2008	12/12/2008	12/18 to 12/22/2008	12/31/2008	06/09/2008
5.	Nature of offense	Placing penis next to buttocks	Anal sex penetration	Sexual gestures	Sexual touching	Oral sex acts
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault
	Inmate no. and gender of					
7.	complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male

		Incident #36	Incident #37	Incident #38	Incident #39	Incident #40
8.	Inmate no. and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	Unknown males	[Redacted] – Male
9.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.		Allegations by	Allegations by	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated. He	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated. Videotape viewed during alleged time of incident did not indicate any	Allegations by
12.	Results of investigation	complainant were not substantiated.	complainant were not substantiated.	recanted his allegations.	inappropriate actions.	complainant were not substantiated.

		Incident #36	Incident #37	Incident #38	Incident #39	Incident #40
	Name and job title of person who approved closure of	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
13.	investigation			. ,		
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was moved to another housing unit.	Complainant was moved to another housing unit.	Complainant was moved to another housing unit.	Complainant remained in general housing unit.	Complainant was moved to another housing unit.
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	Perpetrator was moved to another housing unit.	Perpetrator was moved to another housing unit.	Perpetrator was moved to another housing unit.	No perpetrator was identified.	Perpetrator remained in his cell.
	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
16.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
17.	Was complainant provided victim					
18.	services?	No	No	No	No	Yes

		Incident #36	Incident #37	Incident #38	Incident #39	Incident #40
19.	If yes, state services that were provided.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Complainant received a medical examination.

		Incident #41	Incident #42	Incident #43	Incident #44	Incident #45
1.	Date of incident	06/2008	07/05/2008	Eight years prior – sometime in 2000	12/30/2008	06/2008
	Date of complaint and complaint	07/01/2008,	07/05/2008,	07/15/2008,	12/30/2008,	08/28/2008,
2.	number	I-08572-07-08	I-08867-07-08	I-09314-12-08	I-11178-08-08	I-11458-08-08
	To whom complaint was					
	made (Internal	Internal –	Internal –	Internal –	Internal –	Internal –
3.	and/or External)	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
	Date of investigation	07/01/2008	07/05/2008	07/15/2008	08/20/2008	08/28/2008
4.		0770172000	07/00/2000	07/10/2000	00,20,200	00, 20, 200
5.	Nature of offense	Anal sex	Forced anal sex	Sexual Assult	Forced oral sex	Anal penetration
	Substance of					
6.	allegations	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault
	Inmate no. and					
7.	gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male

		Incident #41	Incident #42	Incident #43	Incident #44	Incident #45
8.	Inmate no. and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	Unknown males	Two unknown black males	[Redacted] – Male
9.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident #41	Incident #42	Incident #43	Incident #44	Incident #45
12.	Results of investigation	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated since the complainant was vague about the details from approximately eight years before reporting the incident.	Allegations by complainant not substantiated. Upon investigation, complainant recanted his allegations.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated. Complainant refused to cooperate with the investigation.
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was moved to psychiatric unit.	Complainant remained in his housing unit.	No action was taken.	Complainant remained in general housing unit.	Complainant remained in his cell.
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	Perpetrator remained in his cell.	No perpetrator was identified.	No perpetrator was identified.	No perpetrator was identified.	No perpetrator was identified.

		Incident #41	Incident #42	Incident #43	Incident #44	Incident #45
	Was perpetrator					
	referred to					
	authorities for					
	potential					
16	prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
16.	TC					
	If yes, to whom and					
	what was the	DT/A	DT/A	NT/A	NT/A	NT/A
17.	outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
17.	Was complainant					
	provided victim					
	services?	Yes	No	No	No	No
18.	501 V1005V			1,0		
		Complainant				
		received				
	If yes, state services	psychological				
	that were provided.	services.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
19.						

		Incident #46	Incident #47	Incident #48	Incident #49	Incident #50
1.	Date of incident	08/30/2008	09/158/2008	09/05/2008	07/2008	01/07/2008
	Date of complaint and complaint	09/02/2008,	09/05/2008,	09/28/2008,	06/09/2008,	01/07/2009,
2.	number	I-11783-09-08	I-12339-09-08	I-12979-09-08	I-07436-06-08	I-00330-01-09
	To whom complaint was					
3.	made (Internal and/or External)	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]
4.	Date of investigation	09/02/2008	09/15/2008	09/28/2008	06/08/2008	01/07/2008
5.	Nature of offense	Forced anal sex	Threats and intimation	Forced oral sex	Forced oral sex	Sexual intimidation
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male

		Incident #46	Incident #47	Incident #48	Incident #49	Incident #50
8.	Inmate no. and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	Unknown black males
9.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Results of investigation	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.	Complainant recanted his allegations during the investigation.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

		Incident #46	Incident #47	Incident #48	Incident #49	Incident #50
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was moved to Transient Housing unit.	Complainant received a medical examination. He was offered a Sexual Representative, which was declined.	No action was taken.	Complainant was moved to Transient Housing Unit.	Complainant remained in his protective custody unit.
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	Perpetrator remained in his cell.	No action was taken against the perpetrator since the allegations were not sustained.	No action was taken against perpetrator since allegations were recanted.	No action was taken against perpetrator.	No perpetrator was identified.
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

		Incident #46	Incident #47	Incident #48	Incident #49	Incident #50
	Was complainant					
	provided victim					
	services?	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
18.						
			Complainant		Complainant	
	If yes, state services		received medical		received medical	
	that were provided.	N/A	services.	N/A	services.	N/A
19.						

		Incident #51	Incident #52	Incident #53	Incident #54	Incident #55
1.	Date of incident	01/12/2009	02/09/2009	12/2008	02/17/2009	Sometime in 2003
	Date of complaint and complaint	01/12/2009,	02/09/2009,	02/13/2009,	02/17/2009,	02/24/2009,
2.	number	I-00566-01-09	I-02018-02-09	I-2018-02-09	I-02532-02-09	I-02930-02-09
	To whom complaint was					
	made (Internal	Internal –				
3.	and/or External)	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
4.	Date of investigation	01/12/2009	02/09/2009	02/13/2009	02/17/2009	03/02/2009
5.	Nature of offense	Forced oral sex	Forced anal sex	Rape	Unwanted touching	Forced anal sex
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual assault				
	Inmate no. and gender of			_		
7.	complainant	[Redacted] – Male				

		Incident #51	Incident #52	Incident #53	Incident #54	Incident #55
8.	Inmate no. and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	Unknown male	Unknown male	[Redacted] – Male	Unknown male
9.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Results of investigation	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated due to vagueness of information.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated due to vagueness of information.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

		Incident #51	Incident #52	Incident #53	Incident #54	Incident #55
			Complainant		Complainant was	Complainant
	What happened to	Complainant	received a medical		moved to Transient	remained in his
4.4	complainant?	remained in his cell.	examination.	No action was taken.	Housing unit.	housing unit.
14.		D				
		Perpetrator was				
	What hannaned to	currently assigned in another housing	No parpatrator was	No perpetrator was	No perpetrator was	No perpetrator was
	What happened to	unit.	No perpetrator was identified.			
15.	perpetrator?	uiiit.	identified.	identified.	identified.	identified.
	Was perpetrator					
	referred to					
	authorities for					
	potential					
16	prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
16.	If yes, to whom and					
	what was the					
	outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
17.						
	Was complainant					
	provided victim					
18.	services?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident #51	Incident #52	Incident #53	Incident #54	Incident #55
				Complainant		
				received a medical		
				examination and was		
				referred to the James		
		Complainant	Complainant	V. Allred mental	Complainant	
	If yes, state services	received a medical	received medical	health services	received medical	
	that were provided.	examination.	services.	department.	services.	N/A
19.						

		Incident #56	Incident #57	Incident #58	Incident #59	Incident #60
1.	Date of incident	05/31/2003	04/02/2009	03/22/2009	03/20/2009	Some time in 2007
	Date of complaint and complaint	03/11/2009,	05/01/2009,	04/07/2009,	03/22/2009,	03/21/2008,
2.	number	I-03791/03/09	I-06790-05-09	I-04441-03-09	I-04443-03-09	I-03556-03-08
3.	To whom complaint was made (Internal and/or External)	Internal – Complainant sent letter to staff.	Internal – Report was made to [Redacted].	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Interal – [Redacted]
4.	Date of investigation	03/11/2009	05/01/2009	04/07/2009	03/22/2009	03/21 to 03/28/2008
5.	Nature of offense	Forced anal sex	Forced anal sex	Forced anal and oral sex	Forced sexual acts	Forced anal and oral sex
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male

		Incident #56	Incident #57	Incident #58	Incident #59	Incident #60
					[Redacted] - Male	
	Inmate no. and gender of				[Redacted] – Male	
8.	perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male				
	Was there an investigatory policy					
9.	in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
	Did the facility follow its own					
11.	policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident #56	Incident #57	Incident #58	Incident #59	Incident #60
12.	Results of investigation Name and job title of person who	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated. However, upon medical examination and x-rays, it was determined complainant had fractured ribs and soreness to jaw area.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated. However, complainant received rape kit examination. He also received medical and psychological services.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated. Investigator Mars, OIG, determined that a forensics examination would not be helpful due to passage of time.
13.	approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant received a medical examination.	Complainant received a medical examination.	Complainant was moved to another housing unit. He was offered but refused a Sexual Representative.	Complainant was moved to protective custody.	Complainant was living at another facility at the conclusion of the investigation.

		Incident #56	Incident #57	Incident #58	Incident #59	Incident #60
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	Perpetrator was interviewed and remained in his housing unit.	No action was taken against perpetrator.	No action was taken against perpetrator because no evidence linked perpetrator to cause of injuries.	No action was taken against perpetrator.	No action was taken against perpetrator because of lack of evidence.
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	If yes, state services that were provided.	Complainant received a medical examination and was placed in Transient Housing unit.	Complainant received medical services and was placed in Transient Housing unit.	Complainant received a medical examination and was moved to another housing unit.	Complainant received medical and psychological services.	Complainant received a medical examination.

		Incident #61	Incident #62 ³	Incident #63	Incident #64	Incident #65
						Between 06/2007
1.	Date of incident	04/24/2008	01/04/2008	03/27/2009	03/24/2008	and 07/2007
	Date of complaint	0 0 0 0 0 0				
	and complaint	04/25/2009,	01/04/2008,	03/27/2009,	03/27/2008,	04/08/2008,
2.	number	I-05190-03-09 ⁴	I-00123-01-08	I-04722-03-09	I-03805-03-09	I-04404-03-09
	To whom					
	complaint was				Internal – Unit	
	made (Internal	Internal –	Internal –	Internal –	Classification	Internal –
3.	and/or External)	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Committee	[Redacted]
	Date of					
4.	investigation	04/25/2008	01/04/2008	03/27/2009	03/27/2009	04/08 to 04/10/2008
		Rape, forced anal				
5.	Nature of offense	sex	Forced anal sex	Forced anal sex	Unwanted touching	Forced oral sex
	Substance of					
6.	allegations	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault
	Inmate no. and					
	gender of					
7.	complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
	Inmate no. and					
0	gender of	(D) 1 (1) M 1	[D 1 . 1] M 1	[D 1 4 1] M 1	[D 1 . 11 M 1	ID 1 4 11 M 1
8.	perpetrator Was there are	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
	Was there an investigatory policy					
9.	in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

³ This incident is a repeat of incident #26
⁴ This complaint number is mislabeled and should be I-05190-04-08, which makes this incident a repeat of incident #2.

		Incident #61	Incident #62 ⁷	Incident #63	Incident #64	Incident #65
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male				
8.	Inmate no. and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male				
9.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Results of investigation	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated. Complainant refused to attend the UCC hearing.				

		Incident #61	Incident #62 ⁷	Incident #63	Incident #64	Incident #65
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant received a medical examination. Complainant was uncooperative in the investigation.	Complainant received a medical examination at the United Regional Health Care system facility.	Complainant received a medical evaluation and was moved to Transient Housing unit.	Complainant was moved to another housing unit.	Complainant remained in Transient Housing unit, as he refused to return to the general population.
15.	What happened to perpetrator? Was perpetrator referred to	Perpetrator was interviewed and remained in his housing unit.	No action was taken against perpetrator.	Perpetrator refused to cooperate with investigation.	No action was taken against perpetrator.	No action was taken against perpetrator.
16.	authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No

		Incident #61	Incident #62 ⁷	Incident #63	Incident #64	Incident #65
	If yes, to whom and what was the					
17.	outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Was complainant provided victim					
18.	services?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
			Complainant			
		Complainant	received medical	Complainant		Complainant
		received a medical	examination. He	received a medical	Complainant	received a medical
		examination and was	was offered a Sexual	examination and was	received medical	examination and was
	If yes, state services	placed in Transient	Representative,	moved to another	and psychological	placed in Transient
19	that were provided.	Housing unit.	which he refused.	housing unit.	services.	Housing unit.

		Incident #66	Incident #67		
1.	Date of incident	03/28/2009	03/10 to 03/17/2008		
	Date of complaint and complaint	03/29/2009,	03/18/2008,		
2.	number	I-04811-03-09	I-03425-03-08		
	To whom complaint was				
	made (Internal	Internal –	Internal –		
3.	and/or External)	[Redacted]	[Redacted]		
4.	Date of investigation	03/29/2009	03/18/2008		
5.	Nature of offense	Forced anal sex	Unwanted kissing		
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual assault	Sexual assault		
	Inmate no. and				
7.	gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male		

		Incident #66	Incident #67		
	Inmate no. and gender of				
8.	perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male		
	Was there an investigatory policy				
9.	in place?	Yes	Yes		
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]		
	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not,				
11.	categorize how so?	Yes	Yes		
12.	Results of investigation	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated.		
	Name and job title of person who				
13.	approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]		

		Incident #66	Incident #67		
	What happened to complainant?	Complainant received a medical examination. Complainant was uncooperative with the investigation.	Complainant received a medical examination and was moved to another housing unit.		
14.	What happened to perpetrator?	Perpetrator was interviewed and remained in his housing unit.	No action was taken.		
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No		
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A		
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	Yes	Yes		

		Incident #66	Incident #67		
	Was complainant				
	provided victim				
18.	services?	Yes	Yes		
		Complainant			
		received a medical			
		examination and was			
		placed in the	Complainant		
	If yes, state services	Transient Housing	received a medical		
19.	that were provided.	unit.	examination. ⁵		

_

⁵ In addition to these sixty-seven incidents, the Panel has four more cases on file, none of which were substantiated.

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
1.	Date of incident	04/14/2008	04/14/2008	05/04/2008	09/15/2009	06/15/2009
2.	Date of complaint and complaint number	04/14/2008, I-04699-04-08	04/08/2008, I-04700-04-08	05/05/2008, I-05626-05-08	09/22/2009, I-15380-09-09	07/09/2009, I-11043-07-09

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
		Internal – James	Internal – James			
		V. Allred Unit	V. Allred Unit			
		office staff	office staff	Internal – James		
		discovered	discovered	V. Allred Unit		
		inappropriate	inappropriate	Officer		
		letters from	letters from	[Redacted]		
		officer.	officer	discovered		
	To whom complaint was	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	inappropriate		
	made (Internal or	received	received	notes from		Internal –
3.	External)?	complaint.	complaint.	officer.	Internal – [Redacted]	[Redacted]
			04/004			
4.	Dates of investigation	04/14/2008	04/08 to 04/14/2008	05/05/20008	09/29/2009	07/09/2009

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
5.	Nature of offense	Establishing relationship with offender	Establishing relationship with offender	Establishing relationship with offender	Forced anal penetration of complainant by staff	Fondling of complainant's genitals by staff

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
6.	Substance of allegations	Officer [Redacted] of James V. Allred Unit staff was writing letters to inmate and smuggling contraband to him in a coke can.	Officer [Redacted] of James V. Allred Unit staff was writing to and receiving letters from an inmate.	Officer [Redacted] was found to have established inappropriate relationships with two inmates.	Complainant claimed that [Redacted] inserted broken broom handle into anus of complainant.	Complainant claimed that Officer [Redacted] fondled his genitals and made comment that he wanted to "put my lips around your cock." The complainant refused the advances at which time the officer threatened complainant that he would have him killed.

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
				[Redacted] – Male		
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
8.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	Staff name and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted], [Redacted]	[Redacted], [Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Results of investigation	The charge was substantiated.	The charge was substantiated.	The charge was substantiated.	Complainant admitted he made a false allegation.	Complainant refused to cooperate with investigation. Officer [Redacted] denied allegation.
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
			Offender was			
			disciplined (Level 2: Code			
			30.2, for			
			Establishing an	Both inmates		
		0.00	Inappropriate	were given		
		Offender was	Relationship	"appropriate	Complainant will be	
		disciplined	with Staff,	disciplinary"	charged with	Complainant
		although he was	although he was	action. No	"appropriate	was referred to
	What happened to	not the	not the	details were	disciplinary" action. No	Psychology
14.	complainant?	complainant.	complainant.	provided.	details were provided.	Department.

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
		Perpetrator was charged with Establishing Relationship with Offender and introducing contraband in the Unit. She was escorted	Perpetrator was charged with Establishing Relationship	Perpetrator		
		from the James V. Allred Unit	with Offender. She was	confessed to her offense and		
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	after she confessed to her offenses.	escorted from the James V. Allred Unit.	resigned during the investigation.	No action was taken as charge was false by complainant admission.	Perpetrator was placed back in housing unit.

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	It is unclear if her charges were criminal or they violated only employment policies.	It is unclear if her charges were criminal or they violated only employment policies.	No	No	No
17.	If yes, to whom and what was outcome?	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	N/A	N/A	N/A
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
19.	If yes, state services that were provided.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20.	Did perpetrator resign or was he/she terminated?	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	Perpetrator resigned during the investigation.	No	No
21.	Were there other sexual abuse complaints against perpetrator in 2008-2009?	No	No	No	No	No

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
22.	If yes, provide cross- references to relevant complaint, investigatory, and disciplinary documents.	N/A	N/A	No	N/A	N/A
23.	Are there related disciplinary records concerning the perpetrator?	No	No	Yes	No	No

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
24.	If yes, provide cross- references to relevant documents.	N/A	N/A	Employee Offense and Pre-hearing Investigation Report dated 09/14/2007	N/A	N/A
25.	Were there any changes in policies, protocols, or staffing as a result of the complaint/investigation?	No	No	No	No	No

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
1.	Date of incident	07/06/2009	10/16/2009	05/01/2009	02/01/2009	02/16/2009
	Date of complaint and complaint	07/08/2009,	10/16/2009,	05/04/2009,	03/19/2009,	02/19/2009,
2.	number	I-11030-07-09	I-17087-10-09	I-06944-05-09	I-04276-03-09	I-02637-02-09
	To whom	Internal – Complaint				
	complaint was	made to an				
	made (Internal	undetermined staff	Internal –	Internal –	Internal –	Internal – Grievance
	and/or External)	person	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	form
3.						
	Date of					
4.	investigation	07/08/2009	10/16/2009	05/11/2009	03/19/2009	02/19/2009
т.		Unwanted touching	Unwanted touching	Unwanted digital	Unwanted digital	
		and sexual	and sexual	penetration in the	penetration in the	
5.	Nature of offense	comments	comments	anus	anus	Unwanted touching

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
6.	Substance of allegations	Complainant alleged officer grabbed him on the buttocks and stated that complainant had "a nice ass."	Complainant alleged officer grabbed him on the buttocks and stated that complainant's ass was as "soft as a mother's love."	During a five-person staff cell search, which required inmate restraint, complainant alleged that officer inserted his finger in complainant's anus. Complainant stated that the officer repeatedly stated to him: "how did you like that finger, punk"?	During a five-person staff effort to gain compliance from inmate, chemicals were used to gain that compliance. Complainant alleged that he backed out of his cell on his knees at which time an unknown officer inserted a finger in his anus.	Complainant alleged that two staff fondled him with a "food tray slot tool" when complainant was outside of holding cell in main hallway.
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
8.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
9.	Staff name and gender of perpetrator	Officer [Redacted] – Male	Officer [Redacted] – Male	Officer [Redacted] - Male	Unknown male officer	Sgt. [Redacted] Officer [Redacted]
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
		Officer [Redacted] denied the allegation. However, Officer [Redacted], who was reportedly present during the incident, was not	Officer [Redacted] denied the allegation. Complainant wanted	All five officers, including alleged perpetrator, stated	All five officers denied allegation and video tape revealed accusation to be false, as no	Allegations by complainant were not sustained, as complainant was inconsistent with details during several interviews.
12.	Results of investigation	referenced as having been interviewed.	no further action to be taken.	that the event did not occur.	such incident was recorded.	Criterion for sexual assault was not met.

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was referred for psychological evaluation.	No action was taken.	Complainant was placed in observation cell with constant video monitoring.	Information was not available.	No action was taken.
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	No action was taken.	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	Perpetrator was not identified.	Information was not available.
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	No	No
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
	Was complainant provided victim					
18.	services?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
	70	Complainant	Complainant	Complainant	Complainant	
	If yes, state services	received a medical	received a medical	received a medical	received a medical	
19.	that were provided.	examination.	examination.	examination.	examination.	N/A
	Did perpetrator					
	resign or was					
20.	he/she terminated?	No	No	No	No	No
	Were there other					
	sexual abuse					
	complaints against	There were no	There were no	There were no	There were no	There were no
	perpetrator in	recorded	recorded	recorded	recorded	recorded
21.	2008-2009?	complainants.	complainants.	complainants.	complainants.	complainants.
	If yes, provide					
	cross-references to					
	relevant complaint,					
	investigatory, and					
	disciplinary					
22.	documents.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
	Are there related disciplinary					
23.	records concerning the perpetrator?	No	No	No	No	No
	If yes, provide cross-references to relevant					
24.	documents.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Were there any changes in policies, protocols, or					
	staffing as a result of the complaint/					
25.	investigation?	No	No	No	No	No

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
1.	Date of incident	Some time in 2007	10/05 and 10/13/2008	10/20/2008	10/28/2008	November 2008
	Date of complaint and complaint	10/10/2008,	10/18/2008,	10/23/2008,	10/29/2008,	12/16/2009,
2.	number	I-13573-10-08	I-13814-10-08	I-14421-10-08	I-14628-10-08	I-19930-12-09
	To whom complaint was					
	made (Internal	Internal –	Internal –	Internal – Grievance	Internal –	[D - 1 - 4 - 1]
3.	and/or External)	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Report to UCC	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
4.	Date of investigation	10/10/2008	10/18/2008	10/25/2008	10/29/2008	12/16/2009
				Unwanted touching and fondling during	Slapping complainant on	
5.	Nature of offense	Unwanted touching	Forced oral sex	pat down search	buttocks and head	Unwanted touching

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
6.	Substance of allegations	Complainant alleged that officer pressed his genitals against complainant's back while both were wearing clothes. Complainant also alleged officer slapped him on the buttocks after a strip search.	Complainant alleged that officer coerced him into oral sex and ejaculated into complainant's mouth. Complainant preserved DNA evidence by not swallowing semen and spitting it into tissue that was placed in plastic bag. This incident was reported by a third party inmate who became aware of it.	Complainant alleged that officer fondled him during pat down search.	Complainant alleged that two officers entered his cell and placed him in restraints. The officers ordered him to pull his boxers down and slapped him on the buttocks and head. They also threatened to stick the food slot bar in his buttocks.	Complainant alleged that officer rubbed his penis against complainant's leg while both were clothed.
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant Was there an investigatory policy in place?	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
9.	Staff name and gender of perpetrator	Officer [Redacted] – Male	Officer [Redacted] – Male	Officer [Redacted] – Male	Officers [Redacted] and [Redacted]	Officer [Redacted] – Male
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
44	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.		Allegations by	This appears to be an ongoing OIG investigation. There was no evidence in the file about DNA testing or on the	Allegations by	Witnesses stated that these two officers were not the ones who interacted with the complainant. It was alleged that the complainant was upset over having	There was no determination to this investigation, as
12.	Results of investigation	complainant were not sustained.	status of the investigation.	complainant were not sustained.	his radio confiscated.	perpetrator has been deployed to Iraq.

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Information was not available.
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was transferred to Transient Housing unit.	Information was not available.	Complainant was returned to his housing unit.	Complainant was returned to his housing unit.	Complainant was placed in prehearing detention.
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	No action taken	Perpetrator resigned for personal reasons on 05/12/2010 according to personnel records. This resignation occurred 19 months after this alleged incident.	No action taken	No action taken	Perpetrator was deployed to Iraq and was not available for questioning.
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	Yes	No	No	No

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
			Office of General			
			Counsel for Texas			
			Department of			
			Criminal Justice			
	If yes, to whom and		(TDCJ). The			
	what was the		outcome was not			
	outcome?	N/A	documented.	N/A	N/A	N/A
17.	***					
	Was complainant					
	provided victim	T 7	*7	37	**7	
18.	services?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
10.		Complainant	Complainant	Complainant	Complainant	
	If yes, state services	received a medical	received a medical	received a medical	received a medical	
	that were provided.	examination.	examination.	examination.	examination.	N/A
19.	_					
	Did perpetrator		Documents indicate			
	resign or was		he is no longer			
20.	he/she terminated?	No	employed by TDCJ.	No	No	No
20.	Were there other					
	sexual abuse					
	complaints against					
	perpetrator in	There were no	There were no		There were no	
	2008-2009?	recorded complaints.	recorded complaints.	Yes	recorded complaints.	Yes
21.		F	F-3421001		F	

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
	If yes, provide cross-references to relevant complaint,			See I-19930-12-09,		See I-14421-10-08,
22.	investigatory, and disciplinary documents.	N/A	N/A	Incident # 15 on this page.	N/A	Incident # 13 on this page.
23.	Are there related disciplinary records concerning the perpetrator?	There were no recorded complaints.				
24.	If yes, provide cross-references to relevant documents.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
27.	Were there any changes in policies, protocols, or staffing as a result of the complaint/					
25.	investigation?	No	No	No	No	No

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
1.	Date of incident	04/15/2009	11/03/2006	06/05/2008	07/05 and 07/06/2008	08/17/2008
	Date of complaint and complaint	04/16/2009,	04/17/2008,	06/05/2008,	07/07/2008,	08/22/2008,
2.	number	I-04776-04-08	I-04831-04-08	I-07226-06-08	I-08867-07-08	I-11273-08-08
	To whom complaint was					
	made (Internal	Internal –		Internal –	Internal –	Internal –
3.	and/or External)	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
4.	Date of investigation	04/16/2008	04/17/2008	06/05/2008	07/07/2008	08/22/2008
			Unwanted touching			Unwanted touching
5.	Nature of offense	Forced oral sodomy	of penis through clothing	Touching buttocks with a bean tool	Forced anal rape	of penis and lewd comments

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
6.	Substance of allegations	Complainant alleged that officer entered cell, threatened rape, pulled down complainant's pants, and began oral sex for 6-8 seconds.	Complainant alleged that officer reached through the food slot to fondle his penis. He then pulled the complainant's arm through the slot and made noises of sexual pleasure as if complainant was touching officer in a sexual manner.	It was believed complainant was angry at officer for disciplining complainant for offering to trade shower for extra recreation; this action is soliciting an officer and is cause for disciplinary action.	Complainant alleged that on two occasions staff and inmates entered his cell and anally raped him. Staff witnesses stated that no one entered the complainant's cell at the alleged dates and times.	Complainant alleged that officer asked him to "put your fingers in your butt and I will give you two packs of cigarettes." Complainant also stated that officer told him that he wanted to "jack off on him." Later, while taking complainant to shower, complainant alleged that officer grabbed his penis.
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted]– Male	[Redacted]– Male	[Redacted]– Male	[Redacted]– Male	[Redacted]– Male
8.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
	Staff name and				Complainant was	
	gender of				unable to identify	
	perpetrator	[Redacted]– Male	[Redacted]– Male	[Redacted]– Male	perpetrator.	[Redacted]– Male
9.						
	Name and job title					
	of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
10.						
	Did the facility					
	follow its own					
	policy? If not,					
	categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.						

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
12.	Results of investigation	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated as complainant had no corroborating evidence and [Redacted] had written complainant up that day for disciplinary violation. A staff witness stated that [Redacted] never entered the complainant's cell at the time of the alleged incident.	No determination or finding was documented.	Complainant stated that he made up the allegation because he was angry for being "written up." Complainant refused to provide written statement.	There was no determination that was documented. OIG investigation was to continue.	Allegations by complainant were not substantiated. No potential witnesses would provide written statements. Complainant wanted to talk about getting charged by the officer and not the alleged offense.
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
14.	What happened to complainant?	No action taken	No action taken	Charged with Level 2, code 24 offense.	Complainant was returned to his housing unit.	Complainant was returned to his housing unit.
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	No action was taken on this allegation. Personnel records indicate officer resigned in June 2009 over unrelated disciplinary matter.	No action taken	No action taken	N/A	No action taken
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	Yes	No, because of the 18-month delay in reporting the incident.	Complainant was offered services but he declined.	Complainant was offered services but he declined.	Yes
19.	If yes, state services that were provided.	Complainant received a medical examination.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Complainant received a medical examination.
		Perpetrator was not terminated or did not resign related to this incident. However, he resigned in June				
20.	Did perpetrator resign or was he/she terminated?	2009 over a separate and unrelated policy violation.	No	No	No	No
21.	Were there other sexual abuse complaints against perpetrator in 2008-2009?	No	No	No	No	No

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
	If yes, provide					
	cross-references to relevant complaint,					
	investigatory, and					
	disciplinary					
22.	documents.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Are there related					
	disciplinary					
	records concerning the perpetrator?	No	No	No	No	No
23.						110
	If yes, provide cross-references to					
	relevant					
	documents.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
24.	Were there any					
	changes in policies,					
	protocols, or					
	staffing as a result					
	of the complaint/					
25.	investigation?	No	No	No	No	No

		Incident #21	Incident #22	Incident #23	Incident #24	Incident #25
1.	Date of incident	06/10/2008	07/13/2008	12/09/2008	Mid-June 2008	Before 07/13/2008
	Date of complaint and complaint	06/10/2008,	07/13/2008,	12/09/2008,	06/25/2008,	07/13/2008,
2.	number	I-07488-06-08	I-09259-0708	I -16530-12-08	I-08233-06-08	I-09227-07-08
3.	To whom complaint was made (Internal and/or External)	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – Information was not available.	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]
4.	Date of investigation	06/10/2008	07/13/2008	07/10/2008	06/25/2008	07/14/2008
		Domentia letters	Pomentia letters	Pomentia letters	Domentia letters	Romantic letters from staff to inmate and picture of female officer in
5.	Nature of offense	Romantic letters from staff to inmate	Romantic letters from staff to inmate	Romantic letters from staff to inmate	Romantic letters from staff to inmate	swimsuit found on inmate

		Incident #21	Incident #22	Incident #23	Incident #24	Incident #25
6.	Substance of allegations	Officer employed at James V. Allred Unit was sending letters of a romantic nature to offender. Post Office (PO) box with return address was traced to the officer in question.	Officer wrote two notes to inmate of a romantic nature.	Letters were discovered from female officer to male inmate of romantic nature. A bracelet referenced in the letters was discovered on inmate.	Letters were discovered from female officer to male inmate of romantic nature. Officer confessed to kissing inmate. Return address was traced to officer's PO box and handwriting matched.	Letters were discovered from female officer to male inmate of romantic nature. Officer had also shown inmate a picture of her in a swimsuit.
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted]– Male	[Redacted]– Male	[Redacted]– Male	[Redacted]– Male	[Redacted]– Male
8.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	Staff name and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Female

		Incident #21	Incident #22	Incident #23	Incident #24	Incident #25
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	Results of investigation	Officer was found to be responsible for letters.	Officer confessed to allegations and resigned.	Officer confessed to allegations and resigned.	Officer confessed to allegations and resigned.	Officer confessed to allegations and resigned
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	[Redacted]
14.	What happened to complainant?	Charged with Level 2, 30.2 discipline.	Charged with Level 2, 30.2 discipline	Reference to future, unspecified disciplinary action only.	Reference to future, unspecified disciplinary action only.	Placed in transient status and charged with Level 2, 30.2 discipline

		Incident #21	Incident #22	Incident #23	Incident #24	Incident #25
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	Perpetrator was escorted from the James V. Allred Unit.	Perpetrator resigned and was escorted from the James V. Allred Unit.	Perpetrator resigned in lieu of receiving disciplinary action.	There was no record other than reference to appropriate disciplinary action in the future.	Perpetrator resigned in lieu of receiving disciplinary action.
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
18.	Was complainant provided victim services? If yes, state services	No	No	No	No	No
19.	that were provided.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20.	Did perpetrator resign or was he/she terminated?	Charged with 42c	Resigned	Resigned	Information was not available.	Resigned

		Incident #21	Incident #22	Incident #23	Incident #24	Incident #25
		There was no record	There was no record			
	Were there other	of previous	of previous			
	sexual abuse	complaints.	complaints.			
	complaints against	Perpetrator was	Perpetrator was	There was no record	There was no record	There was no record
	perpetrator in	employed for only	employed for only	of previous	of previous	of previous
	2008-2009?	29 days.	five months.	complaints.	complaints.	complaints.
21.	TO 1					
	If yes, provide					
	cross-references to					
	relevant complaint,					
	investigatory, and					
	disciplinary					
22.	documents.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Are there related					
	disciplinary					
	records concerning					
22	the perpetrator?	No	No	No	No	No
23.	If yes, provide					
	cross-references to					
	relevant					
24.	documents.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

		Incident #21	Incident #22	Incident #23	Incident #24	Incident #25
	Were there any					
	changes in policies,					
	protocols, or					
	staffing as a result					
	of the complaint/					
25.	investigation?	No	No	No	No	No

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
1.	Date of incident	06/04/2008	08/24/2008	09/02/2008	Various dates	
2.	Date of complaint and complaint number	06/06/2008, IG-08_206 SCU	10/21/2008, Log # 08-002	09/06/2008, IG-08_313 SCU	01/07 – 01/08/2009, Log # 09-001	
3.	To whom complaint was made (Internal and/or External)	Internal	Internal	External	Internal	
4.	Date of investigation	06/27/2008 to 02/03/2009	10/21/2008	09/23/2008	01/13/2009	
5.	Nature of offense	Rape	Forced oral sex	Forced oral sex	Forced oral sex	
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
		[Redacted] - Male				
	Inmate no. and gender of	[Redacted] – Male		[Redacted] – Male		
8.	perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	
	Was there an investigatory policy					
9.	in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	
	Did the facility follow its own					
	policy? If not,					
11.	categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
					Charge was not substantiated.	
	Results of	Charge was not substantiated.	Charge was not substantiated.	Charge was not substantiated.	Inmate was not author of letter.	
12.	investigation	substantiated.	substantiated.	substantiated.	author of fetter.	
	Name and job title of person who					
	approved closure of					
13.	investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was transferred; separation orders were issued.	Complainant was transferred to separate him from other inmates.	Complainant was moved to isolation cell in infirmary; separation orders were issued.	N/A	
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	One of the three perpetrators was disciplined for assault on inmate and sex offense. He received 24 months in special housing unit (SHU).	N/A	N/A	N/A	
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	No	
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	Was complainant					
	provided victim services?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
18.						
				Complainant was		
				housed in residential		
		Complainant	Complainant	crisis treatment		
		received mental	received mental	program under		
		health services from	health services from	supervision of		
	If yes, state services	staff in Office of	staff in Office of	Office of Mental		
	that were provided.	Mental Health.	Mental Health.	Health.	N/A	
19.	_					

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
1.	Date of incident	01/25/2008	03/17/2008	03/31/2008	04/18/2008	05/12/2008
	Date of complaint and complaint	01/31/2008,	03/19/2008,	04/04/2004,	04/18/2008,	05/14/2008,
2.	number	Log # 08-002	IG-08_0097 SCU	Log # 08-004	Log # 08-006	IG-08_0154 SCU
	To whom complaint was made (Internal			_		
3.	and/or External)	Internal and external	Internal	Internal and external	Internal	Internal
4.	Date of investigation	01/25 to 02/12/2008	03/24/2008 to 03/25/2010	04/04/2008	04/18 to 04/24/2008	05/15 to 09/05/2008
5.	Nature of offense	Unwanted touching while being frisked	Unwanted touching	Grabbing crotch area and making sexual gestures	Grabbing crotch area and making sexual gestures	Sexual comments
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual assault/pat and frisk	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Verbal abuse
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.			105	103	103	103
9.	Staff name and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not,	V	N.	V	V	V
11.	categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
			Charge was found to be substantiated and	Officer was in		
12.	Results of investigation	Charge was not substantiated.	referred for prosecution.	training session that day and not in SHU.	Charge was not substantiated.	Charge was not substantiated.
	Name and job title of person who approved closure of			_	_	
13.	investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
14.	What happened to complainant?	No action was taken.	No action was taken.	No action was taken.	No action was taken.	Complainant remained in SHU for pre-existing disciplinary sanctions.
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	N/A	Perpetrator was referred for prosecution.	N/A	N/A	N/A Charges were not substantiated.
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	Perpetrator was referred to prosecutor, went to trial, and was found not guilty.	N/A	N/A	N/A
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	No	There was no documented referral.	Yes	Yes	No

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
				Complainant was	Complainant was	
	If yes, state services			receiving ongoing mental health	seen for service by mental health staff	
19.	that were provided.	N/A	N/A	services.	on 04/24/2008.	N/A
	Did perpetrator					
	resign or was		The perpetrator was			
20.	he/she terminated?	N/A	terminated.	No	N/A	N/A
20.	Were there other					
	sexual abuse	This officer had				
	complaints against	been the subject of				
	perpetrator in	other search, pat,	Yes. There were two			
	2008-2009?	and frisk complaints.	other cases.	No	No	No
21.						

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
		[Redacted] - Male				
		(Question 29a);				
		Grievances EL35-				
		634-09 from				
		[Redacted],				
		EL35997-09 from				
		[Redacted],				
		EL36029-09 from				
	If yes, provide	[Redacted], EL36-				
	cross-references to	43509 from				
	relevant complaint,	[Redacted], and	[Redacted] – Male			
	investigatory, and	EL36-64110 from	[Redacted] – Male			
	disciplinary	[Redacted]	[Redacted]			
22.	documents.	(Question 33)	(Question 31)	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Are there related		[Redacted] was			
	disciplinary		terminated from			
	records concerning		employment.			
23.	the perpetrator?	No	(Question 31)	No	No	No
201	If yes, provide		Yes. Please refer to			
	cross-references to		above comments			
	relevant		regarding			
24.	documents.	N/A	[Redacted].	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	No. According to the				
	information				
	provided, the State				
	of New York in				
	general, and ECF in				
	particular, review				
	their policies on an				
	annual basis.				
	However, should a				
	PREA incident, or				
	any incident for that				
	matter, require a				
	change in policy or				
	protocols, the				
	Commissioner at the				
	Central Office level				
	and the				
	Superintendent at				
	the local level would				
	make the necessary				
	changes as they				
	deem appropriate.				
	The Commissioner				
Were there any	would make the				
changes in policies,	final decision if a				
protocols, or	change was				
staffing as a result	implemented for all	140			
of the complaint/	New York facilities.	140			
investigation?		No	No	No	No

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
1.	Date of incident	05/21/2008	10/07/2009	01/31/2009	05/16/2009	Sometime in 2006
	Date of complaint and complaint	05/21/2008,	12/07/2009,	02/05/2009,	06/03/2009,	02/05/2008,
2.	number	Log # 08-011	IG-09_0411 SCU	Log # 09-0003	IG-09_0193 SCU	IG-08_0040 SCU
	To whom complaint was made (Internal					
3.	and/or External)	Internal	External	Internal	External	Internal
4.	Date of investigation	05/21/2008	12/16/2009 to 06/07/2010	02/06/ to 02/20/2009	06/04 to 09/28/2009	02/06 to 09/15/2008
5.	Nature of offense	Sexual gestures	Rape	Unwanted touching	Unwanted touching and threats	Allegations of photographs of strip searches
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual harassment	During pat and frisk, use of force	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual harassment

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
		[Redacted] - Male				
		(Name and				
	Inmate no. and	identification				
	gender of	number do not				
7.	complainant	match)	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
	Was there an					
	investigatory policy					
8.	in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Staff name and					
	gender of	Correctional officer	Two correctional	Correctional officer	Correctional officer	Correctional officer
9.	perpetrator	– Male	officers – Male	– Male	– Male	– Male
	Name and job title					
10.	of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
	Did the facility					
	follow its own					
	policy? If not,					
11.	categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
12.	Results of investigation	Charge was not substantiated.	Charge was not substantiated.	Charge was not substantiated. There were no witnesses to alleged complaint.	Charge was not substantiated.	Charge was not substantiated.
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
14.	What happened to complainant?	No victim was identified.	Complainant was transferred on 11/02/2009 for misbehavior associated with same incident.	No action was taken.	Complainant was moved to another housing unit.	Information was not available.
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No perpetrator was identified.
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	N/A	No	No	Information was not available.	Information was not available.
19.	If yes, state services that were provided.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Information was not available.	Information was not available.
20.	Did perpetrator resign or was he/she terminated?	No	N/A	No	Information was not available.	No
21.	Were there other sexual abuse complaints against perpetrator in 2008-2009?	No	No	Yes. This officer had been the subject of other pat and frisk complaints.	Information was not available.	No

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
				[Redacted] – Male (Question 29a);		
				Grievances EL35-		
				634-09 from		
				[Redacted],		
				EL35997-09 from		
				[Redacted],		
				EL36029-09 from		
	If yes, provide			[Redacted], EL36-		
	cross-references to			43509 from		
	relevant complaint, investigatory, and			[Redacted], and EL36-64110 from		
	disciplinary			[Redacted]	Information was not	
	documents.	N/A	N/A	(Question 33)	available.	N/A
22.				,		
	Are there related					
	disciplinary				Information was not	
	records concerning	No	No	No	available.	No
23.	the perpetrator?	110	NO	NO	avanable.	110
	If yes, provide					
	cross-references to					
	relevant				Information was not	
24.	documents.	N/A	N/A	N/A	available.	N/A

	Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
	No. According to the				
	information				
	provided, the State				
	of New York in				
	general, and ECF in				
	particular, review				
	their policies on an				
	annual basis.				
	However, should a				
	PREA incident, or				
	any incident for that				
	matter, require a				
	change in policy or				
	protocols, the				
	Commissioner at the				
	Central Office level				
	and the				
	Superintendent at				
	the local level would				
	make the necessary				
	changes as they				
	deem appropriate.				
	The Commissioner				
Were there any	would make the				
changes in policies,	final decision if a				
protocols, or	change was				
staffing as a result	implemented for all	146			
of the complaint/	New York facilities.	140			
investigation?		No	No	No	No

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
1.	Date of incident	10/08/2008	12/29/2008	02/14/2008	06/16/2008	N/A
	Date of complaint and complaint	10/22/2008,	01/02/2009,	02/20/2008,	06/16/2008,	06/11/2008,
2.	number	IG-08_357 SCU	IG-09_003 SCU	IG-08_0056 SCU	IG-08_0193 SCU	IG-08_198 SCU
	To whom complaint was made (Internal					
3.	and/or External)	Internal and External	External	External	External	Internal
4.	Date of investigation	11/06/2008 to 04/01/2009	01/06 to 04/16/2009	02/20 to 03/15/2008	06/23/2008 to 03/25/2010	06/23/2008 to 03/25/2010
5.	Nature of offense	Unwanted touching	Improper touching	Unwanted touching	Unwanted touching	Improper relations with other inmates
6.	Substance of allegations	Sexual misconduct	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Sexual assault
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
8.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
9.	Staff name and gender of perpetrator	Two correctional officers – Male Store clerk – Female	Three correctional officers – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	Laundry worker – Male State shop staff – Female
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Results of investigation	Charge was not substantiated.	Charge was not substantiated.	Charge was substantiated.	Charge was substantiated.	Charge was not substantiated.
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was seen by mental health staff.	Complainant was seen by mental health staff; was moved to another housing location - conditionally.	Complainant was released on 05/27/2008.	Complainant was moved to another housing location on 06/17/2008.	No victim was identified. Complainant was removed from laundry assignment.
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	N/A	N/A	Perpetrator was terminated.	Perpetrator was terminated.	Perpetrators were terminated.
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	Case was referred to prosecutor and perpetrator was found not guilty.	Case was referred to prosecutor and perpetrator was found not guilty.	N/A
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
19.	If yes, state services that were provided.	Complainant was on active mental health caseload and receiving mental health services.	Complainant was on active mental health caseload and receiving mental health services.	Complainant was referred to and received mental health services on 02/20/2008.	Complainant was on active mental health unit staff caseload.	Complainant was on active mental health unit staff caseload
20.	Did perpetrator resign or was he/she terminated?	No	No	Perpetrator was terminated.	Perpetrator was terminated.	No
	Were there other sexual abuse complaints against perpetrator in					
21.	2008-2009?	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
	If yes, provide cross-references to relevant complaint, investigatory, and disciplinary			[Redacted] – Male [Redacted] [Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male [Redacted] [Redacted] – Male	
22.	documents.	N/A	N/A	See question 31.	See question 31.	N/A

		Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
23.	Are there related disciplinary records concerning the perpetrator?	No	No	[Redacted] was terminated. See question 31.	Yes.	No
24.	If yes, provide cross-references to relevant documents.	N/A	N/A	There was an incident in 2008. See question 31.	There was an incident in 2008. See question 31.	N/A

	Incident #11	Incident #12	Incident #13	Incident #14	Incident #15
Were there any changes in policies, protocols, or staffing as a result of the complaint/			No. According to the information provided, the State of New York in general, and ECF in particular, review their policies on an annual basis. However, should a PREA incident, or any incident for that matter, require a change in policy or protocols, the Commissioner at the Central Office level and the Superintendent at the local level would make the necessary changes as they deem appropriate. The Commissioner would make the final decision if a change was implemented for all		
investigation?	No	No 152	New York facilities.	No	No

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
1.	Date of incident	05/13 and 05/20/2008	N/A	N/A	10/14/2009	11/15/2009
	Date of complaint and complaint	05/26/2008,	04/02/2009,	05/13/2009,	11/06/2009,	11/10/2009,
2.	number	IG-08_228 SCU	IG-09_116 SCU	IG-09_177 SCU	IG-09_0378 SCU	IG-09_0379 SCU
	To whom complaint was made (Internal and/or External)	Internal and External	Internal	Internal	External	External
3. 4.	Date of investigation	07/24 to 12/15/2008	04/02 to 09/03/2009	05/14 to 07/22/2009	11/09 to 12/17/2009	11/10/2009 to 01/07/2010
5.	Nature of offense	Verbal abuse	Improper relationship	Improper relations with an inmate	Rape	Sodomy
	Substance of		Immuno antalogh	Providing cell phone number and		
6.	Substance of allegations	Verbal assault	Improper telephone contact	spending time with inmate	Sexual assault	Sexual assault

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
8.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
					Correctional officer – Male Medial staff – Male	
9.	Staff name and gender of perpetrator	Correctional officer – Male	Correctional officer – Female	Social worker – Female	Mental health staff – Male	Correctional officer – Male
10	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
12	Results of investigation	Charge was not substantiated.	Charge was not substantiated.	Charge was substantiated.	Charge was not substantiated.	Charge was not substantiated.

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
	Name and job title of person who approved closure of					
13.	investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
14.	What happened to complainant?	No action taken	No action taken	Complainant was released on 04/03/2009.	No action taken	Complainant was examined by medical staff and denied any allegation of sexual abuse.
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	N/A	N/A	Perpetrator resigned her position.	N/A	N/A
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	No	No
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
	Was complainant provided victim					
18.	services?	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
	If yes, state services that were provided.	Complainant was on active mental health unit caseload and receiving mental health services.	N/A	N/A	Complainant was on active mental health unit staff caseload.	Complainant was seen by mental health staff and housed in observation from 11/06 to 11/13/2009.
19.	Did perpetrator					
20.	resign or was he/she terminated?	No	N/A	Perpetrator resigned.	No	No
	Were there other sexual abuse complaints against					
21.	perpetrator in 2008-2009?	No	No	No	No	No

		Incident #16	Incident #17	Incident #18	Incident #19	Incident #20
	If yes, provide cross-references to					
	relevant complaint,					
	investigatory, and disciplinary					
22.	documents.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Are there related disciplinary					
	records concerning					
23.	the perpetrator?	No	No	No	No	No
	If yes, provide cross-references to					
	relevant					
24.	documents.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Were there any changes in policies,					
	protocols, or					
	staffing as a result of the complaint/					
25.	investigation?	No	No	No	No	No

		Incident #21	Incident #22		
1.	Date of incident	N/A	11/23/2009		
	Date of complaint and complaint	03/19/2009,	12/07/2009,		
2.	number	IG-09_0383 SCU	IG-09_0401 SCU		
	To whom complaint was				
	made (Internal and/or External)	External	External		
3.		11/16/2000	12/00/2000		
4.	Date of investigation	11/16/2009 to 06/01/2010	12/09/2009 to 06/07/2010		
5.	Nature of offense	Unwanted touching	Unwanted touching		
	Substance of		Sexual assault		
6.	allegations	Sexual assault	during pat and frisk		
	Inmate no. and				
7.	gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male		

		Incident #21	Incident #22		
	Was there an				
	investigatory policy				
8.	in place?	Yes	Yes		
0.	Staff name and				
	gender of	4 correctional	Correctional officer		
	perpetrator	officers – Male	– Male		
9.	77 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 7				
	Name and job title	ID 1 4 11	ID 1 4 11		
10.	of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]		
	Did the facility				
	follow its own				
	policy? If not,				
11.	categorize how so?	Yes	Yes		
	Results of	Charge was not	Charge was not		
12.	investigation	substantiated.	substantiated.		
12.	Name and job title				
	of person who				
	approved closure of				
13.	investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]		

		Incident #21	Incident #22		
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was transferred on 04/23/2009.	No action taken		
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	N/A	N/A		
	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No		
16.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A		
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	Yes	Yes		
19.	If yes, state services that were provided.	Complainant was on active mental health unit caseload and receiving mental health services.	Complainant was on active mental health unit caseload and receiving mental health services.		

		Incident #21	Incident #22		
	Did perpetrator				
	resign or was				
20	he/she terminated?	No	No		
20.	Were there other				
	sexual abuse		Yes, there were		
	complaints against		other complaints		
	perpetrator in		regarding pat and		
	2008-2009?	No	frisk searches.		
21.	2000-2007;	140	misk scarciles.		
			Grievances		
			EL36504-09 from		
	If yes, provide		[Redacted],		
	cross-references to		EL36546-09 from		
	relevant complaint,		[Redacted] and		
	investigatory, and		EL36549-09 from		
	disciplinary		[Redacted] See		
22.	documents.	N/A	question 31.		
	Are there related				
	disciplinary				
	records concerning				
23.	the perpetrator?	No	No		

		Incident #21	Incident #22		
	If yes, provide cross-references to relevant				
24.	documents.	N/A	N/A		
	Were there any changes in policies, protocols, or staffing as a result of the complaint/				
25.	investigation?	No	No		

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
1.	Date of incident	01/14/2008	03/08/2008	11/03/2009	07/23/2008	There were two separate incidents involving the same complainant: 01/24/2009 and 04/11/2009.
2.	Date of complaint and complaint number	01/27/2008, 2008-005732	03/08/2008, 2008-1229	11/03/2009, 2009-45814	07/23/2008, 2008-2932	01/24/2009, 2009-005177; 04/11/2009, 2009-022267
3.	To whom complaint was made (Internal and/or External)	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Incident was witnessed by [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted] for incident on 01/24/2009; Internal – Information was not available as to whom complaint was made for

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
						incident on
						04/11/2009.
						01/24/2009 for
						complaint on
						01/24/2009;
						04/11/2009 for
	Date of					complaint on
4.	investigation	01/27/2008	03/08/2008	11/03/2009	07/23/2008	04/11/2009
						Alleged offense for
						01/24/2009 was first
						degree rape/forcible
						sodomy;
						Alleged offense for
						04/11/2009 was first
				Sexual assault and		degree rape/forcible
5.	Nature of offense	Forcible sodomy	Sexual misconduct	battery	Sexual battery	sodomy.
		Complainant, a 16		Complainant alleged	[Redacted]	01/24/2009
		year old male, was		that on three	witnessed	allegation:
		in the medical unit	Complainant alleged	occasions the	perpetrator grab	complainant was
	Substance of	when he alleged he	perpetrator "grabbed	perpetrator passed	another inmate on	brought to
	allegations	was threatened by	his ass."	her three times while	the buttocks while	[Redacted] desk to
6.	- Swarous	the perpetrator, a 17		she was using the	both were working	discuss the

Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
year old juvenile		telephone, and	in the kitchen.	depression he was
who was also his		"rubbed against her		exhibiting.
cellmate.		buttocks." The third		Complainant
Complainant alleged		time the perpetrator		advised [Redacted]
perpetrator		whispered		that a cellmate had
threatened to kill		something inaudible		raped him by
him if he did not		to her. Complainant		threatening him with
perform oral		advised him to keep		a sharp pencil held
sodomy. Perpetrator		his hands off of her.		to his neck. There
then grabbed		A witness stated		was no evidence of
complainant by the		perpetrator rubbed		the perpetrator being
throat who feared		against her with his		assigned to a cell
for his life and		hand. The witness		with complainant.
complied.		then advised		
		perpetrator to stop		
		and then advised the		04/11/2009
		booking officers of		allegation:
		the incident.		complainant stated
				that as he finished
				using the toilet at
				12:30 am, the
				perpetrator who also
				was his cellmate got
				out of his bunk and
				held a plastic dining

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
						utensil – a "spork"–
						to his neck and
						threatened to kill
						him if he screamed
						and did not comply
						with his sexual
						advances.
						Complainant
						claimed he was
						forced to commit
						oral sex and was
						anally raped with his
						attacker ejaculating
						both in his mouth
						and anus.
						Complainant also
						stated that he was
						attacked again at
						2:30 am on the same
						morning in a similar
						fashion.
7.	Inmate no. and gender of	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	complainant					
8.	Inmate no. and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
9.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
						01/24/2009 incident was investigated by [Redacted], [Redacted]
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted], [Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	04/11/2009 incident was investigated by [Redacted]
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	Yes	Yes	Yes, since 01/24/2009 allegation was apparently determined to be

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
						This is unclear since record of 04/11/2009 allegation ends after initial investigatory interview of complainant and his referral to medical unit for a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner.
12.	Results of investigation	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	Charge against perpetrator was referred to the Tulsa County District Attorney for prosecution.	Perpetrator was transferred to a different living unit and was removed from kitchen assignment.	Incident on 01/24/2009 appears to have been determined to be false. For 04/11/2009 incident, evidence of anal intercourse was

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
						evident.
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	Information was not available.	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	Information was not available.
14.	What happened to complainant?	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	Information was not available.
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	Perpetrator was arrested and charged with forcible sodomy.	Perpetrator was placed in segregation.	Perpetrator was arrested and charged with sexual assault and battery.	Perpetrator was transferred to a different living unit and was removed from kitchen assignment.	Information was not available.
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	Yes	No. Complainant signed a "Decline to File" paper.	Information was not available.
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	Tulsa County District Attorney declined to	N/A	Information was not available.

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	outcome?			prosecute the case.		
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	No	No services were provided to the complainant for 01/24/2009 incident; Yes, services were provided to the complainant for 04/11/2009 incident.
19.	If yes, state services that were provided.	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	Information was not available.	N/A	For 04/11/2009 incident, complainant received a medical examination at which time a rape kit was used to collect evidence.

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
1.	Date of incident	12/21/2009				
	Date of complaint and complaint	12/28/2009,				
2.	number	2010-00092				
	To whom complaint was					
3.	made (Internal and/or External)	Internal – [Redacted]				
4.	Date of investigation	12/28/2010				
5.	Nature of offense	Attempted rape by use of an instrument				
		Complainant snuck into another cell occupied by approximately 8				
6.	Substance of allegations	other inmates who were gambling. Those inmates who were not authorized to be in the cell were				

	Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
	instructed by				
	Detention Officer				
	[Redacted] to return				
	to their cells				
	immediately or face				
	a 72- hour lockdown				
	penalty; or they				
	would have to stay				
	until lockdown				
	ended later that				
	night. Complainant				
	was ordered by				
	inmates to fight				
	another inmate.				
	After the fight,				
	complainant was				
	stripped of his				
	clothes and was held				
	down by inmates				
	while 4 of them				
	placed inanimate				
	objects (deodorant				
	bottle, pencil) in his				
	anus.				

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	No inmate number was observed in the files – Male				
8.	Inmate no. and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – all were male				
9.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes				
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]				
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes				
12.	Results of investigation	Complainant denied the charge of penetration by use of an instrument.				
13.	Name and job title of person who	Information was not				

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
	approved closure of investigation	available.				
	What happened to	Information was not				
14.	complainant?	available.				
	What happened to	No action was taken				
15.	perpetrator?	against perpetrators.				
	Was perpetrator					
	referred to					
	authorities for					
	potential					
16.	prosecution?	Yes				
10.		Criminal case was				
		referred for				
		prosecution.				
		Tulsa County				
	If was to rehow and	District Attorney				
	If yes, to whom and what was the	declined to pursue				
	outcome?	charges on 02/05/2010.				
17.	outcome:	02/03/2010.				
	Was complainant					
18.	provided victim	Information was not				

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
S	services?	available.				
19. I	If yes, state services that were provided.	N/A				

Davis L. Moss Criminal Justice Center Criminal Justice Center Tulsa, Oklahoma Staff-On-Inmate Assaults (Jails)

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
1.	Date of incident	08/11/2008	11/28/2009			
	Date of complaint and complaint	08/11/2008,	11/28/2009,			
2.	number	2008-052833	2009-46362			
	To whom complaint was					
	made (Internal	Internal –	Internal –			
3.	and/or External)	[Redacted]	[Redacted]			
4.	Date of investigation	08/11/2008	11/28/2011			
5.	Nature of offense	Lewd molestation	Sexual assault and battery			
		Complainant, a 15-	Complainant alleged			
		year old juvenile	that a black, bald			
		female, was taking a	male detention			
		shower when a male	officer searched him			
		nurse lifted a paper	in the classification			
	Substance of	window screen to observe her	area. After the search was			
6.	allegations	unclothed as she	completed, the			

Davis L. Moss Criminal Justice Center Criminal Justice Center Tulsa, Oklahoma Staff-On-Inmate Assaults (Jails)

Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
dried herself after a	unknown detention			
shower.	officer backed			
	complainant against			
	the wall, reached			
	inside complainant's			
	pants, and grabbed			
	his penis. It was			
	further alleged that			
	the detention officer			
	then placed his head			
	in the complainant's			
	crotch area at which			
	time the complainant			
	pushed him away			
	saying "what are			
	you doing?" The			
	complainant said the			
	detention officer			
	threatened him with			
	isolation. The			
	detention officer			
	then placed			
	complainant in a			
	chair before sending			

Davis L. Moss Criminal Justice Center Criminal Justice Center Tulsa, Oklahoma Staff-On-Inmate Assaults (Jails)

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
			him back to his living unit.			
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Male			
8.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes, Chapter 12-01 regarding criminal investigations	Yes, Chapter 12-01 regarding criminal investigations			
9.	Staff name and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male			
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]			
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not,	Yes	Yes			

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	categorize how so?					
			It was determined			
			that [Redacted] and			
			complainant had			
			consensual			
			arrangement			
			wherein cigarettes			
			were provided to the complainant.			
			compiamant.			
		Perpetrator was	Perpetrator was			
		referred for	referred for			
		prosecution to the	prosecution to the			
	Results of	Tulsa County	Tulsa County			
	investigation	District Attorney.	District Attorney.			
12.		a				
	Name and job title	Supervisor signature	The name of			
	of person who	was indecipherable.	[Redacted] was			
	approved closure of	There is a box for	listed. However, there was no			
12	investigation	investigatory report approval but not a	signature of case			
13.		approvar out not a	signature of case			

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
		box for case closure.	closure.			
14.	What happened to complainant?	Information was not available.	Information was not available.			
			Perpetrator was convicted at court hearing. Details of the hearing sentence			
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	Information was not available.	were not documented.			
	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	Yes	Yes			
16.		The Tulsa County District Attorney	The Tulsa County District Attorney			
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	declined to prosecute the charge.	prosecuted the case and won a conviction.			

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	Information was not available.	Information was not available.			
19.	If yes, state services that were provided.	Information was not available.	Information was not available.			
20.	Did perpetrator resign or was he/she terminated?	Information was not available.	Information was not available.			
	Were there other sexual abuse complaints against perpetrator in 2008-2009?	Information was not available.	Information was not available.			
21.	If yes, provide cross-references to relevant complaint, investigatory, and disciplinary	Information was not	Information was not			
22.	documents.	available.	available.			

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
		Information did not				
		indicate any				
		previous disciplinary				
		incidents.				
		Perpetrator was a				
		medical service				
		contract employee				
		who worked as a				
		service provider at	There are			
		the facility. All	disciplinary records			
		contract health	related to counseling			
		service workers are	and suspension for			
		required to undergo	poor job			
		criminal record	performance on			
		checks according to	several occasions.			
		the contract between	However, there was			
	Are there related	Tulsa County, OK	no information or			
	disciplinary	and Correctional	reference related to			
	records concerning	Health Care of	previous sexual			
23.	the perpetrator?	Oklahoma.	misconduct.			
43.	If yes, provide					
	cross-references to	Information was not	Information was not			
24.	relevant	available.	available.			

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	documents.					
	Were there any changes in policies, protocols, or staffing as a result					
25.	of the complaint/ investigation?	Information was not available.	Information was not available.			

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
1.	Date of incident	05/08/2008	05/22/2008	08/04/2008	09/02/2008	03/04/2009
2.	Date of complaint and complaint number	05/08/2008, P08-005756A	06/12/2008, P08-006421A	08/06/2008, P08-010189A	09/02/2008, P08-11525A	03/15/2009, P09-3112A
3.	To whom complaint was made (Internal and/or External)	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]
4.	Date of investigation	05/08/2008	06/16/2008	08/06/2008	09/02/2008	03/15/2009
5.	Nature of offense	Rape	Rape and robbery	Rape	Rape	Rape
6.	Substance of allegations	Complainant alleged that his cellmate raped him against his will.	Complainant alleged that he was raped and robbed against his will.	Complainant alleged that his cellmate raped him against his will.	Complainant alleged he was not raped by anyone in the facility but was raped by a family member "a long	Complainant alleged that he was raped against his will.

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
					time ago."	
	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male
7.	Complamant	[Redacted] – Maie	[Redacted] – Male	[Kedacted] – Maie	[Kedacted] – Maie	[Redacted] – Wate
			[Redacted] – Male			
			[Redacted] – Male			
	Inmate no. and		[Redacted] – Male [Redacted] – Male			
8.	gender of perpetrator	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Male	N/A	[Redacted] – Male
	Was there an investigatory policy					
9.	in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not,	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	categorize how so?					
	Results of investigation	Allegation by complainant proved to be inconclusive.	Allegations by complainant were found to not be supported by any evidence or corroborating statements.	Allegation by complainant was rescinded as he admitted he made up the allegation to be transferred to another unit.	Allegation by complainant was rescinded as he admitted he made up the allegation to be transferred to another unit.	Allegation by complainant was rescinded; he indicated he wanted to be placed in protective custody and that he would not cooperate with an investigation.
12.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was evaluated by facility medical and psychiatric staff. He was transported to the Rape Treatment Center for a forensic examination.	Complainant was evaluated by facility medical and psychiatric staff. Since he was at a different facility at the time of his allegation, no further	No action was taken.	Complainant was assigned to another cell and placed on suicide watch pending a psychological evaluation.	Complainant was evaluated by facility medical and psychiatric staff. He was transported to the Rape Treatment Center for a forensic examination.

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
			action was taken.			
		Perpetrator remained				
		in the psychiatric				
	What happened to	unit but was moved				
	perpetrator?	to another cell.	No action was taken.			
15.						
	Was perpetrator					
	referred to					
	authorities for					
	potential	Yes	No	No	No	No
16.	prosecution?	ies	NO	NO	INO	INO
		After reviewing all				
		facts of the case,				
		Assistant State's				
	If yes, to whom and	Attorney [Redacted]				
	what was the	declined to file				
17.	outcome?	charges.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Was complainant					
	provided victim					
18.	services?	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
20.		Complainant	Complainant was	NT/A	Complainant	Complainant was
19.	If yes, state services	received a medical	housed in a	N/A	received a	placed in protective

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	that were provided.	examination, and	psychiatric unit and		psychological	custody.
		services from the	continued to receive		evaluation and	
		Rape Treatment	psychiatric services.		psychiatric services.	
		Center.				

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
1.	Date of incident	03/31/2009	09/09/2009	10/30/2009	06/13/2008, 06/14/2008	
2.	Date of complaint and complaint number	04/02/2009, 146-IA-PTDC-09	09/09/2009, P09-01163A	10/31/2009, F09-35603	06/15/2008, P08- 7609A/7614A/7622 A	
3.	To whom complaint was made (Internal and/or External)	External – [Redacted]	External – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	Internal – [Redacted]	
4.	Date of investigation	04/22/2009 to 07/14/2009	09/09/2009 to 12/11/2009	10/31/2009 to 11/25/2009	06/15/2008 to 0620/2008	
5.	Nature of offense	Sexual assault	Sexual assault	Heavy bleeding from vaginal area	Rape	
6.	Substance of allegations	Complainant alleged that while she was in a holding cell, she was sexually assaulted by digital penetration against	Complainant alleged that he was sexually assaulted against his will.	Complainant was bleeding heavily from the vaginal area. She was escorted to the medical clinic.	Complainant alleged he was raped on two separate occasions by two inmates in another cell.	

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
		her will.				
	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Male	[Redacted] – Female	[Redacted] – Male	
8.	Inmate no. and gender of perpetrator	Inmate number was not available – Female	Unknown males	N/A	No specific inmates were named by the complainant in the allegation – Two males	
9.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
12.	Results of investigation	Allegation by complainant was	Allegation by complainant was	Complainant rendered a sworn	Allegation by complainant was	

	Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
	found not supported	rescinded as he	statement indicating	rescinded during the	
	by any evidence,	admitted he made up	that after her release	investigation as he	
	including videotape	the allegation to be	from custody, she	admitted he lied	
	of the holding cell	transferred to	learned that the	about the allegation.	
	area during the	another unit.	bleeding she		
	specific times of the		experienced from		
	alleged incident, or		her vaginal area		
	by any other		while she was		
	corroborating		incarcerated was the		
	statements.		result of a		
			miscarriage.		
			Complainant stated		
			that "corrections		
			staff acted		
			appropriately in		
			escorting me to the		
			clinic and there was		
			nothing corrections		
			could have done		
			differently." She		
			further stated that		
			she was not involved		
			in use of force, nor		
			did she "experience		
			any staff misconduct		

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
				while incarcerated in Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation."		
13.	Name and job title of person who approved closure of investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was released from the facility and went on her own to the Rape Treatment Center at Jackson Memorial Hospital.	Complainant was evaluated by facility medical and psychiatric staff. He was also taken to the Rape Treatment Center at Jackson Memorial Hospital where results of any sexual assault were negative.	Complainant was released from the facility.	Complainant was evaluated by facility medical and psychiatric staff. He was also placed on suicide precautions status.	
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	No action was taken.	No action was taken.	No action was taken.	No action was taken.	

		Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No	No	
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
19.	If yes, state services that were provided.	Complainant requested to be seen by the facility Corrections Health Services. She was examined for a "whitish vaginal discharge and some kind of odor" and placed in the general psychological population.	Complainant was housed in a psychiatric unit and continued to receive psychiatric services.	Complainant was escorted to the medical clinic for evaluation and returned to her cell. Shortly after she returned to her cell, she was released from the facility.	Complainant received medical and psychiatric services. He was also placed on suicide precautions status.	

	Incident #6	Incident #7	Incident #8	Incident #9	Incident #10
	However, during the				
	examination and				
	while at the facility,				
	there is no				
	documentation				
	indicating				
	complainant alleged				
	sexual assault of any				
	kind.				

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
1.	Date of incident	07/17/2009	09/28/2009	11/2008 or 12/2008		
	Date of complaint and complaint	08/11/2009,	09/27/2009,	03/18/2009,		
2.	number	348-IA-FSB-09	P09-12576A/B	98-IA-PTDC-09		
	To whom complaint was					
	made (Internal	Internal –	Internal –	External –		
3.	and/or External)	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]		
	Date of	08/11/2009 to	09/28/2009 to	03/18/2009 to		
4.	investigation	02/11/2010	02/03/2010	04/29/2009		
		Departmental	Departmental misconduct/ introduction of contraband;	Departmental		
5.	Nature of offense	misconduct/staff sexual misconduct toward an inmate	Department misconduct/ improper procedures	misconduct/ inappropriate relationship		

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
6.	Substance of allegations	Complainant alleged that [Redacted] flirted with him, made romantic comments, and touched complainant's biceps.	A correctional officer was charged with allowing the use of her cell phone by an inmate, having an improper relationship with an inmate, and falsifying her account of the charges.	Complainant alleged that a male staff member had an inappropriate relationship with a female inmate sometime in November or December 2008 while inmate was in PTDC.		
7.	Inmate no. and gender of complainant	[Redacted]– Male	[Redacted]– Male	[Redacted]– Male		
8.	Was there an investigatory policy in place?	Yes	Yes	Yes		
9.	Staff name and gender of perpetrator	[Redacted]– Male	[Redacted]– Female	[Redacted]– Male		

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
10.	Name and job title of investigator	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]		
11.	Did the facility follow its own policy? If not, categorize how so?	No. The chain of command structure in reporting this allegation by the complainant was not properly followed.	Yes	Yes		
		The Alternate Disposition Panel found that allegations by the complainant were not sustained. However, internal memoranda by Miami-Dade Pre- Trial Detention Center staff indicate	Based on the facts of the investigation by the Office of Internal Affairs and the Miami-Dade Corrections and Rehabilitation Department, all charges of misconduct by Officer Jennifer	Based on the facts of the investigation, based on statements by complainant that he never witnessed the male staff officer and female inmate together, based on the complainant's admission that he relied on hearsay information to make		
12.	Results of investigation	that despite that the allegations were not sustained, the	Martinez were substantiated.	information to make his allegation which was followed up by		

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
		incident "was not		investigators and		
		properly handled by		found to be		
		any of the staff who		unsubstantiated,		
		was directly or		there was no		
		indirectly informed		information		
		of the allegations.		available to		
		The failure of staff		corroborate the		
		to immediately		allegation of sexual		
		report such		misconduct.		
		allegations to their				
		chain of command				
		or to the shift				
		supervisor or				
		commander at the				
		PTDC placed the				
		department in a				
		liable situation"				
	Name and job title					
	of person who					
	approved closure of					
13.	investigation	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]		

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
14.	What happened to complainant?	Complainant was transferred to another facility.	Complainant was transferred to another facility.	Complainant was referred for a medical examination and psychological evaluation.		
15.	What happened to perpetrator?	No action was taken.	Perpetrator was terminated.	No action was taken.		
16.	Was perpetrator referred to authorities for potential prosecution?	No	No	No		
17.	If yes, to whom and what was the outcome?	N/A	N/A	N/A		
18.	Was complainant provided victim services?	No	No	Yes		
19.	If yes, state services that were provided.	N/A	N/A	Complainant was referred for a		

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
				medical examination and psychological evaluation.		
20.	Did perpetrator resign or was he/she terminated?	No	Perpetrator was terminated.	No		
21.	Were there other sexual abuse complaints against perpetrator in 2008-2009?	No	No	No		
22.	If yes, provide cross-references to relevant complaint, investigatory, and disciplinary documents.	N/A	N/A	N/A		
23.	Are there related disciplinary records concerning	No	No	No		

		Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
	the perpetrator?					
24.	If yes, provide cross-references to relevant documents.	N/A	N/A	N/A		
25.	Were there any changes in policies, protocols, or staffing as a result of the complaint/investigation?	It appears greater emphasis, through training and closer supervision, has been placed on strictly adhering to policies and procedures regarding reporting of all allegations.	A review of standard operating procedures used for PTDC's second floor headcount procedures was conducted to ensure that any new or transient inmate without a blue tab is properly accounted for, and procedures ensure that all inmates have proper paperwork due to their transient or pre-classification	No		

	Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
		status.			

	Incident #1	Incident #2	Incident #3	Incident #4	Incident #5
		status.			